

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

# COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

# MARIKANA

## **BEFORE TRIBUNAL**

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

### HELD ON

DAY 292 16 SEPTEMBER 2014

PAGES 38127 TO 38368



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: realtime@mweb.co.za Web Address: http://www.realtimesa.co.za



1			
	Page 38127 [PROCEEDINGS ON 16 SEPTEMBER 2014]	1	Page 38129 finished or even when one of the other cross-examiners has
2	[PROCEEDINGS ON TO SEPTEMBER 2014] [08:33] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	1 2	finished, you can then present that as well and maybe the
3	Good morning, Mr Mokoena, you're still under oath. Mr	2	witness will want to say something about it as well, I
4	Mpofu, have you got any more questions for the witness?	4	don't know.
5	MR MPOFU SC: One or two, Chairperson.	5	MR BHAM SC: It will be ready. If I
6	CHAIRPERSON: Before you ask your one or	6	might just say, yesterday I was handed a document and I
7	two questions, I will stop you after the second, I am	7	thought it required just a bit more detail which I
8	informed by Mr Bham that the witness did the homework we	8	suggested to my client we worked on. I'm waiting for its
9	asked him to do. He couldn't print it last night because	9	arrival with that detail today and as soon as it comes
10	there's something wrong with his printer but arrangements	10	we'll make it available.
11	are being made at the moment to have them printed, about 15	11	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Thank you, Mr Bham.
12	or 16 copies. So we'll deal with that when they're	12	Yes, Mr Mpofu?
13	available.	13	BARNARD MOKOENA: (s.u.o.)
14	MR MPOFU SC: Thanks, Chair.	14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU SC (CONTD.):
15	CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps at the end of your	15	Thank you very much, Chairperson. Good morning, Mr
16	cross-examination if they're ready by then, but he has done	16	Mokoena.
17	what I asked him to do.	17	MR MOKOENA: Good morning, sir.
18	MR MPOFU SC: Yes, well, I would prefer	18	MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Yes, I'd like us to
19	to see it before I finish, Chairperson, but we'll see how	19	just round off the issue that you and I were dealing with
20	it goes.	20	yesterday, very quickly. I think because I've just got a
21	CHAIRPERSON: How it goes.	21	feeling that maybe we're not understanding each other.
22	MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thank you Chair.	22	What I was saying to you is that at Lonmin there is no such
23	MR BHAM SC: Chairperson sorry, and I'm	23	thing as an RDO bargaining forum, you understand that?
24	not taking any objection to my learned friend Mr Mpofu, he	24	MR MOKOENA: That is correct.
25	may wonder why I'm speaking before he says it, I just want	25	MR MPOFU SC: Ja. Before, before the
	Page 38128		Page 38130
1	to place something on record on the instructions of my	1	events of August 2016 there was no such thing called an RDO
2	client flowing from a couple of statements that were made		
_		2	bargaining forum.
3	yesterday. This witness was cross-examined on his absence	3	MR MOKOENA: No, there wasn't.
4	when members of the deceased's families had made their	3 4	MR MOKOENA:No, there wasn't.MR MPOFU SC:There is no such thing as
4 5	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a	3 4 5	MR MOKOENA: No, there wasn't. MR MPOFU SC: There is no such thing as an RDO bargaining forum even now.
4 5 6	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the	3 4 5 6	MR MOKOENA: No, there wasn't. MR MPOFU SC: There is no such thing as an RDO bargaining forum even now. MR MOKOENA: That is correct.
4 5 6 7	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that	3 4 5 6 7	MR MOKOENA: No, there wasn't. MR MPOFU SC: There is no such thing as an RDO bargaining forum even now. MR MOKOENA: That is correct. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, so whatever it is
4 5 6 7 8	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MOKOENA: No, there wasn't. MR MPOFU SC: There is no such thing as an RDO bargaining forum even now. MR MOKOENA: That is correct. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, so whatever it is that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in its
4 5 6 7 8 9	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MOKOENA:No, there wasn't.MR MPOFU SC:There is no such thing asan RDO bargaining forum even now.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, so whatever it isthat Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in itsnature, transient.It was not something that was going to
4 5 7 8 9 10	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external	3 4 5 7 8 9 10	MR MOKOENA:No, there wasn't.MR MPOFU SC:There is no such thing asan RDO bargaining forum even now.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, so whatever it isthat Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in itsnature, transient.It was not something that was going tobe there permanently, you'll accept that?If that you
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external affairs and communications, Ms Lerato Molebatsi, was	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	MR MOKOENA:No, there wasn't.MR MPOFU SC:There is no such thing asan RDO bargaining forum even now.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, so whatever it isthat Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in itsnature, transient.It was not something that was going tobe there permanently, you'll accept that?If that youaccept that what he was saying is that he would negotiate
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external affairs and communications, Ms Lerato Molebatsi, was present. You will know that she hasn't been a Lonmin	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MOKOENA:No, there wasn't.MR MPOFU SC:There is no such thing asan RDO bargaining forum even now.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, so whatever it isthat Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in itsnature, transient.It was not something that was going tobe there permanently, you'll accept that?If that youaccept that what he was saying is that he would negotiatefor the people on the mountain, for the RDOs, then it could
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external affairs and communications, Ms Lerato Molebatsi, was present. You will know that she hasn't been a Lonmin witness but given her seniority she was asked to be present	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MOKOENA:No, there wasn't.MR MPOFU SC:There is no such thing asan RDO bargaining forum even now.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, so whatever it isthat Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in itsnature, transient.It was not something that was going tobe there permanently, you'll accept that?If that youaccept that what he was saying is that he would negotiatefor the people on the mountain, for the RDOs, then it couldhave only been about that crisis.Do you understand that?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external affairs and communications, Ms Lerato Molebatsi, was present. You will know that she hasn't been a Lonmin witness but given her seniority she was asked to be present specifically because presentations were being made on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MOKOENA:No, there wasn't.MR MPOFU SC:There is no such thing asan RDO bargaining forum even now.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, so whatever it isthat Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in itsnature, transient.It was not something that was going tobe there permanently, you'll accept that?If that youaccept that what he was saying is that he would negotiatefor the people on the mountain, for the RDOs, then it couldhave only been about that crisis.Do you understand that?MR MOKOENA:Yes.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external affairs and communications, Ms Lerato Molebatsi, was present. You will know that she hasn't been a Lonmin witness but given her seniority she was asked to be present specifically because presentations were being made on behalf of the families. Ms Molebatsi was also present on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MOKOENA:No, there wasn't.MR MPOFU SC:There is no such thing asan RDO bargaining forum even now.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, so whatever it isthat Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in itsnature, transient.It was not something that was going tobe there permanently, you'll accept that?If that youaccept that what he was saying is that he would negotiatefor the people on the mountain, for the RDOs, then it couldhave only been about that crisis.Do you understand that?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MPOFU SC:Yes.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external affairs and communications, Ms Lerato Molebatsi, was present. You will know that she hasn't been a Lonmin witness but given her seniority she was asked to be present specifically because presentations were being made on behalf of the families. Ms Molebatsi was also present on Friday, the Friday that's just passed, as was Mr Peter	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MOKOENA: No, there wasn't. MR MPOFU SC: There is no such thing as an RDO bargaining forum even now. MR MOKOENA: That is correct. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, so whatever it is that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in its nature, transient. It was not something that was going to be there permanently, you'll accept that? If that you accept that what he was saying is that he would negotiate for the people on the mountain, for the RDOs, then it could have only been about that crisis. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Well, let's see how the
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external affairs and communications, Ms Lerato Molebatsi, was present. You will know that she hasn't been a Lonmin witness but given her seniority she was asked to be present specifically because presentations were being made on behalf of the families. Ms Molebatsi was also present on Friday, the Friday that's just passed, as was Mr Peter McGilligan who is the head of legal. Ms Molebatsi's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MOKOENA: No, there wasn't. MR MPOFU SC: There is no such thing as an RDO bargaining forum even now. MR MOKOENA: That is correct. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, so whatever it is that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in its nature, transient. It was not something that was going to be there permanently, you'll accept that? If that you accept that what he was saying is that he would negotiate for the people on the mountain, for the RDOs, then it could have only been about that crisis. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Well, let's see how the witness responds to the proposition.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external affairs and communications, Ms Lerato Molebatsi, was present. You will know that she hasn't been a Lonmin witness but given her seniority she was asked to be present specifically because presentations were being made on behalf of the families. Ms Molebatsi was also present on Friday, the Friday that's just passed, as was Mr Peter McGilligan who is the head of legal. Ms Molebatsi's presence was specifically here to ensure senior	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MOKOENA:No, there wasn't.MR MPOFU SC:There is no such thing asan RDO bargaining forum even now.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, so whatever it isthat Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in itsnature, transient.It was not something that was going tobe there permanently, you'll accept that?If that youaccept that what he was saying is that he would negotiatefor the people on the mountain, for the RDOs, then it couldhave only been about that crisis.Do you understand that?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MPOFU SC:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Well, let's see how thewitness responds to the proposition.Yes.MR MPOFU SC:Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external affairs and communications, Ms Lerato Molebatsi, was present. You will know that she hasn't been a Lonmin witness but given her seniority she was asked to be present specifically because presentations were being made on behalf of the families. Ms Molebatsi was also present on Friday, the Friday that's just passed, as was Mr Peter McGilligan who is the head of legal. Ms Molebatsi's presence was specifically here to ensure senior representation on the part of Lonmin management at those	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MOKOENA:No, there wasn't.MR MPOFU SC:There is no such thing asan RDO bargaining forum even now.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, so whatever it isthat Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in itsnature, transient.It was not something that was going tobe there permanently, you'll accept that?If that youaccept that what he was saying is that he would negotiatefor the people on the mountain, for the RDOs, then it couldhave only been about that crisis.Do you understand that?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MPOFU SC:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Well, let's see how thewitness responds to the proposition.MR MPOFU SC:MR MPOFU SC:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:What do you say to the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external affairs and communications, Ms Lerato Molebatsi, was present. You will know that she hasn't been a Lonmin witness but given her seniority she was asked to be present specifically because presentations were being made on behalf of the families. Ms Molebatsi was also present on Friday, the Friday that's just passed, as was Mr Peter McGilligan who is the head of legal. Ms Molebatsi's presence was specifically here to ensure senior representation on the part of Lonmin management at those presentations.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MOKOENA: No, there wasn't. MR MPOFU SC: There is no such thing as an RDO bargaining forum even now. MR MOKOENA: That is correct. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, so whatever it is that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in its nature, transient. It was not something that was going to be there permanently, you'll accept that? If that you accept that what he was saying is that he would negotiate for the people on the mountain, for the RDOs, then it could have only been about that crisis. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Well, let's see how the witness responds to the proposition. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What do you say to the proposition that Mr Mpofu has put to you?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external affairs and communications, Ms Lerato Molebatsi, was present. You will know that she hasn't been a Lonmin witness but given her seniority she was asked to be present specifically because presentations were being made on behalf of the families. Ms Molebatsi was also present on Friday, the Friday that's just passed, as was Mr Peter McGilligan who is the head of legal. Ms Molebatsi's presence was specifically here to ensure senior representation on the part of Lonmin management at those presentations. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Bham. I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MOKOENA:No, there wasn't.MR MPOFU SC:There is no such thing asan RDO bargaining forum even now.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, so whatever it isthat Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in itsnature, transient.It was not something that was going tobe there permanently, you'll accept that?If that youaccept that what he was saying is that he would negotiatefor the people on the mountain, for the RDOs, then it couldhave only been about that crisis.Do you understand that?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MPOFU SC:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Well, let's see how thewitness responds to the proposition.Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:What do you say to theproposition that Mr Mpofu has put to you?MR MOKOENA:I agree that there was no
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external affairs and communications, Ms Lerato Molebatsi, was present. You will know that she hasn't been a Lonmin witness but given her seniority she was asked to be present specifically because presentations were being made on behalf of the families. Ms Molebatsi was also present on Friday, the Friday that's just passed, as was Mr Peter McGilligan who is the head of legal. Ms Molebatsi's presence was specifically here to ensure senior representations. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Bham. I understand, you told me before we started that you're also	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MOKOENA: No, there wasn't. MR MPOFU SC: There is no such thing as an RDO bargaining forum even now. MR MOKOENA: That is correct. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, so whatever it is that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in its nature, transient. It was not something that was going to be there permanently, you'll accept that? If that you accept that what he was saying is that he would negotiate for the people on the mountain, for the RDOs, then it could have only been about that crisis. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Well, let's see how the witness responds to the proposition. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What do you say to the proposition that Mr Mpofu has put to you?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external affairs and communications, Ms Lerato Molebatsi, was present. You will know that she hasn't been a Lonmin witness but given her seniority she was asked to be present specifically because presentations were being made on behalf of the families. Ms Molebatsi was also present on Friday, the Friday that's just passed, as was Mr Peter McGilligan who is the head of legal. Ms Molebatsi's presence was specifically here to ensure senior representation on the part of Lonmin management at those presentations. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Bham. I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MOKOENA: No, there wasn't. MR MPOFU SC: There is no such thing as an RDO bargaining forum even now. MR MOKOENA: That is correct. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, so whatever it is that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in its nature, transient. It was not something that was going to be there permanently, you'll accept that? If that you accept that what he was saying is that he would negotiate for the people on the mountain, for the RDOs, then it could have only been about that crisis. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Well, let's see how the witness responds to the proposition. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What do you say to the proposition that Mr Mpofu has put to you? MR MOKOENA: I agree that there was no such forum for RDOs to bargain and that we do not have such
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	when members of the deceased's families had made their presentations, with the suggestion that there was a disinterest on the part of Lonmin in that regard. On the first of the two days, on one of the two days that originally were set aside for the family presentations and specifically because the families were making their presentations, an executive vice-president of external affairs and communications, Ms Lerato Molebatsi, was present. You will know that she hasn't been a Lonmin witness but given her seniority she was asked to be present specifically because presentations were being made on behalf of the families. Ms Molebatsi was also present on Friday, the Friday that's just passed, as was Mr Peter McGilligan who is the head of legal. Ms Molebatsi's presence was specifically here to ensure senior representations. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Bham. I understand, you told me before we started that you're also going to make, to produce a document in relation to, I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MOKOENA: No, there wasn't. MR MPOFU SC: There is no such thing as an RDO bargaining forum even now. MR MOKOENA: That is correct. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, so whatever it is that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to be involved in was, in its nature, transient. It was not something that was going to be there permanently, you'll accept that? If that you accept that what he was saying is that he would negotiate for the people on the mountain, for the RDOs, then it could have only been about that crisis. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Vell, let's see how the witness responds to the proposition. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What do you say to the proposition that Mr Mpofu has put to you? MR MOKOENA: I agree that there was no such forum for RDOs to bargain and that we do not have such forum as we speak today. The only forum we had was a

	Page 38131		Page 38133
1	MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Alright, and	1	MR MOKOENA: Yes.
2	therefore if then you and I agree on this, then you would	2	MR MPOFU SC: - the demands of the people
3	agree that your fear that if you had acceded to the request	3	on the mountain.
4	of Mr Mathunjwa it would suddenly kind of through the back	4	MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairman, may I just
5	door get him back not some permanent bargaining	5	raise an issue so that we don't forget the evidence. We
6	arrangement, was unfounded because it was a logical	6	now know from witnesses who have testified that those
7	impossibility. Even if you had allowed him to speak for	7	present on the mountain making the demands were not limited
8	the RDOs at the mountain, it could never have pushed him	8	to RDOs. In fact Mr Nzuza, when asked about which category
9	into any structure because there was no such structure. In	9	of workers the demands were being advanced on behalf of
10	other words, that fear was just ill-founded and illogical.	10	said all workers.
11	Do you understand that?	11	MR MPOFU SC: Ja.
12	MR MOKOENA: No, I disagree with that. I	12	MR BHAM SC: So when we deal with the
13	disagree with the assumption upon which you base the	13	RDOs, when we deal with those present on the mountain now,
14	question because when you talk about Mr Mathunjwa you're	14	we shouldn't forget testimony coming from somebody who was
15	talking about a seasoned unionist who knows wages are	15	in – the phrase was used lightly but for want of a better
16	negotiated within bargaining structures. So it's not	16	term, a second-in-command of the people who were on the
17	possible that Mr Mathunjwa would have suggested a small	17	mountain.
18	nyana forum outside what is traditionally known to bargain	18	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, before you answer
19	wages. So I would not have thought of any other thing	19	I must tell you that my recollection is, and if I'm wrong I
20	except the bargaining forum which Mr Mathunjwa, in his	20	hope you'll correct me, my recollection of the evidence is
21	experience as a unionist, would have known that you cannot	21	originally there was a demand for 12 500 to be paid to the
22	create a parallel small forum outside bargaining	22	rock drill operators only and subsequently, and I think
23	structures.	23	it's correct by the 16th of August, the demand had broadened
24	MR MPOFU SC: No, but then it means your	24	as it were –
25	executives did not report to you on exactly what was	25	MR MPOFU SC: Ja.
	Page 38132		
			Page 38134
1	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept	1	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs
2	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked	2	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming
	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the	_	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming 12 500.
2 3 4	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming 12 500. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, whatever.
2 3 4 5	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement.	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming 12 500. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, whatever. CHAIRPERSON: If my recollection is
2 3 4 5 6	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON:And it wasn't only the RDOsbut all those involved on the mountain were claiming12 500.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, whatever.CHAIRPERSON:If my recollection iscorrect, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one?	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming 12 500. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, whatever. CHAIRPERSON: If my recollection is correct, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, no, I understand that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON:And it wasn't only the RDOsbut all those involved on the mountain were claiming12 500.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, whatever.CHAIRPERSON:If my recollection iscorrect, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, no, I understand that,Chairperson.Okay –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON:And it wasn't only the RDOsbut all those involved on the mountain were claiming12 500.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, whatever.CHAIRPERSON:If my recollection iscorrect, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, no, I understand that,Chairperson.Okay –MR BHAM SC:I'm sorry to interrupt –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON:And it wasn't only the RDOsbut all those involved on the mountain were claiming12 500.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, whatever.CHAIRPERSON:If my recollection iscorrect, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, no, I understand that,Chairperson.Okay –MR BHAM SC:I'm sorry to interrupt –Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by executives?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON:And it wasn't only the RDOsbut all those involved on the mountain were claiming12 500.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, whatever.CHAIRPERSON:If my recollection iscorrect, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, no, I understand that,Chairperson.Okay –MR BHAM SC:I'm sorry to interrupt –Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th.MrNzuza joined the strike by the 11th.Mr Magidiwana was part
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by executives? MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Okay, Mr Kwadi says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming 12 500. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, whatever. CHAIRPERSON: If my recollection is correct, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, no, I understand that, Chairperson. Okay – MR BHAM SC: I'm sorry to interrupt – Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th. Mr Nzuza joined the strike by the 11th. Mr Magidiwana was part of the strike at the outset.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by executives? MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Okay, Mr Kwadi says at page 134, "Okay Joseph, I think it is clear to me what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON:And it wasn't only the RDOsbut all those involved on the mountain were claiming12 500.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, whatever.CHAIRPERSON:If my recollection iscorrect, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, no, I understand that,Chairperson.Okay –MR BHAM SC:I'm sorry to interrupt –Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th.MrNzuza joined the strike by the 11th.Mr Magidiwana was partof the strike at the outset.Yes, that's correct.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, that's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by executives? MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Okay, Mr Kwadi says at page 134, "Okay Joseph, I think it is clear to me what you are saying. You are basically saying that you'll go to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON:And it wasn't only the RDOsbut all those involved on the mountain were claiming12 500.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, whatever.CHAIRPERSON:If my recollection iscorrect, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, no, I understand that,Chairperson.Okay –MR BHAM SC:I'm sorry to interrupt –Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th.MrNzuza joined the strike by the 11th.Mr Magidiwana was partof the strike at the outset.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, that's correct.Chairperson.Yes, that's correct.Ofcourse the decision that the witness is being cross-Chair
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by executives? MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Okay, Mr Kwadi says at page 134, "Okay Joseph, I think it is clear to me what you are saying. You are basically saying that you'll go to the mountain on condition that you get some kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming 12 500. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, whatever. CHAIRPERSON: If my recollection is correct, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, no, I understand that, Chairperson. Okay – MR BHAM SC: I'm sorry to interrupt – Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th. Mr Nzuza joined the strike by the 11th. Mr Magidiwana was part of the strike at the outset. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's correct. Of course the decision that the witness is being cross- examined about I think was made originally on the 10th but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by executives? MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Okay, Mr Kwadi says at page 134, "Okay Joseph, I think it is clear to me what you are saying. You are basically saying that you'll go to the mountain on condition that you get some kind of guarantee that the company will negotiate with AMCU on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming 12 500. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, whatever. CHAIRPERSON: If my recollection is correct, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, no, I understand that, Chairperson. Okay – MR BHAM SC: I'm sorry to interrupt – Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th. Mr Nzuza joined the strike by the 11th. Mr Magidiwana was part of the strike at the outset. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's correct. Of course the decision that the witness is being cross- examined about I think was made originally on the 10th but of course persisted up through until the 16th. So your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by executives? MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Okay, Mr Kwadi says at page 134, "Okay Joseph, I think it is clear to me what you are saying. You are basically saying that you'll go to the mountain on condition that you get some kind of guarantee that the company will negotiate with AMCU on the demands of the people that are on the mountain." I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON:And it wasn't only the RDOsbut all those involved on the mountain were claiming12 500.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, whatever.CHAIRPERSON:If my recollection iscorrect, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, no, I understand that,Chairperson.Okay –MR BHAM SC:I'm sorry to interrupt –Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th.MrNzuza joined the strike by the 11th.Mr Magidiwana was partof the strike at the outset.CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:Yes, that's correct.Of use strike about 1 think was made originally on the 10th butof course persisted up through until the 16th.So yourpoint is that already at an earlier stage that was a demand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by executives? MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Okay, Mr Kwadi says at page 134, "Okay Joseph, I think it is clear to me what you are saying. You are basically saying that you'll go to the mountain on condition that you get some kind of guarantee that the company will negotiate with AMCU on the demands of the people that are on the mountain." I'm reading from about line 17, 18. Can you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming 12 500. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, whatever. CHAIRPERSON: If my recollection is correct, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, no, I understand that, Chairperson. Okay – MR BHAM SC: I'm sorry to interrupt – Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th. Mr Nzuza joined the strike by the 11th. Mr Magidiwana was part of the strike at the outset. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's correct. Of course the decision that the witness is being cross- examined about I think was made originally on the 10th but of course persisted up through until the 16th. So your point is that already at an earlier stage that was a demand not limited to RDOs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by executives? MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Okay, Mr Kwadi says at page 134, "Okay Joseph, I think it is clear to me what you are saying. You are basically saying that you'll go to the mountain on condition that you get some kind of guarantee that the company will negotiate with AMCU on the demands of the people that are on the mountain." I'm reading from about line 17, 18. Can you see that? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I can see that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming 12 500. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, whatever. CHAIRPERSON: If my recollection is correct, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, no, I understand that, Chairperson. Okay – MR BHAM SC: I'm sorry to interrupt – Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th. Mr Nzuza joined the strike by the 11th. Mr Magidiwana was part of the strike at the outset. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's correct. Of course the decision that the witness is being cross- examined about I think was made originally on the 10th but of course persisted up through until the 16th. So your point is that already at an earlier stage that was a demand not limited to RDOs. MR BHAM SC: No, what I'm raising is in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by executives? MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Okay, Mr Kwadi says at page 134, "Okay Joseph, I think it is clear to me what you are saying. You are basically saying that you'll go to the mountain on condition that you get some kind of guarantee that the company will negotiate with AMCU on the demands of the people that are on the mountain." I'm reading from about line 17, 18. Can you see that? MR MPOFU SC: Yes, I can see that. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, that is what he was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming 12 500. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, whatever. CHAIRPERSON: If my recollection is correct, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, no, I understand that, Chairperson. Okay – MR BHAM SC: I'm sorry to interrupt – Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th. Mr Nzuza joined the strike by the 11th. Mr Magidiwana was part of the strike at the outset. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's correct. Of course the decision that the witness is being cross- examined about I think was made originally on the 10th but of course persisted up through until the 16th. So your point is that already at an earlier stage that was a demand not limited to RDOs. MR BHAM SC: No, what I'm raising is in the context of cross-examination which goes to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by executives? MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Okay, Mr Kwadi says at page 134, "Okay Joseph, I think it is clear to me what you are saying. You are basically saying that you'll go to the mountain on condition that you get some kind of guarantee that the company will negotiate with AMCU on the demands of the people that are on the mountain." I'm reading from about line 17, 18. Can you see that? MR MPOFU SC: Ja, that is what he was saying. So can it be more clearer than that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming 12 500. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, whatever. CHAIRPERSON: If my recollection is correct, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, no, I understand that, Chairperson. Okay – MR BHAM SC: I'm sorry to interrupt – Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th. Mr Nzuza joined the strike by the 11th. Mr Magidiwana was part of the strike at the outset. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's correct. Of course the decision that the witness is being cross- examined about I think was made originally on the 10th but of course persisted up through until the 16th. So your point is that already at an earlier stage that was a demand not limited to RDOs. MR BHAM SC: No, what I'm raising is in the context of cross-examination which goes to a conversation and a perception which post-dated the 11th and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by executives? MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Okay, Mr Kwadi says at page 134, "Okay Joseph, I think it is clear to me what you are saying. You are basically saying that you'll go to the mountain on condition that you get some kind of guarantee that the company will negotiate with AMCU on the demands of the people that are on the mountain." I'm reading from about line 17, 18. Can you see that? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I can see that. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, that is what he was saying. So can it be more clearer than that? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I don't know how you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming 12 500. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, whatever. CHAIRPERSON: If my recollection is correct, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, no, I understand that, Chairperson. Okay – MR BHAM SC: I'm sorry to interrupt – Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th. Mr Nzuza joined the strike by the 11th. Mr Magidiwana was part of the strike at the outset. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's correct. Of course the decision that the witness is being cross- examined about I think was made originally on the 10th but of course persisted up through until the 16th. So your point is that already at an earlier stage that was a demand not limited to RDOs. MR BHAM SC: No, what I'm raising is in the context of cross-examination which goes to a conversation and a perception which post-dated the 11th and by the 11th you know, certainly from Mr Magidiwana and Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by executives? MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Okay, Mr Kwadi says at page 134, "Okay Joseph, I think it is clear to me what you are saying. You are basically saying that you'll go to the mountain on condition that you get some kind of guarantee that the company will negotiate with AMCU on the demands of the people that are on the mountain." I'm reading from about line 17, 18. Can you see that? MR MPOFU SC: Ja, that is what he was saying. So can it be more clearer than that? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I don't know how you want to interpret this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming 12 500. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, whatever. CHAIRPERSON: If my recollection is correct, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, no, I understand that, Chairperson. Okay – MR BHAM SC: I'm sorry to interrupt – Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th. Mr Nzuza joined the strike by the 11th. Mr Magidiwana was part of the strike at the outset. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's correct. Of course the decision that the witness is being cross- examined about I think was made originally on the 10th but of course persisted up through until the 16th. So your point is that already at an earlier stage that was a demand not limited to RDOs. MR BHAM SC: No, what I'm raising is in the context of cross-examination which goes to a conversation and a perception which post-dated the 11th and by the 11th you know, certainly from Mr Magidiwana and Mr Nzuza, that those present on the mountain were not limited
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	discussed between them and Mr Mathunjwa. Do you accept that, because that's exactly what Mr Mathunjwa had asked for, to talk, as I showed you yesterday, on behalf of the people who were on the mountain, those RDOs. So he never said he wanted to be in any permanent arrangement. CHAIRPERSON: How do you respond to that one? MR MOKOENA: Chair, if you could refer me to exactly what you believe I was not - so that I can go through it, where exactly was I not informed properly by executives? MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Okay, Mr Kwadi says at page 134, "Okay Joseph, I think it is clear to me what you are saying. You are basically saying that you'll go to the mountain on condition that you get some kind of guarantee that the company will negotiate with AMCU on the demands of the people that are on the mountain." I'm reading from about line 17, 18. Can you see that? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I can see that. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, that is what he was saying. So can it be more clearer than that? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I don't know how you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: And it wasn't only the RDOs but all those involved on the mountain were claiming 12 500. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, whatever. CHAIRPERSON: If my recollection is correct, that really is the basis of Mr Bham's objection. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, no, I understand that, Chairperson. Okay – MR BHAM SC: I'm sorry to interrupt – Chair, I just want to say it wasn't just by the 16th. Mr Nzuza joined the strike by the 11th. Mr Magidiwana was part of the strike at the outset. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's correct. Of course the decision that the witness is being cross- examined about I think was made originally on the 10th but of course persisted up through until the 16th. So your point is that already at an earlier stage that was a demand not limited to RDOs. MR BHAM SC: No, what I'm raising is in the context of cross-examination which goes to a conversation and a perception which post-dated the 11th and by the 11th you know, certainly from Mr Magidiwana and Mr

Г

	Page 38135		Page 38137
1	respond to the point?	1	understanding, I'm arguing that I would have expected Mr
2	MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, I don't –	2	Mathunjwa to have understood out of his experience that
3	whatever you call them, there was no such things as call	3	there is no such a thing as a once-off wage negotiation
4	them the mountain bargaining forum either, correct?	4	forum outside what unions and employers in this country
5	Whoever was on the mountain.	5	know as a bargaining forum. So my understanding of what he
6	MR MOKOENA: No.	6	was saying could only have been a reference to what I have
7	MR MPOFU SC: Ja. Okay, and Mr Kwadi, Mr	7	known, to what he has known by way of practice in this
8	Kwadi further made it very clear that even, even in	8	country, not a once-off that I do not know -
9	relation to the people on the mountain the issue was	9	MR MPOFU SC: Was the strike eventually
10	narrow. So you also didn't have a one issue bargaining	10	resolved in a once-off, once, type of a kind forum which
11	forum as well, correct?	11	involved churches and all sorts of people?
12	MR MOKOENA: I have confirmed that the	12	MR MOKOENA: I said that post this
13	only bargaining forum we had was the collective bargaining	13	tragedy –
14	forum –	14	MR MPOFU SC: Yes?
15	MR MPOFU SC: Yes, so the answer is yes.	15	MR MOKOENA: - we brought in signatories
16	MR MOKOENA: Yes.	16	to the bargaining forum who allowed us to reopen and that
17	MR MPOFU SC: Yes, alright. If you go to	17	allowed us to involve other parties. That's what happened.
18	138 Mr Kwadi says again, in case there's any other	18	MR MPOFU SC: Yes.
19	confusion which there shouldn't be, he says there just to	19	MR MOKOENA: I would not have done that
20	clarify himself about, around paragraph 18, "The issue of	20	unilaterally alone.
21	the people that are on the mountain, there is only one	21	MR MPOFU SC: That's fine but –
22	demand and they have confirmed it, it is 12 500, that is	22	CHAIRPERSON: Before you carry on,
23	it. There was no other demand or grievance that they have	23	there's this point I'd like to -
24	raised. One and one only, okay. Now the company is that $^{\prime\prime}$	24	MR MPOFU SC: Sorry, Chairperson.
25	and so on. So again it made it clear that what was being	25	CHAIRPERSON: - like to put to the
1	Page 38136	1	Page 38138
1	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain	1	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said
2	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a	2	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important
2 3	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted	2 3	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may
2 3 4	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that?	2 3 4	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly.
2 3 4 5	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a	2 3 4 5	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr
2 3 4 5 6	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes	2 3 4 5 6	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi –
2 3 4 5 6 7	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from.	2 3 4 5 6 7	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was wrong?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for each and every employee in Lonmin" –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was wrong? MR MOKOENA: I agree with when you say it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for each and every employee in Lonmin" – MR MOKOENA: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was wrong? MR MOKOENA: I agree with when you say it was one issue, 12 500. I disagree with you when you say it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for each and every employee in Lonmin" – MR MOKOENA: Sure. CHAIRPERSON: - "current mandate." Now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was wrong? MR MOKOENA: I agree with when you say it was one issue, 12 500. I disagree with you when you say it was a once-off forum.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for each and every employee in Lonmin" – MR MOKOENA: Sure. CHAIRPERSON: - "current mandate." Now that, this is just a prima facie point I'm putting to you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was wrong? MR MOKOENA: I agree with when you say it was one issue, 12 500. I disagree with you when you say it was a once-off forum. MR MPOFU SC: So if the issue was R12 500	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for each and every employee in Lonmin" – MR MOKOENA: Sure. CHAIRPERSON: - "current mandate." Now that, this is just a prima facie point I'm putting to you but maybe you and the witness can help me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was wrong? MR MOKOENA: I agree with when you say it was one issue, 12 500. I disagree with you when you say it was a once-off forum. MR MPOFU SC: So if the issue was R12 500 and let's say then you discussed it and decided to give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for each and every employee in Lonmin" – MR MOKOENA: Sure. CHAIRPERSON: - "current mandate." Now that, this is just a prima facie point I'm putting to you but maybe you and the witness can help me. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was wrong? MR MOKOENA: I agree with when you say it was one issue, 12 500. I disagree with you when you say it was a once-off forum. MR MPOFU SC: So if the issue was R12 500 and let's say then you discussed it and decided to give them R12 500 or whatever, how could that issue then ever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for each and every employee in Lonmin" – MR MOKOENA: Sure. CHAIRPERSON: - "current mandate." Now that, this is just a prima facie point I'm putting to you but maybe you and the witness can help me. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: That looks as if Mr Kwadi
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was wrong? MR MOKOENA: I agree with when you say it was one issue, 12 500. I disagree with you when you say it was a once-off forum. MR MPOFU SC: So if the issue was R12 500 and let's say then you discussed it and decided to give them R12 500 or whatever, how could that issue then ever resuscitate ever in life?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for each and every employee in Lonmin" – MR MOKOENA: Sure. CHAIRPERSON: - "current mandate." Now that, this is just a prima facie point I'm putting to you but maybe you and the witness can help me. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: That looks as if Mr Kwadi thought that what was envisaged would be a demand which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was wrong? MR MOKOENA: I agree with when you say it was one issue, 12 500. I disagree with you when you say it was a once-off forum. MR MPOFU SC: So if the issue was R12 500 and let's say then you discussed it and decided to give them R12 500 or whatever, how could that issue then ever resuscitate ever in life? MR MOKOENA: Chair, what I'm trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for each and every employee in Lonmin" – MR MOKOENA: Sure. CHAIRPERSON: - "current mandate." Now that, this is just a prima facie point I'm putting to you but maybe you and the witness can help me. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: That looks as if Mr Kwadi thought that what was envisaged would be a demand which would lead to the payment of that amount for each and every
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was wrong? MR MOKOENA: I agree with when you say it was one issue, 12 500. I disagree with you when you say it was a once-off forum. MR MPOFU SC: So if the issue was R12 500 and let's say then you discussed it and decided to give them R12 500 or whatever, how could that issue then ever resuscitate ever in life? MR MOKOENA: Chair, what I'm trying to explain is my understanding and the understanding of my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for each and every employee in Lonmin" – MR MOKOENA: Sure. CHAIRPERSON: - "current mandate." Now that, this is just a prima facie point I'm putting to you but maybe you and the witness can help me. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: That looks as if Mr Kwadi thought that what was envisaged would be a demand which would lead to the payment of that amount for each and every employee at Lonmin, in other words, not just those who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was wrong? MR MOKOENA: I agree with when you say it was one issue, 12 500. I disagree with you when you say it was a once-off forum. MR MPOFU SC: So if the issue was R12 500 and let's say then you discussed it and decided to give them R12 500 or whatever, how could that issue then ever resuscitate ever in life? MR MOKOENA: Chair, what I'm trying to explain is my understanding and the understanding of my managers and their experience is a forum for wage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for each and every employee in Lonmin" – MR MOKOENA: Sure. CHAIRPERSON: - "current mandate." Now that, this is just a prima facie point I'm putting to you but maybe you and the witness can help me. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: That looks as if Mr Kwadi thought that what was envisaged would be a demand which would lead to the payment of that amount for each and every employee at Lonmin, in other words, not just those who happen to be on the mountain. I don't know if that's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was wrong? MR MOKOENA: I agree with when you say it was one issue, 12 500. I disagree with you when you say it was one issue, 12 500. I disagree with you when you say it was a once-off forum. MR MPOFU SC: So if the issue was R12 500 and let's say then you discussed it and decided to give them R12 500 or whatever, how could that issue then ever resuscitate ever in life? MR MOKOENA: Chair, what I'm trying to explain is my understanding and the understanding of my managers and their experience is a forum for wage negotiations is the one that we have always used for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for each and every employee in Lonmin" – MR MOKOENA: Sure. CHAIRPERSON: - "current mandate." Now that, this is just a prima facie point I'm putting to you but maybe you and the witness can help me. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: That looks as if Mr Kwadi thought that what was envisaged would be a demand which would lead to the payment of that amount for each and every employee at Lonmin, in other words, not just those who happen to be on the mountain. I don't know if that's a good point or a bad point but I'm just bringing it up to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was wrong? MR MOKOENA: I agree with when you say it was one issue, 12 500. I disagree with you when you say it was a once-off forum. MR MPOFU SC: So if the issue was R12 500 and let's say then you discussed it and decided to give them R12 500 or whatever, how could that issue then ever resuscitate ever in life? MR MOKOENA: Chair, what I'm trying to explain is my understanding and the understanding of my managers and their experience is a forum for wage negotiations is the one that we have always used for collective bargaining at Lommin. There is no other once-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for each and every employee in Lonmin" – MR MOKOENA: Sure. CHAIRPERSON: - "current mandate." Now that, this is just a prima facie point I'm putting to you but maybe you and the witness can help me. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: That looks as if Mr Kwadi thought that what was envisaged would be a demand which would lead to the payment of that amount for each and every employee at Lonmin, in other words, not just those who happen to be on the mountain. I don't know if that's a good point or a bad point but I'm just bringing it up to get some light on it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	talked about was the issue of the people on the mountain and one demand and one demand only, R12 500. So it was a one issue, once-off kind of forum that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to participate it. Do you understand that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree that it was a once-off forum. I have no idea where that concept comes from. MR MPOFU SC: So do you think that - you don't think it was one issue even though Mr Kwadi says one issue and one issue only repeatedly, you think he was wrong? MR MOKOENA: I agree with when you say it was one issue, 12 500. I disagree with you when you say it was one issue, 12 500. I disagree with you when you say it was a once-off forum. MR MPOFU SC: So if the issue was R12 500 and let's say then you discussed it and decided to give them R12 500 or whatever, how could that issue then ever resuscitate ever in life? MR MOKOENA: Chair, what I'm trying to explain is my understanding and the understanding of my managers and their experience is a forum for wage negotiations is the one that we have always used for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	witness or perhaps the two of you together. You've said what Mr Mathunjwa wanted. Of course what's also important is the understanding of the Lonmin management. They may have not understood Mr Mathunjwa correctly. MR MPOFU SC: That's why I'm putting Mr Kwadi – CHAIRPERSON: No, I know. What Mr Kwadi says is, he's not a Scotsman he's just Mr Kwadi, what Mr Kwadi says in line 23 on this page is that, "Now, the company position now is that they cannot pay 12 500 for each and every employee in Lonmin" – MR MOKOENA: Sure. CHAIRPERSON: - "current mandate." Now that, this is just a prima facie point I'm putting to you but maybe you and the witness can help me. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: That looks as if Mr Kwadi thought that what was envisaged would be a demand which would lead to the payment of that amount for each and every employee at Lonmin, in other words, not just those who happen to be on the mountain. I don't know if that's a good point or a bad point but I'm just bringing it up to

Page 38139	4 11	Page 38141
1 on this, in any event whatever the common understanding		at had you taken the decision that you took subsequently
2 was, Mr Kwadi seemed to think that it was not a problem and		involve AMCU and others who had offered themselves,
3 he said he was going to come to you to get a mandate. You		fore the tragedy, that might have prevented the tragedy.
4 remember that?		nat's all, it should be a simple thing of either you agree
5 MR MOKOENA: Yes.		th that or you don't.
6 MR MPOFU SC: And he actually said to Mr	6	MR MOKOENA: No, I disagree –
7 Kwadi the last, on page 141 he says, when they say they're	7	MR MPOFU SC: It's fine, fine.
8 going to come to you and so on he says, Mr Kwadi says, "You	8	MR MOKOENA: You're proposing that the
9 give me a few minutes. I will see if I can get you the		ccess of this depended on AMCU on board, that's what I'm
10 answer that is required." Those few minutes meant he was		terpreting and Chair, I also want to say that leadership
11 coming to you to give the mandate, correct?		also about respect for framework and governance. So
12 MR MOKOENA: Yes.		though I accept that, yes, leadership needs to be an
13MR MPOFU SC:Which you refused.		traordinary thing but at that time leadership also was
14 MR MOKOENA: Yes.		pected to respect frameworks –
15 MR MPOFU SC: Ja. And, well, I'm going	15	MR MPOFU SC: Technicalities.
16 to argue that that refusal, that refusal of – or just	16	MR MOKOENA: - that were in place, yes.
17 before we do that, when you did have the special forum that	17	MR MPOFU SC: The technicalities, ja,
18 was convened later, AMCU was indeed invited to be part of		ay.
19 it, correct?	19	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just put a
20 MR MOKOENA: Yes.		estion because I think it's really an elaboration of Mr
21 MR MPOFU SC: Ja. So I'm going to say		pofu's point.
22 that your initial refusal to bring AMCU in or to have this		8:52] I would just like to get your reaction to it. I
23 kind of special forum, which you subsequently did and the		ink this is what Mr Mpofu is suggesting but not putting
24 sky did not fall, also was a lost opportunity of or rather		clearly as perhaps is necessary for you to understand
another bad decision that you made which might have	25 th	e point. If you had said when you received this request
Page 38140		Page 38142
1 prevented the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue.		a Mr Kwadi from Mr Mathunjwa, if you'd said we can't do
2 CHAIRPERSON: What's your comment to		at without engaging the other parties to the wage
3 that, Mr Mokoena?		egotiation framework, I'm not saying no to you but I've
4 MR MOKOENA: Well, I have argued to the		stly got to speak to them, and you'd explained that to
5 contrary and I said that I needed the consent of the other		athunjwa who as you say is a seasoned unionist, and you
6 signatories and this is what happened afterwards.		en contacted him and said look, we've got a crisis here -
7 Secondly, that I think it was obvious to everybody that the		nd the other unions too I take it who were in the wage
8 relationship between AMCU and NUM during those days did not		egotiation forum – we've got a crisis here; this is what's
9 suggest to me that the two parties would have wanted to sit		een put to us, what is your reaction, do you agree. Now I
10 around the table. I presented that to the Commission		now you say that you think they'd have, by implication you
11 before.		y you think they would have said no, but the point really
12 MR MPOFU SC: Ja.		perhaps should you not at least have tried it to see
13         MR MOKOENA:         So I, the conditions created		hether you couldn't persuade the people who ultimately
14 after the tragedy of the 16th, the situation had changed, I	-	preed to take part in the forum that was held in the
15 had consented with the other signatories, they came on		ustenburg Civic Centre, shouldn't you have tried to do
16 board and that's how the matter was resolved. What I'm		at before the shootings on the 16th? And if you had done
17 emphasising, Chair, is I needed to get their consent, they		at, is it not possible the result might be different? I
18 were willing, they came to the table and our experience at		ink that's Mr Mpofu's point, but if I'm misunderstanding
19 the Rustenburg Civic Centre was not an easy ride to arrive	19 hi	m he will correct me.
20 at that solution.	20	MR MPOFU SC: That's correct,
21 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, Mr Mokoena, I don't	21 Cł	nairperson.
22 think we understand each other. What I'm saying to you and	22	CHAIRPERSON: So how do you react to
23 what I said to you yesterday is that leadership is about	23 th	at? You understand it is his point, but viewed from a
24 foreseeing situations and preventing catastrophes. It's no	24 sli	ghtly different angle.
25 use being wise after the fact. All I'm saying to you is	25	MR MOKOENA: Ja, two things, Chair; it
ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE		

1			
1	Page 38143 sounds like Joseph's reference to technicalities is exactly	1	Page 38145 Zokwana and Mathunjwa. You knew that they had rejected NUM
2	about us explaining to him what we would, at that time	2	and they had accepted AMCU. Just by their reaction it was
3	should happen. So this reference to technicalities was us	3	clear on the 15th that – I'm cutting a long story short –
4	explaining to him that actually we have binding contracts,	4	that they were rejecting NUM and they were accepting AMCU.
5	we need the consent of other unions, we cannot reopen	5	MR MOKOENA: Again let me refer you to
6	negotiations without their consent, particularly as this is	6	naked facts, that at the time AMCU had about 4 000 members
7	an unprotected strike. So actually this reference to	7	at Lonmin in a population of 22 000. So statistically if
8	technicalities is about us explaining to Mr Mathunjwa.	8	you say to me 4 000 rejected NUM out of 22 000, it's still
9	Secondly, Chair, the Lonmin EXCO had already made	9	for me not convincing.
10	it clear that the 12 500 was an affordable issue, whether	10	MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, please man,
11	the strike was protected or unprotected. So that mandate	11	forget about statistics –
12	was not, was very clear that the 12 500 was essentially not	12	MR BHAM SC: Sorry, Mr Chairman –
13	affordable, particularly, Chair, that three months down the	13	MR MPOFU SC: I'm saying to you did you
14	line Lonmin went to the market to raise US\$800 million for	14	know or did you not know that on the Wednesday evening when
15	funding. So whether the strike was protected or	15	Mr Zokwana came there the reaction –
16	unprotected, clarity was 12 500 was actually not	16	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, sorry –
17	affordable. So there was no reason for me to give a	17	MR MPOFU SC: Can I just finish?
18	different outcome when that mandate had been made very	18	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bham wants to raise an
19	clear.	19	objection –
20	MR MPOFU SC: But that didn't stop you	20	MR MPOFU SC: Well, can I finish my –
21	from talking to them after the tragedy, and even though you	21	CHAIRPERSON: No, I think –
22	didn't give them the 12 500 they went back to work. Isn't	22	MR BHAM SC: [Microphone off, inaudible]
23	that really what happened?	23	CHAIRPERSON: Okay, let him – as soon as
24	MR MOKOENA: Yes, they went back to work,	24	you've finished the proposition Mr Bham will speak.
25	yes.	25	MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, I'm not asking
1	Page 38144 MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. Alright, now	1	Page 38146 you about statistics, okay. I'm saying did you know or did
2	you see the point I'm going to argue, in fairness to you,	2	you not know that on the Wednesday evening the reaction
3	is that if anyone placed in your – I'm going to argue that	~	you not know that on the weakesday evening the reaction
		3	
4	you were guilty of poor decision making, negligence and	3 4	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to
4 5	you were guilty of poor decision making, negligence and dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I	3 4 5	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you?
5	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I	4 5	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana,
5 6	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole	4	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected –
5 6 7	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a	4 5 6	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.
5 6	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will	4 5 6 7	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected –
5 6 7 8	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this	4 5 6 7 8	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at
5 6 7 8 9	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will	4 5 7 8 9	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie.
5 6 7 8 9 10	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this situation. That's the issue, but you, instead of saying I	4 5 7 8 9 10	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this situation. That's the issue, but you, instead of saying I will embrace the devil himself to resolve the situation and	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this situation. That's the issue, but you, instead of saying I will embrace the devil himself to resolve the situation and stop the killings, you're worried about all these nice	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Now what I'm saying is there was another 19, 18 000 who were not at the koppie who were
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this situation. That's the issue, but you, instead of saying I will embrace the devil himself to resolve the situation and stop the killings, you're worried about all these nice things you're talking about, precedents, technicalities,	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Now what I'm saying is there was another 19, 18 000 who were not at the koppie who were NUM members, who did not reject Mr Zokwana. So my decision
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this situation. That's the issue, but you, instead of saying I will embrace the devil himself to resolve the situation and stop the killings, you're worried about all these nice things you're talking about, precedents, technicalities, this and that, and all I'm saying is that those things	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Now what I'm saying is there was another 19, 18 000 who were not at the koppie who were NUM members, who did not reject Mr Zokwana. So my decision making would not have been strictly limited to this is what
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this situation. That's the issue, but you, instead of saying I will embrace the devil himself to resolve the situation and stop the killings, you're worried about all these nice things you're talking about, precedents, technicalities, this and that, and all I'm saying is that those things could never, never have been more important than saving	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Now what I'm saying is there was another 19, 18 000 who were not at the koppie who were NUM members, who did not reject Mr Zokwana. So my decision making would not have been strictly limited to this is what happened at the koppie and therefore that's the rest of the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this situation. That's the issue, but you, instead of saying I will embrace the devil himself to resolve the situation and stop the killings, you're worried about all these nice things you're talking about, precedents, technicalities, this and that, and all I'm saying is that those things could never, never have been more important than saving lives. Do you understand that? Do you even understand	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Now what I'm saying is there was another 19, 18 000 who were not at the koppie who were NUM members, who did not reject Mr Zokwana. So my decision making would not have been strictly limited to this is what happened at the koppie and therefore that's the rest of the organisation. I was sensitive that there were many other
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this situation. That's the issue, but you, instead of saying I will embrace the devil himself to resolve the situation and stop the killings, you're worried about all these nice things you're talking about, precedents, technicalities, this and that, and all I'm saying is that those things could never, never have been more important than saving lives. Do you understand that? Do you even understand what I'm saying?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Now what I'm saying is there was another 19, 18 000 who were not at the koppie who were NUM members, who did not reject Mr Zokwana. So my decision making would not have been strictly limited to this is what happened at the koppie and therefore that's the rest of the organisation. I was sensitive that there were many other workers who were still NUM members, who were still the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this situation. That's the issue, but you, instead of saying I will embrace the devil himself to resolve the situation and stop the killings, you're worried about all these nice things you're talking about, precedents, technicalities, this and that, and all I'm saying is that those things could never, never have been more important than saving lives. Do you understand that? Do you even understand what I'm saying? MR MOKOENA: I understand you with clarity and I disagree with the – MR MPOFU SC: With the proposition, okay.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Now what I'm saying is there was another 19, 18 000 who were not at the koppie who were NUM members, who did not reject Mr Zokwana. So my decision making would not have been strictly limited to this is what happened at the koppie and therefore that's the rest of the organisation. I was sensitive that there were many other workers who were still NUM members, who were still the majority, and I could not have ignored that fact.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this situation. That's the issue, but you, instead of saying I will embrace the devil himself to resolve the situation and stop the killings, you're worried about all these nice things you're talking about, precedents, technicalities, this and that, and all I'm saying is that those things could never, never have been more important than saving lives. Do you understand that? Do you even understand what I'm saying? MR MOKOENA: I understand you with clarity and I disagree with the – MR MPOFU SC: With the proposition, okay. MR MOKOENA: - your proposition, yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Now what I'm saying is there was another 19, 18 000 who were not at the koppie who were NUM members, who did not reject Mr Zokwana. So my decision making would not have been strictly limited to this is what happened at the koppie and therefore that's the rest of the organisation. I was sensitive that there were many other workers who were still NUM members, who were still the majority, and I could not have ignored that fact. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, please –
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this situation. That's the issue, but you, instead of saying I will embrace the devil himself to resolve the situation and stop the killings, you're worried about all these nice things you're talking about, precedents, technicalities, this and that, and all I'm saying is that those things could never, never have been more important than saving lives. Do you understand that? Do you even understand what I'm saying? MR MOKOENA: I understand you with clarity and I disagree with the – MR MPOFU SC: With the proposition, okay.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Now what I'm saying is there was another 19, 18 000 who were not at the koppie who were NUM members, who did not reject Mr Zokwana. So my decision making would not have been strictly limited to this is what happened at the koppie and therefore that's the rest of the organisation. I was sensitive that there were many other workers who were still NUM members, who were still the majority, and I could not have ignored that fact. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, please – MR BHAM SC: Sorry –
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this situation. That's the issue, but you, instead of saying I will embrace the devil himself to resolve the situation and stop the killings, you're worried about all these nice things you're talking about, precedents, technicalities, this and that, and all I'm saying is that those things could never, never have been more important than saving lives. Do you understand that? Do you even understand what I'm saying? MR MOKOENA: I understand you with clarity and I disagree with the – MR MPOFU SC: With the proposition, okay. MR MOKOENA: - your proposition, yes. MR MPOFU SC: Alright. You knew, it was clear to you because of what had happened on the 15th, that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Now what I'm saying is there was another 19, 18 000 who were not at the koppie who were NUM members, who did not reject Mr Zokwana. So my decision making would not have been strictly limited to this is what happened at the koppie and therefore that's the rest of the organisation. I was sensitive that there were many other workers who were still NUM members, who were still the majority, and I could not have ignored that fact. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, please – MR BHAM SC: Sorry – MR MPOFU SC: - do me a favour; answer the question. CHAIRPERSON: No, sorry –
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this situation. That's the issue, but you, instead of saying I will embrace the devil himself to resolve the situation and stop the killings, you're worried about all these nice things you're talking about, precedents, technicalities, this and that, and all I'm saying is that those things could never, never have been more important than saving lives. Do you understand that? Do you even understand what I'm saying? MR MOKOENA: I understand you with clarity and I disagree with the – MR MPOFU SC: With the proposition, okay. MR MOKOENA: - your proposition, yes. MR MPOFU SC: Alright. You knew, it was clear to you because of what had happened on the 15th, that the workers, you knew that the workers rejected NUM in	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Now what I'm saying is there was another 19, 18 000 who were not at the koppie who were NUM members, who did not reject Mr Zokwana. So my decision making would not have been strictly limited to this is what happened at the koppie and therefore that's the rest of the organisation. I was sensitive that there were many other workers who were still NUM members, who were still the majority, and I could not have ignored that fact. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, please – MR BHAM SC: Sorry – MR MPOFU SC: - do me a favour; answer the question. CHAIRPERSON: No, sorry – MR BHAM SC: May I now make my point?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	dereliction of your duties as a leader, and the point I simply want to make just to round off this whole discussion, is that anybody in your position faced with a situation where 10 people have died would have said I will embrace the devil himself if he is going to resolve this situation. That's the issue, but you, instead of saying I will embrace the devil himself to resolve the situation and stop the killings, you're worried about all these nice things you're talking about, precedents, technicalities, this and that, and all I'm saying is that those things could never, never have been more important than saving lives. Do you understand that? Do you even understand what I'm saying? MR MOKOENA: I understand you with clarity and I disagree with the – MR MPOFU SC: With the proposition, okay. MR MOKOENA: - your proposition, yes. MR MPOFU SC: Alright. You knew, it was clear to you because of what had happened on the 15th, that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	broadly speaking to Mr Zokwana was one of rejection and to Mr Mathunjwa was one of acceptance? Did you? MR MOKOENA: I accept that Mr Zokwana, yes, was rejected – MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: - by 3 000 plus people at the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Now what I'm saying is there was another 19, 18 000 who were not at the koppie who were NUM members, who did not reject Mr Zokwana. So my decision making would not have been strictly limited to this is what happened at the koppie and therefore that's the rest of the organisation. I was sensitive that there were many other workers who were still NUM members, who were still the majority, and I could not have ignored that fact. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, please – MR BHAM SC: Sorry – MR MPOFU SC: - do me a favour; answer the question. CHAIRPERSON: No, sorry –

	Page 38147		Page 38149
1	he wanted to object –	1	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, I think you
2	MR MPOFU SC: [Microphone off,	2	must restrain yourself from making comments like that. But
3	inaudible] –	3	Mr Bham, what he's doing -
4	CHAIRPERSON: I think you must give him	4	MR MPOFU SC: Well, I was saying –
5	an opportunity.	5	CHAIRPERSON: - what he's doing is he's
6	MR MPOFU SC: Okay.	6	in effect acknowledging that your objection is well taken
7	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Bham.	7	because he's rephrasing the question. I want to see, he
8	MR BHAM SC: I didn't want to interrupt	8	did rephrase it; I didn't quite catch it – my fault, I
9	Mr Mpofu previously. I just want to make this point and	9	accept – but I want to make sure the new question indeed
10	again I think it's important that as we're going along the	10	successfully avoids your objection.
11	evidence before this Commission is not overlooked. As I	11	MR MPOFU SC: No, it's fine, I'll move to
12	understand the evidence from the strikers in relation to	12	something else.
13	what they sought at that point in time, they wanted no	13	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I think
14	union involvement. To that extent it seems to me that a	14	you were making, if I may say so, what sounded to me like a
15	proposition that those on the koppie had accepted AMCU is	15	point –
16	an incorrect proposition, having regard to the evidence	16	MR MPOFU SC: Ja, Chairperson, I can't
17	we've heard from those who were on the koppie.	17	spend 10 minutes on one question –
18	MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, I'm afraid	18	CHAIRPERSON: - a point which has some
19	this is an almighty waste of my time. I'm asking a simple	19	validity –
20	question; did you know that in terms of the reception that	20	MR MPOFU SC: - when I only have –
21	the two leaders received Mr Mathunjwa received a better	21	CHAIRPERSON: The point that Mr Mpofu is
22	reception than Mr Zokwana?	22	making to you is whether or not AMCU enjoyed majority
23	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, Mr Mpofu, please	23	support at Lonmin versus NUM, isn't really the point of his
24 25	do me a favour; we've got an objection raised. You can't	24 25	question. The point of his question is that even if the
25	just brush it aside –	25	majority people on the mountain didn't support AMCU, what
	Page 38148		Page 38150
1	MR MPOFU SC: I've rephrased the	1	was clear was that the people on the mountain were prepared
2	question.	2	to listen to Mr Mathunjwa, but they weren't prepared to
3	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, sorry. Rephrase the	3	listen to Mr Zokwana. I take it you've got to accept that
4	question.	4	is correct.
5	MR MPOFU SC: I have.	5	MR MOKOENA: I have said that already I
5 6	MR MPOFU SC: I have. CHAIRPERSON: I think I know where you're	5 6	MR MOKOENA: I have said that already I accept it, Chair.
5 6 7	MR MPOFU SC: I have. CHAIRPERSON: I think I know where you're going. Repeat the question again so that we can be	5 6 7	MR MOKOENA: I have said that already I accept it, Chair. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. Alright, and
5 6 7 8	MR MPOFU SC: I have. CHAIRPERSON: I think I know where you're going. Repeat the question again so that we can be satisfied that the question successfully avoids the	5 6 7 8	MR MOKOENA: I have said that already I accept it, Chair. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. Alright, and therefore a reasonable leader in your position would have
5 6 7 8 9	MR MPOFU SC: I have. CHAIRPERSON: I think I know where you're going. Repeat the question again so that we can be satisfied that the question successfully avoids the objection. Rephrase the question. I know you wanted –	5 6 7 8 9	MR MOKOENA:I have said that already Iaccept it, Chair.I hank you. Alright, andMR MPOFU SC:Thank you. Alright, andtherefore a reasonable leader in your position would havesimply asked himself the question, given that Mathunjwa got
5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MPOFU SC: I have. CHAIRPERSON: I think I know where you're going. Repeat the question again so that we can be satisfied that the question successfully avoids the objection. Rephrase the question. I know you wanted – MR MPOFU SC: But I've just, that's	5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MOKOENA: I have said that already I accept it, Chair. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. Alright, and therefore a reasonable leader in your position would have simply asked himself the question, given that Mathunjwa got this reception, does that place him in a position where he
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MPOFU SC: I have. CHAIRPERSON: I think I know where you're going. Repeat the question again so that we can be satisfied that the question successfully avoids the objection. Rephrase the question. I know you wanted – MR MPOFU SC: But I've just, that's exactly what I've just done.	5 6 7 8 9	MR MOKOENA:I have said that already Iaccept it, Chair.I hank you. Alright, andMR MPOFU SC:Thank you. Alright, andtherefore a reasonable leader in your position would havesimply asked himself the question, given that Mathunjwa gotthis reception, does that place him in a position where hecan assist us in resolving the crisis. Would you agree?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MPOFU SC: I have. CHAIRPERSON: I think I know where you're going. Repeat the question again so that we can be satisfied that the question successfully avoids the objection. Rephrase the question. I know you wanted – MR MPOFU SC: But I've just, that's exactly what I've just done. CHAIRPERSON: Be kind to me and repeat it	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MOKOENA: I have said that already I accept it, Chair. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. Alright, and therefore a reasonable leader in your position would have simply asked himself the question, given that Mathunjwa got this reception, does that place him in a position where he can assist us in resolving the crisis. Would you agree? Whether all these technicalities, 19 000, this and the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MPOFU SC: I have. CHAIRPERSON: I think I know where you're going. Repeat the question again so that we can be satisfied that the question successfully avoids the objection. Rephrase the question. I know you wanted – MR MPOFU SC: But I've just, that's exactly what I've just done.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MOKOENA:I have said that already Iaccept it, Chair.MR MPOFU SC:MR MPOFU SC:Thank you. Alright, andtherefore a reasonable leader in your position would havesimply asked himself the question, given that Mathunjwa gotthis reception, does that place him in a position where hecan assist us in resolving the crisis. Would you agree?Whether all these technicalities, 19 000, this and theother, a reasonable leader in your position would have said
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MPOFU SC: I have. CHAIRPERSON: I think I know where you're going. Repeat the question again so that we can be satisfied that the question successfully avoids the objection. Rephrase the question. I know you wanted – MR MPOFU SC: But I've just, that's exactly what I've just done. CHAIRPERSON: Be kind to me and repeat it for me.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MOKOENA: I have said that already I accept it, Chair. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. Alright, and therefore a reasonable leader in your position would have simply asked himself the question, given that Mathunjwa got this reception, does that place him in a position where he can assist us in resolving the crisis. Would you agree? Whether all these technicalities, 19 000, this and the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MPOFU SC: I have. CHAIRPERSON: I think I know where you're going. Repeat the question again so that we can be satisfied that the question successfully avoids the objection. Rephrase the question. I know you wanted – MR MPOFU SC: But I've just, that's exactly what I've just done. CHAIRPERSON: Be kind to me and repeat it for me. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena –	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MOKOENA:I have said that already Iaccept it, Chair.MR MPOFU SC:Thank you. Alright, andhterefore a reasonable leader in your position would havesimply asked himself the question, given that Mathunjwa gotthis reception, does that place him in a position where hecan assist us in resolving the crisis. Would you agree?Whether all these technicalities, 19 000, this and theother, a reasonable leader in your position would have saidgiven the reception that he received, which is good and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MPOFU SC: I have. CHAIRPERSON: I think I know where you're going. Repeat the question again so that we can be satisfied that the question successfully avoids the objection. Rephrase the question. I know you wanted – MR MPOFU SC: But I've just, that's exactly what I've just done. CHAIRPERSON: Be kind to me and repeat it for me. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena – MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairman, I just –	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } MR MOKOENA: I have said that already I accept it, Chair. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MPOFU SC: I have. CHAIRPERSON: I think I know where you're going. Repeat the question again so that we can be satisfied that the question successfully avoids the objection. Rephrase the question. I know you wanted – MR MPOFU SC: But I've just, that's exactly what I've just done. CHAIRPERSON: Be kind to me and repeat it for me. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena – MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairman, I just – MR MPOFU SC: Do you know that –	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } MR MOKOENA: I have said that already I accept it, Chair. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MPOFU SC:I have.CHAIRPERSON:I think I know where you'regoing.Repeat the question again so that we can besatisfied that the question successfully avoids theobjection.Rephrase the question. I know you wanted -MR MPOFU SC:But I've just, that'sexactly what I've just done.CHAIRPERSON:Be kind to me and repeat itfor me.MR MPOFU SC:Mr Mokoena -MR MPOFU SC:Mr Chairman, I just -MR MPOFU SC:Do you know that -MR MPOFU SC:I just want to raise	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MOKOENA: I have said that already I accept it, Chair. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. Alright, and therefore a reasonable leader in your position would have simply asked himself the question, given that Mathunjwa got this reception, does that place him in a position where he can assist us in resolving the crisis. Would you agree? Whether all these technicalities, 19 000, this and the other, a reasonable leader in your position would have said given the reception that he received, which is good and better than – is he capable of helping us to solve the carnage of death and the crisis that is going on. Would you agree with that?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MPOFU SC:I have.CHAIRPERSON:I think I know where you'regoing.Repeat the question again so that we can besatisfied that the question successfully avoids theobjection.Rephrase the question. I know you wanted -MR MPOFU SC:But I've just, that'sexactly what I've just done.CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:Be kind to me and repeat itfor me.MR MPOFU SC:MR MPOFU SC:Mr Mokoena -MR BHAM SC:Do you know that -MR BHAM SC:I just want to raisesomething -	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MOKOENA:I have said that already Iaccept it, Chair.MR MPOFU SC:Thank you. Alright, andMR MPOFU SC:Thank you. Alright, andtherefore a reasonable leader in your position would havesimply asked himself the question, given that Mathunjwa gotthis reception, does that place him in a position where hecan assist us in resolving the crisis. Would you agree?Whether all these technicalities, 19 000, this and theother, a reasonable leader in your position would have saidgiven the reception that he received, which is good andbetter than – is he capable of helping us to solve thecarnage of death and the crisis that is going on. Wouldyou agree with that?MR MOKOENA:I agree, ja.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MPOFU SC:I have.CHAIRPERSON:I think I know where you'regoing.Repeat the question again so that we can besatisfied that the question uccessfully avoids theobjection.Rephrase the question. I know you wanted -MR MPOFU SC:But I've just, that'sexactly what I've just done.CHAIRPERSON:Be kind to me and repeat itfor me.MR MPOFU SC:Mr Mokoena -MR BHAM SC:Mr Chairman, I just -MR MPOFU SC:Do you know that -MR BHAM SC:I just want to raisesomething -MR MPOFU SC:MR MPOFU SC:Oh, come on.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MOKOENA:I have said that already Iaccept it, Chair.MR MPOFU SC:Thank you. Alright, andMR MPOFU SC:Thank you. Alright, andtherefore a reasonable leader in your position would havesimply asked himself the question, given that Mathunjwa gotthis reception, does that place him in a position where hecan assist us in resolving the crisis.Whether all these technicalities, 19 000, this and theother, a reasonable leader in your position would have saidgiven the reception that he received, which is good andbetter than – is he capable of helping us to solve thecarnage of death and the crisis that is going on.you agree with that?MR MOKOENA:I agree, ja.MR MPOFU SC:Thank you, and you didn't
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MPOFU SC: I have. CHAIRPERSON: I think I know where you're going. Repeat the question again so that we can be satisfied that the question successfully avoids the objection. Rephrase the question. I know you wanted – MR MPOFU SC: But I've just, that's exactly what I've just done. CHAIRPERSON: Be kind to me and repeat it for me. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena – MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairman, I just – MR MPOFU SC: Do you know that – MR BHAM SC: I just want to raise something – MR MPOFU SC: Oh, come on. MR BHAM SC: - because – MR MPOFU SC: Really, man. MR MPOFU SC: Really, man. MR BHAM SC: It's suggested what I've	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MOKOENA:I have said that already Iaccept it, Chair.Thank you. Alright, andMR MPOFU SC:Thank you. Alright, andtherefore a reasonable leader in your position would havesimply asked himself the question, given that Mathunjwa gotthis reception, does that place him in a position where hecan assist us in resolving the crisis. Would you agree?Whether all these technicalities, 19 000, this and theother, a reasonable leader in your position would have saidgiven the reception that he received, which is good andbetter than – is he capable of helping us to solve thecarnage of death and the crisis that is going on. Wouldyou agree with that?MR MOKOENA:MR MPOFU SC:MR MPOFU SC:Thank you, and you didn'tdo that. Would you agree?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MPOFU SC:I have.CHAIRPERSON:I think I know where you'regoing. Repeat the question again so that we can besatisfied that the question successfully avoids theobjection. Rephrase the question. I know you wanted –MR MPOFU SC:But I've just, that'sexactly what I've just done.CHAIRPERSON:Be kind to me and repeat itfor me.MR MPOFU SC:Mr Mokoena –MR BHAM SC:Mr Chairman, I just –MR BHAM SC:I just want to raisesomething –MR MPOFU SC:Oh, come on.MR BHAM SC:- because –MR MPOFU SC:Really, man.MR BHAM SC:It's suggested what I'vedone, and I'm raising a legitimate issue based on evidence	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MOKOENA: I have said that already I accept it, Chair. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. Alright, and therefore a reasonable leader in your position would have simply asked himself the question, given that Mathunjwa got this reception, does that place him in a position where he can assist us in resolving the crisis. Would you agree? Whether all these technicalities, 19 000, this and the other, a reasonable leader in your position would have said given the reception that he received, which is good and better than – is he capable of helping us to solve the carnage of death and the crisis that is going on. Would you agree with that? MR MOKOENA: I agree, ja. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, and you didn't do that. Would you agree? Then you rejected his offer of assistance. MR MOKOENA: As I said, I did not stop Mr Mathunjwa on doing anythive.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU SC:I have.CHAIRPERSON:I think I know where you'regoing.Repeat the question again so that we can besatisfied that the question successfully avoids theobjection.Rephrase the question. I know you wanted –MR MPOFU SC:But I've just, that'sexactly what I've just done.CHAIRPERSON:Be kind to me and repeat itfor me.MR MPOFU SC:MR MPOFU SC:Mr Mokoena –MR BHAM SC:I just vant to raisesomething –MR MPOFU SC:MR MPOFU SC:Oh, come on.MR BHAM SC:- because –MR MPOFU SC:Really, man.MR BHAM SC:It's suggested what I'vedone, and I'm raising a legitimate issue based on evidencebefore you.To categorise it as a waste of time is just	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MOKOENA:I have said that already Iaccept it, Chair.Thank you. Alright, andMR MPOFU SC:Thank you. Alright, andtherefore a reasonable leader in your position would havesimply asked himself the question, given that Mathunjwa gotthis reception, does that place him in a position where hecan assist us in resolving the crisis. Would you agree?Whether all these technicalities, 19 000, this and theother, a reasonable leader in your position would have saidgiven the reception that he received, which is good andbetter than – is he capable of helping us to solve thecarnage of death and the crisis that is going on. Wouldyou agree with that?MR MOKOENA:I agree, ja.MR MPOFU SC:Thank you, and you didn'tdo that. Would you agree?Or rather you rejected hisoffer of assistance.MR MOKOENA:MR MOKOENA:As I said, I did not stop MrMathunjwa on doing anythir:Anyway, another window of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR MPOFU SC:I have.CHAIRPERSON:I think I know where you'regoing. Repeat the question again so that we can besatisfied that the question successfully avoids theobjection. Rephrase the question. I know you wanted –MR MPOFU SC:But I've just, that'sexactly what I've just done.CHAIRPERSON:Be kind to me and repeat itfor me.MR MPOFU SC:Mr Mokoena –MR BHAM SC:Mr Chairman, I just –MR BHAM SC:I just want to raisesomething –MR MPOFU SC:Oh, come on.MR BHAM SC:- because –MR MPOFU SC:Really, man.MR BHAM SC:It's suggested what I'vedone, and I'm raising a legitimate issue based on evidence	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MOKOENA:I have said that already Iaccept it, Chair.Thank you. Alright, andMR MPOFU SC:Thank you. Alright, andtherefore a reasonable leader in your position would havesimply asked himself the question, given that Mathunjwa gotthis reception, does that place him in a position where hecan assist us in resolving the crisis. Would you agree?Whether all these technicalities, 19 000, this and theother, a reasonable leader in your position would have saidgiven the reception that he received, which is good andbetter than – is he capable of helping us to solve thecarnage of death and the crisis that is going on. Wouldyou agree with that?MR MOKOENA:MR MPOFU SC:MR MPOFU SC:MR MOKOENA:MR MOKOENA:<

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

	Page 38151		Page 38153
1	previously called God-given kind of literally, was the	1	do that then?
2	arrival of Bishop Seoka who also went there and you knew at	2	MR MOKOENA: Chair, I at no point
3	least by the time you spoke to him that he had been to the	3	referred to the strikers as criminals. I refer to the
4	koppie, correct?	4	incidents of killings that those were acts of criminal
5	MR MOKOENA: Yes.	5	behaviour. At no stage did I say strikers are criminals,
6	MR MPOFU SC: And you knew that he was	6	and the fact that I mentioned that before does not actually
7	not harmed when he went to the koppie, correct? Because	7	say that I said the same thing to the Bishop. So it is
8	you were talking to him.	8	incorrect. I did not use those words when talking to the
9	MR MOKOENA: Yes.	9	Bishop.
10	MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Now I'm going to	10	MR MPOFU SC: Right.
11	argue again – again I'm cutting a long story short – that	11	MR MOKOENA: Sir, Chair, there is also in
12	here you are with a religious leader who has been to the	12	my statement where there's reference that the Bishop made a
13	mountain, who was not harmed there, who comes back and	13	proposal about madodas. That is also incorrect and the
14	offers you another God-given opportunity, and you spurned	14	issue of madoda was introduced the following week when the
15	that one too. I'm also going to argue that a reasonable	15	Bishop came to see me. At that stage there was absolutely
16	person in your position should have grabbed at that	16	no mention of madoda coming to see me, so –
17	opportunity and you failed to do so and to that extent you	17	MR MPOFU SC: Ja well, that's even under
18	were negligent.	18	- that's another failure on your part, Mr Mokoena. If you
19	MR MOKOENA: I disagree with that.	19	were the leader that you should by you - forget about the
20	MR MPOFU SC: Okay.	20	Bishop – should have said, 'Bishop, I'm scared of going to
21	CHAIRPERSON: Would you like to motivate	21	the mountain but if you can bring me a delegation of
22	your disagreement?	22	madodas,' or whatever you call it, 'and we'll go to LPD,
23	MR MOKOENA: Yes, I, the short time - I	23	then we will deal with the issue.' That's what a
24	met the Bishop outside Middelkraal, outside the JOC and he	24	reasonable leader in your position should have done.
25	had phoned earlier looking for me and when I met the Bishop	25	MR MOKOENA: I have explained the reason
	Page 38152		Page 38154
1	we were standing and he spoke to me that he had been to the	1	before that we had already spoken to the workers, their
2	koppie and I briefly explained to him the situation and	2	delegates from Mr Da Costa and the message would have been
3	that "Bishop, we've just come out of a meeting where the	~	
		3	exactly the same. So I'm trying to correct the perception
4	police had publicly said the area is declared a police	4	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and
5	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the	4 5	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect.
5 6	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and	4 5 6	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do.
5 6 7	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the	4 5 6 7	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that
5 6 7 8	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the	4 5 6 7 8	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa
5 6 7 8 9	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a	4 5 6 7	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an
5 6 7 8 9 10	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures?
5 6 7 8 9	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial Commissioner who then can explain to you the situation."	4 5 6 7 8 9	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: We have presented before the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial Commissioner who then can explain to you the situation." That's what I did and for me I thought that was the best	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial Commissioner who then can explain to you the situation."	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: We have presented before the Commission that yes, the workers were at liberty to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial Commissioner who then can explain to you the situation." That's what I did and for me I thought that was the best thing to do. In my conversation with the Bishop I also	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: We have presented before the Commission that yes, the workers were at liberty to approach line at any time about issues at Lonmin and that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial Commissioner who then can explain to you the situation." That's what I did and for me I thought that was the best thing to do. In my conversation with the Bishop I also emphasised to the Bishop the kind of complexity we were	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: We have presented before the Commission that yes, the workers were at liberty to approach line at any time about issues at Lonmin and that we do encourage that, and that has never been perceived as
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial Commissioner who then can explain to you the situation." That's what I did and for me I thought that was the best thing to do. In my conversation with the Bishop I also emphasised to the Bishop the kind of complexity we were involved, as I said, "Bishop, this is an unprotected strike	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: We have presented before the Commission that yes, the workers were at liberty to approach line at any time about issues at Lonmin and that we do encourage that, and that has never been perceived as wage negotiations.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial Commissioner who then can explain to you the situation." That's what I did and for me I thought that was the best thing to do. In my conversation with the Bishop I also emphasised to the Bishop the kind of complexity we were involved, as I said, "Bishop, this is an unprotected strike and this is how we understand it to be," and then I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: We have presented before the Commission that yes, the workers were at liberty to approach line at any time about issues at Lonmin and that we do encourage that, and that has never been perceived as wage negotiations. MR MPOFU SC: Do you agree that the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial Commissioner who then can explain to you the situation." That's what I did and for me I thought that was the best thing to do. In my conversation with the Bishop I also emphasised to the Bishop the kind of complexity we were involved, as I said, "Bishop, this is an unprotected strike and this is how we understand it to be," and then I proceeded to introduce the Bishop to the commissioner.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: We have presented before the Commission that yes, the workers were at liberty to approach line at any time about issues at Lonmin and that we do encourage that, and that has never been perceived as wage negotiations. MR MPOFU SC: Do you agree that the discussions with Mr Da Costa about wages was an engagement
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial Commissioner who then can explain to you the situation." That's what I did and for me I thought that was the best thing to do. In my conversation with the Bishop I also emphasised to the Bishop the kind of complexity we were involved, as I said, "Bishop, this is an unprotected strike and this is how we understand it to be," and then I proceeded to introduce the Bishop to the commissioner. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, well the Bishop's	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: We have presented before the Commission that yes, the workers were at liberty to approach line at any time about issues at Lonmin and that we do encourage that, and that has never been perceived as wage negotiations. MR MPOFU SC: Do you agree that the discussions with Mr Da Costa about wages was an engagement with the workers outside the structures?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial Commissioner who then can explain to you the situation." That's what I did and for me I thought that was the best thing to do. In my conversation with the Bishop I also emphasised to the Bishop the kind of complexity we were involved, as I said, "Bishop, this is an unprotected strike and this is how we understand it to be," and then I proceeded to introduce the Bishop to the commissioner. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, well the Bishop's version is that you were arrogant and you said you were not going to speak to those criminals. MR MOKOENA: I'm sorry, I did not use	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: We have presented before the Commission that yes, the workers were at liberty to approach line at any time about issues at Lonmin and that we do encourage that, and that has never been perceived as wage negotiations. MR MPOFU SC: Do you agree that the discussions with Mr Da Costa about wages was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial Commissioner who then can explain to you the situation." That's what I did and for me I thought that was the best thing to do. In my conversation with the Bishop I also emphasised to the Bishop the kind of complexity we were involved, as I said, "Bishop, this is an unprotected strike and this is how we understand it to be," and then I proceeded to introduce the Bishop to the commissioner. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, well the Bishop's version is that you were arrogant and you said you were not going to speak to those criminals. MR MOKOENA: I'm sorry, I did not use those words when speaking to the Bishop. It's not correct.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: We have presented before the Commission that yes, the workers were at liberty to approach line at any time about issues at Lonmin and that we do encourage that, and that has never been perceived as wage negotiations. MR MPOFU SC: Do you agree that the discussions with Mr Da Costa about wages was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: That's what I've just explained.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial Commissioner who then can explain to you the situation." That's what I did and for me I thought that was the best thing to do. In my conversation with the Bishop I also emphasised to the Bishop the kind of complexity we were involved, as I said, "Bishop, this is an unprotected strike and this is how we understand it to be," and then I proceeded to introduce the Bishop to the commissioner. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, well the Bishop's version is that you were arrogant and you said you were not going to speak to those criminals. MR MOKOENA: I'm sorry, I did not use those words when speaking to the Bishop. It's not correct. MR MPOFU SC: But you used those words in	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: We have presented before the Commission that yes, the workers were at liberty to approach line at any time about issues at Lonmin and that we do encourage that, and that has never been perceived as wage negotiations. MR MPOFU SC: Do you agree that the discussions with Mr Da Costa about wages was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: That's what I've just explained. MR MPOFU SC: Now if there could be
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial Commissioner who then can explain to you the situation." That's what I did and for me I thought that was the best thing to do. In my conversation with the Bishop I also emphasised to the Bishop the kind of complexity we were involved, as I said, "Bishop, this is an unprotected strike and this is how we understand it to be," and then I proceeded to introduce the Bishop to the commissioner. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, well the Bishop's version is that you were arrogant and you said you were not going to speak to those criminals. MR MOKOENA: I'm sorry, I did not use those words when speaking to the Bishop. It's not correct. MR MPOFU SC: But you used those words in relation to the strikers many other times, correct? That	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: We have presented before the Commission that yes, the workers were at liberty to approach line at any time about issues at Lonmin and that we do encourage that, and that has never been perceived as wage negotiations. MR MPOFU SC: Do you agree that the discussions with Mr Da Costa about wages was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: That's what I've just explained. MR MPOFU SC: Now if there could be deviation on this rigidity of yours about not talking about
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	police had publicly said the area is declared a police operation and, Your Lordship, may I take you to the Provincial Commissioner so that you can speak to her and get a go-ahead from her and she can explain to you what the situation is." And at that time I thought I was doing the right thing to say, "Bishop, we have all been told it's a police operation, so let me introduce you to the Provincial Commissioner who then can explain to you the situation." That's what I did and for me I thought that was the best thing to do. In my conversation with the Bishop I also emphasised to the Bishop the kind of complexity we were involved, as I said, "Bishop, this is an unprotected strike and this is how we understand it to be," and then I proceeded to introduce the Bishop to the commissioner. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, well the Bishop's version is that you were arrogant and you said you were not going to speak to those criminals. MR MOKOENA: I'm sorry, I did not use those words when speaking to the Bishop. It's not correct. MR MPOFU SC: But you used those words in	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	created that certain statements were said by the Bishop and I want to confirm that those statements were incorrect. That's all I'm trying to do. MR MPOFU SC: And also – well, now that you mention Da Costa, do you agree that the Da Costa engagement, negotiation, whatever you call it, was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: We have presented before the Commission that yes, the workers were at liberty to approach line at any time about issues at Lonmin and that we do encourage that, and that has never been perceived as wage negotiations. MR MPOFU SC: Do you agree that the discussions with Mr Da Costa about wages was an engagement with the workers outside the structures? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. MR MOKOENA: That's what I've just explained. MR MPOFU SC: Now if there could be

1	Page 38155 why could you, who's even a higher manager than Mr Da	1	Page 38157 needs further elaboration we'll give you an opportunity.
	Costa, have the same flexibility and be prepared to talk	2	
2	outside the structure?		Did Mr Da Costa entertain the wage demands of the workers outside the structures?
3		3	
4		4	CHAIRPERSON: I think he's already said
5	perception, Chair, that workers at any given point have the	5	yes to that.
6	right to approach their line and the fact that they knocked	6	MR MOKOENA: Chair, I think it would be
7	at Mr Da Costa's and said we demand to be paid 12 500, that	7	helpful if I create context for our decisions for the
8	does not automatically make it a wage negotiation outside	8	Commission. Now –
9	the structures, and the reason why Mr Da Costa escalated	9	CHAIRPERSON: By all means give context
10	this matter is because he saw it was a substantive matter	10	to the decision, but what Mr Mpofu wants to know, I think
11	that should have been negotiated within the structures. So	11	you already answered it frankly –
12	workers do knock at our doors all the time. That knock	12	MR MOKOENA: Yes, Chair.
13	alone is not equal to wage negotiations.	13	CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Da Costa did
14	MR MPOFU SC: No, Mr Da Costa – let's put	14	receive a wage demand, or a wage increase demand outside
15	it this way, at the lowest - Mr Da Costa entertained the	15	the structures. I think you've conceded that –
16	wage demands of the workers outside the structures. Is	16	MR MOKOENA: Yes.
17	that a correct statement?	17	CHAIRPERSON: You won't be able to deny
18	MR MOKOENA: Chair, whether it's	18	it anyway. So that we can accept as a given. You now want
19	entertained, engaged, communicated, all I'm saying is when	19	to give us the context which you say is relevant for the
20	workers speak to line, whether you want to call it	20	subsequent questions you think Mr Mpofu may ask you.
21	entertainment or engagement –	21	MR MPOFU SC: Alright. Well, I'll leave
22	MR MPOFU SC: Can you please listen to	22	that for argument. The point I'm going to, in fairness to
23	the question?	23	you, is that if it was good enough for Mr Da Costa to
24	MR MOKOENA: I am –	24	entertain – entertain, not necessarily to meet it or
25	MR MPOFU SC: Did Mr Da Costa – yes or no	25	whatever – to entertain a wage demand outside the
-			
	Dogo 20154		Dego 20150
1	Page 38156	1	Page 38158 structures, then it should have been good enough for you
1	– entertain the workers –		structures, then it should have been good enough for you
2	– entertain the workers – MR MOKOENA: Yes.	1 2 3	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm
2 3	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> </ul>	2 3	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct?
2 3 4	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> </ul>	2	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes.
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>– entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>– entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>– entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> <li>because you thought he was answering the wrong question,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm not interested. And then –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> <li>because you thought he was answering the wrong question,</li> <li>but –</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm not interested. And then – MR BHAM SC: I don't know how you can –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> <li>because you thought he was answering the wrong question,</li> <li>but –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm not interested. And then – MR BHAM SC: I don't know how you can – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, he's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>– entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> <li>because you thought he was answering the wrong question,</li> <li>but –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Anyway –</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm not interested. And then – MR BHAM SC: I don't know how you can – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, he's entitled to give the context. What's the context?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> <li>because you thought he was answering the wrong question,</li> <li>but –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Anyway –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: I'm sorry, Chairperson.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm not interested. And then – MR BHAM SC: I don't know how you can – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, he's entitled to give the context. What's the context? [09:12] MR MOKOENA: The context is our employees
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> <li>because you thought he was answering the wrong question,</li> <li>but –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Anyway –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: I'm sorry, Chairperson.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Let's please both of you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm not interested. And then – MR BHAM SC: I don't know how you can – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, he's entitled to give the context. What's the context? [09:12] MR MOKOENA: The context is our employees would have received in October their annual increase which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>– entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> <li>because you thought he was answering the wrong question,</li> <li>but –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Anyway –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: I'm sorry, Chairperson.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Let's please both of you</li> <li>try not to interrupt each other and I must also try not to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm not interested. And then – MR BHAM SC: I don't know how you can – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, he's entitled to give the context. What's the context? [09:12] MR MOKOENA: The context is our employees would have received in October their annual increase which would then have put them above Impala and almost equal to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> <li>because you thought he was answering the wrong question,</li> <li>but –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Anyway –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: I'm sorry, Chairperson.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Let's please both of you</li> <li>try not to interrupt each other and I must also try not to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm not interested. And then – MR BHAM SC: I don't know how you can – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, he's entitled to give the context. What's the context? [09:12] MR MOKOENA: The context is our employees would have received in October their annual increase which would then have put them above Impala and almost equal to Anglo. So that adjustment alone, yes, would have moved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> <li>because you thought he was answering the wrong question,</li> <li>but –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Anyway –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: I'm sorry, Chairperson.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Let's please both of you</li> <li>try not to interrupt each other and I must also try not to</li> <li>interrupt both of you.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm not interested. And then – MR BHAM SC: I don't know how you can – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, he's entitled to give the context. What's the context? [09:12] MR MOKOENA: The context is our employees would have received in October their annual increase which would then have put them above Impala and almost equal to Anglo. So that adjustment alone, yes, would have moved them a little bit but there was still an annual increase
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> <li>because you thought he was answering the wrong question,</li> <li>but –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Anyway –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: I'm sorry, Chairperson.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Let's please both of you</li> <li>try not to interrupt each other and I must also try not to</li> <li>interrupt both of you.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: So if the three of us do</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm not interested. And then – MR BHAM SC: I don't know how you can – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, he's entitled to give the context. What's the context? [09:12] MR MOKOENA: The context is our employees would have received in October their annual increase which would then have put them above Impala and almost equal to Anglo. So that adjustment alone, yes, would have moved them a little bit but there was still an annual increase due in October which would have made them competitive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> <li>because you thought he was answering the wrong question,</li> <li>but –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Anyway –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: I'm sorry, Chairperson.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Let's please both of you</li> <li>try not to interrupt each other and I must also try not to</li> <li>interrupt both of you.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: So if the three of us do</li> <li>our best we shouldn't have a problem. Carry on.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm not interested. And then – MR BHAM SC: I don't know how you can – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, he's entitled to give the context. What's the context? [09:12] MR MOKOENA: The context is our employees would have received in October their annual increase which would then have put them above Impala and almost equal to Anglo. So that adjustment alone, yes, would have moved them a little bit but there was still an annual increase due in October which would have made them competitive compared to Impala and Anglo.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>- entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> <li>because you thought he was answering the wrong question,</li> <li>but –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Anyway –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: I'm sorry, Chairperson.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Let's please both of you</li> <li>try not to interrupt each other and I must also try not to</li> <li>interrupt both of you.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: So if the three of us do</li> <li>our best we shouldn't have a problem. Carry on.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Mr</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm not interested. And then – MR BHAM SC: I don't know how you can – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, he's entitled to give the context. What's the context? [09:12] MR MOKOENA: The context is our employees would have received in October their annual increase which would then have put them above Impala and almost equal to Anglo. So that adjustment alone, yes, would have moved them a little bit but there was still an annual increase due in October which would have made them competitive compared to Impala and Anglo. MR MPOFU SC: Well, I'm sure there's an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>- entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> <li>because you thought he was answering the wrong question,</li> <li>but –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Anyway –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: I'm sorry, Chairperson.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Let's please both of you</li> <li>try not to interrupt each other and I must also try not to</li> <li>interrupt both of you.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: So if the three of us do</li> <li>our best we shouldn't have a problem. Carry on.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Mr</li> <li>Mokoena, please, I'm begging you, listen to the question.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm not interested. And then – MR BHAM SC: I don't know how you can – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, he's entitled to give the context. What's the context? [09:12] MR MOKOENA: The context is our employees would have received in October their annual increase which would then have put them above Impala and almost equal to Anglo. So that adjustment alone, yes, would have moved them a little bit but there was still an annual increase due in October which would have made them competitive compared to Impala and Anglo. MR MPOFU SC: Well, I'm sure there's an annual increase at Impala as well but anyway, both on the,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<ul> <li>- entertain the workers –</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: - outside the structures?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: No –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu and Mr Mokoena,</li> <li>it's very difficult for us to follow when there are</li> <li>interruptions. I'm not blaming anyone in particular. It's</li> <li>very difficult for the transcribers as well. So Mr Mpofu,</li> <li>I'm inclined to think you started it. I think you did it</li> <li>because you thought he was answering the wrong question,</li> <li>but –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Anyway –</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: I'm sorry, Chairperson.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Let's please both of you</li> <li>try not to interrupt each other and I must also try not to</li> <li>interrupt both of you.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: So if the three of us do</li> <li>our best we shouldn't have a problem. Carry on.</li> <li>MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Mr</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	structures, then it should have been good enough for you and it would have averted the tragedy. That's what I'm going to argue. I'm sure you disagree, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, alright. Now you accept that also even your adjustment of salaries did not match the Impala and Anglo salaries? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. MR MOKOENA: And there's context to that. MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm not interested. And then – MR BHAM SC: I don't know how you can – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, he's entitled to give the context. What's the context? [09:12] MR MOKOENA: The context is our employees would have received in October their annual increase which would then have put them above Impala and almost equal to Anglo. So that adjustment alone, yes, would have moved them a little bit but there was still an annual increase due in October which would have made them competitive compared to Impala and Anglo. MR MPOFU SC: Well, I'm sure there's an

1 2 3	Page 38159 Mpembe again you made decisions which were remiss of a person in your position in the sense that in respect of both those gentlemen you refused to go and address the	1 2 3	Page 38161 think before the incident of Mr Twala, why did you not go in the negotiation team of Mr McIntosh which was in an armoured vehicle?
4	workers. You accept that?	4	MR MOKOENA: Who is Mr McIntosh?
5	MR MOKOENA: I have submitted before the	5	MR MPOFU SC: The police negotiation team
6	Commission that after the deaths on the koppie I was, as	6	that went there. You knew, you were part of the - you knew
7	Barnard, Chair, I was afraid to go to the koppie and as	7	all the police plans.
8	such I felt it would have been irresponsible of me to	8	CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he was, I
9	suggest somebody else to go to the koppie.	9	think he was at Melrose Arch at that stage but the evidence
10	MR MPOFU SC: So why did you suggest that	10	is that the police decided to try to solve the problem by
11	Mr Zokwana and Mr Mathunjwa should go?	11	negotiation to persuade the strikers to lay down their arms
12	MR MOKOENA: It was their choice.	12	and so forth and they got an experienced police negotiator,
13	MR MPOFU SC: You never, you didn't	13	Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh, to come. He came on the
14	support the fact that they should go there in those two	14	Tuesday the 14th and he tried to establish this kind of
15	meetings, both in the radio interview and the Mpembe	15	relationship with the strikers. He called five men forward
16	meeting?	16	and talks to them. This started on the Tuesday afternoon,
17	MR MOKOENA: I supported the initiative –	17	continued again on the Wednesday and I think he was also
18	MR MPOFU SC: So you wanted them to go	18	there on the Thursday. And that's what Mr Mpofu is talking
19	and get killed if you were so, or whatever it is that you	19	about. Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh was in a Nyala, an
20	were scared of.	20	armoured vehicle, from the Tuesday from the time he
21	MR MOKOENA: No.	21 22	arrived. I take it you were still at Melrose Arch?
22	MR MPOFU SC: Alright. Now you accepted the assurances of General Mpembe that whoever had gone	22	MR MOKOENA: No, no, no, Chair, I was all the time in Marikana. Actually I work from Marikana, I am
23 24	there, whether it was Mr Zokwana – or let me put it this	23 24	not based in Melrose but I was not aware of the –
24	way, you surely did not expect that there would be	24	CHAIRPERSON: I was under a
20	way, you survey all not expect that there would be	20	
	Page 38160		Page 38162
			1 490 50102
1	hostilities directed towards you which would be worse than	1	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware -
2	those directed at Mr Zokwana.	2	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No.
2 3	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there		misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel
2 3 4	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me.	2 3 4	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement?
2 3 4 5	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that	2 3 4 5	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No.
2 3 4 5 6	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from	2 3 4 5 6	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see.
2 3 4 5 6 7	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted,	2 3 4 5 6 7	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been hostile when all that they wanted was being achieved?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been hostile when all that they wanted was being achieved? MR MOKOENA: Chair, it's because I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been hostile when all that they wanted was being achieved? MR MOKOENA: Chair, it's because I have never had to address 3 000 people on a mountain with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. I'm saying again,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been hostile when all that they wanted was being achieved? MR MOKOENA: Chair, it's because I have never had to address 3 000 people on a mountain with weapons and when I have had just an experience of somebody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. I'm saying again, let's not shift the responsibility to the police, let's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been hostile when all that they wanted was being achieved? MR MOKOENA: Chair, it's because I have never had to address 3 000 people on a mountain with weapons and when I have had just an experience of somebody close to my office killed at that very venue and I –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. I'm saying again, let's not shift the responsibility to the police, let's shift it back to you. Why did you not say, well, if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been hostile when all that they wanted was being achieved? MR MOKOENA: Chair, it's because I have never had to address 3 000 people on a mountain with weapons and when I have had just an experience of somebody close to my office killed at that very venue and I – MR MPOFU SC: Yes, but you –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. I'm saying again, let's not shift the responsibility to the police, let's shift it back to you. Why did you not say, well, if the police can be stationed around there and they are, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been hostile when all that they wanted was being achieved? MR MOKOENA: Chair, it's because I have never had to address 3 000 people on a mountain with weapons and when I have had just an experience of somebody close to my office killed at that very venue and I – MR MOKOENA: Yes, but you – MR MOKOENA: That's my honest feeling –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. I'm saying again, let's not shift the responsibility to the police, let's shift it back to you. Why did you not say, well, if the police can be stationed around there and they are, you know, journalists are milling around there and nothing is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been hostile when all that they wanted was being achieved? MR MOKOENA: Chair, it's because I have never had to address 3 000 people on a mountain with weapons and when I have had just an experience of somebody close to my office killed at that very venue and I – MR MOKOENA: Yes, but you – MR MOKOENA: That's my honest feeling –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. I'm saying again, let's not shift the responsibility to the police, let's shift it back to you. Why did you not say, well, if the police can be stationed around there and they are, you know, journalists are milling around there and nothing is happening to them, I can go as long as there is police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been hostile when all that they warted was being achieved? MR MOKOENA: Chair, it's because I have never had to address 3 000 people on a mountain with weapons and when I have had just an experience of somebody close to my office killed at that very venue and I – MR MOFU SC: Yes, but you – MR MOKOENA: That's my honest feeling – MR MPOFU SC: Ja. MR MOKOENA: - at that time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. I'm saying again, let's not shift the responsibility to the police, let's shift it back to you. Why did you not say, well, if the police can be stationed around there and they are, you know, journalists are milling around there and nothing is happening to them, I can go as long as there is police protection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been hostile when all that they warted was being achieved? MR MOKOENA: Chair, it's because I have never had to address 3 000 people on a mountain with weapons and when I have had just an experience of somebody close to my office killed at that very venue and I – MR MOFU SC: Yes, but you – MR MOKOENA: That's my honest feeling – MR MPOFU SC: Ja. MR MOKOENA: - at that time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. I'm saying again, let's not shift the responsibility to the police, let's shift it back to you. Why did you not say, well, if the police can be stationed around there and they are, you know, journalists are milling around there and nothing is happening to them, I can go as long as there is police protection? MR MOKOENA: I've given my answer for not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MOKOENA:I did expect that thereMR MOKOENA:I did expect that therewould be actually more hostility directed at me.MR MPOFU SC:But knowing full well thatall the workers want, all they wanted was for someone fromLonmin management to come there.That's all they wanted,you conceded that yesterday.How could they have beenhostile when all that they warted was being achieved?MR MOKOENA:MR MOKOENA:Chair, it's because I havenever had to address 3 000 people on a mountain withweapons and when I have had just an experience of somebodyclose to my office killed at they very venue and I –MR MOKOENA:Yes, but you –MR MOKOENA:That's my honest feeling –MR MOKOENA:Ja.MR MPOFU SC:Ja.MR MOKOENA:- at that time.MR MPOFU SC:That's fine, but you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. I'm saying again, let's not shift the responsibility to the police, let's shift it back to you. Why did you not say, well, if the police can be stationed around there and they are, you know, journalists are milling around there and nothing is happening to them, I can go as long as there is police protection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	NR MOKOENA:I did expect that thereMR MOKOENA:I did expect that therewould be actually more hostility directed at me.MR MPOFU SC:But knowing full well thatall the workers want, all they wanted was for someone fromLonmin management to cowe there.That's all they wanted,you conceded that yesterday.How could they have beenhostile when all that they warted was being achieved?MR MOKOENA:MR MOKOENA:Chair, it's because I havenever had to address 3 000 people on a mountain withweapons and when I have had just an experience of somebodyclose to my office killed at tvery venue and I –MR MPOFU SC:Yes, but you –MR MOKOENA:That's my honest feeling –MR MOKOENA:- at that time.MR MOFU SC:Ja.MR MOFU SC:That's fine, but youaccepted the assurances giver by General Mpembe that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. I'm saying again, let's not shift the responsibility to the police, let's shift it back to you. Why did you not say, well, if the police can be stationed around there and they are, you know, journalists are milling around there and nothing is happening to them, I can go as long as there is police protection? MR MOKOENA: I've given my answer for not going to the koppie.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	those directed at Mr Zokwan. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been hostile when all that they warted was being achieved? MR MOKOENA: Chair, it's because I have never had to address 3 000 people on a mountain with weapons and when I have had just an experience of somebody close to my office killed at that very venue and I – MR MOKOENA: Yes, but you – MR MOKOENA: That's my honest feeling – MR MOKOENA: - at that time. MR MOKOENA: - at that time. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. MR MOKOENA: - at that time. MR MPOFU SC: That's fine, but you accepted the assurances giver by General Mpembe that the people who were going to go with, a heavy police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. I'm saying again, let's not shift the responsibility to the police, let's shift it back to you. Why did you not say, well, if the police can be stationed around there and they are, you know, journalists are milling around there and nothing is happening to them, I can go as long as there is police protection? MR MOKOENA: I've given my answer for not going to the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: You were scared, even if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been hostile when all that they wanted was being achieved? MR MOKOENA: Chair, it's because I have never had to address 3 000 people on a mountain with weapons and when I have had just an experience of somebody close to my office killed at the very venue and I – MR MOKOENA: Yes, but you – MR MOKOENA: That's my honest feeling – MR MOKOENA: - at that time. MR MOKOENA: - at that time. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. MR MOKOENA: - at that time. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. MR MOKOENA: - at that time. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. MR MOKOENA: - but you accepted the assurances given by General Mpembe that the people who were going to go with, a heavy police contingency were going to be safeguarded. You thought that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. I'm saying again, let's not shift the responsibility to the police, let's shift it back to you. Why did you not say, well, if the police can be stationed around there and they are, you know, journalists are milling around there and nothing is happening to them, I can go as long as there is police protection? MR MOKOENA: I've given my answer for not going to the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: You were scared, even if there were police, there was police protection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	those directed at Mr Zokwana. MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been hostile when all that they wanted was being achieved? MR MOKOENA: Chair, it's because I have never had to address 3 000 people on a mountain with weapons and when I have had just an experience of somebody close to my office killed at they verue and I – MR MPOFU SC: Yes, but you – MR MOKOENA: That's my honest feeling – MR MPOFU SC: Ja. MR MOKOENA: - at that time. MR MPOFU SC: That's fine, but you accepted the assurances giver by General Mpembe that the people who were going to go with, a heavy police contingency were going to be safeguarded. You thought that only applied to Mr Mathunjwa and Mr Zokwana and that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. I'm saying again, let's not shift the responsibility to the police, let's shift it back to you. Why did you not say, well, if the police can be stationed around there and they are, you know, journalists are milling around there and nothing is happening to them, I can go as long as there is police protection? MR MOKOENA: I've given my answer for not going to the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: You were scared, even if there were police, there was police protection. MR MOKOENA: And I also believed that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	those directed at Mr Zokwana: MR MOKOENA: I did expect that there would be actually more hostility directed at me. MR MPOFU SC: But knowing full well that all the workers want, all they wanted was for someone from Lonmin management to come there. That's all they wanted, you conceded that yesterday. How could they have been hostile when all that they wanted was being achieved? MR MOKOENA: Chair, it's because I have never had to address 3 000 people on a mountain with weapons and when I have had just an experience of somebody close to my office killed at that very venue and I – MR MOKOENA: That's my honest feeling – MR MOKOENA: That's my honest feeling – MR MOKOENA: - at that time. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. MR MOKOENA: - at that time. MR MPOFU SC: That's fine, but you accepted the assurances given by General Mpembe that the people who were going to be safeguarded. You thought that only applied to Mr Mathunjwa and Mr Zokwana and that wouldn't apply to you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	misapprehension, so you were there but you weren't aware – MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: - of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh's involvement? MR MOKOENA: No. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR MPOFU SC: And you never, well, were you aware that there was heavy police presence in and around the koppie, if you were in the area, correct? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Ja. I'm saying again, let's not shift the responsibility to the police, let's shift it back to you. Why did you not say, well, if the police can be stationed around there and they are, you know, journalists are milling around there and nothing is happening to them, I can go as long as there is police protection? MR MOKOENA: I've given my answer for not going to the koppie. MR MPOFU SC: You were scared, even if there were police, there was police protection. MR MOKOENA: And I also believed that it looks like the workers would have welcomed anybody who

	Page 38163		Page 38165
1	arrived at the koppie and said to 3 000 people carrying	1	is that you, I'm going to show that you told or you were
2	pangas and dangerous weapons, sorry, there is no 12 500.	2	deceptive, deliberately so, in your dealing with this
3	Now –	3	situation and told many, many untruths deliberately. You
4	MR MPOFU SC: Ja, as – I'm sorry.	4	said, I think you've already conceded that in the statement
5	MR MOKOENA: Let me finish. What would	5	you made to Xolani Gwala that there were no engagements
6	have been the reaction? Already that message had been	6	with the workers was untrue, correct?
7	given to them and from June, July into August. So I did	7	MR MOKOENA: Which statement?
8	not see my going to the koppie at that time – in actual	8	MR MPOFU SC: The one you made to Mr
9	fact it would have made the situation worse.	9	Xolani Gwala which said there was no engagement with the
10	MR MPOFU SC: And yet you, when Mr	10	workers, that was untrue, that was false.
11	Mathunjwa who did exactly that, he went in there, he did	11	MR MOKOENA: Can you please kindly refer
12	not offer them R12 500 and when he came back to you not	12	me to –
13	having brought the people back, you felt, as you call it,	13	MR MPOFU SC: Okay, go to page 49.
14	betrayed by him when he did that exact thing of going to	14	CHAIRPERSON: It's exhibit OO3 which is
15	speak to them without offering 12 500. Is that your	15	reproduced in your bundle from pages 42 to 77 and Mr Mpofu
16	evidence?	16	says please look at page 49, is it?
17	MR MOKOENA: I referred the Commission to	17	MR MPOFU SC: 49 yes, thank you, Chair.
18	the letter he sent me, particularly page 2. Now I don't	18	CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give the
19	know at what stage Mr Mathunjwa stopped experiencing the	19	line number.
20	strikers as third force, that was a reference to his	20	MR MPOFU SC: Ja, let's go to about 20,
21	correspondence, that he initially said "Barnard, don't	21	1, 2, 3, start from 24.
22	engage, don't talk to them, there's a third force."	22	CHAIRPERSON: It's the line beginning
23	MR MPOFU SC: What has that got to do	23	where you say, "No, no, no" –
24	with my question? I'm saying to you did you or did you	24	MR MPOFU SC: No, no, no.
25	not, when Mr Mathunjwa failed to resolve the issue was your	25	CHAIRPERSON: "He's twisting the truth at
	Page 38164		Page 38166
1	evidence not that you felt betrayed?	1	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" –
2	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I	2	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes.
2 3	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed.		the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these
2 3 4	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay.	2 3 4	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" –
2 3 4 5	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt	2 3 4 5	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" –
2 3 4 5 6	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed?	2 3 4 5 6	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu
2 3 4 5 6 7	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter	2 3 4 5 6 7	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not engage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not engage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there's a third force doing these things. So my expectation was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying, two things, there were no engagements and the adjustments
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not engage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there's a third force doing these things. So my expectation was actually for him to rebuke and say this is unprotected,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying, two things, there were no engagements and the adjustments were not as a result of any engagements but they were so-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not engage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there's a third force doing these things. So my expectation was actually for him to rebuke and say this is unprotected, there's a third force behind these workers, please go back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying, two things, there were no engagements and the adjustments were not as a result of any engagements but they were so- called market allowances. Both of those statements were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not engage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there's a third force doing these things. So my expectation was actually for him to rebuke and say this is unprotected, there's a third force behind these workers, please go back to work. That was my expectation coming out of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying, two things, there were no engagements and the adjustments were not as a result of any engagements but they were so- called market allowances. Both of those statements were untrue. I said to you, you acknowledged that yesterday.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	evidence not that you felt betrayed?MR MOKOENA:Yes, I'm explaining why Ifelt betrayed.MR MPOFU SC:Okay.CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:Sorry, tell us why you feltbetrayed?Tell us why you felt betrayed?MR MOKOENA:Chair, I felt that letterfrom Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actuallyshared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do notengage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there'sa third force doing these things.So my expectation wasactually for him to rebuke and say this is unprotected,there's a third force behind these workers, please go backto work.That was my expectation coming out of thatletter, so when he came back to me and his other members	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying, two things, there were no engagements and the adjustments were not as a result of any engagements but they were so- called market allowances. Both of those statements were untrue. I said to you, you acknowledged that yesterday. MR MOKOENA: Please repeat the statement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not engage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there's a third force doing these things. So my expectation was actually for him to rebuke and say this is unprotected, there's a third force behind these workers, please go back to work. That was my expectation coming out of that letter, so when he came back to me and his other members asking, carrying the 12 000 demand from the koppie, I asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying, two things, there were no engagements and the adjustments were not as a result of any engagements but they were so- called market allowances. Both of those statements were untrue. I said to you, you acknowledged that yesterday. MR MOKOENA: Please repeat the statement? MR MPOFU SC: The statement that there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not engage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there's a third force doing these things. So my expectation was actually for him to rebuke and say this is unprotected, there's a third force behind these workers, please go back to work. That was my expectation coming out of that letter, so when he came back to me and his other members asking, carrying the 12 000 demand from the koppie, I asked myself the question: but Mr Mathunjwa, I would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying, two things, there were no engagements and the adjustments were not as a result of any engagements but they were so- called market allowances. Both of those statements were untrue. I said to you, you acknowledged that yesterday. MR MPOFU SC: The statement that there were no engagements with the workers in relation to the Da
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not engage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there's a third force doing these things. So my expectation was actually for him to rebuke and say this is unprotected, there's a third force behind these workers, please go back to work. That was my expectation coming out of that letter, so when he came back to me and his other members asking, carrying the 12 000 demand from the koppie, I asked myself the question: but Mr Mathunjwa, I would have expected you to actually almost read that letter to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying, two things, there were no engagements and the adjustments were not as a result of any engagements but they were so- called market allowances. Both of those statements were untrue. I said to you, you acknowledged that yesterday. MR MOKOENA: Please repeat the statement? MR MPOFU SC: The statement that there were no engagements with the workers in relation to the Da Costa incident was not true.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not engage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there's a third force doing these things. So my expectation was actually for him to rebuke and say this is unprotected, there's a third force behind these workers, please go back to work. That was my expectation coming out of that letter, so when he came back to me and his other members asking, carrying the 12 000 demand from the koppie, I asked myself the question: but Mr Mathunjwa, I would have expected you to actually almost read that letter to the workers. So where is the third force now?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying, two things, there were no engagements and the adjustments were not as a result of any engagements but they were so- called market allowances. Both of those statements were untrue. I said to you, you acknowledged that yesterday. MR MPOFU SC: The statement that there were no engagements with the workers in relation to the Da Costa incident was not true. MR MOKOENA: Well, there were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not engage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there's a third force doing these things. So my expectation was actually for him to rebuke and say this is unprotected, there's a third force behind these workers, please go back to work. That was my expectation coming out of that letter, so when he came back to me and his other members asking, carrying the 12 000 demand from the koppie, I asked myself the question: but Mr Mathunjwa, I would have expected you to actually almost read that letter to the workers. So where is the third force now? CHAIRPERSON: I understand why, your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying, two things, there were no engagements and the adjustments were not as a result of any engagements but they were so- called market allowances. Both of those statements were untrue. I said to you, you acknowledged that yesterday. MR MOKOENA: Please repeat the statement? MR MPOFU SC: The statement that there were no engagements with the workers in relation to the Da Costa incident was not true. MR MOKOENA: Well, there were engagements.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not engage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there's a third force doing these things. So my expectation was actually for him to rebuke and say this is unprotected, there's a third force behind these workers, please go back to work. That was my expectation coming out of that letter, so when he came back to me and his other members asking, carrying the 12 000 demand from the koppie, I asked myself the question: but Mr Mathunjwa, I would have expected you to actually almost read that letter to the workers. So where is the third force now? CHAIRPERSON: I understand why, your feelings that you had been betrayed then.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying, two things, there were no engagements and the adjustments were not as a result of any engagements but they were so- called market allowances. Both of those statements were untrue. I said to you, you acknowledged that yesterday. MR MOKOENA: Please repeat the statement? MR MPOFU SC: The statement that there were no engagements with the workers in relation to the Da Costa incident was not true. MR MOKOENA: Well, there were engagements. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, there were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not engage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there's a third force doing these things. So my expectation was actually for him to rebuke and say this is unprotected, there's a third force behind these workers, please go back to work. That was my expectation coming out of that letter, so when he came back to me and his other members asking, carrying the 12 000 demand from the koppie, I asked myself the question: but Mr Mathunjwa, I would have expected you to actually almost read that letter to the workers. So where is the third force now? CHAIRPERSON: I understand why, your feelings that you had been betrayed then. MR MOKOENA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying, two things, there were no engagements and the adjustments were not as a result of any engagements but they were so- called market allowances. Both of those statements were untrue. I said to you, you acknowledged that yesterday. MR MPOFU SC: The statement that there were no engagements with the workers in relation to the Da Costa incident was not true. MR MOKOENA: Well, there were engagements. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, there were engagements, yes. So the statement that there were no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not engage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there's a third force doing these things. So my expectation was actually for him to rebuke and say this is unprotected, there's a third force behind these workers, please go back to work. That was my expectation coming out of that letter, so when he came back to me and his other members asking, carrying the 12 000 demand from the koppie, I asked myself the question: but Mr Mathunjwa, I would have expected you to actually almost read that letter to the workers. So where is the third force now? CHAIRPERSON: I understand why, your feelings that you had been betrayed then. MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I think you've explained	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying, two things, there were no engagements and the adjustments were not as a result of any engagements but they were so- called market allowances. Both of those statements were untrue. I said to you, you acknowledged that yesterday. MR MOKOENA: Please repeat the statement? MR MPOFU SC: The statement that there were no engagements with the workers in relation to the Da Costa incident was not true. MR MOKOENA: Well, there were engagements. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, there were engagements, yes. So the statement that there were no engagements was untrue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	evidence not that you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I'm explaining why I felt betrayed. MR MPOFU SC: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, tell us why you felt betrayed? Tell us why you felt betrayed? MR MOKOENA: Chair, I felt that letter from Mr Mathunjwa was a genuine position that I actually shared with him, that this is an unprotected strike, do not engage, don't set a precedent and he suggested that there's a third force doing these things. So my expectation was actually for him to rebuke and say this is unprotected, there's a third force behind these workers, please go back to work. That was my expectation coming out of that letter, so when he came back to me and his other members asking, carrying the 12 000 demand from the koppie, I asked myself the question: but Mr Mathunjwa, I would have expected you to actually almost read that letter to the workers. So where is the third force now? CHAIRPERSON: I understand why, your feelings that you had been betrayed then. MR MOKOENA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the expense of 10 lives. There are no engagements" – MR MPOFU SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "We've not engaged these groups of people. It's still our position" – MR MPOFU SC: "There are no" – CHAIRPERSON: That's the passage Mr Mpofu is referring to. MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Ja, it says "There were no engagements" and then if you read, I'll paraphrase from there, from 49 to 51 effectively what you are saying, two things, there were no engagements and the adjustments were not as a result of any engagements but they were so- called market allowances. Both of those statements were untrue. I said to you, you acknowledged that yesterday. MR MOKOENA: Please repeat the statement? MR MPOFU SC: The statement that there were no engagements with the workers in relation to the Da Costa incident was not true. MR MOKOENA: Well, there were engagements. MR MPOFU SC: Yes, there were engagements, yes. So the statement that there were no

	Page 38167		Page 38169
1	that we don't just take a sentence out of context.	1	Karee. Now me, yes –
2	MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mathunjwa, I'm telling	2	MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena –
3	you Mr Mathunjwa was saying that one of the causes of this	3	MR MOKOENA: Let me finish first, Mr
4	is because you engaged with the workers and Mr Gwala was	4	Mpofu.
5	finding out from you whether that was so and you said that	5	MR MPOFU SC: You're not answering my
6	was not so.	6	question, that's my problem.
7	MR MOKOENA: Yes.	7	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, well, you can't
8	MR MPOFU SC: Which was false.	8	censor his answers.
9	MR MOKOENA: Yes, because Mr Mathunjwa's	9	MR MPOFU SC: No, but Chairperson -
10	argument was that we had actually opened, well, engaged by	10	CHAIRPERSON: He's giving you an answer –
11	way of wages, wage negotiations with the workers. That's	11	MR MPOFU SC: - Chairperson, but if he's
12	what I understood Mr Mathunjwa's argument to have been.	12	going off at a complete tangent, must we leave him here for
13	MR MPOFU SC: Ja –	13	the next two weeks to go off at the tangent?
14	MR MOKOENA: And secondly, we said we	14	CHAIRPERSON: I didn't see it as a
15	communicated the market allowance, that is a fact that we	15	tangent he's going on. Finish your answer, try to keep it
16	did, so I don't understand.	16	short.
17	MR MPOFU SC: What is it you don't – go	17	MR MOKOENA: Yes. So since 2010 and that
18	to page 51. Mr Xolani Gwala asked you directly, from line	18	event, we have had numerous issues at Karee that, for me,
19	9, "So in other words did you engage? You, you did come	19	showed there was some conflict going on and I actually
20	to, they did come to you, they raised their concerns and	20	understood it would have been a natural conflict where
21	you offered them 700." "No, no." Is that –	21	leadership that used to belong to one union start another
22	MR MOKOENA: Yes, because the context of	22	union and they would therefore want to promote the new
23 24	the argument was that, in that interview, was that we had	23 24	union. MR MPOFU SC: Forget that history. I'm
24 25	opened wage negotiations and I was trying to explain that no, we have not opened wage negotiations, we have made a	24 25	MR MPOFU SC: Forget that history. I'm saying to you would this be correct, if someone said to you
25	no, we have not opened wage negotiations, we have made a	25	
	D 004/0		
	Page 38168		Page 38170
1	market allowance.	1	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious
2	market allowance. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, thank you. And you	2	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana
2 3	market allowance. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, thank you. And you also, you knew that the strike was not caused by union	2 3	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine –
2 3 4	market allowance. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, thank you. And you also, you knew that the strike was not caused by union rivalry, correct?	2 3 4	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading
2 3 4 5	market allowance. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, thank you. And you also, you knew that the strike was not caused by union rivalry, correct? MR MOKOENA: I have explained to the	2 3 4 5	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from?
2 3 4 5 6	market allowance. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, thank you. And you also, you knew that the strike was not caused by union rivalry, correct? MR MOKOENA: I have explained to the Commission my experience from 2012.	2 3 4 5 6	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If
2 3 4 5 6 7	market allowance. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, thank you. And you also, you knew that the strike was not caused by union rivalry, correct? MR MOKOENA: I have explained to the Commission my experience from 2012. MR MPOFU SC: Did you know that the	2 3 4 5 6 7	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	market allowance. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, thank you. And you also, you knew that the strike was not caused by union rivalry, correct? MR MOKOENA: I have explained to the Commission my experience from 2012. MR MPOFU SC: Did you know that the strike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	market allowance. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, thank you. And you also, you knew that the strike was not caused by union rivalry, correct? MR MOKOENA: I have explained to the Commission my experience from 2012. MR MPOFU SC: Did you know that the strike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yes or no?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	market allowance. MR MPOFU SC: Okay, thank you. And you also, you knew that the strike was not caused by union rivalry, correct? MR MOKOENA: I have explained to the Commission my experience from 2012. MR MPOFU SC: Did you know that the strike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yes or no? MR MOKOENA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	market allowance.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, thank you. And youalso, you knew that the strike was not caused by unionrivalry, correct?MR MOKOENA:I have explained to theCommission my experience from 2012.MR MPOFU SC:Did you know that thestrike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yesor no?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MPOFU SC:2012, sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, if you're putting things to him that you say he said then –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	market allowance.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, thank you. And youalso, you knew that the strike was not caused by unionrivalry, correct?MR MOKOENA:I have explained to theCommission my experience from 2012.MR MPOFU SC:Did you know that thestrike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yesor no?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOFU SC:2012, sorry.MR MOKOENA:Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, If you're putting things to him that you say he said then – MR MPOFU SC: I never said that. I never
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	market allowance.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, thank you. And youalso, you knew that the strike was not caused by unionrivalry, correct?MR MOKOENA:I have explained to theCommission my experience from 2012.MR MPOFU SC:Did you know that thestrike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yesor no?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MPOFU SC:2012, sorry.MR MPOFU SC:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOFU SC:Yes, you knew that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, if you're putting things to him that you say he said then – MR MPOFU SC: I never said that. I never said he said anything. I've just said if someone, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	market allowance.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, thank you. And youalso, you knew that the strike was not caused by unionrivalry, correct?MR MOKOENA:I have explained to theCommission my experience from 2012.MR MPOFU SC:Did you know that thestrike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yesor no?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOFU SC:2012, sorry.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOFU SC:Yes, you knew that?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, if you're putting things to him that you say he said then – MR MPOFU SC: I never said that. I never said he said anything. I've just said if someone, if anybody said the following, would it be correct, that seven
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	market allowance.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, thank you. And youalso, you knew that the strike was not caused by unionrivalry, correct?MR MOKOENA:I have explained to theCommission my experience from 2012.MR MPOFU SC:Did you know that thestrike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yesor no?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOFU SC:Yes, you knew that?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOFU SC:And yet in your communiqués	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, If you're putting things to him that you say he said then – MR MPOFU SC: I never said that. I never said he said anything. I've just said if someone, if anybody said the following, would it be correct, that seven Lonmin workers and two policemen have been killed in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	market allowance.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, thank you. And youalso, you knew that the strike was not caused by unionrivalry, correct?MR MOKOENA:I have explained to theCommission my experience from 2012.MR MPOFU SC:Did you know that thestrike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yesor no?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MPOFU SC:2012, sorry.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, you knew that?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOFU SC:Yes, you knew that?MR MOFU SC:Yes, you knew that?MR MOFU SC:Yes.MR MOFU SC:And yet in your communiquésthat you issued you suggest that the strike was caused by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, if you're putting things to him that you say he said then – MR MPOFU SC: I never said that. I never said he said anything. I've just said if someone, if anybody said the following, would it be correct, that seven Lonmin workers and two policemen have been killed in a dispute between two rival unions, would that be a correct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	market allowance.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, thank you. And youalso, you knew that the strike was not caused by unionrivalry, correct?MR MOKOENA:I have explained to theCommission my experience from 2012.MR MPOFU SC:Did you know that thestrike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yesor no?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOFU SC:And yet in your communiquésthat you issued you suggested that the strike was caused byunion rivalry, deliberately mission the public, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, If you're putting things to him that you say he said then – MR MPOFU SC: I never said that. I never said he said anything. I've just said if someone, if anybody said the following, would it be correct, that seven Lonmin workers and two policemen have been killed in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	market allowance.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, thank you. And youalso, you knew that the strike was not caused by unionrivalry, correct?MR MOKOENA:I have explained to theCommission my experience from 2012.MR MPOFU SC:Did you know that thestrike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yesor no?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOFU SC:And yet in your communiquésthat you issued you suggested that the strike was caused byunion rivalry, deliberately misleading the public, correct?MR MOKOENA:Chair, if you allow me, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, if you're putting things to him that you say he said then – MR MPOFU SC: I never said that. I never said he said anything. I've just said if someone, if anybody said the following, would it be correct, that seven Lonmin workers and two policemen have been killed in a dispute between two rival unions, would that be a correct statement of what happened? MR MOKOENA: I've asked where he's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	market allowance.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, thank you. And youalso, you knew that the strike was not caused by unionrivalry, correct?MR MOKOENA:I have explained to theCommission my experience from 2012.MR MPOFU SC:Did you know that thestrike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yesor no?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MPOFU SC:2012, sorry.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, you knew that?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOFU SC:And yet in your communiquésthat you issued you suggested that the strike was caused byunion rivalry, deliberately misleading the public, correct?MR MOKOENA:Chair, if you allow me, thatmy experience of the begining of the relationship between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, if you're putting things to him that you say he said then – MR MPOFU SC: I never said that. I never said he said anything. I've just said if someone, if anybody said the following, would it be correct, that seven Lonmin workers and two policemen have been killed in a dispute between two rival unions, would that be a correct statement of what happened? MR MOKOENA: I've asked where he's reading from, Chair, and I think I'm entitled –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	market allowance.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, thank you. And youalso, you knew that the strike was not caused by unionrivalry, correct?MR MOKOENA:I have explained to theCommission my experience from 2012.MR MPOFU SC:Did you know that thestrike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yesor no?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Chair, if you allow me, thatmy experience of the beginning of the relationship betweenAMCU and NUM went back to 2010. I've also explained that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, If you're putting things to him that you say he said then – MR MPOFU SC: I never said that. I never said he said anything. I've just said if someone, if anybody said the following, would it be correct, that seven Lonmin workers and two policemen have been killed in a dispute between two rival unions, would that be a correct statement of what happened? MR MOKOENA: I've asked where he's reading from, Chair, and I think I'm entitled – MR MPOFU SC: No, Mr Mokoena, please –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	market allowance.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, thank you. And youalso, you knew that the strike was not caused by unionrivalry, correct?MR MOKOENA:I have explained to theCommission my experience from 2012.MR MPOFU SC:Did you know that thestrike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yesor no?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MPOFU SC:2012, sorry.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, you knew that?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOFU SC:And yet in your communiquésthat you issued you suggested that the strike was caused byunion rivalry, deliberately misleading the public, correct?MR MOKOENA:Chair, if you allow me, thatmy experience of the begining of the relationship between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, If you're putting things to him that you say he said then – MR MPOFU SC: I never said that. I never said he said anything. I've just said if someone, if anybody said the following, would it be correct, that seven Lonmin workers and two policemen have been killed in a dispute between two rival unions, would that be a correct statement of what happened? MR MOKOENA: I've asked where he's reading from, Chair, and I think I'm entitled – MR MPOFU SC: No, Mr Mokoena, please –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	market allowance.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, thank you. And youalso, you knew that the strike was not caused by unionrivalry, correct?MR MOKOENA:I have explained to theCommission my experience from 2012.MR MPOFU SC:Did you know that thestrike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yes or no?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MPOFU SC:2012, sorry.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, you knew that?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MPOFU SC:Yes, you knew that?MR MPOFU SC:Yes, you knew that?MR MPOFU SC:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Chair, if you allow me, thatmy experience of the beginning of the relationship betweenAMCU and NUM went back to 2010. I've also explained thathow AMCU was born at Kare, at Lonmin, was out of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, if you're putting things to him that you say he said then – MR MPOFU SC: I never said that. I never said he said anything. I've just said if someone, if anybody said the following, would it be correct, that seven Lonmin workers and two policemen have been killed in a dispute between two rival unions, would that be a correct statement of what happened? MR MOKOENA: I've asked where he's reading from, Chair, and I think I'm entitled – MR MPOFU SC: No, Mr Mokoena, please – CHAIRPERSON: It's a hypothetical –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	market allowance.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, thank you. And youalso, you knew that the strike was not caused by unionrivalry, correct?MR MOKOENA:I have explained to theCommission my experience from 2012.MR MPOFU SC:Did you know that thestrike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yesor no?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOFU SC:Yes, you knew that?MR MOFU SC:And yet in your communiquésthat you issued you suggested that the strike was caused byunion rivalry, deliberately misleading the public, correct?MR MOKOENA:Chair, if you allow me, thatmy experience of the beginning of the relationship betweenAMCU and NUM went back to 2010.I've also explained thathow AMCU was born at Kare, at Lonmin, was out of asituation where workers who were NUM had been fired because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, if you're putting things to him that you say he said then – MR MPOFU SC: I never said that. I never said he said anything. I've just said if someone, if anybody said the following, would it be correct, that seven Lonmin workers and two policemen have been killed in a dispute between two rival unions, would that be a correct statement of what happened? MR MOKOENA: I've asked where he's reading from, Chair, and I think I'm entitled – MR MPOFU SC: No, Mr Mokoena, please – CHAIRPERSON: It's a hypothetical – MR MPOFU SC: No, don't waste my time –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	market allowance.MR MPOFU SC:Okay, thank you. And youalso, you knew that the strike was not caused by unionrivalry, correct?MR MOKOENA:I have explained to theCommission my experience from 2012.MR MPOFU SC:Did you know that thestrike of August 2016 was not caused by union rivalry, yesor no?MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOKOENA:Yes.MR MOFU SC:And yet in your communiquésthat you issued you suggested that the strike was caused byunion rivalry, deliberately misleading the public, correct?MR MOKOENA:Chair, if you allow me, thatmy experience of the beginning of the relationship betweenAMCU and NUM went back to 2010.I've also explained thathow AMCU was born at Karee, at Lonmin, was out of asituation where workers who were NUM had been fired becauseof a conflict in NUM and that the beginning of AMCU at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on the 14th of August Lonmin announces, with regret, serious and ongoing outbreak of violence at its West Marikana mine – MR MOKOENA: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR MPOFU SC: Don't worry about that. If someone said that – CHAIRPERSON: No, he's entitled to know – MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, please – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, if you're putting things to him that you say he said then – MR MPOFU SC: I never said that. I never said he said anything. I've just said if someone, if anybody said the following, would it be correct, that seven Lonmin workers and two policemen have been killed in a dispute between two rival unions, would that be a correct statement of what happened? MR MOKOENA: I've asked where he's reading from, Chair, and I think I'm entitled – MR MPOFU SC: No, Mr Mokoena, please – CHAIRPERSON: It's a hypothetical – MR MPOFU SC: No, don't waste my time – CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, I'm

1	Page 38171 hypothetical question. He's not quoting anybody. He's	1	Page 38173 CHAIRPERSON: I see from Mr Wesley you've
2	saying if someone were to say that, that's the basis of the	2	got five minutes left.
3	question.	3	MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, ja,
4	MR MOKOENA: If somebody were to say that	4	honestly. That's fine. Now Mr Mokoena, you said you
5	to me, Mr Mpofu, with the knowledge and the background and	5	admired the big guns that the police were bringing to
6	the history that I knew, I would have believed that.	6	Lonmin, is that correct?
7	MR MPOFU SC: You would have agreed that	7	MR MOKOENA: Where is that?
8	seven people were killed in a dispute between rival unions?	, 8	MR MPOFU SC: Where you said you liked
9	That was the dispute.	9	the ones, the big ones, the one that are snipers – in the
10	MR MOKOENA: Ja, with the context that	10	transcript JJJ192. You must remember that piece if you did
11	I've explained to you, I would have actually said it's	11	your homework.
12	possible.	12	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, where is this page?
13	MR MPOFU SC: And –	13	MR MPOFU SC: JJJ192, the one where –
14	MR MOKOENA: And not only that, Mr Mpofu,	14	CHAIRPERSON: JJJJ192.
15	the people, employees who were killed were killed going to	15	MR MPOFU SC: - the Chairperson said you
16	work and it's a very important thing. Why would anybody be	16	must look for places where you might have made mistakes.
17	killed to work if those who are on the koppie are saying	17	You don't know this, Mr Mokoena?
18	we're not going to work? So it would have been people	18	MR MOKOENA: Ja, 192 is –
19	killed who want to go to work, in other words those who are	19	MR MPOFU SC: You don't know what I'm
20	not supporting the strike.	20	talking about.
21	MR MPOFU SC: So what's that got to do	20	CHAIRPERSON: It's pages 20, it's pages
22	with union rivalry?	22	20 to 41 of your bundle. That's the transcript of the
23	MR MOKOENA: Let me finish, let me	23	meeting you had on the Wednesday afternoon, sorry the
24	finish. Now we know that NUM wanted people to go to work,	24	Tuesday afternoon. You and some of your colleagues with
25	so NUM members would have actually wanted to go to work.	25	the provincial commissioner.
20		20	
	Page 38172		Page 38174
1	MR MPOFU SC: And seven people, seven	1	[09:32] And it is exhibit JJJ192 if this is the one -
2	people fall under what you are talking about now?	2	which is the revised one. What page of this transcript are
3	MR MOKOENA: Let me rephrase my argument,	3	you referring the witness to?
4	Chair.	4	MR MPOFU SC: I don't know the specific
5	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry –	5	page, Chairperson.
6	MR MOKOENA: People, employees –	6	CHAIRPERSON: Kwadi says something at the
7	CHAIRPERSON: Carry on?	7	top at page 37, but you're busy with this witness.
8	MR MOKOENA: Employees who were killed	8	MR MPOFU SC: Ja page 37. "But I must
9	going to work would have been employees who wanted to go to	9	tell you the ones that impress me the is this fancy one,
10	work and not be associated with the strike. Two, NUM had	10	they are the snipers or what." at the bottom. "Which
11	made a call for their workers not to take part in the	11	ones?" "The military, the specialised." "Oh the special
12	strike and to go to work. Now the fact that NUM would have	12	task force. Ja I know, I like those ones."
13	made that statement and said our members are not on strike,	13	CHAIRPERSON: I think you're quoting from
14	we want them to go to work, protect them, and they got	14	another copy, I think the earlier version.
			MR MPOFU SC: Page 36, the one we've all
15	killed, that statement in that context which is a fact,	15	6
15 16	killed, that statement in that context which is a fact, would actually have made sense to me.	16	been using, Chairperson. Page 36 of the bundle.
15 16 17	killed, that statement in that context which is a fact, would actually have made sense to me. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is, if I	16 17	been using, Chairperson. Page 36 of the bundle. CHAIRPERSON: What's in the bundle is the
15 16 17 18	killed, that statement in that context which is a fact, would actually have made sense to me. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is, if I understood you correctly, that there was a basis for saying	16 17 18	been using, Chairperson. Page 36 of the bundle. CHAIRPERSON: What's in the bundle is the revised one you see, the correct one. Where is it there?
15 16 17 18 19	killed, that statement in that context which is a fact, would actually have made sense to me. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is, if I understood you correctly, that there was a basis for saying there was inter-union rivalry at the root of the problem,	16 17 18 19	been using, Chairperson. Page 36 of the bundle. CHAIRPERSON: What's in the bundle is the revised one you see, the correct one. Where is it there? MR MPOFU SC: Page 36, three six.
15 16 17 18 19 20	killed, that statement in that context which is a fact, would actually have made sense to me. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is, if I understood you correctly, that there was a basis for saying there was inter-union rivalry at the root of the problem, that's right?	16 17 18 19 20	been using, Chairperson.Page 36 of the bundle.CHAIRPERSON:What's in the bundle is therevised one you see, the correct one.Where is it there?MR MPOFU SC:Page 36, three six.CHAIRPERSON:It is there.You see at
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	killed, that statement in that context which is a fact, would actually have made sense to me. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is, if I understood you correctly, that there was a basis for saying there was inter-union rivalry at the root of the problem, that's right? MR MOKOENA: Yes.	16 17 18 19 20 21	been using, Chairperson.Page 36 of the bundle.CHAIRPERSON:What's in the bundle is therevised one you see, the correct one.Where is it there?MR MPOFU SC:Page 36, three six.CHAIRPERSON:It is there.You see atthe foot of page 37, 36 rather where you say "I must tell
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	killed, that statement in that context which is a fact, would actually have made sense to me. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is, if I understood you correctly, that there was a basis for saying there was inter-union rivalry at the root of the problem, that's right? MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Well, Mr Mpofu, that's what	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	been using, Chairperson.Page 36 of the bundle.CHAIRPERSON:What's in the bundle is therevised one you see, the correct one.Where is it there?MR MPOFU SC:Page 36, three six.CHAIRPERSON:It is there.You see atthe foot of page 37, 36 rather where you say "I must tellyou the ones that impress is this fancy ones these are
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	killed, that statement in that context which is a fact, would actually have made sense to me. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is, if I understood you correctly, that there was a basis for saying there was inter-union rivalry at the root of the problem, that's right? MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Well, Mr Mpofu, that's what he says. If you don't agree with that you can argue at the	<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	been using, Chairperson.Page 36 of the bundle.CHAIRPERSON:What's in the bundle is therevised one you see, the correct one.Where is it there?MR MPOFU SC:Page 36, three six.CHAIRPERSON:It is there.You see atthe foot of page 37, 36 rather where you say "I must tellyou the ones that impress is this fancy ones these aresnipers or what" that's the passage that counsel is
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	killed, that statement in that context which is a fact, would actually have made sense to me. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is, if I understood you correctly, that there was a basis for saying there was inter-union rivalry at the root of the problem, that's right? MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Well, Mr Mpofu, that's what he says. If you don't agree with that you can argue at the end, that's an answer –	<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	been using, Chairperson. Page 36 of the bundle. CHAIRPERSON: What's in the bundle is the revised one you see, the correct one. Where is it there? MR MPOFU SC: Page 36, three six. CHAIRPERSON: It is there. You see at the foot of page 37, 36 rather where you say "I must tell you the ones that impress is this fancy ones these are snipers or what" that's the passage that counsel is referring to.
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	killed, that statement in that context which is a fact, would actually have made sense to me. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is, if I understood you correctly, that there was a basis for saying there was inter-union rivalry at the root of the problem, that's right? MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Well, Mr Mpofu, that's what he says. If you don't agree with that you can argue at the	<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	been using, Chairperson.Page 36 of the bundle.CHAIRPERSON:What's in the bundle is therevised one you see, the correct one.Where is it there?MR MPOFU SC:Page 36, three six.CHAIRPERSON:It is there.You see atthe foot of page 37, 36 rather where you say "I must tellyou the ones that impress is this fancy ones these aresnipers or what" that's the passage that counsel is

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

1	Page 38175 MR MPOFU SC: Oh you found it. You had	1	Page 38177 then later down she said "You know these things, you know
2	forgotten this one, this part?	2	from the Tatana incident and all that." Tatana incident
3	MR MOKOENA: No I just wanted to know	3	also refers to killing people, correct?
4	where it's located in my bundle.	4	MR MOKOENA: Yes I recall that.
5	MR MPOFU SC: Where it is.	5	MR MPOFU SC: You recall that ja. And
6	MR MOKOENA: Yes.	6	then later she also talks about the emotions are high and
7	MR MPOFU SC: Okay so what is your answer	7	there might be a situation where 20 people will be dead.
8	now? You like the big ones, the snipers.	8	That's another sign that we're talking about, possible
9	MR MOKOENA: Well the Commissioner said	9	bloodshed. Correct?
10	which ones and I said the military, the ones who were	10	CHAIRPERSON: At the top of page 27 she
11	dressed in camouflage and she said on the special task	11	said "I don't want a situation where 20 people will be dead
12	force.	12	-
13	MR MPOFU SC: Anyway, Mr Mokoena –	13	MR MPOFU SC: Yes.
14	MR MOKOENA: I don't find a place where I	14	CHAIRPERSON: Okay, this is your last
15	said I like the big guns, Chair.	15	point, Mr Mpofu, round it up and put it to the witness and
16	MR MPOFU SC: If they impress you it	16	let's get the answer.
17	means you don't like them.	17	MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, I'll argue
18	MR MOKOENA: Yes but I'm asking, I don't	18	that point. Mr Mokoena, the other issue that I'm going to
19	find anywhere where there's a talk about I like the big	19	argue which I want to put to you is that, in particular
20	guns, that is incorrect.	20	that you and Mr Ramaphosa were in very serious and
21	MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, let me ask you,	21	responsible leadership positions which where you could have
22	when the signs were clear from your discussion with – I'm	22	made a difference. And you were both members of the
23	going to argue that the signs were clear that there was	23	Transformation Committee and that particularly as black
24	going to be a carnage and a lot of bloodshed. And I'm	24	people in this country you failed, you are a disgrace to
25	going to refer you tot he following extract from your	25	black people because you betrayed your position to
	Page 38176		
			Page 38178
1	discussion.	1	transform the company and infuse new culture.
2	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali	2	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your
	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big	2 3	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that?
2 3 4	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns.	2 3 4	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that?
2 3 4 5	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not	2 3 4 5	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his
2 3 4 5 6	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay.	2 3 4 5 6	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair –
2 3 4 5 6 7	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to	2 3 4 5 6 7	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct himself and said the passage that he was referring to was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, that's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct himself and said the passage that he was referring to was the one at the foot of page 37 where you said you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, that's the end of your cross-examinative.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct himself and said the passage that he was referring to was the one at the foot of page 37 where you said you were impressed –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, that's the end of your cross-examination. MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, if I can just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct himself and said the passage that he was referring to was the one at the foot of page 37 where you said you were impressed – MR MPOFU SC: He knows that he's just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, that's the end of your cross-examination. MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, if I can just put one proposition, Chairperson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct himself and said the passage that he was referring to was the one at the foot of page 37 where you said you were impressed – MR MPOFU SC: He knows that he's just deflecting here as usual.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, that's the end of your cross-examination. MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, if I can just put one proposition, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: No, no your time is up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct himself and said the passage that he was referring to was the one at the foot of page 37 where you said you were impressed – MR MPOFU SC: He knows that he's just deflecting here as usual. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, that's the end of your cross-examination. MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, if I can just put one proposition, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: No, no your time is up. If you wanted to put a proposition you should have put it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct himself and said the passage that he was referring to was the one at the foot of page 37 where you said you were impressed – MR MPOFU SC: He knows that he's just deflecting here as usual. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, at page 26 the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, that's the end of your cross-examination. MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, if I can just put one proposition, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: No, no your time is up. If you wanted to put a proposition you should have put it earlier. Your time is up, we're moving onto then next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct himself and said the passage that he was referring to was the one at the foot of page 37 where you said you were impressed – MR MPOFU SC: He knows that he's just deflecting here as usual. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, at page 26 the Provincial Commissioner sait to you "Tomorrow when we go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, that's the end of your cross-examination. MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, if I can just put one proposition, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no your time is up. If you wanted to put a proposition you should have put it earlier. Your time is up, we're moving onto then next cross-examiner who is from the Human Rights Commission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct himself and said the passage that he was referring to was the one at the foot of page 37 where you said you were impressed – MR MPOFU SC: He knows that he's just deflecting here as usual. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, at page 26 the Provincial Commissioner said to you "Tomorrow when we go there for the second time, and then if they did not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, that's the end of your cross-examination. MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, if I can just put one proposition, Chairper- If you wanted to put a proposition you should have put it earlier. Your time is up, we're moving onto then next cross-examiner who is from the Human Rights Commission. MR BHAM SC: Before we do so, Mr Chair,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct himself and said the passage that he was referring to was the one at the foot of page 37 where you said you were impressed – MR MPOFU SC: He knows that he's just deflecting here as usual. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, at page 26 the Provincial Commissioner said to you "Tomorrow when we go there for the second time, and then if they did not surrender then it's blood." Do you remember that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, that's the end of your cross-examination. MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, if I can just put one proposition, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: No, no your time is up. If you wanted to put a proposition you should have put it earlier. Your time is up, we're moving onto then next cross-examiner who is from the Human Rights Commission. MR BHAM SC: Before we do so, Mr Chair, can I hand up the document that you've been waiting for?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct himself and said the passage that he was referring to was the one at the foot of page 37 where you said you were impressed – MR MPOFU SC: He knows that he's just deflecting here as usual. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, at page 26 the Provincial Commissioner said to you "Tomorrow when we go there for the second time, and then if they did not surrender then it's blood." Do you remember that? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I remember that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, that's the end of your cross-examination. MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, if I can just put one proposition, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no your time is up. If you wanted to put a proposition you should have put it earlier. Your time is up, we're moving onto then next cross-examiner who is from the Human Rights Commission. MR BHAM SC: Before we do so, Mr Chair, can I hand up the document that you've been waiting for? This is from Mr Mokoena. Might I just say this? Although
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct himself and said the passage that he was referring to was the one at the foot of page 37 where you said you were impressed – MR MPOFU SC: He knows that he's just deflecting here as usual. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, at page 26 the Provincial Commissioner said to you "Tomorrow when we go there for the second time, and then if they did not surrender then it's blood." Do you remember that? MR MPOFU SC: Then it's blood. You know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, that's the end of your cross-examination. MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, if I can just put one proposition, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no your time is up. If you wanted to put a proposition you should have put it earlier. Your time is up, we're moving onto then next cross-examiner who is from the Human Rights Commission. MR BHAM SC: Before we do so, Mr Chair, can I hand up the document that you've been waiting for? This is from Mr Mokoena. Might I just say this? Although it was printed by my learnet junior the document is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct himself and said the passage that he was referring to was the one at the foot of page 37 where you said you were impressed – MR MPOFU SC: He knows that he's just deflecting here as usual. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, at page 26 the Provincial Commissioner said to you "Tomorrow when we go there for the second time, and then if they did not surrender then it's blood." Do you remember that? MR MPOFU SC: Then it's blood. You know blood means bloodshed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, that's the end of your cross-examination. MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, if I can just put one proposition, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no your time is up. If you wanted to put a proposition you should have put it earlier. Your time is up, we're moving onto then next cross-examiner who is from the Human Rights Commission. MR BHAM SC: Before we do so, Mr Chair, can I hand up the document that you've been waiting for? This is from Mr Mokoena. Might I just say this? Although it was printed by my learned junior the document is verbatim what was prepared by Mr Mokoena. In other words –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	discussion. MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have asked Mr Dali to refer me to where he claims I made a statement about big guns. MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, you're not going to ask me anything okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think he's entitled to ask if you're putting something to him and he doesn't agree he made it, but he's given you the answer. The statement "I like those ones was made by Mr Kwadi" so he correct himself and said the passage that he was referring to was the one at the foot of page 37 where you said you were impressed – MR MPOFU SC: He knows that he's just deflecting here as usual. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU SC: Mr Mokoena, at page 26 the Provincial Commissioner said to you "Tomorrow when we go there for the second time, and then if they did not surrender then it's blood." Do you remember that? MR MPOFU SC: Then it's blood. You know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	transform the company and infuse new culture. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokoena, what's your answer to that? MR MPOFU SC: What do you say to that? MR MOKOENA: I disagree, to his statement, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: To what statement? MR MOKOENA: I said he's made a statement and I disagree with it. MR MPOFU SC: Ja, no okay. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mpofu, that's the end of your cross-examination. MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, if I can just put one proposition, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no your time is up. If you wanted to put a proposition you should have put it earlier. Your time is up, we're moving onto then next cross-examiner who is from the Human Rights Commission. MR BHAM SC: Before we do so, Mr Chair, can I hand up the document that you've been waiting for? This is from Mr Mokoena. Might I just say this? Although it was printed by my learnet junior the document is

1	Page 38179	1	Page 38181
1	MR BHAM SC: Put it at then end of the	1	questions for Lonmin, either Mokoena or Seedat.
2	bundle that we're in at the moment. May I make a	2	CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps more time could be
3	suggestion?	3	available to be used with Mr Seedat when he comes. So you
4	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that sounds a sensible	4	don't want to cross-examine at all?
5	suggestion, yes let's do that.	5	MS LE ROUX: Well, Chair, we do wish to
6	MR BHAM SC: That it is numbered from 428	6	cross-examine, but we understand the Commission has ruled
7	onwards. We'll just –	7	that there won't be phase 2 questioning of –
8	CHAIRPERSON: How many pages?	8	CHAIRPERSON: Let me phrase the question
9	MR BHAM SC: It's five pages. What I'll	9	more precisely. You don't want to cross-examine this
10	do is I'll just number three copies.	10	witness on phase 1.
11	CHAIRPERSON: Yes 428 to 432, number –	11	MS LE ROUX: Correct, Chair.
12	MS PILLAY: Chair, I think it would be	12	CHAIRPERSON: All right. Okay, well in
13	more practical to make it a separate exhibit because –	13	that event you've got no cross-examination. The next
14	CHAIRPERSON: So what will that be then?	14	cross-examination is from the Legal Resources Centre.
15	MS PILLAY: It will be WWWW2.	15	MR NGCUKAITOBI SC: Mr Chairman, again if
16	MR BHAM SC: Okay that's fine.	16	I – perhaps Mr Chaskalson should take the lead here.
17	CHAIRPERSON: What do we call the	17	MR CHASKALSON SC: The Legal Resources
18	document?	18	Centre yesterday indicated that they would rather use their
19	MR BHAM SC: It's a document prepared by	19	30 minutes with Mr Seedat on the same excuse for –
20	Mr Mokoena with the title Lessons learnt from the tragic	20	CHAIRPERSON: Okay you also won't be
21	events of August 2012.	21	cross-examining this witness on phase 1. That's correct.
22	CHAIRPERSON: Lessons learnt?	22	MR NGCUKAITOBI: On the basis, Mr Chair,
23	MR BHAM SC: From the tragic events of	23	that we would have 30 minutes for Mr Seedat.
23 24	August 2012.	23	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course. Yes all
24 25	-	24 25	right, thank you. So the evidence leaders are next. Mr
25	CHAIRPERSON: Yes I see, thank you. It	25	nght, thank you. So the evidence leaders are next. In
	Page 38180		Page 38182
1	will be so marked, VVVV2. Did you also prepare a document	1	Chaskalson have you got question for the witness?
2	on the things you wished – or your comments on the	2	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR CHASKALSON SC:
3	transcript of the discussion you had –	3	Yes, yes I have just a few questions for Mr Mokoena. Mr
4	MR MOKOENA: Yes, Chair, the last page on	4	Mokoena, most of the issues that I wanted traverse with you
5	that document –	5	have already been dealt with by counsel, I don't want to
6	CHAIRPERSON: It's all in there.	6	repeat anything. I just want to ask you a few questions
7	MR MOKOENA: Yes.	7	about the flow from your meeting with the Provincial
8	CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. Ms Le	8	Commissioner on the Tuesday afternoon which is JJJ192. And
9	Roux, I think you're next.	9	there will be a small bundle of extra exhibits that will be
10	MS LE ROUX: Yes Chair, although I must	10	handed up.
11	just record that this does raise some confusion because we	11	CHAIRPERSON: Do you know how long this
12	were advised that phase 2 issues would not be canvassed	12	will take because I see it's now –
	were advised that phase 2 issues would not be canvassed with Mr Mokoena, they would be for Mr Seedat and then we		will take because I see it's now -
13	with Mr Mokoena, they would be for Mr Seedat and then we	13	will take because I see it's now – MR CHASKALSON SC: 15 minutes,
13 14	with Mr Mokoena, they would be for Mr Seedat and then we understand there's been a ruling denying cross-examination	13 14	will take because I see it's now – MR CHASKALSON SC: 15 minutes, Chairperson.
13 14 15	with Mr Mokoena, they would be for Mr Seedat and then we understand there's been a ruling denying cross-examination on phase 2.	13 14 15	will take because I see it's now – MR CHASKALSON SC: 15 minutes, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: All right, okay. We'll
13 14 15 16	with Mr Mokoena, they would be for Mr Seedat and then we understand there's been a ruling denying cross-examination on phase 2. CHAIRPERSON: You're entitled to –	13 14 15 16	will take because I see it's now – MR CHASKALSON SC: 15 minutes, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: All right, okay. We'll then take the first comfort break after that.
13 14 15 16 17	with Mr Mokoena, they would be for Mr Seedat and then we understand there's been a ruling denying cross-examination on phase 2. CHAIRPERSON: You're entitled to – MS LE ROUX: So as we understood the	13 14 15 16 17	will take because I see it's now – MR CHASKALSON SC: 15 minutes, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: All right, okay. We'll then take the first comfort break after that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Mokoena, I'd like
13 14 15 16 17 18	with Mr Mokoena, they would be for Mr Seedat and then we understand there's been a ruling denying cross-examination on phase 2. CHAIRPERSON: You're entitled to – MS LE ROUX: So as we understood the position we were not going to be able to put phase 2, I'm	13 14 15 16 17 18	will take because I see it's now – MR CHASKALSON SC: 15 minutes, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: All right, okay. We'll then take the first comfort break after that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Mokoena, I'd like to take you first to page 2 of this transcript of your
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	with Mr Mokoena, they would be for Mr Seedat and then we understand there's been a ruling denying cross-examination on phase 2. CHAIRPERSON: You're entitled to – MS LE ROUX: So as we understood the position we were not going to be able to put phase 2, I'm happy to put phase 2 to Mr –	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	will take because I see it's now – MR CHASKALSON SC: 15 minutes, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: All right, okay. We'll then take the first comfort break after that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Mokoena, I'd like to take you first to page 2 of this transcript of your meeting where you are quoted.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with Mr Mokoena, they would be for Mr Seedat and then we understand there's been a ruling denying cross-examination on phase 2. CHAIRPERSON: You're entitled to – MS LE ROUX: So as we understood the position we were not going to be able to put phase 2, I'm happy to put phase 2 to Mr – CHAIRPERSON: No I don't want you to.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>will take because I see it's now -     MR CHASKALSON SC: 15 minutes, Chairperson.     CHAIRPERSON: All right, okay. We'll then take the first comfort break after that.     MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Mokoena, I'd like to take you first to page 2 of this transcript of your meeting where you are quoted.     CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, I</pre>
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	with Mr Mokoena, they would be for Mr Seedat and then we understand there's been a ruling denying cross-examination on phase 2. CHAIRPERSON: You're entitled to – MS LE ROUX: So as we understood the position we were not going to be able to put phase 2, I'm happy to put phase 2 to Mr – CHAIRPERSON: No I don't want you to. MS LE ROUX: The erstwhile phase 2 –	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	will take because I see it's now – MR CHASKALSON SC: 15 minutes, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: All right, okay. We'll then take the first comfort break after that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Mokoena, I'd like to take you first to page 2 of this transcript of your meeting where you are quoted. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, I take it this document you've handed us should also be given
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	with Mr Mokoena, they would be for Mr Seedat and then we understand there's been a ruling denying cross-examination on phase 2. CHAIRPERSON: You're entitled to – MS LE ROUX: So as we understood the position we were not going to be able to put phase 2, I'm happy to put phase 2 to Mr – CHAIRPERSON: No I don't want you to. MS LE ROUX: The erstwhile phase 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I don't want you to. I	<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	will take because I see it's now – MR CHASKALSON SC: 15 minutes, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: All right, okay. We'll then take the first comfort break after that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Mokoena, I'd like to take you first to page 2 of this transcript of your meeting where you are quoted. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, I take it this document you've handed us should also be given an exhibit number. VVVV3?
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	with Mr Mokoena, they would be for Mr Seedat and then we understand there's been a ruling denying cross-examination on phase 2. CHAIRPERSON: You're entitled to – MS LE ROUX: So as we understood the position we were not going to be able to put phase 2, I'm happy to put phase 2 to Mr – CHAIRPERSON: No I don't want you to. MS LE ROUX: The erstwhile phase 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I don't want you to. I understood you wanted to cross-examine on – do you not want	<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	<pre>will take because I see it's now -</pre>
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	with Mr Mokoena, they would be for Mr Seedat and then we understand there's been a ruling denying cross-examination on phase 2. CHAIRPERSON: You're entitled to – MS LE ROUX: So as we understood the position we were not going to be able to put phase 2, I'm happy to put phase 2 to Mr – CHAIRPERSON: No I don't want you to. MS LE ROUX: The erstwhile phase 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I don't want you to. I	<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	will take because I see it's now – MR CHASKALSON SC: 15 minutes, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: All right, okay. We'll then take the first comfort break after that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Mokoena, I'd like to take you first to page 2 of this transcript of your meeting where you are quoted. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, I take it this document you've handed us should also be given an exhibit number. VVVV3?

1	Page 38183 MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja. Chair, there are	1	Page 38185 MR MOKOENA: Exactly.
2	three different documents, maybe if we could give them	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: And for that purpose
3	different exhibit numbers, there are actually four and	3	you needed to know when the police were going to take
4	make the email WWWW3, the table of cell phone calls WWWW4,	4	action to resolve the situation.
5	the document paginated number 304 WWWW5, media release	5	MR MOKOENA: Exactly.
6	issued by Lonmin on 16 August and WWWW6 will be the	6	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably that
7	document which starts with paginated 305 and runs to 306	7	remained Lonmin's position well throughout the week.
8	that will be media statement by Lonmin, violence at Lonmin	8	MR MOKOENA: Yes, I think it was the
9	operations, 16 August.	9	expectation of Lonmin management.
10	CHAIRPERSON: Also 16 August.	10	MR CHASKALSON SC: So you weren't going
11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes.	11	to issue your ultimatum until you knew that the police had
12	CHAIRPERSON: So it's a media release and	12	acted as it were, or were going to act.
13	a media statement both dated 16 August issued by Lonmin.	13	MR MOKOENA: Yes, that was my
14	MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, Chair.	14	understanding.
15	And Mr Mokoena, I'd just like to read what you said at your	15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I then take you to
16	meeting with the Provincial Commissioner from the foot of	16	WWWW3, the email? It was sent to you by Mark Munroe at
17	page 2. You say "And our plan going forward is we are not	17	6:29am on the Thursday and it says "Gents today is the day
18	forcing workers to come to work for now because we cannot	18	we need to drive. Return to work by tomorrow 7:00am or
19	really guarantee, because we do not know, you know are	19	face dismissal." So you got an email from Mark Munroe at
20	these people still or not. Tomorrow we want to issue an	20	6:29am on Thursday the 16th saying our ultimatum goes out
21	ultimatum because we have a court interdict that we've	21	today. And that email is also reflected in the two media
22	actually issued." SAPS Commissioner says "Issued." "We	22	releases WWWW5 and WWWW6 which Lonmin on the Thursday and
23	want to give everybody the benefit of the doubt today and	23	you'll see on W5 the last two sentences "Lonmin has issued
24	your course is to settle and do things. We do not want to	24	several notices to the RDOs and exhausted all possible
25	say come to work while the situation is very tense." Now	25	avenues to encourage them to return to work. Consequently
1	Page 38184	1	Page 38186
1	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that	1	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers
2	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate	2	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or
2 3	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the	2 3	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media
2 3 4	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work	2 3 4	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the
2 3 4 5	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until	2 3 4 5	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock
2 3 4 5 6	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike	2 3 4 5 6	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is
2 3 4 5 6 7	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well what did you mean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16 August, been issued with a final ultimatum to return to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well what did you mean then by this statement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16 August, been issued with a final ultimatum to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or face
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well what did you mean then by this statement? MR MOKOENA: I meant that I was concerned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16 August, been issued with a final ultimatum to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or face dismissal." Now how did you know at 6:29am that it would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well what did you mean then by this statement? MR MOKOENA: I meant that I was concerned about safety of people coming to work and that at that time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16 August, been issued with a final ultimatum to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or face dismissal." Now how did you know at 6:29am that it would be safe for work, to return to work on the 17th, 6:29am on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well what did you mean then by this statement? MR MOKOENA: I meant that I was concerned about safety of people coming to work and that at that time it would only have been proper to expect workers to come to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16 August, been issued with a final ultimatum to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or face dismissal." Now how did you know at 6:29am that it would be safe for work, to return to work on the 17th, 6:29am on the 16th of August that it would be safe for the workers to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well what did you mean then by this statement? MR MOKOENA: I meant that I was concerned about safety of people coming to work and that at that time it would only have been proper to expect workers to come to work if the situation was safe.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16 August, been issued with a final ultimatum to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or face dismissal." Now how did you know at 6:29am that it would be safe for work, to return to work on the 17th, 6:29am on the 16th of August that it would be safe for the workers to return on the 17th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well what did you mean then by this statement? MR MOKOENA: I meant that I was concerned about safety of people coming to work and that at that time it would only have been proper to expect workers to come to work if the situation was safe. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16 August, been issued with a final ultimatum to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or face dismissal." Now how did you know at 6:29am that it would be safe for work, to return to work on the 17th, 6:29am on the 16th of August that it would be safe for the workers to return on the 17th? MR MOKOENA: This email comes from Mark
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well what did you mean then by this statement? MR MOKOENA: I meant that I was concerned about safety of people coming to work and that at that time it would only have been proper to expect workers to come to work if the situation was safe. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I don't understand there to be a major difference between what I've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16 August, been issued with a final ultimatum to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or face dismissal." Now how did you know at 6:29am that it would be safe for work, to return to work on the 17th, 6:29am on the 16th of August that it would be safe for the workers to return on the 17th? MR MOKOENA: This email comes from Mark Munroe who was my peer, head of mining and I have no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well what did you mean then by this statement? MR MOKOENA: I meant that I was concerned about safety of people coming to work and that at that time it would only have been proper to expect workers to come to work if the situation was safe. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I don't understand there to be a major difference between what I've put to you and what you've answered. You wanted to co-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16 August, been issued with a final ultimatum to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or face dismissal." Now how did you know at 6:29am that it would be safe for work, to return to work on the 17th, 6:29am on the 16th of August that it would be safe for the workers to return on the 17th? MR MOKOENA: This email comes from Mark Munroe who was my peer, head of mining and I have no recollection of how I responded to his email or if indeed I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well what did you mean then by this statement? MR MOKOENA: I meant that I was concerned about safety of people coming to work and that at that time it would only have been proper to expect workers to come to work if the situation was safe. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I don't understand there to be a major difference between what I've put to you and what you've answered. You wanted to co- ordinate what you were doing with what the police was doing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16 August, been issued with a final ultimatum to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or face dismissal." Now how did you know at 6:29am that it would be safe for work, to return to work on the 17th, 6:29am on the 16th of August that it would be safe for the workers to return on the 17th? MR MOKOENA: This email comes from Mark Munroe who was my peer, head of mining and I have no recollection of how I responded to his email or if indeed I did respond to that. So it's him who's saying today is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well what did you mean then by this statement? MR MOKOENA: I meant that I was concerned about safety of people coming to work and that at that time it would only have been proper to expect workers to come to work if the situation was safe. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I don't understand there to be a major difference between what I've put to you and what you've answered. You wanted to co- ordinate what you were doing with what the police was doing so that you wouldn't issue an ultimatum until the police had made it safe. MR MOKOENA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16 August, been issued with a final ultimatum to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or face dismissal." Now how did you know at 6:29am that it would be safe for work, to return to work on the 17th, 6:29am on the 16th of August that it would be safe for the workers to return on the 17th? MR MOKOENA: This email comes from Mark Munroe who was my peer, head of mining and I have no recollection of how I responded to his email or if indeed I did respond to that. So it's him who's saying today is the day we need to drive, return to work by tomorrow 7:00am or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well what did you mean then by this statement? MR MOKOENA: I meant that I was concerned about safety of people coming to work and that at that time it would only have been proper to expect workers to come to work if the situation was safe. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I don't understand there to be a major difference between what I've put to you and what you've answered. You wanted to co- ordinate what you were doing with what the police was doing so that you wouldn't issue an ultimatum until the police had made it safe. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Because it wouldn't be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16 August, been issued with a final ultimatum to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or face dismissal." Now how did you know at 6:29am that it would be safe for work, to return to work on the 17th, 6:29am on the 16th of August that it would be safe for the workers to return on the 17th? MR MOKOENA: This email comes from Mark Munroe who was my peer, head of mining and I have no recollection of how I responded to his email or if indeed I did respond to that. So it's him who's saying today is the day we need to drive, return to work by tomorrow 7:00am or face dismissal, so I'm not in a position to say what Mark had mind, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: But, Mr Mokoena, on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well what did you mean then by this statement? MR MOKOENA: I meant that I was concerned about safety of people coming to work and that at that time it would only have been proper to expect workers to come to work if the situation was safe. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I don't understand there to be a major difference between what I've put to you and what you've answered. You wanted to co- ordinate what you were doing with what the police was doing so that you wouldn't issue an ultimatum until the police had made it safe. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Because it wouldn't be fair to people to demand that they return to work when it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16 August, been issued with a final ultimatum to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or face dismissal." Now how did you know at 6:29am that it would be safe for work, to return to work on the 17th, 6:29am on the 16th of August that it would be safe for the workers to return on the 17th? MR MOKOENA: This email comes from Mark Munroe who was my peer, head of mining and I have no recollection of how I responded to his email or if indeed I did respond to that. So it's him who's saying today is the day we need to drive, return to work by tomorrow 7:00am or face dismissal, so I'm not in a position to say what Mark had mind, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: But, Mr Mokoena, on Tuesday your attitude was we have to co-ordinate with SAPS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	am I right that from your perspective your concern was that you needed to co-ordinate or Lonmin needed to co-ordinate its actions with SAPS because out of fairness to the workers who you were going to demand to come back to work you couldn't give them an ultimatum to return to work until SAPS had made the place safe by arresting the strike leadership? Was that your position on the day? MR MOKOENA: No my position, no it wasn't, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well what did you mean then by this statement? MR MOKOENA: I meant that I was concerned about safety of people coming to work and that at that time it would only have been proper to expect workers to come to work if the situation was safe. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I don't understand there to be a major difference between what I've put to you and what you've answered. You wanted to co- ordinate what you were doing with what the police was doing so that you wouldn't issue an ultimatum until the police had made it safe. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Because it wouldn't be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Lonmin has issued a final ultimatum to the striking workers to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or they could face dismissal." That's what the first media release says and the second makes a similar point in the third paragraph on the first page of WWWW6. "Striking rock drill operators remain armed and away from work. This is illegal under the Labour Relations Act consequently and in keeping with the court order granted to Lonmin on the 11th August 2012 the illegal strikers have today, Thursday 16 August, been issued with a final ultimatum to return to work by their next shift on Friday the 17th or face dismissal." Now how did you know at 6:29am that it would be safe for work, to return to work on the 17th, 6:29am on the 16th of August that it would be safe for the workers to return on the 17th? MR MOKOENA: This email comes from Mark Munroe who was my peer, head of mining and I have no recollection of how I responded to his email or if indeed I did respond to that. So it's him who's saying today is the day we need to drive, return to work by tomorrow 7:00am or face dismissal, so I'm not in a position to say what Mark had mind, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: But, Mr Mokoena, on

1	Page 38187	1	Page 38189 that SAPS were going to move in the next day. Did she not
1	know that SAPS is going to act. MR MOKOENA: That is correct.	2	convey that to you in the 30 seconds that you spoke at
3	MR CHASKALSON SC: And you said that you	3	quarter past 8?
4	understood that to be Lonmin's attitude through the week.	4	MR MOKOENA: Absolutely, Chair, I have
5	MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in actual fact the	5	had no conversation with General Mbombo on what they were
6	context of us - we deliberated on issuing the ultimatum and	6	going to do the next day and all this. I did not discuss
7	Chair, there was hope that the ultimatum would actually	7	any – or she did not tell me anything about what was going
8	attract workers back to work because since we issued the	8	to happen.
9	court interdict notice on the Friday nothing had happened.	9	CHAIRPERSON: You phoned her?
10	And I recall a strong feeling at EXCO that an ultimatum	10	MR MOKOENA: No, the MTC means I phoned
11	would actually help workers come back to work. I think	11	her.
12	that was the context in which an agreement – we came to an	12	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, as I said, you phoned
13	agreement. There was hope that the ultimatum may bring	13	her.
14	workers back to work for fear of being dismissed, yes.	14	MR MOKOENA: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON: If the security problem	15	CHAIRPERSON: Why? What for?
16	wasn't solved then there's another fear, the fear would be	16	MR MOKOENA: I have no idea, Chair. We,
17	that if they did come back, some of them, in answer to the	17	I've had several –
18	ultimatum they might have been killed. So surely it would	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I can possibly
19	only have been responsible to have issued the ultimatum, in	19	assist you in that regard, Mr Mokoena. She tried to phone
20	the light of the policy that you'd already discussed with	20	you three times between quarter to 2 and 12 minutes past 2,
21	Mr Chaskalson, conceding to be the case on the Tuesday.	21	earlier in the day. Those MOCs that all last five seconds,
22	Surely it would only have been responsible to have issued	22	six seconds, three seconds, look to me like three attempts
23	that ultimatum if you had known that the security problem	23	by her to call you, which didn't succeed, which reached
24	would be over by Friday. What do you say to that?	24	your voicemail. So I suspect that when you phoned back at
25	MR MOKOENA: I agree with that, Chair, as	25	20:16 you were possibly trying to get back to some missed
1	Page 38188	1	Page 38190
1	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as	1	calls.
2	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was	2	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair.
2 3	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued.	2 3	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she
2 3 4	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in	2 3 4	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were
2 3 4 5	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just	2 3 4 5	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do?
2 3 4 5 6	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask	2 3 4 5 6	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do? MR MOKOENA: No. Not a single police
2 3 4 5 6 7	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going	2 3 4 5 6 7	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do? MR MOKOENA: No. Not a single police official ever told me or described or presented or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do? MR MOKOENA: No. Not a single police official ever told me or described or presented or explained any of their plans except generic information
2 3 4 5 6 7	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going	2 3 4 5 6 7	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do? MR MOKOENA: No. Not a single police official ever told me or described or presented or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do? MR MOKOENA: No. Not a single police official ever told me or described or presented or explained any of their plans except generic information about reinforcement. I was not privy to any of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to be safe for workers to return to work?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do? MR MOKOENA: No. Not a single police official ever told me or described or presented or explained any of their plans except generic information about reinforcement. I was not privy to any of the details.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to be safe for workers to return to work? [09:52] MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have absolutely no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do? MR MOKOENA: No. Not a single police official ever told me or described or presented or explained any of their plans except generic information about reinforcement. I was not privy to any of the details. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you don't know how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to be safe for workers to return to work? [09:52] MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have absolutely no context of this one line from Mark. I'm trying to say –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do? MR MOKOENA: No. Not a single police official ever told me or described or presented or explained any of their plans except generic information about reinforcement. I was not privy to any of the details. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you don't know how anyone in Lonmin would have known by 6:30AM that it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to be safe for workers to return to work? [09:52] MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have absolutely no context of this one line from Mark. I'm trying to say – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me – I know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do? MR MOKOENA: No. Not a single police official ever told me or described or presented or explained any of their plans except generic information about reinforcement. I was not privy to any of the details. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you don't know how anyone in Lonmin would have known by 6:30AM that it was going to be safe for workers to return the following day?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to be safe for workers to return to work? [09:52] MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have absolutely no context of this one line from Mark. I'm trying to say – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me – I know that's what you said, but let me put another concern that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	calls.           MR MOKOENA:         That's very possible, Chair.           MR CHASKALSON SC:         Well, you say she           didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were           going to do?           MR MOKOENA:         No. Not a single police           official ever told me or described or presented or           explained any of their plans except generic information           about reinforcement.         I was not privy to any of the           details.           MR CHASKALSON SC:         And you don't know how           anyone in Lonmin would have known by 6:30AM that it was           going to be safe for workers to return the following day?           MR MOKOENA:         I don't know how Mark would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to be safe for workers to return to work? [09:52] MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have absolutely no context of this one line from Mark. I'm trying to say – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me – I know that's what you said, but let me put another concern that I have in this regard to you. WWWW4 is a list of cell phone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	calls.           MR MOKOENA:         That's very possible, Chair.           MR CHASKALSON SC:         Well, you say she           didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were           going to do?           MR MOKOENA:         No. Not a single police           official ever told me or described or presented or           explained any of their plans except generic information           about reinforcement.         I was not privy to any of the           details.           MR CHASKALSON SC:         And you don't know how           anyone in Lonmin would have known by 6:30AM that it was           going to be safe for workers to return the following day?           MR MOKOENA:         I don't know how Mark would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to be safe for workers to return to work? [09:52] MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have absolutely no context of this one line from Mark. I'm trying to say – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me – I know that's what you said, but let me put another concern that I have in this regard to you. WWWW4 is a list of cell phone calls between General Mbombo and yourself over the period	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	calls.           MR MOKOENA:         That's very possible, Chair.           MR CHASKALSON SC:         Well, you say she           didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were           going to do?           MR MOKOENA:         No. Not a single police           official ever told me or described or presented or           explained any of their plans except generic information           about reinforcement.         I was not privy to any of the           details.           MR CHASKALSON SC:         And you don't know how           anyone in Lonmin would have known by 6:30AM that it was           going to be safe for workers to return the following day?           MR MOKOENA:         I don't know how Mark would           have arrived at that decision.         You see, what does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to be safe for workers to return to work? [09:52] MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have absolutely no context of this one line from Mark. I'm trying to say – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me – I know that's what you said, but let me put another concern that I have in this regard to you. WWWW4 is a list of cell phone calls between General Mbombo and yourself over the period 14 to 16 August and what we see there is that at 15 August	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	calls.           MR MOKOENA:         That's very possible, Chair.           MR CHASKALSON SC:         Well, you say she           didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were           going to do?           MR MOKOENA:         No. Not a single police           official ever told me or described or presented or           explained any of their plans except generic information           about reinforcement.         I was not privy to any of the           details.           MR CHASKALSON SC:         And you don't know how           anyone in Lonmin would have known by 6:30AM that it was           going to be safe for workers to return the following day?           MR MOKOENA:         I don't know how Mark would           have arrived at that decision.         MR CHASKALSON SC:         You see, what does           strike me as strange about it, Mr Mokoena, is we've looked         Strike me as strange about it, Mr Mokoena, is we've looked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to be safe for workers to return to work? [09:52] MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have absolutely no context of this one line from Mark. I'm trying to say – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me – I know that's what you said, but let me put another concern that I have in this regard to you. WWWW4 is a list of cell phone calls between General Mbombo and yourself over the period 14 to 16 August and what we see there is that at 15 August at quarter past 8, or 20:16:26, General Mbombo took a call	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	calls.           MR MOKOENA:         That's very possible, Chair.           MR CHASKALSON SC:         Well, you say she           didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were           going to do?           MR MOKOENA:         No. Not a single police           official ever told me or described or presented or           explained any of their plans except generic information           about reinforcement.         I was not privy to any of the           details.           MR CHASKALSON SC:         And you don't know how           anyone in Lonmin would have known by 6:30AM that it was           going to be safe for workers to return the following day?           MR MOKOENA:         I don't know how Mark would           have arrived at that decision.         MR CHASKALSON SC:           MR CHASKALSON SC:         You see, what does           strike me as strange about it, Mr Mokoena, is we've looked           at General Mbombo's full cell phone records and what we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to be safe for workers to return to work? [09:52] MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have absolutely no context of this one line from Mark. I'm trying to say – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me – I know that's what you said, but let me put another concern that I have in this regard to you. WWWW4 is a list of cell phone calls between General Mbombo and yourself over the period 14 to 16 August and what we see there is that at 15 August at quarter past 8, or 20:16:26, General Mbombo took a call from you that lasted for 30 seconds. She was at a meeting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do? MR MOKOENA: No. Not a single police official ever told me or described or presented or explained any of their plans except generic information about reinforcement. I was not privy to any of the details. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you don't know how anyone in Lonmin would have known by 6:30AM that it was going to be safe for workers to return the following day? MR MOKOENA: I don't know how Mark would have arrived at that decision. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what does strike me as strange about it, Mr Mokoena, is we've looked at General Mbombo's full cell phone records and what we can tell you is that between 8PM on Wednesday the 15th and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to be safe for workers to return to work? [09:52] MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have absolutely no context of this one line from Mark. I'm trying to say – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me – I know that's what you said, but let me put another concern that I have in this regard to you. WWWW4 is a list of cell phone calls between General Mbombo and yourself over the period 14 to 16 August and what we see there is that at 15 August at quarter past 8, or 20:16:26, General Mbombo took a call from you that lasted for 30 seconds. She was at a meeting of the National Management Forum at that stage, which was possibly already over and she was possibly going to move into what the SAPS call an extraordinary session after that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do? MR MOKOENA: No. Not a single police official ever told me or described or presented or explained any of their plans except generic information about reinforcement. I was not privy to any of the details. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you don't know how anyone in Lonmin would have known by 6:30AM that it was going to be safe for workers to return the following day? MR MOKOENA: I don't know how Mark would have arrived at that decision. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what does strike me as strange about it, Mr Mokoena, is we've looked at General Mbombo's full cell phone records and what we can tell you is that between 8PM on Wednesday the 15th and 6:15AM on Thursday the 16th she had a number of calls to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to be safe for workers to return to work? [09:52] MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have absolutely no context of this one line from Mark. I'm trying to say – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me – I know that's what you said, but let me put another concern that I have in this regard to you. WWWW4 is a list of cell phone calls between General Mbombo and yourself over the period 14 to 16 August and what we see there is that at 15 August at quarter past 8, or 20:16:26, General Mbombo took a call from you that lasted for 30 seconds. She was at a meeting of the National Management Forum at that stage, which was possibly already over and she was possibly going to move into what the SAPS call an extraordinary session after that meeting. She took a call from you for 30 seconds. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do? MR MOKOENA: No. Not a single police official ever told me or described or presented or explained any of their plans except generic information about reinforcement. I was not privy to any of the details. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you don't know how anyone in Lonmin would have known by 6:30AM that it was going to be safe for workers to return the following day? MR MOKOENA: I don't know how Mark would have arrived at that decision. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what does strike me as strange about it, Mr Mokoena, is we've looked at General Mbombo's full cell phone records and what we can tell you is that between 8PM on Wednesday the 15th and 6:15AM on Thursday the 16th she had a number of calls to senior police officers, but the only other people to whom she spoke were yourself and Mr Sinclair, only other people to whom she spoke.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to be safe for workers to return to work? [09:52] MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have absolutely no context of this one line from Mark. I'm trying to say – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me – I know that's what you said, but let me put another concern that I have in this regard to you. WWWW4 is a list of cell phone calls between General Mbombo and yourself over the period 14 to 16 August and what we see there is that at 15 August at quarter past 8, or 20:16:26, General Mbombo took a call from you that lasted for 30 seconds. She was at a meeting of the National Management Forum at that stage, which was possibly already over and she was possibly going to move into what the SAPS call an extraordinary session after that meeting. She took a call from you for 30 seconds. The SAPS version is at the extraordinary session that took	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do? MR MOKOENA: No. Not a single police official ever told me or described or presented or explained any of their plans except generic information about reinforcement. I was not privy to any of the details. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you don't know how anyone in Lonmin would have known by 6:30AM that it was going to be safe for workers to return the following day? MR MOKOENA: I don't know how Mark would have arrived at that decision. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what does strike me as strange about it, Mr Mokoena, is we've looked at General Mbombo's full cell phone records and what we can tell you is that between 8PM on Wednesday the 15th and 6:15AM on Thursday the 16th she had a number of calls to senior police officers, but the only other people to whom she spoke were yourself and Mr Sinclair, only other people to whom she spoke. MR MOKOENA: I do not deny that. As I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	I said the sentiment was the ultimatum may come across as we're going to be fired, let's go back to work. That was the spirit in which this ultimatum was issued. MR CHASKALSON SC: But my interest is in something else and it's the point that the Chair has just put to you. You as Lonmin knew it wouldn't be fair to ask workers to come back to work unless you knew it was going to be safe for them. How did you know at 06:30 in the morning, on Thursday, that by the next day it was going to be safe for workers to return to work? [09:52] MR MOKOENA: Chair, I have absolutely no context of this one line from Mark. I'm trying to say – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me – I know that's what you said, but let me put another concern that I have in this regard to you. WWWW4 is a list of cell phone calls between General Mbombo and yourself over the period 14 to 16 August and what we see there is that at 15 August at quarter past 8, or 20:16:26, General Mbombo took a call from you that lasted for 30 seconds. She was at a meeting of the National Management Forum at that stage, which was possibly already over and she was possibly going to move into what the SAPS call an extraordinary session after that meeting. She took a call from you for 30 seconds. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	calls. MR MOKOENA: That's very possible, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say she didn't say any of that, she didn't tell you what SAPS were going to do? MR MOKOENA: No. Not a single police official ever told me or described or presented or explained any of their plans except generic information about reinforcement. I was not privy to any of the details. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you don't know how anyone in Lonmin would have known by 6:30AM that it was going to be safe for workers to return the following day? MR MOKOENA: I don't know how Mark would have arrived at that decision. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what does strike me as strange about it, Mr Mokoena, is we've looked at General Mbombo's full cell phone records and what we can tell you is that between 8PM on Wednesday the 15th and 6:15AM on Thursday the 16th she had a number of calls to senior police officers, but the only other people to whom she spoke were yourself and Mr Sinclair, only other people to whom she spoke.

	Page 38191		Page 38193
	1 telephone with her that she would have presented to me,	1	MR CHASKALSON SC: But of course from
	2 explained to me about their plans. I –	2	Lonmin's perspective you didn't need to know what the
	3 CHAIRPERSON: She did tell you what their	3	details were to know that it would be safe. All you needed
	4 plans were on the Tuesday? Is that right?	4	to know is that the police were going to move in.
	5 MR MOKOENA: Not at all, Chair.	5	MR MOKOENA: Yes, she actually confirmed
	6 CHAIRPERSON: According to the transcript	6	that they were going to move in and they were going to
	7 she told you they're going to have to act tomorrow. She	7	disarm the workers at the koppie.
	also told you they had a plan to encircle the strikers.	8	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, that was at 9
	9 That's at the beginning of the transcript. I think she	9	o'clock.
1	0 actually said it in your hearing –	10	MR MOKOENA: Yes.
	1 MR MOKOENA: Yes.	11	MR CHASKALSON SC: But Mr Munroe sends an
	2 CHAIRPERSON: - over the telephone to the	12	email that suggests he knew it at 6:30.
1	•	13	MR MOKOENA: Chair, that's why I said I
1		14	have – Mark may have had other information, I didn't.
	5 Commissioner was proposing to act on the Wednesday morning.	15	CHAIRPERSON: I understood you to suggest
	<ul><li>6 Is that correct?</li></ul>	16	that Mr Munroe might have heard that from Mr Sinclair –
	7 MR MOKOENA: Ja, the police –	17	MR MOKOENA: Yes.
	8 CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, is it?	18	CHAIRPERSON: - who reported to him.
	9 MR MOKOENA: It is correct, Chair, that	10	MR MOKOENA: Yes.
	0 the police reinforcement, the encircling, that was generic	20	CHAIRPERSON: And so we know also, I
2		20	
	2 Mark Munro who security Sinclair was reporting to. So I	21	think Mr Sinclair was in contact with various police officers.
	3 had that type of information about reinforcement,	22	MR MOKOENA: Yes.
		23 24	CHAIRPERSON: So it's an inference that
	4 encircling, from EXCO, yes – 5 CHAIRPERSON: But we also know that	24 25	you ask us to make, I take it –
		25	
		1	
	Page 38192		Page 38194
	Page 38192 1 Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent	1	Page 38194 MR MOKOENA: Yes.
	5	1 2	
	1 Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent		MR MOKOENA: Yes.
	<ol> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> </ol>	2	MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Munroe probably
	<ol> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> </ol>	2 3	MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Munroe probably got that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from the
	<ol> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> </ol>	2 3 4	MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Munroe probably got that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from the police. You say you didn't get it yourself.
	<ol> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5	MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Munroe probably got that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from the police. You say you didn't get it yourself. MR MOKOENA: Yes, that's correct, Chair.
	<ol> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6	MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Munroe probably got that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from the police. You say you didn't get it yourself. MR MOKOENA: Yes, that's correct, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the cell phone
	<ol> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Munroe probably got that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from the police. You say you didn't get it yourself. MR MOKOENA: Yes, that's correct, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the cell phone records show that the Provincial Commissioner called Mr
	<ul> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MOKOENA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- that Mr Munroe probablygot that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from thepolice.You say you didn't get it yourself.MR MOKOENA:Yes, that's correct, Chair.MR CHASKALSON SC:And the cell phonerecords show that the Provincial Commissioner called MrSinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of the
	<ol> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MOKOENA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- that Mr Munroe probablygot that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from thepolice.You say you didn't get it yourself.MR MOKOENA:Yes, that's correct, Chair.MR CHASKALSON SC:And the cell phonerecords show that the Provincial Commissioner called MrSinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of theNational Management Forum.I can get the exact time; it's
1	<ol> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MOKOENA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- that Mr Munroe probablygot that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from thepolice.You say you didn't get it yourself.MR MOKOENA:Yes, that's correct, Chair.MR CHASKALSON SC:And the cell phonerecords show that the Provincial Commissioner called MrSinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of theNational Management Forum.I can get the exact time; it'sround about 11 o'clock at night.For the record I will get
1	<ul> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> <li>the plan that was on the table that was going to be</li> <li>implemented, or presumably it was envisaged might be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MOKOENA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- that Mr Munroe probablygot that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from thepolice.You say you didn't get it yourself.MR MOKOENA:Yes, that's correct, Chair.MR CHASKALSON SC:And the cell phonerecords show that the Provincial Commissioner called MrSinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of theNational Management Forum.I can get the exact time; it'sround about 11 o'clock at night.For the record I will getthe exact time.One last issue flowing from this; on the
1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> <li>the plan that was on the table that was going to be</li> <li>implemented, or presumably it was envisaged might be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MOKOENA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- that Mr Munroe probablygot that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from thepolice.You say you didn't get it yourself.MR MOKOENA:Yes, that's correct, Chair.MR CHASKALSON SC:And the cell phonerecords show that the Provincial Commissioner called MrSinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of theNational Management Forum.I can get the exact time; it'sround about 11 o'clock at night.For the record I will getthe exact time.One last issue flowing from this; on theTuesday the Provincial Commissioner also wanted Lonmin to
1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> <li>the plan that was on the table that was going to be</li> <li>implemented, or presumably it was envisaged might be</li> <li>implemented at that stage, and then you knew from what you</li> <li>heard the Provincial Commissioner say to the National</li> <li>Commissioner in your presence on the Tuesday afternoon that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MOKOENA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- that Mr Munroe probablygot that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from thepolice.You say you didn't get it yourself.MR MOKOENA:Yes, that's correct, Chair.MR CHASKALSON SC:And the cell phonerecords show that the Provincial Commissioner called MrSinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of theNational Management Forum.I can get the exact time; it'sround about 11 o'clock at night.For the record I will getthe exact time.One last issue flowing from this; on theTuesday the Provincial Commissioner also wanted Lonmin tocoordinate its activities with SAPS.It wasn't just that
1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> <li>the plan that was on the table that was going to be</li> <li>implemented, or presumably it was envisaged might be</li> <li>implemented at that stage, and then you knew from what you</li> <li>heard the Provincial Commissioner say to the National</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MOKOENA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- that Mr Munroe probablygot that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from thepolice.You say you didn't get it yourself.MR MOKOENA:Yes, that's correct, Chair.MR CHASKALSON SC:And the cell phonerecords show that the Provincial Commissioner called MrSinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of theNational Management Forum.I can get the exact time; it'sround about 11 o'clock at night.For the record I will getthe exact time.One last issue flowing from this; on theTuesday the Provincial Commissioner also wanted Lonmin tocoordinate its activities with SAPS.It wasn't just thatSAPS wanted to – that Lonmin wanted to coordinate its
1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> <li>the plan that was on the table that was going to be</li> <li>implemented, or presumably it was envisaged might be</li> <li>implemented at that stage, and then you knew from what you</li> <li>heard the Provincial Commissioner say to the National</li> <li>Commissioner in your presence on the Tuesday afternoon that</li> <li>her intention was to go in the next morning.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MOKOENA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- that Mr Munroe probablygot that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from thepolice.You say you didn't get it yourself.MR MOKOENA:Yes, that's correct, Chair.MR CHASKALSON SC:And the cell phonerecords show that the Provincial Commissioner called MrSinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of theNational Management Forum.I can get the exact time; it'sround about 11 o'clock at night.For the record I will getthe exact time.One last issue flowing from this; on theTuesday the Provincial Commissioner also wanted Lonmin tocoordinate its activities with SAPS.It wasn't just thatSAPS wanted to – that Lonmin wanted to coordinate itsactivities with SAPS, SAPS also wanted that.Can I take
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> <li>the plan that was on the table that was going to be</li> <li>implemented, or presumably it was envisaged might be</li> <li>implemented at that stage, and then you knew from what you</li> <li>heard the Provincial Commissioner say to the National</li> <li>Commissioner in your presence on the Tuesday afternoon that</li> <li>her intention was to go in the next morning.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, and Chair, but the</li> <li>Provincial Commissioner at the press conference was asked</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Munroe probably got that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from the police. You say you didn't get it yourself. MR MOKOENA: Yes, that's correct, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the cell phone records show that the Provincial Commissioner called Mr Sinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. I can get the exact time; it's round about 11 o'clock at night. For the record I will get the exact time. One last issue flowing from this; on the Tuesday the Provincial Commissioner also wanted Lonmin to coordinate its activities with SAPS. It wasn't just that SAPS wanted to – that Lonmin wanted to coordinate its activities with SAPS, SAPS also wanted that. Can I take you to page 6 of that transcript? This comes, you may recall she was talking about "possibly moving in this evening, but possibly not and waiting until tomorrow," and
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> <li>the plan that was on the table that was going to be</li> <li>implemented, or presumably it was envisaged might be</li> <li>implemented at that stage, and then you knew from what you</li> <li>heard the Provincial Commissioner say to the National</li> <li>Commissioner in your presence on the Tuesday afternoon that</li> <li>her intention was to go in the next morning.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, and Chair, but the</li> <li>Provincial Commissioner at the press conference was asked</li> <li>by media what the detail of the plan was and I was present</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Munroe probably got that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from the police. You say you didn't get it yourself. MR MOKOENA: Yes, that's correct, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the cell phone records show that the Provincial Commissioner called Mr Sinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. I can get the exact time; it's round about 11 o'clock at night. For the record I will get the exact time. One last issue flowing from this; on the Tuesday the Provincial Commissioner also wanted Lonmin to coordinate its activities with SAPS. It wasn't just that SAPS wanted to – that Lonmin wanted to coordinate its activities with SAPS, SAPS also wanted that. Can I take you to page 6 of that transcript? This comes, you may recall she was talking about "possibly moving in this evening, but possibly not and waiting until tomorrow," and then she says, "Getting 480 members tomorrow when we go
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	<ul> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> <li>the plan that was on the table that was going to be</li> <li>implemented, or presumably it was envisaged might be</li> <li>implemented at that stage, and then you knew from what you</li> <li>heard the Provincial Commissioner say to the National</li> <li>Commissioner in your presence on the Tuesday afternoon that</li> <li>her intention was to go in the next morning.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, and Chair, but the</li> <li>Provincial Commissioner at the press conference was asked</li> <li>by media what the detail of the plan was and I was present</li> <li>in that meeting and she did not divulge it. So it is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Munroe probably got that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from the police. You say you didn't get it yourself. MR MOKOENA: Yes, that's correct, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the cell phone records show that the Provincial Commissioner called Mr Sinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. I can get the exact time; it's round about 11 o'clock at night. For the record I will get the exact time. One last issue flowing from this; on the Tuesday the Provincial Commissioner also wanted Lonmin to coordinate its activities with SAPS. It wasn't just that SAPS wanted to – that Lonmin wanted to coordinate its activities with SAPS, SAPS also wanted that. Can I take you to page 6 of that transcript? This comes, you may recall she was talking about "possibly moving in this evening, but possibly not and waiting until tomorrow," and then she says, "Getting 480 members tomorrow when we go there for a second time now that we were there today, they
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> <li>the plan that was on the table that was going to be</li> <li>implemented, or presumably it was envisaged might be</li> <li>implemented at that stage, and then you knew from what you</li> <li>heard the Provincial Commissioner say to the National</li> <li>Commissioner in your presence on the Tuesday afternoon that</li> <li>her intention was to go in the next morning.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, and Chair, but the</li> <li>Provincial Commissioner at the press conference was asked</li> <li>by media what the detail of the plan was and I was present</li> <li>in that meeting and she did not divulge it. So it is</li> <li>correct that I knew generic information like other EXCO</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Munroe probably got that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from the police. You say you didn't get it yourself. MR MOKOENA: Yes, that's correct, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the cell phone records show that the Provincial Commissioner called Mr Sinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. I can get the exact time; it's round about 11 o'clock at night. For the record I will get the exact time. One last issue flowing from this; on the Tuesday the Provincial Commissioner also wanted Lonmin to coordinate its activities with SAPS. It wasn't just that SAPS wanted to – that Lonmin wanted to coordinate its activities with SAPS, SAPS also wanted that. Can I take you to page 6 of that transcript? This comes, you may recall she was talking about "possibly moving in this evening, but possibly not and waiting until tomorrow," and then she says, "Getting 480 members tomorrow when we go there for a second time now that we were there today, they did not surrender, then it is blood. So I want us to be in
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	<ul> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> <li>the plan that was on the table that was going to be</li> <li>implemented, or presumably it was envisaged might be</li> <li>implemented at that stage, and then you knew from what you</li> <li>heard the Provincial Commissioner say to the National</li> <li>Commissioner in your presence on the Tuesday afternoon that</li> <li>her intention was to go in the next morning.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, and Chair, but the</li> <li>Provincial Commissioner at the press conference was asked</li> <li>by media what the detail of the plan was and I was present</li> <li>in that meeting and she did not divulge it. So it is</li> <li>correct that I knew generic information like other EXCO</li> <li>members, reinforcement, that they were going to encircle,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Munroe probably got that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from the police. You say you didn't get it yourself. MR MOKOENA: Yes, that's correct, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the cell phone records show that the Provincial Commissioner called Mr Sinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. I can get the exact time; it's round about 11 o'clock at night. For the record I will get the exact time. One last issue flowing from this; on the Tuesday the Provincial Commissioner also wanted Lonmin to coordinate its activities with SAPS. It wasn't just that SAPS wanted to – that Lonmin wanted to coordinate its activities with SAPS, SAPS also wanted that. Can I take you to page 6 of that transcript? This comes, you may recall she was talking about "possibly moving in this evening, but possibly not and waiting until tomorrow," and then she says, "Getting 480 members tomorrow when we go there for a second time now that we were there today, they did not surrender, then it is blood. So I want us to be in a position to say whilst you're saying you will call them
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	<ul> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> <li>the plan that was on the table that was going to be</li> <li>implemented, or presumably it was envisaged might be</li> <li>implemented at that stage, and then you knew from what you</li> <li>heard the Provincial Commissioner say to the National</li> <li>Commissioner in your presence on the Tuesday afternoon that</li> <li>her intention was to go in the next morning.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, and Chair, but the</li> <li>Provincial Commissioner at the press conference was asked</li> <li>by media what the detail of the plan was and I was present</li> <li>in that meeting and she did not divulge it. So it is</li> <li>correct that I knew generic information like other EXCO</li> <li>members, reinforcement, that they were going to encircle,</li> <li>but as to the detail of what's going to happen on the day</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Munroe probably got that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from the police. You say you didn't get it yourself. MR MOKOENA: Yes, that's correct, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the cell phone records show that the Provincial Commissioner called Mr Sinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. I can get the exact time; it's round about 11 o'clock at night. For the record I will get the exact time. One last issue flowing from this; on the Tuesday the Provincial Commissioner also wanted Lonmin to coordinate its activities with SAPS. It wasn't just that SAPS wanted to – that Lonmin wanted to coordinate its activities with SAPS, SAPS also wanted that. Can I take you to page 6 of that transcript? This comes, you may recall she was talking about "possibly moving in this evening, but possibly not and waiting until tomorrow," and then she says, "Getting 480 members tomorrow when we go there for a second time now that we were there today, they did not surrender, then it is blood. So I want us to be in a position to say whilst you're saying you will call them or you allow us to give – but tomorrow they must be told
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> <li>the plan that was on the table that was going to be</li> <li>implemented, or presumably it was envisaged might be</li> <li>implemented at that stage, and then you knew from what you</li> <li>heard the Provincial Commissioner say to the National</li> <li>Commissioner in your presence on the Tuesday afternoon that</li> <li>her intention was to go in the next morning.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, and Chair, but the</li> <li>Provincial Commissioner at the press conference was asked</li> <li>by media what the detail of the plan was and I was present</li> <li>in that meeting and she did not divulge it. So it is</li> <li>correct that I knew generic information like other EXCO</li> <li>members, reinforcement, that they were going to encircle,</li> <li>but as to the detail of what's going to happen on the day</li> <li>and all that, 1 didn't know. Even to date I have had no</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Munroe probably got that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from the police. You say you didn't get it yourself. MR MOKOENA: Yes, that's correct, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the cell phone records show that the Provincial Commissioner called Mr Sinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. I can get the exact time; it's round about 11 o'clock at night. For the record I will get the exact time. One last issue flowing from this; on the Tuesday the Provincial Commissioner also wanted Lonmin to coordinate its activities with SAPS. It wasn't just that SAPS wanted to – that Lonmin wanted to coordinate its activities with SAPS, SAPS also wanted that. Can I take you to page 6 of that transcript? This comes, you may recall she was talking about "possibly moving in this evening, but possibly not and waiting until tomorrow," and then she says, "Getting 480 members tomorrow when we go there for a second time now that we were there today, they did not surrender, then it is blood. So I want us to be in a position to say whilst you're saying you will call them or you allow us to give – but tomorrow they must be told that the company expects them to be at work." So she
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>Lonmin knew the details of that plan because Ms Ncube sent</li> <li>an email to Mr Ramaphosa setting out what the plan was</li> <li>going to be. She used the expression "corralling," they</li> <li>were going to corral – was she on EXCO?</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, she was.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: She knew and she conveyed</li> <li>that to Mr Ramaphosa. So we know what EXCO knew already on</li> <li>the Tuesday.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Ja.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: They knew the details of</li> <li>the plan that was on the table that was going to be</li> <li>implemented, or presumably it was envisaged might be</li> <li>implemented at that stage, and then you knew from what you</li> <li>heard the Provincial Commissioner say to the National</li> <li>Commissioner in your presence on the Tuesday afternoon that</li> <li>her intention was to go in the next morning.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, and Chair, but the</li> <li>Provincial Commissioner at the press conference was asked</li> <li>by media what the detail of the plan was and I was present</li> <li>in that meeting and she did not divulge it. So it is</li> <li>correct that I knew generic information like other EXCO</li> <li>members, reinforcement, that they were going to encircle,</li> <li>but as to the detail of what's going to happen on the day</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MOKOENA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - that Mr Munroe probably got that information from Mr Sinclair, who got it from the police. You say you didn't get it yourself. MR MOKOENA: Yes, that's correct, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the cell phone records show that the Provincial Commissioner called Mr Sinclair after the end of the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. I can get the exact time; it's round about 11 o'clock at night. For the record I will get the exact time. One last issue flowing from this; on the Tuesday the Provincial Commissioner also wanted Lonmin to coordinate its activities with SAPS. It wasn't just that SAPS wanted to – that Lonmin wanted to coordinate its activities with SAPS, SAPS also wanted that. Can I take you to page 6 of that transcript? This comes, you may recall she was talking about "possibly moving in this evening, but possibly not and waiting until tomorrow," and then she says, "Getting 480 members tomorrow when we go there for a second time now that we were there today, they did not surrender, then it is blood. So I want us to be in a position to say whilst you're saying you will call them or you allow us to give – but tomorrow they must be told

		T	
	Page 38195		Page 38197
1	coordinated with the SAPS action. You recall that from the	1	Page 8, "You see, I want us to, you remember last night
2	meeting?	2	Abey and I raised this thing that where dealing with Impala
3	MR MOKOENA: Yes, I recall that.	3	we had lots of allegations and rumours and some of these
4	MR CHASKALSON SC: And in fact through	4	allegations they were pointing to the management, that the
5	that meeting she was urging you to take a hard line with	5	management is colluding with AMCU," and so on and so forth.
6	the striking workers, was she not?	6	Over the page, "of ourselves not being comfortable in terms
7	MR MOKOENA: My experience both General	7	of understanding whether the Impala Mine management really
8	Mbombo, Chair, and Mpembe, throughout were asking us to do	8	is colluding with the mine" – or I think she means the
9	our job, which was an IR job. They insistently said,	9	union there, meaning AMCU – "but also remember from a
10	"Barnard, you must do your IR job and sort out this	10	political point of view there was even this feeling that,
11	matter." So they were persistent and were very clear that	11	you know, the mining sector wants to replace NUM, you know,
12	we needed to do our part and my communication with her and	12	with a new face" –
13	General Mpembe was we will try to do our best, but also you	13	MR MOKOENA: Sorry, I think the paging is
14	do your part as police. So yes, it is correct.	14	different from my – let me find it quickly –
15	MR CHASKALSON SC: But there may have	15	CHAIRPERSON: The passage –
16	been a difference in the attitude between General Mbombo	16	MR CHASKALSON SC: Oh, sorry, it's page
17	and General Mpembe. I can't speak for General Mpembe at	17	8, 8 and 9. I beg your pardon.
18	this stage because I think that his attitude was possibly a	18	CHAIRPERSON: The passages that have been
19	bit more nuanced, but General Mbombo didn't just want you	19	read to you are pages 28 and 29 of your bundle. Look at
20	to do your job, she wanted you to do your job in a	20	page 28 of your bundle. This is the second proofread
21	particular way. She wanted you to take a hard line on the	21	version. It's page 9 of the second proofread version and
22	strikers. Would you agree with that?	22	round about three-quarters of the way down the page, page
23	MR MOKOENA: I didn't experience that,	23	28 of your bundle, the Provincial Commissioner starts,
24	Chair. I thought she was asking me to do my job as a	24	"Mmmm, you see I want us to, you remember last night Abey,"
25	company in terms of employees and unions.	25	and then that's the passage Mr Chaskalson put to you and it
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Page 38196		Page 38198
1	Page 38196 MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked	1	Page 38198 goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson?
1 2	5	1 2	5
	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked		goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson?
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with	2	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr
2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage.	2 3	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's
2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that	2 3 4	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your
2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the	2 3 4 5	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's
2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do	2 3 4 5 6	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think	2 3 4 5 6 7	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame management, and also whatever you do just make sure that it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised this thing that when we were dealing with Impala we heard a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame management, and also whatever you do just make sure that it doesn't upset our IR relations. That was the context of my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised this thing that when we were dealing with Impala we heard a lot of allegations and rumours and some of these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame management, and also whatever you do just make sure that it doesn't upset our IR relations. That was the context of my conversation with General Mbombo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised this thing that when we were dealing with Impala we heard a lot of allegations and rumours and some of these allegations, they were pointing to the management, that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame management, and also whatever you do just make sure that it doesn't upset our IR relations. That was the context of my conversation with General Mbombo. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but the General I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised this thing that when we were dealing with Impala we heard a lot of allegations and rumours and some of these allegations, they were pointing to the management, that the management is colluding with AMCU and so on." Then over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame management, and also whatever you do just make sure that it doesn't upset our IR relations. That was the context of my conversation with General Mbombo. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but the General I want to put to you didn't want you to talk to the strikers,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised this thing that when we were dealing with Impala we heard a lot of allegations and rumours and some of these allegations, they were pointing to the management, that the management is colluding with AMCU and so on." Then over the page, "At some point ourselves, we were asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame management, and also whatever you do just make sure that it doesn't upset our IR relations. That was the context of my conversation with General Mbombo. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but the General I want to put to you didn't want you to talk to the strikers, didn't want you to give leeway to the strikers. Would you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised this thing that when we were dealing with Impala we heard a lot of allegations and rumours and some of these allegations, they were pointing to the management, that the management is colluding with AMCU and so on." Then over the page, "At some point ourselves, we were asking ourselves questions to say but these rumours that we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame management, and also whatever you do just make sure that it doesn't upset our IR relations. That was the context of my conversation with General Mbombo. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but the General I want to put to you didn't want you to talk to the strikers, didn't want you to give leeway to the strikers. Would you accept that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised this thing that when we were dealing with Impala we heard a lot of allegations and rumours and some of these allegations, they were pointing to the management, that the management is colluding with AMCU and so on." Then over the page, "At some point ourselves, we were asking ourselves questions to say but these rumours that we're getting, these issues that are being said, they might find
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame management, and also whatever you do just make sure that it doesn't upset our IR relations. That was the context of my conversation with General Mbombo. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but the General I want to put to you didn't want you to talk to the strikers, didn't want you to give leeway to the strikers. Would you accept that? MR MOKOENA: I'm not sure I picked that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised this thing that when we were dealing with Impala we heard a lot of allegations and rumours and some of these allegations, they were pointing to the management, that the management is colluding with AMCU and so on." Then over the page, "At some point ourselves, we were asking ourselves questions to say but these rumours that we're getting, these issues that are being said, they might find truth somehow because we're looking at how the management
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame management, and also whatever you do just make sure that it doesn't upset our IR relations. That was the context of my conversation with General Mbombo. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but the General I want to put to you didn't want you to talk to the strikers, didn't want you to give leeway to the strikers. Would you accept that? MR MOKOENA: I'm not sure I picked that up, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised this thing that when we were dealing with Impala we heard a lot of allegations and rumours and some of these allegations, they were pointing to the management, that the management is colluding with AMCU and so on." Then over the page, "At some point ourselves, we were asking ourselves questions to say but these rumours that we're getting, these issues that are being said, they might find truth somehow because we're looking at how the management was moving forward in terms of taking action, in terms of,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame management, and also whatever you do just make sure that it doesn't upset our IR relations. That was the context of my conversation with General Mbombo. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but the General I want to put to you didn't want you to talk to the strikers, didn't want you to give leeway to the strikers. Would you accept that? MR MOKOENA: I'm not sure I picked that up, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised this thing that when we were dealing with Impala we heard a lot of allegations and rumours and some of these allegations, they were pointing to the management, that the management is colluding with AMCU and so on." Then over the page, "At some point ourselves, we were asking ourselves questions to say but these rumours that we're getting, these issues that are being said, they might find truth somehow because we're looking at how the management was moving forward in terms of taking action, in terms of, you know, because we believe is that what, whether there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame management, and also whatever you do just make sure that it doesn't upset our IR relations. That was the context of my conversation with General Mbombo. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but the General I want to put to you didn't want you to talk to the strikers, didn't want you to give leeway to the strikers. Would you accept that? MR MOKOENA: I'm not sure I picked that up, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to page 8. You may remember this passage because she put to you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised this thing that when we were dealing with Impala we heard a lot of allegations and rumours and some of these allegations, they were pointing to the management, that the management is colluding with AMCU and so on." Then over the page, "At some point ourselves, we were asking ourselves questions to say but these rumours that we're getting, these issues that are being said, they might find truth somehow because we're looking at how the management was moving forward in terms of taking action, in terms of, you know, because we believe is that what, whether there is this unrest now" – no, no, now I've cut off my –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame management, and also whatever you do just make sure that it doesn't upset our IR relations. That was the context of my conversation with General Mbombo. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but the General I want to put to you didn't want you to talk to the strikers, didn't want you to give leeway to the strikers. Would you accept that? MR MOKOENA: I'm not sure I picked that up, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to page 8. You may remember this passage because she put to you this rather strange proposition which apparently was also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised this thing that when we were dealing with Impala we heard a lot of allegations and rumours and some of these allegations, they were pointing to the management, that the management is colluding with AMCU and so on." Then over the page, "At some point ourselves, we were asking ourselves questions to say but these rumours that we're getting, these issues that are being said, they might find truth somehow because we're looking at how the management was moving forward in terms of taking action, in terms of, you know, because we believe is that what, whether there is this unrest now" – no, no, now I've cut off my – CHAIRPERSON: It's in the middle of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame management, and also whatever you do just make sure that it doesn't upset our IR relations. That was the context of my conversation with General Mbombo. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but the General I want to put to you didn't want you to talk to the strikers, didn't want you to give leeway to the strikers. Would you accept that? MR MOKOENA: I'm not sure I picked that up, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to page 8. You may remember this passage because she put to you this rather strange proposition which apparently was also entertained by the Minister of Mining, that management were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised this thing that when we were dealing with Impala we heard a lot of allegations and rumours and some of these allegations, they were pointing to the management, that the management is colluding with AMCU and so on." Then over the page, "At some point ourselves, we were asking ourselves questions to say but these rumours that we're getting, these issues that are being said, they might find truth somehow because we're looking at how the management was moving forward in terms of taking action, in terms of, you know, because we believe is that what, whether there is this unrest now" – no, no, now I've cut off my – CHAIRPERSON: It's in the middle of the page, he talks about whether the Impala mine management
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, first she asked for the company to give an ultimatum to be coordinated with the police action. We've just seen that passage. MR MOKOENA: Yes, and in that conversation, Chair, you will notice that I said I don't want to do anything that could compromise the work of the police and the police on the other hand should not do anything to compromise our work in terms of IR, and I think that was the context of our conversation in terms of coordination that I don't want to do anything general that's stupid, that tomorrow you know you will blame management, and also whatever you do just make sure that it doesn't upset our IR relations. That was the context of my conversation with General Mbombo. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but the General I want to put to you didn't want you to talk to the strikers, didn't want you to give leeway to the strikers. Would you accept that? MR MOKOENA: I'm not sure I picked that up, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to page 8. You may remember this passage because she put to you this rather strange proposition which apparently was also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	goes over the page. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. Mr Mokoena, have you got the passage in the transcript? It's the original page 8 of the transcript. I understand it's page 28 of your paginated bundle. Page 27 of your paginated bundle, and you see SAPS Commissioner – MR MOKOENA: Okay, I've got it. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it's my fault. I'm working off the wrong document. I'll just work on Ms Pillay's screen. Paginate 28, "Mm, you see, I want us, you remember last night, Abey, I raised this thing that when we were dealing with Impala we heard a lot of allegations and rumours and some of these allegations, they were pointing to the management, that the management is colluding with AMCU and so on." Then over the page, "At some point ourselves, we were asking ourselves questions to say but these rumours that we're getting, these issues that are being said, they might find truth somehow because we're looking at how the management was moving forward in terms of taking action, in terms of, you know, because we believe is that what, whether there is this unrest now" – no, no, now I've cut off my – CHAIRPERSON: It's in the middle of the

Page 38199 1 with this union, and then he goes on about mining sector 2 wants to replace NUM. 3 MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. 4 CHAIRPERSON: So that's the passage – 5 MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair. "So 6 at the end of the day when we're dealing with these issues 7 we ended up ourselves not being comfortable in terms of the 8 understanding whether the Impala Mine management really is 9 colluding with this mine, but also remember from a 10 political point of view there was even these feelings that, 11 you know, the mining sector wants to replace NUM, you know, 12 with a new face, and maybe that's why these things are 13 erupting. So I want us, so I think, Abey, so I think 14 yesterday, Abey you will recall, you'll recall when this 15 discussion with the National Commissioner came she also	Page 38201 MR CHASKALSON SC: If we go back to WWWW4 we'll see that on the 16th between 10:39 and 10:47 you had four calls with General Mbombo and they lasted a total of more than five minutes, between 10:39 and 10:47. At any stage during those calls did she try to persuade you that Lonmin should go to the koppie to speak to the miners? MR MOKOENA: The only call that I remember clearly was at some stage the, and Mr Mathunjwa refers to that, called me looking for Mr Mathunjwa and when I handed my phone to Mr Mathunjwa. It was in the morning, one of these calls would have been that call where she called me that she was waiting for Mr Mathunjwa to go to the koppie and I handed the phone to Mr Mathunjwa. That's the only call that I really remember because I had to give Mr Mathunjwa the phone and I think he has accounted for
<ul> <li>from the discussion she raised with you, you know, some of</li> <li>these questions that she raised. You remember I raised</li> <li>them in the morning in our meeting and she also felt that,</li> <li>you know, it's difficult to separate management from giving</li> <li>these people a leeway and if management gave these people</li> <li>this type of a leeway how do we separate them now from an</li> <li>allegation that can come and say but they are supporting</li> <li>you? That is my interest, because yourselves here as</li> <li>management, you will clear yourselves from this," and you</li> <li>say, "Perception," in other words the perception of giving</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>that in his statement.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, that would have</li> <li>been one of the calls.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: Of course there were</li> <li>three others.</li> <li>MR MOKOENA: Yes, so –</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you recall her ever</li> <li>trying, ever saying to you during those calls SAPS needs</li> <li>you, or you being Lonmin, to negotiate with the strikers,</li> </ul>
Page 38200 leeway to people who are hostile to NUM, and over the page 30, "Perception, you know, ja. You will clear yourselves by ensuring that you defuse, you give out information that's related to this thing and we're able to actually act on that information." So from the Provincial Commissioner's side, I want to put to you, she didn't want you to give leeway to the strikers. She wanted you to take a hard line against them. Would you accept that? MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now General Mpembe may have had a different attitude. His attitude is not altogether clear from the transcripts of the meetings that we have with him, but what I want to ask you, did General Mbombo at any stage after the 14th ever indicate to you that SAPS had now changed their mind and now they were happy for you to give some leeway to the strikers and they would actually like you to negotiate with the strikers, General Mbombo? MR MOKOENA: Not to my recollection, MR CHASKALSON SC: Did anyone else from SAPS on the 16th tell Lonmin that they now wanted Lonmin to negotiate with the strikers? MR MOKOENA: Not that I know of.	Page 38202         you must go to the koppie and tell them you will negotiate?         2       MR MOKOENA: No, Chair.         3       MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Mr Mokoena,         4       those are all my questions.         5       CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will take         6       the first adjournment now, 15 minutes.         7       [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]         8       [10:32] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.         9       You're still under oath, Mr Mokoena. I understand that the         10       last cross-examiner of Mr Mokoena is going to be Mr         11       Ramphele who has been given five minutes.         12       MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chair, the         13       evidence leaders were asked by NUM to ask some questions on         14       NUM's behalf. I ought to have –         15       CHAIRPERSON: How do you need for – how         16       long can we give him, Mr Wesley? How long can we give Mr         17       Chaskalson?         18       MR CHASKALSON SC: Five minutes, I'm         19       told. Ms Pillay will put those questions.         20       CHAIRPERSON: Five minutes for Ms Pillay         21       and then five minutes for Mr Ramphele and then there'll be         22       re-exa

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

	Page 38203		Page 38205
1	CHAIRPERSON: Let's ask Mr Ramphele. Mr	1	that NUM has asked me to specifically put to you, the
2	Ramphele? Okay, Ms Pillay, Mr Ramphele would prefer for	2	following appears, "The feedback outlined above" - in other
3	you to go ahead first.	3	words the default position that you have described – "is
4	BARNARD MOKOENA: (s.u.o.)	4	not likely to resolve the matter and more work will be
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS PILLAY: Thank	5	required over the next few weeks." Do you see that?
6	you, Chair. Mr Mokoena, could I ask you to refer to XXX3?	6	MR MOKOENA: Yes.
7	MR MOKOENA: Sorry, what is the page	7	MS PILLAY: "In this regard the following
	<u> </u>		
8	because mine are not referenced that way?	8	is proposed" and the first bullet point, "to engage both
9	MS PILLAY: I'm not sure what it is in	9	the NUM and AMCU at national level to inform them of this
10	your bundle but maybe if we can ask the technician to put	10	development and to solicit their opinion on the matter."
11	up exhibit XXX3. It's a memorandum from – if we can go	11	Do you see that?
12	down to 448 of this bundle and you'll see this document is	12	MR MOKOENA: Yes.
13	a memorandum from Mr Da Costa to Lonmin EXCO and it's dated	13	MS PILLAY: So in other words what we see
14	the 27th of June 2012, do you see that?	14	in this memorandum is Mr Da Costa, the vice - saying to
15	MR MOKOENA: Yes.	15	EXCO that the default position is unlikely to resolve the
16	MS PILLAY: Now you will know, Mr	16	matter and that what he is suggesting is, firstly, that NUM
17	Mokoena, that this memorandum relates to the issue of the	17	and AMCU be engaged at national level in order to discuss
18	special allowance to the rock drill operator which Mr	18	the way forward. Do you see that?
19	Mokoena, sorry, Mr Da Costa was dealing with at the time.	19	MR MOKOENA: Yes, I see that.
20	MR MOKOENA: Exactly.	20	MS PILLAY: Now the question, Mr Mokoena,
21	MS PILLAY: Now can I ask you to go down	21	now we know from the evidence that has been placed before
22	to the next page of this document where we look	22	this Commission that Lonmin did in fact not engage either
23	specifically at the proposal that Mr Da Costa is making to	23	NUM or AMCU at national level to discuss the RDO demand,
24	EXCO and you'll see it's stated, the following is stated,	24	isn't that correct?
25	"Proposal. Feedback will be given to the two	25	MR MOKOENA: That is correct.
	Dogo 20204		Dogo 20204
1	Page 38204 representatives who met with the vice-president as follows"	1	Page 38206 MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't
1	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows"	1	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't
2	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that	2	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa
2 3	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what	2 3	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely
2 3 4	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default	2 3 4	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation?
2 3 4 5	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position.	2 3 4 5	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is
2 3 4 5 6	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly.	2 3 4 5 6	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the
2 3 4 5 6 7	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well-	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given
2 3 4 5 6 7	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that the revised wage amount that has been requested is too	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance. MS PILLAY: And you're unable to explain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that the revised wage amount that has been requested is too high. And lastly, that management is prepared to undertake	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance. MS PILLAY: And you're unable to explain why Mr Da Costa's suggestion that the matter be taken up at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that the revised wage amount that has been requested is too high. And lastly, that management is prepared to undertake the revision of the drilling bonus system within the next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance. MS PILLAY: And you're unable to explain why Mr Da Costa's suggestion that the matter be taken up at national level with both the NUM and AMCU, you're unable to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that the revised wage amount that has been requested is too high. And lastly, that management is prepared to undertake the revision of the drilling bonus system within the next six months, do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance. MS PILLAY: And you're unable to explain why Mr Da Costa's suggestion that the matter be taken up at national level with both the NUM and AMCU, you're unable to say why that wasn't followed through.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that the revised wage amount that has been requested is too high. And lastly, that management is prepared to undertake the revision of the drilling bonus system within the next six months, do you see that? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I do. MS PILLAY: More or less, that amounts to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance. MS PILLAY: And you're unable to explain why Mr Da Costa's suggestion that the matter be taken up at national level with both the NUM and AMCU, you're unable to say why that wasn't followed through. MR MOKOENA: Ja, I don't have any reason
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that the revised wage amount that has been requested is too high. And lastly, that management is prepared to undertake the revision of the drilling bonus system within the next six months, do you see that? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I do. MS PILLAY: More or less, that amounts to what you've described as the default position which is what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance. MS PILLAY: And you're unable to explain why Mr Da Costa's suggestion that the matter be taken up at national level with both the NUM and AMCU, you're unable to say why that wasn't followed through. MR MOKOENA: Ja, I don't have any reason why that has happened and it's probably that our first major contact with unions on substantive issues is with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that the revised wage amount that has been requested is too high. And lastly, that management is prepared to undertake the revision of the drilling bonus system within the next six months, do you see that? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I do. MS PILLAY: More or less, that amounts to what you've described as the default position which is what we see, which is the approach taken by Lonmin subsequently.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance. MS PILLAY: And you're unable to explain why Mr Da Costa's suggestion that the matter be taken up at national level with both the NUM and AMCU, you're unable to say why that wasn't followed through. MR MOKOENA: Ja, I don't have any reason why that has happened and it's probably that our first major contact with unions on substantive issues is with the local leadership, the chairpersons of the branches and all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that the revised wage amount that has been requested is too high. And lastly, that management is prepared to undertake the revision of the drilling bonus system within the next six months, do you see that? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I do. MS PILLAY: More or less, that amounts to what you've described as the default position which is what we see, which is the approach taken by Lonmin subsequently. MR MOKOENA: Exactly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance. MS PILLAY: And you're unable to explain why Mr Da Costa's suggestion that the matter be taken up at national level with both the NUM and AMCU, you're unable to say why that wasn't followed through. MR MOKOENA: Ja, I don't have any reason why that has happened and it's probably that our first major contact with unions on substantive issues is with the local leadership, the chairpersons of the branches and all that and I'm aware that there were those engagements at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that the revised wage amount that has been requested is too high. And lastly, that management is prepared to undertake the revision of the drilling bonus system within the next six months, do you see that? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I do. MS PILLAY: More or less, that amounts to what you've described as the default position which is what we see, which is the approach taken by Lonmin subsequently. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Now importantly, Mr Mokoena,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance. MS PILLAY: And you're unable to explain why Mr Da Costa's suggestion that the matter be taken up at national level with both the NUM and AMCU, you're unable to say why that wasn't followed through. MR MOKOENA: Ja, I don't have any reason why that has happened and it's probably that our first major contact with unions on substantive issues is with the local leadership, the chairpersons of the branches and all that and I'm aware that there were those engagements at the operations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that the revised wage amount that has been requested is too high. And lastly, that management is prepared to undertake the revision of the drilling bonus system within the next six months, do you see that? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I do. MS PILLAY: More or less, that amounts to what you've described as the default position which is what we see, which is the approach taken by Lonmin subsequently. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Now importantly, Mr Mokoena, if I could ask you to look at the line just directly below	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance. MS PILLAY: And you're unable to explain why Mr Da Costa's suggestion that the matter be taken up at national level with both the NUM and AMCU, you're unable to say why that wasn't followed through. MR MOKOENA: Ja, I don't have any reason why that has happened and it's probably that our first major contact with unions on substantive issues is with the local leadership, the chairpersons of the branches and all that and I'm aware that there were those engagements at the operations. MS PILLAY: Now the second part of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that the revised wage amount that has been requested is too high. And lastly, that management is prepared to undertake the revision of the drilling bonus system within the next six months, do you see that? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I do. MS PILLAY: More or less, that amounts to what you've described as the default position which is what we see, which is the approach taken by Lonmin subsequently. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Now importantly, Mr Mokoena, if I could ask you to look at the line just directly below these four bullet points and it's stated that this feedback	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance. MS PILLAY: And you're unable to explain why Mr Da Costa's suggestion that the matter be taken up at national level with both the NUM and AMCU, you're unable to say why that wasn't followed through. MR MOKOENA: Ja, I don't have any reason why that has happened and it's probably that our first major contact with unions on substantive issues is with the local leadership, the chairpersons of the branches and all that and I'm aware that there were those engagements at the operations. MS PILLAY: Now the second part of that question, Mr Mokoena, is why this wasn't taken up with NUM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that the revised wage amount that has been requested is too high. And lastly, that management is prepared to undertake the revision of the drilling bonus system within the next six months, do you see that? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I do. MS PILLAY: More or less, that amounts to what you've described as the default position which is what we see, which is the approach taken by Lonmin subsequently. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Now importantly, Mr Mokoena, if I could ask you to look at the line just directly below these four bullet points and it's stated that this feedback will be given on the 2nd of July 2012, do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance. MS PILLAY: And you're unable to explain why Mr Da Costa's suggestion that the matter be taken up at national level with both the NUM and AMCU, you're unable to say why that wasn't followed through. MR MOKOENA: Ja, I don't have any reason why that has happened and it's probably that our first major contact with unions on substantive issues is with the local leadership, the chairpersons of the branches and all that and I'm aware that there were those engagements at the operations. MS PILLAY: Now the second part of that question, Mr Mokoena, is why this wasn't taken up with NUM and AMCU at national level, given the fact that the RDO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that the revised wage amount that has been requested is too high. And lastly, that management is prepared to undertake the revision of the drilling bonus system within the next six months, do you see that? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I do. MS PILLAY: More or less, that amounts to what you've described as the default position which is what we see, which is the approach taken by Lonmin subsequently. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Now importantly, Mr Mokoena, if I could ask you to look at the line just directly below these four bullet points and it's stated that this feedback will be given on the 2nd of July 2012, do you see that? MR MOKOENA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance. MS PILLAY: And you're unable to explain why Mr Da Costa's suggestion that the matter be taken up at national level with both the NUM and AMCU, you're unable to say why that wasn't followed through. MR MOKOENA: Ja, I don't have any reason why that has happened and it's probably that our first major contact with unions on substantive issues is with the local leadership, the chairpersons of the branches and all that and I'm aware that there were those engagements at the operations. MS PILLAY: Now the second part of that question, Mr Mokoena, is why this wasn't taken up with NUM and AMCU at national level, given the fact that the RDO allowance would amount to an effective increase in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	representatives who met with the vice-president as follows" and you'll see in those four bullet points beneath that heading, Mr Mokoena, that Mr Da Costa is summarising what you have described in your evidence as the default position. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Namely that Lonmin has well- established processes for negotiation, that should the company agree to a separate wage increase for RDOs in this way it would set a precedent for other employees and that the revised wage amount that has been requested is too high. And lastly, that management is prepared to undertake the revision of the drilling bonus system within the next six months, do you see that? MR MOKOENA: Yes, I do. MS PILLAY: More or less, that amounts to what you've described as the default position which is what we see, which is the approach taken by Lonmin subsequently. MR MOKOENA: Exactly. MS PILLAY: Now importantly, Mr Mokoena, if I could ask you to look at the line just directly below these four bullet points and it's stated that this feedback will be given on the 2nd of July 2012, do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS PILLAY: The question is why wasn't that done, specifically in circumstances where Mr Da Costa pointed out to EXCO that the default position was unlikely to resolve the situation? MR MOKOENA: Yes. My understanding is there were some engagements with both AMCU and NUM at the operations level. Yes, I'm not aware of any engagement at the national level, so there was engagement feedback given to both AMCU and NUM at the operations level about the RDO allowance. MS PILLAY: And you're unable to explain why Mr Da Costa's suggestion that the matter be taken up at national level with both the NUM and AMCU, you're unable to say why that wasn't followed through. MR MOKOENA: Ja, I don't have any reason why that has happened and it's probably that our first major contact with unions on substantive issues is with the local leadership, the chairpersons of the branches and all that and I'm aware that there were those engagements at the operations. MS PILLAY: Now the second part of that question, Mr Mokoena, is why this wasn't taken up with NUM and AMCU at national level, given the fact that the RDO

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

1	Page 38207	1	Page 3820
1	MR MOKOENA: Our understanding of that	1	MR MOKOENA: Yes.
	allowance, that allowance was the management prerogative,	2	CHAIRPERSON: Am I right?
	like other many bonuses that we grant to employees, has	3	MR MOKOENA: Yes, Chair.
	always been the discretion of management to do those. So	4	CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. And it may
	that could have been the reason why there was no need to	5	be minuted, it may not be. I'll ask your legal
	escalate the issue of allowance to national office of	6	representatives to see, to take instructions. If there's
	National Union of Mineworkers and AMCU.	7	any document from which the reasons appear, could that
8	MS PILLAY: Thank you, Chair, those are	8	please be made available to the Commission, alright? You
9 1	the questions.	9	nod your head, Mr Bham. You're nodding again, it doesn't
10	CHAIRPERSON: The memorandum that Mr Da	10	come on the record.
	Costa prepared, which is exhibit XXX3, did that come before	11	MR BHAM SC: Yes. Thank you, Chair.
12 1	the EXCO meeting in July?	12	CHAIRPERSON: Do we know what the date of
13	MR MOKOENA: Chair, I do not recall the	13	this memorandum is? It's an exhibit, I know, but what's it
14 (	exact date of the EXCO meeting in July, Chair.	14	dated at the -
15	CHAIRPERSON: Well, you see it says the	15	MS PILLAY: Chair, it's the 27th of June
16 1	feedback would be given on 2 July, so we know that this	16	2012.
17 i	memorandum was prepared before that. There was a July EXCO	17	CHAIRPERSON: 27th of June. And do we
18 i	meeting, was there not?	18	know when the EXCO meeting was held in June? So it was -
19	MR MOKOENA: The June, the EXCO is	19	think Mr Mokoena is clear it was after the EXCO meeting, is
20 i	normally towards the end of the month, so EXCO would have	20	that right?
21 (	deliberated at the end of June and this memorandum would	21	MS PILLAY: The memo is dated the 26th of
22 I	have been a follow-up coming out of EXCO to be- so it would	22	June and the EXCO started on the 27th of June.
23 I	have been issued in between the two EXCO meetings.	23	CHAIRPERSON: So it would either have
24	CHAIRPERSON: So there was an EXCO	24	been considered then in the meeting at the end of June or
25 i	meeting towards the end of July?	25	end of July but anyway, whenever it was considered, if a
	Page 38208		Page 3821
1	MR MOKOENA: Yes.	1	document exists which indicates why this proposal wasn't
2	CHAIRPERSON: And this memo, did that	2	accepted then that's what we want but anyway you obvious
	come before it, before the meeting?	3	can't help us but I've asked your legal representatives who
4	MR MOKOENA: Yes.	4	have undertaken to give it to us if there is such a
5	CHAIRPERSON: And do we know from the	5	document. Thank you. Then Mr Ramphele – are those you
	minutes of the meeting whether, why the proposal that he	6	questions, Ms Pillay?
	made in the first bullet point was not accepted?	7	MS PILLAY: Those are my questions.
8	MR MOKOENA: I do not, Chair, at the	8	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ramphele, were you going
	moment have access to the minutes of that meeting in July,	9	to deal with that matter or another one?
	whether this was deliberated. It's also customary that a	10	MR RAMPHELE: Well, Chair, how many
	lot of EXCO discussions are circulated and are not	11	minutes did you give me?
		40	CHAIRPERSON: Five.
12 i	necessarily discussed in one sitting and it could have	12	CHAIRI ERSON. THE.
	necessarily discussed in one sitting and it could have happened on a Monday because we have monthly EXCO and we	12 13	MR RAMPHELE: Are you saying Mr Langa is
13 I			
13   14 ;	happened on a Monday because we have monthly EXCO and we	13	MR RAMPHELE: Are you saying Mr Langa is
13   14 ; 15 †	happened on a Monday because we have monthly EXCO and we also have weekly EXCO calls every Monday. So it's possible	13 14	MR RAMPHELE: Are you saying Mr Langa is just worth five minutes?
13   14 ; 15 ; 16 ;	happened on a Monday because we have monthly EXCO and we also have weekly EXCO calls every Monday. So it's possible that probably it was discussed in one of the Monday	13 14 15	MR RAMPHELE: Are you saying Mr Langa is just worth five minutes? CHAIRPERSON: The clock is already
13   14 ; 15 ; 16 ; 17	happened on a Monday because we have monthly EXCO and we also have weekly EXCO calls every Monday. So it's possible that probably it was discussed in one of the Monday meetings, not necessary the monthly EXCO meeting.	13 14 15 16	MR RAMPHELE: Are you saying Mr Langa is just worth five minutes? CHAIRPERSON: The clock is already running on your time, don't waste it.
13   14 ; 15 ; 16 ; 17 18 ;	happened on a Monday because we have monthly EXCO and we also have weekly EXCO calls every Monday. So it's possible that probably it was discussed in one of the Monday meetings, not necessary the monthly EXCO meeting. CHAIRPERSON: Anyway, would I be correct	13 14 15 16 17	MR RAMPHELE:Are you saying Mr Langa isjust worth five minutes?The clock is alreadyCHAIRPERSON:The clock is alreadyrunning on your time, don't waste it.MR RAMPHELE:Okay, let me use my five.CROSS-EXAMINATIOW WARDARDELE:Mr
13   14 ; 15 ; 16 ; 17 18 ; 19 ;	happened on a Monday because we have monthly EXCO and we also have weekly EXCO calls every Monday. So it's possible that probably it was discussed in one of the Monday meetings, not necessary the monthly EXCO meeting. CHAIRPERSON: Anyway, would I be correct in saying that we can say in our report that this proposal	13 14 15 16 17 18	MR RAMPHELE:Are you saying Mr Langa ISjust worth five minutes?The clock is alreadyCHAIRPERSON:The clock is alreadyrunning on your time, don't water it.MR RAMPHELE:Okay, let me use my five.CROSS-EXAMINATIONER MR RAMPHELE:Mr
13   14 ; 15 ; 16 ; 17 ; 18 ; 19 ; 20 ;	happened on a Monday because we have monthly EXCO and we also have weekly EXCO calls every Monday. So it's possible that probably it was discussed in one of the Monday meetings, not necessary the monthly EXCO meeting. CHAIRPERSON: Anyway, would I be correct in saying that we can say in our report that this proposal of Mr Da Costa's in the first bullet point was not accepted	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR RAMPHELE:Are you saying Mr Langa isjust worth five minutes?CHAIRPERSON:The clock is alreadyCHAIRPERSON:The clock is alreadyrunning on your time, don't waste it.MR RAMPHELE:Okay, let me use my five.MRCROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR RAMPHELE:MrMokoena, I represent Mr Langa, Mabebe and Mabelane andjust want to remind you of what you said in relation to the
13     1       14     1       15     1       16     1       17     1       18     1       19     0       20     1       21     1	happened on a Monday because we have monthly EXCO and we also have weekly EXCO calls every Monday. So it's possible that probably it was discussed in one of the Monday meetings, not necessary the monthly EXCO meeting. CHAIRPERSON: Anyway, would I be correct in saying that we can say in our report that this proposal of Mr Da Costa's in the first bullet point was not accepted by EXCO, certainly not prior to the 16th of August or even	<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ol>	MR RAMPHELE:Are you saying Mr Langa isjust worth five minutes?The clock is alreadyCHAIRPERSON:The clock is alreadyrunning on your time, don't waste it.MR RAMPHELE:MR RAMPHELE:Okay, let me use my five.CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR RAMPHELE:MrMokoena, I represent Mr Langa, Mabebe and Mabelane andjust want to remind you of what you said in relation to the
13     1       14     1       15     1       16     1       17     1       18     1       19     0       20     1       21     1       22	happened on a Monday because we have monthly EXCO and we also have weekly EXCO calls every Monday. So it's possible that probably it was discussed in one of the Monday meetings, not necessary the monthly EXCO meeting. CHAIRPERSON: Anyway, would I be correct in saying that we can say in our report that this proposal of Mr Da Costa's in the first bullet point was not accepted by EXCO, certainly not prior to the 16th of August or even the 10th of August 2012?	<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	MR RAMPHELE:       Are you saying Mr Langa is         just worth five minutes?       CHAIRPERSON:       The clock is already         running on your time, don't waste it.       MR RAMPHELE:       Okay, let me use my five.         MR RAMPHELE:       Okay, let me use my five.       Mr         CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR RAMPHELE:       Mr         Mokoena, I represent Mr Langa, Mabebe and Mabelane and just want to remind you of what you said in relation to the death of Mr Twala at the koppie.       Do you remember that you
13     1       14     1       15     1       16     1       17     1       18     1       19     1       20     1       21     1       22     23	happened on a Monday because we have monthly EXCO and we also have weekly EXCO calls every Monday. So it's possible that probably it was discussed in one of the Monday meetings, not necessary the monthly EXCO meeting. CHAIRPERSON: Anyway, would I be correct in saying that we can say in our report that this proposal of Mr Da Costa's in the first bullet point was not accepted by EXCO, certainly not prior to the 16th of August or even the 10th of August 2012? MR MOKOENA: Yes, Chair.	<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	MR RAMPHELE:       Are you saying Mr Langa is         just worth five minutes?       The clock is already         CHAIRPERSON:       The clock is already         running on your time, don't waste it.       MR RAMPHELE:         MR RAMPHELE:       Okay, let me use my five.         CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR RAMPHELE:       Mr         Mokoena, I represent Mr Langa, Mabebe and Mabelane and just want to remind you of what you said in relation to the       death of Mr Twala at the koppie. Do you remember that you said as soon as you heard that Mr Twala had been killed
13     1       14     1       15     1       16     1       17     1       18     1       19     0       20     1       21     1       22     2       23     2       24     2	happened on a Monday because we have monthly EXCO and we also have weekly EXCO calls every Monday. So it's possible that probably it was discussed in one of the Monday meetings, not necessary the monthly EXCO meeting. CHAIRPERSON: Anyway, would I be correct in saying that we can say in our report that this proposal of Mr Da Costa's in the first bullet point was not accepted by EXCO, certainly not prior to the 16th of August or even the 10th of August 2012? MR MOKOENA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And the reason for not	<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	MR RAMPHELE:       Are you saying Mr Langa is         just worth five minutes?       CHAIRPERSON:         CHAIRPERSON:       The clock is already         running on your time, don't waste it.       MR RAMPHELE:         MR RAMPHELE:       Okay, let me use my five.         CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR RAMPHELE:       Mr         Mokoena, I represent Mr Langa, Mabebe and Mabelane and just want to remind you of what you said in relation to the death of Mr Twala at the koppie. Do you remember that you said as soon as you heard that Mr Twala had been killed from Constance you took a decision that it would be

	Page 38211		Page 38213
1	MR RAMPHELE: And you agree with me that	1	they're not on strike and we realised then it was going to
2	as vice-president, human capital, that was the right	2	be very difficult to determine who was actually on strike
3	decision to take in view of the known dangers at the	3	and who was not on strike if we were to pay people after
4	koppie?	4	closing the mine. Further, we also looked at the issue
5	MR MOKOENA: Yes, I agree.	5	that if we close the mine, continue paying people who are
6	MR RAMPHELE: You remember that, and I'm	6	not striking, the likelihood would have been, why would
7	going to refer you to exhibit VVVV, the bundle of Mr	7	anybody therefore go back to work if they are paid because
8	Jamieson, can we get that on the screen please? VVVV page	8	there is an unprotected strike? So it was a complex issue.
9	3. Ja, let's go to, you see paragraph 4 of this media	9	Chair, I want to admit, however, it was a consideration on
10	release? It reads, "The illegal march was accompanied by	10	our part actually to close the mine as a tool to avoid
11	incidents of intimidation and violent action against	11	further damage –
12	employees who wanted to report for duty. Four employees	12	MR RAMPHELE: I appreciate that and I
13	trying to report for work were injured on Friday 10th, two	13	appreciate your, the complexity you were in, so that we're
14	of whom have been hospitalised after receiving gunshot	14	dealing with a person that has to face the strikers going
15	wounds, allegedly by rival supporters. A further two	15	to work from a village alone, as in the case of Mr Langa,
16	employees were hospitalised on Saturday 11th, also as a	16	and whilst you were surmising whether you should close or
17	result of gunshots wounds." So it was known, will you	17	not this person has to be put in a situation where he's
18	agree with me that it was known to Lonmin that there was	18	going to be facing violent action, probably gunshots,
19	danger for those employees who were to report for work?	19	probably death as it happened.
20	MR MOKOENA: That is correct.	20	MR MOKOENA: Yes, I said I agree with you
21	MR RAMPHELE: It is also correct that in	21	and that's why I was trying to put context to my answers.
22	your recruitment you recruit a large number of people	22	MR RAMPHELE: Yes. Thank you. I think
23	coming from very distant places and they have to find	23	my five minutes are up, Chair, so thanks.
24	accommodation in neighbouring villages, is that correct?	24	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Re-examination,
25	MR MOKOENA: That is correct.	25	Mr Bham?
-			
	Page 38212		Page 38214
1	Page 38212 MR RAMPHELE: And that if these people	1	Page 38214 RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you.
1 2	-	1 2	-
	MR RAMPHELE: And that if these people		RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you.
2	MR RAMPHELE: And that if these people were going to be going to work and if you'd just look at	2	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to
2 3	MR RAMPHELE: And that if these people were going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they	2 3	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you
2 3 4	MR RAMPHELE: And that if these people were going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not	2 3 4	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your
2 3 4 5	MR RAMPHELE:And that if these peoplewere going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work.MR MOKOENA:That is true. And that it was a known	2 3 4 5	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is
2 3 4 5 6	MR RAMPHELE:And that if these peoplewere going to be going to work and if you'd just look atthis paragraph, if they were going to be going to work theywould face the same violent action by those who did notwant them to go to work.MR MOKOENA:That is true.MR RAMPHELE:And that it was a knowndanger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would in all	2 3 4 5 6	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR RAMPHELE:And that if these peoplewere going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work.MR MOKOENA:That is true. MR RAMPHELE:MR RAMPHELE:And that it was a known danger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would be faced	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR RAMPHELE:And that if these peoplewere going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work.MR MOKOENA:That is true.MR RAMPHELE:And that it was a knowndanger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would be faced with the same fate of these people that were injured on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR RAMPHELE: And that if these people were going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work. MR MOKOENA: That is true. MR RAMPHELE: And that it was a known danger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would in all probability if he were to meet the strikers, would be faced with the same fate of these people that were injured on the 10th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR BHAM SC: I want to draw your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR RAMPHELE:And that if these peoplewere going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work.MR MOKOENA:That is true.MR RAMPHELE:And that it was a knowndanger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would be faced with the same fate of these people that were injured on the 10th.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR BHAM SC: I want to draw your attention to two passages in the record and ask you whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR RAMPHELE:And that if these peoplewere going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work.MR MOKOENA:That is true.MR RAMPHELE:And that it was a knowndanger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would be faced with the same fate of these people that were injured on the 10th.MR MOKOENA:That is correct. MR RAMPHELE:MR MOKOENA:That is correct. And therefore you would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR BHAM SC: I want to draw your attention to two passages in the record and ask you whether you wish to comment on your statement in light of those two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR RAMPHELE:And that if these peoplewere going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work.MR MOKOENA:That is true. MR RAMPHELE:MR RAMPHELE:And that it was a knowndanger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would be faced with the same fate of these people that were injured on the 10th.MR MOKOENA:That is correct. MR MOKOENA:MR MOKOENA:That is correct. And therefore you would agree with me that not informing Mr Langa that he should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR BHAM SC: I want to draw your attention to two passages in the record and ask you whether you wish to comment on your statement in light of those two passages. The first is at page 18. Now you know you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR RAMPHELE:And that if these peoplewere going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work.MR MOKOENA:That is true.MR RAMPHELE:And that it was a knowndanger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would be faced with the same fate of these people that were injured on the 10th.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.MR MOKOENA:And therefore you wouldagree with me that not informing Mr Langa that he should not come to work because of the circumstances, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR BHAM SC: I want to draw your attention to two passages in the record and ask you whether you wish to comment on your statement in light of those two passages. The first is at page 18. Now you know you were taken to exhibit OO2 which was the AMCU press statement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR RAMPHELE:And that if these peoplewere going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work.MR MOKOENA:That is true.MR RAMPHELE:And that it was a knowndanger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would in all probability if he were to meet the strikers, would be faced with the same fate of these people that were injured on the 10th.MR MOKOENA:That is correct. MR RAMPHELE:MR MOKOENA:That is correct. And therefore you would agree with me that not informing Mr Langa that he should not come to work because of the circumstances, was something that one can call irresponsible.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR BHAM SC: I want to draw your attention to two passages in the record and ask you whether you wish to comment on your statement in light of those two passages. The first is at page 18. Now you know you were taken to exhibit OO2 which was the AMCU press statement. Page 18 would appear to be the newspaper report, reporting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR RAMPHELE:And that if these peoplewere going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work.MR MOKOENA:That is true.MR RAMPHELE:And that it was a knowndanger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would be faced with the same fate of these people that were injured on the 10th.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.MR RAMPHELE:And therefore you wouldagree with me that not informing Mr Langa that he should not come to work because of the circumstances, was something that one can call irresponsible.MR MOKOENA:That could be the case,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR BHAM SC: I want to draw your attention to two passages in the record and ask you whether you wish to comment on your statement in light of those two passages. The first is at page 18. Now you know you were taken to exhibit OO2 which was the AMCU press statement. Page 18 would appear to be the newspaper report, reporting on that press statement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR RAMPHELE:And that if these peoplewere going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work.MR MOKOENA:That is true.MR RAMPHELE:And that it was a knowndanger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would be faced with the same fate of these people that were injured on the 10th.MR MOKOENA:That is correct. MR RAMPHELE:MR MOKOENA:That is correct. And therefore you would agree with me that not informing Mr Langa that he should not come to work because of the circumstances, was something that one can call irresponsible. MR MOKOENA:MR MOKOENA:That could be the case, Chair.Chair.If I may say, one of the options that actually we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR BHAM SC: I want to draw your attention to two passages in the record and ask you whether you wish to comment on your statement in light of those two passages. The first is at page 18. Now you know you were taken to exhibit OO2 which was the AMCU press statement. Page 18 would appear to be the newspaper report, reporting on that press statement. MR MOKOENA: Yes, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR RAMPHELE:And that if these peoplewere going to be going to work and if you'd just look atthis paragraph, if they were going to be going to work theywould face the same violent action by those who did notwant them to go to work.MR MOKOENA:That is true.MR RAMPHELE:And that it was a knowndanger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would in allprobability if he were to meet the strikers, would be facedwith the same fate of these people that were injured on the10th.MR RAMPHELE:And therefore you wouldagree with me that not informing Mr Langa that he shouldnot come to work because of the circumstances, wassomething that one can call irresponsible.MR MOKOENA:That could be the case,Chair. If I may say, one of the options that actually weconsidered was to close the mine and we deliberated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR BHAM SC: I want to draw your attention to two passages in the record and ask you whether you wish to comment on your statement in light of those two passages. The first is at page 18. Now you know you were taken to exhibit OO2 which was the AMCU press statement. Page 18 would appear to be the newspaper report, reporting on that press statement. MR MOKOENA: Yes, Chair. MR BHAM SC: If you go to that press
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR RAMPHELE:And that if these peoplewere going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work.MR MOKOENA:That is true.MR RAMPHELE:And that it was a knowndanger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would in all probability if he were to meet the strikers, would be faced with the same fate of these people that were injured on the 10th.MR MOKOENA:That is correct.MR RAMPHELE:And therefore you would agree with me that not informing Mr Langa that he should not come to work because of the circumstances, was something that one can call irresponsible.MR MOKOENA:That could be the case,Chair.If I may say, one of the options that actually we considered was to close the mine and we deliberated extensively and looked at what that could mean for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR BHAM SC: I want to draw your attention to two passages in the record and ask you whether you wish to comment on your statement in light of those two passages. The first is at page 18. Now you know you were taken to exhibit OO2 which was the AMCU press statement. Page 18 would appear to be the newspaper report, reporting on that press statement. MR BHAM SC: If you go to that press statement, the fifth paragraph of that press statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR RAMPHELE: And that if these people were going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work. MR MOKOENA: That is true. MR RAMPHELE: And that it was a known danger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would in all probability if he were to meet the strikers, would be faced with the same fate of these people that were injured on the 10th. MR RAMPHELE: And therefore you would agree with me that not informing Mr Langa that he should not come to work because of the circumstances, was something that one can call irresponsible. MR MOKOENA: That could be the case, Chair. If I may say, one of the options that actually we considered was to close the mine and we deliberated extensively and looked at what that could mean for employees who then we would have to pay because they'd had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR BHAM SC: I want to draw your attention to two passages in the record and ask you whether you wish to comment on your statement in light of those two passages. The first is at page 18. Now you know you were taken to exhibit OO2 which was the AMCU press statement. Page 18 would appear to be the newspaper report, reporting on that press statement. MR MOKOENA: Yes, Chair. MR BHAM SC: If you go to that press statement, the fifth paragraph of that press statement which commences with the following, "AMCU stated that while
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR RAMPHELE: And that if these people were going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work. MR MOKOENA: That is true. MR RAMPHELE: And that it was a known danger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would in all probability if he were to meet the strikers, would be faced with the same fate of these people that were injured on the 10th. MR RAMPHELE: And therefore you would agree with me that not informing Mr Langa that he should not come to work because of the circumstances, was something that one can call irresponsible. MR MOKOENA: That could be the case, Chair. If I may say, one of the options that actually we considered was to close the mine and we deliberated extensively and looked at what that could mean for employees who then we would have to pay because they'd had absolutely nothing to do with the strike. So it was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR BHAM SC: I want to draw your attention to two passages in the record and ask you whether you wish to comment on your statement in light of those two passages. The first is at page 18. Now you know you were taken to exhibit OO2 which was the AMCU press statement. Page 18 would appear to be the newspaper report, reporting on that press statement. MR BHAM SC: If you go to that press statement, the fifth paragraph of that press statement which commences with the following, "AMCU stated that while 3 000 RDOs were marching to hand over a memorandum of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR RAMPHELE: And that if these people were going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work. MR MOKOENA: That is true. MR RAMPHELE: And that it was a known danger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would in all probability if he were to meet the strikers, would be faced with the same fate of these people that were injured on the 10th. MR RAMPHELE: And therefore you would agree with me that not informing Mr Langa that he should not come to work because of the circumstances, was something that one can call irresponsible. MR MOKOENA: That could be the case, Chair. If I may say, one of the options that actually we considered was to close the mine and we deliberated extensively and looked at what that could mean for employees who then we would have to pay because they'd had absolutely nothing to do with the strike. So it was a matter that was discussed extensively, let's close the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR BHAM SC: I want to draw your attention to two passages in the record and ask you whether you wish to comment on your statement in light of those two passages. The first is at page 18. Now you know you were taken to exhibit OO2 which was the AMCU press statement. Page 18 would appear to be the newspaper report, reporting on that press statement. MR BHAM SC: If you go to that press statement, the fifth paragraph of that press statement which commences with the following, "AMCU stated that while 3 000 RDOs were marching to hand over a memorandum of demands to Lonmin management, some of its members alleged
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR RAMPHELE: And that if these people were going to be going to work and if you'd just look at this paragraph, if they were going to be going to work they would face the same violent action by those who did not want them to go to work. MR MOKOENA: That is true. MR RAMPHELE: And that it was a known danger that Mr Langa, on his way to work, would in all probability if he were to meet the strikers, would be faced with the same fate of these people that were injured on the 10th. MR RAMPHELE: And therefore you would agree with me that not informing Mr Langa that he should not come to work because of the circumstances, was something that one can call irresponsible. MR MOKOENA: That could be the case, Chair. If I may say, one of the options that actually we considered was to close the mine and we deliberated extensively and looked at what that could mean for employees who then we would have to pay because they'd had absolutely nothing to do with the strike. So it was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Mokoena, the bundle in front of you, may I ask you to turn to page 49 please? In the middle of the page, you will be familiar with this, some time was spent in your cross-examination on your statement. "It is amazing how Joseph could lie before the South African public with 10 people dead." He is insinuating he knew, he is insinuating. Now you know this was in the discussion on radio before Mr Xolani Gwala. MR MOKOENA: Yes. MR BHAM SC: I want to draw your attention to two passages in the record and ask you whether you wish to comment on your statement in light of those two passages. The first is at page 18. Now you know you were taken to exhibit OO2 which was the AMCU press statement. Page 18 would appear to be the newspaper report, reporting on that press statement. MR BHAM SC: If you go to that press statement, the fifth paragraph of that press statement which commences with the following, "AMCU stated that while 3 000 RDOs were marching to hand over a memorandum of

1			
	Page 38215		Page 38217
1	others on Friday." It then goes on to say, "This then	1	allowances are a prerogative of management, they are done
2	sparked the violence over the weekend that led to the death	2	throughout the year to different categories. It was not a
3	of eight more people, including two policemen and injuring	3	demand. They never demanded to get a market allowance."
4	many more." Now that statement, as I understand it, Mr	4	Having regard to the full response you gave, would you care
5	Mathunjwa in his evidence had already indicated was	5	to elaborate upon that against the background of the
6	incorrect and retracted that statement. So that was one of	6	question which Xolani had put to you?
7	the press reports. May I then ask you to go back to page	7	MR MOKOENA: Yes. The essence of this
8	49 and that came prior to the statement you made at page	8	statement, I was trying to explain to Mr Xolani that
9	49. At line, starting at – you'll see at the top of the	9	throughout the year -
10	page there's Mr Mathunjwa, then Xolani, then Mr Mathunjwa	10	CHAIRPERSON: He's actually Mr Gwala, but
11	again, do you see that?	11	Xolani is his first name, I think. I'm not on first-name
12	MR MOKOENA: Yes.	12	terms with him. Let's call him Mr Gwala.
13	MR BHAM SC: And at that point he says,	13	MR MOKOENA: Mr Gwala, thank you, Chair.
14	this is before you made your statement, he says, "We did	14	I was trying to explain to him that we, it is not an
15	ask in terms of what is really happening, they are saying	15	irregular practice that during the course of the year
16	as part of this they went to management and say yes, they	16	management would adjust different types of allowances for
17	did hear that there will be some workers who are bringing	17	different types of employees for market reasons. It could
18	sort of a memorandum to, I mean to them. Please invite us	18	be rock drill operators, it could be winches, it could be
19	into these processes but the management, what they did,	19	all sorts of other job categories, and that has never been
20	they neglected all the structures of the union and engaged	20	a matter of wage negotiations, it has always been a
		20	
21	those employees outside the structures of the union." Now		management prerogative, and I was trying to clarify that
22	in light of what I've just referred to you, both in respect	22	practice that if management did that, whether an allowance
23	of the press articles and this stating preceding what you	23	or a bonus scheme, that was never an essence of collective
24	had to say.	24	bargaining with unions. It's a management decision to say
25	[10:52] Do you wish to comment on what you've stated in	25	you've fallen behind for this reason, we're then going to
	D 0001/		D 00010
1	Page 38216	1	Page 38218 give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my
1	the middle of that page.	1	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my
2	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line	2	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations.
2 3	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission	2 3	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have
2 3 4	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had	2 3 4	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I
2 3 4 5	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the	2 3 4 5	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I
2 3 4 5 6	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was	2 3 4 5 6	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript.
2 3 4 5 6 7	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the	2 3 4 5 6 7	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this misunderstanding and for Mr Mathunjwa to make statements	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this misunderstanding and for Mr Mathunjwa to make statements when he knew actually the rules of wage negotiations, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: I understand you wish to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this misunderstanding and for Mr Mathunjwa to make statements when he knew actually the rules of wage negotiations, the processes, the triggers, the whole thing, and suggested	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: I understand you wish to make an announcement about another matter, Mr Bham, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this misunderstanding and for Mr Mathunjwa to make statements when he knew actually the rules of wage negotiations, the processes, the triggers, the whole thing, and suggested that we had engaged workers outside structures, for me has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: I understand you wish to make an announcement about another matter, Mr Bham, but before you do that perhaps we can introduce another note at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this misunderstanding and for Mr Mathunjwa to make statements when he knew actually the rules of wage negotiations, the processes, the triggers, the whole thing, and suggested that we had engaged workers outside structures, for me has always been a problem that I did never, never understood	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: I understand you wish to make an announcement about another matter, Mr Bham, but before you do that perhaps we can introduce another note at this point. Mr Mpofu, you did indicate to me you also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this misunderstanding and for Mr Mathunjwa to make statements when he knew actually the rules of wage negotiations, the processes, the triggers, the whole thing, and suggested that we had engaged workers outside structures, for me has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: I understand you wish to make an announcement about another matter, Mr Bham, but before you do that perhaps we can introduce another note at this point. Mr Mpofu, you did indicate to me you also wanted to make an announcement and extend some kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this misunderstanding and for Mr Mathunjwa to make statements when he knew actually the rules of wage negotiations, the processes, the triggers, the whole thing, and suggested that we had engaged workers outside structures, for me has always been a problem that I did never, never understood	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: I understand you wish to make an announcement about another matter, Mr Bham, but before you do that perhaps we can introduce another note at this point. Mr Mpofu, you did indicate to me you also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this misunderstanding and for Mr Mathunjwa to make statements when he knew actually the rules of wage negotiations, the processes, the triggers, the whole thing, and suggested that we had engaged workers outside structures, for me has always been a problem that I did never, never understood why that was the case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: I understand you wish to make an announcement about another matter, Mr Bham, but before you do that perhaps we can introduce another note at this point. Mr Mpofu, you did indicate to me you also wanted to make an announcement and extend some kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this misunderstanding and for Mr Mathunjwa to make statements when he knew actually the rules of wage negotiations, the processes, the triggers, the whole thing, and suggested that we had engaged workers outside structures, for me has always been a problem that I did never, never understood why that was the case. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. May I ask you to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: I understand you wish to make an announcement about another matter, Mr Bham, but before you do that perhaps we can introduce another note at this point. Mr Mpofu, you did indicate to me you also wanted to make an announcement and extend some kind of invitation. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this misunderstanding and for Mr Mathunjwa to make statements when he knew actually the rules of wage negotiations, the processes, the triggers, the whole thing, and suggested that we had engaged workers outside structures, for me has always been a problem that I did never, never understood why that was the case. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. May I ask you to turn to page 51, please? It's in the same radio	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: I understand you wish to make an announcement about another matter, Mr Bham, but before you do that perhaps we can introduce another note at this point. Mr Mpofu, you did indicate to me you also wanted to make an announcement and extend some kind of invitation. Is that correct? MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this misunderstanding and for Mr Mathunjwa to make statements when he knew actually the rules of wage negotiations, the processes, the triggers, the whole thing, and suggested that we had engaged workers outside structures, for me has always been a problem that I did never, never understood why that was the case. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. May I ask you to turn to page 51, please? It's in the same radio discussion, on line 9 Xolani says, the passages preceding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: I understand you wish to make an announcement about another matter, Mr Bham, but before you do that perhaps we can introduce another note at this point. Mr Mpofu, you did indicate to me you also wanted to make an announcement and extend some kind of invitation. Is that correct? MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thank you, Chairperson. Keeping up with my promise of last week when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this misunderstanding and for Mr Mathunjwa to make statements when he knew actually the rules of wage negotiations, the processes, the triggers, the whole thing, and suggested that we had engaged workers outside structures, for me has always been a problem that I did never, never understood why that was the case. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. May I ask you to turn to page 51, please? It's in the same radio discussion, on line 9 Xolani says, the passages preceding this have already been read to you and then line 9 Xolani	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: I understand you wish to make an announcement about another matter, Mr Bham, but before you do that perhaps we can introduce another note at this point. Mr Mpofu, you did indicate to me you also wanted to make an announcement and extend some kind of invitation. Is that correct? MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thank you, Chairperson. Keeping up with my promise of last week when you, all of you congratulated me, I had promised some cake,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this misunderstanding and for Mr Mathunjwa to make statements when he knew actually the rules of wage negotiations, the processes, the triggers, the whole thing, and suggested that we had engaged workers outside structures, for me has always been a problem that I did never, never understood why that was the case. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. May I ask you to turn to page 51, please? It's in the same radio discussion, on line 9 Xolani says, the passages preceding this have already been read to you and then line 9 Xolani says, "So in other words they did engage you, they did come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: I understand you wish to make an announcement about another matter, Mr Bham, but before you do that perhaps we can introduce another note at this point. Mr Mpofu, you did indicate to me you also wanted to make an announcement and extend some kind of invitation. Is that correct? MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thank you, Chairperson. Keeping up with my promise of last week when you, all of you congratulated me, I had promised some cake, which has now been upgraded to a finger lunch in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the middle of that page. MR MOKOENA: Particularly that line number 10, Chair, I have presented before this Commission that it was false to conclude that Lonmin management had engaged workers outside structures, and I've explained the practice at Lonmin. So, and particularly that I was dealing with matured union leadership that understood the nature of wage negotiations compared to workers knocking at a door and asking for an increase. The fact that Mike Da Costa spoke to the workers never occurred to me that he was opening wage negotiations. So I've always insisted on this misunderstanding and for Mr Mathunjwa to make statements when he knew actually the rules of wage negotiations, the processes, the triggers, the whole thing, and suggested that we had engaged workers outside structures, for me has always been a problem that I did never, never understood why that was the case. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. May I ask you to turn to page 51, please? It's in the same radio discussion, on line 9 Xolani says, the passages preceding this have already been read to you and then line 9 Xolani says, "So in other words they did engage you, they did come to you, they raised their concerns and you offered them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	give you X-amount of money. That was the essence of my statement that it was not a matter of wage negotiations. MR BHAM SC: Thank you. Mr Chair, I have no further questions. Might I just say though that when I referred to Xolani I meant no disrespect to Mr Gwala. I was reading verbatim the transcript. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I accepted that is the case. I'm sure Mr Gwala won't mind. Mr Mokoena, you're excused. Thank you for coming and giving your evidence. MR MOKOENA: Thank you, Chair. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: I understand you wish to make an announcement about another matter, Mr Bham, but before you do that perhaps we can introduce another note at this point. Mr Mpofu, you did indicate to me you also wanted to make an announcement and extend some kind of invitation. Is that correct? MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thank you, Chairperson. Keeping up with my promise of last week when you, all of you congratulated me, I had promised some cake, which has now been upgraded to a finger lunch in the breakaway room at lunchtime. So everyone is invited,

		1	
	Page 38219		Page 38221
1	much indeed. I presume there may be some remarks made	1	There are three sources of non-statutory, namely
2	there as well, but we have to keep an eye on the time for	2	voluntary payments which have been made to families. The
3	the lunch today I'm afraid.	3	first is from the memorial trust fund and there are full
4	MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thank you.	4	details of every person to whom such payments have been
5	CHAIRPERSON: But still –	5	made in an annexure to the document. The payments made to
6	MR MPOFU SC: I think Mr Wesley will be	6	date amount to R2 691 506.
7	there too.	7	In addition to that there was an ad hoc education
8	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he's in charge of that	8	payment, and I'll explain that shortly, in an amount of
9	aspect of it. Thank you very much. Right, thank you, Mr	9	just over R143 000, and then there were payments made
10	Mpofu. Mr Bham.	10	through TEBA for welfare support which Lonmin describes as
11	MR BHAM SC: Thank you, Mr Chair, fellow	11	additional contributions to deceased's wives and children
12	Commissioners. I handed to you at the break a document	12	between November 2012 and July 2014 and that was in an
13	which is a Lonmin document relating to what has been	13	amount of R2 477 317. Those then were the non-statutory
14	provided, or the support given by Lonmin on behalf of the	14	payments.
15	families, or to the families of the deceased. Perhaps you	15	There were also statutory payments that have been
16	wish to give that an exhibit number. Mr Chair, what I	16	made, facilitated through Lonmin, by the Masakhane
17	intend to do is spend no more than a few minutes just	17	Provident Fund to the families, and again details of the
18	highlighting the key aspects of that.	18	beneficiaries to whom those payments have been made are
19	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you gave me a document	19	fully set out in an annexure to this document. Those
20	headed "Support for the families of the deceased: Lonmin, a	20	payments total R9 929 547.
21	summary of statutory and non-statutory payments."	21	In relation to the memorial trust fund
22	MR BHAM SC: Yes, that's –	22	principally directed towards meeting educational needs,
23	CHAIRPERSON: And I think we can mark it	23	that fund provides costs for education assistance, full
24	XXXX1. I don't know if there are any other quadruple X	24	education assistance, extramural activities, boarding fees,
25	exhibits, but we'll mark it that.	25	local school fees where children are not boarding, tertiary
20			
	Page 38220		Page 38222
1	Page 38220 MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm	1	Page 38222 education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books,
1 2	-	1 2	5
	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm		education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books,
2	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was	2	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution.
2 3	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families	2 3	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his
2 3 4	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of	2 3 4	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point
2 3 4 5	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key	2 3 4 5	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children
2 3 4 5 6	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it.	2 3 4 5 6	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the	2 3 4 5 6 7	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like, in place that paid out to beneficiaries in the event of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well. In July 2014 Lonmin representatives embarked on a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like, in place that paid out to beneficiaries in the event of death, in this particular instance the company recognised	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well. In July 2014 Lonmin representatives embarked on a school visit audit to check that the beneficiaries of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like, in place that paid out to beneficiaries in the event of death, in this particular instance the company recognised it as an extraordinary event and for that reason had taken	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well. In July 2014 Lonmin representatives embarked on a school visit audit to check that the beneficiaries of the trust fund were at the education institutions as stated in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like, in place that paid out to beneficiaries in the event of death, in this particular instance the company recognised it as an extraordinary event and for that reason had taken a resolution to provide direct assistance to the families.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well. In July 2014 Lonmin representatives embarked on a school visit audit to check that the beneficiaries of the trust fund were at the education institutions as stated in the trust fund. At the same time Lonmin undertook a needs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like, in place that paid out to beneficiaries in the event of death, in this particular instance the company recognised it as an extraordinary event and for that reason had taken a resolution to provide direct assistance to the families. It then gave consideration to the nature of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well. In July 2014 Lonmin representatives embarked on a school visit audit to check that the beneficiaries of the trust fund were at the education institutions as stated in the trust fund. At the same time Lonmin undertook a needs analysis at schools in Kokstad, KwaZulu-Natal, the Free
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like, in place that paid out to beneficiaries in the event of death, in this particular instance the company recognised it as an extraordinary event and for that reason had taken a resolution to provide direct assistance to the families. It then gave consideration to the nature of that direct assistance and it states the following. "Given the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well. In July 2014 Lonmin representatives embarked on a school visit audit to check that the beneficiaries of the trust fund were at the education institutions as stated in the trust fund. At the same time Lonmin undertook a needs analysis at schools in Kokstad, KwaZulu-Natal, the Free State, Eastern Cape, Swaziland and Lesotho in order to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like, in place that paid out to beneficiaries in the event of death, in this particular instance the company recognised it as an extraordinary event and for that reason had taken a resolution to provide direct assistance to the families. It then gave consideration to the nature of that direct assistance and it states the following. "Given the extraordinary nature of the events at Marikana, Lonmin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well. In July 2014 Lonmin representatives embarked on a school visit audit to check that the beneficiaries of the trust fund were at the education institutions as stated in the trust fund. At the same time Lonmin undertook a needs analysis at schools in Kokstad, KwaZulu-Natal, the Free State, Eastern Cape, Swaziland and Lesotho in order to establish if the children had what they needed. During
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like, in place that paid out to beneficiaries in the event of death, in this particular instance the company recognised it as an extraordinary event and for that reason had taken a resolution to provide direct assistance to the families. It then gave consideration to the nature of that direct assistance and it states the following. "Given the extraordinary nature of the events at Marikana, Lonmin resolved that the best and most enduring contribution it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well. In July 2014 Lonmin representatives embarked on a school visit audit to check that the beneficiaries of the trust fund were at the education institutions as stated in the trust fund. At the same time Lonmin undertook a needs analysis at schools in Kokstad, KwaZulu-Natal, the Free State, Eastern Cape, Swaziland and Lesotho in order to establish if the children had what they needed. During those visits it was established that the children needed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like, in place that paid out to beneficiaries in the event of death, in this particular instance the company recognised it as an extraordinary event and for that reason had taken a resolution to provide direct assistance to the families. It then gave consideration to the nature of that direct assistance and it states the following. "Given the extraordinary nature of the events at Marikana, Lonmin resolved that the best and most enduring contribution it could make would be to provide its deceased employees'	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well. In July 2014 Lonmin representatives embarked on a school visit audit to check that the beneficiaries of the trust fund were at the education institutions as stated in the trust fund. At the same time Lonmin undertook a needs analysis at schools in Kokstad, KwaZulu-Natal, the Free State, Eastern Cape, Swaziland and Lesotho in order to establish if the children had what they needed. During those visits it was established that the children needed additional toiletries, books and stationary, whilst some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like, in place that paid out to beneficiaries in the event of death, in this particular instance the company recognised it as an extraordinary event and for that reason had taken a resolution to provide direct assistance to the families. It then gave consideration to the nature of that direct assistance and it states the following. "Given the extraordinary nature of the events at Marikana, Lonmin resolved that the best and most enduring contribution it could make would be to provide its deceased employees' dependent children with an education. Consequently it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well. In July 2014 Lonmin representatives embarked on a school visit audit to check that the beneficiaries of the trust fund. At the same time Lonmin undertook a needs analysis at schools in Kokstad, KwaZulu-Natal, the Free State, Eastern Cape, Swaziland and Lesotho in order to establish if the children had what they needed. During those visits it was established that the children needed additional toiletries, books and stationary, whilst some also needed uniforms. Lonmin immediately provided these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like, in place that paid out to beneficiaries in the event of death, in this particular instance the company recognised it as an extraordinary event and for that reason had taken a resolution to provide direct assistance to the families. It then gave consideration to the nature of that direct assistance and it states the following. "Given the extraordinary nature of the events at Marikana, Lonmin resolved that the best and most enduring contribution it could make would be to provide its deceased employees' dependent children with an education. Consequently it established a memorial trust fund to fund their school and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well. In July 2014 Lonmin representatives embarked on a school visit audit to check that the beneficiaries of the trust fund. At the same time Lonmin undertook a needs analysis at schools in Kokstad, KwaZulu-Natal, the Free State, Eastern Cape, Swaziland and Lesotho in order to establish if the children had what they needed. During those visits it was established that the children needed additional toiletries, books and stationary, whilst some also needed uniforms. Lonmin immediately provided these items, as well as laptops, scanners and printers for those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like, in place that paid out to beneficiaries in the event of death, in this particular instance the company recognised it as an extraordinary event and for that reason had taken a resolution to provide direct assistance to the families. It then gave consideration to the nature of that direct assistance and it states the following. "Given the extraordinary nature of the events at Marikana, Lonmin resolved that the best and most enduring contribution it could make would be to provide its deceased employees' dependent children with an education. Consequently it established a memorial trust fund to fund their school and tertiary education. In addition Lonmin also facilitated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well. In July 2014 Lonmin representatives embarked on a school visit audit to check that the beneficiaries of the trust fund. At the same time Lonmin undertook a needs analysis at schools in Kokstad, KwaZulu-Natal, the Free State, Eastern Cape, Swaziland and Lesotho in order to establish if the children had what they needed. During those visits it was established that the children needed additional toiletries, books and stationary, whilst some also needed uniforms. Lonmin immediately provided these items, as well as laptops, scanners and printers for those attending at tertiary institutions. The costs of these ad
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like, in place that paid out to beneficiaries in the event of death, in this particular instance the company recognised it as an extraordinary event and for that reason had taken a resolution to provide direct assistance to the families. It then gave consideration to the nature of that direct assistance and it states the following. "Given the extraordinary nature of the events at Marikana, Lonmin resolved that the best and most enduring contribution it could make would be to provide its deceased employees' dependent children with an education. Consequently it established a memorial trust fund to fund their school and tertiary education. In addition Lonmin also facilitated various payments to the families from statutory sources,"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well. In July 2014 Lonmin representatives embarked on a school visit audit to check that the beneficiaries of the trust fund were at the education institutions as stated in the trust fund. At the same time Lonmin undertook a needs analysis at schools in Kokstad, KwaZulu-Natal, the Free State, Eastern Cape, Swaziland and Lesotho in order to establish if the children had what they needed. During those visits it was established that the children needed additional toiletries, books and stationary, whilst some also needed uniforms. Lonmin immediately provided these items, as well as laptops, scanners and printers for those attending at tertiary institutions. The costs of these ad hoc education related expenses was just over R143 000.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR BHAM SC: May I just preface what I'm going to go through by saying that this document was prepared as a result of the testimony given by the families of the deceased, or the presentations made by them. It is being therefore handed up to the Commission in the form of a presentation and I'm just going to highlight certain key aspects of it. The first point that Lonmin makes in the presentation is that in the aftermath of the Marikana disaster the company Lonmin recognised that although there were policies, it talks of insurance policies and the like, in place that paid out to beneficiaries in the event of death, in this particular instance the company recognised it as an extraordinary event and for that reason had taken a resolution to provide direct assistance to the families. It then gave consideration to the nature of that direct assistance and it states the following. "Given the extraordinary nature of the events at Marikana, Lonmin resolved that the best and most enduring contribution it could make would be to provide its deceased employees' dependent children with an education. Consequently it established a memorial trust fund to fund their school and tertiary education. In addition Lonmin also facilitated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	education, and the cost of accommodation, prescribed books, stationary, transport and food at a tertiary institution. During July 2013 Mr Ben Magara and members of his management team visited families of the deceased employees in the Eastern Cape, Lesotho and elsewhere. At that point in time a decision was made to ensure that these children had access to the best possible education to meet their needs and facilities and not necessarily just the education facilities available in their home area. Consequently you'll see in the schedule that in certain instances there's private school tuition fees being paid as well. In July 2014 Lonmin representatives embarked on a school visit audit to check that the beneficiaries of the trust fund. At the same time Lonmin undertook a needs analysis at schools in Kokstad, KwaZulu-Natal, the Free State, Eastern Cape, Swaziland and Lesotho in order to establish if the children had what they needed. During those visits it was established that the children needed additional toiletries, books and stationary, whilst some also needed uniforms. Lonmin immediately provided these items, as well as laptops, scanners and printers for those attending at tertiary institutions. The costs of these ad

1	Page 38223	1	Page 38225
1	of education there were certain non-education related	1	on to Mr Seedat. You recall Mr Seedat. Mr Wesley is going
2	payments. This included payments made for family support	2	to give us the details of the parties who are going to
3	between November 2012 and July 2014 where a total of	3	cross-examine Mr Seedat and the times that have been
4	R2 477 317 was paid towards support for the deceased's	4	allocated.
5	wives and children. This included the cost of families'	5	MR WESLEY: Yes, thank you, Chair. I do
6	transport, accommodation, burial preparations, meals and	6	understand that in chief though there are some matters that
7	funeral services. Those payments were made via TEBA and	7	must still be dealt with for approximately 10 minutes.
8	details of payments made to TEBA for those purposes are set	8	Chair, then for cross-examination the sequence and the
9	out in a schedule to the document.	9	allocated times will be as follows; evidence leaders, 180
10	What you'll then find are certain schedules, a	10	minutes, followed by AMCU, 30 minutes, followed by the
11	schedule which sets out the Masakhane Provident Fund	11	Legal Resources Centre, 25 minutes, and finally the Human
12	payment with details of the amounts paid, when those	12	Rights Commission, 25 minutes.
13	payments were made and the beneficiary to whom the payment	13	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. And you'll
14	was made, and that's the amount of just over R9 million.	14	keep the time, keep a close watch on that. Mr Seedat, you
15	Details of the payments made via TEBA for funeral cover and	15	remember when you were here last time you swore to give the
16	payroll is set out in a second schedule to the document.	16	evidence truthfully and fully and so forth. I remind you,
17	Finally there's full details of trust student	17	you're still under your oath.
18	payments made, in other words every student beneficiary on	18	MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.]
19	behalf of whom educational payments have been made is set	19	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. You may be
20	out in a schedule in detail, which identifies the student,	20	seated. Mr Burger, I understand there are a couple of
21	the family they come from, as well as the amount paid on	21	matters you want to deal with briefly by way of concluding
22	behalf of the student and the institution to whom the	22	the examination-in-chief of the witness.
23	amount is paid, and the last schedule then deals with non-	23	EXAMINATION BY MR BURGER SC (CONTD.):
24	statutory payments, welfare support for families, and these	24 25	Indeed, Chair, three small issues. I start the first one
25	were payments made to TEBA for onward facilitation to the	25	by referring to volume 4 of exhibit SSSS2, those are the
	Page 38224		Page 38226
1	families. Thank you, Mr Chairman.	1	five volume exhibit. Mr Seedat, as part of the financial
2			· •
2	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Bham. I	2	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to
2	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Bham. I understand that the payments to which you've referred were	2 3	
	<b>3</b>		statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to
3	understand that the payments to which you've referred were	3	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a
3 4	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were	3 4	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to
3 4 5	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct.	3 4 5	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in
3 4 5 6 7 8	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr	3 4 5 6	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010
3 4 5 6 7	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to	3 4 5 6 7	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get Lonmin's response. So you'll get the interrogatory shortly	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter alia the subject of housing, and at page 1404 in the window
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get Lonmin's response. So you'll get the interrogatory shortly and once we have the reply I take it Mr Ntsebeza will deal	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter alia the subject of housing, and at page 1404 in the window you find a report-back on housing and I will ask you to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get Lonmin's response. So you'll get the interrogatory shortly and once we have the reply I take it Mr Ntsebeza will deal with it in his argument. But your document you've given	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter alia the subject of housing, and at page 1404 in the window you find a report-back on housing and I will ask you to read just the paragraph below the window starting with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get Lonmin's response. So you'll get the interrogatory shortly and once we have the reply I take it Mr Ntsebeza will deal with it in his argument. But your document you've given us, the exhibit, deals fully I take it with the families of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter alia the subject of housing, and at page 1404 in the window you find a report-back on housing and I will ask you to read just the paragraph below the window starting with "Regrettably" into the record.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get Lonmin's response. So you'll get the interrogatory shortly and once we have the reply I take it Mr Ntsebeza will deal with it in his argument. But your document you've given us, the exhibit, deals fully I take it with the families of those deceased persons who were in the employ of Lonmin on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter alia the subject of housing, and at page 1404 in the window you find a report-back on housing and I will ask you to read just the paragraph below the window starting with "Regrettably" into the record. MR SEEDAT: Sure, excuse my voice. I've
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get Lonmin's response. So you'll get the interrogatory shortly and once we have the reply I take it Mr Ntsebeza will deal with it in his argument. But your document you've given us, the exhibit, deals fully I take it with the families of those deceased persons who were in the employ of Lonmin on the 16th of August.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter alia the subject of housing, and at page 1404 in the window you find a report-back on housing and I will ask you to read just the paragraph below the window starting with "Regrettably" into the record. MR SEEDAT: Sure, excuse my voice. I've got a bit of a sore throat. "Regrettably there have been
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get Lonmin's response. So you'll get the interrogatory shortly and once we have the reply I take it Mr Ntsebeza will deal with it in his argument. But your document you've given us, the exhibit, deals fully I take it with the families of those deceased persons who were in the employ of Lonmin on the 16th of August. MR BHAM SC: It deals with those	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter alia the subject of housing, and at page 1404 in the window you find a report-back on housing and I will ask you to read just the paragraph below the window starting with "Regrettably" into the record. MR SEEDAT: Sure, excuse my voice. I've got a bit of a sore throat. "Regrettably there have been several challenges that have required us to adjust the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get Lonmin's response. So you'll get the interrogatory shortly and once we have the reply I take it Mr Ntsebeza will deal with it in his argument. But your document you've given us, the exhibit, deals fully I take it with the families of those deceased persons who were in the employ of Lonmin on the 16th of August. MR BHAM SC: It deals with those families, the nature of the payments made, the purpose for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter alia the subject of housing, and at page 1404 in the window you find a report-back on housing and I will ask you to read just the paragraph below the window starting with "Regrettably" into the record. MR SEEDAT: Sure, excuse my voice. I've got a bit of a sore throat. "Regrettably there have been several challenges that have required us to adjust the 5 500 houses target. These challenges include sufficient
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get Lonmin's response. So you'll get the interrogatory shortly and once we have the reply I take it Mr Ntsebeza will deal with it in his argument. But your document you've given us, the exhibit, deals fully I take it with the families of those deceased persons who were in the employ of Lonmin on the 16th of August. MR BHAM SC: It deals with those families, the nature of the payments made, the purpose for which it's been made, the beneficiary towards whom it's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter alia the subject of housing, and at page 1404 in the window you find a report-back on housing and I will ask you to read just the paragraph below the window starting with "Regrettably" into the record. MR SEEDAT: Sure, excuse my voice. I've got a bit of a sore throat. "Regrettably there have been several challenges that have required us to adjust the 5 500 houses target. These challenges include sufficient and appropriate land not being available in the vicinity of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get Lonmin's response. So you'll get the interrogatory shortly and once we have the reply I take it Mr Ntsebeza will deal with it in his argument. But your document you've given us, the exhibit, deals fully I take it with the families of those deceased persons who were in the employ of Lonmin on the 16th of August. MR BHAM SC: It deals with those families, the nature of the payments made, the purpose for which it's been made, the beneficiary towards whom it's been made and the details of the institutions to whom it's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter alia the subject of housing, and at page 1404 in the window you find a report-back on housing and I will ask you to read just the paragraph below the window starting with "Regrettably" into the record. MR SEEDAT: Sure, excuse my voice. I've got a bit of a sore throat. "Regrettably there have been several challenges that have required us to adjust the 5 500 houses target. These challenges include sufficient and appropriate land not being available in the vicinity of the workplace, insufficient water and electricity supply,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get Lonmin's response. So you'll get the interrogatory shortly and once we have the reply I take it Mr Ntsebeza will deal with it in his argument. But your document you've given us, the exhibit, deals fully I take it with the families of those deceased persons who were in the employ of Lonmin on the 16th of August. MR BHAM SC: It deals with those families, the nature of the payments made, the purpose for which it's been made, the beneficiary towards whom it's been made and the details of the institutions to whom it's been made.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter alia the subject of housing, and at page 1404 in the window you find a report-back on housing and I will ask you to read just the paragraph below the window starting with "Regrettably" into the record. MR SEEDAT: Sure, excuse my voice. I've got a bit of a sore throat. "Regrettably there have been several challenges that have required us to adjust the 5 500 houses target. These challenges include sufficient and appropriate land not being available in the vicinity of the workplace, insufficient water and electricity supply, and financial constraints resulting in delay to meeting our
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get Lonmin's response. So you'll get the interrogatory shortly and once we have the reply I take it Mr Ntsebeza will deal with it in his argument. But your document you've given us, the exhibit, deals fully I take it with the families of those deceased persons who were in the employ of Lonmin on the 16th of August. MR BHAM SC: It deals with those families, the nature of the payments made, the purpose for which it's been made, the beneficiary towards whom it's been made and the details of the institutions to whom it's been made. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you, Mr Bham.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter alia the subject of housing, and at page 1404 in the window you find a report-back on housing and I will ask you to read just the paragraph below the window starting with "Regrettably" into the record. MR SEEDAT: Sure, excuse my voice. I've got a bit of a sore throat. "Regrettably there have been several challenges that have required us to adjust the 5 500 houses target. These challenges include sufficient and appropriate land not being available in the vicinity of the workplace, insufficient water and electricity supply, and financial constraints resulting in delay to meeting our committed targets."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get Lonmin's response. So you'll get the interrogatory shortly and once we have the reply I take it Mr Ntsebeza will deal with it in his argument. But your document you've given us, the exhibit, deals fully I take it with the families of those deceased persons who were in the employ of Lonmin on the 16th of August. MR BHAM SC: It deals with those families, the nature of the payments made, the purpose for which it's been made, the beneficiary towards whom it's been made and the details of the institutions to whom it's been made. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you, Mr Bham. [Microphone off, inaudible], did you want to say something	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter alia the subject of housing, and at page 1404 in the window you find a report-back on housing and I will ask you to read just the paragraph below the window starting with "Regrettably" into the record. MR SEEDAT: Sure, excuse my voice. I've got a bit of a sore throat. "Regrettably there have been several challenges that have required us to adjust the 5 500 houses target. These challenges include sufficient and appropriate land not being available in the vicinity of the workplace, insufficient water and electricity supply, and financial constraints resulting in delay to meeting our committed targets." MR BURGER SC: And then the second year I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	understand that the payments to which you've referred were all made to the families of deceased persons who were actually in the employ of Lonmin. That's correct, isn't it? MR BHAM SC: That is absolutely correct. CHAIRPERSON: I also understand from Mr Ntsebeza that he wishes to submit an interrogatory to Lonmin on behalf of the families of three persons who, deceased persons who were not employed by Lonmin, to get Lonmin's response. So you'll get the interrogatory shortly and once we have the reply I take it Mr Ntsebeza will deal with it in his argument. But your document you've given us, the exhibit, deals fully I take it with the families of those deceased persons who were in the employ of Lonmin on the 16th of August. MR BHAM SC: It deals with those families, the nature of the payments made, the purpose for which it's been made, the beneficiary towards whom it's been made and the details of the institutions to whom it's been made. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you, Mr Bham.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	statements of Lonmin during the relevant period 2008 to 2012 there was also inserted what was called a sustainability report. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR BURGER SC: And I don't have time to refer to all those sustainability reports. They are all in volume 4, but can I just highlight two of them; the 2010 report appears at page 1404 and I refer to that because this is in the year that the new mining charter is published and this is your report to shareholders on inter alia the subject of housing, and at page 1404 in the window you find a report-back on housing and I will ask you to read just the paragraph below the window starting with "Regrettably" into the record. MR SEEDAT: Sure, excuse my voice. I've got a bit of a sore throat. "Regrettably there have been several challenges that have required us to adjust the 5 500 houses target. These challenges include sufficient and appropriate land not being available in the vicinity of the workplace, insufficient water and electricity supply, and financial constraints resulting in delay to meeting our committed targets."

1	Page 38227 Commission why it was experienced that housing, separate-	1	Page 38229 occupants. The remaining 800 odd homes.
2	standing housing was not well received by the workers, but	2	[11:11] To the best of my knowledge the amounts owed on
3	can we look at the report which you'll find at page 1424.	3	those homes are relatively small, one to R5 000 I think is
4	This is part of the sustainability report for the 2012	4	the number that remains in my mind for the majority of them
5	annual statements of Lonmin and there is a long section, we	5	and we even explored possibilities of using money from the
6	simply don't have the time to read it, but you will know	6	pension fund, getting a loan against the pension fund to
7	this page and perhaps particularly with reference to what	7	enable the occupants to take ownership of those houses
		8	because those houses in early 2000 were worth R62 000.
8	is in the first column will you just remain us why the housing did not appear to be a success with the employees?	9	-
9			Today they're probably worth R200 000 to R300 000, but
10 11	MR SEEDAT: It lists, well various bullet points there. It was the rising cost of housing and	10	there has been a reluctance for that to happen and we can ascribe that to several reasons. One is that ratio of 240-
		11	
12	availability of finance amidst increased economic	12	odd taking occupation versus the remainder not taking
13	constraints, lack of sufficient available land on Lonmin's	13	occupation seems to correspond with a survey we did which
14	lease area, shortage of water and electricity which is	14	said that the vast majority of the employees do not want to
15	worsened by the spread of unplanned informal settlements,	15	own homes, around 85% if I remember correctly, they want to
16	and consequent increase in pressures on services as some	16	rent accommodation – probably because they have a home back
17	employees choose to sublet their purchased or rented homes	17 10	from where they come in the communities they come from and
18	to tenants. And this is the important bullet point, a lack	18	they don't want to be burdened with a second home. That
19	of interest in homeownership despite government requirement	19	was the one reason.
20	that ownership among employees be increased. Many	20	The second reason is that once the employee took
21	employees still opt to stay in hostels or rental as they	21	ownership of that house, he would be responsible for
22	are considered to be more affordable and employees from	22	maintenance, he would be responsible for the provision of
23	distant labour sending areas often do not want to invest in	23	electricity, for payment of that and water and other rates
24	property at Marikana when they already have a home and	24 25	that normally go with ownership of a home and there seemed
25	community based elsewhere. Then of course there's issues	25	to have been a reluctance to take responsibility for those
	Page 38228		Page 38230
1	Page 38228 around schooling and other community facilities as well as	1	Page 38230 charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did
1 2	8	1 2	5
	around schooling and other community facilities as well as	_	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did
2	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown.	2	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were
2 3	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's	2 3	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to
2 3 4	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done	2 3 4	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house,
2 3 4 5	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s?	2 3 4 5	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for
2 3 4 5 6	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned	2 3 4 5 6	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want
2 3 4 5 6 7	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set	2 3 4 5 6 7	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200 homes were built and the basis of how those houses were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in this MHDC was about R25 million a few years ago. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200 homes were built and the basis of how those houses were sold is that a person takes occupation, the price is fixed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in this MHDC was about R25 million a few years ago. The intention was and the MHDC is a company that has its own
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200 homes were built and the basis of how those houses were sold is that a person takes occupation, the price is fixed on the day of occupation, which is around R62 000, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in this MHDC was about R25 million a few years ago. The intention was and the MHDC is a company that has its own board of directors, including union membership and Lonmin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200 homes were built and the basis of how those houses were sold is that a person takes occupation, the price is fixed on the day of occupation, which is around R62 000, if memory serves me correctly, and the rental, which is around	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in this MHDC was about R25 million a few years ago. The intention was and the MHDC is a company that has its own board of directors, including union membership and Lonmin management, and the intention was to take that money and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200 homes were built and the basis of how those houses were sold is that a person takes occupation, the price is fixed on the day of occupation, which is around R500 a month, would all accumulate towards paying for that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in this MHDC was about R25 million a few years ago. The intention was and the MHDC is a company that has its own board of directors, including union membership and Lonmin management, and the intention was to take that money and roll it over to build more homes in a progressive way and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200 homes were built and the basis of how those houses were sold is that a person takes occupation, the price is fixed on the day of occupation, which is around R62 000, if memory serves me correctly, and the rental, which is around R500 a month, would all accumulate towards paying for that house. So there was no interest charged, there was no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in this MHDC was about R25 million a few years ago. The intention was and the MHDC is a company that has its own board of directors, including union membership and Lonmin management, and the intention was to take that money and roll it over to build more homes in a progressive way and I think that intention still exists but I'm not sure how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200 homes were built and the basis of how those houses were sold is that a person takes occupation, the price is fixed on the day of occupation, which is around R62 000, if memory serves me correctly, and the rental, which is around R500 a month, would all accumulate towards paying for that house. So there was no interest charged, there was no rebasing of the price for the house and the additional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in this MHDC was about R25 million a few years ago. The intention was and the MHDC is a company that has its own board of directors, including union membership and Lonmin management, and the intention was to take that money and roll it over to build more homes in a progressive way and I think that intention still exists but I'm not sure how successful they've been at actually implementing it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200 homes were built and the basis of how those houses were sold is that a person takes occupation, the price is fixed on the day of occupation, which is around R62 000, if memory serves me correctly, and the rental, which is around R500 a month, would all accumulate towards paying for that house. So there was no interest charged, there was no rebasing of the price for the house and the additional requirements were that the occupant would pay for the use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in this MHDC was about R25 million a few years ago. The intention was and the MHDC is a company that has its own board of directors, including union membership and Lonmin management, and the intention was to take that money and roll it over to build more homes in a progressive way and I think that intention still exists but I'm not sure how successful they've been at actually implementing it. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Another
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200 homes were built and the basis of how those houses were sold is that a person takes occupation, the price is fixed on the day of occupation, which is around R62 000, if memory serves me correctly, and the rental, which is around R500 a month, would all accumulate towards paying for that house. So there was no interest charged, there was no rebasing of the price for the house and the additional requirements were that the occupant would pay for the use of electricity and water services. That scheme was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in this MHDC was about R25 million a few years ago. The intention was and the MHDC is a company that has its own board of directors, including union membership and Lonmin management, and the intention was to take that money and roll it over to build more homes in a progressive way and I think that intention still exists but I'm not sure how successful they've been at actually implementing it. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Another subject, in a report Dr Forrest raises a query about what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200 homes were built and the basis of how those houses were sold is that a person takes occupation, the price is fixed on the day of occupation, which is around R62 000, if memory serves me correctly, and the rental, which is around R500 a month, would all accumulate towards paying for that house. So there was no interest charged, there was no rebasing of the price for the house and the additional requirements were that the occupant would pay for the use of electricity and water services. That scheme was introduced I think from late '99 onwards, so you know, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in this MHDC was about R25 million a few years ago. The intention was and the MHDC is a company that has its own board of directors, including union membership and Lonmin management, and the intention was to take that money and roll it over to build more homes in a progressive way and I think that intention still exists but I'm not sure how successful they've been at actually implementing it. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Another subject, in a report Dr Forrest raises a query about what I may call inter-group payments, payments by Lonmin to an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200 homes were built and the basis of how those houses were sold is that a person takes occupation, the price is fixed on the day of occupation, which is around R62 000, if memory serves me correctly, and the rental, which is around R500 a month, would all accumulate towards paying for that house. So there was no interest charged, there was no rebasing of the price for the house and the additional requirements were that the occupant would pay for the use of electricity and water services. That scheme was introduced and one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in this MHDC was about R25 million a few years ago. The intention was and the MHDC is a company that has its own board of directors, including union membership and Lonmin management, and the intention was to take that money and roll it over to build more homes in a progressive way and I think that intention still exists but I'm not sure how successful they've been at actually implementing it. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Another subject, in a report Dr Forrest raises a query about what I may call inter-group payments, payments by Lonmin to an entity called Lonmin Management Services and a second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200 homes were built and the basis of how those houses were sold is that a person takes occupation, the price is fixed on the day of occupation, which is around R62 000, if memory serves me correctly, and the rental, which is around R500 a month, would all accumulate towards paying for that house. So there was no interest charged, there was no rebasing of the price for the house and the additional requirements were that the occupant would pay for the use of electricity and water services. That scheme was introduced and one would think that after 10 years, that's paying R6 000 a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in this MHDC was about R25 million a few years ago. The intention was and the MHDC is a company that has its own board of directors, including union membership and Lonmin management, and the intention was to take that money and roll it over to build more homes in a progressive way and I think that intention still exists but I'm not sure how successful they've been at actually implementing it. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Another subject, in a report Dr Forrest raises a query about what I may call inter-group payments, payments by Lonmin to an entity called Lonmin Management Services and a second entity called Western Metals Sales Limited. Now subsequent to that there's been a set of facts agreed with the evidence leaders, between the evidence leaders and Lonmin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200 homes were built and the basis of how those houses were sold is that a person takes occupation, the price is fixed on the day of occupation, which is around R62 000, if memory serves me correctly, and the rental, which is around R500 a month, would all accumulate towards paying for that house. So there was no interest charged, there was no rebasing of the price for the house and the additional requirements were that the occupant would pay for the use of electricity and water services. That scheme was introduced 1 think from late '99 onwards, so you know, it's what, some 14 years since the scheme was introduced and one would think that after 10 years, that's paying R6 000 a year rental, the house would have been paid for and the occupants would willingly take ownership of those properties. Sadly in these 14 years 1 think only about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in this MHDC was about R25 million a few years ago. The intention was and the MHDC is a company that has its own board of directors, including union membership and Lonmin management, and the intention was to take that money and roll it over to build more homes in a progressive way and I think that intention still exists but I'm not sure how successful they've been at actually implementing it. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Another subject, in a report Dr Forrest raises a query about what I may call inter-group payments, payments by Lonmin to an entity called Lonmin Management Services and a second entity called Western Metals Sales Limited. Now subsequent to that there's been a set of facts agreed with the evidence leaders, between the evidence leaders and Lonmin and that will be placed before the Commission but perhaps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	around schooling and other community facilities as well as the community has grown. MR BURGER SC: And what was Lonmin's experience with that housing development that had been done in the late 90s? MR SEEDAT: Yes, as has been mentioned previously the Marikana Housing Development Company was set up in cooperation as a section 21 company with the local authorities. If memory serves me correctly around 1 200 homes were built and the basis of how those houses were sold is that a person takes occupation, the price is fixed on the day of occupation, which is around R62 000, if memory serves me correctly, and the rental, which is around R500 a month, would all accumulate towards paying for that house. So there was no interest charged, there was no rebasing of the price for the house and the additional requirements were that the occupant would pay for the use of electricity and water services. That scheme was introduced I think from late '99 onwards, so you know, it's what, some 14 years since the scheme was introduced and one would think that after 10 years, that's paying R6 000 a year rental, the house would have been paid for and the occupants would willingly take ownership of those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	charges. And that seems to correspond to the survey we did in late 2007 where one of the things the people who were surveyed said is that if we buy a house, all we expect to do is pay for the cost, the purchase price of the house, instalments to pay for it. We don't want to pay for electricity, we don't want to pay for water, we don't want to pay for rates. So the actual practice seems to correspond to what we've actually seen with these MHDC homes. Incidentally, the money that has accumulated in this MHDC was about R25 million a few years ago. The intention was and the MHDC is a company that has its own board of directors, including union membership and Lonmin management, and the intention was to take that money and roll it over to build more homes in a progressive way and I think that intention still exists but I'm not sure how successful they've been at actually implementing it. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Another subject, in a report Dr Forrest raises a query about what I may call inter-group payments, payments by Lonmin to an entity called Lonmin Management Services and a second entity called Western Metals Sales Limited. Now subsequent to that there's been a set of facts agreed with the evidence leaders, between the evidence leaders and Lonmin

1	Page 38231 role of Lonmin Management Services and the background to	1	Page 38233 Well, there's logistical risks. You could lose product in
2	the fee they receive from Western Platinum for that service	2	transfer, the price could significantly drop from the day
2	being rendered?	2	you pass the metal on to the marketing company to the day
	0		
4		4	it sells it. The counter-party risk in terms of the
5	have been there for a long term, long before I got there,	5	customers and payment are risks that are taken on by this
6	but to the best of the research I've done, what I've been	6	marketing company and the costs of bearing these risks
7	able to establish is that historically Lonmin, and that's	7	translate into a charge that the marketing company charges
8	WPL, Western Platinum and then Eastern Platinum Limited	8	for the metal itself and I've seen these charges range
9	primarily, its assets were in two countries. One was in	9	from, in Lonmin's case while it had this arrangement,
10	the old South Africa and the other one was the old	10	around 2% to cases where it could be as high as 7, 8%,
11	Bophuthatswana, two different legal jurisdictions as well	11	depending on the risk. All of these arrangements are
12	and it's not uncommon in the industry and the previous	12	subject to scrutiny by our auditors, subject to scrutiny by
13	companies I was involved with had similar arrangements, is	13	SARS both – I'm talking about the marketing arrangement as
14	that to try to optimally use your management, you create a	14	well as the LMS entity and throughout the period there have
15	single management team to manage these businesses that	15	not been any concerns raised by SARS with these
16	exist across many geographical boundaries and country	16	arrangements.
17	boundaries. So Lonmin I think did the same thing here,	17	MR BURGER SC: To your knowledge did LMS
18	they established Lonmin Management Services which provided	18	ever suffer a loss in any financial year?
19	services both to WPL and EPL and the kind of services it	19	MR SEEDAT: Oh yes. Because the fee that
20	provided was everything to do with the shareholding and	20	LMS gets paid is subject to the turnover of WPL, which is
21	listing which was company secretarial, legal, treasury,	21	effectively related to the price of the platinum and
22	finance, exploration work and then later on when Western	22	platinum group metals that are produced, in a year like
23	Metal Sales was rolled out in, was closed out in 2007 if	23	2009, so I'm talking October 2008 to September 2009 where
24	memory serves me correctly, even the marketing of its metal	24	the price literally dropped from over \$2 000 a fine ounce
25	was done through this LMS. And LMS, the people it employed	25	for platinum to around \$800 a fine ounce, it meant in that
	Page 38232		Page 38234
1	Page 38232 for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing,	1	Page 38234 year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of
1 2		1 2	
	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing,		year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of
2	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of	2	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered
2 3	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS	2 3	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs
2 3 4	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS.	2 3 4	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to
2 3 4 5	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for	2 3 4 5	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements.
2 3 4 5 6	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example?	2 3 4 5 6	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally,
2 3 4 5 6 7	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part	2 3 4 5 6 7	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for by LMS and in order to enable it to do that, it charged a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should have done it in re-examination but that would take away the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for by LMS and in order to enable it to do that, it charged a fee back to WPL based on turnover. That, as I said, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should have done it in re-examination but that would take away the ability of my learned colleagues to ask questions on it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for by LMS and in order to enable it to do that, it charged a fee back to WPL based on turnover. That, as I said, is what I'm familiar with, that has been done in other mining	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should have done it in re-examination but that would take away the ability of my learned colleagues to ask questions on it. May I hand this up and ask the witness to speak for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for by LMS and in order to enable it to do that, it charged a fee back to WPL based on turnover. That, as I said, is what I'm familiar with, that has been done in other mining companies that work across many different geographies and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should have done it in re-examination but that would take away the ability of my learned colleagues to ask questions on it. May I hand this up and ask the witness to speak for a minute to it and undertake to hand up copies after lunch so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for by LMS and in order to enable it to do that, it charged a fee back to WPL based on turnover. That, as I said, is what I'm familiar with, that has been done in other mining companies that work across many different geographies and boundaries. Western Metal Sales, again it's not an unusual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should have done it in re-examination but that would take away the ability of my learned colleagues to ask questions on it. May I hand this up and ask the witness to speak for a minute to it and undertake to hand up copies after lunch so that everybody knows what we're talking about. I have copies for you and your two Commissioners and for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for by LMS and in order to enable it to do that, it charged a fee back to WPL based on turnover. That, as I said, is what I'm familiar with, that has been done in other mining companies that work across many different geographies and boundaries. Western Metal Sales, again it's not an unusual arrangement to have a marketing company which is generally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should have done it in re-examination but that would take away the ability of my learned colleagues to ask questions on it. May I hand this up and ask the witness to speak for a minute to it and undertake to hand up copies after lunch so that everybody knows what we're talking about. I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for by LMS and in order to enable it to do that, it charged a fee back to WPL based on turnover. That, as I said, is what I'm familiar with, that has been done in other mining companies that work across many different geographies and boundaries. Western Metal Sales, again it's not an unusual arrangement to have a marketing company which is generally located in a jurisdiction where the tax regime is much more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should have done it in re-examination but that would take away the ability of my learned colleagues to ask questions on it. May I hand this up and ask the witness to speak for a minute to it and undertake to hand up copies after lunch so that everybody knows what we're talking about. I have copies for you and your two Commissioners and for the witness but those are the only four copies I have at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for by LMS and in order to enable it to do that, it charged a fee back to WPL based on turnover. That, as I said, is what I'm familiar with, that has been done in other mining companies that work across many different geographies and boundaries. Western Metal Sales, again it's not an unusual arrangement to have a marketing company which is generally located in a jurisdiction where the tax regime is much more favourable. As I said, many of the mining companies that I've worked with and I'm familiar with, have this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should have done it in re-examination but that would take away the ability of my learned colleagues to ask questions on it. May I hand this up and ask the witness to speak for a minute to it and undertake to hand up copies after lunch so that everybody knows what we're talking about. I have copies for you and your two Commissioners and for the witness but those are the only four copies I have at present. MR SEEDAT: Yes, Chair –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for by LMS and in order to enable it to do that, it charged a fee back to WPL based on turnover. That, as I said, is what I'm familiar with, that has been done in other mining companies that work across many different geographies and boundaries. Western Metal Sales, again it's not an unusual arrangement to have a marketing company which is generally located in a jurisdiction where the tax regime is much more favourable. As I said, many of the mining companies that I've worked with and I'm familiar with, have this arrangement, they still have it till today. And what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should have done it in re-examination but that would take away the ability of my learned colleagues to ask questions on it. May I hand this up and ask the witness to speak for a minute to it and undertake to hand up copies after lunch so that everybody knows what we're talking about. I have copies for you and your two Commissioners and for the witness but those are the only four copies I have at present. MR SEEDAT: Yes, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Ms Pillay will tell me what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for by LMS and in order to enable it to do that, it charged a fee back to WPL based on turnover. That, as I said, is what I'm familiar with, that has been done in other mining companies that work across many different geographies and boundaries. Western Metal Sales, again it's not an unusual arrangement to have a marketing company which is generally located in a jurisdiction where the tax regime is much more favourable. As I said, many of the mining companies that I've worked with and I'm familiar with, have this arrangement, they still have it till today. And what happens is that the moment the metal, in this case the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should have done it in re-examination but that would take away the ability of my learned colleagues to ask questions on it. May I hand this up and ask the witness to speak for a minute to it and undertake to hand up copies after lunch so that everybody knows what we're talking about. I have copies for you and your two Commissioners and for the witness but those are the only four copies I have at present. MR SEEDAT: Yes, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Ms Pillay will tell me what exhibit –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for by LMS and in order to enable it to do that, it charged a fee back to WPL based on turnover. That, as I said, is what I'm familiar with, that has been done in other mining companies that work across many different geographies and boundaries. Western Metal Sales, again it's not an unusual arrangement to have a marketing company which is generally located in a jurisdiction where the tax regime is much more favourable. As I said, many of the mining companies that I've worked with and I'm familiar with, have this arrangement, they still have it till today. And what happens is that the moment the metal, in this case the platinum and platinum group metals are produced, as soon as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should have done it in re-examination but that would take away the ability of my learned colleagues to ask questions on it. May I hand this up and ask the witness to speak for a minute to it and undertake to hand up copies after lunch so that everybody knows what we're talking about. I have copies for you and your two Commissioners and for the witness but those are the only four copies I have at present. MR SEEDAT: Yes, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Ms Pillay will tell me what exhibit – MR BURGER SC: That will be SSSS4 or 5, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for by LMS and in order to enable it to do that, it charged a fee back to WPL based on turnover. That, as I said, is what I'm familiar with, that has been done in other mining companies that work across many different geographies and boundaries. Western Metal Sales, again it's not an unusual arrangement to have a marketing company which is generally located in a jurisdiction where the tax regime is much more favourable. As I said, many of the mining companies that I've worked with and I'm familiar with, have this arrangement, they still have it till today. And what happens is that the moment the metal, in this case the platinum and platinum group metals are produced, as soon as they leave the boundary of the refinery in our case, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should have done it in re-examination but that would take away the ability of my learned colleagues to ask questions on it. May I hand this up and ask the witness to speak for a minute to it and undertake to hand up copies after lunch so that everybody knows what we're talking about. I have copies for you and your two Commissioners and for the witness but those are the only four copies I have at present. MR SEEDAT: Yes, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Ms Pillay will tell me what exhibit – MR BURGER SC: That will be SSSS4 or 5, I think.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for by LMS and in order to enable it to do that, it charged a fee back to WPL based on turnover. That, as I said, is what I'm familiar with, that has been done in other mining companies that work across many different geographies and boundaries. Western Metal Sales, again it's not an unusual arrangement to have a marketing company which is generally located in a jurisdiction where the tax regime is much more favourable. As I said, many of the mining companies that I've worked with and I'm familiar with, have this arrangement, they still have it till today. And what happens is that the moment the metal, in this case the platinum and platinum group metals are produced, as soon as they leave the boundary of the refinery in our case, it becomes owned by this marketing company and all risks then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should have done it in re-examination but that would take away the ability of my learned colleagues to ask questions on it. May I hand this up and ask the witness to speak for a minute to it and undertake to hand up copies after lunch so that everybody knows what we're talking about. I have copies for you and your two Commissioners and for the witness but those are the only four copies I have at present. MR SEEDAT: Yes, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Ms Pillay will tell me what exhibit – MR BURGER SC: That will be SSSS4 or 5, I think. MS PILLAY: Chair, if I can just indicate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	for these services, the sales, as I say sales, marketing, legal, treasury, company secretarial, finance, all of these, the people and the resources that were used by LMS had to be paid for by LMS. MR BURGER SC: Who employed the CEO, for example? MR SEEDAT: The CEO would have been part of LMS. I'm subject to correction, he may be part of Lonmin PLC but outside WPL and EPL. The buildings that it rented to house these people would also have to be paid for by LMS and in order to enable it to do that, it charged a fee back to WPL based on turnover. That, as I said, is what I'm familiar with, that has been done in other mining companies that work across many different geographies and boundaries. Western Metal Sales, again it's not an unusual arrangement to have a marketing company which is generally located in a jurisdiction where the tax regime is much more favourable. As I said, many of the mining companies that I've worked with and I'm familiar with, have this arrangement, they still have it till today. And what happens is that the moment the metal, in this case the platinum and platinum group metals are produced, as soon as they leave the boundary of the refinery in our case, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	year Lonmin, LMS recovered significantly less because of the depressed platinum price in that year, recovered significantly less and therefore could not cover the costs of the services and the resources that it was obliged to provide in terms of those agreements. MR BURGER SC: Thank you. Then finally, at the last occasion there was a debate about housing in the context of containers and you had a view on that. Chair, I was handed this morning a little bundle which this witness prepared. I only have four copies and I should have done it in re-examination but that would take away the ability of my learned colleagues to ask questions on it. May I hand this up and ask the witness to speak for a minute to it and undertake to hand up copies after lunch so that everybody knows what we're talking about. I have copies for you and your two Commissioners and for the witness but those are the only four copies I have at present. MR SEEDAT: Yes, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Ms Pillay will tell me what exhibit – MR BURGER SC: That will be SSSS4 or 5, I think.

1	Page 38235 the evidence leaders. There are two volumes of them.	1	Page 38237
1		1	reduced the density massively but we still have the water
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: Alright. MS PILLAY: They will be SSSS4 and SSSS5.	2 3	resources, we have the waste water treatment, et cetera,
3 4	CHAIRPERSON: Yes.	3 4	all in those hostels. A solution like this where you could stack up these containers and properly convert it into
4 5	MS PILLAY: So this document will be	4 5	acceptable accommodation units could possibly mean that we
	SSSS6.		could have employees back in the hostel location enjoying
6	CHAIRPERSON: SSSS6, thank you. How do	6 7	single room accommodation in a much better condition than
7	5	-	-
8	we, we just call it –	8 9	they currently enjoy, with all of the other benefits that
9	MR BURGER SC: "Housing:		go with it. Thank you.
10	Containerisation" or something like that, Chair. I'm in	10	MR BURGER SC: Thank you, Chair, that's the evidence in chief.
11 12	your hands. CHAIRPERSON: "Housing: Containerisation"	11 12	
	5		
13	and that will be SSSS6, thank you.	13	The first cross-examiner is going to be Mr Chaskalson but
14	MR BURGER SC: Mr Seedat, you have in	14	perhaps it's convenient for us to take the second break now
15	front of you a little document which you prepared. I	15	and we'll then have an unbroken session of cross-
16	believe we have two minutes, will you just explain to us	16	examination until lunch time.
17	what you tried –	17	MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, that
18	MR SEEDAT: Sure.	18	would enable me to organise my papers.
19	MR BURGER SC: - to explain in that?	19	CHAIRPERSON: Quarter of an hour.
20	MR SEEDAT: Sure. Chairman, when we did	20 21	[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:57] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
21	the survey in 2007 employees were quite adamant about what	21	
22 23	they wanted. They wanted a single unit on a piece of land. It had to be made of bricks and mortar and they were not	22	You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. Mr Chaskalson. CROSS-EXAMINATION by MR CHASKALSON SC (CONT.):
23 24	prepared to pay for other services as I mentioned earlier,	23 24	Thank you, Mr Chair. There will be two cross-examination
24 25	once they've paid for, started paying for the house. So	24 25	bundles of exhibits and I just want to check that Mr Seedat
25	once they ve paid for, started paying for the house. So	23	bundles of exhibits and 1 just want to check that will seedat
	Page 38236		Page 38238
1	Page 38236 this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm	1	Page 38238 has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or
1 2	5	1 2	6
	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm		has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or
2	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour,	2	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5.
2 3	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to	2 3	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say
2 3 4	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable	2 3 4	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2.
2 3 4 5	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I	2 3 4 5	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr
2 3 4 5 6	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to	2 3 4 5 6	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and
2 3 4 5 6 7	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real	2 3 4 5 6 7	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSSS5 most of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student accommodation that has been built right here in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSSS5 most of the documents in SSSS5 are annual financial statements of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student accommodation that has been built right here in Johannesburg and it's containers and in fact these containers have been stacked many, many levels high. There's pictures of the interiors of these units, et	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSS55 most of the documents in SSSS5 are annual financial statements of Western Platinum Limited form 2008 through to 2012 and there are sundry collection of individual documents that I will be referring to in due course. And if we can start,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student accommodation that has been built right here in Johannesburg and it's containers and in fact these containers have been stacked many, many levels high. There's pictures of the interiors of these units, et cetera, so – and around the world, there's examples in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSS55 most of the documents in SSSS5 are annual financial statements of Western Platinum Limited form 2008 through to 2012 and there are sundry collection of individual documents that I will be referring to in due course. And if we can start, Mr Seedat, with just clarifying a number of – what I'd like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student accommodation that has been built right here in Johannesburg and it's containers and in fact these containers have been stacked many, many levels high. There's pictures of the interiors of these units, et cetera, so – and around the world, there's examples in France that I picked up, there's examples in other parts of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSSS5 most of the documents in SSSS5 are annual financial statements of Western Platinum Limited form 2008 through to 2012 and there are sundry collection of individual documents that I will be referring to in due course. And if we can start, Mr Seedat, with just clarifying a number of – what I'd like to suggest are errors and possibly controversial errors in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student accommodation that has been built right here in Johannesburg and it's containers and in fact these containers have been stacked many, many levels high. There's pictures of the interiors of these units, et cetera, so – and around the world, there's examples in France that I picked up, there's examples in other parts of the world as well where a similar exercise has been done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSS55 most of the documents in SSSS5 are annual financial statements of Western Platinum Limited form 2008 through to 2012 and there are sundry collection of individual documents that I will be referring to in due course. And if we can start, Mr Seedat, with just clarifying a number of – what I'd like to suggest are errors and possibly controversial errors in your evidence in chief. I don't want to spend to much time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student accommodation that has been built right here in Johannesburg and it's containers and in fact these containers have been stacked many, many levels high. There's pictures of the interiors of these units, et cetera, so – and around the world, there's examples in France that I picked up, there's examples in other parts of the world as well where a similar exercise has been done and apparently very successfully and I just wanted to share	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSSS5 most of the documents in SSSS5 are annual financial statements of Western Platinum Limited form 2008 through to 2012 and there are sundry collection of individual documents that I will be referring to in due course. And if we can start, Mr Seedat, with just clarifying a number of – what I'd like to suggest are errors and possibly controversial errors in your evidence in chief. I don't want to spend to much time on it, I just want to put to you what I – a number of cases
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student accommodation that has been built right here in Johannesburg and it's containers and in fact these containers have been stacked many, many levels high. There's pictures of the interiors of these units, et cetera, so – and around the world, there's examples in France that I picked up, there's examples in other parts of the world as well where a similar exercise has been done and apparently very successfully and I just wanted to share this as being a real example where good leadership is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSS55 most of the documents in SSSS5 are annual financial statements of Western Platinum Limited form 2008 through to 2012 and there are sundry collection of individual documents that I will be referring to in due course. And if we can start, Mr Seedat, with just clarifying a number of – what I'd like to suggest are errors and possibly controversial errors in your evidence in chief. I don't want to spend to much time on it, I just want to put to you what I – a number of cases where I say that you've got certain details wrong and see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student accommodation that has been built right here in Johannesburg and it's containers and in fact these containers have been stacked many, many levels high. There's pictures of the interiors of these units, et cetera, so – and around the world, there's examples in France that I picked up, there's examples in other parts of the world as well where a similar exercise has been done and apparently very successfully and I just wanted to share this as being a real example where good leadership is applied, there's a meeting of the minds, I think that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSS55 most of the documents in SSSS5 are annual financial statements of Western Platinum Limited form 2008 through to 2012 and there are sundry collection of individual documents that I will be referring to in due course. And if we can start, Mr Seedat, with just clarifying a number of – what I'd like to suggest are errors and possibly controversial errors in your evidence in chief. I don't want to spend to much time on it, I just want to put to you what I – a number of cases where I say that you've got certain details wrong and see if we can just clarify those. The first is the cost of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student accommodation that has been built right here in Johannesburg and it's containers and in fact these containers have been stacked many, many levels high. There's pictures of the interiors of these units, et cetera, so – and around the world, there's examples in France that I picked up, there's examples in other parts of the world as well where a similar exercise has been done and apparently very successfully and I just wanted to share this as being a real example where good leadership is applied, there's a meeting of the minds, I think that's extremely important. Solutions can be found that would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSSS5 most of the documents in SSSS5 are annual financial statements of Western Platinum Limited form 2008 through to 2012 and there are sundry collection of individual documents that I will be referring to in due course. And if we can start, Mr Seedat, with just clarifying a number of – what I'd like to suggest are errors and possibly controversial errors in your evidence in chief. I don't want to spend to much time on it, I just want to put to you what I – a number of cases where I say that you've got certain details wrong and see if we can just clarify those. The first is the cost of accommodation in informal settlements at the moment. Now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student accommodation that has been built right here in Johannesburg and it's containers and in fact these containers have been stacked many, many levels high. There's pictures of the interiors of these units, et cetera, so – and around the world, there's examples in France that I picked up, there's examples in other parts of the world as well where a similar exercise has been done and apparently very successfully and I just wanted to share this as being a real example where good leadership is applied, there's a meeting of the minds, I think that's extremely important. Solutions can be found that would be ultimately infinitely better than what we currently have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSS55 most of the documents in SSSS5 are annual financial statements of Western Platinum Limited form 2008 through to 2012 and there are sundry collection of individual documents that I will be referring to in due course. And if we can start, Mr Seedat, with just clarifying a number of – what I'd like to suggest are errors and possibly controversial errors in your evidence in chief. I don't want to spend to much time on it, I just want to put to you what I – a number of cases where I say that you've got certain details wrong and see if we can just clarify those. The first is the cost of accommodation in informal settlements at the moment. Now that you spoke of in your evidence in chief at page 37760
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student accommodation that has been built right here in Johannesburg and it's containers and in fact these containers have been stacked many, many levels high. There's pictures of the interiors of these units, et cetera, so – and around the world, there's examples in France that I picked up, there's examples in other parts of the world as well where a similar exercise has been done and apparently very successfully and I just wanted to share this as being a real example where good leadership is applied, there's a meeting of the minds, I think that's extremely important. Solutions can be found that would be ultimately infinitely better than what we currently have with those informal townships but not necessarily the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSSS5 most of the documents in SSSS5 are annual financial statements of Western Platinum Limited form 2008 through to 2012 and there are sundry collection of individual documents that I will be referring to in due course. And if we can start, Mr Seedat, with just clarifying a number of – what I'd like to suggest are errors and possibly controversial errors in your evidence in chief. I don't want to spend to much time on it, I just want to put to you what I – a number of cases where I say that you've got certain details wrong and see if we can just clarify those. The first is the cost of accommodation in informal settlements at the moment. Now that you spoke of in your evidence in chief at page 37760 and you suggested that the going rate at the moment is 500
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student accommodation that has been built right here in Johannesburg and it's containers and in fact these containers have been stacked many, many levels high. There's pictures of the interiors of these units, et cetera, so – and around the world, there's examples in France that I picked up, there's examples in other parts of the world as well where a similar exercise has been done and apparently very successfully and I just wanted to share this as being a real example where good leadership is applied, there's a meeting of the minds, I think that's extremely important. Solutions can be found that would be ultimately infinitely better than what we currently have with those informal townships but not necessarily the ideal, but certainly something that an work very well. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSSS5 most of the documents in SSSS5 are annual financial statements of Western Platinum Limited form 2008 through to 2012 and there are sundry collection of individual documents that I will be referring to in due course. And if we can start, Mr Seedat, with just clarifying a number of – what I'd like to suggest are errors and possibly controversial errors in your evidence in chief. I don't want to spend to much time on it, I just want to put to you what I – a number of cases where I say that you've got certain details wrong and see if we can just clarify those. The first is the cost of accommodation in informal settlements at the moment. Now that you spoke of in your evidence in chief at page 37760 and you suggested that the going rate at the moment is 500 a month rental for a single person and a person living in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student accommodation that has been built right here in Johannesburg and it's containers and in fact these containers have been stacked many, many levels high. There's pictures of the interiors of these units, et cetera, so – and around the world, there's examples in France that I picked up, there's examples in other parts of the world as well where a similar exercise has been done and apparently very successfully and I just wanted to share this as being a real example where good leadership is applied, there's a meeting of the minds, I think that's extremely important. Solutions can be found that would be ultimately infinitely better than what we currently have with those informal townships but not necessarily the ideal, but certainly something that an work very well. And just to expand a little bit, in terms of rented	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSSS5 most of the documents in SSSS5 are annual financial statements of Western Platinum Limited form 2008 through to 2012 and there are sundry collection of individual documents that I will be referring to in due course. And if we can start, Mr Seedat, with just clarifying a number of – what I'd like to suggest are errors and possibly controversial errors in your evidence in chief. I don't want to spend to much time on it, I just want to put to you what I – a number of cases where I say that you've got certain details wrong and see if we can just clarify those. The first is the cost of accommodation in informal settlements at the moment. Now that you spoke of in your evidence in chief at page 37760 and you suggested that the going rate at the moment is 500 a month rental for a single person and a person living in a married shack R800 a month. You recall that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	this was a major challenge and I want to qualify what I'm discussing here, is it needs leadership from labour, government, business, local authorities, if we're going to find a solution that works for everyone, an affordable solution. And after my presentation on Thursday when I went back home I got onto the internet and just decided to do a search and the first document you see there is a real live example, as I said, as you're driving up the M1 north if you look to your left there is this student accommodation that has been built right here in Johannesburg and it's containers and in fact these containers have been stacked many, many levels high. There's pictures of the interiors of these units, et cetera, so – and around the world, there's examples in France that I picked up, there's examples in other parts of the world as well where a similar exercise has been done and apparently very successfully and I just wanted to share this as being a real example where good leadership is applied, there's a meeting of the minds, I think that's extremely important. Solutions can be found that would be ultimately infinitely better than what we currently have with those informal townships but not necessarily the ideal, but certainly something that an work very well. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	has got either two files that say volume 1 and volume 2 or SSSS4 and SSSS5. MR SEEDAT: I have the files that say volume 1 and volume 2. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you, Mr Seedat and the Commissioners to mark volume 1 SSSS4 and volume 2 SSSS5? And just briefly to explain the division of the two volumes, SSSS4 is a series of Lonmin PLCs annual reports from I think 2007 to 2012. SSSS5 most of the documents in SSSS5 are annual financial statements of Western Platinum Limited form 2008 through to 2012 and there are sundry collection of individual documents that I will be referring to in due course. And if we can start, Mr Seedat, with just clarifying a number of – what I'd like to suggest are errors and possibly controversial errors in your evidence in chief. I don't want to spend to much time on it, I just want to put to you what I – a number of cases where I say that you've got certain details wrong and see if we can just clarify those. The first is the cost of accommodation in informal settlements at the moment. Now that you spoke of in your evidence in chief at page 37760 and you suggested that the going rate at the moment is 500 a month rental for a single person and a person living in a

	Page 38239		Page 38241
1	subsequently seen a document, I've spoken historically,	1	entity.
2	it's 800 and 1 200.	2	MR SEEDAT: South African - to Lonmin
3	MR CHASKALSON SC: 800 and 1 200, great.	3	PLC, correct.
4	Just for the reference that document for the record will be	4	CHAIRPERSON: Is it registered as a
5	SSSS5 at page 374. So the going rate for informal	5	foreign company in terms of the Companies Act?
6	settlement rentals is R800 and R1 200. The next detail	6	MR CHASKALSON SC: It is registered as a
7	relates to proclamation, full proclamation Marikana	7	South African company, as an external company.
8	Extension 2. I think that's what you were referring to in	8	CHAIRPERSON: As a foreign company –
9	your testimony at page 37743 when you said at lines 12 to	9	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, so it's Lonmin
10	15 "We couldn't progress until proclamation of the	10	PLC's guise in South Africa, registered presence in South
11	remainder of the township was done" and if I recall	11	Africa.
12	correctly and Barnard will be better able to speak on it, I	12	CHAIRPERSON: Not a separate legal
13	recall that was only done around 2012. In fact the correct	13	persona in other words.
14	date is 10 February, sorry not 2012, 2010 was your	14	MR SEEDAT: Well it's a separate legal
15	testimony. The correct date was 10 February 2009 and the	15	persona in South Africa representing Lonmin PLC.
16	local government notice – local authority notice 28 of	16	CHAIRPERSON: Yes so it's not a separate
17	February 2009. Now the third is a much more substantial	17	legal persona from Lonmin PLC.
18	issue and for that I need to start with your table of	18	MR SEEDAT: Well I'm not sure if that is
19	dividends at page 2004 of SSSS2 which was your primary	19	correct because it has separate set of directors and it
20	evidence in chief bundle. If I can just ask you to have	20	operates separately from the Lonmin PLC UK listed company.
21	that table at hand and it is an issue on which I'm going to	21 22	I think we can check that – MR CHASKALSON SC: Maybe we could clarify
22	have to address – it's quite a complicated issue so I'd like that table to be up on the screen so that everyone	22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Maybe we could clarify that, I thought that we'd sorted that out between us and
23 24	else can see it as well. Before I get to what I'm going to	23	Lonmin, but we'll hold that. If it becomes relevant it
24 25	suggest are quite serious errors in that table, I need to	24	will become relevant later. But then there are some other
20	suggest are quite schous circls in that tuble, i need to	20	win become relevant later. Dat then there are some other
	Page 38240		Page 38242
1	Page 38240 clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure	1	Page 38242 issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that
1 2	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want	1 2	
	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure		issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that
2	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds	2	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited.
2 3	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum	2 3	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an
2 3 4	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western	2 3 4 5 6	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC.
2 3 4 5	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South	2 3 4 5	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence in relation to those sales commission payments you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. It's also got a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence in relation to those sales commission payments you mentioned Lonmin Management Services and I got the sense	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. It's also got a 26% interest in a different South African subsidiary,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence in relation to those sales commission payments you mentioned Lonmin Management Services and I got the sense from your evidence that you were suggesting, well it may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. It's also got a 26% interest in a different South African subsidiary, that's Akanani (Pty) Limited.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence in relation to those sales commission payments you mentioned Lonmin Management Services and I got the sense from your evidence that you were suggesting, well it may have been misunderstood, that Lonmin Management Services	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. It's also got a 26% interest in a different South African subsidiary, that's Akanani (Pty) Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence in relation to those sales commission payments you mentioned Lonmin Management Services and I got the sense from your evidence that you were suggesting, well it may have been misunderstood, that Lonmin Management Services are actually a separate entity from Lonmin PLC. It's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. It's also got a 26% interest in a different South African subsidiary, that's Akanani (Pty) Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Akanani has, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence in relation to those sales commission payments you mentioned Lonmin Management Services and I got the sense from your evidence that you were suggesting, well it may have been misunderstood, that Lonmin Management Services are actually a separate entity from Lonmin PLC. It's the same entity.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. It's also got a 26% interest in a different South African subsidiary, that's Akanani (Pty) Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Akanani has, I'm not sure whether it's a mining right or a prospecting right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence in relation to those sales commission payments you mentioned Lonmin Management Services and I got the sense from your evidence that you were suggesting, well it may have been misunderstood, that Lonmin Management Services are actually a separate entity from Lonmin PLC. It's the same entity. MR SEEDAT: Well Lonmin PLC owns Lonmin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. It's also got a 26% interest in a different South African subsidiary, that's Akanani (Pty) Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Akanani has, I'm not sure whether it's a mining right or a prospecting right over certain assets in Limpopo, but it's not operational at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence in relation to those sales commission payments you mentioned Lonmin Management Services and I got the sense from your evidence that you were suggesting, well it may have been misunderstood, that Lonmin Management Services are actually a separate entity from Lonmin PLC. It's the same entity. MR SEEDAT: Well Lonmin PLC owns Lonmin Management Services.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. It's also got a 26% interest in a different South African subsidiary, that's Akanani (Pty) Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Akanani has, I'm not sure whether it's a mining right or a prospecting right over certain assets in Limpopo, but it's not operational at this stage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence in relation to those sales commission payments you mentioned Lonmin Management Services and I got the sense from your evidence that you were suggesting, well it may have been misunderstood, that Lonmin Management Services are actually a separate entity from Lonmin PLC. It's the same entity. MR SEEDAT: Well Lonmin PLC owns Lonmin Management Services. MR CHASKALSON SC: No I think you'll find	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. It's also got a 26% interest in a different South African subsidiary, that's Akanani (Pty) Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Akanani has, I'm not sure whether it's a mining right or a prospecting right over certain assets in Limpopo, but it's not operational at this stage. MR SEEDAT: No that's right. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence in relation to those sales commission payments you mentioned Lonmin Management Services and I got the sense from your evidence that you were suggesting, well it may have been misunderstood, that Lonmin Management Services are actually a separate entity from Lonmin PLC. It's the same entity. MR SEEDAT: Well Lonmin PLC owns Lonmin Management Services. MR CHASKALSON SC: No I think you'll find it's actually the same entity. Lonmin Management Services	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. It's also got a 26% interest in a different South African subsidiary, that's Akanani (Pty) Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Akanani has, I'm not sure whether it's a mining right or a prospecting right over certain assets in Limpopo, but it's not operational at this stage. MR SEEDAT: No that's right. It currently has a prospecting right which I think has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence in relation to those sales commission payments you mentioned Lonmin Management Services and I got the sense from your evidence that you were suggesting, well it may have been misunderstood, that Lonmin Management Services are actually a separate entity from Lonmin PLC. It's the same entity. MR SEEDAT: Well Lonmin PLC owns Lonmin Management Services. MR CHASKALSON SC: No I think you'll find it's actually the same entity. Lonmin Management Services is the South African branch company of Lonmin PLC. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. It's also got a 26% interest in a different South African subsidiary, that's Akanani (Pty) Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Akanani has, I'm not sure whether it's a mining right or a prospecting right over certain assets in Limpopo, but it's not operational at this stage. MR SEEDAT: No that's right. It currently has a prospecting right which I think has been extended and they are busy looking at feasibility studies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence in relation to those sales commission payments you mentioned Lonmin Management Services and I got the sense from your evidence that you were suggesting, well it may have been misunderstood, that Lonmin Management Services are actually a separate entity from Lonmin PLC. It's the same entity. MR SEEDAT: Well Lonmin PLC owns Lonmin Management Services. MR CHASKALSON SC: No I think you'll find it's actually the same entity. Lonmin Management Services is the South African branch company of Lonmin PLC. It's Lonmin PLC and it's South African guys.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. It's also got a 26% interest in a different South African subsidiary, that's Akanani (Pty) Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Akanani has, I'm not sure whether it's a mining right or a prospecting right over certain assets in Limpopo, but it's not operational at this stage. MR SEEDAT: No that's right. It currently has a prospecting right which I think has been extended and they are busy looking at feasibility studies on how you can convert that into a mine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence in relation to those sales commission payments you mentioned Lonmin Management Services and I got the sense from your evidence that you were suggesting, well it may have been misunderstood, that Lonmin Management Services are actually a separate entity from Lonmin PLC. It's the same entity. MR SEEDAT: Well Lonmin PLC owns Lonmin Management Services. MR CHASKALSON SC: No I think you'll find it's actually the same entity. Lonmin Management Services is the South African branch company of Lonmin PLC. It's Lonmin PLC and it's South African guys. MR SEEDAT: That's right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. It's also got a 26% interest in a different South African subsidiary, that's Akanani (Pty) Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Akanani has, I'm not sure whether it's a mining right or a prospecting right over certain assets in Limpopo, but it's not operational at this stage. MR SEEDAT: No that's right. It currently has a prospecting right which I think has been extended and they are busy looking at feasibility studies on how you can convert that into a mine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Okay, and the mining
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	clarify some issues around the Lonmin corporate structure and also the SLP obligations. The first point that I want to clarify is that Lonmin PLC is really the holding company of the two South African operating subsidiaries. It holds 82% of Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited. Lonmin PLC is the English company, Western Platinum Limited and Eastern Platinum Limited are the South African operating companies. MR SEEDAT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in your evidence in relation to those sales commission payments you mentioned Lonmin Management Services and I got the sense from your evidence that you were suggesting, well it may have been misunderstood, that Lonmin Management Services are actually a separate entity from Lonmin PLC. It's the same entity. MR SEEDAT: Well Lonmin PLC owns Lonmin Management Services. MR CHASKALSON SC: No I think you'll find it's actually the same entity. Lonmin Management Services is the South African branch company of Lonmin PLC. It's Lonmin PLC and it's South African guys.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	issues that I need to clarify with you. The first is that the BE interest that is held by Incwala, that's not a direct interest in Lonmin PLC, that's an 18% interest in Eastern Platinum Limited and Western Platinum Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right, it's an interest in the South African Subsidiaries of Lonmin PLC. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, so Incwala is not a shareholder of Lonmin PLC. MR SEEDAT: Not of PLC but WPL and EPL, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. It's also got a 26% interest in a different South African subsidiary, that's Akanani (Pty) Limited. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Akanani has, I'm not sure whether it's a mining right or a prospecting right over certain assets in Limpopo, but it's not operational at this stage. MR SEEDAT: No that's right. It currently has a prospecting right which I think has been extended and they are busy looking at feasibility studies on how you can convert that into a mine.

Г

	Page 38243		Page 38245
1	MR SEEDAT: That's right.	1	MR SEEDAT: It appears so. I got these
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: And the SLP	2	numbers from the finance people and I didn't go back and
3	obligations that we're concerned with today, those are	3	check the financial statements. So I need to speak to the
4	obligations of WPL AND EPL, they're not obligations of	4	financial people.
5	Lonmin PLC.	5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I suggest that you
6	MR SEEDAT: That's right.	6	speak to your finance people and clarify that what I'm
7	MR CHASKALSON SC: If we can then go back	7	putting to you is correct and you produce a revised version
8	to your table with that corporate structure in mind and if	8	of the table. But I'm going to suggest that there are some
9	we - there's a generic problem in the table, but I'm going	9	other rows that need to be put into the table because the
10	to illustrate it with respect to 2007 and 2008. So can we	10	source of the confusion, if I can see it, is that the
11	shift the table to the left? But we still need that	11	Incwala dividends are paid by WPL and EPL, not by Lonmin
12	opening column, we need to straddle 2007 and 8 on the far	12	PLC.
13	right-hand side and we need to be able to read the	13	MR SEEDAT: That's right.
14	descriptive column which tells us what the rows mean. So	14	MR CHASKALSON SC: And Incwala has this
15	the 2007 and 2008 columns are dividend columns and the	15	18% interest in WPL and EPL, Lonmin has an 82% interest in
16	first row says, Dividend Paid To Shareholders and you would	16	WPL and EPL. So for every R18 that get paid in dividends
17	suggest this is the total dividend paid to Lonmin	17	to Incwala by WPL and EPL R82 get paid to Lonmin in
18	shareholders at all levels.	18	dividends by WPL and EPL. And we've looked at the
19	MR SEEDAT: Yes it includes the dividends	19	financial statements of WPL, we can't find a single year
20	paid to the South African Incwala in this case.	20	where WPL paid dividends to Incwala for it's 18% share
21	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well that's the	21	without paying a corresponding dividend to Lonmin for its
22	mistake, it doesn't. You see so what you get, so you	22	82% share. Let me illustrate that to you with reference to
23	deduct in the second row dividends paid to Incwala to say	23	the WPL 2009 financials. That's SSSS5 page 84. These are
24	that what Lonmin shareholders get is 121 million in 2008	24	dividends paid by WPL and the column Dividends Paid, I'm
25	and 150 million in 2007. That's how the table works is it	25	marking with the pointer now, it's just below the row that
	Page 38244		Page 38246
1	not? You've got total, subtract Incwala, this is what's	1	has note 15 attached to it and you'll see that in the 2008
2	left for Lonmin shareholders. Now that's wrong and I would	2	financial year WPL paid out dividends of just over R2.5
3	like to show you why, I'll show you what's wrong with		
4		3	billion. Sorry, do you have the page?
	reference to the 2008 annual report. Now that's in SSSS4	3 4	billion. Sorry, do you have the page? MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja.
5	reference to the 2008 annual report. Now that's in SSSS4 at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets		
5 6		4	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja.
	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets	4 5	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial
6	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can	4 5 6	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial
6 7	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years	4 5 6 7	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October
6 7 8	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008,	4 5 6 7 8	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008.
6 7 8 9	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007	4 5 6 7 8 9	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a
6 7 8 9 10	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007 is the bottom box. And if you look at these dividends	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a billion rand, okay. Now we know from –
6 7 8 9 10 11	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007 is the bottom box. And if you look at these dividends under Retained Income for 2008 financial year you deduct	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a billion rand, okay. Now we know from – CHAIRPERSON: You nod your head, the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007 is the bottom box. And if you look at these dividends under Retained Income for 2008 financial year you deduct 186 million dividends which will be dividends paid to	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a billion rand, okay. Now we know from – CHAIRPERSON: You nod your head, the answer's yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007 is the bottom box. And if you look at these dividends under Retained Income for 2008 financial year you deduct 186 million dividends which will be dividends paid to Lonmin PLC shareholders. There's a separate of 65 million,	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a billion rand, okay. Now we know from – CHAIRPERSON: You nod your head, the answer's yes. MR SEEDAT: Yes, yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007 is the bottom box. And if you look at these dividends under Retained Income for 2008 financial year you deduct 186 million dividends which will be dividends paid to Lonmin PLC shareholders. There's a separate of 65 million, we're talking dollars not rand that are paid to minority	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a billion rand, okay. Now we know from – CHAIRPERSON: You nod your head, the answer's yes. MR SEEDAT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we go to – well
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007 is the bottom box. And if you look at these dividends under Retained Income for 2008 financial year you deduct 186 million dividends which will be dividends paid to Lonmin PLC shareholders. There's a separate of 65 million, we're talking dollars not rand that are paid to minority interests. Those are the dividends that have been paid out	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a billion rand, okay. Now we know from – CHAIRPERSON: You nod your head, the answer's yes. MR SEEDAT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we go to – well let me put it to you that 18% of those dividends would have
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007 is the bottom box. And if you look at these dividends under Retained Income for 2008 financial year you deduct 186 million dividends which will be dividends paid to Lonmin PLC shareholders. There's a separate of 65 million, we're talking dollars not rand that are paid to minority interests. Those are the dividends that have been paid out to Incwala at WPL and EPL level before the money goes into	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a billion rand, okay. Now we know from – CHAIRPERSON: You nod your head, the answer's yes. MR SEEDAT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we go to – well let me put it to you that 18% of those dividends would have been paid to Incwala, the other 82%, roughly R800 million
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007 is the bottom box. And if you look at these dividends under Retained Income for 2008 financial year you deduct 186 million dividends which will be dividends paid to Lonmin PLC shareholders. There's a separate of 65 million, we're talking dollars not rand that are paid to minority interests. Those are the dividends that have been paid out to Incwala at WPL and EPL level before the money goes into the Lonmin PLC and the total is 251 million. So the 186	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a billion rand, okay. Now we know from – CHAIRPERSON: You nod your head, the answer's yes. MR SEEDAT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we go to – well let me put it to you that 18% of those dividends would have been paid to Incwala, the other 82%, roughly R800 million would have been paid to Lonmin PLC. Would you accept that?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007 is the bottom box. And if you look at these dividends under Retained Income for 2008 financial year you deduct 186 million dividends which will be dividends paid to Lonmin PLC shareholders. There's a separate of 65 million, we're talking dollars not rand that are paid to minority interests. Those are the dividends that have been paid out to Incwala at WPL and EPL level before the money goes into the Lonmin PLC and the total is 251 million. So the 186 million has to be added to the Incwala figure of 65 million	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a billion rand, okay. Now we know from – CHAIRPERSON: You nod your head, the answer's yes. MR SEEDAT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we go to – well let me put it to you that 18% of those dividends would have been paid to Incwala, the other 82%, roughly R800 million would have been paid to Lonmin PLC. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007 is the bottom box. And if you look at these dividends under Retained Income for 2008 financial year you deduct 186 million dividends which will be dividends paid to Lonmin PLC shareholders. There's a separate of 65 million, we're talking dollars not rand that are paid to minority interests. Those are the dividends that have been paid out to Incwala at WPL and EPL level before the money goes into the Lonmin PLC and the total is 251 million. So the 186 million has to be added to the Incwala figure of 65 million to get the total of 251. And you'll see the same	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a billion rand, okay. Now we know from – CHAIRPERSON: You nod your head, the answer's yes. MR SEEDAT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we go to – well let me put it to you that 18% of those dividends would have been paid to Incwala, the other 82%, roughly R800 million would have been paid to Lonmin PLC. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we can see that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007 is the bottom box. And if you look at these dividends under Retained Income for 2008 financial year you deduct 186 million dividends which will be dividends paid to Lonmin PLC shareholders. There's a separate of 65 million, we're talking dollars not rand that are paid to minority interests. Those are the dividends that have been paid out to Incwala at WPL and EPL level before the money goes into the Lonmin PLC and the total is 251 million. So the 186 million has to be added to the Incwala figure of 65 million to get the total of 251. And you'll see the same underneath in respect of the 2008 year. \$171 million paid	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a billion rand, okay. Now we know from – CHAIRPERSON: You nod your head, the answer's yes. MR SEEDAT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we go to – well let me put it to you that 18% of those dividends would have been paid to Incwala, the other 82%, roughly R800 million would have been paid to Lonmin PLC. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we can see that more or less confirmed that the schedules to the agreed
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007 is the bottom box. And if you look at these dividends under Retained Income for 2008 financial year you deduct 186 million dividends which will be dividends paid to Lonmin PLC shareholders. There's a separate of 65 million, we're talking dollars not rand that are paid to minority interests. Those are the dividends that have been paid out to Incwala at WPL and EPL level before the money goes into the Lonmin PLC and the total is 251 million. So the 186 million has to be added to the Incwala figure of 65 million to get the total of 251. And you'll see the same underneath in respect of the 2008 year. \$171 million paid to Lonmin shareholders, 41 million has been paid to Incwala	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a billion rand, okay. Now we know from – CHAIRPERSON: You nod your head, the answer's yes. MR SEEDAT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we go to – well let me put it to you that 18% of those dividends would have been paid to Incwala, the other 82%, roughly R800 million would have been paid to Lonmin PLC. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we can see that more or less confirmed that the schedules to the agreed statement of fact in volume, sorry in SSSS5 at page 235.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007 is the bottom box. And if you look at these dividends under Retained Income for 2008 financial year you deduct 186 million dividends which will be dividends paid to Lonmin PLC shareholders. There's a separate of 65 million, we're talking dollars not rand that are paid to minority interests. Those are the dividends that have been paid out to Incwala at WPL and EPL level before the money goes into the Lonmin PLC and the total is 251 million. So the 186 million has to be added to the Incwala figure of 65 million to get the total of 251. And you'll see the same underneath in respect of the 2008 year. \$171 million paid to Lonmin shareholders, 41 million has been paid to Incwala at operating company level, the total is 212. What you've	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a billion rand, okay. Now we know from – CHAIRPERSON: You nod your head, the answer's yes. MR SEEDAT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we go to – well let me put it to you that 18% of those dividends would have been paid to Incwala, the other 82%, roughly R800 million would have been paid to Lonmin PLC. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we can see that more or less confirmed that the schedules to the agreed statement of fact in volume, sorry in SSSS5 at page 235. So if we can go there which tells us then that 2009
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	at 196. So, if we could now go to SSS4 page 196 which sets out what dividends were paid and how. 196 and if we can just go up a little bit. What you have is two years compared, 1 October 2007 is the upper box, 1 October 2008, sorry it's 2006 is the lower box, my comparison should have been 2006, 2007, not 2007, 2008. No, no it's the 2008 financial year is the top box, 2007 as at 30 September 2007 is the bottom box. And if you look at these dividends under Retained Income for 2008 financial year you deduct 186 million dividends which will be dividends paid to Lonmin PLC shareholders. There's a separate of 65 million, we're talking dollars not rand that are paid to minority interests. Those are the dividends that have been paid out to Incwala at WPL and EPL level before the money goes into the Lonmin PLC and the total is 251 million. So the 186 million has to be added to the Incwala figure of 65 million to get the total of 251. And you'll see the same underneath in respect of the 2008 year. \$171 million paid to Lonmin shareholders, 41 million has been paid to Incwala	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SEEDAT: I follow that, ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The 2009 financial year, now the 2009 financial year was the first financial after the financial crisis took in, it started on 1 October 2009 which was after the crisis. Sorry 1 October 2008. MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: The crisis was September 2008. WPL paid out in that year in excess of a billion rand, okay. Now we know from – CHAIRPERSON: You nod your head, the answer's yes. MR SEEDAT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we go to – well let me put it to you that 18% of those dividends would have been paid to Incwala, the other 82%, roughly R800 million would have been paid to Lonmin PLC. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we can see that more or less confirmed that the schedules to the agreed statement of fact in volume, sorry in SSSS5 at page 235.

	Page 38247		Page 38249
1	amount of 205 million. Now that would have been the total	1	MR CHASKALSON SC: But what's important
2	from both Eastern and Western. We know that the total	2	is because the license holders at WPL and EPL the 93 order
3	dividend paid out by Western alone for 2009 was 1 billion.	3	had been directed to WPL and EPL and the reply comes from
4	So there's at least another 800 million that would have to	4	WPL and EPL.
5	have gone to Lonmin PLC from the WPL dividend. Do you	5	MR SEEDAT: That's correct.
6	accept that?	6	[12:17] MR CHASKALSON SC: I want to take you to
7	MR SEEDAT: Yes I do, yes.	7	a statement that we see at page 1571 where you're
8	CHAIRPERSON: I think we can work on the	8	discussing steps that you've taken and you say under the
9	basis that unless you say you don't agree we can assume you	9	third bullet point, "Cash preservation structures have been
10	agree. That will probably shorten things.	10	implemented and no dividend was paid to shareholders at the
11	MR SEEDAT: Okay, Chair.	11	end of the 2008 financial year." Now this is a document
12	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now on that note, with	12	that's issued by WPL and EPL. That's not correct.
13		12	MR SEEDAT: Well –
	that background and – well your finance people are going to	13	
14	redo the table which is at page 2004 SSSS2. What I'd like	14	
15	your finance people to do is not just to correct the		At the end, in the 2008 financial year WPL alone paid
16	subtraction and the addition, but also in each year where	16	dividends of a billion rand, more than a billion rand.
17	dividends are paid to Incwala, where you have a row	17	What's your response to that?
18	describing dividends paid to Incwala put in a corresponding	18	MR SEEDAT: Well, I think to answer your
19	row which will show us what dividends were paid to Lonmin	19	question that 4.1 is headed at corporate level and I think
20	PLC from the operating companies.	20	in Lonmin's view the corporate refers to Lonmin PLC because
21	MR SEEDAT: Okay.	21	the CEO and the chairman are at PLC level, so I would argue
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: I now want to take you	22	that all of this points on responding, or talking about
23	to a document that you were led to in evidence in chief	23	what has been done at a corporate level, which is a Lonmin
24	that concerns the section 93 order that was issued to	24	PLC level.
25	Western Platinum and Eastern Platinum and that is in your	25	MR CHASKALSON SC: But it comes in the
	Page 38248		Page 38250
1	Page 38248 bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts.	1	Page 38250 context of a response where you've explained that you
1 2	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts.	1 2	Page 38250 context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial
	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me		context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial
2	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately –	2	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so.
2 3	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going	2 3	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and
2 3 4	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is –	2 3 4 5	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been
2 3 4 5 6	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand	2 3 4 5 6	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid.
2 3 4 5 6 7	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it –	2 3 4 5 6 7	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was. MR CHASKALSON SC: Try volume 4.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to capital markets, it is banks, etcetera, debt, at much more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was. MR CHASKALSON SC: Try volume 4. CHAIRPERSON: It is volume 4, page 1558.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to capital markets, it is banks, etcetera, debt, at much more favourable rates. So generally Lonmin would negotiate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was. MR CHASKALSON SC: Try volume 4. CHAIRPERSON: It is volume 4, page 1558. That's what the screen says and I think that's what is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to capital markets, it is banks, etcetera, debt, at much more favourable rates. So generally Lonmin would negotiate loans at PLC level against its balance sheet and that's why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was. MR CHASKALSON SC: Try volume 4. CHAIRPERSON: It is volume 4, page 1558. That's what the screen says and I think that's what is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to capital markets, it is banks, etcetera, debt, at much more favourable rates. So generally Lonmin would negotiate loans at PLC level against its balance sheet and that's why the dividends have to flow from WPL and EPL back into PLC
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was. MR CHASKALSON SC: Try volume 4. CHAIRPERSON: It is volume 4, page 1558. That's what the screen says and I think that's what is correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, will you give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to capital markets, it is banks, etcetera, debt, at much more favourable rates. So generally Lonmin would negotiate loans at PLC level against its balance sheet and that's why the dividends have to flow from WPL and EPL back into PLC so it has substance behind it, and similarly when one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was. MR CHASKALSON SC: Try volume 4. CHAIRPERSON: It is volume 4, page 1558. That's what the screen says and I think that's what is correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, will you give me a list of the page numbers of each volume?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to capital markets, it is banks, etcetera, debt, at much more favourable rates. So generally Lonmin would negotiate loans at PLC level against its balance sheet and that's why the dividends have to flow from WPL and EPL back into PLC so it has substance behind it, and similarly when one considers what Lonmin can do, you can't just, although the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was. MR CHASKALSON SC: Try volume 4. CHAIRPERSON: It is volume 4, page 1558. That's what the screen says and I think that's what is correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, will you give me a list of the page numbers of each volume? MR SEEDAT: Yes, I have it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to capital markets, it is banks, etcetera, debt, at much more favourable rates. So generally Lonmin would negotiate loans at PLC level against its balance sheet and that's why the dividends have to flow from WPL and EPL back into PLC so it has substance behind it, and similarly when one considers what Lonmin can do, you can't just, although the structures are WPL/EPL legally, you have to include the PLC
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was. MR CHASKALSON SC: Try volume 4. CHAIRPERSON: It is volume 4, page 1558. That's what the screen says and I think that's what is correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, will you give me a list of the page numbers of each volume? MR SEEDAT: Yes, I have it. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the first point	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to capital markets, it is banks, etcetera, debt, at much more favourable rates. So generally Lonmin would negotiate loans at PLC level against its balance sheet and that's why the dividends have to flow from WPL and EPL back into PLC so it has substance behind it, and similarly when one considers what Lonmin can do, you can't just, although the structures are WPL/EPL legally, you have to include the PLC
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was. MR CHASKALSON SC: Try volume 4. CHAIRPERSON: It is volume 4, page 1558. That's what the screen says and I think that's what is correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, will you give me a list of the page numbers of each volume? MR SEEDAT: Yes, I have it. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the first point I'd like to draw your attention to is what the document is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to capital markets, it is banks, etcetera, debt, at much more favourable rates. So generally Lonmin would negotiate loans at PLC level against its balance sheet and that's why the dividends have to flow from WPL and EPL back into PLC so it has substance behind it, and similarly when one considers what Lonmin can do, you can't just, although the structures are WPL/EPL legally, you have to include the PLC structure because that is an inherent component of how Lonmin finances itself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was. MR CHASKALSON SC: Try volume 4. CHAIRPERSON: It is volume 4, page 1558. That's what the screen says and I think that's what is correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, will you give me a list of the page numbers of each volume? MR SEEDAT: Yes, I have it. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the first point I'd like to draw your attention to is what the document is. It says Notification To The Minerals And Mining Development	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to capital markets, it is banks, etcetera, debt, at much more favourable rates. So generally Lonmin would negotiate loans at PLC level against its balance sheet and that's why the dividends have to flow from WPL and EPL back into PLC so it has substance behind it, and similarly when one considers what Lonmin can do, you can't just, although the structures are WPL/EPL legally, you have to include the PLC structure because that is an inherent component of how Lonmin finances itself. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say Lonmin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was. MR CHASKALSON SC: Try volume 4. CHAIRPERSON: It is volume 4, page 1558. That's what the screen says and I think that's what is correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, will you give me a list of the page numbers of each volume? MR SEEDAT: Yes, I have it. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the first point I'd like to draw your attention to is what the document is. It says Notification To The Minerals And Mining Development Board In Terms Of Section 52. The Mineral And Petroleum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to capital markets, it is banks, etcetera, debt, at much more favourable rates. So generally Lonmin would negotiate loans at PLC level against its balance sheet and that's why the dividends have to flow from WPL and EPL back into PLC so it has substance behind it, and similarly when one considers what Lonmin can do, you can't just, although the structure sare WPL/EPL legally, you have to include the PLC structure because that is an inherent component of how Lonmin finances itself. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say Lonmin negotiated these loans, but is it not Lonmin policy that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was. MR CHASKALSON SC: Try volume 4. CHAIRPERSON: It is volume 4, page 1558. That's what the screen says and I think that's what is correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, will you give me a list of the page numbers of each volume? MR SEEDAT: Yes, I have it. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the first point I'd like to draw your attention to is what the document is. It says Notification To The Minerals And Mining Development Board In Terms Of Section 52. The Mineral And Petroleum Resources Act By Western Platinum Limited And Eastern	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to capital markets, it is banks, etcetera, debt, at much more favourable rates. So generally Lonmin would negotiate loans at PLC level against its balance sheet and that's why the dividends have to flow from WPL and EPL back into PLC so it has substance behind it, and similarly when one considers what Lonmin can do, you can't just, although the structure because that is an inherent component of how Lonmin finances itself. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say Lonmin negotiated these loans, but is it not Lonmin policy that whoever's negotiated the loans, they are very quickly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was. MR CHASKALSON SC: Try volume 4. CHAIRPERSON: It is volume 4, page 1558. That's what the screen says and I think that's what is correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, will you give me a list of the page numbers of each volume? MR SEEDAT: Yes, I have it. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the first point I'd like to draw your attention to is what the document is. It says Notification To The Minerals And Mining Development Board In Terms Of Section 52. The Mineral And Petroleum Resources Act By Western Platinum Limited And Eastern Platinum Limited and it is your answer to the section 93	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to capital markets, it is banks, etcetera, debt, at much more favourable rates. So generally Lonmin would negotiate loans at PLC level against its balance sheet and that's why the dividends have to flow from WPL and EPL back into PLC so it has substance behind it, and similarly when one considers what Lonmin can do, you can't just, although the structure because that is an inherent component of how Lonmin finances itself. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say Lonmin negotiated these loans, they are very quickly transferred down to operational level?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	bundle SSSS2 1558 is where it starts. MR SEEDAT: Sorry, you've got to give me the file number, unfortunately – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, oh, I'm going to assistance for that. It is – CHAIRPERSON: The bundle as I understand it – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but it was broken up into volumes and I have mine all in one electronic file. CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4 I think it was. MR CHASKALSON SC: Try volume 4. CHAIRPERSON: It is volume 4, page 1558. That's what the screen says and I think that's what is correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, will you give me a list of the page numbers of each volume? MR SEEDAT: Yes, I have it. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the first point I'd like to draw your attention to is what the document is. It says Notification To The Minerals And Mining Development Board In Terms Of Section 52. The Mineral And Petroleum Resources Act By Western Platinum Limited And Eastern	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	context of a response where you've explained that you haven't built houses because inter alia the financial crisis had left you without the cash reserves to do so. Now the obligation to build houses was WPL and EPL's and you have the statement that says no dividends had been paid. MR SEEDAT: I think it's very difficult to talk of WPL and EPL without considering aspect of the PLC structure and the reason why I say that is one of the benefits of having located in the UK is the access to capital markets, it is banks, etcetera, debt, at much more favourable rates. So generally Lonmin would negotiate loans at PLC level against its balance sheet and that's why the dividends have to flow from WPL and EPL back into PLC so it has substance behind it, and similarly when one considers what Lonmin can do, you can't just, although the structure because that is an inherent component of how Lonmin finances itself. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you say Lonmin negotiated these loans, but is it not Lonmin policy that whoever's negotiated the loans, they are very quickly

	Page 38251		Page 38253
1	it. Not all of these funds are automatically transferred	1	well.
2	down to the subsidiaries.	2	MR SEEDAT: Okay.
3	MR CHASKALSON SC: There is another	3	MR CHASKALSON SC: That was a bit of a
4	subsidiary that we haven't spoken about, which is Western	4	digression. But let's, I don't accept your proposition
5	Mining (Pty) Limited. Who carries the loan finance in	5	that in response to a directive to WPL to explain why it
6	respect of Western Mining Limited?	6	isn't building houses it's an answer to say well, our
7	MR SEEDAT: Well, Western Mining came to	7	holding company hasn't paid dividends. We'll get to that
8	an end I think in 2007 and I'm not, I wouldn't be able to	8	in more detail later, but let's take your statement at face
9	tell you who carries the financing for that, but I would	9	value –
10	guess up to 2007 the sole shareholder of Western Mining	10	MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I just – and I
11	would have been Western Metal Sales, so are you talking of	11	may be wrong here, my learned friend as I understand it is
12	Western Metal Sales?	12	working on the premise that the section 93 inquiry is met
13	MR SEEDAT: No, no, not Western – sorry,	13	by the document starting at page 1558 of volume 4. That's
14	Messina Mining (Pty) Limited. Messina Mining (Pty)	14	not correct. The response to the section 93 inquiry is at
15	Limited. I'm sorry.	15	page 1548. That's the document dated 31st August of that
16	MR SEEDAT: Well, if I remember correctly	16	year and that was in fact the evidence-in-chief.
17	Messina was bought as a subsidiary of WPL.	17	MR CHASKALSON SC: If that's an error I
18	MR CHASKALSON SC: It wasn't bought as a	18	will stop this. Well, I have one last comment that stands
19	subsidiary of WPL, it was bought by Lonmin PLC and quickly	19	independent of that inquiry. I mean I must apologise for
20	converted into a subsidiary of WPL.	20	that, but the 1558 document is nonetheless a document
21	MR SEEDAT: Well, it's part of the assets	21	issued by Western and Eastern. My last point in relation
22	of WPL and any financing paid for, for that, if the initial	22	to that bullet point is you say no dividend was paid to
23	sale – and the sale may have happened at the PLC level for	23	shareholders at the end of the 2008 financial year. It
24 25	good reason because it all depends on what the most effective structure that would give effect to the sale in	24 25	seems to me that even in relation to Lonmin PLC that's at best a half-truth because there'd been massive interim
25		23	
	Page 38252		Page 38254
1	the most efficient way, so I don't have the details of	1	dividends paid during the financial year in 2008. Do you
2	that. It was done prior to me getting there, but I would	2	accept that?
3	guess at the time when we were considering this purchase it	3	MR SEEDAT: Interim dividends may be
4	made sense to do it at the PLC level to get all of the	4	paid. I'm trying to just –
5	paperwork completed and the approvals in place. Once that	5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, if you go back
6	was done it then became prudent to transfer that asset into	6	to your –
7	WPL.	7	MR SEEDAT: Yes –
8	MR CHASKALSON SC: And Messina Mining has	8	MR CHASKALSON SC: If you go back to your
9	been dormant for some time, has it not?	9	table you'll see that in fact the total amount of dividends
10	MR SEEDAT: It was operating until we had	10	paid in that financial year was \$186 million. That's about
11	the financial crisis in around 2008 and because it was not	11	a billion rand.
12	economic operations were put on care and maintenance and to	12	MR SEEDAT: Well, if you read the bullet
13 14	date I think they're still on care and maintenance. MR CHASKALSON SC: And all of that	13 14	point, generally when these kind of comments are made you
14 15	finance costs sits on the WPL balance sheet.	14 15	talk of an interim dividend and a dividend at the end of the financial year and this refers to the shareholders at
15	MR SEEDAT: Well, I guess when the asset	15 16	the end of the 2008 financial year. The interim dividend
17	was transferred to WPL there would have to be a	10	wasn't referred to here.
18	corresponding entry into the WPL books, yes.	17	MR CHASKALSON SC: That's right, but it
19	MR CHASKALSON SC: And if you go back on	19	does beg the question of the fact that close a billion rand
20	the WPL balance sheet you'll see each year there's a	20	was paid out during that financial year, before the end of
20	massive impairment of that loan on the WPL balance sheet.	20	the financial year.
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I'm not sure if	22	MR SEEDAT: Well, when you, in the course
23	it's an impairment. It's an indication of that loan is	22	of the financial year when you've got the platinum price
24	still outstanding.	24	exceeding \$2 000 a fine ounce, when there are no signs that
25	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, impairment as	25	there's going to be a meltdown, you are fairly confident
	RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE		

1	Page 38255 that you're going to, you can pay a dividend and still have	1	Page 38257 division, department, what would that be?
2	enough cash available for other things. When the	2	
			5 5 5
3	beginning, absolute the very beginning of the new financial	3	memory, but the fixed costs are probably in the region,
4	year when you're still preparing the financial statements	4	there's, you've also got – just to clarify, you've got
5	which are finalised around November, December of the	5	other costs in there as well which is for example there is
6	following year, financial year, you're then confronted with	6	a platinum marketing organisation that is supported not
7	a platinum price of \$800, you immediately have to go into	7	only by Lonmin but by the other major platinum suppliers to
8	cash conservation, irrespective of how good the previous	8	try to get the jewellery market to take increased
9	financial year was, and that's why the decision was made	9	consumption, so that's including that. I'm speaking
10	then not to pay dividends going forward, to pass on the	10	subject to correction because I haven't been involved in
11	dividend, as they call it.	11	running the business for the better part of four, five
12	MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me then move to	12	years, but my guess is that could be up to \$50 million a
13	another topic, which is these sales commission payments	13	year, including all of those costs.
14	that you mentioned in your evidence-in-chief earlier today,	14	MR CHASKALSON SC: \$50 million a year?
15	and the commission is a 2% commission and we're talking	15	MR SEEDAT: Because the marketing of the
16	about fairly substantial amounts of money. If one goes to	16	jewellery council alone, if I remember correctly, and again
17	SSSS4, page 235, there is a schedule of what these payments	17	I'm speaking subject to correction, was around 15 to \$20
18	were. Can we go to SSSS4, 235?	18	million a year.
19	MR SEEDAT: File 1?	19	MR CHASKALSON SC: Maybe Lonmin could
20	MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS – sorry, SSSS5,	20	give us a little breakdown of that. I mean it's not fair
21	234, 235. Try 234. Yes – no, 236? Sorry, go back up.	21	to ask you to do it on your feet now. But the rest of
22	I've got my reference wrong. It's going to be – further	22	these amounts which have been running on, sort of an
23	up, that's 234.	23	average at around R200 million a year for the 2008 to 2012
24	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off,	24	financial years you say is in respect of risk transfer?
25	inaudible]. Do you want 232?	25	MR SEEDAT: Well, the moment the metal –
	Page 38256		Page 38258
1	Page 38256 MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the	1	Page 38258 what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property,
1 2		1 2	•
	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 –	_	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this	2	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related
2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been	2 3	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the
2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated	2 3 4 5	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the
2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me,	2 3 4 5 6	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks,
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these	2 3 4 5 6 7	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's assume your number is correct for the purpose –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be pursuant to that agreement, would it not? There's no other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's assume your number is correct for the purpose – MR CHASKALSON SC: I put it to you my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be pursuant to that agreement, would it not? There's no other agreement that you're aware of that governs risk?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's assume your number is correct for the purpose – MR CHASKALSON SC: I put it to you my number is correct. We can address that in the lunch break.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be pursuant to that agreement, would it not? There's no other agreement that you're aware of that governs risk? MR SEEDAT: Not that I'm aware of, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's assume your number is correct for the purpose – MR CHASKALSON SC: I put it to you my number is correct. We can address that in the lunch break. You said that these payments are really essentially for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be pursuant to that agreement, would it not? There's no other agreement that you're aware of that governs risk? MR SEEDAT: Not that I'm aware of, no. Well, it's not only risk, it's a whole transfer of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's assume your number is correct for the purpose – MR CHASKALSON SC: I put it to you my number is correct. We can address that in the lunch break. You said that these payments are really essentially for the risk that is carried by either Lonmin management services	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be pursuant to that agreement, would it not? There's no other agreement that you're aware of that governs risk? MR SEEDAT: Not that I'm aware of, no. Well, it's not only risk, it's a whole transfer of ownership and all the issues that go with the transfer of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's assume your number is correct for the purpose – MR CHASKALSON SC: I put it to you my number is correct. We can address that in the lunch break. You said that these payments are really essentially for the risk that is carried by either Lonmin management services or Western Metal Sales Limited in the past in relation to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be pursuant to that agreement, would it not? There's no other agreement that you're aware of that governs risk? MR SEEDAT: Not that I'm aware of, no. Well, it's not only risk, it's a whole transfer of ownership and all the issues that go with the transfer of ownership and the sale and delivery to the ultimate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's assume your number is correct for the purpose – MR CHASKALSON SC: I put it to you my number is correct. We can address that in the lunch break. You said that these payments are really essentially for the risk that is carried by either Lonmin management services or Western Metal Sales Limited in the past in relation to the sale of Lonmin platinum.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be pursuant to that agreement, would it not? There's no other agreement that you're aware of that governs risk? MR SEEDAT: Not that I'm aware of, no. Well, it's not only risk, it's a whole transfer of ownership and all the issues that go with the transfer of ownership and the sale and delivery to the ultimate customer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's assume your number is correct for the purpose – MR CHASKALSON SC: I put it to you my number is correct. We can address that in the lunch break. You said that these payments are really essentially for the risk that is carried by either Lonmin management services or Western Metal Sales Limited in the past in relation to the sale of Lonmin platinum. MR SEEDAT: Well, primarily the risk, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be pursuant to that agreement, would it not? There's no other agreement that you're aware of that governs risk? MR SEEDAT: Not that I'm aware of, no. Well, it's not only risk, it's a whole transfer of ownership and all the issues that go with the transfer of ownership and the sale and delivery to the ultimate customer. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you say a transfer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's assume your number is correct for the purpose – MR CHASKALSON SC: I put it to you my number is correct. We can address that in the lunch break. You said that these payments are really essentially for the risk that is carried by either Lonmin management services or Western Metal Sales Limited in the past in relation to the sale of Lonmin platinum. MR SEEDAT: Well, primarily the risk, but there are also other costs. You have a marketing manager,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be pursuant to that agreement, would it not? There's no other agreement that you're aware of that governs risk? MR SEEDAT: Not that I'm aware of, no. Well, it's not only risk, it's a whole transfer of ownership and all the issues that go with the transfer of ownership and the sale and delivery to the ultimate customer. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you say a transfer of ownership is regulated by that agreement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's assume your number is correct for the purpose – MR CHASKALSON SC: I put it to you my number is correct. We can address that in the lunch break. You said that these payments are really essentially for the risk that is carried by either Lonmin management services or Western Metal Sales Limited in the past in relation to the sale of Lonmin platinum. MR SEEDAT: Well, primarily the risk, but there are also other costs. You have a marketing manager, you have sales people, so it's all the fixed costs plus the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be pursuant to that agreement, would it not? There's no other agreement that you're aware of that governs risk?</li> <li>MR SEEDAT: Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Well, it's not only risk, it's a whole transfer of ownership and all the issues that go with the transfer of ownership and the sale and delivery to the ultimate customer.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: And you say a transfer of ownership is regulated by that agreement?</li> <li>MR SEEDAT: Well, the transfer of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's assume your number is correct for the purpose – MR CHASKALSON SC: I put it to you my number is correct. We can address that in the lunch break. You said that these payments are really essentially for the risk that is carried by either Lonmin management services or Western Metal Sales Limited in the past in relation to the sale of Lonmin platinum. MR SEEDAT: Well, primarily the risk, but there are also other costs. You have a marketing manager, you have sales people, so it's all the fixed costs plus the costs related to –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be pursuant to that agreement, would it not? There's no other agreement that you're aware of that governs risk? MR SEEDAT: Not that I'm aware of, no. Well, it's not only risk, it's a whole transfer of ownership and all the issues that go with the transfer of ownership and the sale and delivery to the ultimate customer. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you say a transfer of ownership is regulated by that agreement? MR SEEDAT: Well, the transfer of the ownership of the metal from Lonmin to the customer, all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's assume your number is correct for the purpose – MR CHASKALSON SC: I put it to you my number is correct. We can address that in the lunch break. You said that these payments are really essentially for the risk that is carried by either Lonmin management services or Western Metal Sales Limited in the past in relation to the sale of Lonmin platinum. MR SEEDAT: Well, primarily the risk, but there are also other costs. You have a marketing manager, you have sales people, so it's all the fixed costs plus the costs related to – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's separate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be pursuant to that agreement, would it not? There's no other agreement that you're aware of that governs risk? MR SEEDAT: Not that I'm aware of, no. Well, it's not only risk, it's a whole transfer of ownership and all the issues that go with the transfer of ownership and the sale and delivery to the ultimate customer. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you say a transfer of ownership is regulated by that agreement? MR SEEDAT: Well, the transfer of the ownership of the metal from Lonmin to the customer, all the issues related to making that transfer happen. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's assume your number is correct for the purpose – MR CHASKALSON SC: I put it to you my number is correct. We can address that in the lunch break. You said that these payments are really essentially for the risk that is carried by either Lonmin management services or Western Metal Sales Limited in the past in relation to the sale of Lonmin platinum. MR SEEDAT: Well, primarily the risk, but there are also other costs. You have a marketing manager, you have sales people, so it's all the fixed costs plus the costs related to – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's separate those. What do you think the total cost of the Lonmin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be pursuant to that agreement, would it not? There's no other agreement that you're aware of that governs risk? MR SEEDAT: Not that I'm aware of, no. Well, it's not only risk, it's a whole transfer of ownership and all the issues that go with the transfer of ownership and the sale and delivery to the ultimate customer. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you say a transfer of ownership is regulated by that agreement? MR SEEDAT: Well, the transfer of the ownership of the metal from Lonmin to the customer, all the issues related to making that transfer happen. It's arranging the logistics, it's insurance to cover any issues
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we just reduce the page to a, so that it's all visible? So down to 233 – sorry, 234. 235. Uh-uh, there's a page missing from this document in the one that's been printed, that's been distributed. I'll arrange for that page to be incorporated into the distributed document, but will you agree with me, and it's my arithmetic that the aggregate amount of these 2% commission payments from 2008 to 2012 was R1.2 billion, 1 231 662 719 in sales commission? MR SEEDAT: I haven't done the calculation, so I'm not aware of the number, but let's assume your number is correct for the purpose – MR CHASKALSON SC: I put it to you my number is correct. We can address that in the lunch break. You said that these payments are really essentially for the risk that is carried by either Lonmin management services or Western Metal Sales Limited in the past in relation to the sale of Lonmin platinum. MR SEEDAT: Well, primarily the risk, but there are also other costs. You have a marketing manager, you have sales people, so it's all the fixed costs plus the costs related to – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's separate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what I said is the moment the metal leaves the property, which is in our case is the refinery in Boksburg, it is taken ownership by this entity and all the issues related with getting that metal from there to the customer are the responsibilities of this entity, and that includes the risks associated with that. It's the financial risks, logistical risks, payment risks, are all then accepted by this entity. MR CHASKALSON SC: Look, I have been given a copy of the agency agreement to inspect. The risk transfer that you're talking about would have to be pursuant to that agreement, would it not? There's no other agreement that you're aware of that governs risk? MR SEEDAT: Not that I'm aware of, no. Well, it's not only risk, it's a whole transfer of ownership and all the issues that go with the transfer of ownership and the sale and delivery to the ultimate customer. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you say a transfer of ownership is regulated by that agreement? MR SEEDAT: Well, the transfer of the ownership of the metal from Lonmin to the customer, all the issues related to making that transfer happen. It's

1	Page 38259	1	Page 38261
1	insuring that you get paid and there are, there's issues of	1	reasonable course of action to remove the cause of that
2	currency that you may have to hedge. All of these things,	2	unfairness."
3	it's a value chain from the point that it leaves the	3	On 5 July 2012 Western Platinum Limited, Western
4	Brakpan Refinery to the point where it gets to the customer	4	Metal Sales Limited, and Lonmin signed an agreement
5	that this organisation is responsible for providing.	5	described as the third supplemental agreement, which we'll
6	MR CHASKALSON SC: But ownership doesn't	6	call the transition agreement, to regulate the transition
7	ever pass to this organisation?	7	from a previous arrangement in terms of which Western Metal
8	MR SEEDAT: I don't recall what the	8	Sales had done the marketing, to what we're told is the
9	agreement says. I don't, it's an agency, so I don't think	9	current where Lonmin does it.
10	it does –	10	Now in terms of the transition agreement in
11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, maybe I would	11	respect of the period 1 April 2007 to 30 September 2007 the
12	ask the Lonmin legal team to let me have another look at	12	2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum to
13	that agreement because these details were not immediately	13	Western Metals, that's the Bermuda company, but a fee of
14	apparent to me on inspecting the agreement. I'm not saying	14	50% of that commission was payable to Lonmin by Western
15	you're wrong. But I have a much more fundamental problem	15	Platinum. So half went to Bermuda, half went to head
16	with these transactions, and that's what I'd like to get to	16	office.
17	now, and if we can start with what we say Lonmin says the	17	In respect of the period 1 October to 30
18	true state of affairs is, which is SSSS5, page 229. So	18	September half of the 2% was payable – sorry, let me go
19	this is the statement of agreed facts and the true state of	19	back to that early interim. The full amount goes to
20	affairs according to Lonmin is in paragraph 2, if we can	20	Bermuda. Bermuda in turn pays half of it over to head
21	just scroll down, and we start off at 2.1, "Western Metal	21	office. 1 October to 30 September half of the 2%, that's
22	Sales Limited is a company registered in Bermuda and a	22	1%, is payable directly to Western Metals, payable directly
23	wholly owned subsidiary of Lonmin PLC. Prior to 1 April	23	to Bermuda. The other half is payable directly by Western
24	2007 all of the products of Western Platinum Limited were	24	Platinum to head office.
25	marketed by Western Metal Sales Limited in terms of an	25	CHAIRPERSON: That's October 2007 to
	Page 38260		Page 38262
1	Page 38260 agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to	1	Page 38262 September 2008.
1 2		1 2	
	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to		September 2008.
2	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum	2	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half
2 3	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited.	2 3	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from
2 3 4	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now	2 3 4	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September
2 3 4 5	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by	2 3 4 5	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western
2 3 4 5 6	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms	2 3 4 5 6	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office.
2 3 4 5 6 7	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western	2 3 4 5 6 7	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but we don't need to concern ourselves with them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is October.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but we don't need to concern ourselves with them. "The agency agreement was signed on 12 June 2012,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is October. CHAIRPERSON: 2.9.2 deals with the 2008
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but we don't need to concern ourselves with them. "The agency agreement was signed on 12 June 2012, but has a commencement date of 1 October 2008 and governs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is October. CHAIRPERSON: 2.9.2 deals with the 2008 year –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but we don't need to concern ourselves with them. "The agency agreement was signed on 12 June 2012, but has a commencement date of 1 October 2008 and governs the relationship between the parties with effect from 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is October. CHAIRPERSON: 2.9.2 deals with the 2008 year – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's an error, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but we don't need to concern ourselves with them. "The agency agreement was signed on 12 June 2012, but has a commencement date of 1 October 2008 and governs the relationship between the parties with effect from 1 October 2008. In terms of the agency agreement LMS,"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is October. CHAIRPERSON: 2.9.2 deals with the 2008 year – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's an error, it's October. Now consistent with the terms of the transition agreement the audited financials of the Bermuda company, Western Metal Sales – and these I've seen and this I can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but we don't need to concern ourselves with them. "The agency agreement was signed on 12 June 2012, but has a commencement date of 1 October 2008 and governs the relationship between the parties with effect from 1 October 2008. In terms of the agency agreement LMS," that's Lonmin PLC, "is the exclusive agent of WPL in relation to the sale of WPL products" - and that would also mean all of the sale of EPL products because all of the EPL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is October. CHAIRPERSON: 2.9.2 deals with the 2008 year – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's an error, it's October. Now consistent with the terms of the transition agreement the audited financials of the Bermuda company, Western Metal Sales – and these I've seen and this I can confirm is correct – reflect the following. The full
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but we don't need to concern ourselves with them. "The agency agreement was signed on 12 June 2012, but has a commencement date of 1 October 2008 and governs the relationship between the parties with effect from 1 October 2008. In terms of the agency agreement LMS," that's Lonmin PLC, "is the exclusive agent of WPL in relation to the sale of WPL products" - and that would also mean all of the sale of EPL products because all of the EPL concentrate goes first to WPL - "the treatment or purchase	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is October. CHAIRPERSON: 2.9.2 deals with the 2008 year – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's an error, it's October. Now consistent with the terms of the transition agreement the audited financials of the Bermuda company, Western Metal Sales – and these I've seen and this I can confirm is correct – reflect the following. The full commission paid by Western was reflected in the turnover of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but we don't need to concern ourselves with them. "The agency agreement was signed on 12 June 2012, but has a commencement date of 1 October 2008 and governs the relationship between the parties with effect from 1 October 2008. In terms of the agency agreement LMS," that's Lonmin PLC, "is the exclusive agent of WPL in relation to the sale of WPL products" - and that would also mean all of the sale of EPL products because all of the EPL concentrate goes first to WPL - "the treatment or purchase of third party products by WPL, and the treatment by third	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is October. CHAIRPERSON: 2.9.2 deals with the 2008 year – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's an error, it's October. Now consistent with the terms of the transition agreement the audited financials of the Bermuda company, Western Metal Sales – and these I've seen and this I can confirm is correct – reflect the following. The full commission paid by Western was reflected in the turnover of WMSL, the Bermuda company, for the 2006 financial year and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but we don't need to concern ourselves with them. "The agency agreement was signed on 12 June 2012, but has a commencement date of 1 October 2008 and governs the relationship between the parties with effect from 1 October 2008. In terms of the agency agreement LMS," that's Lonmin PLC, "is the exclusive agent of WPL in relation to the sale of WPL products" - and that would also mean all of the sale of EPL products because all of the EPL concentrate goes first to WPL - "the treatment or purchase of third party products by WPL, and the treatment by third party refiners of any WPL products. WPL pays LMS a monthly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is October. CHAIRPERSON: 2.9.2 deals with the 2008 year – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's an error, it's October. Now consistent with the terms of the transition agreement the audited financials of the Bermuda company, Western Metal Sales – and these I've seen and this I can confirm is correct – reflect the following. The full commission paid by Western was reflected in the turnover of WMSL, the Bermuda company, for the 2006 financial year and the 50% fee payable to head office was reflected in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but we don't need to concern ourselves with them. "The agency agreement was signed on 12 June 2012, but has a commencement date of 1 October 2008 and governs the relationship between the parties with effect from 1 October 2008. In terms of the agency agreement LMS," that's Lonmin PLC, "is the exclusive agent of WPL in relation to the sale of WPL products" - and that would also mean all of the sale of EPL products because all of the EPL concentrate goes first to WPL - "the treatment or purchase of third party products by WPL, and the treatment by third party refiners of any WPL products. WPL pays LMS a monthly commission of 2% on turnover for services provided by LMS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is October. CHAIRPERSON: 2.9.2 deals with the 2008 year – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's an error, it's October. Now consistent with the terms of the transition agreement the audited financials of the Bermuda company, Western Metal Sales – and these I've seen and this I can confirm is correct – reflect the following. The full commission paid by Western was reflected in the turnover of WMSL, the Bermuda company, for the 2006 financial year and the 50% fee payable to head office was reflected in the Bermuda financial statements under administration
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but we don't need to concern ourselves with them. "The agency agreement was signed on 12 June 2012, but has a commencement date of 1 October 2008 and governs the relationship between the parties with effect from 1 October 2008. In terms of the agency agreement LMS," that's Lonmin PLC, "is the exclusive agent of WPL in relation to the sale of WPL products" - and that would also mean all of the sale of EPL products because all of the EPL concentrate goes first to WPL - "the treatment or purchase of third party products by WPL, and the treatment by third party refiners of any WPL products. WPL pays LMS a monthly commission of 2% on turnover for services provided by LMS under the agency agreement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is October. CHAIRPERSON: 2.9.2 deals with the 2008 year – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's an error, it's October. Now consistent with the terms of the transition agreement the audited financials of the Bermuda company, Western Metal Sales – and these I've seen and this I can confirm is correct – reflect the following. The full commission paid by Western was reflected in the turnover of WMSL, the Bermuda company, for the 2006 financial year and the 50% fee payable to head office was reflected in the Bermuda financial statements under administration expenditure. So the full amount went in, half of it went
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but we don't need to concern ourselves with them. "The agency agreement was signed on 12 June 2012, but has a commencement date of 1 October 2008 and governs the relationship between the parties with effect from 1 October 2008. In terms of the agency agreement LMS," that's Lonmin PLC, "is the exclusive agent of WPL in relation to the sale of WPL products" - and that would also mean all of the sale of EPL products because all of the EPL concentrate goes first to WPL - "the treatment or purchase of third party products by WPL, and the treatment by third party refiners of any WPL products. WPL pays LMS a monthly commission of 2% on turnover for services provided by LMS under the agency agreement. The agency agreement contains a fairness clause	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year. With effect from Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is October. CHAIRPERSON: 2.9.2 deals with the 2008 year – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's an error, it's October. Now consistent with the terms of the transition agreement the audited financials of the Bermuda company, Western Metal Sales – and these I've seen and this I can confirm is correct – reflect the following. The full commission paid by Western was reflected in the turnover of WMSL, the Bermuda company, for the 2006 financial year and the 50% fee payable to head office was reflected in the Bermuda financial statements under administration expenditure. So the full amount went in, half of it went out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but we don't need to concern ourselves with them. "The agency agreement was signed on 12 June 2012, but has a commencement date of 1 October 2008 and governs the relationship between the parties with effect from 1 October 2008. In terms of the agency agreement LMS," that's Lonmin PLC, "is the exclusive agent of WPL in relation to the sale of WPL products" - and that would also mean all of the sale of EPL products because all of the EPL concentrate goes first to WPL - "the treatment or purchase of third party products by WPL, and the treatment by third party refiners of any WPL products. WPL pays LMS a monthly commission of 2% on turnover for services provided by LMS under the agency agreement. The agency agreement contains a fairness clause which obliges the parties in cases of unfairness caused by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year. With effect from Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is October. CHAIRPERSON: 2.9.2 deals with the 2008 year – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's an error, it's October. Now consistent with the terms of the transition agreement the audited financials of the Bermuda company, Western Metal Sales – and these I've seen and this I can confirm is correct – reflect the following. The full commission paid by Western was reflected in the turnover of WMSL, the Bermuda company, for the 2006 financial year and the 50% fee payable to head office was reflected in the Bermuda financial statements under administration expenditure. So the full amount went in, half of it went out. In the next financial year, the 2007/8 financial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	agreement which provided for Western Metal Sales Limited to be paid a 2% commission on the turnover of Western Platinum Limited. This arrangement was changed by Lonmin and now the products of Western Platinum Limited are marketed by Lonmin Management Services," that's Lonmin PLC, "in terms of an agency agreement concluded by Lonmin PLC, Western Platinum Limited, EPL, and several other operating subsidiaries who are also governed by that agreement, but we don't need to concern ourselves with them. "The agency agreement was signed on 12 June 2012, but has a commencement date of 1 October 2008 and governs the relationship between the parties with effect from 1 October 2008. In terms of the agency agreement LMS," that's Lonmin PLC, "is the exclusive agent of WPL in relation to the sale of WPL products" - and that would also mean all of the sale of EPL products because all of the EPL concentrate goes first to WPL - "the treatment or purchase of third party products by WPL, and the treatment by third party refiners of any WPL products. WPL pays LMS a monthly commission of 2% on turnover for services provided by LMS under the agency agreement. The agency agreement contains a fairness clause	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	September 2008. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second half of the, that's the 2008 financial year. With effect from the start of the 2009 financial year, that's September 2008, the 2% marketing commission was payable by Western Platinum, that's our local subsidiary, to head office. CHAIRPERSON: That must surely be October, surely. MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is October. CHAIRPERSON: 2.9.2 deals with the 2008 year – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's an error, it's October. Now consistent with the terms of the transition agreement the audited financials of the Bermuda company, Western Metal Sales – and these I've seen and this I can confirm is correct – reflect the following. The full commission paid by Western was reflected in the turnover of WMSL, the Bermuda company, for the 2006 financial year and the 50% fee payable to head office was reflected in the Bermuda financial statements under administration expenditure. So the full amount went in, half of it went out.

1 2	Page 38263 Western Metals Sales financials, the Bermuda financials, and in the financials of the Bermuda company following	1 2	Page 38265 correctly, in July just prior to the board meeting which is around the 26th of July the chief executive and the CFO went
2	2007/8 no payments are reflected and the company	3	to bond customers in the US to really test the waters. So
4	effectively has no turnover at all.	4	it wasn't a formal process that had actually been embarked
5	[12:36] Now, before I get to my difficulties I need to	5	on because they came back from that and at the board
6	ask a couple of questions. First of all, why was it	6	meeting reported that it didn't make sense to pursue a bond
7	decided in 2007 to stop making these marketing commission	7	issue for various reasons, one of them was the projected
8	payments to Bermuda and to start making them to head	8	cash flow going forward of Lonmin and I think around August
9	office?	9	or September then the idea of issuing a bond was abandoned
10	MR SEEDAT: I'm not sure exactly why but	10	and it was decided to go and do a rights issue. So I'm
11	I can give you what I think would be the reasons. A	11	going by memory but if I recall correctly, by July we were
12	structure like this is normally set up to be optimal from a	12	not ready in any way or form to actually issue documents
13	tax perspective and obviously if it's no longer optimal or	13	for a bond issue. It was really to test the waters.
14	economic and the cost of running the structure far exceeds	14	MR BURGER SC: Chair, if I may be of
15	the benefits, then it would be decided to abandon that	15	assistance, I have an instruction from the chief legal
16 17	structure and go for something that makes more sense and I	16	adviser to Lonmin to say the reason for the lateness of
17 18	suspect that's the logic that was applied at the time but I can't give you the exact reasons.	17 18	that agreement was that Incwala for very many years refused to agree to the new structure and it was only by the middle
10	MR CHASKALSON SC: So are you suggesting	19	of 2012 that that agreement could be obtained and that the
20	that there would have been a favourable tax regime in	20	agreement could be concluded.
21	Bermuda up to 2007 but that might well have changed in	21	MR CHASKALSON SC: Did Incwala have a
22	around 2007?	22	veto over the new structure?
23	MR SEEDAT: I'm not exactly -	23	MR SEEDAT: I'm going to defer to my
24	MR CHASKALSON SC: That's what you	24	legal colleague on that.
25	suspect. Do you have any idea why this new arrangement was	25	MR CHASKALSON SC: Because that may help
1	Page 38264 only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012?	1	Page 38266 to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that
1 2	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012?	1	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that
2	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea.	1 2 3	5
	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might	2	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you
2 3	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea.	2 3	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western
2 3 4	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was	2 3 4	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them.
2 3 4 5	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be	2 3 4 5	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements.
2 3 4 5 6	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations?	2 3 4 5 6	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me put my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto? Because Incwala is a 26% shareholder, I think on some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me put my problems to you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto? Because Incwala is a 26% shareholder, I think on some material matters, this probably would be considered a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me put my problems to you. CHAIRPERSON: You were busy trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto? Because Incwala is a 26% shareholder, I think on some material matters, this probably would be considered a material matter, you needed a 75%, minimum of 75% majority
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me put my problems to you. CHAIRPERSON: You were busy trying to raise a massive bond. Would that have brought in its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto? Because Incwala is a 26% shareholder, I think on some material matters, this probably would be considered a material matter, you needed a 75%, minimum of 75% majority to approve this. So I suspect this is one of the matters
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me put my problems to you. CHAIRPERSON: You were busy trying to raise a massive bond. Would that have brought in its train, due diligence investigation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto? Because Incwala is a 26% shareholder, I think on some material matters, this probably would be considered a material matter, you needed a 75%, minimum of 75% majority to approve this. So I suspect this is one of the matters that have been considered to be material.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me put my problems to you. CHAIRPERSON: You were busy trying to raise a massive bond. Would that have brought in its train, due diligence investigation? MR SEEDAT: It would, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto? Because Incwala is a 26% shareholder, I think on some material matters, this probably would be considered a material matter, you needed a 75%, minimum of 75% majority to approve this. So I suspect this is one of the matters that have been considered to be material. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's look at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me put my problems to you. CHAIRPERSON: You were busy trying to raise a massive bond. Would that have brought in its train, due diligence investigation? MR SEEDAT: It would, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the due diligence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto? Because Incwala is a 26% shareholder, I think on some material matters, this probably would be considered a material matter, you needed a 75%, minimum of 75% majority to approve this. So I suspect this is one of the matters that have been considered to be material.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me put my problems to you. CHAIRPERSON: You were busy trying to raise a massive bond. Would that have brought in its train, due diligence investigation? MR SEEDAT: It would, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto? Because Incwala is a 26% shareholder, I think on some material matters, this probably would be considered a material matter, you needed a 75%, minimum of 75% majority to approve this. So I suspect this is one of the matters that have been considered to be material. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's look at the 2008 financials that we've been given. In terms of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me put my problems to you. CHAIRPERSON: You were busy trying to raise a massive bond. Would that have brought in its train, due diligence investigation? MR SEEDAT: It would, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the due diligence investigators would have come across the relationship with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto? Because Incwala is a 26% shareholder, I think on some material matters, this probably would be considered a material matter, you needed a 75%, minimum of 75% majority to approve this. So I suspect this is one of the matters that have been considered to be material. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's look at the 2008 financials that we've been given. In terms of the facts that we've been or what we've been told of the facts,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me put my problems to you. CHAIRPERSON: You were busy trying to raise a massive bond. Would that have brought in its train, due diligence investigation? MR SEEDAT: It would, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the due diligence investigators would have come across the relationship with Western Metals and various matters that are reflected in the paragraphs we've just looked at. I take it one can accent it as a certainty	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto? Because Incwala is a 26% shareholder, I think on some material matters, this probably would be considered a material matter, you needed a 75%, minimum of 75% majority to approve this. So I suspect this is one of the matters that have been considered to be material. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's look at the 2008 financials that we've been given. In terms of the facts that we've been or what we've been told of the facts, by 2008 half of the sales commission should have been going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me put my problems to you. CHAIRPERSON: You were busy trying to raise a massive bond. Would that have brought in its train, due diligence investigation? MR SEEDAT: It would, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the due diligence investigators would have come across the relationship with Western Metals and various matters that are reflected in the paragraphs we've just looked at. I take it one can accept it as a certainty. MR SEEDAT: Chairman, I'm not absolutely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto? Because Incwala is a 26% shareholder, I think on some material matters, this probably would be considered a material matter, you needed a 75%, minimum of 75% majority to approve this. So I suspect this is one of the matters that have been considered to be material. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's look at the 2008 financials that we've been given. In terms of the facts that we've been or what we've been told of the facts, by 2008 half of the sales commission should have been going to Western Metals, the other half to Lonmin PLC, but if we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me put my problems to you. CHAIRPERSON: You were busy trying to raise a massive bond. Would that have brought in its train, due diligence investigation? MR SEEDAT: It would, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the due diligence investigators would have come across the relationship with Western Metals and various matters that are reflected in the paragraphs we've just looked at. I take it one can accept it as a certainty. MR SEEDAT: Chairman, I'm not absolutely sure if that is correct because remember in June/July the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto? Because Incwala is a 26% shareholder, I think on some material matters, this probably would be considered a material matter, you needed a 75%, minimum of 75% majority to approve this. So I suspect this is one of the matters that have been considered to be material. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's look at the 2008 financials that we've been given. In terms of the facts that we've been or what we've been told of the facts, by 2008 half of the sales commission should have been going to Western Metals, the other half to Lonmin PLC, but if we go to the WPL financials at page 70 of SSSS5 – can we scroll down to 30, to 29, related parties, scroll down just a little bit further? Stop, stop, stop. Related party
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me put my problems to you. CHAIRPERSON: You were busy trying to raise a massive bond. Would that have brought in its train, due diligence investigation? MR SEEDAT: It would, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the due diligence investigators would have come across the relationship with Western Metals and various matters that are reflected in the paragraphs we've just looked at. I take it one can accept it as a certainty. MR SEEDAT: Chairman, I'm not absolutely sure if that is correct because remember in June/July the company was still going to test the waters for a bond	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto? Because Incwala is a 26% shareholder, I think on some material matters, this probably would be considered a material matter, you needed a 75%, minimum of 75% majority to approve this. So I suspect this is one of the matters that have been considered to be material. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's look at the 2008 financials that we've been given. In terms of the facts that we've been or what we've been told of the facts, by 2008 half of the sales commission should have been going to Western Metals, the other half to Lonmin PLC, but if we go to the WPL financials at page 70 of SSSS5 – can we scroll down to 30, to 29, related parties, scroll down just a little bit further? Stop, stop, stop. Related party balances, investments transactions. The management fee is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	only reduced to a written agreement on 12 June 2012? MR SEEDAT: I have no idea. MR CHASKALSON SC: Do you think it might have had something to do with the fact that Lonmin was trying to issue a bond around that time and would be subject to due diligence investigations? MR SEEDAT: Well, I was on the Lonmin board at that time. I don't recall this coming up as an issue, so I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let me put my problems to you. CHAIRPERSON: You were busy trying to raise a massive bond. Would that have brought in its train, due diligence investigation? MR SEEDAT: It would, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the due diligence investigators would have come across the relationship with Western Metals and various matters that are reflected in the paragraphs we've just looked at. I take it one can accept it as a certainty. MR SEEDAT: Chairman, I'm not absolutely sure if that is correct because remember in June/July the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to explain or partially to explain another difficulty that I have, my primary difficulty, which is that what you describe is at odds with what we see in the Western Platinum financial statements, so let me take you to them. Let's start with the 2008 financial statements. MR SEEDAT: Which page are you? MR CHASKALSON SC: SSSS5 page 70. MR SEEDAT: Could I just follow the previous question you asked about Incwala having veto? Because Incwala is a 26% shareholder, I think on some material matters, this probably would be considered a material matter, you needed a 75%, minimum of 75% majority to approve this. So I suspect this is one of the matters that have been considered to be material. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's look at the 2008 financials that we've been given. In terms of the facts that we've been or what we've been told of the facts, by 2008 half of the sales commission should have been going to Western Metals, the other half to Lonmin PLC, but if we go to the WPL financials at page 70 of SSSS5 – can we scroll down to 30, to 29, related parties, scroll down just a little bit further? Stop, stop, stop. Related party

	Page 38267		Page 38269
1	going to Bermuda. It should have been 50% to Bermuda, 50%	1	because now we – [microphone off, inaudible.]
2	to head office. These are audited financial statements	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me, my reference
3	which were prepared for the special purpose of submission	3	is wrong. Let me get the correct reference.
4	to SARS, as I understand it.	4	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's 2007. You want
5	MR SEEDAT: That's right, yes.	5	2012, as I understand.
6	MR CHASKALSON SC: So can you explain why	6	MR CHASKALSON SC: No. Our problem is I
7	they inaccurately reflect this transaction?	7	think we're in the wrong file, we should be in SSSS5.
8	MR SEEDAT: This is for the period up to	8	MR CHASKALSON SC: 221. Can we scroll
9	the end of 30th of September 2008.	9	down? Sales commission paid to Western Metals Sales
10	MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct.	10	Limited. By this stage there should have been nothing paid
11	MR SEEDAT: Ja. Can we go back to the	11	to Western Metals Sales. \$23 million, no sales commission
12	document with the statement of facts? I just want to	12	reflected as having been paid to head office.
13	refresh my memory there.	12	
14		14	in the sense that there isn't another line item that says
15	back to that document, it's at page 229, same volume and if	15	sales commission paid to LMS, so it's probably referring to
16	we can scroll down to 2.9.2 which deals with the 2008	16	the sales commission paid to LMS but referred to as Western
17	financial year. So half of that 2% commission should have	17	Metals Sales.
18	been payable to Bermuda, the other half to head office.	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, where's that
19	What the financials show is that all of it was paid to	19	other line item?
20	Bermuda.	20	MR SEEDAT: No, there isn't another line
21	MR SEEDAT: Yes, that's how the	21	item so I'm saying that it was a sales commission that was
22	financials show it.	22	paid to LMS but incorrectly reflected as being paid to
23	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you explain why	23	Western Metals Sales.
24	the financials show it inaccurately?	24	MR CHASKALSON SC: So that's an error in
25	MR SEEDAT: Well, the number is clearly	25	the 2012 accounts?
	Page 38268		Page 38270
1	Page 38268 correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected	1	Page 38270 MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes.
1		1	-
	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected		MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes.
2	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it	2	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to
2 3	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of	2 3	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin
2 3 4	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds.	2 3 4	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact
2 3 4 5	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this	2 3 4 5	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the
2 3 4 5 6	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June,	2 3 4 5 6	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly
2 3 4 5 6 7	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows the position then. The retroactive effect is only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company, but I would welcome it if Lonmin could provide us with an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows the position then. The retroactive effect is only introduced, according to this set of facts, on the 12th of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company, but I would welcome it if Lonmin could provide us with an accounting trail which shows that these payments actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows the position then. The retroactive effect is only introduced, according to this set of facts, on the 12th of June 2012. There may have to be a restatement of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company, but I would welcome it if Lonmin could provide us with an accounting trail which shows that these payments actually did end up in Lonmin PLC because from what we have looked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows the position then. The retroactive effect is only introduced, according to this set of facts, on the 12th of June 2012. There may have to be a restatement of the financials. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, maybe we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company, but I would welcome it if Lonmin could provide us with an accounting trail which shows that these payments actually did end up in Lonmin PLC because from what we have looked at we can't see any evidence of that. I'm not saying it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows the position then. The retroactive effect is only introduced, according to this set of facts, on the 12th of June 2012. There may have to be a restatement of the financials.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company, but I would welcome it if Lonmin could provide us with an accounting trail which shows that these payments actually did end up in Lonmin PLC because from what we have looked at we can't see any evidence of that. I'm not saying it doesn't exist but we would like to see it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows the position then. The retroactive effect is only introduced, according to this set of facts, on the 12th of June 2012. There may have to be a restatement of the financials. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, maybe we can address Mr Burger's suggestion by looking at the 2012	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company, but I would welcome it if Lonmin could provide us with an accounting trail which shows that these payments actually did end up in Lonmin PLC because from what we have looked at we can't see any evidence of that. I'm not saying it doesn't exist but we would like to see it. MR SEEDAT: I guess the flow would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows the position then. The retroactive effect is only introduced, according to this set of facts, on the 12th of June 2012. There may have to be a restatement of the financials. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, maybe we can address Mr Burger's suggestion by looking at the 2012 financials. They're at page 221 of this document, because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company, but I would welcome it if Lonmin could provide us with an accounting trail which shows that these payments actually did end up in Lonmin PLC because from what we have looked at we can't see any evidence of that. I'm not saying it doesn't exist but we would like to see it. MR SEEDAT: I guess the flow would be from WPL, EPL into LMS and then from LMS into Lonmin PLC.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows the position then. The retroactive effect is only introduced, according to this set of facts, on the 12th of June 2012. There may have to be a restatement of the financials. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, maybe we can address Mr Burger's suggestion by looking at the 2012 financials. They're at page 221 of this document, because the 2012 financials cover the period after June 2012. Can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company, but I would welcome it if Lonmin could provide us with an accounting trail which shows that these payments actually did end up in Lonmin PLC because from what we have looked at we can't see any evidence of that. I'm not saying it doesn't exist but we would like to see it. MR SEEDAT: I guess the flow would be from WPL, EPL into LMS and then from LMS into Lonmin PLC. So we've got to look for them in LMS now –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows the position then. The retroactive effect is only introduced, according to this set of facts, on the 12th of June 2012. There may have to be a restatement of the financials. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, maybe we can address Mr Burger's suggestion by looking at the 2012 financials. They're at page 221 of this document, because the 2012 financials cover the period after June 2012. Can we scroll down? CHAIRPERSON: What are we looking to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company, but I would welcome it if Lonmin could provide us with an accounting trail which shows that these payments actually did end up in Lonmin PLC because from what we have looked at we can't see any evidence of that. I'm not saying it doesn't exist but we would like to see it. MR SEEDAT: I guess the flow would be from WPL, EPL into LMS and then from LMS into Lonmin PLC. So we've got to look for them in LMS now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, that's what we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows the position then. The retroactive effect is only introduced, according to this set of facts, on the 12th of June 2012. There may have to be a restatement of the financials. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, maybe we can address Mr Burger's suggestion by looking at the 2012 financials. They're at page 221 of this document, because the 2012 financials cover the period after June 2012. Can we scroll down?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company, but I would welcome it if Lonmin could provide us with an accounting trail which shows that these payments actually did end up in Lonmin PLC because from what we have looked at we can't see any evidence of that. I'm not saying it doesn't exist but we would like to see it. MR SEEDAT: I guess the flow would be from WPL, EPL into LMS and then from LMS into Lonmin PLC. So we've got to look for them in LMS now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, that's what we want to see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows the position then. The retroactive effect is only introduced, according to this set of facts, on the 12th of June 2012. There may have to be a restatement of the financials. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, maybe we can address Mr Burger's suggestion by looking at the 2012 financials. They're at page 221 of this document, because the 2012 financials cover the period after June 2012. Can we scroll down? CHAIRPERSON: What are we looking to? We've got the right page, have we?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company, but I would welcome it if Lonmin could provide us with an accounting trail which shows that these payments actually did end up in Lonmin PLC because from what we have looked at we can't see any evidence of that. I'm not saying it doesn't exist but we would like to see it. MR SEEDAT: I guess the flow would be from WPL, EPL into LMS and then from LMS into Lonmin PLC. So we've got to look for them in LMS now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, that's what we want to see. MR SEEDAT: Okay, we'll try to –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows the position then. The retroactive effect is only introduced, according to this set of facts, on the 12th of June 2012. There may have to be a restatement of the financials. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, maybe we can address Mr Burger's suggestion by looking at the 2012 financials. They're at page 221 of this document, because the 2012 financials cover the period after June 2012. Can we scroll down? CHAIRPERSON: What are we looking to? We've got the right page, have we? MR CHASKALSON SC: Scroll one further.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company, but I would welcome it if Lonmin could provide us with an accounting trail which shows that these payments actually did end up in Lonmin PLC because from what we have looked at we can't see any evidence of that. I'm not saying it doesn't exist but we would like to see it. MR SEEDAT: I guess the flow would be from WPL, EPL into LMS and then from LMS into Lonmin PLC. So we've got to look for them in LMS now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, that's what we want to see. MR SEEDAT: Okay, we'll try to – MR BURGER SC: Chair, I wonder whether I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows the position then. The retroactive effect is only introduced, according to this set of facts, on the 12th of June 2012. There may have to be a restatement of the financials. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, maybe we can address Mr Burger's suggestion by looking at the 2012 financials. They're at page 221 of this document, because the 2012 financials cover the period after June 2012. Can we scroll down? CHAIRPERSON: What are we looking to? We've got the right page, have we? MR CHASKALSON SC: Scroll one further. Sorry, scroll up, scroll up. What we're looking for is page, paginated page 30 of the original document. Scroll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company, but I would welcome it if Lonmin could provide us with an accounting trail which shows that these payments actually did end up in Lonmin PLC because from what we have looked at we can't see any evidence of that. I'm not saying it doesn't exist but we would like to see it. MR SEEDAT: I guess the flow would be from WPL, EPL into LMS and then from LMS into Lonmin PLC. So we've got to look for them in LMS now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, that's what we want to see. MR SEEDAT: Okay, we'll try to – MR BURGER SC: Chair, I wonder whether I can suggest that my learned friend prepares, at the end of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	correct. The entity to which it was sent is reflected incorrectly. Perhaps it was an error, I don't know but it doesn't change the financials in terms of the movement of funds. MR BURGER SC: Chair, with respect, this may not be correct. If the agreement between the parties is that the agency agreement was only concluded in June, albeit with effect from four years earlier, as at 2008 financial year this is not an error. This in fact shows the position then. The retroactive effect is only introduced, according to this set of facts, on the 12th of June 2012. There may have to be a restatement of the financials. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, maybe we can address Mr Burger's suggestion by looking at the 2012 financials. They're at page 221 of this document, because the 2012 financials cover the period after June 2012. Can we scroll down? CHAIRPERSON: What are we looking to? We've got the right page, have we? MR CHASKALSON SC: Scroll one further. Sorry, scroll up, scroll up. What we're looking for is page, paginated page 30 of the original document. Scroll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SEEDAT: I would guess, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we've tried to track these sales commissions through into the Lonmin accounts themselves because if the amounts were in fact paid to Lonmin, they would ultimately have ended up in the Lonmin accounts. We haven't been able to do so, partly because the group accounts give you very limited information about the company accounts and this would have been a payment into the company from another group company, but I would welcome it if Lonmin could provide us with an accounting trail which shows that these payments actually did end up in Lonmin PLC because from what we have looked at we can't see any evidence of that. I'm not saying it doesn't exist but we would like to see it. MR SEEDAT: I guess the flow would be from WPL, EPL into LMS and then from LMS into Lonmin PLC. So we've got to look for them in LMS now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, that's what we want to see. MR SEEDAT: Okay, we'll try to – MR BURGER SC: Chair, I wonder whether I can suggest that my learned friend prepares, at the end of the questioning, a list of those queries which we must

	Page 38271		Page 38273
1	I'm never good on a Blackberry but with financials I'm	1	MR CHASKALSON SC: We've been told that
2	particularly poor, so I'm trying to keep up with the debate	2	Impala does all of its marketing in house. Are you in a
3	and we would certainly assist if there's a structured	3	position to confirm to dispute that?
4	request, we have an opportunity to consider what this is	4	MR SEEDAT: I'm not aware of how they do
5	all about and then respond to it.	5	their marketing.
6	CHAIRPERSON: I take it you'll comply	6	MR CHASKALSON SC: If they did, of
7	with that request?	7	course, then there wouldn't be a transfer out of the
8	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will, Chair. I now	8	operational entity into another entity in respect of
9	want to move away from the financials to deal with housing	9	marketing services.
10	and we'll get back to some issues in relation to the	10	MR SEEDAT: If they don't have a separate
11	financials and how they affect housing.	11	business entity in Impala that does it, yes. So having
12	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you do that	12	said that, I think Anglo Platinum has a separate business
13	can I ask a question? These are sales commissions. Mr	13	entity that markets its platinum.
14	Jamieson told us that 85% of platinum produced by Western	14	CHAIRPERSON: Our terms of reference
15	Platinum and Eastern Platinum is sold to two customers, is	15	don't cover Anglo Platinum's activities.
16	that right?	16	MR CHASKALSON SC: Let's move to consider
17	MR SEEDAT: That's right. Lonmin has two	17	housing issues more directly. You'll accept, I assume,
18	major customers.	18	that living conditions in Nkaneng and the other informal
19	CHAIRPERSON: Who are they?	19	settlements around Marikana are truly appalling?
20	MR SEEDAT: Mitsubishi Corporation and	20	MR SEEDAT: Yes.
21	BASF.	21	MR CHASKALSON SC: And I take it you've
22	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry?	22	read Dr Forrest's interim report?
23	MR SEEDAT: Mitsubishi Corporation –	23	MR SEEDAT: I have not read the whole
24	CHAIRPERSON: Yes?	24	report but the section on housing, section 5 I think it is,
25	MR SEEDAT: And BASF, a German company.	25	I have read.
1	Dama 20272		Dama 20274
1	Page 38272 CHAIRPERSON: BASE?	1	Page 38274 MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read
1	Page 38272 CHAIRPERSON: BASF? MR SEEDAT: Yes.	1	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read
	CHAIRPERSON: BASF?		MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions?
2	CHAIRPERSON: BASF? MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now are these	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: BASF? MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now are these permanent, more or less longstanding customers?	2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: BASF? MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now are these permanent, more or less longstanding customers? MR SEEDAT: These are longstanding	2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: BASF? MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now are these permanent, more or less longstanding customers? MR SEEDAT: These are longstanding customers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. They	2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON:BASF?MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:I see. Now are thesepermanent, more or less longstanding customers?MR SEEDAT:These are longstandingcustomers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. Theymay have a two year term or a three year term. At the end	2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have –
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON:BASF?MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:I see. Now are thesepermanent, more or less longstanding customers?MR SEEDAT:These are longstandingcustomers but the contracts are regularly reviewed.Theymay have a two year term or a three year term. At the endof that term the conditions are reviewed and depending on	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON:BASF?MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:I see. Now are thesepermanent, more or less longstanding customers?MR SEEDAT:These are longstandingcustomers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. Theymay have a two year term or a three year term. At the end	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON:BASF?MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:I see. Now are thesepermanent, more or less longstanding customers?MR SEEDAT:These are longstandingcustomers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. Theymay have a two year term or a three year term. At the endof that term the conditions are reviewed and depending onmarket conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON:BASF?MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:I see. Now are thesepermanent, more or less longstanding customers?MR SEEDAT:These are longstandingcustomers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. Theymay have a two year term or a three year term. At the endof that term the conditions are reviewed and depending onmarket conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because youhave a long term contract you pay a discount or you give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng. MR SEEDAT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON:BASF?MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:I see. Now are thesepermanent, more or less longstanding customers?MR SEEDAT:These are longstandingcustomers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. Theymay have a two year term or a three year term. At the endof that term the conditions are reviewed and depending onmarket conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because youhave a long term contract you pay a discount or you givethe customer a discount, that discount is renegotiated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you told us you weren't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON:BASF?MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:I see. Now are thesepermanent, more or less longstanding customers?MR SEEDAT:These are longstandingcustomers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. Theymay have a two year term or a three year term. At the endof that term the conditions are reviewed and depending onmarket conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because youhave a long term contract you pay a discount or you givethe customer a discount, that discount is renegotiated.The customer may be also charging you other fees for doing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you told us you weren't proud of what you saw.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON:BASF?MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:I see. Now are thesepermanent, more or less longstanding customers?MR SEEDAT:These are longstandingcustomers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. Theymay have a two year term or a three year term. At the endof that term the conditions are reviewed and depending onmarket conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because youhave a long term contract you pay a discount or you givethe customer a discount, that discount is renegotiated.The customer may be also charging you other fees for doingother things with the metal, those kinds of contractual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you told us you weren't proud of what you saw. MR SEEDAT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON:BASF?MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:I see. Now are thesepermanent, more or less longstanding customers?MR SEEDAT:These are longstandingcustomers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. Theymay have a two year term or a three year term. At the endof that term the conditions are reviewed and depending onmarket conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because youhave a long term contract you pay a discount or you givethe customer a discount, that discount is renegotiated.The customer may be also charging you other fees for doingother things with the metal, those kinds of contractualconditions are regularly reviewed when these contracts come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you told us you weren't proud of what you saw. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So whatever Dr Forrest says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON:BASF?MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:I see. Now are thesepermanent, more or less longstanding customers?MR SEEDAT:These are longstandingcustomers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. Theymay have a two year term or a three year term. At the endof that term the conditions are reviewed and depending onmarket conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because youhave a long term contract you pay a discount or you givethe customer a discount, that discount is renegotiated.The customer may be also charging you other fees for doingother things with the metal, those kinds of contractualconditions are regularly reviewed when these contracts comeup for renewal.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you told us you weren't proud of what you saw. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So whatever Dr Forrest says about the conditions, you've got some of your own personal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON:BASF?MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:I see. Now are thesepermanent, more or less longstanding customers?MR SEEDAT:These are longstandingcustomers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. Theymay have a two year term or a three year term. At the endof that term the conditions are reviewed and depending onmarket conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because youhave a long term contract you pay a discount or you givethe customer a discount, that discount is renegotiated.The customer may be also charging you other fees for doingother things with the metal, those kinds of contractualconditions are regularly reviewed when these contracts comeup for renewal.CHAIRPERSON:I see. And so the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you told us you weren't proud of what you saw. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So whatever Dr Forrest says about the conditions, you've got some of your own personal knowledge about how appalling the conditions are. You've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON:BASF?MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:I see. Now are thesepermanent, more or less longstanding customers?MR SEEDAT:These are longstandingcustomers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. Theymay have a two year term or a three year term. At the endof that term the conditions are reviewed and depending onmarket conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because youhave a long term contract you pay a discount or you givethe customer a discount, that discount is renegotiated.The customer may be also charging you other fees for doingother things with the metal, those kinds of contractualconditions are regularly reviewed when these contracts comeup for renewal.CHAIRPERSON:I see. And so themarketing that's done was originally done by Western Metals	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you told us you weren't proud of what you saw. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So whatever Dr Forrest says about the conditions, you've got some of your own personal knowledge about how appalling the conditions are. You've agreed that the adjective "appalling" is appropriate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON:BASF?MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:I see. Now are thesepermanent, more or less longstanding customers?MR SEEDAT:These are longstandingcustomers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. Theymay have a two year term or a three year term. At the endof that term the conditions are reviewed and depending onmarket conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because youhave a long term contract you pay a discount or you givethe customer may be also charging you other fees for doingother things with the metal, those kinds of contractualconditions are regularly reviewed when these contracts comeup for renewal.CHAIRPERSON:I see. And so themarketing that's done was originally done by Western MetalsSales, 85% of it was done to these two customers.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng: MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you told us you weren't proud of what you saw. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So whatever Dr Forrest says about the conditions, you've got some of your own personal knowledge about how appalling the conditions are. You've agreed that the adjective "appalling" is appropriate. MR SEEDAT: Yes. Chairman, I could go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON:BASF?MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:I see. Now are thesepermanent, more or less longstanding customers?MR SEEDAT:These are longstandingcustomers but the contracts are regularly reviewed.may have a two year term or a three year term. At the endof that term the conditions are reviewed and depending onmarket conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because youhave a long term contract you pay a discount or you givethe customer may be also charging you other fees for doingother things with the metal, those kinds of contractualconditions are regularly reviewed when these contracts comeup for renewal.CHAIRPERSON:I see. And so themarketing that's done was originally done by Western MetalsSales, 85% of it was done to these two customers.MR SEEDAT:Yes. Chairman, I'm not even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you told us you weren't proud of what you saw. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So whatever Dr Forrest says about the conditions, you've got some of your own personal knowledge about how appalling the conditions are. You've agreed that the adjective "appalling" is appropriate. MR SEEDAT: Yes. Chairman, I could go even further than that. Within Lonmin we did commission a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: BASF? MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now are these permanent, more or less longstanding customers? MR SEEDAT: These are longstanding customers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. They may have a two year term or a three year term. At the end of that term the conditions are reviewed and depending on market conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because you have a long term contract you pay a discount or you give the customer a discount, that discount is renegotiated. The customer may be also charging you other fees for doing other things with the metal, those kinds of contractual conditions are regularly reviewed when these contracts come up for renewal. CHAIRPERSON: I see. And so the marketing that's done was originally done by Western Metals Sales, 85% of it was done to these two customers. MR SEEDAT: Yes. Chairman, I'm not even sure if it was done in the time of Western Metals Sales or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you told us you weren't proud of what you saw. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So whatever Dr Forrest says about the conditions, you've got some of your own personal knowledge about how appalling the conditions are. You've agreed that the adjective "appalling" is appropriate. MR SEEDAT: Yes. Chairman, I could go even further than that. Within Lonmin we did commission a survey, a typical, a day in the – a typical day in the life
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: BASF? MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now are these permanent, more or less longstanding customers? MR SEEDAT: These are longstanding customers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. They may have a two year term or a three year term. At the end of that term the conditions are reviewed and depending on market conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because you have a long term contract you pay a discount or you give the customer a discount, that discount is renegotiated. The customer may be also charging you other fees for doing other things with the metal, those kinds of contractual conditions are regularly reviewed when these contracts come up for renewal. CHAIRPERSON: I see. And so the marketing that's done was originally done by Western Metals Sales, 85% of it was done to these two customers. MR SEEDAT: Yes. Chairman, I'm not even sure if it was done in the time of Western Metals Sales or even prior to that. Lonmin as a company has been going for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you told us you weren't proud of what you saw. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So whatever Dr Forrest says about the conditions, you've got some of your own personal knowledge about how appalling the conditions are. You've agreed that the adjective "appalling" is appropriate. MR SEEDAT: Yes. Chairman, I could go even further than that. Within Lonmin we did commission a survey, a typical, a day in the – a typical day in the life of a Lonmin employee, and the covered employees coming from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: BASF? MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now are these permanent, more or less longstanding customers? MR SEEDAT: These are longstanding customers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. They may have a two year term or a three year term. At the end of that term the conditions are reviewed and depending on market conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because you have a long term contract you pay a discount or you give the customer a discount, that discount is renegotiated. The customer may be also charging you other fees for doing other things with the metal, those kinds of contractual conditions are regularly reviewed when these contracts come up for renewal. CHAIRPERSON: I see. And so the marketing that's done was originally done by Western Metals Sales, 85% of it was done to these two customers. MR SEEDAT: Yes. Chairman, I'm not even sure if it was done in the time of Western Metals Sales or even prior to that. Lonmin as a company has been going for many, many years. I don't know how long Western Metals	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you told us you weren't proud of what you saw. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So whatever Dr Forrest says about the conditions, you've got some of your own personal knowledge about how appalling the conditions are. You've agreed that the adjective "appalling" is appropriate. MR SEEDAT: Yes. Chairman, I could go even further than that. Within Lonmin we did commission a survey, a typical, a day in the – a typical day in the life of a Lonmin employee, and we covered employees coming from these different settlements and we fully understand how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: BASF? MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now are these permanent, more or less longstanding customers? MR SEEDAT: These are longstanding customers but the contracts are regularly reviewed. They may have a two year term or a three year term. At the end of that term the conditions are reviewed and depending on market conditions, sometimes we have, you know, because you have a long term contract you pay a discount or you give the customer a discount, that discount is renegotiated. The customer may be also charging you other fees for doing other things with the metal, those kinds of contractual conditions are regularly reviewed when these contracts come up for renewal. CHAIRPERSON: I see. And so the marketing that's done was originally done by Western Metals Sales, 85% of it was done to these two customers. MR SEEDAT: Yes. Chairman, I'm not even sure if it was done in the time of Western Metals Sales or even prior to that. Lonmin as a company has been going for many, many years. I don't know how long Western Metals Sales has existed so I'm not sure if it was done during	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you read chapter 2 that deals with living conditions? MR SEEDAT: I may have. I just skimmed through the documents, I may have gone through those sections. I've got a copy of the report here so if you refer me to it I can – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I have – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I – you did tell us you've been to Nkaneng. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you told us you weren't proud of what you saw. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So whatever Dr Forrest says about the conditions, you've got some of your own personal knowledge about how appalling the conditions are. You've agreed that the adjective "appalling" is appropriate. MR SEEDAT: Yes. Chairman, I could go even further than that. Within Lonmin we did commission a survey, a typical, a day in the – a typical day in the life of a Lonmin employee, and we covered employees coming from these different settlements and we fully understand how difficult the conditions are under which they live.

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

	Page 38275		Page 38277
1	MR SEEDAT: I joined in September 2007	1	kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal
2	and he departed in around September 2008.	2	dwellings with little or no access to basic services and
3	CHAIRPERSON: I seem to remember having	3	suspect that this will include a significant number of our
4	read somewhere that he actually spent a night in, I don't	4	employees." You didn't suspect anything, you knew that a
5	know if it was Nkaneng but in one of the informal	5	significant number of employees were living in those
6	settlements.	6	conditions.
7	MR SEEDAT: He spent a night at the	7	MR BURGER SC: My learned friend is not
8	hostel.	8	reading the sentence correctly and what he put is, with
9	CHAIRPERSON: Oh, only at the hostel?	9	respect, textually unsound.
10	MR SEEDAT: In one of the hostels, yes.	10	MR CHASKALSON SC: Maybe Mr Burger can
11	CHAIRPERSON: I see.	11	explain why it's textually unsound.
12	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably Lonmin	12	MR BURGER SC: No, as I understand it,
13	has known since long before the shootings that a	13	the suspicion is that housing allowance is not spent on
14	substantial proportion of its workforce is living in those	14	housing but it is used for other purposes. I think that's
15	conditions.	15	the suspicion.
16	MR SEEDAT: Yes, we've done many surveys	16	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, the suspicion
17	and we fully understand the demographics of how our	17	is clearly that the 50% include a significant number of the
18	employees live.	18	employees.
19	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now at SSSS5 at page	19	CHAIRPERSON: The key word is "include"
20	366 you'll see a press statement that Lonmin issued on the	20	so that the suspicion relates to the inclusion of a
21	24th of August 2016.	21	significant number of employees in those persons who are
22	CHAIRPERSON: 2016, that can't be right.	22	housed in informal dwelling with little or no access to
23	MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, 2012. 16th of	23	basic services. I think that's the correct position.
24	August.	24	[12:56] MR CHASKALSON SC: But there is a second
25	CHAIRPERSON: 2012.	25	element of disingenuity about it which addresses Mr
			·······
	Daga 38276		Dage 39278
1	Page 38276 MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre,	1	Page 38278 Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question
_	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre,	1	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question
1 2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement		Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we	2	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on
2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called	2 3	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no
2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate	2 3 4	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you
2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre	2 3 4 5	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings	2 3 4 5 6 7	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company but to live	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time I guess to the order of R1 850, after tax they had R1 500.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company but to live elsewhere." This was a paragraph which, I put to you, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time I guess to the order of R1 850, after tax they had R1 500. So I think this statement is also alluding to the fact that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company but to live elsewhere." This was a paragraph which, I put to you, was doubly disingenuous. First, Lonmin did not suspect that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time I guess to the order of R1 850, after tax they had R1 500. So I think this statement is also alluding to the fact that employees are not prepared to spend the full housing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company but to live elsewhere." This was a paragraph which, I put to you, was doubly disingenuous. First, Lonmin did not suspect that a significant number of its employees were living in informal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time I guess to the order of R1 850, after tax they had R1 500. So I think this statement is also alluding to the fact that employees are not prepared to spend the full housing allowance on accommodation which could be provided by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company but to live elsewhere." This was a paragraph which, I put to you, was doubly disingenuous. First, Lonmin did not suspect that a significant number of its employees were living in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time I guess to the order of R1 850, after tax they had R1 500. So I think this statement is also alluding to the fact that employees are not prepared to spend the full housing allowance on accommodation which could be provided by the company or someone else.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company but to live elsewhere." This was a paragraph which, I put to you, was doubly disingenuous. First, Lonmin did not suspect that a significant number of its employees were living in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services, it manifestly knew that this was a fact. Would you agree with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time I guess to the order of R1 850, after tax they had R1 500. So I think this statement is also alluding to the fact that employees are not prepared to spend the full housing allowance on accommodation which could be provided by the company or someone else. MR CHASKALSON SC: What was your waiting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company but to live elsewhere." This was a paragraph which, I put to you, was doubly disingenuous. First, Lonmin did not suspect that a significant number of its employees were living in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services, it manifestly knew that this was a fact. Would you agree with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time I guess to the order of R1 850, after tax they had R1 500. So I think this statement is also alluding to the fact that employees are not prepared to spend the full housing allowance on accommodation which could be provided by the company or someone else. MR CHASKALSON SC: What was your waiting list for housing for employees who chose not to live in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company but to live elsewhere." This was a paragraph which, I put to you, was doubly disingenuous. First, Lonmin did not suspect that a significant number of its employees were living in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services, it manifestly knew that this was a fact. Would you agree with that? MR SEEDAT: Yes, we were aware that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time I guess to the order of R1 850, after tax they had R1 500. So I think this statement is also alluding to the fact that employees are not prepared to spend the full housing allowance on accommodation which could be provided by the company or someone else. MR CHASKALSON SC: What was your waiting list for housing for employees who chose not to live in the informal settlement but would like to live in company
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company but to live elsewhere." This was a paragraph which, I put to you, was doubly disingenuous. First, Lonmin did not suspect that a significant number of its employees were living in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services, it manifestly knew that this was a fact. Would you agree with that? MR SEEDAT: Yes, we were aware that a significant number lived outside – yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time I guess to the order of R1 850, after tax they had R1 500. So I think this statement is also alluding to the fact that employees are not prepared to spend the full housing allowance on accommodation which could be provided by the company or someone else. MR CHASKALSON SC: What was your waiting list for housing for employees who chose not to live in the informal settlement but would like to live in company provided accommodation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company but to live elsewhere." This was a paragraph which, I put to you, was doubly disingenuous. First, Lonmin did not suspect that a significant number of its employees were living in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services, it manifestly knew that this was a fact. Would you agree with that? MR SEEDAT: Yes, we were aware that a significant number lived outside – yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But you knew it as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time I guess to the order of R1 850, after tax they had R1 500. So I think this statement is also alluding to the fact that employees are not prepared to spend the full housing allowance on accommodation which could be provided by the company or someone else. MR CHASKALSON SC: What was your waiting list for housing for employees who chose not to live in the informal settlement but would like to live in company provided accommodation? MR SEEDAT: Well the waiting list in 2006
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company but to live elsewhere." This was a paragraph which, I put to you, was doubly disingenuous. First, Lonmin did not suspect that a significant number of its employees were living in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services, it manifestly knew that this was a fact. Would you agree with that? MR SEEDAT: Yes, we were aware that a significant number lived outside – yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But you knew it as a fact.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time I guess to the order of R1 850, after tax they had R1 500. So I think this statement is also alluding to the fact that employees are not prepared to spend the full housing allowance on accommodation which could be provided by the company or someone else. MR CHASKALSON SC: What was your waiting list for housing for employees who chose not to live in the informal settlement but would like to live in company provided accommodation? MR SEEDAT: Well the waiting list in 2006 was around 5000.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company but to live elsewhere." This was a paragraph which, I put to you, was doubly disingenuous. First, Lonmin did not suspect that a significant number of its employees were living in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services, it manifestly knew that this was a fact. Would you agree with that? MR SEEDAT: Yes, we were aware that a significant number lived outside – yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But you knew it as a fact. MR SEEDAT: He says "we estimate" so –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time I guess to the order of R1 850, after tax they had R1 500. So I think this statement is also alluding to the fact that employees are not prepared to spend the full housing allowance on accommodation which could be provided by the company or someone else. MR CHASKALSON SC: What was your waiting list for housing for employees who chose not to live in the informal settlement but would like to live in company provided accommodation? MR SEEDAT: Well the waiting list in 2006 was around 5000. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 2012 when this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company but to live elsewhere." This was a paragraph which, I put to you, was doubly disingenuous. First, Lonmin did not suspect that a significant number of its employees were living in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services, it manifestly knew that this was a fact. Would you agree with that? MR SEEDAT: Yes, we were aware that a significant number lived outside – yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But you knew it as a fact. MR SEEDAT: He says "we estimate" so – MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, that's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time I guess to the order of R1 850, after tax they had R1 500. So I think this statement is also alluding to the fact that employees are not prepared to spend the full housing allowance on accommodation which could be provided by the company or someone else. MR CHASKALSON SC: What was your waiting list for housing for employees who chose not to live in the informal settlement but would like to live in company provided accommodation? MR SEEDAT: Well the waiting list in 2006 was around 5000. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 2012 when this statement was issued?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: 2012 was the massacre, this was on the 24th of August and it's a press statement called "Lonmin seeks sustainable peace at Marikana." If we go over the page at 367 there's a little heading called "Housing" and there Lonmin say the following, "We estimate that approximately 50% of people living in a 15 kilometre radius of our operations are housed in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services and suspect that this will include a significant number of our employees who choose not to spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company but to live elsewhere." This was a paragraph which, I put to you, was doubly disingenuous. First, Lonmin did not suspect that a significant number of its employees were living in informal dwellings with little or no access to basic services, it manifestly knew that this was a fact. Would you agree with that? MR SEEDAT: Yes, we were aware that a significant number lived outside – yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But you knew it as a fact. MR SEEDAT: He says "we estimate" so –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Burger's concern, which is that the employees in question didn't choose to live in these squalid conditions as opposed to spending their housing allowance on accommodation provided by the company? There was no accommodation provided to them by the company. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Well if I may. The surveys we'd done and we talked previously of the going rate for single room accommodation and family accommodation R800 and R1 200 respectively. Employees were receiving at that time I guess to the order of R1 850, after tax they had R1 500. So I think this statement is also alluding to the fact that employees are not prepared to spend the full housing allowance on accommodation which could be provided by the company or someone else. MR CHASKALSON SC: What was your waiting list for housing for employees who chose not to live in the informal settlement but would like to live in company provided accommodation? MR SEEDAT: Well the waiting list in 2006 was around 5000. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 2012 when this

Г

	Page 38279		Page 38281
1	preferred rental accommodation.	1	efforts he's made in order to get that document for us. We
2	CHAIRPERSON: No, no the statement goes	2	now have it and we will have to give it an exhibit number.
3	further you see. The statement says they chose not to	3	Presumably it will be YYYY. We'll just call it "Police
4	spend their housing allowance on accommodation provided by	4	lessons," I think.
5	the company. Now if I'd been an employee of the company as	5	Yes, when I, of course I was forgetting a very
6	a rock drill operator, I might have been at Mooi Nooi if	6	important thing. The counsel who is also very much
7	I'd been higher up in the company, if I'd been an employee	7	involved in this is Mr Semenya and actually I think we owe,
8	as a rock drill operator and someone spoke and said look	8	it's necessary for me to thank them both for the efforts
9	here would you like a housing allowance or would you like a	9	they made to get this document for us, which I think should
10	living out allowance. Would you like a living out	10	assist us. So it's YYYY, "Police lessons." Mr Chaskalson.
11	allowance or would you like accommodation provided by the	11	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR CHASKALSON SC (CONTD.):
12	company. I would say well what accommodation have you got	12	Thank you, Chair. Mr Seedat, would it be correct that from
13	for me. And as far as I understand, from the evidence	13	the time that Lonmin started its hostel conversion process,
14	we've heard so far, you wouldn't have had any accommodation	14	first of all it was aware that there was an existing
15	for me. You'd converted the larger hostels which reduced	15	critical shortage of accommodation? Would you - let's take
16	your housing stock quite dramatically, you hadn't built	16	them one by one.
17	houses, we heard that, so if I'd said no, no I would	17	MR SEEDAT: Well, when they submitted the
18	actually like to spend my housing allowance on	18	SLP they had a waiting list of I think 5 000 people who
19	accommodation provided by the company please take me there	19	wanted housing.
20	and show me what you've got. You wouldn't have been able	20	MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, and also at the
21	to show me anything would you?	21	same time Lonmin knew that the hostel conversion problem
22	MR SEEDAT: True.	22	was going to aggravate this problem?
23	CHAIRPERSON: Right, thank you. Mr	23	MR SEEDAT: It was anticipated, if you
24	Chaskalson, is this an appropriate stage for us to take the	24	read the SLP it clearly anticipates that the
25	lunch adjournment?	25	desensification of the hostel means that's people who have
	Page 38280		Page 38282
1	Page 38280 MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair.	1	Page 38282 to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in
1 2	•	1 2	
	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair.		to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the	2	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do
2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will	2 3	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks.
2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until	2 3 4	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the
2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one.	2 3 4 5	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you
2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until	2 3 4 5 6	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go –
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom of hindsight even the anticipation to build 5 000 houses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom of hindsight even the anticipation to build 5 000 houses was probably not the right thing to estimate because you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination continues there are two things I want to say.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom of hindsight even the anticipation to build 5 000 houses was probably not the right thing to estimate because you have to provide a lot more than 5 000 homes because as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination continues there are two things I want to say. The first is that as a result of this splendid lunch that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom of hindsight even the anticipation to build 5 000 houses was probably not the right thing to estimate because you have to provide a lot more than 5 000 homes because as people left the hostels that waiting list should have grown
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination continues there are two things I want to say. The first is that as a result of this splendid lunch that we had we're running half an hour behind. I've spoken to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom of hindsight even the anticipation to build 5 000 houses was probably not the right thing to estimate because you have to provide a lot more than 5 000 homes because as people left the hostels that waiting list should have grown even bigger and bigger. As we see currently it's circa
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination continues there are two things I want to say. The first is that as a result of this splendid lunch that we had we're running half an hour behind. I've spoken to Mr Chaskalson to see whether that means we have to squeeze	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom of hindsight even the anticipation to build 5 000 houses was probably not the right thing to estimate because you have to provide a lot more than 5 000 homes because as people left the hostels that waiting list should have grown even bigger and bigger. As we see currently it's circa around 15 000 people.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination continues there are two things I want to say. The first is that as a result of this splendid lunch that we had we're running half an hour behind. I've spoken to Mr Chaskalson to see whether that means we have to squeeze out the others who are due to cross-examine. He thinks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom of hindsight even the anticipation to build 5 000 houses was probably not the right thing to estimate because you have to provide a lot more than 5 000 homes because as people left the hostels that waiting list should have grown even bigger and bigger. As we see currently it's circa around 15 000 people. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well Mr Seedat, I want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination continues there are two things I want to say. The first is that as a result of this splendid lunch that we had we're running half an hour behind. I've spoken to Mr Chaskalson to see whether that means we have to squeeze out the others who are due to cross-examine. He thinks not. We may have to do some reduction of times, but that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom of hindsight even the anticipation to build 5 000 houses was probably not the right thing to estimate because you have to provide a lot more than 5 000 homes because as people left the hostels that waiting list should have grown even bigger and bigger. As we see currently it's circa around 15 000 people. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well Mr Seedat, I want to put to you that the true situation is slightly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination continues there are two things I want to say. The first is that as a result of this splendid lunch that we had we're running half an hour behind. I've spoken to Mr Chaskalson to see whether that means we have to squeeze out the others who are due to cross-examine. He thinks not. We may have to do some reduction of times, but that we can look at, at teatime to see whether we can handle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom of hindsight even the anticipation to build 5 000 houses was probably not the right thing to estimate because you have to provide a lot more than 5 000 homes because as people left the hostels that waiting list should have grown even bigger and bigger. As we see currently it's circa around 15 000 people. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well Mr Seedat, I want to put to you that the true situation is slightly different, that the SLP expressly anticipated that people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination continues there are two things I want to say. The first is that as a result of this splendid lunch that we had we're running half an hour behind. I've spoken to Mr Chaskalson to see whether that means we have to squeeze out the others who are due to cross-examine. He thinks not. We may have to do some reduction of times, but that we can look at, at teatime to see whether we can handle that. That's the first thing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom of hindsight even the anticipation to build 5 000 houses was probably not the right thing to estimate because you have to provide a lot more than 5 000 homes because as people left the hostels that waiting list should have grown even bigger and bigger. As we see currently it's circa around 15 000 people. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well Mr Seedat, I want to put to you that the true situation is slightly different, that the SLP expressly anticipated that people leaving the hostels would be a problem and that's why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination continues there are two things I want to say. The first is that as a result of this splendid lunch that we had we're running half an hour behind. I've spoken to Mr Chaskalson to see whether that means we have to squeeze out the others who are due to cross-examine. He thinks not. We may have to do some reduction of times, but that we can look at, at teatime to see whether we can handle that. That's the first thing. The second thing is we have finally received, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom of hindsight even the anticipation to build 5 000 houses was probably not the right thing to estimate because you have to provide a lot more than 5 000 homes because as people left the hostels that waiting list should have grown even bigger and bigger. As we see currently it's circa around 15 000 people. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well Mr Seedat, I want to put to you that the true situation is slightly different, that the SLP expressly anticipated that people leaving the hostels would be a problem and that's why houses had to be built for them. I'll take you to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination continues there are two things I want to say. The first is that as a result of this splendid lunch that we had we're running half an hour behind. I've spoken to Mr Chaskalson to see whether that means we have to squeeze out the others who are due to cross-examine. He thinks not. We may have to do some reduction of times, but that we can look at, at teatime to see whether we can handle that. That's the first thing. The second thing is we have finally received, I don't know how many months after we originally asked for it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom of hindsight even the anticipation to build 5 000 houses was probably not the right thing to estimate because you have to provide a lot more than 5 000 homes because as people left the hostels that waiting list should have grown even bigger and bigger. As we see currently it's circa around 15 000 people. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well Mr Seedat, I want to put to you that the true situation is slightly different, that the SLP expressly anticipated that people leaving the hostels would be a problem and that's why houses had to be built for them. I'll take you to the passages.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination continues there are two things I want to say. The first is that as a result of this splendid lunch that we had we're running half an hour behind. I've spoken to Mr Chaskalson to see whether that means we have to squeeze out the others who are due to cross-examine. He thinks not. We may have to do some reduction of times, but that we can look at, at teatime to see whether we can handle that. That's the first thing. The second thing is we have finally received, I don't know how many months after we originally asked for it and it was promised, we finally received from the police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom of hindsight even the anticipation to build 5 000 houses was probably not the right thing to estimate because you have to provide a lot more than 5 000 homes because as people left the hostels that waiting list should have grown even bigger and bigger. As we see currently it's circa around 15 000 people. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well Mr Seedat, I want to put to you that the true situation is slightly different, that the SLP expressly anticipated that people leaving the hostels would be a problem and that's why houses had to be built for them. I'll take you to the passages. MR SEEDAT: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: All right, we'll take the lunch adjournment. We've got to be back, I'm afraid, at half past one which is going to mean that those of us who are going to enjoy the hospitality of the new silk will have to be fairly quick about it. We now adjourn until half past one. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Mr Seedat. MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination continues there are two things I want to say. The first is that as a result of this splendid lunch that we had we're running half an hour behind. I've spoken to Mr Chaskalson to see whether that means we have to squeeze out the others who are due to cross-examine. He thinks not. We may have to do some reduction of times, but that we can look at, at teatime to see whether we can handle that. That's the first thing. The second thing is we have finally received, I don't know how many months after we originally asked for it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to live elsewhere, but when the SLP had been submitted in 2006 it said it's still addressing how they're going to do that in conjunction with the banks. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to those SLPs, because what I want to put to you is that you can't separate the hostel conversion obligation for the house construction obligation. If you read the SLPs they're clearly two sides of the same coin. Let's go – MR SEEDAT: Could I add just a little more to that and in fact you are right and with the wisdom of hindsight even the anticipation to build 5 000 houses was probably not the right thing to estimate because you have to provide a lot more than 5 000 homes because as people left the hostels that waiting list should have grown even bigger and bigger. As we see currently it's circa around 15 000 people. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well Mr Seedat, I want to put to you that the true situation is slightly different, that the SLP expressly anticipated that people leaving the hostels would be a problem and that's why houses had to be built for them. I'll take you to the passages.

	Page 38283		Page 38285
1	MR SEEDAT: Sorry, I've got SSSS4 and S5.	1	MR SEEDAT: Yes.
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: S2 is your bundle,	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the hostel
3	volume 1.	3	conversion programme as we understand it is finally going
4	MR SEEDAT: Volume 1.	4	to be completed at the end of this year, 2014.
5	MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we could have	5	MR SEEDAT: That's correct.
6	SSSS2, pages 79 to 80 up. We don't have a video operator.	6	MR CHASKALSON SC: That's three years
7	I'll continue in the absence of one. At the bottom – oh,	7	behind schedule. Better late than never. Now we know that
8	I'm sorry, Craig. And you'll see that 79 deals with hostel	8	the converted hostels produced some units where the hostels
9	accommodation, then there's a paragraph A dealing with the	9	used to be and there were three show houses that you told
10	Karee accommodation complex, and then the second-last	10	us about in your evidence-in-chief. Now apart from those,
11	paragraph, "To cater for those employees who will no longer	11	how many houses has Lonmin built for its workers in the
12	be accommodated in hostels in the 2006/7 and 2007/8	12	last 10 years?
13	financial years owing to the conversion of 11 hostel units,	13	MR SEEDAT: If you talk the last 10
14	houses to be built at Marikana Extension 2 will be	14	years, I'm not exactly sure when the MSDC houses were
15	available for purchase or rental. In addition, houses will	15	built, but if you exclude those and the houses that were
16	be built on the existing hostel stand which does not need	16	there, some other houses built at the same time as MSDC
17	to go through the township establishment, to provide for	17	houses, I would say from about 2006 onwards, besides the
18	further accommodation." So the hostel conversion is going	18	three, no other houses.
19	to push people out into the housing market. The SLP shows	19	MR CHASKALSON SC: So no other houses?
20	where they're going to be accommodated and that's –	20	None at all?
21	CHAIRPERSON: That provides that the	21	MR SEEDAT: Since 2006, yes.
22	houses will be built to be available for purchase or	22	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's actually since
23	rental. So it wasn't –	23	2005, Mr Seedat. The record of Lonmin in relation to house
24	MR CHASKALSON SC: I am going to get	24	construction is – I can give the references, it's SSSS2,
25	there, Chair.	25	page 1057, you built 1 149 houses, in volume 3, 1 149 in
20		20	
	Page 38284		Page 38286
1	Page 38284 CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there?	1	Page 38286 1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15
1		1 2	•
	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there?		1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15
2	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next	2	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer	2 3	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15	2 3 4	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004,
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to	2 3 4 5	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an	2 3 4 5 6 7	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities." Then if we go through each one of these hostel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood your evidence-in-chief your position is this is not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities." Then if we go through each one of these hostel conversion promises, page 80 you deal with the Wonderkop	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood your evidence-in-chief your position is this is not a breach of the commitments you made in your social labour
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities." Then if we go through each one of these hostel conversion promises, page 80 you deal with the Wonderkop conversion. You'll see exactly the same. Hostels will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood your evidence-in-chief your position is this is not a breach of the commitments you made in your social labour plan because there was no commitment to spend Lonmin money
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities." Then if we go through each one of these hostel conversion promises, page 80 you deal with the Wonderkop conversion. You'll see exactly the same. Hostels will be converted, there will be people who we'll now need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood your evidence-in-chief your position is this is not a breach of the commitments you made in your social labour plan because there was no commitment to spend Lonmin money on housing, only some sort of vague commitment to assist in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities." Then if we go through each one of these hostel conversion promises, page 80 you deal with the Wonderkop conversion. You'll see exactly the same. Hostels will be converted, there will be people who we'll now need to accommodate because of it. This is how we're going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood your evidence-in-chief your position is this is not a breach of the commitments you made in your social labour plan because there was no commitment to spend Lonmin money on housing, only some sort of vague commitment to assist in the market-driven private interaction between employees,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities." Then if we go through each one of these hostel conversion. You'll see exactly the same. Hostels will be converted, there will be people who we'll now need to accommodate because of it. This is how we're going to house them, we're going to build houses. 81, Eastern Plats	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood your evidence-in-chief your position is this is not a breach of the commitments you made in your social labour plan because there was no commitment to spend Lonmin money on housing, only some sort of vague commitment to assist in the market-driven private interaction between employees, banks, and builders. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities." Then if we go through each one of these hostel conversion promises, page 80 you deal with the Wonderkop conversion. You'll see exactly the same. Hostels will be converted, there will be people who we'll now need to accommodate because of it. This is how we're going to house them, we're going to build houses. 81, Eastern Plats conversion, same thing. To cater for those employees	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood your evidence-in-chief your position is this is not a breach of the commitments you made in your social labour plan because there was no commitment to spend Lonmin money on housing, only some sort of vague commitment to assist in the market-driven private interaction between employees, banks, and builders. Is that correct? MR SEEDAT: Well, the SLP as it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities." Then if we go through each one of these hostel conversion. You'll see exactly the same. Hostels will be converted, there will be people who we'll now need to accommodate because of it. This is how we're going to house them, we're going to build houses. 81, Eastern Plats	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood your evidence-in-chief your position is this is not a breach of the commitments you made in your social labour plan because there was no commitment to spend Lonmin money on housing, only some sort of vague commitment to assist in the market-driven private interaction between employees, banks, and builders. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities." Then if we go through each one of these hostel conversion promises, page 80 you deal with the Wonderkop conversion. You'll see exactly the same. Hostels will be converted, there will be people who we'll now need to accommodate because of it. This is how we're going to house them, we're going to build houses. 81, Eastern Plats conversion, same thing. To cater for those employees	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood your evidence-in-chief your position is this is not a breach of the commitments you made in your social labour plan because there was no commitment to spend Lonmin money on housing, only some sort of vague commitment to assist in the market-driven private interaction between employees, banks, and builders. Is that correct? MR SEEDAT: Well, the SLP as it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities." Then if we go through each one of these hostel conversion promises, page 80 you deal with the Wonderkop conversion. You'll see exactly the same. Hostels will be converted, there will be people who we'll now need to accommodate because of it. This is how we're going to house them, we're going to build houses. 81, Eastern Plats conversion, same thing. To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in the hostels in 2006/7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood your evidence-in-chief your position is this is not a breach of the commitments you made in your social labour plan because there was no commitment to spend Lonmin money on housing, only some sort of vague commitment to assist in the market-driven private interaction between employees, banks, and builders. Is that correct? MR SEEDAT: Well, the SLP as it is written, and I'm on that page right now, Lonmin Platinum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities." Then if we go through each one of these hostel conversion promises, page 80 you deal with the Wonderkop conversion. You'll see exactly the same. Hostels will be converted, there will be people who we'll now need to accommodate because of it. This is how we're going to house them, we're going to build houses. 81, Eastern Plats conversion, same thing. To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in the hostels in 2006/7 houses to be built at Marikana Extension 2 will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood your evidence-in-chief your position is this is not a breach of the commitments you made in your social labour plan because there was no commitment to spend Lonmin money on housing, only some sort of vague commitment to assist in the market-driven private interaction between employees, banks, and builders. Is that correct? MR SEEDAT: Well, the SLP as it is written, and I'm on that page right now, Lonmin Platinum adheres to facilitate the building of these houses through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities." Then if we go through each one of these hostel conversion promises, page 80 you deal with the Wonderkop converted, there will be people who we'll now need to accommodate because of it. This is how we're going to house them, we're going to build houses. 81, Eastern Plats conversion, same thing. To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in the hostels in 2006/7 houses to be built at Marikana Extension 2 will be available for purchase or rental by employees.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood your evidence-in-chief your position is this is not a breach of the commitments you made in your social labour plan because there was no commitment to spend Lonmin money on housing, only some sort of vague commitment to assist in the market-driven private interaction between employees, banks, and builders. Is that correct? MR SEEDAT: Well, the SLP as it is written, and I'm on that page right now, Lonmin Platinum adheres to facilitate the building of these houses through entering into partnerships with banking institutions and in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities." Then if we go through each one of these hostel conversion promises, page 80 you deal with the Wonderkop conversion. You'll see exactly the same. Hostels will be converted, there will be people who we'll now need to accommodate because of it. This is how we're going to house them, we're going to build houses. 81, Eastern Plats conversion, same thing. To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in the hostels in 2006/7 houses to be built at Marikana Extension 2 will be available for purchase or rental by employees. So at the time of the submission of the SLPs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood your evidence-in-chief your position is this is not a breach of the commitments you made in your social labour plan because there was no commitment to spend Lonmin money on housing, only some sort of vague commitment to assist in the market-driven private interaction between employees, banks, and builders. Is that correct? MR SEEDAT: Well, the SLP as it is written, and I'm on that page right now, Lonmin Platinum adheres to facilitate the building of these houses through entering into partnerships with banking institutions and in this regard has commenced discussions with Rand Merchant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to get there? MR CHASKALSON SC: And then in the next paragraph, "To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in hostels in subsequent financial years 08/9, 9/10, 10/11, owing to the conversion of a further 15 hostel units, houses and flats will be built in an area to the east of the present hostel which will effectively be an extension of Marikana Extension 3. This area is however still to be approved for township establishment purposes. Provision will be made for sport, recreation and retail facilities." Then if we go through each one of these hostel conversion promises, page 80 you deal with the Wonderkop conversion. You'll see exactly the same. Hostels will be converted, there will be people who we'll now need to accommodate because of it. This is how we're going to house them, we're going to build houses. 81, Eastern Plats conversion, same thing. To cater for those employees who'll no longer be accommodated in the hostels in 2006/7 houses to be built at Marikana Extension 2 will be available for purchase or rental by employees. So at the time of the submission of the SLPs Lonmin realised that its house building programme was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1999 and 2000. That's almost, that's 14 years ago, 14, 15 years ago, and if you were to go to SSSS5, page 244, you'll see that the last time Lonmin built houses was in 2004 because there it describes 369 houses next to Karee Mine and 218 at Wonderkop that were built between 2000 and 2004, bringing the total number of houses that we have built to 1 798. So in the last decade Lonmin has built three show houses but nothing more beyond the hostel conversion, which has aggravated the housing shortage. MR SEEDAT: That's right. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if I understood your evidence-in-chief your position is this is not a breach of the commitments you made in your social labour plan because there was no commitment to spend Lonmin money on housing, only some sort of vague commitment to assist in the market-driven private interaction between employees, banks, and builders. Is that correct? MR SEEDAT: Well, the SLP as it is written, and I'm on that page right now, Lonmin Platinum adheres to facilitate the building of these houses through entering into partnerships with banking institutions and in this regard has commenced discussions with Rand Merchant Bank who are likely to provide the necessary funding, and

1         MR CHASKALSON SC:         Well, left me take you         1         process.           2         to some of them. Let's go to page 79 of SSSS2 where you         3         MR SEEDAT:         Yes, ja.           3         deal, we start with the hostel conversion process because         4         We page, and we see that -           4         two pages, and we see that -         5         CHARPERSON:         Thm sorry, but it will also           6         MR SEEDAT:         Yes, operation         Some of the particle blocks. So the money it spent in the           7         MR SEEDAT:         Yes, operation         Some of the number of nostel blocks that will be converted uring           9         the particle books that will be converted uring         9         sset, namely the converted hostels. So the money it spent in the           11         required to give effect to such conversion is as follows."         1         MR SEEDAT:         That right?           14         MR SEEDAT:         No, Sr, if you tak of the         11         assets would remain with Lonmin, yes.           15         capital budget in deensit mean it's Lonmin's money. It's a         16         70 for hostels. "A more detailed breakdown of the number of hostels.           16         budget for a project.         NR SEEDAT:         No, the point I'm making is           16         the				
2         to some of them. Let's go to page 79 of SSS2 where you         2         WR SEEDAT: Yes, ja.           3         dadal, we start with the host conversion process because         3         MR CHASKALSON SC: We go to page 77, up           4         WR SEEDAT: Yes, correct.         5         that correct?         5         CHAIRPERSON: Im sony, but It will also           6         MR SEEDAT: Yes, correct.         5         CHAIRPERSON: Im sony, but It will also           7         MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we look at page         7         WR CHASKALSON SC: And if we look at page           10         the number of hostel blocks that will be conversion it will recover part of it through rental. Some         8         of It won't be recovered, but It will have it phi?           11         required to give effect to such conversion is so follows."         1         1         1           12         Then there are numbers and thecrs a capital blodget. To so Sir, if you tak of the         1         1         1           13         mullion. Now that's toommin money. Sirt If?         1         1         1         1           14         budget for a project.         NR SEEDAT: No. Sir, if you tak of the         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1	1	Page 38287	1	Page 38289
3         deal, we start with the hostel conversion process because we know that Lemin put its own money into the hostels. Is that correct?         3         MR CHASKALSON SC: We go to page 77, up           4         two pages, and we see that -         5         CHAIRPERSON. I'm sorry, but it will also 6           7         MR SEEDAT: Yes, correct.         7         Sealing age which says this, "Process going forward; 9         6         retain the converted bots?. So the money it spent in the 7           8         70 we see anguage which says this, "Process going forward; 9         1         Wen the recover pat of it through rental. Some 8         oft wont be recovered, but it will have in its place an 9           1         required to give effect to such conversion is as follows: "         1         asset, namely the converted hostels. So the money it spent in the 7           1         required to give effect to such conversion is as follows: "         1         asset swould remain with Lommin, yes.           1         MR SEEDAT: No, Sir, if you talk of the 7         1         the conveston process, "A more detailed breakdown of the number of 7           1         MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but how did this 7         1         1         the conveston process, "A more detailed breakdown at that 7           2         MR CHASKALSON SC: Weil, if that's so,         1         not yet available. This breakdown will only be available once, a more detailed breakdown at that 7           3		-		
4         we know that Lommin put its own money into the hostels. Is         5         that carreat?           5         that carreat?         CHAIRPERSON: If works, so the money it spont in the           7         We see language which says this, "Process going forward:         6         required to give effect to sch conversion is as follows."           8         79 we see language which says this, "Process going forward:         6         off wort to ercovered, but it will have in its pace an           9         the number of hostie blocks that will be converted during         osset, namely the converted hosties. Isn't that right?           10         the period from 2006 to 2011 and the capital budget 11         ms SEEDAT:         Now that Lommin, yes.           12         Then there are numbers and there's a capital budget 14         ms SEEDAT:         Now Site 14           13         the corresponding table for housing, the format's         identical. The wording is foldentical. You're got a capital           14         budget and then underneath it says, just as it said on page         70 for hostels, The wording is foldentical. You're got a capital           15         budget and then underneath it says, just as it said on page         70 for hostels, The wording is foldentical work work is that in the banking           16         the conversion. Foldentical some point Imm making is         11 makes projects.           16         the conversion is sout				-
5         that correct?         5         CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, but it will also           6         MR SEEDAT: Yes, correct.         7         MR CHASKALSON SC: And If we look at page           8         79 we see language which says this, "Process going forward:         9         of Ii worrt be recovered, but It will have in Its place an           9         the number of hostel blocks that will be converted budget, 145.9.         1           10         the provide from 2006 to 2011 and the capital budget, 145.9.         1           11         required to give effect to such conversion is as follows.*         1           12         Then there are numbers and there's a capital budget, 145.9.         1           13         million. Now that's Lonmin's own money, it's at be budget for a project.         1         14         MR SEEDAT: No, Sir, I' you talk of the lostel conversion           14         MR SEEDAT: No, Kir, I' you talk of the bostel conversion         1         1         1         1           15         budget for a project.         1				
6         MR SEEDAT:         Yes, correct.         6         retain the converted hostels. So the money it spent in the conversion it will recover part of it through rental. Some           7         Wes elanguage which says this. "Process going forward:         8         of it wort be recovered to built will have in its place an asset, namely the converted hostels. Isn't that right?           10         the period from 2006 to 2011 and the capital budget, 145.9         11         asset, namely the converted hostels. Isn't that right?           11         required to give effect to such conversion is a follows:         12         MR CHASKALSON SC: And then if we look at           12         Then there are numbers and there's a capital budget, 145.9         13         million. Now that's Lormin's om money. It's a           13         million. Now that's Lormin's own money. Isn't if'         14         identical. The wording is lidentical. You've got a capital           14         budget for a project.         79 for hostels, 'A more detailed breakdown will only be available           19         process?         10         once the relevant banking institution has been approached           20         MR CHASKALSON SC:         Well, if that's so.           21         this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing           22         the conversions. Clearly at some point Lormin detailed breakdown at that           23         institution istito				
7       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And if we look at page         8       79 we see language which says this, "Process going forwards"         9       of it wort be recovered, but it will have in its place an         9       assets amoly the convected but it, with have in its place an         9       asset, amoly the convected but it, with have in its place an         9       asset, amoly the convected but it, with have in its place an         9       million. Now that's Lonnin's own money, isn'i it?         14       MR SEEDAT:       No, Sir, if you tark of the         15       capital budget, if oasn't mean it's Lonnin's morey. It's a       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And the if we look at         16       budget for a project.       16       budget for a project.       16         18       happen? Who borrowed the money for the hostel conversion       16       17       houses to be built, for example on a six-monthy basis, is         19       process?       10       once the relevant banking institution has been approached         20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       Well, if that's so,       22         21       this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing       21       10         22       MR CHASKALSON SC:       Well, if that's so,       22         23       financial institution itself or the				
8       79 we see language which says this, "Process going forward;       9       if it won't be recovered, but it will have in its place an         9       the number of hostel blocks that will be converted during       9       asset, namely the converted notesite. Isn't that right?         10       the pariod from 2006 to 2011 and the capital budget       11       assets would remain with Lommin, yes.         12       Then there are numbers and there's a capital budget in the seconsponding table for housing, the formats       11         14       MR SEEDAT:       No, Sir, if you talk of the       13       the corresponding table for housing, the formats         15       capital budget if doesn't mean it's Lommins momey. It's a       16       brodget or a project.       16       the wore detailed breakdown of the number of         16       process?       WR SEEDAT:       No, the point I'm making is       16       nore the relevant banking institution has been approached         20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       Well, or the second orging       1       institution is are not coming to the party and thon         21       this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing       1       institution is are not coming to the party and thon         22       marchaskaLSON SC:       Well, that's so,       Page 32280       1       on a six-monthy basis, is not yet available.         23       i			_	
9         the number of hostel blocks that will be converted during         9         asset, namely the converted hostels. Isn't that right?           10         the period from 2006 to 2011 and the capital budget         MR SEEDAT:         MR SEEDAT:         MR SEEDAT:         MR SEEDAT:         No. Sir, if you talk of the           13         million. Now that's Lonnin's own money, Isn't I?         MR CHASKALSON SC:         And then if we look at           14         MR SEEDAT:         No. Sir, if you talk of the         14         identical. The wording is dentical. You've got a capital           15         budget for a project.         The hostel broads with the number of         79 for hostels, "A more detailed breakdown of the number of           16         process?         NR CHASKALSON SC:         Yes, but how did this           18         happen? Who borrowed the money for the hostel conversion.         18         not yet available.           10         MR SEEDAT:         No, the point I'm making is         19         once the relevant banking institution bas been approached           20         MR SEEDAT:         No, the point I'm making is         11         institution will agrees a more detailed         12           21         institution safe not coming to the party and then         23         isset out, and then as tage." If we go back to 79, down to 79, you'll see           23         <				
10       the period from 2006 to 2011 and the capital budget       10       MR SEEDAT: That's right, Chairman, the         11       required to give effect to such conversion is as follows."       11         13       million. Now that's Lonmin's own money, isn't if?         14       MR SEEDAT: No, Sir, if you talk of the         15       capital budget it doesn't mean it's Lonmin's money. It's a         16       budget for a project.         17       MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but how did this         18       happen? Who borrowed the money for the hostel conversion         19       process?         20       MR SEEDAT: No, the point I'm making is         21       this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing         22       the conversions. Clearly at some point Lommin decided that         23       financial institutions are not coming to the party and the         24       MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, if that's so,         11       assize for the hostel conversion, "A more detailed breakdown at that         24       institution set for the hostel conversion, ark more detailed breakdown at that same sentence is there, a more detailed breakdown at the capital budget required to give effect to sout.         25       MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, if that's so,         26       MR CHASKALSON SC: And If Lommin ort         3       on a				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11       required to give effect to such conversion is as follows."       11       assets would remain with Lonmin, yes.         12       Then there are numbers and there's a capital budget, 145.9         13       million. Now that's Lonmin's own money, isn't it?         14       MR SEEDAT:       No, Sir, if you talk of the         15       capital budget if doesn't mean it's Lonmin's money. It's a       identical. The wording is identical. You've got a capital         16       budget for a project.       79 for hostels, "A more detailed breakdown of the number of         17       MR CHASKALSON SC:       Yes, but how did this         18       happen? Who borrowed the money for the hostel conversion       79 for hostels, "A more detailed breakdown will only be available         19       process?       11       institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown at that         21       this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing       21       institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown at that         22       the conversions. Clearly at some point Lommin decided that       23       MR CHASKALSON SC:       Well, if that's so.         23       MR SEEDAT:       You can't say that Lommin or       24       were taking abut hostel; conversion. "A more detailed         24       wilch would include any facilities they already had with       35       convert these hostels.			10	
12       Then there are numbers and there's a capital budget, 145.9       13       MR CHASKALSON SC: And then if we look at         13       MR SEEDAT: No, Sir, if you talk of the       13       the corresponding table for housing, the format's         14       MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but how did this       14       the corresponding table for housing, the format's         16       budget for a project.       17       MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but how did this       16         18       happen? Who borrowed the money for the hostel conversion       16       budget and then underneath it says, just as it said on page         20       MR SEEDAT: No, the point 1m making is       16       to rowet an or detailed breakdown at that         21       this budget and then outgere on a more detailed breakdown at that       13       stage.' If we go back to 79, down to 79, youll see         20       MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, if that's so,       20       on a six-monthly basis, is not yet available. This         21       institution itself for the hostel conversion process?       3       on a six-monthly basis, is not yet available. This         23       MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, if that's so,       20       on a six-monthly basis, is not yet available. This         24       institution isself or the hostel conversion process?       3       on a six-monthly basis, is not yet available. This         3       insti	11		11	-
14       MR SEEDAT:       No, Sir, if you talk of the         15       capital budget if doesn't mean it's Lonmin's money.       11's and         16       budget for a project.       16       budget and then underneath it says, just as it said on page         17       MR CHASKALSON SC:       Yes, but how did this       16       16       houses to be built, for example on a six-monthy basis, is         19       process?       0       MR SEEDAT:       No, the point I'm making is         20       MR SEEDAT:       No, the point I'm making is       11's institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown at that         21       this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing       11's institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown at that same sentence is there, a more detailed - now         22       two money into these projects.       21       institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown at that same sentence is there, a more detailed - now         23       did Lonmin not borrow the money from a financial       1       on a six-monthly basis, is not yet available. This         2       institution itself for the hostel conversion process?       1       on a six-monthly basis, is not yet available. This         3       MR SEEDAT:       You can't say that Lonmin or       1       1         4       WPL or EPL went to a bank and said give me this money to saitware and maxing	12		12	-
14       MR SEEDAT:       No, Sir, if you talk of the         15       capital budget if doesn't mean it's Lonmin's money.       11's and         16       budget for a project.       16       budget and then underneath it says, just as it said on page         17       MR CHASKALSON SC:       Yes, but how did this       16       16       houses to be built, for example on a six-monthy basis, is         19       process?       0       MR SEEDAT:       No, the point I'm making is         20       MR SEEDAT:       No, the point I'm making is       11's institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown at that         21       this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing       11's institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown at that same sentence is there, a more detailed - now         22       two money into these projects.       21       institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown at that same sentence is there, a more detailed - now         23       did Lonmin not borrow the money from a financial       1       on a six-monthly basis, is not yet available. This         2       institution itself for the hostel conversion process?       1       on a six-monthly basis, is not yet available. This         3       MR SEEDAT:       You can't say that Lonmin or       1       1         4       WPL or EPL went to a bank and said give me this money to saitware and maxing	13	million. Now that's Lonmin's own money, isn't it?	13	the corresponding table for housing, the format's
16       budget for a project.       79 for hostels, "A more detailed breakdown of the number of houses to be built, for example on a six-monthy basis, is not yet available. This breakdown at that stage." If we go back to 79, down to 79, you'll see         20       MR SEEDAT:       No, the point I'm making is         21       this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing         22       the conversions. Clearly at some point Lonmin decided that         23       financial institutions are not coming to the party and the         24       put its own money into these projects.         25       MR CHASKALSON SC:       Well, If that's so,         26       MR SEEDAT:       You can't say that Lommin or         3       MR SEEDAT:       You can't say that Lommin or         4       which would include and said give me this money to       sage 38288         7       exactly that same sentence is there, a more detailed reakdown at that stage."         3       MR SEEDAT:       You can't say that Lommin or         4       which would include and said give me this money to       sage 38288         7       more detailed breakdown at that stage."       sonversion, "the capital budget required to give effect to such         8       awilable to them, which would include retail earnings and       financial institution such sage."         9       MR CHASKALSON SC:       <	14	MR SEEDAT: No, Sir, if you talk of the	14	identical. The wording is identical. You've got a capital
17       MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but how did this         18       happen? Who borrowed the money for the hostel conversion         19       process?         20       MR SEEDAT: No, the point I'm making is         21       this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing         22       the conversions. Clearly at some point Lonmin decided that         23       financial institutions are not coming to the party and then         24       put its own money into these projects.         25       MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, if that's so,         26       MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, if that's so,         27       Page 38286         1       did Lonmin not borrow the money from a financial         2       institution liself for the hostel conversion process?         3       MR SEEDAT: You can't say that Lonmin or         4       WPL or EPL went to a bank and said give me this money to         5       convert these hostels. They would have used funds         6       CHAIRPERSON: In each case the key phrase         7       is "the capital budget required to give effect to such         8       conversion, "the capital budget required to give effect to such         9       MR CHASKALSON SC: And if Lonmin was to         9       MR CHASKALSON SC: And if Lonmin was to	15	capital budget it doesn't mean it's Lonmin's money. It's a	15	budget and then underneath it says, just as it said on page
18       happen? Who borrowed the money for the hostel conversion       18       not yet available. This breakdown will only be available         20       MR SEEDAT:       No, the point I'm making is         21       this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing       21         21       the conversions. Clearly at some point Lonmin decided that       23         25       MR CHASKALSON SC:       Well, if that's so,         26       Page 38288       Page 38288         27       did Lonmin not borrow the money from a financial       1         26       MR SEEDAT:       You can't say that Lonmin or         27       MR SEEDAT:       You can't say that Lonmin or         28       Charles for the hostel conversion process?       1         3       MR SEEDAT:       You can't say that Lonmin or         3       MR SEEDAT:       You can't say that Lonmin or         4       WPL or EPL went to a bank and said give me this money to       5         5       convert these hostels. They would have used funds       5         6       CHAIRPERSON:       In each case the key phrase         7       which would include any facilities that it could access to convert the       1         1       hostels, it would recoup that. It wasmt going to sell any       6       CHAIRP	16	budget for a project.	16	79 for hostels, "A more detailed breakdown of the number of
19       process?         20       MR SEEDAT:       No, the point I'm making is         21       this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing         22       the conversions. Clearly at some point Lonmin decided that         23       financial institutions are not coming to the party and then         24       this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing         25       MR CHASKALSON SC:         26       WR CHASKALSON SC:         27       mistitution will agree on a more detailed breakdown at that         28       exactly that same sentence is there, a more detailed         29       mc CHASKALSON SC:       Well, if that's so,         29       mastitution will agree on a more detailed breakdown at that stage."         29       mc CHASKALSON SC:       Well, if that's so,         29       mastitution static agree on a more detailed breakdown at that stage."         20       institution static agree on a more detailed breakdown at that stage."         20       more detailed breakdown of the number of houses to be built, for example         20       more detailed breakdown at that stage."         3       more detailed breakdown at that stage."         4       funding.       WPL or EPL went to a bank and said give me this money to conversion, "the capital budget required to give effect to such <td>17</td> <td>MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but how did this</td> <td>17</td> <td>houses to be built, for example on a six-monthly basis, is</td>	17	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but how did this	17	houses to be built, for example on a six-monthly basis, is
20MR SEEDAT:No, the point I'm making is 2120to provide the necessary funding. WPL and the banking 2121this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing 22the conversions. Clearly at some point Lommin decided that 23financial institutions are not coming to the party and the 24stage." I fw go back to 79, down to 79, you'll see 2323institutions are not coming to the party and the put its own money into these projects.23exactly that some sentence is there, a more detailed - now 2425MR CHASKALSON SC:Well, if that's so,25Page 382881did Lonmin not borrow the money from a financial institution itself for the hostel conversion process?1on a six-monthly basis, is not yet available. This 53MR SEEDAT:You can't say that Lonmin or 41on a six-monthly basis, is not yet available. This 54WPL or EPL went to a bank and said give me this money to 5convert these hostels. They would have used funds 616available to them, which would include retail earnings and 7which would include any facilities they already had with 867which would recoup that. It wasn't going to sell any 2010required to give effect to 910use its facilities that it could access to convert the 1hostels, it would recoup that. It wasn't going to sell any 2110use its facilities that it could access to convert the 1nostels, it would recoup that. It wasn't going to sell any 2211of these converted hostel units to anybody, was it? It was 3	18	happen? Who borrowed the money for the hostel conversion	18	not yet available. This breakdown will only be available
21       this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing       21       institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown at that         22       the conversions. Clearly at some point Lonmin decided that       22       stage." If we go back to 79, down to 79, you'll see         23       financial institutions are not coming to the party and then       24       exactly that same sentence is there, a more detailed – now         24       put its own money into these projects.       23       exactly that same sentence is there, a more detailed – now         25       MR CHASKALSON SC:       Well, if that's so,       25       page 38288         1       on a borrow the money from a financial       1       on a six-monthly basis, is not yet available. This         2       institution itself for the hostel conversion process?       3       MR SEEDAT:       You can't say that Lonmin or         3       MR SEEDAT:       You can't say that Lonmin or       5       convert these hostels. They would have used funds         4       which would include retail earnings and       5       more detailed breakdown at that stage."       6         7       WhC AGSKALSON SC:       And if Lonmin was to       6       6       conversion," the capital budget required to give effect to such       8         6       use its facilities that it could access to convert the       is set out, and then as	19	process?	19	once the relevant banking institution has been approached
<ul> <li>the conversions. Clearly at some point Lonmin decided that</li> <li>financial institutions are not coming to the party and then</li> <li>put its own money into these projects.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, if that's so,</li> <li>mR CHASKALSON SC: Well, if that's so,</li> <li>Page 38288</li> <li>did Lonmin not borrow the money from a financial</li> <li>institution itself for the hostel conversion process?</li> <li>MR SEEDAT: You can't say that Lonmin or</li> <li>convert these hostels. They would have used funds</li> <li>available to them, which would include retail earnings and</li> <li>which would include any facilities they already had with</li> <li>banking institutions both locally and abroad.</li> <li>MR SEEDAT: Yes, but if you look at the</li> <li>the sectour ted hostel units to anybody, was it? It was</li> <li>going to recoup it through rentals to people.</li> <li>MR SEEDAT: Yes, but if you look at the</li> <li>rentals that are currently being paid for these units,</li> <li>mR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, whatever it's</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, whatever it's</li> <li>going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not</li> </ul>	20	MR SEEDAT: No, the point I'm making is	20	to provide the necessary funding. WPL and the banking
<ul> <li>23 financial institutions are not coming to the party and then 24 put its own money into these projects.</li> <li>25 MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, if that's so,</li> <li>29 exactly that same sentence is there, a more detailed – now 24 we're talking about hostel conversion. "A more detailed 25 breakdown of the number of houses to be built, for example 23 breakdown of the number of houses to be built, for example 23 marked we're talking about hostel conversion. "A more detailed 25 breakdown of the number of houses to be built, for example 24 we're talking about hostel conversion. "A more detailed 25 breakdown of the number of houses to be built, for example 24 more detailed conversion." A more detailed 25 breakdown of the number of houses to be built, for example 25 marked 26 breakdown of the number of houses to be built, for example 25 marked 26 breakdown of the number of houses to be built, for example 26 marked 27 more detailed conversion. "A more detailed 25 breakdown of the number of houses to be built, for example 26 marked 27 more detailed breakdown will only be available once the relevant banking institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown at that stage."</li> <li>23 MR CHASKALSON SC: And if Lommin was to 30 marked 28 more detailed breakdown at that stage."</li> <li>24 MR SEEDAT: Yes, but if you look at the 16 house converted hostel units to anybody, was it? It was 17 going to recoup it through rentals to people.</li> <li>14 MR SEEDAT: Yes, but if you look at the 15 rentals that are currently being paid for these units, 4 which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember 17 correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that trental 16 comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.</li> <li>19 MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, whatever it's 20 going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not</li> <li>20 the hostel stat's correct because the hostels were an an ore detailed breakdown." Isn't that right?</li> <li>20 the section proces is thouse to beap to be approved in the hostels converted host</li></ul>	21	this budget was prepared on, in terms of the cost of doing	21	institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown at that
24put its own money into these projects.24we're talking about hostel conversion. "A more detailed25MR CHASKALSON SC:Well, if that's so,Page 382881did Lonmin not borrow the money from a financialinstitution itself for the hostel conversion process?12institution itself for the hostel conversion process?1on a six-monthly basis, is not yet available. This3MR SEEDAT:You can't say that Lonmin or3institution has been approved to provide the necessary4WPL or EPL went to a bank and said give me this money to5convert these hostels. They would have used funds56available to them, which would include arg facilities they already had with8banking institutions both locally and abroad.69MR CHASKALSON SC:And if Lonmin was to10use its facilities that it could access to convert the10is set capital budget required to give effect to such10use its facilities that it could access to convert the10ore required to give effect to914MR SEEDAT:Yes, but if you look at the12relevant banking institution has got to be approved, "13going to recoup it through rentals to people.14MR SEEDAT:Yes, but if you look at the15rentals that are currently being paid for these units,14approached, to be approved, has been - it should be16which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember16must be to WPL because the necessary funding,"16which I, the document I think mentions R	22		22	
25MR CHASKALSON SC:Well, if that's so,25breakdown of the number of houses to be built, for examplePage 382881did Lonmin not borrow the money from a financial2institution itself for the hostel conversion process?3MR SEEDAT:You can't say that Lonmin or4WPL or EPL went to a bank and said give me this money to5convert these hostels. They would have used funds6available to them, which would include retail earnings and7which would include any facilities they already had with8banking institutions both locally and abroad.9MR CHASKALSON SC:9MR CHASKALSON SC:10use its facilities that it could access to convert the11hostels, it would recoup that. It wasn't going to sell any12of these converted hostel units to anybody, was it? It was13going to recoup it through rentals to people.14MR SEEDAT:15rentals that are currently being paid for these units,16which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember17correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental18comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.19MR CHASKALSON SC:19MR CHASKALSON SC:19MR CHASKALSON SC:10welt Alka Chaskalson SC:19MR CHASKALSON SC:19MR CHASKALSON SC:19MR CHASKALSON SC:19MR CHASKALSON SC:19MR SEEDAT: <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></t<>				-
Page 38288Page 382881did Lonmin not borrow the money from a financialinstitution itself for the hostel conversion process?3MR SEEDAT:You can't say that Lonmin or4WPL or EPL went to a bank and said give me this money toinstitution has been approved to provide the necessary5convert these hostels. They would have used fundsinstitution has been approved to provide the necessary6available to them, which would include retail earnings andmore detailed breakdown at that stage."7which would include any facilities they already had withmore detailed breakdown at that stage."8banking institutions both locally and abroad.is "the capital budget required to give effect to such9MR CHASKALSON SC:And if Lonmin was to10use its facilities that it could access to convert theis set out, and then as Mr Chaskalson points out, "the11hostels, it would recoup that. It wasn't going to sell anyis set out, and then as Mr Chaskalson points out, "the12of these converted hostel units to anybody, was it? It wasapproached, to be approved, mas been – it should be14MR SEEDAT:Yes, but if you look at theapproached, to be necessary funding,"15rentals that are currently being paid for these units,must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next16which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remembermust be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next17correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rentalmust be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next18 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-
1did Lonmin not borrow the money from a financial1on a six-monthly basis, is not yet available. This2institution itself for the hostel conversion process?2breakdown will only be available once the relevant banking3MR SEEDAT:You can't say that Lonmin or3institution has been approved to provide the necessary4WPL or EPL went to a bank and said give me this money to5convert these hostels. They would have used funds56available to them, which would include retail earnings and6CHAIRPERSON:In each case the key phrase7which would include any facilities they already had with6CHAIRPERSON:In each case the key phrase8banking institutions both locally and abroad.7is "the capital budget required to give effect to such9MR CHASKALSON SC:And if Lonmin was to9the hostel conversion is set out and the capital budget10use its facilities that it could access to convert the10is set out, and then as Mr Chaskalson points out, "the12of these converted hostel units to anybody, was it? It was12relevant banking institution has got to be approved, "13going to recoup it through rentals to people.13approached I think - "to provide the necessary funding,"15rentals that are currently being paid for these units,15and then not the necessary funding to outsiders, surely it16which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember16must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next17correctly, and you do	25	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, if that's so,	25	breakdown of the number of houses to be built, for example
1did Lonmin not borrow the money from a financial1on a six-monthly basis, is not yet available. This2institution itself for the hostel conversion process?2breakdown will only be available once the relevant banking3MR SEEDAT:You can't say that Lonmin or3institution has been approved to provide the necessary4WPL or EPL went to a bank and said give me this money to5convert these hostels. They would have used funds56available to them, which would include retail earnings and6CHAIRPERSON:In each case the key phrase7which would include any facilities they already had with8conversion," the capital budget required to give effect to such8banking institutions both locally and abroad.9the hostel conversion is set out and the capital budget9MR CHASKALSON SC:And if Lonmin was to9the hostel conversion is set out and the capital budget10use its facilities that it could access to convert the10relevant banking institution has got to be approved,"13going to recoup it through rentals to people.13approached t bink - "to provide the necessary funding,"15rentals that are currently being paid for these units,15and then not the necessary funding to outsiders, surely it16which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember16must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next17correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental17sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree18com		Page 38288		Page 38290
3MR SEEDAT:You can't say that Lonmin or3institution has been approved to provide the necessary4WPL or EPL went to a bank and said give me this money to5convert these hostels. They would have used funds5more detailed breakdown at that stage."6available to them, which would include retail earnings and7which would include any facilities they already had with5more detailed breakdown at that stage."7which would include any facilities they already had with8conversion," the capital budget required to give effect to such8banking institutions both locally and abroad.9the hostel conversion is set out and the capital budget9MR CHASKALSON SC:And if Lonmin was to9the hostel conversion is set out and the capital budget10use its facilities that it could access to convert the10required to give effect to the house construction programme11hostels, it would recoup that. It wasn't going to sell any11is set out, and then as Mr Chaskalson points out, "the12of these converted hostel units to anybody, was it? It was12relevant banking institution has got to be approved,"13going to recoup it through rentals to people.13approached, to be approved, has been – it should be14MR SEEDAT:Yes, but if you look at the14approached I think – "to provide the necessary funding,"15rentals that are currently being paid for these units,15and then not the necessary funding to outsiders, surely it16which I, the document I think mentions R2	1		1	-
4WPL or EPL went to a bank and said give me this money to 5 convert these hostels. They would have used funds4funding. WPL and the banking institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown at that stage."6available to them, which would include retail earnings and 7 which would include any facilities they already had with 8 banking institutions both locally and abroad.5more detailed breakdown at that stage."7Which would include any facilities they already had with 8 banking institutions both locally and abroad.7is "the capital budget required to give effect to such 8 conversion," the capital budget required to give effect to9MR CHASKALSON SC:And if Lonmin was to 10 use its facilities that it could access to convert the 11 hostels, it would recoup that. It wasn't going to sell any 12 of these converted hostel units to anybody, was it? It was 13 going to recoup it through rentals to people.10is set out, and then as Mr Chaskalson points out, "the 12 relevant banking institution has got to be approved," 13 approached, to be approved, has been – it should be 1414MR SEEDAT:Yes, but if you look at the 17 correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental 18 comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.15and then not the necessary funding to outsiders, surely it must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown." Isn't that right?19MR CHASKALSON SC:No, no, whatever it's 20 going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not20the hostels that's correct because the hostels were an	2	institution itself for the hostel conversion process?	2	breakdown will only be available once the relevant banking
5convert these hostels. They would have used funds5more detailed breakdown at that stage."6available to them, which would include retail earnings and7MR chaskalson soth locally and abroad.77which would include any facilities they already had with8conversion." the capital budget required to give effect to such8banking institutions both locally and abroad.8conversion is set out and the capital budget9MR CHASKALSON SC:And if Lonmin was to9the hostel conversion is set out and the capital budget10use its facilities that it could access to convert the10required to give effect to the house construction programme11hostels, it would recoup that. It wasn't going to sell any11is set out, and then as Mr Chaskalson points out, "the12of these converted hostel units to anybody, was it? It was13approached, to be approved, mas been - it should be14MR SEEDAT:Yes, but if you look at the14approached I think - "to provide the necessary funding,"15rentals that are currently being paid for these units,16must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next16which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember17sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree18comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.18on a more detailed breakdown." Isn't that right?19MR CHASKALSON SC:No, no, whatever it's19MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, I think for20going to recoup, it's going to get from rental.<	3	MR SEEDAT: You can't say that Lonmin or	3	institution has been approved to provide the necessary
<ul> <li>available to them, which would include retail earnings and</li> <li>which would include any facilities they already had with</li> <li>banking institutions both locally and abroad.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: And if Lonmin was to</li> <li>use its facilities that it could access to convert the</li> <li>hostels, it would recoup that. It wasn't going to sell any</li> <li>going to recoup it through rentals to people.</li> <li>MR SEEDAT: Yes, but if you look at the</li> <li>mitch are currently being paid for these units,</li> <li>which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember</li> <li>correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental</li> <li>correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental</li> <li>correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental</li> <li>correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental</li> <li>correctly, it's going to get from rental. I'm not</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, whatever it's</li> <li>going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not</li> </ul>	4	WPL or EPL went to a bank and said give me this money to	4	funding. WPL and the banking institution will agree on a
<ul> <li>7 which would include any facilities they already had with</li> <li>8 banking institutions both locally and abroad.</li> <li>9 MR CHASKALSON SC: And if Lommin was to</li> <li>10 use its facilities that it could access to convert the</li> <li>11 hostels, it would recoup that. It wasn't going to sell any</li> <li>12 of these converted hostel units to anybody, was it? It was</li> <li>13 going to recoup it through rentals to people.</li> <li>14 MR SEEDAT: Yes, but if you look at the</li> <li>15 rentals that are currently being paid for these units,</li> <li>16 which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember</li> <li>17 correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental</li> <li>18 comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.</li> <li>19 MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, whatever it's</li> <li>20 going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not</li> <li>7 is "the capital budget required to give effect to such</li> <li>8 conversion," the capital budget required to give effect to use the hostel onversion is set out and the capital budget</li> <li>10 required to give effect to the house construction programme</li> <li>11 is set out, and then as Mr Chaskalson points out, "the</li> <li>12 relevant banking institution has got to be approved,"</li> <li>13 approached, to be approved, has been – it should be</li> <li>14 must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next</li> <li>17 sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree</li> <li>18 on a more detailed breakdown." Isn't that right?</li> <li>19 MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, whatever it's</li> <li>20 going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not</li> <li>20 the hostels that's correct because the hostels were an</li> </ul>	5	convert these hostels. They would have used funds	5	more detailed breakdown at that stage."
<ul> <li>8 banking institutions both locally and abroad.</li> <li>9 MR CHASKALSON SC: And if Lonmin was to</li> <li>10 use its facilities that it could access to convert the</li> <li>11 hostels, it would recoup that. It wasn't going to sell any</li> <li>12 of these converted hostel units to anybody, was it? It was</li> <li>13 going to recoup it through rentals to people.</li> <li>14 MR SEEDAT: Yes, but if you look at the</li> <li>15 rentals that are currently being paid for these units,</li> <li>16 which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember</li> <li>17 correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental</li> <li>18 comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.</li> <li>19 MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, whatever it's</li> <li>20 going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not</li> <li>8 conversion," the capital budget required to give effect to</li> <li>9 the hostel conversion is set out and the capital budget</li> <li>10 required to give effect to the house construction programme</li> <li>11 is set out, and then as Mr Chaskalson points out, "the</li> <li>12 relevant banking institution has got to be approved,"</li> <li>13 approached, to be approved, has been – it should be</li> <li>14 approached I think – "to provide the necessary funding,"</li> <li>15 and then not the necessary funding to outsiders, surely it</li> <li>16 must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next</li> <li>17 sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree</li> <li>18 on a more detailed breakdown." Isn't that right?</li> <li>19 MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I think for</li> <li>20 going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not</li> </ul>	6	available to them, which would include retail earnings and	6	CHAIRPERSON: In each case the key phrase
9MR CHASKALSON SC:And if Lonmin was to9the hostel conversion is set out and the capital budget10use its facilities that it could access to convert the10required to give effect to the house construction programme11hostels, it would recoup that. It wasn't going to sell any11is set out, and then as Mr Chaskalson points out, "the12of these converted hostel units to anybody, was it? It was12relevant banking institution has got to be approved,"13going to recoup it through rentals to people.13approached, to be approved, has been – it should be14MR SEEDAT:Yes, but if you look at the14approached I think – "to provide the necessary funding,"15rentals that are currently being paid for these units,15and then not the necessary funding to outsiders, surely it16which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember16must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next17correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental17sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree18comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.19MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, I think for20going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not20the hostels that's correct because the hostels were an	7	which would include any facilities they already had with	7	is "the capital budget required to give effect to such
10use its facilities that it could access to convert the hostels, it would recoup that. It wasn't going to sell any of these converted hostel units to anybody, was it? It was going to recoup it through rentals to people.10required to give effect to the house construction programme is set out, and then as Mr Chaskalson points out, "the 1214MR SEEDAT: rentals that are currently being paid for these units, which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.10required to give effect to the house construction programme is set out, and then as Mr Chaskalson points out, "the approached, to be approved, has been – it should be approached I think – "to provide the necessary funding," and then not the necessary funding to outsiders, surely it must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown." Isn't that right?19MR CHASKALSON SC: going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not10required to give effect to the house construction programme is set out, and then as Mr Chaskalson points out, "the approached, to be approved, mas been – it should be approached I think – "to provide the necessary funding," and then not the necessary funding to outsiders, surely it must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown." Isn't that right?20going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not20MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I think for 20	8	banking institutions both locally and abroad.	8	conversion," the capital budget required to give effect to
<ul> <li>11 hostels, it would recoup that. It wasn't going to sell any</li> <li>12 of these converted hostel units to anybody, was it? It was</li> <li>13 going to recoup it through rentals to people.</li> <li>14 MR SEEDAT: Yes, but if you look at the</li> <li>15 rentals that are currently being paid for these units,</li> <li>16 which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember</li> <li>17 correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental</li> <li>18 comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.</li> <li>19 MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, whatever it's</li> <li>20 going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not</li> <li>11 is set out, and then as Mr Chaskalson points out, "the</li> <li>12 relevant banking institution has got to be approved,"</li> <li>13 approached, to be approved, has been – it should be</li> <li>14 approached I think – "to provide the necessary funding,"</li> <li>15 and then not the necessary funding to outsiders, surely it</li> <li>16 must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next</li> <li>17 sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree</li> <li>18 on a more detailed breakdown." Isn't that right?</li> <li>19 MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I think for</li> <li>20 going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not</li> </ul>	9	MR CHASKALSON SC: And if Lonmin was to	9	the hostel conversion is set out and the capital budget
12of these converted hostel units to anybody, was it? It was going to recoup it through rentals to people.12relevant banking institution has got to be approved," approached, to be approved, has been – it should be14MR SEEDAT:Yes, but if you look at the rentals that are currently being paid for these units, which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.12relevant banking institution has got to be approved," approached I think – "to provide the necessary funding," and then not the necessary funding to outsiders, surely it must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree on a more detailed breakdown." Isn't that right?19MR CHASKALSON SC:No, no, whatever it's 2019MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, I think for 2020going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not20the hostels that's correct because the hostels were an	10		10	required to give effect to the house construction programme
13going to recoup it through rentals to people.13approached, to be approved, has been – it should be14MR SEEDAT:Yes, but if you look at the14approached I think – "to provide the necessary funding,"15rentals that are currently being paid for these units,15and then not the necessary funding to outsiders, surely it16which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember16must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next17correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental17sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree18comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.18on a more detailed breakdown." Isn't that right?19MR CHASKALSON SC:No, no, whatever it's19MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, I think for20going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not20the hostels that's correct because the hostels were an				-
14MR SEEDAT:Yes, but if you look at the14approached I think – "to provide the necessary funding,"15rentals that are currently being paid for these units,14approached I think – "to provide the necessary funding,"16which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember15and then not the necessary funding to outsiders, surely it17correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental16must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next18comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.17sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree19MR CHASKALSON SC:No, no, whatever it's19MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, I think for20going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not20the hostels that's correct because the hostels were an				
15rentals that are currently being paid for these units,15and then not the necessary funding to outsiders, surely it16which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember16must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next17correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental16must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next18comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.17sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree19MR CHASKALSON SC:No, no, whatever it's19MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, I think for20going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not20the hostels that's correct because the hostels were an				
16which I, the document I think mentions R250 if I remember correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.16must be to WPL because the next paragraph, the next sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree18comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.18on a more detailed breakdown." Isn't that right?19MR CHASKALSON SC:No, no, whatever it's going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not19MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, I think for 2020the hostels that's correct because the hostels were an		-		
17correctly, and you do the sums you'll find that that rental comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.17sentence says, "WPL and the banking institution will agree18comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.18on a more detailed breakdown." Isn't that right?19MR CHASKALSON SC:No, no, whatever it's19MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, I think for20going to recoup, it's going to get from rental.I'm not20the hostels that's correct because the hostels were an				
18comes nowhere near to reimbursing the costs.18on a more detailed breakdown." Isn't that right?19MR CHASKALSON SC:No, no, whatever it's19MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, I think for20going to recoup, it's going to get from rental.I'm not20the hostels that's correct because the hostels were an				
19MR CHASKALSON SC:No, no, whatever it's19MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, I think for20going to recoup, it's going to get from rental.I'm not20the hostels that's correct because the hostels were an				
20 going to recoup, it's going to get from rental. I'm not 20 the hostels that's correct because the hostels were an				
	20	suggesting it's going to recoup the full amount. It's	20	asset owned by Lonmin and if you're going to go to, you
22 actually – 22 want to raise funds against that asset you have to be the	10			
23 MR SEEDAT: That will – 23 owner because you've got to make the commitment. So I		h II printing of the land		
24 MR CHASKALSON SC: It actually has sunk a 24 think that statement is absolutely valid when it comes to	N 1			
25 fair amount of its own money into this hostel conversion 25 the hostels, but for the housing I would argue that it's	2			-
ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE				

Page 382911not as simple as that because the intention was that1MR CHASKALSON SC:So there's n2somebody's going to buy these houses, some are going to be2of a developer anywhere in these SLPs, but you3rented out. We could identify who's going to be the3developer, the owner of the rented accommodation. So I4MR SEEDAT:Yes, because we app5would argue that as far as the housing units were concerned6it wasn't as simple as is the case with the hostel units.6similar banks again in 2011 and it had to be wit7CHAIRPERSON:Why was the phrase "the7MR CHASKALSON SC:Yes, but that8capital budget required to give effect thereto," that's to9about what those original obligations were.910MR SEEDAT:Well, the –10MR SEEDAT:Well, you know, why11CHAIRPERSON:If it wasn't going to be11involve a banking institution and you talk of the	think that a cture? roached
<ul> <li>2 somebody's going to buy these houses, some are going to be</li> <li>3 rented out. We could identify who's going to be the</li> <li>4 developer, the owner of the rented accommodation. So I</li> <li>5 would argue that as far as the housing units were concerned</li> <li>6 it wasn't as simple as is the case with the hostel units.</li> <li>7 CHAIRPERSON: Why was the phrase "the</li> <li>8 capital budget required to give effect thereto," that's to</li> <li>9 the building of the houses, why was that phrase used?</li> <li>10 MR SEEDAT: Well, the –</li> <li>2 of a developer anywhere in these SLPs, but you developer was going to sort of come into the pixel developer. Well, the –</li> <li>2 of a developer anywhere in these SLPs, but you developer was going to sort of come into the pixel developer. Well, the –</li> <li>2 of a developer anywhere in these SLPs, but you developer was going to sort of come into the pixel developer. Yes, because we appresent the similar banks again in 2011 and it had to be with assisting from a developing perspective.</li> <li>7 CHAIRPERSON: Why was the phrase "the</li> <li>8 you'd repudiated the obligations in the SLP. I'm about what those original obligations were.</li> <li>10 MR SEEDAT: Well, the –</li> <li>10 MR SEEDAT: Well, you know, why</li> </ul>	think that a cture? roached
<ul> <li>3 rented out. We could identify who's going to be the</li> <li>4 developer, the owner of the rented accommodation. So I</li> <li>5 would argue that as far as the housing units were concerned</li> <li>6 it wasn't as simple as is the case with the hostel units.</li> <li>7 CHAIRPERSON: Why was the phrase "the</li> <li>8 capital budget required to give effect thereto," that's to</li> <li>9 the building of the houses, why was that phrase used?</li> <li>10 MR SEEDAT: Well, the –</li> <li>3 developer was going to sort of come into the picture of the common sector of the come into the picture of the common sector of the common sec</li></ul>	cture? roached
<ul> <li>4 developer, the owner of the rented accommodation. So I</li> <li>5 would argue that as far as the housing units were concerned</li> <li>6 it wasn't as simple as is the case with the hostel units.</li> <li>7 CHAIRPERSON: Why was the phrase "the</li> <li>8 capital budget required to give effect thereto," that's to</li> <li>9 the building of the houses, why was that phrase used?</li> <li>10 MR SEEDAT: Well, the –</li> <li>4 MR SEEDAT: Yes, because we app</li> <li>5 similar banks again in 2011 and it had to be wit</li> <li>6 assisting from a developing perspective.</li> <li>7 CHAIRPERSON: Why was the phrase "the</li> <li>8 capital budget required to give effect thereto," that's to</li> <li>9 about what those original obligations were.</li> <li>10 MR SEEDAT: Well, the –</li> <li>10 MR SEEDAT: Well, the –</li> </ul>	roached
<ul> <li>5 would argue that as far as the housing units were concerned</li> <li>6 it wasn't as simple as is the case with the hostel units.</li> <li>7 CHAIRPERSON: Why was the phrase "the</li> <li>8 capital budget required to give effect thereto," that's to</li> <li>9 the building of the houses, why was that phrase used?</li> <li>10 MR SEEDAT: Well, the -</li> <li>5 similar banks again in 2011 and it had to be with</li> <li>6 assisting from a developing perspective.</li> <li>7 MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but that</li> <li>8 you'd repudiated the obligations in the SLP. I'm</li> <li>9 about what those original obligations were.</li> <li>10 MR SEEDAT: Well, the -</li> </ul>	
6it wasn't as simple as is the case with the hostel units.6assisting from a developing perspective.7CHAIRPERSON:Why was the phrase "the7MR CHASKALSON SC:Yes, but that8capital budget required to give effect thereto," that's to9you'd repudiated the obligations in the SLP. I'm9the building of the houses, why was that phrase used?9about what those original obligations were.10MR SEEDAT:Well, the –10MR SEEDAT:Well, you know, why	in somebody
7CHAIRPERSON:Why was the phrase "the s capital budget required to give effect thereto," that's to 97MR CHASKALSON SC:Yes, but that Yes, but that's to 99the building of the houses, why was that phrase used? 107MR SEEDAT:9about what those original obligations were.10MR SEEDAT:Well, the -10MR SEEDAT:Well, you know, why	
8capital budget required to give effect thereto," that's to8you'd repudiated the obligations in the SLP. I'm9the building of the houses, why was that phrase used?9about what those original obligations were.10MR SEEDAT:Well, the -10MR SEEDAT:Well, you know, why	it's after
9the building of the houses, why was that phrase used?9about what those original obligations were.10MR SEEDAT:Well, the –10MR SEEDAT:Well, you know, why	
10     MR SEEDAT:     Well, the –       10     MR SEEDAT:     Well, you know, why	tunking
	would you
11 CHAIRPERSON: If it wasn't going to be 11 involve a banking institution and you talk of the	-
12 Lonmin's money, it wasn't going to be any amount that 12 alternatives? What other way could you anticip	
13 Lonmin has had to borrow or anything of that, or use from 13 being done?	
14 its retained funds, then why would it talk about a capital 14 MR CHASKALSON SC: If Lonmin ta	ikes the
15 budget required? 15 loan.	
16 MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, whenever you 16 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Seedat, can I a	ask vou a
17 talk of capital projects that's a common speak you use. 17 question about that? You go to the department	-
18       Irrespective of who's going to fund it, the project capital       18       look here, we'd like you to convert our old order	• •
19 required for this project is the following. Now the 19 rights to new order mining rights and what we vert	-
20 capital budget for this project is the following. It's 20 order to make sure that we get the new order n	nining rights
21 common speak when you're looking at capital projects to use 21 is we will agree to an SLP. One of the things yo	ou agree to
22 that kind of terminology. 22 do is to convert the hostels and see to it that th	ere's
23 MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask a follow-up 23 housing. Now could it ever have been envisage	d by anybody
24 question? There are a range of tenure options that have 24 that you could say well, all we have to do as far	as the
25 been contemplated in this SLP. If you go to page 77 again 25 housing is concerned is try to see if there are ho	ouses, get
Page 38292 1 under housing, top of the page, "Lonmin Platinum intends to 1 banks involved, get developers involved, facilitat	Page 38294 e it. and
2 facilitate the building of these houses through entering 2 if they don't provide the houses or the banks wa	
3 into partnerships with banking institutions and in this 3 from it, well tough, it's very unfortunate, we did	5
4 regard has commenced discussions with Rand Merchant Bank, 4 best, we went through the motions of facilitating	
5 who are likely to provide the necessary funding. Employees 5 didn't work out, there aren't the houses, the per	ple are
6 will have the choice of a number of tenure options, i.e. 6 having to live in shacks in appalling conditions in	ı an
7 rental, instalment sale, rent-to-buy or full mortgage 7 informal settlement, but that's very sad, but nev	ertheless,
8 bonds, and will therefore be able to select the option most 8 this was all we had to do in order to get the new	/ order
	n that
9 suited to their financial circumstances." So the employee 9 mining rights. Does that sound like a proposition	i liidl
9suited to their financial circumstances." So the employee9mining rights. Does that sound like a proposition10who comes to you and says I'd like to go rental, or better10makes sense?	
10who comes to you and says I'd like to go rental, or better10makes sense?11still, I'd like to go rent-to-buy, how is this process11MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, let's12going to take place if all that Lonmin is doing is12to what was happening in 2006 and 2007. These	go back se were the
10who comes to you and says I'd like to go rental, or better10makes sense?11still, I'd like to go rent-to-buy, how is this process11MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, let's12going to take place if all that Lonmin is doing is12to what was happening in 2006 and 2007. These13mediating a relationship between that employee and Rand13heady days of the commodities boom. Mining commodities boom.	go back we were the companies, the
10who comes to you and says I'd like to go rental, or better10makes sense?11still, I'd like to go rent-to-buy, how is this process11MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, let's12going to take place if all that Lonmin is doing is12to what was happening in 2006 and 2007. Thes13mediating a relationship between that employee and Rand13heady days of the commodities boom. Mining commodities boom. Mining commodities were rising, platinum price in this case for14Merchant Bank?14prices were rising, platinum price in this case for	go back were the companies, the cexample
10who comes to you and says I'd like to go rental, or better10makes sense?11still, I'd like to go rent-to-buy, how is this process11MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, let's12going to take place if all that Lonmin is doing is12to what was happening in 2006 and 2007. Thes13mediating a relationship between that employee and Rand13heady days of the commodities boom. Mining commodities boom. Min	go back te were the companies, the cexample conly
10who comes to you and says I'd like to go rental, or better10makes sense?11still, I'd like to go rent-to-buy, how is this process10makes sense?12going to take place if all that Lonmin is doing is11MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, let's13mediating a relationship between that employee and Rand13heady days of the commodities boom. Mining commodities boom. Mining commodities boom. Mining commodities boom. Mining commodities was hovering around \$2 000 a fine ounce. Not complete this was drawn, but I'll give you my view, because we tried16	go back te were the ompanies, the example only t was just
10who comes to you and says I'd like to go rental, or better10makes sense?11still, I'd like to go rent-to-buy, how is this process11MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, let's is12going to take place if all that Lonmin is doing is12to what was happening in 2006 and 2007. These13mediating a relationship between that employee and Rand13heady days of the commodities boom. Mining commodities boom.	go back be were the companies, the r example conly c was just ened in
10who comes to you and says I'd like to go rental, or better10makes sense?11still, I'd like to go rent-to-buy, how is this process10makes sense?12going to take place if all that Lonmin is doing is11MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, let's13mediating a relationship between that employee and Rand12to what was happening in 2006 and 2007. Thes14Merchant Bank?13heady days of the commodities boom. Mining commodities boom. Min	go back te were the companies, the r example conly t was just ened in ficant
10who comes to you and says I'd like to go rental, or better10makes sense?11still, I'd like to go rent-to-buy, how is this process10makes sense?12going to take place if all that Lonmin is doing is11MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, let's13mediating a relationship between that employee and Rand13heady days of the commodities boom. Mining comparison14Merchant Bank?14prices were rising, platinum price in this case for15MR SEEDAT:Well, I wasn't there when1516this was drawn, but I'll give you my view, because we tried1617to do something similar again in 2010/2011. Banks do1718provide different ways of financing these kinds of options1819and the intention was Lonmin would at arm's length be the1910confidence in the ability of mining companies to	go back te were the ompanies, the example only t was just ened in ficant generate
10who comes to you and says I'd like to go rental, or better11still, I'd like to go rent-to-buy, how is this process12going to take place if all that Lonmin is doing is13mediating a relationship between that employee and Rand14Merchant Bank?15MR SEEDAT:16this was drawn, but I'll give you my view, because we tried17to do something similar again in 2010/2011.18provide different ways of financing these kinds of options19and the intention was Lonmin would at arm's length be the20facilitator of the agreement between the bank and its	go back te were the companies, the r example conly t was just ened in ficant generate of
10who comes to you and says I'd like to go rental, or better10makes sense?11still, I'd like to go rent-to-buy, how is this process10makes sense?12going to take place if all that Lonmin is doing is12to what was happening in 2006 and 2007. Thes13mediating a relationship between that employee and Rand14Merchant Bank?1215MR SEEDAT:Well, I wasn't there when15was hovering around \$2 000 a fine ounce. Not of16this was drawn, but I'll give you my view, because we tried16platinum, iron ore prices had almost doubled. It17to do something similar again in 2010/2011. Banks do17before, or two years before the crash that happe19and the intention was Lonmin would at arm's length be the2008, but during this period the banks had signit20facilitator of the agreement between the bank and its20cash. So as I say, I wasn't there and there's a b21developing arm, developer arm, and the particular21speculation of what is intended in this, when this	go back te were the companies, the r example conly t was just ened in ficant generate of s was
10who comes to you and says I'd like to go rental, or better11still, I'd like to go rent-to-buy, how is this process12going to take place if all that Lonmin is doing is13mediating a relationship between that employee and Rand14Merchant Bank?15MR SEEDAT:16this was drawn, but I'll give you my view, because we tried16this was drawn, but I'll give you my view, because we tried17to do something similar again in 2010/2011.18provide different ways of financing these kinds of options19and the intention was Lonmin would at arm's length be the20facilitator of the agreement between the bank and its21developing arm, developer arm, and the particular22individual, whether it's someone who's buying or a	go back be were the companies, the r example conly t was just ened in ficant generate oit of s was trong
10who comes to you and says I'd like to go rental, or better10makes sense?11still, I'd like to go rent-to-buy, how is this process10makes sense?12going to take place if all that Lonmin is doing is11MR SEEDAT:Well, Chairman, let's13mediating a relationship between that employee and Rand13heady days of the commodities boom. Mining comparise to to what was happening in 2006 and 2007. These14Merchant Bank?14prices were rising, platinum price in this case for15MR SEEDAT:Well, I wasn't there when15was hovering around \$2 000 a fine ounce. Not of16this was drawn, but I'll give you my view, because we tried16platinum, iron ore prices had almost doubled. It17to do something similar again in 2010/2011. Banks do182008, but during this period the banks had signif19and the intention was Lonmin would at arm's length be the19confidence in the ability of mining companies to20developing arm, developer arm, and the particular21speculation of what is intended in this, when this21individual, whether it's someone who's buying or a23developer who says I'll take X number of units and I'll23developer who says I'll take X number of units and I'll23balance sheet at the time had a relationship with	go back te were the companies, the r example conly t was just ened in ficant generate bit of s was trong n someone
10who comes to you and says I'd like to go rental, or better11still, I'd like to go rent-to-buy, how is this process12going to take place if all that Lonmin is doing is13mediating a relationship between that employee and Rand14Merchant Bank?15MR SEEDAT:16this was drawn, but I'll give you my view, because we tried16this was drawn, but I'll give you my view, because we tried17to do something similar again in 2010/2011.18provide different ways of financing these kinds of options19and the intention was Lonmin would at arm's length be the20facilitator of the agreement between the bank and its21developing arm, developer arm, and the particular22individual, whether it's someone who's buying or a	go back be were the companies, the r example conly c was just ened in ficant generate bit of s was trong n someone ut up these

1	Page 38295	1	Page 38297
1	would you help finance this and come up with a financing model. In turn Lonmin will ensure that whether there is	1	everything stops. So even taking your argument, at some point RMB said –
3	rental or the bond repayments are done through the books.	3	CHAIRPERSON: I haven't got an argument,
4	[14:16] So that the bank is guaranteed every month that	4	I'm just putting a prima facie view to you so we get the
5	the employee will pay for his rent or will pay for his bond	5	benefit of your inputs.
6	and in that way create certainty. So I'm guessing but I	6	MR SEEDAT: Taking that possible position
7	guess over that period there was a fair amount of	7	into account, at some point RMB, even if Lonmin that was
8	confidence around that finances could be raised, this	8	the institution who was going to take the loans from RMB,
9	project will happen. I mean I'll share a similar example	9	at some point RMB pulled the plug, my words. Now I can
10	with you. This is the time when Lonmin bought Akanani for	10	only assume that Lonmin was going through this exercise,
11	what in today's money terms is probably around R5 billion,	11	doing the survey of the employees, getting the relevant
12	Lonmin bought in June 2006, bought Messina Platinum for, I	12	information, distilling that into what kind of houses are
13	think it's 150-odd million dollars so let's say R1.5	13	going to be built, where they were going to be built and
14	billion, in January 2007 it invested over \$400 million in	14	around, if I remember correctly, August 2007 I think an e-
15	Akanani so – and banks were prepared to come on board with	15	mail, a memo was sent to the EXCO. I've just got to get my
16	financing, etcetera. So the climate then was very	16	notes to confirm the timeline but a note was sent to the
17	different to the climate we are, since then.	17	EXCO that we're going to start building. If I may just
18	CHAIRPERSON: No, but that argument	18	check this? Sorry, August 2008 – no, ja, November 2007 the
19	actually goes against you, I would have thought. I'm just	19	needs analysis started. By August 2008 a presentation to
20	putting it to get your views. You see it indicates a	20	build 100 starter homes was given to the unions, so I guess
21	confidence on the part of the mining company to say we can	21	between November 2007 and August 2008 all of this work was
22	take on these obligations, everything's fine, the mineral	22	being done to distil the information, come up with a plan,
23	market is booming, the banks are happy, falling over	23	present it to the unions, get their feedback and then start
24	themselves to give us money, we're quite happy to undertake	24	with the building and then this is August 2008. And then
25	these obligations because of this climate of confidence and	25	in October 2008 the stock market crashed, so perhaps your
	Page 38296		Page 38298
			-
1	boom and so forth. So that argument I would have thought	1	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by
2	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in	2	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed
2 3	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that	2 3	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the
2 3 4	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they	2 3 4	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing.
2 3 4 5	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions	2 3 4 5	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of
2 3 4 5 6	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume	2 3 4 5 6	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due
2 3 4 5 6 7	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming	2 3 4 5 6 7	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real obligations, they were just facilitation obligations. Does	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when Lonmin accounted on the plans.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real obligations, they were just facilitation obligations. Does that make commercial sense to you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when Lonmin accounted on the plans. MR SEEDAT: What was the year for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real obligations, they were just facilitation obligations. Does that make commercial sense to you? MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I mean if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when Lonmin accounted on the plans. MR SEEDAT: What was the year for the closeout report?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real obligations, they were just facilitation obligations. Does that make commercial sense to you? MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I mean if you follow that logic through, these SLPs were written in 2006.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when Lonmin accounted on the plans. MR SEEDAT: What was the year for the closeout report? MR CHASKALSON SC: 2013.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real obligations, they were just facilitation obligations. Does that make commercial sense to you? MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I mean if you follow that logic through, these SLPs were written in 2006. They were finalised in April 2007. The survey of employees	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when Lonmin accounted on the plans. MR SEEDAT: What was the year for the closeout report? MR CHASKALSON SC: 2013. MR SEEDAT: No, I'd left Lonmin as an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real obligations, they were just facilitation obligations. Does that make commercial sense to you? MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I mean if you follow that logic through, these SLPs were written in 2006. They were finalised in April 2007. The survey of employees I think was done around November 2007. So clearly Lonmin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when Lonmin accounted on the plans. MR SEEDAT: What was the year for the closeout report? MR CHASKALSON SC: 2013. MR SEEDAT: No, I'd left Lonmin as an executive at the end of 2010. So I would not –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real obligations, they were just facilitation obligations. Does that make commercial sense to you? MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I mean if you follow that logic through, these SLPs were written in 2006. They were finalised in April 2007. The survey of employees I think was done around November 2007. So clearly Lonmin had started the process, even after the new order mining	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when Lonmin accounted on the plans. MR SEEDAT: What was the year for the closeout report? MR CHASKALSON SC: 2013. MR SEEDAT: No, I'd left Lonmin as an executive at the end of 2010. So I would not – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Western
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real obligations, they were just facilitation obligations. Does that make commercial sense to you? MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I mean if you follow that logic through, these SLPs were written in 2006. They were finalised in April 2007. The survey of employees I think was done around November 2007. So clearly Lonmin had started the process, even after the new order mining rights were granted and let's kick this process of. What	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when Lonmin accounted on the plans. MR SEEDAT: What was the year for the closeout report? MR CHASKALSON SC: 2013. MR SEEDAT: No, I'd left Lonmin as an executive at the end of 2010. So I would not –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real obligations, they were just facilitation obligations. Does that make commercial sense to you? MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I mean if you follow that logic through, these SLPs were written in 2006. They were finalised in April 2007. The survey of employees I think was done around November 2007. So clearly Lonmin had started the process, even after the new order mining	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when Lonmin accounted on the plans. MR SEEDAT: What was the year for the closeout report? MR CHASKALSON SC: 2013. MR SEEDAT: No, I'd left Lonmin as an executive at the end of 2010. So I would not – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Western Platinum, you were a director of Western Platinum when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real obligations, they were just facilitation obligations. Does that make commercial sense to you? MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I mean if you follow that logic through, these SLPs were written in 2006. They were finalised in April 2007. The survey of employees I think was done around November 2007. So clearly Lonmin had started the process, even after the new order mining rights were granted and let's kick this process of. What we don't know and I can't find any institutional memory to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when Lonmin accounted on the plans. MR SEEDAT: What was the year for the closeout report? MR CHASKALSON SC: 2013. MR SEEDAT: No, I'd left Lonmin as an executive at the end of 2010. So I would not – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Western Platinum, you were a director of Western Platinum when Western Platinum –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real obligations, they were just facilitation obligations. Does that make commercial sense to you? MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I mean if you follow that logic through, these SLPs were written in 2006. They were finalised in April 2007. The survey of employees I think was done around November 2007. So clearly Lonmin had started the process, even after the new order mining rights were granted and let's kick this process of. What we don't know and I can't find any institutional memory to tell me that, is what happened with RMB? Why did those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when Lonmin accounted on the plans. MR SEEDAT: What was the year for the closeout report? MR CHASKALSON SC: 2013. MR SEEDAT: No, I'd left Lonmin as an executive at the end of 2010. So I would not – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Western Platinum, you were a director of Western Platinum when Western Platinum – MR SEEDAT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real obligations, they were just facilitation obligations. Does that make commercial sense to you? MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I mean if you follow that logic through, these SLPs were written in 2006. They were finalised in April 2007. The survey of employees I think was done around November 2007. So clearly Lonmin had started the process, even after the new order mining rights were granted and let's kick this process of. What we don't know and I can't find any institutional memory to tell me that, is what happened with RMB? Why did those negotiations suddenly stop? You know, it's like things	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when Lonmin accounted on the plans. MR SEEDAT: What was the year for the closeout report? MR CHASKALSON SC: 2013. MR SEEDAT: No, I'd left Lonmin as an executive at the end of 2010. So I would not – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Western Platinum, you were a director of Western Platinum when Western Platinum – MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's not Lonmin's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real obligations, they were just facilitation obligations. Does that make commercial sense to you? MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I mean if you follow that logic through, these SLPs were written in 2006. They were finalised in April 2007. The survey of employees I think was done around November 2007. So clearly Lonmin had started the process, even after the new order mining rights were granted and let's kick this process of. What we don't know and I can't find any institutional memory to tell me that, is what happened with RMB? Why did those negotiations suddenly stop? You know, it's like things were going wonderfully and suddenly come 2007, late 2007,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when Lonmin accounted on the plans. MR SEEDAT: What was the year for the closeout report? MR CHASKALSON SC: 2013. MR SEEDAT: No, I'd left Lonmin as an executive at the end of 2010. So I would not – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Western Platinum, you were a director of Western Platinum when Western Platinum – MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's not Lonmin's plan, it's Western Platinum's plan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	prima facie, unless there's something I'm overlooking, in fact supports what Mr Chaskalson is putting to you, that Lonmin was quite happy to assume these obligations and they were real obligations, not just going through the motions trying to facilitate obligations, quite happy to assume them. They got substantial assets in return for assuming these obligations and now they're trying to say, no, we've got the rights but we're walking away as far as the obligation is concerned because they weren't real obligations, they were just facilitation obligations. Does that make commercial sense to you? MR SEEDAT: Well, Chairman, I mean if you follow that logic through, these SLPs were written in 2006. They were finalised in April 2007. The survey of employees I think was done around November 2007. So clearly Lonmin had started the process, even after the new order mining rights were granted and let's kick this process of. What we don't know and I can't find any institutional memory to tell me that, is what happened with RMB? Why did those negotiations suddenly stop? You know, it's like things were going wonderfully and suddenly come 2007, late 2007, 2008, in fact even late 2007 Lonmin was investing in these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	scenario, the scenario that you have coloured that by October 2008 the markets crashed and the banks closed doors. So either way we ended with a situation where the banks were not prepared to give financing. MR CHASKALSON SC: I'll get to a lot of what you've been putting in response to the Chair in due course but the real question is, what was the obligation? You say you weren't there when these plans were written so you're not sure what they had in mind but you were certainly there when the closeout report was filed and when Lonmin accounted on the plans. MR SEEDAT: What was the year for the closeout report? MR CHASKALSON SC: 2013. MR SEEDAT: No, I'd left Lonmin as an executive at the end of 2010. So I would not – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Western Platinum, you were a director of Western Platinum when Western Platinum – MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's not Lonmin's plan, it's Western Platinum's plan. MR SEEDAT: I understand that. I was a

1	Page 38299	1	Page 38301
1	report. That would not be submitted to the board, to the	1	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now you say you don't
2	WPL board for ratification.	2	think there was any financial commitment. So what wasn't
3	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, then we can't	3	achieved?
4	rely on your personal knowledge which is unfortunate	4	MR SEEDAT: I don't know. I didn't write
5	because Lonmin chose you to be the person to speak to these	5	this report, so I'm not sure what is intended by saying
6	phase 2 issues and we'll just have to look at what Western	6	financial commitment achieved.
7	Platinum put in their closeout report themselves and we'll	7	MR CHASKALSON SC: Maybe I can help you
8	<ul> <li>to reflect how they understood the obligation. And that</li> </ul>	8	because if we go to the right, SLP commitment says 665
9	is in volume 3, page 1059 is where we'll start. So that's	9	million. Now that was the capital budget for the houses
10	SSSS2, 1059 for the overhead. 1059, not 1095, ja 1059.	10	but are you saying that this wasn't a Lonmin commitment?
11	Stop. Housing and living conditions, paragraph 3.2. Let's	11	MR SEEDAT: Well, as I said you know, we
12	look at the last sentence of that first paragraph. "As	12	can, I can only go by what I've read in the SLP and the SLP
13	such, the original commitments set out in financial year	13	talks about discussing this or getting financing from the
14	2006 were to convert 114 hostel blocks to family single	14	financial institutions, so that creates a doubt in my mind
15	units and to build 5 500 houses by 2011 at an estimated	15	whether Lonmin intended putting these houses on its books
16	cost of 810 million." Now the 810 is the 145 million for	16	and balancing it with a loan from the banks or it was
17	hostel conversions, 665 for house construction, that	17	intended, because employees were going to buy these houses,
18	original capital budget. No distinction between the two	18	that it is intended that -
19	and the commitment as understood is to build 5 500 house at	19	MR CHASKALSON SC: I don't want to
20	an estimated cost of what would be 665 million. But you	20	interrupt you, Mr Seedat, but let's maybe look at
21	say you want us to read into the report that the commitment	21	everything and then you can reconsider your position
22	did not extend to Lonmin's assumption of that cost in any	22	possibly. Next to SLP commitment 665 million is actual
23	way, is that correct?	23	spend financial year '07 to financial year '11, 138
24	MR SEEDAT: Ja, well, I'm going on face	24	million. Would that have been a spend from someone other
25	value of what the SLP says and the SLP says clearly that	25	than Lonmin?
	Page 38300		Page 38302
1	Page 38300 it's talking to the banking institutions to provide	1	Page 38302 MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth
1 2	-	1 2	-
	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide		MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth
2	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't	2	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions.
2 3	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to	2	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be
2 3 4	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here?	2 3 4	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are
2 3 4 5	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No.	2 3 4 5	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that
2 3 4 5 6	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some	2 3 4 5 6	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you
2 3 4 5 6 7	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages –	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little confused because if it did not build any houses, where was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance. MR SEEDAT: Yes, that's a matter of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little confused because if it did not build any houses, where was the R138 million spent?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance. MR SEEDAT: Yes, that's a matter of interpretation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little confused because if it did not build any houses, where was the R138 million spent? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's one of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance. MR SEEDAT: Yes, that's a matter of interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: Am I right? Am I right? MR SEEDAT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little confused because if it did not build any houses, where was the R138 million spent? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's one of the questions I'm going to ask you in due course but let's go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance. MR SEEDAT: Yes, that's a matter of interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: Am I right? Am I right? MR SEEDAT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little confused because if it did not build any houses, where was the R138 million spent? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's one of the questions I'm going to ask you in due course but let's go through it again. Financial year, next column says, "Per
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance. MR SEEDAT: Yes, that's a matter of interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: Am I right? Am I right? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to 1057 which ought really to put this beyond doubt. Can we go to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little confused because if it did not build any houses, where was the R138 million spent? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's one of the questions I'm going to ask you in due course but let's go through it again. Financial year, next column says, "Per cent financial spend achieved against SLP target."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance. MR SEEDAT: Yes, that's a matter of interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: Am I right? Am I right? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to 1057 which ought really to put this beyond doubt. Can we go to 1057? There's a table 25 there, can you flip it? Now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little confused because if it did not build any houses, where was the R138 million spent? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's one of the questions I'm going to ask you in due course but let's go through it again. Financial year, next column says, "Per cent financial spend achieved against SLP target." CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] – it's not on the screen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance. MR SEEDAT: Yes, that's a matter of interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: Am I right? Am I right? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to 1057 which ought really to put this beyond doubt. Can we go to 1057? There's a table 25 there, can you flip it? Now unfortunately in black and white we can't see what we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little confused because if it did not build any houses, where was the R138 million spent? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's one of the questions I'm going to ask you in due course but let's go through it again. Financial year, next column says, "Per cent financial spend achieved against SLP target." CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] – it's not on the screen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance. MR SEEDAT: Yes, that's a matter of interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: Am I right? Am I right? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to 1057 which ought really to put this beyond doubt. Can we go to 1057? There's a table 25 there, can you flip it? Now unfortunately in black and white we can't see what we can see in colour, so I'll have to tell you what we can see in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little confused because if it did not build any houses, where was the R138 million spent? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's one of the questions I'm going to ask you in due course but let's go through it again. Financial year, next column says, "Per cent financial spend achieved against SLP target." CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] – it's not on the screen. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is, bottom left-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance. MR SEEDAT: Yes, that's a matter of interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: Am I right? Am I right? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to 1057 which ought really to put this beyond doubt. Can we go to 1057? There's a table 25 there, can you flip it? Now unfortunately in black and white we can't see what we can see in colour, so I'll have to tell you what we can see in colour is next to that block that says "financial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little confused because if it did not build any houses, where was the R138 million spent? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's one of the questions I'm going to ask you in due course but let's go through it again. Financial year, next column says, "Per cent financial spend achieved against SLP target." CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] – it's not on the screen. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is, bottom left- hand corner. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: In the block.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance. MR SEEDAT: Yes, that's a matter of interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: Am I right? Am I right? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to 1057 which ought really to put this beyond doubt. Can we go to 1057? There's a table 25 there, can you flip it? Now unfortunately in black and white we can't see what we can see in colour, so I'll have to tell you what we can see in colour is next to that block that says "financial commitment achieved financial year '07 to financial year	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little confused because if it did not build any houses, where was the R138 million spent? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's one of the questions I'm going to ask you in due course but let's go through it again. Financial year, next column says, "Per cent financial spend achieved against SLP target." CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] - it's not on the screen. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is, bottom left- hand corner. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: In the block. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, in the block? I see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance. MR SEEDAT: Yes, that's a matter of interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: Am I right? Am I right? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to 1057 which ought really to put this beyond doubt. Can we go to 1057? There's a table 25 there, can you flip it? Now unfortunately in black and white we can't see what we can see in colour, so I'll have to tell you what we can see in colour is next to that block that says "financial commitment achieved financial year '07 to financial year '11" is in bold and capitals, "NO."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little confused because if it did not build any houses, where was the R138 million spent? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's one of the questions I'm going to ask you in due course but let's go through it again. Financial year, next column says, "Per cent financial spend achieved against SLP target." CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] - it's not on the screen. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is, bottom left- hand corner. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: In the block. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, in the block? I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it says 21% and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance. MR SEEDAT: Yes, that's a matter of interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: Am I right? Am I right? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to 1057 which ought really to put this beyond doubt. Can we go to 1057? There's a table 25 there, can you flip it? Now unfortunately in black and white we can't see what we can see in colour, so I'll have to tell you what we can see in colour is next to that block that says "financial commitment achieved financial year '07 to financial year '11" is in bold and capitals, "NO." CHAIRPERSON: We can just see the no, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little confused because if it did not build any houses, where was the R138 million spent? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's one of the questions I'm going to ask you in due course but let's go through it again. Financial year, next column says, "Per cent financial spend achieved against SLP target." CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] – it's not on the screen. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is, bottom left- hand corner. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: In the block. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, in the block? I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it says 21% and you'll find that 138 million is 21% of 665 million. Sorry,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it's talking to the banking institutions to provide financing. So I'm going on that assumption. But you don't have any personal knowledge in this regard that can add to what we see here? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to some other passages – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, the SLP doesn't say to whom the financial institution has to provide the finance. MR SEEDAT: Yes, that's a matter of interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: Am I right? Am I right? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to 1057 which ought really to put this beyond doubt. Can we go to 1057? There's a table 25 there, can you flip it? Now unfortunately in black and white we can't see what we can see in colour, so I'll have to tell you what we can see in colour is next to that block that says "financial commitment achieved financial year '07 to financial year '11" is in bold and capitals, "NO."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SEEDAT: No, that's R138 million worth of spend on the hostel conversions. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it shouldn't be accounted for here because this housing and hostels are accounted for separately, there's a separate table that deals with the spend on the hostel conversion. Are you aware of anybody else who spent money on housing for Lonmin workers during financial year '07 to financial year 11? MR SEEDAT: Well, then I'm a little confused because if it did not build any houses, where was the R138 million spent? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's one of the questions I'm going to ask you in due course but let's go through it again. Financial year, next column says, "Per cent financial spend achieved against SLP target." CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] - it's not on the screen. MR CHASKALSON SC: It is, bottom left- hand corner. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: In the block. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, in the block? I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it says 21% and

1	Page 38303 172 million, giving a total spend of 310 million. Now do	1	Page 38305 reports where it gave a target and then gave achievement
2	you know anything about the financial, the alleged spend of	1	and the target was nought and the achievement was nought
3	172 million in 2010, how that's, you know where that comes	3	and therefore there was 100% achievement. Is this the sort
4	from?	4	of territory we're in at the moment?
4 5	MR SEEDAT: The only money Lonmin spent	5	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, because here the
6	on –	6	target is not nought, the target is 665 million, or the
7	MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm so sorry, it	7	commitment rather than the target.
8	wasn't 2010, it was 2012 and 2013.	8	MR SEEDAT: Chairman if you – I think
9	MR SEEDAT: The only money Lonmin spent	9	there was one report that I read where it said that the
10	on housing or accommodation was, aside from the three	10	target was three, you built three and therefore you gave
11	houses that we've already, cost about 620-odd thousand	11	yourself 100%. Those three houses were built but did not
12	rand, the remaining funds were all spent on converting the	12	take into cognizance the 5 500 homes. So I think
13	hostels. So I can only assume that the money that you're	13	that's what you're referring –
14	talking about, the 138 plus the 172, relates to the	14	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get to that in
15	conversion of the hostels.	15	due course if we have the time, Mr Seedat, but you say this
16	MR CHASKALSON SC: You see this project,	16	table may be an honest mistake.
17	the accounting is under project number 4 if you go back –	17	MR SEEDAT: It's the first time I
18	sorry, project number 6, housing provision. No, you may	18	saw it, is preparing for this Commission. As I say, I
19	well be right, in fact –	19	wasn't there and gone through the details when this report
20	MR SEEDAT: That was –	20	was produced, so I'm making an assumption, that I would be
21	MR CHASKALSON SC: Project number 6 and	21	very surprised if somebody did this because the numbers we
22	project number 4.	22	talk about are very much in the public domain in more than
23	MR SEEDAT: Yes.	23	one document, so I –
24	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's housing provision	24	CHAIRPERSON: I think the answer is you
25	and hostel blocks. So there's a little bit of a sleight of	25	think it's an honest mistake, it's not likely to be a
1	Page 38304	1	Page 38306
1	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of	1	dishonest mistake, isn't that so?
2	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810	2	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja.
2 3	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget	2 3	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a
2 3 4	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating	2 3 4	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite
2 3 4 5	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent	2 3 4 5	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for
2 3 4 5 6	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target	2 3 4 5 6	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have
2 3 4 5 6 7	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here?	2 3 4 5 6 7	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved	2 3 4 5 6	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would argue that it would have been an honest mistake rather than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be followed in Lonmin before a document like this is sent out?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would argue that it would have been an honest mistake rather than a deliberate intent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be followed in Lonmin before a document like this is sent out? MR SEEDAT: Well, firstly, the numbers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would argue that it would have been an honest mistake rather than a deliberate intent. MR CHASKALSON SC: But whether it was an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be followed in Lonmin before a document like this is sent out? MR SEEDAT: Well, firstly, the numbers that are produced in the annual financial, annual report of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would argue that it would have been an honest mistake rather than a deliberate intent. MR CHASKALSON SC: But whether it was an honest mistake or not, what seems to be implicit in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be followed in Lonmin before a document like this is sent out? MR SEEDAT: Well, firstly, the numbers that are produced in the annual financial, annual report of Lonmin, there's many of those matrix that are actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would argue that it would have been an honest mistake rather than a deliberate intent. MR CHASKALSON SC: But whether it was an honest mistake or not, what seems to be implicit in this document is an acceptance that there was a commitment on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be followed in Lonmin before a document like this is sent out? MR SEEDAT: Well, firstly, the numbers that are produced in the annual financial, annual report of Lonmin, there's many of those matrix that are actually audited by the auditors. Now I can't recall if these were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would argue that it would have been an honest mistake rather than a deliberate intent. MR CHASKALSON SC: But whether it was an honest mistake or not, what seems to be implicit in this document is an acceptance that there was a commitment on Lonmin's part, a financial commitment in the SLP to spend	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be followed in Lonmin before a document like this is sent out? MR SEEDAT: Well, firstly, the numbers that are produced in the annual financial, annual report of Lonmin, there's many of those matrix that are actually audited by the auditors. Now I can't recall if these were one of them but the auditors would say you made a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would argue that it would have been an honest mistake rather than a deliberate intent. MR CHASKALSON SC: But whether it was an honest mistake or not, what seems to be implicit in this document is an acceptance that there was a commitment on Lonmin's part, a financial commitment in the SLP to spend 665 million.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be followed in Lonmin before a document like this is sent out? MR SEEDAT: Well, firstly, the numbers that are produced in the annual financial, annual report of Lonmin, there's many of those matrix that are actually audited by the auditors. Now I can't recall if these were one of them but the auditors would say you made a commitment of X, you claim you did Y, yes we're happy, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would argue that it would have been an honest mistake rather than a deliberate intent. MR CHASKALSON SC: But whether it was an honest mistake or not, what seems to be implicit in this document is an acceptance that there was a commitment on Lonmin's part, a financial commitment in the SLP to spend 665 million. MR SEEDAT: Well, whoever authored this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be followed in Lonmin before a document like this is sent out? MR SEEDAT: Well, firstly, the numbers that are produced in the annual financial, annual report of Lonmin, there's many of those matrix that are actually audited by the auditors. Now I can't recall if these were one of them but the auditors would say you made a commitment of X, you claim you did Y, yes we're happy, you can say that or no, you're not happy that you can say that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would argue that it would have been an honest mistake rather than a deliberate intent. MR CHASKALSON SC: But whether it was an honest mistake or not, what seems to be implicit in this document is an acceptance that there was a commitment on Lonmin's part, a financial commitment in the SLP to spend 665 million. MR SEEDAT: Well, whoever authored this document probably had that as an interpretation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be followed in Lonmin before a document like this is sent out? MR SEEDAT: Well, firstly, the numbers that are produced in the annual financial, annual report of Lonmin, there's many of those matrix that are actually audited by the auditors. Now I can't recall if these were one of them but the auditors would say you made a commitment of X, you claim you did Y, yes we're happy, you can say that or no, you're not happy that you can say that. So that's one item of, one check that's in place. If you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would argue that it would have been an honest mistake rather than a deliberate intent. MR CHASKALSON SC: But whether it was an honest mistake or not, what seems to be implicit in this document is an acceptance that there was a commitment on Lonmin's part, a financial commitment in the SLP to spend 665 million. MR SEEDAT: Well, whoever authored this document probably had that as an interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: If that's wrong then the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be followed in Lonmin before a document like this is sent out? MR SEEDAT: Well, firstly, the numbers that are produced in the annual financial, annual report of Lonmin, there's many of those matrix that are actually audited by the auditors. Now I can't recall if these were one of them but the auditors would say you made a commitment of X, you claim you did Y, yes we're happy, you can say that or no, you're not happy that you can say that. So that's one item of, one check that's in place. If you take this kind of a report, my guess is what would happen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would argue that it would have been an honest mistake rather than a deliberate intent. MR CHASKALSON SC: But whether it was an honest mistake or not, what seems to be implicit in this document is an acceptance that there was a commitment on Lonmin's part, a financial commitment in the SLP to spend 665 million. MR SEEDAT: Well, whoever authored this document probably had that as an interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: If that's wrong then the percentage goes down, doesn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be followed in Lonmin before a document like this is sent out? MR SEEDAT: Well, firstly, the numbers that are produced in the annual financial, annual report of Lonmin, there's many of those matrix that are actually audited by the auditors. Now I can't recall if these were one of them but the auditors would say you made a commitment of X, you claim you did Y, yes we're happy, you can say that or no, you're not happy that you can say that. So that's one item of, one check that's in place. If you take this kind of a report, my guess is what would happen is the report would be produced, it would be signed off by the relevant head that would look after this, in this case it would be the head of, I think, human capital. It would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would argue that it would have been an honest mistake rather than a deliberate intent. MR CHASKALSON SC: But whether it was an honest mistake or not, what seems to be implicit in this document is an acceptance that there was a commitment on Lonmin's part, a financial commitment in the SLP to spend 665 million. MR SEEDAT: Well, whoever authored this document probably had that as an interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: If that's wrong then the percentage goes down, doesn't it? MR CHASKALSON SC: The percentage actually goes down to nought if Mr Seedat's analysis of what's happening here is correct. On the housing project	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be followed in Lonmin before a document like this is sent out? MR SEEDAT: Well, firstly, the numbers that are produced in the annual financial, annual report of Lonmin, there's many of those matrix that are actually audited by the auditors. Now I can't recall if these were one of them but the auditors would say you made a commitment of X, you claim you did Y, yes we're happy, you can say that or no, you're not happy that you can say that. So that's one item of, one check that's in place. If you take this kind of a report, my guess is what would happen is the report would be produced, it would be signed off by the relevant head that would look after this, in this case it would be the head of, I think, human capital. It would be presented to the DMR and it would – the DMR generally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would argue that it would have been an honest mistake rather than a deliberate intent. MR CHASKALSON SC: But whether it was an honest mistake or not, what seems to be implicit in this document is an acceptance that there was a commitment on Lonmin's part, a financial commitment in the SLP to spend 665 million. MR SEEDAT: Well, whoever authored this document probably had that as an interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: If that's wrong then the percentage goes down, doesn't it? MR CHASKALSON SC: The percentage actually goes down to nought if Mr Seedat's analysis of what's happening here is correct. On the housing project Lonmin spent 0%.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be followed in Lonmin before a document like this is sent out? MR SEEDAT: Well, firstly, the numbers that are produced in the annual financial, annual report of Lonmin, there's many of those matrix that are actually audited by the auditors. Now I can't recall if these were one of them but the auditors would say you made a commitment of X, you claim you did Y, yes we're happy, you can say that or no, you're not happy that you can say that. So that's one item of, one check that's in place. If you take this kind of a report, my guess is what would happen is the report would be produced, it would be signed off by the relevant head that would look after this, in this case it would be the head of, I think, human capital. It would be presented to the DMR and it would – the DMR generally has a workshop. I don't know in this case if workshops
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	hand here, isn't there, because the budget for both of those projects wasn't 665 million, it was actually 810 million. And what we're doing is we're taking the budget for houses on which Lonmin has spent nothing and allocating a spend on the budget for hostels on which Lonmin has spent 138 million and saying you spent 21% of your target spending for houses. Is that what's happening here? MR SEEDAT: As I say, I wasn't involved in putting this document – but it appears to be – I would argue that it would have been an honest mistake rather than a deliberate intent. MR CHASKALSON SC: But whether it was an honest mistake or not, what seems to be implicit in this document is an acceptance that there was a commitment on Lonmin's part, a financial commitment in the SLP to spend 665 million. MR SEEDAT: Well, whoever authored this document probably had that as an interpretation. CHAIRPERSON: If that's wrong then the percentage goes down, doesn't it? MR CHASKALSON SC: The percentage actually goes down to nought if Mr Seedat's analysis of what's happening here is correct. On the housing project	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	dishonest mistake, isn't that so? MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now presumably a closeout report to the DMR on a social labour plan is quite an important document for Lonmin. It has implications for your relations with the regulator, may even have implications for your licence. Would you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Potentially, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What process would be followed in Lonmin before a document like this is sent out? MR SEEDAT: Well, firstly, the numbers that are produced in the annual financial, annual report of Lonmin, there's many of those matrix that are actually audited by the auditors. Now I can't recall if these were one of them but the auditors would say you made a commitment of X, you claim you did Y, yes we're happy, you can say that or no, you're not happy that you can say that. So that's one item of, one check that's in place. If you take this kind of a report, my guess is what would happen is the report would be produced, it would be signed off by the relevant head that would look after this, in this case it would be the head of, I think, human capital. It would be presented to the DMR and it would – the DMR generally

1	Page 38307 DMR and then they would go away and then give us their	1	Page 38309 Lonmin's final accounting to the DMR.
2	feedback. So I assume in the workshop these issues, if	2	[14:36] And for present purposes – well I don't want to
3	there were any issues, would then be clarified.	3	take issue with your suggestion that it's an honest
4	MR CHASKALSON SC: But within Lonmin	4	mistake. It may be an honest mistake, it's an extremely
5	would this document stop with the head of human res-, human	5	negligent mistake. It's been missed on eight separate
6	capital?	6	occasions. But what's interesting about these figures and
7	MR SEEDAT: Generally, yes.	7	what I want to put to you is that what these figures
8	MR CHASKALSON SC: So no-one higher than	8	reflect is a very clear understanding by the parties who
9	- and that's Mr Mokoena?	9	accounted to the DMR that they understood that 665 million
10	MR SEEDAT: Well –	10	to be a commitment on Lonmin's part, not a sort of strange
11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Or was Mr Mokoena.	11	arrangement in terms of which Lonmin may link up its
12	MR SEEDAT: Depending which is, you had	12	workers with private banks. What's your response to that?
13	Mr Mokoena or Mr Kgotle, whoever was –	13	MR SEEDAT: Well let me respond to the
14	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes.	14	first one. You refer to all of these tables with the same
15	MR SEEDAT: - at the time.	15	numbers replicated and I'm aware that Lonmin puts together
16	MR CHASKALSON SC: Because it's a strange	16	a database which is a made up of Excel or other similar
17	mistake this one because it isn't just that it's been	17	spreadsheets and if you're going to enter the number once
18	overlooked in one place, if it is a mistake. You'll see	18	and you refer to the cell on other tables, so if you get it
19	exactly the same figures in two other places in the	19	wrong once it's not improbable that the same number will be
20	document, pages 1006 where there's an executive summary	20	replicated incorrectly in all the eight tables. So again
21	table and you'll see exactly those same figures. If we can	21	it was probably entered the first time incorrectly –
22	scroll left? Project 4 housing commitment, housing project	22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I engage with that
23	and bear in mind that the housing project, project 4 was	23	explanation? That explains why it appears it in eight
24	not hostel conversion, that was project 6. Target 665	24	different tables, it doesn't explain why the person who was
25	million, actual spend 138 million, then there are some	25	signing off on the document when they had a hard copy or
	Page 38308		Page 38310
1	strange figures called, that say "Budget" which was I think	1	even when they were looking electronically at the
2	reflecting to what was budgeted for financial years '12 and	2	spreadsheet didn't pick up on one of the eight tables that
3	'13 and actual figure which is the sum of the 2012/13	3	this information is wrong.
4	budget and the actual spend and then combined target says	4	MR SEEDAT: Ja that you can ask the
5	665 million and combined actual 138 million. So this	5	person who reviewed it. The second question, sorry what
6	notion of 138 million against 665 million is in that table.	6	was the second question around the objection?
7	It's also again in page 1044. If we go to 1044 there's	7	MR CHASKALSON SC: That when the table
8	another table where you see the same numbers, so it's not	8	speaks of a commitment of 665 million and - sorry and a
9	something that would have been missed by one oversight, it	9	financial commitment is not achieved and a percent
10	would have to have been missed by three oversights. If we	10	financial spend against actual target, what that all
11	can stop, so if you see project 4 housing provision WPL/EPL	11	reflects is that whoever put together this document on
12	combined 665 million was the total budget, the actual was	12	behalf of Lonmin recognised that the 665 million was a
13	138, the per cent SLP target was 21% and then you have	13	commitment from Lonmin, not from maybe a bank if Lonmin
14	these same figures that roll over into 2013.	14	could persuade a bank to lend 665 million in 5 500
15	MR SEEDAT: If the –	15	individual transactions to 5 500 individual workers.
16	MR CHASKALSON SC: Before you respond let	16	MR SEEDAT: Let's go back to the - when
17	me give you the full set of sequences in Lonmin documents	17	this SLP was put together, this was in –
18	where we see this table because there are another six,	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Mr Seedat, my
19	sorry, five – because there's an executive summary that was	19 20	question is what this reflects about the person who signed
20	prepared as a separate document, an executive summary of	20 21	off on this document and what they understood about the
21	the closeout report. I'll just give you the references,	21 22	commitment, not what the original document can be, how the
22 23	you can take it on trust from me that these figures are there. Table 1 page 1147, table 2 page 1151, table 3 page	22 23	original document can or cannot be – MR SEEDAT: I think you're going to have
23	1156, table 12 page 1167, table 13 page 1168. So there are	23 24	to ask the person who put this document together. I'll
4	in all eight separate references to these numbers in	24 25	give you my personal experience. It was quite some time

Pretoria

		1	
1	Page 38311	1	Page 38313
1 2	after I joined Lonmin that I realised that the commitment was made on the basis of getting finance from banking	1	talking about commitments that were made, the ability to meet them has now been compromised. "As a result, where
3	institutions. Everybody accepted that we had to build	2	applicable, the targets were revised in line with the
4	those houses for employees. In fact not even 5500, we had	4	organisation's ability to deliver on the commitments made.
5	to build sufficient accommodation for all of the employees	5	Lonmin, however, remains committed to working with all
6	who were displaced and who were living in the informal	6	stakeholders to realise our promises which will contribute
7	settlements. That commitment we all felt. The 5 500	7	to the continued empowerment and transformation of our
8	commitment was interpreted in that light. It's only when	8	business. The progress achieved against the revised
9	you read the SLP carefully that you understood the logic	9	targets for calendar year 2009 is displayed in the table
9 10			
	around that. In 2006, in 2007 Lonmin was in a pretty good	10	below." You may recall that the original target for 2009
11 12	financial position. The commodity prices were booming,	11	was 1200 houses after 2000 had already been built, the new
	Lonmin was making money, one must then ask the question why	12	target is 3 off a base of zero. So although Lonmin has
13	would you want to go to the banks if you had the cash to do	13	achieved, if we go down to that bottom – sorry no come back
14	it. So there's a lot of questions, I don't have the	14	up, the third column from the bottom Housing 5 year target
15	answers to these questions, but those are the questions	15	5500. The new annual target is now put at 3, the actual is
16	that – there is some ambiguity because the SLP document is	16	3, the variance is nil and 100%. Then if we go to 685 we
17	not absolutely clear what the intent was. And the history	17	get the crux of it. Sorry 684, paragraph 15.5. Review Of
18	and in terms of how that conclusion was arrived at when the	18	Commitments made in Respect of Housing and Hostel
19	SLP document was put together those people are not around. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's unfortunate for	19	Conversion. First bullet point Financial problems. Second
20		20	bullet point. Restructuring of the company and the
21 22	our Commission, but if we can move on. In your evidence in chief you were led by Mr Burger on the suggestion in Dr	21 22	returned profitability took precedence over the building of houses and upgrading of hostel units. So a choice was
22	Forest's report that what had happened is that Lonmin had	22	made. We'll put our resources in there, it will take
23 24	unilaterally repudiated its obligations in the SLP. And	23	precedence over building of houses. Fourth bullet point is
24 25	there was some suggestion that this was a matter in respect	24 25	very significant. Future building of houses will be
23	there was some suggestion that this was a matter in respect	25	very significant. Tutare building of houses will be
	Page 38312		Page 38314
1	Page 38312 of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to	1	Page 38314 dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved
1 2		1 2	
	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to		dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved
2	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and	2	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with
2 3	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes	2 3	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue.
2 3 4	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646.	2 3 4	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that
2 3 4 5	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be	2 3 4 5	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it
2 3 4 5 6	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file –	2 3 4 5 6	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin
2 3 4 5 6 7	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2.	2 3 4 5 6 7	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2, your bundles. My volume 2 of your bundles.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing construction would proceed in tranches dependent on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2, your bundles. My volume 2 of your bundles. MR SEEDAT: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing construction would proceed in tranches dependent on the arrival of groups of 50 plus applicants who'd managed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2, your bundles. My volume 2 of your bundles. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: We start with what the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing construction would proceed in tranches dependent on the arrival of groups of 50 plus applicants who'd managed to secure their own pre-approved home loans? Did anyone think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2, your bundles. My volume 2 of your bundles. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: We start with what the original commitment was which is set out at 646. 646,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing construction would proceed in tranches dependent on the arrival of groups of 50 plus applicants who'd managed to secure their own pre-approved home loans? Did anyone think that was going to happen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2, your bundles. My volume 2 of your bundles. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: We start with what the original commitment was which is set out at 646. 646, we're shooting past and there at table 4 Housing and Hostel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing construction would proceed in tranches dependent on the arrival of groups of 50 plus applicants who'd managed to secure their own pre-approved home loans? Did anyone think that was going to happen? MR SEEDAT: No and I've got to put that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2, your bundles. My volume 2 of your bundles. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: We start with what the original commitment was which is set out at 646. 646, we're shooting past and there at table 4 Housing and Hostel Conversion Key Commitments For Marikana and we've housing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing construction would proceed in tranches dependent on the arrival of groups of 50 plus applicants who'd managed to secure their own pre-approved home loans? Did anyone think that was going to happen? MR SEEDAT: No and I've got to put that in context. In late 2008 we put up these show houses as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2, your bundles. My volume 2 of your bundles. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: We start with what the original commitment was which is set out at 646. 646, we're shooting past and there at table 4 Housing and Hostel Conversion Key Commitments For Marikana and we've housing and hostel conversion dealt with separately. I'm just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing construction would proceed in tranches dependent on the arrival of groups of 50 plus applicants who'd managed to secure their own pre-approved home loans? Did anyone think that was going to happen? MR SEEDAT: No and I've got to put that in context. In late 2008 we put up these show houses as you pointed out. We had people come through and I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2, your bundles. My volume 2 of your bundles. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: We start with what the original commitment was which is set out at 646. 646, we're shooting past and there at table 4 Housing and Hostel Conversion Key Commitments For Marikana and we've housing and hostel conversion dealt with separately. I'm just interested in housing for present purposes. Key Commitment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing construction would proceed in tranches dependent on the arrival of groups of 50 plus applicants who'd managed to secure their own pre-approved home loans? Did anyone think that was going to happen? MR SEEDAT: No and I've got to put that in context. In late 2008 we put up these show houses as you pointed out. We had people come through and I think potentially about 4000 came to these houses and what they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2, your bundles. My volume 2 of your bundles. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: We start with what the original commitment was which is set out at 646. 646, we're shooting past and there at table 4 Housing and Hostel Conversion Key Commitments For Marikana and we've housing and hostel conversion dealt with separately. I'm just interested in housing for present purposes. Key Commitment build 5 500 houses by 2011, build those houses by 2011 and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing construction would proceed in tranches dependent on the arrival of groups of 50 plus applicants who'd managed to secure their own pre-approved home loans? Did anyone think that was going to happen? MR SEEDAT: No and I've got to put that in context. In late 2008 we put up these show houses as you pointed out. We had people come through and I think potentially about 4000 came to these houses and what they told us was markedly different to what they told us in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2, your bundles. My volume 2 of your bundles. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: We start with what the original commitment was which is set out at 646. 646, we're shooting past and there at table 4 Housing and Hostel Conversion Key Commitments For Marikana and we've housing and hostel conversion dealt with separately. I'm just interested in housing for present purposes. Key Commitment build 5 500 houses by 2011, build those houses by 2011 and then it's broken up into years and we see the annual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing construction would proceed in tranches dependent on the arrival of groups of 50 plus applicants who'd managed to secure their own pre-approved home loans? Did anyone think that was going to happen? MR SEEDAT: No and I've got to put that in context. In late 2008 we put up these show houses as you pointed out. We had people come through and I think potentially about 4000 came to these houses and what they told us was markedly different to what they told us in 2007. In 2007 85% of respondents said they wanted to live
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2, your bundles. My volume 2 of your bundles. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: We start with what the original commitment was which is set out at 646. 646, we're shooting past and there at table 4 Housing and Hostel Conversion Key Commitments For Marikana and we've housing and hostel conversion dealt with separately. I'm just interested in housing for present purposes. Key Commitment build 5 500 houses by 2011, build those houses by 2011 and then it's broken up into years and we see the annual commitment. 700 2007, 1300 2008, 1200 2009 and so on to get the accumulative total of 5500. Turn the page to 647. And we can reduce the width of this document on screen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing construction would proceed in tranches dependent on the arrival of groups of 50 plus applicants who'd managed to secure their own pre-approved home loans? Did anyone think that was going to happen? MR SEEDAT: No and I've got to put that in context. In late 2008 we put up these show houses as you pointed out. We had people come through and I think potentially about 4000 came to these houses and what they told us was markedly different to what they told us in 2007. In 2007 85% of respondents said they wanted to live in the Marikana area and they wanted to own their houses, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2, your bundles. My volume 2 of your bundles. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: We start with what the original commitment was which is set out at 646. 646, we're shooting past and there at table 4 Housing and Hostel Conversion Key Commitments For Marikana and we've housing and hostel conversion dealt with separately. I'm just interested in housing for present purposes. Key Commitment build 5 500 houses by 2011, build those houses by 2011 and then it's broken up into years and we see the annual commitment. 700 2007, 1300 2008, 1200 2009 and so on to get the accumulative total of 5500. Turn the page to 647. And we can reduce the width of this document on screen. "The recent decline in the world market price of platinum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing construction would proceed in tranches dependent on the arrival of groups of 50 plus applicants who'd managed to secure their own pre-approved home loans? Did anyone think that was going to happen? MR SEEDAT: No and I've got to put that in context. In late 2008 we put up these show houses as you pointed out. We had people come through and I think potentially about 4000 came to these houses and what they told us was markedly different to what they told us in 2007. In 2007 85% of respondents said they wanted to live in the Marikana area and they wanted to own their houses, a significant percentage. Lonmin since that day proceeded on that assumption that people wanted to buy their own houses, the vast majority. I must also remind you that in October
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2, your bundles. My volume 2 of your bundles. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: We start with what the original commitment was which is set out at 646. 646, we're shooting past and there at table 4 Housing and Hostel Conversion Key Commitments For Marikana and we've housing and hostel conversion dealt with separately. I'm just interested in housing for present purposes. Key Commitment build 5 500 houses by 2011, build those houses by 2011 and then it's broken up into years and we see the annual commitment. 700 2007, 1300 2008, 1200 2009 and so on to get the accumulative total of 5500. Turn the page to 647. And we can reduce the width of this document on screen. "The recent decline in the world market price of platinum has severely impacted on the company's ability in 2008/9 to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing construction would proceed in tranches dependent on the arrival of groups of 50 plus applicants who'd managed to secure their own pre-approved home loans? Did anyone think that was going to happen? MR SEEDAT: No and I've got to put that in context. In late 2008 we put up these show houses as you pointed out. We had people come through and I think potentially about 4000 came to these houses and what they told us was markedly different to what they told us in 2007. In 2007 85% of respondents said they wanted to live in the Marikana area and they wanted to own their houses, a significant percentage. Lonmin since that day proceeded on that assumption that people wanted to buy their own houses, the vast majority. I must also remind you that in October 2008 the stock market crash happened. So now we're talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	of which Lonmin was entitled to take umbrage. Can we go to the source of Dr Forest's allegation against Lonmin and that is the SLP report for 2009 where the repudiation takes place? It's SSSS2 page 646. MR SEEDAT: Which file, that would be file – MR CHASKALSON SC: Volume 2. MR SEEDAT: Your volume 2, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry not my volume 2, your bundles. My volume 2 of your bundles. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR SEEDAT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: We start with what the original commitment was which is set out at 646. 646, we're shooting past and there at table 4 Housing and Hostel Conversion Key Commitments For Marikana and we've housing and hostel conversion dealt with separately. I'm just interested in housing for present purposes. Key Commitment build 5 500 houses by 2011, build those houses by 2011 and then it's broken up into years and we see the annual commitment. 700 2007, 1300 2008, 1200 2009 and so on to get the accumulative total of 5500. Turn the page to 647. And we can reduce the width of this document on screen. "The recent decline in the world market price of platinum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	dependent on the prospective owners obtaining pre-approved home loans and when there are at least 50 applicants with approved home loans building of houses will continue. Sorry commence, commence. Yes, continue suggested that suggested that it had already started which of course it hadn't. Now, Mr Seedat, do you think anyone in Lonmin honestly believed that they would be able to ensure that 5500 houses, sorry 5497 houses would be built in 2010 and 2011 on the basis or an arrangement where housing construction would proceed in tranches dependent on the arrival of groups of 50 plus applicants who'd managed to secure their own pre-approved home loans? Did anyone think that was going to happen? MR SEEDAT: No and I've got to put that in context. In late 2008 we put up these show houses as you pointed out. We had people come through and I think potentially about 4000 came to these houses and what they told us was markedly different to what they told us in 2007. In 2007 85% of respondents said they wanted to live in the Marikana area and they wanted to own their houses, a significant percentage. Lonmin since that day proceeded on that assumption that people wanted to buy their own houses, the vast majority. I must also remind you that in October

		I	
1	Page 38315 were operating in the year from October 2008 to September	1	Page 38317 done to the board at sometime that showed that within the
2	2009 when it was a case of do we keep the operations alive,	2	current hostel complex putting in more hostel units so that
3	survive or does Lonmin shut shop. Now in that context, I'm	3	we can put more people in the same infrastructure. As I
4	sure you'll agree with me, that the priority would be save	4	recall one of the board members saying this looks too much
5	as many jobs as you can. Keep the company as profitable as	5	like a ghetto, we have to find a better solution. The
6	you can so we can survive this tough condition. As a	6	reason why I say that is I want to confirm to the
7	result we had to make some tough decisions, we had to	7	Commission that we were looking at rental in parallel to
8	retrench over 3000 people, we had to close shafts. We had	8	owning. But as far as owing is concerned we were too
9	to go and ask shareholders for money, the second rights	9	concerned about the risks and therefore we had to make it
10	issues was done in that period, in 2009. So the focus was	10	arms length. The other reason is in 2009 our financial
11	let's get Lonmin in a situation where it can survive. Yes	11	situation was pretty dire. There was no ways Lonmin could
12	and in that context when we did the survey 85% of employees		
13	said uh-uh, ugh we don't want to own a house, we just want	12 13	add any other financial liabilities to its books without the risk of it violating its covernance to the banks and
	to rent a unit. And we were very concerned and having		J J
14	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	14 15	that's why we did the right thing.
15	looked at experiences of other mining companies that built a quota of houses for sale to their employees, we were very	15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Seedat, there are
16		16	two separate questions. The first is whether you were
17 18	concerned that we would put up houses, people would not afford to be able to buy them and Lonmin would be left with	17 18	repudiating your original obligations. The second is
	-	18	whether you had an explanation which might be accepted for doing that. But will you accept that in respect of that
19	having to finance those houses. So the logic changed to		
20 21	well if there are people who are interested in us building	20 21	original commitment to build 5500 houses what happened in
	houses for them to own we will build those. But I agree		9000 was a decision by Lonmin that would have the result
22	with you that it was not likely that we were ever going to	22 23	that that obligation was never going to fulfilled.
23	be able to build 5 500 homes.		MR SEMENYA SC: I disagree with you in
24 25	CHAIRPERSON: But you'd withdrawn the rental hadn't you? Originally it was you can buy or you	24 25	the sense that the obligation to properly house our employees has always been there. The commitment to try to
25		25	employees has always been there. The commitment to try to
	Page 38316		Page 38318
1	Page 38316 can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that	1	Page 38318 find a solution has always been there. The challenge to
1 2	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by	1 2	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we
	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that		find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options -
2	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit	2	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we
2 3	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme	2 3	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair.
2 3 4	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved	2 3 4	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in
2 3 4 5	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently	2 3 4 5	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer.
2 3 4 5 6	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses.	2 3 4 5 6	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house during this time was around R200 000. Knowing what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses built for them we'll do one thing for them. Those that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house during this time was around R200 000. Knowing what employees are prepared to pay to rent 800, 1200, there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses built for them we'll do one thing for them. Those that cannot afford and want rental accommodation we have to an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house during this time was around R200 000. Knowing what employees are prepared to pay to rent 800, 1200, there was no likelihood of those houses ever being rented out and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses built for them we'll do one thing for them. Those that cannot afford and want rental accommodation we have to an alternative solution and up to that point in time we had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house during this time was around R200 000. Knowing what employees are prepared to pay to rent 800, 1200, there was no likelihood of those houses ever being rented out and the cost of building those houses being recovered through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses built for them we'll do one thing for them. Those that cannot afford and want rental accommodation we have to an alternative solution and up to that point in time we had not found an alternative solution.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house during this time was around R200 000. Knowing what employees are prepared to pay to rent 800, 1200, there was no likelihood of those houses being recovered through rental. So we had taken the view that we had to find a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses built for them we'll do one thing for them. Those that cannot afford and want rental accommodation we have to an alternative solution and up to that point in time we had not found an alternative solution. MR CHASKALSON SC: But to get back to my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house during this time was around R200 000. Knowing what employees are prepared to pay to rent 800, 1200, there was no likelihood of those houses being recovered through rental. So we had taken the view that we had to find a different solution for rental stock for the majority of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses built for them we'll do one thing for them. Those that cannot afford and want rental accommodation we have to an alternative solution and up to that point in time we had not found an alternative solution. MR CHASKALSON SC: But to get back to my first question. Let's separate two different issues. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house during this time was around R200 000. Knowing what employees are prepared to pay to rent 800, 1200, there was no likelihood of those houses ever being rented out and the cost of building those houses being recovered through rental. So we had taken the view that we had to find a different solution for rental stock for the majority of the employees who wanted effectively a single room unit to stay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses built for them we'll do one thing for them. Those that cannot afford and want rental accommodation we have to an alternative solution and up to that point in time we had not found an alternative solution. MR CHASKALSON SC: But to get back to my first question. Let's separate two different issues. The one is were you repudiating an obligation in that original
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house during this time was around R200 000. Knowing what employees are prepared to pay to rent 800, 1200, there was no likelihood of those houses being recovered through rental. So we had taken the view that we had to find a different solution for rental stock for the majority of the employees who wanted effectively a single room unit to stay in. Therefore we were only going to build those houses at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses built for them we'll do one thing for them. Those that cannot afford and want rental accommodation we have to an alternative solution and up to that point in time we had not found an alternative solution. MR CHASKALSON SC: But to get back to my first question. Let's separate two different issues. The one is were you repudiating an obligation in that original 2006 SLP?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house during this time was around R200 000. Knowing what employees are prepared to pay to rent 800, 1200, there was no likelihood of those houses ever being rented out and the cost of building those houses being recovered through rental. So we had taken the view that we had to find a different solution for rental stock for the majority of the employees who wanted effectively a single room unit to stay in. Therefore we were only going to build those houses at R200 a throw so that people that were committed to buying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses built for them we'll do one thing for them. Those that cannot afford and want rental accommodation we have to an alternative solution and up to that point in time we had not found an alternative solution. MR CHASKALSON SC: But to get back to my first question. Let's separate two different issues. The one is were you repudiating an obligation in that original 2006 SLP? MR SEEDAT: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house during this time was around R200 000. Knowing what employees are prepared to pay to rent 800, 1200, there was no likelihood of those houses ever being rented out and the cost of building those houses being recovered through rental. So we had taken the view that we had to find a different solution for rental stock for the majority of the employees who wanted effectively a single room unit to stay in. Therefore we were only going to build those houses at R200 a throw so that people that were committed to buying and carrying the risk of buying that unit. And that's why	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses built for them we'll do one thing for them. Those that cannot afford and want rental accommodation we have to an alternative solution and up to that point in time we had not found an alternative solution. MR CHASKALSON SC: But to get back to my first question. Let's separate two different issues. The one is were you repudiating an obligation in that original 2006 SLP? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: An obligation to build
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house during this time was around R200 000. Knowing what employees are prepared to pay to rent 800, 1200, there was no likelihood of those houses ever being rented out and the cost of building those houses being recovered through rental. So we had taken the view that we had to find a different solution for rental stock for the majority of the employees who wanted effectively a single room unit to stay in. Therefore we were only going to build those houses at R200 a throw so that people that were committed to buying and carrying the risk of buying that unit. And that's why we said we'll wait for 50 and then we'll build. But it did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses built for them we'll do one thing for them. Those that cannot afford and want rental accommodation we have to an alternative solution and up to that point in time we had not found an alternative solution. MR CHASKALSON SC: But to get back to my first question. Let's separate two different issues. The one is were you repudiating an obligation in that original 2006 SLP? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: An obligation to build 5500 houses by 2011, are you suggesting that Lonmin still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house during this time was around R200 000. Knowing what employees are prepared to pay to rent 800, 1200, there was no likelihood of those houses ever being rented out and the cost of building those houses being recovered through rental. So we had taken the view that we had to find a different solution for rental stock for the majority of the employees who wanted effectively a single room unit to stay in. Therefore we were only going to build those houses at R200 a throw so that people that were committed to buying and carrying the risk of buying that unit. And that's why we said we'll wait for 50 and then we'll build. But it did not mean that we had excluded the idea of building rental	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses built for them we'll do one thing for them. Those that cannot afford and want rental accommodation we have to an alternative solution and up to that point in time we had not found an alternative solution. MR CHASKALSON SC: But to get back to my first question. Let's separate two different issues. The one is were you repudiating an obligation in that original 2006 SLP? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: An obligation to build 5500 houses by 2011, are you suggesting that Lonmin still thought it was going to build 5500 by 2011?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house during this time was around R200 000. Knowing what employees are prepared to pay to rent 800, 1200, there was no likelihood of those houses ever being rented out and the cost of building those houses being recovered through rental. So we had taken the view that we had to find a different solution for rental stock for the majority of the employees who wanted effectively a single room unit to stay in. Therefore we were only going to build those houses at R200 a throw so that people that were committed to buying and carrying the risk of buying that unit. And that's why we said we'll wait for 50 and then we'll build. But it did not mean that we had excluded the idea of building rental stock. We were still exploring that and 1 don't think the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses built for them we'll do one thing for them. Those that cannot afford and want rental accommodation we have to an alternative solution and up to that point in time we had not found an alternative solution. MR CHASKALSON SC: But to get back to my first question. Let's separate two different issues. The one is were you repudiating an obligation in that original 2006 SLP? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: An obligation to build 5500 houses by 2011, are you suggesting that Lonmin still thought it was going to build 5500 by 2011? MR SEEDAT: No clearly we would not have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	can rent. Now you've withdrawn the rental option, all that you had left was the buying opportunity and you knew by that time that most of the people didn't want to buy, they preferred to rent. Isn't that so? So it's a little bit misleading to say well we've got this wonderful scheme whereby if we can find 50 people who have got approved home loans which means they had to get them independently apparently and then we'll start building the 50 houses. It's a little bit unrealistic isn't it? MR SEEDAT: Well, Sir, it's not. If I recall correctly the average cost of building a house during this time was around R200 000. Knowing what employees are prepared to pay to rent 800, 1200, there was no likelihood of those houses ever being rented out and the cost of building those houses being recovered through rental. So we had taken the view that we had to find a different solution for rental stock for the majority of the employees who wanted effectively a single room unit to stay in. Therefore we were only going to build those houses at R200 a throw so that people that were committed to buying and carrying the risk of buying that unit. And that's why we said we'll wait for 50 and then we'll build. But it did not mean that we had excluded the idea of building rental	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	find a solution has always been there. The challenge to find a viable solution has been the biggest problem. So we tried different options - MR CHASKALSON SC: My question was put in the wrong terms, sorry, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Give him a chance to finish his answer. MR SEEDAT: Oh I'm sorry. The obligation was always accepted and we racked our brains trying to find solutions to this problem. So we decided to break it up into two. Those who can afford to and would like houses built for them we'll do one thing for them. Those that cannot afford and want rental accommodation we have to an alternative solution and up to that point in time we had not found an alternative solution. MR CHASKALSON SC: But to get back to my first question. Let's separate two different issues. The one is were you repudiating an obligation in that original 2006 SLP? MR SEEDAT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: An obligation to build 5500 houses by 2011, are you suggesting that Lonmin still thought it was going to build 5500 by 2011?

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

Г

# Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

	Page 38319		Page 38321
1	finding a solution to the housing problem.	1	single report. Now it's no good saying that you've said to
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: But that's a broader	2	the Department we're not complying. The question that
3	moral obligation that is separate from the very specific	3	you're being asked is whether you ever in terms applied for
4	commitment in that 2006 report. You will accept that by	4	a written amendment, a written agreement by the Department
5	that 2009 report it was clear that Lonmin was not going to	5	to an amendment to the SLP so that those obligations would
6	deliver on the commitment it made in 2006 in relation to	6	no longer be binding on you so that you would be free to
7	5500 houses –	7	vary them, free to do other things. In other words to use
8	MR SEEDAT: If you put it as narrowly as	8	your language, the language of Lonmin, you talk about a
9	that, yes.	9	review of the housing program, did you ever in terms apply
10	MR CHASKALSON SC: We'll get to issues of	10	to the Department and say please we want to review our
11	reasonableness in due course, but before I get there what I	11	housing program, we've got difficulties which are set out
12	want to put to you is that reasonableness, affordability,	12	in annexure, would you kindly give us written agreement in
13	financial position all of that is ultimately missing the	13	terms of the relevant sections of the act, to such
14	point because that commitment that you made in 2006 was a	14	variations. Did you ever do that?
15	binding, legal obligation. Because 25.2F of the Mineral	15	MR SEEDAT: Not formally, but in
16	and Petroleum Resources Development Act says the holder of	16	workshops we did. We informed them of the situation and –
17	a mining right must comply with the requirements of the	17	CHAIRPERSON: No, no I understand that's
18	prescribed social and labour plan. That's a legal	18	why I used the word formally. I can understand that you
19	obligation. It's not something that you can choose to, you	19	told them that there were problems and reply you got a
20	know, respect, vary, unilaterally depart from at your	20	direction at one stage, under section 93, but we won't go
21	leisure. When did you become a director of WPL?	21	into there now. My question is did you ever ask in terms
22	MR SEEDAT: Around 2008, but can I	22	for a variation, a written variation approving of the
23	respond to the things you've just said? If Lonmin had gone	23	amendment of your obligations? And your answer I think is
24	under, closed shop in 2008 would you have expected Lonmin	24	no.
25	to still meet its obligations to build 5500 homes?	25	MR SEEDAT: I don't think so.
	Page 38320		Page 38322
1	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a	1	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as
2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands.	2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about
2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond –	2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about
2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to	2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses.
2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department	2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged with the DMR.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if you'd taken, asked your shareholders to take 20% discount
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged with the DMR. MR CHASKALSON SC: I think a yes or a no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if you'd taken, asked your shareholders to take 20% discount on the dividends they got in those two financial years, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged with the DMR. MR CHASKALSON SC: I think a yes or a no would suffice to that question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if you'd taken, asked your shareholders to take 20% discount on the dividends they got in those two financial years, the entire capital budget would have been covered by 20% of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged with the DMR. MR CHASKALSON SC: I think a yes or a no would suffice to that question. MR SEEDAT: It's not as simple as that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if you'd taken, asked your shareholders to take 20% discount on the dividends they got in those two financial years, the entire capital budget would have been covered by 20% of the dividends you paid out in 2006 and 2007/8. Have you got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged with the DMR. MR CHASKALSON SC: I think a yes or a no would suffice to that question. MR SEEDAT: It's not as simple as that. Every year Lonmin engaged, when the DMR came to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if you'd taken, asked your shareholders to take 20% discount on the dividends they got in those two financial years, the entire capital budget would have been covered by 20% of the dividends you paid out in 2006 and 2007/8. Have you got any explanation for that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged with the DMR. MR CHASKALSON SC: I think a yes or a no would suffice to that question. MR SEEDAT: It's not as simple as that. Every year Lonmin engaged, when the DMR came to do inspections and updated them on the situation. If it is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if you'd taken, asked your shareholders to take 20% discount on the dividends they got in those two financial years, the entire capital budget would have been covered by 20% of the dividends you paid out in 2006 and 2007/8. Have you got any explanation for that? MR SEEDAT: Well, I can only respond
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged with the DMR. MR CHASKALSON SC: I think a yes or a no would suffice to that question. MR SEEDAT: It's not as simple as that. Every year Lonmin engaged, when the DMR came to do inspections and updated them on the situation. If it is a challenge to achieve a particular objective, you're going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if you'd taken, asked your shareholders to take 20% discount on the dividends they got in those two financial years, the entire capital budget would have been covered by 20% of the dividends you paid out in 2006 and 2007/8. Have you got any explanation for that? MR SEEDAT: Well, I can only respond based on my experience with other mining companies that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged with the DMR. MR CHASKALSON SC: I think a yes or a no would suffice to that question. MR SEEDAT: It's not as simple as that. Every year Lonmin engaged, when the DMR came to do inspections and updated them on the situation. If it is a challenge to achieve a particular objective, you're going to try a different approach. The DMR was kept continuously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if you'd taken, asked your shareholders to take 20% discount on the dividends they got in those two financial years, the entire capital budget would have been covered by 20% of the dividends you paid out in 2006 and 2007/8. Have you got any explanation for that? MR SEEDAT: Well, I can only respond based on my experience with other mining companies that I was involved with in applications to have the conversions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged with the DMR. MR CHASKALSON SC: I think a yes or a no would suffice to that question. MR SEEDAT: It's not as simple as that. Every year Lonmin engaged, when the DMR came to do inspections and updated them on the situation. If it is a challenge to achieve a particular objective, you're going to try a different approach. The DMR was kept continuously informed as we tried to find a solution to this problem.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if you'd taken, asked your shareholders to take 20% discount on the dividends they got in those two financial years, the entire capital budget would have been covered by 20% of the dividends you paid out in 2006 and 2007/8. Have you got any explanation for that? MR SEEDAT: Well, I can only respond based on my experience with other mining companies that I was involved with in applications to have the conversions done. In one case in spite of we thinking that we'd met
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged with the DMR. MR CHASKALSON SC: I think a yes or a no would suffice to that question. MR SEEDAT: It's not as simple as that. Every year Lonmin engaged, when the DMR came to do inspections and updated them on the situation. If it is a challenge to achieve a particular objective, you're going to try a different approach. The DMR was kept continuously informed as we tried to find a solution to this problem. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Seedat, first the front	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if you'd taken, asked your shareholders to take 20% discount on the dividends they got in those two financial years, the entire capital budget would have been covered by 20% of the dividends you paid out in 2006 and 2007/8. Have you got any explanation for that? MR SEEDAT: Well, I can only respond based on my experience with other mining companies that I was involved with in applications to have the conversions done. In one case in spite of we thinking that we'd met all the requirements for conversion, it took over five
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged with the DMR. MR CHASKALSON SC: I think a yes or a no would suffice to that question. MR SEEDAT: It's not as simple as that. Every year Lonmin engaged, when the DMR came to do inspections and updated them on the situation. If it is a challenge to achieve a particular objective, you're going to try a different approach. The DMR was kept continuously informed as we tried to find a solution to this problem. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Seedat, first the front page of your annual reports about compliance under the SLP,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if you'd taken, asked your shareholders to take 20% discount on the dividends they got in those two financial years, the entire capital budget would have been covered by 20% of the dividends you paid out in 2006 and 2007/8. Have you got any explanation for that? MR SEEDAT: Well, I can only respond based on my experience with other mining companies that I was involved with in applications to have the conversions done. In one case in spite of we thinking that we'd met all the requirements for conversion, it took over five years for the conversion to happen. So if you're not sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged with the DMR. MR CHASKALSON SC: I think a yes or a no would suffice to that question. MR SEEDAT: It's not as simple as that. Every year Lonmin engaged, when the DMR came to do inspections and updated them on the situation. If it is a challenge to achieve a particular objective, you're going to try a different approach. The DMR was kept continuously informed as we tried to find a solution to this problem. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Seedat, first the front page of your annual reports about compliance under the SLP, a statement is made in every single one of those reports	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if you'd taken, asked your shareholders to take 20% discount on the dividends they got in those two financial years, the entire capital budget would have been covered by 20% of the dividends you paid out in 2006 and 2007/8. Have you got any explanation for that? MR SEEDAT: Well, I can only respond based on my experience with other mining companies that I was involved with in applications to have the conversions done. In one case in spite of we thinking that we'd met all the requirements for conversion, it took over five years for the conversion to happen. So if you're not sure whether your commitments are being accepted, it's very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged with the DMR. MR CHASKALSON SC: I think a yes or a no would suffice to that question. MR SEEDAT: It's not as simple as that. Every year Lonmin engaged, when the DMR came to do inspections and updated them on the situation. If it is a challenge to achieve a particular objective, you're going to try a different approach. The DMR was kept continuously informed as we tried to find a solution to this problem. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Seedat, first the front page of your annual reports about compliance under the SLP, a statement is made in every single one of those reports that the obligations in SLP are binding on Lonmin unless	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if you'd taken, asked your shareholders to take 20% discount on the dividends they got in those two financial years, the entire capital budget would have been covered by 20% of the dividends you paid out in 2006 and 2007/8. Have you got any explanation for that? MR SEEDAT: Well, I can only respond based on my experience with other mining companies that I was involved with in applications to have the conversions done. In one case in spite of we thinking that we'd met all the requirements for conversion, it took over five years for the conversion to happen. So if you're not sure whether your commitments are being accepted, it's very difficult to go to the board and say, put a budget in. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no but there is a lawful way of doing things and there is a way of taking the law into one's own hands. MR SEMENYA SC: Could I respond – MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me put this to you. Has Lonmin, at any stage, applied to the Department of Mineral Resources as its entitled to, for an amendment of its 2006 Social and Labour plans? MR SEEDAT: Lonmin continuously and you see the reports that we included had continuously engaged with the DMR. MR CHASKALSON SC: I think a yes or a no would suffice to that question. MR SEEDAT: It's not as simple as that. Every year Lonmin engaged, when the DMR came to do inspections and updated them on the situation. If it is a challenge to achieve a particular objective, you're going to try a different approach. The DMR was kept continuously informed as we tried to find a solution to this problem. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Seedat, first the front page of your annual reports about compliance under the SLP, a statement is made in every single one of those reports	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's shift to the second issue which is whether you did is reasonable as opposed to just unlawful. Your basic arguments about affordability, that argument really begs the question about why you failed to build any houses. [14:55] Or to make financial provision for your house construction programme. In 2006/7 and 2007/8, the first two years of your SLP when the boom was at its peak and when you were paying out amounts in annual dividends that were, that could have financed the whole capital budget if you'd taken, asked your shareholders to take 20% discount on the dividends they got in those two financial years, the entire capital budget would have been covered by 20% of the dividends you paid out in 2006 and 2007/8. Have you got any explanation for that? MR SEEDAT: Well, I can only respond based on my experience with other mining companies that I was involved with in applications to have the conversions done. In one case in spite of we thinking that we'd met all the requirements for conversion, it took over five years for the conversion to happen. So if you're not sure whether your commitments are being accepted, it's very

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

	i September 2014 Marikana Comm	noore	on of inquiry Pretor
	Page 38323		Page 38325
1	my Lonmin, is in 2006 I could not put that in the budget	1	said we've got our approval, let's budget for it at the
2	because you were still discussing and negotiating your SLP	2	start of the new financial year, we'll start with this
3	commitments with the DMR. The new order mining rights were	3	process and start building homes.
4	approved in April 2007. The financial year starts in	4	MR CHASKALSON SC: But you've left out
5	October 2000 and – in October every year. So I would	5	one very crucial date and payment which is in the course of
6	assume between April, and I know that the budgets are	6	the 2007/8 financial year Lonmin pays out R2.089 billion in
7	prepared around June, July, from April through to the end	7	dividends to its shareholders. So that's not to acquire an
8	of that year 2007, September 2007, the budgets would be	8	asset, it's not to finance a loan, it's money that is bled
9	prepared to start building in the following year, 2007/2008	9	straight out of the company to shareholders at a time when
10	and if you look at what happened is they started the	10	you know now that you've got the rights and that you are
11	workshops to the employees, they had the focus group	11	going to have a commitment of 665 million to service.
12	interviews, out of that in August 2007 a memo was sent to	12	MR SEEDAT: That was a decision made by
13	the EXCO saying we have talked to the unions, this is where	13	the board. I'm sure they looked at the financial position
14	we've got, we are now prepared to start building 100 show	14	of the company at the time and the commitment, before it
15	houses, that was in August 2007 and then in October 2000 -	15	paid those dividends, so we need to go and look at exactly
16	just after that we had all of the other problems. I'm just	16	whether the financial position was considered at the time
17	going to get my –	17	and that was still the peak of the commodities cycle which
18	CHAIRPERSON: No, hang on, before we get	18	crashed in August, October – sorry, October 2008, yes.
19	there. Once you got your new order rights you didn't at	19	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, the
20	that stage, the market was still booming, platinum was	20	Chairperson referred to one of your statements in evidence
21	still 200, was still \$2 000, you didn't then as prudent	21	in chief and it made a deep impression on me, so I actually
22	housekeepers put money aside. Remember you told us on	22	wrote it down. You said, you quoted someone who said,
23	Thursday, you quoted someone who said that in mining you	23	"Mining is a whole lot of winters with one or two very good
24	must always, a sensible company in good years always puts	24	summers in between, especially if you're a single trick
25	money aside for the bad years that are coming so you can	25	pony."
	Page 38324		Page 38326
1	Page 38324 comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new	1	Page 38326 MR SEEDAT: Ja –
1		1	
	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new		MR SEEDAT: Ja –
2	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say	2	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the
2 3	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there,	2 3	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8.
2 3 4	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some	2 3 4	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in
2 3 4 5	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it	2 3 4 5	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the
2 3 4 5 6	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may	2 3 4 5 6	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two
2 3 4 5 6 7	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do? MR SEEDAT: Sir, I wasn't there at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner? MR SEEDAT: Well, as I mentioned, from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do? MR SEEDAT: Sir, I wasn't there at the time but I'll give you my view. As I mentioned earlier, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner? MR SEEDAT: Well, as I mentioned, from around 2001 the commodities was in a massive super-cycle so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do? MR SEEDAT: Sir, I wasn't there at the time but I'll give you my view. As I mentioned earlier, in June 2006 Lonmin had invested R1.5 billion in buying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner? MR SEEDAT: Well, as I mentioned, from around 2001 the commodities was in a massive super-cycle so you were almost experiencing a very hot summer every year
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do? MR SEEDAT: Sir, I wasn't there at the time but I'll give you my view. As I mentioned earlier, in June 2006 Lonmin had invested R1.5 billion in buying Messina Platinum. In January 2007 it spent a further R4.5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner? MR SEEDAT: Well, as I mentioned, from around 2001 the commodities was in a massive super-cycle so you were almost experiencing a very hot summer every year and based on market analysis – and I'm speculating here, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do? MR SEEDAT: Sir, I wasn't there at the time but I'll give you my view. As I mentioned earlier, in June 2006 Lonmin had invested R1.5 billion in buying Messina Platinum. In January 2007 it spent a further R4.5 billion buying Akanani and in April the DMR gives its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner? MR SEEDAT: Well, as I mentioned, from around 2001 the commodities was in a massive super-cycle so you were almost experiencing a very hot summer every year and based on market analysis – and I'm speculating here, so it's not, I wasn't there – based on market analysis at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do? MR SEEDAT: Sir, I wasn't there at the time but I'll give you my view. As I mentioned earlier, in June 2006 Lonmin had invested R1.5 billion in buying Messina Platinum. In January 2007 it spent a further R4.5 billion buying Akanani and in April the DMR gives its approval to the conversion of the old order to the new order. Normally what would happen then is that as you're preparing the budget for the next year, you would make a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner? MR SEEDAT: Well, as I mentioned, from around 2001 the commodities was in a massive super-cycle so you were almost experiencing a very hot summer every year and based on market analysis – and I'm speculating here, so it's not, I wasn't there – based on market analysis at the time and forecasting, they probably thought that this wonderful summer is going to persist for many, many more years and on that basis decided to pay this dividend,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do? MR SEEDAT: Sir, I wasn't there at the time but I'll give you my view. As I mentioned earlier, in June 2006 Lonmin had invested R1.5 billion in buying Messina Platinum. In January 2007 it spent a further R4.5 billion buying Akanani and in April the DMR gives its approval to the conversion of the old order to the new order. Normally what would happen then is that as you're preparing the budget for the next year, you would make a provision for these commitments and that commitment would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner? MR SEEDAT: Well, as I mentioned, from around 2001 the commodities was in a massive super-cycle so you were almost experiencing a very hot summer every year and based on market analysis – and I'm speculating here, so it's not, I wasn't there – based on market analysis at the time and forecasting, they probably thought that this wonderful summer is going to persist for many, many more years and on that basis decided to pay this dividend, little knowing that a year later there would be this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do? MR SEEDAT: Sir, I wasn't there at the time but I'll give you my view. As I mentioned earlier, in June 2006 Lonmin had invested R1.5 billion in buying Messina Platinum. In January 2007 it spent a further R4.5 billion buying Akanani and in April the DMR gives its approval to the conversion of the old order to the new order. Normally what would happen then is that as you're preparing the budget for the next year, you would make a provision for these commitments and that commitment would then start in on the 1st of October of that year, which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner? MR SEEDAT: Well, as I mentioned, from around 2001 the commodities was in a massive super-cycle so you were almost experiencing a very hot summer every year and based on market analysis – and I'm speculating here, so it's not, I wasn't there – based on market analysis at the time and forecasting, they probably thought that this wonderful summer is going to persist for many, many more years and on that basis decided to pay this dividend, little knowing that a year later there would be this massive crash. Nobody predicted this crash.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do? MR SEEDAT: Sir, I wasn't there at the time but I'll give you my view. As I mentioned earlier, in June 2006 Lonmin had invested R1.5 billion in buying Messina Platinum. In January 2007 it spent a further R4.5 billion buying Akanani and in April the DMR gives its approval to the conversion of the old order to the new order. Normally what would happen then is that as you're preparing the budget for the next year, you would make a provision for these commitments and that commitment would then start in on the 1st of October of that year, which is what it appears to have happened because in November 2007	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner? MR SEEDAT: Well, as I mentioned, from around 2001 the commodities was in a massive super-cycle so you were almost experiencing a very hot summer every year and based on market analysis – and I'm speculating here, so it's not, I wasn't there – based on market analysis at the time and forecasting, they probably thought that this wonderful summer is going to persist for many, many more years and on that basis decided to pay this dividend, little knowing that a year later there would be this massive crash. Nobody predicted this crash. CHAIRPERSON: The longer the period of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do? MR SEEDAT: Sir, I wasn't there at the time but I'll give you my view. As I mentioned earlier, in June 2006 Lonmin had invested R1.5 billion in buying Messina Platinum. In January 2007 it spent a further R4.5 billion buying Akanani and in April the DMR gives its approval to the conversion of the old order to the new order. Normally what would happen then is that as you're preparing the budget for the next year, you would make a provision for these commitments and that commitment would then start in on the 1st of October of that year, which is what it appears to have happened because in November 2007 the housing needs analysis, these interviews with the focus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner? MR SEEDAT: Well, as I mentioned, from around 2001 the commodities was in a massive super-cycle so you were almost experiencing a very hot summer every year and based on market analysis – and I'm speculating here, so it's not, I wasn't there – based on market analysis at the time and forecasting, they probably thought that this wonderful summer is going to persist for many, many more years and on that basis decided to pay this dividend, little knowing that a year later there would be this massive crash. Nobody predicted this crash. CHAIRPERSON: The longer the period of good summers lasted, the cycle of good summers lasted,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do? MR SEEDAT: Sir, I wasn't there at the time but I'll give you my view. As I mentioned earlier, in June 2006 Lonmin had invested R1.5 billion in buying Messina Platinum. In January 2007 it spent a further R4.5 billion buying Akanani and in April the DMR gives its approval to the conversion of the old order to the new order. Normally what would happen then is that as you're preparing the budget for the next year, you would make a provision for these commitments and that commitment would then start in on the 1st of October of that year, which is what it appears to have happened because in November 2007 the housing needs analysis, these interviews with the focus groups all started taking place and in about August 2008, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner? MR SEEDAT: Well, as I mentioned, from around 2001 the commodities was in a massive super-cycle so you were almost experiencing a very hot summer every year and based on market analysis – and I'm speculating here, so it's not, I wasn't there – based on market analysis at the time and forecasting, they probably thought that this wonderful summer is going to persist for many, many more years and on that basis decided to pay this dividend, little knowing that a year later there would be this massive crash. Nobody predicted this crash. CHAIRPERSON: The longer the period of good summers lasted, the cycle of good summers lasted, surely the more likely it was that the next year could be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do? MR SEEDAT: Sir, I wasn't there at the time but I'll give you my view. As I mentioned earlier, in June 2006 Lonmin had invested R1.5 billion in buying Messina Platinum. In January 2007 it spent a further R4.5 billion buying Akanani and in April the DMR gives its approval to the conversion of the old order to the new order. Normally what would happen then is that as you're preparing the budget for the next year, you would make a provision for these commitments and that commitment would then start in on the 1st of October of that year, which is what it appears to have happened because in November 2007 the housing needs analysis, these interviews with the focus groups all started taking place and in about August 2008, I assume after distilling all of this information, et cetera,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner? MR SEEDAT: Well, as I mentioned, from around 2001 the commodities was in a massive super-cycle so you were almost experiencing a very hot summer every year and based on market analysis – and I'm speculating here, so it's not, I wasn't there – based on market analysis at the time and forecasting, they probably thought that this wonderful summer is going to persist for many, many more years and on that basis decided to pay this dividend, little knowing that a year later there would be this massive crash. Nobody predicted this crash. CHAIRPERSON: The longer the period of good summers lasted, the cycle of good summers lasted, surely the more likely it was that the next year could be the beginning of a bad cycle. I mean I can understand in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do? MR SEEDAT: Sir, I wasn't there at the time but I'll give you my view. As I mentioned earlier, in June 2006 Lonmin had invested R1.5 billion in buying Messina Platinum. In January 2007 it spent a further R4.5 billion buying Akanani and in April the DMR gives its approval to the conversion of the old order to the new order. Normally what would happen then is that as you're preparing the budget for the next year, you would make a provision for these commitments and that commitment would then start in on the 1st of October of that year, which is what it appears to have happened because in November 2007 the housing needs analysis, these interviews with the focus groups all started taking place and in about August 2008, I assume after distilling all of this information, et cetera, a presentation was done to the EXCO to start building these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner? MR SEEDAT: Well, as I mentioned, from around 2001 the commodities was in a massive super-cycle so you were almost experiencing a very hot summer every year and based on market analysis – and I'm speculating here, so it's not, I wasn't there – based on market analysis at the time and forecasting, they probably thought that this wonderful summer is going to persist for many, many more years and on that basis decided to pay this dividend, little knowing that a year later there would be this massive crash. Nobody predicted this crash. CHAIRPERSON: The longer the period of good summers lasted, the cycle of good summers lasted, surely the more likely it was that the next year could be the beginning of a bad cycle. I mean I can understand in 2001 thinking it'll last till 2003 but it had gone on for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	comply with your obligations then. Once you got your new order rights in 2007, wasn't the sensible thing then to say right, now we've got them, these commitments are there, it's a good year, we've got super profits, let's put some money away so that we can deal with the situation when it comes because for all we know the price of platinum may fall next year in September and we may then have difficulties? Wasn't that the appropriate thing to do? MR SEEDAT: Sir, I wasn't there at the time but I'll give you my view. As I mentioned earlier, in June 2006 Lonmin had invested R1.5 billion in buying Messina Platinum. In January 2007 it spent a further R4.5 billion buying Akanani and in April the DMR gives its approval to the conversion of the old order to the new order. Normally what would happen then is that as you're preparing the budget for the next year, you would make a provision for these commitments and that commitment would then start in on the 1st of October of that year, which is what it appears to have happened because in November 2007 the housing needs analysis, these interviews with the focus groups all started taking place and in about August 2008, I assume after distilling all of this information, et cetera,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SEEDAT: Ja – MR CHASKALSON SC: You had two of the hottest summers on record for Lonmin in 2006/7 and 2007/8. Dividends of 1.45 billion in 2006/7, 2.089 billion in 2007/8. Are you suggesting that it was reasonable for the board at the time not to realise that with one or two super-good summers, winter might be around the corner, winter was going to be around the corner? MR SEEDAT: Well, as I mentioned, from around 2001 the commodities was in a massive super-cycle so you were almost experiencing a very hot summer every year and based on market analysis – and I'm speculating here, so it's not, I wasn't there – based on market analysis at the time and forecasting, they probably thought that this wonderful summer is going to persist for many, many more years and on that basis decided to pay this dividend, little knowing that a year later there would be this massive crash. Nobody predicted this crash. CHAIRPERSON: The longer the period of good summers lasted, the cycle of good summers lasted, surely the more likely it was that the next year could be the beginning of a bad cycle. I mean I can understand in

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 38327 1 MR SEEDAT: Well, sir, all the mining 2 houses were of the same view that this wonderful super- 3 cycle was going to continue. China was growing at the rate 4 of 8, 9% per annum and you're talking of a population in 5 excess of a billion rand and 250 million people urbanising 6 every year. So all the signs indicated that this cycle was 1 that I think are unrealistic, go too 2 them, but obviously I'm sure the p 3 responsible and I can assure you 4 think is a legitimate question to as 5 not just entitled to an answer, it's 6 whether the answer, the question	Page 38329
<ul> <li>2 houses were of the same view that this wonderful super-</li> <li>3 cycle was going to continue. China was growing at the rate</li> <li>4 of 8, 9% per annum and you're talking of a population in</li> <li>5 excess of a billion rand and 250 million people urbanising</li> <li>2 them, but obviously I'm sure the particular the rate</li> <li>3 responsible and I can assure you</li> <li>4 think is a legitimate question to as</li> <li>5 not just entitled to an answer, it's</li> </ul>	for Lwill disallow
3cycle was going to continue. China was growing at the rate3responsible and I can assure you4of 8, 9% per annum and you're talking of a population in4think is a legitimate question to as5excess of a billion rand and 250 million people urbanising5not just entitled to an answer, it's	
4of 8, 9% per annum and you're talking of a population in4think is a legitimate question to as5excess of a billion rand and 250 million people urbanising5not just entitled to an answer, it's	
5 excess of a billion rand and 250 million people urbanising 5 not just entitled to an answer, it's	• •
	·
	•
7 going to continue for a much longer period and it's not 7 which will help the Commissioners	-
8 only Lonmin, it was most of the other mining houses were in 8 comprehensively with the terms o	
	just posing that we
10 was going to come to an end. Everybody thought there'd be 10 are going to go into a period of w	
11 a soft landing after a good few years and it will be 11 to write an argument, to present a	
12 predictable, that it will come to a soft landing, but 12 get reams and reams of questions	•
13 nobody predicted that it would happen as suddenly as it. 13 I'm going to be embarrassed by the	
14 CHAIRPERSON: On that note perhaps we can 14 happens if there's dissatisfaction w	-
15 take the tea adjournment. I think, Mr Chaskalson, it would 15 there now an interlocutory applica	-
16be helpful if you or Mr Wesley could talk to the cross-16go about this whole new process?	
	look, remember this is
18prepared to see what can be done in regard to adjusting the18a Commission. We want answers	
19 programme.   15 minutes.     19 our report.   If you get buckets full	U U
20 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] 20 it's obviously unreasonable and un	
21 [15:17] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. 21 expect you to answer them, then	
22     You're still under oath, Mr Seedat.       22     answer them. That's the first point	
23 MAHOMED ISMAIL SEEDAT: [s.u.o.] 23 The second point is if I allo	
24     CHAIRPERSON:     Mr Chaskalson.     24     and you choose to give – when I s	
25 MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, before I start 25 put it that way - the party to who	m the interrogatory is
Page 38328	Page 38330
	vasive or an unhelpful or
1 my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be 1 addressed chooses to put up an e	•
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference	es may be drawn against
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I	es may be drawn against
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.	es may be drawn against I can't go at this
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC:	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC:And6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this net	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC:6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this nee7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those parties	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC: And6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this nee7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those partie8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for8pose questions in cross-examination	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on?
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC:6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this ne7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those partie8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for8pose questions in cross-examination9the, if parties get squeezed out today, that, well I would9CHAIRPERSON:	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC:6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this ne7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those partie8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for9CHAIRPERSON:Yes,10ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those10whom I gave, allocated time to as	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC: And6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this ne7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those partie8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,10ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those10whom I gave, allocated time to as11questions on an interrogatory basis.11parties, because it's a substitute for	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross-
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC: And6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this ne7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those partie8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,10ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those10whom I gave, allocated time to as11questions on an interrogatory basis.11take it no one objects to1212CHAIRPERSON:I take it no one objects to12examination time which they would	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase es who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- Id have had, which
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC: And6only parties who may pose this nee2interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding728questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,10ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those10whom I gave, allocated time to as11that, so I should make the ruling that has been requested.12unfortunately they – you know, M	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- Id have had, which r Ntsebeza, I've sat here
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC: And6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this ne7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those partie8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,10ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those10whom I gave, allocated time to as11questions on an interrogatory basis.11whom I gave, allocated time to as12CHAIRPERSON:I take it no one objects to12examination time which they woul13that, so I should make the ruling that has been requested.13unfortunately they – you know, M14MR BURGER SC:No, Chair, I certainly14for two years and people have been	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- ld have had, which r Ntsebeza, I've sat here en doing this and I can't
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on5that party. But further than that I5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC: And6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this ne7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those parties8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,10ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those10whom I gave, allocated time to as11questions on an interrogatory basis.11examination time which they woul12CHAIRPERSON:I take it no one objects to12examination time which they woul13that, so I should make the ruling that has been requested.14for two years and people have been14MR BURGER SC:No, Chair, I certainly14for two years and people have been15haven't thought that through because I'll be at the15stop. Counsel are not, and people	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- Id have had, which r Ntsebeza, I've sat here en doing this and I can't e aren't supposed to walk
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on5that party. But further than that I5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC: And6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this ne7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those partie8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,10ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those10whom I gave, allocated time to as11questions on an interrogatory basis.11parties, because it's a substitute for12CHAIRPERSON:I take it no one objects to12examination time which they woul13that, so I should make the ruling that has been requested.13unfortunately they – you know, M14MR BURGER SC:No, Chair, I certainly14for two years and people have been15haven't thought that through because I'll be at the15stop. Counsel are not, and people16receiving end of those questions. Does that include16between a cross-examining counst	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- ld have had, which r Ntsebeza, I've sat here en doing this and I can't e aren't supposed to walk el or a counsel addressing
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC: And6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this ne7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those partie8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,10ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those10whom I gave, allocated time to as11questions on an interrogatory basis.11aufortunately they – you know, M12CHAIRPERSON:I take it no one objects to12examination time which they woul13that, so I should make the ruling that has been requested.13unfortunately they – you know, M14MR BURGER SC:No, Chair, I certainly14for two years and people have bee15haven't thought that through because I'll be at the15stop. Counsel are not, and people16receiving end of those questions. Does that include16between a cross-examining couns17interrogatories we can put to Dr Forest? I've never met17<	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase es who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- Id have had, which r Ntsebeza, I've sat here en doing this and I can't e aren't supposed to walk el or a counsel addressing usel asking questions of a
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today.AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on5that party. But further than that I5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC: And6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this ne7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those partie8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,10ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those10whom I gave, allocated time to as11questions on an interrogatory basis.11examination time which they woul13that, so I should make the ruling that has been requested.13unfortunately they – you know, M14MR BURGER SC:No, Chair, I certainly14for two years and people have bee15haven't thought that through because I'll be at the15stop. Counsel are not, and people16receiving end of those questions. Does that include16between a cross-examining couns17interrogatories we can put to Dr Forest? I've never met17the Chair and the Chair, or a count18the lady. I've never had	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase es who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- Id have had, which r Ntsebeza, I've sat here en doing this and I can't e aren't supposed to walk el or a counsel addressing usel asking questions of a
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today.AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC: And6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this ne7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those partile8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,10ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those10whom I gave, allocated time to as11questions on an interrogatory basis.11unfortunately they – you know, M12CHAIRPERSON:I take it no one objects to12examination time which they woul13that, so I should make the ruling that has been requested.13unfortunately they – you know, M14MR BURGER SC:No, Chair, I certainly14for two years and people have bee15haven't thought that through because I'll be at the15stop. Counsel are not, and people16receiving end of those questions. Does that include16between a cross-examining couns17interrogatories we can put to Dr Forest? I've never met <td< td=""><td>es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- Id have had, which is Ntsebeza, I've sat here en doing this and I can't e aren't supposed to walk el or a counsel addressing isel asking questions of a e to do it again, but</td></td<>	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- Id have had, which is Ntsebeza, I've sat here en doing this and I can't e aren't supposed to walk el or a counsel addressing isel asking questions of a e to do it again, but
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to1addressed chooses to put up an e3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC: And6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this ne7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those partile8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,9the, if parties get squeezed out today, that, well I would9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,10ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those10whom I gave, allocated time to as11questions on an interrogatory basis.11parties, because it's a substitute for12CHAIRPERSON:I take it no one objects to12examination time which they would13that, so I should make the ruling that has been requested.13unfortunately they – you know, M14MR BURGER SC:No, Chair, I certainly14for two years and people have bee15haven't thought that through because I'll be at the15stop. Counsel are not, and people16receiving end of those questions. Does that include16bet	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- Id have had, which r Ntsebeza, I've sat here en doing this and I can't e aren't supposed to walk el or a counsel addressing isel asking questions of a e to do it again, but
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to2incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-3that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on5that party. But further than that I5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those parties8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,10ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those10whom I gave, allocated time to as11questions on an interrogatory basis.11parties, because it's a substitute for12CHAIRPERSON:I take it no one objects to13unfortunately they – you know, M14MR BURGER SC:No, Chair, I certainly14for two years and people have bee15haven't thought that through because I'l be at the15stop. Counsel are not, and people16receiving end of those questions. Does that include16between a cross-examining couns17interrogatories we can put to Dr Forest? I've never met18witness. You'll never get a chance18the lady. I've never had the opportunity of posing one19witness. You'll never get a chance19 <t< td=""><td>es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- Id have had, which r Ntsebeza, I've sat here en doing this and I can't e aren't supposed to walk el or a counsel addressing isel asking questions of a e to do it again, but</td></t<>	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- Id have had, which r Ntsebeza, I've sat here en doing this and I can't e aren't supposed to walk el or a counsel addressing isel asking questions of a e to do it again, but
1       my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be       1       addressed chooses to put up an e         2       able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to       2       incomplete answer, then inference         3       cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-       3       that party. But further than that I         4       examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on       5       that party. But further than that I         5       the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.       6       The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general         7       interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding       5       MR BURGER SC: And         8       questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for       9       CHAIRPERSON: Yes,         10       ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those       10       whom I gave, allocated time to as         11       questions on an interrogatory basis.       11       parties, because it's a substitute for         12       CHAIRPERSON:       I take it no one objects to       13       unfortunately they – you know, M         14       MR BURGER SC:       No, Chair, I certainly       14       for two years and people have bee         15       haven't thought that through because I'l be at the       15       stop. Counsel a	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- Id have had, which r Ntsebeza, I've sat here en doing this and I can't e aren't supposed to walk el or a counsel addressing usel asking questions of a e to do it again, but Chair, I apologise. I ast him that you were
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to3incomplete answer, then inference3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-4that party. But further than that I4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on5that party. But further than that I5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC: And6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this ne7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those partie8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,9the, if parties get squeezed out today, that, well I would9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,10ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those10whom I gave, allocated time to as11questions on an interrogatory basis.11parties, because it's a substitute for12CHAIRPERSON:I take it no one objects to1313that, so I should make the ruling that has been requested.14for two years and people have bee15haven't thought that through because I'll be at the15stop. Counsel are not, and people16receiving end of those questions. Does that include16between a cross-examining couns17interrogatories we can put to Dr Forest? I've never met18witnes	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- Id have had, which r Ntsebeza, I've sat here en doing this and I can't e aren't supposed to walk el or a counsel addressing isel asking questions of a e to do it again, but Chair, I apologise. I ast him that you were r apology is accepted.
1my cross-examination, it's clear that I'm not going to be1addressed chooses to put up an e2able to finish in the time available today. AMCU needs to3cross-examine on a phase 1 issue, which is a narrow cross-4examination. Other parties who wanted to cross-examine on4stage.5the phase 2 issues are not, may well not have time today.5MR BURGER SC: And6The evidence leaders were contemplating a more general6only parties who may pose this ne7interrogatory process so that parties could put outstanding72 Lonmin witness are those partie8questions to counter-parties, and I would suggest that for8pose questions in cross-examination9the, if parties get squeezed out today, that, well I would9CHAIRPERSON: Yes,10ask for a ruling that they be entitled to put those10whom I gave, allocated time to as11questions on an interrogatory basis.11parties, because it's a substitute for12CHAIRPERSON:I take it no one objects to12examination time which they woul13that, so I should make the ruling that has been requested.13unfortunately they – you know, M14MR BURGER SC:No, Chair, I certainly14for two years and people have bee15haven't thought that through because I'll be at the15stop. Counsel are not, and people16receiving end of those questions.Does that include16between a cross-examining couns17interrogatories we can put to Dr	es may be drawn against I can't go at this d Sir, I take it the ew interrogatory to a phase is who had the right to on? only those parties to sk questions, only those or the cross- Id have had, which r Ntsebeza, I've sat here en doing this and I can't e aren't supposed to walk el or a counsel addressing usel asking questions of a e to do it again, but Chair, I apologise. I ast him that you were

		1	
1	Page 38331		Page 38333
1	time on that kind of thing. I answered your questions now,	1	I'm doing this again. Dividends paid, this is – can we
2	Mr Burger?	2	just have the whole page on screen so that we can see the
3	MR BURGER SC: Yes, thank you, Chair.	3	columns and the row titles? These are the 2009 financials.
4	CHAIRPERSON: And you heard my ruling.	4	If we go down to dividends paid, 2009 financials which have
5	Mr Chaskalson.	5	the 2008 and 2009 figures, scroll down a little. Dividends
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR CHASKALSON SC (CONTD.):	6	paid, so in that 2009 financial year Western Platinum paid
7	Mr Seedat, I want to interrogate this argument of	7	out more than a billion in dividends. Now this was in the
8	affordability that Lonmin has put up, and would you agree	8	middle of the financial crisis, or just after the financial
9	that outside of issues of legal obligation, questions of	9	crisis took effect. Now if the house construction
10	affordability are ultimately questions of choice?	10	programme was recognised as a hard obligation you wouldn't
11	MR SEEDAT: Yes.	11	pay out dividends and then say we can't afford to build
12	MR CHASKALSON SC: You have a limited	12	houses. Would you accept that?
13	amount, a limited resource, you choose how you want to	13	MR SEEDAT: No. Let me explain. These
14	spend it.	14	are WPL statements, alright.
15	MR SEEDAT: Yes.	15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes.
16	MR CHASKALSON SC: You can't accommodate	16	MR SEEDAT: Lonmin PLC has no other
17	everything, you have to make some sacrifices, and you were	17	source of income except from its South African
18	led in your evidence-in-chief on dividends that were paid	18	subsidiaries. Lonmin PLC would have loans on its books.
19	to Incwala and you suggested that this happened because	19	These loans would have to be serviced, as I mentioned
20	Incwala needed the dividends to repay loans and Lonmin was	20	earlier, otherwise you're in violation of your covenants.
21	worried about losing its licence if it lost its BEE	21	In 2009 according to the numbers I have here Lonmin PLC did
22	partner.	22	not pay any dividends to its shareholders. These dividends
23	MR SEEDAT: That's right.	23	flowed from WPL to Lonmin PLC I would suspect to be able to
24	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now by the same logic	24	service loans and other obligations it has at PLC level.
25	am I correct in assuming that if Lonmin thought that the	25	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, I appreciate
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······································
	Page 38332		Page 38334
1	Page 38332 DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to	1	Page 38334 that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which
1 2	6	1 2	5
	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to		that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which
2	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin	2	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to
2 3	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the	2 3	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin.
2 3 4	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a	2 3 4	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those
2 3 4 5	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence?	2 3 4 5	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a
2 3 4 5 6	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied	2 3 4 5 6	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC?
2 3 4 5 6 7	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that,	2 3 4 5 6 7	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely difficult to find other methods of financing. So we would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose on you and your business comes to a dead standstill. That
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely difficult to find other methods of financing. So we would have looked at other, different ways of how we would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose on you and your business comes to a dead standstill. That risk is something that you have to weigh against, okay, you have not built these houses, you are putting an integrated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely difficult to find other methods of financing. So we would have looked at other, different ways of how we would respond to that kind of a challenge if it came up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose on you and your business comes to a dead standstill. That risk is something that you have to weigh against, okay, you have not built these houses, you are putting an integrated strategy together, you are talking to the DMR, you are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely difficult to find other methods of financing. So we would have looked at other, different ways of how we would respond to that kind of a challenge if it came up. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what concerns me about that answer is that it seems that Lonmin sort of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose on you and your business comes to a dead standstill. That risk is something that you have to weigh against, okay, you have not built these houses, you are putting an integrated strategy together, you are talking to the DMR, you are committed to build these houses but not necessarily by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely difficult to find other methods of financing. So we would have looked at other, different ways of how we would respond to that kind of a challenge if it came up. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what concerns me about that answer is that it seems that Lonmin sort of treats the houses not as a real obligation, just as a soft	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose on you and your business comes to a dead standstill. That risk is something that you have to weigh against, okay, you have not built these houses, you are putting an integrated strategy together, you are talking to the DMR, you are committed to build these houses but not necessarily by 2011, which of the lesser - evils is a bad word, but which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely difficult to find other methods of financing. So we would have looked at other, different ways of how we would respond to that kind of a challenge if it came up. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what concerns me about that answer is that it seems that Lonmin sort of treats the houses not as a real obligation, just as a soft obligation, because let's just step back a bit to matters	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose on you and your business comes to a dead standstill. That risk is something that you have to weigh against, okay, you have not built these houses, you are putting an integrated strategy together, you are talking to the DMR, you are committed to build these houses but not necessarily by 2011, which of the lesser - evils is a bad word, but which of these options do you follow, survivability of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely difficult to find other methods of financing. So we would have looked at other, different ways of how we would respond to that kind of a challenge if it came up. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what concerns me about that answer is that it seems that Lonmin sort of treats the houses not as a real obligation, just as a soft obligation, because let's just step back a bit to matters that we traversed earlier this morning. The licence is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose on you and your business comes to a dead standstill. That risk is something that you have to weigh against, okay, you have not built these houses, you are putting an integrated strategy together, you are talking to the DMR, you are committed to build these houses but not necessarily by 2011, which of the lesser - evils is a bad word, but which of these options do you follow, survivability of the business or building houses and going into violation of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely difficult to find other methods of financing. So we would have looked at other, different ways of how we would respond to that kind of a challenge if it came up. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what concerns me about that answer is that it seems that Lonmin sort of treats the houses not as a real obligation, just as a soft obligation, because let's just step back a bit to matters that we traversed earlier this morning. The licence is with WPL. The SLP obligation is with WPL. It's WPL –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose on you and your business comes to a dead standstill. That risk is something that you have to weigh against, okay, you have not built these houses, you are putting an integrated strategy together, you are talking to the DMR, you are committed to build these houses but not necessarily by 2011, which of the lesser - evils is a bad word, but which of these options do you follow, survivability of the business or building houses and going into violation of your covenants?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely difficult to find other methods of financing. So we would have looked at other, different ways of how we would respond to that kind of a challenge if it came up. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what concerns me about that answer is that it seems that Lonmin sort of treats the houses not as a real obligation, just as a soft obligation, because let's just step back a bit to matters that we traversed earlier this morning. The licence is with WPL. The SLP obligation is with WPL. It's WPL – MR SEEDAT: Ja.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose on you and your business comes to a dead standstill. That risk is something that you have to weigh against, okay, you have not built these houses, you are putting an integrated strategy together, you are talking to the DMR, you are committed to build these houses but not necessarily by 2011, which of the lesser - evils is a bad word, but which of these options do you follow, survivability of the business or building houses and going into violation of your covenants? MR CHASKALSON SC: So you would choose to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely difficult to find other methods of financing. So we would have looked at other, different ways of how we would respond to that kind of a challenge if it came up. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what concerns me about that answer is that it seems that Lonmin sort of treats the houses not as a real obligation, just as a soft obligation, because let's just step back a bit to matters that we traversed earlier this morning. The licence is with WPL. The SLP obligation is with WPL. It's WPL – MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: ownonitted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose on you and your business comes to a dead standstill. That risk is something that you have to weigh against, okay, you have not built these houses, you are putting an integrated strategy together, you are talking to the DMR, you are committed to build these houses but not necessarily by 2011, which of the lesser - evils is a bad word, but which of these options do you follow, survivability of the business or building houses and going into violation of your covenants? MR CHASKALSON SC: So you would choose to violate your legal obligation under the MPRDA if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely difficult to find other methods of financing. So we would have looked at other, different ways of how we would respond to that kind of a challenge if it came up. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what concerns me about that answer is that it seems that Lonmin sort of treats the houses not as a real obligation, just as a soft obligation, because let's just step back a bit to matters that we traversed earlier this morning. The licence is with WPL. The SLP obligation is with WPL. It's WPL – MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: - who has committed to the 5 500 houses, WPL/EPL. If we go back to that extract	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose on you and your business comes to a dead standstill. That risk is something that you have to weigh against, okay, you have not built these houses, you are putting an integrated strategy together, you are talking to the DMR, you are committed to build these houses but not necessarily by 2011, which of the lesser - evils is a bad word, but which of these options do you follow, survivability of the business or building houses and going into violation of your covenants? MR CHASKALSON SC: So you would choose to violate your legal obligation under the MPRDA if you thought it was a commercially sensible decision?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely difficult to find other methods of financing. So we would have looked at other, different ways of how we would respond to that kind of a challenge if it came up. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what concerns me about that answer is that it seems that Lonmin sort of treats the houses not as a real obligation, just as a soft obligation, because let's just step back a bit to matters that we traversed earlier this morning. The licence is with WPL. The SLP obligation is with WPL. It's WPL – MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: - who has committed to the 5 500 houses, WPL/EPL. If we go back to that extract from the WPL financials that I showed you on SSSS5, page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose on you and your business comes to a dead standstill. That risk is something that you have to weigh against, okay, you have not built these houses, you are putting an integrated strategy together, you are talking to the DMR, you are committed to build these houses but not necessarily by 2011, which of the lesser - evils is a bad word, but which of these options do you follow, survivability of the business or building houses and going into violation of your covenants? MR CHASKALSON SC: So you would choose to violate your legal obligation under the MPRDA if you thought it was a commercially sensible decision? MR SEEDAT: If you had to choose between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely difficult to find other methods of financing. So we would have looked at other, different ways of how we would respond to that kind of a challenge if it came up. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what concerns me about that answer is that it seems that Lonmin sort of treats the houses not as a real obligation, just as a soft obligation, because let's just step back a bit to matters that we traversed earlier this morning. The licence is with WPL. The SLP obligation is with WPL. It's WPL – MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: - who has committed to the 5 500 houses, WPL/EPL. If we go back to that extract from the WPL financials that I showed you on SSSS5, page 84, can we go there? The 2009 financials. And if we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose on you and your business comes to a dead standstill. That risk is something that you have to weigh against, okay, you have not built these houses, you are putting an integrated strategy together, you are talking to the DMR, you are committed to build these houses but not necessarily by 2011, which of the lesser - evils is a bad word, but which of these options do you follow, survivability of the business or building houses and going into violation of your covenants? MR CHASKALSON SC: So you would choose to violate your legal obligation under the MPRDA if you thought it was a commercially sensible decision? MR SEEDAT: If you had to choose between the survivability of the business and meeting – this is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	DMR was going to withdraw the WPL licence for failing to build the 5 500 houses committed to in the SLP, Lonmin would have made sure that funds were made available for the house construction programme, if you thought it was a material risk to your licence? MR SEEDAT: Well, we would have applied our minds and decided how best to respond. It may be that, you know, we suggest an alternative. It may be that we try to find other means of financing, which to be honest between 2008 and to date would have been extremely difficult to find other methods of financing. So we would have looked at other, different ways of how we would respond to that kind of a challenge if it came up. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what concerns me about that answer is that it seems that Lonmin sort of treats the houses not as a real obligation, just as a soft obligation, because let's just step back a bit to matters that we traversed earlier this morning. The licence is with WPL. The SLP obligation is with WPL. It's WPL – MR SEEDAT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: - who has committed to the 5 500 houses, WPL/EPL. If we go back to that extract from the WPL financials that I showed you on SSSS5, page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that, but let's assume – which probably is the case, which we know is the case, but WPL has loan obligations to private financial institutions, WPL, okay, not Lonmin. Would you ever have contemplated not discharging those obligations in financial year 2009 but still paying a billion dividends out to Lonmin PLC? MR SEEDAT: No, the dividends that are paid from WPL to Lonmin PLC is after local financial commitments are met. I know where you're going with this point and let me explore it further. A bank will foreclose on you and your business comes to a dead standstill. That risk is something that you have to weigh against, okay, you have not built these houses, you are putting an integrated strategy together, you are talking to the DMR, you are committed to build these houses but not necessarily by 2011, which of the lesser - evils is a bad word, but which of these options do you follow, survivability of the business or building houses and going into violation of your covenants? MR CHASKALSON SC: So you would choose to violate your legal obligation under the MPRDA if you thought it was a commercially sensible decision? MR SEEDAT: If you had to choose between

		1	
1	Page 38335 putting people's health at risk. Yes, there are health	1	Page 38337 MR SEEDAT: In 2009 we didn't pay any
2	issues, I will not deny it, but when you're faced with that	2	dividends except the dividends locally to –
3	kind of a choice whether your business is going to survive	3	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I know those, you paid
4	or you put up houses for people that will not have a	4	dividends, and the next year, 2010?
5	business to work in, clearly you make the choice to keep	5	MR SEEDAT: 2010 we paid a dividend of
6	your business surviving.	6	\$30 million.
7	CHAIRPERSON: Are you seriously	7	CHAIRPERSON: And that's translated into
8	suggesting that compliance with your housing obligations	8	rands?
9	would have necessarily brought, forced Lonmin into	9	MR SEEDAT: About R300 million.
10	liquidation?	10	CHAIRPERSON: And how much would the
11	MR SEEDAT: Well Sir, I clearly remember	11	houses have cost?
12	discussions with the CFO when we suggested taking further	12	MR SEEDAT: Well –
13	loans or somehow creating a liability, financial liability	13	CHAIRPERSON: Not all of them, just the
14	to build the houses, his response was we cannot afford to	14	ones you could have built that year.
15	violate our covenants, the banks will foreclose on us. So	15	MR SEEDAT: It's the 5 – well, it depends
16	these were discussions we had. We were talking of, as I	16	how many you think you can build in a year, Sir. So let's
17	say different ways of trying to find financing, and Sir, I	17	say if you build a hundred houses, average cost of
18	mean I mentioned this last week, when you have a shaft	18	R250 000 –
19	which is 80% complete where you've sunk 8 to R9 billion and	19	CHAIRPERSON: Do the sum for me.
20	you've still got to sink a few more hundred million rand to	20	MR SEEDAT: Well, I need a calculator.
21	finish it so you can generate cash by operating it and	21	25 million.
22	employ up to 10 000 people more, you can't even finish	22	CHAIRPERSON: Ja, if you'd done that and
23	that. In fact the choice we made is we will put, not put	23	you'd reduced the dividend payments by that amount you
24	money in that shaft but we'll continue at least with the	24	could have done it, surely?
25	hostels, that is post 2009, because we want to meet that	25	MR SEEDAT: Well Sir, as I say –
	Page 38336		Page 38338
		-	5
1	obligation and we can. So Lonmin had to make some tough	1	CHAIRPERSON: The answer is yes or no,
2	choices.	2	CHAIRPERSON: The answer is yes or no, isn't it?
2 3	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another	2 3	CHAIRPERSON: The answer is yes or no, isn't it? MR SEEDAT: You could financially have
2 3 4	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: The answer is yes or no, isn't it? MR SEEDAT: You could financially have built it, yes, and in fact –
2 3 4 5	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non-	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: The answer is yes or no, isn't it? MR SEEDAT: You could financially have built it, yes, and in fact – CHAIRPERSON: And you could have reduced
2 3 4 5 6	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: The answer is yes or no, isn't it? MR SEEDAT: You could financially have built it, yes, and in fact – CHAIRPERSON: And you could have reduced the dividend and still paid a dividend.
2 3 4 5 6 7	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON:       The answer is yes or no,         isn't it?       MR SEEDAT:       You could financially have         built it, yes, and in fact –       CHAIRPERSON:       And you could have reduced         the dividend and still paid a dividend.       MR SEEDAT:       Probably a lower dividend,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON:       The answer is yes or no,         isn't it?       MR SEEDAT:       You could financially have         built it, yes, and in fact –       CHAIRPERSON:       And you could have reduced         the dividend and still paid a dividend.       MR SEEDAT:       Probably a lower dividend,         yes.       Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON:       The answer is yes or no,         isn't it?       MR SEEDAT:       You could financially have         built it, yes, and in fact -       CHAIRPERSON:       And you could have reduced         the dividend and still paid a dividend.       MR SEEDAT:       Probably a lower dividend,         yes.       CHAIRPERSON:       Yes, a lower dividend,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON:       The answer is yes or no,         isn't it?       MR SEEDAT:       You could financially have         built it, yes, and in fact –       CHAIRPERSON:       And you could have reduced         the dividend and still paid a dividend.       MR SEEDAT:       Probably a lower dividend,         yes.       Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, so you chose to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON:       The answer is yes or no,         isn't it?       MR SEEDAT:       You could financially have         built it, yes, and in fact –       CHAIRPERSON:       And you could have reduced         the dividend and still paid a dividend.       MR SEEDAT:       Probably a lower dividend,         yes.       CHAIRPERSON:       Yes, a lower dividend,         obviously a lower dividend.       It still would have been a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON:       The answer is yes or no,         isn't it?       MR SEEDAT:       You could financially have         built it, yes, and in fact –       CHAIRPERSON:       And you could have reduced         the dividend and still paid a dividend.       MR SEEDAT:       Probably a lower dividend,         yes.       CHAIRPERSON:       Yes, a lower dividend,         obviously a lower dividend.       It still would have been a         dividend.       What would the dividend have been?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, so you chose to break a legal obligation because you didn't want to take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON:The answer is yes or no,isn't it?MR SEEDAT:You could financially havebuilt it, yes, and in fact -CHAIRPERSON:And you could have reducedthe dividend and still paid a dividend.MR SEEDAT:Probably a lower dividend,yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, a lower dividend,obviously a lower dividend.It still would have been adividend.What would the dividend have been? What was thetotal amount of the dividend you paid?I think you said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, so you chose to break a legal obligation because you didn't want to take for instance a big loss on the Akanani purchase.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON:       The answer is yes or no,         isn't it?       MR SEEDAT:       You could financially have         built it, yes, and in fact –       CHAIRPERSON:       And you could have reduced         the dividend and still paid a dividend.       MR SEEDAT:       Probably a lower dividend,         yes.       CHAIRPERSON:       Yes, a lower dividend,         obviously a lower dividend.       It still would have been a         dividend.       What would the dividend have been?       What was the         total amount of the dividend you paid?       I think you said         300 million.       State       State
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, so you chose to break a legal obligation because you didn't want to take for instance a big loss on the Akanani purchase. MR SEEDAT: Well, it's, when you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON:The answer is yes or no,isn't it?MR SEEDAT:You could financially havebuilt it, yes, and in fact -CHAIRPERSON:And you could have reducedthe dividend and still paid a dividend.MR SEEDAT:Probably a lower dividend,yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, a lower dividend,obviously a lower dividend.It still would have been adividend.What would the dividend have been? What was thetotal amount of the dividend you paid?I think you said300 million.\$30 million, whatever the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, so you chose to break a legal obligation because you didn't want to take for instance a big loss on the Akanani purchase. MR SEEDAT: Well, it's, when you're talking of the kind of funding you're talking about and to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON:       The answer is yes or no,         isn't it?       MR SEEDAT:       You could financially have         built it, yes, and in fact –       CHAIRPERSON:       And you could have reduced         the dividend and still paid a dividend.       MR SEEDAT:       Probably a lower dividend,         yes.       CHAIRPERSON:       Yes, a lower dividend,         obviously a lower dividend.       It still would have been a         dividend.       What would the dividend have been?       What was the         total amount of the dividend you paid?       I think you said         300 million.       \$30 million, whatever the         mR SEEDAT:       \$30 million, whatever the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, so you chose to break a legal obligation because you didn't want to take for instance a big loss on the Akanani purchase. MR SEEDAT: Well, it's, when you're talking of the kind of funding you're talking about and to make those kind of decisions you probably have to go to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON:The answer is yes or no,isn't it?MR SEEDAT:You could financially havebuilt it, yes, and in fact -CHAIRPERSON:And you could have reducedthe dividend and still paid a dividend.MR SEEDAT:Probably a lower dividend,yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, a lower dividend,obviously a lower dividend.It still would have been adividend.What would the dividend have been?What would the dividend have been?What was thetotal amount of the dividend you paid? I think you said300 million.MR SEEDAT:\$30 million, whatever theexchange rate was at the time -CHAIRPERSON:It's R300 million.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, so you chose to break a legal obligation because you didn't want to take for instance a big loss on the Akanani purchase. MR SEEDAT: Well, it's, when you're talking of the kind of funding you're talking about and to make those kind of decisions you probably have to go to your shareholders and say we're going to go and lose X	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON:The answer is yes or no,isn't it?MR SEEDAT:You could financially havebuilt it, yes, and in fact -CHAIRPERSON:And you could have reducedthe dividend and still paid a dividend.MR SEEDAT:Probably a lower dividend,yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, a lower dividend,obviously a lower dividend.It still would have been adividend.What would the dividend have been? What was thetotal amount of the dividend you paid?I think you said300 million.\$30 million, whatever theexchange rate was at the time -CHAIRPERSON:It's R300 million.MR SEEDAT:Ja.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, so you chose to break a legal obligation because you didn't want to take for instance a big loss on the Akanani purchase. MR SEEDAT: Well, it's, when you're talking of the kind of funding you're talking about and to make those kind of decisions you probably have to go to your shareholders and say we're going to go and lose X amount of money by selling this early so that we can meet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON:The answer is yes or no,isn't it?MR SEEDAT:You could financially havebuilt it, yes, and in fact -CHAIRPERSON:And you could have reducedthe dividend and still paid a dividend.MR SEEDAT:Probably a lower dividend,yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, a lower dividend,obviously a lower dividend.It still would have been adividend.What would the dividend have been?What was thetotal amount of the dividend you paid?I think you said300 million.\$30 million, whatever theexchange rate was at the time -CHAIRPERSON:It's R300 million.MR SEEDAT:Ja.Ja.CHAIRPERSON:Ja, okay, and the houses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, so you chose to break a legal obligation because you didn't want to take for instance a big loss on the Akanani purchase. MR SEEDAT: Well, it's, when you're talking of the kind of funding you're talking about and to make those kind of decisions you probably have to go to your shareholders and say we're going to go and lose X amount of money by selling this early so that we can meet our housing obligation commitment made in the SLP. I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON:The answer is yes or no,isn't it?MR SEEDAT:You could financially havebuilt it, yes, and in fact –CHAIRPERSON:And you could have reducedthe dividend and still paid a dividend.MR SEEDAT:Probably a lower dividend,yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, a lower dividend,obviously a lower dividend.It still would have been adividend.What would the dividend have been?What was thetotal amount of the dividend you paid?I think you said300 million.\$30 million, whatever theexchange rate was at the time –CHAIRPERSON:It's R300 million.MR SEEDAT:Ja.CHAIRPERSON:Ja, okay, and the houseswould have cost you, how much did you say?MR SEEDAT:100 houses circa R25 million.MR SEEDAT:So you could have paid a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, so you chose to break a legal obligation because you didn't want to take for instance a big loss on the Akanani purchase. MR SEEDAT: Well, it's, when you're talking of the kind of funding you're talking about and to make those kind of decisions you probably have to go to your shareholders and say we're going to go and lose X amount of money by selling this early so that we can meet our housing obligation commitment made in the SLP. I'm not sure how easily shareholders, I would suspect a transaction of that size would have had to have shareholder approval and I'm not sure how the shareholders would have voted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON:The answer is yes or no,isn't it?MR SEEDAT:You could financially havebuilt it, yes, and in fact -CHAIRPERSON:And you could have reducedthe dividend and still paid a dividend.MR SEEDAT:Probably a lower dividend,yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, a lower dividend,obviously a lower dividend.It still would have been adividend.What would the dividend have been?What was thetotal amount of the dividend you paid?I think you said300 million.\$30 million, whatever theexchange rate was at the time -CHAIRPERSON:It's R300 million.MR SEEDAT:Ja.CHAIRPERSON:It's R300 million.MR SEEDAT:Ja.MR SEEDAT:Ja.MR SEEDAT:Ja, okay, and the houseswould have cost you, how much did you say?MR SEEDAT:100 houses circa R25 million.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, so you chose to break a legal obligation because you didn't want to take for instance a big loss on the Akanani purchase. MR SEEDAT: Well, it's, when you're talking of the kind of funding you're talking about and to make those kind of decisions you probably have to go to your shareholders and say we're going to go and lose X amount of money by selling this early so that we can meet our housing obligation commitment made in the SLP. I'm not sure how easily shareholders, I would suspect a transaction of that size would have had to have shareholder approval and I'm not sure how the shareholders would have voted. CHAIRPERSON: But what about passing the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON:The answer is yes or no,isn't it?MR SEEDAT:You could financially havebuilt it, yes, and in fact -CHAIRPERSON:And you could have reducedCHAIRPERSON:And you could have reducedthe dividend and still paid a dividend.MR SEEDAT:Probably a lower dividend,yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, a lower dividend,obviously a lower dividend.It still would have been adividend.What would the dividend have been?What was thetotal amount of the dividend you paid?I think you said300 million.\$30 million, whatever theexchange rate was at the time -CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:Ja, okay, and the houseswould have cost you, how much did you say?MR SEEDAT:MR SEEDAT:100 houses circa R25 million.CHAIRPERSON:So you could have paid atotal dividend in rand terms of 275 million.MR SEEDAT:MR SEEDAT:Possible.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, so you chose to break a legal obligation because you didn't want to take for instance a big loss on the Akanani purchase. MR SEEDAT: Well, it's, when you're talking of the kind of funding you're talking about and to make those kind of decisions you probably have to go to your shareholders and say we're going to go and lose X amount of money by selling this early so that we can meet our housing obligation commitment made in the SLP. I'm not sure how easily shareholders, I would suspect a transaction of that size would have had to have shareholder approval and I'm not sure how the shareholders would have voted. CHAIRPERSON: But what about passing the dividend, or reducing the dividend? You did pay dividends,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON:The answer is yes or no,isn't it?MR SEEDAT:You could financially havebuilt it, yes, and in fact -CHAIRPERSON:And you could have reducedCHAIRPERSON:And you could have reducedthe dividend and still paid a dividend.MR SEEDAT:Probably a lower dividend,yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, a lower dividend,obviously a lower dividend.It still would have been adividend.What would the dividend have been?What was thetotal amount of the dividend you paid?I think you said300 million.MR SEEDAT:\$30 million, whatever theexchange rate was at the time -CHAIRPERSON:It's R300 million.MR SEEDAT:Ja.CHAIRPERSON:Ja, okay, and the houseswould have cost you, how much did you say?MR SEEDAT:100 houses circa R25 million.CHAIRPERSON:So you could have paid atotal dividend in rand terms of 275 million.MR SEEDAT:Possible.CHAIRPERSON:You could have done that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	choices. MR CHASKALSON SC: There was another touch choice in extreme circumstances that Lonmin could always have made. It could have taken some of its non- productive assets, albeit very valuable ones, like Akanani for which it had spent R5 billion, sold them at a loss. It could have done that. MR SEEDAT: Theoretically it could have sold the whole business, but does that make good sense? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, so you chose to break a legal obligation because you didn't want to take for instance a big loss on the Akanani purchase. MR SEEDAT: Well, it's, when you're talking of the kind of funding you're talking about and to make those kind of decisions you probably have to go to your shareholders and say we're going to go and lose X amount of money by selling this early so that we can meet our housing obligation commitment made in the SLP. I'm not sure how easily shareholders, I would suspect a transaction of that size would have had to have shareholder approval and I'm not sure how the shareholders would have voted. CHAIRPERSON: But what about passing the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: The answer is yes or no, isn't it? MR SEEDAT: You could financially have built it, yes, and in fact – CHAIRPERSON: And you could have reduced the dividend and still paid a dividend. MR SEEDAT: Probably a lower dividend, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, a lower dividend, obviously a lower dividend. It still would have been a dividend. What would the dividend have been? What was the total amount of the dividend you paid? I think you said 300 million. MR SEEDAT: \$30 million, whatever the exchange rate was at the time – CHAIRPERSON: It's R300 million. MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay, and the houses would have cost you, how much did you say? MR SEEDAT: 100 houses circa R25 million. CHAIRPERSON: So you could have paid a total dividend in rand terms of 275 million. MR SEEDAT: Possible.

<b>—</b>		I	
1	Page 38339 CHAIRPERSON: The company wouldn't have	1	Page 38341 to mop up on Monday the 29th, and also Mr Burger will have a
2	gone into liquidation if you'd done that, would it?	2	chance to ask a few questions in re-examination if he
3	MR SEEDAT: No, if the dividends – well,	3	considers it –
4	depending how the shareholders would have reacted to a	4	MR BURGER SC: I'm not available on the
5	lower dividend as well, we need to take –	5	29th.
6	CHAIRPERSON: Well, the shareholders	6	CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'm sorry but
7	couldn't have put the company into liquidation because –	7	perhaps –
, 8	MR SEEDAT: No.	8	MR BURGER SC: I'm sure Mr Bham or
9	CHAIRPERSON: - the dividend wasn't as	9	somebody from my team will be here, Chair.
, 10	high as they wished, could they?	10	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I was hoping
11	MR SEEDAT: They wouldn't, but they will	11	you'd say that and am pleased my hopes were realised.
12	vote with their feet, sell their shares, share price would	12	Thank you. Yes, so Mr Chaskalson, I'm sorry to interrupt
13	drop –	13	you but I think that's important information you should
14	CHAIRPERSON: Ja well, some of them could	14	have.
15	have sold their shares, but so? The company would still	15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, that
16	have existed.	16	does change the way that I will go forward.
17	MR SEEDAT: Yes.	17	CHAIRPERSON: As I say, that will obviate
18	CHAIRPERSON: Even though the shares were	18	the need for the interrogatories from those parties who
19	in different hands. Isn't that so?	19	were intending to cross-examine on phase 2. The general
20	MR SEEDAT: Ja, at a lower value.	20	point about interrogatories with respect to phase 1 still
21	CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, but - I	21	stands, subject to the points I've made about
22	understand that. Anyway, I think the point has been made.	22	reasonableness and so forth.
23	MR CHASKALSON SC: While we're talking	23	MR CHASKALSON SC: The affordability
24	about lower value of shares, we analysed the Lonmin share	24	argument also begs the question of what consequences you
25	price on the London Stock Exchange because we wanted not to	25	suffer if you don't provide decent living conditions for
1	Page 38340 look at the effect of changes in the value of the rand,	1	Page 38342 your workers and in that regard I've just referred to that
2	before and after the 2012 strike and before and after the	2	20% loss of share value in the course of the strike. You
3	2014 strike, and the products of our analysis are on page	3	mentioned in your evidence-in-chief that at full capacity
4	378 of SSSS5. You'd been shown a copy of the document.	4	the Marikana Mines generate R50 million a day.
5	What they show is that in each of these two strikes the	5	MR SEEDAT: In turnover, yes.
6	share price dropped by 20%, more than 20% over the course	6	MR CHASKALSON SC: In turnover, turnover.
7			
	of the strike. You accept that?	7	MR SEEDAT: Turnover, determined,
8	of the strike. You accept that? MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes.	7 8	
8 9			MR SEEDAT: Turnover, determined,
	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes.	8	MR SEEDAT: Turnover, determined, dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera,
9	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, so labour unrest	8 9	MR SEEDAT: Turnover, determined, dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera, but ja, plus-minus.
9 10	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, so labour unrest is obviously also very damaging to the share price.	8 9 10	MR SEEDAT: Turnover, determined, dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera, but ja, plus-minus. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now during a strike
9 10 11	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, so labour unrest is obviously also very damaging to the share price. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, it sounds as if you're moving on to a slightly different	8 9 10 11	MR SEEDAT:Turnover, determined,dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera,but ja, plus-minus.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now during a strikeyou don't have any of that turnover.MR SEEDAT:Well, it depends because ifyou have work in process, you have stockpiles of ore, you
9 10 11 12 13 14	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, so labour unrest is obviously also very damaging to the share price. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, it sounds as if you're moving on to a slightly different point. Can I say to you, we've been having a discussion	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR SEEDAT: Turnover, determined, dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera, but ja, plus-minus. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now during a strike you don't have any of that turnover. MR SEEDAT: Well, it depends because if you have work in process, you have stockpiles of ore, you have work in process in the concentrators and throughout
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, so labour unrest is obviously also very damaging to the share price. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, it sounds as if you're moving on to a slightly different point. Can I say to you, we've been having a discussion here at our table and we've come to the conclusion that the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR SEEDAT:Turnover, determined,dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera,but ja, plus-minus.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now during a strikeyou don't have any of that turnover.MR SEEDAT:Well, it depends because ifyou have work in process, you have stockpiles of ore, youhave work in process in the concentrators and throughoutthe value chain and what we did in 2012 to avoid us
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, so labour unrest is obviously also very damaging to the share price. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, it sounds as if you're moving on to a slightly different point. Can I say to you, we've been having a discussion here at our table and we've come to the conclusion that the answer to the problem that we debated earlier is for us to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR SEEDAT:Turnover, determined,dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera,but ja, plus-minus.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now during a strikeyou don't have any of that turnover.MR SEEDAT:Well, it depends because ifyou have work in process.you have stockpiles of ore, youhave work in process in the concentrators and throughoutthe value chain and what we did in 2012 to avoid usviolating our covenants is literally drained the entire
<ol> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> </ol>	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, so labour unrest is obviously also very damaging to the share price. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, it sounds as if you're moving on to a slightly different point. Can I say to you, we've been having a discussion here at our table and we've come to the conclusion that the answer to the problem that we debated earlier is for us to on Monday the 29th to have a session from quarter to 2 until	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR SEEDAT:Turnover, determined,dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera,but ja, plus-minus.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now during a strikeyou don't have any of that turnover.MR SEEDAT:Well, it depends because ifyou have work in process, you have stockpiles of ore, youhave work in process in the concentrators and throughoutthe value chain and what we did in 2012 to avoid usviolating our covenants is literally drained the entiresystem of every ounce of platinum so that we could have
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, so labour unrest is obviously also very damaging to the share price. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, it sounds as if you're moving on to a slightly different point. Can I say to you, we've been having a discussion here at our table and we've come to the conclusion that the answer to the problem that we debated earlier is for us to on Monday the 29th to have a session from quarter to 2 until 4 o'clock. That will accommodate those to whom we've	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SEEDAT:Turnover, determined,dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera,but ja, plus-minus.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now during a strikeyou don't have any of that turnover.MR SEEDAT:Well, it depends because ifyou have work in process, you have stockpiles of ore, youhave work in process in the concentrators and throughoutthe value chain and what we did in 2012 to avoid usviolating our covenants is literally drained the entiresystem of every ounce of platinum so that we could haveenough cash to meet our obligations.
<ol> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, so labour unrest is obviously also very damaging to the share price. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, it sounds as if you're moving on to a slightly different point. Can I say to you, we've been having a discussion here at our table and we've come to the conclusion that the answer to the problem that we debated earlier is for us to on Monday the 29th to have a session from quarter to 2 until 4 o'clock. That will accommodate those to whom we've allocated time for the purposes of cross-examination,	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR SEEDAT: Turnover, determined, dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera, but ja, plus-minus. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now during a strike you don't have any of that turnover. MR SEEDAT: Well, it depends because if you have work in process, you have stockpiles of ore, you have work in process in the concentrators and throughout the value chain and what we did in 2012 to avoid us violating our covenants is literally drained the entire system of every ounce of platinum so that we could have enough cash to meet our obligations. So that when we started it took another two months, I think it was, before
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, so labour unrest is obviously also very damaging to the share price. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, it sounds as if you're moving on to a slightly different point. Can I say to you, we've been having a discussion here at our table and we've come to the conclusion that the answer to the problem that we debated earlier is for us to on Monday the 29th to have a session from quarter to 2 until 4 o'clock. That will accommodate those to whom we've allocated time for the purposes of cross-examination, that's AMCU, the LRC – AMCU 30 minutes I think, LRC 25, and	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR SEEDAT:Turnover, determined,dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera,but ja, plus-minus.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now during a strikeyou don't have any of that turnover.MR SEEDAT:Well, it depends because ifyou have work in process, you have stockpiles of ore, youhave work in process in the concentrators and throughoutthe value chain and what we did in 2012 to avoid usviolating our covenants is literally drained the entiresystem of every ounce of platinum so that we could haveenough cash to meet our obligations.So that when westarted it took another two months, I think it was, beforeyou filled this pipeline all the wat trough to the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, so labour unrest is obviously also very damaging to the share price. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, it sounds as if you're moving on to a slightly different point. Can I say to you, we've been having a discussion here at our table and we've come to the conclusion that the answer to the problem that we debated earlier is for us to on Monday the 29th to have a session from quarter to 2 until 4 o'clock. That will accommodate those to whom we've allocated time for the purposes of cross-examination, that's AMCU, the LRC – AMCU 30 minutes I think, LRC 25, and the Human Rights Commission 25. Those are the allocations	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR SEEDAT: Turnover, determined, dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera, but ja, plus-minus. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now during a strike you don't have any of that turnover. MR SEEDAT: Well, it depends because if you have work in process, you have stockpiles of ore, you have work in process in the concentrators and throughout the value chain and what we did in 2012 to avoid us violating our covenants is literally drained the entire system of every ounce of platinum so that we could have enough cash to meet our obligations. So that when we started it took another two months, I think it was, before you filled this pipeline all the way through to the finished product again.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, so labour unrest is obviously also very damaging to the share price. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, it sounds as if you're moving on to a slightly different point. Can I say to you, we've been having a discussion here at our table and we've come to the conclusion that the answer to the problem that we debated earlier is for us to on Monday the 29th to have a session from quarter to 2 until 4 o'clock. That will accommodate those to whom we've allocated time for the purposes of cross-examination, that's AMCU, the LRC – AMCU 30 minutes I think, LRC 25, and the Human Rights Commission 25. Those are the allocations we made and if we sit on the Monday afternoon the 29th from	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SEEDAT: Turnover, determined, dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera, but ja, plus-minus. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now during a strike you don't have any of that turnover. MR SEEDAT: Well, it depends because if you have work in process, you have stockpiles of ore, you have work in process in the concentrators and throughout the value chain and what we did in 2012 to avoid us violating our covenants is literally drained the entire system of every ounce of platinum so that we could have enough cash to meet our obligations. So that when we started it took another two months, I think it was, before you filled this pipeline all the way through to the finished product again. [15:37] MR CHASKALSON SC: During a strike you'll
<ul> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ul>	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, so labour unrest is obviously also very damaging to the share price. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, it sounds as if you're moving on to a slightly different point. Can I say to you, we've been having a discussion here at our table and we've come to the conclusion that the answer to the problem that we debated earlier is for us to on Monday the 29th to have a session from quarter to 2 until 4 o'clock. That will accommodate those to whom we've allocated time for the purposes of cross-examination, that's AMCU, the LRC – AMCU 30 minutes I think, LRC 25, and the Human Rights Commission 25. Those are the allocations we made and if we sit on the Monday afternoon the 29th from quarter to 2 we will be able to honour those allocations.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SEEDAT: Turnover, determined, dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera, but ja, plus-minus. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now during a strike you don't have any of that turnover. MR SEEDAT: Well, it depends because if you have work in process, you have stockpiles of ore, you have work in process in the concentrators and throughout the value chain and what we did in 2012 to avoid us violating our covenants is literally drained the entire system of every ounce of platinum so that we could have enough cash to meet our obligations. So that when we started it took another two months, I think it was, before you filled this pipeline all the way through to the finished product again. [15:37] MR CHASKALSON SC: During a strike you'll have, you'll make some savings on, for instance, labour
<ol> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	MR SEEDAT: Around there, yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, so labour unrest is obviously also very damaging to the share price. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Chaskalson, it sounds as if you're moving on to a slightly different point. Can I say to you, we've been having a discussion here at our table and we've come to the conclusion that the answer to the problem that we debated earlier is for us to on Monday the 29th to have a session from quarter to 2 until 4 o'clock. That will accommodate those to whom we've allocated time for the purposes of cross-examination, that's AMCU, the LRC – AMCU 30 minutes I think, LRC 25, and the Human Rights Commission 25. Those are the allocations we made and if we sit on the Monday afternoon the 29th from	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SEEDAT: Turnover, determined, dependent on platinum price and exchange rate, etcetera, but ja, plus-minus. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now during a strike you don't have any of that turnover. MR SEEDAT: Well, it depends because if you have work in process, you have stockpiles of ore, you have work in process in the concentrators and throughout the value chain and what we did in 2012 to avoid us violating our covenants is literally drained the entire system of every ounce of platinum so that we could have enough cash to meet our obligations. So that when we started it took another two months, I think it was, before you filled this pipeline all the way through to the finished product again. [15:37] MR CHASKALSON SC: During a strike you'll

1MR CHASKALSON SC:On variable costs.1incur that cost.2Now there's a JP Morgan report in your bundle which2MR SEEDAT:Yes? So out of the second	Page 38345
5 1 5	
	•
3 suggests that, as a rule of thumb in the platinum industry, 3 take out 70, that's 35 and then you've got y	your variable
4 70% of cost is fixed. 4 costs.	
	II, presumably
6 MR CHASKALSON SC: So in terms of lost 6 there's some profit into your daily operation	
7 turnover, what would you estimate the cost of the strike, a 7 50 million is the turnover you generate from	n daily
8 prolonged strike? 8 production at full steam.	
9 MR SEEDAT: Well, as I say, around – 9 MR SEEDAT: Yes.	
	your cost, of
11 MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, sorry, sorry, 11 your daily cost is fixed. You've got to carry	on paying
12 lost income. 12 that in a strike. 13 MD SEEDAT: So the 25 million	off the FO
13 CHAIRPERSON: - lost profit, don't you? 13 MR SEEDAT: So the 35 million	
14MR CHASKALSON SC:Well, lost income.14million, you take off 35million to pay those15CHAIRPERSON:Ja.15CHAIRPERSON:[Microphone of the second seco	
	it you're not
10MR SEEDAT:Profit of income? Income? Income10MR CHASKALSON SC.Fes, but17after all expenses?17getting the 50 million because your product	•
18 MR CHASKALSON SC: Ja, well, let me put 18 happening.	
19 it this way, turnover less variable cost, what would that 19 MR SEEDAT: I'm finding it diff	ficult to
20 give us? 20 understand your question.	
	maybe I'm being
22 costs? 22 a bit obtuse here. You lose 50 million in ou	
23 MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but fixed costs 23 strike.	arput during a
24 you're going to incur whether it's, whether it's there or 24 MR SEEDAT: Yes. And you've	e still aot
25 not. 25 your fixed costs.	ger
Page 38344 1 MR SEEDAT: Well, but if you produce 1 MR CHASKALSON SC: You've s	Page 38346
1MR SEEDAT:Well, but if you produce1MR CHASKALSON SC:You've s2you're covering the fixed costs so –2fixed costs.	still got your
2you're covering the fixed costs so -2fixed costs.3MR CHASKALSON SC:You lose your 503MR SEEDAT:Yes.	
	, so you've got
5 MR SEEDAT: Ja. 5 approximately 35 million fixed costs that you	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
6 MR CHASKALSON SC: You save the variable 6 pay, so it's really the fixed cost that is the p	
7 cost. What's your net position? 7 cost to you during a strike.	
O IVIR SEEDAT. AVAILLI UEPELIUS ULI PIALILIUTTI O IVIR SEEDAT. ITALS CUTECL.	
5 1 1	iable cost
5 1 1	iable cost
9 price, depends on exchange rates – 9 MR CHASKALSON SC: The vari	iable cost
9price, depends on exchange rates -9MR CHASKALSON SC:The varian10CHAIRPERSON:We're assuming more or less10you've saved, the output you've lost.11what the platinum price is and what the exchange rates are11MR SEEDAT:Yes.	iable cost t's about 35
9price, depends on exchange rates –9MR CHASKALSON SC:The variant10CHAIRPERSON:We're assuming more or less10you've saved, the output you've lost.11what the platinum price is and what the exchange rates are11MR SEEDAT:Yes.	
9price, depends on exchange rates –9MR CHASKALSON SC:The variant10CHAIRPERSON:We're assuming more or less10you've saved, the output you've lost.11what the platinum price is and what the exchange rates are11MR SEEDAT:Yes.12because we're starting with a figure of 50 million, aren't12MR CHASKALSON SC:And that	
9price, depends on exchange rates –9MR CHASKALSON SC:The variant of the price is and what the exchange rates are10CHAIRPERSON:We're assuming more or less10you've saved, the output you've lost.11what the platinum price is and what the exchange rates are11MR SEEDAT:Yes.12because we're starting with a figure of 50 million, aren't12MR CHASKALSON SC:And that13we?13million.	
9price, depends on exchange rates –9MR CHASKALSON SC:The variant of the second price of the se	
9price, depends on exchange rates –9MR CHASKALSON SC:The variant of the va	t's about 35
9price, depends on exchange rates –9MR CHASKALSON SC:The variant10CHAIRPERSON:We're assuming more or less10you've saved, the output you've lost.11what the platinum price is and what the exchange rates are11MR SEEDAT:Yes.12because we're starting with a figure of 50 million, aren't12MR CHASKALSON SC:And that13we?13million.14MR SEEDAT:Yes, well –14MR SEEDAT:The fixed cost.15CHAIRPERSON:50 million makes15MR CHASKALSON SC:Rough.16assumptions about exchange rates and –16MR SEEDAT:Yes.17MR SEEDAT:That's right.17CHAIRPERSON:But then you and18CHAIRPERSON:- and platinum price. So18factor in that the platinum is still in the ground	t's about 35 also have to ınd, so
9price, depends on exchange rates –9MR CHASKALSON SC:The variable10CHAIRPERSON:We're assuming more or less10you've saved, the output you've lost.11what the platinum price is and what the exchange rates are11MR SEEDAT:Yes.12because we're starting with a figure of 50 million, aren't12MR CHASKALSON SC:And that13we?13million.14MR SEEDAT:Yes, well –14MR SEEDAT:The fixed cost.15CHAIRPERSON:50 million makes15MR CHASKALSON SC:Rough.16assumptions about exchange rates and –16MR SEEDAT:Yes.17MR SEEDAT:That's right.17CHAIRPERSON:But then you are18CHAIRPERSON:- and platinum price. So18factor in that the platinum is still in the grout19sticking with those assumptions, what's the answer to the19though you've lost theoretically 35 on a dail	t's about 35 also have to ınd, so y income loss
9price, depends on exchange rates –9MR CHASKALSON SC:The variant of the second price of the se	t's about 35 also have to ınd, so y income loss of it back
9price, depends on exchange rates –9MR CHASKALSON SC:The variant of the sector of the sect	t's about 35 also have to ind, so y income loss of it back wise have taken
9price, depends on exchange rates –9MR CHASKALSON SC:The variant of the section of the se	t's about 35 also have to ind, so y income loss of it back wise have taken t ramping up
9price, depends on exchange rates -9MR CHASKALSON SC:The variable10CHAIRPERSON:We're assuming more or less10you've saved, the output you've lost.11what the platinum price is and what the exchange rates are11MR SEEDAT:Yes.12because we're starting with a figure of 50 million, aren't12MR CHASKALSON SC:And that13we?13million.14MR SEEDAT:Yes, well -14MR SEEDAT:The fixed cost.15CHAIRPERSON:50 million makes15MR CHASKALSON SC:Rough.16assumptions about exchange rates and -16MR SEEDAT:Yes.17MR SEEDAT:That's right.17CHAIRPERSON:But then you at18CHAIRPERSON:- and platinum price. So18factor in that the platinum is still in the grout19sticking with those assumptions, what's the answer to the19though you've lost theoretically 35 on a dail20question?20basis, you'll be able to get most of it, some21MR SEEDAT:I am subject to correction2122but I give a gross net figure of between 5 and R10 million22out is still in the ground. So when you start23a day, subject to correction, I need -23or whatever you do once the strike over, the	t's about 35 also have to und, so y income loss of it back wise have taken t ramping up en the loss that
9price, depends on exchange rates –9MR CHASKALSON SC:The variant of the section of the se	t's about 35 also have to ind, so y income loss of it back wise have taken t ramping up en the loss that the fact that

	Page 38347		Page 38349
1	and to sell, isn't that so?	1	to 700 million. Maybe I'm being too glib with equations
2	MR SEEDAT: No, sir. That's not correct	2	but the point that I'm making is that the strike costs you
3	because the 35 million is a sunk cost. The day you don't	3	a great deal of money.
4	produce, you incur those costs, it's sunk. Tomorrow you	4	MR SEEDAT: Absolutely, it costs a great
5	produce, the 35 million on that day has to be covered by	5	deal of money.
6	the ore that you produce tomorrow.	6	MR CHASKALSON SC: And when you assess
7	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but theoretically	7	the cost of not providing housing do you not accept that
8	there's more ore, there's ore left. Either the mine will	8	you also need to assess the potential social costs and
9	last for longer or you can ramp up your production and	9	labour unrest costs of that choice?
10	perhaps take out more than 50 million on a day because at	10	MR SEEDAT: Well, if one assumes that the
11	the moment I believe you're ramping up, is that right?	11	strike was purely based on housing, but it wasn't, but if
12	MR SEEDAT: At the moment -	12	there was a strike purely on housing, absolutely. I mean
13	CHAIRPERSON: After the strike?	13	every time we have a strike and we look at the potential
14	MR SEEDAT: - strike, we are ramping up,	14	settlements we have to reach, we evaluate that against how
15	yes.	15	long the strike is going to last, how much money we're
16	CHAIRPERSON: And which means, amongst	16	going to lose, et cetera, et cetera. So those equations
17	other things, that you can work on Saturdays. I read a	17	are continuously done in the organisation.
18	report in the newspaper the other day, I don't know if	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you see I don't
19	that's Lonmin but some of the other companies are actually	19	think it's just an issue of whether the strike was about
20	working on Saturdays, which they don't normally do or	20	housing. This one wasn't, it was about a wage demand.
21	certainly not to the same extent. Is that right?	21	It's a broader issue and I want to put to you that the
22	MR SEEDAT: That's right but you can only	22	Lonmin board recognised that in the wake of the Marikana
23	ramp up to a certain point because you only have a certain	23	tragedy and if I can take you to the letter that your
24	number of production faces that you can produce from.	24	chairperson wrote at the first AGM after Marikana, it's
25	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understand. All	25	SSSS5 page 275. 375 sorry, 375 not 275, it was my error.
	Page 38348		Page 38350
1	Page 38348 I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got	1	Page 38350 CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for
1 2	6	1 2	-
	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got		CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for
2	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra	2	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you.
2 3	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an	2 3	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second
2 3 4	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science –	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a
2 3 4 5	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja.	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and
2 3 4 5 6	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining
2 3 4 5 6 7	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the platinum in the ground, you're going to mine it at a future	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing unrest through the entire sector which highlighted issues
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the platinum in the ground, you're going to mine it at a future date and the time value of that money you can bring back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing unrest through the entire sector which highlighted issues of trust between government, unions, employees,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the platinum in the ground, you're going to mine it at a future date and the time value of that money you can bring back but the R35 million for ventilation, other fixed costs, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing unrest through the entire sector which highlighted issues of trust between government, unions, employees, communities, mining companies and society at large. Re-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the platinum in the ground, you're going to mine it at a future date and the time value of that money you can bring back but the R35 million for ventilation, other fixed costs, is sunk. You'll only recover a small fraction of that at some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing unrest through the entire sector which highlighted issues of trust between government, unions, employees, communities, mining companies and society at large. Re- establishing that trust is vital to ensuring sustainable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the platinum in the ground, you're going to mine it at a future date and the time value of that money you can bring back but the R35 million for ventilation, other fixed costs, is sunk. You'll only recover a small fraction of that at some future date.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing unrest through the entire sector which highlighted issues of trust between government, unions, employees, communities, mining companies and society at large. Re- establishing that trust is vital to ensuring sustainable value creation for all or stakeholders, including our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the platinum in the ground, you're going to mine it at a future date and the time value of that money you can bring back but the R35 million for ventilation, other fixed costs, is sunk. You'll only recover a small fraction of that at some future date. MR CHASKALSON SC: I mean let's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing unrest through the entire sector which highlighted issues of trust between government, unions, employees, communities, mining companies and society at large. Re- establishing that trust is vital to ensuring sustainable value creation for all or stakeholders, including our shareholders, and will require a fundamental change over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the platinum in the ground, you're going to mine it at a future date and the time value of that money you can bring back but the R35 million for ventilation, other fixed costs, is sunk. You'll only recover a small fraction of that at some future date. MR CHASKALSON SC: I mean let's not nitpick about precise amounts but if you can save yourself	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing unrest through the entire sector which highlighted issues of trust between government, unions, employees, communities, mining companies and society at large. Re- establishing that trust is vital to ensuring sustainable value creation for all or stakeholders, including our shareholders, and will require a fundamental change over time in the way that we do things." So Lonmin understood
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the platinum in the ground, you're going to mine it at a future date and the time value of that money you can bring back but the R35 million for ventilation, other fixed costs, is sunk. You'll only recover a small fraction of that at some future date. MR CHASKALSON SC: I mean let's not nitpick about precise amounts but if you can save yourself 20 lost working days on a strike, you are covering what was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing unrest through the entire sector which highlighted issues of trust between government, unions, employees, communities, mining companies and society at large. Re- establishing that trust is vital to ensuring sustainable value creation for all or stakeholders, including our shareholders, and will require a fundamental change over time in the way that we do things." So Lonmin understood the Marikana tragedy as being linked to a breakdown of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the platinum in the ground, you're going to mine it at a future date and the time value of that money you can bring back but the R35 million for ventilation, other fixed costs, is sunk. You'll only recover a small fraction of that at some future date. MR CHASKALSON SC: I mean let's not nitpick about precise amounts but if you can save yourself 20 lost working days on a strike, you are covering what was that capital budget, are you not?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing unrest through the entire sector which highlighted issues of trust between government, unions, employees, communities, mining companies and society at large. Re- establishing that trust is vital to ensuring sustainable value creation for all or stakeholders, including our shareholders, and will require a fundamental change over time in the way that we do things." So Lonmin understood the Marikana tragedy as being linked to a breakdown of trust, inter alia between itself and its employees. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the platinum in the ground, you're going to mine it at a future date and the time value of that money you can bring back but the R35 million for ventilation, other fixed costs, is sunk. You'll only recover a small fraction of that at some future date. MR CHASKALSON SC: I mean let's not nitpick about precise amounts but if you can save yourself 20 lost working days on a strike, you are covering what was that capital budget, are you not? MR SEEDAT: 20 lost working days and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing unrest through the entire sector which highlighted issues of trust between government, unions, employees, communities, mining companies and society at large. Re- establishing that trust is vital to ensuring sustainable value creation for all or stakeholders, including our shareholders, and will require a fundamental change over time in the way that we do things." So Lonmin understood the Marikana tragedy as being linked to a breakdown of trust, inter alia between itself and its employees. Do you accept that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the platinum in the ground, you're going to mine it at a future date and the time value of that money you can bring back but the R35 million for ventilation, other fixed costs, is sunk. You'll only recover a small fraction of that at some future date. MR CHASKALSON SC: I mean let's not nitpick about precise amounts but if you can save yourself 20 lost working days on a strike, you are covering what was that capital budget, are you not? MR SEEDAT: 20 lost working days and you've got to look at the net profit or the recovery –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing unrest through the entire sector which highlighted issues of trust between government, unions, employees, communities, mining companies and society at large. Re- establishing that trust is vital to ensuring sustainable value creation for all or stakeholders, including our shareholders, and will require a fundamental change over time in the way that we do things." So Lonmin understood the Marikana tragedy as being linked to a breakdown of trust, inter alia between itself and its employees. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the platinum in the ground, you're going to mine it at a future date and the time value of that money you can bring back but the R35 million for ventilation, other fixed costs, is sunk. You'll only recover a small fraction of that at some future date. MR CHASKALSON SC: I mean let's not nitpick about precise amounts but if you can save yourself 20 lost working days on a strike, you are covering what was that capital budget, are you not? MR SEEDAT: 20 lost working days and you've got to look at the net profit or the recovery – MR CHASKALSON SC: You've got a sunk cost	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing unrest through the entire sector which highlighted issues of trust between government, unions, employees, communities, mining companies and society at large. Re- establishing that trust is vital to ensuring sustainable value creation for all or stakeholders, including our shareholders, and will require a fundamental change over time in the way that we do things." So Lonmin understood the Marikana tragedy as being linked to a breakdown of trust, inter alia between itself and its employees. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it recognised that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the platinum in the ground, you're going to mine it at a future date and the time value of that money you can bring back but the R35 million for ventilation, other fixed costs, is sunk. You'll only recover a small fraction of that at some future date. MR CHASKALSON SC: I mean let's not nitpick about precise amounts but if you can save yourself 20 lost working days on a strike, you are covering what was that capital budget, are you not? MR SEEDAT: 20 lost working days and you've got to look at the net profit or the recovery – MR CHASKALSON SC: You've got a sunk cost of roughly 35 million a day that you lose.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing unrest through the entire sector which highlighted issues of trust between government, unions, employees, communities, mining companies and society at large. Re- establishing that trust is vital to ensuring sustainable value creation for all or stakeholders, including our shareholders, and will require a fundamental change over time in the way that we do things." So Lonmin understood the Marikana tragedy as being linked to a breakdown of trust, inter alia between itself and its employees. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it recognised that if it was going to be able to create sustainable value for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm saying to you is that 35 figure theoretically has got to be reduced to factor in the fact that there's extra platinum you can take out and you can ramp up. It's not an exact science – MR SEEDAT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But notionally there must be some kind of return you get on your 35 that you've spent when you, because the platinum is still in the ground. MR SEEDAT: Sir, it's minimal because yes, you have the time value of the money, you've got the platinum in the ground, you're going to mine it at a future date and the time value of that money you can bring back but the R35 million for ventilation, other fixed costs, is sunk. You'll only recover a small fraction of that at some future date. MR CHASKALSON SC: I mean let's not nitpick about precise amounts but if you can save yourself 20 lost working days on a strike, you are covering what was that capital budget, are you not? MR SEEDAT: 20 lost working days and you've got to look at the net profit or the recovery – MR CHASKALSON SC: You've got a sunk cost	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: It's now been tilted for us, those of us who can't read sideways, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that second paragraph what Mr Phillimore says, "In August last year a breakdown of trust and an atmosphere of fear and intimidation led to the murder of eight of our employees and two police officers. The ensuing confrontation left another 34 people dead and brought untold grief to mining families, to Lonmin, the community around us and to all South Africans. Our tragedy was part of the prevailing unrest through the entire sector which highlighted issues of trust between government, unions, employees, communities, mining companies and society at large. Re- establishing that trust is vital to ensuring sustainable value creation for all or stakeholders, including our shareholders, and will require a fundamental change over time in the way that we do things." So Lonmin understood the Marikana tragedy as being linked to a breakdown of trust, inter alia between itself and its employees. Do you accept that? MR SEEDAT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it recognised that

Page 3851         Page 3851           1         MR SEEDAT: Yes.         under the heading of "Building a safe and sustianable           2         MR SEEDAT: Yes.         under the heading of "Building a safe and sustianable.           3         very strongly through that 2012 annual report which was         relationship of trust the business' hoat sustianable.           4         GAIRPERSON: Before we go to SSS2 - Use of the sage on the this is the first strategic inititative.         MR CHASKALSON SC: And that them runs           8         radgety because it sees the tragedy as being bound up with it says is, in the material change. We are determined to improve our operatin model to facilitate the creation of shared value         1         Tone of the key obligations we have assumed under our operatin model to facilitate the creation of shared value           15         industry as a whole faces is to assist the government in the reation of decent and affordable accommodation for our of the key obligation we have faces as to assist the government in the eration of decent and affordable accommodation for our of the key dailet to re-stably if makes that statement under this heading of trust with you workers is right, tant If?         1         Does the bay of musikey to be able.           10         MR CHASKALSON SC: And that's all linked to absolutely ourseling to trust with the board recognises is right, tant If?         1         Does as the yoar one onstitung to anyoe relationship of trust with yoar as a strong decent and affordable accommodation for our likely to build up a relationship of trust with yoar as a strong decent and affordable accommod
2         MR CHASKALSON SC:         And that theme runs         2         business* because it recognises that without the           3         very strongly through that 2012 annual report which was         if elidenship of trust the business is not subainable.           4         CHAIRPERSON:         Enforme on to SSSS / -           5         CHAIRPERSON:         Enforme on to SSSS / -           6         about this page, this paragraph after the ote of the page on         the screen /           7         relationship of trust the business in the wake of the Marikana           8         tragedy because it recognises that without the           9         endets, "The industry as a whole is at a crossroads." It           10         enhancing working relations, committing to a process of           11         fundamental change. We are determined to improve our           13         for all our stakeholders." And then the next paragraph           14         sugs, "One of the key challenges our company and the           15         industry, as a whole faces it to asskift a government in           16         the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for our           17         employees. They are our most important asset." This if accommodation.           18         right, is the?           19         MR SEEDAT:         Yes.
3         very strongly through that 2012 annual report which was         4         Peaga332           4         delivered with this address. If we go to SSS2,
4       delivered with this address. If we go to SSSS – Chall ReFENON: Before we go to SSSS2, what 6       4       MR SEEDAT: Absolutely.         5       CHAIRPERSON: Before we go to SSSS2, what 6       5       MR CHASKALSON SC: And I want to – It         6       about this page, this paragraph at the foot of the page on to say. It's imperative to pay our part In       10       enhancing working relations, committing to a process of       11       11       11       11       that the board announces in the wake of the Marikana         13       operating model to facilitate the creation of shared value       11       10       11       10       11
5         CHAIRPERSON:         Before we go to SSSS2, what         5         MR CHASKALSON SC:         And I want to – it           6         about this page, this paragraph at the foot of the page on         5         scens to me that this is the first strategic initiative           7         the screen?         The paragraph at the foot of the page on         7         that the board announces in the wake of the Markana           8         read is, "The industry as a whole is at a crossroads." It         9         the screen of screen screen of shared value         10         The industry as a whole is at a crossroads." It           9         for all our stakcholders." And then the not screen of shared value         11         One of the key obligations. To that and we have focused on constructing           16         industry as a whole faces is to assist the government in         16         houses, converting former single sex accommodation."           17         employees. They are our most important asset." That's         16         because we have assumed under twis heading           16         them in the entire annual report. If we cang tos SSS2         gaig to be abouldity or uncil tor e-stabins. It's the         17         to blig a groen relationship of trust with your workers           10
6       about this page, this paragraph attribution of the page on to say. This imparative to play our part in generating working relations, committing to a process of 1 fundamental change. We are determined to improve our 12 operating model to facilitate the creation of shared value 13 for all our stakeholders." And then the next paragraph the says is, no of the key challenges our company and the say subject is at a say whole sate at the government in 16 the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for our 17 employees. They are our most important asset." That's 18 right, isn't it?       16       seems to me that this is the first strategic initiative 14 how are determined to improve our our stakeholders." And then the next paragraph the restion of decent and affordable accommodation for our 16 employees. They are our most important asset." That's 18 right, isn't it?       17       10       In our data proper relationship of trust with your workers 18 a long as theyre forced to live in squalid conditions on 19 your doorstep.         19       MR SEEDAT: Yes.       10       10       MR SEEDAT: Yes.         20       MR CHASKALSON SC: And that's all linked them if you provide them with decent accommodation.       19 your doorstep.         21       now volume 4, okay. What page?       24       MR CHASKALSON SC: And they can go to SSSS2         25       CHAIRPERSON: Wolume 3 in the top of the set policy ap assage which wasn't in your states in this apperative y areagraph there talks about fast ys areaging and the first paragraph there talks about fast ys areaging and the first paragraph there talks.         3       CHAIRPERSON: We nearly three. Not there yet, not three yet.       11 this annu
7       the screen? The paragraph after the one that's just been       7       that the board announces in the wake of the Marikana         8       trade is, "The industry as a whole is at a cressroads." It       8       tragedy because it sees the tragedy as being bound up with         10       enhancing working relations, committing to a process of       1       fundamental change. We are determined to improve our         10       operating model to facilitate the creation of shared value       3       conditions. To that end we have facused on constructing         14       says, "One of the key challenges our company and the       is, rebuild furst and affordable accommodation for our         15       industry as a whole faces is to assist the government in       16       because the board realises that source unlikely to be able         17       employees. They are our most important asset." That's       17       to build a proper relationship of frust with your workers         18       right, isn't lif?       19       WR SEEDAT:       Yes.         20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And that's all linked       20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And that's all linked         21       to his relationship of frust with your workers       18       as ong a sheyre forced to line in squaild conditions on         22       going to be absolutely crucial to re-establish. It's the       21       MR CHASKALSON SC: <t< td=""></t<>
8       read is, "The industry as a whole is at a crossroads." It       9       b       tragedy because it sees the tragedy as being bound up with         9       goes on to say, "It's imperative to play our part in       11       the breakdown of the relationship of trust. First priority         10       enhanchig working relations, committing to a process of       11       'One of the key obligations we have assumed under our         12       operating model to facilitate the creation of shared value       12       social and labour plan is improving our employees: living         13       for all our stakeholders." And then the next paragraph       14       houses, converting former single sex accommodation."         14       houses, converting former single sex accommodation for our       16       because the board realises that you're unlikely to be able         15       industry as a whole faces is to assist the government in       16       because the board realises that you're unlikely to be able         16       the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for our       17       to build a proper relationship of trust with your workers         18       right, isn't if?       17       to build a proper relationship of trust with your workers         18       the eriationship of trust that the board recognises is       as long as theyre forced to live in squalid conditions on         19       your doorstep.       20 <td< td=""></td<>
9       goes on to say, 'It's imperative to play our part in       9       the breakdown of the relationship of trust. First priority         10       enhancing working relations, committing to a process of       1         11       fundamental change. We are determined to improve our       1         12       operating model to facilitate the creation of shared value       1         13       for all our stakeholders." And then the next paragraph       1         14       says, 'One of the key obligations we have assumed under our         15       industry as a whole faces is to assist the government in         16       the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for our         17       employces. They are our most important asset.' That's         18       industry as a whole faces is to assist the government in         16       the creationship of trust that the board recognises is         20       MR CHASKALSON SC: And that's all linked         21       to this relationship of trust with to counter and all conductions on         22       going to be absolutely crucial to re-establish. It's the         23       new outme 4, okay. What page?         24       - now volume 4, okay. What page?         25       MR CHASKALSON SC: Mol file can just         3       communities who hoks:       where the bage of the page. This is
10       enhancing working relations, committing to a process of 11 fundamental change. We are determined to improve our 12 operating model to facilitate the creation of shared value 13 for all our stakeholders." And then the next paragraph 14 says, "One of the key challenges our company and the 15 industry as a whole faces is to assist the government in 16 the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for 0ur 17 employees. They are our most important asset." That's 18 right, Isn't II?       10       is, rebuild trust and under this heading 14 social and labour plan is improving our employees' living 15 ocial and labour plan is improving our employees 16 to the key challenges our company and the 16 the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for 0ur 16 the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for 0ur 17 employees. They are our most important asset." That's 18 right, Isn't II?         10       MR SEEDAT:       Yes.         20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And that's all linked 21 to this relationship of trust that the board recognises is 20 going to be absolutely crucial to re-establish. 11's the 23 charge relationship of trust with 24 page 1455, it's your bundle, it's volume 4. 1455.       20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And conversely, you're 22 regard is unfortunately a passage which wasn't in your 24 burdle because your bundle just had extracts, so well have 25 challenge. Now so that we can - no, sorry, up - up so we can 35 est the top of the page. This is where the board announces 36 scroll down so that we can - no, sorry, up - up so we can 36 scroll down so that we can - no, sorry, up - up so we can 36 scroll down so that we can - no, sorry, up - up so we can 36 scroll down so that we can - no, sorry, up - up so we can 36 scroll down so that we can - no, sorry, up - up so we can 36 scroll down so that we can -
11       fundamental change. We are determined to improve our       10       operating model to facilitate the creation of shared value         13       for all our stakeholders." And then the next paragraph       11       "One of the key obligations we have assumed under our         14       says, "One of the key challenges our company and the       is colail and labour plan is improving our employees' living         15       industry as a whole faces is to assist the government in       houses, converting former single sex accommodation."         16       the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for our       16         16       the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for our       17         17       MR SEEDAT:       Yes.         20       MR CHASKALSON SC: And that's all linked       17         21       to this relationship of trust with your workers       as long as they're forced to live in squalid conditions on         22       page 1455, it's your bundle, it's volume 4. 1455.       25         25       CHAIRPERSON:       Volume 3 in the top of the       27         2       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And the ang we'reference again.         2       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And the can just         3       CHAIRPERSON:       Worum a jurine tage and announces         4       there yot, not there yot.       Si spa
12       operating model to facilitate the creation of shared value       12       social and labour plan is improving our employees' living         13       for all our stakeholders." And then the next paragraph       13       conditions. To that end we have focused on constructing         14       says, "One of the key challenges our company and the       industry as a whole faces is to assist the government in       14       houses, converting former single sex accommodation."         16       the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for our       15       Presumably it makes that statement under this heading         17       employces. They are our most important asset." That's       if a slong as they're forced to live in squald conditions on         19       MR SEEDAT:       Yes.       20       MR SEEDAT:       Yes.         20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And that's all linked       20       MR SEEDAT:       Yes.         21       to this relationship of trust that the board recognises is       as long as they're forced to live in squald conditions on       your doorsetp.         22       going to be absolutely crucial tor e-stabilsh. It's the       21       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And conversely, you're         23       going to be absolutely crucial tor e-stabilsh. It's the       21       in this annual report to which l'd refer you to in this         24       there et, not there yet.
13       for all our stakeholders." And then the next paragraph       13       conditions. To that end we have focused on constructing         14       says, "One of the key challenges our company and the       14       houses, converting former single sex accommodation."         15       industry as a whole faces is to assist the government in       14       houses, converting former single sex accommodation."         16       the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for our       15       Presumably it makes that statement under this heading         17       employees. They are our most important asset." That's       16       because the board realises that your're unlikely to be abbe         18       right, isn't it?       18       as long as theyre forced to live in squalid conditions on         19       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And that's all linked       20       MR SEEDAT:       Yes.         21       to this relationship of trust that the board recognises is       21       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And conversely, you're         23       page 1455, it's your bundle, it's volume 4. 1455.       24       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And the last reference         24       renow volume 4, okay. What page?       11       in this annual report to which I'd refer you to in this         2       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And if we can just       is page 13 of the same annual report. So it's SSS4 page
14       says, "One of the key challenges our company and the       14       houses, converting former single sex accommodation."         15       industry as a whole faces is to assist the government in       16       the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for our         16       the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for our       16       houses, converting former single sex accommodation."         16       the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for our       16       houses, converting former single sex accommodation."         16       the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for our       16       houses, converting former single sex accommodation."         17       employees. They are our most important asset." That's       16       the set at a solid a proper relationship of trust with your workers         20       MR CHASKALSON SC: And that's all linked       10       MR SEEDAT: Yes.         21       to this relationship of trust with the board recognises is       20       MR SEEDAT: Yes.         25       CHAIRPERSON: Volume 4. 1455.       25       MR CHASKALSON SC: And the top of the       26         2       MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can just       1       in this annual report to which I'd refer you to in this         3       CHAIRPERSON: We're nearly there taks       1       a tod a site six primary strategic initiatives or         4
15       industry as a whole faces is to assist the government in       15       Presumably it makes that statement under this heading         16       the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for our       16       because the board realises that you're unlikely to be able         17       employees. They are our most important asset." That's       17       in this steadionship of trust with your workers         18       right, isn't it?       18       because the board realises that you're unlikely to be able         19       MR SEEDAT:       Yes.       20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And that's all linked         20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And that's all linked       20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And conversely, you're         21       to this relationship of trust that the board recognises is       20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And conversely, you're         22       going to be absolutely crucial to re-establish. It's the       20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And that's all linked         25       CHAIRPERSON:       Volume 3. In the top of the       The cray ou're work with the decent accommodation.         24       page 1455, it's your bundle, it's volume 4. 1455.       S       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And the tas reference         26       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And if we can just       In this annual report to which I'd refer you to in this
16       the creation of decent and affordable accommodation for our       16       because the board realises that you're unlikely to be able         17       employees. They are our most important asset." That's       16       because the board realises that you're unlikely to be able         18       right, isn't it?       17       to build a proper relationship of trust with your workers         19       MR SEEDAT:       Yes.       18       as long as they're forced to live in squalid conditions on your doorstep.         20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And that's all linked       19       your doorstep.         21       to this relationship of trust that the board recognises is       20       MR SEEDAT:       Yes.         21       because the board recellses that you're unlikely to build up a relationship of trust with       21       much more likely to build up a relationship of trust with         23       them in the entire annual report. If we can go to SSSS2       much more likely to build up a relationship of trust with         24       page 1455, it's your bundle, it's volume 4. 1455.       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And the last reference         24       there yet, not there yet.       MR CHASKALSON SC:       Mad if we can just       16       in this annual report. So it's SSS4 page         3       CHAIRPERSON:       Were nearly there. Not       4       to get out of this document
17       employees. They are our most important asset." That's right, isn't it?         18       right, isn't it?         19       MR SEEDAT: Yes.         20       MR CHASKALSON SC: And that's all linked         21       to this relationship of trust that the board recognises is         22       going to be absolutely crucial to re-establish. It's the         23       chain the entire annual report. If we can go to SSS2         24       page 1455, it's your bundle, it's volume 4. 1455.         25       CHAIRPERSON: Volume 3 in the top of the         2       Page 38352         1       - now volume 4, okay. What page?         2       MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can just         3       CHAIRPERSON: We're nearly there. Not         4       there yet, not there yet.         5       MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can just         6       scroll down so that we can – no, sorry, up – up so we can         7       see the top of the page. This is where the board announces         8       what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or         9       elements here. The first one is building a safe and         10       sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks         11       about safety. Second particular, "Alongside our legal and         12
18       right, isn't It?         19       MR SEEDAT: Yes.         20       MR CHASKALSON SC: And that's all linked         21       to this relationship of trust that the board recognises is         22       going to be absolutely crucial to re-establish. It's the         24       theme in the entire annual report. If we can go to SSS2         25       CHAIRPERSON: Volume 3. in the top of the         26       MR CHASKALSON SC: And the tast reference         27       MR CHASKALSON SC: 1455.         28       CHAIRPERSON: We're nearly there. Not         4       there yet, not there yet.         5       MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can just         6       scorol down so that we can – no, sorry, up – up so we can         7       see the top of the page. This is where the board announces         8       what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or         9       elements here. The first one is building a safe and         10       sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks         11       communities who host our operations through creating         12       economic value by contribuing to addressing their needs         13       and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily         14       nor local communitites over many years and one of the key
19       MR SEEDAT:       Yes.       19       your doorstep.         20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And that's all linked       20       MR SEEDAT:       Yes.         21       to this relationship of trust that the board recognises is       20       MR SEEDAT:       Yes.         22       going to be absolutely crucial to re-establish. It's the       20       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And conversely, you're         24       much more likely to build up a relationship of trust with       theme in the entire annual report.       Yes.         25       CHAIRPERSON:       Volume 3 in the top of the       Page 38354         1       - now volume 4, okay.       What page?       1       in this annual report to which I'd refer you to in this         2       MR CHASKALSON SC:       1455.       2       regard is unfortunately a passage which wasn't in your         3       CHAIRPERSON:       We're nearly there. Not       3       bundle because your bundle just had extracts, so we'll have         4       there yet, not there yet.       5       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And if we can just         5       ScrOll down so that we can - no, sorry, up – up so we can       7       CHAIRPERSON:       Is' is not is sotset page         6 yoe.       there yet, not there yet.       5       Im Sthis abuilding a safe and
20MR CHASKALSON SC:And that's all linked20MR SEEDAT:Yes.21to this relationship of trust that the board recognises is21MR CHASKALSON SC:And conversely, you're22going to be absolutely crucial to re-establish. It's the21MR CHASKALSON SC:And conversely, you're23theme in the entire annual report. If we can go to SSSS224mc HaSKALSON SC:And the last reference24page 1455, it's your bundle, it's volume 4. 1455.24MR SEEDAT:Yes.25CHAIRPERSON:Volume 3 in the top of the24MR SEEDAT:Yes.2And the last referencePage 383521in this annual report to which I'd refer you to in this2MR CHASKALSON SC:1455.2regard is unfortunately a passage which wasn't in your3CHAIRPERSON:We're nearly there. Not4to get out of this document and go to SSSS4, page 696 which5MR CHASKALSON SC:And if we can just5is page 13 of the same annual report. So it's SSS4 page6scroll down so that we can – no, sorry, up – up so we can7CHAIRPERSON:It's in this document, Mr8what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or9MR CHASKALSON SC:It's going to be page10sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks1CHAIRPERSON:Alright, we've got 696 on12regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to1CHAIRPERSON:Alright, we've got 696 on12regulatory obligations we've ne
21to this relationship of trust that the board recognises is21MR CHASKALSON SC:And conversely, you're22going to be absolutely crucial to re-establish. It's the21MR CHASKALSON SC:And conversely, you're23theme in the entire annual report. If we can go to SSS224them if you provide them with decent accommodation.24page 1455, It's your bundle, it's volume 4. 1455.24MR SEEDAT:Yes.25CHAIRPERSON:Volume 3 in the top of the24MR CHASKALSON SC:And the last reference2Page 38352Page 38352Page 383541- now volume 4, okay. What page?1in this annual report to which I'd refer you to in this2MR CHASKALSON SC:1455.2regard is unfortunately a passage which wasn't in your3CHAIRPERSON:We're nearly there. Not3bundle because your bundle just had extracts, so we'll have4there yet, not there yet.3bundle because your bundle just had extracts, so we'll have5Scroll down so that we can - no, sorry, up - up so we can7CHAIRPERSON:It's in stis so the section in this document, Mr6socroll down so that we can - no, sorry, up - up so we can7CHAIRPERSON:It's in this document, Mr6what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or9MR CHASKALSON SC:It's in this document, Mr10sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks10698.11CHAIRPERSON:It's in the asstainable11communities who hos
22       going to be absolutely crucial to re-establish. It's the       23       much more likely to build up a relationship of trust with         23       theme in the entire annual report. If we can go to SSSS2       24       page 1455, it's your bundle, it's volume 4. 1455.         25       CHAIRPERSON:       Volume 3 in the top of the       24       MR SEEDAT:       Yes.         25       CHAIRPERSON:       Volume 3 in the top of the       25       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And the last reference         2       MR CHASKALSON SC:       1455.       25       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And the last reference         2       MR CHASKALSON SC:       1455.       26       In this annual report to which I'd refer you to in this         3       CHAIRPERSON:       We're nearly there. Not       3       bundle because your bundle just had extracts, so we'll have         4       there yet, not there yet.       3       bundle because your bundle just had extracts, so we'll have         4       scroll down so that we can – no, sorry, up – up so we can       7       cefastalson –         9       elements here. The first one is building a safe and       9       MR CHASKALSON SC:       It's going to be page         10       sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks       11       CHAIRPERSON:       It's going to be page         <
23       theme in the entire annual report. If we can go to SSS22       23       them if you provide them with decent accommodation.         24       page 1455, it's your bundle, it's volume 4. 1455.       23       them if you provide them with decent accommodation.         25       CHAIRPERSON:       Volume 3 in the top of the       24       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And the last reference         2       MR CHASKALSON SC:       1455.       25       MR CHASKALSON SC:       1 in this annual report to which I'd refer you to in this         3       CHAIRPERSON:       We're nearly there. Not       4       there yet, not there yet.       3       bundle because your bundle just had extracts, so we'll have         4       there yet, not there yet.       5       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And if we can just       6       5       is page 13 of the same annual report. So it's SSSS4 page       6       696.       I must apologise, I've lost my reference again.       7       CHAIRPERSON:       It's going to be page       1       698.       1       1       CHAIRPERSON:       It's going to be page       10       698.       1       1       MR CHASKALSON SC:       It's going to be page       10       698.       11       1       1       1       MR CHASKALSON SC:       It's going to be page       12       14       14       14       14
24       page 1455, it's your bundle, it's volume 4. 1455.       24       MR SEEDAT: Yes.         25       CHAIRPERSON: Volume 3 in the top of the       25       MR CHASKALSON SC: And the last reference         Page 38352       1       - now volume 4, okay. What page?       25       MR CHASKALSON SC: And the last reference         2       MR CHASKALSON SC: 1455.       1       in this annual report to which I'd refer you to in this         3       CHAIRPERSON: We're nearly there. Not       4       there yet, not there yet.       3         5       MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can just       5       is page 13 of the same annual report. So it's SSS4 page 696 which         6       scroll down so that we can – no, sorry, up – up so we can       7       CHAIRPERSON: It's in this document, Mr         8       what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or       9       MR CHASKALSON SC: It's going to be page         10       sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks       11       CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we've got 696 on         12       regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to       13       MR CHASKALSON SC: Building a sustainable         14       communities who host our operations through creating       14       business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages         15       economic value by contribuiting to addressing their needs
25       CHAIRPERSON:       Volume 3 in the top of the       25       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And the last reference         Page 38352         1       - now volume 4, okay.       What page?       1       in this annual report to which I'd refer you to in this         2       MR CHASKALSON SC:       1455.       2       regard is unfortunately a passage which wasn't in your         3       CHAIRPERSON:       We're nearly there. Not       3       bundle because your bundle just had extracts, so we'll have         4       there yet, not there yet.       5       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And if we can just         5       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And if we can just       5       is page 13 of the same annual report. So it's SSS4 page         6       scroll down so that we can – no, sorry, up – up so we can       7       See the top of the page. This is where the board announces         8       what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or       8       CHAIRPERSON:       It's in this document, Mr         8       sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks       10       698.       698.         11       about safety. Second particular, "Alongside our legal and       12       the screen –         13       earn our social licence to operate from the people and       14       business. Social licence to ope
Page 383521- now volume 4, okay. What page?2MR CHASKALSON SC: 1455.3CHAIRPERSON: We're nearly there. Not4there yet, not there yet.5MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can just6scroll down so that we can – no, sorry, up – up so we can7see the top of the page. This is where the board announces8what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or9elements here. The first one is building a safe and10sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks11about safety. Second particular, "Alongside our legal and12regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to13earn our social licence to operate from the people and14communities who host our operations through creating15economic value by contributing to addressing their needs16and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily17in our local communities over many years and one of the key17in our local communities over many years and one of the key18obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan18obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan14challenge, however, is in facilitating the provision of
1- now volume 4, okay. What page?1in this annual report to which I'd refer you to in this2MR CHASKALSON SC:1455.2regard is unfortunately a passage which wasn't in your3CHAIRPERSON:We're nearly there. Not3bundle because your bundle just had extracts, so we'll have4there yet, not there yet.3bundle because your bundle just had extracts, so we'll have5MR CHASKALSON SC:And if we can just5is page 13 of the same annual report. So it's SSS4 page6scroll down so that we can - no, sorry, up - up so we can6696. I must apologise, I've lost my reference again.7see the top of the page. This is where the board announces7CHAIRPERSON:It's in this document, Mr8what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or8Chaskalson -9MR CHASKALSON SC:It's going to be page10sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks10698.698.11about safety. Second particular, "Alongside our legal and11CHAIRPERSON:Alright, we've got 696 on12regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to13MR CHASKALSON SC:Building a sustainable14communities who host our operations through creating14business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages15economic value by contributing to addressing their needs15that we've heard already and then if we go down, down the16and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily16column, "The chal
2MR CHASKALSON SC:1455.2regard is unfortunately a passage which wasn't in your3CHAIRPERSON:We're nearly there. Not3bundle because your bundle just had extracts, so we'll have4there yet, not there yet.4to get out of this document and go to SSSS4, page 696 which5MR CHASKALSON SC:And if we can just5is page 13 of the same annual report. So it's SSSS4 page6scroll down so that we can – no, sorry, up – up so we can6696. I must apologise, I've lost my reference again.7see the top of the page. This is where the board announces7CHAIRPERSON:It's in this document, Mr8what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or8Chaskalson –9elements here. The first one is building a safe and9MR CHASKALSON SC:It's going to be page10sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks10698.11about safety. Second particular, "Alongside our legal and11CHAIRPERSON:Alright, we've got 696 on12regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to12the screen –13earn our social licence to operate from the people and13MR CHASKALSON SC:Building a sustainable14communities who host our operations through creating14business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages15economic value by contributing to addressing their needs15that we've heard already and then if we go down, down the16and challenges. We have engaged with and inves
3CHAIRPERSON:We're nearly there. Not3bundle because your bundle just had extracts, so we'll have4there yet, not there yet.4to get out of this document and go to SSSS4, page 696 which5MR CHASKALSON SC:And if we can just5is page 13 of the same annual report. So it's SSSS4 page6scroll down so that we can – no, sorry, up – up so we can6696. I must apologise, I've lost my reference again.7see the top of the page. This is where the board announces7CHAIRPERSON:It's in this document, Mr8what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or8Chaskalson –99elements here. The first one is building a safe and9MR CHASKALSON SC:It's going to be page10sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks10698.11about safety. Second particular, "Alongside our legal and11CHAIRPERSON:Alright, we've got 696 on12regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to12the screen –13earn our social licence to operate from the people and13MR CHASKALSON SC:Building a sustainable14communities who host our operations through creating14business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages15economic value by contributing to addressing their needs16column, "The challenge, however, is in providing" – it's15in our local communities over many years and one of the key17the last paragraph on the page that we can see – "The18obligati
4there yet, not there yet.4to get out of this document and go to SSSS4, page 696 which5MR CHASKALSON SC:And if we can just5is page 13 of the same annual report. So it's SSSS4 page6scroll down so that we can – no, sorry, up – up so we can6696. I must apologise, I've lost my reference again.7see the top of the page. This is where the board announces7CHAIRPERSON:It's in this document, Mr8what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or8Chaskalson –9elements here. The first one is building a safe and9MR CHASKALSON SC:It's going to be page10sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks10698.11about safety. Second particular, "Alongside our legal and11CHAIRPERSON:Alright, we've got 696 on12regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to12the screen –13earn our social licence to operate from the people and13MR CHASKALSON SC:Building a sustainable14communities who host our operations through creating15that we've heard already and then if we go down, down the16and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily16column, "The challenge, however, is in providing" – it's17in our local communities over many years and one of the key18challenge, however, is in facilitating the provision of
5MR CHASKALSON SC:And if we can just5is page 13 of the same annual report. So it's SSSS4 page6scroll down so that we can - no, sorry, up - up so we can6696. I must apologise, I've lost my reference again.7see the top of the page. This is where the board announces7CHAIRPERSON:It's in this document, Mr8what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or8Chaskalson -99elements here. The first one is building a safe and9MR CHASKALSON SC:It's going to be page10sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks10698.11about safety. Second particular, "Alongside our legal and11CHAIRPERSON:Alright, we've got 696 on12regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to13MR CHASKALSON SC:Building a sustainable14communities who host our operations through creating14business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages15economic value by contributing to addressing their needs15that we've heard already and then if we go down, down the16and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily16column, "The challenge, however, is in providing" - it's17in our local communities over many years and one of the key17the last paragraph on the page that we can see - "The18obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan18challenge, however, is in facilitating the provision of
<ul> <li>6 scroll down so that we can – no, sorry, up – up so we can</li> <li>7 see the top of the page. This is where the board announces</li> <li>8 what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or</li> <li>9 elements here. The first one is building a safe and</li> <li>10 sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks</li> <li>11 about safety. Second particular, "Alongside our legal and</li> <li>12 regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to</li> <li>13 earn our social licence to operate from the people and</li> <li>14 communities who host our operations through creating</li> <li>15 economic value by contributing to addressing their needs</li> <li>16 and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily</li> <li>17 in our local communities over many years and one of the key</li> <li>18 obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan</li> <li>18 obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan</li> <li>19 contact and and and the provision of</li> <li>6 696. I must apologise, I've lost my reference again.</li> <li>7 CHAIRPERSON: It's in this document, Mr</li> <li>8 Chaskalson –</li> <li>9 MR CHASKALSON SC: It's going to be page</li> <li>6 698.</li> <li>11 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we've got 696 on</li> <li>12 the screen –</li> <li>13 MR CHASKALSON SC: Building a sustainable</li> <li>14 business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages</li> <li>15 that we've heard already and then if we go down, down the</li> <li>16 column, "The challenge, however, is in providing" – it's</li> <li>17 the last paragraph on the page that we can see – "The</li> <li>18 obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>7 see the top of the page. This is where the board announces</li> <li>8 what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or</li> <li>9 elements here. The first one is building a safe and</li> <li>9 MR CHASKALSON SC: It's going to be page</li> <li>10 sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks</li> <li>11 about safety. Second particular, "Alongside our legal and</li> <li>11 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we've got 696 on</li> <li>12 regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to</li> <li>13 earn our social licence to operate from the people and</li> <li>14 communities who host our operations through creating</li> <li>15 economic value by contributing to addressing their needs</li> <li>16 and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily</li> <li>17 in our local communities over many years and one of the key</li> <li>18 obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan</li> <li>18 challenge, however, is in facilitating the provision of</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 what it calls its six primary strategic initiatives or</li> <li>9 elements here. The first one is building a safe and</li> <li>9 MR CHASKALSON SC: It's going to be page</li> <li>10 sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks</li> <li>11 about safety. Second particular, "Alongside our legal and</li> <li>12 regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to</li> <li>13 earn our social licence to operate from the people and</li> <li>14 communities who host our operations through creating</li> <li>15 economic value by contributing to addressing their needs</li> <li>16 and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily</li> <li>17 in our local communities over many years and one of the key</li> <li>18 obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan</li> <li>8 Chaskalson –</li> <li>9 MR CHASKALSON SC: It's going to be page</li> <li>10 698.</li> <li>11 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we've got 696 on</li> <li>12 the screen –</li> <li>13 MR CHASKALSON SC: Building a sustainable</li> <li>14 business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages</li> <li>15 that we've heard already and then if we go down, down the</li> <li>16 column, "The challenge, however, is in providing" – it's</li> <li>18 obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 elements here. The first one is building a safe and</li> <li>9 MR CHASKALSON SC: It's going to be page</li> <li>10 sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks</li> <li>11 about safety. Second particular, "Alongside our legal and</li> <li>11 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we've got 696 on</li> <li>12 regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to</li> <li>13 earn our social licence to operate from the people and</li> <li>14 communities who host our operations through creating</li> <li>14 business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages</li> <li>15 economic value by contributing to addressing their needs</li> <li>16 and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily</li> <li>17 in our local communities over many years and one of the key</li> <li>18 obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan</li> <li>9 MR CHASKALSON SC: It's going to be page</li> <li>10 698.</li> <li>11 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we've got 696 on</li> <li>12 the screen –</li> <li>13 MR CHASKALSON SC: Building a sustainable</li> <li>14 business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages</li> <li>15 that we've heard already and then if we go down, down the</li> <li>16 column, "The challenge, however, is in providing" – it's</li> <li>18 obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan</li> <li>18 challenge, however, is in facilitating the provision of</li> </ul>
10sustainable business and the first paragraph there talks10698.11about safety. Second particular, "Alongside our legal and11CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we've got 696 on12regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to12the screen –13earn our social licence to operate from the people and13MR CHASKALSON SC: Building a sustainable14communities who host our operations through creating14business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages15economic value by contributing to addressing their needs15that we've heard already and then if we go down, down the16and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily16column, "The challenge, however, is in providing" – it's17in our local communities over many years and one of the key17the last paragraph on the page that we can see – "The18obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan18challenge, however, is in facilitating the provision of
11about safety. Second particular, "Alongside our legal and regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to earn our social licence to operate from the people and communities who host our operations through creating economic value by contributing to addressing their needs and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily in our local communities over many years and one of the key lobligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan11CHAIRPERSON: CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we've got 696 on the screen –12the screen –13MR CHASKALSON SC: building a sustainable business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages that we've heard already and then if we go down, down the column, "The challenge, however, is in providing" – it's the last paragraph on the page that we can see – "The challenge, however, is in facilitating the provision of
12regulatory obligations we believe that it's necessary to12the screen –13earn our social licence to operate from the people and13MR CHASKALSON SC:Building a sustainable14communities who host our operations through creating14business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages15economic value by contributing to addressing their needs15that we've heard already and then if we go down, down the16and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily16column, "The challenge, however, is in providing" – it's17in our local communities over many years and one of the key17the last paragraph on the page that we can see – "The18obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan18challenge, however, is in facilitating the provision of
13earn our social licence to operate from the people and communities who host our operations through creating economic value by contributing to addressing their needs and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily in our local communities over many years and one of the key 813MR CHASKALSON SC: business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages that we've heard already and then if we go down, down the column, "The challenge, however, is in providing" – it's the last paragraph on the page that we can see – "The obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan13MR CHASKALSON SC: business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages that we've heard already and then if we go down, down the column, "The challenge, however, is in providing" – it's the last paragraph on the page that we can see – "The challenge, however, is in facilitating the provision of
14communities who host our operations through creating economic value by contributing to addressing their needs and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily in our local communities over many years and one of the key obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan14business. Social licence to operate. If we, the passages that we've heard already and then if we go down, down the column, "The challenge, however, is in providing" – it's the last paragraph on the page that we can see – "The challenge, however, is in facilitating the provision of
15economic value by contributing to addressing their needs15that we've heard already and then if we go down, down the16and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily16column, "The challenge, however, is in providing" – it's17in our local communities over many years and one of the key17the last paragraph on the page that we can see – "The18obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan18challenge, however, is in facilitating the provision of
16and challenges. We have engaged with and invested heavily16column, "The challenge, however, is in providing" – it's17in our local communities over many years and one of the key17the last paragraph on the page that we can see – "The18obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan18challenge, however, is in facilitating the provision of
17in our local communities over many years and one of the key17the last paragraph on the page that we can see – "The18obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan18challenge, however, is in facilitating the provision of
18 obligations we've assumed under our social and labour plan 18 challenge, however, is in facilitating the provision of
I s improving our employees living conditions. To that end I is mass affordable employee accommodation, particularly for
20 we focused on constructing houses, converting former single 20 our migrant workforce. The events at Marikana have
<ul> <li>sex hostels accommodation into bachelor and family housing</li> <li>highlighted the critical shortage of affordable housing as</li> <li>units." Now when Lonmin refers to a social licence to</li> <li>a major challenge for Lonmin and the South African nation</li> </ul>
h production of the second sec
23 operate it means does it not a relationship of trust with 23 more broadly " Now Lonmin had known about this critical
<ul> <li>23 operate it means, does it not, a relationship of trust with</li> <li>24 its employees and its local community? It's broadly the</li> <li>24 housing shortage in Marikana for years, had it not?</li> </ul>
23 operate it means, does it not, a relationship of trust with23 more broadly." Now, Lonmin had known about this critical24 its employees and its local community? It's broadly the24 housing shortage in Marikana for years, had it not?25 same thing and it deals with this social licence to operate25 MR SEEDAT: Yes.

1	Page 38355	1	Page 38357
1	MR CHASKALSON SC: And it had also known	1	MR SEEDAT: That sounds about right, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: It also says that 92%
2	about the squalid conditions in Nkaneng for years.		
3	MR SEEDAT: Yes.	3	of the workforce takes that housing allowance, 26 068
4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: So when the annual	4	employees.
5	report said that the vents at Marikana highlighted these	5	MR SEEDAT: That's probably right, yes.
6	issues, it's presumably because the board saw a link	6	MR CHASKALSON SC: The reference, I don't
7	between the critical shortage of affordable housing and	7	need you to go there but for the record the reference is
8	those events.	8	SSSS5, page 244. Now if one does the arithmetic, 26 068
9	MR SEEDAT: The board and the executive.	9	times 1 950 a month times 12 months year, that gives you
10	MR CHASKALSON SC: The board and the	10	609 991 200 that Lonmin is spending on this housing
11	executive, yes.	11	allowance on an annual basis.
12	MR SEEDAT: Yes.	12	MR SEEDAT: Yes.
13	MR CHASKALSON SC: And I know that you	13	MR CHASKALSON SC: In 2013. Now you're
14	have difficulty dealing with your shareholders who just	14	spending 609 million per year on housing allowances and it
15	want money but under all of these headings of sustainable	15	seems to us that the bulk of this money is being spent on
16	business, I want to put to you that what Lonmin is	16	subsidising slum lords who are charging your workers for
17	recognising and what Lonmin really ought to be doing is	17	squalid conditions in Nkaneng. Would you accept that?
18	attending to those housing conditions so that the business	18	MR SEEDAT: Yes.
19	can be sustainable and so in the long run shareholders will	19	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now don't you think it
20	get value out of the business. Would you accept that?	20	would be a much more productive use of that money to
21	MR SEEDAT: Yes, but I would add that	21	allocate it towards, in a more focused way towards
22	Lonmin has been and continues to look for a solution that	22	providing the workers with decent living conditions?
23	will work for all parties. So it's not a lack of	23	MR SEEDAT: If we could, yes. The
24	willingness to do something, it's not being able to find an	24	housing allowance is a condition of employment. If an
25	optimal solution that suits all parties.	25	employee chooses to take the housing allowance you cannot
	Dama 2025/		
1	Page 38356		Page 38358
1	CHAIRPERSON: Well, they focused on	1	say you can't have it –
2	CHAIRPERSON: Well, they focused on housing according to what we saw earlier, and they produced	2	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: Well, they focused on housing according to what we saw earlier, and they produced three houses as a result of the focus.	2 3	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: Well, they focused on housing according to what we saw earlier, and they produced three houses as a result of the focus. MR SEEDAT: We didn't build houses, sir,	2 3 4	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice,
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: Well, they focused on housing according to what we saw earlier, and they produced three houses as a result of the focus. MR SEEDAT: We didn't build houses, sir, but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find a	2 3 4 5	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: Well, they focused on housing according to what we saw earlier, and they produced three houses as a result of the focus. MR SEEDAT: We didn't build houses, sir, but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find a solution to building the houses.	2 3 4 5 6	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON:Well, they focused onhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedthree houses as a result of the focus.MR SEEDAT:We didn't build houses, sir,but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find asolution to building the houses.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus, was it?	2 3 4 5 6 7	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON:Well, they focused onhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedthree houses as a result of the focus.MR SEEDAT:We didn't build houses, sir,but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find asolution to building the houses.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus, was it?MR SEEDAT:Finding a solution.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON:Well, they focused onhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedthree houses as a result of the focus.MR SEEDAT:We didn't build houses, sir,but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find asolution to building the houses.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus, was it?MR SEEDAT:Finding a solution.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON:Well, they focused onhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedthree houses as a result of the focus.MR SEEDAT:We didn't build houses, sir,but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find asolution to building the houses.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus, was it?MR SEEDAT:Finding a solution.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus.MR SEEDAT:Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON:Well, they focused onhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedthree houses as a result of the focus.MR SEEDAT:We didn't build houses, sir,but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find asolution to building the houses.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus, was it?MR SEEDAT:Finding a solution.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus.MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Oh, I see. The greater	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before. MR SEEDAT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON:Well, they focused onhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedthree houses as a result of the focus.MR SEEDAT:We didn't build houses, sir,but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find asolution to building the houses.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus, was it?MR SEEDAT:Finding a solution.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus.MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Oh, I see. The greaterLonmin community which is in capital letters here at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a cock that'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON:Well, they focused onhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedthree houses as a result of the focus.MR SEEDAT:We didn't build houses, sir,but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find asolution to building the houses.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus, was it?MR SEEDAT:Finding a solution.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus.MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Oh, I see. The greaterLonmin community which is in capital letters here at thefoot of the page, the left-hand column, what is meant by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a cock that'll fight, surely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON:Well, they focused onhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedthree houses as a result of the focus.MR SEEDAT:We didn't build houses, sir,but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find asolution to building the houses.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus, was it?MR SEEDAT:Finding a solution.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus.MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Oh, I see. The greaterLonmin community which is in capital letters here at thefoot of the page, the left-hand column, what is meant bythat, the greater Lonmin community. capital letters all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a cock that'll fight, surely. MR SEEDAT: Well, no, the argument I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON:Well, they focused onhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedthree houses as a result of the focus.MR SEEDAT:We didn't build houses, sir,but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find asolution to building the houses.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus, was it?MR SEEDAT:Finding a solution.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus.MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Oh, I see. The greaterLonmin community which is in capital letters here at thefoot of the page, the left-hand column, what is meant bythat, the greater Lonmin community, capital letters all theway?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a cock that'll fight, surely. MR SEEDAT: Well, no, the argument I'm making is that it's a condition of employment that an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON:Well, they focused onhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedthree houses as a result of the focus.MR SEEDAT:We didn't build houses, sir,but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find asolution to building the houses.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus, was it?MR SEEDAT:Finding a solution.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus.MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Oh, I see. The greaterLonmin community which is in capital letters here at thefoot of the page, the left-hand column, what is meant bythat, the greater Lonmin community, capital letters all theway?MR SEEDAT:The greater Lonmin community	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a cock that'll fight, surely. MR SEEDAT: Well, no, the argument I'm making is that it's a condition of employment that an employee does get a housing allowance. I thought the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON:Well, they focused onhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedMR SEEDAT:We didn't build houses, sir,but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find asolution to building the houses.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus, was it?MR SEEDAT:Finding a solution.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus.MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Oh, I see. The greaterLonmin community which is in capital letters here at thefoot of the page, the left-hand column, what is meant bythat, the greater Lonmin community, capital letters all theway?MR SEEDAT:MR SEEDAT:MR SEEDAT:MR SEEDAT:The greater Lonmin communityIntegreater Lonmin community, capital letters all theway?MR SEEDAT:MR SEEDAT:<	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a cock that'll fight, surely. MR SEEDAT: Well, no, the argument I'm making is that it's a condition of employment that an employee does get a housing allowance. I thought the question from the evidence leader was that, take the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON:Well, they focused onhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedthree houses as a result of the focus.MR SEEDAT:We didn't build houses, sir,but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find asolution to building the houses.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus, was it?MR SEEDAT:Finding a solution.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus.MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Oh, I see. The greaterLonmin community which is in capital letters here at thefoot of the page, the left-hand column, what is meant bythat, the greater Lonmin community, capital letters all theway?MR SEEDAT:The greater Lonmin communityis considered the community that lives around, I think it'swithin a 15 kilometre radius of the operation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a cock that'll fight, surely. MR SEEDAT: Well, no, the argument I'm making is that it's a condition of employment that an employee does get a housing allowance. I thought the question from the evidence leader was that, take the housing allowance away and in place provide a housing unit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: Well, they focused on housing according to what we saw earlier, and they produced three houses as a result of the focus. MR SEEDAT: We didn't build houses, sir, but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find a solution to building the houses. CHAIRPERSON: That was the focus, was it? MR SEEDAT: Finding a solution. CHAIRPERSON: That was the focus. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I see. The greater Lonmin community which is in capital letters here at the foot of the page, the left-hand column, what is meant by that, the greater Lonmin community, capital letters all the way? MR SEEDAT: The greater Lonmin community is considered the community that lives around, I think it's within a 15 kilometre radius of the operation. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a cock that'll fight, surely. MR SEEDAT: Well, no, the argument I'm making is that it's a condition of employment that an employee does get a housing allowance. I thought the question from the evidence leader was that, take the housing allowance away and in place provide a housing unit. Now I don't think that can be done unless it's negotiated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON:Well, they focused onhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedhousing according to what we saw earlier, and they producedMR SEEDAT:We didn't build houses, sir,but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find asolution to building the houses.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus, was it?MR SEEDAT:Finding a solution.CHAIRPERSON:That was the focus.MR SEEDAT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Oh, I see. The greaterLonmin community which is in capital letters here at thefoot of the page, the left-hand column, what is meant bythat, the greater Lonmin community, capital letters all theway?MR SEEDAT:The greater Lonmin communityis considered the community that lives around, I think it'swithin a 15 kilometre radius of the operation.CHAIRPERSON:Thank you.MR CHASKALSON SC:Just to tie up a few	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a cock that'll fight, surely. MR SEEDAT: Well, no, the argument I'm making is that it's a condition of employment that an employee does get a housing allowance. I thought the question from the evidence leader was that, take the housing allowance away and in place provide a housing unit. Now I don't think that can be done unless it's negotiated between the employer and the employee or an employee
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: Well, they focused on housing according to what we saw earlier, and they produced three houses as a result of the focus. MR SEEDAT: We didn't build houses, sir, but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find a solution to building the houses. CHAIRPERSON: That was the focus, was it? MR SEEDAT: Finding a solution. CHAIRPERSON: That was the focus. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I see. The greater Lonmin community which is in capital letters here at the foot of the page, the left-hand column, what is meant by that, the greater Lonmin community, capital letters all the way? MR SEEDAT: The greater Lonmin community is considered the community that lives around, I think it's within a 15 kilometre radius of the operation. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: Just to tie up a few loose ends, the living allowance as it presently – or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a cock that'll fight, surely. MR SEEDAT: Well, no, the argument I'm making is that it's a condition of employment that an employee does get a housing allowance. I thought the question from the evidence leader was that, take the housing allowance away and in place provide a housing unit. Now I don't think that can be done unless it's negotiated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Well, they focused on housing according to what we saw earlier, and they produced three houses as a result of the focus. MR SEEDAT: We didn't build houses, sir, but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find a solution to building the houses. CHAIRPERSON: That was the focus, was it? MR SEEDAT: Finding a solution. CHAIRPERSON: That was the focus. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I see. The greater Lonmin community which is in capital letters here at the foot of the page, the left-hand column, what is meant by that, the greater Lonmin community, capital letters all the way? MR SEEDAT: The greater Lonmin community is considered the community that lives around, I think it's within a 15 kilometre radius of the operation. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: Just to tie up a few loose ends, the living allowance as it presently – or actually I don't know under the current wage agreements but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a cock that'll fight, surely. MR SEEDAT: Well, no, the argument I'm making is that it's a condition of employment that an employee does get a housing allowance. I thought the question from the evidence leader was that, take the housing allowance away and in place provide a housing unit. Now I don't think that can be done unless it's negotiated between the employer and the employee or an employee representative. That's the point I was going to make,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: Well, they focused on housing according to what we saw earlier, and they produced three houses as a result of the focus. MR SEEDAT: We didn't build houses, sir, but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find a solution to building the houses. CHAIRPERSON: That was the focus, was it? MR SEEDAT: Finding a solution. CHAIRPERSON: That was the focus. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I see. The greater Lonmin community which is in capital letters here at the foot of the page, the left-hand column, what is meant by that, the greater Lonmin community, capital letters all the way? MR SEEDAT: The greater Lonmin community is considered the community that lives around, I think it's within a 15 kilometre radius of the operation. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: Just to tie up a few loose ends, the living allowance as it presently – or actually I don't know under the current wage agreements but in 2013 the social development report reflects the fact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a cock that'll fight, surely. MR SEEDAT: Well, no, the argument I'm making is that it's a condition of employment that an employee does get a housing allowance. I thought the question from the evidence leader was that, take the housing allowance away and in place provide a housing unit. Now I don't think that can be done unless it's negotiated between the employer and the employee or an employee representative. That's the point I was going to make, [15:57] understand that, but the point I've put to you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: Well, they focused on housing according to what we saw earlier, and they produced three houses as a result of the focus. MR SEEDAT: We didn't build houses, sir, but we did put a lot of effort into trying to find a solution to building the houses. CHAIRPERSON: That was the focus, was it? MR SEEDAT: Finding a solution. CHAIRPERSON: That was the focus. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I see. The greater Lonmin community which is in capital letters here at the foot of the page, the left-hand column, what is meant by that, the greater Lonmin community, capital letters all the way? MR SEEDAT: The greater Lonmin community is considered the community that lives around, I think it's within a 15 kilometre radius of the operation. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: Just to tie up a few loose ends, the living allowance as it presently – or actually I don't know under the current wage agreements but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	say you can't have it – CHAIRPERSON: We went through that before. This choice is a non-existent choice. If I'm a rock drill operator and you say to me, you've got a choice, you can either have a housing allowance or you can go into one of our houses, I'd say thank you very much, I'd like to go to one of your houses, would you take me there? Sorry, we haven't got the house. So are you only telling me I can only take the housing allowance? It must be yes, we discussed that before. MR SEEDAT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a cock that'll fight, surely. MR SEEDAT: Well, no, the argument I'm making is that it's a condition of employment that an employee does get a housing allowance. I thought the question from the evidence leader was that, take the housing allowance away and in place provide a housing unit. Now I don't think that can be done unless it's negotiated between the employer and the employee or an employee representative. That's the point I was going to make,

1	Page 38359	1	Page 38361
1	afternoon the 29th you can then finish your cross-	1	MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair, yes,
2	examination. There are a couple of bits of housekeeping I	2	just one matter. It relates to the question of
3	have to attend to, so if you don't mind I'll do that now.	3	interrogatories which you raised a bit earlier. We propose
4	There was some controversy about the ruling which	4	and we ask you to rule, Chair – or well, can I take a step
5	I gave, or announced at the close of the evidence of Mr De	5	back. We don't yet know when the argument will be, but we
6	Rover. On reflection I think it was not really a ruling,	6	all need to bring the evidentiary phase to a conclusion as
7	it was more a direction, but nothing turns on that	7	rapidly as possible and so we propose and we ask that you
8	misnomer. The thrust of what I said was really contained	8	rule that any interrogatories must be submitted to the
9	in paragraph 3 of what I'm going to read in a moment, but I	9	party affected and to the evidence leaders on your behalf
10	want to clarify the ruling, or the direction as follows	10	by not later than Tuesday of next week, Tuesday the 23rd of
11	because of the dispute that arose as to the import of the	11	September, after which you will be able to rule which
12	direction, and I do so under six numbered paragraphs.	12	interrogatories need to be answered and there be an
13	The first is that the SAPS has a duty to justify	13	adequate opportunity for answers to be provided.
14	all of the shootings by SAPS members which caused injury or	14	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course I say that
15	death.	15	anyone who feels that the interrogatory should not be
16	The second is SAPS may discharge the duty upon it	16	answered in its entirety should indicate that to me, then I
17	by calling witnesses to give oral evidence, by submitting	17	can give a ruling. I don't think it's necessary for me to
18	written statements of witnesses, and by relying on the	18	troll through the interrogatories looking for those that
19	other evidence which is before the Commission.	19	shouldn't be allowed. I will deal with objections that are
20	The third – this was really the nub of the	20	raised.
21	direction I gave before – no adverse inference may be drawn	21	MR BUDLENDER SC: That's all I wish to
22	from the fact that the SAPS has not called every SAPS	22	say, Chair, but Mr Burger –
23	member who fired such a shot as a witness to give oral	23	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]
24	evidence.	24	statements are dealt with in the – didn't I say the
25	4, Earlier this year the SAPS presented the	25	statements had to be filed by the 23rd, the same Tuesday.
-			
	Dade 38360		Dage 38362
1	Page 38360 evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses,	1	Page 38362 Mr Burger?
1 2	Page 38360 evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at	1 2	Page 38362 Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to –
	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses,		Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to –
2	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at	2	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to –
2 3	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give	2 3	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr –
2 3 4	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS	2 3 4	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr
2 3 4 5	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time	2 3 4 5	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would
2 3 4 5 6	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to	2 3 4 5 6	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena.
2 3 4 5 6 7	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the evidence leaders.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that time on Monday the 29th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the evidence leaders. Any party – this is the fifth paragraph – any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that time on Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I understand that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the evidence leaders. Any party – this is the fifth paragraph – any party who intends to rely upon a written statement in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that time on Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I understand that, but I'm asking –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the evidence leaders. Any party – this is the fifth paragraph – any party who intends to rely upon a written statement in relation to justifiability of the shooting or the lack	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that time on Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I understand that, but I'm asking – CHAIRPERSON: We're going to adjourn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the evidence leaders. Any party – this is the fifth paragraph – any party who intends to rely upon a written statement in relation to justifiability of the shooting or the lack thereof is by the 23rd of September 2014 to give notice to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that time on Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I understand that, but I'm asking – CHAIRPERSON: We're going to adjourn until Monday the 29th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the evidence leaders. Any party – this is the fifth paragraph – any party who intends to rely upon a written statement in relation to justifiability of the shooting or the lack thereof is by the 23rd of September 2014 to give notice to all of the parties, either identifying where that statement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that time on Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I understand that, but I'm asking – CHAIRPERSON: We're going to adjourn until Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I'm asking about Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the evidence leaders. Any party – this is the fifth paragraph – any party who intends to rely upon a written statement in relation to justifiability of the shooting or the lack thereof is by the 23rd of September 2014 to give notice to all of the parties, either identifying where that statement may be found in the materials which have already been made	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that time on Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I understand that, but I'm asking – CHAIRPERSON: We're going to adjourn until Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I'm asking about Mr Mokoena, whether or not the interrogatories extend to him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the evidence leaders. Any party – this is the fifth paragraph – any party who intends to rely upon a written statement in relation to justifiability of the shooting or the lack thereof is by the 23rd of September 2014 to give notice to all of the parties, either identifying where that statement may be found in the materials which have already been made available, or providing a copy of that statement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that time on Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I understand that, but I'm asking – CHAIRPERSON: We're going to adjourn until Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I'm asking about Mr Mokoena, whether or not the interrogatories extend to him. CHAIRPERSON: No, that's not the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the evidence leaders. Any party – this is the fifth paragraph – any party who intends to rely upon a written statement in relation to justifiability of the shooting or the lack thereof is by the 23rd of September 2014 to give notice to all of the parties, either identifying where that statement may be found in the materials which have already been made available, or providing a copy of that statement. The sixth and last paragraph is as follows. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that time on Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I understand that, but I'm asking – CHAIRPERSON: We're going to adjourn until Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I'm asking about Mr Mokoena, whether or not the interrogatories extend to him. CHAIRPERSON: No, that's not the intention. The intention is the interrogatories should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the evidence leaders. Any party – this is the fifth paragraph – any party who intends to rely upon a written statement in relation to justifiability of the shooting or the lack thereof is by the 23rd of September 2014 to give notice to all of the parties, either identifying where that statement may be found in the materials which have already been made available, or providing a copy of that statement. The sixth and last paragraph is as follows. The Commission will evaluate all of the evidence before it in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that time on Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I understand that, but I'm asking – CHAIRPERSON: We're going to adjourn until Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I'm asking about Mr Mokoena, whether or not the interrogatories extend to him. CHAIRPERSON: No, that's not the intention. The intention is the interrogatories should take the place of – oh, I see. No, you gave up the time to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the evidence leaders. Any party – this is the fifth paragraph – any party who intends to rely upon a written statement in relation to justifiability of the shooting or the lack thereof is by the 23rd of September 2014 to give notice to all of the parties, either identifying where that statement may be found in the materials which have already been made available, or providing a copy of that statement. The sixth and last paragraph is as follows. The Commission will evaluate all of the evidence before it in considering whether shooting by members of the SAPS was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that time on Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I understand that, but I'm asking – CHAIRPERSON: We're going to adjourn until Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I'm asking about Mr Mokoena, whether or not the interrogatories extend to him. CHAIRPERSON: No, that's not the intention. The intention is the interrogatories should take the place of – oh, I see. No, you gave up the time to ask him questions. I'm sorry, that time is gone. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the evidence leaders. Any party – this is the fifth paragraph – any party who intends to rely upon a written statement in relation to justifiability of the shooting or the lack thereof is by the 23rd of September 2014 to give notice to all of the parties, either identifying where that statement may be found in the materials which have already been made available, or providing a copy of that statement. The sixth and last paragraph is as follows. The Commission will evaluate all of the evidence before it in considering whether shooting by members of the SAPS was justified. That is the clarification and amplification of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that time on Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I understand that, but I'm asking – CHAIRPERSON: We're going to adjourn until Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I'm asking about Mr Mokoena, whether or not the interrogatories extend to him. CHAIRPERSON: No, that's not the intention. The intention is the interrogatories should take the place of – oh, I see. No, you gave up the time to ask him questions. I'm sorry, that time is gone. I don't think I should extend extra facilities. I can understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the evidence leaders. Any party – this is the fifth paragraph – any party who intends to rely upon a written statement in relation to justifiability of the shooting or the lack thereof is by the 23rd of September 2014 to give notice to all of the parties, either identifying where that statement may be found in the materials which have already been made available, or providing a copy of that statement. The sixth and last paragraph is as follows. The Commission will evaluate all of the evidence before it in considering whether shooting by members of the SAPS was justified. That is the clarification and amplification of the direction that was given. Mr Budlender, 1 understand you have certain housekeeping comments to make at this stage before we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that time on Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I understand that, but I'm asking – CHAIRPERSON: We're going to adjourn until Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I'm asking about Mr Mokoena, whether or not the interrogatories extend to him. CHAIRPERSON: No, that's not the intention. The intention is the interrogatories should take the place of – oh, I see. No, you gave up the time to ask him questions. I'm sorry, that time is gone. I don't think I should extend extra facilities. I can understand questions that have arisen in other matters, in relation to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	evidence leaders with a lengthy list of witnesses, including a number of SAPS members who had fired shots at scene 1 or scene 2, whom the SAPS wanted to call to give evidence to the Commission. Because of the time constraints the evidence leaders did not agree to the SAPS calling all of the witnesses on its list and agreed only to the SAPS calling those SAPS members who have now given evidence. The absence of oral evidence from other shottists is the consequence of this view expressed by the evidence leaders. Any party – this is the fifth paragraph – any party who intends to rely upon a written statement in relation to justifiability of the shooting or the lack thereof is by the 23rd of September 2014 to give notice to all of the parties, either identifying where that statement may be found in the materials which have already been made available, or providing a copy of that statement. The sixth and last paragraph is as follows. The Commission will evaluate all of the evidence before it in considering whether shooting by members of the SAPS was justified. That is the clarification and amplification of the direction that was given. Mr Budlender, 1 understand you have certain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Burger? MR NGCUKAITOBI: I just wanted to – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr – MR NGCUKAITOBI: - ask some clarity, Mr Chairman, in relation to the interrogatories. As you would know we had intended to ask some questions to Mr Mokoena. We gave up the time so that we could get more time for Mr Seedat. CHAIRPERSON: You're going to get that time on Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I understand that, but I'm asking – CHAIRPERSON: We're going to adjourn until Monday the 29th. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, I'm asking about Mr Mokoena, whether or not the interrogatories extend to him. CHAIRPERSON: No, that's not the intention. The intention is the interrogatories should take the place of – oh, I see. No, you gave up the time to ask him questions. I'm sorry, that time is gone. I don't think I should extend extra facilities. I can understand questions that have arisen in other matters, in relation to other matters, but where you were going to deal with

	5		5
1	Page 38363 are going to get, then there's no scope for interrogatories	1	Page 38365 Then the Monday came up; they have an opportunity. So I
2	for Mr Mokoena.	2	don't see any room for interrogatories now, and what Mr
2		2	Ntsebenza wants to ask is simply a question to Lonmin.
4	MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, sorry –	4	
	-	-	That's not an interrogatory by any manner or form. So do I
5	MR MPOFU SC: Sorry, Chairperson, ja –	5	understand it correctly that there won't be
6	MR BUDLENDER SC: One qualification of	6	interrogatories? Mr Ntsebenza, Dumisa Ntsebenza –
7	which, if I may suggest after what you've said, Mr Ntsebeza	7	CHAIRPERSON: He's Ntsebeza actually.
8	had questions which he wished to raise with Mr Mokoena and	8	MR BURGER SC: - Ntsebeza, will ask
9	you indicated that those could be raised by interrogatory.	9	Lonmin a question on beneficiaries under the trust scheme
10	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. No, I did so, I've	10	and we'll answer that, but that's then the extent of the
11	already said that. When Mr Bham presented the document	11	interrogatories?
12	which had been compiled by Lonmin in relation to the	12	CHAIRPERSON: I also wanted
13	payments they'd made and so on, I did indicate that there	13	interrogatories to be asked by the evidence leaders in
14	was a point Mr Ntsebeza wished to raise - I actually said	14	relation to the recording, if it ever existed, of the
15	that – relating to three of the deceased persons who were	15	discussion, or alleged discussion which showed that AMCU,
16	not employees of Lonmin but Mr Ntsebeza wanted to ask why	16	so it was alleged, was behind the strike, the one that Mr
17	they had been excluded, and I indicated that he would be	17	Kwadi said he made and Mr Bham explained to us, gave us an
18	able to submit interrogatories and obviously the date fixed	18	explanation as to why Mr Kwadi says it can't be produced.
19	for interrogatories applies, but he doesn't have to address	19	I wanted statements taken from them.
20	it to any particular witness. My suggestion was, and I	20	MR BURGER SC: That I know of –
21	understood he accepted my suggestion, that that should be	21	CHAIRPERSON: Him and Mr Botes.
22	addressed to Lonmin, let Lonmin justify their failure to	22	MR BURGER SC: Yes. I wasn't aware that
23	pay monies to those, the families of the three people who	23	there are interrogatories in that context.
24	weren't their employees but who were killed on the 16th. I	24	CHAIRPERSON: No, actually you are
25	think it's clear that the interrogatories now in relation	25	correct. The interrogatories were suggested in order to
	Dama 2024 4		Dama 2024/
1	Page 38364	1	Page 38366 deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed
1	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on	1	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed
2	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to	2	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to
2 3	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to	2 3	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time
2 3 4	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu?	2 3 4	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time
2 3 4 5	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now
2 3 4 5 6	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th?	2 3 4 5 6	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will
2 3 4 5 6 7	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on the 29th, could we be here for the full day and have him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I thought we're going to argue in the last week of October.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on the 29th, could we be here for the full day and have him recalled for the morning?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I thought we're going to argue in the last week of October. Is that correct or not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on the 29th, could we be here for the full day and have him recalled for the morning? CHAIRPERSON: No, we dealt with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I thought we're going to argue in the last week of October. Is that correct or not? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, the situation is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on the 29th, could we be here for the full day and have him recalled for the morning? CHAIRPERSON: No, we dealt with the question of Mr Mokoena and the time allotted to him. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I thought we're going to argue in the last week of October. Is that correct or not? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, the situation is a difficult one because I was originally intending to state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on the 29th, could we be here for the full day and have him recalled for the morning? CHAIRPERSON: No, we dealt with the question of Mr Mokoena and the time allotted to him. I'm not going to reopen that. No, I'm sorry. So that is that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I thought we're going to argue in the last week of October. Is that correct or not? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, the situation is a difficult one because I was originally intending to state that we're simply going to postpone till a date which would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on the 29th, could we be here for the full day and have him recalled for the morning? CHAIRPERSON: No, we dealt with the question of Mr Mokoena and the time allotted to him. I'm not going to reopen that. No, I'm sorry. So that is that. So we'll now postpone –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I thought we're going to argue in the last week of October. Is that correct or not? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, the situation is a difficult one because I was originally intending to state that we're simply going to postpone till a date which would be announced to the parties either tomorrow or the day
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on the 29th, could we be here for the full day and have him recalled for the morning? CHAIRPERSON: No, we dealt with the question of Mr Mokoena and the time allotted to him. I'm not going to reopen that. No, I'm sorry. So that is that. So we'll now postpone – MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I have my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I thought we're going to argue in the last week of October. Is that correct or not? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, the situation is a difficult one because I was originally intending to state that we're simply going to postpone till a date which would be announced to the parties either tomorrow or the day after. Then this idea of sitting on the Monday afternoon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on the 29th, could we be here for the full day and have him recalled for the morning? CHAIRPERSON: No, we dealt with the question of Mr Mokoena and the time allotted to him. I'm not going to reopen that. No, I'm sorry. So that is that. So we'll now postpone – MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I have my opportunity now –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I thought we're going to argue in the last week of October. Is that correct or not? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, the situation is a difficult one because I was originally intending to state that we're simply going to postpone till a date which would be announced to the parties either tomorrow or the day after. Then this idea of sitting on the Monday afternoon the 29th arose, which we have accepted, but it follows from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on the 29th, could we be here for the full day and have him recalled for the morning? CHAIRPERSON: No, we dealt with the question of Mr Mokoena and the time allotted to him. I'm not going to reopen that. No, I'm sorry. So that is that. So we'll now postpone – MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I have my opportunity now – CHAIRPERSON: I beg your pardon, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I thought we're going to argue in the last week of October. Is that correct or not? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, the situation is a difficult one because I was originally intending to state that we're simply going to postpone till a date which would be announced to the parties either tomorrow or the day after. Then this idea of sitting on the Monday afternoon the 29th arose, which we have accepted, but it follows from that that if evidence is still going to be heard on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on the 29th, could we be here for the full day and have him recalled for the morning? CHAIRPERSON: No, we dealt with the question of Mr Mokoena and the time allotted to him. I'm not going to reopen that. No, I'm sorry. So that is that. So we'll now postpone – MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I have my opportunity now – CHAIRPERSON: I beg your pardon, Mr Burger, I'm sorry. I didn't see you had your light on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I thought we're going to argue in the last week of October. Is that correct or not? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, the situation is a difficult one because I was originally intending to state that we're simply going to postpone till a date which would be announced to the parties either tomorrow or the day after. Then this idea of sitting on the Monday afternoon the 29th arose, which we have accepted, but it follows from that that if evidence is still going to be heard on the 29th, obviously you can't argue before that. The question,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on the 29th, could we be here for the full day and have him recalled for the morning? CHAIRPERSON: No, we dealt with the question of Mr Mokoena and the time allotted to him. I'm not going to reopen that. No, I'm sorry. So that is that. So we'll now postpone – MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I have my opportunity now – CHAIRPERSON: I beg your pardon, Mr Burger, I'm sorry. I didn't see you had your light on. MR BURGER SC: I'm afraid I'm completely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I thought we're going to argue in the last week of October. Is that correct or not? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, the situation is a difficult one because I was originally intending to state that we're simply going to postpone till a date which would be announced to the parties either tomorrow or the day after. Then this idea of sitting on the Monday afternoon the 29th arose, which we have accepted, but it follows from that that if evidence is still going to be heard on the 29th, obviously you can't argue before that. The question, one possibility which is being considered is the end of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on the 29th, could we be here for the full day and have him recalled for the morning? CHAIRPERSON: No, we dealt with the question of Mr Mokoena and the time allotted to him. I'm not going to reopen that. No, I'm sorry. So that is that. So we'll now postpone – MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I have my opportunity now – CHAIRPERSON: I beg your pardon, Mr Burger, I'm sorry. I didn't see you had your light on. MR BURGER SC: I'm afraid I'm completely at sea on this direction on interrogatories now. As I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I thought we're going to argue in the last week of October. Is that correct or not? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, the situation is a difficult one because I was originally intending to state that we're simply going to postpone till a date which would be announced to the parties either tomorrow or the day after. Then this idea of sitting on the Monday afternoon the 29th arose, which we have accepted, but it follows from that that if evidence is still going to be heard on the 29th, obviously you can't argue before that. The question, one possibility which is being considered is the end of October, but we will have, we will be in a position to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on the 29th, could we be here for the full day and have him recalled for the morning? CHAIRPERSON: No, we dealt with the question of Mr Mokoena and the time allotted to him. I'm not going to reopen that. No, I'm sorry. So that is that. So we'll now postpone – MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I have my opportunity now – CHAIRPERSON: I beg your pardon, Mr Burger, I'm sorry. I didn't see you had your light on. MR BURGER SC: I'm afraid I'm completely at sea on this direction on interrogatories now. As I understand it that was mooted because some of my colleagues	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I thought we're going to argue in the last week of October. Is that correct or not? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, the situation is a difficult one because I was originally intending to state that we're simply going to postpone till a date which would be announced to the parties either tomorrow or the day after. Then this idea of sitting on the Monday afternoon the 29th arose, which we have accepted, but it follows from that that if evidence is still going to be heard on the 29th, obviously you can't argue before that. The question, one possibility which is being considered is the end of October, but we will have, we will be in a position to make a definite statement about that either tomorrow or the day
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	to phase 2 falls away because we've decided to sit on Monday afternoon the 29th to enable those who were going to cross-examine to do the cross-examination they wanted to do. Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. Are you still talking about the Monday the 29th? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU SC: Well, Chairperson, if I may, there's in my camp at least very great dissatisfaction about the lack of cross-examination for Mr Mokoena. Could I suggest that if we're going to be here for half a day on the 29th, could we be here for the full day and have him recalled for the morning? CHAIRPERSON: No, we dealt with the question of Mr Mokoena and the time allotted to him. I'm not going to reopen that. No, I'm sorry. So that is that. So we'll now postpone – MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I have my opportunity now – CHAIRPERSON: I beg your pardon, Mr Burger, I'm sorry. I didn't see you had your light on. MR BURGER SC: I'm afraid I'm completely at sea on this direction on interrogatories now. As I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	deal with the problem that the way we were going to proceed the parties who wished to, who have been allocated time to cross-examine Mr Seedat were being deprived of that time because we weren't going to, we're running out of time today, and in place of the interrogatories we've now decided to sit on Monday afternoon, the 29th, so they will get the time allocated to them. So you're correct, Mr Burger. MR BURGER SC: Then I'm indebted to you, Sir. The second thing I don't understand is Mr Budlender says we don't know when we're going to argue. Now I thought we're going to argue in the last week of October. Is that correct or not? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, the situation is a difficult one because I was originally intending to state that we're simply going to postpone till a date which would be announced to the parties either tomorrow or the day after. Then this idea of sitting on the Monday afternoon the 29th arose, which we have accepted, but it follows from that that if evidence is still going to be heard on the 29th, obviously you can't argue before that. The question, one possibility which is being considered is the end of October, but we will have, we will be in a position to make

1	Page 38367
1	to have a meeting on Wednesday, tomorrow, with the parties.
2	Am I wrong? No, sorry, I withdraw that –
3	MR BUDLENDER SC: No Chair, it's just a
4	meeting of the evidence leaders.
5	CHAIRPERSON: Meeting of the evidence
6	leaders, alright. We're awaiting information which we need
7	before we can fix the time and as soon as it is to hand we
, 8	will communicate it to the parties. The last week of
9	October is a distinct possibility. I can't put it higher
, 10	than that at this stage. But it will be put higher either
11	tomorrow or the day after.
12	MR BURGER SC: It makes it extremely
	5
13	difficult for practitioners to fit in a week and we don't
14	know what the week is, so I'm not in a position now to know
15	when we're going to argue.
16	CHAIRPERSON: I'm acutely aware of that,
17	Mr Burger. The difficulty I have is one that I can't
18	overcome today, but I will either be able to overcome it
19	tomorrow or the day after.
20	MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, we're all
21	practitioners, we all have the same problem and we have to
22	try to find a way of resolving the problem.
23	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, I think we've
24	taken it about as far as we can go today. So we'll adjourn
25	now until quarter to 2 on the afternoon of the 29th of
	Page 38368
1	September.
-	
2	[COMMISSION ADJOURNED]
3	
3 4	
3	
3 4	
3 4 5 6 7	
3 4 5 6 7 8	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	[COMMISSION ADJOURNED]
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	[COMMISSION ADJOURNED]
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	[COMMISSION ADJOURNED]
$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$	[COMMISSION ADJOURNED]

				Page
A	accommodate	adamant 38235:21	38243:20 38333:17	allocated 38225:4,9
abandon 38263:15	38284:16 38331:16	add 38282:9 38300:3	38354:22	38330:10 38340:19
abandoned 38265:9	38340:18	38317:12 38355:21	<b>Africans</b> 38350:10	38366:2,7
Abey 38197:2,24	accommodated	added 38244:20,25	aftermath 38220:9	allocating 38304:4
38198:11 38199:13	38283:12,20 38284:4	addition 38220:23	<b>afternoon</b> 38161:16	allocations 38340:21
38199:14	38284:19	38221:7 38222:25	38173:23,24 38182:8	38340:23
<b>ability</b> 38234:12	accommodation	38247:16 38283:15	38192:15 38340:22	allotted 38364:15
38294:19 38312:24	38211:24 38222:1	additional 38221:11	38359:1 38364:2	<b>allow</b> 38168:18
38313:1,4	38223:6 38229:16	38222:20 38228:16	38366:6,18 38367:25	38194:23 38329:8,23
<b>able</b> 38157:17	38236:10,25 38237:5	address 38159:3	agency 38258:10	allowance 38167:15
38180:18 38200:4	38237:7 38238:20 38276:11 38278:4,5,9	38160:11 38239:22 38256:14 38268:15	38259:9 38260:7,11	38168:1 38203:18
38231:7 38239:12	38278:9,14,19	38270:24 38351:4	38260:14,22,23 38268:7	38206:10,24 38207:2 38207:2,6 38217:3,22
38243:13 38251:8	38279:1,4,11,12,14	38363:19	agent 38260:15	38276:10 38277:13
38270:6 38279:20	38279:19 38281:15	addressed 38330:1	aggravate 38281:22	38278:3,14 38279:4,9
38292:8 38314:7	38283:9,10,18	38363:22	aggravated 38286:9	38279:10,11,18
38315:18,23	38291:4 38303:10	addresses 38277:25	aggregate 38256:7	38356:21,24,24
38318:25 38328:2	38311:5 38318:13	addressing 38282:2	AGM 38349:24	38357:3,11,24,25
38333:23 38340:23	38351:16 38352:21	38330:16 38352:15	ago 38230:10 38286:1,2	38358:5,9,16,18
38346:20 38350:23	38353:14,23	adequate 38361:13	agree 38130:21	<b>allowances</b> 38166:13
38353:16 38355:24	38354:19	adheres 38286:20	38131:2,3 38136:12	38217:1,16 38357:14
38361:11 38363:18	accompanied 38211:10	adjective 38274:17	38141:4 38142:9	allowed 38131:7
38367:18 abroad 38288.8	account 38297:7	adjourn 38280:6	38150:11,17,18,20	38137:16,17
abroad 38288:8 absence 38128:3	accounted 38201:15	38360:25 38362:13	38154:8,16 38172:23	38361:19
38283:7 38360:8	38298:11 38302:4,5	38367:24	38176:8 38187:25	alluding 38278:12
<b>absolute</b> 38255:3	38309:9	<b>ADJOURNED</b> 38368:2	38195:22 38204:9	almighty 38147:19
absolutely 38153:15	accounting 38270:11	adjournment 38202:6	38211:1,5,18	Alongside 38352:11
38188:11 38189:4	38303:17 38309:1	38279:25 38280:3	38212:14 38213:20	alright 38131:1
38212:22 38224:7	accounts 38269:25	38327:15	38247:9,10 38256:6	38135:17 38144:1,22
38264:21 38290:24	38270:4,6,7,8	ADJOURNS 38202:7	38260:25 38265:18	38150:7 38157:21
38311:17 38349:4,12	accumulate 38228:14	38237:20 38280:8	38276:16 38289:21	38158:5 38159:22
38351:22 38353:4	accumulated 38230:9	38327:20	38290:4,17 38293:21	38209:8 38235:2
acceded 38131:3	accumulative 38312:21	adjust 38217:16	38293:21 38315:4,21	38333:14 38354:11
accept 38130:10,11	achieve 38320:17	38226:18	38331:8 38360:5	38367:6,23
38132:1 38141:12	achieved 38160:9	adjusting 38327:18	agreed 38142:14	alternative 38318:14
38146:5 38149:9	38300:21 38301:3,6	adjustment 38158:6,19	38171:7 38230:22	38318:15 38332:8
38150:3,6 38157:18	38302:15 38310:9	adjustments 38166:11	38246:22 38259:19	alternatives 38293:12
38158:6 38159:4	38313:8,13	administration	38274:17 38320:24	altogether 38200:13
38196:18 38200:9	achievement 38305:1,2	38262:21	38360:6	amazing 38214:5
38246:19 38247:6	38305:3	admired 38173:5	agreement 38187:12,13	ambiguity 38311:16
38253:4 38254:2	acknowledged	<b>admit</b> 38213:9	38258:10,12,13,20	AMCU 38132:16
38264:20 38273:17	38166:14	advanced 38133:9	38259:9,13,14	38139:18,22 38140:8
38278:6 38284:25	acknowledging	adverse 38359:21	38260:1,7,9,11,14,22	38141:2,9 38145:2,4
38306:7 38317:19	38149:6	advised 38180:12	38260:23 38261:4,5,6	38145:6 38147:15
38319:4 38333:12	acquire 38325:7	adviser 38265:16	38261:10 38262:15	38149:22,25
38340:7 38349:7	act 38185:12 38186:7	affairs 38128:11	38264:1 38265:17,19	38168:20,21,23
38350:20 38355:20	38187:1 38191:7,15	38259:18,20	38265:20 38268:6,7	38197:5,9 38198:15
38357:17	38200:4 38241:5	affect 38271:11	38292:20 38321:4,12	38205:9,17,23
acceptable 38237:5	38248:22 38319:16	afford 38315:18	agreements 38234:5	38206:6,9,13,23
acceptance 38146:4	38321:13	38318:11,13	38356:22	38207:7 38214:15,21
38304:14	acted 38185:12	38333:11 38335:14	ahead 38203:3	38225:10 38328:2
accepted 38145:2	action 38185:4 38195:1 38196:3 38198:20	affordability 38319:12	Akanani 38242:13,15	38340:20,20 38365:15
38147:15 38159:22	38211:11 38212:4	38322:4 38331:8,10 38341:23	38295:10,15 38324:13 38336:6,13	amendment 38320:7
38160:19 38208:7,19	38213:18 38261:1	affordable 38143:10,13	<b>albeit</b> 38268:8 38336:6	38320:24 38321:4,5
38210:2 38218:7	actions 38184:3	38143:17 38227:22	alia 38226:12 38250:2	38321:23
38258:7 38311:3	activities 38194:13,15	38236:4 38351:16	38350:19	amidst 38227:12
38317:18 38318:9	38221:24 38273:15	38354:19,21 38355:7	alive 38315:2	amount 38138:19
38322:22 38330:23	acts 38153:4	afraid 38147:18	allegation 38199:22	38204:11 38206:24
38363:21 38366:19	actual 38163:8 38187:5	38159:7 38219:3	38312:2	38221:6,8,13
accepting 38145:4	38230:7 38301:22	38280:3 38364:22	allegations 38197:3,4	38223:14,21,23
38208:24	38302:25 38307:25	Africa 38231:10	38198:13,14	38247:1 38254:9
access 38192:25	38308:3,4,5,12	38241:10,11,15	alleged 38214:23	38256:7 38261:19
38208:9 38222:7	38310:10 38313:15	<b>African</b> 38214:6	38303:2 38365:15,16	38262:22 38266:25
38250:10 38276:8,15	acutely 38367:16	38240:4,8,21,22	<b>allegedly</b> 38211:15	38288:21,25
38277:2,22 38288:10	ad 38221:7 38222:23	38241:2,7 38242:6,12	<b>allocate</b> 38357:21	38291:12 38295:7
	au 30221.7 30222.23	552 2,7 552 72.0,12		55271.12 55275.1

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

Pretoria

				Page
38331:13 38336:18	38302:7	38151:11,15 38158:3	38175:18 38195:8,24	38168:8 38170:1
38337:23 38338:12	<b>anyway</b> 38150:24	38172:23 38175:23	38198:16 38216:9	38179:21,24 38183:6
amounts 38204:16	38156:15 38157:18	38177:17,19	38328:25 38330:17	38183:9,10,13
38223:12 38229:2	38158:24 38175:13	38249:21 38290:25	38362:12,15	38186:9,10,14
38255:16 38257:22	38208:17 38209:25	38291:5 38304:10	aspect 38219:9 38250:8	38188:17,17
38270:4 38322:9	38210:2 38330:25	38366:11,12,21	aspects 38219:18	38208:20,21
38348:17	38339:22	38367:15	38220:7	38224:17 38253:15
amplification 38360:21	apart 38285:10	argued 38140:4	assess 38349:6,8	38265:8 38275:21,24
analysed 38339:24	apologise 38253:19	38329:15	asset 38252:6,16	38276:2 38297:14,18
analysis 38222:16	38330:20 38354:6	arguing 38137:1	38289:9 38290:21,22	38297:19,21,24
38297:19 38304:22	apology 38330:23	argument 38157:22	38325:8 38351:17	38323:12,15
38324:20 38326:12	appalling 38273:19	38167:10,12,23	assets 38231:9	38324:21 38325:18
38326:13 38340:3	38274:16,17 38294:6	38172:3 38224:14	38242:17 38251:21	38350:4
<b>angle</b> 38142:24	apparent 38259:14	38295:18 38296:1	38289:11 38296:7	authored 38304:17
<b>Anglo</b> 38158:7,19,22	apparently 38196:23	38297:1,3 38322:4	38336:6	authorities 38228:9
38273:12,15	38236:17 38316:8	38329:11,11 38331:7	assist 38150:11	38236:3
<b>annexure</b> 38221:5,19	<b>appear</b> 38209:7	38341:24 38358:14	38189:19 38271:3	authority 38239:16
38321:12	38214:16 38227:9	38361:5	38281:10 38286:15	automatically 38155:8
announced 38359:5	<b>appears</b> 38205:2	arguments 38322:3	38351:15	38251:1
38366:17	38226:9 38245:1	arisen 38362:22	assistance 38150:21	availability 38227:12
announcement	38304:9 38309:23	arithmetic 38256:7	38220:15,17	available 38127:13
38218:14,17	38324:19	38357:8	38221:23,24 38248:5	38129:10 38181:3
announces 38170:1	applicable 38313:3	<b>arm</b> 38292:21,21	38265:15	38209:8 38222:9
38352:7 38353:7	applicants 38314:2,11	<b>armed</b> 38186:6	assisting 38293:6	38226:20 38227:13
<b>annual</b> 38158:17,20,24	application 38329:15	<b>armoured</b> 38161:3,20	associated 38172:10	38255:2 38283:15,22
38227:5 38238:8,10	applications 38322:18	<b>arms</b> 38161:11	38258:6	38284:21 38288:6
38244:4 38306:12,12	applied 38160:22	38317:10	<b>assume</b> 38247:9	38289:18,18 38290:1
38312:19 38313:15	38236:19 38263:17	<b>arm's</b> 38292:19	38256:12 38273:17	38290:2 38328:2
38320:21 38322:9	38320:6 38321:3	<b>arose</b> 38359:11	38296:4,6 38297:10	38332:3 38341:4
38351:3,23 38354:1,5	38332:6	38366:19	38303:13 38307:2	38360:17
38355:4 38357:11	applies 38363:19	arrange 38256:5	38323:6 38324:22	avenues 38185:25
<b>annum</b> 38327:4	apply 38160:23	arrangement 38131:6	38334:1	average 38257:23
answer 38133:18	38321:9	38132:5 38232:16,20	assumed 38352:18	38316:11 38337:17
38135:15 38139:10	appreciate 38213:12,13	38233:9,13 38260:4	38353:11	averted 38158:2
38146:21 38156:25	38333:25	38261:7 38263:25	assumes 38349:10	avoid 38213:10
38162:18 38169:10	approach 38154:13	38292:24 38309:11	assuming 38296:7	38342:15
38169:15 38172:24	38155:6 38204:18	38314:9	38331:25 38344:10	avoids 38148:8
38175:7 38176:9	38320:18	arrangements	assumption 38131:13	38149:10
38177:16 38178:3	approached 38289:19	38127:10 38231:4,13	38299:22 38300:2	awaiting 38367:6
38187:17 38248:23	38290:13,14 38293:4	38233:11,16	38305:20 38314:22	aware 38161:24
38249:18 38253:6	appropriate 38226:20	arranging 38258:24	38326:24	38162:1,8 38190:25
38305:24 38318:7	38274:17 38279:24	arresting 38184:6	assumptions 38344:16	38206:7,19 38256:11
38321:23 38329:5,6,6	38324:8	arrival 38129:9	38344:19	38258:13,14 38273:4
38329:14,21,22	approval 38324:14	38151:2 38314:11	assurances 38159:23	38276:18 38281:14
38330:2 38332:15	38325:1 38336:21 approvals 38252:5	arrive 38140:19 arrived 38161:21	38160:19 assure 38329:3	38302:7 38309:15
38338:1 38340:16	approvals 38252:5 approve 38266:13	38163:1 38190:15	atmosphere 38350:5	38365:22 38367:16
38344:19 38365:10 answered 38157:11		38311:18	attached 38246:1	<u> </u>
38184:18 38331:1	<b>approved</b> 38284:9 38290:3,12,13	arrogant 38152:19	attached 38240:1 attempt 38260:25	bachelor 38352:21
38361:12,16	38314:3 38316:6	articles 38215:23	attempts 38189:22	back 38131:4,5
answering 38156:12	38322:25 38323:4	ascribe 38229:11	attend 38359:3	38143:22,24
38169:5 38296:25	approving 38321:22	aside 38128:8 38147:25	attending 38222:23	38151:13 38162:13
answers 38169:8	approximately 38225:7	38303:10 38323:22	38355:18	38163:12,13
38213:21 38311:15	38276:6,24 38346:5	38303.10 38323.22	attention 38214:12	38164:13,15
38329:18 38361:13	April 38259:23	asked 38127:9,17	38248:19	38168:20 38184:4
answer's 38246:14	38261:11 38296:15	38128:13 38132:2	attitude 38186:24	38186:25 38187:8,11
anticipate 38293:12	38323:4,6,7 38324:13	38133:8 38150:9	38187:4 38195:16,18	38187:14,17 38188:2
38294:22	<b>Arch</b> 38161:9,21	38164:16 38167:18	38200:12,12	38188:7 38189:24,25
anticipated 38281:23	area 38152:4 38162:9	38170:18 38176:2	attract 38187:8	38201:1 38213:7
38282:19 38292:25	38222:9 38227:14	38192:18 38196:1	audit 38222:13	38215:7 38229:16
anticipates 38281:24	38284:6,8 38314:20	38202:13 38205:1	audited 38262:15	38232:12 38236:6
anticipation 38282:11	areas 38227:23	38210:3 38266:9	38267:2 38306:14	38237:6 38243:7
anybody 38144:7	aren't 38294:5	38280:22 38321:3	auditors 38233:12	38245:2 38250:14
38162:23 38170:14	38330:15	38322:11 38365:13	38306:14,15	38252:19 38254:5,8
Contraction of the second of the second				
38171:1,16 38213:7	argue 38139:16	asking 38145:25	August 38130:1	38255:21 38261:19
38171:1,16 38213:7 38288:12 38293:23	<b>argue</b> 38139:16 38140:1 38144:2,3	asking 38145:25 38147:19 38164:16	August 38130:1 38133:23 38163:7	38255:21 38261:19 38265:5 38267:11,15

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
38271:10 38280:3	38346:20 38357:11	38147:7,8 38148:15	38349:22 38351:21	broadly 38146:3
38289:22 38294:11	<b>bear</b> 38307:23	38148:17,20,22	38352:7 38353:7,16	38352:24 38354:23
38303:17 38310:16	bearing 38233:6	38149:3 38158:13	38355:6,9,10	broken 38248:8
38313:13 38318:16	38340:24	38178:19 38179:1,6,9	boarding 38221:24,25	38312:19
38332:17,22	<b>BEE</b> 38331:21	38179:16,19,23	Boksburg 38258:2	brought 38137:15
38346:20 38348:12	beg 38182:24 38197:17	38202:22 38209:9,11	<b>bold</b> 38300:22	38163:13 38264:13
38361:5	38198:8 38254:19	38213:25 38214:1,11	<b>bond</b> 38264:5,13,23,25	38335:9 38350:8
background 38171:5	38364:20	38214:19 38215:13	38265:3,6,9,13	<b>brush</b> 38147:25
38217:5 38231:1	begging 38156:24	38216:18 38218:3,14	38295:3,5	buckets 38329:19
38247:13	beginning 38165:22	38219:10,11,22	<b>bonds</b> 38292:8	<b>budget</b> 38287:10,12,15
<b>bad</b> 38138:22 38139:25	38168:19,23 38191:9	38220:1 38224:2,7,18	<b>bonus</b> 38204:13	38287:16,21
38323:25 38326:22	38255:3,3 38326:22	38224:23 38341:8	38217:23	38289:15 38290:7,8,9
38334:16	begs 38322:4 38341:24	38363:11 38365:17	<b>bonuses</b> 38207:3	38291:8,15,20
balance 38250:13	behalf 38128:15	<b>Bham's</b> 38134:6	<b>booed</b> 38162:25	38299:18 38301:9
38252:15,20,21	38132:3 38133:9	<b>big</b> 38173:5,9 38175:8	<b>books</b> 38222:1,20	38304:1,3,5 38308:1
38294:23	38202:14 38219:14	38175:15,19 38176:3	38252:18 38295:3	38308:4,12 38322:10
balances 38266:23	38223:19,22	38336:13	38301:15 38317:12	38322:13,23 38323:1
balancing 38301:16	38224:10 38310:12	<b>bigger</b> 38282:15,15	38333:18	38324:16 38325:1
bank 38286:23 38288:4	38361:9	biggest 38318:2	<b>boom</b> 38294:13	38348:19
38292:4,14,20	behaviour 38153:5	<b>billion</b> 38246:3,12	38296:1 38322:8	budgeted 38308:2
38294:24 38295:4	<b>believe</b> 38132:9	38247:3 38249:16,16	<b>booming</b> 38295:23	<b>budgets</b> 38323:6,8
38310:13,14	38152:25 38198:21	38254:11,19 38256:8	38311:11 38323:20	Budlender 38360:23
38334:10	38235:16 38332:25	38295:11,14	Bophuthatswana	38361:1,21 38363:6
<b>banking</b> 38286:21,24	38347:11 38352:12	38324:11,13 38325:6	38231:11	38366:10,25 38367:3
38288:8 38289:19,20 38290:2,4,12,17	<b>believed</b> 38162:22 38171:6 38314:7	38326:4,4 38327:5 38333:7 38334:6	<b>born</b> 38168:21 <b>borrow</b> 38288:1	38367:20 <b>build</b> 38230:14 38250:4
38292:3 38293:11	belong 38169:21	38335:19 38336:7	38291:13	38282:11 38284:17
38300:1 38311:2	Ben 38222:3	<b>binding</b> 38143:4	borrowed 38287:18	38297:20 38299:15
banks 38250:11	beneath 38204:2	38319:15 38320:23	Botes 38365:21	38299:19 38302:10
38282:3 38286:17	beneficiaries 38220:12	38321:6	<b>bottom</b> 38174:10	38311:3,5 38312:18
38292:17 38293:5	38221:18 38222:13	<b>Bishop</b> 38151:2,24,25	38244:12 38283:7	38312:18 38315:21
38294:1,2,18	38365:9	38152:3,9,13,14,15	38302:18 38313:13	38315:23 38316:19
38295:15,23 38298:2	beneficiary 38223:13	38152:17,22 38153:7	38313:14	38316:22 38317:20
38298:4 38301:16	38223:18 38224:20	38153:9,12,15,20,20	bought 38251:17,18,19	38318:21,23,25
38309:12 38311:13	benefit 38183:23	38154:4	38295:10,12,12	38319:25 38322:5
38317:13 38335:15	38297:5	Bishop's 38152:18	<b>bound</b> 38353:8	38332:2 38333:11
bargain 38130:22	benefits 38222:25	<b>bit</b> 38129:7 38158:20	boundaries 38231:16	38334:15 38335:14
38131:18	38237:8 38250:10	38195:19 38226:17	38231:17 38232:15	38337:16,17
bargaining 38129:23	38263:15	38236:24 38244:7	boundary 38232:23	38353:17,22 38356:4
38130:2,5,24,25	Bermuda 38259:22	38253:3 38266:22	box 38244:8,9,11,12	builders 38286:17
38131:5,16,20,22	38261:13,15,20,20,23	38294:20 38303:25	brains 38318:9	<b>building</b> 38253:6
38135:4,10,13,13	38262:15,19,21	38316:4,9 38332:17	Brakpan 38259:4	38284:23 38286:20
38136:23 38137:5,16	38263:1,2,8,21	38345:22 38361:3	branch 38240:21	38291:9 38292:2
38217:24 <b>D</b>	38267:1,1,18,20	<b>bits</b> 38359:2	branches 38206:18	38297:17,24
Barnard 38129:13	<b>best</b> 38152:12 38156:22	black 38177:23,25	breach 38286:13	38313:21,24,25
38159:7 38163:21 38195:10 38203:4	38195:13 38220:19 38222:7 38229:2	38300:18 Blackberry 38270:25	break 38182:16 38219:12 38237:14	38314:3 38315:20 38316:8,11,15,23
38239:12	38231:6 38253:25	38271:1	38256:14 38318:10	38323:9,14 38324:23
base 38131:13	38294:4 38332:7	<b>blame</b> 38196:11	38336:12	38325:3 38334:18
38313:12	betrayed 38163:14	blaming 38156:9	breakaway 38218:23	38352:9 38353:1
based 38148:23	38164:1,3,6,6,21	bled 38325:8	breakdown 38257:20	38354:13 38356:6
38161:24 38227:25	38177:25	block 38300:20	38289:16,18,21,25	buildings 38232:9
38232:12 38322:17	better 38133:15	38302:20,21	38290:2,5,18 38350:5	built 38228:10
38324:24 38326:12	38147:21 38150:15	blocks 38287:9	38350:18 38353:9	38236:10 38250:2
38326:13 38349:11	38178:24 38236:21	38299:14 38303:25	bricks 38235:23	38279:16 38282:21
BASF 38271:21,25	38237:7 38239:12	blood 38176:20,22,23	briefly 38152:2	38283:14,16,22
38272:1	38257:11 38285:7	38194:21	38225:21 38238:7	38284:6,20 38285:11
basic 38276:8,15	38292:10 38317:5	bloodshed 38175:24	bring 38139:22	38285:15,16,25
38277:2,23 38322:3	beyond 38286:8	38176:23 38177:9	38153:21 38187:13	38286:3,5,6,7
basically 38132:14	38300:16	<b>board</b> 38140:16	38348:12 38361:6	38289:17,25
basis 38134:6 38171:2	Bham 38127:8,23	38141:9 38230:12	bringing 38138:22	38297:13,13
38172:18 38181:22	38128:21 38129:5,11	38248:21 38264:8	38173:5 38215:17	38305:10,11
38228:10 38247:9	38133:4,12 38134:9	38265:1,5 38295:15	38286:6	38313:11 38314:8
38289:17 38290:1	38134:19 38145:12	38299:1,2 38317:1,4	broadened 38133:23	38315:15 38318:12
38311:2 38314:9	38145:18,22,24	38322:23,24	broader 38319:2	38334:13 38337:14 38338:4
38326:16 38328:11	38146:20,24,25	38325:13 38326:6	38349:21	38338:4
	n			

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

#### Pretoria

				Page
<b>bulk</b> 38357:15	38154:9 38155:20	38218:8 38232:21,23	38354:18,22	clarify 38135:20
bullet 38204:2,22	38163:13 38172:11	38233:9 38243:20	challenges 38226:18,19	38217:21 38238:19
38205:8 38208:7,19	38179:17 38188:18	38258:2 38290:6	38351:14 38352:16	38240:1,3 38241:22
38227:10,18 38249:9	38188:22,23,25	38291:6 38294:14	<b>chance</b> 38318:6	38242:1 38245:6
38253:22 38254:12	38189:23 38194:22	38306:21,24 38315:2	38330:18 38341:2	38257:4 38359:10
38313:19,20,24	38201:7,11,14	38322:19 38334:1,2	change 38268:3	clarifying 38238:14
<b>bundle</b> 38165:15	38212:16 38217:12	cases 38233:10	38341:16 38350:16	clarity 38143:16
38173:22 38174:16	38230:19 38235:8	38238:17 38260:24	38351:11	38144:19 38362:4
38174:17 38175:4	38255:11 38261:6	<b>cash</b> 38249:9 38250:3	changed 38140:14	clause 38260:23
38179:2 38182:9	38281:3 38360:3	38255:2,8 38265:8	38200:16 38260:4	clear 38132:13 38135:8
38197:19,20,23	called 38130:1 38151:1	38294:20 38311:13	38263:21 38315:19	38135:25 38143:10
38198:5,6 38203:10	38161:15 38166:13	38335:21 38342:18	changes 38340:1	38143:12,19
38203:12 38211:7	38194:7 38201:9,12	catastrophes 38140:24	chapter 38274:2	38144:23 38145:3
38214:2 38234:9	38226:3 38230:20,21	catch 38149:8	charge 38219:8	38150:1 38175:22,23
38239:20 38248:1,6	38276:3,4 38308:1	categories 38217:2,19	38233:7	38195:11 38199:24
	-	categorise 38148:24		
38283:2 38343:2	38359:22	0	charged 38228:15	38200:2,13 38209:19
38351:24 38354:3,3	calling 38359:17	category 38133:8	38232:11	38309:8 38311:17
<b>bundles</b> 38237:25	38360:6,7	cater 38283:11 38284:3	<b>charges</b> 38230:1	38319:5 38328:1
38312:10,10	calls 38183:4 38188:16	38284:18	38233:7,8	38363:25
burdened 38229:18	38190:1,20 38201:3,5	cause 38261:1	charging 38272:12	clearer 38132:21
Burger 38225:20,23	38201:11,18,24	caused 38168:3,8,16	38357:16	clearly 38141:24
38226:6,24 38228:3	38208:14 38352:8	38260:24 38359:14	<b>charter</b> 38226:10	38201:8 38231:4
38230:17 38232:5	camouflage 38175:11	causes 38167:3	check 38222:13	38267:25 38277:17
38233:17 38234:6,22	camp 38364:9	cell 38183:4 38188:15	38237:25 38241:21	38281:24 38282:8
-				
38235:9,14,19	canvassed 38180:12	38190:18 38194:6	38245:3 38297:18	38287:22 38296:16
38237:10,12	<b>can't</b> 38142:1 38147:24	38309:18	38306:18	38299:25 38318:24
38253:10 38265:14	38149:16 38186:25	<b>censor</b> 38169:8	<b>chief</b> 38225:6 38237:11	38335:5,11
38268:5 38270:21	38195:17 38245:19	<b>cent</b> 38302:15	38238:16,21	client 38128:2 38129:8
38277:7,10,12	38250:16 38275:22	38308:13	38239:20 38247:23	climate 38295:16,17,25
38311:22 38328:14	38282:6 38288:3	Centre 38140:19	38265:2,15 38311:22	clock 38210:15
38328:21 38329:9	38330:3,14 38331:16	38142:15 38181:14	38325:21	close 38160:13
38330:5 38331:2,3	38332:25 38333:11	38181:18 38225:11	children 38220:21	38212:19,23,24
		CEO 38232:5,7		
38341:1,4,8 38361:22	38335:22 38365:18	,	38221:11,25 38222:6	38213:5,10,16
38362:1 38364:18,21	38366:21 38367:9,17	38249:21 38274:25	38222:18,19 38223:5	38225:14 38254:19
38364:22 38365:8,20	capable 38150:15	certain 38154:4	<b>China</b> 38327:3	38315:8 38359:5
38365:22 38366:8,9	capacity 38342:3	38220:6 38222:10	<b>choice</b> 38159:12	closed 38231:23
38366:14 38367:12	Cape 38222:5,17	38223:1,10 38238:18	38292:6 38313:22	38298:2 38319:24
38367:17	capital 38211:2	38242:17 38347:23	38331:10 38335:3,5	closeout 38298:10,13
Burger's 38268:15	38250:11 38287:10	38347:23 38360:23	38335:23 38336:4	38298:25 38299:7
38278:1	38287:12,15	certainly 38134:22	38349:9 38358:3,3,4	38306:4 38308:21
burial 38223:6	38289:14 38290:7,8,9	38191:13 38208:20	<b>choices</b> 38336:2	closing 38213:4
				-
bursary 38128:24	38291:8,14,17,18,20	38236:23 38267:14	38358:24	cock 38358:12
<b>business</b> 38236:3	38291:21 38299:18	38271:3 38298:10	<b>choose</b> 38227:17	cognizance 38305:12
38257:11 38273:11	38301:9 38306:22	38328:14 38347:21	38276:10 38278:2	coin 38282:8
38273:12 38313:8	38307:6 38322:10,13	certainty 38264:20	38319:19 38329:24	colleague 38265:24
38334:11,18,24	38348:19 38356:12	38295:6	38331:13 38334:20	colleagues 38173:24
38335:3,5,6 38336:10	38356:14	<b>cetera</b> 38236:14	38334:23	38234:12 38364:24
38352:10 38353:2,3	capitals 38300:22	38237:2 38324:22	chooses 38330:1	collection 38238:12
38354:14 38355:16	care 38217:4 38252:12	38349:16,16	38357:25	collective 38135:13
38355:18,20	38252:13	<b>CFO</b> 38265:2 38335:12	<b>chose</b> 38278:17	38136:23 38217:23
-				
businesses 38231:15	carefully 38300:25	chain 38259:3	38279:3 38299:5	<b>colluding</b> 38197:5,8
<b>busy</b> 38174:7 38242:21	38311:9	38342:15	38336:11	38198:15,25 38199:9
38264:12	carnage 38150:16	<b>chairman</b> 38133:4	churches 38137:11	colour 38300:19,20
<b>buy</b> 38230:3 38291:2	38175:24	38145:12 38148:15	<b>circa</b> 38282:15	coloured 38298:1
38301:17 38314:22	carried 38162:24	38181:15 38224:1	38338:20	column 38227:8
38315:18,25 38316:3	38256:16	38235:20 38249:21	circulated 38208:11	38243:12,14
buying 38292:22	carries 38251:5,9	38264:21 38272:19	circumstances 38206:2	38245:24 38302:14
38316:2,20,21	carry 38137:22	38274:18 38289:10	38212:15 38260:25	38302:25 38313:14
	38156:22 38168:25	38290:19 38291:16	38292:9 38336:4	38354:16 38356:13
38310.2,20,21 38324:11,13	20170 7 20202 2	38294:11 38296:13	<b>Civic</b> 38140:19	columns 38243:15,15
38324:11,13	38172:7 38300:8			38333:3
38324:11,13	38345:11 38358:25	38305:8 38330:24	38142:15	
38324:11,13			38142:15 claim 38306:16	
38324:11,13	38345:11 38358:25	38305:8 38330:24 38362:5	<b>claim</b> 38306:16	
38324:11,13 C cake 38218:21 calculation 38256:11	38345:11 38358:25 carrying 38163:1 38164:16 38316:21	38305:8 38330:24 38362:5 <b>chairpersons</b> 38206:18	<b>claim</b> 38306:16 <b>claiming</b> 38134:2	<b>combined</b> 38308:4,5,12 <b>come</b> 38139:3,8
38324:11,13 C cake 38218:21 calculation 38256:11 calculator 38337:20	38345:11 38358:25 carrying 38163:1 38164:16 38316:21 case 38135:18	38305:8 38330:24 38362:5 <b>chairpersons</b> 38206:18 <b>challenge</b> 38236:1	claim 38306:16 claiming 38134:2 claims 38176:3	<b>combined</b> 38308:4,5,12 <b>come</b> 38139:3,8 38148:19 38152:3
38324:11,13 C cake 38218:21 calculation 38256:11	38345:11 38358:25 carrying 38163:1 38164:16 38316:21	38305:8 38330:24 38362:5 <b>chairpersons</b> 38206:18	<b>claim</b> 38306:16 <b>claiming</b> 38134:2	<b>combined</b> 38308:4,5,1 <b>come</b> 38139:3,8

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

## Pretoria

				Page
38183:18,25 38184:4	38305:18 38311:21	community 38227:25	<b>concern</b> 38184:1	38266:14 38325:16
38184:14 38186:25	38317:7 38327:20,20	38228:1,2 38350:9	38188:14 38249:14	38356:17 38366:22
38187:11,17 38188:1	38327:21 38329:18	38352:24 38356:12	38260:10 38278:1	considering 38250:8
38188:7 38199:22	38340:21 38359:19	38356:14,16,17	concerned 38184:12	38252:3 38360:20
38207:11 38208:3	38360:4,19 38368:2	<b>companies</b> 38231:13	38243:3 38291:5	considers 38250:16
38209:10 38212:15	commissioner 38152:6	38232:14,18 38240:8	38293:25 38296:10	38341:3
38216:22 38223:21	38152:11,17	38241:5 38247:20	38315:14,17 38317:8	consistent 38262:14
38229:17,17	38173:25 38175:9	38294:13,19	38317:9	<b>Constance</b> 38210:23
38264:17 38272:14	38176:18 38182:8	38315:15 38322:17	concerns 38167:20	constraints 38226:22
38293:3 38295:1,15	38183:16,22	38347:19 38350:13	38216:23 38233:15	38227:13 38360:5
38296:22,24	38191:13,15	company 38132:16	38247:24 38332:14	constructing 38352:20
38297:22 38313:13	38192:14,15,18	38135:24 38138:10	<b>conclude</b> 38216:4	38353:13
38314:16 38327:10	38194:7,12 38197:23	38178:1 38194:24	concluded 38260:7	construction 38282:7
38327:12 38329:12	38198:6 38199:15	38195:25 38196:2	38265:20 38268:7	38285:24 38290:10
	38302:20			
38340:15		38204:9 38220:10,13	<b>concluding</b> 38225:21	38299:17 38314:10
comes 38129:9 38136:6	Commissioners	38228:7,8 38230:11	conclusion 38311:18	38322:7 38332:4
38151:13 38181:3	38219:12 38234:16	38231:21 38232:2,16	38340:15 38361:6	38333:9
38186:16 38194:16	38238:6 38329:7	38232:24,25 38233:3	condition 38132:15	consumption 38257:9
38249:3,25 38288:18	Commissioner's	38233:6,7 38240:3,6	38237:7 38315:6	38342:24
38290:24 38292:10	38200:6	38240:21 38241:5,7,7	38357:24 38358:15	CONT 38237:23
38303:3 38324:6	commissions 38270:3	38241:8,20 38244:24	conditions 38140:13	contact 38193:21
38334:11	38271:13	38253:7 38259:22	38272:8,9,14	38206:17
comfort 38182:16	<b>commitment</b> 38286:14	38261:13 38262:15	38273:18 38274:2,15	contacted 38142:6
comfortable 38197:6	38286:15 38290:23	38262:19 38263:2,3	38274:16,23	contained 38359:8
38199:7	38299:19,21	38264:23 38270:8,9,9	38275:15 38277:6	Containerisation
coming 38133:14	38300:21 38301:2,6,8	38271:25 38272:21	38278:2 38294:6	38235:10,12
38139:11 38153:16	38301:10,22	38274:25 38276:11	38299:11 38341:25	containers 38234:8
38164:14 38184:13	38304:14,15 38305:7	38278:4,5,15,18	38352:19 38353:13	38236:11,12 38237:4
38207:22 38211:23	38306:16 38307:22	38279:5,5,7,12,19	38353:18 38355:2,18	contains 38260:23
38218:9 38264:8	38309:10 38310:8,9	38295:21 38313:20	38357:17,22	CONTD 38129:14
38274:21 38287:23	38310:13,21 38311:1	38315:5 38323:24	conference 38192:18	38225:23 38281:11
38323:25	38311:7,8 38312:13	38325:9,14 38339:1,7	confidence 38294:19	38331:6
<b>commence</b> 38314:4,4	38312:17,20	38339:15 38351:14	38295:8,21,25	contemplated 38291:25
commenced 38286:22	38317:20,25 38319:4	company's 38312:24	confident 38254:25	38334:4
38292:4	38319:6,14 38322:24	compared 38158:22	confirm 38154:5	contemplating 38328:6
commencement	38324:17 38325:11	38216:8 38244:8	38262:17 38273:3	context 38134:20
38260:12	38325:14 38336:19	comparison 38244:9	38297:16 38317:6	38157:7,9,19
	commitments 38286:13	competitive 38158:21	<b>confirmed</b> 38135:12,22	38158:10,15,15,16
commences 38214:21 comment 38140:2	38299:13 38312:15	compiled 38363:12	38193:5 38246:22	38167:1,22 38171:10
38214:13 38215:25		complete 38169:12		38172:15 38187:6,12
	38312:25 38313:1,4	-	<b>conflict</b> 38168:23	
38253:18	38313:18 38322:22	38335:19	38169:19,20	38188:12 38196:9,13
comments 38149:2	38323:3 38324:3,17	completed 38252:5	confrontation 38350:7	38213:21 38234:8
38180:2 38254:13	38334:9	38285:4	confronted 38255:6	38250:1 38314:15
38360:24	committed 38226:23	completely 38364:22	confused 38302:10	38315:3,12 38365:23
commercial 38296:12	38313:5 38316:20	<b>complex</b> 38213:8	confusion 38135:19	contingency 38160:21
commercially 38334:22	38318:25 38332:2,21	38283:10 38317:2	38180:11 38245:10	<b>continue</b> 38213:5
commission 38127:2	38334:15	complexity 38152:14	congratulated	38283:7 38314:3,4
38140:10 38147:11	<b>Committee</b> 38177:23	38213:13	38218:21	38326:25 38327:3,7
38154:12 38157:8	committing 38351:10	compliance 38320:21	conjunction 38282:3	38335:24
38159:6 38163:17	commodities 38294:13	38335:8	consent 38140:5,17	continued 38161:17
38168:6 38178:18	38325:17 38326:10	complicated 38239:22	38143:5,6	38168:25 38313:7
38181:6 38202:7,7,8	commodity 38311:11	comply 38271:6	consented 38140:15	continues 38280:13
38205:22 38209:8	common 38139:1	38319:17 38324:1	consequence 38360:9	38355:22
38216:3 38220:5	38291:17,21	complying 38321:2	consequences 38341:24	continuously 38320:9
38225:12 38227:1	communicate 38367:8	component 38250:18	consequent 38227:16	38320:10,18
38230:24,25	communicated	comprehensively	consequently 38185:25	38349:17
38237:20,20,21	38155:19 38167:15	38329:8	38186:7 38220:21	contract 38272:10
38240:11 38255:13	communication	compromise 38196:6,8	38222:9	contracts 38143:4
38255:15,15 38256:8	38195:12	compromised 38313:2	conservation 38255:8	38272:6,14
38256:9 38260:2,21	communications	conceded 38157:15	consider 38271:4	contractual 38272:13
38261:12,14 38262:5	38128:11	38160:8 38165:4	38273:16	contrary 38140:5
38262:18 38263:7	communiqués	conceding 38187:21	<b>consideration</b> 38213:9	contribute 38313:6
38266:18,25	38168:15	concentrate 38260:18	38220:16	contributing 38352:15
	communities 38229:17		considered 38209:24	<b>contributing</b> 38332.13 <b>contribution</b> 38220:19
38267:17 38269:9,11		concentrators		contribution 38220:19 contributions 38221:11
38269:15,16,21 38274:19 38280:8,8,9	38350:13 38352:14 38352:17	38342:14 concept 38136:6	38209:25 38212:19	
		CONCENT (X1 (0.6	38227:22 38266:11	controversial 38238:15
38274.19 38280.8,8,9	R JUSTICE	concept 50150.0	56227.22 56266.11	

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

#### Pretoria

				Page
controversy 38359:4	38257:16 38265:1,11	38201:20 38217:15	38362:24 38364:3,10	38363:18 38366:16
convened 38139:18	38277:8 38288:17	38227:25 38238:13	cross-examine	dated 38183:13
convenient 38237:14	38297:14 38316:11	38254:22 38261:1	38180:23,24 38181:4	38203:13 38209:14
conversation 38134:21	38365:5	38273:7 38281:5	38181:6,9 38225:3	38209:21 38253:15
38152:13 38189:5	correspond 38229:13	38298:7 38302:13	38280:17 38328:3,4	day 38184:7 38185:17
38190:25 38196:5,9	38230:1,8	38304:25 38305:15	38341:19 38362:25	38186:20 38188:9
38196:14	correspondence	38314:5 38319:11	38364:3 38366:3	38189:1,6,21
conversely 38353:21	38163:21	38325:5 38328:22	cross-examined	38190:13 38192:23
ť				
<b>conversion</b> 38281:13	corresponding	38340:6 38342:2	38128:3	38199:6 38228:12
38281:21 38282:6	38245:21 38247:18	38361:14	cross-examiner	38233:2,3 38274:20
38283:13,18 38284:5	38252:18 38289:13	court 38183:21	38178:18 38202:10	38274:20 38314:21
38284:13,14,18,24	<b>cost</b> 38222:1 38223:5	38186:8 38187:9	38237:13	38342:4 38344:23
38285:3 38286:8	38227:11 38230:4	covenants 38333:20	cross-examiners	38347:3,5,10,18
38287:3,11,18	38238:19 38256:24	38334:19 38335:15	38129:1	38348:23 38364:11
38288:2,25 38289:7	38263:14 38287:21	38342:16	cross-examining	38364:12 38366:17
38289:24 38290:8,9	38299:16,20,22	<b>cover</b> 38223:15	38181:21 38330:16	38366:24 38367:11
38302:6 38303:15	38303:11 38316:11	38234:3 38258:24	crucial 38325:5	38367:19
38307:24 38312:15	38316:15 38337:11	38268:17 38273:15	38351:22	days 38128:7,7 38140:8
38312:16 38313:19	38337:17 38338:19	covered 38274:21	crux 38313:17	38294:13 38348:18
38322:20,21	38343:4,7,19 38344:7			38348:20
,		38322:13 38347:5	culture 38178:1	
38324:14	38344:25 38345:1,10	covering 38344:2	currency 38259:2	<b>De</b> 38359:5
conversions 38287:22	38345:11 38346:6,7,9	38348:18	current 38138:13	dead 38177:7,11
38299:17 38302:2	38346:14 38347:3	covernance 38317:13	38236:25 38261:9	38214:7 38334:11
38322:18	38348:22 38349:7	<b>co-ordinate</b> 38184:2,2	38317:2 38356:22	38350:8
<b>convert</b> 38237:4	Costa 38154:2,8,8,17	38186:24	currently 38236:21	<b>deal</b> 38127:12
38242:22 38288:5,10	38154:25 38155:2,9	Craig 38283:8	38237:8 38242:20	38133:12,13
38293:18,22	38155:14,15,25	crash 38294:17	38282:15 38288:15	38153:23 38210:9
38299:14	38157:2,13,23	38314:24 38326:18	customary 38208:10	38224:13 38225:21
converted 38251:20	38166:18 38203:13	38326:18	customer 38258:4,18	38271:9 38284:13
38279:15 38284:15	38203:19,23 38204:3	crashed 38297:25	38258:22 38259:4	38287:3 38324:5
38285:8 38287:9	38205:14 38206:2	38298:2 38325:18	38272:11,12	38329:7 38349:3,5
			-	
38288:12 38289:6,9	38207:11 38216:10	create 38131:22	customers 38233:5	38361:19 38362:23
converting 38303:12	Costa's 38155:7	38157:7 38231:14	38265:3 38271:15,18	38366:1
38352:20 38353:14	38206:12 38208:19	38295:6 38350:23	38272:4,6,18	dealing 38129:19
<b>convey</b> 38189:2	<b>costs</b> 38221:23	created 38140:13	<b>cut</b> 38198:22	38165:2 38197:2
<b>conveyed</b> 38192:6	38222:23 38233:6	38154:4	cutting 38145:3	38198:12 38199:6
convincing 38145:9	38234:3 38252:15	creates 38301:14	38151:11	38203:19 38213:14
cooperation 38228:8	38256:20,21,22	creating 38335:13	cycle 38325:17	38216:7 38283:9
coordinate 38194:13	38257:3,5,13	38352:14	38326:20,22 38327:3	38355:14
38194:14	38288:18 38342:24	creation 38350:15	38327:6	deals 38223:23
coordinated 38195:1	38342:25 38343:1,21	38351:12,16	30327.0	38224:15,18
38196:2	38343:22,23 38344:2	criminal 38153:4	D	38262:11 38267:16
coordination 38196:10	38345:4,14,25	criminals 38152:20,25	<b>Da</b> 38154:2,8,8,17,25	38274:2 38283:8
<b>copies</b> 38127:12	38346:2,5 38347:4	38153:3,5	38155:1,7,9,14,15,25	38302:6 38352:25
38179:10 38234:10	38348:13 38349:2,4,8	<b>crisis</b> 38130:13 38142:6	38157:2,13,23	dealt 38182:5 38225:7
38234:14,16,17	38349:9	38142:8 38150:11,16	38166:17 38203:13	38312:16 38361:24
<b>copy</b> 38174:14	couldn't 38142:13	38246:7,8,10 38250:3	38203:19,23 38204:3	38364:14
38258:10 38270:25	38184:5 38239:10	38252:11 38333:8,9	38205:14 38206:2,12	death 38150:16
38274:5 38309:25	38240:24 38339:7	critical 38281:15	38207:10 38208:19	38210:21 38213:19
38340:4 38360:17	council 38257:16	38354:21,23 38355:7	38216:9	38215:2 38220:13
corner 38302:19	counsel 38174:23	cross 38134:14	daily 38345:6,7,11	38359:15
38326:7,8	38182:5 38281:6	38237:15 38280:12	38346:19	deaths 38159:6
corporate 38240:1	38330:15,16,16,17	38327:16 38328:3	<b>Dali</b> 38176:2	debate 38234:7
38243:8 38249:19,20	counterpart 38284:24	38330:11 38359:1	damage 38213:11	38271:2
38249:23	counter-parties	crossroads 38351:8	damaging 38340:10	debated 38340:16
<b>Corporation</b> 38271:20				
	38328:8	cross-examination	danger 38211:19	<b>debt</b> 38250:11
38271:23	counter-party 38233:4	38127:16 38129:14	38212:8	<b>decade</b> 38286:7
corral 38192:4	countries 38231:9	38134:20 38178:12	dangerous 38163:2	deceased 38219:15,20
corralling 38192:3	<b>country</b> 38137:4,8	38180:14 38181:13	dangers 38211:3	38220:4,20 38222:4
1	38177:24 38231:16	38181:14 38182:2	database 38309:16	38224:4,11,16
correction 38232:8	1 20120 2	38203:5 38210:18	date 38192:24	38363:15
38257:10,17	couple 38128:2			
	<b>couple 381</b> 28:2 3822 <b>5:2</b> 0 38263:6	38214:5 38225:8	38207:14 38209:12	deceased's 38128:4
38257:10,17 38344:21,23	38225:20 38263:6	38214:5 38225:8		
38257:10,17 38344:21,23 correctly 38138:4	38225:20 38263:6 38359:2	38214:5 38225:8 38237:23,24	38221:6 38239:14,15	38221:11 38223:4
38257:10,17 38344:21,23 correctly 38138:4 38172:18 38228:9,13	38225:20 38263:6 38359:2 <b>course 3</b> 8134:14,16	38214:5 38225:8 38237:23,24 38281:11 38327:17	38221:6 38239:14,15 38252:13 38260:12	38221:11 38223:4 December 38255:5
38257:10,17 38344:21,23 correctly 38138:4	38225:20 38263:6 38359:2	38214:5 38225:8 38237:23,24	38221:6 38239:14,15	38221:11 38223:4

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

·				Page
38357:22	38321:2,4,10	<b>died</b> 38144:8	discussing 38236:2	38307:1 38309:1,9
deceptive 38165:2	Departments 38320:25	difference 38177:22	38249:8 38301:13	38320:11,15,18
decided 38136:16	depended 38141:9	38184:17 38195:16	38323:2	38323:3 38324:13
38161:10 38236:6	dependent 38220:21	different 38142:17,24	discussion 38144:7	38332:1 38334:14
38263:7,15 38265:10	38314:1,10 38342:8	38143:18 38183:2,3	38175:22 38176:1	document 38128:23
38287:22 38318:10	depending 38233:11	38197:14 38200:12	38180:3 38199:15,16	38129:6 38178:20,22
38326:16 38332:7	38272:8 38307:12	38217:2,16,17	38208:25 38214:8	38179:18,19 38180:1
38364:1 38366:6	38339:4	38231:11 38232:14	38216:20 38340:14	38180:5 38182:21
decision 38134:14	depends 38251:24	38242:12 38274:22	38365:15,15	38183:5,7 38198:9
38139:25 38141:1	38337:15 38342:12	38282:19 38292:18	discussions 38154:17	38203:12,22 38209:7
38144:4 38146:13	38344:8,9	38295:17 38309:24	38208:11 38286:22	38210:1,5 38219:12
38157:10 38188:25	depressed 38234:2	38314:18 38316:17	38292:4 38335:12,16	38219:13,19 38220:2
38190:15 38210:23	deprived 38366:3	38318:3,17 38320:18	disgrace 38177:24	38220:25 38221:5,19
38211:3 38217:24	dereliction 38144:5	38332:12 38335:17	dishonest 38306:1	38223:9,16 38224:14
38222:6 38255:9	<b>describe</b> 38266:3	38339:19 38340:13	disingenuity 38277:25	38235:5,15 38236:7
38317:21 38325:12	described 38190:7	difficult 38156:8,10	disingenuous 38276:13	38239:1,4 38247:23
38334:22		-	disinterest 38128:6	38248:19 38249:11
	38204:4,17 38205:3	38199:19 38213:2		
decisions 38157:7	38261:5	38250:7 38274:23	dismissal 38185:19	38253:13,15,20,20
38159:1 38315:7	describes 38221:10	38322:23 38332:11	38186:3,12,21	38256:4,6 38267:12
38336:16	38286:4	38345:19 38366:15	dismissed 38187:14	38267:15 38268:16
declared 38152:4	describing 38247:18	38367:13	displaced 38311:6	38268:23 38281:1,9
decline 38312:23	descriptive 38243:14	difficulties 38263:5	displayed 38313:9	38288:16 38304:9,14
deduct 38243:23	desensification	38321:11 38324:8	dispute 38170:16	38304:18 38305:23
38244:13	38281:25	difficulty 38266:1,2	38171:8,9 38273:3	38306:5,10 38307:5
deep 38325:21	despite 38227:19	38270:24 38355:14	38359:11	38307:20 38308:20
default 38204:4,17	detail 38129:7,9	38367:17		38309:25 38310:11
			disrespect 38218:5	
38205:3,15 38206:3	38192:19,23	digression 38253:4	dissatisfaction	38310:20,21,22,24
<b>defer</b> 38265:23	38223:20 38239:6	<b>diligence</b> 38264:6,14,16	38329:14 38364:9	38311:16,19
<b>definite</b> 38366:24	38253:8	<b>dire</b> 38317:11	distant 38211:23	38312:22 38340:4
deflecting 38176:15	detailed 38289:16,21	direct 38220:15,17	38227:23	38354:4,7 38363:11
defuse 38200:3	38289:23,24 38290:5	38242:3	distil 38297:22	documents 38183:2
delay 38226:22	38290:18	directed 38160:1,2,4	distilling 38297:12	38238:10,12
delegates 38154:2	details 38190:10	38221:22 38249:3	38324:22	38265:12 38274:4
delegation 38153:21	38192:1,10 38193:3	direction 38321:20	distinct 38367:9	38308:17 38316:25
<b>deliberate</b> 38304:11	38221:4,17 38223:8	38359:7,10,12,21	distinction 38299:18	doesn't 38176:8
deliberated 38187:6	38223:12,15,17	38360:22 38364:23	distributed 38256:5,6	38196:13 38243:22
38207:21 38208:10	38224:21 38225:2	<b>directive</b> 38248:24	dividend 38243:15,16	38259:6 38287:15
38212:19	38238:18 38252:1	38253:5	38243:17 38245:21	38309:24 38363:19
deliberately 38165:2,3	38259:13 38298:25	directly 38167:18	38247:3,5 38249:10	doing 38149:3,5
38168:17	38305:19 38306:25	38204:21 38261:22	38253:22 38254:14	38150:23 38152:8
<b>deliver</b> 38313:4	determine 38213:2	38261:22,23	38254:14,16 38255:1	38164:11 38184:19
38319:6	determined 38342:7	38273:17	38255:11 38326:16	38184:19 38272:12
delivered 38351:4	38351:11	director 38298:18,24	38336:24,24 38337:5	38287:21 38292:12
delivery 38258:17	developer 38291:4	38298:24 38319:21	38337:23 38338:6,6,7	38297:11 38304:3
demand 38133:21,23	38292:21,23 38293:2	directors 38230:12	38338:9,10,11,11,12	38317:19 38320:2
38134:17 38135:22	38293:3	38241:19	38338:22 38339:5,9	38330:14 38333:1
38135:23 38136:2,2	developers 38294:1	disagree 38131:12,13	dividends 38239:19	38355:17
38138:18 38155:7	developing 38292:21	38136:5,13 38141:6	38243:19,23 38244:6	dollars 38244:16
38157:14,14,25	38293:6	38144:19 38151:19	38244:12,14,14,17	38295:13
38164:16 38184:4,24	development 38205:10	38158:3 38178:5,9	38245:11,16,18,20,24	domain 38305:22
38205:23 38217:3	38228:4,7 38248:20	38317:23	38245:24 38246:2,17	don't 38158:13
38349:20	38319:16 38356:23	disagreement 38151:22	38246:25 38247:17	38174:4 38175:14,17
demanded 38217:3	deviation 38154:24	disallow 38329:1	38247:18,19	38175:18 38177:11
demands 38132:17	devil 38144:9,11	disarm 38193:7	38249:16 38250:5,14	38180:20,22 38181:4
		disaster 38220:10	-	
38133:2,7,9 38155:16	<b>didn't</b> 38143:20,22		38253:7 38254:1,3,9	38181:9 38182:5
38157:2 38214:23	38147:8 38149:8,25	discharge 38359:16	38255:10 38322:9,12	38184:16 38190:11
demographics	38150:19 38189:23	discharging 38334:4	38322:14 38325:7,15	38190:14 38196:5,10
38275:17	38190:4,4 38192:24	discount 38272:10,11	38326:4 38331:18,20	38216:25 38219:24
density 38237:1	38193:2,14 38194:4	38272:11 38322:11	38332:25 38333:1,4,5	38226:6 38227:6
deny 38157:17	38195:19,23	discretion 38207:4	38333:7,11,22,22	38238:16 38247:9
38190:24 38335:2	38196:16,17 38200:7	discuss 38189:6	38334:6,7 38336:24	38252:1 38253:4
J01/0.27 J0JJJ.2	38245:2 38278:2	38205:17,23	38337:2,2,4 38339:3	38256:25 38259:8,9,9
		50205.11,25		
denying 38180:14		discussed 38132.1	division 38738.7	38760.10 38778.77
<b>denying</b> 38180:14 <b>depart</b> 38319:20	38294:5 38310:2	<b>discussed</b> 38132:1	division 38238:7	38260:10 38278:24
denying 38180:14 depart 38319:20 departed 38275:2	38294:5 38310:2 38316:3 38336:12,25	38136:16 38187:20	38256:25 38257:1	38280:22 38283:6
denying 38180:14 depart 38319:20 departed 38275:2 department 38257:1	38294:5 38310:2 38316:3 38336:12,25 38337:1 38361:24	38136:16 38187:20 38208:12,15	38256:25 38257:1 <b>divulge</b> 38192:20	38280:22 38283:6 38294:2 38309:2
denying 38180:14 depart 38319:20 departed 38275:2	38294:5 38310:2 38316:3 38336:12,25 38337:1 38361:24 38364:21	38136:16 38187:20	38256:25 38257:1	38280:22 38283:6

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 8
38316:24 38321:25	38231:8 38240:5,7	employee 38138:11,20	engaging 38142:2	38218:1
38330:19 38341:25	38242:4 38247:2,25	38229:20 38274:21	English 38240:6	essentially 38143:12
38342:11 38359:3	38248:22 38253:21	38279:5,7 38292:9,13	enhancing 38351:10	38256:15
38361:5,17 38362:20	38271:15 38284:17	38295:5 38354:19	enjoy 38237:8 38280:5	establish 38161:14
38365:2 38366:10,11	easy 38140:19	38357:25 38358:16	enjoyed 38149:22	38222:18 38231:7
38367:13	economic 38227:12	38358:20,20	enjoying 38237:6	established 38128:24
door 38131:5 38216:9	38252:12 38263:14	employees 38158:16	ensuing 38350:7	38204:8 38220:22
doors 38155:12	38352:15	38171:15 38172:6,8,9	ensure 38128:18	38222:19 38231:18
38298:3	education 38220:21,23	38195:25 38204:10	38222:6 38295:2	establishing 38350:14
doorstep 38353:19	38221:7,23,24	38207:3 38211:12,12	38314:7	establishment
dormant 38252:9	38222:1,7,8,14,24	38211:16,19	ensuring 38200:3	38283:17 38284:9
doubled 38294:16	38223:1	38212:21 38215:21	38350:14	estimate 38276:5,22,24
doubly 38276:13	educational 38221:22	38217:17 38220:20	enter 38309:17	38282:12 38343:7
doubt 38183:23	38223:19	38222:4 38227:9,17	entered 38309:21	estimated 38299:15,20
38300:16 38301:14	effect 38149:6	38227:20,21,22	entering 38286:21	et 38236:13 38237:2
<b>Dr</b> 38230:18 38273:22	38251:25 38260:13	38229:14 38235:21	38292:2	38324:22 38349:16
38274:14 38311:22	38262:3 38268:8,10	38237:6 38274:21	entertain 38156:1	38349:16
38312:2 38328:17	38287:11 38290:7,8	38275:18 38276:9,14	38157:2,24,24,25	<b>etcetera</b> 38250:11
drained 38342:16	38290:10 38291:8	38277:4,5,18,21	entertained 38155:15	38295:16 38342:8
dramatically 38279:16	38333:9 38340:1	38278:1,10,13,17,25	38155:19 38196:24	evaluate 38349:14
draw 38214:11	effective 38206:24	38283:11 38284:3,18	entertainment	38360:19
38248:19	38251:25	38284:21 38286:16	38155:21	evasive 38330:1
drawn 38292:16	effectively 38166:10	38292:5 38296:15,24	entire 38322:13	evening 38145:14
38330:2 38359:21	38233:21 38263:4	38297:11 38301:17	38342:16 38350:11	38146:2 38194:18
dressed 38175:11	38284:7 38316:18	38311:4,5 38315:12	38351:23	event 38138:25
38214:24	efficient 38252:1	38315:16 38316:13	entirety 38361:16	38139:1 38169:18
drill 38133:22 38186:6 38203:18 38217:18	effort 38356:5 efforts 38281:1,8	38316:18 38317:25 38323:11 38350:6,12	entitled 38158:15 38170:8,19 38176:7	38181:13 38220:12 38220:14
38279:6,8 38358:4	eight 38215:3 38308:25	38350:19,25	38180:16 38312:1	events 38130:1
drilling 38204:13	38309:5,20,23	38351:17 38352:19	38320:7 38328:10	38179:21,23
drive 38185:18	38310:2 38350:6	38352:24 38353:12	38329:5	38220:18 38354:20
38186:20	either 38135:4 38141:4	38357:4 38363:16,24	entity 38230:20,21	38355:8
driving 38236:8	38181:1 38205:22	employer 38358:20	38233:14 38240:15	eventually 38137:9
drop 38233:2 38339:13	38209:23 38238:1	employer 30350.20 employers 38137:4	38240:16,20 38241:1	everybody 38140:7
dropped 38233:24	38256:16 38298:3	employment 38357:24	38258:3,5,8 38268:1	38183:23 38234:15
38340:6	38347:8 38358:5	38358:15	38273:8,8,11,13	38311:3 38327:10
due 38158:21 38238:13	38360:15 38366:17	empowerment 38313:7	entry 38252:18	everything's 38295:22
38264:6,14,16	38366:24 38367:10	enable 38229:7	environmental	evidence 38133:5,20
38280:17 38298:6	38367:18	38232:11 38237:18	38334:25	38147:11,12,16
38302:13 38305:15	elaborate 38217:5	38364:2	envisaged 38138:18	38148:23 38161:9
38319:11	elaboration 38141:20	encircle 38191:8	38192:12 38293:23	38163:16 38164:1
<b>Dumisa</b> 38365:6	38157:1	38192:22	envisioned 38292:25	38181:25 38202:13
duties 38144:5	electricity 38226:21	encircling 38191:20,24	<b>EPL</b> 38231:19 38232:9	38202:23 38204:4
duty 38211:12	38227:14 38228:18	encourage 38154:14	38242:9,25 38243:4	38205:21 38215:5
38359:13,16	38229:23 38230:6	38185:25	38244:18 38245:11	38218:10 38225:9,16
dwelling 38277:22	electronic 38248:9	ended 38199:7 38270:5	38245:15,16,17,18	38230:23,23 38235:1
dwellings 38276:7,15	electronically 38310:1	38298:3	38249:2,3,4,12	38237:11 38238:16
38277:2	element 38277:25	ends 38356:21	38250:8,14 38260:8	38238:21 38239:20
E	elements 38352:9	enduring 38220:19	38260:17,17	38240:10,13
e 38297:14	elicit 38329:6	engage 38163:22	38270:16 38288:4	38247:23 38270:13
e 38297:14 earlier 38134:17	email 38183:4 38185:16,19,21	38164:10 38167:19 38205:8,22 38216:22	<b>EPL's</b> 38250:4 <b>equal</b> 38155:13	38279:13 38311:21 38325:20 38328:6,25
38151:25 38174:14	38186:16,18 38192:2	38309:22	38158:18	38358:17 38359:5,17
38178:17 38189:21	38193:12	engaged 38155:19	equations 38349:1,16	38359:19,24 38360:1
38235:24 38255:14	embarked 38222:12	38166:3 38167:4,10	error 38253:17	38360:4,5,8,8,10,19
38268:8 38324:10	38265:4	38205:17 38215:20	38262:13 38268:2,9	38361:9 38365:13
38332:18 38333:20	embarrassed 38329:13	38216:5,15 38320:10	38269:13,24	38366:20 38367:4,5
38340:16 38356:2	embrace 38144:9,11	38320:15 38352:16	38349:25	evidence-in-chief
38359:25 38361:3	emerging 38214:24	engagement 38154:9	errors 38238:15,15	38253:16 38255:14
early 38229:8 38261:19	emotions 38177:6	38154:10,17	38239:25	38285:10 38286:12
38336:18	emphasised 38152:14	38155:21 38165:9	erstwhile 38180:21	38331:18 38342:3
earn 38352:13	emphasising 38140:17	38206:7,8	erupting 38199:13	evidentiary 38361:6
earnings 38288:6	employ 38224:5,16	engagements 38165:5	escalate 38207:6	evils 38334:16
easily 38336:20	38335:22	38166:1,9,11,12,17	escalated 38155:9	exact 38163:14 38194:9
east 38284:7	employed 38224:11	38166:20,22,23	especially 38325:24	38194:11 38207:14
Eastern 38222:5,17	38231:25 38232:5	38206:6,19	essence 38217:7,23	38263:18 38348:4
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			l]

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
exactly 38131:25	38234:21 38281:2	extend 38218:17	38246:23 38253:16	38239:17
38132:2,9,10 38143:1	exhibits 38182:9	38299:22 38362:16	38254:9,19 38264:4	fee 38231:2 38232:12
38148:11 38154:3	38219:25 38234:25	38362:21	38268:9 38270:4	38233:19 38261:13
38163:11 38185:1,5	38237:25	extended 38242:21	38276:16,21	38262:20 38266:23
38203:20 38204:6,19	exist 38231:16	extension 38239:8	38278:12 38282:10	feedback 38191:21
38263:10,23	38270:14	38283:14 38284:8,8	38296:3,23 38303:19	38203:25 38204:22
38284:14 38285:14	existed 38272:23	38284:20	38311:4 38335:23	38205:2 38206:8
38289:23 38307:19	38339:16 38365:14	extensively 38212:20	38338:4 38346:24,24	38207:16 38297:23
38307:21 38325:15	existing 38281:14	38212:23	38348:2 38356:23	38307:2 38324:24
examination 38225:23	38283:16	extent 38147:14	38359:22	feeling 38129:21
38237:16 38280:13	exists 38210:1	38151:17 38347:21	factor 38346:18	38160:15 38187:10
38328:4 38330:12	38230:15	38365:10	38348:2	38197:10
38359:2	expand 38236:24	external 38128:10	facts 38145:6 38230:22	feelings 38164:21
examination-in-chief	expect 38159:25	38241:7	38259:19 38266:17	38199:10
38225:22	38160:3 38184:14	<b>extra</b> 38182:9 38346:25	38266:17 38267:12	feels 38361:15
examined 38134:15	38230:3 38329:21,21	38348:2 38362:21	38268:11	fees 38221:24,25
examiners 38327:17	expectation 38164:11	extract 38175:25	failed 38151:17	38222:11 38272:12
<b>example</b> 38232:6	38164:14 38185:9	38332:22 38346:25	38163:25 38177:24	feet 38257:21 38339:12
38236:8,18 38257:5	expected 38137:1	<b>extracts</b> 38354:3	38322:5	fellow 38219:11
38289:17,25	38141:14 38164:18	extramural 38221:24	failing 38332:1	felt 38159:8 38163:13
38294:14 38295:9	38319:24	extraordinary	failure 38153:18	38164:1,3,5,6,7
examples 38236:14,15	expects 38194:24	38141:13 38188:22	38363:22	38199:18 38311:7
exceeding 38254:24	expenditure 38262:22	38188:24 38194:8	fair 38184:24 38188:6	<b>fifth</b> 38214:20
<b>exceeds</b> 38263:14	expense 38166:1	38220:14,18	38257:20 38288:25	38360:11
Excel 38309:16	expenses 38222:24	<b>extreme</b> 38336:4	38295:7	fight 38358:13
excess 38246:11	38342:24 38343:17	extremely 38236:20	fairly 38254:25	figure 38244:20
38327:5	experience 38131:21	38309:4 38332:10	38255:16 38280:6	38308:3 38344:12,22
exchange 38338:15	38136:21 38137:2	38367:12	<b>fairness</b> 38144:2	38348:1
38339:25 38342:8	38140:18 38160:12	<b>eye</b> 38219:2	38157:22 38184:3	figures 38307:19,21
38344:9,11,16	38168:6,19 38195:7	eyes 38144:25	38260:23	38308:1,14,22
exclude 38285:15	38195:23 38228:4		fall 38139:24 38172:2	38309:6,7 38333:5
excluded 38316:23	38310:25 38322:17	<u>F</u>	38324:7	file 38248:3,9 38255:19
38363:17	38322:25	face 38185:19 38186:3	fallen 38217:25	38269:7 38312:5,6
exclusive 38260:15	experienced 38161:12	38186:11,21	falling 38295:23 falls 38364:1	<b>filed</b> 38298:10
<b>EXCO</b> 38143:9	38227:1	38197:12 38199:12		38361:25
38187:10 38191:21	experiences 38315:15	38212:4 38213:14	<b>false</b> 38165:10 38167:8	files 38238:1,3
38191:24 38192:4,7 38192:21 38203:13	experiencing 38163:19 38326:11	38253:8 38299:24 <b>faced</b> 38144:7 38212:9	38216:4 <b>familiar</b> 38214:4	<b>filled</b> 38342:20 <b>final</b> 38186:1,10
38203:24 38205:15	explain 38136:20	38335:2	38232:13,19	38309:1
38206:3 38207:12,14	38152:7,11 38167:24	<b>faces</b> 38347:24	families 38128:4,9,15	finalised 38255:5
38200.3 38207.12,14 38207:17,19,20,22,23	38206:11 38217:8,14	38351:15	38219:15,15,20	38296:15
38207:24 38208:11	38221:8 38226:25	<b>facie</b> 38138:14 38296:2	38220:3,15,24	finally 38223:17
38208:13,14,16,20	38235:16,19 38238:7	38297:4	38220.3,13,24 38221:2,17 38222:4	38225:11 38234:6
38209:18,19,22	38253:5 38266:1,1	facilitate 38286:20	38223:5,24 38224:1,4	38280:21,23 38285:3
38297:15,17	38267:6,23 38277:11	38292:2 38294:1	38224:10,15,19	finance 38227:12
38323:13 38324:23	38309:24 38333:13	38296:6 38351:12	38350:9 38363:23	38231:22 38232:2
excuse 38181:19	explained 38142:4	facilitated 38220:23	family 38128:8 38223:2	38245:2,6 38247:13
38226:16	38152:2 38153:25	38221:16	38223:21 38278:9	38247:15 38251:5
excused 38218:9,12	38154:22 38164:23	facilitating 38294:4	38299:14 38352:21	38252:15 38295:1
executive 38128:10	38168:5,20 38171:11	38354:18	fancy 38174:9,22	38300:10 38311:2
38265:2 38298:16	38190:8 38191:2	facilitation 38223:25	far 38243:12 38263:14	38315:19 38325:8
38307:20 38308:19	38216:5 38250:1	38296:11	38279:13,14 38291:5	<b>financed</b> 38322:10
38308:20 38355:9,11	38365:17	<b>facilitator</b> 38292:20	38293:24 38296:9	finances 38250:19
executives 38131:25	explaining 38143:2,4,8	facilities 38222:8,9	38317:8 38329:1	38295:8
38132:11	38164:2 38230:25	38228:1 38284:11	38367:24	financially 38338:3
exercise 38236:16	explains 38309:23	38286:24 38288:7,10	fate 38212:10	financials 38245:23
38280:25 38297:10	explanation 38309:23	38362:21	fault 38149:8 38198:9	38262:15 38263:1,1,2
exhausted 38185:24	38317:18 38322:15	facing 38213:18	favour 38146:21	38266:16,20
exhibit 38165:14	38365:18	fact 38133:8 38140:25	38147:24	38267:19,22,24
38174:1 38178:25,25	exploration 38231:22	38146:18 38153:6	favourable 38232:18	38268:3,13,16,17
30174.1 30170.23,23	1 20224 10	38155:6 38159:14	38250:12 38263:20	38270:25 38271:1,9
38179:13 38182:22	<b>explore 38</b> 334:10		P 20121 2 10	
	explore 38334:10 explored 38229:5	38163:9 38167:15	<b>fear</b> 38131:3,10	38271:11 38332:23
38179:13 38182:22	explored 38229:5 exploring 38316:24	38163:9 38167:15 38172:12,15 38187:5	<b>1ear</b> 38131:3,10 38187:14,16,16	38332:24 38333:3,4
38179:13 38182:22 38183:3 38203:11 38207:11 38209:13 38211:7 38214:15	<b>explored</b> 38229:5 <b>exploring</b> 38316:24 <b>expressed</b> 38360:9	38172:12,15 38187:5 38195:4 38205:22	38187:14,16,16 38350:5	38332:24 38333:3,4 <b>financing</b> 38251:9,22
38179:13 38182:22 38183:3 38203:11 38207:11 38209:13 38211:7 38214:15 38219:16 38224:15	<b>explored</b> 38229:5 <b>exploring</b> 38316:24 <b>expressed</b> 38360:9 <b>expression</b> 38192:3	38172:12,15 38187:5 38195:4 38205:22 38206:23 38216:9	38187:14,16,16 38350:5 <b>feasibility</b> 38242:21	38332:24 38333:3,4 <b>financing</b> 38251:9,22 38292:18 38295:1,16
38179:13 38182:22 38183:3 38203:11 38207:11 38209:13 38211:7 38214:15	<b>explored</b> 38229:5 <b>exploring</b> 38316:24 <b>expressed</b> 38360:9 <b>expression</b> 38192:3 <b>expressly</b> 38282:19	38172:12,15 38187:5 38195:4 38205:22	38187:14,16,16 38350:5	38332:24 38333:3,4 <b>financing</b> 38251:9,22

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page '
38301:13 38332:9,11	38201:4 38202:11,18	38312:2	38262:22 38266:25	38198:18 38229:6
38335:17	38202:20,21	forget 38133:5,14	38278:13 38288:21	38252:2 38258:4
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	38145:11 38153:19	38292:7 38308:17	
<b>find</b> 38175:14,19	38210:12,14,17			38297:11 38301:13
38197:14 38198:18	38213:23 38226:1	38169:24	38329:19 38342:3	38311:2 38345:17
38211:23 38223:10	38257:11 38308:19	forgetting 38281:5	38345:8 38364:12	ghetto 38317:5
38226:13 38227:3	38322:20	forgotten 38175:2	fully 38221:19	give 38136:16 38139:9
38236:4 38240:19	<b>fix</b> 38367:7	form 38220:5 38238:11	38224:15 38225:16	38139:11 38143:17
38245:19 38288:17	fixed 38228:11	38265:12 38365:4	38274:22 38275:17	38143:22 38147:4
38296:19 38302:23	38256:21 38257:3	formal 38265:4	38329:7	38156:25 38157:1,9
38316:6,16 38317:5	38343:4,21,23	formally 38264:24	<b>fund</b> 38128:24	38157:19 38158:15
38318:1,2,10	38344:2,25 38345:11	38321:15,18	38220:22,22 38221:3	38165:18 38183:2,23
38320:19 38332:9,11	38345:14,25 38346:2	format's 38289:13	38221:17,21,23	38184:5 38194:23
38335:17 38355:24	38346:5,6,14	former 38352:20	38222:14,15	38196:2,17 38200:3,7
			-	
38356:5 38367:22	38348:13 38363:18	38353:14	38223:11 38229:6,6	38200:17 38201:14
finding 38167:5	flats 38284:6	Forrest 38230:18	38291:18	38202:16,16
38319:1 38345:19	flexibility 38155:2	38274:14	fundamental 38259:15	38208:24 38210:4,11
38356:8	flip 38300:17	Forrest's 38273:22	38350:16 38351:11	38218:1 38219:16
fine 38137:21 38141:7	flow 38182:7 38250:14	forth 38161:12 38197:5	funding 38143:15	38225:2,15 38248:2
38141:7 38149:11	38265:8 38270:15	38225:16 38296:1	38286:23 38289:20	38248:15 38251:25
38160:18 38173:4	flowed 38333:23	38341:22	38290:4,14,15	38257:20 38263:11
38179:16 38233:24	flowing 38128:2	forum 38129:23	38292:5 38336:15	38263:18 38270:7
38233:25 38254:24	38194:11	38130:2,5,22,23,23	<b>funds</b> 38250:24	
				38272:10 38281:2
38294:15 38295:22	focus 38315:10	38130:24,24,25	38251:1 38268:4	38285:24 38287:11
finger 38218:22	38323:11 38324:20	38131:18,20,22	38288:5 38290:22	38288:4 38290:7,8,10
finish 38127:19	38356:3,7,9	38135:4,11,13,14	38291:14 38303:12	38291:8 38292:16
38145:17,20 38163:5	focused 38352:20	38136:3,6,14,21,24	38332:3	38295:24 38298:4
38169:3,15 38171:23	38353:13 38356:1	38137:4,5,10,16	funeral 38223:7,15	38307:1 38308:17,21
38171:24 38318:6	38357:21	38139:17,23 38142:8	further 38135:8	38310:25 38318:6
38328:2 38335:21,22	follow 38156:8 38246:4	38142:14 38188:20	38157:1 38211:15	38321:12 38324:10
38359:1	38266:8 38296:14	38194:9	38213:4,11 38218:4	38329:24 38343:20
<b>finished</b> 38129:1,2	38334:17	forward 38161:15	38218:12 38255:22	38329.24 38343.20
-				
38145:24 38342:21	followed 38206:14	38183:17 38198:20	38266:22 38268:21	38359:23 38360:3,14
fire 38214:25	38225:10,10	38205:18 38255:10	38274:19 38279:3	38361:17
fired 38168:22,24	38306:10	38265:8 38287:8	38283:18 38284:5	given 38128:13
38188:2 38359:23	following 38153:14	38341:16	38324:12 38330:3	38150:9,14 38155:5
38360:2	38170:14 38175:25	found 38174:25	38334:10 38335:12	38157:18 38160:19
first 38128:7 38169:3	38190:13 38203:24	38175:1 38236:20	future 38313:25	38162:18 38163:7
38182:16,18 38186:3	38205:2,7 38214:21	38318:15 38360:16	38348:11,15	38176:9 38182:21
	38220:17 38255:6	four 38183:3 38201:3	56546.11,15	
38186:5 38196:1			G	38202:11 38203:25
38202:6,23,24	38262:17 38263:2	38204:2,22 38211:12		38204:23 38206:8,23
38203:3 38205:8	38270:24 38276:5	38234:10,17	general 38158:25	38207:16 38219:14
38206:16 38208:7,19	38291:19,20 38323:9	38257:11 38268:8	38159:23 38160:19	38220:3,17 38224:14
38214:14 38217:11	38324:25	Fourth 38313:24	38188:16,18 38189:5	38258:10 38266:16
38220:8 38221:3	follows 38204:1	fraction 38348:14	38190:18 38195:7,13	38297:20 38360:7,22
38225:24 38227:8	38225:9 38287:11	framework 38141:11	38195:16,17,17,19	gives 38324:13 38357:9
38236:7 38237:13	38359:10 38360:18	38142:3	38196:10,14,15	giving 38169:10
38238:19 38240:2	38366:19	frameworks 38141:14	38200:11,14,18	38199:19,25 38218:9
				-
38242:1 38243:16	follow-up 38207:22	France 38236:15	38201:3 38276:25	38303:1
38246:6 38248:18	38291:23	frankly 38157:11	38328:6 38341:19	<b>glib</b> 38349:1
38260:18 38263:6	food 38222:2	free 38222:16 38321:6	generally 38232:16	<b>God-given</b> 38151:1,14
38276:13 38280:14	foot 38174:21 38176:12	38321:7	38250:12,24	goes 38127:20,21
38280:20 38281:14	38183:16 38351:6	Friday 38128:16,16	38254:13 38306:23	38134:20 38185:20
20200 12 20205 15	38356:13	38186:2,11 38187:9	38307:7	38198:1 38199:1
38299:12 38305:17		38187:24 38211:13	generate 38294:19	38215:1 38244:18
	force 38163:20.22			
38309:14,21	<b>force</b> 38163:20,22 38164:11.13.19		38335.21 38342.4	3825516 38260.18
38309:14,21 38313:19 38317:16	38164:11,13,19	38215:1	38335:21 38342:4 38345:7	38255:16 38260:18 38261:19 38279:2
38309:14,21 38313:19 38317:16 38318:17 38320:20	38164:11,13,19 38174:12 38175:12	38215:1 <b>friend</b> 38127:24	38345:7	38261:19 38279:2
38309:14,21 38313:19 38317:16 38318:17 38320:20 38322:7 38329:22	38164:11,13,19 38174:12 38175:12 forced 38335:9	38215:1 friend 38127:24 38253:11 38270:22	38345:7 generic 38190:8	38261:19 38279:2 38295:19 38304:20
38309:14,21 38313:19 38317:16 38318:17 38320:20 38322:7 38329:22 38349:24 38350:24	38164:11,13,19 38174:12 38175:12 forced 38335:9 38353:18	38215:1 friend 38127:24 38253:11 38270:22 38277:7	38345:7 generic 38190:8 38191:20 38192:21	38261:19 38279:2 38295:19 38304:20 38304:22 38351:9
38309:14,21 38313:19 38317:16 38318:17 38320:20 38322:7 38329:22 38349:24 38350:24 38352:9,10 38353:6,9	38164:11,13,19 38174:12 38175:12 forced 38335:9 38353:18 forcing 38183:18	38215:1 friend 38127:24 38253:11 38270:22 38277:7 front 38144:25 38214:2	38345:7 generic 38190:8 38191:20 38192:21 38243:9	38261:19 38279:2 38295:19 38304:20 38304:22 38351:9 good 38127:3 38129:15
38309:14,21 38313:19 38317:16 38318:17 38320:20 38322:7 38329:22 38349:24 38350:24	38164:11,13,19 38174:12 38175:12 forced 38335:9 38353:18	38215:1 friend 38127:24 38253:11 38270:22 38277:7	38345:7 generic 38190:8 38191:20 38192:21	38261:19 38279:2 38295:19 38304:20 38304:22 38351:9
38309:14,21 38313:19 38317:16 38318:17 38320:20 38322:7 38329:22 38349:24 38350:24 38352:9,10 38353:6,9 38359:13	38164:11,13,19 38174:12 38175:12 forced 38335:9 38353:18 forcing 38183:18	38215:1 friend 38127:24 38253:11 38270:22 38277:7 front 38144:25 38214:2	38345:7 generic 38190:8 38191:20 38192:21 38243:9	38261:19 38279:2 38295:19 38304:20 38304:22 38351:9 good 38127:3 38129:15
38309:14,21 38313:19 38317:16 38318:17 38320:20 38322:7 38329:22 38349:24 38350:24 38352:9,10 38353:6,9 38359:13 firstly 38142:4	38164:11,13,19 38174:12 38175:12 forced 38335:9 38353:18 forcing 38183:18 forecasting 38326:14 foreclose 38334:10	38215:1 friend 38127:24 38253:11 38270:22 38277:7 front 38144:25 38214:2 38235:15 38320:20 38320:25	38345:7 generic 38190:8 38191:20 38192:21 38243:9 gentlemen 38159:3 Gents 38185:17	38261:19 38279:2 38295:19 38304:20 38304:22 38351:9 good 38127:3 38129:15 38129:17 38138:22 38150:14 38157:23
38309:14,21 38313:19 38317:16 38318:17 38320:20 38322:7 38329:22 38349:24 38350:24 38352:9,10 38353:6,9 38359:13 firstly 38142:4 38205:16 38306:11	38164:11,13,19 38174:12 38175:12 forced 38335:9 38353:18 forcing 38183:18 forecasting 38326:14 foreclose 38334:10 38335:15	38215:1 friend 38127:24 38253:11 38270:22 38277:7 front 38144:25 38214:2 38235:15 38320:20 38320:25 fulfilled 38317:22	38345:7 generic 38190:8 38191:20 38192:21 38243:9 gentlemen 38159:3 Gents 38185:17 genuine 38164:8	38261:19 38279:2 38295:19 38304:20 38304:22 38351:9 good 38127:3 38129:15 38129:17 38138:22 38150:14 38157:23 38158:1 38162:24
38309:14,21 38313:19 38317:16 38318:17 38320:20 38322:7 38329:22 38349:24 38350:24 38352:9,10 38353:6,9 38359:13 firstly 38142:4 38205:16 38306:11 first-name 38217:11	38164:11,13,19 38174:12 38175:12 forced 38335:9 38353:18 forcing 38183:18 forecasting 38326:14 foreclose 38334:10 38335:15 foreign 38241:5,8	38215:1 friend 38127:24 38253:11 38270:22 38277:7 front 38144:25 38214:2 38235:15 38320:20 38320:25 fulfilled 38317:22 full 38160:5 38190:18	38345:7 generic 38190:8 38191:20 38192:21 38243:9 gentlemen 38159:3 Gents 38185:17 genuine 38164:8 geographical 38231:16	38261:19 38279:2 38295:19 38304:20 38304:22 38351:9 good 38127:3 38129:15 38129:17 38138:22 38150:14 38157:23 38158:1 38162:24 38236:18 38251:24
38309:14,21 38313:19 38317:16 38318:17 38320:20 38322:7 38329:22 38349:24 38350:24 38352:9,10 38353:6,9 38359:13 firstly 38142:4 38205:16 38306:11 first-name 38217:11 fit 38367:13	38164:11,13,19 38174:12 38175:12 forced 38335:9 38353:18 forcing 38183:18 forecasting 38326:14 foreclose 38334:10 38335:15 foreign 38241:5,8 foreseeing 38140:24	38215:1 friend 38127:24 38253:11 38270:22 38277:7 front 38144:25 38214:2 38235:15 38320:20 38320:25 fulfilled 38317:22 full 38160:5 38190:18 38217:4 38221:3,23	38345:7 generic 38190:8 38191:20 38192:21 38243:9 gentlemen 38159:3 Gents 38185:17 genuine 38164:8 geographical 38231:16 geographies 38232:14	38261:19 38279:2 38295:19 38304:20 38304:22 38351:9 good 38127:3 38129:15 38129:17 38138:22 38150:14 38157:23 38158:1 38162:24 38236:18 38251:24 38255:8 38271:1
38309:14,21 38313:19 38317:16 38318:17 38320:20 38322:7 38329:22 38349:24 38350:24 38352:9,10 38353:6,9 38359:13 firstly 38142:4 38205:16 38306:11 first-name 38217:11	38164:11,13,19 38174:12 38175:12 forced 38335:9 38353:18 forcing 38183:18 forecasting 38326:14 foreclose 38334:10 38335:15 foreign 38241:5,8	38215:1 friend 38127:24 38253:11 38270:22 38277:7 front 38144:25 38214:2 38235:15 38320:20 38320:25 fulfilled 38317:22 full 38160:5 38190:18	38345:7 generic 38190:8 38191:20 38192:21 38243:9 gentlemen 38159:3 Gents 38185:17 genuine 38164:8 geographical 38231:16	38261:19 38279:2 38295:19 38304:20 38304:22 38351:9 good 38127:3 38129:15 38129:17 38138:22 38150:14 38157:23 38158:1 38162:24 38236:18 38251:24

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page 1
38325:23 38326:20	38302:19 38304:1	38192:14 38193:16	homework 38127:8	housekeeping 38359:2
38326:20,25	38367:7	38198:12 38210:22	38173:11	38360:24
38327:11 38336:10	handed 38129:6	38279:14,17 38331:4	honest 38160:15	hovering 38294:15
governance 38141:11	38182:10,21	38354:15 38366:20	38304:10,13	human 38178:18
governed 38260:9	38201:10,13	hearing 38191:10	38305:16,25 38309:3	38211:2 38225:11
government 38227:19	38219:12 38220:5	heavily 38352:16	38309:4 38332:9	38306:22 38307:5,5
38236:3 38239:16	38234:9	heavy 38160:20	honestly 38173:4	38340:21
38350:12 38351:15	handle 38280:19	38162:8	38314:7	hundred 38335:20
governs 38258:13	hands 38235:11	hedge 38259:2	honour 38340:23	38337:17
38260:12	38320:3 38339:19	held 38142:14	hope 38133:20 38187:7	hypothetical 38170:21
go-ahead 38152:7	hang 38323:18	38209:18 38242:2,24	38187:13	38171:1
grabbed 38151:16	38344:24	38242:24,25	hopes 38341:11	50171.1
grant 38207:3	happen 38138:21	38306:25	hoping 38341:10	I
granted 38186:8	38143:3 38189:8	help 38138:15	38366:25	idea 38136:6 38189:16
38296:18	38192:23 38229:10	38187:11 38210:3	hospitalised 38211:14	38263:25 38264:2
great 38239:3 38270:24	38258:23 38287:18	38265:25 38295:1	38211:16	38265:9 38316:23
38349:3,4 38364:9		38301:7 38329:7,18	hospitality 38280:5	
	38295:9 38306:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		38366:18
greater 38356:11,14,16	38314:13 38322:21	helpful 38157:7	host 38352:14	ideal 38236:23
grief 38350:8	38324:15 38327:13	38327:16	hostel 38237:6 38275:8	identical 38289:14,14
grievance 38135:23	happened 38134:24	helping 38150:15	38275:9 38281:13,21	identifies 38223:20
gross 38344:22	38137:17 38140:6	HEMRAJ 38302:20	38281:25 38282:6	identify 38291:3
ground 38346:18,22	38143:23 38144:23	he's 38138:8,8 38149:3	38283:8,13,16,18	identifying 38360:15
38348:8,11	38144:25 38146:15	38149:5,5,7 38157:4	38284:6,7,12,24	ignored 38146:18
group 38232:22	38170:17 38187:9	38158:14 38165:25	38285:2 38286:8	<b>illegal</b> 38186:7,9
38233:22 38270:7,9	38206:16 38208:13	38169:10,11,15	38287:3,9,18 38288:2	38211:10
38323:11	38213:19 38251:23	38170:8,18 38171:1,1	38288:12,25	illogical 38131:10
groups 38166:4	38294:17 38296:20	38176:7,9,14 38178:8	38289:24 38290:9	illusionary 38358:24
38314:11 38324:21	38311:23 38314:24	38213:17 38217:10	38291:6 38299:14,17	<b>illustrate</b> 38243:10
growing 38327:3	38317:20 38323:10	38219:8 38281:1	38302:2,6 38303:25	38245:22
grown 38228:2	38324:19 38331:19	38365:7	38307:24 38312:14	ill-founded 38131:10
38282:14	happening 38162:16	high 38177:6 38204:12	38312:16 38313:18	immediately 38222:21
guarantee 38132:16	38215:15 38294:12	38233:10 38236:12	38313:22 38317:2,2	38255:7 38259:13
38183:19	38304:7,23 38345:18	38339:10	hostels 38227:21	impacted 38312:24
guaranteed 38295:4	happens 38232:21	higher 38155:1	38236:25 38237:3	impairment 38252:21
guess 38251:10	38329:14	38279:7 38307:8	38275:10 38279:15	38252:23,25
38252:3,16 38257:12	happy 38180:19	38367:9,10	38282:14,20	<b>Impala</b> 38158:7,18,22
38270:1,15 38278:11	38200:16 38295:23	highlight 38220:6	38283:12 38284:4,14	38158:24 38197:2,7
38295:7 38297:20	38295:24 38296:4,6	38226:8,25	38284:19 38285:8,8	38198:12,24 38197:2,7
38306:19	38306:16,17	highlighted 38350:11	38287:4 38288:5,11	38273:2,11
guessing 38295:6	hard 38195:5,21	38354:21 38355:5	38289:6,9,16	imperative 38351:9
<b>guilty</b> 38144:4	38200:8 38309:25	highlighting 38219:18	38290:20,20,25	implemented 38192:12
<b>guise</b> 38241:10	38329:10 38333:10	hindsight 38282:11	38293:22 38302:4	38192:13 38249:10
guns 38173:5 38175:15	harmed 38151:7,13	historically 38231:7	38303:13,15 38304:5	implementing
38175:20 38176:4	hasn't 38128:12	38239:1	38335:25 38352:21	38230:16
gunshot 38211:14	38253:7 38322:25	history 38169:24	hostile 38160:9	implication 38142:10
gunshots 38211:17	haven't 38250:2	38171:6 38311:17	38200:1	implications 38306:5,7
38213:18	38251:4 38256:10	hoc 38221:7 38222:24	hostilities 38160:1	implicit 38304:13
guys 38240:22	38257:10 38328:15	hold 38241:24	hostility 38160:4	import 38359:11
Gwala 38158:25	head 38128:17	holder 38319:16	<b>hot</b> 38326:11	important 38138:2
38165:5,9 38167:4,18	38186:17 38209:9	holders 38249:2	hottest 38326:3	38144:15 38147:10
38214:9 38217:10,12	38246:13 38261:15	holding 38240:3	hour 38237:19	38166:25 38171:16
38217:13 38218:5,8	38261:20,24 38262:6	38253:7	38280:15	38227:18 38236:20
	38262:20 38263:8	holds 38240:4	house 38228:15,16,22	38249:1 38281:6
H	38267:2,18 38269:12	home 38222:9	38229:21 38230:3,4	38306:5 38341:13
hadn't 38279:16	38306:21,22 38307:5	38227:24 38229:16	38232:10 38235:25	38351:17
38314:6 38315:25	headed 38219:20	38229:18,24 38236:6	38273:2 38282:7	importantly 38204:20
half 38261:15,15,18,20	38249:19	38314:2,3,12 38316:7	38284:17,23	impossibility 38131:7
38261:21,23 38262:2	heading 38204:3	homeownership	38285:23 38290:10	impress 38174:9,22
38262:22,25	38276:4 38353:1,10	38227:19	38299:17,19	38175:16
38266:18,19	38353:15	homes 38227:17	38315:13 38316:11	impressed 38176:13
38267:17,18 38280:4	headings 38355:15	38228:10,25 38229:1	38317:24 38322:6	impression 38325:21
38280:7,15 38364:11	heady 38294:13	38229:3,15 38230:9	38332:4 38333:9	improbable 38309:19
	health 38334:25			
half-truth 38253:25		38230:14 38282:13	38358:8 housed 38276:7	improper 38148:25
hand 38178:20 38196:7	38335:1,1 been 28215:17	38297:20 38305:12	housed 38276:7	improve 38351:11
38214:22 38234:13	hear 38215:17	38315:23 38319:25	38277:1,22	<b>improving</b> 38352:19
38234:14 38239:21	heard 38147:17	38324:24 38325:3	housekeepers 38323:22	38353:12
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			1

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page 2
inaccurately 38267:7	individual 38238:12	38311:3 38334:3	38147:8 38156:18,19	38213:4,8 38239:18
38267:24	38292:22 38310:15	instruction 38265:15	38301:20 38341:12	38239:21,22 38264:5
<b>inaudible</b> 38145:22	38310:15	instructions 38128:1	interrupted 38164:24	38264:9,24,25
38147:3 38224:24	<b>industry</b> 38231:12	38209:6	interruptions 38156:9	38265:7,10,12,13
38255:25 38269:1	38343:3 38351:8,15	insufficient 38226:21	<b>interview</b> 38159:15	38309:3 38322:2
38302:16 38345:15	inference 38193:24	<b>insurance</b> 38220:11	38167:23	38328:3 38349:19,21
38361:23	38359:21	38258:24	<b>interviews</b> 38323:12	issued 38168:16
incident 38161:1	inferences 38330:2	insuring 38259:1	38324:20	38183:6,13,22,22
38166:18 38177:2,2	infinitely 38236:21	integrated 38334:13	inter-group 38230:19	38185:23 38186:1,10
Incidentally 38230:9	inform 38205:9	intend 38219:17	inter-union 38172:19	38187:8,19,22
incidents 38153:4	informal 38227:15	intended 38294:21	intimidation 38211:11	38188:3 38194:25
38211:11	38236:22 38238:20	38301:5,15,17,18	38350:6	38207:23 38247:24
inclined 38156:11	38239:5 38273:18	38362:6	<b>introduce</b> 38152:10,17	38249:12 38253:21
include 38226:19	38275:5 38276:7,14	intending 38341:19	38218:15	38275:20 38278:23
38250:17 38276:9	38277:1,22 38278:18	38366:15	<b>introduced</b> 38153:14	issues 38154:13
38277:3,17,19	38294:7 38311:6	intends 38292:1	38228:19,20	38169:18 38180:12
38288:6,7 38328:16	information 38190:8	38360:12	38234:25 38268:11	38182:4 38198:18
included 38223:2,5	38191:21,23	intent 38304:11	invest 38227:23	38199:6 38206:17
38320:10	38192:21 38193:14	38311:17	invested 38295:14	38225:24 38227:25
includes 38243:19	38194:3 38200:3,5	intention 38192:16	38324:11 38352:16	38240:1 38242:1
38258:5	38270:8 38297:12,22	38230:11,13,15	investigation 38264:14	38258:3,16,23,24
including 38215:3	38310:3 38324:22	38291:1 38292:19	investigations 38264:6	38259:1 38271:10
38230:12 38257:9,13	38341:13 38367:6	38362:18,18	investigators 38264:17	38273:17 38299:6
38350:15 38360:2	informed 38127:8	inter 38226:11 38250:2	investing 38296:23	38307:2,3 38315:10
inclusion 38277:20	38132:10 38320:19	38350:19	investments 38266:23	38318:17 38319:10
<b>income</b> 38244:13	38321:16	interaction 38286:16	invitation 38218:18	38328:5 38331:9
38333:17 38343:12	informing 38212:14	interdict 38183:21	<b>invite</b> 38215:18	38335:2 38350:11
38343:14,16,16	infrastructure 38317:3	38187:9	<b>invited</b> 38139:18	38355:6
38346:19	infuse 38178:1	interest 38188:4	38218:23	issuing 38187:6
incomplete 38330:2	inherent 38250:18	38199:23 38227:19	involve 38137:17	38265:9
incorporated 38256:5	initial 38139:22	38228:15 38242:2,3,3	38141:2 38293:11	item 38269:14,19,21
incorrect 38147:16	38251:22	38242:6,12 38245:15	involved 38130:8	38306:18
38153:8,13 38154:5	initially 38163:21	38245:15	38134:2 38137:11	items 38222:22
38175:20 38215:6	initiative 38159:17	interested 38158:11	38152:15 38231:13	it'll 38326:23
incorrectly 38268:2	38353:6	38264:25 38312:17	38257:10 38281:7	<b>I'd</b> 38137:23 38182:17
38269:22 38309:20	initiatives 38352:8	38315:20	38294:1,1 38298:25	38183:15 38238:14
38309:21	injured 38211:13	interesting 38309:6	38304:8 38322:18	38239:22 38247:14
increase 38157:14	38212:10	interests 38244:17	involvement 38147:14	38248:19 38259:16
38158:17,20,24	injuring 38215:3	interim 38253:25	38162:4	38279:5,7,7,17
38204:9 38206:24	injury 38359:14	38254:3,14,16	<b>IR</b> 38195:9,10 38196:8	38292:10,11 38354:1
38216:9 38227:16	inputs 38297:5	38261:19 38273:22	38196:13	38358:6
increased 38227:12,20	inquiry 38253:12,14,19	interiors 38236:13	iron 38294:16	<b>I'll</b> 38149:11 38157:21
38257:8	inserted 38226:3	interlocutory 38329:15	irregular 38217:15	38166:9 38177:17
incur 38343:24	insinuating 38214:7,8	internet 38236:6	irrespective 38255:8	38179:9,10 38198:9
38345:1 38347:4	insisted 38216:11	interpret 38132:23,24	38291:18	38209:5 38221:8
Incwala 38242:2,7	insistently 38195:9	interpretation	irresponsible 38159:8	38209.5 38221.8
38243:20,23 38244:1	inspect 38258:10	38300:12 38304:18	38210:24 38212:16	38282:21 38283:7
-	inspecting 38259:14	interpreted 38311:8	<b>ISMAIL</b> 38225:18	38292:16,23,23
38244:18,20,23	inspecting 38239.14	interpreting 38141:10	38280:11 38327:23	· · ·
38245:11,14,17,20	instalment 38292:7	interrogate 38331:7	isn't 38143:22	38295:9 38296:25 38298:5 38300:19
38246:18,25 38247:17,18	instalments 38230:5	interrogatories	38149:23 38224:5	38308:21 38310:24
		8		
38265:17,21 38266:9	instance 38220:13	38328:17,24	38253:6 38256:25	38324:10 38328:15
38266:10 38331:19	38336:13 38342:23	38329:19 38341:18	38287:13 38289:9	38359:3 <b>U</b> == 28142-2 28148-1
38331:20	instances 38222:10	38341:20 38361:3,8	38290:18 38316:4,9	<b>I've</b> 38142:3 38148:1
indebted 38366:9	institution 38222:2	38361:12,18 38362:5	38338:2 38339:19	38148:10,11,22
independent 38253:19 independently 38316:7	38223:22 38288:2	38362:16,18 38363:1	<b>issue</b> 38129:19 38133:5	38150:25 38154:21
independently 38316:7	38289:19,21 38290:3	38363:18,19,25	38135:9,10,20	38184:17 38189:17
indicate 38200:15	38290:4,12,17	38364:23 38365:2,6	38136:1,3,9,10,10,13	38198:7,22 38215:22
38218:16 38234:24	38293:11 38297:8	38365:11,13,23,25	38136:15,17	38216:5,11 38226:16
	38300:9	38366:5 interrogatory 38224:9	38143:10 38144:10	38238:25 38239:1
38361:16 38363:13		I INTORPOGOTORY XY /////	38148:23 38153:14	38255:22 38262:16
38361:16 38363:13 indicated 38146:25	institutional 38296:19			20200 15 20202 1
38361:16 38363:13 indicated 38146:25 38181:18 38215:5	institutions 38222:14	38224:12 38328:7,11	38153:23 38163:25	38280:15 38283:1
38361:16 38363:13 indicated 38146:25 38181:18 38215:5 38327:6 38363:9,17	institutions 38222:14 38222:23 38224:21	38224:12 38328:7,11 38329:23,25 38330:6	38153:23 38163:25 38164:25 38177:18	38314:14 38328:17
38361:16 38363:13 indicated 38146:25 38181:18 38215:5 38327:6 38363:9,17 indicates 38210:1	institutions 38222:14 38222:23 38224:21 38286:21 38287:23	38224:12 38328:7,11 38329:23,25 38330:6 38361:15 38363:9	38153:23 38163:25 38164:25 38177:18 38183:20 38184:20	38314:14 38328:17 38328:18 38330:13
38361:16 38363:13 indicated 38146:25 38181:18 38215:5 38327:6 38363:9,17	institutions 38222:14 38222:23 38224:21	38224:12 38328:7,11 38329:23,25 38330:6	38153:23 38163:25 38164:25 38177:18	38314:14 38328:17

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
<b>i.e</b> 38292:6	junior 38178:22	38316:12 38326:17	lawful 38320:2	lesser 38334:16
	jurisdiction 38232:17	knowledge 38171:5	lay 38161:11	lessons 38179:20,22
J	jurisdictions 38231:11	38229:2 38233:17	Le 38180:8,10,17,21,25	38280:24 38281:4,10
<b>ja</b> 38129:25 38132:20	justifiability 38360:13	38274:16 38299:4	38181:5,11	letter 38163:18
38133:11,25 38135:7	justified 38360:21	38300:3	lead 38138:19	38164:7,15,18
38138:24 38139:15	justify 38359:13	known 38130:25	38181:16	38349:23
38139:21 38140:12	38363:22	38131:18,21	leader 38144:5 38150:8	letters 38356:12,14
38141:17 38142:25	50505.22	38136:24 38137:7,7	38150:13 38151:12	let's 38130:16
38149:16 38150:18	K	38162:25 38187:23	38153:19,24	38136:16 38155:14
38153:17 38158:5	Karee 38168:21,24	38190:12 38211:3,17	38358:17	38156:17 38162:12
38160:16,25	38169:1,18 38283:10	38211:18 38212:7	leaders 38147:21	38162:12 38165:20
38162:11 38163:4	38286:4	38275:13 38354:23	38181:25 38202:13	38177:16 38179:5
38165:20 38166:8	keep 38169:15 38219:2	38355:1	38225:9 38230:23,23	38188:2 38196:21
38167:13 38171:10	38225:14,14 38271:2	knows 38131:15	38235:1 38328:6,25	38203:1 38211:9
38172:25 38173:3,18	38315:2,5 38335:5	38176:14 38234:15	38360:1,5,10 38361:9	38212:23 38217:12
38174:8,12 38176:25	keeping 38186:8	Kokstad 38222:16	38365:13 38367:4,6	38253:4,8 38256:11
38177:5 38178:10	38218:20	koppie 38146:9,12,15	leadership 38140:23	38256:23 38266:5,15
38183:1 38191:17	kept 38250:25	38147:15,17 38151:4	38141:10,12,13	38273:16 38281:15
38192:9 38200:2	38320:18	38151:7 38152:2	38168:24 38169:21	38282:4,8,24 38287:2
38206:15 38211:9	<b>key</b> 38219:18 38220:6	38159:6,7,9 38162:9	38177:21 38184:7	38294:11 38295:13
38246:4,9 38267:11	38277:19 38290:6	38162:19 38163:1,8	38206:18 38216:7	38296:18 38299:11
38281:20 38289:2	38312:15,17	38164:16 38171:17	38236:2,18	38300:6,15 38301:20
38297:18 38299:10	38351:14 38352:17	38193:7 38201:6,13	learned 38127:24	38302:13 38310:16
	38351:14 38352:17 38353:11	38202:1 38210:21,24	38178:22 38234:12	38302:13 38310:16 38315:11 38318:17
38299:24 38306:2 38310:4 38326:1	<b>Kgotle</b> 38307:13	38202:1 38210:21,24 38211:4	38178:22 38234:12 38253:11 38270:22	38315:11 38318:17 38322:1 38324:4
	0	<b>Kwadi</b> 38132:12	38277:7 38280:24	38325:1 38330:25
38332:20 38337:22	kick 38296:18			
38338:17,18	killed 38159:19	38135:7,8,18 38136:9	learnt 38179:20,22	38332:17 38334:1
38339:14,20,21	38160:13 38170:15	38138:6,7,8,9,17	lease 38227:14	38337:16 38348:16
38340:9 38342:9	38171:8,15,15,17,19	38139:2,7,8 38142:1	leave 38157:21	level 38205:9,17,23
38343:15,18 38344:5	38172:8,15 38187:18	38174:6 38176:10	38169:12 38232:23	38206:7,8,9,13,23
38348:5,24,24	38210:22 38363:24	38365:17,18	leaves 38258:1 38259:3	38244:18,24
38363:5	killing 38177:3	KwaZulu-Natal	<b>leaving</b> 38282:20	38249:19,21,23,24
Jamieson 38211:8	38214:25,25	38222:16	led 38215:2 38247:23	38250:13,23,25
38271:14	killings 38144:12		38311:22 38331:18	38251:23 38252:4
<b>January</b> 38295:14	38153:4		38350:6	38333:24
38324:12	kilometre 38276:6	labour 38186:7	leeway 38196:17	levels 38236:12
<b>jewellery</b> 38257:8,16	38277:1 38356:18	38227:23 38236:2	38199:20,21 38200:1	38243:18
<b>JJJ192</b> 38173:10,13,14	kind 38131:4 38132:15	38286:13 38306:4	38200:7,17	<b>liabilities</b> 38317:12
38174:1 38182:8	38136:3 38137:10	38319:18 38320:8	left 38173:2 38236:9	<b>liability</b> 38335:13,13
<b>job</b> 38195:9,9,10,20,20	38139:23 38148:12	38340:9 38342:23	38243:11 38244:2	liberty 38154:12
	20151 1 20152 14			B
38195:24 38217:19	38151:1 38152:14	38349:9 38352:18	38250:3 38282:14	licence 38306:7
<b>jobs</b> 38315:5	38161:14 38218:17	38353:12	38298:15 38302:18	38331:21 38332:1,5
<b>jobs</b> 38315:5 <b>JOC</b> 38151:24	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13
<b>jobs</b> 38315:5 <b>JOC</b> 38151:24 <b>Johannesburg</b>	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 <b>license</b> 38249:2
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 left-hand 38356:13 legal 38128:17	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 <b>license</b> 38249:2 <b>lie</b> 38214:6
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 left-hand 38356:13 legal 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2 July 38163:7 38204:23	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18 knew 38144:22,24	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8 38321:8,8	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15 38265:24 38319:15	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13 38215:22 38311:8
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2 July 38163:7 38204:23 38207:12,14,16,17,25	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18 knew 38144:22,24 38145:1 38151:2,6	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8 38321:8,8 laptops 38222:22	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15 38265:24 38319:15 38319:18 38331:9	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13 38215:22 38311:8 38364:21
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2 July 38163:7 38204:23 38207:12,14,16,17,25 38208:9 38209:25	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18 knew 38144:22,24 38145:1 38151:2,6 38161:6,6 38168:3,13	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8 38321:8,8 laptops 38222:22 large 38211:22	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15 38265:24 38319:15 38319:18 38331:9 38334:21 38336:12	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13 38215:22 38311:8 38364:21 lightly 38133:15
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2 July 38163:7 38204:23 38207:12,14,16,17,25 38208:9 38209:25 38221:12 38222:3,12	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18 knew 38144:22,24 38145:1 38151:2,6 38161:6,6 38168:3,13 38171:6 38176:25	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8 38321:8,8 laptops 38222:22 large 38211:22 38350:13	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15 38265:24 38319:15 38319:18 38331:9 38334:21 38336:12 38352:11	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13 38215:22 38311:8 38364:21 lightly 38133:15 liked 38173:8
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2 July 38163:7 38204:23 38207:12,14,16,17,25 38208:9 38209:25 38221:12 38222:3,12 38223:3 38261:3	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18 knew 38144:22,24 38145:1 38151:2,6 38161:6,6 38168:3,13 38171:6 38176:25 38185:11 38188:6,7	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8 38321:8,8 laptops 38222:22 large 38211:22 38350:13 larger 38279:15	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15 38265:24 38319:15 38319:18 38331:9 38334:21 38336:12 38352:11 <b>legally</b> 38250:17	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13 38215:22 38311:8 38364:21 lightly 38133:15 liked 38173:8 likelihood 38213:6
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2 July 38163:7 38204:23 38207:12,14,16,17,25 38208:9 38209:25 38221:12 38222:3,12 38223:3 38261:3 38265:1,2,11 38323:7	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18 knew 38144:22,24 38145:1 38151:2,6 38161:6,6 38168:3,13 38171:6 38176:25 38185:11 38188:6,7 38192:1,6,7,10,13,21	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8 38321:8,8 laptops 38222:22 large 38211:22 38350:13 larger 38279:15 lasted 38188:19	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15 38265:24 38319:15 38319:18 38331:9 38334:21 38336:12 38352:11 <b>legally</b> 38250:17 <b>legitimate</b> 38148:23	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13 38215:22 38311:8 38364:21 lightly 38133:15 liked 38173:8 likelihood 38213:6 38316:14
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2 July 38163:7 38204:23 38207:12,14,16,17,25 38208:9 38209:25 38221:12 38222:3,12 38223:3 38261:3 38265:1,2,11 38323:7 June 38163:7 38203:14	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18 knew 38144:22,24 38145:1 38151:2,6 38161:6,6 38168:3,13 38171:6 38176:25 38185:11 38188:6,7 38192:1,6,7,10,13,21 38193:12 38214:7	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8 38321:8,8 laptops 38222:22 large 38211:22 38350:13 larger 38279:15 lasted 38188:19 38201:3 38326:20,20	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15 38265:24 38319:15 38319:18 38331:9 38334:21 38336:12 38352:11 <b>legally</b> 38250:17 <b>legitimate</b> 38148:23 38329:4	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13 38215:22 38311:8 38364:21 lightly 38133:15 liked 38173:8 likelihood 38213:6 38316:14 liking 38168:25
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2 July 38163:7 38204:23 38207:12,14,16,17,25 38208:9 38209:25 38221:12 38222:3,12 38223:3 38261:3 38265:1,2,11 38323:7 June 38163:7 38203:14 38207:19,21	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18 knew 38144:22,24 38145:1 38151:2,6 38161:6,6 38168:3,13 38171:6 38176:25 38185:11 38188:6,7 38192:1,6,7,10,13,21 38193:12 38214:7 38216:13 38276:16	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8 38321:8,8 laptops 38222:22 large 38211:22 38350:13 larger 38279:15 lasted 38188:19 38201:3 38326:20,20 lastly 38204:12	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15 38265:24 38319:15 38319:18 38331:9 38334:21 38336:12 38352:11 <b>legally</b> 38250:17 <b>legitimate</b> 38148:23 38329:4 <b>leisure</b> 38319:21	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13 38215:22 38311:8 38364:21 lightly 38133:15 liked 38173:8 likelihood 38213:6 38316:14 liking 38168:25 limited 38133:7
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2 July 38163:7 38204:23 38207:12,14,16,17,25 38208:9 38209:25 38221:12 38222:3,12 38223:3 38261:3 38265:1,2,11 38323:7 June 38163:7 38203:14 38207:19,21 38209:15,17,18,22,22	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18 knew 38144:22,24 38145:1 38151:2,6 38161:6,6 38168:3,13 38171:6 38176:25 38185:11 38188:6,7 38192:1,6,7,10,13,21 38193:12 38214:7 38216:13 38276:16 38276:20 38277:4	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8 38321:8,8 laptops 38222:22 large 38211:22 38350:13 larger 38279:15 lasted 38188:19 38201:3 38326:20,20 lastly 38204:12 late 38228:5,19	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15 38265:24 38319:15 38319:18 38331:9 38334:21 38336:12 38352:11 <b>legally</b> 38250:17 <b>legitimate</b> 38148:23 38329:4 <b>leisure</b> 38319:21 <b>lend</b> 38310:14	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13 38215:22 38311:8 38364:21 lightly 38133:15 liked 38173:8 likelihood 38213:6 38316:14 liking 38168:25 limited 38133:7 38134:18,23
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2 July 38163:7 38204:23 38207:12,14,16,17,25 38208:9 38209:25 38221:12 38222:3,12 38223:3 38261:3 38265:1,2,11 38323:7 June 38163:7 38203:14 38207:19,21 38209:15,17,18,22,22 38209:24 38260:11	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18 knew 38144:22,24 38145:1 38151:2,6 38161:6,6 38168:3,13 38171:6 38176:25 38185:11 38188:6,7 38192:1,6,7,10,13,21 38193:12 38214:7 38216:13 38276:16 38276:20 38277:4 38281:21 38316:2	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8 38321:8,8 laptops 38222:22 large 38211:22 38350:13 larger 38279:15 lasted 38188:19 38201:3 38326:20,20 lastly 38204:12 late 38228:5,19 38230:2 38285:7	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15 38265:24 38319:15 38319:18 38331:9 38334:21 38336:12 38352:11 <b>legally</b> 38250:17 <b>legitimate</b> 38148:23 38329:4 <b>leisure</b> 38319:21 <b>lend</b> 38310:14 <b>length</b> 38292:19	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13 38215:22 38311:8 38364:21 lightly 38133:15 liked 38173:8 likelihood 38213:6 38316:14 liking 38168:25 limited 38133:7 38134:18,23 38146:14 38230:21
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2 July 38163:7 38204:23 38207:12,14,16,17,25 38208:9 38209:25 38221:12 38222:3,12 38223:3 38261:3 38265:1,2,11 38323:7 June 38163:7 38203:14 38207:19,21 38209:15,17,18,22,22 38209:24 38260:11 38264:1 38268:7,12	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18 knew 38144:22,24 38145:1 38151:2,6 38161:6,6 38168:3,13 38171:6 38176:25 38185:11 38188:6,7 38192:1,6,7,10,13,21 38193:12 38214:7 38216:13 38276:16 38276:20 38277:4	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8 38321:8,8 laptops 38222:22 large 38211:22 38350:13 larger 38279:15 lasted 38188:19 38201:3 38326:20,20 lastly 38204:12 late 38228:5,19	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15 38265:24 38319:15 38319:18 38331:9 38334:21 38336:12 38352:11 <b>legally</b> 38250:17 <b>legitimate</b> 38148:23 38329:4 <b>leisure</b> 38319:21 <b>lend</b> 38310:14 <b>length</b> 38292:19 38317:10	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13 38215:22 38311:8 38364:21 lightly 38133:15 liked 38173:8 likelihood 38213:6 38316:14 liking 38168:25 limited 38133:7 38134:18,23
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2 July 38163:7 38204:23 38207:12,14,16,17,25 38208:9 38209:25 38221:12 38222:3,12 38223:3 38261:3 38265:1,2,11 38323:7 June 38163:7 38203:14 38207:19,21 38209:15,17,18,22,22 38209:24 38260:11 38264:1 38268:7,12 38268:17 38295:12	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18 knew 38144:22,24 38145:1 38151:2,6 38161:6,6 38168:3,13 38171:6 38176:25 38185:11 38188:6,7 38192:1,6,7,10,13,21 38193:12 38214:7 38216:13 38276:16 38276:20 38277:4 38281:21 38316:2 knock 38155:12,12 knocked 38155:6	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8 38321:8,8 laptops 38222:22 large 38211:22 38350:13 larger 38279:15 lasted 38188:19 38201:3 38326:20,20 lastly 38204:12 late 38228:5,19 38230:2 38285:7	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15 38265:24 38319:15 38319:18 38331:9 38334:21 38336:12 38352:11 <b>legally</b> 38250:17 <b>legitimate</b> 38148:23 38329:4 <b>leisure</b> 38319:21 <b>lend</b> 38310:14 <b>length</b> 38292:19 38317:10 <b>lengthy</b> 38360:1	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13 38215:22 38311:8 38364:21 lightly 38133:15 liked 38173:8 likelihood 38213:6 38316:14 liking 38168:25 limited 38133:7 38134:18,23 38146:14 38230:21 38231:8 38238:11 38240:5,6,7,7
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2 July 38163:7 38204:23 38207:12,14,16,17,25 38208:9 38209:25 38221:12 38222:3,12 38223:3 38261:3 38265:1,2,11 38323:7 June 38163:7 38203:14 38207:19,21 38209:15,17,18,22,22 38209:24 38260:11 38264:1 38268:7,12 38268:17 38295:12 38323:7 38324:11	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18 knew 38144:22,24 38145:1 38151:2,6 38161:6,6 38168:3,13 38171:6 38176:25 38185:11 38188:6,7 38192:1,6,7,10,13,21 38193:12 38214:7 38216:13 38276:16 38276:20 38277:4 38281:21 38316:2 knock 38155:12,12 knocked 38155:6 knocking 38216:8	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8 38321:8,8 laptops 38222:22 large 38211:22 38350:13 larger 38279:15 lasted 38188:19 38201:3 38326:20,20 lastly 38204:12 late 38228:5,19 38230:2 38285:7 38296:22,23 38314:15 lateness 38265:16	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15 38265:24 38319:15 38319:18 38331:9 38334:21 38336:12 38352:11 <b>legally</b> 38250:17 <b>legitimate</b> 38148:23 38329:4 <b>leisure</b> 38319:21 <b>lend</b> 38310:14 <b>length</b> 38292:19 38317:10 <b>lengthy</b> 38360:1 <b>Lerato</b> 38128:11	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13 38215:22 38311:8 38364:21 lightly 38133:15 liked 38173:8 likelihood 38213:6 38316:14 liking 38168:25 limited 38133:7 38134:18,23 38146:14 38230:21 38231:8 38238:11 38240:5,6,7,7 38242:4,4,13
jobs 38315:5 JOC 38151:24 Johannesburg 38236:11 joined 38134:11 38275:1 38311:1 Joseph 38132:13 38214:6 Joseph's 38143:1 journalists 38162:15 JP 38343:2 July 38163:7 38204:23 38207:12,14,16,17,25 38208:9 38209:25 38221:12 38222:3,12 38223:3 38261:3 38265:1,2,11 38323:7 June 38163:7 38203:14 38207:19,21 38209:15,17,18,22,22 38209:24 38260:11 38264:1 38268:7,12 38268:17 38295:12	38161:14 38218:17 38231:19 38232:25 38254:13 38291:22 38292:24 38297:12 38306:19 38331:1 38332:13 38335:3 38336:15,16 38348:7 kindly 38165:11 38321:12 kinds 38272:13 38292:18 knew 38144:22,24 38145:1 38151:2,6 38161:6,6 38168:3,13 38171:6 38176:25 38185:11 38188:6,7 38192:1,6,7,10,13,21 38193:12 38214:7 38216:13 38276:16 38276:20 38277:4 38281:21 38316:2 knock 38155:12,12 knocked 38155:6 knocking 38216:8 knowing 38160:5	38353:12 lack 38227:13,18 38355:23 38360:13 38364:10 lady 38328:18 land 38226:20 38227:13 38235:22 landing 38327:11,12 Langa 38210:13,19 38212:8,14 38213:15 language 38287:8 38321:8,8 laptops 38222:22 large 38211:22 38350:13 larger 38279:15 lasted 38188:19 38201:3 38326:20,20 lastly 38204:12 late 38228:5,19 38230:2 38285:7 38296:22,23 38314:15	38298:15 38302:18 38307:22 38315:18 38316:2 38325:4 38347:8 38350:7 <b>left-hand</b> 38356:13 <b>legal</b> 38128:17 38181:14,17 38209:5 38210:3 38225:11 38231:11,21 38232:2 38241:12,14,17 38259:12 38265:15 38265:24 38319:15 38319:18 38331:9 38334:21 38336:12 38352:11 <b>legally</b> 38250:17 <b>legitimate</b> 38148:23 38329:4 <b>leisure</b> 38319:21 <b>lend</b> 38310:14 <b>length</b> 38292:19 38317:10 <b>lengthy</b> 38360:1	38331:21 38332:1,5 38332:18 38352:13 38352:22,25 38354:14 license 38249:2 lie 38214:6 Lieutenant-Colonel 38161:13,19 38162:3 life 38136:18 38274:20 light 38138:23 38187:20 38214:13 38215:22 38311:8 38364:21 lightly 38133:15 liked 38173:8 likelihood 38213:6 38316:14 liking 38168:25 limited 38133:7 38134:18,23 38146:14 38230:21 38231:8 38238:11 38240:5,6,7,7

			1 5	
				Page 7
				0
38251:6,14,15	38252:21,23	38270:12 38315:15	38258:23 38263:7,8	38256:20,25 38257:6
38256:17 38259:22	38293:15 38301:16	38325:13 38332:12	38287:20 38305:20	38257:15 38261:8,12
38259:24,25 38260:1	38325:8 38334:2	looking 38151:25	38311:12 38349:2	38262:5 38263:7
38260:3,5,8 38261:3	loaned 38250:25	38198:19 38201:9	38358:15	38272:17 38273:2,5,9
38261:4 38269:10	loans 38250:13,21,22	38242:21 38268:15	<b>man</b> 38145:10	markets 38250:11
38270:7 38331:12,13	38297:8 38314:2,3,12		38148:21	38273:13 38298:2
		38268:19,22		
Limpopo 38242:17	38316:7 38331:20	38291:21 38310:1	manage 38231:15	market-driven
line 38132:18 38138:9	38333:18,19,24	38317:7 38361:18	managed 38314:11	38286:16
38143:14 38154:13	38335:13	looks 38138:17	management 38128:19	marking 38245:25
			0	
38155:6,20 38165:19	local 38206:18	38162:23 38317:4	38138:3 38160:7	married 38238:24
38165:22 38167:18	38221:25 38228:8	loose 38356:21	38185:9 38188:20	Masakhane 38221:16
38188:12 38195:5,21	38236:3 38239:16,16	lords 38357:16	38194:9 38196:12,24	38223:11
38200:8 38204:21	38262:6 38334:8	Lordship 38152:5	38197:4,5,7 38198:14	<b>mass</b> 38354:19
38215:9 38216:2,20	38352:17,24	lose 38233:1 38336:17	38198:15,19,24	massacre 38276:1
38216:21 38269:14	locally 38288:8	38344:3 38345:22	38199:8,19,20,24	massive 38252:21
	-			
38269:19,20 38313:3	38337:2	38348:23 38349:16	38204:12 38207:2,4	38253:25 38264:13
lines 38239:9	located 38175:4	losing 38331:21	38214:23 38215:16	38326:10,18
link 38309:11 38355:6	38232:17 38250:10	loss 38233:18 38258:25	38215:19 38216:4	massively 38237:1
linked 38350:18	location 38237:6	38336:7,13 38342:2	38217:1,16,21,22,24	match 38158:7
38351:20	logic 38263:17	38346:19,23	38222:4 38230:13,20	material 38266:11,12
liquidation 38335:10	38296:14 38311:9	lost 38139:24 38331:21	38231:1,14,15,18	38266:14 38332:5
38339:2,7	38315:19 38331:24	38343:6,12,13,14	38240:12,14,18,20	materials 38360:16
list 38188:15 38248:16	logical 38131:6	38346:10,19	38256:16 38260:6	<b>Mathunjwa</b> 38130:8
38270:23 38278:17	logistical 38233:1	38348:18,20 38354:6	38266:23,24	38131:4,14,17,20
38278:20 38281:18	38258:7	lot 38175:24 38198:13	manager 38155:1	38132:1,2 38136:3
				-
38282:14 38360:1,6	logistics 38258:24	38208:11 38282:13	38256:20	38137:2 38138:2,4
listed 38241:20	London 38339:25	38298:5 38311:14	managers 38136:21	38142:1,5 38143:8
listen 38150:2,3	long 38145:3 38151:11	38325:23 38356:5	mandate 38138:13	38145:1 38146:4
	-			
38155:22 38156:24	38162:16 38182:11	lots 38197:3	38139:3,11 38143:11	38147:21 38150:2,9
listing 38231:21	38202:16,16 38227:5	lower 38244:9 38338:7	38143:18	38150:23 38159:11
lists 38227:10	38231:5,5 38272:10	38338:9,10 38339:5	manifestly 38276:16	38160:22 38163:11
literally 38151:1	38272:22 38275:13	38339:20,24	manner 38194:25	38163:19,25 38164:8
38233:24 38342:16	38326:24 38349:15	lowest 38155:15	38365:4	38164:17 38167:2,3
little 38158:20 38234:9	38353:18 38355:19	LPD 38153:22	march 38211:10	38201:8,9,10,12,13
38235:15 38236:24	longer 38263:13	LRC 38340:20,20	marching 38214:22	38201:15 38215:5,10
		-		
38244:7 38257:20	38283:11 38284:3,19	lunch 38218:22	<b>Marikana</b> 38161:23,23	38215:10 38216:12
38266:22 38276:4,8	38321:6 38326:19	38219:3 38234:14	38170:2 38220:9,18	Mathunjwa's 38136:25
38276:15 38277:2,22	38327:7 38347:9	38237:16 38256:14	38227:24 38228:7	38167:9,12
38282:9 38302:9	longstanding 38272:4,5	38279:25 38280:3,14	38239:7 38242:24	<b>matrix</b> 38306:13
38303:25 38316:4,9	Lonmin's 38185:7	lunchtime 38218:23	38273:19 38276:3	matter 38140:16
38326:17 38333:5	38187:4 38193:2		38283:14 38284:8,20	38155:10,10
		M		
live 38236:8 38274:23	38224:12 38227:13		38312:15 38314:20	38195:11 38205:4,10
38275:18 38276:11	38228:3 38233:9	Mabebe 38210:19	38342:4 38349:22,24	38205:16 38206:12
38278:2,17,18	38249:20 38287:13	Mabelane 38210:19	38350:18 38353:7	38210:9 38212:23
38282:1 38294:6	38287:15 38291:12	madoda 38153:14,16	38354:20,24 38355:5	38217:20 38218:2,14
00014 10 00050 10				
38314:19 38353:18	38298:21 38299:22	madodas 38153:13,22	mark 38185:16,19	38266:12 38300:11
				38266:12 38300:11 38311:25 38361:2
lived 38276:19	38304:15 38309:1,10	Magara 38222:3	38186:16,21	38311:25 38361:2
<b>lived</b> 38276:19 <b>lives</b> 38144:16 38166:1	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16	<b>Magara</b> 38222:3 <b>Magidiwana</b> 38134:11	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17	38304:15 38309:1,10	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14	38311:25 38361:2
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17	38304:15 38309:1,10 <b>look</b> 38142:6 38165:16	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 <b>marked</b> 38180:1	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 <b>marked</b> 38180:1	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38189:5 38195:8,16
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10 38299:11 38311:6	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15 38270:17 38279:8	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13 major 38184:17	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15 38168:1 38216:25	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38189:5 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38189:5 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10 38299:11 38311:6 38341:25 38352:19	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15 38270:17 38279:8 38280:19 38287:7	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13 major 38184:17 38206:17 38236:1	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15 38168:1 38216:25 38217:3,17 38257:8	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38189:5 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14 38200:15,19 38201:3
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10 38299:11 38311:6 38341:25 38352:19 38353:12 38356:21	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15 38270:17 38279:8 38280:19 38287:7 38288:14 38289:12	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13 major 38184:17 38206:17 38236:1 38257:7 38271:18	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15 38168:1 38216:25 38217:3,17 38257:8 38272:9 38283:19	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38189:5 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14 38200:15,19 38201:3 Mbombo's 38190:18
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10 38299:11 38311:6 38341:25 38352:19 38353:12 38356:21 38356:24 38357:22	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15 38270:17 38279:8 38280:19 38287:7 38288:14 38289:12 38293:18 38299:6,12	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13 major 38184:17 38206:17 38236:1 38257:7 38271:18 38354:22	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15 38168:1 38216:25 38217:3,17 38257:8 38272:9 38283:19 38295:23 38297:25	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38189:5 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14 38200:15,19 38201:3 Mbombo's 38190:18 McGilligan 38128:17
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10 38299:11 38311:6 38341:25 38352:19 38353:12 38356:21	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15 38270:17 38279:8 38280:19 38287:7 38288:14 38289:12	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13 major 38184:17 38206:17 38236:1 38257:7 38271:18	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15 38168:1 38216:25 38217:3,17 38257:8 38272:9 38283:19	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38189:5 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14 38200:15,19 38201:3 Mbombo's 38190:18 McGilligan 38128:17
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10 38299:11 38311:6 38341:25 38352:19 38353:12 38356:21 38356:24 38357:22 LMS 38231:25,25	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15 38270:17 38279:8 38280:19 38287:7 38288:14 38289:12 38293:18 38299:6,12 38300:24 38301:20	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13 major 38184:17 38206:17 38236:1 38257:7 38271:18 38354:22 majority 38146:18	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15 38168:1 38216:25 38217:3,17 38257:8 38272:9 38283:19 38295:23 38297:25 38312:23 38314:24	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38189:5 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14 38200:15,19 38201:3 Mbombo's 38190:18 McGilligan 38128:17 McIntosh 38161:2,4,13
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10 38299:11 38311:6 38341:25 38352:19 38353:12 38356:21 38356:24 38357:22 LMS 38231:25,25 38232:3,4,8,11	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15 38270:17 38279:8 38280:19 38287:7 38288:14 38289:12 38293:18 38299:6,12 38300:24 38301:20 38306:21 38323:10	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13 major 38184:17 38206:17 38236:1 38257:7 38271:18 38354:22 majority 38146:18 38149:22,25 38229:4	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15 38168:1 38216:25 38217:3,17 38257:8 38272:9 38283:19 38295:23 38297:25 38312:23 38314:24 38323:20 38326:12	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38195: 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14 38200:15,19 38201:3 Mbombo's 38190:18 McGilligan 38128:17 McIntosh 38161:2,4,13 38161:19
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10 38299:11 38311:6 38341:25 38352:19 38353:12 38356:21 38356:24 38357:22 LMS 38231:25,25 38232:3,4,8,11 38233:14,17,20	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15 38270:17 38279:8 38280:19 38287:7 38288:14 38289:12 38293:18 38299:6,12 38300:24 38301:20 38306:21 38323:10 38325:15 38329:17	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13 major 38184:17 38206:17 38236:1 38257:7 38271:18 38354:22 majority 38146:18 38149:22,25 38229:4 38229:14 38266:12	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15 38168:1 38216:25 38217:3,17 38257:8 38272:9 38283:19 38295:23 38297:25 38312:23 38314:24 38323:20 38326:12 38326:13	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38195: 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14 38200:15,19 38201:3 Mbombo's 38190:18 McGilligan 38128:17 McIntosh 38161:2,4,13 38161:19 McIntosh's 38162:4
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10 38299:11 38311:6 38341:25 38352:19 38353:12 38356:21 38356:24 38357:22 LMS 38231:25,25 38232:3,4,8,11	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15 38270:17 38279:8 38280:19 38287:7 38288:14 38289:12 38293:18 38299:6,12 38300:24 38301:20 38306:21 38323:10	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13 major 38184:17 38206:17 38236:1 38257:7 38271:18 38354:22 majority 38146:18 38149:22,25 38229:4	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15 38168:1 38216:25 38217:3,17 38257:8 38272:9 38283:19 38295:23 38297:25 38312:23 38314:24 38323:20 38326:12	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38189:5 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14 38200:15,19 38201:3 Mbombo's 38190:18 McGilligan 38128:17 McIntosh 38161:2,4,13 38161:19
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10 38299:11 38311:6 38341:25 38352:19 38353:12 38356:21 38356:24 38357:22 LMS 38231:25,25 38232:3,4,8,11 38233:14,17,20 38234:1 38240:25	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15 38270:17 38279:8 38280:19 38287:7 38288:14 38289:12 38293:18 38299:6,12 38300:24 38301:20 38306:21 38323:10 38325:15 38329:17 38340:1 38348:21	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13 major 38184:17 38206:17 38236:1 38257:7 38271:18 38354:22 majority 38146:18 38149:22,25 38229:4 38229:14 38266:12 38314:23 38316:17	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15 38168:1 38216:25 38217:3,17 38257:8 38272:9 38283:19 38295:23 38297:25 38312:23 38314:24 38323:20 38326:12 38326:13 marketed 38259:25	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38195: 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14 38200:15,19 38201:3 Mbombo's 38190:18 McGilligan 38128:17 McIntosh 38161:2,4,13 38161:19 McIntosh's 38162:4 meals 38223:6
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10 38299:11 38311:6 38341:25 38352:19 38353:12 38356:21 38356:24 38357:22 LMS 38231:25,25 38232:3,4,8,11 38233:14,17,20 38234:1 38240:25 38260:14,20,21	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15 38270:17 38279:8 38280:19 38287:7 38288:14 38289:12 38293:18 38299:6,12 38300:24 38301:20 38306:21 38323:10 38325:15 38329:17 38340:1 38348:21 38349:13 38355:22	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13 major 38184:17 38206:17 38236:1 38257:7 38271:18 38354:22 majority 38146:18 38149:22,25 38229:4 38229:14 38266:12 38314:23 38316:17 making 38128:9	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15 38168:1 38216:25 38217:3,17 38257:8 38272:9 38283:19 38295:23 38297:25 38312:23 38314:24 38323:20 38326:12 38326:13 marketed 38259:25 38260:5	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38189:5 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14 38200:15,19 38201:3 Mbombo's 38190:18 McGilligan 38128:17 McIntosh 38161:2,4,13 38161:19 McIntosh's 38162:4 meals 38223:6 mean 38184:10
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10 38299:11 38311:6 38341:25 38352:19 38356:24 38357:22 LMS 38231:25,25 38232:3,4,8,11 38233:14,17,20 38234:1 38240:25 38260:14,20,21 38269:15,16,22	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15 38270:17 38279:8 38280:19 38287:7 38288:14 38289:12 38293:18 38299:6,12 38300:24 38301:20 38306:21 38323:10 38325:15 38329:17 38340:1 38348:21 38349:13 38355:22 looked 38190:17	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13 major 38184:17 38206:17 38236:1 38257:7 38271:18 38354:22 majority 38146:18 38149:22,25 38229:4 38229:14 38266:12 38314:23 38316:17 making 38128:9 38133:7 38144:4	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15 38168:1 38216:25 38217:3,17 38257:8 38272:9 38283:19 38295:23 38297:25 38312:23 38314:24 38323:20 38326:12 38326:13 marketed 38259:25 38260:5 marketing 38231:24	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38189:5 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14 38200:15,19 38201:3 Mbombo's 38190:18 McGilligan 38128:17 McIntosh 38161:2,4,13 38161:19 McIntosh's 38162:4 meals 38223:6 mean 38184:10 38212:20 38215:18
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10 38299:11 38311:6 38341:25 38352:19 38353:12 38356:21 38356:24 38357:22 LMS 38231:25,25 38232:3,4,8,11 38233:14,17,20 38234:1 38240:25 38260:14,20,21 38269:15,16,22 38270:16,16,17	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15 38270:17 38279:8 38280:19 38287:7 38288:14 38289:12 38293:18 38299:6,12 38300:24 38301:20 38306:21 38323:10 38325:15 38329:17 38340:1 38348:21 38349:13 38355:22 looked 38190:17 38212:20 38213:4	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13 major 38184:17 38206:17 38236:1 38257:7 38271:18 38354:22 majority 38146:18 38149:22,25 38229:4 38229:14 38266:12 38314:23 38316:17 making 38128:9	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15 38168:1 38216:25 38217:3,17 38257:8 38272:9 38283:19 38295:23 38297:25 38312:23 38314:24 38323:20 38326:12 38326:13 marketed 38259:25 38260:5 marketing 38231:24 38232:1,16,24,25	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38189:5 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14 38200:15,19 38201:3 Mbombo's 38190:18 McGilligan 38128:17 McIntosh 38161:2,4,13 38161:19 McIntosh's 38162:4 meals 38223:6 mean 38184:10
lived 38276:19 lives 38144:16 38166:1 38356:17 living 38238:23 38273:18 38274:2 38275:14 38276:6,14 38276:25 38277:5 38279:10,10 38299:11 38311:6 38341:25 38352:19 38356:24 38357:22 LMS 38231:25,25 38232:3,4,8,11 38233:14,17,20 38234:1 38240:25 38260:14,20,21 38269:15,16,22	38304:15 38309:1,10 look 38142:6 38165:16 38173:16 38189:22 38197:19 38203:22 38204:21 38212:2 38227:3 38236:9 38244:12 38258:9 38259:12 38266:15 38270:17 38279:8 38280:19 38287:7 38288:14 38289:12 38293:18 38299:6,12 38300:24 38301:20 38306:21 38323:10 38325:15 38329:17 38340:1 38348:21 38349:13 38355:22 looked 38190:17	Magara 38222:3 Magidiwana 38134:11 38134:22 MAHOMED 38225:18 38280:11 38327:23 mail 38297:15 maintenance 38229:22 38252:12,13 major 38184:17 38206:17 38236:1 38257:7 38271:18 38354:22 majority 38146:18 38149:22,25 38229:4 38229:14 38266:12 38314:23 38316:17 making 38128:9 38133:7 38144:4	38186:16,21 38188:12 38190:14 38191:22 38193:14 38219:23,25 38238:6 marked 38180:1 markedly 38314:18 market 38143:14 38166:13 38167:15 38168:1 38216:25 38217:3,17 38257:8 38272:9 38283:19 38295:23 38297:25 38312:23 38314:24 38323:20 38326:12 38326:13 marketed 38259:25 38260:5 marketing 38231:24	38311:25 38361:2 matters 38225:6,21 38264:18 38266:11 38266:13 38332:17 38362:22,23 matured 38216:7 Mbombo 38188:16,18 38189:5 38195:8,16 38195:19 38196:14 38200:15,19 38201:3 Mbombo's 38190:18 McGilligan 38128:17 McIntosh 38161:2,4,13 38161:19 McIntosh's 38162:4 meals 38223:6 mean 38184:10 38212:20 38215:18

				Page
20260 17 20200 4	20265 11 20267 12	20212 10 24 24	29271 22	
38260:17 38280:4	38265:11 38267:13	38212:19,24,24	38271:23	38132:17 38133:3,7
38287:15 38295:9	38296:19	38213:4,5,10	<b>Mm</b> 38198:10	38133:13,17 38134:2
38296:13 38316:23	men 38161:15	38242:22 38248:9	<b>Mmmm</b> 38197:24	38134:23 38135:4,5,9
38326:22 38328:23	mention 38153:16	38286:4 38347:8	MOCs 38189:21	38135:21 38136:1
38335:18 38348:16	38154:8 38293:1	38348:11	model 38295:2	38138:21 38149:25
38349:12	38340:24	mineral 38248:21	38351:12	38150:1 38151:13
meaning 38197:9	mentioned 38153:6	38295:22 38319:15	Mokoena's 38202:23	38153:21 38160:11
means 38131:24	38228:6 38235:24	38320:7	Molebatsi 38128:11,15	move 38149:11
38157:9 38175:17	38240:12 38255:14	Minerals 38248:20	Molebatsi's 38128:17	38188:21 38189:1
38176:23 38189:10	38324:10 38326:9	miners 38201:6	moment 38127:11	38193:4,6 38224:25
38197:8 38280:16	38333:19 38335:18	Mines 38342:4	38179:2 38208:9	38255:12 38271:9
38281:25 38316:7	38342:3	Mineworkers 38207:7	38232:21 38238:20	38273:16 38311:21
38332:9 38347:16	mentions 38288:16	minimal 38348:9	38238:22 38257:25	moved 38158:19
38352:23	Merchant 38286:22	minimum 38266:12	38258:1 38305:4	movement 38268:3
meant 38139:10	38292:4,14 38294:24	mining 38186:17	38347:11,12 38359:9	moving 38178:17
38184:12 38218:5	message 38154:2	38196:24 38197:11	<b>Monday</b> 38208:13,14	38194:17 38198:20
38233:25 38356:13	38163:6	38199:1,11 38226:10	38208:15 38340:17	38340:13
media 38183:5,8,12,13	Messina 38251:14,14	38232:13,18	38340:22 38341:1	<b>Mpembe</b> 38159:1,15,2
38185:21 38186:3	38251:17 38252:8	38242:16,23	38358:25 38360:25	38160:19 38195:8,13
38192:19 38211:9	38295:12 38324:12	38248:20 38251:5,6,7	38362:10,14 38364:2	38195:17,17
mediating 38292:13	met 38151:24,25	38251:10,14,14	38364:6 38365:1	38200:11
meet 38157:24 38212:9	38204:1 38253:12	38252:8 38293:18,19	38366:6,18	<b>Mpofu's</b> 38141:21
38222:7 38312:25		38293:20 38294:9,13	money 38218:1	38142:18
	38322:19 38328:17			
38313:2 38319:25	38334:9	38294:19 38295:21	38229:5 38230:9,13	MPRDA 38334:21
38335:25 38336:18	metal 38231:23,24	38296:17 38315:15	38244:18 38255:16	MSDC 38285:14,16
38342:18	38232:15,21 38233:3	38319:17 38322:17	38286:14 38287:4,13	MTC 38189:10
meeting 38152:3	38233:8 38251:11,12	38323:3,23 38325:23	38287:15,18,24	Munro 38191:22
38159:16 38173:23	38256:17 38257:25	38327:1,8 38350:8,13	38288:1,4,25 38289:6	Munroe 38185:16,19
38182:7,19 38183:16	38258:1,4,22	<b>Minister</b> 38196:24	38291:12 38295:11	38186:17 38193:11
-			38295:24 38302:7	
38188:19,23	38259:21,25 38260:1	minority 38244:16		38193:16 38194:2
38192:20 38195:2,5	38261:4,7 38262:16	<b>minute</b> 38234:14	38303:5,9,13	<b>murder</b> 38350:6
38199:18 38207:12	38272:13	38344:25	38311:12 38315:9	<b>M1</b> 38236:8
38207:14,18,25	metals 38230:21	minuted 38209:5	38323:22,25 38324:5	
38208:3,6,9,16	38232:22 38233:22	minutes 38139:9,10	38325:8 38335:24	Ν
38209:18,19,24	38261:13,22 38263:1	38149:17 38173:2	38336:18 38348:10	naked 38145:6
		38181:19,23		
38221:22 38226:22	38264:18 38266:19	<i>*</i>	38348:12 38349:3,5	name 38217:11
38236:19 38265:1,6	38269:9,11,17,23	38182:13 38189:20	38349:15 38355:15	narrow 38135:10
38334:24 38367:1,4,5	38272:17,20,22,24	38201:4 38202:6,11	38357:15,20	38328:3
meetings 38159:15	methods 38332:11	38202:18,20,21	monies 38363:23	narrowly 38319:8
38200:13 38207:23	MHDC 38230:8,10,11	38208:6,9 38210:11	month 38207:20	nation 38354:22
38208:16	microphone 38145:22	38210:14 38213:23	38228:14 38238:23	national 38188:20
	-	38219:17 38225:7,10		38191:13 38192:14
Melrose 38161:9,21,24	38147:2 38224:24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	38238:24 38295:4	
meltdown 38254:25	38255:24 38269:1	38225:10,11,12	38356:25 38357:9	38194:9 38199:15
member 38359:23	38302:16 38345:15	38235:16 38327:19	monthly 38208:13,16	38205:9,17,23
members 38128:4	38361:23	38340:20	38260:20	38206:8,13,23
38145:6 38146:13,17	Middelkraal 38151:24	misapprehension	months 38143:13	38207:6,7
38164:15 38171:25	middle 38198:23	38162:1	38204:14 38280:22	natural 38169:20
38172:13 38177:22	38214:3 38216:1	misleading 38168:17	38342:19 38357:9	nature 38130:9
38192:22 38194:19	38265:18 38300:24	38316:5	<b>Mooi</b> 38279:6	38216:8 38220:16,18
				,
38214:23 38222:3	38333:8	<b>misnomer</b> 38359:8	mooted 38364:24	38224:19
38317:4 38359:14	migrant 38354:20	missed 38189:25	<b>mop</b> 38341:1	Ncube 38192:1
38360:2,7,20	<b>Mike</b> 38216:9	38308:9,10 38309:5	moral 38319:3	near 38288:18
membership 38230:12	military 38174:11	missing 38256:3	Morgan 38343:2	nearly 38352:3
<b>memo</b> 38208:2	38175:10	38319:13	morning 38127:3	necessarily 38157:24
38209:21 38297:15	milling 38162:15	mistake 38243:22	38129:15,17 38188:9	38208:12 38222:8
			38191:15 38192:16	
38323:12	Mills 38274:25	38304:10,13		38236:22 38334:15
memorandum	mind 38186:22	38305:16,25 38306:1	38199:18 38201:10	38335:9
38203:11,13,17	38200:16 38218:8	38307:17,18 38309:4	38234:9 38270:25	necessary 38141:24
38205:14 38207:10	38229:4 38243:8	38309:4,5	38332:18 38364:13	38208:16 38281:8
38207:17,21	38298:9 38301:14	mistakes 38173:16	mortar 38235:23	38284:24 38286:23
/ 31 / 25	38307:23 38359:3	misunderstanding	mortgage 38292:7	38289:20 38290:3,14
18/19/11 18/14/1		38142:18 38216:12	motions 38294:4	
38209:13 38214:22 38215:18	minds 38226.10	I 10147 10 1071017	monons 20224:4	38290:15 38292:5
38215:18	minds 38236:19		20206 5	20252 12 20251 15
38215:18 memorial 38220:22	38332:7	misunderstood	38296:5	38352:12 38361:17
38215:18	38332:7 mine 38170:3 38197:7	misunderstood 38240:14	motivate 38151:21	
38215:18 memorial 38220:22	38332:7	misunderstood		
38215:18 memorial 38220:22 38221:3,21	38332:7 mine 38170:3 38197:7	misunderstood 38240:14	motivate 38151:21	need 38143:5 38185:18

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

# Pretoria

				Page 1
38239:18,25 38242:1	38324:1,14 38325:2	38205:16,23 38206:6	38353:11	38177:14 38178:10
38243:11,12,13	38329:16 38330:6	38206:9,13,22	<b>obliged</b> 38234:4	38179:16 38181:12
38245:3,9 38260:10	news 38162:24	38214:24,24	obliges 38260:24	38181:20 38182:15
38263:5 38283:16	newspaper 38214:16	number 38165:19	obtained 38265:19	38198:7 38203:2
38284:15 38325:15	38347:18	38179:10,11	obtaining 38314:1	38210:17 38242:23
38337:20 38339:5	NGCUKAITOBI	38182:22 38183:5	<b>obtuse</b> 38345:22	38246:12 38247:11
38341:18 38344:23	38181:15,22 38362:2	38190:20 38211:22	obviate 38341:17	38247:21 38253:2
38349:8 38357:7	38362:4,11,15	38216:3 38219:16	<b>obvious</b> 38140:7	38270:20 38312:11
38361:6,12 38367:6	<b>nice</b> 38144:12	38229:4 38238:14,17	obviously 38210:2	38334:3,12 38338:18
needed 38140:5,17	night 38127:9 38194:10	38248:3 38256:11,12	38263:13 38328:23	38352:1
38184:2,2 38185:3	38197:1,24 38198:11	38256:14 38267:25	38329:2,20 38338:10	old 38231:10,10
38193:3 38195:12	38275:4,7	38276:9,14,19	38340:10 38363:18	38293:18 38324:14
38222:18,19,21	nil 38313:16	38277:3,5,17,21	38366:21	once 38136:23
38266:12 38331:20	nitpick 38348:17	38278:24 38281:2	occasion 38234:7	38137:10 38155:4
needs 38141:12	Nkaneng 38273:18	38286:6 38287:9	occasions 38309:6	38224:13 38229:20
38157:1 38201:24	38274:9 38275:5	38289:16,25 38292:6	occupant 38228:17	38235:25 38252:5
38221:22 38222:8,15	38355:2 38357:17	38292:23 38303:17	occupants 38228:23	38289:19 38290:2
38236:2 38297:19	nod 38209:9 38246:13	38303:18,21,22	38229:1,7	38309:17,19
38324:20 38328:2	nodding 38209:9	38309:17,19	occupation 38228:11	38323:19 38324:1
38352:15	non 38223:23 38336:5	38347:24 38360:2	38228:12 38229:12	38346:23
neglected 38215:20	non-education 38223:1	numbered 38179:6	38229:13	once-off 38136:3,6,14
negligence 38144:4	non-executive	38359:12	occurred 38216:10	38137:3,8,10
negligent 38151:18	38298:24	numbers 38183:3	October 38158:17,21	ones 38173:9,9 38174:9
38309:5	non-existent 38358:3	38245:2 38248:16	38233:23 38244:8,8	38174:11,12,22,22
<b>negotiate</b> 38130:11	non-statutory	38287:12 38305:21	38246:7,8 38260:12	38175:8,10,10
38132:16 38200:18	38219:21 38221:1,13	38306:11 38308:8,25	38260:14 38261:17	38176:10 38336:6
38200:24 38201:25	Nooi 38279:6	38309:15 38333:21	38261:21,25 38262:8	38337:14
38202:1 38250:12	normally 38207:20	numerous 38169:18	38262:10,14	one's 38320:3
<b>negotiated</b> 38131:16 38155:11 38250:21	38229:24 38263:12 38324:15 38347:20	NUM's 38202:14 Nucle 28161:10	38297:25 38298:2 38314:23 38315:1	ongoing 38170:2 onward 38223:25
38250:22 38358:19	north 38236:8	Nyala 38161:19 nyana 38131:18	38323:5,5,15	onwards 38179:7
negotiating 38323:2	note 38218:15 38246:1	Nzuza 38133:8	38324:18 38325:18	38228:19 38285:17
negotiating 38323.2 negotiation 38137:3	38247:12 38297:16	38134:11,23	38325:18 38366:12	<b>OO2</b> 38214:15
38142:3,8 38154:9	38327:14	38134.11,23	38366:23 38367:9	<b>OO2</b> 38214.15 <b>OO3</b> 38165:14
38155:8 38161:2,5,11	notes 38297:16	0	odd 38229:1,12	opened 38167:10,24,25
38204:8	notice 38187:9 38196:5	oath 38127:3 38202:9	odds 38266:3	38214:25
negotiations 38136:22	38239:16,16	38225:17 38237:22	offer 38150:21	opening 38216:11
38143:6 38154:15	38360:14	38280:10 38327:22	38163:12	38243:12
38155:13 38167:11	notices 38185:24	object 38147:1	offered 38141:2	operate 38352:13,23,25
38167:24,25 38216:8	Notification 38248:20	<b>objection</b> 38127:24	38167:21 38216:23	38354:14
38216:11,13	notion 38308:6	38134:6 38145:19	offering 38163:15	operates 38241:20
38217:20 38218:2	notionally 38348:6	38147:24 38148:9	offers 38151:14	operating 38240:4,8
38296:21	nought 38304:22	38149:6,10 38310:6	office 38160:13	38244:24 38247:20
negotiator 38161:12	38305:2,2,6	objections 38361:19	38207:6 38261:16,21	38252:10 38260:8
neighbouring 38211:24	November 38221:12	objective 38320:17	38261:24 38262:6,20	38315:1 38335:21
net 38344:7,22	38223:3 38255:5	objects 38328:12	38263:9 38267:2,18	38351:12
38348:21	38296:16 38297:18	obligation 38250:4	38269:12	operation 38152:5,10
never 38131:8 38132:4	38297:21 38324:19	38282:6,7 38296:10	officers 38190:21	38250:25 38345:6
38144:15,15	<b>no-one</b> 38307:8	38298:7 38299:8	38193:22 38350:7	38356:18
38154:14 38159:13	Ntsebenza 38365:3,6,6	38317:22,24 38318:8	offices 38214:25	operational 38242:17
38160:11 38162:7	Ntsebeza 38224:9,13	38318:18,21 38319:3	official 38190:7	38250:23 38273:8
38170:12,12	38330:13,20,24	38319:15,19 38331:9	oh 38148:19 38174:11	operations 38183:9
38216:10,16,16	38363:7,14,16	38332:16,17,19	38175:1,11 38182:24	38206:7,9,20
38217:3,19,23	38365:7,8	38333:10 38334:21	38197:16 38233:19	38252:12 38276:7
38271:1 38285:7	nuanced 38195:19	38334:25 38336:1,12	38248:4 38275:9	38277:1 38315:2
38317:22 38328:17 38328:18 38330:18	nub 38359:20 NUM 38140:8	38336:19	38283:7 38302:21 38318:8 38356:11	38352:14
nevertheless 38294:7	38144:24 38145:1,4,8	<b>obligations</b> 38240:2 38243:3,4,4 38293:8	38362:19	<b>operator</b> 38203:18 38279:6,8 38283:6
new 38149:9 38169:22	38144:24 38145:1,4,8 38146:13,17	38293:9 38295:22,25	okay 38130:7 38132:12	38279:0,8 38283:0 38358:4
	38149:23 38168:20	38296:4,5,6,8,11,11	38132:13 38134:8	operators 38133:22
381/8.1 38107.12				38186:6 38217:18
38178:1 38197:12 38199:12 38226:10		38311.74 38317.17		
38199:12 38226:10	38168:22,23,24,25	38311:24 38317:17 38319:25 38320:23	38135:7,24 38141:18 38144:20 38145:23	
38199:12 38226:10 38255:3 38263:25	38168:22,23,24,25 38171:24,25	38319:25 38320:23	38144:20 38145:23	opinion 38205:10
38199:12 38226:10 38255:3 38263:25 38265:18,22 38280:5	38168:22,23,24,25 38171:24,25 38172:10,12	38319:25 38320:23 38321:5,23 38324:1	38144:20 38145:23 38146:1 38147:6	<b>opinion</b> 38205:10 <b>opportunity</b> 38139:24
38199:12 38226:10 38255:3 38263:25	38168:22,23,24,25 38171:24,25	38319:25 38320:23 38321:5,23 38324:1 38333:24 38334:2,5	38144:20 38145:23	opinion 38205:10
38199:12 38226:10 38255:3 38263:25 38265:18,22 38280:5 38293:19,20 38294:8	38168:22,23,24,25 38171:24,25 38172:10,12 38196:25 38197:11	38319:25 38320:23 38321:5,23 38324:1	38144:20 38145:23 38146:1 38147:6 38151:20 38164:4	<b>opinion</b> 38205:10 <b>opportunity</b> 38139:24 38147:5 38150:25

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

			1 5	
				Page 1
38328:18 38361:13	38328:7	38215:16 38226:1	38235:25 38245:21	38161:11 38201:5
38364:19,25 38365:1	overcome 38367:18,18	38227:4 38232:7,8	38322:9 38334:5	38310:14
opposed 38278:3	overhead 38299:10	38251:21 38257:11	38345:11	<b>Peter</b> 38128:16
38322:3	overlooked 38147:11	38289:7 38295:21	payment 38138:19	<b>Petroleum</b> 38248:21
opt 38227:21	38307:18	38304:15 38309:10	38221:8 38223:12,13	38319:16
optimal 38263:12,13	overlooking 38296:2	38350:10 38351:9	38229:23 38233:5	<b>PGM</b> 38258:25
38355:25	oversight 38308:9	partially 38266:1	38258:7 38270:9	phase 38180:12,15,18
optimally 38231:14	oversights 38308:10	participate 38136:4	38325:5	38180:19,21,24,25
option 38292:8 38316:1	owe 38281:7	particular 38156:9	payments 38219:21	38181:7,10,21
options 38212:18	owed 38229:2	38177:19 38195:21	38220:24,25 38221:2	38299:6 38328:3,5
38291:24 38292:6,18	owing 38283:13	38220:13 38292:21	38221:4,5,9,14,15,18	38330:6 38341:19,20
38318:3 38334:17	38284:5 38317:8	38320:17 38352:11	38221:20 38223:2,2,7	38361:6 38364:1
oral 38359:17,23	owned 38232:24	38363:20	38223:8,13,15,18,19	<b>Phillimore</b> 38350:4
38360:8	38259:23 38290:21	particularly 38143:6	38223:24,25 38224:3	<b>phone</b> 38183:4
order 38186:8	owner 38290:23	38143:13 38163:18	38224:19 38230:19	38188:15 38189:19
38205:17 38222:17	38291:4	38177:23 38216:2,6	38230:19 38240:11	38190:18 38194:6
38232:11 38247:24	owners 38314:1	38227:7 38271:2	38255:13,17 38256:8	38201:10,13,15
38249:2 38278:11	ownership 38227:20	38354:19	38256:15 38263:3,8	phoned 38151:25
38281:1 38293:18,19	38228:23 38229:7,21	parties 38137:17	38270:11 38337:23	38189:9,10,12,24
38293:20,20 38294:8	38229:24 38258:3,16	38140:9 38142:2	38363:13	phrase 38133:15
38294:8 38296:17	38258:17,20,22	38225:2 38260:13,24	payroll 38223:16	38181:8 38290:6
38323:3,19 38324:2	38259:6	38266:21 38268:6	pays 38260:20	38291:7,9
38324:14,15	owning 38317:8	38309:8 38328:4,7,9	38261:20 38325:6	pick 38310:2
38329:10 38362:25	owns 38240:17	38328:23,25 38329:2	peace 38276:3	picked 38196:19
38365:25	o'clock 38193:9	38329:4 38330:6,7,9	peak 38322:8 38325:17	38236:15
ordinate 38184:19	38194:10 38340:18	38330:11 38341:18	peer 38186:17	picture 38293:3
ore 38294:16 38342:13	50174.10 50540.10	38355:23,25	pension 38229:6,6	pictures 38236:13
38347:6,8,8	P	38360:15 38366:2,17	people's 38335:1	piece 38173:10
organisation 38146:16	pages 38165:15	38367:1,8	perceived 38154:14	38235:22
38257:6 38259:5,7		partly 38270:6	percent 38310:9	<b>Pillay</b> 38178:25
	38173:21,21 38179:8			Ŧ
38349:17	38179:9 38197:19	partner 38331:22	percentage 38304:20	38179:12,15
organisation's 38313:4	38282:25 38283:6	partnerships 38286:21	38304:21 38314:21	38202:19,20,23,25
organise 38237:18	38289:4 38307:20	38292:3	perception 38134:21	38203:2,5,9,16,21
original 38198:4	Paginate 38198:10	parts 38236:15	38154:3 38155:5	38204:7,16,20,25
38268:23 38293:9	paginated 38183:5,7	party 38260:19,20	38199:25,25 38200:2	38205:7,13,20
38299:13,18	38198:5,6 38268:23	38266:22 38287:23	period 38188:16	38206:1,11,21
38310:21,22	paging 38197:13	38329:25 38330:3	38226:2 38233:14	38207:8 38209:15,21
38312:13 38313:10	<b>pangas</b> 38163:2	38360:11,12 38361:9	38261:11,17 38267:8	38210:6,7 38234:20
38317:17,20	papers 38237:18	<b>pass</b> 38232:25 38233:3	38268:17 38287:10	38234:24 38235:3,5
38318:18	paperwork 38252:5	38255:10 38259:7	38294:18 38295:7	<b>Pillay's</b> 38198:10
originally 38128:8	paragraph 38135:20	<b>passage</b> 38166:6	38315:10 38326:19	<b>pipeline</b> 38342:20
38133:21 38134:15	38186:5 38211:9	38174:23 38176:11	38327:7 38329:10	place 38128:1 38141:16
38272:17 38280:22	38212:3 38214:20	38196:3,22 38197:15	permanent 38131:5	38150:10 38175:14
38315:25 38366:15	38226:14 38259:20	38197:25 38198:3	38132:5 38272:4	38184:6 38188:25
ought 38202:14	38276:12 38283:9,11	38199:4 38354:2	permanently 38130:10	38220:12 38252:5
38300:16 38355:17	38284:3 38290:16	passages 38197:18	persist 38326:15	38289:8 38292:12
ounce 38233:24,25	38299:11,12	38214:12,14	persisted 38134:16	38306:18 38307:18
38254:24 38294:15	38313:17 38350:4	38216:20 38282:22	persistent 38195:11	38312:4 38324:21
38342:17	38351:6,7,13	38300:7 38354:14	person 38151:16	38358:18 38362:19
outbreak 38170:2	38352:10 38354:17	passed 38128:16	38159:2 38213:14,17	38366:5
outcome 38143:18	38359:9 38360:11,18	passing 38336:23	38221:4 38228:11	placed 38144:3
outlined 38205:2	paragraphs 38264:19	pay 38138:10 38212:21	38238:23,23 38299:5	38205:21 38230:24
output 38344:4	38359:12	38213:3 38228:17	38309:24 38310:5,19	places 38173:16
38345:22 38346:10	parallel 38131:22	38230:4,5,5,6,7	38310:24	38211:23 38286:24
outset 38134:12	38317:7	38235:24 38255:1,10	persona 38241:13,15	38307:19
outside 38130:24	paraphrase 38166:9	38253.24 38255.1,10	38241:17	<b>plan</b> 38183:17 38191:8
38131:18,22 38137:4		38316:13 38326:16	personal 38274:15	-
	pardon 38182:24		-	38192:1,2,11,19
38151:24,24	38197:17 38198:8	38333:11,22	38299:4 38300:3	38286:14 38297:22
38154:10,18,25	38364:20	38336:24 38337:1	38310:25	38298:22,22 38306:4
38155:3,8,16 38156:3	part 38128:6,19	38345:14 38346:6	persons 38224:4,10,11	38319:18 38352:18
38157:3,14,25	38134:11 38139:18	38363:23	38224:16 38277:21	38353:12
38215:21 38216:5,15	38142:14 38153:18	payable 38261:12,14	38363:15	plans 38161:7 38190:8
38232:9 38276:19		38261:18,22,22,23	perspective 38184:1	38191:2,4,14
	38161:6 38172:11			
38331:9	38175:2 38195:12,14	38262:5,20 38267:18	38193:2 38263:13	38192:25 38298:8,11
38331:9	38175:2 38195:12,14	38262:5,20 38267:18	38193:2 38263:13	38192:25 38298:8,11

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

·				
				Page 1
38232:22,22	38144:2,5 38146:24	38186:21 38194:22	38278:13 38287:21	38294:14 38312:23
38233:21,22,25	38147:9,13 38149:15	38204:5,17 38205:3	38295:15 38298:4	38324:6 38339:12,25
38234:2 38238:11	38149:18,21,23,24	38205:15 38206:3	38308:20 38316:13	38340:6,10 38342:8
38240:5,5,7,7	38153:2 38155:5	38268:10 38273:3	38323:7,9,14	38344:9,11,18
38242:4,4 38247:25	38157:22 38177:15	38277:23 38286:12	38327:18	prices 38294:14,16
38247:25 38248:22	38177:18 38186:4	38297:6 38301:21	prepares 38270:22	38311:11
38248:23 38254:23	38188:5 38197:10	38311:11 38319:13	preparing 38255:4	prima 38138:14
38255:7 38256:18	38198:16 38199:10	38325:13,16 38327:9	38305:18 38324:16	38296:2 38297:4
38257:6,7 38259:24	38205:8 38208:7,19	38344:7 38366:23	prerogative 38207:2	primarily 38231:9
38260:2,5,8 38261:3	38215:13 38218:16	38367:14	38217:1,21	38256:19
38261:12,15,24	38220:8 38222:5	positions 38177:21	prescribed 38222:1	primary 38239:19
38262:6 38266:4	38227:18 38240:2	possibilities 38229:5	38319:18	38266:2 38352:8
38271:14,15,15	38248:18 38249:9	possibility 38366:22	presence 38128:18	principally 38221:22
		38367:9	38162:8 38192:15	
38273:12,13	38253:21,22			<b>principle</b> 38346:6
38286:19 38292:1	38254:13 38259:3,4	<b>possible</b> 38131:17	38241:10	print 38127:9
38294:14,16	38287:20,22 38297:2	38142:17 38171:12	present 38128:12,13,15	printed 38127:11
38295:12 38298:18	38297:7,9 38313:19	38177:8 38185:24	38129:2 38133:7,13	38178:22 38256:4
38298:18,19 38299:7	38313:20,24	38190:2 38208:14	38134:23 38192:19	printer 38127:10
38312:23 38323:20	38318:14 38319:14	38222:7 38297:6	38234:18 38284:7	printers 38222:22
38324:6,12 38333:6	38329:22,23	38338:23,25 38361:7	38297:23 38309:2	<b>prior</b> 38208:20 38215:8
38342:8,17 38343:3	38334:10 38339:22	possibly 38188:21,21	38312:17 38329:11	38252:2 38259:23
38344:8,11,18	38340:14 38341:20	38189:18,25	presentation 38220:6,9	38265:1 38272:21
38346:18,21,25	38347:23 38349:2	38194:17,18	38236:5 38297:19	priority 38315:4
38348:3,8,11	38358:21,23	38195:18 38237:5	38316:25 38324:23	38353:9
Platinum's 38273:15	38363:14	38238:15 38301:22	presentations 38128:5	private 38222:11
38298:22	pointed 38206:3	post 38137:12	38128:8,10,14,20	38286:16 38309:12
<b>Plats</b> 38284:17	38314:16	38335:25	38220:4	38334:3
<b>play</b> 38351:9	<b>pointer</b> 38245:25	<b>postpone</b> 38364:17	presented 38140:10	privy 38190:9
<b>PLC</b> 38232:9 38240:3,6	pointing 38197:4	38366:16	38150:25 38154:11	38191:13
38240:15,17,21,22,25	38198:14	post-dated 38134:21	38190:7 38191:1	probability 38212:9
38241:3,15,17,20	points 38204:2,22	potential 38349:8,13	38216:3 38306:23	probably 38194:2
38242:3,6,8,9,25	38227:11 38249:22	potentially 38306:8	38359:25 38363:11	38206:16 38208:15
38243:5 38244:15,19	38290:11 38341:21	38314:17	presently 38356:21	38213:18,19 38229:9
38245:12 38246:19	<b>police</b> 38152:4,4,10	practical 38179:13	preservation 38249:9	38229:16 38247:10
	38160:20 38161:5,7			
38247:5,20 38249:20		<b>practice</b> 38137:7	press 38192:18	38257:3 38266:11
38249:21,24 38250:9	38161:10,12 38162:8	38216:6 38217:15,22	38214:15,17,19,20	38269:15 38282:12
38250:13,14,17,25	38162:12,14,16,21,21	38230:7	38215:7,23 38275:20	38295:11 38304:18
38251:19,23 38252:4	38173:5 38184:19,20	practitioners 38367:13	38276:2	38309:21 38326:14
38253:24 38259:23	38185:3,11 38190:6	38367:21	pressures 38227:16	38334:1 38336:16
38260:6,7,15	38190:21 38191:17	precedence 38313:21	presumably 38185:6	38338:7 38357:5
38266:19 38270:12	38191:20 38193:4,21	38313:24	38192:12 38275:12	problem 38139:2
38270:16 38333:16	38194:4 38195:14	precedent 38164:10	38281:3 38306:3	38156:22 38161:10
38333:18,21,23,24	38196:3,7,7 38280:23	38204:10	38345:5 38353:15	38169:6 38172:19
38334:6,8	38280:24 38281:3,10	precedents 38144:13	38355:6	38187:15,23
PLCs 38238:8	38350:7	-		-
		preceding 38215:23	presume 38219:1	38216:16 38243:9
PLC's 38241:10	policemen 38170:15	38216:20	<b>Pretorius</b> 38280:25	38259:15 38269:6
please 38145:10	38215:3	precise 38348:17	pretty 38311:10	38281:21,22
38146:19 38147:23	policies 38220:11,11	precisely 38181:9	38317:11	38282:20 38318:2,10
38155:22 38156:17	policy 38187:20	predictable 38327:12	prevailing 38260:25	38319:1 38320:19
38156:24 38164:13	38250:21	predicted 38326:18	38350:10	38340:16 38366:1
38165:11,16	political 38197:10	38327:9,13	prevented 38140:1	38367:21,22
38166:15 38170:9,20	38199:10	preface 38220:1	38141:3	problems 38264:11
38209:8 38211:8	pony 38325:25	prefer 38127:18	preventing 38140:24	38313:19 38321:19
38214:3 38215:18	poor 38144:4 38271:2	38203:2	previous 38231:12	38323:16
38216:19 38279:19	population 38145:7	preference 38202:25	38255:8 38261:7	proceed 38314:10
38321:10 38330:19	38276:25 38327:4	preferred 38279:1	38266:9	38366:1
		-		
pleased 38341:11	<b>pose</b> 38330:6,8	38316:4	previously 38147:9	proceeded 38152:17
plug 38297:9	posing 38328:18	premise 38253:12	38151:1 38228:7	38314:21
plus 38146:8 38256:21	38329:9 38364:25	preparations 38223:6	38278:8	PROCEEDINGS
38303:14 38314:11	position 38138:10	prepare 38180:1	pre-approved 38314:1	38127:1
plus-minus 38342:9	38144:7 38150:8,10	prepared 38150:1,2	38314:12	process 38265:4
point 38134:17 38135:1	38150:13 38151:16	38155:2 38178:23	pre-marked 38234:25	38281:13 38287:3,8
38137:23 38138:14	38153:24 38159:2	38179:19 38204:12	price 38228:11,16	38287:19 38288:2
			-	
38138:22.22	38164:8 38166:4	38207:11.17 38220.3	38230:4 38233 2.21	00209110029211
38138:22,22	38164:8 38166:4 38177:25 38180:18	38207:11,17 38220:3 38234:10 38235:15	38230:4 38233:2,21 38233:24 38234:2	38289:1 38292:11 38296:17 18 38306:9
38141:21,25	38177:25 38180:18	38234:10 38235:15	38233:24 38234:2	38296:17,18 38306:9

RealTime Transcriptions

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 1
38328:7 38329:16	38208:18,24 38210:1	purely 38349:11,12	38234:12 38263:6	rands 38337:8
38342:13,14	propose 38361:3,7	purpose 38185:2	38302:13 38311:14	range 38233:8
38351:10	proposed 38205:8	38224:19 38256:12	38311:15,15	38291:24
processes 38204:8	proposing 38141:8	38267:3	38317:16 38328:8,11	rapidly 38361:7
38215:19 38216:14	38191:15	purposes 38222:25	38328:16,25	rash 38326:24
proclamation 38239:7	proposition 38130:17	38223:8 38277:14	38329:12 38330:8,10	rate 38238:22 38239:5
38239:7,10	38130:20 38144:20	38284:9 38309:2	38330:17 38331:1,9	38278:8 38327:3
produce 38128:23	38144:21 38145:24	38312:17 38340:19	38331:10 38341:2	38338:15 38342:8
38245:7 38344:1	38147:15,16	pursuant 38258:12	38362:6,20,22	rates 38229:23 38230:7
38347:4,5,6,24	38178:14,16	pursue 38265:6	38363:8 38364:25	38250:12 38344:9,11
produced 38232:22	38196:23 38253:4	<b>push</b> 38283:19	quick 38280:6	38344:16
38233:22 38271:14	38294:9	pushed 38131:8	quickly 38129:20	ratification 38299:2
38285:8 38305:20	prospecting 38242:16	<b>puts</b> 38309:15	38197:14 38250:22	ratio 38229:11
38306:12,20 38356:2 38365:18	38242:20	38323:24	38251:19 <b>quite</b> 38149:8 38235:21	<b>RDO</b> 38129:23 38130:1
product 38233:1	<b>prospective</b> 38314:1 <b>protect</b> 38172:14	<b>putting</b> 38138:5,14 38141:23 38170:11	38239:22,25	38130:5 38205:23 38206:9,23
38342:21	protected 38143:11,15	38176:8 38245:7	38279:16 38295:24	<b>RDOs</b> 38130:12,22
production 38345:8,17	protection 38162:17,21	38295:20 38296:3	38296:4,6 38306:4	38131:8 38132:4
38347:9,24	proud 38274:12	38297:4 38298:6	38310:25 38326:24	38133:8,13 38134:1
productive 38336:6	provide 38220:15,20	38301:15 38304:9	quota 38315:16	38134:18,24
38357:20	38234:5 38270:10	38317:2 38334:13	quoted 38182:19	38185:24 38204:9
products 38259:24	38282:13 38283:17	38335:1	38323:23 38325:22	38206:25 38214:22
38260:5,16,17,19,20	38286:23 38289:20		quoting 38171:1	<b>reach</b> 38349:14
38340:3	38290:3,14 38292:5	Q	38174:13	reached 38189:23
profit 38343:13,16	38292:18 38294:2	quadruple 38219:24		react 38142:22
38345:6 38348:21	38300:1,10 38341:25	qualification 38363:6	R	reacted 38339:4
profitability 38313:21	38353:23 38358:18	qualify 38236:1	racked 38318:9	reaction 38141:22
profitable 38315:5	provided 38219:14	quarter 38188:18	radio 38159:15	38142:9 38145:2,15
profits 38324:4	38222:21 38231:18	38189:3,20 38237:19	38214:9 38216:19	38146:2 38163:6
program 38321:9,11	38231:20 38260:1,21	38340:17,23	radius 38276:7 38277:1	read 38164:18 38166:9
programme 38284:23	38276:11 38278:4,5	38367:25	38356:18	38183:15 38197:19
38284:24 38285:3	38278:14,19 38279:4	queries 38270:23	<b>raise</b> 38133:5 38143:14	38216:21 38226:14
38290:10 38322:7	38279:11,19	<b>query</b> 38230:18	38145:18 38148:17	38227:6 38243:13
38327:19 38332:4	38361:13	question 38131:14	38180:11 38264:13	38254:12 38273:22
38333:10	Provident 38221:17	38141:20 38146:22	38290:22 38363:8,14	38273:23,25 38274:1
progress 38239:10	38223:11	38147:20 38148:2,4,7	raised 38135:24	38275:4 38281:24
38313:8	provides 38221:23	38148:8,9 38149:7,9	38147:24 38167:20	38282:7 38299:21
progressive 38230:14	38283:21	38149:17,24,24	38197:2 38198:11	38301:12 38305:9
project 38287:16	providing 38259:5	38150:9 38155:23	38199:16,17,17	38311:9 38347:17
38291:18,19,20	38349:7 38354:16	38156:12,24,25	38216:23 38233:15	38350:2 38351:8
38295:9 38303:16,17	38357:22 38360:17	38163:24 38164:17	38295:8 38361:3,20	38359:9
38303:18,21,22	provincial 38152:6,10	38169:6 38171:1,3	38363:9	reading 38132:18
38304:23 38307:22	38173:25 38176:18	38181:8 38182:1	raises 38230:18	38170:4,19 38218:6
38307:22,23,23,24	38182:7 38183:16	38205:20 38206:1,22	raising 38134:19	38277:8
38308:11 projected 38265:7	38191:14 38192:14 38192:18 38194:7,12	38212:24 38217:6	38148:23 Bamanhosa 38177:20	reads 38211:10
projects 38287:24	38192:18 38194:7,12 38197:23 38200:6	38249:19 38254:19 38266:9 38271:13	<b>Ramaphosa</b> 38177:20 38192:2,7	<b>ready</b> 38127:16 38129:5 38265:12
38291:17,21 38304:2	provision 38229:22	38278:1 38291:24	ramp 38347:9,23	real 38236:7,18
prolonged 38343:8	38284:10 38303:18	38293:17 38296:25	38348:3	38296:5,10 38298:7
promise 38218:20	38303:24 38308:11	38298:7 38310:5,6,19	<b>Ramphele</b> 38202:11,21	38332:16
promised 38218:21	38322:6 38324:17	38311:12 38318:4,17	38202:24 38203:1,2,2	realise 38313:6
38280:23	38354:18	38320:13 38321:2,21	38210:5,8,10,13,17	38326:6
promises 38284:13	prudent 38252:6	38322:4 38328:19	38210:18 38211:1,6	realised 38213:1
38313:6	38323:21	38329:3,4,5,6	38211:21 38212:1,7	38284:23 38311:1
promote 38169:22	Pty 38242:13 38251:5	38341:24 38344:20	38212:13 38213:12	38330:21 38341:11
proofread 38197:20,21	38251:14,14	38345:20 38358:17	38213:22	realises 38353:16
proper 38184:14	public 38168:17	38361:2 38364:15	ramping 38346:22	really 38134:6
38353:17	38214:6 38305:22	38365:3,9 38366:21	38347:11,14	38141:20 38142:11
properly 38132:10	publicly 38152:4	questioning 38181:7	rand 38244:16	38143:23 38148:21
38237:4 38317:24	published 38226:11	38270:23	38246:12 38249:16	38149:23 38183:19
properties 38228:24	pulled 38297:9	questions 38127:4,7	38249:16 38254:11	38197:7 38198:25
property 38227:24	purchase 38230:4	38157:20 38181:1	38254:19 38286:22	38199:8 38201:14
	38252:3 38260:18	38182:3,6 38198:17	38292:4,13 38294:24	38215:15 38240:3
38258:1				
proportion 38275:14	38283:15,22	38199:17 38202:4,13	38303:12 38327:5	38256:15 38265:3,13
<b>proportion</b> 38275:14 <b>proposal</b> 38153:13	38283:15,22 38284:21 38336:1 <b>3</b>	38199:17 38202:4,13 38202:19 38207:9	38335:20 38338:22	38300:16 38322:4
proportion 38275:14	38283:15,22 38284:21 38336:13 purchased 38227:17	38199:17 38202:4,13		

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

				Page 20
38355:17 38359:6,8	recoup 38288:11,13,20	refusal 38139:16,16,22	release 38183:5,12	38166:15 38182:6
38359:20	38288:21	refused 38139:13	38186:4 38211:10	repeatedly 38136:10
reams 38329:12,12	recover 38289:7	38159:3 38265:17	releases 38185:22	rephrase 38148:3,9
reason 38143:17	38348:14	regard 38128:6	relevant 38157:19	38149:8 38172:3
38153:25 38155:9	recovered 38234:1,2	38147:16 38188:15	38226:2 38241:24,25	rephrased 38148:1
38206:15 38207:5	38289:8 38316:15	38189:19 38205:7	38289:19 38290:2,12	rephrasing 38149:7
38208:23 38217:25	recovery 38348:21	38217:4 38286:22	38297:11 38306:21	replace 38197:11
38220:14 38229:19	recreation 38284:10	38292:4 38300:3	38321:13	38199:2,11
38229:20 38250:9	recruit 38211:22	38327:18 38342:1	religious 38151:12	replicated 38309:15,20
38251:24 38265:16	recruitment 38211:22	38354:2	reluctance 38229:10,25	reply 38224:13 38249:3
38317:6,10	<b>redo</b> 38247:14	<b>regime</b> 38232:17	rely 38299:4 38360:12	38321:19
reasonable 38150:8,13	reduce 38256:1	38263:20	relying 38359:18	report 38131:25
38151:15 38153:24	38312:22	region 38257:3	<b>remain</b> 38186:6	38208:18 38211:12
38261:1 38322:2	reduced 38237:1	registered 38241:4,6	38227:8 38289:11	38211:13,19
38326:5	38264:1 38279:15	38241:10 38259:22	remainder 38229:12	38214:16 38226:4,9
reasonableness	38337:23 38338:5	regret 38170:1	38239:11	38226:11 38227:3,4
38319:11,12	38348:2	Regrettably 38226:15	remained 38185:7	38230:18 38244:4
38341:22	reducing 38336:24	38226:17	remaining 38229:1	38273:22,24 38274:5
reasons 38209:7	reduction 38280:18	regularly 38272:6,14	38303:12	38298:10,13 38299:1
38217:17 38229:11	refer 38132:8 38145:5	regulate 38261:6	remains 38229:4	38299:7,21 38301:5
38263:11,18 38265:7	38153:3 38165:11	regulated 38258:20	38313:5	38305:9,19 38306:4
rebasing 38228:16	38175:25 38176:3	regulator 38306:6	remarks 38219:1	38306:12,19,20
rebuild 38353:10	38203:6 38211:7	regulatory 38352:12	remember 38139:4	38308:21 38311:23
rebuke 38164:12	38226:7,9 38274:6	reimbursing 38288:18	38173:10 38176:20	38312:3 38314:25
recall 38177:4,5	38309:14,18 38354:1	reinforcement 38190:9	38176:21 38196:22	38319:4,5 38321:1
38187:10 38194:17	<b>reference</b> 38137:6	38191:20,23	38197:1,9,24	38329:19 38343:2
38195:1,3 38199:14	38143:1,3,7 38153:12	38192:22	38198:11 38199:9,17	38347:18 38351:3,23
38199:14 38201:23	38163:20 38227:7	reject 38146:13	38201:8,14 38210:21	38354:1,5 38355:5
38207:13 38210:25	38239:4 38244:4	rejected 38144:24	38211:6 38225:15	38356:23
38225:1 38238:24	38245:22 38255:22	38145:1,8 38146:6	38229:15 38251:16	reported 38193:18
38239:11,13 38259:8	38269:2,3 38273:14	38150:20	38257:16 38264:22	38265:6
38264:8,25 38265:11	38286:24 38329:8	rejecting 38145:4	38275:3 38288:16	reporting 38191:22
38306:14 38313:10	38353:25 38354:6	rejection 38146:3	38297:14 38323:22	38214:16
38316:11,25 38317:4	38357:6,7	related 38200:4	38329:17 38335:11	reports 38215:7
recalled 38364:13	referenced 38203:8	38222:24 38223:1	remind 38210:20	38226:7 38238:9
<b>receive</b> 38157:14	references 38285:24	38233:21 38256:22	38225:16 38314:23	38305:1 38320:10,21
38231:2	38308:21,25	38258:3,23 38266:21	remiss 38159:1	38320:22
received 38141:25	referred 38153:3	38266:22	remove 38261:1	report-back 38226:13
38147:21,21	38163:17 38215:22	relates 38203:17	rendered 38231:3	represent 38210:19
38150:14 38158:17	38218:5 38224:3	38239:7 38277:20	renegotiated 38272:11	representation
38191:21 38227:2	38254:17 38269:16	38303:14 38361:2	renewal 38272:15	38128:19
38280:21,23	38325:20 38342:1	relating 38219:13	rent 38229:16	representative
receiving 38211:14	referring 38166:7	38363:15	38292:24 38295:5	38358:21
38278:10 38328:16	38174:3,24 38176:11	relation 38128:23	38315:14 38316:1,4	representatives
reception 38147:20,22	38225:25 38238:13	38135:9 38147:12	38316:13	38204:1 38209:6
38150:10,14	38239:8 38269:15	38152:24 38154:25	rental 38227:21	38210:3 38222:12
recognised 38220:10	38305:13	38158:25,25	38228:13,22	representing 38241:15
38220:13 38310:12	refers 38177:3 38201:9	38166:17 38210:20	38238:23 38279:1	reproduced 38165:15
38333:10 38349:22	38249:20 38254:15	38221:21 38240:11	38283:15,23	repudiated 38293:8
38350:22	38352:22	38253:21,24	38284:21 38288:17	38311:24
recognises 38351:21	refiners 38260:20	38256:17 38260:16	38288:20 38289:7	repudiating 38317:17
38353:2	refinery 38232:23	38271:10 38285:23	38292:7,10 38295:3	38318:18
recognising 38355:17	38258:2 38259:4	38319:6 38360:13	38315:25 38316:1,16	repudiation 38312:3
recollection 38133:19	reflect 38262:17	38362:5,22 38363:12	38316:17,23 38317:7	request 38131:3
38133:20 38134:5	38267:7 38299:8	38363:25 38365:14	38318:13	38141:25 38271:4,7
38186:18 38200:20	38309:8	relations 38186:7	rentals 38239:6	requested 38204:11
reconsider 38301:21	reflected 38185:21	38196:13 38306:6	38288:13,15	38328:13
record 38128:1	38262:18,20,25	38351:10	rented 38227:17	require 38350:16
38180:11 38194:10	38263:3 38264:18	relationship 38140:8	38232:10 38236:24	required 38129:7
38209:10 38214:12	38266:24,25 38268:1	38161:15 38168:19	38291:3,4 38316:14	38139:10 38205:5
				20226.10 20207.11
38226:15 38239:4	38269:12,22	38260:13 38264:17	rent-to-buy 38292:7,11	38226:18 38287:11
38285:23 38326:3	38269:12,22 reflecting 38308:2	38292:13 38294:23	reopen 38137:16	38290:7,8,10 38291:8
38285:23 38326:3 38357:7	38269:12,22 reflecting 38308:2 reflection 38359:6	38292:13 38294:23 38350:25 38351:21	<b>reopen</b> 38137:16 38143:5 38364:16	38290:7,8,10 38291:8 38291:15,19
38285:23 38326:3 38357:7 recording 38365:14	38269:12,22 reflecting 38308:2	38292:13 38294:23 38350:25 38351:21 38352:23 38353:3,9	<b>reopen</b> 38137:16 38143:5 38364:16 <b>repay</b> 38331:20	38290:7,8,10 38291:8 38291:15,19 <b>requirement</b> 38227:19
38285:23 38326:3 38357:7 recording 38365:14 records 38190:18	38269:12,22 reflecting 38308:2 reflection 38359:6 reflects 38310:11,19 38356:23	38292:13 38294:23 38350:25 38351:21 38352:23 38353:3,9 38353:17,22	reopen 38137:16 38143:5 38364:16 repay 38331:20 repayments 38295:3	38290:7,8,10 38291:8 38291:15,19 requirement 38227:19 requirements 38228:17
38285:23 38326:3 38357:7 recording 38365:14	38269:12,22 reflecting 38308:2 reflection 38359:6 reflects 38310:11,19 38356:23 refresh 38267:13	38292:13 38294:23 38350:25 38351:21 38352:23 38353:3,9	<b>reopen</b> 38137:16 38143:5 38364:16 <b>repay</b> 38331:20	38290:7,8,10 38291:8 38291:15,19 <b>requirement</b> 38227:19

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
requires 38250:25	38327:20,21	rising 38227:11	<b>R12</b> 38136:2,15,17	38195:1 38198:6
res 38307:5	resuscitate 38136:18	38294:14	38163:12	38200:16,23
research 38231:6	retail 38284:10	risk 38233:4,11	<b>R138</b> 38302:1,11	38201:24 38359:13
reserves 38250:3	38288:6	38256:16,19	<b>R143</b> 38221:9 38222:24	38359:14,16,22,22,25
resolution 38220:15	retain 38289:6	38257:24 38258:10	<b>R18</b> 38245:16	38360:2,3,5,7,7,20
resolve 38144:9,11	retained 38244:13	38258:13,15	<b>R2</b> 38221:6,13 38223:4	SARS 38233:13,15
38163:25 38185:4	38291:14	38316:21 38317:13	<b>R2.089</b> 38325:6	38267:4
38205:4,15 38206:4	retracted 38215:6	38332:5 38334:12	<b>R2.5</b> 38246:2	sat 38330:13
resolved 38137:10	retrench 38315:8	38335:1	<b>R200</b> 38229:9 38257:23	satisfied 38148:8
38140:16 38220:19	retroactive 38268:10	risks 38232:24,25	38316:12,20	Saturday 38211:16
	return 38184:5,24,25	38233:1,5,6 38258:6	<b>R25</b> 38230:10 38338:20	Saturdays 38347:17,20
resolving 38150:11				
38367:22	38185:18,25 38186:2	38258:6,7,7 38317:9	<b>R250</b> 38288:16	save 38315:4 38344:6
resource 38331:13	38186:10,13,15,20	rival 38170:16 38171:8	38337:18	38348:17
resources 38181:14,17	38188:10 38190:13	38211:15	<b>R300</b> 38229:9 38337:9	saved 38346:10
38225:11 38232:3	38296:7 38348:7	rivalry 38168:4,8,17	38338:16	saving 38144:15
38234:4 38237:2	returned 38313:21	38171:22 38172:19	<b>R35</b> 38348:13	savings 38342:23
38248:22 38313:23	review 38313:17	<b>RMB</b> 38294:25	<b>R4.5</b> 38324:12	saw 38155:10 38274:12
38319:16 38320:7	38321:9,10	38296:20 38297:2,7,8	<b>R5</b> 38229:3 38295:11	38305:18 38355:6
respect 38141:11,14	reviewed 38272:6,8,14	38297:9	38336:7	38356:2
38159:2 38215:22	38310:5	rock 38133:22 38186:5	<b>R50</b> 38342:4	saying 38129:22
38243:10 38244:22	revised 38174:2,18	38203:18 38217:18	<b>R500</b> 38228:14	38130:11 38132:14
38251:6 38257:24	38204:11 38245:7	38279:6,8 38358:4	<b>R6</b> 38228:21	38132:14,21 38137:6
38261:11,17 38268:5	38313:3,8	role 38231:1	<b>R62</b> 38228:12 38229:8	38140:22,25 38142:3
38273:8 38277:9	revision 38204:13	roll 38230:14 38308:14		
			<b>R700</b> 38216:24	38144:10,14,17
38311:25 38313:18	re-establish 38350:24	rolled 38231:23	<b>R800</b> 38238:24 38239:6	38145:13 38146:1,11
38317:19 38319:20	38351:22	room 38218:23	38246:18 38278:9	38149:4 38155:19
38341:20	re-examination	38237:7 38278:9	<b>R82</b> 38245:17	38162:11 38163:24
respectively 38278:10	38202:22 38213:24	38316:18 38365:2	<b>R9</b> 38221:20 38223:14	38166:10 38167:3
respond 38132:6	38214:1 38234:11	root 38172:19	38335:19	38169:25 38171:2,17
38135:1 38186:19	38341:2	Rough 38346:15		38172:17,18
38271:5 38308:16	rid 38196:25	roughly 38246:18	S	38185:20 38186:19
38309:13 38319:23	ride 38140:19	38348:23	sacrifices 38331:17	38194:22 38201:24
38320:4 38322:16	right 38152:9 38153:10	round 38129:19	sad 38294:7	38205:14 38208:18
38332:7,13	38155:6 38172:20	38144:6 38177:15	Sadly 38228:24	38210:13 38215:15
responded 38186:18	38181:12,25	38194:10 38197:22	safe 38184:6,15,21,25	38216:24 38220:2
respondents 38314:19	38182:15 38184:1	<b>Roux</b> 38180:9,10,17,21	38186:13,14 38188:8	38259:14 38269:21
-			·	
responding 38166:25	38191:4 38209:2,20	38180:25 38181:5,11	38188:10 38190:13	38270:13 38301:5,10
38249:22	38211:2 38219:9	<b>Rover</b> 38359:6	38193:3 38352:9	38304:6 38317:4
responds 38130:17	38226:5 38236:10	row 38243:16,23	38353:1	38321:1 38323:13
response 38217:4	38238:25 38240:23	38245:25 38247:17	safeguarded 38160:21	38348:1
38224:12 38249:17	38242:5,14,16,16,19	38247:19 38333:3	safety 38184:13	says 38127:25
38250:1 38253:5,14	38242:20 38243:1,6	rows 38243:14 38245:9	38334:25 38352:11	38132:12,25
38298:6 38309:12	38245:13 38254:18	<b>rule</b> 38343:3 38361:4,8	salaries 38158:6,7	38135:18,19 38136:9
38335:14	38267:5 38268:20	38361:11	38206:25	38138:8,9 38139:7,8
responsibilities	38271:16,17	ruled 38181:6	sale 38251:23,23,25	38139:8 38165:16
38258:5	38275:22 38279:23	rules 38216:13	38256:18 38258:17	38166:8 38172:23
responsibility 38162:12	38280:2 38282:10,12	ruling 38180:14	38260:16,17 38292:7	38174:6 38183:22
38229:25	38286:10,19 38289:9	38328:10,13 38331:4	38315:16	38185:17 38186:4
responsible 38177:21	38289:10 38290:18	38359:4,6,10	sales 38230:21	38194:19 38207:15
38187:19,22	38300:13,13 38301:8	38361:17	38231:23 38232:1,1	38215:13,14
38229:21,22 38259:5	38303:19 38317:14	rumours 38197:3	38232:15 38240:11	
				38216:20,22
38329:3	38319:17 38324:3	38198:13,17	38251:11,12	38243:16 38248:13
rest 38146:15 38257:21	38330:7 38331:23	run 38355:19	38255:13 38256:9,17	38248:20 38250:5
restatement 38268:12	38343:5 38344:17	running 38210:16	38256:21 38259:22	38259:9,17 38269:14
	38347:11,21,22	38257:11,22	38259:25 38260:1	38274:14 38276:22
restrain 38149:2	000F1 10 000FF 1 F	38263:14 38280:15	38261:4,8 38262:16	38276:24,24 38279:3
Restructuring	38351:18 38357:1,5			
Restructuring 38313:20	rightly 38324:25	38366:4	38263:1 38266:18,25	38287:8 38289:15
Restructuring			38263:1 38266:18,25 38269:9,9,11,11,15	38287:838289:15 38290:1738292:10
Restructuring 38313:20	rightly 38324:25	38366:4		
Restructuring 38313:20 result 38142:17	rightly 38324:25 rights 38178:18	38366:4 <b>runs</b> 38183:7 38351:2	38269:9,9,11,11,15	38290:17 38292:10
Restructuring 38313:20 result 38142:17 38166:12 38211:17 38220:3 38280:14	rightly 38324:25 rights 38178:18 38225:12 38242:24 38265:10 38293:19	38366:4 <b>runs</b> 38183:7 38351:2 <b>Rustenburg</b> 38140:19 38142:15	38269:9,9,11,11,15 38269:16,17,21,23 38270:3 38271:13	38290:17 38292:10 38292:23 38299:25 38299:25 38300:20
Restructuring 38313:20 result 38142:17 38166:12 38211:17 38220:3 38280:14 38313:2 38315:7	rightly 38324:25 rights 38178:18 38225:12 38242:24 38265:10 38293:19 38293:19,20 38294:9	38366:4 <b>runs</b> 38183:7 38351:2 <b>Rustenburg</b> 38140:19 38142:15 <b>R1</b> 38239:6 38278:10	38269:9,9,11,11,15 38269:16,17,21,23 38270:3 38271:13 38272:18,20,23,24	38290:17 38292:10 38292:23 38299:25 38299:25 38300:20 38301:8 38302:14,22
Restructuring 38313:20 result 38142:17 38166:12 38211:17 38220:3 38280:14 38313:2 38315:7 38317:21 38356:3	rightly 38324:25 rights 38178:18 38225:12 38242:24 38265:10 38293:19 38293:19,20 38294:9 38296:9,18 38315:9	38366:4 <b>runs</b> 38183:7 38351:2 <b>Rustenburg</b> 38140:19 38142:15 <b>R1</b> 38239:6 38278:10 38278:11,11	38269:9,9,11,11,15 38269:16,17,21,23 38270:3 38271:13 38272:18,20,23,24 SAPS 38183:22	38290:17 38292:10 38292:23 38299:25 38299:25 38300:20 38301:8 38302:14,22 38302:24,25 38308:4
Restructuring 38313:20 result 38142:17 38166:12 38211:17 38220:3 38280:14 38313:2 38315:7 38317:21 38356:3 resulting 38226:22	rightly 38324:25 rights 38178:18 38225:12 38242:24 38265:10 38293:19 38293:19,20 38294:9 38296:9,18 38315:9 38323:3,19 38324:2	38366:4 <b>runs</b> 38183:7 38351:2 <b>Rustenburg</b> 38140:19 38142:15 <b>R1</b> 38239:6 38278:10 38278:11,11 <b>R1.2</b> 38256:8	38269:9,9,11,11,15 38269:16,17,21,23 38270:3 38271:13 38272:18,20,23,24 <b>SAPS</b> 38183:22 38184:3,6 38186:24	38290:17 38292:10 38292:23 38299:25 38299:25 38300:20 38301:8 38302:14,22 38302:24,25 38308:4 38319:16 38350:4
Restructuring 38313:20 result 38142:17 38166:12 38211:17 38220:3 38280:14 38313:2 38315:7 38317:21 38356:3 resulting 38226:22 resumes 38127:2	rightly 38324:25 rights 38178:18 38225:12 38242:24 38265:10 38293:19 38293:19,20 38294:9 38296:9,18 38315:9 38323:3,19 38324:2 38325:10 38340:21	38366:4 <b>runs</b> 38183:7 38351:2 <b>Rustenburg</b> 38140:19 38142:15 <b>R1</b> 38239:6 38278:10 38278:11,11 <b>R1.2</b> 38256:8 <b>R1.5</b> 38295:13	38269:9,9,11,11,15 38269:16,17,21,23 38270:3 38271:13 38272:18,20,23,24 <b>SAPS</b> 38183:22 38184:3,6 38186:24 38187:1 38188:22,24	38290:17 38292:10 38292:23 38299:25 38299:25 38300:20 38301:8 38302:14,22 38302:24,25 38308:4 38319:16 38350:4 38351:14 38353:10
Restructuring 38313:20 result 38142:17 38166:12 38211:17 38220:3 38280:14 38313:2 38315:7 38317:21 38356:3 resulting 38226:22	rightly 38324:25 rights 38178:18 38225:12 38242:24 38265:10 38293:19 38293:19,20 38294:9 38296:9,18 38315:9 38323:3,19 38324:2	38366:4 <b>runs</b> 38183:7 38351:2 <b>Rustenburg</b> 38140:19 38142:15 <b>R1</b> 38239:6 38278:10 38278:11,11 <b>R1.2</b> 38256:8	38269:9,9,11,11,15 38269:16,17,21,23 38270:3 38271:13 38272:18,20,23,24 <b>SAPS</b> 38183:22 38184:3,6 38186:24	38290:17 38292:10 38292:23 38299:25 38299:25 38300:20 38301:8 38302:14,22 38302:24,25 38308:4 38319:16 38350:4

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 2
scanners 38222:22	38256:25 38273:24	38267:9 38275:1,2	38249:10 38253:23	signs 38175:22,23
scared 38153:20	38273:24 38321:20	38315:1 38323:8	38254:15 38315:9	38254:24 38327:6
38159:20 38162:20	sections 38274:5	38324:7 38360:14	38322:11 38325:7,9	silk 38280:5
scenario 38298:1,1	38321:13	38361:11 38368:1	38333:22 38336:17	<b>similar</b> 38186:4
scene 38360:3,3	sector 38197:11	sequence 38225:8	38336:20,22 38339:4	38231:13 38236:16
schedule 38222:10	38199:1,11 38350:11	sequences 38308:17	38339:6 38350:16,24	38292:17 38293:5
38223:9,11,16,20,23	secure 38314:12	series 38238:8	38355:14,19	38295:9 38309:16
38255:17 38285:7	security 38187:15,23	serious 38170:1	shareholding 38231:20	similarly 38250:15
schedules 38223:10	38191:22	38177:20 38239:25	<b>shares</b> 38339:12,15,18	<b>simple</b> 38141:4
38246:22	Seedat's 38304:22	seriously 38335:7	38339:24	38147:19 38291:1,6
scheme 38217:23	seeks 38276:3	serves 38228:9,13	<b>sheet</b> 38250:13	38320:14
38228:18,20 38316:5	seen 38196:3 38230:8	38231:24	38252:15,20,21	simply 38144:6
38365:9	38233:8 38239:1	service 38231:2	38294:23	38150:9 38227:6
school 38220:22	38262:16	38325:11 38333:24	<b>shift</b> 38162:12,13	38365:3 38366:16
38221:25 38222:11	sees 38353:8	serviced 38333:19	38186:2,11 38243:11	Sinclair 38190:22
38222:13	select 38292:8	services 38223:7	38322:1	38191:22 38193:16
schooling 38228:1	sell 38288:11 38339:12	38227:16 38228:18	<b>shooting</b> 38312:14	38193:21 38194:3,8
schools 38222:16	38347:1	38230:20 38231:1,18	38360:13,20	single 38190:6
science 38348:4	selling 38336:18	38231:19,19 38232:1	shootings 38142:16	38231:15 38235:22
scope 38363:1	sells 38233:4	38234:4 38235:24	38275:13 38359:14	38237:7 38238:23
Scotsman 38138:8	Semenya 38281:7	38240:12,14,18,20	<b>shop</b> 38315:3 38319:24	38245:19 38278:9
screen 38198:10	38317:23 38320:4	38256:16 38260:6,21	short 38145:3 38151:11	38299:14 38316:18
38211:8 38239:23	send 38210:24	38266:24 38273:9	38151:23 38169:16	38320:22 38321:1
38248:13 38302:17	sending 38227:23	38276:8,15 38277:2	shortage 38227:14	38325:24 38352:20
38312:22 38333:2	sends 38193:11	38277:23	38281:15 38286:9	38353:14
38351:7 38354:12	senior 38128:18	session 38188:22,24	38354:21,24 38355:7	sink 38335:20
scroll 38259:21	38190:21	38194:8 38237:15	<b>shorten</b> 38247:10	sir 38129:17 38153:11
38266:21,21	seniority 38128:13	38340:17	shortly 38188:25	38287:14 38312:8
38267:16 38268:18	sense 38159:2 38172:16	set 38128:8 38164:10	38221:8 38224:12	38316:10 38324:9
38268:21,22,22,23	38240:12 38252:4	38204:10 38220:25	<b>shot</b> 38359:23	38327:1 38328:21
38269:8 38307:22	38263:16 38265:6	38221:19 38223:8,16	shots 38360:2	38330:5 38335:11,17
38332:25 38333:5	38269:14 38294:10	38223:19 38228:7	shottists 38360:9	38337:16,25 38347:2
38352:6	38296:12 38317:24	38230:22 38241:19	<b>shouldn't</b> 38133:14	38348:9 38356:4
scrutiny 38233:12,12	38336:10	38263:12 38268:11	38135:19 38142:15	38366:10
sea 38364:23	sensible 38179:4	38290:9,11 38299:13	38156:22 38302:3	sit 38140:9 38340:22
search 38236:7	38323:24 38324:2	38308:17 38312:13	38361:19	38364:1 38366:6
seasoned 38131:15	38334:22	38321:11	<b>show</b> 38165:1 38194:7	sits 38252:15
38142:5	sensitive 38146:16	sets 38223:11 38244:5	38244:3,3 38247:19	sitting 38208:12
seated 38225:20	sent 38163:18 38185:16	setting 38192:2	38267:19,22,24	38366:18
second 38127:7	38192:1 38268:1	settle 38183:24	38279:20,21 38285:9	situation 38140:14
38176:19 38186:4	38297:15,16	settlement 38239:6	38286:7 38314:15	38144:8,10,11
38194:20 38197:20	38306:10 38323:12	38278:18 38294:7	38323:14 38340:5	38152:2,8,11 38163:9
38197:21 38206:21	sentence 38167:1	settlements 38227:15	showed 38132:3	38165:3 38168:22
38223:16 38226:24	38277:8 38289:23	38238:20 38273:19	38169:19 38317:1	38177:7,11 38183:25
38229:18,20	38290:17 38299:12	38274:22 38275:6	38332:23 38365:15	38184:15 38185:4
38230:20 38237:14	sentences 38185:23	38311:7 38349:14	<b>shown</b> 38340:4	38196:25 38206:4
38243:23 38262:2	sentiment 38168:25	seven 38170:14	shows 38268:9	38213:17 38282:18
38277:24 38280:21	38188:1	38171:8 38172:1,1	38270:11 38283:19	38298:3 38315:11
38310:5,6 38313:19	Seoka 38151:2	severely 38312:24	<b>shut</b> 38315:3	38317:11 38320:16
38315:9 38317:17	separate 38179:13	sex 38352:21 38353:14	side 38200:6 38243:13	38321:16 38324:5
38322:2 38329:23	38199:19,21 38204:9	shack 38238:24	sides 38282:8	38366:14
38350:3 38352:11	38227:1 38240:15	shacks 38294:6	sideways 38350:2	situations 38140:24
38359:16 38366:10	38241:12,14,16,19	shaft 38335:18,24	sign 38177:8	six 38174:19 38189:22
secondly 38140:7	38244:15 38256:23	shafts 38315:8	signatories 38137:15	38204:14 38308:18
38143:9 38167:14	38273:10,12 38282:6	share 38236:17	38140:6,15	38352:8 38359:12
seconds 38188:19,23	38302:5,24 38308:20	38245:20,22 38295:9	signed 38260:11	sixth 38360:18
38189:2,21,22,22	38308:25 38309:5	38339:12,24 38340:6	38261:4 38306:20	six-monthly 38289:17
second-in-command	38317:16 38318:17	38340:10 38342:2	38310:19	38290:1
38133:16	38319:3	shared 38164:9	significant 38276:9,14	size 38336:21
second-last 38283:10	separately 38241:20	38351:12	38276:19 38277:3,5	sketch 38230:25
secretarial 38231:21	38302:5 38312:16	shareholder 38242:8	38277:17,21	skimmed 38274:3
38232:2	September 38127:1	38251:10 38266:10	38294:18 38313:25	<b>sky</b> 38139:24
section 38227:5	38233:23 38244:11	38336:21	38314:21	sleight 38303:25
38228:8 38247:24	38246:11 38261:11	shareholders 38226:11	significantly 38233:2	slightly 38142:24
38248:21,23	38261:18,21 38262:1	38243:16,18,24	38234:1,3	38282:18 38340:13
38253:12,14	38262:4 38265:9	38244:2,15,23	signing 38309:25	<b>SLP</b> 38240:2 38243:2
56255.12,14	50202.4 50205.7	50277.2,15,25	51511115 50507.25	<b>DEL 302 10.2 302 13.2</b>

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 23
38281:18,24 38282:1	sounded 38149:14	38280:15	started 38128:22	stock 38279:16
38282:19 38283:19	sounded 38149:14 sounds 38143:1	sport 38284:10	38156:11 38161:16	38297:25 38314:24
38286:18,25	38179:4 38340:13	spread 38227:15	38209:22 38235:25	38316:17,24
38291:25 38293:8,21	38357:1	spreadsheet 38310:2	38246:7 38281:13	38339:25
38299:25,25 38300:9	source 38245:10	spreadsheets 38309:17	38296:17 38297:19	stockpiles 38342:13
38301:8,12,12,22	38312:2 38333:17	spurned 38151:14	38314:5 38323:10	stop 38127:7 38143:20
38302:15 38304:15	sources 38220:24	squalid 38278:2	38324:21 38342:19	38144:12 38150:22
38308:13 38310:17	38221:1	38353:18 38355:2	starter 38297:20	38216:25 38253:18
38311:9,16,19,24	South 38214:6	38357:17	38324:24	38263:7 38266:22,22
38312:3 38318:19	38231:10 38240:4,7	squeeze 38280:16	starting 38215:9	38266:22 38296:21
38320:21,23 38321:5	38240:21,22 38241:2	squeezed 38328:9	38226:14 38253:13	38299:11 38307:5
38322:8 38323:2	38241:7,10,10,15	<b>SSSS</b> 38255:20	38344:12	38308:11 38330:15
38332:2,19 38336:19	38242:6,12 38243:20	SSSS2 38225:25	starts 38183:7	38358:25
<b>SLPs</b> 38282:5,7	38333:17 38350:10	38239:19 38247:14	38197:23 38248:1	stopped 38163:19
38284:22 38293:2	38354:22	38248:1 38282:24	38323:4	stops 38297:1
38296:14	sparked 38215:2	38283:6 38285:24	state 38222:17	story 38145:3 38151:11
<b>slum</b> 38357:16	<b>speak</b> 38130:23	38287:2 38299:10	38259:18,19	<b>straddle</b> 38243:12
small 38131:17,22	38131:7 38142:4	38312:4 38351:4,5,23	38366:15	straight 38325:9
38182:9 38225:24	38145:24 38152:6,20	SSSS4 38234:22	stated 38203:24,24	strange 38190:17
38229:3 38348:14	38155:20 38163:15	38235:3 38238:2,6,8	38204:22 38214:21	38196:23 38307:16
<b>snipers</b> 38173:9	38195:17 38201:6	38244:4 38255:17,18	38215:25 38222:14	38308:1 38309:10
38174:10,23 38175:8	38234:13 38239:12	38283:1 38354:4,5	statement 38153:12	strategic 38352:8
social 38286:13	38245:3,6 38291:17	<b>SSSS5</b> 38235:3 38238:2	38155:17 38165:4,7	38353:6
38306:4 38319:18	38291:21 38299:5	38238:7,9,10 38239:5	38166:15,16,22	strategy 38334:14
38320:8 38349:8	speaking 38127:25	38245:23 38246:23	38170:17 38172:13	strictly 38146:14
38352:13,18,22,25	38146:3 38152:22	38255:20 38259:18	38172:15 38176:3,9	strike 38134:11,12
38353:12 38354:14	38257:9,17	38266:7,20 38269:7	38178:6,7,8 38183:8	38137:9 38143:7,11
38356:23	speaks 38310:8	38275:19 38286:2	38183:13 38184:11	38143:15 38152:15
society 38350:13	<b>special</b> 38139:17,23	38332:23 38340:4	38201:16 38214:5,13	38164:9 38168:3,8,16
soft 38327:11,12	38174:11 38175:11	38349:25 38357:8	38214:15,17,20,20	38171:20 38172:10
38332:16 sold 38228:11 38271:15	38203:18 38267:3 specialised 38174:11	<b>SSSS6</b> 38235:6,7,13	38215:4,6,8,14	38172:12,13 38184:6 38190:17 38212:22
38336:7,10 38339:15	specific 38174:4	<b>SSS4</b> 38244:5 <b>stack</b> 38237:4	38217:8 38218:2 38246:23 38249:7	38190.17 38212.22
sole 38251:10	38319:3	stacked 38236:12	38250:5 38253:8	38212.25 38213.1,2,5 38213.1,2,5 38213.8 38340:2,3,7
solicit 38205:10	specifically 38128:9,14	stage 38128:25	38259:19 38267:12	38342:2,10,22
solution 38140:20	38128:18 38203:23	38134:17 38153:5,15	38275:20 38276:2	38343:7,8 38345:12
38236:4,5 38237:3	38205:1 38206:2	38161:9 38163:19	38278:12,23 38279:2	38345:23 38346:7,23
38316:17 38317:5	speculating 38326:12	38188:20 38192:13	38279:3 38290:24	38347:13,14
38318:1,2,14,15	speculation 38294:21	38195:18 38200:15	38320:22 38353:15	38348:18 38349:2,11
38319:1 38320:19	spend 38149:17	38201:5,8 38224:25	38360:12,15,17	38349:12,13,15,19
38355:22,25 38356:6	38219:17 38238:16	38242:18 38269:10	38366:24	38365:16
38356:8	38276:10 38278:13	38279:24 38289:22	statements 38128:2	strikers 38147:12
solutions 38236:20	38279:4,18 38286:14	38290:5 38320:6	38154:4,5 38166:13	38152:24 38153:3,5
38318:10	38301:23,24 38302:2	38321:20 38323:20	38216:12 38226:2	38161:11,15
solve 38150:15	38302:6,15,25	38330:4 38360:24	38227:5 38238:10	38163:20 38186:9
38161:10	38303:1,2 38304:5,15	38367:10	38245:3,19 38255:4	38191:8 38195:22
solved 38187:16	38307:25 38308:4	stakeholders 38313:6	38262:21 38266:4,5	38196:16,17 38200:8
somebody 38133:14	38310:10 38331:14	38350:15 38351:13	38267:2 38325:20	38200:17,18,24
38159:9 38160:12	spending 38278:3	stand 38283:16	38333:14 38359:18	38201:25 38212:9
38171:4 38293:5	38304:7 38357:10,14	standing 38152:1	38361:24,25	38213:14
38305:21 38341:9	spent 38214:4 38275:4	38227:2	38365:19	strikes 38340:5
somebody's 38291:2	38275:7 38277:13	stands 38253:18	states 38220:17	striking 38186:1,5
soon 38129:9 38145:23	38289:6 38302:7,11	38341:21	stating 38215:23	38195:6 38213:6
38210:22 38232:22	38303:5,9,12 38304:4	standstill 38334:11	stationary 38222:2,20	strong 38187:10
38367:7	38304:5,6,24	start 38165:21	stationed 38162:14	38294:22
sore 38226:17	38324:12 38336:7	38169:21 38216:24	statistically 38145:7	strongly 38351:3
sort 38195:10 38196:25	38348:7 38357:15	38225:24 38238:13	statistics 38145:11	structure 38131:9,9
38215:18 38257:22	<b>spirit</b> 38188:3	38239:18 38259:17	38146:1	38155:3 38240:1
38286:15 38293:3	spite 38322:19	38259:21 38262:4	statutory 38219:21	38243:8 38250:9,18
38305:3 38309:10	<b>splendid</b> 38280:14	38263:8 38266:5	38220:24 38221:15	38251:25 38263:12
38332:15	<b>spoke</b> 38151:3 38152:1	38287:3 38297:17,23	38223:24 story 38227:21 38216:18	38263:14,16
sorted 38241:23	38189:2 38190:22,23	38299:9 38312:12 38316:8 38323:0 14	stay 38227:21 38316:18	38265:18,22 structured 38271:3
sorts 38137:11 38217:19	38216:10 38238:21 38279:8	38316:8 38323:9,14 38324:18,23 38325:2	<b>steam</b> 38345:8 <b>step</b> 38332:17 38361:4	<b>structured</b> 38271:3 <b>structures</b> 38131:16,23
sought 38147:13	38279:8 spoken 38154:1	38325:2,3 38327:25	steps 38249:8	38154:10,18,25
sound 38294:9	38239:1 38251:4	38346:22	sticking 38344:19	38155:9,11,16
ARCHIVE EO	36239.1 36231.4	50570.22	Suching 30344.17	50155.7,11,10

				Page 24
38156:3 38157:3,15	suggested 38129:8	38301:5 38315:4	38161:21 38167:1	38334:14 38335:16
38158:1 38215:20,21	38131:17 38136:25	38322:21 38325:13	38172:11 38181:16	38336:15,15
38216:5,15 38249:9	38136:25 38148:22	38329:2,13 38332:3	38182:12,16,18,21	38339:23 38364:6
38250:17	38164:10 38168:16	38336:20,22 38341:8	38185:3,15 38193:25	talks 38161:16 38177:6
student 38223:17,18,20	38216:14 38238:22	surely 38159:25	38194:15 38195:5,21	38198:24 38220:11
38223:22 38236:9	38314:4,5 38331:19	38187:18,22 38262:7	38198:25 38200:8	38301:13 38352:10
studies 38242:21	38335:12 38358:25	38262:8 38290:15	38202:5 38209:6	tangent 38169:12,13,15
stupid 38196:11	38365:25	38326:21 38328:20	38211:3 38224:13,15	target 38226:19
subject 38226:12	suggesting 38141:23	38337:24 38358:13	38228:23 38229:7,25	38302:15 38304:6
38230:18 38232:8	38205:16 38240:13	surmising 38213:16	38230:13 38234:11	38305:1,2,6,6,7,10
38233:12,12,20	38263:19 38288:21	surprised 38305:21	38237:14 38247:22	38307:24 38308:4,13
38257:10,17 38264:6	38318:22 38326:5	surrender 38176:20	38249:6 38253:8	38310:10 38313:10
38341:21 38344:21	38335:8	38194:21	38257:8 38264:19	38313:12,14,15
38344:23	suggestion 38128:5	survey 38229:13	38266:4 38271:6	targets 38226:23
sublet 38227:17	38179:3,5 38206:12	38230:1 38235:21	38273:21 38279:19	38313:3,9
submission 38267:3	38268:15 38309:3	38274:20 38296:15	38279:24 38280:2	task 38174:12
38284:22	38311:22,25	38297:11 38315:12	38281:15 38282:21	38175:11
submit 38224:9	38363:20,21	surveyed 38230:3	38287:1 38292:12,23	<b>Tatana</b> 38177:2,2
38328:24 38363:18	suggests 38193:12	surveys 38275:16	38295:22 38297:8	tax 38232:17 38263:13
submitted 38159:5	38343:3	38278:7 38296:24	38305:12 38306:19	38263:20 38278:11
38281:17 38282:1	suitable 38128:25	survivability 38334:17	38308:22 38309:3	<b>tea</b> 38327:15
38299:1 38361:8	suited 38292:9	38334:24	38312:1 38313:23	team 38161:2,5
<b>submitting</b> 38359:17	<b>suits</b> 38355:25	<b>survive</b> 38315:3,6,11	38322:11 38327:15	38222:4 38231:15
subsequent 38157:20	sum 38308:3 38337:19	38335:3	38328:12 38330:5	38259:12 38341:9
38230:21 38284:4 subsequently 38133:22	summarising 38204:3 summary 38219:21	surviving 38335:6 suspect 38189:24	38336:12 38339:5	<b>teatime</b> 38280:19 <b>TEBA</b> 38221:10
38139:23 38141:1	38220:25 38307:20	38263:17,25	38345:3,14 38347:10 38348:3 38349:23	
38204:18 38239:1	38308:19,20	38266:13 38276:8,13	38357:25 38358:7,9	38223:7,8,15,25 technical 38298:25
subsidiaries 38240:4	summer 38326:11,15	38200.13 38270.8,13	38358:17 38361:4	technicalities 38141:15
38242:6 38251:2	summer 38325:24	38336:20	38362:19	38141:17 38143:1,3,8
38260:9 38333:18	38326:3,7,20,20	suspicion 38277:13,15	<b>taken</b> 38141:1 38149:6	38144:13 38150:12
subsidiary 38242:12	sums 38288:17	38277:16,20	38188:25 38204:18	technician 38203:10
38251:4,17,19,20	sundry 38238:12	sustainability 38226:4	38206:12,22	telephone 38191:1,12
38259:23 38262:6	sunk 38288:24	38226:7 38227:4	38214:15 38220:14	tell 38133:19 38164:5,6
subsidising 38357:16	38335:19 38347:3,4	sustainable 38276:3	38233:5 38249:8	38174:9,21 38189:7
substance 38250:15	38348:14,22	38350:14,23	38258:3 38316:16	38190:4,19 38191:3
substantial 38239:17	super 38324:4 38327:2	38352:10 38353:1,3	38322:11 38336:5	38200:23 38202:1
38255:16 38275:14	super-cvcle 38326:10	38354:13 38355:15	38346:21 38365:19	38234:20 38251:9
38296:7	super-good 38326:7	38355:19	38367:24	38272:24 38274:8,24
substantive 38155:10	supplemental 38261:5	Swaziland 38222:17	takes 38228:11	38296:20 38300:19
38206:17	suppliers 38257:7	swore 38225:15	38293:14 38312:3	telling 38167:2 38358:8
substitute 38330:11	supply 38226:21	system 38204:13	38357:3	tells 38243:14 38246:24
subtract 38244:1	support 38149:23,25	38342:17	talk 38131:14 38132:3	tenants 38227:18
subtracted 38244:25	38159:14 38219:14	<b>s.u.o</b> 38129:13 38203:4	38155:2 38163:22	tense 38183:25
subtraction 38247:16	38219:20 38221:10	38225:18 38280:11	38175:19 38196:16	tenure 38291:24
succeed 38189:23	38223:2,4,24	38327:23	38250:8 38254:14	38292:6
success 38141:9	supported 38159:17	<b>S2</b> 38283:2	38285:13 38287:14	term 38133:16 38231:5
38227:9	38257:6	<b>S5</b> 38283:1	38291:14,17	38272:7,7,8,10
successful 38230:16	supporters 38211:15		38293:11 38305:22	terminology 38291:22
successfully 38148:8	supporting 38171:20	T	38321:8 38327:16	terms 38147:20
38149:10 38236:17	38199:22	table 38140:10,18	talked 38136:1 38278:8	38195:25 38196:8,9
suddenly 38131:4	supports 38296:3	38183:4 38192:11	38323:13	38197:6 38198:20,20
38296:21,22	suppose 38178:24	38239:18,21,23,25	talking 38131:15	38199:7 38215:15
38327:13	supposed 38330:15	38243:8,9,11,25	38143:21 38144:13	38217:12 38233:4
suffer 38233:18	sure 38138:12 38149:9	38245:8,9 38247:14	38151:8 38153:8	38234:5 38236:24
38341:25	38158:3,23 38170:24	38254:9 38289:13	38154:24 38161:18	38241:5 38248:21
suffice 38320:13	38170:24 38196:12	38300:17 38302:5	38172:2 38173:20	38259:25 38260:6,14
sufficient 38226:19	38196:19 38203:9	38305:16 38307:21	38177:8 38194:17	38261:7,10 38262:14
38227:13 38311:5	38218:8 38226:16	38308:6,8,18,23,23	38233:13,23	38266:16 38268:3
suggest 38140:9	38230:15 38235:18	38308:23,24,24	38234:15 38244:16	38273:14 38287:21
38159:9,10 38193:15	38235:20 38241:18	38310:7 38312:14	38249:22 38251:11	38295:11 38309:11
38238:15 38239:25	38242:16 38252:22	38313:9 38340:15	38255:15 38258:11	38311:18 38318:5
38243:17 38245:5,8	38263:10 38264:22	tables 38309:14,18,20	38289:24 38293:8	38321:3,9,13,21
38270:22 38328:8	38272:20,23	38309:24 38310:2	38300:1 38303:14	38329:8 38338:22
38332:8 38363:7	38282:23 38285:14 38203:20 <b>382</b> 08:0	take 38142:7,14	38313:1 38314:24	38343:6
38364:11	38293:20 38298:9	38150:3 38152:5	38327:4 38330:22	territory 38305:4
ARCHIVE FO	A JUSIICE			ıI

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 25
tertiary 38220:23	38294:20 38296:2	<b>three</b> 38143:13	total 38201:3 38221:20	triggers 38216:14
38221:25 38222:2,23	38300:17 38302:5,24	38156:21 38174:19	38223:3 38243:17	troll 38361:18
test 38264:23 38265:3	38303:25 38306:13	38179:10 38183:2	38244:1,19,21,24	<b>true</b> 38166:18 38212:6
38265:13	38307:20 38308:7,19	38189:20,22,22	38246:25 38247:1,2	38259:18,19
testified 38133:6	38311:14 38320:24	38201:21 38221:1	38254:9 38256:24	38279:22 38282:18
testimony 38133:14	38329:14 38343:2	38224:10 38225:24	38286:6 38303:1	truly 38273:19
38220:3 38239:9,15	38345:6 38347:8,8	38272:7 38285:6,9,18	38308:12 38312:21	trust 38220:22 38221:3
textually 38277:9,11	38348:2 38363:1	38286:7 38303:10	38338:12,22	38221:21 38222:14
thank 38127:22	38364:9	38305:10,10,11	touch 38336:4	38222:15 38223:17
38128:21 38129:11	<b>they'd</b> 38142:10	38308:10 38356:3	tough 38294:3 38315:6	38308:22 38350:5,12
38129:15 38138:16	38212:21 38363:13	38363:15,23	38315:7 38336:1	38350:14,19,25
38138:24 38144:1	<b>they're</b> 38127:12,16	three-quarters	township 38239:11	38351:21 38352:23
38146:7 38150:7,19	38139:7 38191:7	38197:22	38283:17 38284:9	38353:3,9,10,17,22
38156:6,20,23	38213:1 38229:9	<b>throat</b> 38226:17	townships 38236:22	38365:9
38158:9 38165:17	38242:25 38243:4	<b>throw</b> 38316:20	track 38270:3	truth 38165:25
38168:2 38179:25	38252:13 38268:16	thrust 38359:8	traditionally 38131:18	38198:19
38180:8 38181:25	38282:2,8 38283:20	<b>thumb</b> 38343:3	tragedy 38137:13	truthfully 38225:16
38199:5 38202:3,5	38296:8 38353:18	thumbnail 38230:25	38140:1,14 38141:3,3	<b>try</b> 38156:18,18
38203:5 38207:8	they've 38230:16	Thursday 38161:18	38143:21 38158:2	38161:10 38169:15
38209:11 38210:5	38235:25 38280:24	38185:17,20,22	38349:23 38350:10	38195:13 38201:5
38213:22,24 38214:1	thing 38129:23 38130:1	38186:9 38188:9	38350:18 38353:8,8	38231:14 38248:11
38216:18 38217:13	38130:4 38131:19	38190:20 38236:5	tragic 38179:20,23	38255:21 38257:8
38218:3,9,11,19,25	38137:3 38141:4,13	38323:23	trail 38270:11	38270:20 38293:25
38218:25 38219:4,9,9	38152:9,13 38153:7	<b>tie</b> 38356:20	<b>train</b> 38264:14	38317:25 38320:18
38219:11 38224:1,2	38163:14 38171:16	till 38232:20 38326:23	tranches 38314:10	38332:8 38367:22
38224:23 38225:5,13	38197:2 38198:12	38366:16	transaction 38240:25	trying 38136:19
38225:19 38230:17	38200:4 38216:14	tilted 38350:1	38267:7 38336:20	38154:3,6 38167:24
38234:6 38235:7,13	38231:17 38280:20	timeline 38297:16	transactions 38259:16	38188:12 38189:25
38237:9,10,12,17,24	38280:21 38281:6	times 38152:24	38266:23 38310:15	38201:24 38211:13
38279:23 38280:25	38282:12 38284:18	38189:20 38225:3,9	transcribers 38156:10	38213:21 38217:8,14
38281:8,12 38331:3	38317:14 38318:12	38280:18 38357:9,9	transcript 38173:10,22	38217:21 38254:4
38341:10,12,15	38324:2,8 38331:1	title 38179:20	38174:2 38180:3	38264:5,12 38271:2
38350:2 38356:19	38352:25 38366:10	titles 38333:3	38182:18 38191:6,9	38294:25 38296:6,8
38358:6 38361:1	things 38135:3	today 38129:9	38194:16 38198:3,4	38318:9 38335:17
38364:5 <b>thanks</b> 38127:14	38142:25 38144:13 38144:14 38164:11	38130:23 38183:23 38185:17,21 38186:9	38218:6 transcripts 38200:13	38356:5 <b>Tuesday</b> 38161:14,16
38213:23	38166:11 38170:11	38186:19 38194:20	transfer 38233:2	38161:20 38173:24
that'll 38358:12	38177:1 38180:2	38219:3 38229:9	38252:6 38257:24	38182:8 38186:24
that's 38303:3	38183:24 38199:12	38232:20 38243:3	38258:11,15,16,19,21	38187:21 38191:4,14
theme 38351:2,23	38230:2 38247:10	38255:14 38328:2,5,9	38258:23 38273:7	38192:8,15 38194:12
theoretically 38336:9	38255:2 38259:2	38364:25 38366:5	transferred 38228:25	38361:10,10,25
38346:19 38347:7	38272:13 38280:13	38367:18,24	38250:23 38251:1	tuition 38222:11
38348:1	38293:21 38294:25	today's 38295:11	38252:17	turn 38214:3 38216:19
thereof 38360:14	38296:21 38319:23	toiletries 38222:20	transform 38178:1	38261:20 38295:2
thereto 38291:8	38320:2 38321:7	told 38128:22 38152:9	transformation	38312:21
there'd 38253:25	38347:17 38350:17	38165:1,3 38190:7	38177:23 38313:7	turnover 38232:12
38327:10	thinking 38322:19	38191:7,8 38194:23	transient 38130:9	38233:20 38260:2,21
there'll 38202:21	38326:23	38202:19 38261:8	transition 38261:6,6,10	38262:18 38263:4
there's 38127:10	thinks 38280:17	38266:17 38271:14	38262:14	38342:5,6,6,7,11
38135:18 38137:23	third 38163:20,22	38273:1 38274:11	translate 38233:7	38343:7,10,19
38153:12 38158:10	38164:11,13,19	38285:9 38314:18,18	translated 38337:7	38345:7
38158:23 38163:22	38186:5 38239:17	38321:19 38323:22	transport 38222:2	turns 38359:7
38164:10,13	38249:9 38260:19,19	tomorrow 38176:18	38223:6	Twala 38161:1
38175:19 38180:14	38261:5 38313:14	38183:20 38185:18	traverse 38182:4	38210:21,22
38187:16 38209:6	38359:20	38186:20 38191:7	traversed 38332:18	twisting 38165:25
38215:10 38222:11	thought 38129:7	38194:18,19,23	treasury 38231:21	<b>two</b> 38127:5,7 38128:7
38223:17 38227:25	38131:19 38138:18	38196:11 38347:4,6	38232:2	38128:7 38138:1
38230:22 38233:1	38152:8,12 38156:12	38366:17,24 38367:1	treatment 38237:2	38140:9 38142:25
38236:13,14,15,19	38160:21 38195:24	38367:11,19	38260:18,19	38147:21 38159:14
38243:9 38244:15	38241:23 38295:19	tool 38213:10	treats 38332:16	38166:11 38169:13
38247:4 38252:20	38296:1 38318:23	top 38174:7 38177:10	trick 38325:24	38170:15,16
38254:25 38256:3	38326:14 38327:10	38215:9 38244:11	tried 38142:12,15	38172:10 38185:21
38257:4 38258:12	38328:15 38331:25	38292:1 38351:25	38161:14 38189:19	38185:23 38203:25
38259:1 38271:3	38332:4 38334:22	38352:7	38235:17 38270:2	38207:23 38211:13
38276:4 38283:9	38358:16 38366:12	<b>topic</b> 38255:13	38292:16 38318:3	38211:15 38214:12
38287:12 38293:1,22	thousand 38303:11	tot 38175:25	38320:19	38214:13 38215:3
ARUBIVE FO		1	1	I

				Page 26
20226.0 20221.0 11	undonstanding	28220.1.20	vice-president	wait 38316:22
38226:8 38231:9,11 38234:16 38235:1,16	understanding 38129:21 38136:20	38329:1,20 unreasonable 38329:20	38128:10 38204:1	waiting 38129:8
38237:24 38238:1,8	38136:20 38137:1,5	unrest 38198:22	38211:2	38178:20 38194:18
38240:4 38244:7	38138:3 38139:1	38340:9 38349:9	vicinity 38226:20	38201:12 38278:16
38271:15,17 38272:7	38185:14 38197:7	38350:11	video 38283:6	38278:20 38281:18
38272:18 38280:13	38199:8 38206:5	<b>unsound</b> 38277:9,11	view 38197:10	38282:14 38327:17
38282:8 38289:4	38207:1 38309:8	untold 38350:8	38199:10 38211:3	wake 38349:22 38353:7
38294:17 38299:18	understood 38137:2	<b>untrue</b> 38165:6,10	38234:8 38249:20	walk 38294:2 38330:15
38307:19 38317:16	38138:4 38167:12	38166:14,23	38292:16 38297:4	walking 38296:9
38318:11,17 38322:8	38169:20 38172:18	untruths 38165:3	38316:16 38324:10	wanted 38130:8
38322:12 38325:23	38180:17,23 38187:4	unusual 38232:15	38327:2 38360:9	38132:5 38136:3
38326:2,6 38330:14	38193:15 38216:7,16	updated 38320:16	viewed 38142:23	38138:2 38140:9
38340:5 38342:19	38286:11 38299:8,19	upgraded 38218:22	views 38295:20	38147:1,13 38148:9
38350:7	38309:9 38310:20	upgrading 38313:22	village 38213:15	38159:18 38160:6,7,9
<b>type</b> 38137:10	38311:9 38350:17	upper 38244:8	villages 38211:24	38171:24,25 38172:9
38191:23 38199:21	38363:21 38366:25	upset 38196:13	violate 38334:21	38175:3 38178:16
types 38217:16,17	undertake 38204:12	urbanising 38327:5	38335:15	38180:23 38182:4
typical 38274:20,20	38234:14 38295:24	urging 38195:5	violating 38317:13	38184:18 38194:12
<b>T-shirts</b> 38214:24	undertaken 38210:4	<b>use</b> 38140:25 38152:21	38342:16	38194:14,14,15,25
	undertook 38222:15	38153:8 38181:18	violation 38333:20	38195:20,21 38200:8
U	unfair 38148:25	38210:17 38228:17	38334:18	38200:23 38211:12
<b>ugh</b> 38315:13	38329:20,24	38231:14 38288:10	violence 38170:2	38218:17 38235:22
<b>uh-uh</b> 38256:3	unfairness 38260:24	38291:13,17,21	38183:8 38215:2	38235:22 38236:17
38315:13	38261:2	38321:7 38357:20	violent 38211:11	38281:19 38314:19
UK 38241:20 38250:10	unfortunate 38294:3	usual 38176:15	38212:4 38213:18	38314:20,22
<b>ultimate</b> 38258:17	38299:4 38311:20	US\$800 38143:14	visible 38256:2	38316:18 38328:4
ultimately 38142:13	unfortunately 38248:3		<b>visit</b> 38222:13	38339:25 38360:3
38236:21 38270:5	38300:18 38330:13	V	visited 38222:4	38362:2 38363:16
38319:13 38331:10	38354:2	vague 38286:15	visits 38222:19	38364:3 38365:12,19
ultimatum 38183:21	unfounded 38131:6	valid 38290:24	vital 38350:14	wants 38145:18
38184:5,20 38185:11	unhelpful 38330:1	validity 38149:19	<b>voice</b> 38226:16	38157:10 38197:11
38185:20 38186:1,10	<b>uniforms</b> 38222:21	<b>valuable</b> 38336:6	voicemail 38189:24	38199:2,11 38365:3
38187:6,7,10,13,18	unilaterally 38137:20	<b>value</b> 38253:9 38259:3	<b>volume</b> 38225:25	wasn't 38184:9,25
38187:19,23 38188:1	38311:24 38319:20 <b>union</b> 38147:14	38299:25 38339:20 38339:24 38340:1	38226:1,8 38238:1,1 38238:4,4,6,7	38187:16 38194:13 38251:18 38254:17
38188:3 38194:25 38196:2	38168:3,8,17	38342:2,15 38348:10	38246:23 38248:10	38283:23 38288:11
umbrage 38312:1	38169:21,22,23	38348:12 38350:15	38248:11,12,16	38291:6,11,12
unable 38206:11,13	38171:22 38197:9	38350:23 38351:12	38253:13 38267:15	38292:15 38294:20
unbroken 38237:15	38199:1 38207:7	38352:15 38355:20	38283:3,4 38285:25	38339:9 38365:22
uncommon 38231:12	38215:20,21 38216:7	variable 38342:25	38299:9 38312:7,8,9	wasn't 38130:3
underneath 38244:22	38230:12	38343:1,19,21	38312:10 38351:24	38134:1,10 38206:1
38289:15	unionist 38131:15,21	38344:6 38345:3	38351:25 38352:1	38206:14,22 38210:1
understand 38128:22	38142:5	38346:9	volumes 38235:1	38265:4 38301:2,10
38129:23 38130:13	unions 38137:4	variance 38313:16	38238:8 38248:9	38303:8 38304:2,8
38131:11 38134:7	38142:7 38143:5	variation 38320:24	voluntary 38221:2	38305:19 38324:2,8,9
38136:4 38140:22	38170:16 38171:8	38321:22,22	vote 38339:12	38326:13,24
38141:24 38142:23	38195:25 38206:17	variations 38321:14	voted 38336:22	38349:11,20 38354:2
38144:16,16,18	38217:24 38297:20	various 38193:21	<b>VVVV</b> 38211:7,8	waste 38138:25
38147:12 38152:16	38297:23 38323:13	38220:24 38227:10	VVVV2 38180:1	38147:19 38148:24
38164:20 38167:16	38324:24 38350:12	38264:18 38265:7	VVVV3 38182:22	38170:22 38210:16
38180:14 38181:6	unit 38235:22 38315:14	vary 38319:20 38321:7		38237:2 38330:25
38184:17 38198:4	38316:18,21	vast 38229:14 38314:23	W	wasted 38170:24
38202:9 38215:4	38358:18	vehicle 38161:3,20	<b>W</b> 38182:23	watch 38225:14
38218:13 38224:3,8	units 38236:13 38237:5	ventilation 38348:13	wage 38136:21 38137:3	water 38226:21
38225:6,20 38248:6	38283:13 38284:6	vents 38355:5	38142:2,7 38154:15	38227:14 38228:18
38253:11 38267:4	38285:8 38288:12,15	venue 38160:13	38155:8,13,16	38229:23 38230:6
38269:5 38274:22	38291:5,6 38292:23	verbatim 38178:23	38157:2,14,14,25	38237:1,2
38275:17 38277:12	38299:15 38313:22	38218:6	38167:11,24,25	waters 38264:23
38279:13 38285:3	38317:2 38352:22	version 38152:19	38204:9,11 38216:8	38265:3,13
38298:23 38321:17	unlawful 38322:3	38174:14 38188:24	38216:11,13	way 38137:7 38155:15
38321:18 38326:22	unplanned 38227:15	38197:21,21 38245:7	38217:20 38218:2	38159:25 38167:11
38339:22 38345:20	unprotected 38143:7	versus 38149:23	38349:20 38356:22	38195:21 38197:22
38347:25 38358:23	38143:11,16	38229:12	wages 38130:24	38203:8 38204:10
38360:23 38362:11	38152:15 38164:9,12	veto 38265:22 38266:9	38131:15,19	38205:18 38212:8
38362:21 38364:24	38213:8	viable 38318:2	38154:17,25	38225:21 38230:14
38365:5 38366:10	unrealistic 38316:9	vice 38205:14	38167:11	38252:1 38265:12
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page 2
38268:25 38293:12	38272:20,22,24	38158:15 38171:21	38289:8 38321:20	38351:10
38295:6 38298:3	38298:17,18,19,22	38174:17 38178:2,25	38329:21 38365:5	workplace 38130:25
38299:23 38320:2,2	38299:6 38333:6	38192:23 38209:13	word 38277:19	38226:21
38328:23 38329:25	<b>we'd</b> 38178:24	38244:1,3 38249:1,17	38321:18 38334:16	works 38236:4
38341:16 38342:20	38241:23 38278:8	38304:7,23 38309:6	wording 38289:14	38243:25
38343:19 38350:17	38293:18	38309:12 38344:7,19	words 38131:10	workshop 38306:24
38356:15 38357:21	we'll 38127:12,19	where's 38269:18	38138:20 38152:22	38307:2
38366:1 38367:22	38129:10 38153:22	38322:24	38152:23 38153:8	workshops 38306:24
ways 38292:18	38157:1 38179:7	whilst 38194:22	38167:19 38171:19	38321:16 38323:11
38317:11 38332:12	38182:15 38201:2	38213:16 38222:20	38178:23 38199:25	work-shopped
38335:17	38219:25 38237:15	white 38300:18	38205:3,13 38216:22	38306:25
weapons 38160:12	38241:24 38253:7	whoever's 38250:22	38223:18 38241:13	world 38236:14,16
38163:2	38261:5 38270:20	wholly 38259:23	38297:9 38321:7	38312:23
weather 38326:25	38271:10 38280:2	<b>who'd</b> 38314:11	work 38143:22,24	worried 38144:12
Wednesday 38145:14	38281:3 38284:15	who'll 38284:3,19	38161:23 38164:14	38331:21 worry 38170:6
38146:2 38161:17 38173:23 38190:19	38299:6,7,9 38313:23 38316:8,22,22	who's 38155:1 38186:19 38264:25	38171:16,17,18,19,24 38171:25 38172:9,10	worse 38160:1 38163:9
38191:15 38367:1	38318:12 38319:10	38291:3,18 38292:22	38171:25 38172:3,10	worsened 38227:15
week 38153:14 38185:7	38325:2 38335:24	width 38312:22	38183:18,25 38184:4	worth 38210:14
38187:4 38218:20	38354:3 38364:17	willing 38140:18	38184:5,13,15,24,25	38229:8,9 38302:1
38335:18 38361:10	38365:10 38367:24	willingly 38228:23	38185:18,25 38186:2	wouldn't 38184:20,23
38366:12 38367:8,13	we're 38129:21	willingness 38355:24	38186:6,11,13,13,20	38188:6 38251:8
38367:14	38147:10 38171:18	winches 38217:18	38186:25 38187:8,11	38279:14,20
weekend 38215:2	38177:8 38178:17	window 38150:24	38187:14 38188:2,7	38333:10 38339:1,11
weekly 38208:14	38179:2 38188:2	38226:12,14	38188:10 38194:24	38364:25
weeks 38169:13	38198:17,19 38199:6	winter 38326:7,8	38196:6,8 38198:10	wouldn't 38160:23
38205:5	38200:4 38213:13	winters 38325:23	38205:4 38211:13,19	38273:7 38298:24
weigh 38334:12	38217:25 38234:15	wisdom 38282:10	38212:2,3,5,8,15	wounds 38211:15,17
welcome 38270:10	38236:3 38243:3	wise 38140:25	38213:7,15 38231:22	WPL 38231:8,19
welcomed 38162:23	38244:16 38255:15	wish 38181:5 38214:13	38232:14 38236:23	38232:9,12 38233:20
welfare 38221:10	38261:8 38268:22,25	38215:25 38218:13	38247:8 38294:5	38242:9,25 38243:4
38223:24	38269:7 38280:15	38219:16 38328:23	38297:21 38328:23	38244:18 38245:11
went 38143:14,22,24	38284:16,17	38361:21	38335:5 38342:13,14	38245:15,16,17,18,19
38151:2,7 38161:6	38289:24 38294:25	wished 38180:2	38347:17 38355:23	38245:20,23,24
38163:11 38168:20	38295:24 38296:9	38339:10 38363:8,14	worked 38129:8	38246:2,11 38247:5
38215:16 38236:6	38297:17 38304:3,3	38366:2	38232:19	38249:2,3,4,12,15
38261:15,15	38305:4 38306:16	wishes 38224:9	worker 38214:25	38250:4,8,14
38262:22,22,25	38312:14,25	withdraw 38332:1	workers 38133:9,10	38251:17,19,20,22
38265:2 38288:4	38314:24 38321:2	38367:2	38144:24,24	38252:7,15,17,18,20
38294:4 38358:2	38336:17 38339:23	withdrawn 38315:24	38146:17 38154:1,10	38252:21 38253:5
weren't 38150:2	38344:10,12	38316:1	38154:12,18 38155:5	38260:15,16,18,19,20
38185:10 38363:24	38349:15 38352:3	witness 38127:4,8	38155:12,16,20	38260:20 38266:20
38366:4	38362:13 38364:11	38128:3,13 38129:3	38156:1 38157:2	38270:16 38288:4
weren't 38162:1	38366:4,11,12,16	38130:17 38134:14	38159:4 38160:6	38289:20 38290:4,16
38274:11 38296:10	38367:6,15,20	38138:1,15 38174:3,7	38162:23 38164:13	38290:17 38299:2
38298:8	we've 38142:6,8	38177:15 38181:10	38164:19 38165:6,10	38319:21 38332:1,19
Wesley 38173:1	38147:17,24 38152:3	38181:21 38182:1	38166:17 38167:4,11	38332:19,19,23
38202:16 38219:6	38166:3 38174:15	38218:12 38225:22	38168:22 38170:15	38333:14,23 38334:2
38225:1,5 38327:16	38183:21 38190:17	38234:10,13,17	38172:11 38183:18	38334:3,8 WDI /EDI 28250:17
West 38170:2	38196:3 38230:8	38330:7,18 38359:23	38184:4,14 38186:1	<b>WPL/EPL</b> 38250:17
Western 38230:21	38236:25 38245:18	38362:25 38363:20 witnesses 38133:6	38186:14 38187:8,11 38187:14 38188:7 10	38308:11 38332:22 write 38301:4 38329:11
38231:2,8,22 38232:15 38238:11	38264:19 38266:16 38266:17 17	38359:17,18 38360:1	38187:14 38188:7,10 38100:13 38103:7	38329:18
38232:15 38238:11 38240:5,6 38242:4	38266:17,17 38268:20 38270:2,17	38359:17,18 38360:1 38360:6	38190:13 38193:7 38195:6 38212:25	writing 38320:25
38240:3,6 38242:4 38247:2,3,25	38273:1 38275:16	wives 38221:11	38215:17 38216:5,8	written 38264:1
38248:22 38251:4,6,7	38279:14 38280:3	38223:5	38216:10,15 38227:2	38286:19 38292:25
38251:10,11,12,13	38296:8 38303:11	WMSL 38262:19	38285:11 38302:8	38294:22 38296:14
38253:21 38256:17	38312:15 38316:5	wonder 38127:25	38309:12 38310:15	38298:8 38321:4,4,12
38259:21,24,25	38321:11 38323:14	38270:21	38342:1 38353:17	38321:22 38359:18
38260:1,2,5,7	38324:3,4 38325:1	wonderful 38316:5	38357:16,22	38360:12
	50521.5,150525.1		workforce 38275:14	wrong 38127:10
38201:3.3.7.12.13.14	38340:14.15.18	38320:13 38327:2		
38261:3,3,7,12,13,14 38261:22.23 38262:5	38340:14,15,18 38352:18 38354:11	38326:15 38327:2 wonderfully 38296:22		
38261:22,23 38262:5	38352:18 38354:11	wonderfully 38296:22	38354:20 38357:3	38133:19 38136:11
38261:22,23 38262:5 38262:16,18 38263:1	38352:18 38354:11 38354:15 38364:1	wonderfully 38296:22 Wonderkop 38284:13	38354:20 38357:3 working 38198:9	38133:19 38136:11 38156:12 38198:9
38261:22,23 38262:5	38352:18 38354:11	wonderfully 38296:22	38354:20 38357:3	38133:19 38136:11
38261:22,23 38262:5 38262:16,18 38263:1 38264:18 38266:3,19	38352:18 38354:11 38354:15 38364:1 38366:5 38367:23	wonderfully 38296:22 Wonderkop 38284:13 38286:5	38354:20 38357:3 working 38198:9 38253:12 38313:5	38133:19 38136:11 38156:12 38198:9 38238:18 38244:2,3

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

			1 )	
				Page
38269:3,7 38304:19	38246:1 38252:20	38160:22 38162:25	<b>10/11</b> 38284:5	38352:2
,		38100:22 38102:23		
38309:19 38310:3	38254:9 38271:6	¢	<b>10:32</b> 38202:8	<b>149</b> 38285:25,25
38318:5 38367:2	38273:17 38275:20	\$	<b>10:39</b> 38201:2,4	<b>15</b> 38127:11 38182:13
wrongly 38324:25	38283:8 38284:14	<b>\$171</b> 38244:22	<b>10:47</b> 38201:2,4	38188:17 38202:6
wrote 38325:22	38286:2 38288:17	<b>\$186</b> 38254:10	<b>10:52</b> 38215:25	38239:10 38246:1
38349:24	38289:22 38302:23	<b>\$2</b> 38233:24 38254:24	<b>100</b> 38297:20 38323:14	38257:17 38276:6,25
WWWW2 38179:15	38307:18,21 38315:4	38294:15 38323:21	38324:24 38338:20	38282:16 38284:5
<b>WWWW3</b> 38182:23,25	38330:18 38340:25	<b>\$20</b> 38257:17	<b>100%</b> 38305:3,11	38286:1 38327:19
			38313:16	
38183:4 38185:16	38342:22,23	<b>\$23</b> 38269:11		38356:18
<b>WWWW4</b> 38183:4	38346:20 38348:14	<b>\$30</b> 38337:6 38338:14	<b>1006</b> 38307:20	<b>15th</b> 38144:23 38145:3
38188:15 38201:1	<b>you're</b> 38127:3	<b>\$400</b> 38295:14	<b>1044</b> 38308:7,7	38190:19
<b>WWWW5</b> 38183:5	38128:22 38131:14	<b>\$50</b> 38257:12,14	<b>1057</b> 38285:25	<b>15.5</b> 38313:17
38185:22	38141:8 38144:12,13	<b>\$800</b> 38233:25 38255:7	38300:15,17	15:17 38327:21
<b>WWWW6</b> 38183:6	38148:6 38169:5		<b>1059</b> 38299:9,10,10,10	15:37 38342:22
38185:22 38186:5	38170:11 38172:17	0	<b>1095</b> 38299:10	<b>15:57</b> 38358:23
<b>W5</b> 38185:23	38174:7,13 38176:5,8	<b>0%</b> 38304:24	<b>11</b> 38194:10 38283:13	<b>150</b> 38243:25
	38180:9,16 38194:22	<b>000</b> 38145:6,7,8,8	38300:22 38301:23	150-odd 38295:13
X	38206:11,13 38209:9	38146:8,12 38150:12	38302:8	<b>1548</b> 38253:15
<b>X</b> 38219:24 38292:23	38218:9 38225:17	38160:11 38163:1	<b>11th</b> 38134:11,21,22	1558 38248:1,12
38306:16 38336:17	38236:8 38237:22	38164:16 38214:22	38186:8 38211:16	38253:13,20
<b>Xolani</b> 38158:25	38249:7 38255:1,4,6	38221:9 38222:24	<b>11:11</b> 38229:2	<b>1571</b> 38249:7
				<b>16</b> 38127:1,12 38183:6
38165:5,9 38167:18	38258:11,13	38228:12,21 38229:3	<b>11:57</b> 38237:21	·
38214:9 38215:10	38259:15 38280:10	38229:8,9,9 38233:24	<b>114</b> 38299:14	38183:9,10,13
38216:20,21 38217:6	38290:21 38291:21	38254:24 38281:18	<b>1147</b> 38308:23	38186:9 38188:17
38217:8,11 38218:5	38298:9 38303:13	38282:11,13,16	<b>1151</b> 38308:23	16th 38133:23
<b>XXXX1</b> 38219:24	38305:13 38306:17	38294:15 38316:12	<b>1156</b> 38308:24	38134:10,16
<b>XXX3</b> 38203:6,11	38309:17 38310:23	38323:21 38335:22	<b>1167</b> 38308:24	38140:14 38142:16
38207:11	38320:17 38321:3	38337:18	<b>1168</b> 38308:24	38185:20 38186:14
X-amount 38218:1	38324:15 38325:24	<b>06:30</b> 38188:8	<b>12</b> 38133:21 38134:3	38190:20 38200:23
	38327:4,22 38333:20	<b>068</b> 38357:3,8	38135:22 38136:13	38201:2 38208:20
Y	38334:9,25 38335:2	<b>07</b> 38300:21 38301:23	38138:10 38143:10	38224:17 38275:23
<b>Y</b> 38306:16	38336:14,15	38302:8	38143:12,16,22	38363:24
years 38228:20,21,24	38340:13 38343:24	<b>08/9</b> 38284:5	38155:7 38163:2,15	<b>17</b> 38132:18
38230:10 38244:7	38344:2 38345:16	<b>08:33</b> 38127:2	38164:16 38189:20	<b>17th</b> 38186:2,11,13,15
38257:12,24	38347:11 38348:11	<b>08:52</b> 38141:22	38239:9 38260:11	<b>172</b> 38303:1,3,14
38265:17 38268:8	38353:16,21	<b>09:12</b> 38158:16	38264:1 38302:25	<b>18</b> 38132:18 38135:20
38272:22 38283:13	38357:13 38362:9	<b>09:32</b> 38174:1	38308:2,24 38357:9	38146:12 38214:14
38284:4 38285:6,12	38366:7	<b>09:52</b> 38188:11	<b>12th</b> 38268:11	38214:16
38285:14 38286:1,2	you've 38138:1		<b>12:17</b> 38249:6	<b>18%</b> 38242:3 38245:15
38294:17 38302:25	38145:24 38150:3	1	<b>12:36</b> 38263:5	38245:20 38246:17
	38157:15 38165:4	<b>1</b> 38165:21 38180:24	<b>12:56</b> 38277:24	<b>180</b> 38225:9
38308:2 38312:19				
38322:8,12,21	38173:1 38178:20	38181:10,21 38228:9	<b>1200</b> 38312:20	<b>186</b> 38244:14,19
38323:24,25	38181:13 38182:21	38238:1,4,6 38239:2	38313:11 38316:13	<b>19</b> 38146:12 38150:12
38326:16,25	38184:18 38215:25	38239:3 38244:8,8	<b>121</b> 38243:24	<b>192</b> 38173:18
38327:11 38330:14	38217:25 38224:3,14	38246:7,8 38247:3	<b>13</b> 38302:25 38308:3,24	<b>196</b> 38244:5,5,6
38352:17 38354:24	38238:18 38244:1,24	38255:19 38256:9	38354:5	<b>1999</b> 38286:1
38355:2	38248:2 38249:8	38259:23 38260:12	<b>13:56</b> 38280:9	
				2
yesterday 38128:3	38250:1 38254:23	38260:13 38261:11	<b>1300</b> 38312:20	-
38129:6,20 38132:3	38257:4,4 38273:21	38261:17,21 38283:3	<b>134</b> 38132:13	<b>2</b> 38163:18 38165:21
38140:23 38160:8	38274:9,15 38279:20	38283:4 38285:25,25	<b>138</b> 38135:18 38301:23	38180:12,15,18,19,2
38166:14 38181:18	38289:14 38290:23	38286:7 38308:23	38302:23 38303:14	38180:25 38181:7
38199:14	38298:6 38316:1	38328:3 38341:20	38304:6 38307:25	38182:18 38183:17
you'd 38142:1,4	38319:23 38321:1	38356:25 38357:9	38308:5,6,13	38189:20,20
				·
38187:20 38212:2	38325:4,10 38335:19	38360:3	<b>14</b> 38188:17 38228:20	38207:16 38238:1,4,
00000 15 00000 0	38335:20 38345:11	<b>1st</b> 38324:18	38228:24 38286:1,1	38239:8 38259:20
38279:15 38293:8		<b>1%</b> 38261:22	<b>14th</b> 38161:14 38170:1	38274:2 38283:14
38279:15 38293:8 38315:24 38337:22	38346:4,10,10,19,24		38200:15	38284:20 38299:6
	38346:4,10,10,19,24 38346:25 38348:7,21	<b>1.45</b> 38326:4	30200.13	
38315:24 38337:22 38337:23 38339:2	38346:25 38348:7,21	<b>1.45</b> 38326:4		38308:23 38312.7 8
38315:24 38337:22 38337:23 38339:2 38340:4 38341:11	38346:25 38348:7,21 38358:4 38363:7	<b>1.45</b> 38326:4 <b>10</b> 38144:8 38149:17	<b>14:16</b> 38295:4	
38315:24 38337:22 38337:23 38339:2 38340:4 38341:11 you'll 38130:10	38346:25 38348:7,21	<b>1.45</b> 38326:4 <b>10</b> 38144:8 38149:17 38166:1 38214:6	<b>14:16</b> 38295:4 <b>14:36</b> 38309:2	38312:10 38328:5
38315:24 38337:22 38337:23 38339:2 38340:4 38341:11 you'll 38130:10 38132:14 38133:20	38346:25 38348:7,21 38358:4 38363:7 <b>YYYY</b> 38281:3,10	<b>1.45</b> 38326:4 <b>10</b> 38144:8 38149:17 38166:1 38214:6 38216:3 38225:7	<b>14:16</b> 38295:4 <b>14:36</b> 38309:2 <b>14:55</b> 38322:6	38312:10 38328:5 38330:7 38340:17,23
38315:24 38337:22 38337:23 38339:2 38340:4 38341:11 you'll 38130:10 38132:14 38133:20 38185:23 38199:14	38346:25 38348:7,21 38358:4 38363:7 <b>YYYY</b> 38281:3,10 <b>Z</b>	<b>1.45</b> 38326:4 <b>10</b> 38144:8 38149:17 38166:1 38214:6 38216:3 38225:7 38228:21 38239:14	<b>14:16</b> 38295:4 <b>14:36</b> 38309:2 <b>14:55</b> 38322:6 <b>1404</b> 38226:9,12	38312:10 38328:5 38330:7 38340:17,23 38341:19 38360:3
38315:24 38337:22 38337:23 38339:2 38340:4 38341:11 you'll 38130:10 38132:14 38133:20	38346:25 38348:7,21 38358:4 38363:7 <b>YYYY</b> 38281:3,10	<b>1.45</b> 38326:4 <b>10</b> 38144:8 38149:17 38166:1 38214:6 38216:3 38225:7	<b>14:16</b> 38295:4 <b>14:36</b> 38309:2 <b>14:55</b> 38322:6	38330:7 38340:17,23
38315:24 38337:22 38337:23 38339:2 38340:4 38341:11 you'll 38130:10 38132:14 38133:20 38185:23 38199:14 38203:12,24 38204:2	38346:25 38348:7,21 38358:4 38363:7 <b>YYYY</b> 38281:3,10 <b>Z</b>	<b>1.45</b> 38326:4 <b>10</b> 38144:8 38149:17 38166:1 38214:6 38216:3 38225:7 38228:21 38239:14 38239:15 38285:12	<b>14:16</b> 38295:4 <b>14:36</b> 38309:2 <b>14:55</b> 38322:6 <b>1404</b> 38226:9,12	38312:10 38328:5 38330:7 38340:17,22 38341:19 38360:3 38364:1 38367:25
38315:24 38337:22 38337:23 38339:2 38340:4 38341:11 you'll 38130:10 38132:14 38133:20 38185:23 38199:14 38203:12,24 38204:2 38215:9 38222:10	38346:25 38348:7,21 38358:4 38363:7 <b>YYYY</b> 38281:3,10 <b>Z</b> zero 38313:12 Zokwana 38145:1,15	<b>1.45</b> 38326:4 <b>10</b> 38144:8 38149:17 38166:1 38214:6 38216:3 38225:7 38228:21 38239:14 38239:15 38285:12 38285:13 38335:22	<b>14:16</b> 38295:4 <b>14:36</b> 38309:2 <b>14:55</b> 38322:6 <b>1404</b> 38226:9,12 <b>141</b> 38139:7 <b>1424</b> 38227:3	38312:10 38328:5 38330:7 38340:17,23 38341:19 38360:3 38364:1 38367:25 2nd 38204:23
38315:24 38337:22 38337:23 38339:2 38340:4 38341:11 you'll 38130:10 38132:14 38133:20 38185:23 38199:14 38203:12,24 38204:2 38215:9 38222:10 38223:10 38224:12	38346:25 38348:7,21 38358:4 38363:7 <b>YYYY</b> 38281:3,10 <b>Z</b> zero 38313:12 Zokwana 38145:1,15 38146:3,5,13	1.45 38326:4 10 38144:8 38149:17 38166:1 38214:6 38216:3 38225:7 38228:21 38239:14 38239:15 38285:12 38285:13 38335:22 10th 38134:15	<b>14:16</b> 38295:4 <b>14:36</b> 38309:2 <b>14:55</b> 38322:6 <b>1404</b> 38226:9,12 <b>141</b> 38139:7 <b>1424</b> 38227:3 <b>145</b> 38299:16	38312:10 38328:5 38330:7 38340:17,23 38341:19 38360:3 38364:1 38367:25 2nd 38204:23 2% 38233:10 38255:15
38315:24 38337:22 38337:23 38339:2 38340:4 38341:11 you'll 38130:10 38132:14 38133:20 38185:23 38199:14 38203:12,24 38204:2 38215:9 38222:10	38346:25 38348:7,21 38358:4 38363:7 <b>YYYY</b> 38281:3,10 <b>Z</b> zero 38313:12 Zokwana 38145:1,15	<b>1.45</b> 38326:4 <b>10</b> 38144:8 38149:17 38166:1 38214:6 38216:3 38225:7 38228:21 38239:14 38239:15 38285:12 38285:13 38335:22	<b>14:16</b> 38295:4 <b>14:36</b> 38309:2 <b>14:55</b> 38322:6 <b>1404</b> 38226:9,12 <b>141</b> 38139:7 <b>1424</b> 38227:3	38312:10 38328:5 38330:7 38340:17,22 38341:19 38360:3 38364:1 38367:25

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				<b>D</b>
				Page 2
38262:5 38267:17	38266:18 38267:9,16	<b>22</b> 38145:7,8	<b>31st</b> 38253:15	38155:7 38163:2,12
<b>2.089</b> 38326:4	38268:8 38275:2	<b>221</b> 38268:16 38269:8	<b>310</b> 38303:1	38163:15 38226:19
<b>2.1</b> 38259:21	38294:18 38296:23	<b>229</b> 38259:18 38267:15	<b>317</b> 38221:13 38223:4	38238:22 38278:11
<b>2.9.2</b> 38262:11	38296:24 38297:18	<b>23</b> 38138:9	<b>34</b> 38350:8	38299:15,19
38267:16	38297:19,21,24,25	<b>23rd</b> 38360:14	<b>35</b> 38345:3,13,14	38305:12 38310:14
<b>20</b> 38165:20 38173:21	38298:2 38312:20	38361:10,25	38346:5,12,19,24	38310:15 38311:7
38173:22 38177:7,11	38314:15,24 38315:1	<b>231</b> 38256:9	38347:3,5 38348:1,7	38312:18 38315:23
38348:18,20,25	38319:22,24	<b>231</b> 38250.9 <b>232</b> 38255:25	38348:23	38332:2,22
<b>20%</b> 38322:11,13	38324:21 38325:18	<b>232</b> 38255:25 <b>233</b> 38256:2	<b>36</b> 38174:15,16,19,21	<b>5000</b> 38278:21
38340:6,6 38342:2			<b>366</b> 38275:20	
·	38332:10 38333:5	<b>234</b> 38255:21,21,23		<b>506</b> 38221:6
<b>20:16</b> 38189:25	<b>2008/9</b> 38312:24	38256:3	<b>367</b> 38276:4	<b>51</b> 38166:10 38167:18
<b>20:16:26</b> 38188:18	<b>2009</b> 38233:23,23	<b>235</b> 38246:23 38255:17	<b>369</b> 38286:4	38216:19
<b>200</b> 38228:9 38239:2,3	38239:15,17	38255:18,21 38256:3	<b>37</b> 38174:7,8,21	<b>52</b> 38248:21
38239:6 38278:10	38245:23 38246:5,6,8	<b>236</b> 38255:21	38176:12	<b>547</b> 38221:20
38323:21 38357:10	38246:24 38247:3	<b>24</b> 38165:21	<b>374</b> 38239:5	<b>5497</b> 38314:8
<b>2000</b> 38229:8 38286:1,5	38262:4 38312:3,20	<b>24th</b> 38275:21 38276:2	<b>375</b> 38349:25,25	<b>5500</b> 38311:4 38312:21
38313:11 38323:5,15	38313:9,10 38314:25	<b>240</b> 38229:11	<b>37743</b> 38239:9	38313:15 38314:8
<b>2001</b> 38326:10,23	38315:2,10 38317:10	<b>240-odd</b> 38228:25	<b>37760</b> 38238:21	38317:20 38318:22
<b>2003</b> 38326:23	38319:5 38332:24	<b>244</b> 38286:2 38357:8	<b>378</b> 38340:4	38318:23 38319:7,25
2004 38239:19	38333:3,4,5,6,21	<b>25</b> 38225:11,12		
38247:14 38286:3,5	38334:5 38335:25	38300:17 38337:21	4	6
2005 38285:23	38337:1	38340:20,21	<b>4</b> 38145:6,8 38211:9	<b>6</b> 38194:16 38303:18,21
2006 38244:9,10	<b>2010</b> 38168:20	<b>25.2F</b> 38319:15	38225:25 38226:8	38307:24
38262:19 38278:20	38169:17 38226:8	<b>250</b> 38327:5	38248:10,11,12	6:15AM 38190:20
38282:2 38285:17,21	38239:14 38298:16	<b>251</b> 38244:19,21	38253:13 38303:17	6:29am 38185:17,20
38287:10 38294:12	38303:3,8 38314:8	<b>26</b> 38176:17 38357:3,8	38303:22 38307:22	38186:12,13
38295:12 38296:14	38337:4,5	<b>26th</b> 38209:21 38265:2	38307:23 38308:11	<b>6:30</b> 38193:12
38299:14 38311:10	<b>2010/2011</b> 38292:17	<b>26%</b> 38242:12	38312:14 38340:18	6:30AM 38190:12
38312:25 38318:19	<b>2010</b> /2011 38292:17 <b>2011</b> 38287:10 38293:5	38266:10	38351:24 38352:1	<b>609</b> 38357:10,14
38319:4,6,14 38320:8	38299:15 38312:18	<b>27</b> 38177:10 38198:5	38359:25	<b>620-odd</b> 38303:11
38322:14 38323:1	38312:18 38314:9	<b>27th</b> 38203:14	<b>4.1</b> 38249:19	<b>646</b> 38312:4,13,13
38324:11	38318:22,23,25	38209:15,17,22	<b>4000</b> 38314:17	<b>647</b> 38312:21
2006/7 38283:12	38334:16	<b>275</b> 38338:22 38349:25	<b>41</b> 38173:22 38244:23	<b>65</b> 38244:15,20
38284:19 38322:7	<b>2012</b> 38168:6,11	38349:25	<b>42</b> 38165:15	<b>662</b> 38256:9
38326:3,4	38179:21,24 38186:9	<b>28</b> 38197:19,20,23	<b>428</b> 38179:6,11	<b>665</b> 38299:17,20
<b>2007</b> 38230:2 38231:23	38203:14 38204:23	38198:5,10 38239:16	<b>432</b> 38179:11	38301:8,22 38302:23
38235:21 38238:9	38208:21 38209:16	<b>29</b> 38197:19 38266:21	<b>448</b> 38203:12	38302:24 38304:2,16
38243:10,12,15,25	38221:12 38223:3	<b>29th</b> 38340:17,22	<b>477</b> 38221:13 38223:4	38305:6 38307:24
38244:8,10,10,11,11	38226:3,25 38227:4	38341:1,5 38359:1	<b>480</b> 38194:19	38308:5,6,12 38309:9
38251:8,10 38259:24	38238:9,11 38239:13	38362:10,14 38364:2	<b>49</b> 38165:13,16,17	38310:8,12,14
38261:11,11,25	38239:14 38256:8	38364:6,12 38366:6	38166:10 38214:3	38325:11
38263:7,21,22	38257:23 38260:11	38366:19,21	38215:8,9	<b>684</b> 38313:17
38269:4 38275:1	38261:3 38264:1	38367:25		<b>685</b> 38313:16
38294:12 38295:14	38265:19 38268:12		5	<b>691</b> 38221:6
38296:15,16,22,22,23	38268:15,17,17	3	<b>5</b> 38226:19 38234:22	<b>696</b> 38354:4,6,11
38297:14,18,21	38269:5,25 38275:23	<b>3</b> 38146:8 38160:11	38261:3 38273:24	<b>698</b> 38354:10
38311:10 38312:20	38275:25 38276:1	38163:1 38165:21	38281:18 38282:11	
38314:19,19 38323:4	38278:22 38303:8	38211:9 38214:22	38282:13 38299:15	7
38323:8,8,12,15	38340:2 38342:15	38284:8 38285:25	38299:19 38305:12	7 38233:10
38324:2,12,19	38351:3	38299:9 38308:23	38310:14,15 38311:7	7:00am 38185:18
	<b>2012/13</b> 38308:3			
<b>2007/2008</b> 38323:9 <b>2007/8</b> 38262:24		38313:12,15,16	38312:18 38313:14	38186:20 <b>70</b> 38266:7 20 38345:2
2007/8 38262:24	<b>2013</b> 38222:3 38298:14	38351:25 38359:9	38315:23 38332:2,22	<b>70</b> 38266:7,20 38345:3
38263:3 38283:12	38303:8 38308:14	<b>3.2</b> 38299:11	38337:15 38344:22	<b>70%</b> 38343:4 38344:25
38322:7,14 38325:6	38356:23 38357:13	<b>30</b> 38181:19,23	<b>50</b> 38314:2,11 38316:6	38345:10
38326:3,5	<b>2014</b> 38127:1 38221:12	38188:19,23 38189:2	38316:8,22 38344:3	<b>700</b> 38167:21 38312:20
<b>2008</b> 38226:2 38233:23	38222:12 38223:3	38200:2 38225:10	38344:12,15 38345:2	38349:1
38238:11 38243:10	38285:4 38340:3	38244:11 38261:11	38345:7,13,17,22	<b>719</b> 38256:9
38243:15,24 38244:4	38360:14	38261:17,21	38347:10	<b>75%</b> 38266:12,12
38244:8,10,10,13,22	<b>2016</b> 38130:1 38168:8	38266:21 38268:23	<b>50%</b> 38261:14	77 38165:15 38289:3
38246:1,8,11	38275:21,22	38340:20	38262:20 38267:1,1	38291:25
38249:11,15	<b>205</b> 38247:1	<b>30th</b> 38267:9	38276:6,24 38277:17	<b>79</b> 38282:25 38283:6,8
38252:11 38253:23	21 38228:8	300 38338:13	<b>500</b> 38133:21 38134:3	38287:2,8 38289:16
38254:1,16 38256:8	21% 38302:22,23	<b>3000</b> 38315:8	38135:22 38136:2,13	38289:22,22
	38304:6 38308.13	<b>304</b> 38183:5	38136.15.17	798 382861
38257:23 38260:12	38304:6 38308:13 212 38244:24	<b>304</b> 38183:5 <b>305</b> 38183:7	38136:15,17 38138:10 38143:10	<b>798</b> 38286:7
	38304:6 38308:13 212 38244:24 218 38286:5	<b>304</b> 38183:5 <b>305</b> 38183:7 <b>306</b> 38183:7	38136:15,17 38138:10 38143:10 38143:12,16,22	798 38286:7 8

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

8 38188:18 38189:3 38196:22 38197:1,17 38197:17 38198:4 38243:12 38327:4 38335:19 8PM 38190:19 8% 38233:10 80 38282:25 38283:6 38284:13 800 38229:1 38239:2,3 38247:4 38316:13 81 38284:17 810 38299:16,16 38304:2 82% 38240:5 38245:15 38245:22 38246:18 84 38245:23 38332:24 85% 38229:15 38271:14 38272:18 38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 850 38278:11 9 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 900 38317:21 9% 38327:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9	38196:22 38197:1,17 38197:17 38198:4 38243:12 38327:4 38335:19 8PM 38190:19 8% 38232:25 38283:6 38284:13 80% 38335:19 800 38229:1 38239:2,3 38247:4 38316:13 81 38284:17 810 38299:16,16 38304:2 82% 38240:5 38245:15 38245:22 38246:18 84 38245:23 38332:24 85% 38229:15 38271:14 38272:18 38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 850 38278:11 9 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 9000 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 3821:20	38196:22 38197:1,17 38197:17 38198:4 38243:12 38327:4 38335:19 8PM 38190:19 8% 38233:10 80 38282:25 38283:6 38284:13 80% 38335:19 800 38229:1 38239:2,3 38247:4 38316:13 81 38284:17 810 38299:16,16 38304:2 82% 38240:5 38245:15 38245:22 38246:18 84 38245:23 38332:24 85% 38229:15 38271:14 38272:18 38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 850 38278:11 9 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 900 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9 99 38228:19	38196:22 38197:1,17 38197:17 38198:4 38243:12 38327:4 38335:19 8PM 38190:19 8% 38233:10 80 38282:25 38283:6 38284:13 80% 38335:19 800 38229:1 38239:2,3 38247:4 38316:13 81 38284:17 810 38299:16,16 38304:2 82% 38240:5 38245:15 38245:22 38246:18 84 38245:23 38332:24 85% 38229:15 38271:14 38272:18 38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 850 38278:11 9 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 900 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 3821:20 950 38356:25 38357:9 99 38228:19	38196:22 38197:1,17 38197:17 38198:4 38243:12 38327:4 38335:19 8PM 38190:19 8% 38233:10 80 38282:25 38283:6 38284:13 80% 38335:19 800 38229:1 38239:2,3 38247:4 38316:13 81 38284:17 810 38299:16,16 38304:2 82% 38240:5 38245:15 38245:22 38246:18 84 38245:23 38332:24 85% 38229:15 38271:14 38272:18 38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 850 38278:11 9 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 900 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9 99 38228:19		
38284:13         80% 38335:19         800 38229:1 38239:2,3         38247:4 38316:13         81 38284:17         810 38299:16,16         38304:2         82% 38240:5 38245:15         38245:22 38246:18         84 38245:23 38332:24         85% 38229:15         38271:14 38272:18         38278:25 38314:19         38315:12         850 38278:11         9         9 38167:19 38193:8         38197:17,21         38216:20,21         9% 38327:4         9/10 38284:5         9000 38317:21         92% 38357:2         929 38221:20         93 38247:24 38248:23         38249:2 38253:12,14         38321:20         950 38356:25 38357:9	38284:13 80% 38335:19 800 38229:1 38239:2,3 38247:4 38316:13 81 38284:17 810 38299:16,16 38304:2 82% 38240:5 38245:15 38245:22 38246:18 84 38245:23 38332:24 85% 38229:15 38271:14 38272:18 38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 850 38278:11 9 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825	38284:13 80% 38335:19 800 38229:1 38239:2,3 38247:4 38316:13 81 38284:17 810 38299:16,16 38304:2 82% 38240:5 38245:15 38245:22 38246:18 84 38245:23 38332:24 85% 38229:15 38271:14 38272:18 38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 850 38278:11 9 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825	38284:13 80% 38335:19 800 38229:1 38239:2,3 38247:4 38316:13 81 38284:17 810 38299:16,16 38304:2 82% 38240:5 38245:15 38245:22 38246:18 84 38245:23 38332:24 85% 38229:15 38271:14 38272:18 38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 850 38278:11 9 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825 90s 3825	38284:13 80% 38335:19 800 38229:1 38239:2,3 38247:4 38316:13 81 38284:17 810 38299:16,16 38304:2 82% 38240:5 38245:15 38274:23 38332:24 85% 38229:15 38271:14 38272:18 38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 850 38278:11 9 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 910 38284:5 90s 38228:5 9000 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9 99 38228:19 991 38357:10	38196:22 38197:1,17 38197:17 38198:4 38243:12 38327:4 38335:19 <b>8PM</b> 38190:19	
38304:2 82% 38240:5 38245:15 38245:22 38246:18 84 38245:23 38332:24 85% 38229:15 38271:14 38272:18 38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 850 38278:11 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 90s 38257:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9	38304:2 82% 38240:5 38245:15 38245:22 38246:18 84 38245:23 38332:24 85% 38229:15 38271:14 38272:18 38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 850 38278:11 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 9000 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9 99 38228:19	38304:2 82% 38240:5 38245:15 38245:22 38246:18 84 38245:23 38332:24 85% 38229:15 38271:14 38272:18 38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 850 38278:11 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 9000 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9 99 38228:19	38304:2 82% 38240:5 38245:15 38245:22 38246:18 84 38245:23 38332:24 85% 38229:15 38271:14 38272:18 38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 850 38278:11 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 9000 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9 99 38228:19	38304:2 82% 38240:5 38245:15 38245:22 38246:18 84 38245:23 38332:24 85% 38229:15 38271:14 38272:18 38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 850 38278:11 9 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 9000 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9 99 38228:19 991 38357:10	38284:13 80% 38335:19 800 38229:1 38239:2,3 38247:4 38316:13 81 38284:17	
38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 <b>850</b> 38278:11 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 9000 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9	38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 <b>850</b> 38278:11 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 9000 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9 99 38228:19	38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 <b>850</b> 38278:11 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 9000 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9 99 38228:19	38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 <b>850</b> 38278:11 9 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 9000 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9 99 38228:19	38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 <b>850</b> 38278:11 <b>9</b> <b>9</b> 38167:19 38193:8 38197:17,21 38216:20,21 <b>9%</b> 38327:4 <b>910</b> 38284:5 <b>900</b> 38317:21 <b>92%</b> 38357:2 <b>929</b> 38221:20 <b>93</b> 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 <b>950</b> 38356:25 38357:9 <b>991</b> 38357:10 <b>91</b> 38357:10	38304:2 <b>82%</b> 38240:5 38245:15 38245:22 38246:18 <b>84</b> 38245:23 38332:24 <b>85%</b> 38229:15	
38197:17,21 38216:20,21 <b>9%</b> 38327:4 <b>9/10</b> 38284:5 <b>900</b> 38317:21 <b>92%</b> 38357:2 <b>929</b> 38221:20 <b>93</b> 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 <b>950</b> 38356:25 38357:9	38197:17,21 38216:20,21 <b>9%</b> 38327:4 <b>9/10</b> 38284:5 <b>900</b> 38317:21 <b>92%</b> 38357:2 <b>929</b> 38221:20 <b>93</b> 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 <b>950</b> 38356:25 38357:9 <b>99</b> 38228:19	38197:17,21 38216:20,21 <b>9%</b> 38327:4 <b>9/10</b> 38284:5 <b>900</b> 38317:21 <b>92%</b> 38357:2 <b>929</b> 38221:20 <b>93</b> 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 <b>950</b> 38356:25 38357:9 <b>99</b> 38228:19	38197:17,21 38216:20,21 <b>9%</b> 38327:4 <b>9/10</b> 38284:5 <b>900</b> 38317:21 <b>92%</b> 38357:2 <b>929</b> 38221:20 <b>93</b> 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 <b>950</b> 38356:25 38357:9 <b>99</b> 38228:19	38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 9000 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9 99 38228:19 991 38357:10	38278:25 38314:19 38315:12 <b>850</b> 38278:11 <b>9</b>	
				991 38357:10	38197:17,21 38216:20,21 9% 38327:4 9/10 38284:5 90s 38228:5 9000 38317:21 92% 38357:2 929 38221:20 93 38247:24 38248:23 38249:2 38253:12,14 38321:20 950 38356:25 38357:9	