RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 288 10 SEPTEMBER 2014

PAGES 37387 TO 37589



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: realtime@mweb.co.za Web Address: http://www.realtimesa.co.za



1	Page 37387 [PROCEEDINGS ON 10 SEPTEMBER 2014]	1	Page 37389 CHAIRPERSON: Would you like me to put
2	[09:32] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I	2	the question to you again so you can concentrate?
3	am sorry we started later than we thought we would but	3	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would –
4	there was a bit of housekeeping we had to attend to.	4	CHAIRPERSON: On the fifth page of this
5	National Commissioner, I see you've come back in response	5	document, the fifth call reflected there is a call from
	to our request. Thank you very much indeed. Would you	6	Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner, to
6	please stand? I think, it was a long time ago when I swore	7	you at 16:02:19 which is about nine minutes after the
	you in so I think I'd better do it again if you don't mind.	8	shooting at scene 1 and it's described as "MO SMS," so it's
8			0
9	It's not a reflection on you but just good order, you know.	9	an SMS. Was your phone on at the time? In other words,
10 11	Right, you swear that the further evidence that you'll give	10 11	did you receive that SMS at about that time?
12	before this Commission will be the truth, the whole truth	12	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, thank you
	and nothing but the truth. Please raise your right hand		very much. I received this note this morning and I would
13	and say, I swear, so help me God.	13	like to say, first and foremost, we're talking about
14 15	GENERAL PHIYEGA: So help me God. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, please be	14 15	matters that took place two years ago and in my testimony,
	5 1		Chair, I have indicated that I spoke to General Mpembe, I
16 17	seated. The reason for, part of the reason for the delay	16 17	spoke to the Minister, I spoke – I speak to many people. The details of issues that are being raised here may not be
18	was we were going to, I was going to question you and I think I still will but not to the extent I thought I was	17	recalled by myself.
19	going to, about some phone calls you made and received on	19	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, I understand that
20	the 16th of August. The evidence leaders have produced a	20	and I don't want to be unreasonable but the important point
20	document which reflects your telephone calls on your mobile	20	we have to bear in mind is that the shootings at scene 1
22	telephone from the 14th of August to the 16th of August.	22	took place over, there's a dispute whether it was eight
22	What exhibit would that be?	22	seconds or 12 seconds but you and I don't have to debate
24	MS PILLAY: Chair, it would be quad –	24	that, at about seven minutes to four in the afternoon. And
25	sorry, FFF44.	25	you were obviously informed at some stage thereafter about
20	50.13, 111 1	20	
	Page 37388		Page 37390
1	Page 37388 CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr	1	Page 37390 what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd
1 2	•	1 2	0
	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr		what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd
2	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes,	2	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last	2 3	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics	2 3 4	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you	2 3 4 5	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the	2 3 4 5 6	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the	2 3 4 5 6 7	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls apparently emanating from the South African Police Services	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone was on at round about 4 o'clock on the Thursday, round
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls apparently emanating from the South African Police Services spokesperson, Brigadier Mashego, and also phone calls from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone was on at round about 4 o'clock on the Thursday, round about the time, just after in fact, the time when the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls apparently emanating from the South African Police Services spokesperson, Brigadier Mashego, and also phone calls from the Minister – I think it's from, I can't see whether it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone was on at round about 4 o'clock on the Thursday, round about the time, just after in fact, the time when the shooting took place?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls apparently emanating from the South African Police Services spokesperson, Brigadier Mashego, and also phone calls from the Minister – I think it's from, I can't see whether it's from or to – the Minister of Police. So that's what I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone was on at round about 4 o'clock on the Thursday, round about the time, just after in fact, the time when the shooting took place? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls apparently emanating from the South African Police Services spokesperson, Brigadier Mashego, and also phone calls from the Minister – I think it's from, I can't see whether it's from or to – the Minister of Police. So that's what I essentially want to ask you about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone was on at round about 4 o'clock on the Thursday, round about the time, just after in fact, the time when the shooting took place? <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA:</u> Chairperson, I certainly do not remember whether it was on or off.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls apparently emanating from the South African Police Services spokesperson, Brigadier Mashego, and also phone calls from the Minister – I think it's from, I can't see whether it's from or to – the Minister of Police. So that's what I essentially want to ask you about. The reason this arose is it appeared, it came out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone was on at round about 4 o'clock on the Thursday, round about the time, just after in fact, the time when the shooting took place? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I certainly do not remember whether it was on or off. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now Brigadier
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls apparently emanating from the South African Police Services spokesperson, Brigadier Mashego, and also phone calls from the Minister – I think it's from, I can't see whether it's from or to – the Minister of Police. So that's what I essentially want to ask you about. The reason this arose is it appeared, it came out during the evidence of the Provincial Commissioner that she	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone was on at round about 4 o'clock on the Thursday, round about the time, just after in fact, the time when the shooting took place? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I certainly do not remember whether it was on or off. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now Brigadier Mashego is reflected in – well, the line that I referred
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls apparently emanating from the South African Police Services spokesperson, Brigadier Mashego, and also phone calls from the Minister – I think it's from, I can't see whether it's from or to – the Minister of Police. So that's what I essentially want to ask you about. The reason this arose is it appeared, it came out during the evidence of the Provincial Commissioner that she sent you an SMS at 16:02:19. That's what one, that's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone was on at round about 4 o'clock on the Thursday, round about the time, just after in fact, the time when the shooting took place? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I certainly do not remember whether it was on or off. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now Brigadier Mashego is reflected in – well, the line that I referred you to, the fifth line is this SMS which was sent or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls apparently emanating from the South African Police Services spokesperson, Brigadier Mashego, and also phone calls from the Minister – I think it's from, I can't see whether it's from or to – the Minister of Police. So that's what I essentially want to ask you about. The reason this arose is it appeared, it came out during the evidence of the Provincial Commissioner that she sent you an SMS at 16:02:19. That's what one, that's the fifth call on this page and we wanted to know what it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone was on at round about 4 o'clock on the Thursday, round about the time, just after in fact, the time when the shooting took place? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I certainly do not remember whether it was on or off. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now Brigadier Mashego is reflected in – well, the line that I referred you to, the fifth line is this SMS which was sent or perhaps an attempt was made to send to you by Lieutenant-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls apparently emanating from the South African Police Services spokesperson, Brigadier Mashego, and also phone calls from the Minister – I think it's from, I can't see whether it's from or to – the Minister of Police. So that's what I essentially want to ask you about. The reason this arose is it appeared, it came out during the evidence of the Provincial Commissioner that she sent you an SMS at 16:02:19. That's what one, that's the fifth call on this page and we wanted to know what it was about. Can you recall receiving such an SMS or was your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone was on at round about 4 o'clock on the Thursday, round about the time, just after in fact, the time when the shooting took place? <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA</u> : Chairperson, I certainly do not remember whether it was on or off. <u>CHAIRPERSON</u> : I see. Now Brigadier Mashego is reflected in – well, the line that I referred you to, the fifth line is this SMS which was sent or perhaps an attempt was made to send to you by Lieutenant- General Mbombo. Then the next entry I understand is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls apparently emanating from the South African Police Services spokesperson, Brigadier Mashego, and also phone calls from the Minister – I think it's from, I can't see whether it's from or to – the Minister of Police. So that's what I essentially want to ask you about. The reason this arose is it appeared, it came out during the evidence of the Provincial Commissioner that she sent you an SMS at 16:02:19. That's what one, that's the fifth call on this page and we wanted to know what it was about. Can you recall receiving such an SMS or was your telephone off at the time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone was on at round about 4 o'clock on the Thursday, round about the time, just after in fact, the time when the shooting took place? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I certainly do not remember whether it was on or off. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now Brigadier Mashego is reflected in – well, the line that I referred you to, the fifth line is this SMS which was sent or perhaps an attempt was made to send to you by Lieutenant- General Mbombo. Then the next entry I understand is the reverse side of that same call, so it looks as if you tried
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls apparently emanating from the South African Police Services spokesperson, Brigadier Mashego, and also phone calls from the Minister – I think it's from, I can't see whether it's from or to – the Minister of Police. So that's what I essentially want to ask you about. The reason this arose is it appeared, it came out during the evidence of the Provincial Commissioner that she sent you an SMS at 16:02:19. That's what one, that's the fifth call on this page and we wanted to know what it was about. Can you recall receiving such an SMS or was your telephone off at the time? <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA:</u> Pardon me, Chairperson,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone was on at round about 4 o'clock on the Thursday, round about the time, just after in fact, the time when the shooting took place? <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA</u> : Chairperson, I certainly do not remember whether it was on or off. <u>CHAIRPERSON</u> : I see. Now Brigadier Mashego is reflected in – well, the line that I referred you to, the fifth line is this SMS which was sent or perhaps an attempt was made to send to you by Lieutenant- General Mbombo. Then the next entry I understand is the reverse side of that same call, so it looks as if you tried to phone her back but in the next entry, which is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls apparently emanating from the South African Police Services spokesperson, Brigadier Mashego, and also phone calls from the Minister – I think it's from, I can't see whether it's from or to – the Minister of Police. So that's what I essentially want to ask you about. The reason this arose is it appeared, it came out during the evidence of the Provincial Commissioner that she sent you an SMS at 16:02:19. That's what one, that's the fifth call on this page and we wanted to know what it was about. Can you recall receiving such an SMS or was your telephone off at the time? <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA</u> : Pardon me, Chairperson, I thought you were still summarising a number of issues.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone was on at round about 4 o'clock on the Thursday, round about the time, just after in fact, the time when the shooting took place? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I certainly do not remember whether it was on or off. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now Brigadier Mashego is reflected in – well, the line that I referred you to, the fifth line is this SMS which was sent or perhaps an attempt was made to send to you by Lieutenant- General Mbombo. Then the next entry I understand is the reverse side of that same call, so it looks as if you tried to phone her back but in the next entry, which is the seventh on that page, is Brigadier Mashego, the South
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CHAIRPERSON: FFF44. I believe Mr Semenya has given you a copy, is that correct? Yes, alright. Now National Commissioner, since you were last with us we've received information on a number of topics which we didn't have previously and so I'd like to ask you about some of these topics. The first one relates to the phone calls that you got on the 16th. On I think it's the fifth page of this exhibit, yes, the fifth page of this exhibit we see that there are a number of calls or what look like calls between you and General, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, the Provincial Commissioner and also some calls apparently emanating from the South African Police Services spokesperson, Brigadier Mashego, and also phone calls from the Minister – I think it's from, I can't see whether it's from or to – the Minister of Police. So that's what I essentially want to ask you about. The reason this arose is it appeared, it came out during the evidence of the Provincial Commissioner that she sent you an SMS at 16:02:19. That's what one, that's the fifth call on this page and we wanted to know what it was about. Can you recall receiving such an SMS or was your telephone off at the time? <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA:</u> Pardon me, Chairperson,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what had happened and I would have thought that if you'd had a conversation shortly before you were informed of the shooting, which actually turned out to have been made after the shooting took place, that's something that you would have thought about and wondered about and that's the sort of thing that would have stuck in your mind. But the first question really is whether your telephone was on at that time because if it wasn't on, then the fact that a phone call is recorded doesn't take it any further because you wouldn't have got the call. Do you understand the point I'm putting to you? So can you remember whether your phone was on at round about 4 o'clock on the Thursday, round about the time, just after in fact, the time when the shooting took place? <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA</u> : Chairperson, I certainly do not remember whether it was on or off. <u>CHAIRPERSON</u> : I see. Now Brigadier Mashego is reflected in – well, the line that I referred you to, the fifth line is this SMS which was sent or perhaps an attempt was made to send to you by Lieutenant- General Mbombo. Then the next entry I understand is the reverse side of that same call, so it looks as if you tried to phone her back but in the next entry, which is the

1	Page 37391	1	Page 37393
1	stands for call for, so – call forward, sorry, call	1	Commissioner Mbombo told us that her address, as she called
2	forward. Now can you remember whether just round about, just after the time that the shooting took place, you were	2	it, couldn't have taken more than about 10 minutes, she said 10 to 15 minutes.
3		3 4	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Hm-hm.
4	in communication with Brigadier Mashego? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would have been in		
5		5	
6	communication with Brigadier Mashego because being the	6	surrounding circumstances and so we should be able to
7	communications persons I would have probably wanted to know		reconstruct some, at least, of what she and the others who
8	what is happening and all that but I would not be able to	8	participated in what the minute called the deliberations,
9	recall the detail.	9	said at the meeting. Now the first thing I want to ask you
10	CHAIRPERSON: I see. So what you can	10	is, can you – what did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say
11	tell us is as soon as you got an inkling of the fact that	11	in her address?
12	something serious had happened, you would immediately have	12	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have already given
13	contacted Brigadier Mashego. I know I'm putting words in	13	testimony on that, Chairperson, and if I may –
14	your mouth and if I'm doing it unfairly, please, please say	14	CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, General, you
15	so but is it fair to say that if you'd got an inkling that	15	didn't – National Commissioner, you didn't. In your
16	something awkward had happened, terrible had happened at	16	evidence, that's why I asked you to come back, when you
17	Marikana, you would immediately or as soon as you possibly	17	testified before you didn't tell us about – you said you
18 19	thereafter, as you could, have contacted Brigadier Mashego in order to get full details and information. Is that	18 19	had a discussion with her, I think after, at tea after the
20			main meeting ended. You didn't mention that everyone was
20	fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, the way to	20 21	then called, everyone who was still there was called together and what the minutes described as an extraordinary
22	put it would be this, that when such a thing happens I	22	session took place which lasted up to an hour, at which
22	would be informed. I would also enquire and I guess it is	22	various people spoke. That you didn't mention, so don't
23	in that spirit that I would have touched particular points	23	tell me what you told us before because you didn't deal
24	- the Provincial Commissioner, the communications person,	24	with that before, that's why I've got you back to ask you
20		25	with that before, that's will rive got you back to ask you
	Page 37392		Page 37394
1	Page 37392 that's what would have happened. The details, as I've	1	Page 37394 these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again.
1 2		1 2	5
	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've		these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again.
2	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall.	2	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address?
2 3	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that	2 3	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think
2 3 4	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you	2 3 4	 these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I
2 3 4 5	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually	2 3 4 5	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said –
2 3 4 5 6	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the	2 3 4 5 6	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it
2 3 4 5 6 7	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum.	2 3 4 5 6 7	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She herself spoke, she said, as did you yourself, General	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the meeting that we had General Mbombo briefed us about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She herself spoke, she said, as did you yourself, General Mawela, General Masemola and some of the other Provincial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the meeting that we had General Mbombo briefed us about the situation in Marikana, shared with us their plan to disarm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She herself spoke, she said, as did you yourself, General Mawela, General Masemola and some of the other Provincial Commissioners. So it went on for just under an hour, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the meeting that we had General Mbombo briefed us about the situation in Marikana, shared with us their plan to disarm the protesters. We also in that meeting, because I had the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She herself spoke, she said, as did you yourself, General Mawela, General Masemola and some of the other Provincial Commissioners. So it went on for just under an hour, I think. Now what I'd like to know is what was said during	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the meeting that we had General Mbombo briefed us about the situation in Marikana, shared with us their plan to disarm the protesters. We also in that meeting, because I had the other Provincial Commissioners, spoke about them giving her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She herself spoke, she said, as did you yourself, General Mawela, General Masemola and some of the other Provincial Commissioners. So it went on for just under an hour, I think. Now what I'd like to know is what was said during that meeting. It's obviously a very important meeting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the meeting that we had General Mbombo briefed us about the situation in Marikana, shared with us their plan to disarm the protesters. We also in that meeting, because I had the other Provincial Commissioners, spoke about them giving her support. As I had indicated in some of my responses to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She herself spoke, she said, as did you yourself, General Mawela, General Masemola and some of the other Provincial Commissioners. So it went on for just under an hour, I think. Now what I'd like to know is what was said during that meeting. It's obviously a very important meeting because that was the meeting which, according to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the meeting that we had General Mbombo briefed us about the situation in Marikana, shared with us their plan to disarm the protesters. We also in that meeting, because I had the other Provincial Commissioners, spoke about them giving her support. As I had indicated in some of my responses to this Commission, the issue of deployment, sharing resources
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She herself spoke, she said, as did you yourself, General Mawela, General Masemola and some of the other Provincial Commissioners. So it went on for just under an hour, I think. Now what I'd like to know is what was said during that meeting. It's obviously a very important meeting because that was the meeting which, according to the minutes, endorsed the proposal, that's the way it's put, by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the meeting that we had General Mbombo briefed us about the situation in Marikana, shared with us their plan to disarm the protesters. We also in that meeting, because I had the other Provincial Commissioners, spoke about them giving her support. As I had indicated in some of my responses to this Commission, the issue of deployment, sharing resources for public order policing sit in my remit. Those are the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She herself spoke, she said, as did you yourself, General Mawela, General Masemola and some of the other Provincial Commissioners. So it went on for just under an hour, I think. Now what I'd like to know is what was said during that meeting. It's obviously a very important meeting because that was the meeting which, according to the minutes, endorsed the proposal, that's the way it's put, by the Provincial Commissioner. So I want to know what was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the meeting that we had General Mbombo briefed us about the situation in Marikana, shared with us their plan to disarm the protesters. We also in that meeting, because I had the other Provincial Commissioners, spoke about them giving her support. As I had indicated in some of my responses to this Commission, the issue of deployment, sharing resources for public order policing sit in my remit. Those are the issues that we focused upon in that discussion and that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She herself spoke, she said, as did you yourself, General Mawela, General Masemola and some of the other Provincial Commissioners. So it went on for just under an hour, I think. Now what I'd like to know is what was said during that meeting. It's obviously a very important meeting because that was the meeting which, according to the minutes, endorsed the proposal, that's the way it's put, by the Provincial Commissioner. So I want to know what was said during that meeting and on what grounds those present,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the meeting that we had General Mbombo briefed us about the situation in Marikana, shared with us their plan to disarm the protesters. We also in that meeting, because I had the other Provincial Commissioners, spoke about them giving her support. As I had indicated in some of my responses to this Commission, the issue of deployment, sharing resources for public order policing sit in my remit. Those are the issues that we focused upon in that discussion and that is why we had people such as General Mawela, General Masemola,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She herself spoke, she said, as did you yourself, General Mawela, General Masemola and some of the other Provincial Commissioners. So it went on for just under an hour, I think. Now what I'd like to know is what was said during that meeting. It's obviously a very important meeting because that was the meeting which, according to the minutes, endorsed the proposal, that's the way it's put, by the Provincial Commissioner. So I want to know what was said during that meeting and on what grounds those present, as it was put in the minutes and I'm quoting, "endorsed the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the meeting that we had General Mbombo briefed us about the situation in Marikana, shared with us their plan to disarm the protesters. We also in that meeting, because I had the other Provincial Commissioners, spoke about them giving her support. As I had indicated in some of my responses to this Commission, the issue of deployment, sharing resources for public order policing sit in my remit. Those are the issues that we focused upon in that discussion and that is why we had people such as General Mawela, General Masemola, who are people who are in charge of operations in policing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She herself spoke, she said, as did you yourself, General Mawela, General Masemola and some of the other Provincial Commissioners. So it went on for just under an hour, I think. Now what I'd like to know is what was said during that meeting. It's obviously a very important meeting because that was the meeting which, according to the minutes, endorsed the proposal, that's the way it's put, by the Provincial Commissioner. So I want to know what was said during that meeting and on what grounds those present, as it was put in the minutes and I'm quoting, "endorsed the proposal to disarm the protesting masses and further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the meeting that we had General Mbombo briefed us about the situation in Marikana, shared with us their plan to disarm the protesters. We also in that meeting, because I had the other Provincial Commissioners, spoke about them giving her support. As I had indicated in some of my responses to this Commission, the issue of deployment, sharing resources for public order policing sit in my remit. Those are the issues that we focused upon in that discussion and that is why we had people such as General Mawela, General Masemola, who are people who are in charge of operations in policing. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now what, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She herself spoke, she said, as did you yourself, General Mawela, General Masemola and some of the other Provincial Commissioners. So it went on for just under an hour, I think. Now what I'd like to know is what was said during that meeting. It's obviously a very important meeting because that was the meeting which, according to the minutes, endorsed the proposal, that's the way it's put, by the Provincial Commissioner. So I want to know what was said during that meeting and on what grounds those present, as it was put in the minutes and I'm quoting, "endorsed the proposal to disarm the protesting masses and further indicated that additional resources must be made available	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the meeting that we had General Mbombo briefed us about the situation in Marikana, shared with us their plan to disarm the protesters. We also in that meeting, because I had the other Provincial Commissioners, spoke about them giving her support. As I had indicated in some of my responses to this Commission, the issue of deployment, sharing resources for public order policing sit in my remit. Those are the issues that we focused upon in that discussion and that is why we had people such as General Mawela, General Masemola, who are people who are in charge of operations in policing. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now what, the minutes talk about a proposal which the Provincial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She herself spoke, she said, as did you yourself, General Mawela, General Masemola and some of the other Provincial Commissioners. So it went on for just under an hour, I think. Now what I'd like to know is what was said during that meeting. It's obviously a very important meeting because that was the meeting which, according to the minutes, endorsed the proposal, that's the way it's put, by the Provincial Commissioner. So I want to know what was said during that meeting and on what grounds those present, as it was put in the minutes and I'm quoting, "endorsed the proposal to disarm the protesting masses and further indicated that additional resources must be made available on identification by the Provincial Commissioner, North-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the meeting that we had General Mbombo briefed us about the situation in Marikana, shared with us their plan to disarm the protesters. We also in that meeting, because I had the other Provincial Commissioners, spoke about them giving her support. As I had indicated in some of my responses to this Commission, the issue of deployment, sharing resources for public order policing sit in my remit. Those are the issues that we focused upon in that discussion and that is why we had people such as General Mawela, General Masemola, who are people who are in charge of operations in policing. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now what, the minutes talk about a proposal which the Provincial Commissioner, North-West, made. What was the proposal?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	that's what would have happened. The details, as I've already said, I would not recall. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. So that was the first topic I wanted to deal with to see if you could help us. The next question, the next topic actually relates to the ad hoc meeting which was held after the National Management Forum meeting on Wednesday the 15th of August. What is described in the draft minutes as the extraordinary session of the National Management Forum. According to Provincial Commissioner Mbombo who testified after you did, the meeting lasted less than an hour. She herself spoke, she said, as did you yourself, General Mawela, General Masemola and some of the other Provincial Commissioners. So it went on for just under an hour, I think. Now what I'd like to know is what was said during that meeting. It's obviously a very important meeting because that was the meeting which, according to the minutes, endorsed the proposal, that's the way it's put, by the Provincial Commissioner. So I want to know what was said during that meeting and on what grounds those present, as it was put in the minutes and I'm quoting, "endorsed the proposal to disarm the protesting masses and further indicated that additional resources must be made available	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	these questions now. So I'll ask you the question again. What did Provincial Commissioner Mbombo say in her address? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think you actually did not give me time to finish the sentence I had started. I had said – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, please finish it now then. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I will do so, Chair. I had said in the meeting I spoke about the extraordinary, in my testimony, the extraordinary meeting we referred to. The question you are asking me, in the meeting that we had General Mbombo briefed us about the situation in Marikana, shared with us their plan to disarm the protesters. We also in that meeting, because I had the other Provincial Commissioners, spoke about them giving her support. As I had indicated in some of my responses to this Commission, the issue of deployment, sharing resources for public order policing sit in my remit. Those are the issues that we focused upon in that discussion and that is why we had people such as General Mawela, General Masemola, who are people who are in charge of operations in policing. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now what, the minutes talk about a proposal which the Provincial

1	Page 37395 raise is that she indicated to us that their plan is to	1	Page 37397 CHAIRPERSON: Was she, was any criticism
2	disarm the protesters.	2	expressed at all to say, this is now Wednesday night, every
3	CHAIRPERSON: Did she say when that was	3	night we see these images on the television, they're still
4	to be executed?	4	walking around on the koppie brandishing the weapons,
5	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, they were planning	5	they've got the firearms, nothing appears to be done to
6	to do that on the 16th.	6	disarm them? Did anybody say that?
7	CHAIRPERSON: And now, I said that we	7	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall any
8	have the surrounding, we know what the surrounding	8	type of criticism as such but what I recall is that we
9	circumstances were. What I'd like to ask you about that is	9	supported the need to disarm the protesters.
10	this, we know that for some days there'd been images on the	10	CHAIRPERSON: Now, did the Provincial
11	television screens of the strikers parading around on the	11	Commissioner explain why there had been this failure or
12	koppie, brandishing dangerous weapons, we know that people	12	omission to do anything tactical or positive to disarm the
13	had been killed over that period from the 10th, sorry, from	13	strikers up to then? Did she tell you, for example, that
14	the 12th onwards. We know that some of the strikers were in	14	it had been decided to try to solve the problem by
15	possession of firearms which had been taken from the police	15	negotiation first, that it wouldn't have been appropriate
16	on the Monday the 13th and we know that as far as the	16	to have done anything tactically on the Wednesday morning
17	outside world was concerned, the people who were watching	17	because the negotiations were still ongoing, it would have
18	on the television, who were watching the television	18	been a breach of faith in fact to, as it were, ambush the
19	screens, no action was apparently being taken to disarm or	19	strikers while negotiations were still going on. Did she
20	arrest the strikers. Now did that give rise to any	20	mention that?
21	dissatisfaction that was expressed at the meeting, either	21	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that,
22	by you or any of your colleagues who were present?	22	Chairperson, but what I know for a fact is that, you know,
23	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I think, Chairperson,	23	the principles of negotiation are crucial in how we do
24	to say nothing was being done to disarm – earlier on we had	24	these processes and I think with hindsight, looking at what
25	heard in this Commission that General Mpembe himself was	25	has happened, I would want, I would like to believe that a
<u> </u>			
	Page 37396		Page 37398
1	asking for those weapons. It has always been a concern for	1	lot was done to give negotiations a chance.
2	us as the police that the law was not being abided with	2	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. You say you can't
3	because we know that our country allows people to protest	3	remember that she said that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't, I don't recall
4	and we know that the law requires them to do it peacefully		
5	and this is why Conoral Mnombo would have said I'm not	4 5	
1	and this is why General Mpembe would have said, I'm not	5	that type of conversation.
6 7	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting	5 6	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell
7	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk	5 6 7	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan
7 8	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as	5 6 7 8	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel
7 8 9	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons	5 6 7 8 9	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the
7 8 9 10	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to	5 6 7 8 9 10	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were
7 8 9 10 11	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to General Mbombo telling us about their plan to disarm the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were on the koppie at daybreak when only a few strikers would be
7 8 9 10 11 12	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to General Mbombo telling us about their plan to disarm the protesters.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were on the koppie at daybreak when only a few strikers would be there and thereafter dealing with the others as they
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to General Mbombo telling us about their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: I'm afraid you haven't	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were on the koppie at daybreak when only a few strikers would be there and thereafter dealing with the others as they arrived. This, it was thought, would be a way of dealing
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to General Mbombo telling us about their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: I'm afraid you haven't answered my question, so I shall have to repeat it. There	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were on the koppie at daybreak when only a few strikers would be there and thereafter dealing with the others as they arrived. This, it was thought, would be a way of dealing with the matter in a relatively risk-free fashion and this
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to General Mbombo telling us about their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: I'm afraid you haven't answered my question, so I shall have to repeat it. There was an incident on the 13th, as you correctly say, where	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were on the koppie at daybreak when only a few strikers would be there and thereafter dealing with the others as they arrived. This, it was thought, would be a way of dealing with the matter in a relatively risk-free fashion and this couldn't happen later in the morning because then there
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to General Mbombo telling us about their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: I'm afraid you haven't answered my question, so I shall have to repeat it. There was an incident on the 13th, as you correctly say, where General Mpembe asked them to lay down their arms and they	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were on the koppie at daybreak when only a few strikers would be there and thereafter dealing with the others as they arrived. This, it was thought, would be a way of dealing with the matter in a relatively risk-free fashion and this couldn't happen later in the morning because then there would be many, many other strikers would have arrived and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to General Mbombo telling us about their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: I'm afraid you haven't answered my question, so I shall have to repeat it. There was an incident on the 13th, as you correctly say, where General Mpembe asked them to lay down their arms and they didn't do so and there were various things that happened	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were on the koppie at daybreak when only a few strikers would be there and thereafter dealing with the others as they arrived. This, it was thought, would be a way of dealing with the matter in a relatively risk-free fashion and this couldn't happen later in the morning because then there would be many, many other strikers would have arrived and the situation would have been such that the plan could not
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to General Mbombo telling us about their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: I'm afraid you haven't answered my question, so I shall have to repeat it. There was an incident on the 13th, as you correctly say, where General Mpembe asked them to lay down their arms and they didn't do so and there were various things that happened thereafter which you don't have to explore. But the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were on the koppie at daybreak when only a few strikers would be there and thereafter dealing with the others as they arrived. This, it was thought, would be a way of dealing with the matter in a relatively risk-free fashion and this couldn't happen later in the morning because then there would be many, many other strikers would have arrived and the situation would have been such that the plan could not be carried out successfully. Did she report that to you?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to General Mbombo telling us about their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: I'm afraid you haven't answered my question, so I shall have to repeat it. There was an incident on the 13th, as you correctly say, where General Mpembe asked them to lay down their arms and they didn't do so and there were various things that happened thereafter which you don't have to explore. But the question I asked you was whether anybody at that meeting,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were on the koppie at daybreak when only a few strikers would be there and thereafter dealing with the others as they arrived. This, it was thought, would be a way of dealing with the matter in a relatively risk-free fashion and this couldn't happen later in the morning because then there would be many, many other strikers would have arrived and the situation would have been such that the plan could not be carried out successfully. Did she report that to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: At the meeting of the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to General Mbombo telling us about their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: I'm afraid you haven't answered my question, so I shall have to repeat it. There was an incident on the 13th, as you correctly say, where General Mpembe asked them to lay down their arms and they didn't do so and there were various things that happened thereafter which you don't have to explore. But the question I asked you was whether anybody at that meeting, you or anybody else expressed any dissatisfaction that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were on the koppie at daybreak when only a few strikers would be there and thereafter dealing with the others as they arrived. This, it was thought, would be a way of dealing with the matter in a relatively risk-free fashion and this couldn't happen later in the morning because then there would be many, many other strikers would have arrived and the situation would have been such that the plan could not be carried out successfully. Did she report that to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: At the meeting of the 15th we did not discuss the plan.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to General Mbombo telling us about their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: I'm afraid you haven't answered my question, so I shall have to repeat it. There was an incident on the 13th, as you correctly say, where General Mpembe asked them to lay down their arms and they didn't do so and there were various things that happened thereafter which you don't have to explore. But the question I asked you was whether anybody at that meeting, you or anybody else expressed any dissatisfaction that nothing appeared to have been done to disarm the strikers	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were on the koppie at daybreak when only a few strikers would be there and thereafter dealing with the others as they arrived. This, it was thought, would be a way of dealing with the matter in a relatively risk-free fashion and this couldn't happen later in the morning because then there would be many, many other strikers would have arrived and the situation would have been such that the plan could not be carried out successfully. Did she report that to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: At the meeting of the 15th we did not discuss the plan. CHAIRPERSON: Were you told anything
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to General Mbombo telling us about their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: I'm afraid you haven't answered my question, so I shall have to repeat it. There was an incident on the 13th, as you correctly say, where General Mpembe asked them to lay down their arms and they didn't do so and there were various things that happened thereafter which you don't have to explore. But the question I asked you was whether anybody at that meeting, you or anybody else expressed any dissatisfaction that nothing appeared to have been done to disarm the strikers after that.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were on the koppie at daybreak when only a few strikers would be there and thereafter dealing with the others as they arrived. This, it was thought, would be a way of dealing with the matter in a relatively risk-free fashion and this couldn't happen later in the morning because then there would be many, many other strikers would have arrived and the situation would have been such that the plan could not be carried out successfully. Did she report that to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: At the meeting of the 15th we did not discuss the plan. CHAIRPERSON: Were you told anything about what they proposed doing before that?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to General Mbombo telling us about their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: I'm afraid you haven't answered my question, so I shall have to repeat it. There was an incident on the 13th, as you correctly say, where General Mpembe asked them to lay down their arms and they didn't do so and there were various things that happened thereafter which you don't have to explore. But the question I asked you was whether anybody at that meeting, you or anybody else expressed any dissatisfaction that nothing appeared to have been done to disarm the strikers after that. <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA:</u> Chairperson, I would	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were on the koppie at daybreak when only a few strikers would be there and thereafter dealing with the others as they arrived. This, it was thought, would be a way of dealing with the matter in a relatively risk-free fashion and this couldn't happen later in the morning because then there would be many, many other strikers would have arrived and the situation would have been such that the plan could not be carried out successfully. Did she report that to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: At the meeting of the 15th we did not discuss the plan. CHAIRPERSON: Were you told anything about what they proposed doing before that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I have never asked
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	interested in many things, I'm interested in you putting down your weapons. So the 16th was not a new thing to talk about disarming because we wanted to monitor the protest as it is our responsibility but we were aware that the weapons were an unlawful piece and that's why we listened to General Mbombo telling us about their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: I'm afraid you haven't answered my question, so I shall have to repeat it. There was an incident on the 13th, as you correctly say, where General Mpembe asked them to lay down their arms and they didn't do so and there were various things that happened thereafter which you don't have to explore. But the question I asked you was whether anybody at that meeting, you or anybody else expressed any dissatisfaction that nothing appeared to have been done to disarm the strikers after that.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that type of conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now did she tell you anything about the plan that they had? They had a plan and in fact according to the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott who was the chief planner, the only plan which the JOCCOM had approved involved circling the strikers who were on the koppie at daybreak when only a few strikers would be there and thereafter dealing with the others as they arrived. This, it was thought, would be a way of dealing with the matter in a relatively risk-free fashion and this couldn't happen later in the morning because then there would be many, many other strikers would have arrived and the situation would have been such that the plan could not be carried out successfully. Did she report that to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: At the meeting of the 15th we did not discuss the plan. CHAIRPERSON: Were you told anything about what they proposed doing before that?

1	Page 37399	1	Page 37401
1	conversation with her on Tuesday, the 14th, didn't you, a	-	but I wouldn't be able to recall that one specifically. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Because I must say
2	telephone conversation?	2 3	5
3	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I can check here,		I would have expected her to have told you what the plan
4	Chairperson. Yes, Chair.	4	was. I've told you what the plan was according to the
5	CHAIRPERSON: At 14:32, it's on the first	5	evidence we received. I would have expected her following
6	page, at 14:32:06 you had a conversation with her lasting	6	up the conversation of which we've had her side on the
7	64 seconds, is that correct?	7	transcript, I would have expected her when she phoned you
8	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes.	8	later to have told you what I've just put to you, but you
9	CHAIRPERSON: Now we have a recording of	9	can't remember that at all. Is that fair?
10	her side of the conversation. It's exhibit JJJJ192(bis)	10	GENERAL PHIYEGA: The detail,
11	and on the first page this is what has been transcribed.	11	Chairperson. I acknowledge that she could have come back.
12	Now admittedly this is only her talking to you, we don't	12	There's a lot that has been discussed about this process of
13	know when, what your answers were.	13	Marikana, but to say to you, Chair, that I recall the
14	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Must I read it on the	14	details of that 303 in the midst of these many calls, I can
15	screen?	15	no longer package them in that fashion.
16	CHAIRPERSON: We have it on the screen.	16	CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Of course you
17	It starts actually at three lines from the foot of the	17	wouldn't have had that difficulty in remembering the call
18	page. Could we have – a little further down, a little	18	on the 15th because it had only taken place the day before.
19	further down, further down. Just after the "Yes okay," the	19	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I'm
20	bottom of the screen at the moment is "Yes okay." Just	20	honest, I really do not want to lie to the Commission –
21	below that, "Interruption by telephone call." This is now	21	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, forgive me, I'm not
22	General Mbombo or Provincial Commissioner Mbombo talking.	22	asking you now to say something you can't remember. That's
23	"Yes General, yes general I am still well General, I was	23	the last thing I want you to do, but what I'm putting to
24	just going to call you and give you a rundown feedback.	24	you is that on the 15th at that meeting on the Wednesday
25	[09:52] Can you give me an hour, General, because we are	25	evening you would have been in a much better position to
1	Page 37400 moving in and maybe after an hour I can give you a feedback	1	Page 37402 remember what General Mbombo had told you the night before
2	in terms of what will have transpired. No, it's still	2	in that five-minute conversation that we've seen took place
3	quiet, but we just got information just now they are once		
Ŭ	quiet, but we just get internation just new they are enter	3	after 6 o'clock. That's correct, isn't it? You may have
4	again mobilising on other side whilst in that mountain. I	3 4	after 6 o'clock. That's correct, isn't it? You may have had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation
4 5	again mobilising on other side whilst in that mountain. I was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there.	4	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation
5	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there.	4 5	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from
5 6	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can	4 5 6	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you
5 6 7	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising	4 5 6 7	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely?
5 6 7 8	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just	4 5 6 7 8	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm
5 6 7 8 9	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's	4 5 6 7 8 9	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have
5 6 7 8 9 10	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been one	4 5 6 7 8 9	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in Marikana.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been one of the feedback conversations, yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: And not the plan –
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been one of the feedback conversations, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And did she come back to 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: And not the plan – GENERAL PHIYEGA: And if we had a meeting
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been one of the feedback conversations, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And did she come back to you? 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: And not the plan – GENERAL PHIYEGA: And if we had a meeting on the 16th those issues would still be the same and if she
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been one of the feedback conversations, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And did she come back to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall, Chair. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: And not the plan – GENERAL PHIYEGA: And if we had a meeting on the 16th those issues would still be the same and if she comes on the 16th to say we are planning now to go and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been one of the feedback conversations, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And did she come back to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: According to your telephone	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: And not the plan – GENERAL PHIYEGA: And if we had a meeting on the 16th those issues would still be the same and if she comes on the 16th to say we are planning now to go and disarm, because we haven't disarmed them, it would still be
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been one of the feedback conversations, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And did she come back to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: According to your telephone list you had a conversation with her for 303 seconds at	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: And not the plan – GENERAL PHIYEGA: And if we had a meeting on the 16th those issues would still be the same and if she comes on the 16th to say we are planning now to go and disarm, because we haven't disarmed them, it would still be a continuation of a discussion.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been one of the feedback conversations, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And did she come back to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: According to your telephone list you had a conversation with her for 303 seconds at 18:20, near the top of the second page. Do you remember 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: And not the plan – GENERAL PHIYEGA: And if we had a meeting on the 16th those issues would still be the same and if she comes on the 16th to say we are planning now to go and disarm, because we haven't disarmed them, it would still be a continuation of a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, alright, well
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been one of the feedback conversations, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And did she come back to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: According to your telephone list you had a conversation with her for 303 seconds at 18:20, near the top of the second page. Do you remember that conversation?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: And not the plan – GENERAL PHIYEGA: And if we had a meeting on the 16th those issues would still be the same and if she comes on the 16th to say we are planning now to go and disarm, because we haven't disarmed them, it would still be a continuation of a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, alright, well I've put the question to you. Now the difficulty that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been one of the feedback conversations, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And did she come back to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: According to your telephone list you had a conversation with her for 303 seconds at 18:20, near the top of the second page. Do you remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, yes, the call	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: And not the plan – GENERAL PHIYEGA: And if we had a meeting on the 16th those issues would still be the same and if she comes on the 16th to say we are planning now to go and disarm, because we haven't disarmed them, it would still be a continuation of a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, alright, well I've put the question to you. Now the difficulty that arose on the Wednesday night was this; the information that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been one of the feedback conversations, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And did she come back to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: According to your telephone list you had a conversation with her for 303 seconds at 18:20, near the top of the second page. Do you remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, yes, the call should have taken place, yes. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: And not the plan – GENERAL PHIYEGA: And if we had a meeting on the 16th those issues would still be the same and if she comes on the 16th to say we are planning now to go and disarm, because we haven't disarmed them, it would still be a continuation of a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, alright, well I've put the question to you. Now the difficulty that arose on the Wednesday night was this; the information that I think you had was there was an indication from Mr
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been one of the feedback conversations, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And did she come back to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: According to your telephone list you had a conversation with her for 303 seconds at 18:20, near the top of the second page. Do you remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, yes, the call should have taken place, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Can you remember what was	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: And not the plan – GENERAL PHIYEGA: And if we had a meeting on the 16th those issues would still be the same and if she comes on the 16th to say we are planning now to go and disarm, because we haven't disarmed them, it would still be a continuation of a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, alright, well I've put the question to you. Now the difficulty that arose on the Wednesday night was this; the information that I think you had was there was an indication from Mr Mathunjwa of AMCU that the strikers might be prepared – I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been one of the feedback conversations, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And did she come back to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: According to your telephone list you had a conversation with her for 303 seconds at 18:20, near the top of the second page. Do you remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, yes, the call should have taken place, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Can you remember what was said?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: And not the plan – GENERAL PHIYEGA: And if we had a meeting on the 16th those issues would still be the same and if she comes on the 16th to say we are planning now to go and disarm, because we haven't disarmed them, it would still be a continuation of a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, alright, well I've put the question to you. Now the difficulty that arose on the Wednesday night was this; the information that I think you had was there was an indication from Mr Mathunjwa of AMCU that the strikers might be prepared – I think he may have put it even more strongly than that,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was there. There are about 800 to 1 000 that are there. So we're thinking whilst they are at that number we can maybe circle them around, but they're once again mobilising on the other side, they say the western side. So we just wanted to observe, but I'll come back to you in an hour's time. Alright, thanks. Bye." Remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been one of the feedback conversations, yes. CHAIRPERSON: And did she come back to you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: According to your telephone list you had a conversation with her for 303 seconds at 18:20, near the top of the second page. Do you remember that conversation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, yes, the call should have taken place, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Can you remember what was	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	had lots of phone calls, but surely the Marikana situation was a very serious one and a report you had received from the Provincial Commissioner the day before is something you still would have remembered then, surely? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I'm saying is indeed what we would have, what she would have given me feedback would have been what is happening in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: And not the plan – GENERAL PHIYEGA: And if we had a meeting on the 16th those issues would still be the same and if she comes on the 16th to say we are planning now to go and disarm, because we haven't disarmed them, it would still be a continuation of a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, alright, well I've put the question to you. Now the difficulty that arose on the Wednesday night was this; the information that I think you had was there was an indication from Mr Mathunjwa of AMCU that the strikers might be prepared – I

	Page 37403		Page 37405
1	wouldn't happen until after 9 o'clock because he was going	1	Commissioner Petros from Gauteng, who'd actually been with
2	to go back to the koppie to speak to the strikers at 9	2	you there on the Monday night, and if anyone of them had
3	o'clock. Now were you told that by the Provincial	3	said but this isn't a good idea to proceed tomorrow, you
4	Commissioner?	4	must rather wait a bit, she would have listened to that and
5	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That conversation did	5	not proceeded with the decision to proceed the next
6	come at some point.	6	morning.
7	CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Now you see that	7	Now you see what interests me is that this
8	gives rise to a problem because if the plan was as I	8	meeting took up to an hour, so what was discussed? That's
9	summarised it to you, to have a tactical option at daybreak	9	what I'm trying to find out. It's very important for us to
10	when there are a few people on the koppie who can be dealt	10	know why the decision was taken to proceed on the Thursday
11	with fairly easily, putting wire around them, and then to	11	morning, why that bore the approval, as it apparently did
12	deal with others as they approached, because the evidence	12	because it was endorsed, by those present at that meeting.
13	was that most of these strikers used to go home at night,	13	We've tried to ascertain whether a tape is available of
14	come back in the morning, go home for lunch and come back	14	what was said, the proceedings are normally taped, but
15	in the afternoon, go home again at night. So if you go	15	we've run into a brick wall as far as that's concerned. I
16	early in the morning, put wire around the koppie, deal with	16	won't debate that with you. But it's important for us to
17	the few people who are there and then deal with the others	17	find out what exactly was said. Now you say one thing you
18	as they come, that as I've said was a relatively risk-free	18	can say is she didn't mention the problems that I've
19	way of proceeding. But you couldn't do that later in the	19	summarised to you. That's correct, is it?
20	day when there were already well over a thousand, possibly	20	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes.
20	2 000 people on the koppie. So that plan wouldn't work.	20	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and I've already
			ç ş
22	That's what Lieutenant-Colonel Scott conceded in his	22	told you I thought she would have been under a duty to have
23	evidence. Now if you could only know on Thursday at some	23	done that, but she didn't do that. Did she at any stage
24	stage after 9 o'clock whether they were going to lay down	24	mention the possibility of postponing the operation till
25	their arms or whether you'd have to go to the tactical	25	the Friday morning when they could have possibly acted in
	Page 37404		Page 37406
1	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday.	1	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned
1 2		1 2	0
	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday.		this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned
2	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that?	2	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all?
2 3	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that,	2 3	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that,
2 3 4	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson –	2 3 4	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that.
2 3 4 5	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that?	2 3 4 5	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the
2 3 4 5 6 7	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that?	2 3 4 5 6	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was not the plan to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, as I've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was not the plan to discuss the plan, Chairperson. I have said that earlier	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, as I've said we had a briefing about the situation. Questions were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was not the plan to discuss the plan, Chairperson. I have said that earlier on. The meeting did not discuss the plan. It wasn't the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, as I've said we had a briefing about the situation. Questions were asked. We discussed the resourcing and that was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was not the plan to discuss the plan, Chairperson. I have said that earlier on. The meeting did not discuss the plan. It wasn't the forum for it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, as I've said we had a briefing about the situation. Questions were asked. We discussed the resourcing and that was the purpose of the meeting and then we endorsed her plan to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was not the plan to discuss the plan, Chairperson. I have said that earlier on. The meeting did not discuss the plan. It wasn't the forum for it. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, but what the meeting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, as I've said we had a briefing about the situation. Questions were asked. We discussed the resourcing and that was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was not the plan to discuss the plan, Chairperson. I have said that earlier on. The meeting did not discuss the plan. It wasn't the forum for it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, as I've said we had a briefing about the situation. Questions were asked. We discussed the resourcing and that was the purpose of the meeting and then we endorsed her plan to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was not the plan to discuss the plan, Chairperson. I have said that earlier on. The meeting did not discuss the plan. It wasn't the forum for it. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, but what the meeting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, as I've said we had a briefing about the situation. Questions were asked. We discussed the resourcing and that was the purpose of the meeting and then we endorsed her plan to do whatever, and in each of the circumstances really she has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was not the plan to discuss the plan, Chairperson. I have said that earlier on. The meeting did not discuss the plan. It wasn't the forum for it. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, but what the meeting did do according to the minutes was it endorsed her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, as I've said we had a briefing about the situation. Questions were asked. We discussed the resourcing and that was the purpose of the meeting and then we endorsed her plan to do whatever, and in each of the circumstances really she has people that are operationally seasoned as she said, who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was not the plan to discuss the plan, Chairperson. I have said that earlier on. The meeting did not discuss the plan. It wasn't the forum for it. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, but what the meeting did do according to the minutes was it endorsed her proposal to move to the tactical option the next day. She herself said, I must tell you, that they were, she conceded	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, as I've said we had a briefing about the situation. Questions were asked. We discussed the resourcing and that was the purpose of the meeting and then we endorsed her plan to do whatever, and in each of the circumstances really she has people that are operationally seasoned as she said, who would have done the plan in the province, in the JOC, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was not the plan to discuss the plan, Chairperson. I have said that earlier on. The meeting did not discuss the plan. It wasn't the forum for it. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, but what the meeting did do according to the minutes was it endorsed her proposal to move to the tactical option the next day. She herself said, I must tell you, that they were, she conceded very readily that tactical operations weren't her forte.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, as I've said we had a briefing about the situation. Questions were asked. We discussed the resourcing and that was the purpose of the meeting and then we endorsed her plan to do whatever, and in each of the circumstances really she has people that are operationally seasoned as she said, who would have done the plan in the province, in the JOC, and all those things. So I think the best, the background of, the backdrop of that meeting was that if there are any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was not the plan to discuss the plan, Chairperson. I have said that earlier on. The meeting did not discuss the plan. It wasn't the forum for it. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, but what the meeting did do according to the minutes was it endorsed her proposal to move to the tactical option the next day. She herself said, I must tell you, that they were, she conceded very readily that tactical operations weren't her forte. That wasn't her field of experience. Her field of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, as I've said we had a briefing about the situation. Questions were asked. We discussed the resourcing and that was the purpose of the meeting and then we endorsed her plan to do whatever, and in each of the circumstances really she has people that are operationally seasoned as she said, who would have done the plan in the province, in the JOC, and all those things. So I think the best, the background of, the backdrop of that meeting was that if there are any specific plans to be done she would have done that with her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was not the plan to discuss the plan, Chairperson. I have said that earlier on. The meeting did not discuss the plan. It wasn't the forum for it. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, but what the meeting did do according to the minutes was it endorsed her proposal to move to the tactical option the next day. She herself said, I must tell you, that they were, she conceded very readily that tactical operations weren't her forte. That wasn't her field of experience. Her field of experience is more financial administration and she said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, as I've said we had a briefing about the situation. Questions were asked. We discussed the resourcing and that was the purpose of the meeting and then we endorsed her plan to do whatever, and in each of the circumstances really she has people that are operationally seasoned as she said, who would have done the plan in the province, in the JOC, and all those things. So I think the best, the background of, the backdrop of that meeting was that if there are any specific plans to be done she would have done that with her people. We did not discuss the plan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was not the plan to discuss the plan, Chairperson. I have said that earlier on. The meeting did not discuss the plan. It wasn't the forum for it. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, but what the meeting did do according to the minutes was it endorsed her proposal to move to the tactical option the next day. She herself said, I must tell you, that they were, she conceded very readily that tactical operations weren't her forte. That wasn't her field of experience. Her field of experience is more financial administration and she said that there were a number of seasoned operational people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, as I've said we had a briefing about the situation. Questions were asked. We discussed the resourcing and that was the purpose of the meeting and then we endorsed her plan to do whatever, and in each of the circumstances really she has people that are operationally seasoned as she said, who would have done the plan in the province, in the JOC, and all those things. So I think the best, the background of, the backdrop of that meeting was that if there are any specific plans to be done she would have done that with her people. We did not discuss the plan. CHAIRPERSON: Did any of the generals who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	option, that plan could not be implemented on the Thursday. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know that, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: - believe me. CHAIRPERSON: You did not know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I must tell you that I would have expected the Provincial Commissioner to have conveyed that to you and your colleagues who were at that meeting, but you say she failed to do so? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was not the plan to discuss the plan, Chairperson. I have said that earlier on. The meeting did not discuss the plan. It wasn't the forum for it. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, but what the meeting did do according to the minutes was it endorsed her proposal to move to the tactical option the next day. She herself said, I must tell you, that they were, she conceded very readily that tactical operations weren't her forte. That wasn't her field of experience. Her field of experience is more financial administration and she said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this relatively risk-free at daybreak? Was that mentioned by her at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall that, Chair. I don't remember that. CHAIRPERSON: So you can't remember whether she mentioned the possibility of postponing the operation until sunrise on the Friday, alright. Now what I'd like to know is I'd like to know what everybody who was there said, so far as you can remember it. It was a very important meeting, the kind of meeting I would imagine you would have thought about again after the catastrophe the next day. What did you say during the discussions? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, as I've said we had a briefing about the situation. Questions were asked. We discussed the resourcing and that was the purpose of the meeting and then we endorsed her plan to do whatever, and in each of the circumstances really she has people that are operationally seasoned as she said, who would have done the plan in the province, in the JOC, and all those things. So I think the best, the background of, the backdrop of that meeting was that if there are any specific plans to be done she would have done that with her people. We did not discuss the plan.

1	Page 37407 the disarming was to be effected?	1	Page 37409 telling this Commission, Chair. I'm saying that –
2	GENERAL PHIYEGA: As I say, Chairperson,	2	CHAIRPERSON: What are you telling us
3	we had people from operations and the question of disarming	2	then –
4	and whatever, it's a known process and when somebody says	4	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said this,
5	we're going to encircle, disarm, it's a known process. Any	5	Chairperson, the issue of disarming the protesters was
6	policeperson would know what to do in terms of their own	6	discussed. The detail of what was asked I don't have it,
7	prescripts and protocols. I don't remember us, you know,	7	Chairperson. I don't have it in my head recorded in that
8	really going into detail around those type of aspects.	, 8	narrative sense that you are asking from me. The issue of
9	CHAIRPERSON: Details is one thing, but I	9	disarming the protesters was discussed and was endorsed,
10	must tell you that I would have expected experienced	10	but what you are asking of me is to have a photographic
11	policemen to have enquired about this particular operation.	11	memory of saying what happened. I don't have it.
12	This isn't just a simple disarming operation. Here were	12	CHAIRPERSON: No, I'm afraid I'm not
12	3 000 people. There's a debate as to how many of them had	12	communicating to you properly what interests me. Perhaps I
14			
14	dangerous weapons, but at least 400 of them had dangerous	14 15	must ask again. I wouldn't have thought that the risk of
16	weapons. As your meeting was taking place General Mpembe was saying to Mr Zokwana of NUM that it would be a very		bloodshed, the risk of death in the course of the operation would have been simply described as a detail which doesn't
		16	
17 18	dangerous thing to try to disarm, as he put it, disarm a man with an axe if you're armed with a rifle. It will be a	17 18	have to be considered further. That wouldn't have been a detail. That surely would have been a fundamental matter
	5	10	which should have been considered by people asked to
19 20	very dangerous thing to do without the serious risk of	20	
20	bloodshed. This is why he suggested another way forward,		endorse the proposal, asked to make resources available so
21 22	but let's not debate that. You know nothing about that,	21	that the proposal could be implemented. Now are you saying
22	but he obviously was concerned that it wasn't just a simple disarming process that could be done without any trouble.	22 23	it wasn't discussed, it was simply a detail that could be disregarded? Surely that's not what you're telling us.
23 24	So what I asked you that you haven't quite	23 24	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think to
24 25	answered yet, let me repeat the question. Did any of the	24 25	say it's simply a detail is stating it lightly. I'm not
20	answered yet, let me repeat the question. Did any of the	23	
	Page 37408		Page 37410
1	generals there who were experienced in operations enquire	1	taking anything light. We had a very serious discussion
2	not necessary for details, but enquire how the disarming	2	and we considered the proposal that had been put on the
3	was to be effected in a way which was not likely to lead to		
	was to be effected in a way which was not likely to lead to	3	table. As to whether it was asked in the fashion that you
4	bloodshed?	3 4	table. As to whether it was asked in the fashion that you are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail.
4 5	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already		are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair.
	bloodshed?	4	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail.
5	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was	4 5	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a
5 6	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi	4 5 6	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know
5 6 7 8 9	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation,	4 5 7 8 9	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT
5 6 7 8 9 10	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because	4 5 7 8 9 10	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were
5 6 7 8 9	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not grasping that. It's a conversation trying to understand	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not grasping that. It's a conversation trying to understand what is happening in an environment, what is going to be	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I can
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not grasping that. It's a conversation trying to understand what is happening in an environment, what is going to be done, and what one can clearly said was that we supported	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I can understand routine disarmament and dispersal operations.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not grasping that. It's a conversation trying to understand what is happening in an environment, what is going to be done, and what one can clearly said was that we supported and endorsed their plan to disarm the protesters.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I can understand routine disarmament and dispersal operations. Those you don't go into detail. That's follow the ordinary
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not grasping that. It's a conversation trying to understand what is happening in an environment, what is going to be done, and what one can clearly said was that we supported and endorsed their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: Are you telling this	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I can understand routine disarmament and dispersal operations. Those you don't go into detail. That's follow the ordinary course. But this was, everyone concedes, a unique event in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not grasping that. It's a conversation trying to understand what is happening in an environment, what is going to be done, and what one can clearly said was that we supported and endorsed their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: Are you telling this Commission that not one of those experiences general,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I can understand routine disarmament and dispersal operations. Those you don't go into detail. That's follow the ordinary course. But this was, everyone concedes, a unique event in South African policing history. This was a problem, and to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not grasping that. It's a conversation trying to understand what is happening in an environment, what is going to be done, and what one can clearly said was that we supported and endorsed their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: Are you telling this Commission that not one of those experiences general, Masemola and Mawela from head office, all the other	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I can understand routine disarmament and dispersal operations. Those you don't go into detail. That's follow the ordinary course. But this was, everyone concedes, a unique event in South African policing history. This was a problem, and to be fair one's got to be aware of that all the time, this
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not grasping that. It's a conversation trying to understand what is happening in an environment, what is going to be done, and what one can clearly said was that we supported and endorsed their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: Are you telling this Commission that not one of those experiences general, Masemola and Mawela from head office, all the other provincial commissioners, not one of them enquired whether	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I can understand routine disarmament and dispersal operations. Those you don't go into detail. That's follow the ordinary course. But this was, everyone concedes, a unique event in South African policing history. This was a problem, and to be fair one's got to be aware of that all the time, this was a, some people had said the police failed because it
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not grasping that. It's a conversation trying to understand what is happening in an environment, what is going to be done, and what one can clearly said was that we supported and endorsed their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: Are you telling this Commission that not one of those experiences general, Masemola and Mawela from head office, all the other provincial commissioners, not one of them enquired whether there were any risks, raised a note of caution about the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I can understand routine disarmament and dispersal operations. Those you don't go into detail. That's follow the ordinary course. But this was, everyone concedes, a unique event in South African policing history. This was a problem, and to be fair one's got to be aware of that all the time, this was a, some people had said the police failed because it was something they'd never experienced before and in a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not grasping that. It's a conversation trying to understand what is happening in an environment, what is going to be done, and what one can clearly said was that we supported and endorsed their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: Are you telling this Commission that not one of those experiences general, Masemola and Mawela from head office, all the other provincial commissioners, not one of them enquired whether there were any risks, raised a note of caution about the possibility of bloodshed, mentioned the furore that had	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I can understand routine disarmament and dispersal operations. Those you don't go into detail. That's follow the ordinary course. But this was, everyone concedes, a unique event in South African policing history. This was a problem, and to be fair one's got to be aware of that all the time, this was a, some people had said the police failed because it was something they'd never experienced before and in a sense one can understand that and sympathise with that.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not grasping that. It's a conversation trying to understand what is happening in an environment, what is going to be done, and what one can clearly said was that we supported and endorsed their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: Are you telling this Commission that not one of those experiences general, Masemola and Mawela from head office, all the other provincial commissioners, not one of them enquired whether there were any risks, raised a note of caution about the possibility of bloodshed, mentioned the furore that had arisen as a result of the killing of Mr Tatane? Did nobody	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I can understand routine disarmament and dispersal operations. Those you don't go into detail. That's follow the ordinary course. But this was, everyone concedes, a unique event in South African policing history. This was a problem, and to be fair one's got to be aware of that all the time, this was a, some people had said the police failed because it was something they'd never experienced before and in a sense one can understand that and sympathise with that. But this wasn't a routine thing. This was, since 1994 this
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not grasping that. It's a conversation trying to understand what is happening in an environment, what is going to be done, and what one can clearly said was that we supported and endorsed their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: Are you telling this Commission that not one of those experiences general, Masemola and Mawela from head office, all the other provincial commissioners, not one of them enquired whether there were any risks, raised a note of caution about the possibility of bloodshed, mentioned the furore that had arisen as a result of the killing of Mr Tatane? Did nobody raise any cautionary note at all about the risk of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I can understand routine disarmament and dispersal operations. Those you don't go into detail. That's follow the ordinary course. But this was, everyone concedes, a unique event in South African policing history. This was a problem, and to be fair one's got to be aware of that all the time, this was a, some people had said the police failed because it was something they'd never experienced before and in a sense one can understand that and sympathise with that. But this wasn't a routine thing. This was, since 1994 this was the most important disarmament operation of a kind that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not grasping that. It's a conversation trying to understand what is happening in an environment, what is going to be done, and what one can clearly said was that we supported and endorsed their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: Are you telling this Commission that not one of those experiences general, Masemola and Mawela from head office, all the other provincial commissioners, not one of them enquired whether there were any risks, raised a note of caution about the possibility of bloodshed, mentioned the furore that had arisen as a result of the killing of Mr Tatane? Did nobody raise any cautionary note at all about the risk of bloodshed? Is that what you're telling this Commission?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I can understand routine disarmament and dispersal operations. Those you don't go into detail. That's follow the ordinary course. But this was, everyone concedes, a unique event in South African policing history. This was a problem, and to be fair one's got to be aware of that all the time, this was a, some people had said the police failed because it was something they'd never experienced before and in a sense one can understand that and sympathise with that. But this wasn't a routine thing. This was, since 1994 this was the most important disarmament operation of a kind that the police had ever taken part in. Isn't that correct?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	bloodshed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, as I've already indicated the conversation about disarming and all those that took place, those type of details of whether there was enquiry, the plan we did not discuss. The modus operandi of disarming and whatever could have been a conversation, but I don't recall really specifically around that, because at that point in time I'm sure even your memory is not grasping that. It's a conversation trying to understand what is happening in an environment, what is going to be done, and what one can clearly said was that we supported and endorsed their plan to disarm the protesters. CHAIRPERSON: Are you telling this Commission that not one of those experiences general, Masemola and Mawela from head office, all the other provincial commissioners, not one of them enquired whether there were any risks, raised a note of caution about the possibility of bloodshed, mentioned the furore that had arisen as a result of the killing of Mr Tatane? Did nobody raise any cautionary note at all about the risk of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are putting it, I am saying I cannot recall in that detail. That's what I'm saying, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, now you see there's a further problem about that. It wasn't just a simple POPS disarm and disperse operation because we know and you knew at the time that a significant number of TRT people, NIU people and STF people were present and were taking part in the operation. Is that correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I can understand routine disarmament and dispersal operations. Those you don't go into detail. That's follow the ordinary course. But this was, everyone concedes, a unique event in South African policing history. This was a problem, and to be fair one's got to be aware of that all the time, this was a, some people had said the police failed because it was something they'd never experienced before and in a sense one can understand that and sympathise with that. But this wasn't a routine thing. This was, since 1994 this was the most important disarmament operation of a kind that

1	Page 37411 Chair.	1	Page 37413 responsibility, would have had the responsibility, I must
2	CHAIRPERSON: There had already been	2	tell you I would have expected at least one or two of them
3	problems, serious controversy arising because of the death	3	to have said hang on a second, let's just interrogate this
4	of one person, Mr Tatane. So if this operation had	4	proposal, let's just see it doesn't go badly wrong, leave
5	involved the death of even a dozen strikers that would have	5	us with terrible problems and responsibility for something
6	been regarded as a very serious matter, wouldn't it?	6	that we don't want to happen. You're saying you can't
7	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I agree, Chair.	7	remember anybody doing that?
8	CHAIRPERSON: Now surely someone of these	8	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I've –
9	experienced people who were there must have raised up his	9	CHAIRPERSON: Is that fair?
10	hand and said let's be careful, let's just look at it	10	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I think I've indicated
11	objectively and carefully to make sure that nothing doesn't	11	that the details of the discussion, unfortunately my mind
12	go badly wrong. Are you saying none of them did that?	12	doesn't take me that far. The discussions around the plan
13	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I have	13	to encircle and to disarm those people, there was a
14	tried to give my position on that one and I want to also	14	discussion about disarming those people, but the details,
15	indicate that we should recall that as we were meeting	15	Chairperson, as you are asking me to respond to, I am not
16	there was a whole setup that was managing the operation	16	able to give that detail.
17	there. As you've already indicated in your earlier	17	CHAIRPERSON: Well you and I, I think
18	question to me, General Mbombo talks about very skilled	18	will have to agree to disagree on the question of whether
19	people in operations that are meeting to manage the	19	I'm asking you about detail or something else. But anyway,
20	operation in Rustenburg as we are sitting here in Midrand.	20	I've asked you what you said. Can you remember what you
21	You mentioned the issue of General Mpembe talking to other	21	said? Not the whole thing obviously, but can you give us a
22	people. As we talk around this briefing, around this	22	sort of one or two sentence summary of what you said?
23	situation, around resourcing, there's a team that is	23	GENERAL PHIYEGA: What I said was that we
24	managing what is happening on the ground, Chairperson, and	24	are gathered to get feedback from General Mbombo, briefing
25	that backdrop is very important because we are comfortable	25	on what is happening in Marikana, because it was a
	D 07140		
1	Page 37412	1	Page 37414
1	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is	1	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that
2	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening,	2	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms
2 3	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is	2 3	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say
2 3 4	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place.	2 3 4	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are
2 3 4 5	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with	2 3 4 5	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed.
2 3 4 5 6	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether	2 3 4	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your
2 3 4 5	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested	2 3 4 5 6	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more
2 3 4 5 6 7	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened	2 3 4 5 6 7	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very important discussion as it turned out. Now the fact that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking about, how we're going to give additional support in terms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very important discussion as it turned out. Now the fact that the proposal was endorsed by this meeting, the fact that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking about, how we're going to give additional support in terms of water canons, additional people, all those types of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very important discussion as it turned out. Now the fact that the proposal was endorsed by this meeting, the fact that the people there all agreed to make resources available as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking about, how we're going to give additional support in terms of water canons, additional people, all those types of issues. And when they can be released to go and give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very important discussion as it turned out. Now the fact that the proposal was endorsed by this meeting, the fact that the people there all agreed to make resources available as required, surely means that they cannot evade	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking about, how we're going to give additional support in terms of water canons, additional people, all those types of issues. And when they can be released to go and give support.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very important discussion as it turned out. Now the fact that the proposal was endorsed by this meeting, the fact that the people there all agreed to make resources available as required, surely means that they cannot evade responsibility and say we knew about the plan, we said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking about, how we're going to give additional support in terms of water canons, additional people, all those types of issues. And when they can be released to go and give support. CHAIRPERSON: All right, there were some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very important discussion as it turned out. Now the fact that the proposal was endorsed by this meeting, the fact that the people there all agreed to make resources available as required, surely means that they cannot evade responsibility and say we knew about the plan, we said sure, go ahead, we endorse it, we'll make resources	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking about, how we're going to give additional support in terms of water canons, additional people, all those types of issues. And when they can be released to go and give support. CHAIRPERSON: All right, there were some further aspects about this situation which made it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very important discussion as it turned out. Now the fact that the proposal was endorsed by this meeting, the fact that the people there all agreed to make resources available as required, surely means that they cannot evade responsibility and say we knew about the plan, we said sure, go ahead, we endorse it, we'll make resources available, but if it goes wrong because it was managed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking about, how we're going to give additional support in terms of water canons, additional people, all those types of issues. And when they can be released to go and give support. CHAIRPERSON: All right, there were some further aspects about this situation which made it unprecedented, in the run up to the events of the 16th we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very important discussion as it turned out. Now the fact that the proposal was endorsed by this meeting, the fact that the people there all agreed to make resources available as required, surely means that they cannot evade responsibility and say we knew about the plan, we said sure, go ahead, we endorse it, we'll make resources available, but if it goes wrong because it was managed badly or it was defective in the planning, that's nothing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking about, how we're going to give additional support in terms of water canons, additional people, all those types of issues. And when they can be released to go and give support. CHAIRPERSON: All right, there were some further aspects about this situation which made it unprecedented, in the run up to the events of the 16th we know that 10 people had died, three strikers and some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very important discussion as it turned out. Now the fact that the proposal was endorsed by this meeting, the fact that the people there all agreed to make resources available as required, surely means that they cannot evade responsibility and say we knew about the plan, we said sure, go ahead, we endorse it, we'll make resources available, but if it goes wrong because it was managed badly or it was defective in the planning, that's nothing to do with us. Surely there comes a time when responsibility must rest with those people at that meeting as well? They can't just say well the people on the spot,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking about, how we're going to give additional support in terms of water canons, additional people, all those types of issues. And when they can be released to go and give support. CHAIRPERSON: All right, there were some further aspects about this situation which made it unprecedented, in the run up to the events of the 16th we know that 10 people had died, three strikers and some others. Now that also indicated a number of things didn't it? Amongst other things it indicated the kind of people you were dealing with, people who didn't hesitate,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very important discussion as it turned out. Now the fact that the proposal was endorsed by this meeting, the fact that the people there all agreed to make resources available as required, surely means that they cannot evade responsibility and say we knew about the plan, we said sure, go ahead, we endorse it, we'll make resources available, but if it goes wrong because it was managed badly or it was defective in the planning, that's nothing to do with us. Surely there comes a time when responsibility must rest with those people at that meeting as well? They can't just say well the people on the spot, they must carry the can, they're responsible for what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking about, how we're going to give additional support in terms of water canons, additional people, all those types of issues. And when they can be released to go and give support. CHAIRPERSON: All right, there were some further aspects about this situation which made it unprecedented, in the run up to the events of the 16th we know that 10 people had died, three strikers and some others. Now that also indicated a number of things didn't it? Amongst other things it indicated the kind of people you were dealing with, people who didn't hesitate, according to the information you had, to murder others
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very important discussion as it turned out. Now the fact that the proposal was endorsed by this meeting, the fact that the people there all agreed to make resources available as required, surely means that they cannot evade responsibility and say we knew about the plan, we said sure, go ahead, we endorse it, we'll make resources available, but if it goes wrong because it was managed badly or it was defective in the planning, that's nothing to do with us. Surely there comes a time when responsibility must rest with those people at that meeting as well? They can't just say well the people on the spot, they must carry the can, they're responsible for what happened. You people endorsed the proposal, agreed to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking about, how we're going to give additional support in terms of water canons, additional people, all those types of issues. And when they can be released to go and give support. CHAIRPERSON: All right, there were some further aspects about this situation which made it unprecedented, in the run up to the events of the 16th we know that 10 people had died, three strikers and some others. Now that also indicated a number of things didn't it? Amongst other things it indicated the kind of people you were dealing with, people who didn't hesitate, according to the information you had, to murder others because they were participating in an unprotected strike.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very important discussion as it turned out. Now the fact that the proposal was endorsed by this meeting, the fact that the people there all agreed to make resources available as required, surely means that they cannot evade responsibility and say we knew about the plan, we said sure, go ahead, we endorse it, we'll make resources available, but if it goes wrong because it was managed badly or it was defective in the planning, that's nothing to do with us. Surely there comes a time when responsibility must rest with those people at that meeting as well? They can't just say well the people on the spot, they must carry the can, they're responsible for what happened. You people endorsed the proposal, agreed to make resources available. You cannot surely evade	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking about, how we're going to give additional support in terms of water canons, additional people, all those types of issues. And when they can be released to go and give support. CHAIRPERSON: All right, there were some further aspects about this situation which made it unprecedented, in the run up to the events of the 16th we know that 10 people had died, three strikers and some others. Now that also indicated a number of things didn't it? Amongst other things it indicated the kind of people you were dealing with, people who didn't hesitate, according to the information you had, to murder others because they were participating in an unprotected strike. You must have known that surely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very important discussion as it turned out. Now the fact that the proposal was endorsed by this meeting, the fact that the people there all agreed to make resources available as required, surely means that they cannot evade responsibility and say we knew about the plan, we said sure, go ahead, we endorse it, we'll make resources available, but if it goes wrong because it was managed badly or it was defective in the planning, that's nothing to do with us. Surely there comes a time when responsibility must rest with those people at that meeting as well? They can't just say well the people on the spot, they must carry the can, they're responsible for what happened. You people endorsed the proposal, agreed to make resources available. You cannot surely evade responsibility. To be fair to you, I don't suggest that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking about, how we're going to give additional support in terms of water canons, additional people, all those types of issues. And when they can be released to go and give support. CHAIRPERSON: All right, there were some further aspects about this situation which made it unprecedented, in the run up to the events of the 16th we know that 10 people had died, three strikers and some others. Now that also indicated a number of things didn't it? Amongst other things it indicated the kind of people you were dealing with, people who didn't hesitate, according to the information you had, to murder others because they were participating in an unprotected strike. You must have known that surely. <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA</u> : Yes I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	as leaders that there are people who are managing what is taking place, who are taking feedback, who are listening, who are endorsing, because the nitty-gritty of the plan is sitting where the operation is taking place. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well we'll deal with that matter in a moment, but I'm not interested in whether you knew the nitty-gritty, as you put it. I'm interested in, as I've told you I'm trying to find out what happened in the course of discussion because it was a very, very important discussion as it turned out. Now the fact that the proposal was endorsed by this meeting, the fact that the people there all agreed to make resources available as required, surely means that they cannot evade responsibility and say we knew about the plan, we said sure, go ahead, we endorse it, we'll make resources available, but if it goes wrong because it was managed badly or it was defective in the planning, that's nothing to do with us. Surely there comes a time when responsibility must rest with those people at that meeting as well? They can't just say well the people on the spot, they must carry the can, they're responsible for what happened. You people endorsed the proposal, agreed to make resources available. You cannot surely evade	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	situation that was concerning all of us. She gave us that feedback. She shared with us what their plans are in terms of disarming the protesters, it was furnished for us to say how do you manage a public order situation where people are armed the way they are armed. [10:12] Because it makes it difficult for you to do your normal work. And then because there were needs for more people, the numbers that you are talking about, 3000 people at the koppie and every day those processes we were talking about, how we're going to give additional support in terms of water canons, additional people, all those types of issues. And when they can be released to go and give support. CHAIRPERSON: All right, there were some further aspects about this situation which made it unprecedented, in the run up to the events of the 16th we know that 10 people had died, three strikers and some others. Now that also indicated a number of things didn't it? Amongst other things it indicated the kind of people you were dealing with, people who didn't hesitate, according to the information you had, to murder others because they were participating in an unprotected strike. You must have known that surely.

1	Page 37415	1	Page 37417 I don't have to debate that with you. But you can't tell
1	people who were likely to put up a fight and were in possession of dangerous weapons, in fact some firearms	1 2	me what General Mawela said, can you remember what General
3	also, so the chances of managing this operation without	2	Masemola said?
4	bloodshed even on a relatively large scale were quite great	4	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall.
5	weren't they?	5	CHAIRPERSON: And I won't go for them
6	GENERAL PHIYEGA: True, Chairperson.	6	all, but there's one person I'm interested in particularly
7	CHAIRPERSON: Did no one sound a – you	7	and that's Major-General Petros, sorry Lieutenant-General
8	say you can't remember anyone sounding a cautionary note on	8	Petros. He was the Provincial Commissioner of Gauteng,
9	that with that many detail it wouldn't have stayed in your	9	he'd actually been to Marikana with you on the Monday and
10	mind, is that what you're telling us?	10	he'd spoken to the members of the SAPS who there and also
11	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Because I said it was	11	had been present, I think, when you spoke Lonmin. That's
12	difficult situation, Chairperson, the armed protestors and	12	correct isn't it?
13	all that history would definitely have influenced our	13	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair.
14	discussions because we wanted to [inaudible] disarming and	14	CHAIRPERSON: Did he take part in the
15	we knew that they had to be disarmed because we can already	15	discussion?
16	see that the other deaths, there is violence in this	16	GENERAL PHIYEGA: He probably did because
17	protest. And this is why we wouldn't have mobilised	17	he was part of that meeting, but I would not recall what he
18	anybody if there wasn't a need to give support. We	18	said.
19	mobilised because we could deal - we were noticing the	19	CHAIRPERSON: I see. Now I just want to
20	issues that you are mentioning as an organisation.	20	make one thing clear, I think it's implicit in what you're
21	CHAIRPERSON: Who raised these points?	21	saying, I just want to get one thing clear, I think it's
22	We know that the senior officer in charge of operation	22	implicit in what you've said already, I just want to make I
23	responses was present at your meeting, is that right?	23	don't misunderstand you. Are you seriously suggesting that
24	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes senior officers -	24	the meeting endorsed the proposal without knowing what the
25	CHAIRPERSON: General Annandale, Major-	25	details of the operation were.
1	Page 37416 General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate	1	Page 37418 GENERAL PHIVEGA: That is not my response
1	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate	1	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response
2	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting.	2	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair.
2 3	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair.		GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response?
2 3 4	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola	2 3	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is
2 3	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela?	2 3 4	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised
2 3 4 5 6	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair.	2 3 4 5	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were
2 3 4 5	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediatesuperior was at your meeting.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Correct, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Was that General Masemolaor General Mawela?GENERAL PHIYEGA:GENERAL PHIYEGA:General Mawela, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:We know he spoke at the	2 3 4 5 6	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised
2 3 4 5 6 7	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that,	2 3 4 5 6 7	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediatesuperior was at your meeting.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Correct, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Was that General Masemolaor General Mawela?GENERAL PHIYEGA:GENERAL PHIYEGA:General Mawela, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:We know he spoke at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GENERAL PHIYEGA:That is not my responseto you, Chair
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall, Chair,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell you unless I go to the records to say who gave the water
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall, Chair, we spoke as a team. You are asking me for the details, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell you unless I go to the records to say who gave the water canon and how many people came from where. It's what I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall, Chair, we spoke as a team. You are asking me for the details, I cannot be able to say to you Riah said this, this one said,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell you unless I go to the records to say who gave the water canon and how many people came from where. It's what I'm saying to you, Chair, that that detail is not quite what is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall, Chair, we spoke as a team. You are asking me for the details, I cannot be able to say to you Riah said this, this one said, I'm not able to say. I know we had a discussion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell you unless I go to the records to say who gave the water canon and how many people came from where. It's what I'm saying to you, Chair, that that detail is not quite what is in my mind and because we didn't record I do not – I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall, Chair, we spoke as a team. You are asking me for the details, I cannot be able to say to you Riah said this, this one said, I'm not able to say. I know we had a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes all right. This was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell you unless I go to the records to say who gave the water canon and how many people came from where. It's what I'm saying to you, Chair, that that detail is not quite what is in my mind and because we didn't record I do not – I'm not able to say Phiyega said this, Mawela said, I'm not able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall, Chair, we spoke as a team. You are asking me for the details, I cannot be able to say to you Riah said this, this one said, I'm not able to say. I know we had a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes all right. This was a very important meeting, we know that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell you unless I go to the records to say who gave the water canon and how many people came from where. It's what I'm saying to you, Chair, that that detail is not quite what is in my mind and because we didn't record I do not – I'm not able to say Phiyega said this, Mawela said, I'm not able to say that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall, Chair, we spoke as a team. You are asking me for the details, I cannot be able to say to you Riah said this, this one said, I'm not able to say. I know we had a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes all right. This was a very important meeting, we know that. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do know, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell you unless I go to the records to say who gave the water canon and how many people came from where. It's what I'm saying to you, Chair, that that detail is not quite what is in my mind and because we didn't record I do not – I'm not able to say Phiyega said this, Mawela said, I'm not able to say that. CHAIRPERSON: I must tell you my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall, Chair, we spoke as a team. You are asking me for the details, I cannot be able to say to you Riah said this, this one said, I'm not able to say. I know we had a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes all right. This was a very important meeting, we know that. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do know, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Very skeletal minutes were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell you unless I go to the records to say who gave the water canon and how many people came from where. It's what I'm saying to you, Chair, that that detail is not quite what is in my mind and because we didn't record I do not – I'm not able to say Phiyega said this, Mawela said, I'm not able to say that. CHAIRPERSON: I must tell you my understanding is that water canons had already been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall, Chair, we spoke as a team. You are asking me for the details, I cannot be able to say to you Riah said this, this one said, I'm not able to say. I know we had a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes all right. This was a very important meeting, we know that. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do know, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Very skeletal minutes were kept. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I beg your pardon. CHAIRPERSON: Very skeletal minutes were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell you unless I go to the records to say who gave the water canon and how many people came from where. It's what I'm saying to you, Chair, that that detail is not quite what is in my mind and because we didn't record I do not – I'm not able to say Phiyega said this, Mawela said, I'm not able to say that. CHAIRPERSON: I must tell you my understanding is that water canons had already been arranged, but sorry – yes one extra water canon came on Thursday, that's a detail they inferred me to ask you about. But what I want to know from you, I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall, Chair, we spoke as a team. You are asking me for the details, I cannot be able to say to you Riah said this, this one said, I'm not able to say. I know we had a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes all right. This was a very important meeting, we know that. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do know, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Very skeletal minutes were kept. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I beg your pardon. CHAIRPERSON: Very skeletal minutes were kept, there were note made of who said what during the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell you unless I go to the records to say who gave the water canon and how many people came from where. It's what I'm saying to you, Chair, that that detail is not quite what is in my mind and because we didn't record I do not – I'm not able to say Phiyega said this, Mawela said, I'm not able to say that. CHAIRPERSON: I must tell you my understanding is that water canons had already been arranged, but sorry – yes one extra water canon came on Thursday, that's a detail they inferred me to ask you about. But what I want to know from you, I'm not interested in details, but did anybody ask for some kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall, Chair, we spoke as a team. You are asking me for the details, I cannot be able to say to you Riah said this, this one said, I'm not able to say. I know we had a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes all right. This was a very important meeting, we know that. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do know, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Very skeletal minutes were kept. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I beg your pardon. CHAIRPERSON: Very skeletal minutes were kept, there were note made of who said what during the debate, that's not available to us. It's good practise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell you unless I go to the records to say who gave the water canon and how many people came from where. It's what I'm saying to you, Chair, that that detail is not quite what is in my mind and because we didn't record I do not – I'm not able to say Phiyega said this, Mawela said, I'm not able to say that. CHAIRPERSON: I must tell you my understanding is that water canons had already been arranged, but sorry – yes one extra water canon came on Thursday, that's a detail they inferred me to ask you about. But what I want to know from you, I'm not interested in details, but did anybody ask for some kind of assurance that the risk of bloodshed had been adequately
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall, Chair, we spoke as a team. You are asking me for the details, I cannot be able to say to you Riah said this, this one said, I'm not able to say. I know we had a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes all right. This was a very important meeting, we know that. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do know, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Very skeletal minutes were kept. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I beg your pardon. CHAIRPERSON: Very skeletal minutes were kept, there were note made of who said what during the debate, that's not available to us. It's good practise normally to record, tape record meetings, but we tried to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell you unless I go to the records to say who gave the water canon and how many people came from where. It's what I'm saying to you, Chair, that that detail is not quite what is in my mind and because we didn't record I do not – I'm not able to say Phiyega said this, Mawela said, I'm not able to say that. CHAIRPERSON: I must tell you my understanding is that water canons had already been arranged, but sorry – yes one extra water canon came on Thursday, that's a detail they inferred me to ask you about. But what I want to know from you, I'm not interested in details, but did anybody ask for some kind of assurance that the risk of bloodshed had been adequately considered and that measures were in place to ensure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall, Chair, we spoke as a team. You are asking me for the details, I cannot be able to say to you Riah said this, this one said, I'm not able to say. I know we had a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes all right. This was a very important meeting, we know that. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do know, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Very skeletal minutes were kept. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I beg your pardon. CHAIRPERSON: Very skeletal minutes were kept, there were note made of who said what during the debate, that's not available to us. It's good practise normally to record, tape record meetings, but we tried to get hold of a tape and as I say we've run into a brick wall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell you unless I go to the records to say who gave the water canon and how many people came from where. It's what I'm saying to you, Chair, that that detail is not quite what is in my mind and because we didn't record I do not – I'm not able to say Phiyega said this, Mawela said, I'm not able to say that. CHAIRPERSON: I must tell you my understanding is that water canons had already been arranged, but sorry – yes one extra water canon came on Thursday, that's a detail they inferred me to ask you about. But what I want to know from you, I'm not interested in details, but did anybody ask for some kind of assurance that the risk of bloodshed had been adequately considered and that measures were in place to ensure that if there was bloodshed it would be kept to the minimum?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	General Annandale was at Marikana, but his immediate superior was at your meeting. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Was that General Masemola or General Mawela? GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mawela, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We know he spoke at the meeting because the Provincial Commissioner told us that, what did he say? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall, Chair, we spoke as a team. You are asking me for the details, I cannot be able to say to you Riah said this, this one said, I'm not able to say. I know we had a discussion. CHAIRPERSON: Yes all right. This was a very important meeting, we know that. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do know, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Very skeletal minutes were kept. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I beg your pardon. CHAIRPERSON: Very skeletal minutes were kept, there were note made of who said what during the debate, that's not available to us. It's good practise normally to record, tape record meetings, but we tried to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is not my response to you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: What is you response? GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you is that we were briefed about the situation, we were advised about the action that was going to take place. There were engagements, but I cannot recall in detailed things what the responses and the inputs were and we also discussed resourcing, but right now, Chair, I won't be able to tell you unless I go to the records to say who gave the water canon and how many people came from where. It's what I'm saying to you, Chair, that that detail is not quite what is in my mind and because we didn't record I do not – I'm not able to say Phiyega said this, Mawela said, I'm not able to say that. CHAIRPERSON: I must tell you my understanding is that water canons had already been arranged, but sorry – yes one extra water canon came on Thursday, that's a detail they inferred me to ask you about. But what I want to know from you, I'm not interested in details, but did anybody ask for some kind of assurance that the risk of bloodshed had been adequately considered and that measures were in place to ensure that

		I	
	Page 37419		Page 37421
1	detail, as I say, I'm not able to say yes or no to because	1	did tell General Annandale and she did tell General Mpembe
2	I'll be doing some injustice because you'd want me to say	2 3	and she did tell General Naidoo. That she told us. She told them that very evening, she phoned them after the
3 4	who was saying that, I don't know. That discussion must have taken place because we were discussing this disarming	4	meeting, but a number of the people who took in the
4 5	and whatever, I'm not able to give those pedantic details,	5	operation who were asked about it they said they didn't
6	Chairperson.	6	know about the decision on the Wednesday night, including
7	CHAIRPERSON: Well I want to make two	7	Colonel Scott. He said he didn't know about it. He heard
8	points to you. One it's not a pedantic detail. The second	8	about it much later. So the question I'm asking you is was
9	point is that you wouldn't be doing an injustice to the	9	it disclosed at Roots, as far as you were aware, obviously
10	person who raised the problem, you'd be doing the opposite,	10	you weren't at all the sessions at Roots, you attended some
11	but you can't help me on that. Now the next thing I want	11	of them I understand, but as far as you're aware was that
12	to ask you about is, the next topic I want to ask you about	12	decision, the endorsement to that proposal, whatever
13	is something that occurred at Roots or more accurately it	13	expression you want to use, was that disclosed to the
14	didn't occur at Roots. Was the fact that this decision	14	people at Roots in your presence, to your knowledge.
15	that we're talking about, the endorsement or proposal, the	15	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, Roots you
16	undertaking to make extra resources available was discussed	16	mean an after the fact meeting, is that what you are
17	for up to an hour at your meeting on the Wednesday before	17	talking about?
18	the shootings on the Thursday, was that fact mentioned at	18	CHAIRPERSON: No it's a meeting that you
19	all at the meetings at Roots?	19	had at Roots that took place over several days, I've got an
20	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't understand your	20	idea it was about three weeks, but I may wrong on that, at
21	question, Chair. Can you repeat your question?	21	which the SAPS presentation before the Commission was being
22	CHAIRPERSON: Yes of course. We were	22	compiled, put together was exhibit L. And the evidence is
23	told in the course of the sittings of the Commission that	23	that in fact you saw exhibit L before it was finalised. Do
24	any previous evidence that we heard it was indicated that a	24	you agree with that?
25	decision was taken to proceed with the operation option on	25	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair.
	Page 37420		Page 37422
1	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS	1	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was
2	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by	2	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various
2 3	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this	2 3	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions.
2 3 4	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs
2 3 4 5	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for
2 3 4 5 6	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed
2 3 4 5 6 7	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed to the participants in the Roots conference?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to know is to your knowledge was the fact that this matter was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed to the participants in the Roots conference? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to know is to your knowledge was the fact that this matter was discussed at the meeting on this Wednesday night and this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed to the participants in the Roots conference? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think maybe let me just step back. I have already indicated that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to know is to your knowledge was the fact that this matter was discussed at the meeting on this Wednesday night and this decision was taken, this proposal was endorsed, agreement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed to the participants in the Roots conference? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think maybe let me just step back. I have already indicated that at the Resource in Rustenburg there are people who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to know is to your knowledge was the fact that this matter was discussed at the meeting on this Wednesday night and this decision was taken, this proposal was endorsed, agreement was made to make resources available. Was that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed to the participants in the Roots conference? <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA</u> : Chairperson, I think maybe let me just step back. I have already indicated that at the Resource in Rustenburg there are people who are operating, who are planning. I take it that the commander	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to know is to your knowledge was the fact that this matter was discussed at the meeting on this Wednesday night and this decision was taken, this proposal was endorsed, agreement was made to make resources available. Was that communicated to anybody at Roots to your knowledge in your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed to the participants in the Roots conference? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think maybe let me just step back. I have already indicated that at the Resource in Rustenburg there are people who are operating, who are planning. I take it that the commander of the province would then have said that to those that she	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to know is to your knowledge was the fact that this matter was discussed at the meeting on this Wednesday night and this decision was taken, this proposal was endorsed, agreement was made to make resources available. Was that communicated to anybody at Roots to your knowledge in your presence or even in your absence if you were told about it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed to the participants in the Roots conference? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think maybe let me just step back. I have already indicated that at the Resource in Rustenburg there are people who are operating, who are planning. I take it that the commander of the province would then have said that to those that she is working with. At Roots the disclosure I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to know is to your knowledge was the fact that this matter was discussed at the meeting on this Wednesday night and this decision was taken, this proposal was endorsed, agreement was made to make resources available. Was that communicated to anybody at Roots to your knowledge in your presence or even in your absence if you were told about it later. The answer to that is either yes or no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed to the participants in the Roots conference? <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA</u> : Chairperson, I think maybe let me just step back. I have already indicated that at the Resource in Rustenburg there are people who are operating, who are planning. I take it that the commander of the province would then have said that to those that she is working with. At Roots the disclosure I don't understand the question you are asking to say was it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to know is to your knowledge was the fact that this matter was discussed at the meeting on this Wednesday night and this decision was taken, this proposal was endorsed, agreement was made to make resources available. Was that communicated to anybody at Roots to your knowledge in your presence or even in your absence if you were told about it later. The answer to that is either yes or no. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, the import of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed to the participants in the Roots conference? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think maybe let me just step back. I have already indicated that at the Resource in Rustenburg there are people who are operating, who are planning. I take it that the commander of the province would then have said that to those that she is working with. At Roots the disclosure I don't understand the question you are asking to say was it disclosed at Roots because that support would have already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to know is to your knowledge was the fact that this matter was discussed at the meeting on this Wednesday night and this decision was taken, this proposal was endorsed, agreement was made to make resources available. Was that communicated to anybody at Roots to your knowledge in your presence or even in your absence if you were told about it later. The answer to that is either yes or no. <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA:</u> Chair, the import of the question you are asking is that post the discussion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed to the participants in the Roots conference? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think maybe let me just step back. I have already indicated that at the Resource in Rustenburg there are people who are operating, who are planning. I take it that the commander of the province would then have said that to those that she is working with. At Roots the disclosure I don't understand the question you are asking to say was it disclosed at Roots because that support would have already been part of the operations that are taking place in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to know is to your knowledge was the fact that this matter was discussed at the meeting on this Wednesday night and this decision was taken, this proposal was endorsed, agreement was made to make resources available. Was that communicated to anybody at Roots to your knowledge in your presence or even in your absence if you were told about it later. The answer to that is either yes or no. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, the import of the question you are asking is that post the discussion we'd have had with General Mbombo surely she would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed to the participants in the Roots conference? <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA</u> : Chairperson, I think maybe let me just step back. I have already indicated that at the Resource in Rustenburg there are people who are operating, who are planning. I take it that the commander of the province would then have said that to those that she is working with. At Roots the disclosure I don't understand the question you are asking to say was it disclosed at Roots because that support would have already been part of the operations that are taking place in the province the following dow	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to know is to your knowledge was the fact that this matter was discussed at the meeting on this Wednesday night and this decision was taken, this proposal was endorsed, agreement was made to make resources available. Was that communicated to anybody at Roots to your knowledge in your presence or even in your absence if you were told about it later. The answer to that is either yes or no. <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA:</u> Chair, the import of the question you are asking is that post the discussion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed to the participants in the Roots conference? <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA</u> : Chairperson, I think maybe let me just step back. I have already indicated that at the Resource in Rustenburg there are people who are operating, who are planning. I take it that the commander of the province would then have said that to those that she is working with. At Roots the disclosure I don't understand the question you are asking to say was it disclosed at Roots because that support would have already been part of the operations that are taking place in the province the following day.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to know is to your knowledge was the fact that this matter was discussed at the meeting on this Wednesday night and this decision was taken, this proposal was endorsed, agreement was made to make resources available. Was that communicated to anybody at Roots to your knowledge in your presence or even in your absence if you were told about it later. The answer to that is either yes or no. <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA:</u> Chair, the import of the question you are asking is that post the discussion we'd have had with General Mbombo surely she would have discussed with the Generals or the people that are in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed to the participants in the Roots conference? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think maybe let me just step back. I have already indicated that at the Resource in Rustenburg there are people who are operating, who are planning. I take it that the commander of the province would then have said that to those that she is working with. At Roots the disclosure I don't understand the question you are asking to say was it disclosed at Roots because that support would have already been part of the operations that are taking place in the province the following day. CHAIRPERSON: Well I don't know why you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to know is to your knowledge was the fact that this matter was discussed at the meeting on this Wednesday night and this decision was taken, this proposal was endorsed, agreement was made to make resources available. Was that communicated to anybody at Roots to your knowledge in your presence or even in your absence if you were told about it later. The answer to that is either yes or no. <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA</u> : Chair, the import of the question you are asking is that post the discussion we'd have had with General Mbombo surely she would have discussed with the Generals or the people that are in charge of the operation in the province. And that would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the Thursday was no longer the SAPS case. And the SAPS case now was that the decision was taken the Wednesday by the Provincial Commissioner and was endorsed at this meeting. And that's what we were told here. Now what I want to know from you is the fact that there was this discussion at the extraordinary session of the NMF or the ad hoc meeting of those left behind, whatever phrase you want to use. And this proposal was endorsed and undertakings were given to make additional resources available. Was that mentioned at all, was that disclosed to the participants in the Roots conference? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think maybe let me just step back. I have already indicated that at the Resource in Rustenburg there are people who are operating, who are planning. I take it that the commander of the province would then have said that to those that she is working with. At Roots the disclosure I don't understand the question you are asking to say was it disclosed at Roots because that support would have already been part of the operations that are taking place in the province the following day. CHAIRPERSON: Well I don't know why you can't understand the question, but I must accept the blame	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now was what was going on at Roots when people were involved in the various aspects of the operation, they went into separate sessions. They came to plenary session and there were report backs and Colonel Visser I think it was, was responsible for recording what was said. It was a lengthy process designed to prepare the SAPS case before this Commission. So now you are reminded what Roots was and you there some of the time and you saw the draft of L before it was finalised to put it that way, SAPS presentation. Now what I want to know is to your knowledge was the fact that this matter was discussed at the meeting on this Wednesday night and this decision was taken, this proposal was endorsed, agreement was made to make resources available. Was that communicated to anybody at Roots to your knowledge in your presence or even in your absence if you were told about it later. The answer to that is either yes or no. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, the import of the question you are asking is that post the discussion we'd have had with General Mbombo surely she would have discussed with the Generals or the people that are in charge of the operation in the province. And that would have been around the 15th or the 16th. Roots is after the

		r	
1	Page 37423 sure whether you know that matter – I wouldn't recall	1	Page 37425 GENERAL PHIYEGA: I did not disapprove
2	whether we were discussing it as a disclosure or as a	2	it.
3	matter for information. It would have been a matter of	3	CHAIRPERSON: Okay that's enough for me.
4	fact because those that operated would have known that we	4	Now there's no mention in exhibit L of the meeting of the
5	met on the 15th and on the 16th the operation continued. So	5	Wednesday night, of a decision taken, a proposal was
6	it wouldn't be a new thing in Roots.	6	endorsed, agreement to make resources available. Why was
7	CHAIRPERSON: So it sounds to me – I hope	7	that?
8	I won't be accused of unfairly summarising your answer.	, 8	GENERAL PHIYEGA: But, Chair, I've
		9	
9	Firstly you don't like the word disclosure because you		already said earlier on when you asked me to say that type
10	think it wasn't necessary to disclose because it had been	10	of feedback would have been given to the operating people
11	disclosed certainly to General Annandale and General Mpembe	11	and General Annandale and General Mpembe would have known
12	and General Naidoo on either the evening of the 15th or on	12	about feedback from General Mbombo, so for me it wouldn't
13	the 16th.	13	have been a new thing to be included or to be excluded. If
14	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Because, Chair, it	14	it's an omission, it's an omission.
15	wasn't a secret.	15	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I understand that.
16	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know, but what	16	It's very nice that General Annandale and General Mpembe
17	you're also telling me is that you cannot say that it was	17	and General Naidoo knew about it, but it wasn't so nice
18	even discussed in your presence at the Roots meeting, Roots	18	that the Commission didn't know about it, the Commission
19	conference. I think that's a fair summary of what you	19	wasn't told about it and it only came to light after a
20	said. Do you agree with me?	20	considerable time had lapsed in the course of the sessions
21	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm not sure, Chair,	21	of this Commission. So now I ask you again, you, the
22	whether it was discussed on that day when I was at Roots.	22	National Commissioner was shown this presentation before it
23	CHAIRPERSON: All right. Now you also	23	was finalised did not consider it appropriate for something
24	said, however, that you saw exhibit L before it was	24	to be put in that presentation which alerted this
25	finalised.	25	Commission to the fact of the meeting on the Wednesday
	Page 37424		Page 37426
1	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair.	1	night and the decision that was taken, proposals endorsed
2	CHAIRPERSON: I take it you gave your		
_	CHAIRPERSON: I take it you gave your	2	and so forth. Can you explain that?
3	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in	2 3	and so forth. Can you explain that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I can
	5 6 5		
3	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in	3	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I can
3 4	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something	3 4	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I can say to you is that it was never a secret, never intended to
3 4 5	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in	3 4 5	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I can say to you is that it was never a secret, never intended to be one. If it's an omission, it's an omission.
3 4 5 6	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair?	3 4 5 6	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I can say to you is that it was never a secret, never intended to be one. If it's an omission, it's an omission. CHAIRPERSON: Look I hope you won't take
3 4 5 6 7	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document	3 4 5 6 7	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended tobe one. If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secretto us until it came out at a later stage.But I see it's
3 4 5 6 7 8	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things –	3 4 5 6 7 8	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended to be one. If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secret to us until it came out at a later stage. But I see it's now half past ten. I suggest we take the first comfort
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended tobe one. If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secretto us until it came out at a later stage.But I see it's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended to be one. If it's an omission, it's an omission. CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't take umbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secret to us until it came out at a later stage. But I see it's now half past ten. I suggest we take the first comfort break at this stage and I have a few more questions for you when we resume.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't it? That wasn't just for interest sake I take it, so you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I can say to you is that it was never a secret, never intended to be one. If it's an omission, it's an omission. CHAIRPERSON: Look I hope you won't take umbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secret to us until it came out at a later stage. But I see it's now half past ten. I suggest we take the first comfort break at this stage and I have a few more questions for you when we resume.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't it? That wasn't just for interest sake I take it, so you could come with inputs, you could say that's wrong or that	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended tobe one.If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secretto us until it came out at a later stage.But I see it'snow half past ten.I suggest we take the first comfortbreak at this stage and I have a few more questions for youwhen we resume.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Thank you.CHAIRPERSON:15 minutes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't it? That wasn't just for interest sake I take it, so you could come with inputs, you could say that's wrong or that is something that is important that you haven't mentioned.	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended tobe one. If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secretto us until it came out at a later stage. But I see it'snow half past ten. I suggest we take the first comfortbreak at this stage and I have a few more questions for youwhen we resume.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Thank you.CHAIRPERSON:15 minutes.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES]
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't it? That wasn't just for interest sake I take it, so you could come with inputs, you could say that's wrong or that is something that is important that you haven't mentioned. You could have done that couldn't you?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended tobe one.If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secretto us until it came out at a later stage.But I see it'snow half past ten.I suggest we take the first comfortbreak at this stage and I have a few more questions for youwhen we resume.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Thank you.CHAIRPERSON:15 minutes.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES][10:53]CHAIRPERSON:The Commission resumes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't it? That wasn't just for interest sake I take it, so you could come with inputs, you could say that's wrong or that is something that is important that you haven't mentioned. You could have done that couldn't you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true. If I'd	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended tobe one.If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secretto us until it came out at a later stage.But I see it'snow half past ten.I suggest we take the first comfortbreak at this stage and I have a few more questions for youwhen we resume.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Thank you.CHAIRPERSON:15 minutes.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES][10:53]CHAIRPERSON:The Commission resumes.National Commissioner, you're still under oath.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't it? That wasn't just for interest sake I take it, so you could come with inputs, you could say that's wrong or that is something that is important that you haven't mentioned. You could have done that couldn't you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true. If I'd seen something I'd sort of –	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended tobe one. If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secretto us until it came out at a later stage. But I see it'snow half past ten. I suggest we take the first comfortbreak at this stage and I have a few more questions for youwhen we resume.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Thank you.CHAIRPERSON:15 minutes.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES][10:53]CHAIRPERSON:National Commissioner, you're still under oath.RIAH PHIYEGA:(s.u.o.)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't it? That wasn't just for interest sake I take it, so you could come with inputs, you could say that's wrong or that is something that is important that you haven't mentioned. You could have done that couldn't you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true. If I'd seen something I'd sort of – CHAIRPERSON: All right, so clearly by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended tobe one.If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secretto us until it came out at a later stage.But I see it'snow half past ten.I suggest we take the first comfortbreak at this stage and I have a few more questions for youwhen we resume.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Thank you.CHAIRPERSON:15 minutes.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES][10:53]CHAIRPERSON:The Commission resumes.National Commissioner, you're still under oath.RIAH PHIYEGA:(s.u.o.)CHAIRPERSON:We were busy with the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't it? That wasn't just for interest sake I take it, so you could come with inputs, you could say that's wrong or that is something that is important that you haven't mentioned. You could have done that couldn't you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true. If I'd seen something I'd sort of – CHAIRPERSON: All right, so clearly by implication you approved it.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended tobe one. If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secretto us until it came out at a later stage. But I see it'snow half past ten. I suggest we take the first comfortbreak at this stage and I have a few more questions for youwhen we resume.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Thank you.CHAIRPERSON:15 minutes.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES][10:53]CHAIRPERSON:National Commissioner, you're still under oath.RIAH PHIYEGA:(s.u.o.)CHAIRPERSON:We were busy with theexhibit L and the fact that it doesn't mention the meeting
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't it? That wasn't just for interest sake I take it, so you could come with inputs, you could say that's wrong or that is something that is important that you haven't mentioned. You could have done that couldn't you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true. If I'd seen something I'd sort of – CHAIRPERSON: All right, so clearly by implication you approved it. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, to put it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended tobe one.If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secretto us until it came out at a later stage.But I see it'snow half past ten.I suggest we take the first comfortbreak at this stage and I have a few more questions for youwhen we resume.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Thank you.CHAIRPERSON:15 minutes.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES][10:53]CHAIRPERSON:National Commissioner, you're still under oath.RIAH PHIYEGA:(s.u.o.)CHAIRPERSON:We were busy with theexhibit L and the fact that it doesn't mention the meetingon the decision and so on, on the Wednesday night and you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't it? That wasn't just for interest sake I take it, so you could come with inputs, you could say that's wrong or that is something that is important that you haven't mentioned. You could have done that couldn't you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true. If I'd seen something I'd sort of – CHAIRPERSON: All right, so clearly by implication you approved it. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, to put it to say I approved it, approval and endorsing are two	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended tobe one.If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secretto us until it came out at a later stage.But I see it'snow half past ten.I suggest we take the first comfortbreak at this stage and I have a few more questions for youwhen we resume.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Thank you.CHAIRPERSON:15 minutes.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES][10:53]CHAIRPERSON:National Commissioner, you're still under oath.RIAH PHIYEGA:(s.u.o.)CHAIRPERSON:We were busy with theexhibit L and the fact that it doesn't mention the meetingon the decision and so on, on the Wednesday night and youseem to think that the fact that General Mpembe knew about
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't it? That wasn't just for interest sake I take it, so you could come with inputs, you could say that's wrong or that is something that is important that you haven't mentioned. You could have done that couldn't you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true. If I'd seen something I'd sort of – CHAIRPERSON: All right, so clearly by implication you approved it. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, to put it to say I approved it, approval and endorsing are two different things.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, what I can say to you is that it was never a secret, never intended to be one. If it's an omission, it's an omission. CHAIRPERSON: Look I hope you won't take umbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secret to us until it came out at a later stage. But I see it's now half past ten. I suggest we take the first comfort break at this stage and I have a few more questions for you when we resume. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: 15 minutes. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [10:53] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. RIAH PHIYEGA: (s.u.o.) CHAIRPERSON: We were busy with the exhibit L and the fact that it doesn't mention the meeting on the decision and so on, on the Wednesday night and you seem to think that the fact that General Mpembe knew about it and General Annandale knew about it was enough, it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't it? That wasn't just for interest sake I take it, so you could come with inputs, you could say that's wrong or that is something that is important that you haven't mentioned. You could have done that couldn't you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true. If I'd seen something I'd sort of – CHAIRPERSON: All right, so clearly by implication you approved it. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, to put it to say I approved it, approval and endorsing are two different things. CHAIRPERSON: All right well let me then	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended tobe one.If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secretto us until it came out at a later stage.But I see it'snow half past ten.I suggest we take the first comfortbreak at this stage and I have a few more questions for youwhen we resume.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Thank you.CHAIRPERSON:15 minutes.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES][10:53]CHAIRPERSON:National Commissioner, you're still under oath.RIAH PHIYEGA:(s.u.o.)CHAIRPERSON:We were busy with theexhibit L and the fact that it doesn't mention the meetingon the decision and so on, on the Wednesday night and youseem to think that the fact that General Mpembe knew aboutit and General Annandale knew about it was enough, itwouldn't be a secret, but I'll give you an opportunity
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't it? That wasn't just for interest sake I take it, so you could come with inputs, you could say that's wrong or that is something that is important that you haven't mentioned. You could have done that couldn't you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true. If I'd seen something I'd sort of – CHAIRPERSON: All right, so clearly by implication you approved it. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, to put it to say I approved it, approval and endorsing are two different things. CHAIRPERSON: All right well let me then put the question in the negative. You didn't disapprove	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended tobe one.If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secretto us until it came out at a later stage.But I see it'snow half past ten.I suggest we take the first comfortbreak at this stage and I have a few more questions for youwhen we resume.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Thank you.CHAIRPERSON:15 minutes.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES][10:53]CHAIRPERSON:The Commission resumes.National Commissioner, you're still under oath.RIAH PHIYEGA:(s.u.o.)CHAIRPERSON:We were busy with theexhibit L and the fact that it doesn't mention the meetingon the decision and so on, on the Wednesday night and youseem to think that the fact that General Mpembe knew aboutit and General Annandale knew about it was enough, itwouldn't be a secret, but I'll give you an opportunityagain because I don't understand your answer.Can you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	approval to what was in it. If there had been something in it that you didn't like you'd have said so or something that was left out that you thought should have been put in you'd have said so too. Is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes if something that's not here I would have said so and if it had been a document that could be improved or be – done other things – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I know that, but it was actually shown to you before it was finalised wasn't it? That wasn't just for interest sake I take it, so you could come with inputs, you could say that's wrong or that is something that is important that you haven't mentioned. You could have done that couldn't you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true. If I'd seen something I'd sort of – CHAIRPERSON: All right, so clearly by implication you approved it. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, to put it to say I approved it, approval and endorsing are two different things. CHAIRPERSON: All right well let me then	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, what I cansay to you is that it was never a secret, never intended tobe one.If it's an omission, it's an omission.CHAIRPERSON:Look I hope you won't takeumbrage if say this, it certainly appeared to be a secretto us until it came out at a later stage.But I see it'snow half past ten.I suggest we take the first comfortbreak at this stage and I have a few more questions for youwhen we resume.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Thank you.CHAIRPERSON:15 minutes.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES][10:53]CHAIRPERSON:National Commissioner, you're still under oath.RIAH PHIYEGA:(s.u.o.)CHAIRPERSON:We were busy with theexhibit L and the fact that it doesn't mention the meetingon the decision and so on, on the Wednesday night and youseem to think that the fact that General Mpembe knew aboutit and General Annandale knew about it was enough, itwouldn't be a secret, but I'll give you an opportunity

	Page 37427	1	Page 3742
1	not communicated to this Commission?	1	of full co-operation.
2	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I do not	2	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree with
3	think that the non-communication could have been an	3	you, Chair, because as I I've already indicated it could
4	omission but I don't think that it was any, anything	4	have been an omission and when we were needed to submit, we
5	untoward, avoiding any other thing and that would be my	5	did so, Chair. So I would not agree with you that we were
6	submission to this Commission.	6	not co-operating with the Commission.
7	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Now, you see	7	CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it
8	not only was it not mentioned in exhibit L, I understand it	8	before we could ask for it and we only heard about it
9	wasn't part of the police hard drive either. The police	9	through an independent source but anyway, I won't debate
10	made a hard drive available of all the material that they	10	that with you further. You are now seriously stating that
11	considered relevant to our work. It didn't contain that	11	we would be in error if we were to find that the failure to
12	either. I take it you don't know whether that was done or	12	mention that meeting and the decision to us was not
13	not.	13	something which could be regarded as falling short of the
14	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Your question again,	14	obligation of full co-operation which rests on the police.
15	Chair?	15	We would be wrong if we were to find that, is that what you
16	CHAIRPERSON: Well, you see in addition	16	say?
17	to exhibit L which is the police presentation which we	17	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair, you would
18	discussed before the comfort break, the police also	18	be wrong because it remains our commitment to fully co-
19	provided us with what we've called the police hard drive	19	operate with this Commission.
20	which contained all the documents which they thought would	20	CHAIRPERSON: Let's move on to another
21	be relevant to us in our work and that didn't contain	21	matter. The police expert Mr De Rover gave evidence last
22	anything about that meeting, it didn't contain the minutes	22	week and I want to ask you this, a number of questions
23	and so on. I take it you can't tell us why that was so.	23	about what he said and his role. Was the fact that this
24	It wouldn't be fair of me to ask you that, am I correct?	24	meeting took place and this decision was made originally
25	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think in	25	disclosed to Mr De Rover as part of his briefing?
-			
	Page 37428		Page 3743
1	the spirit of sharing documentation between the	1	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not recall, Chair,
2	organisation and the Commission, that has been ongoing.	2	but I don't believe that it was necessary even to go into
3	Any outstanding issue that was asked for, we could go and	3	those details because he was looking at everything and he
4	search for that and make it available. As I've said,	4	and the second
		4	would have found it because it was never a hidden thing, in
5	Chairperson, I do not believe that there was anything	4 5	our opinion.
5 6	Chairperson, I do not believe that there was anything untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested		-
		5	our opinion.
6	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested	5 6	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found
6 7	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit.	5 6 7	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it?
6 7 8 9	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it	5 6 7 8	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with
6 7 8 9 10	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a	5 6 7 8 9	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation.
6 7 8 9 10 11	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a matter I need debate with you further, I've put the point	5 6 7 8 9 10	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation. CHAIRPERSON: But where?
6 7 8 9 10 11	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a matter I need debate with you further, I've put the point to you. Now I want to ask you something else. You'll	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation. CHAIRPERSON: But where? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a matter I need debate with you further, I've put the point to you. Now I want to ask you something else. You'll remember that when the Commission was appointed you gave an	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation. CHAIRPERSON: But where? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with those that were participating. He would have engaged with General Mbombo, he would have engaged with General
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a matter I need debate with you further, I've put the point to you. Now I want to ask you something else. You'll remember that when the Commission was appointed you gave an undertaking, I take it I can fairly call it a solemn	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation. CHAIRPERSON: But where? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with those that were participating. He would have engaged with General Mbombo, he would have engaged with General
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a matter I need debate with you further, I've put the point to you. Now I want to ask you something else. You'll remember that when the Commission was appointed you gave an undertaking, I take it I can fairly call it a solemn undertaking on behalf of the SAPS to co-operate fully with	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation. CHAIRPERSON: But where? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with those that were participating. He would have engaged with General Mbombo, he would have engaged with General Annandale, he would have engaged with any other person and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a matter I need debate with you further, I've put the point to you. Now I want to ask you something else. You'll remember that when the Commission was appointed you gave an undertaking, I take it I can fairly call it a solemn undertaking on behalf of the SAPS to co-operate fully with the Commission, is my recollection correct?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation. CHAIRPERSON: But where? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with those that were participating. He would have engaged with General Mbombo, he would have engaged with General Annandale, he would have engaged with any other person and those issues would be part and parcel of the discussion.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a matter I need debate with you further, I've put the point to you. Now I want to ask you something else. You'll remember that when the Commission was appointed you gave an undertaking, I take it I can fairly call it a solemn undertaking on behalf of the SAPS to co-operate fully with the Commission, is my recollection correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: You are very correct,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation. CHAIRPERSON: But where? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with those that were participating. He would have engaged with General Mbombo, he would have engaged with General Annandale, he would have engaged with any other person and those issues would be part and parcel of the discussion. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Well, I must tell you that he told us that it was, to use his word,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a matter I need debate with you further, I've put the point to you. Now I want to ask you something else. You'll remember that when the Commission was appointed you gave an undertaking, I take it I can fairly call it a solemn undertaking on behalf of the SAPS to co-operate fully with the Commission, is my recollection correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: You are very correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Would you agree with me	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation. CHAIRPERSON: But where? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with those that were participating. He would have engaged with General Mbombo, he would have engaged with General Annandale, he would have engaged with any other person and those issues would be part and parcel of the discussion. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Well, I must tell you that he told us that it was, to use his word, "divulged" to him by a third party over a cup of coffee, as
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a matter I need debate with you further, I've put the point to you. Now I want to ask you something else. You'll remember that when the Commission was appointed you gave an undertaking, I take it I can fairly call it a solemn undertaking on behalf of the SAPS to co-operate fully with the Commission, is my recollection correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: You are very correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Would you agree with me that the fact, whether it was an omission is something that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation. CHAIRPERSON: But where? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with those that were participating. He would have engaged with General Mbombo, he would have engaged with General Annandale, he would have engaged with any other person and those issues would be part and parcel of the discussion. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Well, I must tell you that he told us that it was, to use his word, "divulged" to him by a third party over a cup of coffee, as he put it and he then subsequently raised it with you and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a matter I need debate with you further, I've put the point to you. Now I want to ask you something else. You'll remember that when the Commission was appointed you gave an undertaking, I take it I can fairly call it a solemn undertaking on behalf of the SAPS to co-operate fully with the Commission, is my recollection correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: You are very correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Would you agree with me that the fact, whether it was an omission is something that can be considered later separately but would you agree with	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation. CHAIRPERSON: But where? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with those that were participating. He would have engaged with General Mbombo, he would have engaged with General Annandale, he would have engaged with any other person and those issues would be part and parcel of the discussion. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Well, I must tell you that he told us that it was, to use his word, "divulged" to him by a third party over a cup of coffee, as he put it and he then subsequently raised it with you and Generals Annandale and Mpembe. Does that surprise you that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a matter I need debate with you further, I've put the point to you. Now I want to ask you something else. You'll remember that when the Commission was appointed you gave an undertaking, I take it I can fairly call it a solemn undertaking on behalf of the SAPS to co-operate fully with the Commission, is my recollection correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: You are very correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Would you agree with me that the fact, whether it was an omission is something that can be considered later separately but would you agree with me that in fact the failure to tell us anything about that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation. CHAIRPERSON: But where? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with those that were participating. He would have engaged with General Mbombo, he would have engaged with General Annandale, he would have engaged with any other person and those issues would be part and parcel of the discussion. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Well, I must tell you that he told us that it was, to use his word, "divulged" to him by a third party over a cup of coffee, as he put it and he then subsequently raised it with you and Generals Annandale and Mpembe. Does that surprise you that it was only divulged to him by a third party over a cup of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a matter I need debate with you further, I've put the point to you. Now I want to ask you something else. You'll remember that when the Commission was appointed you gave an undertaking, I take it I can fairly call it a solemn undertaking on behalf of the SAPS to co-operate fully with the Commission, is my recollection correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: You are very correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Would you agree with me that the fact, whether it was an omission is something that can be considered later separately but would you agree with me that in fact the failure to tell us anything about that meeting on the Wednesday night, so we had to hear about it	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation. CHAIRPERSON: But where? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with those that were participating. He would have engaged with General Annandale, he would have engaged with General Annandale, he would have engaged with any other person and those issues would be part and parcel of the discussion. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Well, I must tell you that he told us that it was, to use his word, "divulged" to him by a third party over a cup of coffee, as he put it and he then subsequently raised it with you and Generals Annandale and Mpembe. Does that surprise you that it was only divulged to him by a third party over a cup of coffee and he then raised it after it had been so divulged?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a matter I need debate with you further, I've put the point to you. Now I want to ask you something else. You'll remember that when the Commission was appointed you gave an undertaking, I take it I can fairly call it a solemn undertaking on behalf of the SAPS to co-operate fully with the Commission, is my recollection correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: You are very correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Would you agree with me that the fact, whether it was an omission is something that can be considered later separately but would you agree with me that in fact the failure to tell us anything about that meeting on the Wednesday night, so we had to hear about it from an independent source before we knew to ask the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation. CHAIRPERSON: But where? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with those that were participating. He would have engaged with General Mbombo, he would have engaged with General Annandale, he would have engaged with any other person and those issues would be part and parcel of the discussion. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Well, I must tell you that he told us that it was, to use his word, "divulged" to him by a third party over a cup of coffee, as he put it and he then subsequently raised it with you and Generals Annandale and Mpembe. Does that surprise you that it was only divulged to him by a third party over a cup of coffee and he then raised it after it had been so divulged? Does that surprise you? Wouldn't you have expected that to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	untoward in terms of that matter and when we were requested to do so, we did submit. CHAIRPERSON: We had to know about it before we could ask about it and – anyway, but that's not a matter I need debate with you further, I've put the point to you. Now I want to ask you something else. You'll remember that when the Commission was appointed you gave an undertaking, I take it I can fairly call it a solemn undertaking on behalf of the SAPS to co-operate fully with the Commission, is my recollection correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: You are very correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Would you agree with me that the fact, whether it was an omission is something that can be considered later separately but would you agree with me that in fact the failure to tell us anything about that meeting on the Wednesday night, so we had to hear about it	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	our opinion. CHAIRPERSON: Where would he have found it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with the organisation. CHAIRPERSON: But where? GENERAL PHIYEGA: In his engagement with those that were participating. He would have engaged with General Mbombo, he would have engaged with General Annandale, he would have engaged with any other person and those issues would be part and parcel of the discussion. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Well, I must tell you that he told us that it was, to use his word, "divulged" to him by a third party over a cup of coffee, as he put it and he then subsequently raised it with you and Generals Annandale and Mpembe. Does that surprise you that it was only divulged to him by a third party over a cup of coffee and he then raised it after it had been so divulged?

1	Page 37431	1	Page 37433
1	GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would surprise me,	1	engagement with Mr De Rover, what would assist me is to
2	Chairperson, because the divulging suggests that there is	2	know what did he ask, what did he says I responded to? Is
3	conspiracy, there is a secret and I'm on record and I'm	3	he able to give us those details so that I can understand
4	putting it again on record, there was never a secrecy	4	what this evasiveness and this diplomacy is because you
5	around that matter.	5	can't just say something is diplomatic if you don't have
6	CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Now I want to ask	6	the details thereof. I would be, I would appreciate to be
7	you about some further matters which flow from Mr De	7	privy of that detail and the conversation so that I can
8	Rover's evidence. Now, he gave us evidence on Friday that	8	apply my mind to that.
9	he was of the view that a decision of this nature, that's	9	CHAIRPERSON: Let me give you the detail
10	the decision taken on the Wednesday night, must have been	10	that I can recall of what he said. He said he didn't
11	preceded by an instruction from the executive and he said	11	believe that a decision of this magnitude could have been
12	that he raised that with you, is that correct? To be fair,	12	made by the police themselves. He would have expected, and
13	he didn't say that he had definite information that there	13	he says this was based on his understanding of what happens
14	was such an instruction. He said he would have expected it	14	in other countries, that it could never have been done
15	and he said what would've happened in his own country and	15	unless there was an executive order to that effect and he
16	so on. So he was firmly of the view that a decision of	16	also said an order from the judiciary. Well, I think the
17	this magnitude could never have been taken simply either by	17	position of the judiciary in Holland is different from
18	the Provincial Commissioner on her own or even by the	18	ours, so we can disregard that. Anyway, that's what he
19	Provincial Commissioner backed or endorsed by all the other	19	said. Then he said he raised it with you and he raised it
20	Provincial Commissioners and you yourself and General	20	with some of the other, some of the generals as well and I
21	Masemola and General Mawela, and he said he raised that	21	asked him - I can't remember if I asked him, he certainly
22	with you. Can you, is that correct, did he raise it with	22	gave the answer that he raised it with you and the answer
23	you?	23	he got from you, he said was a diplomatic one. So I
24	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't recall that.	24	endeavoured to investigate, interrogate that answer further
25	CHAIRPERSON: Surely you would have	25	and I then said to him, was it an evasive answer and he
-			
	Page 37432		Page 37434
1	remembered if he'd raised something like that?	1	Page 37434 said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question.
1 2		1 2	5
	remembered if he'd raised something like that?		said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question.
2	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall	2	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't
2 3	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair –	2 3	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you
2 3 4	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a	2 3 4	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if
2 3 4 5	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not?	2 3 4 5	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you
2 3 4 5 6	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that	2 3 4 5 6	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is
2 3 4 5 6 7	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him	2 3 4 5 6 7	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that conversation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one. One, you indicate in the statement that you are putting to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see, because he says that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA</u> : Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one. One, you indicate in the statement that you are putting to me to consider as I answer that Mr De Rover talks about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see, because he says that he did raise it with you, he clearly remembers it or says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one. One, you indicate in the statement that you are putting to me to consider as I answer that Mr De Rover talks about instruction from the judiciary. You overrule, you say the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see, because he says that he did raise it with you, he clearly remembers it or says he does and when I asked him, well, what reply did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one. One, you indicate in the statement that you are putting to me to consider as I answer that Mr De Rover talks about instruction from the judiciary. You overrule, you say the judiciary, because of how we are structured, couldn't be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see, because he says that he did raise it with you, he clearly remembers it or says he does and when I asked him, well, what reply did you give, he said well, it was a diplomatic reply.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one. One, you indicate in the statement that you are putting to me to consider as I answer that Mr De Rover talks about instruction from the judiciary. You overrule, you say the judiciary, because of how we are structured, couldn't be from the judiciary. He puts in the executive, in a similar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see, because he says that he did raise it with you, he clearly remembers it or says he does and when I asked him, well, what reply did you give, he said well, it was a diplomatic reply. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Hm.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one. One, you indicate in the statement that you are putting to me to consider as I answer that Mr De Rover talks about instruction from the judiciary. You overrule, you say the judiciary, because of how we are structured, couldn't be from the judiciary. He puts in the executive, in a similar vein he – these are presuppositions, judiciary, executive,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see, because he says that he did raise it with you, he clearly remembers it or says he does and when I asked him, well, what reply did you give, he said well, it was a diplomatic reply. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Hm. CHAIRPERSON: That was his phrase. So I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one. One, you indicate in the statement that you are putting to me to consider as I answer that Mr De Rover talks about instruction from the judiciary. You overrule, you say the judiciary, because of how we are structured, couldn't be from the judiciary. He puts in the executive, in a similar vein he – these are presuppositions, judiciary, executive, evasive, diplomatic. I don't even have the sentence or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see, because he says that he did raise it with you, he clearly remembers it or says he does and when I asked him, well, what reply did you give, he said well, it was a diplomatic reply. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Hm. CHAIRPERSON: That was his phrase. So I then said what does it mean? Then I said, was it, would it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one. One, you indicate in the statement that you are putting to me to consider as I answer that Mr De Rover talks about instruction from the judiciary. You overrule, you say the judiciary, because of how we are structured, couldn't be from the judiciary. He puts in the executive, in a similar vein he – these are presuppositions, judiciary, executive, evasive, diplomatic. I don't even have the sentence or the response that I have given so that I can assess it for its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see, because he says that he did raise it with you, he clearly remembers it or says he does and when I asked him, well, what reply did you give, he said well, it was a diplomatic reply. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Hm. CHAIRPERSON: That was his phrase. So I then said what does it mean? Then I said, was it, would it be fair to describe it as, could it be described as an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one. One, you indicate in the statement that you are putting to me to consider as I answer that Mr De Rover talks about instruction from the judiciary. You overrule, you say the judiciary, because of how we are structured, couldn't be from the judiciary. He puts in the executive, in a similar vein he – these are presuppositions, judiciary, executive, evasive, diplomatic. I don't even have the sentence or the response that I have given so that I can assess it for its evasiveness and diplomacy. I don't recall. If at least he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see, because he says that he did raise it with you, he clearly remembers it or says he does and when I asked him, well, what reply did you give, he said well, it was a diplomatic reply. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Hm. CHAIRPERSON: That was his phrase. So I then said what does it mean? Then I said, was it, would it be fair to describe it as, could it be described as an evasive reply and he said yes. So what I want, obviously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one. One, you indicate in the statement that you are putting to me to consider as I answer that Mr De Rover talks about instruction from the judiciary. You overrule, you say the judiciary, because of how we are structured, couldn't be from the judiciary. He puts in the executive, in a similar vein he – these are presuppositions, judiciary, executive, evasive, diplomatic. I don't even have the sentence or the response that I have given so that I can assess it for its evasiveness and diplomacy. I don't recall. If at least he had said so, I would be very interested, Chair, in looking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see, because he says that he did raise it with you, he clearly remembers it or says he does and when I asked him, well, what reply did you give, he said well, it was a diplomatic reply. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Hm. CHAIRPERSON: That was his phrase. So I then said what does it mean? Then I said, was it, would it be fair to describe it as, could it be described as an evasive reply and he said yes. So what I want, obviously it doesn't seem as if you can help us. If you can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one. One, you indicate in the statement that you are putting to me to consider as I answer that Mr De Rover talks about instruction from the judiciary. You overrule, you say the judiciary, because of how we are structured, couldn't be from the judiciary. He puts in the executive, in a similar vein he – these are presuppositions, judiciary, executive, evasive, diplomatic. I don't even have the sentence or the response that I have given so that I can assess it for its evasiveness and diplomacy. I don't recall. If at least he had said so, I would be very interested, Chair, in looking at what response – what did he say I said that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see, because he says that he did raise it with you, he clearly remembers it or says he does and when I asked him, well, what reply did you give, he said well, it was a diplomatic reply. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Hm. CHAIRPERSON: That was his phrase. So I then said what does it mean? Then I said, was it, would it be fair to describe it as, could it be described as an evasive reply and he said yes. So what I want, obviously it doesn't seem as if you can help us. If you can't remember that he raised it with you, you won't remember what reply you gave and whether it was diplomatic or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one. One, you indicate in the statement that you are putting to me to consider as I answer that Mr De Rover talks about instruction from the judiciary. You overrule, you say the judiciary, because of how we are structured, couldn't be from the judiciary. He puts in the executive, in a similar vein he – these are presuppositions, judiciary, executive, evasive, diplomatic. I don't even have the sentence or the response that I have given so that I can assess it for its evasiveness and diplomacy. I don't recall. If at least he had said so, I would be very interested, Chair, in looking at what response – what did he say I said that is diplomatic and evasive? CHAIRPERSON: Before we get there, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see, because he says that he did raise it with you, he clearly remembers it or says he does and when I asked him, well, what reply did you give, he said well, it was a diplomatic reply. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Hm. CHAIRPERSON: That was his phrase. So I then said what does it mean? Then I said, was it, would it be fair to describe it as, could it be described as an evasive reply and he said yes. So what I want, obviously it doesn't seem as if you can help us. If you can't remember that he raised it with you, you won't remember what reply you gave and whether it was diplomatic or evasive or whatever it was. Is that fair?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one. One, you indicate in the statement that you are putting to me to consider as I answer that Mr De Rover talks about instruction from the judiciary. You overrule, you say the judiciary, because of how we are structured, couldn't be from the judiciary. He puts in the executive, in a similar vein he – these are presuppositions, judiciary, executive, evasive, diplomatic. I don't even have the sentence or the response that I have given so that I can assess it for its evasiveness and diplomacy. I don't recall. If at least he had said so, I would be very interested, Chair, in looking at what response – what did he say I said that is diplomatic and evasive? CHAIRPERSON: Before we get there, he says he raised it with you and I must confess I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	remembered if he'd raised something like that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't recall that, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: But that would have been a startling proposition, would it not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am surprised that this issue is being raised in this, I don't recall him talking to me about political decisions, executive decisions raised at a particular – I don't recall that conversation. CHAIRPERSON: I see, because he says that he did raise it with you, he clearly remembers it or says he does and when I asked him, well, what reply did you give, he said well, it was a diplomatic reply. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Hm. CHAIRPERSON: That was his phrase. So I then said what does it mean? Then I said, was it, would it be fair to describe it as, could it be described as an evasive reply and he said yes. So what I want, obviously it doesn't seem as if you can help us. If you can't remember that he raised it with you, you won't remember what reply you gave and whether it was diplomatic or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	said yes. Now, that's why I ask you the question. Firstly, did he raise it with you because if he didn't raise it with you, the point falls away. I understand you say you can't remember whether he did or he didn't. So if you can't remember if he did it, I take it, it follows you can't remember what answer you gave if he did ask you, is that fair? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, let me deal with the issues that you've raised with me one by one. One, you indicate in the statement that you are putting to me to consider as I answer that Mr De Rover talks about instruction from the judiciary. You overrule, you say the judiciary, because of how we are structured, couldn't be from the judiciary. He puts in the executive, in a similar vein he – these are presuppositions, judiciary, executive, evasive, diplomatic. I don't even have the sentence or the response that I have given so that I can assess it for its evasiveness and diplomacy. I don't recall. If at least he had said so, I would be very interested, Chair, in looking at what response – what did he say I said that is diplomatic and evasive? CHAIRPERSON: Before we get there, he

		1	
1	Page 37435 surprised, whatever word would be appropriate, if such a	1	Page 37437 and you miss him, unless you know what's behind him for 595
2	question were put to you. I'm surprised – obviously this	2	metres, there's a danger that some innocent person will be
3	is a prima facie view, I may be wrong but I must tell you I	3	killed or seriously injured.
4	find it strange that you can't remember such a question	4	In fact the evidence seems to indicate that
5	being put to you, if it was put, but I understand your	5	happened here. There was one person who wasn't a striker,
6	evidence to be you can't remember whether he asked you that	6	according to what we've been told he was a nephew of a
7	question, so he may have done. Is that fair?	7	striker, he went to take his uncle his lunch on the koppie,
8	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I'm	8	he was coming back afterwards when other people were going
9	startled by this. I don't remember.	9	to the – this was part of the explanation given, I think,
10	CHAIRPERSON: Alright. You don't	10	it's not actual evidence – but his body was found over 200
11	remember his raising it, so therefore you obviously can't	11	metres behind, to the right of the koppie at scene 1 and it
12	help us to know what answer you gave if he did raise it.	12	seems clear that he was killed by a bullet that was
13	That's also fair, there's no point in investigating it	13	presumably fired at one of the people at scene 1 near the
14	further, is that right?	14	kraal, missed the person at whom it was fired, went on for
15	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover was	15	another 200 metres and killed him. So that's the kind of
16	here. I'm sure he should have said what I said and then I	16	problem you have if you use R5s in public order policing
17	would respond to that.	17	operations. Did he mention that to you or did he simply
18	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, anyway it's	18	tell you what I put which you've already agreed with, that
19	difficult for you to respond to if you can't remember the	19	it's not appropriate for that kind of operation and that's
20	discussion - anyway, that's just a comment which is	20	the international situation? Did he tell you, did he give
20	shouldn't have made. Now the next point I want to raise	20	you the specific point I put to you now?
22	with you is this, Mr De Rover told us that he advised you	22	GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, Chair, I –
22	in February or March 2013 when he came here and had	22	CHAIRPERSON: May I ask you this? As we
23	discussions with various members of the SAPS, he advised	23	sit here today, are R5 rifles still used in public order
24	you that the use of R5 rifles in public order policing	25	operations?
20	you that the use of No thres in public order policing	20	
	Page 37436		Page 37438
1	Page 37436 operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was	1	Page 37438 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair.
1 2		1 2	
	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was		GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair.
2	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and	2	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is it correct that even POP
2 3	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said	2 3	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is it correct that even POP members who are normally armed with non-lethal things like
2 3 4	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those,	2 3 4	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is it correct that even POP members who are normally armed with non-lethal things like rubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas and
2 3 4 5	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature,	2 3 4 5	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is it correct that even POP members who are normally armed with non-lethal things like rubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas and stun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in every
2 3 4 5 6	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be	2 3 4 5 6	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is it correct that even POP members who are normally armed with non-lethal things like rubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas and stun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in every unit of the POP there's one person who has an R5?
2 3 4 5 6 7	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did	2 3 4 5 6 7	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is it correct that even POPmembers who are normally armed with non-lethal things likerubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas andstun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in everyunit of the POP there's one person who has an R5?GENERAL PHIYEGA:It is true, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is it correct that even POPmembers who are normally armed with non-lethal things likerubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas andstun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in everyunit of the POP there's one person who has an R5?GENERAL PHIYEGA:It is true, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:In the light of the advice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is it correct that even POPmembers who are normally armed with non-lethal things likerubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas andstun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in everyunit of the POP there's one person who has an R5?GENERAL PHIYEGA:It is true, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:In the light of the adviceyou got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has given us many advices and he has also advised us on issues	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is it correct that even POPmembers who are normally armed with non-lethal things likerubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas andstun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in everyunit of the POP there's one person who has an R5?GENERAL PHIYEGA:It is true, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:In the light of the adviceyou got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it isirresponsible to proceed with the use of weapons of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has given us many advices and he has also advised us on issues of R5s. CHAIRPERSON: What advice did he give you on R5s?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is it correct that even POPmembers who are normally armed with non-lethal things likerubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas andstun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in everyunit of the POP there's one person who has an R5?GENERAL PHIYEGA:It is true, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:In the light of the adviceyou got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it isirresponsible to proceed with the use of weapons of thatkind in operations of the sort we've discussed?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I don't accept that itis irresponsible, Chair, because -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has given us many advices and he has also advised us on issues of R5s. CHAIRPERSON: What advice did he give you on R5s? GENERAL PHIYEGA: As you summarise it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is it correct that even POP members who are normally armed with non-lethal things like rubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas and stun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in every unit of the POP there's one person who has an R5? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: In the light of the advice you got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it is irresponsible to proceed with the use of weapons of that kind in operations of the sort we've discussed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't accept that it is irresponsible, Chair, because - CHAIRPERSON: Why not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has given us many advices and he has also advised us on issues of R5s. CHAIRPERSON: What advice did he give you on R5s? GENERAL PHIYEGA: As you summarise it, that's what he said to us, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is it correct that even POP members who are normally armed with non-lethal things like rubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas and stun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in every unit of the POP there's one person who has an R5? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: In the light of the advice you got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it is irresponsible to proceed with the use of weapons of that kind in operations of the sort we've discussed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't accept that it is irresponsible, Chair, because - CHAIRPERSON: Why not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm going to explain.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has given us many advices and he has also advised us on issues of R5s. CHAIRPERSON: What advice did he give you on R5s? GENERAL PHIYEGA: As you summarise it, that's what he said to us, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. What he told us was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is it correct that even POP members who are normally armed with non-lethal things like rubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas and stun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in every unit of the POP there's one person who has an R5? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: In the light of the advice you got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it is irresponsible to proceed with the use of weapons of that kind in operations of the sort we've discussed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't accept that it is irresponsible, Chair, because - CHAIRPERSON: Why not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm going to explain. Because, Chair, the use of weapons, instruments by police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has given us many advices and he has also advised us on issues of R5s. CHAIRPERSON: What advice did he give you on R5s? GENERAL PHIYEGA: As you summarise it, that's what he said to us, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. What he told us was something that he may not have told you. He said one of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is it correct that even POP members who are normally armed with non-lethal things like rubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas and stun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in every unit of the POP there's one person who has an R5? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: In the light of the advice you got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it is irresponsible to proceed with the use of weapons of that kind in operations of the sort we've discussed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't accept that it is irresponsible, Chair, because - CHAIRPERSON: Why not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm going to explain. Because, Chair, the use of weapons, instruments by police takes into account the level of threat, the risk at any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has given us many advices and he has also advised us on issues of R5s. CHAIRPERSON: What advice did he give you on R5s? GENERAL PHIYEGA: As you summarise it, that's what he said to us, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. What he told us was something that he may not have told you. He said one of the reasons, the main reason – there are a couple of others	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is it correct that even POPmembers who are normally armed with non-lethal things likerubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas andstun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in everyunit of the POP there's one person who has an R5?GENERAL PHIYEGA:It is true, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:In the light of the adviceyou got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it isirresponsible to proceed with the use of weapons of thatkind in operations of the sort we've discussed?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I don't accept that itis irresponsible, Chair, because -CHAIRPERSON:Why not?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I'm going to explain.Because, Chair, the use of weapons, instruments by policetakes into account the level of threat, the risk at anygiven time and it is important for us as police to ensure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has given us many advices and he has also advised us on issues of R5s. CHAIRPERSON: What advice did he give you on R5s? GENERAL PHIYEGA: As you summarise it, that's what he said to us, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. What he told us was something that he may not have told you. He said one of the reasons, the main reason – there are a couple of others as well, but the main reason as I understood him, why an R5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is it correct that even POP members who are normally armed with non-lethal things like rubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas and stun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in every unit of the POP there's one person who has an R5? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: In the light of the advice you got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it is irresponsible to proceed with the use of weapons of that kind in operations of the sort we've discussed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't accept that it is irresponsible, Chair, because - CHAIRPERSON: Why not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm going to explain. Because, Chair, the use of weapons, instruments by police takes into account the level of threat, the risk at any given time and it is important for us as police to ensure that the police are equipped to be able to manage the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has given us many advices and he has also advised us on issues of R5s. CHAIRPERSON: What advice did he give you on R5s? GENERAL PHIYEGA: As you summarise it, that's what he said to us, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. What he told us was something that he may not have told you. He said one of the reasons, the main reason – there are a couple of others as well, but the main reason as I understood him, why an R5 is totally inappropriate for an operation of this kind is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is it correct that even POP members who are normally armed with non-lethal things like rubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas and stun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in every unit of the POP there's one person who has an R5? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: In the light of the advice you got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it is irresponsible to proceed with the use of weapons of that kind in operations of the sort we've discussed? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't accept that it is irresponsible, Chair, because - CHAIRPERSON: Why not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm going to explain. Because, Chair, the use of weapons, instruments by police takes into account the level of threat, the risk at any given time and it is important for us as police to ensure that the police are equipped to be able to manage the threats that would have been assessed by the police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has given us many advices and he has also advised us on issues of R5s. CHAIRPERSON: What advice did he give you on R5s? GENERAL PHIYEGA: As you summarise it, that's what he said to us, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. What he told us was something that he may not have told you. He said one of the reasons, the main reason – there are a couple of others as well, but the main reason as I understood him, why an R5 is totally inappropriate for an operation of this kind is because it contains, if you fire it, the bullet fired will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is it correct that even POPmembers who are normally armed with non-lethal things likerubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas andstun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in everyunit of the POP there's one person who has an R5?GENERAL PHIYEGA:It is true, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:In the light of the adviceyou got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it isirresponsible to proceed with the use of weapons of thatkind in operations of the sort we've discussed?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I don't accept that itis irresponsible, Chair, because -CHAIRPERSON:Why not?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I'm going to explain.Because, Chair, the use of weapons, instruments by policetakes into account the level of threat, the risk at anygiven time and it is important for us as police to ensurethat the police are equipped to be able to manage thethreats that would have been assessed by the policeadequately. And it is also true, Chair, that as you look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has given us many advices and he has also advised us on issues of R5s. CHAIRPERSON: What advice did he give you on R5s? GENERAL PHIYEGA: As you summarise it, that's what he said to us, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. What he told us was something that he may not have told you. He said one of the reasons, the main reason – there are a couple of others as well, but the main reason as I understood him, why an R5 is totally inappropriate for an operation of this kind is because it contains, if you fire it, the bullet fired will continue in the horizontal path for, I think he said was it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is it correct that even POPmembers who are normally armed with non-lethal things likerubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas andstun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in everyunit of the POP there's one person who has an R5?GENERAL PHIYEGA:It is true, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:In the light of the adviceyou got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it isirresponsible to proceed with the use of weapons of thatkind in operations of the sort we've discussed?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I don't accept that itis irresponsible, Chair, because -CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:Why not?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I'm going to explain.Because, Chair, the use of weapons, instruments by policetakes into account the level of threat, the risk at anygiven time and it is important for us as police to ensurethat the police are equipped to be able to manage thethreats that would have been assessed by the policeadequately.And it is also true, Chair, that as you lookat our 262, it shows that graduation of use of different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has given us many advices and he has also advised us on issues of R5s. CHAIRPERSON: What advice did he give you on R5s? GENERAL PHIYEGA: As you summarise it, that's what he said to us, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. What he told us was something that he may not have told you. He said one of the reasons, the main reason – there are a couple of others as well, but the main reason as I understood him, why an R5 is totally inappropriate for an operation of this kind is because it contains, if you fire it, the bullet fired will continue in the horizontal path for, I think he said was it 600 metres? So he said the problem is, in fact we had a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is it correct that even POPmembers who are normally armed with non-lethal things likerubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas andstun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in everyunit of the POP there's one person who has an R5?GENERAL PHIYEGA:It is true, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:In the light of the adviceyou got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it isirresponsible to proceed with the use of weapons of thatkind in operations of the sort we've discussed?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I don't accept that itis irresponsible, Chair, because -CHAIRPERSON:Why not?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I'm going to explain.Because, Chair, the use of weapons, instruments by policetakes into account the level of threat, the risk at anygiven time and it is important for us as police to ensurethat the police are equipped to be able to manage thethreats that would have been assessed by the policeadequately. And it is also true, Chair, that as you lookat our 262, it shows that graduation of use of differentequipment at any given time.For now, Chairperson, the R5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has given us many advices and he has also advised us on issues of R5s. CHAIRPERSON: What advice did he give you on R5s? GENERAL PHIYEGA: As you summarise it, that's what he said to us, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. What he told us was something that he may not have told you. He said one of the reasons, the main reason – there are a couple of others as well, but the main reason as I understood him, why an R5 is totally inappropriate for an operation of this kind is because it contains, if you fire it, the bullet fired will continue in the horizontal path for, I think he said was it 600 metres? So he said the problem is, in fact we had a problem in this case, I'll tell you about it in a moment,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is it correct that even POPmembers who are normally armed with non-lethal things likerubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas andstun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in everyunit of the POP there's one person who has an R5?GENERAL PHIYEGA:It is true, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:In the light of the adviceyou got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it isirresponsible to proceed with the use of weapons of thatkind in operations of the sort we've discussed?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I don't accept that itis irresponsible, Chair, because -CHAIRPERSON:Why not?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I'm going to explain.Because, Chair, the use of weapons, instruments by policetakes into account the level of threat, the risk at anygiven time and it is important for us as police to ensurethat the police are equipped to be able to manage thethreats that would have been assessed by the policeadequately. And it is also true, Chair, that as you lookat our 262, it shows that graduation of use of differentequipment at any given time. For now, Chairperson, the R5remains. We are looking at additional research to look at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	operations, whether by POP units or by other units, was totally unacceptable for reasons which he explained and which he said were internationally recognised. And he said he advised you that the use of R5 rifles in those, operations of a POP, of a public order policing nature, whether by POP members or other units, should be discontinued immediately. Now the first question is, did he give you that advice? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair, Mr De Rover has given us many advices and he has also advised us on issues of R5s. CHAIRPERSON: What advice did he give you on R5s? GENERAL PHIYEGA: As you summarise it, that's what he said to us, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. What he told us was something that he may not have told you. He said one of the reasons, the main reason – there are a couple of others as well, but the main reason as I understood him, why an R5 is totally inappropriate for an operation of this kind is because it contains, if you fire it, the bullet fired will continue in the horizontal path for, I think he said was it 600 metres? So he said the problem is, in fact we had a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is it correct that even POPmembers who are normally armed with non-lethal things likerubber, shotguns that fire rubber balls and teargas andstun grenades and so on, is it correct though that in everyunit of the POP there's one person who has an R5?GENERAL PHIYEGA:It is true, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:In the light of the adviceyou got in February or March 2013, do you accept that it isirresponsible to proceed with the use of weapons of thatkind in operations of the sort we've discussed?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I don't accept that itis irresponsible, Chair, because -CHAIRPERSON:Why not?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I'm going to explain.Because, Chair, the use of weapons, instruments by policetakes into account the level of threat, the risk at anygiven time and it is important for us as police to ensurethat the police are equipped to be able to manage thethreats that would have been assessed by the policeadequately. And it is also true, Chair, that as you lookat our 262, it shows that graduation of use of differentequipment at any given time.For now, Chairperson, the R5

1	Page 37439 we get there, we have an MOU with CSIR that is assisting us	1	Page 37441 very strong chance of losing the arm. Anyway, you're
2	to do so, so when we get to a point where we are able to	2	prepared to run the risks of increased chances of injury by
3	get a less lethal weapon that we can use in terms of the	3	the use of R5, and you've been prepared to do that since
4	continuum of responding to threats that we have evaluated,	4	you got that advice. Is that your evidence?
5	we shall do so. What De Rover did is to give us an advice	5	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, my
6	and we have a big basket that is taking all these advices,	6	response to you that I said earlier on, which I shall
		7	repeat, is that R5s have been part and parcel of deployment
7	including what is going to come out of this Commission and	8	
8	on the basis of that, Chairperson, we will be able to	0 9	based on the levels of threat. I'm also on record, and I'm
9	respond as the police.		putting it again on record that we are in the process of
10	CHAIRPERSON: Do you know whether R5	10	evaluating our armaments and to ensure that we can get less
11	rifles have been used in POP operations or public order	11	lethal weapons that we can use and part of that evaluation
12	policing operations, never mind by the POP units, since	12	that we are having with CSIR would include R5s and the
13	August 2012, between then and now?	13	recommendations that are going to come from this
14	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Can I ask, can you ask	14	Commission, the advices that we've received will all be
15	the question again, Chair?	15	taken into account and on the basis of that, Chairperson,
16	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, certainly. Do you	16	certainly we shall consider how we deal with the issues
17	know whether R5 rifles have been used in any public order	17	going forward.
18	policing operations, whether by POP units or by other	18	CHAIRPERSON: Ja, thank you for that
19	units, since the killings on the 16th of August 2012, in	19	assurance. But I'm still puzzled why R5s are necessary.
20	other words, from then until now?	20	What's wrong with R1s?
21	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have already	21	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I'm very reticent
22	responded, Chair, to say R5 rifles for now remain used by	22	to state for the record the legal framework which I take
23	the police.	23	it, Chair, you clearly understand. The legal framework for
24	CHAIRPERSON: POP units, even POP unit	24	Public Order Policing in terms of 262 puts at the highest
25	people have hand arms, they have 9 millimetre pistols,	25	level of force that can be used, being a rubber ball. It
-			
1	Page 37440	1	Page 37442
1	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they?	1	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it
2	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair.	2	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says
2 3	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other	2 3	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled
2 3 4	haven't they?Those are lethal, aren't they?GENERAL PHIYEGA:That is true, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Now there are otherproblems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned.Did	2 3 4	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence.
2 3 4 5	haven't they?Those are lethal, aren't they?GENERAL PHIYEGA:That is true, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Now there are otherproblems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Didyou know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone	2 3 4 5	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm
2 3 4 5 6	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing	2 3 4 5 6	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was
2 3 4 5 6 7	haven't they?Those are lethal, aren't they?GENERAL PHIYEGA:That is true, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Now there are otherproblems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Didyou know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someoneand they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causingparticularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of	2 3 4 5 6 7	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that and I understand that lethal force sometimes has to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: You're prepared to run that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that and I understand that lethal force sometimes has to be used. I'm not even questioning that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: You're prepared to run that risk, are you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that and I understand that lethal force sometimes has to be used. I'm not even questioning that. I'm concerned about why R5s. You see I was going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: You're prepared to run that risk, are you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that and I understand that lethal force sometimes has to be used. I'm not even questioning that. I'm concerned about why R5s. You see I was going to tell you something else about an R5 you may not know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: You're prepared to run that risk, are you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair? CHAIRPERSON: You've been prepared, since	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that and I understand that lethal force sometimes has to be used. I'm not even questioning that. I'm concerned about why R5s. You see I was going to tell you something else about an R5 you may not know. Not only is there a greater chance according to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: You're prepared to run that risk, are you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair? CHAIRPERSON: You've been prepared, since you got that advice, to run the trust that twould	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that and I understand that lethal force sometimes has to be used. I'm not even questioning that. I'm concerned about why R5s. You see I was going to tell you something else about an R5 you may not know. Not only is there a greater chance according to the evidence of a person receiving a serious injury or an even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: You're prepared to run that risk, are you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair? CHAIRPERSON: You've been prepared, since you got that advice, to run the risk that that would happen, that even if someone was shot in the leg which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that and I understand that lethal force sometimes has to be used. I'm not even questioning that. I'm concerned about why R5s. You see I was going to tell you something else about an R5 you may not know. Not only is there a greater chance according to the evidence of a person receiving a serious injury or an even fatal one, but the bullet as I've said disintegrates and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: You're prepared to run that risk, are you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair? CHAIRPERSON: You've been prepared, since you got that advice, to run the risk that that would happen, that even if someone was shot in the leg which is possible an appropriate place to shoot in a POP operation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that and I understand that lethal force sometimes has to be used. I'm not even questioning that. I'm concerned about why R5s. You see I was going to tell you something else about an R5 you may not know. Not only is there a greater chance according to the evidence of a person receiving a serious injury or an even fatal one, but the bullet as I've said disintegrates and one of the problems we have in this Commission is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: You're prepared to run that risk, are you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair? CHAIRPERSON: You've been prepared, since you got that advice, to run the risk that that would happen, that even if someone was shot in the leg which is possible an appropriate place to shoot in a POP operation you don't want to kill.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that and I understand that lethal force sometimes has to be used. I'm not even questioning that. I'm concerned about why R5s. You see I was going to tell you something else about an R5 you may not know. Not only is there a greater chance according to the evidence of a person receiving a serious injury or an even fatal one, but the bullet as I've said disintegrates and one of the problems we have in this Commission is the ballistic evidence doesn't tell us who killed, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: You're prepared to run that risk, are you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair? CHAIRPERSON: You've been prepared, since you got that advice, to run the risk that that would happen, that even if someone was shot in the leg which is possible an appropriate place to shoot in a POP operation you don't want to kill. [11:13] Because an R5 is being used the chances of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that and I understand that lethal force sometimes has to be used. I'm not even questioning that. I'm concerned about why R5s. You see I was going to tell you something else about an R5 you may not know. Not only is there a greater chance according to the evidence of a person receiving a serious injury or an even fatal one, but the bullet as I've said disintegrates and one of the problems we have in this Commission is the ballistic evidence doesn't tell us who killed, which particular shottist killed which particular deceased. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: You're prepared to run that risk, are you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair? CHAIRPERSON: You've been prepared, since you got that advice, to run the risk that that would happen, that even if someone was shot in the leg which is possible an appropriate place to shoot in a POP operation you don't want to kill. [11:13] Because an R5 is being used the chances of that person sustaining fatal injuries even in that kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that and I understand that lethal force sometimes has to be used. I'm not even questioning that. I'm concerned about why R5s. You see I was going to tell you something else about an R5 you may not know. Not only is there a greater chance according to the evidence of a person receiving a serious injury or an even fatal one, but the bullet as I've said disintegrates and one of the problems we have in this Commission is the ballistic evidence doesn't tell us who killed, which particular shottist killed which particular deceased. It's not possible at all to say on the basis of the ballistic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: You're prepared to run that risk, are you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair? CHAIRPERSON: You've been prepared, since you got that advice, to run the risk that that would happen, that even if someone was shot in the leg which is possible an appropriate place to shoot in a POP operation you don't want to kill. [11:13] Because an R5 is being used the chances of that person sustaining fatal injuries even in that kind of situation are significantly greater than they are if a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that and I understand that lethal force sometimes has to be used. I'm not even questioning that. I'm concerned about why R5s. You see I was going to tell you something else about an R5 you may not know. Not only is there a greater chance according to the evidence of a person receiving a serious injury or an even fatal one, but the bullet as I've said disintegrates and one of the problems we have in this Commission is the ballistic evidence doesn't tell us who killed, which particular shottist killed which particular deceased. It's not possible at all to say on the basis of the ballistic evidence who fired the bullet at the particular deceased
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: You're prepared to run that risk, are you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair? CHAIRPERSON: You've been prepared, since you got that advice, to run the risk that that would happen, that even if someone was shot in the leg which is possible an appropriate place to shoot in a POP operation you don't want to kill. [11:13] Because an R5 is being used the chances of that person sustaining fatal injuries even in that kind of situation are significantly greater than they are if a weapon such as a 9 millimetre pistol is used. Yes, he also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that and I understand that lethal force sometimes has to be used. I'm not even questioning that. I'm concerned about why R5s. You see I was going to tell you something else about an R5 you may not know. Not only is there a greater chance according to the evidence of a person receiving a serious injury or an even fatal one, but the bullet as I've said disintegrates and one of the problems we have in this Commission is the ballistic evidence doesn't tell us who killed, which particular shottist killed which particular deceased. It's not possible at all to say on the basis of the ballistic evidence who fired the bullet at the particular deceased who died, which is another unhappy circumstance. But the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	haven't they? Those are lethal, aren't they? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is true, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Now there are other problems related to R5s, not only the one I mentioned. Did you know that the bullets disintegrate, if they hit someone and they enter the body they normally disintegrate, causing particularly inappropriate damage, a very high prospect of a fatal injury, even if it hits someone in the leg. Did you know that? That's the evidence we've had. Did you know that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have been informed, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: You're prepared to run that risk, are you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chair? CHAIRPERSON: You've been prepared, since you got that advice, to run the risk that that would happen, that even if someone was shot in the leg which is possible an appropriate place to shoot in a POP operation you don't want to kill. [11:13] Because an R5 is being used the chances of that person sustaining fatal injuries even in that kind of situation are significantly greater than they are if a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	does not entail that the presence of the R5 follows that it would be used in public order management. It clearly says that, 262. You would go that way if in law you're entitled to use that weapon in self and private defence. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no I know that. I'm concerned with R5s. That's why the question I asked was what's wrong with an R1. An R1 hasn't got the extra characteristics of R5s which I've summarised to you. I can understand there will be situations where members of the service would be placed in a position where they have to defend themselves or their colleagues. I understand that and I understand that lethal force sometimes has to be used. I'm not even questioning that. I'm concerned about why R5s. You see I was going to tell you something else about an R5 you may not know. Not only is there a greater chance according to the evidence of a person receiving a serious injury or an even fatal one, but the bullet as I've said disintegrates and one of the problems we have in this Commission is the ballistic evidence doesn't tell us who killed, which particular shottist killed which particular deceased. It's not possible at all to say on the basis of the ballistic evidence who fired the bullet at the particular deceased

		T	
1	Page 37443	1	Page 37445
1	the question. What's the answer to that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, my	1	us to see what exactly were the bases of the decisions that
2	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, my response once more is that indeed this Commission is going	2 3	were made, but do you have assistants who attend meetings with you and make notes –
4	to be making recommendations to us, we will take those	4	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I do.
5	recommendations into account with all the other advices and	5	CHAIRPERSON: - of discussions?
6	the research inputs that we are going to receive. At this	6	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I do, Chair.
7	point in time it would be remiss of me to make a statement	7	CHAIRPERSON: Were any notes made by any
	such as this one without considering every other thing that	8	of your assistants during the discussion that we're talking
8 9	we're being advised on.	9	about?
10	CHAIRPERSON: Yes well, the record will	9 10	GENERAL PHIYEGA: We didn't take minutes
11	show that I asked you specifically why R5s rather than R1s	11	of that. We just did an excerpt of what came out –
12	and you haven't given me the answer, but I won't press the	12	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I know that,
13	point any further. Now the next thing I want to ask you is	13	but that's not the question I'm asking you. There was a
14	this; Mr De Rover told us that you told him that you were	14	brigadier who was responsible for taking the minutes.
15	critical of the operation. Is that so?	15	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct.
16	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm not sure what he	16	CHAIRPERSON: And there's a problem about
17	said I said, but I have said in this Commission that the	17	the actual memory stick that was used which recorded the
18	outcome of this whole exercise remained a serious concerned	18	earlier parts of the meeting when everybody was there and
19	for me as the National Commissioner, as it is to everybody	19	the evidence leaders want to know whether on that original
20	in this country.	20	memory stick there's signs of anything having been recorded
21	CHAIRPERSON: You didn't go beyond that	21	thereafter. But that's not, that's apparently been lost
22	in criticising the operation? So he's wrong when he tells	22	while in her custody and we've hit a brick wall as far as
23	us that you were critical of the operation. Am I correct?	23	that's concerned. I'm not busy with that. It's very
24	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm not in a position	24	unfortunate that we haven't got a tape recording, but if
25	to say he's wrong, he's right. Chairperson, I don't know	25	there isn't one we'll have to do the best we can.
	Page 37444		Page 37446
1	what he said to you.	1	I asked you a different question. I said do your
2	what he said to you. CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'll tell you what	1 2	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take
2 3	what he said to you. CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'll tell you what he said. He said you were critical of the operation.	3	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full
2 3 4	what he said to you. CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'll tell you what he said. He said you were critical of the operation. That's why I want to know is that correct, and then the	3 4	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they
2 3 4 5	what he said to you.CHAIRPERSON:No, but I'll tell you whathe said. He said you were critical of the operation.That's why I want to know is that correct, and then thenext, the follow-up question, which I may as well give you	3 4 5	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I
2 3 4 5 6	what he said to you. CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'll tell you what he said. He said you were critical of the operation. That's why I want to know is that correct, and then the next, the follow-up question, which I may as well give you now, is if you were critical of the operation, in what	3 4 5 6	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps
2 3 4 5 6 7	what he said to you.CHAIRPERSON:No, but I'll tell you whathe said. He said you were critical of the operation.That's why I want to know is that correct, and then thenext, the follow-up question, which I may as well give younow, is if you were critical of the operation, in whatrespects were you critical? What did you criticise about	3 4 5 6 7	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what he said to you. CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'll tell you what he said. He said you were critical of the operation. That's why I want to know is that correct, and then the next, the follow-up question, which I may as well give you now, is if you were critical of the operation, in what respects were you critical? What did you criticise about the operation?	3 4 5 6 7 8	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what he said to you.CHAIRPERSON:No, but I'll tell you whathe said. He said you were critical of the operation.That's why I want to know is that correct, and then thenext, the follow-up question, which I may as well give younow, is if you were critical of the operation, in whatrespects were you critical?What did you criticise aboutthe operation?GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I did	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what he said to you.CHAIRPERSON:No, but I'll tell you whathe said. He said you were critical of the operation.That's why I want to know is that correct, and then thenext, the follow-up question, which I may as well give younow, is if you were critical of the operation, in whatrespects were you critical?What did you criticise aboutthe operation?GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I didalready say now that the outcome of that operation remains	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what he said to you. CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'll tell you what he said. He said you were critical of the operation. That's why I want to know is that correct, and then the next, the follow-up question, which I may as well give you now, is if you were critical of the operation, in what respects were you critical? What did you criticise about the operation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I did already say now that the outcome of that operation remains a sore thumb in my heart and I believe in hearts of many	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it. CHAIRPERSON: No notes were taken at all?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what he said to you.CHAIRPERSON:No, but I'll tell you whathe said. He said you were critical of the operation.That's why I want to know is that correct, and then thenext, the follow-up question, which I may as well give younow, is if you were critical of the operation, in whatrespects were you critical? What did you criticise aboutthe operation?GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I didalready say now that the outcome of that operation remainsa sore thumb in my heart and I believe in hearts of manyand this is why we are gathered here, and part of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it. CHAIRPERSON: No notes were taken at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Ja.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what he said to you.CHAIRPERSON:No, but I'll tell you whathe said. He said you were critical of the operation.That's why I want to know is that correct, and then thenext, the follow-up question, which I may as well give younow, is if you were critical of the operation, in whatrespects were you critical? What did you criticise aboutthe operation?GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I didalready say now that the outcome of that operation remainsa sore thumb in my heart and I believe in hearts of manyand this is why we are gathered here, and part of theissues will be coming up in some of the things that we	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it. CHAIRPERSON: No notes were taken at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Even though there was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what he said to you.CHAIRPERSON:No, but I'll tell you whathe said. He said you were critical of the operation.That's why I want to know is that correct, and then thenext, the follow-up question, which I may as well give younow, is if you were critical of the operation, in whatrespects were you critical? What did you criticise aboutthe operation?GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I didalready say now that the outcome of that operation remainsa sore thumb in my heart and I believe in hearts of manyand this is why we are gathered here, and part of theissues will be coming up in some of the things that wepicked up as lessons to be learned, things that we are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it. CHAIRPERSON: No notes were taken at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Even though there was a discussion for up to an hour involving various people, no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what he said to you.CHAIRPERSON:No, but I'll tell you whathe said. He said you were critical of the operation.That's why I want to know is that correct, and then thenext, the follow-up question, which I may as well give younow, is if you were critical of the operation, in whatrespects were you critical? What did you criticise aboutthe operation?GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I didalready say now that the outcome of that operation remainsa sore thumb in my heart and I believe in hearts of manyand this is why we are gathered here, and part of theissues will be coming up in some of the things that wepicked up as lessons to be learned, things that we arestarting to deal with and correct.I've already mentioned	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it. CHAIRPERSON: No notes were taken at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Even though there was a discussion for up to an hour involving various people, no notes were taken. That's your evidence?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what he said to you. CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'll tell you what he said. He said you were critical of the operation. That's why I want to know is that correct, and then the next, the follow-up question, which I may as well give you now, is if you were critical of the operation, in what respects were you critical? What did you criticise about the operation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I did already say now that the outcome of that operation remains a sore thumb in my heart and I believe in hearts of many and this is why we are gathered here, and part of the issues will be coming up in some of the things that we picked up as lessons to be learned, things that we are starting to deal with and correct. I've already mentioned one now to say we're looking at research, non-lethal	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it. CHAIRPERSON: No notes were taken at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Even though there was a discussion for up to an hour involving various people, no notes were taken. That's your evidence?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what he said to you.CHAIRPERSON:No, but I'll tell you whathe said. He said you were critical of the operation.That's why I want to know is that correct, and then thenext, the follow-up question, which I may as well give younow, is if you were critical of the operation, in whatrespects were you critical? What did you criticise aboutthe operation?GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I didalready say now that the outcome of that operation remainsa sore thumb in my heart and I believe in hearts of manyand this is why we are gathered here, and part of theissues will be coming up in some of the things that wepicked up as lessons to be learned, things that we arestarting to deal with and correct.I've already mentioned	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it. CHAIRPERSON: No notes were taken at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Even though there was a discussion for up to an hour involving various people, no notes were taken. That's your evidence? GENERAL PHIYEGA: We didn't take notes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what he said to you. CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'll tell you what he said. He said you were critical of the operation. That's why I want to know is that correct, and then the next, the follow-up question, which I may as well give you now, is if you were critical of the operation, in what respects were you critical? What did you criticise about the operation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I did already say now that the outcome of that operation remains a sore thumb in my heart and I believe in hearts of many and this is why we are gathered here, and part of the issues will be coming up in some of the things that we picked up as lessons to be learned, things that we are starting to deal with and correct. I've already mentioned one now to say we're looking at research, non-lethal weapons, it's a number of things. So it's those type of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it. CHAIRPERSON: No notes were taken at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Even though there was a discussion for up to an hour involving various people, no notes were taken. That's your evidence? GENERAL PHIYEGA: We didn't take notes for this meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what he said to you. CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'll tell you what he said. He said you were critical of the operation. That's why I want to know is that correct, and then the next, the follow-up question, which I may as well give you now, is if you were critical of the operation, in what respects were you critical? What did you criticise about the operation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I did already say now that the outcome of that operation remains a sore thumb in my heart and I believe in hearts of many and this is why we are gathered here, and part of the issues will be coming up in some of the things that we picked up as lessons to be learned, things that we are starting to deal with and correct. I've already mentioned one now to say we're looking at research, non-lethal weapons, it's a number of things. So it's those type of things, and I think in our lessons learned they would be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it. CHAIRPERSON: No notes were taken at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Even though there was a discussion for up to an hour involving various people, no notes were taken. That's your evidence? GENERAL PHIYEGA: We didn't take notes for this meeting. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright. Now the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what he said to you. CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'll tell you what he said. He said you were critical of the operation. That's why I want to know is that correct, and then the next, the follow-up question, which I may as well give you now, is if you were critical of the operation, in what respects were you critical? What did you criticise about the operation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I did already say now that the outcome of that operation remains a sore thumb in my heart and I believe in hearts of many and this is why we are gathered here, and part of the issues will be coming up in some of the things that we picked up as lessons to be learned, things that we are starting to deal with and correct. I've already mentioned one now to say we're looking at research, non-lethal weapons, it's a number of things. So it's those type of things, and I think in our lessons learned they would be adequately covered.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it. CHAIRPERSON: No notes were taken at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Even though there was a discussion for up to an hour involving various people, no notes were taken. That's your evidence? GENERAL PHIYEGA: We didn't take notes for this meeting. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright. Now the next thing I want to ask you is, the last point about this,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what he said to you. CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'll tell you what he said. He said you were critical of the operation. That's why I want to know is that correct, and then the next, the follow-up question, which I may as well give you now, is if you were critical of the operation, in what respects were you critical? What did you criticise about the operation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I did already say now that the outcome of that operation remains a sore thumb in my heart and I believe in hearts of many and this is why we are gathered here, and part of the issues will be coming up in some of the things that we picked up as lessons to be learned, things that we are starting to deal with and correct. I've already mentioned one now to say we're looking at research, non-lethal weapons, it's a number of things. So it's those type of things, and I think in our lessons learned they would be adequately covered. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, now I want to go	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it. CHAIRPERSON: No notes were taken at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Even though there was a discussion for up to an hour involving various people, no notes were taken. That's your evidence? GENERAL PHIYEGA: We didn't take notes for this meeting. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright. Now the next thing I want to ask you is, the last point about this, I think I touched to some extent but I just want to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what he said to you. CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'll tell you what he said. He said you were critical of the operation. That's why I want to know is that correct, and then the next, the follow-up question, which I may as well give you now, is if you were critical of the operation, in what respects were you critical? What did you criticise about the operation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I did already say now that the outcome of that operation remains a sore thumb in my heart and I believe in hearts of many and this is why we are gathered here, and part of the issues will be coming up in some of the things that we picked up as lessons to be learned, things that we are starting to deal with and correct. I've already mentioned one now to say we're looking at research, non-lethal weapons, it's a number of things. So it's those type of things, and I think in our lessons learned they would be adequately covered. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, now I want to go back for a moment to the management forum, the meeting on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it. CHAIRPERSON: No notes were taken at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Even though there was a discussion for up to an hour involving various people, no notes were taken. That's your evidence? GENERAL PHIYEGA: We didn't take notes for this meeting. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright. Now the next thing I want to ask you is, the last point about this, I think I touched to some extent but I just want to make sure I dealt with it fully. Did General Mbombo, Provincial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what he said to you. CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'll tell you what he said. He said you were critical of the operation. That's why I want to know is that correct, and then the next, the follow-up question, which I may as well give you now, is if you were critical of the operation, in what respects were you critical? What did you criticise about the operation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I did already say now that the outcome of that operation remains a sore thumb in my heart and I believe in hearts of many and this is why we are gathered here, and part of the issues will be coming up in some of the things that we picked up as lessons to be learned, things that we are starting to deal with and correct. I've already mentioned one now to say we're looking at research, non-lethal weapons, it's a number of things. So it's those type of things, and I think in our lessons learned they would be adequately covered. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, now I want to go back for a moment to the management forum, the meeting on the Wednesday night. There are two things I want to ask	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it. CHAIRPERSON: No notes were taken at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Even though there was a discussion for up to an hour involving various people, no notes were taken. That's your evidence? GENERAL PHIYEGA: We didn't take notes for this meeting. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright. Now the next thing I want to ask you is, the last point about this, I think I touched to some extent but I just want to make sure I dealt with it fully. Did General Mbombo, Provincial Commissioner Mbombo at any stage explain to those of you at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what he said to you. CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'll tell you what he said. He said you were critical of the operation. That's why I want to know is that correct, and then the next, the follow-up question, which I may as well give you now, is if you were critical of the operation, in what respects were you critical? What did you criticise about the operation? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I did already say now that the outcome of that operation remains a sore thumb in my heart and I believe in hearts of many and this is why we are gathered here, and part of the issues will be coming up in some of the things that we picked up as lessons to be learned, things that we are starting to deal with and correct. I've already mentioned one now to say we're looking at research, non-lethal weapons, it's a number of things. So it's those type of things, and I think in our lessons learned they would be adequately covered. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, now I want to go back for a moment to the management forum, the meeting on the Wednesday night. There are two things I want to ask you about that. The first is I've fold you we had a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I asked you a different question. I said do your assistants take notes – never mind official minutes – take notes of things that are discussed, not necessary full enough for the purposes of compiling minutes, but do they take notes of things that are being discussed? I understood you to say they do, or did I perhaps misunderstand you? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They do take notes in formal meetings. Because this was an extraordinary meeting we didn't take notes for it. CHAIRPERSON: No notes were taken at all? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Even though there was a discussion for up to an hour involving various people, no notes were taken. That's your evidence? GENERAL PHIYEGA: We didn't take notes for this meeting. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright. Now the next thing I want to ask you is, the last point about this, I think I touched to some extent but I just want to make sure I dealt with it fully. Did General Mbombo, Provincial Commissioner Mbombo at any stage explain to those of you at the meeting the answer to the question that's been worrying

1	Page 37447	1	Page 37449
1	that she had with people at Lonmin at the time when you spoke to her on the telephone on the Tuesday, that she'd	1	recommendations. Now can you explain that, why you gave the answer that I mentioned, that I stated to you which you
2	arranged for people, told them that they had, I think she	2 3	gave to Mr Ntsebeza when he cross-examined you?
3	said until the weekend. So as far as what she told her	4	
4		-	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I think
5	people, and we also know that she budgeted for the full	5	what is important is that preparation for this Commission
6	week, so was there any pressing reason why this operation	6	took a lot of forms and it was necessary for anyone who was
7	had to be mounted on the Thursday that she communicated to	7	going to come to this Commission to prepare themselves, and
8	you or any of you?	8	I wouldn't have found it odd for me to ask anybody to
9	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Not that I know of,	9	assist me to do that, to prepare, to review, to assess and
10	Chair.	10	give me input to be able to give on behalf of the service a
11	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. I now	11	response to questions that would have been asked. If that
12	want to move to another topic and that is we know that a	12	question was asked and I answered that way it wouldn't have
13	review panel was appointed. A member of it was Brigadier	13	been for misrepresentation, it could have been maybe just a
14	Mkhwanazi, Colonel Hunter, and I think there were three	14	memory issue for myself at the time.
15	others. Mr Ally was the secretary I think of the panel.	15	CHAIRPERSON: You see I've got a couple
16	We only heard about this panel during the cross-examination	16	of problems with that answer, and again in fairness to you
17	of Colonel Scott by Mr Chaskalson. I can give you the	17	I must put them to you. Did you appoint the panel?
18	reference, but it won't mean anything to you, but that only	18	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would have asked my
19	appeared on day 136. This Commission had been sitting for	19	team to assist me to collect information. I don't recall
20	136 days before we learned that this review panel existed.	20	whether it's one of the panels that I directly appointed or
21	Now we've been given a copy of what's described somewhat	21	whether my commanders asked them to assist me.
22	contradictorily as the final interim report of the panel,	22	CHAIRPERSON: You got their report?
23	and it says – it's exhibit LLL11 – and it says its purpose	23	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't have the report
24	is to provide you with an overview on policies, the unique	24	with me right now.
25	challenges as far as Public Order Policing is concerned,	25	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you received their
	Dama 27440		
			Dago 27450
1	Page 37448 weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of	1	Page 37450 report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide
1 2	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of		report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide
	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in	2	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters.
2	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically		report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall,
2 3	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and	2 3	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records.
2 3 4	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people	2 3 4	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't
2 3 4 5	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were	2 3 4 5	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report?
2 3 4 5 6 7	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel.	2 3 4 5 6 7	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross-	2 3 4 5 6	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair,
2 3 4 5 6 7	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross- examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross- examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross- examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Ja.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross- examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that right? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And we would, if that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross- examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that right? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the report was given to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And we would, if that report would have formed part of my inputs or could have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross- examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that right? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the report was given to you because it was, one of its purposes was, or its main	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And we would, if that report would have formed part of my inputs or could have been part of – I have files and files, reams and reams of papers in preparation for this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross- examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that right? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the report was given to you because it was, one of its purposes was, or its main purpose was to provide you with an overview on policies and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And we would, if that report would have formed part of my inputs or could have been part of – I have files and files, reams and reams of papers in preparation for this. CHAIRPERSON: You see, you were asked in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross- examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that right? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the report was given to you because it was, one of its purposes was, or its main purpose was to provide you with an overview on policies and recommendations and so forth. That's correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And we would, if that report would have formed part of my inputs or could have been part of – I have files and files, reams and reams of papers in preparation for this. CHAIRPERSON: You see, you were asked in terms if there was a review, if such a panel existed, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross- examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that right? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the report was given to you because it was, one of its purposes was, or its main purpose was to provide you with an overview on policies and recommendations and so forth. That's correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Mr Ntsebeza	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And we would, if that report would have formed part of my inputs or could have been part of – I have files and files, reams and reams of papers in preparation for this. CHAIRPERSON: You see, you were asked in terms if there was a review, if such a panel existed, and you said no. Now I mean there are three possible answers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross- examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that right? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the report was given to you because it was, one of its purposes was, or its main purpose was to provide you with an overview on policies and recommendations and so forth. That's correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. Mr Ntsebeza questioned you on the 76th day of this Commission about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And we would, if that report would have formed part of my inputs or could have been part of – I have files and files, reams and reams of papers in preparation for this. CHAIRPERSON: You see, you were asked in terms if there was a review, if such a panel existed, and you said no. Now I mean there are three possible answers you can give; yes, no, I'm not sure, I can't remember, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross- examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that right? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the report was given to you because it was, one of its purposes was, or its main purpose was to provide you with an overview on policies and recommendations and so forth. That's correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. Mr Ntsebeza questioned you on the 76th day of this Commission about the panel, whether there was such a panel, and you said that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And we would, if that report would have formed part of my inputs or could have been part of – I have files and files, reams and reams of papers in preparation for this. CHAIRPERSON: You see, you were asked in terms if there was a review, if such a panel existed, and you said no. Now I mean there are three possible answers you can give; yes, no, I'm not sure, I can't remember, I don't know, but you said, you gave a categorical negative
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross-examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that right? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the report was given to you because it was, one of its purposes was, or its main purpose was to provide you with an overview on policies and recommendations and so forth. That's correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Mr Ntsebeza questioned you on the 76th day of this Commission about the panel, whether there was such a panel, and you said that such a panel did not exist. You were asked whether there 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And we would, if that report would have formed part of my inputs or could have been part of – I have files and files, reams and reams of papers in preparation for this. CHAIRPERSON: You see, you were asked in terms if there was a review, if such a panel existed, and you said no. Now I mean there are three possible answers you can give; yes, no, I'm not sure, I can't remember, I don't know, but you said, you gave a categorical negative answer, which was incorrect. Can you explain that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross- examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that right? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the report was given to you because it was, one of its purposes was, or its main purpose was to provide you with an overview on policies and recommendations and so forth. That's correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. Mr Ntsebeza questioned you on the 76th day of this Commission about the panel, whether there was such a panel, and you said that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And we would, if that report would have formed part of my inputs or could have been part of – I have files and files, reams and reams of papers in preparation for this. CHAIRPERSON: You see, you were asked in terms if there was a review, if such a panel existed, and you said no. Now I mean there are three possible answers you can give; yes, no, I'm not sure, I can't remember, I don't know, but you said, you gave a categorical negative
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross-examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that right? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the report was given to you because it was, one of its purposes was, or its main purpose was to provide you with an overview on policies and recommendations and so forth. That's correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. Mr Ntsebeza questioned you on the 76th day of this Commission about the panel, whether there was such a panel, and you said that such a panel did not exist. You were asked whether there was a review and you said there wasn't. Now I don't understand that. That seems to be in contradiction to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And we would, if that report would have formed part of my inputs or could have been part of – I have files and files, reams and reams of papers in preparation for this. CHAIRPERSON: You see, you were asked in terms if there was a review, if such a panel existed, and you said no. Now I mean there are three possible answers you can give; yes, no, I'm not sure, I can't remember, I don't know, but you said, you gave a categorical negative answer, which was incorrect. Can you explain that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've just explained it, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross- examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that right? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the report was given to you because it was, one of its purposes was, or its main purpose was to provide you with an overview on policies and recommendations and so forth. That's correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. Mr Ntsebeza questioned you on the 76th day of this Commission about the panel, whether there was such a panel, and you said that such a panel did not exist. You were asked whether there was a review and you said there wasn't. Now I don't understand that. That seems to be in contradiction to the evidence we subsequently heard 60 days later that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And we would, if that report would have formed part of my inputs or could have been part of – I have files and files, reams and reams of papers in preparation for this. CHAIRPERSON: You see, you were asked in terms if there was a review, if such a panel existed, and you said no. Now I mean there are three possible answers you can give; yes, no, I'm not sure, I can't remember, I don't know, but you said, you gave a categorical negative answer, which was incorrect. Can you explain that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've just explained it, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Well, we have your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 weaknesses, lessons learned during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana. In other words this was appointed specifically to go into what happened at Marikana and to advise, and we've had evidence about it and there were various people who took part in the operation, gave evidence and were questioned by members of the panel. Now you were asked specifically in cross-examination by Mr Ntsebeza about the panel. I take it you knew about the panel because it was to advise you. Is that right? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the report was given to you because it was, one of its purposes was, or its main purpose was to provide you with an overview on policies and recommendations and so forth. That's correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. Mr Ntsebeza questioned you on the 76th day of this Commission about the panel, whether there was such a panel, and you said that such a panel did not exist. You were asked whether there was a review and you said there wasn't. Now I don't understand that. That seems to be in contradiction to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	report in due course because it was, as I read, to provide you with their overview on these various matters. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I wouldn't recall, Chair. I'll check my records. CHAIRPERSON: So you say you can't remember getting the report? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would check my records whether it's one of the reports. Remember Chair, I'm saying to you I received many inputs in preparation for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And we would, if that report would have formed part of my inputs or could have been part of – I have files and files, reams and reams of papers in preparation for this. CHAIRPERSON: You see, you were asked in terms if there was a review, if such a panel existed, and you said no. Now I mean there are three possible answers you can give; yes, no, I'm not sure, I can't remember, I don't know, but you said, you gave a categorical negative answer, which was incorrect. Can you explain that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've just explained it, Chair.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 37451 question. Now was this review panel an extraordinary procedure as opposed to one of the review procedures set out in the Standing Order? You know Standing Order 262 provides for reviews in certain circumstances of operations. So the question is, let me repeat the question; was this review panel, the one Brigadier Mkhwanazi served on and Colonel Hunter which gave you that final interim report, was that an extraordinary procedure or was it an ordinary review procedure dealt with in the Standing Order? <u>GENERAL PHIYEGA</u> : It could have been any of those, but what is important for me was what I said to you earlier on, coming to this Commission we got, you know, I asked a lot of input from various people. I'm not sure whether that review was around training issues by Mkhwanazi and the other people, so I may have to look at that report	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 37453 reviews were held pending the sittings of the Commission. That answer turned out to be incorrect, so we've been given incorrect information on that. Now can you explain that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I think, Chair, it is important for me to say preparation for coming here was important for us and we did everything that was possible for us to be able to present our message here. If that review was assisting us to collect further information, yes, it would have been part of our processes of ensuring that we are able to come to the Commission. It would not have been done for any other thing other than assisting us to respond to this Commission. Nothing we did was meant to be any secret. CHAIRPERSON: Well, of course it would have been – GENERAL PHIYEGA: And if it is called
17	again so that I can be able to apply my mind to that.	17	for, Chairperson, we would submit that.
18	CHAIRPERSON: It wasn't just about	18	CHAIRPERSON: Well, it certainly makes
19	training. It was dealt with, it was an overview on	19	recommendations, things that went wrong, deals with matters
20 21	policies, unique challenges, weaknesses and lessons learned	20 21	that are relevant for us to consider and would certainly
21 22	during the implementation of the SAPS operational strategy in the policing situation in Marikana.	21 22	have assisted us, possibly even shortened the length of an overlong Commission, if that information had been given to
22	GENERAL PHIYEGA: And that could have	22	us earlier. But I'm reminded that exhibit JJJ203 is the
24	been –	24	call-up instruction for the panel issued on the 27th of
25	CHAIRPERSON: That's pretty specific,	25	August –
	Dago 27452		Dogo 27454
1	Page 37452	1	Page 37454
	isn't it?	1	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes?
2	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes.	2	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes? CHAIRPERSON: - 2012. Do you know who
	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Specifically dealing with		
2	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Specifically dealing with the matter which concerns this Commission.	2	CHAIRPERSON: - 2012. Do you know who signed it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would have signed it.
2 3	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review could	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON:- 2012. Do you know whosigned it?I would have signed it.GENERAL PHIYEGA:I would have signed it.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you did. But anyway,
2 3 4 5 6	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON:- 2012. Do you know whosigned it?I would have signed it.GENERAL PHIYEGA:I would have signed it.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you did. But anyway,I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren't
2 3 4 5 6 7	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –CHAIRPERSON:No well obviously it was	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON:- 2012. Do you know whosigned it?I would have signed it.GENERAL PHIYEGA:I would have signed it.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you did. But anyway,I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren'ttold about it, why we only to ut about it by a side
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –CHAIRPERSON:No well obviously it wasafter what happened.They couldn't have reviewed what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: - 2012. Do you know who signed it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would have signed it. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you did. But anyway, I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren't told about it, why we only found out about it by a side wind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of Colonel
2 3 4 5 6 7	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –CHAIRPERSON:No well obviously it wasafter what happened.They couldn't have reviewed whathappened at Marikana before it happened.It was definitely	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON:- 2012. Do you know whosigned it?I would have signed it.GENERAL PHIYEGA:I would have signed it.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you did. But anyway,I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren'ttold about it, why we only to ut about it by a side
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –CHAIRPERSON:No well obviously it wasafter what happened.They couldn't have reviewed what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON:- 2012. Do you know whosigned it?I would have signed it.GENERAL PHIYEGA:I would have signed it.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you did. But anyway,I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren'ttold about it, why we only to ut about it by a sidewind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of ColonelScott.If there's nothing further you wish to add to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –CHAIRPERSON:No well obviously it wasafter what happened.They couldn't have reviewed whathappened at Marikana before it happened.It was definitelyafter Marikana, after the shootings.It came out in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: - 2012. Do you know who signed it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would have signed it. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you did. But anyway, I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren't told about it, why we only found out about it by a side wind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of Colonel Scott. If there's nothing further you wish to add to your answer we can leave it there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –CHAIRPERSON:No well obviously it wasafter what happened.They couldn't have reviewed whathappened at Marikana before it happened.It was definitelyafter Marikana, after the shootings.It came out inColonel Scott's evidence when he divulged – to use Mr De	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON:- 2012. Do you know whosigned it?I would have signed it.GENERAL PHIYEGA:I would have signed it.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you did. But anyway,I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren'ttold about it, why we only found out about it by a sidewind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of ColonelScott.If there's nothing further you wish to add to youranswer we can leave it there.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –CHAIRPERSON:No well obviously it wasafter what happened.They couldn't have reviewed whathappened at Marikana before it happened.It was definitelyafter Marikana, after the shootings.It came out inColonel Scott's evidence when he divulged – to use Mr DeRover's word – that on one occasion during Roots he left	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON:- 2012. Do you know whosigned it?I would have signed it. CHAIRPERSON:GENERAL PHIYEGA:I would have signed it. CHAIRPERSON:I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren't told about it, why we only found out about it by a side wind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of Colonel Scott. If there's nothing further you wish to add to your answer we can leave it there.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I want to again categorically and clearly state it that our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –CHAIRPERSON:No well obviously it wasafter what happened.They couldn't have reviewed whathappened at Marikana before it happened.It was definitelyafter Marikana, after the shootings.It came out inColonel Scott's evidence when he divulged – to use Mr DeRover's word – that on one occasion during Roots he leftand went by helicopter to Pretoria to attend a session ofthis panel and that then alerted us to its existence.was actually sitting in parallel with Roots.Now again the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: - 2012. Do you know who signed it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would have signed it. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you did. But anyway, I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren't told about it, why we only found out about it by a side wind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of Colonel Scott. If there's nothing further you wish to add to your answer we can leave it there. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I want to again categorically and clearly state it that our preparation to this Commission was a long, long journey. We did a lot of things, because it was in our interest to make sure that we are able to respond appositely to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –CHAIRPERSON:No well obviously it wasafter what happened.They couldn't have reviewed whathappened at Marikana before it happened.It was definitelyafter Marikana, after the shootings.It came out inColonel Scott's evidence when he divulged – to use Mr DeRover's word – that on one occasion during Roots he leftand went by helicopter to Pretoria to attend a session ofthis panel and that then alerted us to its existence.usa actually sitting in parallel with Roots.Now again thequestion arises, not only do we not know about it, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON:- 2012. Do you know whosigned it?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I would have signed it. CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you did. But anyway,I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren't told about it, why we only found out about it by a side wind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of Colonel Scott. If there's nothing further you wish to add to your answer we can leave it there.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I want to again categorically and clearly state it that our preparation to this Commission was a long, long journey.We did a lot of things, because it was in our interest to make sure that we are able to respond appositely to the Commission. Once the Commission was announced we did a lot of thi
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –CHAIRPERSON:No well obviously it wasafter what happened.They couldn't have reviewed whathappened at Marikana before it happened.It was definitelyafter Marikana, after the shootings.It came out inColonel Scott's evidence when he divulged – to use Mr DeRover's word – that on one occasion during Roots he leftand went by helicopter to Pretoria to attend a session ofthis panel and that then alerted us to its existence.us actually sitting in parallel with Roots.Now again thequestion arises, not only do we not know about it, itwasn't part of the hard drive, it wasn't part of exhibit L,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: - 2012. Do you know who signed it? I would have signed it. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would have signed it. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you did. But anyway, I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren't told about it, why we only found out about it by a side wind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of Colonel Scott. If there's nothing further you wish to add to your answer we can leave it there. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I want to again categorically and clearly state it that our preparation to this Commission was a long, long journey. We did a lot of things, because it was in our interest to make sure that we are able to respond appositely to the Commission. Once the Commission was announced we did a lot of work to prepare for this commission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –CHAIRPERSON:No well obviously it wasafter what happened.They couldn't have reviewed whathappened at Marikana before it happened.It was definitelyafter Marikana, after the shootings.It came out inColonel Scott's evidence when he divulged – to use Mr DeRover's word – that on one occasion during Roots he leftand went by helicopter to Pretoria to attend a session ofthis panel and that then alerted us to its existence.usa actually sitting in parallel with Roots.Now again thequestion arises, not only do we not know about it, itwasn't part of the hard drive, it wasn't part of exhibit L,it wasn't anything that was communicated to us.As far as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON:- 2012. Do you know whosigned it?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I would have signed it. CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you did. But anyway,I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren't told about it, why we only found out about it by a side wind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of Colonel Scott. If there's nothing further you wish to add to your answer we can leave it there.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I want to again categorically and clearly state it that our preparation to this Commission was a long, long journey.We did a lot of things, because it was in our interest to make sure that we are able to respond appositely to the Commission. Once the Commission was announced we did a lot of work to prepare for this Commission.Ken Kaire
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –CHAIRPERSON:No well obviously it wasafter what happened.They couldn't have reviewed whathappened at Marikana before it happened.It was definitelyafter Marikana, after the shootings.It came out inColonel Scott's evidence when he divulged – to use Mr DeRover's word – that on one occasion during Roots he leftand went by helicopter to Pretoria to attend a session ofthis panel and that then alerted us to its existence.us actually sitting in parallel with Roots.Now again thequestion arises, not only do we not know about it, itwasn't part of the hard drive, it wasn't part of exhibit L,it wasn't anything that was communicated to us.As far aswe were concerned it was like the meeting on the Wednesday	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON:- 2012. Do you know whosigned it?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I would have signed it.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you did. But anyway,I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren'ttold about it, why we only found out about it by a sidewind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of ColonelScott.If there's nothing further you wish to add to youranswer we can leave it there.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I want toagain categorically and clearly state it that ourpreparation to this Commission was a long, long journey.We did a lot of things, because it was in our interest tomake sure that we are able to respond appositely to theCommission. Once the Commission was announced we did a lotof work to prepare for this Commission.CHAIRPERSON:There's the call-upnotification on the screen which is for us to see. Anyway,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –CHAIRPERSON:No well obviously it wasafter what happened.They couldn't have reviewed whathappened at Marikana before it happened.It was definitelyafter Marikana, after the shootings.It came out inColonel Scott's evidence when he divulged – to use Mr DeRover's word – that on one occasion during Roots he leftand went by helicopter to Pretoria to attend a session ofthis panel and that then alerted us to its existence.usa actually sitting in parallel with Roots.wasn't part of the hard drive, it wasn't part of exhibit L,it wasn't anything that was communicated to us.As far aswe were concerned it was like the meeting on the Wednesdaynight, it was secret.If Colonel Scott hadn't said what he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON:- 2012. Do you know whosigned it?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I would have signed it. CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you did. But anyway,I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren't told about it, why we only found out about it by a side wind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of Colonel Scott. If there's nothing further you wish to add to your answer we can leave it there.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I want to again categorically and clearly state it that our preparation to this Commission was a long, long journey.We did a lot of things, because it was in our interest to make sure that we are able to respond appositely to the Commission. Once the Commission was announced we did a lot of work to prepare for this Commission.CHAIRPERSON:There's the call-upnotification on the screen which is for us to see. Anyway, thank you for your answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	GENERAL PHIYEGA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Specifically dealing withthe matter which concerns this Commission.GENERAL PHIYEGA:And that review couldhave been a post analysis of what has happened –CHAIRPERSON:No well obviously it wasafter what happened.They couldn't have reviewed whathappened at Marikana before it happened.It was definitelyafter Marikana, after the shootings.It came out inColonel Scott's evidence when he divulged – to use Mr DeRover's word – that on one occasion during Roots he leftand went by helicopter to Pretoria to attend a session ofthis panel and that then alerted us to its existence.usa actually sitting in parallel with Roots.Now again thequestion arises, not only do we not know about it, itwasn't part of the hard drive, it wasn't part of exhibit L,it wasn't anything that was communicated to us.As far aswe were concerned it was like the meeting on the Wednesdaynight, it was secret.If Colonel Scott hadn't said what hesaid we would to this day possibly have been ignorant that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON:- 2012. Do you know whosigned it?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I would have signed it. CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you did. But anyway,I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren'ttold about it, why we only found out about it by a side wind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of Colonel Scott. If there's nothing further you wish to add to your answer we can leave it there.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I want to again categorically and clearly state it that our preparation to this Commission was a long, long journey.We did a lot of things, because it was in our interest to make sure that we are able to respond appositely to the Commission. Once the Commission was announced we did a lot of work to prepare for this Commission was announced we did a lot of work to prepare for this Commission was announced we did a lot of work to prepare for this Commission was announced we did a lot of work to prepare for this Commission was announced we did a lot of work to prepare for this Commission was announced we did a lot of work to prepare for this Commission was announced we did a lot of work to prepare for this for us to see. Anyway, thank you for your answer. Mr Mpofu and Mr Gumbi have asked leave to cross-examine on limited topics. Mr Mpofu
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Specifically dealing with the matter which concerns this Commission. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And that review could have been a post analysis of what has happened – CHAIRPERSON: No well obviously it was after what happened. They couldn't have reviewed what happened at Marikana before it happened. It was definitely after Marikana, after the shootings. It came out in Colonel Scott's evidence when he divulged – to use Mr De Rover's word – that on one occasion during Roots he left and went by helicopter to Pretoria to attend a session of this panel and that then alerted us to its existence. It was actually sitting in parallel with Roots. Now again the question arises, not only do we not know about it, it wasn't part of the hard drive, it wasn't part of exhibit L, it wasn't anything that was communicated to us. As far as we were concerned it was like the meeting on the Wednesday night, it was secret. If Colonel Scott hadn't said what he said we would to this day possibly have been ignorant that it happened. Now you think that was fair to keep that from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON:- 2012. Do you know whosigned it?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I would have signed it.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you did. But anyway,I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren'ttold about it, why we only found out about it by a sidewind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of ColonelScott. If there's nothing further you wish to add to youranswer we can leave it there.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I want toagain categorically and clearly state it that ourpreparation to this Commission was a long, long journey.We did a lot of things, because it was in our interest tomake sure that we are able to respond appositely to theCommission. Once the Commission was announced we did a lotof work to prepare for this Commission.CHAIRPERSON:There's the call-upnotification on the screen which is for us to see. Anyway,thank you for your answer. Mr Mpofu and Mr Gumbi haveasked leave to cross-examine on limited topics. Mr Mpofuhas been given half an hour, which I hope he won't use in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Specifically dealing with the matter which concerns this Commission. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And that review could have been a post analysis of what has happened – CHAIRPERSON: No well obviously it was after what happened. They couldn't have reviewed what happened at Marikana before it happened. It was definitely after Marikana, after the shootings. It came out in Colonel Scott's evidence when he divulged – to use Mr De Rover's word – that on one occasion during Roots he left and went by helicopter to Pretoria to attend a session of this panel and that then alerted us to its existence. It was actually sitting in parallel with Roots. Now again the question arises, not only do we not know about it, it wasn't part of the hard drive, it wasn't part of exhibit L, it wasn't anything that was communicated to us. As far as we were concerned it was like the meeting on the Wednesday night, it was secret. If Colonel Scott hadn't said what he said we would to this day possibly have been ignorant that it happened. Now you think that was fair to keep that from us?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: - 2012. Do you know who signed it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would have signed it. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you did. But anyway, I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren't told about it, why we only found out about it by a side wind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of Colonel Scott. If there's nothing further you wish to add to your answer we can leave it there. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, I want to again categorically and clearly state it that our preparation to this Commission was a long, long journey. We did a lot of things, because it was in our interest to make sure that we are able to respond appositely to the Commission. Once the Commission was announced we did a lot of work to prepare for this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: There's the call-up notification on the screen which is for us to see. Anyway, thank you for your answer. Wr Mpofu and Mr Gumbi have asked leave to cross-examine on limited topics. Mr Mpofu has been given half an hour, which I hope he won't use in full, and Mr Gumbi was given. I think it was 20 minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Specifically dealing with the matter which concerns this Commission. GENERAL PHIYEGA: And that review could have been a post analysis of what has happened – CHAIRPERSON: No well obviously it was after what happened. They couldn't have reviewed what happened at Marikana before it happened. It was definitely after Marikana, after the shootings. It came out in Colonel Scott's evidence when he divulged – to use Mr De Rover's word – that on one occasion during Roots he left and went by helicopter to Pretoria to attend a session of this panel and that then alerted us to its existence. It was actually sitting in parallel with Roots. Now again the question arises, not only do we not know about it, it wasn't part of the hard drive, it wasn't part of exhibit L, it wasn't anything that was communicated to us. As far as we were concerned it was like the meeting on the Wednesday night, it was secret. If Colonel Scott hadn't said what he said we would to this day possibly have been ignorant that it happened. Now you think that was fair to keep that from us?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON:- 2012. Do you know whosigned it?GENERAL PHIYEGA:I would have signed it.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you did. But anyway,I've given you an opportunity to explain why we weren'ttold about it, why we only found out about it by a sidewind during Mr Chaskalson's cross-examination of ColonelScott. If there's nothing further you wish to add to youranswer we can leave it there.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Chairperson, I want toagain categorically and clearly state it that ourpreparation to this Commission was a long, long journey.We did a lot of things, because it was in our interest tomake sure that we are able to respond appositely to theCommission. Once the Commission was announced we did a lotof work to prepare for this Commission.CHAIRPERSON:There's the call-upnotification on the screen which is for us to see. Anyway,thank you for your answer. Mr Mpofu and Mr Gumbi haveasked leave to cross-examine on limited topics. Mr Mpofuhas been given half an hour, which I hope he won't use in

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

1	Page 37455 deal with another topic. He'll tell you about it himself	1	Page 37457 stun grenades and teargas. That was a summary of his
2	when he starts. Mr Gumbi?		
2		2	evidence. Furthermore, when the evidence, Colonel Scott
	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR GUMBI: Thank you	3	again also took a stand before this Commission and he was
4	very much, Chairperson. Good morning, National	4	cross-examined by the evidence leaders and during his
5	Commissioner.	5	cross-examination there were certain reports that were
6	[11:33] GENERAL PHIYEGA: [Vernacular].	6	retrieved from his hard drive, that they were produced
7	MR GUMBI: I think as a point of entry,	7	before the production of exhibit L that was presented
8	let me introduce, let me reintroduce myself to you. My	8	before this Commission and in summary, the information that
9	name is Louis Gumbi, I represent the family of the late	9	was contained from that report indicated that on the 13th
10	Warrant Officer Lepaaku who was killed on the 13th of August	10	of August –
11	2012 near the railway line. I hope you still remember me.	11	CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, Mr Gumbi, sorry.
12	So National Commissioner, my line of cross-examination will	12	There is a clear instruction that photographs are not to be
13	focus on the evidence that was presented before this	13	taken during the sitting of these proceedings, of this
14	Commission after you testified before this Commission and	14	Commission. Would you kindly leave the room? Leave the
15	in doing so, what I'm going to do, I'm going to briefly,	15	room. You can come back when we stop sitting and you can
16	briefly summarise the evidence that was presented before	16	take as many photographs as you like but I made it quite
17	this Commission and I will give you an opportunity to	17	clear, taking of photographs disturbs the proceedings. I
18	comment on that evidence or on those allegations that were	18	don't know why you won't comply with that but leave, get
19	presented before this Commission. Do you understand me?	19	out, leave the chambers. You can come back when we
20	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do, sir.	20	adjourn.
21	MR GUMBI: Yes. After you took a stand,	21	MR GUMBI: Thank you, Chairperson.
22	National Commissioner, General Mpembe who was in charge of	22	National Commissioner, I was still busy dealing with the
23	the operation of the 13th of August 2012 near the railway	23	summary of the report that was retrieved by the evidence
24	line, testified before this Commission and in summary his	24	leaders from the hard drive of the laptop of Colonel Scott,
25	testimony in this Commission is that on the 13th of August	25	to say that information that was retrieved from those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	his instruction. That was his testimony. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry to interrupt you, Mr Gumbi. That point you dealt with when you cross- examined the National Commissioner previously. The permission I gave you relates to the interaction that she had later with Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak when he had an interview with her, you remember, and told her certain things. That's what you're entitled to cross-examine about. The point you're dealing with now, you've asked her about already and there's no point in reploughing that ground, it's been adequately ploughed already. MR GUMBI: Yes, Chairperson, I was just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	railway line General Mpembe issued instruction for the usage of stun grenades and teargas. That was the evidence presented before this Commission. And we had another witness who testified before this Commission, that is Colonel Vermaak. He testified that on the 21st of January 2013 he had a meeting with you, General Mbombo and other senior police officials and in that meeting he presented a report dealing with some shortcomings, specifically about the operation of the 13th of August 2012. In that report, if I can refer you National Commissioner, that is exhibit tripe zero 10 – Chairperson, if we can put that report on the screen?
14	summarising it because it is another witness who will	14	CHAIRPERSON: I think it's OOO10.
15	testify to that effect. I will deal with the evidence of	15	MR GUMBI: Yes, OOO10, I do apologise for
16	Colonel Vermaak immediately I was just summarising so that	16	that. 00010 page 16, if you can put that on the screen.
17	I will give the National Commissioner an opportunity to	17	It's page 16.
18	understand the rationale behind my question. Yes, National	18	CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps if you gave us the
19	Commissioner, General Mpembe testified before this	19	paragraph number it would be easier for us to find the
20	Commission that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway	20	passage.
21	line certain members fired without his instruction and when	20	MR GUMBI: Yes.
41	we cross-examined General Mpembe on that aspect we also	22	CHAIRPERSON: You see what we've got
22	WE HUDDERATING AND REAL VIET UP UNAL ASURE WE AND	22	CHAINFENSON. TOU SEE WHAT WE VE YOU
22	In II Print to I start	າາ	doosn't give the page numbers but it gives peragraph
23	presented evidence before this Commission in the form of	23	doesn't give the page numbers but it gives paragraph
23 24	In II Print to I start	23 24 25	doesn't give the page numbers but it gives paragraph numbers. So what's the paragraph number you're referring to?

		1	
	Page 37459		Page 37461
1	MR GUMBI: It's not mentioned,	1	instruction from Major-General Mpembe that teargas and stun
2	Chairperson –	2	grenades must be thrown, triggered the assault in the SAPS.
3	CHAIRPERSON: If you look at your page 16	3	Major-General Mpembe denied at Roots that he was not aware
4	you'll see, won't you?	4	who gave the instruction but after Captain Thupe from TRT,
5	MR GUMBI: Yes, the heading is "Questions	5	Rustenburg, said that he was next to the General when the
6	that might be asked."	6	question was, the instruction was given, only after that
7	CHAIRPERSON: Is it after what's on the	7	Major-General Mpembe acknowledged that it was him. Major-
8	screen at the moment?	8	General Mpembe was also confronted by POP members why he
9	MR GUMBI: Yes, I think –	9	took charge at an unrest scene while the senior POP
10	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, let's move on and	10	commander (Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak) was on the scene and
11	get to the questions that may be asked. I think it goes	11	it is against SO262." Do you see that, National
12	beyond this too, doesn't it?	12	Commissioner?
13	MR GUMBI: It's not that one.	13	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have heard and seen
14	CHAIRPERSON: Which section do you want?	14	it.
15	MR GUMBI: The text dealing with about	15	MR GUMBI: Okay. So my question is, we
16	the incident of the 13th of August 2012. It has a heading	16	have exhibit L that was presented before this Commission
17	"Questions that might be asked."	17	and it was presented on the 6th of November 2012. If I can
18	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, alright, well –	18	refer you to exhibit, to slide 47, slide 47 of exhibit L,
19	MR GUMBI: Maybe to save time,	19	if you can put that on the screen. Slide 47.
20	Chairperson, if I can read –	20	CHAIRPERSON: That's the slide you want.
21	CHAIRPERSON: I think to be fair, no, no	21 22	Which bullet points on that do you want to refer the National Commissioner to in particular?
22	-	22	-
23 24	MR GUMBI: - that paragraph. CHAIRPERSON: I think to be fair to the	23 24	MR GUMBI: Yes, bullet point number 2 and number 3 read together. In dealing with this topic,
24 25	witness she should have an opportunity to see the passage	24 25	National Commissioner, will you agree with me that when you
23	withess she should have an opportunity to see the passage	25	National commissioner, will you agree with the that when you
	Page 37460		Page 37462
1			
•	you're referring to.	1	look at these two slides there are no allegations which
2	you're referring to. MR GUMBI: Yes, okay.	1 2	look at these two slides there are no allegations which state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line
2 3			-
	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay.	2	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line
3	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as	2 3	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General
3 4	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and –	2 3 4	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that?
3 4 5	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked."	2 3 4 5	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this
3 4 5 6	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think	2 3 4 5 6	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read	2 3 4 5 6 7	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Mm.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would take it that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Mm. MR GUMBI: It reads as follows, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would take it that you didn't read the opening statement, I take it that, with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Mm. MR GUMBI: It reads as follows, that's the information, that's the report that was presented by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would take it that you didn't read the opening statement, I take it that, with recollection, probably saying that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Mm. MR GUMBI: It reads as follows, that's the information, that's the report that was presented by Colonel Vermaak before you on the 21st of January 2013. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would take it that you didn't read the opening statement, I take it that, with recollection, probably saying that. MR GUMBI: It doesn't say it. And when
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Mm. MR GUMBI: It reads as follows, that's the information, that's the report that was presented by Colonel Vermaak before you on the 21st of January 2013. It reads as follows, "On the incident of the 13th of August	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would take it that you didn't read the opening statement, I take it that, with recollection, probably saying that. MR GUMBI: It doesn't say it. And when the Chairperson asked you whether you had an opportunity to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Mm. MR GUMBI: It reads as follows, that's the information, that's the report that was presented by Colonel Vermaak before you on the 21st of January 2013. It reads as follows, "On the incident of the 13th of August 2012, Monday, the allegation by members that Major-General	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would take it that you didn't read the opening statement, I take it that, with recollection, probably saying that. MR GUMBI: It doesn't say it. And when the Chairperson asked you whether you had an opportunity to have a look at exhibit L that was presented before this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Mm. MR GUMBI: It reads as follows, that's the information, that's the report that was presented by Colonel Vermaak before you on the 21st of January 2013. It reads as follows, "On the incident of the 13th of August 2012, Monday, the allegation by members that Major-General Mpembe gave instruction that members must leave their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would take it that you didn't read the opening statement, I take it that, with recollection, probably saying that. MR GUMBI: It doesn't say it. And when the Chairperson asked you whether you had an opportunity to have a look at exhibit L that was presented before this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Mm. MR GUMBI: It reads as follows, that's the information, that's the report that was presented by Colonel Vermaak before you on the 21st of January 2013. It reads as follows, "On the incident of the 13th of August 2012, Monday, the allegation by members that Major-General Mpembe gave instruction that members must leave their firearms in the Nyalas, on the videos it will show clearly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would take it that you didn't read the opening statement, I take it that, with recollection, probably saying that. MR GUMBI: It doesn't say it. And when the Chairperson asked you whether you had an opportunity to have a look at exhibit L that was presented before this Commission, were you aware about those allegations that certain members near the railway line fired without the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Mm. MR GUMBI: It reads as follows, that's the information, that's the report that was presented by Colonel Vermaak before you on the 21st of January 2013. It reads as follows, "On the incident of the 13th of August 2012, Monday, the allegation by members that Major-General Mpembe gave instruction that members must leave their firearms in the Nyalas, on the videos it will show clearly the members that were standing on the railway line, on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would take it that you didn't read the opening statement, I take it that, with recollection, probably saying that. MR GUMBI: It doesn't say it. And when the Chairperson asked you whether you had an opportunity to have a look at exhibit L that was presented before this Commission, were you aware about those allegations that certain members near the railway line fired without the instruction of General Mpembe?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Mm. MR GUMBI: It reads as follows, that's the information, that's the report that was presented by Colonel Vermaak before you on the 21st of January 2013. It reads as follows, "On the incident of the 13th of August 2012, Monday, the allegation by members that Major-General Mpembe gave instruction that members must leave their firearms in the Nyalas, on the videos it will show clearly the members that were standing on the railway line, on the railway track, did not have proper riot gear with him. To	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would take it that you didn't read the opening statement, I take it that, with recollection, probably saying that. MR GUMBI: It doesn't say it. And when the Chairperson asked you whether you had an opportunity to have a look at exhibit L that was presented before this Commission, were you aware about those allegations that certain members near the railway line fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is what General
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Mm. MR GUMBI: It reads as follows, that's the information, that's the report that was presented by Colonel Vermaak before you on the 21st of January 2013. It reads as follows, "On the incident of the 13th of August 2012, Monday, the allegation by members that Major-General Mpembe gave instruction that members must leave their firearms in the Nyalas, on the videos it will show clearly the members that were standing on the railway line, on the railway track, did not have proper riot gear with him. To go to the incident prior to this day, it was reckless not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would take it that you didn't read the opening statement, I take it that, with recollection, probably saying that. MR GUMBI: It doesn't say it. And when the Chairperson asked you whether you had an opportunity to have a look at exhibit L that was presented before this Commission, were you aware about those allegations that certain members near the railway line fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is what General Vermaak is, Vermaak is saying and Vermaak is part and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Mm. MR GUMBI: It reads as follows, that's the information, that's the report that was presented by Colonel Vermaak before you on the 21st of January 2013. It reads as follows, "On the incident of the 13th of August 2012, Monday, the allegation by members that Major-General Mpembe gave instruction that members must leave their firearms in the Nyalas, on the videos it will show clearly the members that were standing on the railway line, on the railway track, did not have proper riot gear with him. To go to the incident prior to this day, it was reckless not to take it, take it consideration. The members were not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would take it that you didn't read the opening statement, I take it that, with recollection, probably saying that. MR GUMBI: It doesn't say it. And when the Chairperson asked you whether you had an opportunity to have a look at exhibit L that was presented before this Commission, were you aware about those allegations that certain members near the railway line fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is what General Vermaak is, Vermaak is saying and Vermaak is part and parcel of that operation scene. He had the opportunity to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Mm. MR GUMBI: It reads as follows, that's the information, that's the report that was presented by Colonel Vermaak before you on the 21st of January 2013. It reads as follows, "On the incident of the 13th of August 2012, Monday, the allegation by members that Major-General Mpembe gave instruction that members must leave their firearms in the Nyalas, on the videos it will show clearly the members that were standing on the railway line, on the railway track, did not have proper riot gear with him. To go to the incident prior to this day, it was reckless not to take it, take it consideration. The members were not properly in line, follow the group and why not with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would take it that you didn't read the opening statement, I take it that, with recollection, probably saying that. MR GUMBI: It doesn't say it. And when the Chairperson asked you whether you had an opportunity to have a look at exhibit L that was presented before this Commission, were you aware about those allegations that certain members near the railway line fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is what General Vermaak is, Vermaak is saying and Vermaak is part and parcel of that operation scene. He had the opportunity to participate in this process, had the opportunity to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR GUMBI: Yes, okay. CHAIRPERSON: I think it might be seen as some kind of ambush if you do it otherwise and – MR GUMBI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, here we are. Now we've got "Questions that might be asked." MR GUMBI: If you can go there, I think the third bullet point. Scroll it down, yes. Can I read the content of this paragraph on record, National Commissioner? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Mm. MR GUMBI: It reads as follows, that's the information, that's the report that was presented by Colonel Vermaak before you on the 21st of January 2013. It reads as follows, "On the incident of the 13th of August 2012, Monday, the allegation by members that Major-General Mpembe gave instruction that members must leave their firearms in the Nyalas, on the videos it will show clearly the members that were standing on the railway line, on the railway track, did not have proper riot gear with him. To go to the incident prior to this day, it was reckless not to take it, take it consideration. The members were not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	state that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? Do you see that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I see the slide. MR GUMBI: Yes, do you see that? Even the opening statement that was presented before this Commission by the SAPS, there are no, there is nothing which says that on the 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without instruction. You will agree with me on that? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I would take it that you didn't read the opening statement, I take it that, with recollection, probably saying that. MR GUMBI: It doesn't say it. And when the Chairperson asked you whether you had an opportunity to have a look at exhibit L that was presented before this Commission, were you aware about those allegations that certain members near the railway line fired without the instruction of General Mpembe? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That is what General Vermaak is, Vermaak is saying and Vermaak is part and parcel of that operation scene. He had the opportunity to

	Page 37463		Page 37465
1	of mine to raise those issues there so that they can be	1	we confronted him with all these statements and the
2	given treatment.	2	pocketbook of the police officers that were present in that
3	MR GUMBI: And you don't have a knowledge	3	operation, he promised this Commission that he would
4	why this information is not included in this exhibit?	4	initiate inquiry to investigate those allegations levelled
5	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I actually would ask	5	against him by those members who alleged that he gave a
6	him why that information did not reach this platform of	6	command for the usage of stun grenades and teargas, are you
7	planning.	7	aware of that internal inquiry?
8	MR GUMBI: The meeting, it's alleging	8	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Currently there are no
9	that he had a meeting with you on the 21st of January 2013.	9	inquiries that are taking place. This Commission must
10	Can you tell us about that meeting?	10	finish, give us their recommendations, we will look at all
11	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, we did have a	11	those issues.
12	meeting and at that meeting I did say to him we have made	12	MR GUMBI: Yes, but you are aware,
13	it explicitly, abundantly clear that all members had the	13	National Commissioner, that in terms of the Police Service
14	responsibility to share the information they have. He had	14	Amendment Act you have the power to initiate internal
15	that space at the beginning, through that meeting,	15	inquiry if a member is killed or injured in the line of
16	inputting – he had the responsibility to place that	16	duty.
17	information on record. He has made statements under oath,	17	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I am aware.
18	he has made additional statements. He needed to make that	18	MR GUMBI: And with regard to the death
19	information available, he knows it.	19	of the late Warrant Officer Lepaaku and injury of
20	MR GUMBI: Ja, but when we cross-examined	20	Lieutenant Baloyi, you can't tell this Commission whether
21	Colonel Vermaak we also confronted him with your evidence	21	there is an ongoing inquiry?
22	to say that when you took a stand before this Commission	22	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have said already in
23	you never raised this aspect in your statement, even in	23	my response to you, as soon as this Commission finishes we
24	your testimony, that on the 21st of January 2013 you had a	24	definitely will make sure that, in line with those powers
25	meeting with him wherein he raised these issues that on the	25	and the responsibilities and duties that we have, we shall
1	Page 37464 13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members	1	Page 37466
1	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members	1	do so.
2	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe.	1 2 3	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National
_	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to	2	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that
2	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to	2 3	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed
2 3 4	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our	2 3 4	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any
2 3 4 5	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a	2 3 4 5	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed
2 3 4 5 6	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our	2 3 4 5 6	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented
2 3 4 5 6 7	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all	2 3 4 5 6 7	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to put it as if it is something that is excluded, I find it as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so. MR GUMBI: With regard to the report that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to put it as if it is something that is excluded, I find it as a misnomer. He knows what I said to him.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so. MR GUMBI: With regard to the report that was presented before you by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to put it as if it is something that is excluded, I find it as a misnomer. He knows what I said to him. MR GUMBI: You informed him that –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so. MR GUMBI: With regard to the report that was presented before you by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak on that meeting of the 21st January 2013, are you aware whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to put it as if it is something that is excluded, I find it as a misnomer. He knows what I said to him. MR GUMBI: You informed him that – GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I did –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so. MR GUMBI: With regard to the report that was presented before you by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak on that meeting of the 21st January 2013, are you aware whether that report was shared among the senior POP members or POP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to put it as if it is something that is excluded, I find it as a misnomer. He knows what I said to him. MR GUMBI: You informed him that – GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I did – MR GUMBI: - this information must be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so. MR GUMBI: With regard to the report that was presented before you by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak on that meeting of the 21st January 2013, are you aware whether that report was shared among the senior POP members or POP commanders, wherein he identifies some of the shortcomings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to put it as if it is something that is excluded, I find it as a misnomer. He knows what I said to him. MR GUMBI: You informed him that – GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I did – MR GUMBI: - this information must be presented before this Commission –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so. MR GUMBI: With regard to the report that was presented before you by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak on that meeting of the 21st January 2013, are you aware whether that report was shared among the senior POP members or POP commanders, wherein he identifies some of the shortcomings in the POP operation of the 13th of August 2012?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to put it as if it is something that is excluded, I find it as a misnomer. He knows what I said to him. MR GUMBI: You informed him that – GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I did – MR GUMBI: - this information must be presented before this Commission – GENERAL PHIYEGA: - to say it must be in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so. MR GUMBI: With regard to the report that was presented before you by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak on that meeting of the 21st January 2013, are you aware whether that report was shared among the senior POP members or POP commanders, wherein he identifies some of the shortcomings in the POP operation of the 13th of August 2012? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am not aware.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to put it as if it is something that is excluded, I find it as a misnomer. He knows what I said to him. MR GUMBI: You informed him that – GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I did – MR GUMBI: - this information must be presented before this Commission – GENERAL PHIYEGA: - to say it must be in his statement, he must disclose whatever he knows, he must	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so. MR GUMBI: With regard to the report that was presented before you by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak on that meeting of the 21st January 2013, are you aware whether that report was shared among the senior POP members or POP commanders, wherein he identifies some of the shortcomings in the POP operation of the 13th of August 2012? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am not aware. MR GUMBI: You didn't share it with other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to put it as if it is something that is excluded, I find it as a misnomer. He knows what I said to him. MR GUMBI: You informed him that – GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I did – MR GUMBI: - this information must be presented before this Commission – GENERAL PHIYEGA: - to say it must be in his statement, he must disclose whatever he knows, he must discuss it with his team, he cannot come and cry foul.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so. MR GUMBI: With regard to the report that was presented before you by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak on that meeting of the 21st January 2013, are you aware whether that report was shared among the senior POP members or POP commanders, wherein he identifies some of the shortcomings in the POP operation of the 13th of August 2012? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am not aware. MR GUMBI: You didn't share it with other senior SAPS officials?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to put it as if it is something that is excluded, I find it as a misnomer. He knows what I said to him. MR GUMBI: You informed him that – GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I did – MR GUMBI: - this information must be presented before this Commission – GENERAL PHIYEGA: - to say it must be in his statement, he must disclose whatever he knows, he must discuss it with his team, he cannot come and cry foul. Nobody and nobody was allowed to withhold anything. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so. MR GUMBI: With regard to the report that was presented before you by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak on that meeting of the 21st January 2013, are you aware whether that report was shared among the senior POP members or POP commanders, wherein he identifies some of the shortcomings in the POP operation of the 13th of August 2012? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am not aware. MR GUMBI: You didn't share it with other senior SAPS officials? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Am I aware that it was shared by other people? MR GUMBI: I'm saying the information he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to put it as if it is something that is excluded, I find it as a misnomer. He knows what I said to him. MR GUMBI: You informed him that – GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I did – MR GUMBI: - this information must be presented before this Commission – GENERAL PHIYEGA: - to say it must be in his statement, he must disclose whatever he knows, he must discuss it with his team, he cannot come and cry foul. Nobody and nobody was allowed to withhold anything. We said, tell it like you know it, any member. MR GUMBI: Thanks Commissioner.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so. MR GUMBI: With regard to the report that was presented before you by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak on that meeting of the 21st January 2013, are you aware whether that report was shared among the senior POP members or POP commanders, wherein he identifies some of the shortcomings in the POP operation of the 13th of August 2012? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am not aware. MR GUMBI: You didn't share it with other senior SAPS officials? Am I aware that it was shared by other people? MR GUMBI: MR GUMBI: I'm saying the information he presented before you in the form of a report identifying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to put it as if it is something that is excluded, I find it as a misnomer. He knows what I said to him. MR GUMBI: You informed him that – GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I did – MR GUMBI: - this information must be presented before this Commission – GENERAL PHIYEGA: - to say it must be in his statement, he must disclose whatever he knows, he must discuss it with his team, he cannot come and cry foul. Nobody and nobody was allowed to withhold anything. We said, tell it like you know it, any member. MR GUMBI: Thanks Commissioner. Furthermore, when we cross-examined the National, I mean General Mpembe on the facts surrounding the death of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so. MR GUMBI: With regard to the report that was presented before you by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak on that meeting of the 21st January 2013, are you aware whether that report was shared among the senior POP members or POP commanders, wherein he identifies some of the shortcomings in the POP operation of the 13th of August 2012? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am not aware. MR GUMBI: You didn't share it with other senior SAPS officials? Am I aware that it was shared by other people? MR GUMBI: MR GUMBI: I'm saying the information he presented before you in the form of a report identifying some of the shortcomings with regard to the operation of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to put it as if it is something that is excluded, I find it as a misnomer. He knows what I said to him. MR GUMBI: You informed him that – GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I did – MR GUMBI: - this information must be presented before this Commission – GENERAL PHIYEGA: - to say it must be in his statement, he must disclose whatever he knows, he must discuss it with his team, he cannot come and cry foul. Nobody and nobody was allowed to withhold anything. We said, tell it like you know it, any member. MR GUMBI: Thanks Commissioner. Furthermore, when we cross-examined the National, I mean General Mpembe on the facts surrounding the death of the late Warrant Officer Lepaaku and he promised this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so. MR GUMBI: With regard to the report that was presented before you by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak on that meeting of the 21st January 2013, are you aware whether that report was shared among the senior POP members or POP commanders, wherein he identifies some of the shortcomings in the POP operation of the 13th of August 2012? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am not aware. MR GUMBI: You didn't share it with other senior SAPS officials? Am I aware that it was shared by other people? MR GUMBI: MR GUMBI: I'm saying the information he presented before you in the form of a report identifying some of the shortcomings with regard to the operation of the 13th of August 2012, was it shared with other POP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	13th of August 2012 near the railway line certain members fired without the instruction of General Mpembe. GENERAL PHIYEGA: What is important is to even make mention of the fact that I did not call him to that meeting, he asked for a meeting with me through our lawyer. And what I made very clear to him is that he has a responsibility to ensure that his information, like all other members, is given in the most truthful sense, in the fullest sense. So for him to – for you to actually want to put it as if it is something that is excluded, I find it as a misnomer. He knows what I said to him. MR GUMBI: You informed him that – GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I did – MR GUMBI: - this information must be presented before this Commission – GENERAL PHIYEGA: - to say it must be in his statement, he must disclose whatever he knows, he must discuss it with his team, he cannot come and cry foul. Nobody and nobody was allowed to withhold anything. We said, tell it like you know it, any member. MR GUMBI: Thanks Commissioner. Furthermore, when we cross-examined the National, I mean General Mpembe on the facts surrounding the death of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	do so. MR GUMBI: In conclusion, National Commissioner, will I be correct that whatever evidence that was presented before this Commission, you never instructed any of your senior officials to alter or to amend any evidence or to manipulate any evidence to be presented before this Commission? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have no powers to do so and I have not done so. MR GUMBI: With regard to the report that was presented before you by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak on that meeting of the 21st January 2013, are you aware whether that report was shared among the senior POP members or POP commanders, wherein he identifies some of the shortcomings in the POP operation of the 13th of August 2012? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am not aware. MR GUMBI: You didn't share it with other senior SAPS officials? Am I aware that it was shared by other people? MR GUMBI: MR GUMBI: I'm saying the information he presented before you in the form of a report identifying some of the shortcomings with regard to the operation of

	Page 37467		Page 37469
1	GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mbombo was	1	that.
2	there.	2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: - that might well be of
3	MR GUMBI: It was shared with her?	3	assistance.
4	GENERAL PHIYEGA: She would have heard	4	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. We'll now
5	Vermaak talking to us about it.	5	take the adjournment, the tea adjournment and after that Mr
6	MR GUMBI: And this information, do you	6	Mpofu will be cross-examining for up to half an hour on one
7	have a knowledge whether it was disseminated to other SAPS	7	topic that I allowed him to ask.
8	senior officials –	8	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson.
9	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I said –	9	CHAIRPERSON: We will now adjourn for
10	MR GUMBI: - besides General Mbombo?	10	quarter of an hour.
11	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I said General Vermaak,	11	[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
12	first and foremost he himself needed to put all those	12	[11:52] half an hour on one topic that I –
13	things in his statements and other things. He had that	13	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson.
14	responsibility and I just hope that he did.	14	CHAIRPERSON: - allowed him to ask on.
15	MR GUMBI: Thanks very much, Chairperson,	15	We'll now adjourn, quarter of an hour.
16	I don't have any further questions.	16	[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
17	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Gumbi. Mr	17	[12:12] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
18	Semenya, your light is on?	18	You're still under oath, National Commissioner.
19	MR SEMENYA SC: May I place on record,	19	MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: [s.u.o.]
20	Chair, according to my information an R1 is a heavier	20	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu.
21	calibre than an R5. It is a 7.62 millimetre round and will	21	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU: Thank you
22	do more damage than a 5.56 which is an R5 cartridge.	22	very much, Chairperson. Good afternoon, General. Because
23	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Semenya, for	23	we don't have a lot of time I'm just going to give you
24	that information. It's obviously very, very important and	24	broadly the one topic that I'm going to ask you about and
25	we'll bear that in mind, thank you. At least one of the	25	why. Effectively the questions that I'm going to raise
1	Page 37468 bases on which – I'm not sure of course whether the bullets	1	Page 37470 relate to the one big question which we raised in our
2	disintegrate and whether it goes on horizontally, keeps the	2	opening statement about who gave the order and why it was
3	horizontal path for 600 yards but those are matters that we	3	given, and also whether, more specifically whether it was
4	can get information on, I would trust, by -	4	made for, or rather whether the order was given for an
5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, our	5	improper or unlawful purpose –
6	understanding is not the same as Mr Semenya's. I think	6	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, let's get
7	that the evidence leaders and the SAPS need to get together	7	it clear. The permission I gave you related to topic 1.4
8	on this and put forward an agreed statement from a	8	which relates –
9	ballistics expert.	9	MR MPOFU: That's where I'm going to.
10	CHAIRPERSON: I must confess I asked the	10	CHAIRPERSON: You haven't said what 1.4
11	questions I did because I assumed, possibly erroneously	11	is about yet, which is about the question, the perception
12	that the 600 metre horizontal travel or path that Mr De	12	of collusion I think it was –
13	Rover talked about weapons something which went beyond the	13	MR MPOFU: Ja.
14	capacities of an R1 but I may well be wrong on that, but	14	CHAIRPERSON: - between the police and –
15	anyway obviously we must get definite expert evidence on	15	MR MPOFU: And AMCU, yes.
16	the matter and, as you say, it may well be that the	16	CHAIRPERSON: And AMCU, that's right.
17	$\ensuremath{evidence}\xspace$ leaders and the SAPS can come to a common mind on	17	MR MPOFU: Yes.
18	that point. But it's relevant for Mr Semenya to raise the	18	CHAIRPERSON: That's the topic.
19	point at this stage to indicate that the question –	19	MR MPOFU: That's the topic, Chairperson.
20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I think	20	CHAIRPERSON: Alright.
21	there was evidence that was - I'm sorry, Mr Chair, I was	21	MR MPOFU: But it doesn't come in the
22	saying I recall that there was expert evidence that was led	22	air. I'm just giving the General a –
23	on all of these firearms. I think Warrant Officer Wessels	23	CHAIRPERSON: Well, it may not come in
24	or something like that –	24 25	the air, but I hope you will stick within the boundaries.
25	CHAIRPERSON: Well, we'll look at all	25	MR MPOFU: Yes. Thank you, ja, and the
	RUNIYE FUR JUSTICE		

1	Page 37471	1	Page 37473 MR MPOFU: Anyway, well I'm going to move
1	topic that the Chairperson has correctly summarised goes to	2	on because of time, but I just want to say to you that we
2	the question I was busy with, which is whether the making	2	will argue that the paragraph that I'm talking about is one
	of the decision was for an improper or unlawful purpose,	4	which you had specifically applied your mind to because
4	including the one that the Chairperson has summarised,	5	
5	which I'm not going to repeat. Now what we do know,		it's the one where there was a lot of cross-examination by
6	General, just by way of background, is that the – let's say	6	my learned friend Mr Burger and myself, where you had taken
7	you were part of the decision that was taken on the 15th,	7	out the words "which information I relayed to the
8	call it a decision or an order or endorsement, whatever it	8	Minister." So this part which you did not take out must
9	is, but the decision that stage 3 of the plan would be	9	have been left out deliberately because it was correct, you
10	proceeded with the following day. You accept that?	10	must have known that Lieutenant-General Mbombo had informed
11	GENERAL PHIYEGA: You mentioned the	11	you of the decision to implement stage 3 of a particular
12	correct word, endorsement.	12	plan. Would that be fair?
13	MR MPOFU: Yes. No, but more	13	GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would not be fair.
14	specifically that what was said was that, was specifically	14	I've made my submission to this Commission.
15	relating to the plan. You know that stage 3 of the plan	15	MR MPOFU: Right, the next issue is you
16	was going to be implemented.	16	said to the Chairperson now this morning that no notes were
17	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have responded to an	17	taken of the meeting of the National Management Forum
18	earlier question where I said we did not discuss the plan	18	meeting.
19	on the 15th. We had a briefing, we were apprised of the	19	CHAIRPERSON: What she was talking about
20	situation. We discussed resourcing.	20	was the, what's called the extraordinary session –
21	MR MPOFU: Yes, but you're aware that	21	MR MPOFU: Yes.
22	General Mbombo had said in the meeting of the 16th that she	22	CHAIRPERSON: - or the ad hoc meeting –
23	had relayed to you that they were now going to move ahead	23	MR MPOFU: That's correct.
24	with phase 3 of the plan and that you would relate it to	24	CHAIRPERSON: - when some of the people
25	the Minister. There was controversy about the last part,	25	had gone.
1	Page 37472	1	Page 37474
1	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3	1	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes.
2	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial.	2	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was
2 3	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the		MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a
2 3 4	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters –	2 3 4	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the
2 3 4 5	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in	2 3 4 5	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a
2 3 4 5 6	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you	2 3 4 5 6	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're
2 3 4 5 6 7	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to disarm the protesters.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm aware because I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to disarm the protesters. MR MPOFU: No, Ma'am, I understand this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm aware because I needed to add just a paragraph on what we had done in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to disarm the protesters. MR MPOFU: No, Ma'am, I understand this question. All I'm saying is if you did not know whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm aware because I needed to add just a paragraph on what we had done in the extraordinary session and I know that it was taken out of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to disarm the protesters. MR MPOFU: No, Ma'am, I understand this question. All I'm saying is if you did not know whether there are three stages or four stages or whatever, what did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm aware because I needed to add just a paragraph on what we had done in the extraordinary session and I know that it was taken out of the main minutes by the, the secretariat had added that and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to disarm the protesters. MR MPOFU: No, Ma'am, I understand this question. All I'm saying is if you did not know whether there are three stages or four stages or whatever, what did you mean in your own statement under oath when you said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm aware because I needed to add just a paragraph on what we had done in the extraordinary session and I know that it was taken out of the main minutes by the, the secretariat had added that and it was taken out of the main minutes because the member
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to disarm the protesters. MR MPOFU: No, Ma'am, I understand this question. All I'm saying is if you did not know whether there are three stages or four stages or whatever, what did you mean in your own statement under oath when you said General Mbombo informed you of the decision to implement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm aware because I needed to add just a paragraph on what we had done in the extraordinary session and I know that it was taken out of the main minutes by the, the secretariat had added that and it was taken out of the main minutes, so it can't be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to disarm the protesters. MR MPOFU: No, Ma'am, I understand this question. All I'm saying is if you did not know whether there are three stages or four stages or whatever, what did you mean in your own statement under oath when you said General Mbombo informed you of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan? Paragraph 23.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm aware because I needed to add just a paragraph on what we had done in the extraordinary session and I know that it was taken out of the main minutes by the, the secretariat had added that and it was taken out of the main minutes, so it can't be part of the minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to disarm the protesters. MR MPOFU: No, Ma'am, I understand this question. All I'm saying is if you did not know whether there are three stages or four stages or whatever, what did you mean in your own statement under oath when you said General Mbombo informed you of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan? Paragraph 23. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have answered to say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm aware because I needed to add just a paragraph on what we had done in the extraordinary session and I know that it was taken out of the main minutes by the, the secretariat had added that and it was taken out of the main minutes, so it can't be part of the minutes. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, I'm going to argue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to disarm the protesters. MR MPOFU: No, Ma'am, I understand this question. All I'm saying is if you did not know whether there are three stages or four stages or whatever, what did you mean in your own statement under oath when you said General Mbombo informed you of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan? Paragraph 23. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have answered to say it relates to the disarming of the protesters.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm aware because I needed to add just a paragraph on what we had done in the extraordinary session and I know that it was taken out of the main minutes by the, the secretariat had added that and it was taken out of the main minutes, so it can't be part of the minutes. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, I'm going to argue that your evidence that no notes were taken cannot be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to disarm the protesters. MR MPOFU: No, Ma'am, I understand this question. All I'm saying is if you did not know whether there are three stages or four stages or whatever, what did you mean in your own statement under oath when you said General Mbombo informed you of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan? Paragraph 23. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have answered to say it relates to the disarming of the protesters. MR MPOFU: Okay, and you knew that that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm aware because I needed to add just a paragraph on what we had done in the extraordinary session and I know that it was taken out of the main minutes by the, the secretariat had added that and it was taken out of the main minutes, so it can't be part of the minutes. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, I'm going to argue that your evidence that no notes were taken cannot be correct or true because the evidence that we have is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to disarm the protesters. MR MPOFU: No, Ma'am, I understand this question. All I'm saying is if you did not know whether there are three stages or four stages or whatever, what did you mean in your own statement under oath when you said General Mbombo informed you of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan? Paragraph 23. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have answered to say it relates to the disarming of the protesters. MR MPOFU: Okay, and you knew that that disarming of the protesters was contained in stage 3 of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm aware because I needed to add just a paragraph on what we had done in the extraordinary session and I know that it was taken out of the main minutes by the, the secretariat had added that and it was taken out of the main minutes because the member said it wasn't part of the main minutes, so it can't be part of the minutes. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, I'm going to argue that your evidence that no notes were taken cannot be correct or true because the evidence that we have is that that meeting, the extraordinary meeting had self-standing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to disarm the protesters. MR MPOFU: No, Ma'am, I understand this question. All I'm saying is if you did not know whether there are three stages or four stages or whatever, what did you mean in your own statement under oath when you said General Mbombo informed you of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan? Paragraph 23. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have answered to say it relates to the disarming of the protesters. MR MPOFU: Okay, and you knew that that disarming of the protesters was contained in stage 3 of the plan? Because that's what you wrote.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm aware because I needed to add just a paragraph on what we had done in the extraordinary session and I know that it was taken out of the main minutes by the, the secretariat had added that and it was taken out of the main minutes, so it can't be part of the minutes. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, I'm going to argue that your evidence that no notes were taken cannot be correct or true because the evidence that we have is that that meeting, the extraordinary meeting had self-standing minutes. There were apologies, I think there were three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to disarm the protesters. MR MPOFU: No, Ma'am, I understand this question. All I'm saying is if you did not know whether there are three stages or four stages or whatever, what did you mean in your own statement under oath when you said General Mbombo informed you of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan? Paragraph 23. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have answered to say it relates to the disarming of the protesters. MR MPOFU: Okay, and you knew that that disarming of the protesters was contained in stage 3 of the plan? Because that's what you wrote. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Indeed I knew that it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm aware because I needed to add just a paragraph on what we had done in the extraordinary session and I know that it was taken out of the main minutes by the, the secretariat had added that and it was taken out of the main minutes because the member said it wasn't part of the main minutes, so it can't be part of the minutes. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, I'm going to argue that your evidence that no notes were taken cannot be correct or true because the evidence that we have is that that meeting, the extraordinary meeting had self-standing minutes. There were apologies, I think there were three people who did not - Lieutenant-General Moonoo, Mazibuko
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	but the fact that she had said to you we'll go to phase 3 of the plan was not controversial. GENERAL PHIYEGA: We did discuss the process of disarming the protesters – MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Well then in paragraph 23 of your statement, which is FFF2, what did you mean when you said – FFF2 if you can – "On the afternoon of 16th August 2012 I received a call from Lieutenant-General Mbombo who informed me of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan." GENERAL PHIYEGA: It was the plan to disarm the protesters. MR MPOFU: No, Ma'am, I understand this question. All I'm saying is if you did not know whether there are three stages or four stages or whatever, what did you mean in your own statement under oath when you said General Mbombo informed you of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan? Paragraph 23. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have answered to say it relates to the disarming of the protesters. MR MPOFU: Okay, and you knew that that disarming of the protesters was contained in stage 3 of the plan? Because that's what you wrote.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MPOFU: I'm sorry, yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's what she was referring to. There were notes, in fact there was a recording made of the earlier part. One of the controversial questions may be whether there was a recording made later, but she says there wasn't and we're not busy with that with her. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson, yes. Okay, let me put it this way so that we go directly to the issue. Are you aware that there were draft minutes of the extraordinary session? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm aware because I needed to add just a paragraph on what we had done in the extraordinary session and I know that it was taken out of the main minutes by the, the secretariat had added that and it was taken out of the main minutes, so it can't be part of the minutes. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, I'm going to argue that your evidence that no notes were taken cannot be correct or true because the evidence that we have is that that meeting, the extraordinary meeting had self-standing minutes. There were apologies, I think there were three

1	Page 37475 was minuted. So that was not just a meeting of people who	1	Page 37477 think you'll see that the list of attendees and the list of
2	remained behind, as you try to suggest.	2	apologies is identical to the list of attendees and
3	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I will tell you that it	3	apologies in the minutes of the main meeting. I don't know
4	is not true because an extraordinary meeting doesn't have	4	if we have the minutes of the main meeting in full, and
5	apologies.	5	it's clearly not a correct reflection of the list of
6	MR MPOFU: Well, the draft minutes – or	6	attendees of the extraordinary session because it includes
7	the evidence, I'm not going to argue with you now, but	7	General Labiya who made a specific point at the next
8	HHHH11.1, Chairperson, which are the minutes, the draft	8	meeting that he was not party to this decision and it
9	minutes of that meeting, will show you that there's a list	9	shouldn't be reflected as a decision of the National
10	of who attended and there's a list of people who apologised	10	Management Forum.
11	and there are minutes of what was discussed, culminating in	11	CHAIRPERSON: So are you saying, Mr
12	the decision that the Chairperson read out to you.	12	Chaskalson, the list of what Mr Mpofu calls attendees, I
13	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Because I'm chair of	13	prefer to call them attenders because attendees indicates a
14	that meeting I'll put it to this Commission that an	14	passive sort of capacity which doesn't apply, that list of
15	extraordinary meeting shall never have apologies.	15	attenders, is that inaccurate because does it include the
16	MR MPOFU: Okay, well can you put up HHHH	16	names of some of the people who'd already left?
17	- once you put it that strongly, never, never, then can you	17	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, because it was
18	put up HHHH11.1? Ja, there you are. There, you can it	18	only the operational members and the provincial
19	reads there, "Special National Management Forum,	19	commissioners who stayed behind and there are a great deal
20	extraordinary session, Protea, Midrand," so it doesn't look	20	of non-operational members on that list, one of whom
21	like it never, never happened, and those are the draft	21	specifically made the point that he wasn't there –
22	minutes. Just carry on. Go further up. Those are the	22	MR MPOFU: Ja.
23	list of attendees, General, yourself, General this one and	23	MR CHASKALSON SC: - and should not have
24	the other, and then go on, and there you are.	24	been reflected.
25	GENERAL PHIYEGA: There will never be	25	MR MPOFU: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson.
	Page 37476		D 07.470
			Page 37478
1	apologies –	1	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is
2	MR MPOFU: What you say it never	2	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people
2 3	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies.	2 3	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that
2 3 4	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies. GENERAL PHIYEGA: This, there were no	2 3 4	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very
2 3 4 5	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies. GENERAL PHIYEGA: This, there were no minutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how would	2 3 4 5	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is
2 3 4 5 6	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies. GENERAL PHIYEGA: This, there were no minutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how would people apologise for a session that is extraordinary?	2 3 4 5 6	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies. GENERAL PHIYEGA: This, there were no minutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how would people apologise for a session that is extraordinary? MR MPOFU: No, you tell me. It was	2 3 4 5 6 7	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies. GENERAL PHIYEGA: This, there were no minutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how would people apologise for a session that is extraordinary? MR MPOFU: No, you tell me. It was drafted by your people in SAPS.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MPOFU:What you say it neverhappened, there, apologies.GENERAL PHIYEGA:This, there were nominutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how wouldpeople apologise for a session that is extraordinary?MR MPOFU:No, you tell me. It wasdrafted by your people in SAPS.GENERAL PHIYEGA:I'm sure you can ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies. GENERAL PHIYEGA: This, there were no minutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how would people apologise for a session that is extraordinary? MR MPOFU: No, you tell me. It was drafted by your people in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm sure you can ask them what is that, because there's no extraordinary meeting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies. GENERAL PHIYEGA: This, there were no minutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how would people apologise for a session that is extraordinary? MR MPOFU: No, you tell me. It was drafted by your people in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm sure you can ask them what is that, because there's no extraordinary meeting that would have an apology. It's a session of calling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a "dwaalspoor," isn't it? It's not going to take us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MPOFU:What you say it neverhappened, there, apologies.GENERAL PHIYEGA:This, there were nominutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how wouldpeople apologise for a session that is extraordinary?MR MPOFU:No, you tell me. It wasdrafted by your people in SAPS.GENERAL PHIYEGA:I'm sure you can askthem what is that, because there's no extraordinary meetingthat would have an apology. It's a session of callingpeople to say let's talk about something else. There will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a "dwaalspoor," isn't it? It's not going to take us anywhere.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies. GENERAL PHIYEGA: This, there were no minutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how would people apologise for a session that is extraordinary? MR MPOFU: No, you tell me. It was drafted by your people in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm sure you can ask them what is that, because there's no extraordinary meeting that would have an apology. It's a session of calling people to say let's talk about something else. There will be no apology.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a "dwaalspoor," isn't it? It's not going to take us anywhere. MR MPOFU: Yes, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MPOFU:What you say it neverhappened, there, apologies.GENERAL PHIYEGA:This, there were nominutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how wouldpeople apologise for a session that is extraordinary?MR MPOFU:No, you tell me. It wasdrafted by your people in SAPS.GENERAL PHIYEGA:I'm sure you can askthem what is that, because there's no extraordinary meetingthat would have an apology.It's a session of callingpeople to say let's talk about something else.There willbe no apology.I take it what happened was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a "dwaalspoor," isn't it? It's not going to take us anywhere. MR MPOFU: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: You are going to come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MPOFU:What you say it neverhappened, there, apologies.GENERAL PHIYEGA:This, there were nominutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how wouldpeople apologise for a session that is extraordinary?MR MPOFU:No, you tell me. It wasdrafted by your people in SAPS.GENERAL PHIYEGA:I'm sure you can askthem what is that, because there's no extraordinary meetingthat would have an apology.It's a session of callingpeople to say let's talk about something else.There willbe no apology.I take it what happened wasthese were the people who'd gone and so it isn't correct to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a "dwaalspoor," isn't it? It's not going to take us anywhere. MR MPOFU: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: You are going to come sooner rather than later to the point you're dealing with,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MPOFU:What you say it neverhappened, there, apologies.GENERAL PHIYEGA:This, there were nominutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how wouldpeople apologise for a session that is extraordinary?MR MPOFU:No, you tell me. It wasdrafted by your people in SAPS.GENERAL PHIYEGA:I'm sure you can askthem what is that, because there's no extraordinary meetingthat would have an apology.It's a session of callingpeople to say let's talk about something else.There willbe no apology.I take it what happened wasthese were the people who'd gone and so it isn't correct totalk about apologies because obviously they wouldn't have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a "dwaalspoor," isn't it? It's not going to take us anywhere. MR MPOFU: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: You are going to come sooner rather than later to the point you're dealing with, which is the alleged collusion point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MPOFU:What you say it neverhappened, there, apologies.GENERAL PHIYEGA:This, there were nominutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how wouldpeople apologise for a session that is extraordinary?MR MPOFU:No, you tell me. It wasdrafted by your people in SAPS.GENERAL PHIYEGA:I'm sure you can askthem what is that, because there's no extraordinary meetingthat would have an apology.It's a session of callingpeople to say let's talk about something else.There willbe no apology.I take it what happened wasthese were the people who'd gone and so it isn't correct totalk about apologies because obviously they wouldn't haveapologised because they didn't even know the meeting was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a "dwaalspoor," isn't it? It's not going to take us anywhere. MR MPOFU: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: You are going to come sooner rather than later to the point you're dealing with, which is the alleged collusion point. MR MPOFU: That's correct. That's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MPOFU:What you say it neverhappened, there, apologies.GENERAL PHIYEGA:This, there were nominutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how wouldpeople apologise for a session that is extraordinary?MR MPOFU:No, you tell me. It wasdrafted by your people in SAPS.GENERAL PHIYEGA:I'm sure you can askthem what is that, because there's no extraordinary meetingthat would have an apology.It's a session of callingpeople to say let's talk about something else.DHAIRPERSON:I take it what happened wasthese were the people who'd gone and so it isn't correct totalk about apologies because obviously they wouldn't haveapologised because they didn't even know the meeting wasgoing to happen before they left, but apologies is probably	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a "dwaalspoor," isn't it? It's not going to take us anywhere. MR MPOFU: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: You are going to come sooner rather than later to the point you're dealing with, which is the alleged collusion point. MR MPOFU: That's correct. That's correct, Chairperson. Now the other point, coming to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies. GENERAL PHIYEGA: This, there were no minutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how would people apologise for a session that is extraordinary? MR MPOFU: No, you tell me. It was drafted by your people in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm sure you can ask them what is that, because there's no extraordinary meeting that would have an apology. It's a session of calling people to say let's talk about something else. There will be no apology. CHAIRPERSON: I take it what happened was these were the people who'd gone and so it isn't correct to talk about apologies because obviously they wouldn't have apologised because they left, but apologies is probably the wrong word, but absence, you know, for the reason being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a "dwaalspoor," isn't it? It's not going to take us anywhere. MR MPOFU: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: You are going to come sooner rather than later to the point you're dealing with, which is the alleged collusion point. MR MPOFU: That's correct. That's correct, Chairperson. Now the other point, coming to the issue of when and why maybe the order or decision was taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies. GENERAL PHIYEGA: This, there were no minutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how would people apologise for a session that is extraordinary? MR MPOFU: No, you tell me. It was drafted by your people in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm sure you can ask them what is that, because there's no extraordinary meeting that would have an apology. It's a session of calling people to say let's talk about something else. There will be no apology. CHAIRPERSON: I take it what happened was these were the people who'd gone and so it isn't correct to talk about apologies because obviously they wouldn't have apologised because they didn't even know the meeting was going to happen before they left, but apologies is probably the wrong word, but absence, you know, for the reason being they'd left already would be more accurate because I take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a "dwaalspoor," isn't it? It's not going to take us anywhere. MR MPOFU: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: You are going to come sooner rather than later to the point you're dealing with, which is the alleged collusion point. MR MPOFU: That's correct. That's correct, Chairperson. Now the other point, coming to the issue of when and why maybe the order or decision was taken is that I want to put it to you, I want to give you an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies. GENERAL PHIYEGA: This, there were no minutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how would people apologise for a session that is extraordinary? MR MPOFU: No, you tell me. It was drafted by your people in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm sure you can ask them what is that, because there's no extraordinary meeting that would have an apology. It's a session of calling people to say let's talk about something else. There will be no apology. CHAIRPERSON: I take it what happened was these were the people who'd gone and so it isn't correct to talk about apologies because obviously they wouldn't have apologised because they didn't even know the meeting was going to happen before they left, but apologies is probably the wrong word, but absence, you know, for the reason being they'd left already would be more accurate because I take it those are the three members of the forum who had left	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a "dwaalspoor," isn't it? It's not going to take us anywhere. MR MPOFU: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: You are going to come sooner rather than later to the point you're dealing with, which is the alleged collusion point. MR MPOFU: That's correct. That's correct, Chairperson. Now the other point, coming to the issue of when and why maybe the order or decision was taken is that I want to put it to you, I want to give you an opportunity to refute the fact that in your statements you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies. GENERAL PHIYEGA: This, there were no minutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how would people apologise for a session that is extraordinary? MR MPOFU: No, you tell me. It was drafted by your people in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm sure you can ask them what is that, because there's no extraordinary meeting that would have an apology. It's a session of calling people to say let's talk about something else. There will be no apology. CHAIRPERSON: I take it what happened was these were the people who'd gone and so it isn't correct to talk about apologies because obviously they wouldn't have apologised because they didn't even know the meeting was going to happen before they left, but apologies is probably the wrong word, but absence, you know, for the reason being they'd left already would be more accurate because I take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a "dwaalspoor," isn't it? It's not going to take us anywhere. MR MPOFU: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: You are going to come sooner rather than later to the point you're dealing with, which is the alleged collusion point. MR MPOFU: That's correct. That's correct, Chairperson. Now the other point, coming to the issue of when and why maybe the order or decision was taken is that I want to put it to you, I want to give you an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies. GENERAL PHIYEGA: This, there were no minutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how would people apologise for a session that is extraordinary? MR MPOFU: No, you tell me. It was drafted by your people in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm sure you can ask them what is that, because there's no extraordinary meeting that would have an apology. It's a session of calling people to say let's talk about something else. There will be no apology. CHAIRPERSON: I take it what happened was these were the people who'd gone and so it isn't correct to talk about apologies because obviously they wouldn't have apologised because they left, but apologies is probably the wrong word, but absence, you know, for the reason being they'd left already would be more accurate because I take it those are the three members of the forum who had left already and they were absent. Is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a "dwaalspoor," isn't it? It's not going to take us anywhere. MR MPOFU: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: You are going to come sooner rather than later to the point you're dealing with, which is the alleged collusion point. MR MPOFU: That's correct. That's correct, Chairperson. Now the other point, coming to the issue of when and why maybe the order or decision was taken is that I want to put it to you, I want to give you an opportunity to refute the fact that in your statements you deliberately sought to mislead or to omit any reference to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MPOFU: What you say it never happened, there, apologies. GENERAL PHIYEGA: This, there were no minutes for this whole thing and these apologies, how would people apologise for a session that is extraordinary? MR MPOFU: No, you tell me. It was drafted by your people in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm sure you can ask them what is that, because there's no extraordinary meeting that would have an apology. It's a session of calling people to say let's talk about something else. There will be no apology. CHAIRPERSON: I take it what happened was these were the people who'd gone and so it isn't correct to talk about apologies because obviously they wouldn't have apologised because they left, but apologies is probably the wrong word, but absence, you know, for the reason being they'd left already would be more accurate because I take it those are the three members of the forum who had left already and they were absent. Is that correct? MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Well, two quick points, Chairperson, about that. One is that that's the purpose of draft minutes is so that people can say if they are reflected, I was not there. So that doesn't take the matter any further. That's the very essence of having draft minutes, but the second point is that my point really is that there were minutes taken for the meeting, which is self-evident. So I'll move on, but the question about incorrect reflection, that's exactly what draft minutes are about. CHAIRPERSON: That sounds like a bit of a "dwaalspoor," isn't it? It's not going to take us anywhere. MR MPOFU: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: You are going to come sooner rather than later to the point you're dealing with, which is the alleged collusion point. MR MPOFU: That's correct. That's correct, Chairperson. Now the other point, coming to the issue of when and why maybe the order or decision was taken is that I want to put it to you, I want to give you an opportunity to refute the fact that in your statements you deliberately sought to mislead or to omit any reference to the decision having been taken in a National Management

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

1	Page 37479 statement you suggest that the discussion about the	1	Page 37481 answered the Chair on that. I've already answered that
2	decision was made in a kind of one-on-one discussion	2	earlier on, ja.
3	between you and the Provincial Commissioner. Would that be	3	MR MPOFU: Ja, well can you do it again?
4	a fair statement?	4	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]
5	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Can you repeat your	5	she can be expected to repeat her answers. She's given her
6	proposition?	6	answer –
7	MR MPOFU: You misled the Commission	7	MR MPOFU: Well, okay then maybe the
8	deliberately by omitting reference to the National	8	Chair will –
9	Management Forum, which was the most important part of the	9	CHAIRPERSON: She's given an answer, she
10	decision, and you suggested in your statement that that	10	said it was omission. Whether that's a good answer in the
11	discussion took place in a one-on-one kind of discussion	11	circumstances is something I'm sure will be debated in
12	between you and General Mbombo.	12	argument, but I don't know that she's going to add to it at
13	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Your proposition is	13	all, so –
14	incorrect.	14	MR MPOFU: It was an omission. No, I
14	MR MPOFU: Okay, then I'll refer you to	14	just didn't know what the answer was.
16	paragraph – FFF2 again, your paragraph 21 and 22. This is	16	CHAIRPERSON: Am I right, that was your -
17	where you would have, if you wanted you would have made	17	National Commissioner, that was your answer, wasn't it?
18	reference to the decision having been taken at the NMF.	18	GENERAL PHIYEGA: That was my answer,
19	You say, "I continued receiving regular situational reports	19	Chair.
20	about the events that were unfolding in Marikana. On 15th	20	CHAIRPERSON: Ja, ja.
21	August 2012 while attending the SAPS National Management	20	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Thank
22	Forum in Midrand," you say, "I was informed," I, that's	22	you, General. Now I'm now getting to the issue of what I
23	what you say, "I was informed by Lieutenant-General Mbombo	23	call improper motivation for the order, and just as
24	of a possibility of a peaceful resolution being reached.	24	background I'll tell you that - the Chairperson has already
25	She informed me that she had been told that Mr Mathunjwa of	25	told you this, but just as building up, that there is, at
	-		
	Page 37480		Page 37482
1	AMCU had promised that the protesters would lay down their	1	least the police expert Mr De Rover indirectly agrees with
2	weapons at the koppie at 9," and so on, "and thereafter	2	the proposition we put in the opening statement that a
3	leave the koppie." Then the next paragraph, "Lieutenant-	3	decision of this magnitude must have come much higher than
4	General Mbombo further informed me that if the above	4	yourself.
5	undertaking failed to materialise the police would have to	5	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, Mr De Rover did
6	disperse the crowd." Do you still stick with your answer	6	
7		Ŭ	not agree with any proposition. He said he would be
1	that there was a deliberate omission of the fact that this	7	surprised if such a decision was not taken –
8	was said –	_	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm
9	was said – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the	7	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if
9 10	was said – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission –	7 8	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come
9 10 11	was said – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission – MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I'm saying is	7 8 9 10 11	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come higher than yourself, and in the light of the discussions
9 10 11 12	was said – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission – MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I'm saying is in the light of this –	7 8 9 10 11 12	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come higher than yourself, and in the light of the discussions that you had, particularly with my learned colleague Mr
9 10 11 12 13	was said – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission – MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I'm saying is in the light of this – CHAIRPERSON: You put it wrongly.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come higher than yourself, and in the light of the discussions that you had, particularly with my learned colleague Mr Burger, where you omitted only the references to the
9 10 11 12 13 14	was said – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission – MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I'm saying is in the light of this – CHAIRPERSON: You put it wrongly. MR MPOFU: Yes. In the light of this	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come higher than yourself, and in the light of the discussions that you had, particularly with my learned colleague Mr Burger, where you omitted only the references to the Minister in your statement, that's the context in which I'm
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was said – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission – MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I'm saying is in the light of this – CHAIRPERSON: You put it wrongly. MR MPOFU: Yes. In the light of this what I've just read to you would you like to amend your	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come higher than yourself, and in the light of the discussions that you had, particularly with my learned colleague Mr Burger, where you omitted only the references to the Minister in your statement, that's the context in which I'm now going to ask you the following question.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was said – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission – MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I'm saying is in the light of this – CHAIRPERSON: You put it wrongly. MR MPOFU: Yes. In the light of this what I've just read to you would you like to amend your answer or not?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come higher than yourself, and in the light of the discussions that you had, particularly with my learned colleague Mr Burger, where you omitted only the references to the Minister in your statement, that's the context in which I'm now going to ask you the following question. You do remember that in paragraph 23 and 24 of
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was said – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission – MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I'm saying is in the light of this – CHAIRPERSON: You put it wrongly. MR MPOFU: Yes. In the light of this what I've just read to you would you like to amend your answer or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I'm not amending my	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come higher than yourself, and in the light of the discussions that you had, particularly with my learned colleague Mr Burger, where you omitted only the references to the Minister in your statement, that's the context in which I'm now going to ask you the following question. You do remember that in paragraph 23 and 24 of your statement the only parts that you corrected, or
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>was said – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission – MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I'm saying is in the light of this – CHAIRPERSON: You put it wrongly. MR MPOFU: Yes. In the light of this what I've just read to you would you like to amend your answer or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I'm not amending my answer.</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come higher than yourself, and in the light of the discussions that you had, particularly with my learned colleague Mr Burger, where you omitted only the references to the Minister in your statement, that's the context in which I'm now going to ask you the following question. You do remember that in paragraph 23 and 24 of your statement the only parts that you corrected, or deleted, were the references to the Minister of Police,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>was said – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission – MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I'm saying is in the light of this – CHAIRPERSON: You put it wrongly. MR MPOFU: Yes. In the light of this what I've just read to you would you like to amend your answer or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I'm not amending my answer. MR MPOFU: You don't, okay. Fair enough.</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come higher than yourself, and in the light of the discussions that you had, particularly with my learned colleague Mr Burger, where you omitted only the references to the Minister in your statement, that's the context in which I'm now going to ask you the following question. You do remember that in paragraph 23 and 24 of your statement the only parts that you corrected, or deleted, were the references to the Minister of Police, correct?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>was said – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission – MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I'm saying is in the light of this – CHAIRPERSON: You put it wrongly. MR MPOFU: Yes. In the light of this what I've just read to you would you like to amend your answer or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I'm not amending my answer. MR MPOFU: You don't, okay. Fair enough. And is there any reason that you can give to the Commission</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come higher than yourself, and in the light of the discussions that you had, particularly with my learned colleague Mr Burger, where you omitted only the references to the Minister in your statement, that's the context in which I'm now going to ask you the following question. You do remember that in paragraph 23 and 24 of your statement the only parts that you corrected, or deleted, were the references to the Minister of Police, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I recall that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>was said – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission – MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I'm saying is in the light of this – CHAIRPERSON: You put it wrongly. MR MPOFU: Yes. In the light of this what I've just read to you would you like to amend your answer or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I'm not amending my answer. MR MPOFU: You don't, okay. Fair enough. And is there any reason that you can give to the Commission why you did not of your own accord make reference to the</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come higher than yourself, and in the light of the discussions that you had, particularly with my learned colleague Mr Burger, where you omitted only the references to the Minister in your statement, that's the context in which I'm now going to ask you the following question. You do remember that in paragraph 23 and 24 of your statement the only parts that you corrected, or deleted, were the references to the Minister of Police, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I recall that engagement.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>was said - CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission - MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I'm saying is in the light of this - CHAIRPERSON: You put it wrongly. MR MPOFU: Yes. In the light of this what I've just read to you would you like to amend your answer or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I'm not amending my answer. MR MPOFU: You don't, okay. Fair enough. And is there any reason that you can give to the Commission why you did not of your own accord make reference to the extraordinary session of the NMF which is the most</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come higher than yourself, and in the light of the discussions that you had, particularly with my learned colleague Mr Burger, where you omitted only the references to the Minister in your statement, that's the context in which I'm now going to ask you the following question. You do remember that in paragraph 23 and 24 of your statement the only parts that you corrected, or deleted, were the references to the Minister of Police, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I recall that engagement. MR MPOFU: Ja, we won't go into that
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	<pre>was said – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission – MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I'm saying is in the light of this – CHAIRPERSON: You put it wrongly. MR MPOFU: Yes. In the light of this what I've just read to you would you like to amend your answer or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I'm not amending my answer. MR MPOFU: You don't, okay. Fair enough. And is there any reason that you can give to the Commission why you did not of your own accord make reference to the extraordinary session of the NMF which is the most important decision that was taken in relation to the</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come higher than yourself, and in the light of the discussions that you had, particularly with my learned colleague Mr Burger, where you omitted only the references to the Minister in your statement, that's the context in which I'm now going to ask you the following question. You do remember that in paragraph 23 and 24 of your statement the only parts that you corrected, or deleted, were the references to the Minister of Police, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I recall that engagement. MR MPOFU: Ja, we won't go into that whole discussion again. Right, now this is, we now go to
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	<pre>was said - CHAIRPERSON: No, no, her answer is the opposite. Her answer is there was not an omission - MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I'm saying is in the light of this - CHAIRPERSON: You put it wrongly. MR MPOFU: Yes. In the light of this what I've just read to you would you like to amend your answer or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I'm not amending my answer. MR MPOFU: You don't, okay. Fair enough. And is there any reason that you can give to the Commission why you did not of your own accord make reference to the extraordinary session of the NMF which is the most</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	surprised if such a decision was not taken – MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, that's fine. I'm happy with that. So would I. The point there is that if Mr De Rover would be surprised if the decision didn't come higher than yourself, and in the light of the discussions that you had, particularly with my learned colleague Mr Burger, where you omitted only the references to the Minister in your statement, that's the context in which I'm now going to ask you the following question. You do remember that in paragraph 23 and 24 of your statement the only parts that you corrected, or deleted, were the references to the Minister of Police, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I recall that engagement. MR MPOFU: Ja, we won't go into that

1	Page 37483		Page 37485
1	cover, so I don't want to go into it in detail. You would	1	mean.
2	agree surely that what one might call the governance	2	CHAIRPERSON: I think what he means is if
3	mechanisms of your job and the police come directly from	3	you're not impartial, if you're actuated by bias, if you
4	the Constitution, which among other things says you must	4	allow political factors to operate, which you shouldn't
5	act impartially, without bias, and so on and so on. You	5	then you shouldn't really be in that job, he should go
6	agree with that?	6	somewhere else. I think that's really his point, isn't
7	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I know my	7	that right, Mr Mpofu?
8	constitutional responsibilities and duties.	8	MR MPOFU: That's correct, Chairperson.
9	MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you very much, and	9	CHAIRPERSON: Does that make it clearer
10	you would also agree with Minister Mthethwa, who puts it	10	to you?
11	even more beautifully than I can, that the constitutional	11	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, all I can
12	framework of South Africa is such that there must be a	12	say, my response to that is that indeed nobody is allowed
13	clear separation between the operational side of things and	13	to break the law and if the law is meaning being impartial
14	the political and he says at paragraph 16 of his CCCC1, he	14	and all those type of things of course it would require
15	uses a nice word, to shield. He says, "The above," after	15	that organisation to take the necessary steps to deal with
16	he discusses the constitutional framework, "The above makes	16	that.
17	it clear that the constitutional scheme establishing SAPS	17	MR MPOFU: Okay maybe I can jog your
18	at its core imbues SAPS with sufficient structural and	18	memory. You are aware that your predecessor, General Cele
19	operation autonomy so as to shield it from undue political	19	was fired for breach of governance principles rather than
20	influence through institutional and legal mechanisms."	20	him doing kind of maybe stealing money or whatever. But it
21 22	Surely you agree with the Minister there? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I note his statement	21 22	was more the fact that a breakdown in the governance principles had happened under his watch and he was
22	and I'm listening.	22	therefore removed.
23	MR MPOFU: No, do you agree that that is	23	GENERAL PHIYEGA: The details I don't
25	the institutional framework under which you as National	25	know, I just know –
1	Page 37484 Commissioner operate?	1	Page 37486 MR MPOFU: Generally.
2	GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is correct, Sir.	2	GENERAL PHIYEGA: - there are some
3	MR MPOFU: Thank you.	3	general issues around breach.
4	[12:32] Given that you'd agree that anybody who would	Ŭ	-
	[]	4	MR MPOFU: Yes correct. So then that
5	break the law or breach the law in that constitutional and	4 5	MR MPOFU: Yes correct. So then that would indicate. I mean if you can do that for leases and
5 6	break the law or breach the law in that constitutional and legal framework does not belong in SAPS.		would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and
	break the law or breach the law in that constitutional and legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask	5	
6	legal framework does not belong in SAPS.	5 6	would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those
6 7	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask	5 6 7	would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying.
6 7 8	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask that question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS,	5 6 7 8	would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that
6 7 8 9	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask that question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS, what does that mean?	5 6 7 8 9	would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that you can think of, correct?
6 7 8 9 10	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask that question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS, what does that mean? MR MPOFU: No it's very simple. I'm	5 6 7 8 9 10	would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that you can think of, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That would be your
6 7 8 9 10 11	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask that question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS, what does that mean? MR MPOFU: No it's very simple. I'm saying if anybody would act in such a way as to break all	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that you can think of, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That would be your assessment, Sir, I'm not giving that assessment, I'm
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask that question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS, what does that mean? MR MPOFU: No it's very simple. I'm saying if anybody would act in such a way as to break all the institutional framework that I've spoken to you about,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that you can think of, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That would be your assessment, Sir, I'm not giving that assessment, I'm listening to your assessment.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask that question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS, what does that mean? MR MPOFU: No it's very simple. I'm saying if anybody would act in such a way as to break all the institutional framework that I've spoken to you about, about impartiality, lack of bias, being shielded from	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that you can think of, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That would be your assessment, Sir, I'm not giving that assessment, I'm listening to your assessment. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now the issue is that –
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask that question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS, what does that mean? MR MPOFU: No it's very simple. I'm saying if anybody would act in such a way as to break all the institutional framework that I've spoken to you about, about impartiality, lack of bias, being shielded from political and so on, anybody who does that firstly would be breaking the law, the highest law in the land, the constitution. But the most important question I'm asking	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that you can think of, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That would be your assessment, Sir, I'm not giving that assessment, I'm listening to your assessment. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now the issue is that – if you go to page 9 of JJJ192. I want to read it as fast as I can because it's quite long and – CHAIRPERSON: What line –
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask that question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS, what does that mean? MR MPOFU: No it's very simple. I'm saying if anybody would act in such a way as to break all the institutional framework that I've spoken to you about, about impartiality, lack of bias, being shielded from political and so on, anybody who does that firstly would be breaking the law, the highest law in the land, the constitution. But the most important question I'm asking you is whether a person who does that would not belong in	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that you can think of, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That would be your assessment, Sir, I'm not giving that assessment, I'm listening to your assessment. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now the issue is that – if you go to page 9 of JJJ192. I want to read it as fast as I can because it's quite long and – CHAIRPERSON: What line – MR MPOFU: Yes thank you, Chairperson,</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask that question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS, what does that mean? MR MPOFU: No it's very simple. I'm saying if anybody would act in such a way as to break all the institutional framework that I've spoken to you about, about impartiality, lack of bias, being shielded from political and so on, anybody who does that firstly would be breaking the law, the highest law in the land, the constitution. But the most important question I'm asking you is whether a person who does that would not belong in SAPS.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that you can think of, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That would be your assessment, Sir, I'm not giving that assessment, I'm listening to your assessment. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now the issue is that – if you go to page 9 of JJJ192. I want to read it as fast as I can because it's quite long and – CHAIRPERSON: What line – MR MPOFU: Yes thank you, Chairperson, I'm going to start at line 6, Chairperson.</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	legal framework does not belong in SAPS.GENERAL PHIYEGA:Maybe if you can askthat question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS,what does that mean?MR MPOFU:No it's very simple. I'msaying if anybody would act in such a way as to break allthe institutional framework that I've spoken to you about,about impartiality, lack of bias, being shielded frompolitical and so on, anybody who does that firstly would bebreaking the law, the highest law in the land, theconstitution. But the most important question I'm askingyou is whether a person who does that would not belong inSAPS.GENERAL PHIYEGA:I will agree with the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that you can think of, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That would be your assessment, Sir, I'm not giving that assessment, I'm listening to your assessment. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now the issue is that – if you go to page 9 of JJJ192. I want to read it as fast as I can because it's quite long and – CHAIRPERSON: What line – MR MPOFU: Yes thank you, Chairperson, I'm going to start at line 6, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: So I think yesterday Abie</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask that question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS, what does that mean? MR MPOFU: No it's very simple. I'm saying if anybody would act in such a way as to break all the institutional framework that I've spoken to you about, about impartiality, lack of bias, being shielded from political and so on, anybody who does that firstly would be breaking the law, the highest law in the land, the constitution. But the most important question I'm asking you is whether a person who does that would not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I will agree with the breaking the law, belonging in SAPS is another thing. I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that you can think of, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That would be your assessment, Sir, I'm not giving that assessment, I'm listening to your assessment. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now the issue is that – if you go to page 9 of JJJ192. I want to read it as fast as I can because it's quite long and – CHAIRPERSON: What line – MR MPOFU: Yes thank you, Chairperson, I'm going to start at line 6, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: So I think yesterday Abie will recall –</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask that question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS, what does that mean? MR MPOFU: No it's very simple. I'm saying if anybody would act in such a way as to break all the institutional framework that I've spoken to you about, about impartiality, lack of bias, being shielded from political and so on, anybody who does that firstly would be breaking the law, the highest law in the land, the constitution. But the most important question I'm asking you is whether a person who does that would not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I will agree with the breaking the law, belonging in SAPS is another thing. I want to understand what you mean by that, breaking the law,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that you can think of, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That would be your assessment, Sir, I'm not giving that assessment, I'm listening to your assessment. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now the issue is that – if you go to page 9 of JJJ192. I want to read it as fast as I can because it's quite long and – CHAIRPERSON: What line – MR MPOFU: Yes thank you, Chairperson, I'm going to start at line 6, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: So I think yesterday Abie will recall – MR MPOFU: Yes. I want to read it fast,</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask that question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS, what does that mean? MR MPOFU: No it's very simple. I'm saying if anybody would act in such a way as to break all the institutional framework that I've spoken to you about, about impartiality, lack of bias, being shielded from political and so on, anybody who does that firstly would be breaking the law, the highest law in the land, the constitution. But the most important question I'm asking you is whether a person who does that would not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I will agree with the breaking the law, belonging in SAPS is another thing. I want to understand what you mean by that, breaking the law, of course. You're not allowed to break the law.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that you can think of, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That would be your assessment, Sir, I'm not giving that assessment, I'm listening to your assessment. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now the issue is that – if you go to page 9 of JJJ192. I want to read it as fast as I can because it's quite long and – CHAIRPERSON: What line – MR MPOFU: Yes thank you, Chairperson, I'm going to start at line 6, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: So I think yesterday Abie will recall – MR MPOFU: Yes. I want to read it fast, please try and listen carefully, General, because the last</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask that question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS, what does that mean? MR MPOFU: No it's very simple. I'm saying if anybody would act in such a way as to break all the institutional framework that I've spoken to you about, about impartiality, lack of bias, being shielded from political and so on, anybody who does that firstly would be breaking the law, the highest law in the land, the constitution. But the most important question I'm asking you is whether a person who does that would not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I will agree with the breaking the law, belonging in SAPS is another thing. I want to understand what you mean by that, breaking the law, of course. You're not allowed to break the law. MR MPOFU: Yes but once you do there's no	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that you can think of, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That would be your assessment, Sir, I'm not giving that assessment, I'm listening to your assessment. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now the issue is that – if you go to page 9 of JJJ192. I want to read it as fast as I can because it's quite long and – CHAIRPERSON: What line – MR MPOFU: Yes thank you, Chairperson, I'm going to start at line 6, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: So I think yesterday Abie will recall – MR MPOFU: Yes. I want to read it fast, please try and listen carefully, General, because the last few questions will be based on this and it's very</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	legal framework does not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Maybe if you can ask that question in a better level, does not belong in SAPS, what does that mean? MR MPOFU: No it's very simple. I'm saying if anybody would act in such a way as to break all the institutional framework that I've spoken to you about, about impartiality, lack of bias, being shielded from political and so on, anybody who does that firstly would be breaking the law, the highest law in the land, the constitution. But the most important question I'm asking you is whether a person who does that would not belong in SAPS. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I will agree with the breaking the law, belonging in SAPS is another thing. I want to understand what you mean by that, breaking the law, of course. You're not allowed to break the law.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>would indicate, I mean if you can do that for leases and things like that imagine if one were to breach those governance frameworks with the result of 34 people dying. Surely the second one is more serious than any lease that you can think of, correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: That would be your assessment, Sir, I'm not giving that assessment, I'm listening to your assessment. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now the issue is that – if you go to page 9 of JJJ192. I want to read it as fast as I can because it's quite long and – CHAIRPERSON: What line – MR MPOFU: Yes thank you, Chairperson, I'm going to start at line 6, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: So I think yesterday Abie will recall – MR MPOFU: Yes. I want to read it fast, please try and listen carefully, General, because the last</pre>

	Page 37487		
1	being record or at least General Mbombo didn't. "So I	1	Page 37489 you, General.
2	think yesterday Abie" I think we'll recall Abie is the	2	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I hear and I've been
3	[inaudible] yes. "You will recall when this discussion	3	reading with you.
4	with the National Commissioner" that would be yourself "she	4	MR MPOFU: You've been reading with me.
5	also from the discussion that she raised with you, you know	5	Now because we don't have time I'm going to cut this very
6	and some of these questions that she raised, you remember ${\rm I}$	6	short. Firstly you'd agree that this discussion shows at
7	raised them in the morning in our meeting. And she also	7	face value at least, that the National Commissioner of
8	felt that you know, it was difficult to separate management	8	Police and the Provincial Commissioner were discussing
9	from giving these people a leeway and if management gave	9	political considerations to do with the policing operation,
10	these people this type of leeway how do we separate them	10	at face value. There might be a long explanation, but from
11	now from an allegation that can come and say but they are	11	anybody reading this you'd agree that what's it indicates.
12	supporting them. So, underline so, I want us to, when you	12	GENERAL PHIYEGA: The first thing is that
13	said people must be arrested I want us to be very clear	13	this conversation takes place in my absence. I know it was
14	that any information that we get should get to that we	14	just being conversed on.
15	arrest people. That is our interest because yourselves	15	MR MPOFU: Well yes let me put it this
16	here as management you will clear yourselves from this	16	way just to allay any fear. General Mbombo has been asked
17	perception" says Mr Mokwena. General Mbombo says	17	about this conversation, she has confirmed that the past,
18	"Perception you know Mr Kwadi yes." General Mbombo says	18	where for example she says she says this and she refers to
19	"You will clear yourself by ensuring that you diffuse, that	19	you come from discussions that things you either said to
20	you give out information that is related to this thing and	20	her or in her presence. So let's put that aside. I accept
21	are able to actually act on that information because,	21	that this particular conversation took place in your
22	underline because, I think even when we were trying to talk	22	absence, but I'm saying would you agree that anybody, any
23	about it last night she" that is you again "asked me a	23	human being reading this part that I've just read would be
24	question that says you know, well this one I'm not sure	24 25	left with the impression that the National Commissioner and
25	because the Lonmin shareholders I do not know much about	25	the Provincial Commissioner were discussing political
	Page 37488		Page 37490
1	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says	1	Page 37490 considerations to be taken into account in effecting a
1 2	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned	1 2	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value?
	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising		considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree.
2	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It	2	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now
2 3	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena	2 3	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to
2 3 4 5 6	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here
2 3 4 5 6 7	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National	2 3 4 5 6 7	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the Minister he mentioned Cyril. And then she " that's you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult for me to actually give –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the Minister he mentioned Cyril. And then she " that's you, says "Now I got it. You know why she says she got it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult for me to actually give – MR MPOFU: No, no, General, please,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the Minister he mentioned Cyril. And then she " that's you, says "Now I got it. You know why she says she got it, remember Cyril was in the appeal committee of Malema,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult for me to actually give – MR MPOFU: No, no, General, please, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the Minister he mentioned Cyril. And then she " that's you, says "Now I got it. You know why she says she got it, remember Cyril was in the appeal committee of Malema, remember." Mr Mokwena "Yes and he was very strong in terms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult for me to actually give – MR MPOFU: No, no, General, please, please. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the Minister he mentioned Cyril. And then she " that's you, says "Now I got it. You know why she says she got it, remember Cyril was in the appeal committee of Malema, remember." Mr Mokwena "Yes and he was very strong in terms of the decision that was made yes and remember that Impala	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult for me to actually give – MR MPOFU: No, no, General, please, please. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: Okay, okay forget about what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the Minister he mentioned Cyril. And then she " that's you, says "Now I got it. You know why she says she got it, remember Cyril was in the appeal committee of Malema, remember." Mr Mokwena "Yes and he was very strong in terms of the decision that was made yes and remember that Impala Malema came with our premier and spoke to those people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult for me to actually give – MR MPOFU: No, no, General, please, please. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: Okay, okay forget about what I read to you. If the National Commissioner of this country
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the Minister he mentioned Cyril. And then she " that's you, says "Now I got it. You know why she says she got it, remember Cyril was in the appeal committee of Malema, remember." Mr Mokwena "Yes and he was very strong in terms of the decision that was made yes and remember that Impala Malema came with our premier and spoke to those people about that they should make their demands, but in that way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult for me to actually give – MR MPOFU: No, no, General, please, please. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: Okay, okay forget about what I read to you. If the National Commissioner of this country and the Provincial Commissioner were to take into account
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the Minister he mentioned Cyril. And then she " that's you, says "Now I got it. You know why she says she got it, remember Cyril was in the appeal committee of Malema, remember." Mr Mokwena "Yes and he was very strong in terms of the decision that was made yes and remember that Impala Malema came with our premier and spoke to those people about that they should make their demands, but in that way and after that we ourselves as the police managed, you know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult for me to actually give – MR MPOFU: No, no, General, please, please. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: Okay, okay forget about what I read to you. If the National Commissioner of this country and the Provincial Commissioner were to take into account political considerations, take into account political
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the Minister he mentioned Cyril. And then she " that's you, says "Now I got it. You know why she says she got it, remember Cyril was in the appeal committee of Malema, remember." Mr Mokwena "Yes and he was very strong in terms of the decision that was made yes and remember that Impala Malema came with our premier and spoke to those people about that they should make their demands, but in that way and after that we ourselves as the police managed, you know managed to diffuse the situation after Malema came." Then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult for me to actually give – MR MPOFU: No, no, General, please, please. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: Okay, okay forget about what I read to you. If the National Commissioner of this country and the Provincial Commissioner were to take into account political considerations, take into account political considerations to the extent of saying we must not do this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the Minister he mentioned Cyril. And then she " that's you, says "Now I got it. You know why she says she got it, remember Cyril was in the appeal committee of Malema, remember." Mr Mokwena "Yes and he was very strong in terms of the decision that was made yes and remember that Impala Malema came with our premier and spoke to those people about that they should make their demands, but in that way and after that we ourselves as the police managed, you know managed to diffuse the situation after Malema came." Then the last part which is very important. "Now our discussion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult for me to actually give – MR MPOFU: No, no, General, please, please. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: Okay, okay forget about what I read to you. If the National Commissioner of this country and the Provincial Commissioner were to take into account political considerations, take into account political considerations to the extent of saying we must not do this even if it's going to solve the policing situation. Even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the Minister he mentioned Cyril. And then she " that's you, says "Now I got it. You know why she says she got it, remember Cyril was in the appeal committee of Malema, remember." Mr Mokwena "Yes and he was very strong in terms of the decision that was made yes and remember that Impala Malema came with our premier and spoke to those people about that they should make their demands, but in that way and after that we ourselves as the police managed, you know managed to diffuse the situation after Malema came." Then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult for me to actually give – MR MPOFU: No, no, General, please, please. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: Okay, okay forget about what I read to you. If the National Commissioner of this country and the Provincial Commissioner were to take into account political considerations, take into account political considerations to the extent of saying we must not do this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the Minister he mentioned Cyril. And then she " that's you, says "Now I got it. You know why she says she got it, remember Cyril was in the appeal committee of Malema, remember." Mr Mokwena "Yes and he was very strong in terms of the decision that was made yes and remember that Impala Malema came with our premier and spoke to those people about that they should make their demands, but in that way and after that we ourselves as the police managed, you know managed to diffuse the situation after Malema came." Then the last part which is very important. "Now our discussion with the National Commissioner was around this thing that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult for me to actually give – MR MPOFU: No, no, General, please, please. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: Okay, okay forget about what I read to you. If the National Commissioner of this country and the Provincial Commissioner were to take into account political considerations, take into account political considerations to the extent of saying we must not do this even if it's going to solve the policing situation. Even if it's going to solve the unrest, even if it's going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the Minister he mentioned Cyril. And then she " that's you, says "Now I got it. You know why she says she got it, remember Cyril was in the appeal committee of Malema, remember." Mr Mokwena "Yes and he was very strong in terms of the decision that was made yes and remember that Impala Malema came with our premier and spoke to those people about that they should make their demands, but in that way and after that we ourselves as the police managed, you know managed to diffuse the situation after Malema came." Then the last part which is very important. "Now our discussion with the National Commissioner was around this thing that say is this thing now happening such that again Malema come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult for me to actually give – MR MPOFU: No, no, General, please, please. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: Okay, okay forget about what I read to you. If the National Commissioner of this country and the Provincial Commissioner were to take into account political considerations, take into account political considerations to the extent of saying we must not do this even if it's going to solve the unrest, even if it's going to solve the unrest, even if it's going to solve the conflict because of political considerations,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	them." Mr Mokwena says "Yes" and then General Mbombo says "But when I was speaking to Minister Mthethwa he mentioned a name to me that is also calling him, that is pressurising him unfortunately it is a politically high individual." It was agreed that we can put a word like that. Mr Mokwena says "It is Cyril, SAPS Commissioner, Cyril Ramaphosa, yes. Now remember now when I was talking to the National Commissioner" yourself "last night she says to me, look General who are the shareholders here. So I said I do not know the shareholders, but I know that when I spoke to the Minister he mentioned Cyril. And then she " that's you, says "Now I got it. You know why she says she got it, remember Cyril was in the appeal committee of Malema, remember." Mr Mokwena "Yes and he was very strong in terms of the decision that was made yes and remember that Impala Malema came with our premier and spoke to those people about that they should make their demands, but in that way and after that we ourselves as the police managed, you know managed to diffuse the situation after Malema came." Then the last part which is very important. "Now our discussion with the National Commissioner was around this thing that say is this thing now happening such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing so that it becomes as if Malema has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	considerations to be taken into account in effecting a policing operation at face value? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: You do not agree. Okay now assuming just be some chance that the Commission comes to the impression that that is what was being discussed here you'd agree that it's against the governance framework and the law as I've explained it to you. GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that I do not agree, so those presuppositions makes it difficult for me to actually give – MR MPOFU: No, no, General, please, please. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not agree. MR MPOFU: Okay, okay forget about what I read to you. If the National Commissioner of this country and the Provincial Commissioner were to take into account political considerations, take into account political considerations, take into account political considerations to the extent of saying we must not do this even if it's going to solve the unrest, even if it's going to solve the unrest.

1	Page 37491 MR MPOFU: No. I'm accepting that you	1	Page 37493
1 2	1 0 5	1 2	with this thing tomorrow. We've got to move in, we've got to kill it because if we don't a terrible thing will
2	disagree. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do have to say to		happen. Mr Malema will come, he will make a speech, he'll
	you, you should ask me why I do not agree because I want to	3	
4 5	understand what is political in what we've read?	4	diffuse the situation, he'll get all the credit and we
	-	5	can't have that. So that's why we've got to act now-now
6	5	6	and this she describes as a serious political connotation.
7	•	7	I hope you now understand the point that he's trying to
8	aspects that you want me to concede?	8 9	convey. Am I right, Mr Mpofu?
9	MR MPOFU: All right.	-	MR MPOFU: 100%.
10	CHAIRPERSON: - is he actually cut it	10	CHAIRPERSON: Now you can ask the
11	short. What it goes on to say is this, you see the	11	questions you want to ask and then your time is -
12	impression created, he's putting to you is that the views	12	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. So
13	which the Provincial Commissioner expressed to Mr Mokwena	13	you do understand now the political connotation.
14	was shared by you and that in fact it has arisen in a	14	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not understand
15	conversation that you'd had with her and with them I think.	15	political connotation because what I hear from this
16	That's what he putting, whether you agree with that is a	16	conversation is people who are interested in ending a
17	matter you can deal with in a moment. But then what the	17	strike.
18	Provincial Commissioner goes on to say is this. Let's	18	MR MPOFU: No, no it's exact opposite.
19	start with the words "Now our discussion with the National	19	There are people who are interested in ensuring that the
20	Commission surrounding this thing" she says "With this	20	strike is not ended by a particular political figure, not
21	thing now happening as such that again Malema come and	21	end it. In other words he must not come and diffuse the
22	diffuse this thing" referred to the fact that Mr Malema had	22	situation. Why? Because this mine's not nationalised.
23	gone to Impala and spoken and after he'd spoken the police	23	Why? Because one of the shareholders was in a disciplinary
24	were able to manage the situation which they couldn't do	24	hearing and in case you think there's any debate she says
25	before. This was the point you made you see. So she says	25	in her own words, the Chairperson has read it out to you
	Page 37492		Page 37494
1	"Our discussion with the National Commissioner surrounding		
	our discussion with the National commissioner surrounding	1	"So it has got serious political connotations that we need
2	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening	1 2	"So it has got serious political connotations that we need to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but
2 3			o
	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening	2	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but
3	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so	2 3	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must
3 4	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue,	2 3 4	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow
3 4 5	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this	2 3 4 5	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation
3 4 5 6	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken	2 3 4 5 6	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because"
3 4 5 6 7	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the	2 3 4 5 6 7	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a
3 4 5 6 7 8	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area"
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised." And the Provincial Commissioner says nationalise and all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even twice in her own words. What do you mean you don't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised." And the Provincial Commissioner says nationalise and all that. And then he goes on with this, this is the passage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even twice in her own words. What do you mean you don't understand the political connection?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised." And the Provincial Commissioner says nationalise and all that. And then he goes on with this, this is the passage that he left out, but it really is what he's trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even twice in her own words. What do you mean you don't understand the political connection? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't because what I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised." And the Provincial Commissioner says nationalise and all that. And then he goes on with this, this is the passage that he left out, but it really is what he's trying to convey to you. "So it has got a serious political	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even twice in her own words. What do you mean you don't understand the political connection? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't because what I hear and interpret – remember that's a conversation that
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised." And the Provincial Commissioner says nationalise and all that. And then he goes on with this, this is the passage that he left out, but it really is what he's trying to convey to you. "So it has got a serious political connotation that we need to take into account, but which we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even twice in her own words. What do you mean you don't understand the political connection? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't because what I hear and interpret – remember that's a conversation that says I'm not in, but what I hear is an interest to end the
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised." And the Provincial Commissioner says nationalise and all that. And then he goes on with this, this is the passage that he left out, but it really is what he's trying to convey to you. "So it has got a serious political connotation that we need to take into account, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, I just told these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even twice in her own words. What do you mean you don't understand the political connection? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't because what I hear and interpret – remember that's a conversation that says I'm not in, but what I hear is an interest to end the protest. That's what I hear.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised." And the Provincial Commissioner says nationalise and all that. And then he goes on with this, this is the passage that he left out, but it really is what he's trying to convey to you. "So it has got a serious political connotation that we need to take into account, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, I just told these guys that we need to act such that we kill this thing."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even twice in her own words. What do you mean you don't understand the political connection? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't because what I hear and interpret – remember that's a conversation that says I'm not in, but what I hear is an interest to end the protest. That's what I hear. MR MPOFU: Okay that's fine.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised." And the Provincial Commissioner says nationalise and all that. And then he goes on with this, this is the passage that he left out, but it really is what he's trying to convey to you. "So it has got a serious political connotation that we need to take into account, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, I just told these guys that we need to act such that we kill this thing." Mokwena immediately "Yes." Provincial Commissioner "When	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even twice in her own words. What do you mean you don't understand the political connection? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't because what I hear and interpret – remember that's a conversation that says I'm not in, but what I hear is an interest to end the protest. That's what I hear. MR MPOFU: Okay that's fine. CHAIRPERSON: We're running out of time,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised." And the Provincial Commissioner says nationalise and all that. And then he goes on with this, this is the passage that he left out, but it really is what he's trying to convey to you. "So it has got a serious political connotation that we need to take into account, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, I just told these guys that we need to act such that we kill this thing." Mokwena immediately "Yes." Provincial Commissioner "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we don't find co-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even twice in her own words. What do you mean you don't understand the political connection? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't because what I hear and interpret – remember that's a conversation that says I'm not in, but what I hear is an interest to end the protest. That's what I hear. MR MPOFU: Okay that's fine. CHAIRPERSON: We're running out of time, okay last question.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised." And the Provincial Commissioner says nationalise and all that. And then he goes on with this, this is the passage that he left out, but it really is what he's trying to convey to you. "So it has got a serious political connotation that we need to take into account, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, I just told these guys that we need to act such that we kill this thing." Mokwena immediately "Yes." Provincial Commissioner "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we don't find co- operation with these people we need to move in such that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even twice in her own words. What do you mean you don't understand the political connection? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't because what I hear and interpret – remember that's a conversation that says I'm not in, but what I hear is an interest to end the protest. That's what I hear. MR MPOFU: Okay that's fine. CHAIRPERSON: We're running out of time, okay last question. MR MPOFU: Yes I'll ask this last
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised." And the Provincial Commissioner says nationalise and all that. And then he goes on with this, this is the passage that he left out, but it really is what he's trying to convey to you. "So it has got a serious political connotation that we need to take into account, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, I just told these guys that we need to act such that we kill this thing." Mokwena immediately "Yes." Provincial Commissioner "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we don't find co- operation with these people we need to move in such that we kill it." And the point I think Mr Mpofu – I'm trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even twice in her own words. What do you mean you don't understand the political connection? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't because what I hear and interpret – remember that's a conversation that says I'm not in, but what I hear is an interest to end the protest. That's what I hear. MR MPOFU: Okay that's fine. CHAIRPERSON: We're running out of time, okay last question. MR MPOFU: Yes I'll ask this last question. Let's assume that we are all wrong about this,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised." And the Provincial Commissioner says nationalise and all that. And then he goes on with this, this is the passage that he left out, but it really is what he's trying to convey to you. "So it has got a serious political connotation that we need to take into account, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, I just told these guys that we need to act such that we kill this thing." Mokwena immediately "Yes." Provincial Commissioner "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we don't find co- operation with these people we need to move in such that we kill it." And the point I think Mr Mpofu – I'm trying to make it clearer to you because he won't be satisfied to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even twice in her own words. What do you mean you don't understand the political connection? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't because what I hear and interpret – remember that's a conversation that says I'm not in, but what I hear is an interest to end the protest. That's what I hear. MR MPOFU: Okay that's fine. CHAIRPERSON: We're running out of time, okay last question. MR MPOFU: Yes I'll ask this last question. Let's assume that we are all wrong about this, there's nothing political in what I've just read out to you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised." And the Provincial Commissioner says nationalise and all that. And then he goes on with this, this is the passage that he left out, but it really is what he's trying to convey to you. "So it has got a serious political connotation that we need to take into account, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, I just told these guys that we need to act such that we kill this thing." Mokwena immediately "Yes." Provincial Commissioner "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we don't find co- operation with these people we need to move in such that we kill it." And the point I think Mr Mpofu – I'm trying to make it clearer to you because he won't be satisfied to hear me say this but I'm not sure he's making it as clear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even twice in her own words. What do you mean you don't understand the political connection? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't because what I hear and interpret – remember that's a conversation that says I'm not in, but what I hear is an interest to end the protest. That's what I hear. MR MPOFU: Okay that's fine. CHAIRPERSON: We're running out of time, okay last question. MR MPOFU: Yes I'll ask this last question. Let's assume that we are all wrong about this, there's nothing political in what I've just read out to you it's about ending the situation as you suggest. Now what
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this thing," I think that should "if this thing happening as such that again Malema come and diffuse this thing" so she was in effect that Mr Malema or so he will argue, afraid that Mr Malema was going to come and diffuse this situation as well. So that it becomes as if Malema's taken charge of the mining, the mine, Mokwena says ja, the Provincial Commissioner continues "The mine, once again remember Malema's view is the mine should be nationalised." And the Provincial Commissioner says nationalise and all that. And then he goes on with this, this is the passage that he left out, but it really is what he's trying to convey to you. "So it has got a serious political connotation that we need to take into account, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, I just told these guys that we need to act such that we kill this thing." Mokwena immediately "Yes." Provincial Commissioner "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we don't find co- operation with these people we need to move in such that we kill it." And the point I think Mr Mpofu – I'm trying to make it clearer to you because he won't be satisfied to hear me say this but I'm not sure he's making it as clear to you as he should. The point he's trying to make is this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to take into account." It can't be clearer than that, but which we need to find a way of diffusing. Hence, we must kill this thing and so on and later she says "When tomorrow we have to move in, if today we do not find co-operation these people, we need to move in that we kill it, because" that is word is connected "because we need to protect a situation where any Jack and Jill from a political area" and then it gets interrupted. So political comes out even twice in her own words. What do you mean you don't understand the political connection? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't because what I hear and interpret – remember that's a conversation that says I'm not in, but what I hear is an interest to end the protest. That's what I hear. MR MPOFU: Okay that's fine. CHAIRPERSON: We're running out of time, okay last question. MR MPOFU: Yes I'll ask this last question. Let's assume that we are all wrong about this, there's nothing political in what I 've just read out to you it's about ending the situation as you suggest. Now what I'm asking you then is if on the off chance that we are not

	Page 37495		Page 37497
1 the National Cor	mmissioner of the country and the Provincial	1	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya?
2 Commissioner a	re discussing a situation where they say do	2	MR SEMENYA SC: Well I was going to make
3 not diffuse a pot	tentially volatile situation only because	3	a point his time was up five minutes ago.
4 of Political consi	derations, would that amount to	4	CHAIRPERSON: His time is up, I'm aware
5 unlawfulness an	d do those people belong in SAPS? As you	5	of that. The problem is he hasn't put his point as clearly
6 understand it.		6	as I think he wants to put it. Whether it's a good point
	PHIYEGA: As I understand it,	7	is another matter. What he's trying to say, I think, is
-	e discussing the interest of ending a	8	that he's going to argue that the Provincial Commissioner
	v I understand it and I also make a very	9	said to the Lonmin people we've got to act tomorrow even if
	between political responsibility and	10	it's risky, it doesn't matter. We've got to act tomorrow
	ence. And in our operation we take those	11	because Malema's going to come and sort the thing out,
	ry clearly. I've said so right at the	12	that's a bad thing, that's a political factor we have to
	I asked of my role and when I asked of -	13	take into account. That he's going to argue and he's going
-	ulated to say what did the Minister say.	14	to go further and he's going to say, based on the passage
15 MR MPOR		15	he read you, that that idea was one with which you agreed.
	PHIYEGA: So what I've heard	16	Now all he's asking you is – he's asking you the purpose of
-	discussion is people who are talking, they	17	answering the question to assume certain things which I
	other words, but I hear and I'm honest and	18	know you don't assume. What he's saying is if the decision
	the best way I can, people who are seeking	19	to move on the Thursday was prompted by a desire, even
20 to end a protest		20	though it was risky, prompted by a desire to get in and
21 MR MPOF	U: Yes for the purposes of – and	21	sort the problem before Mr Malema came and got all the
22 I'm asking really	now for the last time, I accept that for	22	political credit for sorting it out, would that be wrong
23 purpose of – I p	romise you I won't accept it when we do the	23	approach?
24 argument, but ju	ust for progress. Let's say I accept that	24	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Chairperson, my
25 answer, all I'm s	aying to you now is let us assume that we	25	response to you would be our being there for more than
1	Page 37496	1	Page 37498
	so wayward as to assume that this was a	1	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely
2 discussion about	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree	2	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is
2 discussion about3 that it would ind	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants,	2 3	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being
2 discussion about3 that it would ind4 insofar as they a	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police	2 3 4	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed.
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the	2 3 4 5	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution which 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the	2 3 4 5 6	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution which 7 Minister from point 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the litical consideration? And according to	2 3 4 5 6 7	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination.
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution whit 7 Minister from pc 8 the constitution. 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the litical consideration? And according to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution white 7 Minister from pc 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the olitical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you	2 3 4 5 6 7	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution which 7 Minister from por 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any per 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the litical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day.
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution white 7 Minister from por 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any per 11 myself would be 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the ditical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like a clearly understanding what I call political	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now.
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution which 7 Minister from point 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any perint 11 myself would be 12 responsibility and 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the litical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like e clearly understanding what I call political d political interference. And we make a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now. MR MPOFU: Thank you very much,
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution white 7 Minister from point 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any period 11 myself would be 12 responsibility and 13 very clear distingtion 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the diffical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like a clearly understanding what I call political d political interference. And we make a ction between those two things. It's very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now. MR MPOFU: Thank you very much, Chairperson.
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution white 7 Minister from point 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any period 11 myself would be 12 responsibility and 13 very clear distingtion 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the litical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like e clearly understanding what I call political d political interference. And we make a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now. MR MPOFU: Thank you very much,
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution whith 7 Minister from port 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any period 11 myself would be 12 responsibility an 13 very clear disting 14 critical. The exercise 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the diffical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like a clearly understanding what I call political d political interference. And we make a ction between those two things. It's very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now. MR MPOFU: Thank you very much, Chairperson.
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution whith 7 Minister from port 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any period 11 myself would be 12 responsibility an 13 very clear disting 14 critical. The exercise 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the ditical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like to clearly understanding what I call political d political interference. And we make a ction between those two things. It's very ecutive is political responsible, the do h our operations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now. MR MPOFU: Thank you very much, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: That's the end of the
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution which 7 Minister from point 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any period 11 myself would be 12 responsibility and 13 very clear disting 14 critical. The exercise 15 not interfere with 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the diffical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like a clearly understanding what I call political d political interference. And we make a ction between those two things. It's very ecutive is political responsible, the do h our operations. FU: Is that what you meant when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now. MR MPOFU: Thank you very much, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: That's the end of the witness's evidence. Thank you very much for coming back
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution white 7 Minister from point 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any period 11 myself would be 12 responsibility an 13 very clear disting 14 critical. The exect 15 not interfere wit 16 MR MPOF 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the ditical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like a clearly understanding what I call political d political interference. And we make a ction between those two things. It's very ecutive is political responsible, the do h our operations. FU: Is that what you meant when see, I get it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now. MR MPOFU: Thank you very much, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: That's the end of the witness's evidence. Thank you very much for coming back and for answering our questions. You're excused from
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution whith 7 Minister from port 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any period 11 myself would be 12 responsibility an 13 very clear disting 14 critical. The exect 15 not interfere wit 16 MR MPOF 17 you said now Ls 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the diffical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like a clearly understanding what I call political d political interference. And we make a ction between those two things. It's very ecutive is political responsible, the do h our operations. FU: Is that what you meant when see, I get it? ENYA SC: Chair, may I –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now. MR MPOFU: Thank you very much, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: That's the end of the witness's evidence. Thank you very much for coming back and for answering our questions. You're excused from further attendance.
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution whith 7 Minister from point 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any period 11 myself would be 12 responsibility an 13 very clear disting 14 critical. The exect 15 not interfere wit 16 MR MPOF 17 you said now List 18 MR SEME 19 CHAIRPE 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the ditical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like a clearly understanding what I call political d political interference. And we make a ction between those two things. It's very ecutive is political responsible, the do h our operations. FU: Is that what you meant when see, I get it? ENYA SC: Chair, may I –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now. MR MPOFU: Thank you very much, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: That's the end of the witness's evidence. Thank you very much for coming back and for answering our questions. You're excused from further attendance. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED]
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution whith 7 Minister from point 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any period 11 myself would be 12 responsibility an 13 very clear disting 14 critical. The exect 15 not interfere wit 16 MR MPOF 17 you said now List 18 MR SEME 19 CHAIRPE 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the ditical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like e clearly understanding what I call political d political interference. And we make a ction between those two things. It's very ecutive is political responsible, the do h our operations. FU: Is that what you meant when see, I get it? ENYA SC: Chair, may I – RSON: You made your last is me try to put your question –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now. MR MPOFU: Thank you very much, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: That's the end of the witness's evidence. Thank you very much for coming back and for answering our questions. You're excused from further attendance. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair –
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution which 7 Minister from point 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any period 11 myself would be 12 responsibility and 13 very clear distinat 14 critical. The exercise 15 not interfere with 16 MR MPOF 17 you said now List 18 MR SEME 19 CHAIRPE 20 question, but let 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the ditical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like e clearly understanding what I call political d political interference. And we make a ction between those two things. It's very ecutive is political responsible, the do h our operations. FU: Is that what you meant when see, I get it? ENYA SC: Chair, may I – RSON: You made your last to me try to put your question –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now. MR MPOFU: Thank you very much, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: That's the end of the witness's evidence. Thank you very much for coming back and for answering our questions. You're excused from further attendance. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Chaskalson, there's
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution whith 7 Minister from point 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any period 11 myself would be 12 responsibility and 13 very clear disting 14 critical. The exect 15 not interfere witt 16 MR MPOR 17 you said now List 18 MR SEME 19 CHAIRPE 20 question, but let 21 MR MPOR 	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the difical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like a clearly understanding what I call political d political interference. And we make a ction between those two things. It's very ecutive is political responsible, the do h our operations. FU: Is that what you meant when see, I get it? ENYA SC: Chair, may I – RSON: You made your last is me try to put your question – FU: Thank you very much,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now. MR MPOFU: Thank you very much, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: That's the end of the witness's evidence. Thank you very much for coming back and for answering our questions. You're excused from further attendance. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Chaskalson, there's something I want to raise with you in chambers in a minute
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution whith 7 Minister from port 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any period 11 myself would be 12 responsibility an 13 very clear disting 14 critical. The exection of the exection of	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the difical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like a clearly understanding what I call political d political interference. And we make a ction between those two things. It's very ecutive is political responsible, the do h our operations. FU: Is that what you meant when see, I get it? ENYA SC: Chair, may I – RSON: You made your last is me try to put your question – FU: Thank you very much,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now. MR MPOFU: Thank you very much, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: That's the end of the witness's evidence. Thank you very much for coming back and for answering our questions. You're excused from further attendance. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Chaskalson, there's something I want to raise with you in chambers in a minute or two, so whatever you want to say we can deal with then.
 2 discussion about 3 that it would ind 4 insofar as they a 5 service of the de 6 constitution whith 7 Minister from port 8 the constitution. 9 GENERAL 10 would be any period 11 myself would be 12 responsibility an 13 very clear disting 14 critical. The exection of the exection of	so wayward as to assume that this was a t politics. If that is so would you agree licate a situation where the participants, are SAPS members, do not belong to a police emocratic South Africa as defined in the ch should be shielded according to the ditical consideration? And according to PHIYEGA: My response to you erson who works in SAPS and is a leader like a clearly understanding what I call political d political interference. And we make a ction between those two things. It's very ecutive is political responsible, the do h our operations. FU: Is that what you meant when see, I get it? ENYA SC: Chair, may I – RSON: You made your last is me try to put your question – FU: Thank you very much, RSON: Let me try and put your is the witness will understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	nine, ten days if indeed the desire was just that sincerely we wouldn't have been there for that time. And this is why, Chairperson, I would not agree to what is being proposed. CHAIRPERSON: All right well that's your answer. Mr Mpofu, that's it then. Thank you for your cross-examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I note that you wanted to end the strike, but that will be a topic for another day. [12:52] CHAIRPERSON: We won't go there now. MR MPOFU: Thank you very much, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: That's the end of the witness's evidence. Thank you very much for coming back and for answering our questions. You're excused from further attendance. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Chaskalson, there's something I want to raise with you in chambers in a minute or two, so whatever you want to say we can deal with then. Mr Burger, do you want to lead the witness for the next

	Page 37499		Page 37501
1	under cross-examination?	1	Mr Mpofu got left?
2	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I beg your pardon,	2	MR WESLEY: Mr Mpofu has got 74 minutes,
3	I'd forgotten. And Mr Bham is leading the witness, the	3	Chair, then he'll be followed by the Legal Resources
4	witness is actually being cross-examined by Mr Mpofu and	4	Centre. I remind them, half an hour and then AMCU for two
5	it's Mr Bham's witness, not yours. So do you want the	5	hours.
6	witness to come back now, Mr Bham, for seven minutes or	6	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Alright, Mr
7	shall we take the tea adjournment now, sorry, the lunch	7	Mpofu.
8	adjournment now and start again after lunch?	8	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.):
9	MR BHAM SC: I would have thought the	9	Thank you very much. Good afternoon, Mr Jamieson.
10	latter choice is the better one -	10	MR JAMIESON: Afternoon.
11	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, the latter choice	11	MR MPOFU: Thank you. Right, your last
12	is made. We will adjourn until quarter to two.	12	answer before we broke yesterday was, I had – we were
13	[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]	13	dealing with your e-mail of the 15th of August on page 34 of
14	[13:58] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I	14	VVVV1, you remember, and your last answer or rather my last
15	see you're back, Mr Jamieson Let me remind you, you're	15	question and your answer, my question was that the Minister
16	still under oath. You took the oath or did you affirm?	16	was right that insofar as this was a wage dispute,
17	MR JAMIESON: I took the oath.	17	management and the unions should sit down and sort it out
18	CHAIRPERSON: You took the oath. Some	18	and you said that it was correct.
19	people affirm, you're one of the oath takers.	19	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
20	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	20	MR MPOFU: Do you remember that?
21	CHAIRPERSON: You're still bound by the	21	MR JAMIESON: Ja.
22	terms of your oath.	22	MR MPOFU: Now, and before that you and I
23	ALBERT JAMIESON: (s.u.o.)	23	had agreed that your characterisation of it as, as you
24 25	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, you're still	24 25	called it, I can't remember the term you used, as simply a
25	cross-examining.	25	criminal matter, would have been wrong. It was actually a
	Page 37500		Page 37502
1	MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chair.	1	twin-headed, I think that's the term you used, it was a
2	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Chaskalson?	2	twin-headed monster.
3	MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair –	3	MR JAMIESON: Yes, a twin-headed, double-
4	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]	4	headed, Hydra.
5	MR BUDLENDER SC: It's not the worst	5	MR MPOFU: Correct, yes. Now, you would
6	insult I've received in my life, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's slightly easier not to	6	also agree, I am sure, that if it was true that this could
7 8	CHAIRPERSON: It's slightly easier not to make that mistake, only slightly.	7 8	have been sorted out or at least the underlying issue – let's call the labour issue the underlying problem, would
9	MR BUDLENDER SC: Just one short	0 9	you agree with that?
, 10	announcement, a number of parties have approached us for	9 10	MR JAMIESON: No.
11	clarification in relation to the ruling which was made by	11	MR MPOFU: Not denying that there was a
12	the Chair on Friday last week. I just wanted to draw the	12	subsequent problem of unrest and destabilisation as you
13	attention of parties to the fact that we have circulated a	13	call it, and so on, would you be comfortable with that
14	proposal which we hope will resolve the issue and we ask	14	categorisation?
15	that parties revert to us as soon as possible in response	15	MR JAMIESON: No, I thought you and I
16	to the proposal which we have distributed late this	16	agreed that it was twin-headed.
17	morning. Thank you, Chair.	17	MR MPOFU: Yes, no -
18	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Chaskalson –	18	MR JAMIESON: Ja, one –
19	Mr Budlender. Oh dear, after two years one shouldn't make	19	MR MPOFU: - having agreed that it's
20	mistakes like that. I'll get it right this time, Mr Mpofu.	20	twin-headed –
1	MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson,	21	MR JAMIESON: - one doesn't underlie the
21			
21 22	thank you. I say thank you, Commissioner Tokota.	22	other or, there are two separate issues.
	thank you. I say thank you, Commissioner Tokota. CHAIRPERSON: This is becoming	22 23	other or, there are two separate issues. MR MPOFU: So you think that they were
22	li li principa la sella		•
22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: This is becoming	23	MR MPOFU: So you think that they were

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

1	Page 37503 and the other one the subsequent resultant issue?	1	Page 37505 MR MPOFU: Ja, but how is that damaging?
2	MR JAMIESON: No, I wouldn't, I'll stick	2	MR JAMIESON: Not denouncing the
3	with my twin-headed Hydra type –	3	violence? Well, I think she's a senior political figure,
4	MR MPOFU: No, I'm not debating your	4	she's the Minister of the DMR, she is our regulator, she is
5	twin-headed, I actually agree with you but I'm saying	5	the person we first turn to, to try and seek her counsel
6	having agreed that it was twin-headed, one can still go	6	and assistance and it's already, I think it was probably
7	further and say that the labour issue was the underlying	7	Wednesday, there's 10 people in the mortuary and the only
8	problem which had escalated into criminality or whatever	8	thing she says is it's a labour dispute, that management
9	you want to call it, ja.	9	and the unions must sit down and – that's the part I was
10	MR JAMIESON: Well, then you would	10	referring to.
11	describe it as one was subservient to the other or one was	11	MR MPOFU: Well, Mr Jamieson, I'm going
12	the root cause of the other and I wouldn't agree with that.	12	to argue firstly that you're making up that version because
13	MR MPOFU: Yes. No, I do – so there was	13	in your e-mail you don't say anything about this so-called
14	no root cause, as far as you're concerned?	14	omission of violence. What you say is simply this, "The
15	MR JAMIESON: Well, there would be root	15	Minister was on Radio Today saying she'd been briefed that
16	causes of each.	16	this was a wage dispute and management and unions should
17	MR MPOFU: Ja, but in relation to each	17	sit down and sort it out," which you and I agree is true.
18	other, which one would you say is the root cause, the	18	And then you say – I'm sorry, it's page 34.
19	labour dispute or the unrest?	19	MR JAMIESON: I've got it.
20	MR JAMIESON: Neither. As I said, I	20	MR MPOFU: Yes, VVVV1 page 34. And then
21	could describe what I think is the root cause of each, but	21	you say, "I'm not sure" - well, sorry - "Not sure who
22	not the one was a root cause of the other.	22	briefed her. We are waiting to talk to her" -
23	MR MPOFU: Alright let's – fine, I don't	23	MR JAMIESON: Ja.
24	want to debate that with you, but in any event you would	24	MR MPOFU: - "through Roger," I suppose
25	agree that to say that, to say that insofar as the thing is	25	"and although not too damaging, it's also not too helpful."
1	Page 37504	1	Page 37506
1	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management	1	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but
2	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be	2	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she
2 3	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and	2 3	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and
2 3 4	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth.	2 3 4	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to
2 3 4 5	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could	2 3 4 5	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much?
2 3 4 5 6	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that.	2 3 4 5 6	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my
2 3 4 5 6 7	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree	2 3 4 5 6 7	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be sorted out by management and the unions, you know, my view	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be concerned about what is on your piece of paper that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be sorted out by management and the unions, you know, my view is it had gone beyond that and it needed some other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be concerned about what is on your piece of paper that you wrote?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be sorted out by management and the unions, you know, my view is it had gone beyond that and it needed some other intervention to be able to sort it out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be concerned about what is on your piece of paper that you wrote? MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairperson, I think the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be sorted out by management and the unions, you know, my view is it had gone beyond that and it needed some other intervention to be able to sort it out. MR MPOFU: Ja. No, that's fine, I can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be concerned about what is on your piece of paper that you wrote? MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairperson, I think the last comment is a little unfair because if you want to know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be sorted out by management and the unions, you know, my view is it had gone beyond that and it needed some other intervention to be able to sort it out. MR MPOFU: Ja. No, that's fine, I can accept that if you're saying it's insufficient. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be concerned about what is on your piece of paper that you wrote? MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairperson, I think the last comment is a little unfair because if you want to know what he wrote, it's there, you don't have to go through it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be sorted out by management and the unions, you know, my view is it had gone beyond that and it needed some other intervention to be able to sort it out. MR MPOFU: Ja. No, that's fine, I can accept that if you're saying it's insufficient. It's insufficient to simply say it must be sorted out by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be concerned about what is on your piece of paper that you wrote? MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairperson, I think the last comment is a little unfair because if you want to know what he wrote, it's there, you don't have to go through it. What he was asked is what he meant by what he wrote, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be sorted out by management and the unions, you know, my view is it had gone beyond that and it needed some other intervention to be able to sort it out. MR MPOFU: Ja. No, that's fine, I can accept that if you're saying it's insufficient. It's insufficient to simply say it must be sorted out by management and the unions because you and I have agreed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be concerned about what is on your piece of paper that you wrote? MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairperson, I think the last comment is a little unfair because if you want to know what he wrote, it's there, you don't have to go through it. What he was asked is what he meant by what he wrote, so then he's going to tell you what's on his mind.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be sorted out by management and the unions, you know, my view is it had gone beyond that and it needed some other intervention to be able to sort it out. MR MPOFU: Ja. No, that's fine, I can accept that if you're saying it's insufficient. It's insufficient to simply say it must be sorted out by management and the unions because you and I have agreed that it's now twin-headed. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: But that's a different thing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be concerned about what is on your piece of paper that you wrote? MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairperson, I think the last comment is a little unfair because if you want to know what he wrote, it's there, you don't have to go through it. What he was asked is what he meant by what he wrote, so then he's going to tell you what's on his mind. MR MPOFU: No, I never asked him that but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be sorted out by management and the unions, you know, my view is it had gone beyond that and it needed some other intervention to be able to sort it out. MR MPOFU: Ja. No, that's fine, I can accept that if you're saying it's insufficient. It's insufficient to simply say it must be sorted out by management and the unions because you and I have agreed that it's now twin-headed. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: But that's a different thing from saying it is damaging to say what is the truth. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be concerned about what is on your piece of paper that you wrote? MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairperson, I think the last comment is a little unfair because if you want to know what he wrote, it's there, you don't have to go through it. What he was asked is what he meant by what he wrote, so then he's going to tell you what's on his mind. MR MPOFU: No, I never asked him that but anyway, the point I'm making to you Mr – I didn't ask you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be sorted out by management and the unions, you know, my view is it had gone beyond that and it needed some other intervention to be able to sort it out. MR MPOFU: Ja. No, that's fine, I can accept that if you're saying it's insufficient. It's insufficient to simply say it must be sorted out by management and the unions because you and I have agreed that it's now twin-headed. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: But that's a different thing from saying it is damaging to say what is the truth. It might be insufficient but how can it be damaging to say the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be concerned about what is on your piece of paper that you wrote? MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairperson, I think the last comment is a little unfair because if you want to know what he wrote, it's there, you don't have to go through it. What he was asked is what he meant by what he wrote, so then he's going to tell you what's on his mind. MR MPOFU: No, I never asked him that but anyway, the point I'm making to you Mr – I didn't ask you what you meant, I wouldn't make that mistake, what I've said to you is that what you have here is a statement that is interconnected. You say that she says a dispute and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be sorted out by management and the unions, you know, my view is it had gone beyond that and it needed some other intervention to be able to sort it out. MR MPOFU: Ja. No, that's fine, I can accept that if you're saying it's insufficient. It's insufficient to simply say it must be sorted out by management and the unions because you and I have agreed that it's now twin-headed. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: But that's a different thing from saying it is damaging to say what is the truth. It might be insufficient but how can it be damaging to say the unions and management must sit down?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be concerned about what is on your piece of paper that you wrote? MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairperson, I think the last comment is a little unfair because if you want to know what he wrote, it's there, you don't have to go through it. What he was asked is what he meant by what he wrote, so then he's going to tell you what's on his mind. MR MPOFU: No, I never asked him that but anyway, the point I'm making to you Mr – I didn't ask you what you meant, I wouldn't make that mistake, what I've said to you is that what you have here is a statement that is interconnected. You say that she says a dispute and management and unions should sit down and sort it out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be sorted out by management and the unions, you know, my view is it had gone beyond that and it needed some other intervention to be able to sort it out. MR MPOFU: Ja. No, that's fine, I can accept that if you're saying it's insufficient. It's insufficient to simply say it must be sorted out by management and the unions because you and I have agreed that it's now twin-headed. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: But that's a different thing from saying it is damaging to say what is the truth. It might be insufficient but how can it be damaging to say the unions and management must sit down? MR JAMIESON: I wasn't referring to that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be concerned about what is on your piece of paper that you wrote? MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairperson, I think the last comment is a little unfair because if you want to know what he wrote, it's there, you don't have to go through it. What he was asked is what he meant by what he wrote, so then he's going to tell you what's on his mind. MR MPOFU: No, I never asked him that but anyway, the point I'm making to you Mr – I didn't ask you what you meant, I wouldn't make that mistake, what I've said to you is that what you have here is a statement that is interconnected. You say that she says a dispute and management and unions should sit down and sort it out. Then you say, "I'm not sure who briefed her" - in other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be sorted out by management and the unions, you know, my view is it had gone beyond that and it needed some other intervention to be able to sort it out. MR MPOFU: Ja. No, that's fine, I can accept that if you're saying it's insufficient. It's insufficient to simply say it must be sorted out by management and the unions because you and I have agreed that it's now twin-headed. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: But that's a different thing from saying it is damaging to say what is the truth. It might be insufficient but how can it be damaging to say the unions and management must sit down? MR JAMIESON: I wasn't referring to that being damaging. It was actually, that was referring to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be concerned about what is on your piece of paper that you wrote? MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairperson, I think the last comment is a little unfair because if you want to know what he wrote, it's there, you don't have to go through it. What he was asked is what he meant by what he wrote, so then he's going to tell you what's on his mind. MR MPOFU: No, I never asked him that but anyway, the point I'm making to you Mr – I didn't ask you what you meant, I wouldn't make that mistake, what I've said to you is that what you have here is a statement that is interconnected. You say that she says a dispute and management and unions should sit down and sort it out. Then you say, "I'm not sure who briefed her" - in other words for her to make that statement" – "and although it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	a labour issue, it must be sorted out between management and the unions, cannot by any stretch of the imagination be something that is damaging because it's the truth. You and I have agreed that it's the truth. MR JAMIESON: Yes, in part, if I could add to that. MR MPOFU: Which part don't you agree with? MR JAMIESON: When you say was to be sorted out by management and the unions, you know, my view is it had gone beyond that and it needed some other intervention to be able to sort it out. MR MPOFU: Ja. No, that's fine, I can accept that if you're saying it's insufficient. It's insufficient to simply say it must be sorted out by management and the unions because you and I have agreed that it's now twin-headed. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: But that's a different thing from saying it is damaging to say what is the truth. It might be insufficient but how can it be damaging to say the unions and management must sit down? MR JAMIESON: I wasn't referring to that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In other words – damaging might not be too damaging but it's damaging. And what is damaging is the fact that she says a wage dispute must be sorted out by management and the unions. You don't say anything about any omission to mention violence. Would you agree with that much? MR JAMIESON: That was what was in my mind when I wrote this. MR MPOFU: Well, can we really be concerned by what was in your mind or should we be concerned about what is on your piece of paper that you wrote? MR BHAM SC: Mr Chairperson, I think the last comment is a little unfair because if you want to know what he wrote, it's there, you don't have to go through it. What he was asked is what he meant by what he wrote, so then he's going to tell you what's on his mind. MR MPOFU: No, I never asked him that but anyway, the point I'm making to you Mr – I didn't ask you what you meant, I wouldn't make that mistake, what I've said to you is that what you have here is a statement that is interconnected. You say that she says a dispute and management and unions should sit down and sort it out. Then you say, "I'm not sure who briefed her" - in other

		T	
	Page 37507		Page 37509
	There's nowhere in there where you can imply that actually	1	One is the political intervention and one is the army and
	what you were talking about was her failure to talk about	2	the police.
	violence. Can you help us with that?	3	MR MPOFU: It's the same thing.
4	MR JAMIESON: Yes, I can help you. It's	4	MR JAMIESON: No. If you look at the
	very clear in the letter written on Monday where I said at	5	letter of Monday, what I was asking for was could she get
	the very least, please will you denounce the violence,	6	involved, which is political intervention. It's her,
	along with many other people – but that was the least I'd	7	that's who it's directed at, could she get the President of
_	asked her to do.	8	NUM, the President of AMCU, you know, industry and anyone
9	MR MPOFU: No, no, that won't help you.	9	else that she wanted and she could get us all together and
10	Remember in that letter you also asked for the police and	10	find out what was driving the violence, so it was related
11	the army –	11	to that but the police and the army is simply related to
12	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	12	restoring law and order.
13	MR MPOFU: - which we are going to get to	13	MR MPOFU: Yes.
14	just now, so that also cannot, can't be the answer.	14	MR JAMIESON: So this is the twin heads
15	MR JAMIESON: And to help you more,	15	that I was talking about.
16	connected with that was, again we're asking please, to Mr	16	MR MPOFU: No, no –
	Ramaphosa, can you ask the Minister to talk to us, we've	17	MR JAMIESON: One area is the labour
18	now been waiting for three days and this was headline news	18	dispute and one area is, or one head, and the other head is
19	on many of the media, whether it be electronic or on the	19	the violence.
20	TV. It was inconceivable to think that she wasn't aware of	20	MR MPOFU: No, Mr Jamieson, please.
21	it, even if she wasn't aware that we wanted to talk to her.	21	Okay, let's take that answer which I think is, I will
22	If we'd have talked to her, this is exactly what we would	22	submit is not an answer to my question. What political
23	have said.	23	intervention would be needed? I thought you and I had
24	MR MPOFU: Okay, let me put it this way.	24	agreed that insofar as it was a labour dispute it needed
25	Flowing from your misdiagnosis or your wrong	25	management and the workers. Why would you need political
	Page 37508		Page 37510
1 (characterisation of this as a single-handed, headed beast	1	intervention?
	as opposed to being a double-headed beast, you also said in	2	MR JAMIESON: I thought we agreed that
3	that same e-mail that it could not be resolved without	3	that was insufficient and I added to it.
4	political intervention and needs the situation to be	4	
5			MR MPOFU: No, but listen, you're now
	stabilised by the police and army. You would agree that	5	MR MPOFU: No, but listen, you're now evading the question substantially.
	stabilised by the police and army. You would agree that insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the		-
6		5	evading the question substantially.
6	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the	5 6	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading
6 7 8	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army.	5 6 7	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question.
6 7 8 9	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is,	5 6 7 8	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well –
6 7 8 9 10	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also	5 6 7 8 9	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading
6 7 8 9 10 11	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also in the letter of Monday, so it weapons a repetition. And	5 6 7 8 9 10	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading it. If he evades I will come down on him.
6 7 8 9 10 11	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also in the letter of Monday, so it weapons a repetition. And secondly, this part is not talking about the labour	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading it. If he evades I will come down on him. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, alright. The
6 i 7 1 8 9 i 10 i 11 5 12 0 13	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also in the letter of Monday, so it weapons a repetition. And secondly, this part is not talking about the labour dispute, it's talking about the violence and the murder.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading it. If he evades I will come down on him. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, alright. The record will show that when you talked about the twin heads
6 i 7 8 9 i 10 i 11 : 12 13 14	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also in the letter of Monday, so it weapons a repetition. And secondly, this part is not talking about the labour dispute, it's talking about the violence and the murder. MR MPOFU: Yes, but that flows – that's	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading it. If he evades I will come down on him. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, alright. The record will show that when you talked about the twin heads we were not talking about this, what we're talking about
6 i 7 8 10 i 11 : 12 13 14 15	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also in the letter of Monday, so it weapons a repetition. And secondly, this part is not talking about the labour dispute, it's talking about the violence and the murder. MR MPOFU: Yes, but that flows – that's what I am trying to say. That only flows simply from the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading it. If he evades I will come down on him. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, alright. The record will show that when you talked about the twin heads we were not talking about this, what we're talking about now. I'm saying to you very simply if, on the labour
6 i 7 8 10 i 11 : 12 13 14 15	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also in the letter of Monday, so it weapons a repetition. And secondly, this part is not talking about the labour dispute, it's talking about the violence and the murder. MR MPOFU: Yes, but that flows – that's what I am trying to say. That only flows simply from the fact that according to you it weapons not a labour dispute,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading it. If he evades I will come down on him. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, alright. The record will show that when you talked about the twin heads we were not talking about this, what we're talking about now. I'm saying to you very simply if, on the labour dispute leg of the problem –
6 i 7 9 i 10 i 11 : 12 0 13 1 14 0 15 1 16 i 17	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also in the letter of Monday, so it weapons a repetition. And secondly, this part is not talking about the labour dispute, it's talking about the violence and the murder. MR MPOFU: Yes, but that flows – that's what I am trying to say. That only flows simply from the fact that according to you it weapons not a labour dispute, in capital letters.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading it. If he evades I will come down on him. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, alright. The record will show that when you talked about the twin heads we were not talking about this, what we're talking about now. I'm saying to you very simply if, on the labour dispute leg of the problem – MR JAMIESON: Okay –
6 i 7 9 i 10 i 11 : 12 0 13 1 14 0 15 1 16 i 17	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also in the letter of Monday, so it weapons a repetition. And secondly, this part is not talking about the labour dispute, it's talking about the violence and the murder. MR MPOFU: Yes, but that flows – that's what I am trying to say. That only flows simply from the fact that according to you it weapons not a labour dispute, in capital letters. MR JAMIESON: Yes. Concerning the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading it. If he evades I will come down on him. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, alright. The record will show that when you talked about the twin heads we were not talking about this, what we're talking about now. I'm saying to you very simply if, on the labour dispute leg of the problem – MR JAMIESON: Okay – MR MPOFU: - what political intervention
6 i 7 9 8 9 10 i 11 5 12 0 13 1 14 9 15 1 16 i 17 18 5 19	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also in the letter of Monday, so it weapons a repetition. And secondly, this part is not talking about the labour dispute, it's talking about the violence and the murder. MR MPOFU: Yes, but that flows – that's what I am trying to say. That only flows simply from the fact that according to you it weapons not a labour dispute, in capital letters. MR JAMIESON: Yes. Concerning the security situation which is the heading of the e-mail.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading it. If he evades I will come down on him. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, alright. The record will show that when you talked about the twin heads we were not talking about this, what we're talking about now. I'm saying to you very simply if, on the labour dispute leg of the problem – MR JAMIESON: Okay – MR MPOFU: - what political intervention did you need?
6 i 7 8 9 i 10 i 11 5 12 0 13 14 15 16 i 17 18 5 19 20 0	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also in the letter of Monday, so it weapons a repetition. And secondly, this part is not talking about the labour dispute, it's talking about the violence and the murder. MR MPOFU: Yes, but that flows – that's what I am trying to say. That only flows simply from the fact that according to you it weapons not a labour dispute, in capital letters. MR JAMIESON: Yes. Concerning the security situation which is the heading of the e-mail. MR MPOFU: Maybe we are talking past each	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading it. If he evades I will come down on him. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, alright. The record will show that when you talked about the twin heads we were not talking about this, what we're talking about now. I'm saying to you very simply if, on the labour dispute leg of the problem – MR JAMIESON: Okay – MR MPOFU: - what political intervention did you need? MR JAMIESON: We needed her. If she'd
6 1 7 8 9 1 10 1 11 1 12 1 13 1 14 1 15 1 16 1 17 18 1 19 20 1 21 1	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also in the letter of Monday, so it weapons a repetition. And secondly, this part is not talking about the labour dispute, it's talking about the violence and the murder. MR MPOFU: Yes, but that flows – that's what I am trying to say. That only flows simply from the fact that according to you it weapons not a labour dispute, in capital letters. MR JAMIESON: Yes. Concerning the security situation which is the heading of the e-mail. MR MPOFU: Maybe we are talking past each other and it's probably my fault. I'm saying now that you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading it. If he evades I will come down on him. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, alright. The record will show that when you talked about the twin heads we were not talking about this, what we're talking about now. I'm saying to you very simply if, on the labour dispute leg of the problem – MR JAMIESON: Okay – MR MPOFU: - what political intervention did you need? MR JAMIESON: We needed her. If she'd have wanted to bring in the Minister of Manpower, which she
6 1 7 8 9 1 10 1 11 1 12 1 13 1 14 1 15 1 16 1 17 18 1 19 20 1 21 1 22 1	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also in the letter of Monday, so it weapons a repetition. And secondly, this part is not talking about the labour dispute, it's talking about the violence and the murder. MR MPOFU: Yes, but that flows – that's what I am trying to say. That only flows simply from the fact that according to you it weapons not a labour dispute, in capital letters. MR JAMIESON: Yes. Concerning the security situation which is the heading of the e-mail. MR MPOFU: Maybe we are talking past each other and it's probably my fault. I'm saying now that you and I agree that an element of it which you say was of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading it. If he evades I will come down on him. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, alright. The record will show that when you talked about the twin heads we were not talking about this, what we're talking about now. I'm saying to you very simply if, on the labour dispute leg of the problem – MR JAMIESON: Okay – MR MPOFU: - what political intervention did you need? MR JAMIESON: We needed her. If she'd have wanted to bring in the Minister of Manpower, which she did subsequently on the 18th and thereafter and she'd have
6 1 7 8 9 1 10 1 11 2 13 1 14 1 15 1 16 1 17 18 2 19 20 1 21 2 21 2 23 1	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also in the letter of Monday, so it weapons a repetition. And secondly, this part is not talking about the labour dispute, it's talking about the violence and the murder. MR MPOFU: Yes, but that flows – that's what I am trying to say. That only flows simply from the fact that according to you it weapons not a labour dispute, in capital letters. MR JAMIESON: Yes. Concerning the security situation which is the heading of the e-mail. MR MPOFU: Maybe we are talking past each other and it's probably my fault. I'm saying now that you and I agree that an element of it which you say was of equal importance was the labour dispute, you would agree	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading it. If he evades I will come down on him. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, alright. The record will show that when you talked about the twin heads we were not talking about this, what we're talking about now. I'm saying to you very simply if, on the labour dispute leg of the problem – MR JAMIESON: Okay – MR MPOFU: - what political intervention did you need? MR JAMIESON: We needed her. If she'd have wanted to bring in the Minister of Manpower, which she did subsequently on the 18th and thereafter and she'd have brought all the parties together, because only she could,
6 1 7 8 9 1 10 1 11 2 13 1 14 1 15 1 16 1 17 18 2 19 20 1 21 2 21 2 23 1	insofar as it was a labour dispute, you don't need the police or army. MR JAMIESON: Well, two things. One is, it does say that, that's what it said. It said that also in the letter of Monday, so it weapons a repetition. And secondly, this part is not talking about the labour dispute, it's talking about the violence and the murder. MR MPOFU: Yes, but that flows – that's what I am trying to say. That only flows simply from the fact that according to you it weapons not a labour dispute, in capital letters. MR JAMIESON: Yes. Concerning the security situation which is the heading of the e-mail. MR MPOFU: Maybe we are talking past each other and it's probably my fault. I'm saying now that you and I agree that an element of it which you say was of equal importance was the labour dispute, you would agree that that element of it did not need what you call	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	evading the question substantially. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading the question. Just ask your question. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think he's evading it. If he evades I will come down on him. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, alright. The record will show that when you talked about the twin heads we were not talking about this, what we're talking about now. I'm saying to you very simply if, on the labour dispute leg of the problem – MR JAMIESON: Okay – MR MPOFU: - what political intervention did you need? MR JAMIESON: We needed her. If she'd have wanted to bring in the Minister of Manpower, which she did subsequently on the 18th and thereafter and she'd have brought all the parties together, because only she could, you know by that time it had gone past us being able to do

		1	
	Page 37511		Page 37513
1	MR JAMIESON: Simply that our Minister	1	well, you know, a mule is a hybrid.
2	who's our regulator, who's the head of the DMR, please get	2	MR MPOFU: Yes.
3	involved and that's what we wanted to talk to her about.	3	CHAIRPERSON: Siamese twins are double-
4	MR MPOFU: Yes, but that cannot be a true	4	headed –
5	answer, Mr Jamieson, because according to you this was not,	5	MR MPOFU: I've used that before as well,
6	big N-O-T, a labour dispute. So what would the Minister of	6	yes. In any event –
7	Labour be doing?	7	MR JAMIESON: Mr Chairman, if it helps,
8	MR JAMIESON: Addressing that head. You	8	after my discussion on the issue of insistence yesterday I
9	asked me why did I want political intervention? I wanted	9	did go home and look it up in the dictionary to see, if I
10	political intervention on the other one. That was the	10	was questioned again I could come and agree to it, which I
11	letter of Monday.	11	can't. I looked up hybrid as well and hybrid is something
12	MR MPOFU: No, that's the mistake you're	12	made by combining two different elements.
13	making. You are mixing what you said in this letter with	13	CHAIRPERSON: Well, that's like a mule.
14	what you and I have subsequently agreed during your	14	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
15	evidence now. In your letter you did not have a twin-	15	CHAIRPERSON: Alright –
16	headed thing. You said it is not, not –	16	MR MPOFU: That's fine, I'm not –
17	MR JAMIESON: Correct.	17	CHAIRPERSON: I'm concerned, I'm
18	MR MPOFU: Okay, a labour issue.	18	concerned with a different question. I'm concerned with a
19	CHAIRPERSON: The question really to ask	19	different – we're dealing with analogies and –
20	is, what is this? He writes a letter under the heading	20	MR MPOFU: Okay.
21	"Security situation."	21	CHAIRPERSON: I'm concerned with a point
22	MR MPOFU: Yes.	22	which I hope will shorten the debate and that is, Mr Mpofu
23	CHAIRPERSON: We know that there's been a	23	is saying this was a wage dispute. He says it wasn't a
24	wage dispute since sometime I think in June 2012, which	24	wage dispute, it was a double-headed operation or it was a
25	only ended sometime towards the end of September. We also	25	hybrid or whatever word you want to use. The question
	Page 37512		Page 37514
1	know that what then happened, there was an unprotected	1	though is, and you don't agree, the question is what was
2	labour, an unprotected strike which began I think on the	2	meant by the word "this," in other words what were you
3	10th. That was, according to the evidence, enforced or	3	talking about? And if it's clear that – and then you go
4	attempts were made to enforce it by violence and	4	on, you see. In the fourth line of the first bullet you
5	intimidation and that led to the deaths of people and	5	say, "I've had two discussions with the DG and in each case
6	damage of property and injury to people. That was the law	6	it characterises this," again "this" -
7	and order issue. That was the security situation. There	7	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
8	was no security situation from the time in June when the	8	CHAIRPERSON: - "as not an industrial
9	wage dispute started. There was, I take it, or there may	9	relations issue but a civil unrest, destabilisation,
10	have been to some extent but there wasn't the same kind of	10	criminal issue."
11	security situation after, say, the 18th of August but in the	11	MR JAMIESON: Ja.
12	middle there was a security situation and that is what he	12	CHAIRPERSON: Now, which could not be
13	calls – this is why he says this is not an industrial	13	resolved without political intervention.
14	relations issue. That's the way I read it –	14	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
15	MR MPOFU: No –	15	CHAIRPERSON: So what's got to be
16	CHAIRPERSON: - if I'm wrong you must	16	resolved with political intervention is a civil unrest,
17	please tell me.	17	destabilisation, criminal issue.
18	MR MPOFU: Ja. No, Chairperson, with	18	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
19	respect, you are because – well, you and I first but also	19	CHAIRPERSON: Your second bullet, as I
1.20	myself and the witness have long agreed that no-one can	20	read it, you then say what the political intervention was
20		21	to be aimed at achieving, namely – you'd already got 800
21	deny that there was a security situation but that it was		
21 22	not, it was a hybrid or what the witness calls a -	22	police on the site so that was -
21 22 23	not, it was a hybrid or what the witness calls a – MR JAMIESON: A Hydra.	22 23	MR JAMIESON: Correct –
21 22 23 24	not, it was a hybrid or what the witness calls a – MR JAMIESON: A Hydra. MR MPOFU: Hydra, yes, a twin-headed –	22 23 24	MR JAMIESON: Correct – CHAIRPERSON: - and there'd been, whether
21 22 23 24 25	not, it was a hybrid or what the witness calls a – MR JAMIESON: A Hydra.	22 23	MR JAMIESON: Correct –

1	Page 37515 one doesn't know but the evidence seems to be that in fact	1	Page 37517 before I've heard all the argument and evidence, the top of
2	it wasn't but anyway, there were 800 – there may be an	2	the letter tells us what "this" is. That's a security
3	argument about that so we won't assume that [inaudible] at	3	situation.
4	the moment, but there were 800 police on the site and that	4	MR JAMIESON: Security situation, ja.
5	you were grateful for.	5	CHAIRPERSON: That's in other words the
6	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	6	situation which arose from the time of the unprotected
7	CHAIRPERSON: You then go on to say, "Our	7	strike and attempts were being made according to your
8	next challenge" and this is presumably what you wanted the	8	information to enforce it by violence and intimidation.
9	political intervention for to sustain this, i.e. you	9	There'd been a wage dispute since June, continued till late
10	wanted to keep the 800 police on site.	10	September, but in the middle was the security situation.
11	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	11	Am I understanding correctly?
12	CHAIRPERSON: Ensuring they remain, they	12	MR JAMIESON: Yes, 100%, Mr Chair.
13	must stay, they must take appropriate action so we can get	13	MR MPOFU: Ja.
14	the people back to work. That's a question upon which Mr	14	MR JAMIESON: If I could put it in my own
15	Mpofu can, I think, legitimately question you, what you	15	words, I mean that would be better, if you'd rather,
16	meant by appropriate action. Then it goes on, "It would be	16	because where I would start is to go back to the objective
17	good to have some independent confirmation that the police	17	and on that I would agree, Mr Mpofu, our objective from
18	have plans to sustain a presence" - so that's going back to	18	Sunday was to say that the violence that had erupted was
19	keeping them there, sustaining the presence – "for at least	19	criminal issues, criminal activity, and we clearly saw in
20	a week."	20	some of the emails and I will say in conversations on the
21	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	21	EXCO calls that people were using the word "criminals," you
22	CHAIRPERSON: Sustaining a presence for	22	know, quite liberally, and criminal activity quite
23	at least a week doesn't mean on, this is the 15th, doesn't	23	liberally. So I won't back away from that at all.
24	mean launching an operation, highly risky operation on the	24	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]
25	Thursday and killing 34 people. It means sustaining the	25	of injuring people a criminal conduct in anyone's language.
	Page 37516		Page 37518
1	Page 37516 presence, staying there, keeping the situation under	1	Page 37518 MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson.
1 2		1 2	5
	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to		MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - I
2	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend.	2	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - I introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope
2 3	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely –	2 3	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - I introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you
2 3 4	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what	2 3 4	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - I introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be,
2 3 4 5	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly.	2 3 4 5	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - I introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - I introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "And then if you can talk	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - I introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: 'And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - 1 introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - I introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike, certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they don't push off over the weekend and so on."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - 1 introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike, certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if the police can give adequate protection to those people who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they don't push off over the weekend and so on." MR JAMIESON: Absolutely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - 1 introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike, certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if the police can give adequate protection to those people who according to NUM are minded to work but are afraid of going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they don't push off over the weekend and so on." MR JAMIESON: Absolutely. CHAIRPERSON: "Encourage her to make time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - 1 introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike, certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if the police can give adequate protection to those people who according to NUM are minded to work but are afraid of going to work for very good reason, because they can't be sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they don't push off over the weekend and so on." MR JAMIESON: Absolutely. CHAIRPERSON: "Encourage her to make time to talk to Roger." You were hoping to arrange an audience	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - 1 introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike, certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if the police can give adequate protection to those people who according to NUM are minded to work but are afraid of going to work for very good reason, because they can't be sure that if they try to go to work they won't be killed, like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they don't push off over the weekend and so on." MR JAMIESON: Absolutely. CHAIRPERSON: "Encourage her to make time to talk to Roger." You were hoping to arrange an audience if that's the right word with her and for Mr Phillimore, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - 1 introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike, certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if the police can give adequate protection to those people who according to NUM are minded to work but are afraid of going to work for very good reason, because they can't be sure that if they try to go to work they won't be killed, like Mr Langa was.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they don't push off over the weekend and so on." MR JAMIESON: Absolutely. CHAIRPERSON: "Encourage her to make time to talk to Roger." You were hoping to arrange an audience if that's the right word with her and for Mr Phillimore, is that what the letter says?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - 1 introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike, certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if the police can give adequate protection to those people who according to NUM are minded to work but are afraid of going to work for very good reason, because they can't be sure that if they try to go to work they won't be killed, like Mr Langa was. MR JAMIESON: And that was –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they don't push off over the weekend and so on." MR JAMIESON: Absolutely. CHAIRPERSON: "Encourage her to make time to talk to Roger." You were hoping to arrange an audience if that's the right word with her and for Mr Phillimore, is that what the letter says? [14:18] MR JAMIESON: Yes and we'd been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - 1 introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike, certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if the police can give adequate protection to those people who according to NUM are minded to work but are afraid of going to work for very good reason, because they can't be sure that if they try to go to work they won't be killed, like Mr Langa was. MR JAMIESON: And that was – CHAIRPERSON: Is that really what you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: 'And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they don't push off over the weekend and so on." MR JAMIESON: Absolutely. CHAIRPERSON: 'Encourage her to make time to talk to Roger." You were hoping to arrange an audience if that's the right word with her and for Mr Phillimore, is that what the letter says? [14:18] MR JAMIESON: Yes and we'd been repeatedly trying to do that and I'd spoken to Roger at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR JAMIESON: And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: I said killing people. The other point that's important, again prima facie - 1 introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike, certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if the police can give adequate protection to those people who according to NUM are minded to work but are afraid of going to work for very good reason, because they can't be sure that if they try to go to work they won't be killed, like Mr Langa was. MR JAMIESON: And that was – CHAIRPERSON: Is that really what you're talking about?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they don't push off over the weekend and so on." MR JAMIESON: Absolutely. CHAIRPERSON: "Encourage her to make time to talk to Roger." You were hoping to arrange an audience if that's the right word with her and for Mr Phillimore, is that what the letter says? [14:18] MR JAMIESON: Yes and we'd been repeatedly trying to do that and I'd spoken to Roger at the airport before he left the night before and that was the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR JAMIESON:And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON:I said killing people. Theother point that's important, again prima facie - 1 introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike, certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if the police can give adequate protection to those people who according to NUM are minded to work but are afraid of going to work for very good reason, because they can't be sure that if they try to go to work they won't be killed, like Mr Langa was.MR JAMIESON:And that was – CHAIRPERSON:Is that really what you'reMR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Well, that's what you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: 'And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they don't push off over the weekend and so on." MR JAMIESON: Absolutely. CHAIRPERSON: 'Encourage her to make time to talk to Roger." You were hoping to arrange an audience if that's the right word with her and for Mr Phillimore, is that what the letter says? [14:18] MR JAMIESON: Yes and we'd been repeatedly trying to do that and I'd spoken to Roger at the airport before he left the night before and that was the purpose.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR JAMIESON:And murder, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:I said killing people. Theother point that's important, again prima facie - 1introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hopeit doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line yousay "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be,"from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to workif police can offer adequate protection." So what seems tobe what the letter is about is this; we will break thestrike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike,certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if thepolice can give adequate protection to those people whoaccording to NUM are minded to work but are afraid of goingto work for very good reason, because they can't be surethat if they try to go to work they won't be killed, likeMr Langa was.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Well, that's what youreferred me to yesterday in those handwritten notes that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they don't push off over the weekend and so on." MR JAMIESON: Absolutely. CHAIRPERSON: "Encourage her to make time to talk to Roger." You were hoping to arrange an audience if that's the right word with her and for Mr Phillimore, is that what the letter says? [14:18] MR JAMIESON: Yes and we'd been repeatedly trying to do that and I'd spoken to Roger at the airport before he left the night before and that was the purpose. CHAIRPERSON: Now the other point is in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR JAMIESON:And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON:I said killing people. Theother point that's important, again prima facie - I introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike, certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if the police can give adequate protection to those people who according to NUM are minded to work but are afraid of going to work for very good reason, because they can't be sure that if they try to go to work they won't be killed, like Mr Langa was.And that was – CHAIRPERSON:And that was – CHAIRPERSON:MR JAMIESON:Mell, that's what you referred me to yesterday in those handwritten notes that Mark Munroe had if we can secure something at Eastern Plats
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they don't push off over the weekend and so on." MR JAMIESON: Absolutely. CHAIRPERSON: "Encourage her to make time to talk to Roger." You were hoping to arrange an audience if that's the right word with her and for Mr Phillimore, is that what the letter says? [14:18] MR JAMIESON: Yes and we'd been repeatedly trying to do that and I'd spoken to Roger at the airport before he left the night before and that was the purpose. CHAIRPERSON: Now the other point is in regard to the answer to the question what is "this," the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR JAMIESON:And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON:I said killing people. Theother point that's important, again prima facie - I introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike, certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if the police can give adequate protection to those people who according to NUM are minded to work but are afraid of going to work for very good reason, because they can't be sure that if they try to go to work they won't be killed, like Mr Langa was.And that was – CHAIRPERSON:And that was – CHAIRPERSON:MR JAMIESON:Mell, that's what you referred me to yesterday in those handwritten notes that Mark Munroe had if we can secure something at Eastern Plats and you were questioning about;Well, that's und I said I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they don't push off over the weekend and so on." MR JAMIESON: Absolutely. CHAIRPERSON: "Encourage her to make time to talk to Roger." You were hoping to arrange an audience if that's the right word with her and for Mr Phillimore, is that what the letter says? [14:18] MR JAMIESON: Yes and we'd been repeatedly trying to do that and I'd spoken to Roger at the airport before he left the night before and that was the purpose. CHAIRPERSON: Now the other point is in regard to the answer to the question what is "this," the answer to that I again would have thought, but again this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR JAMIESON:And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON:I said killing people. Theother point that's important, again prima facie - I introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike, certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if the police can give adequate protection to those people who according to NUM are minded to work but are afraid of going to work for very good reason, because they can't be sure that if they try to go to work they won't be killed, like Mr Langa was.MR JAMIESON:And that was – CHAIRPERSON:MR JAMIESON:Is that really what you'retalking about?Well, that's what youreferred me to yesterday in those handwritten notes that Mark Munroe had if we can secure something at Eastern Plats and you were questioning about the circle, and I said I think I recall it was somethiry to do with the bus stop or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	presence, staying there, keeping the situation under control. And then numbers – and also they must sustain a presence for at least a week and you don't want numbers to wane by the weekend. MR JAMIESON: Definitely – CHAIRPERSON: That seems to me to be what the letter is saying, if I'm reading it correctly. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: "And then if you can talk to the Minister, please, can you influence these things with her, i.e. sustain the police presence, make sure they don't push off over the weekend and so on." MR JAMIESON: Absolutely. CHAIRPERSON: "Encourage her to make time to talk to Roger." You were hoping to arrange an audience if that's the right word with her and for Mr Phillimore, is that what the letter says? [14:18] MR JAMIESON: Yes and we'd been repeatedly trying to do that and I'd spoken to Roger at the airport before he left the night before and that was the purpose. CHAIRPERSON: Now the other point is in regard to the answer to the question what is "this," the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR JAMIESON:And murder, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON:I said killing people. Theother point that's important, again prima facie - I introduce it in the hope of shortening the debate, I hope it doesn't have the opposite effect – in the first line you say "We've had approaches," I supposed that should be, "from NUM Eastern Plats that they'd like to return to work if police can offer adequate protection." So what seems to be what the letter is about is this; we will break the strike, or certainly substantially reduce the strike, certainly as far as Eastern Plats is concerned, if the police can give adequate protection to those people who according to NUM are minded to work but are afraid of going to work for very good reason, because they can't be sure that if they try to go to work they won't be killed, like Mr Langa was.And that was – CHAIRPERSON:And that was – CHAIRPERSON:MR JAMIESON:Mell, that's what you referred me to yesterday in those handwritten notes that Mark Munroe had if we can secure something at Eastern Plats and you were questioning about;Well, that's und I said I

		I	
1	Page 37519 conversation.	1	Page 37521 cut it short like this. When you and I agreed that this
2	MR MPOFU: Ja.	2	was a two-headed problem, surely, surely anyone, even a
3	MR JAMIESON: And that was the approach	3	child will agree that when the security situation, as you
4	from NUM at Eastern Plats. So as I was saying, I'd spoken	4	call it, as the Chairperson calls it, was introduced, that
5	to Roger on the phone, he was at the airport the previous	5	did not signal the end of the labour dispute. That's why
6	night and he was, to say he was angry would, probably does,	6	it's a two-headed thing, isn't it?
7	about does it, that the Minister had not made time to	7	MR JAMIESON: Correct.
8	contact him or be available to take his call or to meet us.	8	MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. Alright, and
		9	5 0
9	He was saying, "Albert, if you can have another go at that		then to reinforce that issue, on page 47 of the same – Mr
10	in some way, shape or form, if I need to meet you at the	10 11	Ramaphosa writes a letter to you and other people and says,
11 12	airport, if I need to fly on down to Cape Town, if I need	12	"I have had a discussion with Susan Shabangu in Cape Town.
	to drive from OR Tambo directly to her office or wherever		She agrees that what we are going through is not a labour
13	she is, see if you can do anything about that." He was	13	dispute," which is untrue as you and I have agreed, "but a
14	angry that she hadn't spoken to us and made the time.	14	criminal act." Do you understand the difference between
15	MR MPOFU: Okay –	15	saying it's not only a labour dispute, it's also a criminal
16	MR JAMIESON: So as I drove to work the –	16	act? That's different from saying "She agrees what we are
17	MR MPOFU: Okay, Mr Jamieson, I think	17	going through is not a labour dispute, but a criminal act."
18 19	that's very interesting, but – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off,	18 19	You appreciate the difference? MR JAMIESON: I can see that, but she
20		20	MR JAMIESON: I can see that, but she doesn't –
20	inaudible] – MR MPOFU: It's got nothing to do with	20	MR MPOFU: Yes.
21	what I asked.	21	MR JAMIESON: What she doesn't say is
22	CHAIRPERSON: Well, let him finish the	22	what we're going through is not only a labour dispute. I
23	sentence and then you could ask a question. As you say, as	23	think you said that –
24	you were driving to work you heard her on the radio and	24	MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the whole point.
25	you were unving to work you heard her on the radio and	23	
	Page 37520		Page 37522
1	then you –	1	That's exactly the point. She says –
2	MR JAMIESON: And in my head I already	2	MR JAMIESON: And what Cyril, well what I
3	had the email formulated –	3	think-
4	CHAIRPERSON: You told us that day before	4	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Jamieson, you
5	yesterday –	5	both can't talk at once. Mr Mpofu, you're still
6	MR JAMIESON: The email was two bullets,	6	questioning him?
7	please –	7	MR MPOFU: Yes. No, I defer to him to
8	CHAIRPERSON: You went home and you wrote	0	
		8	finish –
9	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your	8 9	finish – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay.
9 10	-		
	an email - you went back to your office, you went to your	9	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay.
10	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your office –	9 10	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. MR JAMIESON: And of course he's replying
10 11	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your office – MR JAMIESON: Ja, in the office.	9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON:Alright, okay.MR JAMIESON:And of course he's replyingto the email about the security situation.So we're still
10 11 12	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your office – MR JAMIESON: Ja, in the office. CHAIRPERSON: - at Melrose Arch.	9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON:Alright, okay.MR JAMIESON:And of course he's replyingto the email about the security situation.So we're stilltalking about the security situation.
10 11 12 13	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your office – MR JAMIESON: Ja, in the office. CHAIRPERSON: - at Melrose Arch. MR JAMIESON: Ja.	9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. MR JAMIESON: And of course he's replying to the email about the security situation. So we're still talking about the security situation. MR MPOFU: No, Mr Jamieson, please, you
10 11 12 13 14	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your office – MR JAMIESON: Ja, in the office. CHAIRPERSON: - at Melrose Arch. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: And what was a two-bullet,	9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON:Alright, okay.MR JAMIESON:And of course he's replyingto the email about the security situation.So we're stilltalking about the security situation.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:No, Mr Jamieson, please, youknow just listen to the question and answer it.Would you
10 11 12 13 14 15	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your office – MR JAMIESON: Ja, in the office. CHAIRPERSON: - at Melrose Arch. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: And what was a two-bullet, two-line –	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON:Alright, okay.MR JAMIESON:And of course he's replyingto the email about the security situation.So we're stilltalking about the security situation.MR MPOFU:No, Mr Jamieson, please, youknow just listen to the question and answer it.Would youagree that that sentence from Mr Ramaphosa that says "She
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your office – MR JAMIESON: Ja, in the office. CHAIRPERSON: - at Melrose Arch. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: And what was a two-bullet, two-line – MR JAMIESON: Turned into a –	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON:Alright, okay.MR JAMIESON:And of course he's replyingto the email about the security situation.So we're stilltalking about the security situation.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:No, Mr Jamieson, please, youknow just listen to the question and answer it.Would youagree that that sentence from Mr Ramaphosa that says "Sheagrees that what we are going through is not a labour
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your office – MR JAMIESON: Ja, in the office. CHAIRPERSON: - at Melrose Arch. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: And what was a two-bullet, two-line – MR JAMIESON: Turned into a – CHAIRPERSON: - email in your mind turned	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON:Alright, okay.MR JAMIESON:And of course he's replyingto the email about the security situation.So we're stilltalking about the security situation.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:No, Mr Jamieson, please, youknow just listen to the question and answer it.Would youagree that that sentence from Mr Ramaphosa that says "Sheagrees that what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act," means that the thing has
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your office – MR JAMIESON: Ja, in the office. CHAIRPERSON: - at Melrose Arch. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: And what was a two-bullet, two-line – MR JAMIESON: Turned into a – CHAIRPERSON: - email in your mind turned out to be this – MR JAMIESON: - which is this one. CHAIRPERSON: - this one page before –	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON:Alright, okay.MR JAMIESON:And of course he's replyingto the email about the security situation.So we're stilltalking about the security situation.MR MPOFU:No, Mr Jamieson, please, youknow just listen to the question and answer it.Would youagree that that sentence from Mr Ramaphosa that says "Sheagrees that what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act," means that the thing hasmutated from one thing to another as opposed to it being a
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your office – MR JAMIESON: Ja, in the office. CHAIRPERSON: - at Melrose Arch. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: And what was a two-bullet, two-line – MR JAMIESON: Turned into a – CHAIRPERSON: - email in your mind turned out to be this – MR JAMIESON: - which is this one.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON:Alright, okay.MR JAMIESON:And of course he's replyingto the email about the security situation.So we're stilltalking about the security situation.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:No, Mr Jamieson, please, youknow just listen to the question and answer it.Would youagree that that sentence from Mr Ramaphosa that says "Sheagrees that what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act," means that the thing hasmutated from one thing to another as opposed to it being alabour dispute with a criminal element?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your office – MR JAMIESON: Ja, in the office. CHAIRPERSON: - at Melrose Arch. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: And what was a two-bullet, two-line – MR JAMIESON: Turned into a – CHAIRPERSON: - email in your mind turned out to be this – MR JAMIESON: - which is this one. CHAIRPERSON: - this one page before –	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	CHAIRPERSON:Alright, okay.MR JAMIESON:And of course he's replyingto the email about the security situation.So we're stilltalking about the security situation.MR MPOFU:No, Mr Jamieson, please, youknow just listen to the question and answer it.Would youagree that that sentence from Mr Ramaphosa that says "Sheagrees that what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act," means that the thing hasmutated from one thing to another as opposed to it being alabour dispute with a criminal element?MR JAMIESON:If she was talking about,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your office – MR JAMIESON: Ja, in the office. CHAIRPERSON: - at Melrose Arch. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: And what was a two-bullet, two-line – MR JAMIESON: Turned into a – CHAIRPERSON: - email in your mind turned out to be this – MR JAMIESON: - which is this one. CHAIRPERSON: - this one page before – MR JAMIESON: I mean if I could –	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	CHAIRPERSON:Alright, okay.MR JAMIESON:And of course he's replyingto the email about the security situation.So we're stilltalking about the security situation.MR MPOFU:No, Mr Jamieson, please, youknow just listen to the question and answer it.Would youagree that that sentence from Mr Ramaphosa that says "Sheagrees that what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act," means that the thing hasmutated from one thing to another as opposed to it being alabour dispute with a criminal element?MR JAMIESON:If she was talking about,you know, twin headlights then I would agree.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your office – MR JAMIESON: Ja, in the office. CHAIRPERSON: - at Melrose Arch. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: And what was a two-bullet, two-line – MR JAMIESON: Turned into a – CHAIRPERSON: - email in your mind turned out to be this – MR JAMIESON: - which is this one. CHAIRPERSON: - this one page before – MR JAMIESON: I mean if I could – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, I think – look, we've seen it. Mr Mpofu is waiting to ask his next question because his time Is running out.	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. MR JAMIESON: And of course he's replying to the email about the security situation. So we're still talking about the security situation. MR MPOFU: No, Mr Jamieson, please, you know just listen to the question and answer it. Would you agree that that sentence from Mr Ramaphosa that says "She agrees that what we are going through is not a labour dispute, but a criminal act," means that the thing has mutated from one thing to another as opposed to it being a labour dispute with a criminal element? MR JAMIESON: If she was talking about, you know, twin headlights then I would agree. MR MPOFU: And then you say to that characterisation you say, "Many thanks, Cyril, that is very helpful. I will call in to the DG, so I will reinforce."
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	an email – you went back to your office, you went to your office – MR JAMIESON: Ja, in the office. CHAIRPERSON: - at Melrose Arch. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: And what was a two-bullet, two-line – MR JAMIESON: Turned into a – CHAIRPERSON: - email in your mind turned out to be this – MR JAMIESON: - which is this one. CHAIRPERSON: - this one page before – MR JAMIESON: I mean if I could – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, I think – look, we've seen it. Mr Mpofu is waiting to ask his next	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	CHAIRPERSON:Alright, okay.MR JAMIESON:And of course he's replyingto the email about the security situation.So we're stilltalking about the security situation.MR MPOFU:No, Mr Jamieson, please, youknow just listen to the question and answer it.Would youagree that that sentence from Mr Ramaphosa that says "Sheagrees that what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act," means that the thing hasmutated from one thing to another as opposed to it being alabour dispute with a criminal element?MR JAMIESON:If she was talking about,you know, twin headlights then I would agree.MR MPOFU:And then you say to thatcharacterisation you say, "Many thanks, Cyril, that is very

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

	Page 37523		Page 37525
1	labour dispute, but a criminal act?	1	it did he report to you and why did you thank him for it?
2	MR JAMIESON: Well, I'd already talked to	2	MR JAMIESON: Because I was repeating the
3	him on Tuesday and said exactly the same thing.	3	characterisation that the Minister had put the day before
4	MR MPOFU: No, I'm not talking about –	4	that I'd spoken to the DG about and he had agreed with me
5	when you say "will" you're talking about the future.	5	someone had briefed her. It couldn't have been the DG, I
6	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	6	thought, because he had agreed with me on the
7	MR MPOFU: You said you will reinforce,	7	characterisation and this was –
8	correct?	8	MR MPOFU: Yes.
9	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	9	MR JAMIESON: This was a repeat of that
10	MR MPOFU: Yes, and then you also had	10	to say who is briefing her. I mean I say that there. I
11	asked him – we dealt with this – to influence and encourage	11	don't, I'm not sure who briefed her, but if it was the DG
12	these things, including the characterisation, correct?	12	this is what I said to the DG.
		13	
13	MR JAMIESON: Sorry, Mr Mpofu, where are	_	MR MPOFU: Yes, that's fine.
14	we now?	14	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
15	MR MPOFU: On page 34 you said that he	15	MR MPOFU: But the point of what you
16	must encourage her to, rather "Please could you influence	16	want, the action you want to take is that he must influence
17	these things" and that includes the characterisation bullet	17	the characterisation from being that this is not a labour
18	point.	18	dispute, but a criminal act. That's false evidence.
19	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	19	MR JAMIESON: Ja, what I've said. If,
20	MR MPOFU: Ja.	20	you know, if that's accepted, she –
21	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	21	MR MPOFU: Yes.
22	MR MPOFU: Thank you. Now you are aware	22	CHAIRPERSON: If you see this whole thing
23	that, you know about abuse of power?	23	as a – or I can call it a two-pony cart, two-pony team
24	MR JAMIESON: Only what you talked to the	24	pulling a cart, one pony is the labour dispute, the other
25	National Commissioner this morning, I think, did you?	25	pony is the law and order issue.
	Page 37524		Page 37526
1	Page 37524 MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're	1	Page 37526 MR JAMIESON: Yes.
1 2	-	1 2	
	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're		MR JAMIESON: Yes.
2	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it –	2	MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And when you were talking,
2 3	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] -	2 3	MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And when you were talking, when you say what we are going through is not a labour
2 3 4	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know	2 3 4	MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And when you were talking, when you say what we are going through is not a labour dispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about both
2 3 4 5	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends	2 3 4 5	MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And when you were talking, when you say what we are going through is not a labour dispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about both ponies or only one pony?
2 3 4 5 6	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power?	2 3 4 5 6	MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And when you were talking, when you say what we are going through is not a labour dispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about both ponies or only one pony? MR JAMIESON: I'm talking about the
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power? MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, now what you had in mind	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And when you were talking,when you say what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about bothponies or only one pony?MR JAMIESON:I'm talking about thesecurity situation, which is the criminal acts.If you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power? MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, now what you had in mind here was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And when you were talking,when you say what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about bothponies or only one pony?MR JAMIESON:I'm talking about thesecurity situation, which is the criminal acts.If youwant to talk about the labour dispute, I can talk aboutthat as well and give you my view on that, on how that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power? MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, now what you had in mind here was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so to speak, to speak to the politicians, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And when you were talking,when you say what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about bothponies or only one pony?MR JAMIESON:I'm talking about thesecurity situation, which is the criminal acts.If youwant to talk about the labour dispute, I can talk aboutthat as well and give you my view on that, on how thatshould be resolved.I've said as much and I said that in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power? MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, now what you had in mind here was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so to speak, to speak to the politicians, correct? MR JAMIESON: To speak to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And when you were talking,when you say what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about bothponies or only one pony?MR JAMIESON:I'm talking about thesecurity situation, which is the criminal acts.If youwant to talk about the labour dispute, I can talk aboutthat as well and give you my view on that, on how thatshould be resolved.I've said as much and I said that inthe letter of Monday.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power? MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, now what you had in mind here was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so to speak, to speak to the politicians, correct? MR JAMIESON: To speak to the politicians, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And when you were talking,when you say what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about bothponies or only one pony?MR JAMIESON:I'm talking about thesecurity situation, which is the criminal acts.If youwant to talk about the labour dispute, I can talk aboutthat as well and give you my view on that, on how thatshould be resolved.I've said as much and I said that inthe letter of Monday.Mr Jamieson, please, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MPOFU:Oh, the first time you'rehearing about it –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And when you were talking,when you say what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about bothponies or only one pony?MR JAMIESON:I'm talking about thesecurity situation, which is the criminal acts.that as well and give you my view on that, on how thatshould be resolved.I've said as much and I said that inthe letter of Monday.MR MPOFU:Mr Jamieson, please, thequestion is simple; one of the things you wanted Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MPOFU:Oh, the first time you'rehearing about it –MR JAMIESON:[Inaudible] -MR MPOFU:Ja, okay. But do you knowthat using political influence to achieve certain endsmight amount to abuse of power?MR JAMIESON:Yes, yes.MR MPOFU:Yes, now what you had in mindhere was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so tospeak, to speak to the politicians, correct?MR JAMIESON:To speak to thepoliticians, yes.MR MPOFU:Yes, and not only to speak tothem, but to influence them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And when you were talking,when you say what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about bothponies or only one pony?MR JAMIESON:I'm talking about thesecurity situation, which is the criminal acts.If youwant to talk about the labour dispute, I can talk aboutthat as well and give you my view on that, on how thatshould be resolved.I've said as much and I said that inthe letter of Monday.MR MPOFU:Mr Jamieson, please, thequestion is simple; one of the things you wanted MrRamaphosa to influence the politicians on was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power? MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, now what you had in mind here was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so to speak, to speak to the politicians, correct? MR JAMIESON: To speak to the politicians, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, and not only to speak to them, but to influence them. MR JAMIESON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And when you were talking,when you say what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about bothponies or only one pony?MR JAMIESON:I'm talking about thesecurity situation, which is the criminal acts. If youwant to talk about the labour dispute, I can talk aboutthat as well and give you my view on that, on how thatshould be resolved.I've said as much and I said that inthe letter of Monday.MR MPOFU:Mr Jamieson, please, thequestion is simple; one of the things you wanted MrRamaphosa to influence the politicians on was thecharacterisation of what you were going through as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power? MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, now what you had in mind here was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so to speak, to speak to the politicians, correct? MR JAMIESON: To speak to the politicians, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, and not only to speak to them, but to influence them. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And one of the things you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And when you were talking,when you say what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about bothponies or only one pony?MR JAMIESON:I'm talking about thesecurity situation, which is the criminal acts.that as well and give you my view on that, on how thatshould be resolved.I've said as much and I said that inthe letter of Monday.MR MPOFU:Mr Jamieson, please, thequestion is simple; one of the things you wanted MrRamaphosa to influence the politicians on was thecharacterisation of what you were going through as acriminal act only.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MPOFU:Oh, the first time you'rehearing about it –MR JAMIESON:[Inaudible] -MR MPOFU:Ja, okay. But do you knowthat using political influence to achieve certain endsmight amount to abuse of power?MR JAMIESON:Yes, yes.MR MPOFU:Yes, now what you had in mindhere was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so tospeak, to speak to the politicians, correct?MR JAMIESON:To speak to thepoliticians, yes.MR MPOFU:Yes, and not only to speak tothem, but to influence them.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:Yes.MR MPOFU:Yes.MR MPOFU:Yes.MR MPOFU:Yes.MR MPOFU:And one of the things youwanted him to influence that what you were going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And when you were talking,when you say what we are going through is not a labourdispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about bothponies or only one pony?MR JAMIESON:I'm talking about thesecurity situation, which is the criminal acts.that as well and give you my view on that, on how thatshould be resolved.I've said as much and I said that inthe letter of Monday.MR MPOFU:Mr Jamieson, please, thequestion is simple; one of the things you wanted MrRamaphosa to influence the politicians on was thecharacterisation of what you were going through as acriminal act only.MR JAMIESON:Given what I said, that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MPOFU:Oh, the first time you'rehearing about it –MR JAMIESON:[Inaudible] -MR MPOFU:Ja, okay. But do you knowthat using political influence to achieve certain endsmight amount to abuse of power?MR JAMIESON:Yes, yes.MR MPOFU:Yes, now what you had in mindhere was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so tospeak, to speak to the politicians, correct?MR JAMIESON:To speak to thepoliticians, yes.MR MPOFU:Yes, and not only to speak tothem, but to influence them.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:And one of the things youwanted him to influence was that what you were goingthrough at Lonmin should be called a criminal act and not a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And when you were talking, when you say what we are going through is not a labour dispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about both ponies or only one pony? MR JAMIESON: I'm talking about the security situation, which is the criminal acts. If you want to talk about the labour dispute, I can talk about that as well and give you my view on that, on how that should be resolved. I've said as much and I said that in the letter of Monday. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, the question is simple; one of the things you wanted Mr Ramaphosa to influence the politicians on was the characterisation of what you were going through as a criminal act only. MR JAMIESON: Given what I said, that it was relatively peaceful, there was now already 800 police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power? MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, now what you had in mind here was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so to speak, to speak to the politicians, correct? MR JAMIESON: To speak to the politicians, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, and not only to speak to them, but to influence them. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And one of the things you wanted him to influence was that what you were going through at Lonmin should be called a criminal act and not a labour dispute.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And when you were talking, when you say what we are going through is not a labour dispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about both ponies or only one pony? MR JAMIESON: I'm talking about the security situation, which is the criminal acts. If you want to talk about the labour dispute, I can talk about that as well and give you my view on that, on how that should be resolved. I've said as much and I said that in the letter of Monday. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, the question is simple; one of the things you wanted Mr Ramaphosa to influence the politicians on was the characterisation of what you were going through as a criminal act only. MR JAMIESON: Given what I said, that it was relatively peaceful, there was now already 800 police on – there was no further reason to characterise it as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power? MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, now what you had in mind here was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so to speak, to speak to the politicians, correct? MR JAMIESON: To speak to the politicians, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, and not only to speak to them, but to influence them. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And one of the things you wanted him to influence was that what you were going through at Lonmin should be called a criminal act and not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Not specifically, no. By	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And when you were talking, when you say what we are going through is not a labour dispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about both ponies or only one pony? MR JAMIESON: I'm talking about the security situation, which is the criminal acts. If you want to talk about the labour dispute, I can talk about that as well and give you my view on that, on how that should be resolved. I've said as much and I said that in the letter of Monday. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, the question is simple; one of the things you wanted Mr Ramaphosa to influence the politicians on was the characterisation of what you were going through as a criminal act only. MR JAMIESON: Given what I said, that it was relatively peaceful, there was now already 800 police on – there was no further reason to characterise it as criminal because the police were on site. So that's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power? MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, now what you had in mind here was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so to speak, to speak to the politicians, correct? MR JAMIESON: To speak to the politicians, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, and not only to speak to them, but to influence them. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And one of the things you wanted him to influence was that what you were going through at Lonmin should be called a criminal act and not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Not specifically, no. By this time that was a redundant point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And when you were talking, when you say what we are going through is not a labour dispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about both ponies or only one pony? MR JAMIESON: I'm talking about the security situation, which is the criminal acts. If you want to talk about the labour dispute, I can talk about that as well and give you my view on that, on how that should be resolved. I've said as much and I said that in the letter of Monday. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, the question is simple; one of the things you wanted Mr Ramaphosa to influence the politicians on was the characterisation of what you were going through as a criminal act only. MR JAMIESON: Given what I said, that it was relatively peaceful, there was now already 800 police on – there was no further reason to characterise it as criminal because the police were on site. So that's a passé issue now. The real point is bullet 2, how can we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power? MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, now what you had in mind here was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so to speak, to speak to the politicians, correct? MR JAMIESON: To speak to the politicians, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, and not only to speak to them, but to influence them. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR MPOFU: And one of the things you wanted him to influence was that what you were going through at Lonmin should be called a criminal act and not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Not specifically, no. By this time that was a redundant point. MR MPOFU: Well, if it was a redundant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And when you were talking, when you say what we are going through is not a labour dispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about both ponies or only one pony? MR JAMIESON: I'm talking about the security situation, which is the criminal acts. If you want to talk about the labour dispute, I can talk about that as well and give you my view on that, on how that should be resolved. I've said as much and I said that in the letter of Monday. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, the question is simple; one of the things you wanted Mr Ramaphosa to influence the politicians on was the characterisation of what you were going through as a criminal act only. MR JAMIESON: Given what I said, that it was relatively peaceful, there was now already 800 police on – there was no further reason to characterise it as criminal because the police were on site. So that's a passé issue now. The real point is bullet 2, how can we sustain them. The reminder about the discussions I had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power? MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, now what you had in mind here was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so to speak, to speak to the politicians, correct? MR JAMIESON: To speak to the politicians, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, and not only to speak to them, but to influence them. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR MPOFU: And one of the things you wanted him to influence was that what you were going through at Lonmin should be called a criminal act and not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Not specifically, no. By this time that was a redundant point. MR MPOFU: Well, if it was a redundant point then why did you ask him to influence it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And when you were talking, when you say what we are going through is not a labour dispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about both ponies or only one pony? MR JAMIESON: I'm talking about the security situation, which is the criminal acts. If you want to talk about the labour dispute, I can talk about that as well and give you my view on that, on how that should be resolved. I've said as much and I said that in the letter of Monday. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, the question is simple; one of the things you wanted Mr Ramaphosa to influence the politicians on was the characterisation of what you were going through as a criminal act only. MR JAMIESON: Given what I said, that it was relatively peaceful, there was now already 800 police on – there was no further reason to characterise it as criminal because the police were on site. So that's a passé issue now. The real point is bullet 2, how can we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power? MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, now what you had in mind here was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so to speak, to speak to the politicians, correct? MR JAMIESON: To speak to the politicians, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, and not only to speak to them, but to influence them. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR MPOFU: And one of the things you wanted him to influence was that what you were going through at Lonmin should be called a criminal act and not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Not specifically, no. By this time that was a redundant point. MR MPOFU: Well, if it was a redundant point then why did you ask him to influence it? MR JAMIESON: Because –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And when you were talking, when you say what we are going through is not a labour dispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about both ponies or only one pony? MR JAMIESON: I'm talking about the security situation, which is the criminal acts. If you want to talk about the labour dispute, I can talk about that as well and give you my view on that, on how that should be resolved. I've said as much and I said that in the letter of Monday. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, the question is simple; one of the things you wanted Mr Ramaphosa to influence the politicians on was the characterisation of what you were going through as a criminal act only. MR JAMIESON: Given what I said, that it was relatively peaceful, there was now already 800 police on – there was no further reason to characterise it as criminal because the police were on site. So that's a passé issue now. The real point is bullet 2, how can we sustain them. The reminder about the discussions I had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MPOFU: Oh, the first time you're hearing about it – MR JAMIESON: [Inaudible] - MR MPOFU: Ja, okay. But do you know that using political influence to achieve certain ends might amount to abuse of power? MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, now what you had in mind here was to use Mr Ramaphosa to speak to his people, so to speak, to speak to the politicians, correct? MR JAMIESON: To speak to the politicians, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, and not only to speak to them, but to influence them. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR MPOFU: And one of the things you wanted him to influence was that what you were going through at Lonmin should be called a criminal act and not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Not specifically, no. By this time that was a redundant point. MR MPOFU: Well, if it was a redundant point then why did you ask him to influence it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And when you were talking, when you say what we are going through is not a labour dispute, but a criminal act, are you talking about both ponies or only one pony? MR JAMIESON: I'm talking about the security situation, which is the criminal acts. If you want to talk about the labour dispute, I can talk about that as well and give you my view on that, on how that should be resolved. I've said as much and I said that in the letter of Monday. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, the question is simple; one of the things you wanted Mr Ramaphosa to influence the politicians on was the characterisation of what you were going through as a criminal act only. MR JAMIESON: Given what I said, that it was relatively peaceful, there was now already 800 police on – there was no further reason to characterise it as criminal because the police were on site. So that's a passé issue now. The real point is bullet 2, how can we sustain them. The reminder about the discussions I had with the DG was related to I'm not sure who briefed her.

	D 07507		D 07500
1	Page 37527	1	Page 37529
1	clearly said he was going to, you know, who briefed her?	1	issue. Would you agree that in solving the twin-headed
2	MR MPOFU: Well, okay let me –	2	issue the police should be the last resort?
3	MR JAMIESON: Because she said she had	3	MR JAMIESON: In solving one of the twin-
4	been briefed.	4	heads they should be the first resort, which is stopping
5	MR MPOFU: Alright, look, I'm going to	5	the violence, stopping the killing, stopping the murders.
6	argue that your answer cannot be true because what Mr	6	That's their duty.
7	Ramaphosa says to you is very clear and what you say you	7	MR MPOFU: The army –
8	are going to reinforce with the DG must surely be what he	8	CHAIRPERSON: The labour dispute started
9	says to you, which is that it's not a labour dispute, but a	9	in June, as I understand.
10	criminal act.	10	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
11		11	CHAIRPERSON: And continued towards the
	5 5		
12	point, Mr Mpofu –	12	end of September.
13	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson.	13	MR JAMIESON: Yes, there's a different
14	CHAIRPERSON: - insofar as it can be	14	way of resolving that.
15	made.	15	CHAIRPERSON: From the time in June when
16	MR MPOFU: That's as far as it –	16	the labour dispute started –
17	CHAIRPERSON: And you've got the material	17	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
18	on record to argue the point. Whether it's a good point or	18	CHAIRPERSON: - until say the 10th of
19	a bad point is a matter to be decided at the end, but I	19	August where according to some evidence the intimidation
20	think you've got enough on record to argue the point –	20	started, from that time, June to 10th of August were the
21	MR MPOFU: Well, I thought I was	21	police needed?
22	signalling –	22	MR JAMIESON: No, not at all, because –
23	CHAIRPERSON: And I think you've got as	23	CHAIRPERSON: The police were summoned I
24	much –	24	understand when you got information of the march and so
24 25		24	forth.
20	MR MPOFU: I was signalling exactly that,	20	10111.
	Dago 27520		Page 27520
1	Page 37528 Chairperson	1	Page 37530 MR IAMIESON: Well, it was an illegal
1	Chairperson.	1	MR JAMIESON: Well, it was an illegal
2	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of	2	MR JAMIESON: Well, it was an illegal gathering, so we had to have –
2 3	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get.	2 3	MR JAMIESON: Well, it was an illegal gathering, so we had to have – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, and then you then
2 3 4	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue	2 3 4	MR JAMIESON: Well, it was an illegal gathering, so we had to have – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, and then you then had this period with the security situation.
2 3 4 5	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't	2 3 4 5	MR JAMIESON: Well, it was an illegal gathering, so we had to have – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, and then you then had this period with the security situation. MR JAMIESON: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the	2 3 4 5 6	MR JAMIESON: Well, it was an illegal gathering, so we had to have – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, and then you then had this period with the security situation. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: When did the security
2 3 4 5 6 7	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR JAMIESON: Well, it was an illegal gathering, so we had to have – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, and then you then had this period with the security situation. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: When did the security situation end as far as you were concerned? Because we
2 3 4 5 6	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have – CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned? Because we know the labour dispute endet towards the end of September.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have -CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned?Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have-CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned? Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have -CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned?Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have-CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned? Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have-CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as youWere concerned? Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situationcontinue right up to then?Kecurity situation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute? MR JAMIESON: No, I'm talking of what you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have-CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you vere concerned? Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situationcontinue right up to then?I think it continued for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute? MR JAMIESON: No, I'm talking of what you were going through.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have –CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned? Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situationcontinue right up to then?I think it continued forquite a while and we did have a police presence, although
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute? MR JAMIESON: No, I'm talking of what you were going through. MR JAMIESON: Yes, the violent situation. MR MPOFU: I'm talking about what you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have - CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation. MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned?Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September. MR JAMIESON:Right. Did the security situationcontinue right up to then? MR JAMIESON:I think it continued forquite a while and we did have a police presence, although it was less.It was a not set of the security situation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute? MR JAMIESON: No, I'm talking of what you were going through. MR JAMIESON: Yes, the violent situation. MR MPOFU: I'm talking about what you were going through in its hybrid form, Mr Jamieson. Let's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have-CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned? Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situationcontinue right up to then?I think it continued forquite a while and we did have a police presence, althoughit was less.CHAIRPERSON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:And I'm not, I'm aware of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute? MR JAMIESON: No, I'm talking of what you were going through. MR JAMIESON: Yes, the violent situation. MR MPOFU: I'm talking about what you were going through in its hybrid form, Mr Jamieson. Let's not go around in circles, which you and I have agreed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have-CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you ver concerned?Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situationcontinue right up to then?Did the security situationquite a while and we did have a police presence, althoughit was less.CHAIRPERSON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:And I'm not, I'm aware ofthere were some further incurrents.I think you know during
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute? MR JAMIESON: No, I'm talking of what you were going through. MR JAMIESON: Yes, the violent situation. MR MPOFU: I'm talking about what you were going through in its hybrid form, Mr Jamieson. Let's not go around in circles, which you and I have agreed. MR JAMIESON: Well, I think you –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have-CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned? Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situationcontinue right up to then?Did the security situationMR JAMIESON:I think it continued forquite a while and we did have a police presence, althoughit was less.CHAIRPERSON:MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:And I'm not, I'm aware ofthere were some further incidents, I think you know duringthe rest of August and maybe September there was still some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute? MR JAMIESON: No, I'm talking of what you were going through. MR JAMIESON: Yes, the violent situation. MR MPOFU: I'm talking about what you were going through in its hybrid form, Mr Jamieson. Let's not go around in circles, which you and I have agreed. MR JAMIESON: Well, I think you – MR MPOFU: Would you agree that's what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have -CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned? Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situationcontinue right up to then?I think it continued forquite a while and we did have a police presence, althoughIt was less.CHAIRPERSON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:I think it continued forquite a while and we did have a police presence, althoughit was less.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:And I'm not, I'm aware ofthere were some further incidents, I think you know duringthe rest of August and maybe September there was still someviolence, but of course it was nowhere close to what we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute? MR JAMIESON: No, I'm talking of what you were going through. MR JAMIESON: Yes, the violent situation. MR MPOFU: I'm talking about what you were going through in its hybrid form, Mr Jamieson. Let's not go around in circles, which you and I have agreed. MR JAMIESON: Well, I think you – MR MPOFU: Would you agree that's what you were going through, in what you were going through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have -CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned? Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situationcontinue right up to then?MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:I think it continued forquite a while and we did have a police presence, althoughit was less.Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:And I'm not, I'm aware ofthere were some further incidents, I think you know duringthe rest of August and mayber September there was still someviolence, but of course it was nowhere close to what wesuffered with the 44.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute? MR JAMIESON: No, I'm talking of what you were going through. MR MPOFU: I'm talking about what you were going through in its hybrid form, Mr Jamieson. Let's not go around in circles, which you and I have agreed. MR JAMIESON: Well, I think you – MR MPOFU: Would you agree that's what you were going through, in what you were going through the police and the army should be the last resort?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have -CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned? Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situationcontinue right up to then?I think it continued forquite a while and we did have a police presence, althoughIt was less.CHAIRPERSON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:And I'm not, I'm aware ofthere were some further incidents, I think you know duringthe rest of August and maybe September there was still someviolence, but of course it was nowhere close to what wesuffered with the 44.CHAIRPERSON:Your evidence is you accept
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute? MR JAMIESON: No, I'm talking of what you were going through. MR MPOFU: I'm talking about what you were going through in its hybrid form, Mr Jamieson. Let's not go around in circles, which you and I have agreed. MR JAMIESON: Well, I think you – MR MPOFU: Would you agree that's what you were going through, in what you were going through the police and the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: You said a couple of times	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have -CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned? Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situationcontinue right up to then?MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:I think it continued forquite a while and we did have a police presence, althoughit was less.CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:And I'm not, I'm aware ofthere were some further incidents, I think you know duringthe rest of August and maybe September there was still someviolence, but of course it was nowhere close to what wesuffered with the 44.CHAIRPERSON:Your evidence is you acceptthe police weren't required till the 10th of August, even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute? MR JAMIESON: No, I'm talking of what you were going through. MR MPOFU: I'm talking about what you were going through in its hybrid form, Mr Jamieson. Let's not go around in circles, which you and I have agreed. MR MPOFU: Would you agree that's what you were going through, in what you were going through the police and the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: You said a couple of times that you and I agreed that it's not a hybrid, it's a twin-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have -CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned? Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situationcontinue right up to then?MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:I think it continued forquite a while and we did have a police presence, althoughit was less.CHAIRPERSON:MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:And I'm not, I'm aware ofthere were some further incidents, I think you know duringthe rest of August and maybe September there was still someviolence, but of course it was nowhere close to what wesuffered with the 44.CHAIRPERSON:Your evidence is you acceptthe police weren't required till the 10th of August, eventhough the labour dispute was going on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute? MR JAMIESON: No, I'm talking of what you were going through. MR JAMIESON: Yes, the violent situation. MR MPOFU: I'm talking about what you were going through in its hybrid form, Mr Jamieson. Let's not go around in circles, which you and I have agreed. MR JAMIESON: Well, I think you – MR MPOFU: You said a couple of times that you and I agreed that it's not a hybrid, it's a twin- headed issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have -CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned? Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situationcontinue right up to then?MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:I think it continued forquite a while and we did have a police presence, althoughit was less.Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.It here were some further incidents, I think you know duringthe rest of August and maybe September there was still someviolence, but of course it was nowhere close to what wesuffered with the 44.CHAIRPERSON:Your evidence is you acceptthe police weren't required till the 10th of August, eventhough the labour dispute was going on.MR JAMIESON:Yes. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute? MR JAMIESON: No, I'm talking of what you were going through. MR MPOFU: I'm talking about what you were going through in its hybrid form, Mr Jamieson. Let's not go around in circles, which you and I have agreed. MR JAMIESON: Well, I think you – MR MPOFU: Would you agree that's what you were going through, in what you were going through the police and the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: You said a couple of times	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have -CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned?Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situationcontinue right up to then?MR JAMIESON:I think it continued forquite a while and we did have a police presence, althoughit was less.CHAIRPERSON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:And I'm not, I'm aware ofthere were some further incidents, I think you know duringthe rest of August and maybe September there was still someviolence, but of course it was nowhere close to what wesuffered with the 44.CHAIRPERSON:Your evidence is you acceptthe police weren't required till the 10th of August, evenSeptemberSeptember
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: You've got as much out of the witness as you can reasonably hope to get. MR MPOFU: Yes, once I say I will argue it means I'm moving to the next point, ja. Or that I can't take it further than that, ja. Would you agree that in the situation that was taking place at Lonmin the police or the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: When you say the situation you mean the violent situation or do you mean the labour dispute? MR JAMIESON: No, I'm talking of what you were going through. MR MPOFU: I'm talking about what you were going through in its hybrid form, Mr Jamieson. Let's not go around in circles, which you and I have agreed. MR MPOFU: Would you agree that's what you were going through, in what you were going through the police and the army should be the last resort? MR JAMIESON: You said a couple of times that you and I agreed that it's not a hybrid, it's a twin-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR JAMIESON:Well, it was an illegalgathering, so we had to have -CHAIRPERSON:Yes, yes, and then you thenhad this period with the security situation.MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:When did the securitysituation end as far as you were concerned? Because weknow the labour dispute ended towards the end of September.MR JAMIESON:Right.CHAIRPERSON:Did the security situationcontinue right up to then?MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:I think it continued forquite a while and we did have a police presence, althoughit was less.CHAIRPERSON:MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:And I'm not, I'm aware ofthere were some further incidents, I think you know duringthe rest of August and maybe September there was still someviolence, but of course it was nowhere close to what wesuffered with the 44.CHAIRPERSON:Your evidence is you acceptthe police weren't required till the 10th of August, eventhough the labour dispute was going on.

RCHIVE FOR JUSI

1	Page 37531 once the, if you keep the two ponies theme in mind, once	1	Page 37533 characterisation. "I have just met with," I even know it
2	the security situation pony came on the scene then you say	2	off by heart, I don't even know what number –
3	the police were required to deal with that –	3	MR JAMIESON: Ja, I thought she said she
4	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	4	will –
4 5	CHAIRPERSON: - or to deal with the whole	5	MR MPOFU: "I have just met with Susan
			-
6	thing?	6	Shabangu. She has changed her characterisation" –
7	MR JAMIESON: Yes - no, to deal with the	7	MR JAMIESON: No, she will. There's the
8	violence. I mean the labour dispute, there's a separate	8	email –
9	process for dealing with that.	9	MR MPOFU: She will now go to the
10	MR MPOFU: Ja. Well, that also can't be	10	cabinet, blah, blah, blah.
11	true but we won't go there, because as we know the police	11	MR JAMIESON: Doesn't it say she will
12	were called on the 10th as well, but that's –	12	change her characterisation?
13	MR JAMIESON: I said they were –	13	CHAIRPERSON: On page 47 –
14	CHAIRPERSON: No, he said that because he	14	MR JAMIESON: If you know it off by
15	said it was an illegal gathering.	15	heart –
16	MR JAMIESON: It was an illegal	16	CHAIRPERSON: - I think there may be an
17	gathering –	17	email such as the one Mr Mpofu is quoting, but certainly
18	MR MPOFU: Well, I thought you said they	18	the one on page 47, which is on the Wednesday afternoon 15th
19	were not needed. Or it was you, Chairperson.	19	August, 2:58PM –
20	CHAIRPERSON: Ja, but anyway –	20	MR JAMIESON: She will correct her –
21	MR MPOFU: Okay.	21	CHAIRPERSON: - she says she agrees what
22	CHAIRPERSON: Whether it was an illegal	22	we are going through is not a labour dispute, but a
23	gathering I'm not quite sure, but anyway that's on the 10th.	23	criminal act –
24	MR MPOFU: Yes, anyway what we do know	24	MR JAMIESON: She will.
25	for sure is that what was reported to you as Lonmin was	25	CHAIRPERSON: - she will correct her
	Page 37532		Page 37534
1	Page 37532 that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed	1	Page 37534 characterisation.
1 2	5	1 2	-
	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed		characterisation.
2	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct?	2	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja.
2 3	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened	2 3	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd
2 3 4	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on	2 3 4	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with
2 3 4 5	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then	2 3 4 5	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can
2 3 4 5 6	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it	2 3 4 5 6	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in
2 3 4 5 6 7	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then	2 3 4 5 6 7	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct. MR MPOFU: Yes, so she changed her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to change her characterisation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct. MR MPOFU: Yes, so she changed her characterisation as a result of the discussion with Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to change her characterisation. MR JAMIESON: That's what Cyril says.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct. MR MPOFU: Yes, so she changed her characterisation as a result of the discussion with Mr Ramaphosa, according to him at least.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to change her characterisation. MR JAMIESON: That's what Cyril says. CHAIRPERSON: Where do we get that? I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct. MR MPOFU: Yes, so she changed her characterisation as a result of the discussion with Mr Ramaphosa, according to him at least. MR JAMIESON: I can't comment on whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to change her characterisation. MR JAMIESON: That's what Cyril says. CHAIRPERSON: Where do we get that? I assume that you're right, but is that what – is there an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct. MR MPOFU: Yes, so she changed her characterisation as a result of the discussion with Mr Ramaphosa, according to him at least. MR JAMIESON: I can't comment on whether it was according to him, but I don't think it was according	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to change her characterisation. MR JAMIESON: That's what Cyril says. CHAIRPERSON: Where do we get that? I assume that you're right, but is that what – is there an email that says that? Because remember her evidence was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct. MR MPOFU: Yes, so she changed her characterisation as a result of the discussion with Mr Ramaphosa, according to him at least. MR JAMIESON: I can't comment on whether it was according to him, but I don't think it was according to the DG because from what I can gather the DG never	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to change her characterisation. MR JAMIESON: That's what Cyril says. CHAIRPERSON: Where do we get that? I assume that you're right, but is that what – is there an email that says that? Because remember her evidence was slightly different.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct. MR MPOFU: Yes, so she changed her characterisation as a result of the discussion with Mr Ramaphosa, according to him at least. MR JAMIESON: I can't comment on whether it was according to him, but I don't think it was according to the DG because from what I can gather the DG never briefed her, so it couldn't have been from the DG.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to change her characterisation. MR JAMIESON: That's what Cyril says. CHAIRPERSON: Where do we get that? I assume that you're right, but is that what – is there an email that says that? Because remember her evidence was slightly different. MR MPOFU: No, but that's why I said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct. MR MPOFU: Yes, so she changed her characterisation as a result of the discussion with Mr Ramaphosa, according to him at least. MR JAMIESON: I can't comment on whether it was according to him, but I don't think it was according to the DG because from what I can gather the DG never briefed her, so it couldn't have been from the DG. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please man, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to change her characterisation. MR JAMIESON: That's what Cyril says. CHAIRPERSON: Where do we get that? I assume that you're right, but is that what – is there an email that says that? Because remember her evidence was slightly different. MR MPOFU: No, but that's why I said according to him. She's a woman, so I said according to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct. MR MPOFU: Yes, so she changed her characterisation as a result of the discussion with Mr Ramaphosa, according to him at least. MR JAMIESON: I can't comment on whether it was according to him, but I don't think it was according to the DG because from what I can gather the DG never briefed her, so it couldn't have been from the DG. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please man, Mr Ramaphosa reported to you in an email –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to change her characterisation. MR JAMIESON: That's what Cyril says. CHAIRPERSON: Where do we get that? I assume that you're right, but is that what – is there an email that says that? Because remember her evidence was slightly different. MR MPOFU: No, but that's why I said according to him. She's a woman, so I said according to him, Mr Ramaphosa, not according to her. Mr Ramaphosa
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct. MR MPOFU: Yes, so she changed her characterisation as a result of the discussion with Mr Ramaphosa, according to him at least. MR JAMIESON: I can't comment on whether it was according to him, but I don't think it was according to the DG because from what I can gather the DG never briefed her, so it couldn't have been from the DG. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please man, Mr Ramaphosa reported to you in an email – MR JAMIESON: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to change her characterisation. MR JAMIESON: That's what Cyril says. CHAIRPERSON: Where do we get that? I assume that you're right, but is that what – is there an email that says that? Because remember her evidence was slightly different. MR MPOFU: No, but that's why I said according to him. She's a woman, so I said according to him, Mr Ramaphosa, not according to her. Mr Ramaphosa says, I asked him specifically did she change her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct. MR MPOFU: Yes, so she changed her characterisation as a result of the discussion with Mr Ramaphosa, according to him at least. MR JAMIESON: I can't comment on whether it was according to him, but I don't think it was according to the DG because from what I can gather the DG never briefed her, so it couldn't have been from the DG. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please man, Mr Ramaphosa reported to you in an email – MR JAMIESON: Correct. MR MPOFU: - that Susan Shabangu, I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to change her characterisation. MR JAMIESON: That's what Cyril says. CHAIRPERSON: Where do we get that? I assume that you're right, but is that what – is there an email that says that? Because remember her evidence was slightly different. MR MPOFU: No, but that's why I said according to him. She's a woman, so I said according to him, Mr Ramaphosa, not according to her. Mr Ramaphosa says, I asked him specifically did she change her characterisation as a result of your meeting, and he said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct. MR MPOFU: Yes, so she changed her characterisation as a result of the discussion with Mr Ramaphosa, according to him at least. MR JAMIESON: I can't comment on whether it was according to him, but I don't think it was according to her bo because from what I can gather the DG never briefed her, so it couldn't have been from the DG. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please man, Mr Ramaphosa reported to you in an email – MR JAMIESON: Correct. MR MPOFU: - that Susan Shabangu, I think is what he called her –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to change her characterisation. MR JAMIESON: That's what Cyril says. CHAIRPERSON: Where do we get that? I assume that you're right, but is that what – is there an email that says that? Because remember her evidence was slightly different. MR MPOFU: No, but that's why I said according to him. She's a woman, so I said according to him, Mr Ramaphosa, not according to her. Mr Ramaphosa says, I asked him specifically did she change her characterisation as a result of your meeting, and he said yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct. MR MPOFU: Yes, so she changed her characterisation as a result of the discussion with Mr Ramaphosa, according to him at least. MR JAMIESON: I can't comment on whether it was according to him, but I don't think it was according to the DG because from what I can gather the DG never briefed her, so it couldn't have been from the DG. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please man, Mr Ramaphosa reported to you in an email – MR JAMIESON: - that Susan Shabangu, I think is what he called her – MR JAMIESON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to change her characterisation. MR JAMIESON: That's what Cyril says. CHAIRPERSON: Where do we get that? I assume that you're right, but is that what – is there an email that says that? Because remember her evidence was slightly different. MR MPOFU: No, but that's why I said according to him. She's a woman, so I said according to him, Mr Ramaphosa, not according to her. Mr Ramaphosa says, I asked him specifically did she change her characterisation as a result of your meeting, and he said yes. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I suppose it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	that the Minister of Minerals had accepted the, had changed the characterisation to that of a criminal act, correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes, I think that happened during the course of Wednesday because when I heard her on the radio in the morning she, I didn't hear her mention it, but certainly I can recall in the afternoon there was then a statement made. I don't think it was by her. I think it was apportioned to her spokesperson, but nevertheless – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - she then characterised this as being criminal activity, correct. MR MPOFU: Yes, so she changed her characterisation as a result of the discussion with Mr Ramaphosa, according to him at least. MR JAMIESON: I can't comment on whether it was according to him, but I don't think it was according to her bo because from what I can gather the DG never briefed her, so it couldn't have been from the DG. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please man, Mr Ramaphosa reported to you in an email – MR JAMIESON: Correct. MR MPOFU: - that Susan Shabangu, I think is what he called her –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	characterisation. MR MPOFU: Ja, that's what it says. Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But by implication she'd already changed it in her mind because she'd agreed with him it's not a labour dispute. MR JAMIESON: Yes, and possibly you can check the, when the statement was put out, you know, in relation to this email and see if that was correct. MR MPOFU: I'm not talking about statements, Mr Jamieson, and you know it. I'm saying to you as a result of that intervention she had agreed to change her characterisation. MR JAMIESON: That's what Cyril says. CHAIRPERSON: Where do we get that? I assume that you're right, but is that what – is there an email that says that? Because remember her evidence was slightly different. MR MPOFU: No, but that's why I said according to him. She's a woman, so I said according to him, Mr Ramaphosa, not according to her. Mr Ramaphosa says, I asked him specifically did she change her characterisation as a result of your meeting, and he said yes.

	Page 37535		Page 37537
1	characterisation.	1	Do you know about that?
2	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	2	MR JAMIESON: I didn't know that, but
3	CHAIRPERSON: The very idea of correcting	3	I've heard it subsequently –
4	the characterisation means there was a previous	4	MR MPOFU: You've heard it.
5	characterisation that was incorrect that she's now changed.	5	MR JAMIESON: From the Commission here.
6	So we can leave the semantic issue. The point is	6	MR MPOFU: Ja, I'm just –
7	established on the documents we have. [Microphone off,	7	MR JAMIESON: I didn't know it at the
8	inaudible]	8	time.
9	MR MPOFU: No, that's fine. That's fine,	9	MR MPOFU: Yes, ja. So that if that is
10	Chairperson. And one of the key issues about this	10	indeed so what you are doing, which was to – by the way,
11	characterisation point, the reason why it's important for	11	why did you want Mr Ramaphosa to be the one who speaks to
12	us is because it was the characterisation of the situation	12	these people?
13	there as criminal which must have led to the deaths of	13	MR JAMIESON: Well, because we had been
14	these people, and I'm asking you this because you are the	14	trying to speak to the Minister since Monday and –
15	person who was so obsessed with changing the	15	MR MPOFU: And you failed.
16	characterisation, as I'd put it yesterday. Do you	16	MR JAMIESON: And we failed completely,
17	understand that? You don't have to agree that it led to	17	so Roger had phoned Mr Ramaphosa and asked him if he could
18	the death of the people, but do you understand why it's	18	find a way to get her to agree to speak to him.
19	important for me?	19 20	MR MPOFU: Ja.
20	MR JAMIESON: I can't agree with your	20	MR JAMIESON: He didn't, I think his
21	characterisation I was obsessed, but I understand what	21 22	words on the phone were he didn't want Cyril to do his
22	you're trying to build, yes.	22	bidding, he wanted to do it himself, but he, we simply
23	MR MPOFU: Yes. Okay, well I thought we	23 24	couldn't get her to respond. MR MPOFU: Okay, so having given up then
24 25	will settle – Ms Pillay said you were insistent. I say you were emphatic, which I think we agree on. We do?	24 25	he wanted Cyril to do his bidding? He had to, he was
23	were emphatic, which i think we agree on. We up:	20	The wanted cyrin to do his blading. The flad to, the was
	Page 37536		Page 37538
1	MR JAMIESON: I can't remember, but if	1	forced into that situation.
2	you can – I thought –	2	MR JAMIESON: I, no, he kept pressurising
3	MR MPOFU: You, you said –	3	me –
4	MR JAMIESON: I thought you said you were	4	MR MPOFU: Ja, and you pressurised him.
5	going to –	5	MR JAMIESON: Well, I pressurised the DG
6	MR MPOFU: - you agree that's a good	6 7	and then I politely asked Cyril if he could also influence
7 8	emphasis by using – MR JAMIESON: - we were going to go	8	the Minister to talk to Mr – MR MPOFU: Yes, you pressurised the DG
9	higher. You said to me, you said Mrs Pillay had relayed	0 9	and then you pressurised Mr Ramaphosa.
7		9 10	MR JAMIESON: To talk to Roger, yes.
10	you're insistent and you were going to go one higher and		
10 11	you're insistent and you were going to go one higher and		0,00
11	say it was obsessive.	11	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay, so that's exactly,
11 12	say it was obsessive. MR MPOFU: Yes. I'm now saying you were	11 12	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay, so that's exactly, that's what I call the chain of pressure. You were
11 12 13	say it was obsessive. MR MPOFU: Yes. I'm now saying you were emphatic.	11 12 13	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay, so that's exactly, that's what I call the chain of pressure. You were pressurised by Roger. You pressurised the DG. You
11 12 13 14	say it was obsessive. MR MPOFU: Yes. I'm now saying you were emphatic. MR JAMIESON: I emphasised it, yes, I	11 12 13 14	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay, so that's exactly, that's what I call the chain of pressure. You were pressurised by Roger. You pressurised the DG. You pressurised Mr Ramaphosa. He pressurised the Minister. He
11 12 13 14 15	say it was obsessive. MR MPOFU: Yes. I'm now saying you were emphatic. MR JAMIESON: I emphasised it, yes, I would agree with that.	11 12 13 14 15	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay, so that's exactly, that's what I call the chain of pressure. You were pressurised by Roger. You pressurised the DG. You pressurised Mr Ramaphosa. He pressurised the Minister. He pressurised the police to kill people.
11 12 13 14 15 16	say it was obsessive. MR MPOFU: Yes. I'm now saying you were emphatic. MR JAMIESON: I emphasised it, yes, I would agree with that. MR MPOFU: You're emphatic, thank you.	 11 12 13 14 15 16 	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay, so that's exactly, that's what I call the chain of pressure. You were pressurised by Roger. You pressurised the DG. You pressurised Mr Ramaphosa. He pressurised the Minister. He pressurised the police to kill people. MR JAMIESON: No, I was only pressurising
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 	say it was obsessive. MR MPOFU: Yes. I'm now saying you were emphatic. MR JAMIESON: I emphasised it, yes, I would agree with that. MR MPOFU: You're emphatic, thank you. MR JAMIESON: Yes.	 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay, so that's exactly, that's what I call the chain of pressure. You were pressurised by Roger. You pressurised the DG. You pressurised Mr Ramaphosa. He pressurised the Minister. He pressurised the police to kill people. MR JAMIESON: No, I was only pressurising him to talk to Roger.
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	say it was obsessive. MR MPOFU: Yes. I'm now saying you were emphatic. MR JAMIESON: I emphasised it, yes, I would agree with that. MR MPOFU: You're emphatic, thank you. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Ja, now because you were	 11 12 13 14 15 16 	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay, so that's exactly, that's what I call the chain of pressure. You were pressurised by Roger. You pressurised the DG. You pressurised Mr Ramaphosa. He pressurised the Minister. He pressurised the police to kill people. MR JAMIESON: No, I was only pressurising him to talk to Roger. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, I'm
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	say it was obsessive. MR MPOFU: Yes. I'm now saying you were emphatic. MR JAMIESON: I emphasised it, yes, I would agree with that. MR MPOFU: You're emphatic, thank you. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Ja, now because you were emphatic on changing the characterisation, which according	 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay, so that's exactly, that's what I call the chain of pressure. You were pressurised by Roger. You pressurised the DG. You pressurised Mr Ramaphosa. He pressurised the Minister. He pressurised the police to kill people. MR JAMIESON: No, I was only pressurising him to talk to Roger. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, I'm saying to you that this pressure which you have admitted to
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	say it was obsessive. MR MPOFU: Yes. I'm now saying you were emphatic. MR JAMIESON: I emphasised it, yes, I would agree with that. MR MPOFU: You're emphatic, thank you. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Ja, now because you were emphatic on changing the characterisation, which according to this theory that I was talking about led to the deaths	 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay, so that's exactly, that's what I call the chain of pressure. You were pressurised by Roger. You pressurised the DG. You pressurised Mr Ramaphosa. He pressurised the Minister. He pressurised the police to kill people. MR JAMIESON: No, I was only pressurising him to talk to Roger. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, I'm saying to you that this pressure which you have admitted to that you exerted on Mr Ramaphosa, we now know that it was
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	say it was obsessive. MR MPOFU: Yes. I'm now saying you were emphatic. MR JAMIESON: I emphasised it, yes, I would agree with that. MR MPOFU: You're emphatic, thank you. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Ja, now because you were emphatic on changing the characterisation, which according to this theory that I was talking about led to the deaths of the people.	 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay, so that's exactly, that's what I call the chain of pressure. You were pressurised by Roger. You pressurised the DG. You pressurised Mr Ramaphosa. He pressurised the Minister. He pressurised the police to kill people. MR JAMIESON: No, I was only pressurising him to talk to Roger. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, I'm saying to you that this pressure which you have admitted to that you exerted on Mr Ramaphosa, we now know that it was transmitted to the politicians and it was intended to be
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	say it was obsessive. MR MPOFU: Yes. I'm now saying you were emphatic. MR JAMIESON: I emphasised it, yes, I would agree with that. MR MPOFU: You're emphatic, thank you. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Ja, now because you were emphatic on changing the characterisation, which according to this theory that I was talking about led to the deaths of the people. [14:38] Because the characterisation linked up with what	 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay, so that's exactly, that's what I call the chain of pressure. You were pressurised by Roger. You pressurised the DG. You pressurised Mr Ramaphosa. He pressurised the Minister. He pressurised the police to kill people. MR JAMIESON: No, I was only pressurising him to talk to Roger. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, I'm saying to you that this pressure which you have admitted to that you exerted on Mr Ramaphosa, we now know that it was transmitted to the politicians and it was intended to be transmitted to other politicians who may or may not have
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	say it was obsessive. MR MPOFU: Yes. I'm now saying you were emphatic. MR JAMIESON: I emphasised it, yes, I would agree with that. MR MPOFU: You're emphatic, thank you. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Ja, now because you were emphatic on changing the characterisation, which according to this theory that I was talking about led to the deaths of the people.	 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay, so that's exactly, that's what I call the chain of pressure. You were pressurised by Roger. You pressurised the DG. You pressurised Mr Ramaphosa. He pressurised the Minister. He pressurised the police to kill people. MR JAMIESON: No, I was only pressurising him to talk to Roger. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, I'm saying to you that this pressure which you have admitted to that you exerted on Mr Ramaphosa, we now know that it was transmitted to the politicians and it was intended to be
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	say it was obsessive. MR MPOFU: Yes. I'm now saying you were emphatic. MR JAMIESON: I emphasised it, yes, I would agree with that. MR MPOFU: You're emphatic, thank you. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Ja, now because you were emphatic on changing the characterisation, which according to this theory that I was talking about led to the deaths of the people. [14:38] Because the characterisation linked up with what the police, what the Minister, incidentally the person that	 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay, so that's exactly, that's what I call the chain of pressure. You were pressurised by Roger. You pressurised the DG. You pressurised Mr Ramaphosa. He pressurised the Minister. He pressurised the police to kill people. MR JAMIESON: No, I was only pressurising him to talk to Roger. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, I'm saying to you that this pressure which you have admitted to that you exerted on Mr Ramaphosa, we now know that it was transmitted to the politicians and it was intended to be transmitted to other politicians who may or may not have been available. I'm saying that same pressure – you heard

1	Page 37539 MR MPOFU: Ja, was then referred to in	1	Page 37541
1		1	pressure somehow leads to a situation where 34 people are
2	the context of it being causally linked to having to kill this thing immediately, so to speak. That's the same	2 3	killed immediately, would you agree that that is an
3	pressure that was transmitted along a chain that included	3 4	untoward exercise of pressure? MR JAMIESON: I think the way you've
4 5	you. Do you understand that?	4 5	described it absolutely, as in similar to what you were
6	MR JAMIESON: I heard what you said to	6	saying this morning to the National Police Commissioner,
7	her this morning and I understood that completely.	0 7	it's a, it's not the right use of political pressure.
8	MR MPOFU: Yes. Ja.	, 8	MR MPOFU: Correct.
9	MR JAMIESON: The pressure I'm talking	9	MR MFOFO. CONECT. MR JAMIESON: Yes.
10	about is the first pressure that started from Sunday with	10	MR MPOFU: And it's against the law.
11	the email to the DG and my subsequent conversation was to	11	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
12	please get police on site –	12	MR MPOFU: Thank you. Alright, now the
13	MR MPOFU: Okay, ja –	13	next issue then is you would also agree of course that as
14	MR JAMIESON: - because there's a violent	14	far as we are talking about the – or let me put it this
15	situation. That had actually been accomplished by the time	15	way. Ms Pillay said to you, and I support her in this,
16	this email was written per bullet 2, so that was passé –	16	that one of the reasons why you were – she didn't use my
17	MR MPOFU: Okay –	17	word "obsessed" – why you were –
18	MR JAMIESON: - because as I said the	18	MR JAMIESON: Insistent.
19	only other pressure that I wanted from Mr Ramaphosa was	19	MR MPOFU: - insistent, yes –
20	please can you get this Minister to talk to our chairman	20	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
21	because he's angry and he's now putting pressure on me.	21	MR MPOFU: - on this issue was because
22	MR MPOFU: Yes, and why was Mr Ramaphosa	22	you, it was a diversionary tactic so that the public
23	in your estimation the best transmitter of that pressure?	23	attention, so it was a kind of spin thing, the public
24	MR JAMIESON: Because per his previous	24	attention and the "pressure" should be on the police and
25	emails he had already been talking to the Minister and he	25	the government as opposed to where it belongs, which is
	Page 37540		Page 37542
1	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to	1	management which should talk to the people. What do you
2	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her.	2	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that?
2 3	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr	2 3	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two
2 3 4	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so	2 3 4	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was
2 3 4 5	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him?	2 3 4 5	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It
2 3 4 5 6	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on	2 3 4 5 6	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought
2 3 4 5 6 7	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her.	2 3 4 5 6 7	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his membership of the ANC, his membership of the NEC –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no insistence in it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no insistence in it. In terms of the spin, or what you said PR, I mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his membership of the ANC, his membership of the NEC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR JAMIESON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no insistence in it. In terms of the spin, or what you said PR, I mean I'm just not in that line of work. So these emails were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his membership of the ANC, his membership of the NEC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: - is a political organisation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no insistence in it. In terms of the spin, or what you said PR, I mean I'm just not in that line of work. So these emails were all internal. The PR stuff is handled elsewhere. Tanya,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his membership of the ANC, his membership of the NEC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: - is a political organisation. MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no insistence in it. In terms of the spin, or what you said PR, I mean I'm just not in that line of work. So these emails were all internal. The PR stuff is handled elsewhere. Tanya, Tanya is quite hands-on –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his membership of the ANC, his membership of the NEC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: - is a political organisation. MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no insistence in it. In terms of the spin, or what you said PR, I mean I'm just not in that line of work. So these emails were all internal. The PR stuff is handled elsewhere. Tanya, Tanya is quite hands-on –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his membership of the ANC, his membership of the NEC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: - is a political organisation. MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Is that because of his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no insistence in it. In terms of the spin, or what you said PR, I mean I'm just not in that line of work. So these emails were all internal. The PR stuff is handled elsewhere. Tanya, Tanya is quite hands-on – MR MPOFU: Tanya, and you had no concern
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his membership of the ANC, his membership of the NEC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: - is a political organisation. MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Is that because of his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no insistence in it. In terms of the spin, or what you said PR, I mean I'm just not in that line of work. So these emails were all internal. The PR stuff is handled elsewhere. Tanya, Tanya is quite hands-on – MR MPOFU: Tanya, and you had no concern about the PR issue yourself?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his membership of the ANC, his membership of the NEC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: - is a political organisation. MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Is that because of his political organisation? MR JAMIESON: I would say so, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no insistence in it. In terms of the spin, or what you said PR, I mean I'm just not in that line of work. So these emails were all internal. The PR stuff is handled elsewhere. Tanya, Tanya is quite hands-on – MR MPOFU: Tanya, and you had no concern about the PR issue yourself? MR JAMIESON: Well, she had circulated to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his membership of the ANC, his membership of the NEC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: - is a political organisation. MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Is that because of his political organisation? MR JAMIESON: I would say so, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, because of his position	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no insistence in it. In terms of the spin, or what you said PR, I mean I'm just not in that line of work. So these emails were all internal. The PR stuff is handled elsewhere. Tanya, Tanya is quite hands-on – MR MPOFU: Tanya, and you had no concern about the PR issue yourself? MR JAMIESON: Well, she had circulated to me emails asking me to mark up press announcements that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his membership of the ANC, his membership of the NEC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: - is a political organisation. MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Is that because of his political organisation? MR JAMIESON: I would say so, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, because of his position in the ANC. MR JAMIESON: Yes. Clearly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no insistence in it. In terms of the spin, or what you said PR, I mean I'm just not in that line of work. So these emails were all internal. The PR stuff is handled elsewhere. Tanya, Tanya is quite hands-on – MR MPOFU: Tanya, and you had no concern about the PR issue yourself? MR JAMIESON: Well, she had circulated to me emails asking me to mark up press announcements that were going out on, I think they went out on a Sunday, there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his membership of the ANC, his membership of the NEC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: - is a political organisation. MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Is that because of his political organisation? MR JAMIESON: I would say so, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, because of his position in the ANC. MR JAMIESON: Yes. Clearly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no insistence in it. In terms of the spin, or what you said PR, I mean I'm just not in that line of work. So these emails were all internal. The PR stuff is handled elsewhere. Tanya, Tanya is quite hands-on – MR MPOFU: Tanya, and you had no concern about the PR issue yourself? MR JAMIESON: Well, she had circulated to me emails asking me to mark up press announcements that were going out on, I think they went out on a Sunday, there was another one that went out on the Tuesday, and I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his membership of the ANC, his membership of the NEC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR MPOFU: - is a political organisation. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Is that because of his political organisation? MR JAMIESON: I would say so, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, because of his position in the ANC. MR JAMIESON: Yes. Clearly. MR MPOFU: Yes, clearly, yes. Now if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no insistence in it. In terms of the spin, or what you said PR, I mean I'm just not in that line of work. So these emails were all internal. The PR stuff is handled elsewhere. Tanya, Tanya is quite hands-on – MR MPOFU: Tanya, and you had no concern about the PR issue yourself? MR JAMIESON: Well, she had circulated to me emails asking me to mark up press announcements that were going out on, I think they went out on a Sunday, there was another one that went out on the Tuesday, and I was asked to add to them in any way I could, which I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 was the only one that was, because she wasn't talking to Roger and Roger wasn't talking to her. MR MPOFU: Yes, but why would Mr Ramaphosa succeed where Roger had failed? What was so special about him? MR JAMIESON: Well, he clearly had her on speed dial and had access to her. MR MPOFU: Because of his political position? MR JAMIESON: I think because of his membership of the ANC, his membership of the NEC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR MPOFU: Ja, the ANC – MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: - is a political organisation. MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes. MR MPOFU: Is that because of his political organisation? MR JAMIESON: I would say so, yes. MR MPOFU: Yes, because of his position in the ANC. MR MPOFU: Yes, clearly. MR MPOFU: Yes, clearly. MR MPOFU: Yes, clearly, yes. Now if you're going to use political pressure because of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	management which should talk to the people. What do you say to that? MR JAMIESON: I think I'd answer in two ways. Coming back to the issue of insistence, that was another thing I looked up in the dictionary last night. It says demand forcefully, not accepting refusal. I thought that was a better description of the strikers' demands personally. It wasn't a description of my behaviour because I'd merely had a conversation with the DG and put it to him and he had agreed with me. There was no insistence in it. In terms of the spin, or what you said PR, I mean I'm just not in that line of work. So these emails were all internal. The PR stuff is handled elsewhere. Tanya, Tanya is quite hands-on – MR MPOFU: Tanya, and you had no concern about the PR issue yourself? MR JAMIESON: Well, she had circulated to me emails asking me to mark up press announcements that were going out on, I think they went out on a Sunday, there was another one that went out on the Tuesday, and I was asked to add to them in any way I could, which I did. MR MPOFU: Ja, well the PR issue, any of

1			
	Page 37543		Page 3754
1	issue, it's actually a regulatory issue. We have to make	1	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
2	announcements, so it's a regulatory issue that we have to	2	MR MPOFU: Ja, that's the point. So
3	make continuous announcements as a public company.	3	public relations was part of what you were concerned with
4	MR MPOFU: Maybe you didn't hear my	4	when you were analysing these issues, correct?
5	question. Was the PR issue any of your concern?	5	MR JAMIESON: It was just, I mean this
6	MR JAMIESON: Not specifically, not	6	was my view. It's not public relations spin. A public
7	directly, no.	7	relations spin comes when someone turns that into an
8	MR MPOFU: In the letter that you wrote,	8	announce or release. This, as I said this was, these were
9	or the email you wrote on the 15th of August 2012, page 40 -	9	notes prepared for Simon and Roger. They were a collectio
10	MR JAMIESON: Ja, the notes for Simon?	10	of not just my views, but you'll see throughout everybody
11	MR MPOFU: Yes.	11	else's. They're the notes I kept from the, chairing the
12	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	12	meetings, so –
13	MR MPOFU: Let's go to page 41. You say	13	MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, please, what you
14	there, I'm reading towards the end, Chairperson, under the	14	are saying here is that there is negative press, that this
15	heading "IR" –	15	is Lonmin's problem, it's their creation and you say that
16	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]	16	this is negative, but you say your view is that those
17	IR?	17	comments are largely drowned out by the other messaging
18	MR MPOFU: Mmmm. "Tanya," that you were	18	which is union rivalry, it's civil unrest, it's bad for
19	talking about –	19	South Africa.
20	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	20	MR JAMIESON: Correct.
21	MR MPOFU: You say, "Tanya," –	21	MR MPOFU: And you're saying that that is
22	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you,	22	not public relations, those are not public relations
23	that's page 41.	23	issues –
24	MR MPOFU: 41, Chairperson, yes. You	24	MR JAMIESON: You were saying –
25	say, "Tanya, you are doing a sterling job in London. Lots	25	MR MPOFU: - perception management
1	Page 37544	1	Page 3754
1	of incoming [I suppose] from shareholders, funds and	1	issues? MR JAMIESON: You were saying it was
2	analysts. Questioning has changed from security situation	2	MR JAMIESON: You were saying it was
2	to use dustion leaves and financial and excurrents and beau		
3	to production losses and financial and covenants and how	3	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. This
4	. company will be financed going forward."	3 4	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. This is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for
4 5	company will be financed going forward." MR JAMIESON: Yes.	3 4 5	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. This is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing.
4 5 6	company will be financed going forward." MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: You remember that?	3 4 5 6	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. This is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public
4 5	company will be financed going forward." MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: You remember that? MR JAMIESON: Yes.	3 4 5 6 7	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. This is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations?
4 5 6	company will be financed going forward." MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: You remember that? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And then you said again, you	3 4 5 6	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. This is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes.
4 5 6 7	company will be financed going forward."MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:You remember that?MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:And then you said again, yousay, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is too	3 4 5 6 7	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. This is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your
4 5 6 7 8	company will be financed going forward." MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: You remember that? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And then you said again, you	3 4 5 6 7 8	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. This is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes.
4 5 7 8 9	company will be financed going forward."MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:You remember that?MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:And then you said again, yousay, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is too	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. This is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your
4 5 7 8 9 10	company will be financed going forward." MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: You remember that? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And then you said again, you say, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is too negative re. Lonmin management / it's our problem I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. This is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your publicity department to send out communiqués on the union rivalry and civil unrest, or was that a story which was being picked up by the media houses without the benefit –
4 5 7 8 9	company will be financed going forward." MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: You remember that? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And then you said again, you say, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is too negative re. Lonmin management / it's our problem I suppose, our problem or creation and this is negative for	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. The is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your publicity department to send out communiqués on the union rivalry and civil unrest, or was that a story which was
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	company will be financed going forward."MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:You remember that?MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:And then you said again, yousay, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is toonegative re.Lonmin management / it's our problem Isuppose, our problem or creation and this is negative formorale and perhaps a bit of half-empty/half [whatever]	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. The is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your publicity department to send out communiqués on the union rivalry and civil unrest, or was that a story which was being picked up by the media houses without the benefit –
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	company will be financed going forward." MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: You remember that? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And then you said again, you say, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is too negative re. Lonmin management / it's our problem I suppose, our problem or creation and this is negative for morale and perhaps a bit of half-empty/half [whatever] syndrome. My view is these comments are largely drowned	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. The is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your publicity department to send out communiqués on the union rivalry and civil unrest, or was that a story which was being picked up by the media houses without the benefit – if that's the right word – of communiqués from your
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	company will be financed going forward."MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:You remember that?MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:And then you said again, yousay, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is toonegative re.Lonmin management / it's our problem Isuppose, our problem or creation and this is negative formorale and perhaps a bit of half-empty/half [whatever]syndrome.My view is these comments are largely drownedout by the union rivalry/civil unrest, bad for South Africa	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. The is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your publicity department to send out communiqués on the union rivalry and civil unrest, or was that a story which was being picked up by the media houses without the benefit – if that's the right word – of communiqués from your publicity section?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	company will be financed going forward." MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: You remember that? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And then you said again, you say, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is too negative re. Lonmin management / it's our problem I suppose, our problem or creation and this is negative for morale and perhaps a bit of half-empty/half [whatever] syndrome. My view is these comments are largely drowned out by the union rivalry/civil unrest, bad for South Africa and SA platinum industry angles."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. The is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your publicity department to send out communiqués on the union rivalry and civil unrest, or was that a story which was being picked up by the media houses without the benefit – if that's the right word – of communiqués from your publicity section? MR JAMIESON: Well, as you see right in
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:You remember that?MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:Yes.MR MPOFU:And then you said again, yousay, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is toonegative re.Lonmin management / it's our problem Isuppose, our problem or creation and this is negative formorale and perhaps a bit of half-empty/half [whatever]syndrome.My view is these comments are largely drownedout by the union rivalry/civil unrest, bad for South Africaand SA platinum industry angles."MR JAMIESON:Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. This is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your publicity department to send out communiqués on the union rivalry and civil unrest, or was that a story which was being picked up by the media houses without the benefit – if that's the right word – of communiqués from your publicity section? MR JAMIESON: Well, as you see right in the first sentence under IR we're all over the radio, TV
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	company will be financed going forward."MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:You remember that?MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:And then you said again, yousay, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is toonegative re.Lonmin management / it's our problem Isuppose, our problem or creation and this is negative formorale and perhaps a bit of half-empty/half [whatever]syndrome.My view is these comments are largely drownedout by the union rivalry/civil unrest, bad for South Africaand SA platinum industry angles."MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:That spin, what you're talking	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. This is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your publicity department to send out communiqués on the union rivalry and civil unrest, or was that a story which was being picked up by the media houses without the benefit – if that's the right word – of communiqués from your publicity section? MR JAMIESON: Well, as you see right in the first sentence under IR we're all over the radio, TV news and wires in SA, mostly first headline, and at that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:You remember that?MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:And then you said again, yousay, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is toonegative re.Lonmin management / it's our problem Isuppose, our problem or creation and this is negative formorale and perhaps a bit of half-empty/half [whatever]syndrome.My view is these comments are largely drownedout by the union rivalry/civil unrest, bad for South Africaand SA platinum industry angles."MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:That spin, what you're talkingabout there, angles about how the thing is portrayed and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. The is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your publicity department to send out communiqués on the union rivalry and civil unrest, or was that a story which was being picked up by the media houses without the benefit – if that's the right word – of communiqués from your publicity section? MR JAMIESON: Well, as you see right in the first sentence under IR we're all over the radio, TV news and wires in SA, mostly first headline, and at that time what they were saying was this is civil unrest, the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:You remember that?MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:And then you said again, yousay, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is toonegative re.Lonmin management / it's our problem Isuppose, our problem or creation and this is negative formorale and perhaps a bit of half-empty/half [whatever]syndrome.My view is these comments are largely drownedout by the union rivalry/civil unrest, bad for South Africaand SA platinum industry angles."MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:That spin, what you're talkingabout there, angles about how the thing is portrayed andthat is the PR issue, correct?No, they're not spin.	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. The is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your publicity department to send out communiqués on the union rivalry and civil unrest, or was that a story which was being picked up by the media houses without the benefit – if that's the right word – of communiqués from your publicity section? MR JAMIESON: Well, as you see right in the first sentence under IR we're all over the radio, TV news and wires in SA, mostly first headline, and at that time what they were saying was this is civil unrest, the police must intervene, it's, you know, it's a public disgrace. Those were evidence, self-evident headlines.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	.company will be financed going forward."MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:You remember that?MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:And then you said again, yousay, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is toonegative re.Lonmin management / it's our problem Isuppose, our problem or creation and this is negative formorale and perhaps a bit of half-empty/half [whatever]syndrome.My view is these comments are largely drownedout by the union rivalry/civil unrest, bad for South Africaand SA platinum industry angles."MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:That spin, what you're talkingabout there, angles about how the thing is portrayed andthat is the PR issue, correct?MR JAMIESON:No, they're not spin.They're exactly as I wrote there, they're self-evident –	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. The is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your publicity department to send out communiqués on the union rivalry and civil unrest, or was that a story which was being picked up by the media houses without the benefit – if that's the right word – of communiqués from your publicity section? MR JAMIESON: Well, as you see right in the first sentence under IR we're all over the radio, TV news and wires in SA, mostly first headline, and at that time what they were saying was this is civil unrest, the police must intervene, it's, you know, it's a public disgrace. Those were evidence, self-evident headlines. CHAIRPERSON: What I'm trying to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	.company will be financed going forward."MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:You remember that?MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:And then you said again, yousay, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is toonegative re.Lonmin management / it's our problem Isuppose, our problem or creation and this is negative formorale and perhaps a bit of half-empty/half [whatever]syndrome.My view is these comments are largely drownedout by the union rivalry/civil unrest, bad for South Africaand SA platinum industry angles."MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:That spin, what you're talkingabout there, angles about how the thing is portrayed andthat is the PR issue, correct?MR JAMIESON:No, they're not spin.They're exactly as I wrote there, they're self-evident –MR MPOFU:No, but these are –	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. The is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your publicity department to send out communiqués on the union rivalry and civil unrest, or was that a story which was being picked up by the media houses without the benefit – if that's the right word – of communiqués from your publicity section? MR JAMIESON: Well, as you see right in the first sentence under IR we're all over the radio, TV news and wires in SA, mostly first headline, and at that time what they were saying was this is civil unrest, the police must intervene, it's, you know, it's a public disgrace. Those were evidence, self-evident headlines. CHAIRPERSON: What I'm trying to ascertain, it would only be a sort of PR matter of you then
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	company will be financed going forward." MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: You remember that? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And then you said again, you say, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is too negative re. Lonmin management / it's our problem I suppose, our problem or creation and this is negative for morale and perhaps a bit of half-empty/half [whatever] syndrome. My view is these comments are largely drowned out by the union rivalry/civil unrest, bad for South Africa and SA platinum industry angles." MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: That spin, what you're talking about there, angles about how the thing is portrayed and that is the PR issue, correct? MR JAMIESON: No, they're not spin. They're exactly as I wrote there, they're self-evident – MR MPOFU: No, but these are – MR JAMIESON: So Mark Munroe –	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. This is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your publicity department to send out communiqués on the union rivalry and civil unrest, or was that a story which was being picked up by the media houses without the benefit – if that's the right word – of communiqués from your publicity section? MR JAMIESON: Well, as you see right in the first sentence under IR we're all over the radio, TV news and wires in SA, mostly first headline, and at that time what they were saying was this is civil unrest, the police must intervene, it's, you know, it's a public disgrace. Those were evidence, self-evident headlines. CHAIRPERSON: What I'm trying to ascertain, it would only be a sort of PR matter of you then had set about – you being Lonmin –
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	.company will be financed going forward."MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:You remember that?MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:And then you said again, yousay, "Feeling from Mark and company that the press is toonegative re.Lonmin management / it's our problem Isuppose, our problem or creation and this is negative formorale and perhaps a bit of half-empty/half [whatever]syndrome.My view is these comments are largely drownedout by the union rivalry/civil unrest, bad for South Africaand SA platinum industry angles."MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR MPOFU:That spin, what you're talkingabout there, angles about how the thing is portrayed andthat is the PR issue, correct?MR JAMIESON:No, they're not spin.They're exactly as I wrote there, they're self-evident –MR MPOFU:No, but these are –	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	spin. Spin comes when someone announces something. This is not an announcement, this is a view and a note for briefing. MR MPOFU: Does it concern public relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Was it necessary for your publicity department to send out communiqués on the union rivalry and civil unrest, or was that a story which was being picked up by the media houses without the benefit – if that's the right word – of communiqués from your publicity section? MR JAMIESON: Well, as you see right in the first sentence under IR we're all over the radio, TV news and wires in SA, mostly first headline, and at that time what they were saying was this is civil unrest, the police must intervene, it's, you know, it's a public disgrace. Those were evidence, self-evident headlines. CHAIRPERSON: What I'm trying to ascertain, it would only be a sort of PR matter of you then

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

÷			
1	Page 37547	1	Page 3754
1	those reports into the newspapers –	1	"store man release"?
2	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	2	MR JAMIESON: It's a draft, so she would
3	CHAIRPERSON: - and on the radio and TV.	3	put a draft out. The previous releases we had put out on
4	If these are stories that the press and media people were	4	Sunday and Tuesday were really concerned with the violence
5	picking up themselves then it's not as a result of any PR	5	of the situation, so they were acknowledging that and what
6	efforts by your side?	6	we were trying to do about it. That had been – we hadn't
7	MR JAMIESON: Well, Tanya put out the two	7	mentioned production, but she had received phone calls and
8	press releases I'm aware of, one on the Sunday, one on the	8	the phone calls had changed from that, that's what I said.
9	Tuesday, by this time and when they – oh no, sorry, that	9	So she then had to, we then had to think about the next
10	was internal, the ones yesterday, but they were, they're	10	release we put out and I think there was also an email
11	self-evident that they're just factual –	11	commenting from Thandeka Ncube on this and Tanya had
12	CHAIRPERSON: I was going to ask you	12	responded, saying we can't dodge this issue. Eventually
13	about that. Perhaps I can do it now, it may safe time	13	we're going to have to say what production is lost. So
14	later. It appears from the first reference of Tanya that	14	what I suggested to her is why don't you put a, why don't
15	there was a problem in London. The people in London were	15	you get a draft going because you have to put into that
16	concerned about loss of production, loss of profits, loss	16	certain, you know, numbers, ounces, tonnes, what we've los
17	of dividends and so on. So there was lots of incoming	17	and also when, what we've lost, how long will it take us to
18	queries or emails –	18	get back to where we were. There's a lot to it. So when
19	MR JAMIESON: To Tanya, yes.	19	she started that it would then give people, the people who
20	CHAIRPERSON: - to her, yes, from	20	were dealing with it, technical people at the mine for
21	shareholders, funds and analysts.	21	example, time in which to get those numbers together
22	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	22	because you know, it takes a few days to do that.
23	CHAIRPERSON: They were being worried	23	CHAIRPERSON: What did you mean though by
24	about the effect on the profitability of the company and	24	the phrase "the whole package of issues"?
25	the value of the share and all that kind of thing. Is that	25	MR JAMIESON: The whole package of issues
			D
1	Page 37548 correct?	1	Page 3755 would be tonnes, ounces, the financial considerations, the
2	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	2	covenant impacts, etcetera, and Roger had also sent around
3	CHAIRPERSON: And she was dealing with	3	an email, and I think you saw that, to the board. It's in
4	that. The questioning has changed, that's the questioning	4	these emails, and he had said, also he echoed what Thandek
5	she received has changed from a security situation to		
6		5	says, we're not going to be able to dodge this, we're going
		5 6	says, we're not going to be able to dodge this, we're going to have to say something.
7	production losses –		says, we're not going to be able to dodge this, we're going to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release -
7 8	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes.	6	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release -
	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and	6 7	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was
8 9	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants.	6 7 8	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release -
8 9 10	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes.	6 7 8 9	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went
8 9 10 11	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: The covenant relates to	6 7 8 9 10 11	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went out, Mr Chairman, because events overtook us and it was a
8 9 10 11 12	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: The covenant relates to your debts and financial situation –	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went out, Mr Chairman, because events overtook us and it was a different ballgame.
8 9 10 11 12 13	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: The covenant relates to your debts and financial situation – MR JAMIESON: Financial situation, ja.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went out, Mr Chairman, because events overtook us and it was a different ballgame. MR MPOFU: Yes, and when you asked her to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: The covenant relates to your debts and financial situation – MR JAMIESON: Financial situation, ja. CHAIRPERSON: And how company will be	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went out, Mr Chairman, because events overtook us and it was a different ballgame. MR MPOFU: Yes, and when you asked her to do the press release, that was public relations?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: The covenant relates to your debts and financial situation – MR JAMIESON: Financial situation, ja. CHAIRPERSON: And how company will be financed going forward.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went out, Mr Chairman, because events overtook us and it was a different ballgame. MR MPOFU: Yes, and when you asked her to do the press release, that was public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: The covenant relates to your debts and financial situation – MR JAMIESON: Financial situation, ja. CHAIRPERSON: And how company will be financed going forward. MR JAMIESON: Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went out, Mr Chairman, because events overtook us and it was a different ballgame. MR MPOFU: Yes, and when you asked her to do the press release, that was public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. MR MPOFU: So it's not public relations?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: The covenant relates to your debts and financial situation – MR JAMIESON: Financial situation, ja. CHAIRPERSON: And how company will be financed going forward. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Sight, then we skip out the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went out, Mr Chairman, because events overtook us and it was a different ballgame. MR MPOFU: Yes, and when you asked her to do the press release, that was public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. MR MPOFU: So it's not public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. We, I
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: The covenant relates to your debts and financial situation – MR JAMIESON: Financial situation, ja. CHAIRPERSON: And how company will be financed going forward. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Right, then we skip out the next paragraph. The next retrence to Tanya is "Ask Tanya	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went out, Mr Chairman, because events overtook us and it was a different ballgame. MR MPOFU: Yes, and when you asked her to do the press release, that was public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. MR MPOFU: So it's not public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. We, I don't, we didn't have a public relations department at that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: The covenant relates to your debts and financial situation – MR JAMIESON: Financial situation, ja. CHAIRPERSON: And how company will be financed going forward. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Right, then we skip out the next paragraph. The next reference to Tanya is "Ask Tanya to circulate store man release internally to ready	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went out, Mr Chairman, because events overtook us and it was a different ballgame. MR MPOFU: Yes, and when you asked her to do the press release, that was public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. MR MPOFU: So it's not public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. We, I don't, we didn't have a public relations department at that time, apart from communications at the mine.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: The covenant relates to your debts and financial situation – MR JAMIESON: Financial situation, ja. CHAIRPERSON: And how company will be financed going forward. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Right, then we skip out the next paragraph. The next reference to Tanya is "Ask Tanya to circulate store man release internally to ready ourselves for the inevitable. How much production have we	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went out, Mr Chairman, because events overtook us and it was a different ballgame. MR MPOFU: Yes, and when you asked her to do the press release, that was public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. MR MPOFU: So it's not public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. We, I don't, we didn't have a public relations department at that time, apart from communications at the mine. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me put it this way.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: The covenant relates to your debts and financial situation – MR JAMIESON: Financial situation, ja. CHAIRPERSON: And how company will be financed going forward. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Right, then we skip out the next paragraph. The next reference to Tanya is "Ask Tanya to circulate store man release internally to ready ourselves for the inevitable. How much production have we lost or are losing announcement, but incorporate the whole	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went out, Mr Chairman, because events overtook us and it was a different ballgame. MR MPOFU: Yes, and when you asked her to do the press release, that was public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. MR MPOFU: So it's not public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. We, I don't, we didn't have a public relations department at that time, apart from communications at the mine. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me put it this way. Your concern about what Minister Shabangu had said, was it
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: The covenant relates to your debts and financial situation – MR JAMIESON: Financial situation, ja. CHAIRPERSON: Financial situation, ja. CHAIRPERSON: And how company will be financed going forward. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Right, then we skip out the next paragraph. The next reference to Tanya is "Ask Tanya to circulate store man release internally to ready ourselves for the inevitable. How much production have we lost or are losing announcement, but incorporate the whole package of issues in this, news to be finalised by	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went out, Mr Chairman, because events overtook us and it was a different ballgame. MR MPOFU: Yes, and when you asked her to do the press release, that was public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. MR MPOFU: So it's not public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. We, I don't, we didn't have a public relations department at that time, apart from communications at the mine. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me put it this way. Your concern about what Minister Shabangu had said, was it because she was thinking it or was it because she had said
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: The covenant relates to your debts and financial situation – MR JAMIESON: Financial situation, ja. CHAIRPERSON: And how company will be financed going forward. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Right, then we skip out the next paragraph. The next reference to Tanya is "Ask Tanya to circulate store man release internally to ready ourselves for the inevitable. How much production have we lost or are losing announcement, but incorporate the whole package of issues in this, needs to be finalised by Friday."	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went out, Mr Chairman, because events overtook us and it was a different ballgame. MR MPOFU: Yes, and when you asked her to do the press release, that was public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. MR MPOFU: So it's not public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. We, I don't, we didn't have a public relations department at that time, apart from communications at the mine. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me put it this way. Your concern about what Minister Shabangu had said, was it because she was thinking it or was it because she had said it on radio?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	production losses – MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and financials and covenants. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: The covenant relates to your debts and financial situation – MR JAMIESON: Financial situation, ja. CHAIRPERSON: Financial situation, ja. CHAIRPERSON: And how company will be financed going forward. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Right, then we skip out the next paragraph. The next reference to Tanya is "Ask Tanya to circulate store man release internally to ready ourselves for the inevitable. How much production have we lost or are losing announcement, but incorporate the whole package of issues in this, news to be finalised by	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to have to say something. CHAIRPERSON: Do we have the release - the press release that had to be finalised by Friday, was it issued on Friday or issued by Saturday or when? MR JAMIESON: I don't think it ever went out, Mr Chairman, because events overtook us and it was a different ballgame. MR MPOFU: Yes, and when you asked her to do the press release, that was public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. MR MPOFU: So it's not public relations? MR JAMIESON: No, it's regulatory. We, I don't, we didn't have a public relations department at that time, apart from communications at the mine. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me put it this way. Your concern about what Minister Shabangu had said, was it because she was thinking it or was it because she had said

	Page 37551		Page 3755
1	MR JAMIESON: Did she?	1	were saying it's a police issue, and superimposing that, or
2	MR MPOFU: Ja, we've had a long	2	contrasting that with what you call company criticism which
3	discussion about what Ms Shabangu had said on SAfm. I'm	3	had to be dragged out?
4	putting it to you that your concern was the fact that she	4	MR JAMIESON: Ja, well so –
5	had said it on radio, so it was the public impact of what	5	MR MPOFU: Isn't it obvious?
6	she had said, wasn't it?	6	MR JAMIESON: You've asked me what I
7	MR JAMIESON: My concern was that she	7	meant to convey, correct? So what I meant to convey was i
8	hadn't denounced the violence. That's –	8	you look at that string of emails, Mark in particular was
9	MR MPOFU: In public.	9	concerned about the morale at the mine. He thought it was
10	MR JAMIESON: On that radio that I	10	negative news. So what I was doing was responding to him
11	heard –	11	saying hey Mark, you know, don't be down. So if you're
12	MR MPOFU: Yes.	12	looking at what I sought to convey, you know, don't have
13	MR JAMIESON: - as I was driving in to	13	the glass half full, half empty. So Mick Davis has phoned
14	work, but I do know that later that afternoon her spokesman	14	us, you can see from the string of emails here that many
15	released – we talked about that earlier. Your view was her	15	other shareholders were conveying their support for us.
16	characterisation had been changed –	16	That was evident then –
17	MR MPOFU: Okay, let's go to page 31.	17	MR MPOFU: Forget Mick Davis –
18	You say there, "Mick Davis, our largest shareholder, told	18	MR JAMIESON: - and look, you know, I was
19	me we have his support and offered help and assistance if	19	calling his attention to two things that I'd seen on the
20	needed. ETV news tonight quite even to positive in	20	TV –
21	characterising this as a police issue."	21	MR MPOFU: Sure.
22	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	22	MR JAMIESON: - where the tide seems to
23	MR MPOFU: "Even NUM said this and had to	23	be swinging between –
24	have company criticism dragged out of them." So do you see	24	MR MPOFU: Which way?
25	here you are transposing the idea of this thing being spun	25	MR JAMIESON: Well, between blaming the
	5 1 5 5 5 1		
	Page 37552		Page 37554
1	as a police issue as against, or what you call company	1	company to saying this is now a criminal issue.
2	criticism? That's what Ms Pillay said, it's a diversionary	2	MR MPOFU: Thank you.
3	issue to make sure that the world out there concentrate on	3	MR JAMIESON: That was all I was saying
4	this police issue and let you get away with the idea of,	4	to him.
5	the criticism of not engaging the strikers. That's self-	5	MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you very much.
6	evident, Mr Jamieson.	6	Okay, that's the whole point that I've been trying to
7	MR JAMIESON: No, I don't –	7	extract from you.
8	MR MPOFU: Well, then how do you explain	8	[14:58] But why, going back to the issue of political
9	that?	9	pressure seeing that you were the torch bearer of this
10	MR JAMIESON: Which part of it? The Mick	10	particular campaign. Why was it necessary for Lonmin to be
11	Davis –	11	liaising with the ANC?
12	MR MPOFU: All of it.	12	MR JAMIESON: I'm not aware that – who in
13	MR JAMIESON: Mick Davis –	13	Lonmin was liaising with the ANC?
14	MR MPOFU: The part that says "ETV news	14	MR MPOFU: I should be asking you.
15	is quite, even to positive in characterising this as police	15	MR JAMIESON: Then my answer is I don't
16	issue. Even NUM said this and had to have company	16	know.
17	criticism dragged out of them."	17	MR MPOFU: Go to page 106.
18	MR JAMIESON: Yes, that's, I watched both	18	CHAIRPERSON: While we're doing that can
19	of those on the TV –	19	I ask you a question? It's related to something you said
20	MR MPOFU: I know that.	20	a minute ago. I don't quite understand. Mr Mpofu was
	MR JAMIESON: - and that's the reflection	21	suggesting to you that what was happening with PR,
21			summarising rather broadly and you set no that was
- 72	that I had of them. That's a description I had of them	22	
22	that I had of them. That's a description I had of them. MR MPOFU: No. Mr Jamieson, please man.	22 23	
22 23	MR MPOFU: No, Mr Jamieson, please man,	23	regulatory. Now what exactly did you mean by regulatory?
21 22 23 24 25	h II printing I family		

	Page 37555		Page 37557
1	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	1	MR MPOFU: Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON: Because Lonmin was a	2	[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
3	company listed on the London Stock Exchange or were you	3	[15:24] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Mr
4	referring to something else?	4	Jamieson, you're still under oath.
5	MR JAMIESON: And SENS on the	5	ALBERT JAMIESON: [s.u.o.]
6	Johannesburg Stock Exchange, we're dual listed.	6	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu.
7	CHAIRPERSON: Now I notice that from page	7	MR JAMIESON: Mr Chairperson, could I
8	32 there's a reference to an announcement, it's sent by	8	please clarify something from earlier?
9	Verity Portway on behalf of Tanya Chikansa on the 14th,	9	CHAIRPERSON: I don't see why not.
10	that's a Tuesday at 11:42.	10	MR JAMIESON: When I was being questioned
11	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	11	by Mr Mpofu on page 41 –
12	CHAIRPERSON: And it's subject London	12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.):
13	PLC, violence at Lonmin operation. Please find attached an	13	41?
14	announcement regarding a serious and ongoing outbreak of	14	MR JAMIESON: Yes, and you took me down
15	violence at our operations in South Africa which was	15	to the press and the media and the PR –
16	released this morning. And I see that Tanya is described	16	CHAIRPERSON: PR section, yes.
17	as being your London PLC and a London address is given. So	17	MR JAMIESON: Yes, what is your
18	this announcement that she's talking about, was that	18	understanding of what IR means? Industrial relations? Is
19	intended to be PR or was this possibly what Mr Mpofu called	19	that correct?
20	a hybrid? Was it intended to be PR or was it something	20	MR MPOFU: Are you asking me?
21	that you were virtually required by the conditions of	21	MR JAMIESON: Both of you.
22	listing and so forth in London and in Johannesburg to	22	MR MPOFU: No, I'm not answering your
23	regularly send out to the market so that shareholders and	23	question.
24	analysts and others could know what was going on about this	24	MR JAMIESON: Oh, well it's –
25	listed share?	25	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]
	Page 37556		Page 37558
			Tuge 37330
1	MR JAMIESON: Yes, Mr Chairman, the	1	asking me and I have to –
2	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure.	2	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry.
	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within		asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer
2 3 4	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you	2 3 4	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the –
2 3 4 5	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then	2 3 4 5	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I
2 3 4 5 6	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the	2 3 4 5 6	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps.
2 3 4 5 6 7	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went	2 3 4 5 6 7	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON:Yes, sorry.CHAIRPERSON:- I had to give an answerwhich we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON:It's investor relations. Idon't know if that helps.It's investor relations. ICHAIRPERSON:[Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:It's investor relations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: Not industrial relations,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a material change. And so that's what these announcements	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: Not industrial relations, you say? It's industrial relations –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a material change. And so that's what these announcements were, so they are regulatory announcements.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: Not industrial relations, you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a material change. And so that's what these announcements were, so they are regulatory announcements. CHAIRPERSON: I presume if there was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: Not industrial relations, you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a material change. And so that's what these announcements were, so they are regulatory announcements. CHAIRPERSON: I presume if there was a strike which would impact on production that would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: Not industrial relations, you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: IR here stands for investor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a material change. And so that's what these announcements were, so they are regulatory announcements. CHAIRPERSON: I presume if there was a strike which would impact on production that would be something that investors and shareholders and so forth are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: Not industrial relations, you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: IR here stands for investor relations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a material change. And so that's what these announcements were, so they are regulatory announcements. CHAIRPERSON: I presume if there was a strike which would impact on production that would be something that investors and shareholders and so forth are entitled to know about in terms of the rules of the two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: Not industrial relations, you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. RR JAMIESON: IR here stands for investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: I see. The nice thing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a material change. And so that's what these announcements were, so they are regulatory announcements. CHAIRPERSON: I presume if there was a strike which would impact on production that would be something that investors and shareholders and so forth are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: Not industrial relations, you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: IR here stands for investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: I see. The nice thing about this Commission, you learn something new every day.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a material change. And so that's what these announcements were, so they are regulatory announcements. CHAIRPERSON: I presume if there was a strike which would impact on production that would be something that investors and shareholders and so forth are entitled to know about in terms of the rules of the two stock exchanges. Is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: Not industrial relations, you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations? MR JAMIESON: IR here stands for investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: I see. The nice thing about this Commission, you learn something new every day.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a material change. And so that's what these announcements were, so they are regulatory announcements. CHAIRPERSON: I presume if there was a strike which would impact on production that would be something that investors and shareholders and so forth are entitled to know about in terms of the rules of the two stock exchanges. Is that correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: It's investor relations, you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Is here stands for investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: I see. The nice thing about this Commission, you learn something new every day. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I thought that might
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a material change. And so that's what these announcements were, so they are regulatory announcements. CHAIRPERSON: I presume if there was a strike which would impact on production that would be something that investors and shareholders and so forth are entitled to know about in terms of the rules of the two stock exchanges. Is that correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes thank you. Mr Mpofu I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: Not industrial relations, you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations? MR JAMIESON: IR here stands for investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: I see. The nice thing about this Commission, you learn something new every day. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I thought that might have caused some confusion –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a material change. And so that's what these announcements were, so they are regulatory announcements. CHAIRPERSON: I presume if there was a strike which would impact on production that would be something that investors and shareholders and so forth are entitled to know about in terms of the rules of the two stock exchanges. Is that correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes thank you. Mr Mpofu I know you're moving onto the next question, but would you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: Not industrial relations, you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations? MR JAMIESON: IR here stands for investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: I see. The nice thing about this Commission, you learn something new every day. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I thought that might have caused some confusion – MR MPOFU: Ja.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a material change. And so that's what these announcements were, so they are regulatory announcements. CHAIRPERSON: I presume if there was a strike which would impact on production that would be something that investors and shareholders and so forth are entitled to know about in terms of the rules of the two stock exchanges. Is that correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes thank you. Mr Mpofu I know you're moving onto the next question, but would you object too vigorously if we took the tea adjournment at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: Not industrial relations, you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations? MR JAMIESON: IR here stands for investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: I see. The nice thing about this Commission, you learn something new every day. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I thought that might have caused some confusion – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - because you then think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a material change. And so that's what these announcements were, so they are regulatory announcements. CHAIRPERSON: I presume if there was a strike which would impact on production that would be something that investors and shareholders and so forth are entitled to know about in terms of the rules of the two stock exchanges. Is that correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes thank you. Mr Mpofu I know you're moving onto the next question, but would you object too vigorously if we took the tea adjournment at this stage?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: Not industrial relations, you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations? MR JAMIESON: IR here stands for investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: I see. The nice thing about this Commission, you learn something new every day. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I thought that might have caused some confusion – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - because you then think all of this is sitting under a heading which is industrial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	requirement is not regularly, it's continuous disclosure. So if there is something which is material happening within the company the public should know about it, public, you know primarily meaning the market and shareholders. Then we are obligated to make an announcement. So the unprotected strike that started on 9th, 10th and then went over onto Sunday we were obligated to make that announcement. You're then obligated to make any further announcements that update the situation if there's been a material change. And so that's what these announcements were, so they are regulatory announcements. CHAIRPERSON: I presume if there was a strike which would impact on production that would be something that investors and shareholders and so forth are entitled to know about in terms of the rules of the two stock exchanges. Is that correct? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes thank you. Mr Mpofu I know you're moving onto the next question, but would you object too vigorously if we took the tea adjournment at this stage? MR MPOFU: No I wouldn't object,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	asking me and I have to – MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: - I had to give an answer which we've heard often in this Commission in the – MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. I don't know if that helps. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR JAMIESON: It's investor relations. So this is not a – CHAIRPERSON: Not industrial relations, you say? It's industrial relations – MR JAMIESON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: Investor relations? MR JAMIESON: IR here stands for investor relations. CHAIRPERSON: I see. The nice thing about this Commission, you learn something new every day. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I thought that might have caused some confusion – MR MPOFU: Ja. MR JAMIESON: - because you then think all of this is sitting under a heading which is industrial relations, so –

	5		
1	Page 37559 MR MPOFU: So investor relations	1	Page 37561 investor relations department. She doesn't run a PR
2	incorporates media and public relations, correct?	2	department.
3	MR JAMIESON: No, it's just regulatory.	3	MR MPOFU: Anyway, to save time I think
4	MR MPOFU: Then why does it say in the	4	and you –
5	second sentence under that IR, "Press/media all over at the	5	CHAIRPERSON: Look, it is a bit of a, the
6	mine," blah, blah, blah, why does it talk about the press	6	line between public relations and investor relations is a
7	and the media if it is not, doesn't include public, media	7	bit of a faint one because obviously if I was an investor
8	relations?	8	and I held a parcel of Lonmin shares and I read in the
9	MR JAMIESON: Well, the press and media	9	newspapers the ordinary reports about everything that was
10	were also speculating –	10	going on at Lonmin I might decide to, I might either get
11	MR MPOFU: Ja, ja.	11	worried or I might decide to sell my shares. So there's no
12	MR JAMIESON: - on this production and	12	clear dividing line surely between investor relations and
13	what have you, not just the public, you know, the public	13	public relations.
14	relations as you would call it.	14	MR JAMIESON: Yes –
15	MR MPOFU: No.	15	CHAIRPERSON: There must be an overlap to
16	MR JAMIESON: So it was the, you know,	16	some extent.
17	loss, loss of production, what this means for the platinum	17	MR JAMIESON: Not that I'm aware, but
18	industry, all of the things that we discussed at MIGDETT.	18	Tanya will be able to qualify that. If we get –
19	MR MPOFU: Ja, all I'm saying to you is	19	MR MPOFU: No –
20	that heading, whatever it says, incorporates the issue of	20	MR JAMIESON: If we get incoming phone
21	media and press as you have put there, correct?	21	calls and they're of that, you know, the issues that you
22	MR JAMIESON: Well the heading is to, if	22	said, then it would be referred out to the mine and it
23	you're talking about the heading it's investor relations -	23	would be referred out to the communications department on
24	MR MPOFU: No, I'm not talking about the	24	the mine.
25	heading. I'm talking about the contents –	25	CHAIRPERSON: You say the paragraph IR
1	Page 37560	1	Page 37562
1	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates	1	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing?
2	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it.	2	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor
2 3	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you.	2 3	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being
2 3 4	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the	2 3 4	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in
2 3 4 5	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 –	2 3 4 5	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that
2 3 4 5 6	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 – MR JAMIESON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 – MR JAMIESON: Yes. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: - where it says	2 3 4 5 6 7	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 – MR JAMIESON: Yes. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: - where it says "Not IR issue," what does that –	2 3 4 5 6	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 – MR JAMIESON: Yes. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: - where it says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 – MR JAMIESON: Yes. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: - where it says "Not IR issue," what does that – MR JAMIESON: That's industrial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 – MR JAMIESON: Yes. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: - where it says "Not IR issue," what does that – MR JAMIESON: That's industrial relations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't want to be too hard on you, but you know – you know, let me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 – MR JAMIESON: Yes. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: - where it says "Not IR issue," what does that – MR JAMIESON: That's industrial relations. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: That's industrial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't want to be too hard on you, but you know – you know, let me just tell you, I have been an executive director like you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 – MR JAMIESON: Yes. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: - where it says "Not IR issue," what does that – MR JAMIESON: That's industrial relations. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: That's industrial relations?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't want to be too hard on you, but you know – you know, let me just tell you, I have been an executive director like you of a listed company. You know that SENS announcements –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 – MR JAMIESON: Yes. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: - where it says "Not IR issue," what does that – MR JAMIESON: That's industrial relations. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: That's industrial relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't want to be too hard on you, but you know – you know, let me just tell you, I have been an executive director like you of a listed company. You know that SENS announcements – MR JAMIESON: I'm not an executive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR JAMIESON:Whether it incorporatespress and media, yes, there's a comment there about it.MR MPOFU:Thank you.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Mr Jamieson, in thelight of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 –MR JAMIESON:Yes.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:- where it says"Not IR issue," what does that –MR JAMIESON:That's industrialrelations.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:That's industrialrelations.MR JAMIESON:Yes.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:That's industrialrelations?MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:So IR is ambiguous, it can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't want to be too hard on you, but you know – you know, let me just tell you, I have been an executive director like you of a listed company. You know that SENS announcements – MR JAMIESON: I'm not an executive director of a company –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR JAMIESON:Whether it incorporatespress and media, yes, there's a comment there about it.MR MPOFU:Thank you.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Mr Jamieson, in thelight of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 –MR JAMIESON:Yes.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:OMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:MR JAMIESON:Yes.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:MR JAMIESON:That's industrialrelations.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:That's industrialrelations?MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:So IR is ambiguous, it canbe one or the other.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't want to be too hard on you, but you know – you know, let me just tell you, I have been an executive director like you of a listed company. You know that SENS announcements – MR MPOFU: I'm not an executive director of a company – MR MPOFU: Do you know that SENS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR JAMIESON:Whether it incorporatespress and media, yes, there's a comment there about it.MR MPOFU:Thank you.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Mr Jamieson, in thelight of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 –MR JAMIESON:Yes.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:- where it says"Not IR issue," what does that –MR JAMIESON:That's industrialrelations.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:relations.That's industrialrelations?Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:So IR is ambiguous, it canbe one or the other.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't want to be too hard on you, but you know – you know, let me just tell you, I have been an executive director like you of a listed company. You know that SENS announcements – MR MPOFU: Do you know that SENS announcements have to be published in the newspaper?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR JAMIESON:Whether it incorporatespress and media, yes, there's a comment there about it.MR MPOFU:Thank you.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Mr Jamieson, in thelight of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 –MR JAMIESON:Yes.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:OMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:MR JAMIESON:Yes.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:MR JAMIESON:That's industrialrelations.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:That's industrialrelations?MR JAMIESON:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:So IR is ambiguous, it canbe one or the other.MR JAMIESON:COTRECt.MR JAMIESON:KIR MPOFU:Thatk you.Alright, but the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't want to be too hard on you, but you know – you know, let me just tell you, I have been an executive director like you of a listed company. You know that SENS announcements – MR JAMIESON: I'm not an executive director of a company – MR MPOFU: Do you know that SENS announcements have to be published in the newspaper? MR JAMIESON: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 – MR JAMIESON: Yes. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: - where it says "Not IR issue," what does that – MR JAMIESON: That's industrial relations. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: That's industrial relations. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: That's industrial relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So IR is ambiguous, it can be one or the other. MR JAMIESON: Correct. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Alright, but the one of industrial relations incorporates public and media and press, yes? MR JAMIESON: No, I've said that already.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't want to be too hard on you, but you know – you know, let me just tell you, I have been an executive director like you of a listed company. You know that SENS announcements – MR JAMIESON: I'm not an executive director of a company – MR MPOFU: Do you know that SENS announcements have to be published in the newspaper? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: So that's what the Chairperson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR JAMIESON:Whether it incorporatespress and media, yes, there's a comment there about it.MR MPOFU:Thank you.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Mr Jamieson, in thelight of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 –MR JAMIESON:Yes.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:- where it says"Not IR issue," what does that –MR JAMIESON:That's industrialrelations.That's industrialrelations?MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Yes.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:That's industrialrelations?So IR is ambiguous, it canbe one or the other.MR JAMIESON:MR JAMIESON:Correct.MR MPOFU:Thank you.MR MEDOFU:Thank you.M	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't want to be too hard on you, but you know – you know, let me just tell you, I have been an executive director like you of a listed company. You know that SENS announcements – MR JAMIESON: I'm not an executive director of a company – MR MPOFU: Do you know that SENS announcements have to be published in the newspaper? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: So that's what the Chairperson is saying. The line between investor relations and SENS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 – MR JAMIESON: Yes. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: - where it says "Not IR issue," what does that – MR JAMIESON: That's industrial relations. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: That's industrial relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So IR is ambiguous, it can be one or the other. MR JAMIESON: Correct. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Alright, but the one of industrial relations incorporates public and media and press, yes? MR JAMIESON: No, I've said that already.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't want to be too hard on you, but you know – you know, let me just tell you, I have been an executive director like you of a listed company. You know that SENS announcements – MR JAMIESON: I'm not an executive director of a company – MR MPOFU: Do you know that SENS announcements have to be published in the newspaper? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: So that's what the Chairperson is saying. The line between investor relations and SENS announcements and the public as it were is exactly that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 – MR JAMIESON: Yes. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: - where it says "Not IR issue," what does that – MR JAMIESON: That's industrial relations. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: That's industrial relations. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: That's industrial relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So IR is ambiguous, it can be one or the other. MR JAMIESON: Correct. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Alright, but the one of industrial relations incorporates public and media and press, yes? MR JAMIESON: No, I've said that already. In –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't want to be too hard on you, but you know – you know, let me just tell you, I have been an executive director like you of a listed company. You know that SENS announcements – MR JAMIESON: I'm not an executive director of a company – MR MPOFU: Do you know that SENS announcements have to be published in the newspaper? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: So that's what the Chairperson is saying. The line between investor relations and SENS announcements and the public as it were is exactly that, that's why those things are not just sent to shareholders,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 – MR JAMIESON: Yes. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: - where it says "Not IR issue," what does that – MR JAMIESON: That's industrial relations. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: That's industrial relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So IR is ambiguous, it can be one or the other. MR JAMIESON: Correct. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Alright, but the one of industrial relations incorporates public and media and press, yes? MR JAMIESON: No, I've said that already. In – CHAIRPERSON: But you have –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't want to be too hard on you, but you know – you know, let me just tell you, I have been an executive director like you of a listed company. You know that SENS announcements – MR JAMIESON: I'm not an executive director of a company – MR MPOFU: Do you know that SENS announcements have to be published in the newspaper? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: So that's what the Chairperson is saying. The line between investor relations and SENS announcements and the public as it were is exactly that, that's why those things are not just sent to shareholders, but they're also sent to the newspapers. Do you understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR JAMIESON: Whether it incorporates press and media, yes, there's a comment there about it. MR MPOFU: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Jamieson, in the light of what you've just said, on page 40, paragraph 1 – MR JAMIESON: Yes. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: - where it says "Not IR issue," what does that – MR JAMIESON: That's industrial relations. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: That's industrial relations? MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So IR is ambiguous, it can be one or the other. MR JAMIESON: Correct. MR JAMIESON: Correct. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Alright, but the one of industrial relations incorporates public and media and press, yes? MR JAMIESON: No, I've said that already. In – CHAIRPERSON: But you have – MR JAMIESON: In that paragraph there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	really relates to what Tanya is supposed to be doing? MR JAMIESON: And that is investor relations, which is really the market, and investors being shareholders. So if it's a shareholder query that comes in and something related to the regulatory announcements that are required, Tanya deals with it. If not, she refers it on to the communications department in the mine if it's anything else. MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, you know I don't want to be too hard on you, but you know – you know, let me just tell you, I have been an executive director like you of a listed company. You know that SENS announcements – MR JAMIESON: I'm not an executive director of a company – MR MPOFU: Do you know that SENS announcements have to be published in the newspaper? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: So that's what the Chairperson is saying. The line between investor relations and SENS announcements and the public as it were is exactly that, that's why those things are not just sent to shareholders, but they're also sent to the newspapers. Do you understand that?

	Page 37563		Page 37565
1	announcements.	1	MR MPOFU: No –
2	MR MPOFU: To the public.	2	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
3	MR JAMIESON: To the, for the purposes of	3	MR MPOFU: Mr Jamieson, I'm saying all
4	regulatory announcements.	4	these activities that you were involved in, captured in
5	MR MPOFU: Yes, but part of the	5	emails, letters, what have you, you were doing that not as
6	regulation, the SENS regulation says that you issue your	6	a man, as yourself, you were doing that as part, in the
7	SENS announcement to your shareholders, but you also, it	7	course and scope of your duties as an official of Lonmin,
8	must be published in a newspaper.	8	correct?
9	MR JAMIESON: Yes, so that shareholders	9	MR JAMIESON: Well, there's two aspects
10	can read it.	10	to your question. I'm trying to answer both of them. The
11	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]	11	answer to one is yes, the answer to the other is no.
12	not only shareholders who read it, and the other point is	12	CHAIRPERSON: I think you're actually
13	shareholders don't only read, look at The Star or Pretoria	13	misunderstanding each other.
14	News. They don't only read the business report part, they	14	MR JAMIESON: Oh, sorry.
15	read the rest of the paper too, do they?	15	CHAIRPERSON: As far as external
16	MR JAMIESON: Yes, I guess you could also	16	communication was concerned, clearly that you were doing on
17	add to that potential shareholders, so potential	17	behalf of Lonmin.
18	shareholders who haven't yet bought shares –	18	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
19	MR MPOFU: Alright.	19	CHAIRPERSON: You were speaking clearly
20	MR JAMIESON: - should know what's going	20	on behalf of Lonmin.
21	on in the company, yes.	21	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
22	MR MPOFU: That's alright, Mr Jamieson.	22	CHAIRPERSON: What you're doing
23	Okay, now just to clear out a few points before we go back	23	internally in the company, you're not doing necessarily on
24 25	to what we were talking about. Firstly in fairness to you,	24 25	behalf of Lonmin –
25	would it be fair to say that insofar as I described	25	MR JAMIESON: No.
	Page 37564		Page 37566
1	earlier, insofar as you triggered the, what I call the	1	CHAIRPERSON: - but you're doing it for
2	chain of political pressure and so on, you were not doing	2	Lonmin. You're not doing it for your own personal
3	that personally as Albert Jamieson, you were doing that on	3	purposes. You are doing them in your capacity, in the course and scope as it were of your employment as the
4 5	behalf of Lonmin, correct? All these communications were, you were doing them on behalf of Lonmin, or in the	4 5	commercial manager, whatever your capacity was –
6	interests of Lonmin.	6	MR JAMIESON: Yes, yes.
7	MR JAMIESON: Which communications?	7	CHAIRPERSON: - of Lonmin. That's the
8	MR MPOFU: Everything we've spoken about.	8	point he's making. Is that right?
9	MR JAMIESON: Well, internal is, no, it's	9	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson.
10	not –	10	MR JAMIESON: Agreed, sorry.
11	MR MPOFU: The emails, the pressure, the	11	MR MPOFU: Yes, and again for clarity,
12	characterisation, what have you.	12	for the reasons which you have described, which we won't go
13	MR JAMIESON: No, I was doing that in	13	into, you yourself, you acting as we have just explained,
14	my –	14	you deliberately chose Mr Ramaphosa to be the conveyor of
15	MR MPOFU: Were you doing those	15	the messages and the pressure and so on, correct?
16	personally as yourself?	16	MR JAMIESON: I was in, I was asked by
17	MR JAMIESON: - personal capacity as a	17	Ian Farmer and also by Roger Phillimore to keep him in the
18	chief commercial officer.	18	loop on anything I thought that I wanted to use my judgment
19	MR MPOFU: Of Lonmin.	19	was important that he should know.
20	MR JAMIESON: Yes, and these are all –	20	MR MPOFU: Yes, and in your judgment –
21	MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point.	21	MR JAMIESON: These things were, yes.
22	MR JAMIESON: - these are internal. The	22	MR MPOFU: - you picked him out to be the
23	letter to the Minister clearly was on behalf of Lonmin, so	23	conveyor of the political pressure.
24	it was originally going to be signed by Ian but it was	24	MR JAMIESON: No, in my judgment I
1.0		1	
25	signed by me. The email to the DG was on behalf of Lonmin.	25	thought that he should be in the loop on some

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

	Page 37567		Page 37569
1	correspondence.	1	was the only one who was, had access to the Minister and
2	MR MPOFU: No, I know that.	2	could influence her to talk to us.
3	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	3	MR MPOFU: And Roger, was he not a non-
4	MR MPOFU: He was in the loop because he	4	executive –
5	was on the circulation list, but I'm saying –	5	MR JAMIESON: Roger is the chairman, yes.
6	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	6	MR MPOFU: Non-executive chairman?
7	MR MPOFU: - you have already agreed that	7	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
8	he was to convey the political pressure, but you in your	8	MR MPOFU: Thank you.
9	judgment identified him as the best conveyor for that	9	MR JAMIESON: Ja, but he at the beginning
10	political pressure.	10	of the week, don't forget, on the Monday had actually
11	MR JAMIESON: Well, he was the only one	11	volunteered himself to become executive, so he had
12	because he was the only one speaking to the Minister.	12	originally said he was going to chair the EXCOS. Similarly
13	MR MPOFU: He was the only conveyor	13	Mahomed Seedat was being brought back, who was a non-
14	possible?	14	executive, but he was going to be brought back in an
15	MR JAMIESON: He was the only one	15	executive capacity. So –
16	speaking to the Minister, who we desperately wanted to talk	16	MR MPOFU: That's the point I'm making.
17	to.	17	MR JAMIESON: Okay, then we can agree to
18	MR MPOFU: Yes. The next thing is the	18	that –
19	communication that was flying around was not confined to	19	MR MPOFU: When you were communicating
20	executives or non-executives. At this stage it straddled	20	now you were not respecting those lines. Whether one was
21	across those artificial divides, if you look at the	21	an executive or non-executive, the communication was
22	circulation list. So you'd have non-executives and the	22	straddling those lines, correct?
23	executive being circulated on the same communication,	23	MR JAMIESON: The lines got reset because
24	correct?	24	Roger was executive and Mahomed was executive.
25	MR JAMIESON: Roger Phillimore, the	25	MR MPOFU: Anyway, did you – you see,
	Page 37568		Page 37570
1	chairman, was circulating everything to the non-executives.	1	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the
2	I think that's evident.	2	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were
2 3	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was –	2 3	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress
2 3 4	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a –	2 3 4	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the
2 3 4 5	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive.	2 3 4 5	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure
2 3 4 5 6	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or
2 3 4 5 6 7	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on. MR JAMIESON: We were on the EXCO. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what? MR JAMIESON: Where you said there was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on. MR JAMIESON: We were on the EXCO. The executive directors are Simon and Ian and there were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what? MR JAMIESON: Where you said there was some, the feedback, which email are you referring to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on. MR JAMIESON: We were on the EXCO. The executive directors are Simon and Ian and there were management involved in that as well, so you saw like Frank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what? MR JAMIESON: Where you said there was some, the feedback, which email are you referring to? MR MPOFU: Okay, the feedback, 1'll just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on. MR JAMIESON: We were on the EXCO. The executive directors are Simon and Ian and there were management involved in that as well, so you saw like Frank Russo-Bello or Abey Kgotle, you know, Mike Da Costa,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what? MR JAMIESON: Where you said there was some, the feedback, which email are you referring to? MR MPOFU: Okay, the feedback, I'll just pick anything. The feedback was for example what I read to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on. MR JAMIESON: We were on the EXCO. The executive directors are Simon and Ian and there were management involved in that as well, so you saw like Frank Russo-Bello or Abey Kgotle, you know, Mike Da Costa, they're all just below the EXCO.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what? MR JAMIESON: Where you said there was some, the feedback, which email are you referring to? MR MPOFU: Okay, the feedback, I'll just pick anything. The feedback was for example what I read to you earlier where he says "I've met with Susan Shabangu.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on. MR JAMIESON: We were on the EXCO. The executive directors are Simon and Ian and there were management involved in that as well, so you saw like Frank Russo-Bello or Abey Kgotle, you know, Mike Da Costa, they're all just below the EXCO. MR MPOFU: Yes, I'm not talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what? MR JAMIESON: Where you said there was some, the feedback, which email are you referring to? MR MPOFU: Okay, the feedback, I'll just pick anything. The feedback was for example what I read to you earlier where he says "I've met with Susan Shabangu. She has changed her characterisation, she's going to take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on. MR JAMIESON: We were on the EXCO. The executive directors are Simon and Ian and there were management involved in that as well, so you saw like Frank Russo-Bello or Abey Kgotle, you know, Mike Da Costa, they're all just below the EXCO. MR MPOFU: Yes, I'm not talking about EXCO, I'm talking about management. I'm saying that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what? MR JAMIESON: Where you said there was some, the feedback, which email are you referring to? MR MPOFU: Okay, the feedback, I'll just pick anything. The feedback was for example what I read to you earlier where he says "I've met with Susan Shabangu. She has changed her characterisation, she's going to take it to cabinet," and that kind of thing. That's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on. MR JAMIESON: We were on the EXCO. The executive directors are Simon and Ian and there were management involved in that as well, so you saw like Frank Russo-Bello or Abey Kgotle, you know, Mike Da Costa, they're all just below the EXCO. MR MPOFU: Yes, I'm not talking about EXCO, I'm talking about management. I'm saying that the communication was straddling the divide between management and non-executives. MR JAMIESON: Not – unless you can show	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what? MR JAMIESON: Where you said there was some, the feedback, which email are you referring to? MR MPOFU: Okay, the feedback, 1'll just pick anything. The feedback was for example what I read to you earlier where he says "I've met with Susan Shabangu. She has changed her characterisation, she's going to take it to cabinet," and that kind of thing. That's the feedback and for which you said thank you, Cyril.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on. MR JAMIESON: We were on the EXCO. The executive directors are Simon and Ian and there were management involved in that as well, so you saw like Frank Russo-Bello or Abey Kgotle, you know, Mike Da Costa, they're all just below the EXCO. MR MPOFU: Yes, I'm not talking about EXCO, I'm talking about management. I'm saying that the communication was straddling the divide between management and non-executives. MR JAMIESON: Not – unless you can show me specifically and –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what? MR JAMIESON: Where you said there was some, the feedback, which email are you referring to? MR MPOFU: Okay, the feedback, I'll just pick anything. The feedback was for example what I read to you earlier where he says "I've met with Susan Shabangu. She has changed her characterisation, she's going to take it to cabinet," and that kind of thing. That's the feedback and for which you said thank you, Cyril. MR JAMIESON: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on. MR JAMIESON: We were on the EXCO. The executive directors are Simon and Ian and there were management involved in that as well, so you saw like Frank Russo-Bello or Abey Kgotle, you know, Mike Da Costa, they're all just below the EXCO. MR MPOFU: Yes, I'm not talking about EXCO, I'm talking about management. I'm saying that the communication was straddling the divide between management and non-executives. MR JAMIESON: Not – unless you can show me specifically and – MR MPOFU: Okay, well that is –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what? MR JAMIESON: Where you said there was some, the feedback, which email are you referring to? MR MPOFU: Okay, the feedback, I'll just pick anything. The feedback was for example what I read to you earlier where he says "I've met with Susan Shabangu. She has changed her characterisation, she's going to take it to cabinet," and that kind of thing. That's the feedback and for which you said thank you, Cyril. MR MPOFU: Correct. MR MPOFU: Remember that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on. MR JAMIESON: We were on the EXCO. The executive directors are Simon and Ian and there were management involved in that as well, so you saw like Frank Russo-Bello or Abey Kgotle, you know, Mike Da Costa, they're all just below the EXCO. MR MPOFU: Yes, I'm not talking about EXCO, I'm talking about management. I'm saying that the communication was straddling the divide between management and non-executives. MR JAMIESON: Not – unless you can show me specifically and – MR MPOFU: Okay, well that is – MR JAMIESON: - the only non-executive I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what? MR JAMIESON: Where you said there was some, the feedback, which email are you referring to? MR MPOFU: Okay, the feedback, I'll just pick anything. The feedback was for example what I read to you earlier where he says "I've met with Susan Shabangu. She has changed her characterisation, she's going to take it to cabinet," and that kind of thing. That's the feedback and for which you said thank you, Cyril. MR MPOFU: Remember that? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Ja, now I'm saying did you factor in that any of that Feedback might have been untrue?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on. MR JAMIESON: We were on the EXCO. The executive directors are Simon and Ian and there were management involved in that as well, so you saw like Frank Russo-Bello or Abey Kgotle, you know, Mike Da Costa, they're all just below the EXCO. MR MPOFU: Yes, I'm not talking about EXCO, I'm talking about management. I'm saying that the communication was straddling the divide between management and non-executives. MR JAMIESON: Not – unless you can show me specifically and – MR MPOFU: Okay, well that is – MR JAMIESON: - the only non-executive I was communicating with was Cyril, but in his capacity as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what? MR JAMIESON: Where you said there was some, the feedback, which email are you referring to? MR MPOFU: Okay, the feedback, I'll just pick anything. The feedback was for example what I read to you earlier where he says "I've met with Susan Shabangu. She has changed her characterisation, she's going to take it to cabinet," and that kind of thing. That's the feedback and for which you said thank you, Cyril. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Qa, now I'm saying did you factor in that any of that feedback might have been untrue? MR JAMIESON: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	I think that's evident. MR MPOFU: Ja, and Cyril Ramaphosa was – MR JAMIESON: Mr Ramaphosa is a – MR MPOFU: - a non-executive. MR JAMIESON: A non-executive, yes. MR MPOFU: And a shareholder. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: And the rest of the people were executives, yourself, Mokwena and so on. MR JAMIESON: We were on the EXCO. The executive directors are Simon and Ian and there were management involved in that as well, so you saw like Frank Russo-Bello or Abey Kgotle, you know, Mike Da Costa, they're all just below the EXCO. MR MPOFU: Yes, I'm not talking about EXCO, I'm talking about management. I'm saying that the communication was straddling the divide between management and non-executives. MR JAMIESON: Not – unless you can show me specifically and – MR MPOFU: Okay, well that is – MR JAMIESON: - the only non-executive I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Minister Shabangu suggested that Mr Ramaphosa maybe in the report back to you was, some of the report-backs were untrue and maybe were deliberately embellished to impress you, you in plural. Did you factor in that some of the report-backs you were getting from the political pressure conveyance might have been deliberately embellished or untrue? MR JAMIESON: Where was that, Mr Mpofu? Sorry. MR MPOFU: Where's what? MR JAMIESON: Where you said there was some, the feedback, which email are you referring to? MR MPOFU: Okay, the feedback, I'll just pick anything. The feedback was for example what I read to you earlier where he says "I've met with Susan Shabangu. She has changed her characterisation, she's going to take it to cabinet," and that kind of thing. That's the feedback and for which you said thank you, Cyril. MR MPOFU: Remember that? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Ja, now I'm saying did you factor in that any of that Feedback might have been untrue?

	Page 37571		Page 37573
1	MR JAMIESON: No.	1	to page 106.
2	MR MPOFU: So when he said she has	2	CHAIRPERSON: What page?
3	changed – well, for example she said she never changed her	3	MR MPOFU: One zero six, Chairperson.
4	characterisation, but you believed, because the feedback	4	4.4, it's a feedback from other stakeholders and then 4.4
5	was that she will change her characterisation, that that	5	says, "To consider the extent to which Lonmin should
6	was true, correct?	6	contact Luthuli House and remain in direct contact with
7	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	7	them." What did you understand by that? Or what was meant
8	MR MPOFU: That's why you thanked him.	8	by that, since you were in the meeting?
9	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	9	MR VAN AS: Sorry, Mr Chairperson, I just
10	MR MPOFU: You didn't consider that you	10	want to draw the Commission's attention to the fact that
11	might be thanking him for some embellishment?	11	these are minutes of a meeting of the 3rd of September 2012.
12	MR JAMIESON: No.	12	MR MPOFU: Yes.
13	MR MPOFU: Okay, and she also says that	13	MR VAN AS: After the incident of the 16th
14	there was no, or rather the message was not conveyed to the	14	of August. So I fail to understand the relevance of this
15	President and Minister Mthethwa, but according to the	15	question.
16	feedback those things had happened, correct?	16	MR MPOFU: Well, I don't know, but the
17	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	17	incident, Chairperson, we know that by the, on the 3rd of
18	MR MPOFU: Yes, and you never considered	18	September the situation –
19	that those might be untrue or false?	19	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, I would imagine
20	MR JAMIESON: No.	20	you're entitled to rely on contact with the ANC after the
21	MR MPOFU: And you never considered the	21	event to support your argument that there may well have
22	possibility that he simply wanted to impress his masters,	22	been some kind of –
23	as Ms Shabangu alluded, correct?	23	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson.
24	MR JAMIESON: No, I didn't.	24	CHAIRPERSON: - similar contact before,
25	MR MPOFU: Thank you. Alright, so let's	25	so I do see the relevance of the question. I'm sorry Mr
	Page 37572		Page 37574
1	go back to the issue of the ANC that we were busy with	1	Van As doesn't, but I disallow the objection. Carry on.
2	before the break. Let's start on page 31. I'm sorry, no,	2	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson.
3	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of	2 3	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question.
3 4	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to	2 3 4	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to
3 4 5	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that	2 3 4 5	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting
3 4 5 6	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by	2 3 4 5 6	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV
3 4 5 6 7	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the
3 4 5 6 7 8	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer?	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to what you were saying earlier about PR because by this time
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to what you were saying earlier about PR because by this time I think, if memory serves, we had engaged Brunswick, who
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know. MR MPOFU: You don't know what?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to what you were saying earlier about PR because by this time I think, if memory serves, we had engaged Brunswick, who are PR company, and Natasha was working with Brunswick, who
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know. MR MPOFU: You don't know what? MR JAMIESON: You said what do I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to what you were saying earlier about PR because by this time I think, if memory serves, we had engaged Brunswick, who are PR company, and Natasha was working with Brunswick, who are the PR company. So the question was asked of her, she
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know. MR MPOFU: You don't know what? MR JAMIESON: You said what do I understand by that. I don't understand what –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to what you were saying earlier about PR because by this time I think, if memory serves, we had engaged Brunswick, who are the PR company. So the question was asked of her, she had this under her responsibility. That's what that refers
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know. MR MPOFU: You don't know what? MR JAMIESON: You said what do I understand by that. I don't understand what – MR MPOFU: You don't know what you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to what you were saying earlier about PR because by this time I think, if memory serves, we had engaged Brunswick, who are PR company, and Natasha was working with Brunswick, who are the PR company. So the question was asked of her, she had this under her responsibility. That's what that refers to.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know. MR MPOFU: You don't know what? MR JAMIESON: You said what do I understand by that. I don't understand what – MR MPOFU: You don't know what you understand?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to what you were saying earlier about PR because by this time I think, if memory serves, we had engaged Brunswick, who are the PR company. So the question was asked of her, she had this under her responsibility. That's what that refers to. MR MPOFU: Okay, before I interrogate
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know. MR MPOFU: You don't know what? MR JAMIESON: You said what do I understand by that. I don't understand what – MR MPOFU: You don't know what you understand? MR JAMIESON: - other than what it says,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to what you were saying earlier about PR because by this time I think, if memory serves, we had engaged Brunswick, who are the PR company. So the question was asked of her, she had this under her responsibility. That's what that refers to. MR MPOFU: Okay, before I interrogate that answer, so that I give you a full picture can you go
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know. MR MPOFU: You don't know what? MR JAMIESON: I don't know what? MR JAMIESON: You said what do I understand by that. I don't understand what – MR MPOFU: You don't know what you understand? MR JAMIESON: - other than what it says, he's going to ask him to intervene. What he's going to ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to what you were saying earlier about PR because by this time I think, if memory serves, we had engaged Brunswick, who are PR company, and Natasha was working with Brunswick, who are the PR company. So the question was asked of her, she had this under her responsibility. That's what that refers to. MR MPOFU: Okay, before I interrogate that answer, so that I give you a full picture can you go to page 107?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know. MR MPOFU: You don't know what? MR JAMIESON: I don't know what? MR JAMIESON: You said what do I understand by that. I don't understand what – MR MPOFU: You don't know what you understand? MR JAMIESON: - other than what it says, he's going to ask him to intervene. What he's going to ask him to intervene in I'm not sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to what you were saying earlier about PR because by this time I think, if memory serves, we had engaged Brunswick, who are the PR company. So the question was asked of her, she had this under her responsibility. That's what that refers to. MR MPOFU: Okay, before I interrogate that answer, so that I give you a full picture can you go to page 107? MR JAMIESON: Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know. MR MPOFU: You don't know what? MR JAMIESON: I don't know what? MR JAMIESON: You said what do I understand by that. I don't understand what – MR MPOFU: You don't know what you understand? MR JAMIESON: - other than what it says, he's going to ask him to intervene. What he's going to ask him to intervene in I'm not sure. MR MPOFU: Alright, so you don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to what you were saying earlier about PR because by this time I think, if memory serves, we had engaged Brunswick, who are the PR company. So the question was asked of her, she had this under her responsibility. That's what that refers to. MR MPOFU: Okay, before I interrogate that answer, so that I give you a full picture can you go to page 107? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Under matters arising, "RP,"
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know. MR MPOFU: You don't know what? MR JAMIESON: I don't know what? MR JAMIESON: I don't know. MR MPOFU: You don't know what? MR JAMIESON: You don't know what ol understand by that. I don't understand what – MR MPOFU: You don't know what you understand? MR JAMIESON: - other than what it says, he's going to ask him to intervene. What he's going to ask him to intervene in I'm not sure. MR MPOFU: Alright, so you don't know. The answer is you don't know. The answer is you don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to what you were saying earlier about PR because by this time I think, if memory serves, we had engaged Brunswick, who are the PR company. So the question was asked of her, she had this under her responsibility. That's what that refers to. MR MPOFU: Okay, before I interrogate that answer, so that I give you a full picture can you go to page 107? MR JAMIESON: Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know. MR MPOFU: You don't know what? MR JAMIESON: I don't know what? MR JAMIESON: You said what do I understand by that. I don't understand what – MR MPOFU: You don't know what you understand? MR JAMIESON: - other than what it says, he's going to ask him to intervene. What he's going to ask him to intervene in I'm not sure. MR MPOFU: Alright, so you don't know. The answer is you don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to what you were saying earlier about PR because by this time I think, if memory serves, we had engaged Brunswick, who are the PR company. So the question was asked of her, she had this under her responsibility. That's what that refers to. MR MPOFU: Okay, before I interrogate that answer, so that I give you a full picture can you go to page 107? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Under matters arising, "RP," whoever that is, "to speak to Cyril Ramaphosa about the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	is it 31? 35. 35, sorry. Paragraph 3 of that letter, of that email from Mr Ramaphosa says, "I will be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." What did you understand by that? MR JAMIESON: I didn't know what to understand. I was just copied on the email, so, "I'll be speaking to Gwede Mantashe, the ANC secretary-general, and suggest that the ANC should intervene." I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know. MR MPOFU: What's your answer? MR JAMIESON: I don't know what? MR JAMIESON: I don't know what? MR JAMIESON: I don't know what? MR JAMIESON: You don't know what? MR JAMIESON: You said what do I understand by that. I don't know what you understand? You don't know what you understand? - other than what it says, he's going to ask him to intervene. What he's going to ask him to intervene in I'm not sure. MR MPOFU: MR MPOFU: Alright, so you don't know. The answer is you don't know. MR JAMIESON: MR JAMIESON: Don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Please answer the question. MR JAMIESON: Sorry, I'll just have to just read a little bit to refresh my memory which meeting it was. By this time we had, Natasha Viljoen, which is NV who was the EVP of Processing, had actually been given the portfolio of communications, external communications. So she was asked the question should we consider talking directly to the ANC in terms of now communications when, and when I say communications I now come a lot closer to what you were saying earlier about PR because by this time I think, if memory serves, we had engaged Brunswick, who are the PR company. So the question was asked of her, she had this under her responsibility. That's what that refers to. MR MPOFU: Okay, before I interrogate that answer, so that I give you a full picture can you go to page 107? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Under matters arising, "RP," whoever that is, "to speak to Cyril Ramaphosa about the merits of contacting Luthuli House directly."

	Page 37575		Page 37577
1	Page 37575 Phillimore.	1	MR JAMIESON: Sorry, which – is that in
2	MR MPOFU: Who is it?	2	this, on page 111?
3	CHAIRPERSON: RP is obviously –	3	MR MPOFU: No. Were you aware of the
4	MR JAMIESON: Roger Phillimore.	4	people at the hill being labelled as criminals?
5	CHAIRPERSON: - Mr Phillimore, Roger	5	MR JAMIESON: Yes. Yes.
6	Phillimore.	6	MR MPOFU: And you didn't object to that?
7	MR MPOFU: Oh yes, thank you,	7	MR JAMIESON: No.
8	Chairperson.	8	MR MPOFU: So you must have associated
9	MR JAMIESON: Ja.	9	yourself with that sentiment. Why did you think they were
10	MR MPOFU: "Roger Phillimore to speak to	10	criminals?
11	Cyril Ramaphosa about the merits of contacting Luthuli	11	MR JAMIESON: Because, and clearly not
12	House directly." You see that one?	12	all of them, but only the ones who may have been involved
13	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	13	in criminal activity and had been involved in the killings
14	MR MPOFU: And then let's go to page 111,	14	or the violence or the intimidation.
15	which is the next meeting, 2.7, sorry –	15	MR MPOFU: Well, if you go to page 24, Mr
16	MR JAMIESON: 2.7?	16	Kgotle –
17	MR MPOFU: Yes.	17	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
18	MR JAMIESON: Ja.	18	MR MPOFU: - says that, "It's said to
19	MR MPOFU: "To brief Gwede Mantashe face	19	report that a 10th person has been killed, his body was
20	to face on the situation post the meeting with the deputy	20	close to the hill where these criminals meet daily."
21	president."	21	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
22	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	22	MR MPOFU: So that must have meant all
23	MR MPOFU: Now I'm just saying, so that I	23	the people at the koppie.
24	don't have to ask you about each, obviously this is, the	24	MR JAMIESON: You'd have to ask Mr Kgotle
25	subject matter of this is contact with the ANC about the	25	who he meant –
	Page 37576		Page 37578
1	situation. What was that in aid of?	1	MR MPOFU: No –
2	MR JAMIESON: As I said it was, there was	2	MR JAMIESON: But what I can say is the
3	consideration given when we retained Brunswick in terms of	3	word "criminal activity, criminals," was used quite freely
4	external stakeholder communications, so ANC were identified	4	on the calls that were occurring at that time, specifically
5	by Brunswick as a stakeholder and then there was a debate	5	after the 12th.
6	on whether we should open lines of communication with them.	6	MD MDOFIL Did you regard these meanings
7	So if you go further 2 Natacha Viliaan had Bruncwick		MR MPOFU: Did you regard those people as
	So if you go further, 3, Natasha Viljoen had Brunswick	7	your fellow colleagues?
8	under her responsibility. The second one I think was,	8	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes.
9	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought	8 9	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals?
9 10	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea.	8 9 10	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were
9 10 11	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as	8 9 10 11	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was
9 10 11 12	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as I've said earlier, he was chairing the EXCO meetings - he	8 9 10 11 12	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was an unprotected strike, were clearly not criminals. Only
9 10 11 12 13	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as I've said earlier, he was chairing the EXCO meetings - he had said perhaps it's prudent for me to speak to Mr	8 9 10 11 12 13	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was an unprotected strike, were clearly not criminals. Only the people who indulged in killing, murder, assault and
9 10 11 12 13 14	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as I've said earlier, he was chairing the EXCO meetings - he had said perhaps it's prudent for me to speak to Mr Ramaphosa before we do that, and that's minuted, and then	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was an unprotected strike, were clearly not criminals. Only the people who indulged in killing, murder, assault and intimidation, those were the ones that would be labelled
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as I've said earlier, he was chairing the EXCO meetings - he had said perhaps it's prudent for me to speak to Mr Ramaphosa before we do that, and that's minuted, and then it was, MS is Mahomed Seedat. Just by way of background	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was an unprotected strike, were clearly not criminals. Only the people who indulged in killing, murder, assault and intimidation, those were the ones that would be labelled criminals.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as I've said earlier, he was chairing the EXCO meetings - he had said perhaps it's prudent for me to speak to Mr Ramaphosa before we do that, and that's minuted, and then it was, MS is Mahomed Seedat. Just by way of background Lonmin had sponsored the, what is the, where the Rivonia	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was an unprotected strike, were clearly not criminals. Only the people who indulged in killing, murder, assault and intimidation, those were the ones that would be labelled criminals. MR MPOFU: And who said to you that those
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as I've said earlier, he was chairing the EXCO meetings - he had said perhaps it's prudent for me to speak to Mr Ramaphosa before we do that, and that's minuted, and then it was, MS is Mahomed Seedat. Just by way of background Lonmin had sponsored the, what is the, where the Rivonia trialists were? Liliesleaf Farm, we did some work with	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was an unprotected strike, were clearly not criminals. Only the people who indulged in killing, murder, assault and intimidation, those were the ones that would be labelled criminals. MR MPOFU: And who said to you that those people who were involved in those things were at the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as I've said earlier, he was chairing the EXCO meetings - he had said perhaps it's prudent for me to speak to Mr Ramaphosa before we do that, and that's minuted, and then it was, MS is Mahomed Seedat. Just by way of background Lonmin had sponsored the, what is the, where the Rivonia trialists were? Liliesleaf Farm, we did some work with that and Mahomed was the deputy president. [Inaudible]	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was an unprotected strike, were clearly not criminals. Only the people who indulged in killing, murder, assault and intimidation, those were the ones that would be labelled criminals. MR MPOFU: And who said to you that those people who were involved in those things were at the koppie?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as I've said earlier, he was chairing the EXCO meetings - he had said perhaps it's prudent for me to speak to Mr Ramaphosa before we do that, and that's minuted, and then it was, MS is Mahomed Seedat. Just by way of background Lonmin had sponsored the, what is the, where the Rivonia trialists were? Liliesleaf Farm, we did some work with that and Mahomed was the deputy president. [Inaudible] Velanti I think is a trustee of that. So Mahomed had	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was an unprotected strike, were clearly not criminals. Only the people who indulged in killing, murder, assault and intimidation, those were the ones that would be labelled criminals. MR MPOFU: And who said to you that those people who were involved in those things were at the koppie? MR JAMIESON: It was reported back from
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as I've said earlier, he was chairing the EXCO meetings - he had said perhaps it's prudent for me to speak to Mr Ramaphosa before we do that, and that's minuted, and then it was, MS is Mahomed Seedat. Just by way of background Lonmin had sponsored the, what is the, where the Rivonia trialists were? Liliesleaf Farm, we did some work with that and Mahomed was the deputy president. [Inaudible] Velanti I think is a trustee of that. So Mahomed had spoken to him and there was a decision then the press,	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was an unprotected strike, were clearly not criminals. Only the people who indulged in killing, murder, assault and intimidation, those were the ones that would be labelled criminals. MR MPOFU: And who said to you that those people who were involved in those things were at the koppie? MR JAMIESON: It was reported back from the mine on the calls.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as I've said earlier, he was chairing the EXCO meetings - he had said perhaps it's prudent for me to speak to Mr Ramaphosa before we do that, and that's minuted, and then it was, MS is Mahomed Seedat. Just by way of background Lonmin had sponsored the, what is the, where the Rivonia trialists were? Liliesleaf Farm, we did some work with that and Mahomed was the deputy president. [Inaudible] Velanti I think is a trustee of that. So Mahomed had spoken to him and there was a decision then the press, Gwede Mantashe would be spoken to after that. That was,	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was an unprotected strike, were clearly not criminals. Only the people who indulged in killing, murder, assault and intimidation, those were the ones that would be labelled criminals. MR MPOFU: And who said to you that those people who were involved in those things were at the koppie? MR JAMIESON: It was reported back from the mine on the calls. MR MPOFU: By who?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as I've said earlier, he was chairing the EXCO meetings - he had said perhaps it's prudent for me to speak to Mr Ramaphosa before we do that, and that's minuted, and then it was, MS is Mahomed Seedat. Just by way of background Lonmin had sponsored the, what is the, where the Rivonia trialists were? Liliesleaf Farm, we did some work with that and Mahomed was the deputy president. [Inaudible] Velanti I think is a trustee of that. So Mahomed had spoken to him and there was a decision then the press, Gwede Mantashe would be spoken to after that. That was, that's my recollection of the sort of chain of events, Mr	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was an unprotected strike, were clearly not criminals. Only the people who indulged in killing, murder, assault and intimidation, those were the ones that would be labelled criminals. MR MPOFU: And who said to you that those people who were involved in those things were at the koppie? MR JAMIESON: It was reported back from the mine on the calls. MR MPOFU: By who? MR JAMIESON: From Mark, Frank, Abey,
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as I've said earlier, he was chairing the EXCO meetings - he had said perhaps it's prudent for me to speak to Mr Ramaphosa before we do that, and that's minuted, and then it was, MS is Mahomed Seedat. Just by way of background Lonmin had sponsored the, what is the, where the Rivonia trialists were? Liliesleaf Farm, we did some work with that and Mahomed was the deputy president. [Inaudible] Velanti I think is a trustee of that. So Mahomed had spoken to him and there was a decision then the press, Gwede Mantashe would be spoken to after that. That was, that's my recollection of the sort of chain of events, Mr Chairman.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was an unprotected strike, were clearly not criminals. Only the people who indulged in killing, murder, assault and intimidation, those were the ones that would be labelled criminals. MR MPOFU: And who said to you that those people who were involved in those things were at the koppie? MR JAMIESON: It was reported back from the mine on the calls. MR MPOFU: By who? MR JAMIESON: From Mark, Frank, Abey, Barnard, Natasha, really I mean they were all quite clear.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as I've said earlier, he was chairing the EXCO meetings - he had said perhaps it's prudent for me to speak to Mr Ramaphosa before we do that, and that's minuted, and then it was, MS is Mahomed Seedat. Just by way of background Lonmin had sponsored the, what is the, where the Rivonia trialists were? Liliesleaf Farm, we did some work with that and Mahomed was the deputy president. [Inaudible] Velanti I think is a trustee of that. So Mahomed had spoken to him and there was a decision then the press, Gwede Mantashe would be spoken to after that. That was, that's my recollection of the sort of chain of events, Mr Chairman. MR MPOFU: Why did you label the people	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was an unprotected strike, were clearly not criminals. Only the people who indulged in killing, murder, assault and intimidation, those were the ones that would be labelled criminals. MR MPOFU: And who said to you that those people who were involved in those things were at the koppie? MR JAMIESON: It was reported back from the mine on the calls. MR MPOFU: By who? MR JAMIESON: From Mark, Frank, Abey, Barnard, Natasha, really I mean they were all quite clear. MR MPOFU: Okay, and while we are on that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	under her responsibility. The second one I think was, possibly sounds like she came back and said well we thought about it and think it's a good idea. [15:43] Roger Phillimore, who was then on the EXCO - as I've said earlier, he was chairing the EXCO meetings - he had said perhaps it's prudent for me to speak to Mr Ramaphosa before we do that, and that's minuted, and then it was, MS is Mahomed Seedat. Just by way of background Lonmin had sponsored the, what is the, where the Rivonia trialists were? Liliesleaf Farm, we did some work with that and Mahomed was the deputy president. [Inaudible] Velanti I think is a trustee of that. So Mahomed had spoken to him and there was a decision then the press, Gwede Mantashe would be spoken to after that. That was, that's my recollection of the sort of chain of events, Mr Chairman.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your fellow colleagues? MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR MPOFU: Or just as criminals? MR JAMIESON: I, the ones that were peaceful and were protesting peacefully, even though it was an unprotected strike, were clearly not criminals. Only the people who indulged in killing, murder, assault and intimidation, those were the ones that would be labelled criminals. MR MPOFU: And who said to you that those people who were involved in those things were at the koppie? MR JAMIESON: It was reported back from the mine on the calls. MR MPOFU: By who? MR JAMIESON: From Mark, Frank, Abey, Barnard, Natasha, really I mean they were all quite clear.

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

	Page 37579	ſ	Page 37581
1	[inaudible] with Bapo, can you see where that is?	1	MR MPOFU: No, fine. Well, to your
2	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	2	credit, whatever you meant there, it was better than what
3	MR MPOFU: You say, "Police action to	3	Mr Kgotle said about those criminals who meet at the hill
4	deterring and resolve the situation today." That's, what	4	daily. I'll give you that much. Finally, Mr Jamieson,
5	does that mean?	5	within the next five minutes I'm going to put the final
6	MR JAMIESON: Market report, as Frank had	6	proposition, or rather – yes, let me put it as a
7	reported earlier than that, I think that the, there was	7	proposition. You remember that I said to you yesterday
8	going to be a police plan to do exactly what it said here -	8	that, I said to you that your decision that you were party
9	MR MPOFU: Yes.	9	to of not talking to the people was in breach of Lonmin
10	MR JAMIESON: - give an ultimatum to lay	10	policy. You remember that?
11	down arms. It had been reported on by Frank sort of, I	11	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
12	think as early as Monday and then it was reported on by	12	MR MPOFU: Yes, but before that I had
13	Mark again on Tuesday and he had then related that it, that	13	said that it was also illogical. Remember?
14	had been postponed and it was now going to be today, being	14	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
15	Wednesday. It subsequently got postponed again, but that	15	MR MPOFU: Yes, now one of the reasons –
16	was what he'd reported at that time.	16	and I want your comment - one of the reasons for making it
17	MR MPOFU: Okay, when did you hear about	17	illogical is because from everything that you have
18	the, what you politely call the postponement of the killing	18	discussed and some of the issues put to you by my learned
19	of the people to Thursday?	19	colleague Ms Pillay, it was at that stage evident to you as
20	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]	20	management that the NUM had lost the confidence of the
21	MR MPOFU: Oh well, of the operation, ja.	21	workers and even of its own members, correct?
22	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, I don't think	22	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
23	that's a proper -	23	MR MPOFU: And yet knowing that that was
24	MR MPOFU: The postponement of the	24	the situation, really what you were saying to the workers
25	operation to Thursday, when did you hear about it?	25	was that you will not listen to them, you will only listen
		+	
	Page 37580		Page 37582
1	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had	1	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost
2	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th.	2	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it?
2 3	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes.		to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to
2 3 4	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on	2 3 4	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they
2 3 4 5	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th.	2 3 4 5	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning,
2 3 4 5 6	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja.	2 3 4 5 6 7	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: Okay, now the next sentence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: Okay, now the next sentence there it says, "Police have given ultimatum to lay down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja. You were wrong.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR JAMIESON:I can't recall but it hadto be obviously some time during the course of the 15th.MR MPOFU:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Because it didn't happen onthe 15th.MR MPOFU:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Ja.MR MPOFU:So the matter was postponed.MR JAMIESON:Ja.MR MPOFU:Okay, now the next sentencethere it says, "Police have given ultimatum to lay downarms and return to work to 2 000, 3 000," and then you put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja. You were wrong. MR JAMIESON: Ja.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: Okay, now the next sentence there it says, "Police have given ultimatum to lay down arms and return to work to 2 000, 3 000," and then you put in quotes, "'people' on the koppie." Why did you, did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja. You were wrong. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Just to save time, if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: Okay, now the next sentence there it says, "Police have given ultimatum to lay down arms and return to work to 2 000, 3 000," and then you put in quotes, "'people' on the koppie." Why did you regard those people as not really people? Why did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja. You were wrong. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Just to save time, if you look at page 134 –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: Okay, now the next sentence there it says, "Police have given ultimatum to lay down arms and return to work to 2 000, 3 000," and then you put in quotes, "'people' on the koppie." Why did you regard those people as not really people? Why did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja. You were wrong. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Just to save time, if you look at page 134 – MR MPOFU: 134?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: Okay, now the next sentence there it says, "Police have given ultimatum to lay down arms and return to work to 2 000, 3 000," and then you put in quotes, "'people' on the koppie." Why did you regard those people as not really people? Why did you punctuate it like that? MR JAMIESON: Because again the reports	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja. You were wrong. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Just to save time, if you look at page 134 – MR MPOFU: 134? CHAIRPERSON: 134, the trade union
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: Okay, now the next sentence there it says, "Police have given ultimatum to lay down arms and return to work to 2 000, 3 000," and then you put in quotes, "'people' on the koppie." Why did you regard those people as not really people? Why did you punctuate it like that? MR JAMIESON: Because again the reports that were coming from the mine is that if you were to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja. You were wrong. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR MPOFU: 134? CHAIRPERSON: 134, the trade union scenario document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: Okay, now the next sentence there it says, "Police have given ultimatum to lay down arms and return to work to 2 000, 3 000," and then you put in quotes, "'people' on the koppie." Why did you, did you regard those people as not really people? Why did you punctuate it like that? MR JAMIESON: Because again the reports that were coming from the mine is that if you were to describe them as, all as strikers or you know, unprotected	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja. You were wrong. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Just to save time, if you look at page 134 – MR MPOFU: 134? CHAIRPERSON: 134, the trade union scenario document. MR JAMIESON: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: Okay, now the next sentence there it says, "Police have given ultimatum to lay down arms and return to work to 2 000, 3 000," and then you put in quotes, "'people' on the koppie." Why did you regard those people as not really people? Why did you punctuate it like that? MR JAMIESON: Because again the reports that were coming from the mine is that if you were to describe them as, all as strikers or you know, unprotected strikers, that might not be the correct description because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja. You were wrong. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Just to save time, if you look at page 134 – MR MPOFU: 134? CHAIRPERSON: 134, the trade union scenario document. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Talking about NUM the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: Okay, now the next sentence there it says, "Police have given ultimatum to lay down arms and return to work to 2 000, 3 000," and then you put in quotes, "'people' on the koppie." Why did you, did you regard those people as not really people? Why did you punctuate it like that? MR JAMIESON: Because again the reports that were coming from the mine is that if you were to describe them as, all as strikers or you know, unprotected strikers, that might not be the correct description because we were told that there were other people that were there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja. You were wrong. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Just to save time, if you look at page 134 – MR MPOFU: 134? CHAIRPERSON: I 34, the trade union scenario document. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Talking about NUM the following is said, "NUM seems to have lost touch with their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: Okay, now the next sentence there it says, "Police have given ultimatum to lay down arms and return to work to 2 000, 3 000," and then you put in quotes, "'people' on the koppie." Why did you, did you regard those people as not really people? Why did you punctuate it like that? MR JAMIESON: Because again the reports that were coming from the mine is that if you were to describe them as, all as strikers or you know, unprotected strikers, that might not be the correct description because we were told that there were other people that were there that could be from the local communities or could even be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja. You were wrong. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Just to save time, if you look at page 134 – MR MPOFU: 134? CHAIRPERSON: 134, the trade union scenario document. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Talking about NUM the following is said, "NUM seems to have lost touch with their members and their confidence."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: Okay, now the next sentence there it says, "Police have given ultimatum to lay down arms and return to work to 2 000, 3 000," and then you put in quotes, "'people' on the koppie." Why did you, did you regard those people as not really people? Why did you punctuate it like that? MR JAMIESON: Because again the reports that were coming from the mine is that if you were to describe them as, all as strikers or you know, unprotected strikers, that might not be the correct description because we were told that there were other people that were there that could be from the local communities or could even be from other companies, i.e. I think Shaft Sinkers was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja. You were wrong. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Jast to save time, if you look at page 134 – MR MPOFU: 134? CHAIRPERSON: 134, the trade union scenario document. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Talking about NUM the following is said, "NUM seems to have lost touch with their members and their confidence." MR MPOFU: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: Okay, now the next sentence there it says, "Police have given ultimatum to lay down arms and return to work to 2 000, 3 000," and then you put in quotes, "'people' on the koppie." Why did you, did you regard those people as not really people? Why did you punctuate it like that? MR JAMIESON: Because again the reports that were coming from the mine is that if you were to describe them as, all as strikers or you know, unprotected strikers, that might not be the correct description because we were told that there were other people that were there that could be from the local communities or could even be from other companies, i.e. I think Shaft Sinkers was mentioned as one of them. So that's the reason for the –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja. You were wrong. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Just to save time, if you look at page 134 – MR MPOFU: 134? CHAIRPERSON: 134, the trade union scenario document. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Talking about NUM the following is said, "NUM seems to have lost touch with their members and their confidence." MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's point I think Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR JAMIESON: I can't recall but it had to be obviously some time during the course of the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Because it didn't happen on the 15th. MR MPOFU: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: So the matter was postponed. MR JAMIESON: Ja. MR MPOFU: Okay, now the next sentence there it says, "Police have given ultimatum to lay down arms and return to work to 2 000, 3 000," and then you put in quotes, "'people' on the koppie." Why did you, did you regard those people as not really people? Why did you punctuate it like that? MR JAMIESON: Because again the reports that were coming from the mine is that if you were to describe them as, all as strikers or you know, unprotected strikers, that might not be the correct description because we were told that there were other people that were there that could be from the local communities or could even be from other companies, i.e. I think Shaft Sinkers was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to them if they speak through the NUM, which they had lost confidence in. That's illogical, isn't it? MR JAMIESON: Sorry, when – just to correct what I said to Ms Pillay yesterday, she said they had lost confidence and I said their confidence was waning, I think is what I actually said. MR MPOFU: No, well you – MR JAMIESON: I didn't say it was completely lost, it was waning, is the word I used. MR MPOFU: Well, I remember that, ja. You were wrong. MR JAMIESON: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: Just to save time, if you look at page 134 – MR MPOFU: 134? CHAIRPERSON: 134, the trade union scenario document. MR JAMIESON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Talking about NUM the following is said, "NUM seems to have lost touch with their members and their confidence." MR MPOFU: Yes.

RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

	Page 37583	1	Page 37585
1	MR JAMIESON: I can't object with that.	1	to say to you is this; you acting on behalf of Lonmin or as
2	It's written here.	2	we qualified it earlier, you were party to two important
3	MR MPOFU: Yes. Ja, well it doesn't	3	events which are possibly causally connected to the deaths
4	matter the degree. I'm just saying knowing that what the	4	of the 44 people. The first one is a decision which you
5	Chairperson has just read, 134, second bullet, "The NUM	5	have agreed might have possibly had that effect, which was
6	seems to have lost touch with their members and their	6	the no talking decision on the 10th. You accept that?
7	confidence."	7	MR JAMIESON: Yes.
8	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	8	MR MPOFU: Secondly you were also, may I
9	MR MPOFU: Knowing that –	9	use the word maybe uncharitably of torchbearer, but you
10	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	10	were emphatic – let's use the word that you and I have
11	MR MPOFU: - would you agree therefore	11	agreed on. You were emphatic in the crusade to change the
12	that it was an illogical demand to put on the workers to	12	characterisation which was part of what I've called the
13	say we will only talk to you via the organisation in which	13	chain of political pressure which may also have been
14	we know you have lost confidence and lost touch? Just as a	14	causally connected to the deaths of the people, correct?
15	logical thing. Forget about now all these titles that we	15	MR JAMIESON: I was emphatic on that,
16	both hold.	16	yes.
17	MR JAMIESON: The locality I don't know,	17	MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you very much.
18	but my answer would be that there are processes which you	18	I've nothing further, Chairperson.
19	can go through. They might not be quick, they might not be	19	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much, Mr
20	fast and they might not get you the answer that you want,	20	Mpofu. I understand the next cross-examination is going to
21	but – and I'm not an IR expert, and when I say IR I mean	21	be on behalf of the LRC. Do you want to use five minutes
22	industrial relations, not investor relations - the option	22	now? Do you think there is a point you can deal with
23	was open there to anyone who was disgruntled with their	23	quickly in five minutes?
24	representatives that not long ago they had voted for and	24	MR NGCUKAITOBI: Well, if I have the
25	given mandates to, to go, to either have a grievance, which	25	option I would rather start tomorrow, but if I don't have
	Page 37584		Page 37586
1	is the Mike Da Costa route, as I would say it. They could	1	the option I'll use the five minutes.
2	have gone to the CCMA individually or collectively, or they	2	CHAIRPERSON: Well look, if you haven't
3	could have withdrawn their mandate by changing their stop	3	got a five-minute point you can start tomorrow. Have you
4	orders or cancelling their stop orders and they could have	4	not got a five-minute point?
5	come and then said we want worker committees for example.	5	MR NGCUKAITOBI: Perhaps I should ask a
6			
Ŭ	Now that takes some time, I'll grant, but that's the	6	few questions.
7	Now that takes some time, I'll grant, but that's the democratic and I think legal process that should have been	6 7	few questions. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you know, you can
	-		
7	democratic and I think legal process that should have been	7	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you know, you can
7 8	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry.	7 8	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you know, you can introduce yourself and set the scene –
7 8 9	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja.	7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you know, you can introduce yourself and set the scene – CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI: Mr
7 8 9 10	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja. I'm saying to you it's got nothing to do with IR, whichever	7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you know, you can introduce yourself and set the scene – CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI: Mr Jamieson, my name is Tembeka Ngcukaitobi. I am at the
7 8 9 10 11	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja. I'm saying to you it's got nothing to do with IR, whichever one of the IRs. I'm saying logically you cannot say that	7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you know, you canintroduce yourself and set the scene – CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI:MrJamieson, my name is Tembeka Ngcukaitobi.I am at the Legal Resources Centre.Can I ask you if I understand the
7 8 9 10 11 12	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja. I'm saying to you it's got nothing to do with IR, whichever one of the IRs. I'm saying logically you cannot say that if, like us here in this room, if we have lost confidence	7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you know, you can introduce yourself and set the scene – CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI: Mr Jamieson, my name is Tembeka Ngcukaitobi. I am at the Legal Resources Centre. Can I ask you if I understand the case of Lonmin about why Lonmin decided to disengage with
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja. I'm saying to you it's got nothing to do with IR, whichever one of the IRs. I'm saying logically you cannot say that if, like us here in this room, if we have lost confidence in an organisation, the SPCA, whatever it is, you can't	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you know, you can introduce yourself and set the scene – CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI: Mr Jamieson, my name is Tembeka Ngcukaitobi. I am at the Legal Resources Centre. Can I ask you if I understand the case of Lonmin about why Lonmin decided to disengage with the RDOs after Mr Da Costa had started the engagement
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja. I'm saying to you it's got nothing to do with IR, whichever one of the IRs. I'm saying logically you cannot say that if, like us here in this room, if we have lost confidence in an organisation, the SPCA, whatever it is, you can't then say you will only talk on our behalf through the SPCA,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you know, you can introduce yourself and set the scene – CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI: Mr Jamieson, my name is Tembeka Ngcukaitobi. I am at the Legal Resources Centre. Can I ask you if I understand the case of Lonmin about why Lonmin decided to disengage with the RDOs after Mr Da Costa had started the engagement process, you've just given the answer to Mr Mpofu where you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja. I'm saying to you it's got nothing to do with IR, whichever one of the IRs. I'm saying logically you cannot say that if, like us here in this room, if we have lost confidence in an organisation, the SPCA, whatever it is, you can't then say you will only talk on our behalf through the SPCA, in which you know that we've lost confidence. That is	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you know, you can introduce yourself and set the scene – CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI: Mr Jamieson, my name is Tembeka Ngcukaitobi. I am at the Legal Resources Centre. Can I ask you if I understand the case of Lonmin about why Lonmin decided to disengage with the RDOs after Mr Da Costa had started the engagement process, you've just given the answer to Mr Mpofu where you say that they did not follow the correct legal processes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja. I'm saying to you it's got nothing to do with IR, whichever one of the IRs. I'm saying logically you cannot say that if, like us here in this room, if we have lost confidence in an organisation, the SPCA, whatever it is, you can't then say you will only talk on our behalf through the SPCA, in which you know that we've lost confidence. That is illogical. It's got nothing to do with labour relations.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you know, you can introduce yourself and set the scene – CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI: Mr Jamieson, my name is Tembeka Ngcukaitobi. I am at the Legal Resources Centre. Can I ask you if I understand the case of Lonmin about why Lonmin decided to disengage with the RDOs after Mr Da Costa had started the engagement process, you've just given the answer to Mr Mpofu where you say that they did not follow the correct legal processes. I want to suggest to you two possible legal processes. The
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja. I'm saying to you it's got nothing to do with IR, whichever one of the IRs. I'm saying logically you cannot say that if, like us here in this room, if we have lost confidence in an organisation, the SPCA, whatever it is, you can't then say you will only talk on our behalf through the SPCA, in which you know that we've lost confidence. That is illogical. It's got nothing to do with labour relations. It's got something to do with logic.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you know, you can introduce yourself and set the scene – CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI: Mr Jamieson, my name is Tembeka Ngcukaitobi. I am at the Legal Resources Centre. Can I ask you if I understand the case of Lonmin about why Lonmin decided to disengage with the RDOs after Mr Da Costa had started the engagement process, you've just given the answer to Mr Mpofu where you say that they did not follow the correct legal processes. I want to suggest to you two possible legal processes. The first is the wage agreement that was a two-year wage
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja. I'm saying to you it's got nothing to do with IR, whichever one of the IRs. I'm saying logically you cannot say that if, like us here in this room, if we have lost confidence in an organisation, the SPCA, whatever it is, you can't then say you will only talk on our behalf through the SPCA, in which you know that we've lost confidence. That is illogical. It's got nothing to do with labour relations. It's got something to do with logic. MR JAMIESON: I think I would agree with	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you know, you canintroduce yourself and set the scene –CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI:MrJamieson, my name is Tembeka Ngcukaitobi.I am at theLegal Resources Centre.Can I ask you if I understand thecase of Lonmin about why Lonmin decided to disengage withthe RDOs after Mr Da Costa had started the engagementprocess, you've just given the answer to Mr Mpofu where yousay that they did not follow the correct legal processes.I want to suggest to you two possible legal processes.first is the wage agreement that was a two-year wageagreement, everybody knows about it, between 2011 and 2013.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja. I'm saying to you it's got nothing to do with IR, whichever one of the IRs. I'm saying logically you cannot say that if, like us here in this room, if we have lost confidence in an organisation, the SPCA, whatever it is, you can't then say you will only talk on our behalf through the SPCA, in which you know that we've lost confidence. That is illogical. It's got nothing to do with labour relations. It's got something to do with logic. MR JAMIESON: I think I would agree with you.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you know, you can introduce yourself and set the scene – CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI: Mr Jamieson, my name is Tembeka Ngcukaitobi. I am at the Legal Resources Centre. Can I ask you if I understand the case of Lonmin about why Lonmin decided to disengage with the RDOs after Mr Da Costa had started the engagement process, you've just given the answer to Mr Mpofu where you say that they did not follow the correct legal processes. I want to suggest to you two possible legal processes. The first is the wage agreement that was a two-year wage agreement, everybody knows about it, between 2011 and 2013. Would you accept that that was one open avenue?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja. I'm saying to you it's got nothing to do with IR, whichever one of the IRs. I'm saying logically you cannot say that if, like us here in this room, if we have lost confidence in an organisation, the SPCA, whatever it is, you can't then say you will only talk on our behalf through the SPCA, in which you know that we've lost confidence. That is illogical. It's got nothing to do with labour relations. It's got something to do with logic. MR JAMIESON: I think I would agree with you. MR MPOFU: Thanks.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you know, you canintroduce yourself and set the scene –CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI:MrJamieson, my name is Tembeka Ngcukaitobi.I am at theLegal Resources Centre.Can I ask you if I understand thecase of Lonmin about why Lonmin decided to disengage withthe RDOs after Mr Da Costa had started the engagementprocess, you've just given the answer to Mr Mpofu where yousay that they did not follow the correct legal processes.I want to suggest to you two possible legal processes.first is the wage agreement that was a two-year wageagreement, everybody knows about it, between 2011 and 2013.Would you accept that that was one open avenue?MR JAMIESON:You're referring to the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja. I'm saying to you it's got nothing to do with IR, whichever one of the IRs. I'm saying logically you cannot say that if, like us here in this room, if we have lost confidence in an organisation, the SPCA, whatever it is, you can't then say you will only talk on our behalf through the SPCA, in which you know that we've lost confidence. That is illogical. It's got nothing to do with labour relations. It's got something to do with logic. MR JAMIESON: I think I would agree with you. MR MPOFU: Thanks. MR JAMIESON: And add that it would	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you know, you can introduce yourself and set the scene – CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI: Mr Jamieson, my name is Tembeka Ngcukaitobi. I am at the Legal Resources Centre. Can I ask you if I understand the case of Lonmin about why Lonmin decided to disengage with the RDOs after Mr Da Costa had started the engagement process, you've just given the answer to Mr Mpofu where you say that they did not follow the correct legal processes. The first is the wage agreement that was a two-year wage agreement, everybody knows about it, between 2011 and 2013. Would you accept that that was one open avenue? MR JAMIESON: You're referring to the RDOs –
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja. I'm saying to you it's got nothing to do with IR, whichever one of the IRs. I'm saying logically you cannot say that if, like us here in this room, if we have lost confidence in an organisation, the SPCA, whatever it is, you can't then say you will only talk on our behalf through the SPCA, in which you know that we've lost confidence. That is illogical. It's got nothing to do with labour relations. It's got something to do with logic. MR JAMIESON: I think I would agree with you. MR MPOFU: Thanks. MR JAMIESON: And add that it would probably have been better to say go and follow the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you know, you can introduce yourself and set the scene – CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI: Mr Jamieson, my name is Tembeka Ngcukaitobi. I am at the Legal Resources Centre. Can I ask you if I understand the case of Lonmin about why Lonmin decided to disengage with the RDOs after Mr Da Costa had started the engagement process, you've just given the answer to Mr Mpofu where you say that they did not follow the correct legal processes. I want to suggest to you two possible legal processes. The first is the wage agreement that was a two-year wage agreement, everybody knows about it, between 2011 and 2013. Would you accept that that was one open avenue? MR JAMIESON: You're referring to the RDOs – MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	democratic and I think legal process that should have been followed. But I'm not an IR expert, sorry. MR MPOFU: Ja, maybe it's my fault, ja. I'm saying to you it's got nothing to do with IR, whichever one of the IRs. I'm saying logically you cannot say that if, like us here in this room, if we have lost confidence in an organisation, the SPCA, whatever it is, you can't then say you will only talk on our behalf through the SPCA, in which you know that we've lost confidence. That is illogical. It's got nothing to do with labour relations. It's got something to do with logic. MR JAMIESON: I think I would agree with you. MR MPOFU: Thanks. MR JAMIESON: And add that it would probably have been better to say go and follow the processes you have at your disposal to rectify the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON:Yes, you know, you canintroduce yourself and set the scene –CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGCUKAITOBI:MrJamieson, my name is Tembeka Ngcukaitobi.I am at theLegal Resources Centre.Can I ask you if I understand thecase of Lonmin about why Lonmin decided to disengage withthe RDOs after Mr Da Costa had started the engagementprocess, you've just given the answer to Mr Mpofu where yousay that they did not follow the correct legal processes.I want to suggest to you two possible legal processes.first is the wage agreement that was a two-year wageagreement, everybody knows about it, between 2011 and 2013.Would you accept that that was one open avenue?MR JAMIESON:You're referring to theRDOs –MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yen.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yen.MR JAMIESON:Yes.MR JAMIESON:Yen.MR JAMIESON:Yen.MR JAMIESON:Yen. </td

	•		
1	Page 37587	1	Page 37589
1	MR JAMIESON: - some time during the	1	would be a breach. I want to take you to the agreement. I
2	course of June –	2	don't know why you're anticipating my question.
3	MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes who wanted an	3	MR JAMIESON: No, I'm just cautioning
4	adjustment to their wages.	4	that I'm not familiar with the wage agreement.
5	MR JAMIESON: Yes.	5	CHAIRPERSON: It sounds as if this cross-
6	MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, alright. So do you	6	examination on this issue may take a bit of time if you're
7	accept that the way in which the wages issue was dealt with	7	going to take him through the agreement. May I suggest it
8	was through the wage agreement?	8	would be sensible at this stage to adopt the suggestion you
9	MR JAMIESON: No, it was more dealt with	9	made earlier, let him study the wage agreement overnight
10	as a grievance.	10	and then tomorrow morning you can make the points that you
11	MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes. No, I'm saying the	11	wish to make and get his responses.
12	way Lonmin wanted it to be dealt with was through the wage	12	MR NGCUKAITOBI: Thank you. The exhibit
13	agreement.	13	number is XX2.9, so that Mr Jamieson can familiarise
14	MR JAMIESON: Yes, to refer back to your	14	himself with it.
15	representatives who are part of the wage, you know, the	15	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I'm sure he knows the
16	wage council. So in the case of the RDOs I think it was	16	terms of the wage agreement. I'd be very surprised if he
17	NUM, but there were other members of that, UASA,	17	doesn't, but I'm sure he's notwithstanding that is grateful
18	Solidarity, who were also members of the bargaining unit	18	to you for telling him what the exhibit number is. 9
19	and it was across LPD.	19	o'clock tomorrow morning.
20	MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes.	20	MR JAMIESON: Sorry, which, where have I
21	MR JAMIESON: So they were referred back	21	got it in? Which bundle?
22	to them.	22	CHAIRPERSON: I suggest you speak to your
23	MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes, so in other words	23	counsel –
24	you refused to negotiate on the basis that if they wanted	24	MR JAMIESON: And get it.
25	discussions about wages that must happen centrally, at the	25	CHAIRPERSON: - even though you're under
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes. MR JAMIESON: Yes. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Now did you think that your refusal to negotiate, or rather your negotiation would be in breach of the wage agreement? MR JAMIESON: No. No, I didn't. MR NGCUKAITOBI: So you didn't believe that if you negotiated with the workers you would violate the wage agreement? MR JAMIESON: Yes, sorry if I got that the wrong way around. MR NGCUKAITOBI: Yes. MR JAMIESON: If we had negotiated, which we didn't, it would have been violation of the agreement, yes.	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR JAMIESON: Thank you. [COMMISSION ADJOURNED]
19	MR NGCUKAITOBI: Alright, now I want to	19	
20	take you through the wage agreement.	20	
21	MR JAMIESON: Okay, I should caution that	21	
	I'm not on -	22	
23	MR NGCUKAITOBI: No, Mr Jamieson –	23	
24	MR JAMIESON: - not an IR person -	24	
25	MR NGCUKAITOBI: - you told me that there	25	
05	MR NGCUKAITOBI: - you told me that there	25	

				Page
A	acting 37566:13	African 37388:12	allay 37489:16	announced 37454:16
Abey 37568:14	37585:1	37390:25 37410:17	allegation 37460:17	announcement
37578:22	action 37395:19	afternoon 37389:24	37487:11	37500:10 37546:4
abided 37396:2	37418:6 37515:13,16	37403:15 37469:22	allegations 37455:18	37548:21 37555:8,14
Abie 37486:19 37487:2	37525:16 37579:3	37472:7 37501:9,10	37462:1,18 37465:4	37555:18 37556:6,9
37487:2	activities 37565:4	37532:6 37533:18	alleged 37465:5	37563:7
able 37391:8 37393:6	activity 37517:19,22	37551:14	37478:16	announcements
37401:1 37413:16	37532:11 37577:13	ago 37387:7 37389:14	alleging 37463:8	37542:19 37543:2,3
	37578:3	37497:3 37554:20	allow 37485:4	37556:10,11,12
37416:12,13 37418:9	acts 37526:7	37583:24	allowed 37464:19	37562:5,12,16,20
37418:14,14 37419:1	actual 37437:10	agree 37411:7	37469:7,14 37484:22	37563:1,4
37419:5 37433:3	37445:17	37413:18 37421:24	37485:12	announces 37546:3
37438:19 37439:2,8	actuated 37485:3	37423:20 37428:18	allows 37396:3	answer 37422:17
37449:10 37451:17	ad 37392:6 37420:7	37428:20 37429:2,5	alluded 37571:23	37423:8 37426:24
37453:7,10 37454:15	37473:22	37461:25 37462:11	Ally 37447:15	37433:22,22,24,25
37487:21 37491:24	add 37454:9 37474:13	37482:6,24 37483:2,6	alright 37388:3	37434:6,11 37435:12
37504:12 37510:23	37481:12 37504:6	37482.0,24 37483.2,0	37400:10 37401:16	-
37550:5 37561:18				37443:1,12 37446:23
absence 37422:16	37542:22 37563:17	37484:4,19 37489:6	37402:18 37403:7	37449:2,16 37450:21
37476:19 37489:13	37584:21	37489:11,22 37490:3	37404:9 37405:21	37453:2 37454:10,20
37489:22	added 37474:15	37490:4,7,10,14,25	37406:7 37410:6,13	37480:6,9,10,16,18
absent 37476:22	37510:3	37491:4,16 37496:2	37431:6 37435:10,18	37481:6,9,10,15,17
absolutely 37516:13	addition 37427:16	37498:3 37502:6,9	37444:20 37446:18	37481:18 37495:25
37541:5	additional 37392:23	37503:5,12,25	37447:11 37450:25	37498:6 37501:12,14
abundantly 37463:13	37414:10,11 37420:9	37504:7 37505:17	37459:10,18	37501:15 37507:14
abuse 37523:23	37438:24 37463:18	37506:5 37508:5,21	37470:20 37499:11	37509:21,22 37511:5
37524:6	address 37393:1,11	37508:22 37513:10	37501:6 37503:23	37516:23,24
accept 37420:23	37394:2 37555:17	37514:1 37517:17	37510:11 37513:15	37522:14 37527:6
37438:9,12 37471:10	Addressing 37511:8	37521:3 37522:15,21	37520:25 37521:8	37542:3 37552:24
37489:20 37495:22	adequate 37518:8,12	37528:6,19 37529:1	37522:9 37527:5	37554:15 37558:3
37495:23,24	adequately 37418:22	37535:17,20,25	37541:12 37560:17	37565:10,11,11
37504:14 37530:21	37438:21 37444:19	37536:6,15 37537:18	37563:19,22	37572:12,23 37574:3
37585:6 37586:19	37456:12	37541:2,13 37544:25	37571:25 37572:22	37574:19 37583:18
37587:7	adjourn 37457:20	37569:17 37583:11	37587:6 37588:19	37583:20 37586:14
accepted 37525:20	37469:9,15 37499:12	37584:18	alter 37466:5	answered 37396:14
37532:1	ADJOURNED 37590:4	agreed 37412:12,22	ambiguous 37560:14	37407:25 37449:12
accepting 37491:1	adjournment 37469:5	37437:18 37468:8	ambush 37397:18	37472:19 37481:1,1
37542:6	37469:5 37498:24	37488:5 37497:15	37460:4	answering 37497:17
	37499:7,8 37556:21	37501:23 37502:16	AMCU 37402:22	37498:16 37557:22
access 37444:24	ADJOURNS 37426:14	37502:19 37503:6	37470:15,16 37480:1	answers 37399:13
37540:7 37569:1	37469:11,16	37504:4,16 37509:24	37501:4 37509:8	37450:18 37481:5
accomplished 37539:15	37499:13 37557:2	37510:2 37511:14	amend 37466:5	anticipating 37589:2
accord 37480:21	adjustment 37587:4	37512:20 37521:1,13	37480:15	anybody 37396:19,20
account 37438:17	administration	37525:4,6 37528:17	amending 37480:17	37397:6 37413:7
37441:15 37443:5	37404:23	37528:23 37534:4,11	Amendment 37465:14	37415:18 37418:21
37490:1,17,18	admitted 37538:19	37542:10 37566:10	amount 37495:4	37413.18 37418.21
37492:14 37494:2				
37497:13	admittedly 37399:12	37567:7 37585:5,11	37524:6	37484:4,11,14
accurate 37476:20	adopt 37589:8	agreement 37422:13	analogies 37513:19	37489:11,22
accurately 37419:13	advice 37436:8,12	37425:6 37586:17,18	analysing 37545:4	anyone's 37517:25
accused 37423:8	37438:8 37439:5	37587:8,13 37588:8	analysis 37452:6	anyway 37413:19
achieve 37524:5	37440:17 37441:4	37588:12,17,20	analysts 37544:2	37428:9 37429:9
achieving 37514:21	advices 37436:10	37589:1,4,7,9,16	37547:21 37555:24	37433:18 37435:18
acknowledge 37401:11	37439:6 37441:14	agrees 37482:1	ANC 37540:11,12,20	37435:20 37441:1
acknowledged 37461:7	37443:5	37521:12,16	37540:24 37554:11	37454:5,19 37468:15
acknowledging	advise 37448:4,10	37522:16 37533:21	37554:13 37572:1,5,6	37473:1 37506:18
37549:5	advised 37418:5	ahead 37412:15	37572:10,11	37515:2 37531:20,23
act 37465:14 37483:5	37435:22,24 37436:4	37471:23	37573:20 37574:10	37531:24 37561:3
37484:11 37487:21	37436:10 37443:9	aid 37576:1	37575:25 37576:4	37569:25
37492:16 37493:5	Advocate 37490:24	aimed 37514:21	angles 37544:15,18	apart 37550:19
37492:10 37493.5	37495:8	air 37470:22,24	angry 37519:6,14	apologies 37474:23,25
37521:16,17	affirm 37499:16,19	airport 37516:20	37539:21	37475:5,15 37476:1,3
37522:17 37523:1	afraid 373 96:13	37519:5,11	Annandale 37415:25	37476:5,16,18
- cPub N / / Pro-1 II CI	37409:12 37492:5	Albert 37499:23	37416:1 37421:1	37477:2,3
37524:18 37525:18	37518:13	37519:9 37557:5	37423:11 37425:11	apologise 37458:15
37526:4,16 37527:10	Africa 37483:12	37564:3	37425:16 37426:22	37476:6
37532:2 37533:23				
11 7	1/490.7 1/744.14	alerted 5/4/5.74	$1 - \frac{1}{2} - $	
acted 37405:25	37496:5 37544:14 37545:19 37555:15	alerted 37425:24 37452:14	37430:14,20 announce 37545:8	apologised 37475:10 37476:17

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

					Page
37445:21 37445:23 37445:24 37554:12 375554:12 375554:12 375554:12 375554:12 375554:12 375554:12 375554:12 375554:12 375554:12 375554:12 375554:12 375554:12 375554:12 375554:12 375554:12 375555 37464:12 37557:14 37457:14 37557:14 37457:12 37457:12 37457:12 37457:14 37457:12 37457:12 37457:12 37457:12 37457:12 37457:12 37457:12	apology 37476:11,13	arose 37388:17	37449:19,21	37497:4 37507:20,21	37564:4,5,23,25
37445.21 arranged 3748.18 sassing 37465.2,8 37561.17 3777.3 believe 3738.81 appeard 37388.17 arrest 37497.13 sassing 3748.12 arrest 37497.13 believe 3738.81 37396.21 3747.14 arrest 37385.13 sassing 3749.13 sassing 3749.13 37453.21 appears 37397.5 arrived 37388.13 sassing 3749.13 37454.17 3754.12 3748.12 3749.13 3748.12 3748.12 3748.11 3748.12 3748.12 3749.13 3748.12 3749.13 3749.13 3749.13 3749.13 3749.13 3749.13 3749.14 3749.25 3749.13 3749.25 3749.13 3749.25 3749.13 3749.25 3747.11 3749.45 3749.13 3749.25 3749.14 3749.25 3749.14 3749.25 3749.14 3749.25 3749.14 3749.25 3749.14 3749.25 3749.14 3749.25 3749.14 3749.25 3749.14 3749.25 3749.14 3749.25 3749.14 3749.25 3749.14 3749.25 3749.14 3749.25 3749.14 3749.14 3749	apparently 37388:12	37402:20 37517:6	assistance 37469:3	37523:22 37530:16	37565:17,20,24
append 37488.13 37447.13 37446.2 avek ard 37391.16 belies 37397.25 37447.15 37387.15 37487.15 37487.15 37487.15 37487.15 37487.15 37487.15 37487.15 37497.18 37397.25 37447.19 37487.15 37487.15 37497.11 37497.11 37497.11 37497.11 37497.11 37497.11 37497.12 <th< td=""><td>37395:19 37405:11</td><td>arrange 37516:15</td><td>37505:6 37551:19</td><td>37547:8 37554:12</td><td>37584:14 37585:1,21</td></th<>	37395:19 37405:11	arrange 37516:15	37505:6 37551:19	37547:8 37554:12	37584:14 37585:1,21
appeard arrest arres<	37445:21	arranged 37418:18	assistants 37445:2,8	37561:17 37577:3	behaviour 37542:8
appeared 37388:17 arrest 3739:23 arrest 3749:23 arre	appeal 37488:13	37447:3	37446:2	awkward 37391:16	believe 37388:1
37340:10 37447:10 arrised 37487:15 arrised 37487:15 37433 37447:10 37433 37447:10 37433 37433 37433 37433 37433 37433 37433 37433 37433 37433 37433 37433 37433 37433 37433 37433 37437 37433 37437 37433 37437 37437 37437 37437 37437 37437 37437 37437 37437 374474 374374374474 374		arrest 37395:20	assisted 37453:21	axe 37407:18	37397:25 37404:6
37.447:19 nerested 37.487:13 arsociated 37.577:8 associated 37.477:13 associated 37.477:13 associated 37.477:13 associated 37.470:12 associated 37.470:12 associated 37.470:12 associated 37.470:12 associated 37.575:12 associa					
appersis 37397:5 nrrive 37398:13.16 ascume 37494:20 37387:12 back 37387:5 37390:23 37388:10 appled 37473:4 artificial 37507:21 37495:25 37496:1 37400:113 37407:14 37495:25 37496:1 37400:21,141 37495:25 37496:1 37400:21,141 37495:25 37496:1 37400:21,141 37495:25 37496:4 appoint 3749171 asked 37393:16 assuming 37490:5 37447:13 3747:15 belongs 37344:25 belongs 37444:21 37457:15 belongs 37444:21 37447:13 37443:3 3750:10.37524:3 3740:12.5 belongs 3744:25 belong 3744:24 belongs 3744:25 belongs 3744:23 belongs 3744:24 belongs 3744:24 belongs 3744:24 belongs 3744:24 belong 3744:24 belong 3744:24 belong 3744:24 belong 3744:24 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>В</td> <td></td>			0	В	
73747:14 articulated 37495:14 assem:37494:20 37393:16,25 37400;0 37393:16,25 37400;0 37393:16,25 37400;0 37393:16,25 37400;0 37393:16,25 37400;0 37395:15,33 7400;13 37401;13 37437:8 belong 37344:6,8,17 37451:17 37471:14 aside 37489:20 aside 37490:20 37449:21 37449:21 37449:21 37449:21 37449:20 37496:20 37449:21 37449:21 37449:21 37499:20 37451:12 37469:10 37451:12 37469:10 37451:12 37469:10 37451:12 37469:10 37451:12 37469:10 37451:12 37469:10 37451:12 37469:10 3749:12 37561:13 37578:19 37449:12 37561:13 37578:19 37469:13 37578:19 37469:13 37578:19 37469:10 37451:12			<i>,</i>	back 37387:5 37390:23	
apple apple artificial 37467:12 37495:25 37495:12 37400:21,41 Tomp 3744:68,17 37451:17 37477:13 3754:12 37400:21,41 37495:25 37400:21,41 37495:15 belongs 37484:21 3744:19 3751:24 3752:14 37499:10 3742:17:15 3742:17:15 3742:17:15 3742:17:15 3742:17:15 3742:17:15 3742:17:15 3742:17:15 3742:17:15 3742:17:15 3742:17:15 3742:17:15 3742:17:15 3744:14			assume 37494:20		
app app ascertain 3749:17 3749	applied 37473:4				
37451:17.37477:14 37546:22 37534:15 37420:13.37427:16 belongin g7484:20 appoint 37491:17 asked 37493:16 assuming 3740:15 37447:13.3747:15 belongin g7484:20 appoint 37445:13 37396:13.3740:15 assuming 3740:15 37447:13.3747:16 belongin g7484:20 apportioned 3753:28 37409:20 37398:23.3740:15 assuming 3740:15 3741:19 37447:13.3750:09 37495:15 best 37400:20 3745:19.3750:09 37495:10 brait memp 3750:20 3754:47:3750:09 3749:10.2757:09 3749:10.2757:09 37499:10.3757:09 37499:10.3757:09 37499:10.3757:09 37499:10.3757:09 37499:10.3757:09 37499:10.3757:09 37499:10.3757:09 37499:10.3757:09 37499:10.3757:09 37499:10.3757:09 37499:10.3757:09 37499:10.3757:09 37499:10.3757:09 37499:10.3757:01 37499:10.3757:01 37499:10.3757:01 37499:10.3757:01 37499:10.3757:01 3749:11.3 3749:12.3748:12 37499:10.3757:01 3749:11.3759:01 3749:12.3748:12 3749:12.3748:12 3749:12.3748:12 3749:12.3748:12 3749:12.3748:12 3749:12.3748:12 3749:12.3748:12 3749:12.3757:15 3749:12.3757:15					9
appoint aside 37449:17 aside 37444:21 37447:12 belongis 3751:12 37449:20 37396:16.19 assuming 37457:19 37449:12 belongis 3751:18 belongis 37457:12 37457:12 37457:12 3751:18 berts 3754:12 3754:12 3754:12 3754:12 3754:12 3754:12 3754:12 3754:12 3754:12 3754:12 3754:12 3754:12 3754:12 3745:12 3745:12 3745:12 3745:12 3745:12 3745:12 3745:12 3745:12 3745:12 3745:12 3745:12 3745:12 3746:12 3746:13 3745:12 3746:12 3746:13 3745:12 3746:12			-		
apponted 37428:12 asked 37393:16 assuming 37400:5 37447:13 37498:15 benefit 3745:12 37447:13 37448:3 37396:16,10 assuming 37400:5 37497:19 37498:15 benefit 3745:12 apportioned 3752:8 37407:05,17 3745:10 37447:19 37498:15 brait strengt 3755:13 37517:12 3751:14 benefit 3746:02 3744:52 3751:13 3741:20 37421:5 attempt 37390:20 37542:4 3754:13 37551:14 37570:9 37490:10 3758:71 37489:13 3750:13 37542:13 37517:13 37576:9 37492:13 37576:9 37492:13 37576:9 37492:13 37576:9 37492:13 37576:9 37492:13 37576:9 37492:12 3746:12 3748:12 3719:03.758:16 37442:12,18 3745:10 3741:12 37457:10 3746:21 37452:13 37576:19 37452:13 37576:19 37452:13 37576:19 37452:13 37576:19 37452:13 37576:19 37452:13 37576:19 37451:13 3756:13 37576:10 37417:13 3756:12 3750:12 37576:10 37411:12 3756:13 37576:10 37447:13 3756:13 37576:10 37411:12 37456:13 3749:13 3756:13 37576:15 37460:3746:21 37542:13 37576:15 37460:3746:21 37476:3748:12 37460:3746:21 374767:13 3746:13 37476:3748:12 3746			assumed 37468:11		
37:449:13 37396:16,19 assurance 37418:22 37499:06,15 37315:14 3745128 apportioned 37532:8 37407:24 37409:20 37409:20 37409:20 37409:20 37409:20 37409:20 37409:20 37409:20 37409:20 37409:20 37515:18 37521:18 37429:23 37515:18 37421:25 37511:24 37551:34 37501:23 37542:14 37509:10 37517:15 37421:25 37509:10 37517:15 37509:10 37517:15 37509:10 37517:15 37509:10 37517:15 37509:10 37517:15 37509:10 37517:15 37542:13 37509:10 37517:15 37542:12 37468:12 37459:10 37517:15 37499:10 37519:10 37514:12 37459:12 37468:13 37459:12 37468:13 37459:12 37468:13 37459:12 37468:13 37499:12 37468:13 37459:12 37468:13 37459:12 37468:13 37459:12 37468:13 37499:12 37469:13 37459:12 37468:13 37459:12 37468:13 37478:13 37478:13 37478:13					
37449:20 37398:23 37406:15 37441:19 37515:18 37517:16 37495:19 37539:23 apporticel 3743:15 37407:24 37406.61,9 attempt 37509:20 37512:13 3750:9 37567:9 bprecine 3743:16 37409:20 37410:3 attempt 37590:20 37512:13 3750:9 3750:12 apprecine 37403:12 3742:13 3743:20 37517:1 37521:16 37499:10 37517:15 apprecine 37407:23 37442:62 37443:11 attend 37387:21 37587:21 37587:21 approach 37407:23 37442:62 37443:11 attende 37498:17 37587:21 37584:22 3750:10 37442:62 37443:11 attender 37479:12 37587:21 beckground 37406:21 3750:12 3742:23 37440:13 37456:10 37477:12,612,13 37457:10 37471:12 3750:12 37442:21 37460:13 3750:12 3756:12 3746:13 3750:12 3742:12 3746:13 3750:13 3750:12 3756:12 3746:13 3750:12 3742:12 3746:13 3750:12 3756:14 3750:12 3756:12 37576:15 3748:33 374			8		
apportioned 37532:8 37407:24 37409:6.19 attached 37551:13 37517:23 37520:9 37567:9 appositely 374354:15 37407:24 37409:03 37410:3 attempt 37500:0 37547:33 3750:12 37497:10 3757:12 apprised 37471:19 37422:13 37435:1 attempt 37387:43 374 37567:13 37567:19 37492:10 3757:12 approach 37497:23 37442:02 37443:11 attempt 37387:43 374 37567:19 3758:12 37492:10 3758:12 37500:10 37586:23 37445:13 37448:12 37442:13 3745:10 37567:19 37567:19 approaches 37518:6 37440:13 3745:10 attender 37477:12.6,12.13 37517:12 background 37406:21 37483:12 3748:13 37407:24 37452:13 37467:26 10 37477:12.6,12.13 37471:6,37481:24 Bham 37499:3,6.9 37407:23 37530:10 37464:13 37462:16 37477:12.6,12.13 37567:15 37483:5 3748:13 37407:23 3748:11 37467:23 3748:11 37467:23 3748:14 37487:3 3748:14 37487:43748:14 37407:23 3752:10 37467:23 3748:11 37467:13 37462:16 37477:12.6,12.13 37477:13 37577:19 374337:3777:19 3742:12 374444:13 37462:16		,		-	
appositely 37454:15 37409:20 37410:3 attemp 37390:20 37542:4 37549:18 better 37387:8 apprecise 37473:36 37409:20 37428:3 attemp 37387:4 37560:13,14 37570:2 37409:10 37517:15 approach 37407:23 37432:13 37435:6 37452:13 37572:1 37576:9 37582:12 37582:12 37500:10 37586:23 374442:62 37443:11 attend 37427:10 37587:21 37587:21 beyond 374443:21 37500:10 37586:23 37449:11,21,8,21 37475:10 37441:25 37584:12 3750:12 3742:23 37435:1 3745:21 37456:10 37471:12,5 backeround 37406:20 37506:12 3742:43 37405:11 37447:23 37489:10 37541:14 37545:18 bad 37471:12 37541:14 37545:18 3742:43 244 37499:10 37506:12 37576:15 bad 37435:12 37541:14 37545:18 big 3749:63 37470:12 3742:43 244:19.21 37506:15,17 37507:8 autention 37500:13 37541:14 37547:12 37527:19 bid 37478:13 3754:14 37547:13 37452:13 3751:16 3742:13 3751:16 3742:13 3751:16 3742:14 37441:12 3744:14 37445:18 bid 37478:10 3754:14 37547:12 37527:19 bid 37478:12 3754:14 3756:12 37727:10					
appreciate 37433:6 3741320 37421:5 attempts 37512:4 375542:37564:3 375642:37562.2 37401:25 37484:8 37521:18 37425:937483:3 37577.1 375642:37502.2 375821:37502.2 37542:37581:9 37542:37581:2 37519:3 37442:625 37443:11 attendance 37498:17 37581:9 37581:9 37582:1 37542:37581:2 37500:10 37442:625 37443:11 attendance 37498:17 37581:9 37581:9 37581:9 37542:37581:12 37500:10 37442:12 37451:0 37471:12.5 37451:12 374551:12 37451:12 37451:1					
37521:18 3742:9 3742:8:3 37517:7 37569:13,14 37570:2 37542:737570:2 approach 37471:9 37432:13 3743:2:1 37432:13 3743:2:1 37432:13 3743:2:1 37581:2 37519:3 37442:6:25 37443:11 attendance 37498:17 37581:2 37581:2 37581:2 37500:10 37586:23 37449:13 37446:137448.2:1 attendances 37475:10 37447:10 37437:1 37459:16 37468:13 3742:23 3745:1 37459:16 37451:14 attendaces 37477:12 background 3740:20 37459:12 37468:13 3742:23 3745:1 37459:16 37449:16 37449:13 37451:14 attending 37479:21 3757:16 37481:24 37489:15 37489:16 3744:23,21 37497:13 3756:15 37447:11 37507.8 attending 37479:21 3757:11 3757:12 37489:16 3748:13 37499:5 backg 37497:12 3752:19 backg 37497:12 3752:19 backg 37497:12 3752:19 backg 37497:12 3752:18 backg 37497:12 3756:15 37474:13 3748:13 37469:16 37579:12 3744:12 3744:13 37449:12 37478:10 3744:12 3744:12 37478:10 3744:12 3748:14 3744:14 37544:14 3754:18 backg 37497:12 3756:15 3757:12 37441:13 37557:12 3744:12 37448:12 3747			-		
apprised 37471:19 37432:1 37433:21 attend 37387:4 37445:2 37572:1 37576:9 37542:7 3758:22 approach 37497:23 37446:1 37448:8,21 37445:1 37448:1,1 374475:10 37587:21 backdrop 37406:21 37584:22 beyond 37443:21 approaches 37518:6 37445:1 37448:1,1 attendace 37497:12 374475:10 37475:10 374475:10 37477:12,6,12,13 backdrop 37406:21 3750:10 3756:12 3750:10 3746:12 37449:1,12,12,11 37447:10,1471:12,6,12,13 backd 37431:12,5 backd 37431:12,5 backd 37431:12,5 3750:10 3746:12 3750:10 3756:15 3750:10 3746:12 backd 37431:12,5 3750:10,3756:12,3756:15,17,3756:15,17,3756:15,17,37551:19,37551:19,37551:19,37551:19,37551:19,37551:19,37551:19,37551:19,37551:19,37551:19,37551:19,37551:19,37551:19,37551:19,3756:16,37550:12,37550:12,37556:16,37550:12,37556:16,37550:12,37556:16,37550:12,37556:16,37550:12,37556:16,37550:12,37552:10,37545:11,3752:11,37529:11,37550:12,3756:12,37550:12,3756:12,37550:12,3756:12,37550:22,37542:12,37562:12,37542:12,37562:12,375					
approach 37497:23 3743:21 3745:6 3745:21 3 37578:19 3758:714 3758:21 37519:3 37442:62 37442:61 37448:11 attendence 37498:17 37587:14 37587:14 37587:14 37509:10 3758:623 37449:11,12,18,21 37475:10 37417:12,61,21,3 37417:12,61,21,3 37417:12,61,21,3 37417:12,537410:20 37439:21,3739:61,6 37459:21,37499:3,6,9 37425:23 37449:11 37445:37468:10 attendes 37477:12,3 37571:15 37447:17,57488:10 37571:15 37447:37499:5,6,9 37515:13,16 37446:537468:10 attendes 37477:10 37541:23,24 37576:15 37449:37572:19 3750:12 3750:13,13 37560:15,17,37507:8 audience 3756:15 addi 3741:12 bad 374971:12,37527:19 bid 3743741:12 bid 3748:14 3758:6,37449:5 3750:12 3750:12 3750:17,37507:8 audience 3746:15,14 ball 37441:25 ball 3748:22 ball 3748:22 area 37404:8,3709:17 37538:6,37449:14 37545:23,255 ball 3748:20 37559:6,6,6 3747:19,3747:17 37453:19,374471:12 37545:20 37466:12,448:23 37589:6,6					
37519:3 37442:6,25 37443:11 attended 3749:17 37587:21 backdrop 37406:21 37443:21 37500:10 37586:23 37449:11,12,18,21 37475:10 37475:10 37411:25 37451:23 37461:37448:8,21 37475:10 37411:25 37451:23 37451:23 37451:23 37451:23 37451:23 37451:23 37451:23 37451:23 37451:23 37451:23 37451:23 37451:23 37451:23 37451:23 37451:23 37481:24 3750:12 37561:15 bias 37483:35 37453:35 37561:15 bias 37483:35 37445:13 37551:19 37561:15 bias 37483:13 37445:23 37483:19 bias 37483:13 37561:15 37445:12 37445:12 37445:12 37445:12 37445:13 3751:10 3754:12 3751:12 37561:15 37445:12 37455:10 37445:12 37455:10 37445:12 37478:10 37456:12 37478:10 37456:13 3751:12 37561:16 37456:12 37474:12 3751:10 37456:20 37456:13 37551:10 37478:10 37456:137446:11 37456:137446:11 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
approached 37403:12 37446:1 37448:8,21 attended 37421:10 backdrop 37406:21 37459:12 37468:13 37500:10 37586:23 37449:11,12,18,21 37475:10 37411:25 37411:25 37506:12 approaches 37518:6 37459:12,1748:11 37477:12,61,21,3 37411:637461:23 37506:12 37425:23 37459:12,1748:10 37477:13,15 37471:637481:24 Bham's 37499:5 37455:13,16 37464:53748:10 37506:15 badk 37497:12 3757:15 Bham's 37499:5 37425:23 37449:13,16 37464:53748:10 37551:13 37553:10 37544:14 37455:18 37457:3 37425:23 37495:13,13 37506:15 badk 37491:12 37457:15 bidding 37537:22,25 3744:19,21 37507:10 37511:9 37537:10 3757:10 3757:10 37457:10 3748:12 3741:17 3741:4 bidding 37437:23 area 37494:8 37509:17 3753:23:11 3745:23,25 3745:20.24 ballgame 37550:12 37561:5,7 37574:5 37492:4 37497:8,13 3756:16 3754:9,15 3745:10 3746:16 37457:10 3748:12 3746:20 37587:6 37492:4 37497:78,13					
37500:10 37546:12 3744:11.12.18.21 3747:10 37411:25 37504:11 approvaches 37518:6 37450:16 3747:12.1761.12 37471:6 37471:6 37490:3,6,9 37437:19 37450:11 37450:12 3747:12.3761.15 37471:6 37471:6 37481:24 37506:12 37437:19 37460:737462:16 attending 37479:21 37576.15 bias 37483:5 37484:13 37424:12,13 375319.37531:0 37544:14 37544:14 3749:5 bias 37435:3 37485:3 37404:19,21 37500:15,17 37534:21 37537:10 3754:12:17 3745:22,22 3741:125 3751:6 3754:12:17 3745:22,22 3741:12:17 3745:23 3745:10 3744:12:17 3741:12 3751:6 3745:22 3754:41:2 3745:23 3754:11 37537:10 3754:41:12 3751:16 3745:22 3754:12:17 3745:22 3754:12:17 3745:22 3754:12:17 3754:22 3754:12:17 3754:22 3755:10 3755:10 3755:10 3755:10 3755:13:17 3745:22:22 3754:11					·
approaches 37518:6 37450:16 37451:14 attendees 37475:23 backed 3741:19 Bham 37499:3.6.9 37425:23 37435:1 37454:21 37456:10 37477:12,6.12,13 background 37406:20 37506:12 37425:23 37435:1 37440:19 7460:7 37462:16 attenders 37477:13,15 37471:6 37481:24 Bham 37499:3 37151:31,16 37464:5 37468:10 attention 37500:13 backs 37422.4 37485:3 37424:3,21 37495:13,13 37557:10 3754:14 37545:18 bidding 37537:22,25 37424:19,21 37500:15,17 37507:8 audience 37516:15 badl 37411:12 37511:6 37424:19,21 37500:17 37542:12 37439:13,19 ayr14:125 3748:10 37544:12 3750:12 3759:12 37553:13 3753:25 37455:10 ball 3744:20,22 3758:6 aren '1 37440:1 37550:13 3753:6 37456:20,24 ballistics 37468:9 ball 3743:38:4 37559:6.6 37492:4 37497:8,13 37409:81,013441:15 37460:16 Baloyi 37460:20 blany 3758:25 37492:4 37497:8,13 37459:24 3744:19 37459:24 37499:14 37459:24 37492:4 3				-	
appropriate 37397:15 37445:21 37456:10 3747:12.6.12.13 background 37406:20 37506:12 37425:23 37437:19 37440:19 37450:6.11.17 attending 37479:21 37576:15 bias 37483:53 37481:24 Bham's 3749:55 37424:3,21 37460:73 37462:37448:16 37541:23,24 backs 37422:4 bias 37483:53 37484:13 37424:3,21 37495:13,13 37551:19 37551:19 37541:23,224 bidd 374971:23 bidd 374971:23 bidd 374971:23 bid 37493:13 37542:14 37542:13 37542:14 37542:14 37542:13 37542:13 37542:14 37542:13 3742:19,3744:12 37441:12 37412:17 37414:12 37471:12 37471					
37425:23 37435:1 37460:7 37462:16 attendies 37477:13.15 37471:6 37481:24 Bham's 37499:5 37437:19 37440:19 37460:7 37462:16 attending 37479:21 37576:15 bias 37483:5 37484:13 37515:13,16 37464:5 37468:10 attention 37500:13 backs 37422:4 bidding 37537:22.25 37424:32,21 37464:5 37468:10 37553:19 37573:10 37544:14 3754518 big 3749:6 3740:1 37424:32,01 37506:15, 17 37507:8 audience 37516:15 badl 37471:34 big 37873:7405:4 37509:12 37509:17 37534:22 37452:23,25 ball 37441:25 37474:10 37404:1 37553:16 37455:20,224 ballistics 37468:9 ball 37533:10,10,10 37412:19 37457.7 asting 37394:11 3746:22,9 37460:15 Balogi 3746:20 blam 37333:10,10,10 37492:4 374978,13 37506:16 37574:9,15 3746:22,9 37460:16 Balogi 3746:20 blam 37438:13 37505:12 37527:6,18 37400:8,10 37413:15 374602:16 37574:9,15 37461:23 3748:12 37461:23 3748:12 3749:4 37497:8,13 37420:8,14 37462:12 37462:29 37460:11 Balogi 3758:18 Balogi 37					
37437:19 37460:7 37462:16 attention 37500:13 3756:15 bias 37483:5 37484:13 37515:13,16 37464:5 374648:10 375415:3,24 3623742:4 37485:3 37485:3 37424:3,21 37495:13,13 37553:19 37541:12 37541:16 bidding 37537:22,25 37424:19,21 37507:10 37511:9 August 37387:20,22,22 37412:17 37413:4 bid 37387:4 37405:4 3760 37530:12 37550:15 37553:19 37561:57 37461:4 37561:57 37461:10 37553:22 37457:10 37458:13 37561:57 37561:57 37550:13 37553:6 37457:10 37458:10 37454:12 3759:66.66 balls 3748:4 37559:66.66 balls 3748:4 37559:67.66 balls 3748:4 37559:67.66 balls 3748:4 37559:67.66 balls 3748:4 3					
37515:13.16 37464:5 37468:10 attention 37500:13 back 3742:4:4 37485:3 approval 37405:11 37487:23 37489:16 37541:23,24 bad 37497:12 37527:19 bid 37537:22,25 37424:3,21 37495:13,13 37553:19 37573:10 375387:20,22,22 37437:11:12 3744:14 37545:18 bid 37497:12 37511:6 37424:49,21 37507:10 37511:9 August 37887:20,22,22 37412:17 37413:4 bit 37387:4 37405:4 Arch 37520:12 37551:17 37453:25 37455:10 ball strice 3744:20,22 37589:6 area 37494:8 37509:17 37550:13 37553:6 37456:10 3746:20,224 ballistice 37442:02,02 37589:6 argu 37475:7 387457:93745:1 37469:13 37590:13 37537:22 37466:15,24 37407:8 37589:6 37492:4 37497:8,13 37409:8,10 37413:15 37466:12,24 37427:8 Balogi 37457:7 Balogi 37457:7 37492:4 37497:8,13 37499:8,10 37413:15 37466:12,24 37427:8 Barya 37589:6 ballstice 37442:02,02 ball strigg 37589:6 37492:4 37497:6,18 37499:8,17416:11 37460:12,24 37497:8 37559:16 Barya 37587:18 Barya 37587:18 ballaming 37587:18 barya 37457:7 based 37433:13 37418:22,24 37499:13 37499:12<		, ,			
approval 37405:11 37487:23 37489:16 37541:23,24 bad 37497:12 37527:19 bidding 37537:22.25 37424:3,21 37497:17 37507:8 37501:15,17 37507:8 37551:16 37511:6 57516:15 37424:19,21 37507:10 3751:19 August 37387:20.22,22 37412:17 37413:4 bid 37341:12 5751:16 37487:23 37509:12 37512:2 37511:6 5751:16 5751:16 5751:16 5751:16 5751:16 5751:16 5751:17 3751:16 5751:16 5751:17 37561:57 37457:10 3754:12 37561:57 37561:57 37561:57 37561:57 37561:57 3756:57 37555:6 37456:20 ballistics 37468:9 ballistics 37468:9 balls 3753:10,10,10 37497:4 37497:8,13 37560:16 37574:9,15 37457:10 3746:12 37466:12,24 3746:2 Bapo 3757:11 blame 37420:23 37505:12 3752:6,13 3752:6,13 3742:19 37449:14 3749:12 37408:4,21,24 37498:4,21,24 37408:4,21,24 37492:4 37497:8,13 37402:4,37497:21 37535:13 37421:19 37441:12 37409:15,3714:52 37492:4 37497:13 37492:19 37445:13 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
37424:3.21 37495:13.13 37553:19 37573:10 37441:4 37545:18 big 37439:6 37470:1 approved 37398:10 37506:15,17 37507:8 audience 37516:15 Arust 37387:20,22.2 37511:6 37512:6 37512:1 <					
approved 37398:10 37506:15,17 37507:8 audience 37516:15 badly 37411:12 7511:6 37424:19,21 37507:10 37511:9 37392:3732:11 37392:3732:12 37421:17 37413:4 bit 37387:4 37405:4 Arch 37520:12 37539:22 37523:11 37452:25 37435:5:10 ball 37411:25 37474:10 3754:12 37475:5 aren' 37440:1 37550:12 37566:15 37574:9,15 37455:20,24 ballistics 37432:20 37589:6 aren' 37440:1 37550:13 37401:22 37457:10 37458:1,10 Balgame 37550:12 3759:66,66 37474:19 37475:7 asking 37394:11 37459:16 37401:22 37466:15,24 37472:8 Bapo 37579:1 Balming 37587:18 bloadished 37407:20 37527:20 37528:4 37409:18,10 3741:13 3759:20 37530:18 Barrand' 37578:23 37408:42,124 arisen 37408:22 37497:14 37497:16,16 autonomy 37483:19 37441:8 37486:23 board 37577:10 3754:13 37574:22 37495:12 37495:22 37530:12 37495:23 37412:12,16,23 37497:14 body 37437:10 37440:6 37491:13 37492:12 37497:20 37533:14 3754:13 3749:20 374333:13 37418:22,24					
37424:19,21 37507:10 37511:9 August 37387:20,22,22 37412:17 37413:4 bit 37387:4 37405:4 Arch 37520:12 37519:22 37523:11 37392:8 37439:13,19 37412:17 37413:4 bit 37387:4 37405:4 area 37494:8 37509:17 37538:6 37542:22 37455:23,25 ballgame 37550:12 37550:12 37553:6 37478:10 37548:12 37550:12 37553:6 37478:10 37488:1,10 ballstic 3744:20,22 37550:6.6 balls 37388:4 37550:56.6.6 37492:4 37497:8,13 37396:1 37401:22 37462:2,9 37464:1 Balog 37557:1 balls 37488:4 Balog 37579:1 balming 37553:25 bloodshed 37407:20 37507:12 37527:6,18 3742:19 37461:1 37479:21 37501:13 37488:12 37409:8,10 37413:15 37468:15,24 37747:28 Barnard 37578:12 37408:4,21,24 argument 37481:12 3749:18,16 37490:25 37530:12 3753:14 37497:16,16 37497:16,16 37497:16,16 37507:12 3754:12 3749:22 37491:8 37497:16,16 37497:16,16 37497:16,16 37497:16,16 37519:23 37494:23 3749:52 37536:14 37577:19 37414:15,3742:23 37414:12 37414:12 37414:12 37407:16					
Arch 37520:12 37519:22 37523:11 37392:8 3749:13,19 ball 37441:25 37478:10 3754:12 area 37494:8 37509:17 37534:21 37537:17 37432:25 37435:10 ball 37441:25 37561:10 3754:12 37561:10 3754:22 3758:10 37561:10 37553:6 37461:20 3758:66 3754:22 3758:66 3754:22 3758:66 3754:22 3758:66 3754:22 3758:66 3754:22 3758:66 37550:13 37553:6 37456:10 37458:1,10 ball 37438:4 37559:6,6,6 3759:10 37466:16 Baloyi 37465:20 balaming 37553:25 blaming 37553:25 blaming 37553:25 blaming 37553:25 blaming 37553:25 blaming 37553:25 37409:15 37417:23 37490:14 3742:20:23 37498:41:24 37490:15 37417:24 37490:15 37417:24 37490:15 37417:24 37490:15 37417:24 37490:15 37417:24 37490:15 37417:24 37490:15 37417:24 37490:15 37417:24 37490:15 37417:24 37490:15 37417:24 37497:14 baody 37437:10 3746:23 37491:16 3760:23 37491:16 3760:23 37491:16 3760:21 barsi 37490:24 body 37437:10 3740:24 barsi 37490:24 body 37437:10 3740:24 barsi 37490:24 body 37437:10 3740:24 barsi 3740:24 bars				Ŧ	
area 37494:8 37509:17 37534:21 37451:25 37451:25 37451:25 37451:25 37451:25 37451:25 37451:25 37551:12 37561:5,7 37574:5 37589:6 aren't 37401:1 37553:6 37456:20,24 ballistic 37442:20,22 ballistic 37442:20,23 ballistic 37451:21 37552:25 ballistic 37442:20,23 ballistic 37442:20,23 ballistic 37442:20,23 37409:22 37408:42,124 argument 37481:12 37409:13 37451:21 37529:20 37530:18 based 37433:13 37418:22,24 37409:15 37415:4 37577:19 arguit 37461:23 37428:23 37438:19 37441:8 37486:23 37418:22,24 374377:19 basis 37430:23 basis 37	,		0		
37509:18 37538:6 37542:22 37455:23,25 ballistic 37442:20,22 37589:6 aren' 37440:1 37550:13 37553:6 37456:20,24 ballistic 37468:9 ballistic 37468:9 argue 37473:3 37566:16 37574:9,15 37457:10 37458:1,10 balls 37438:4 37559:6,6,6 37492:4 37497:7 asking 37394:11 37459:16 37460:16 Baloy 37455:20 blame 37420:23 37507:12 37527:6,18 37409:8,10 37413:15 37466:15,24 37472:8 bargaining 37587:18 bloodshed 37407:20 37527:20 37528:4 37413:19 37416:11 37479:21 37501:13 37588:1 37408:4,21,24 argument 37481:12 37422:19 37445:13 37529:20 37530:18 baread 37433:13 37418:22,24 37495:24 37515:3 37497:16,16 autonomy 37483:19 based 37433:13 37418:22,24 37549:14 37507:16 37509:5 available 37392:23 basis 37439:8 37441:15 bourd 37550:3 37574:22 37554:14 37557:20 37412:12,16,23 37442:22 37587:24 bourd 37499:21 37409:25 37407:8 37425:6 37427:10 37442:23 37495:12 bourd 37499:21 bourd 37499:21 37414:15 37422:3 37414:55 37415:12 aspect 37407:8 37425:6 37			-		
aren't 37440:1 37550:13 37553:6 37456:20,24 ballistics 37468:9 blah 37533:10,10,10 argue 37473:3 37566:16 37574:9,15 37457:10 37458:1,10 37458:1,00 37452:00 blam 37420:23 37474:19 37475:7 37396:1 37401:22 37466:15,24 37472:8 bargaining 37587:18 blam 37420:23 37505:12 37527:6,18 37409:8,10 37413:15 37466:15,24 37472:8 bargaining 37587:18 bloodshed 37407:20 37527:20 37528:4 37413:19 37416:11 37479:21 37501:13 37588:1 37408:15 37415:4 37495:24 37515:3 37422:19 37445:13 37529:20 37530:18 based 37433:13 37418:22,24 37491:14 37497:16 3749:22 375439 37573:14 37441:8 37466:23 board 37550:3 37491:14 37497:16 3749:22 375439 37573:14 37497:14 board 37550:3 37441:13 37555:12 37405:13 37409:20 basis 37430:24 board 3750:16 37542:19 37415:12 37497:14 37497:14 board 3750:16 37542:13 37507:16 3750:5 available 37392:23 basis 37439:26 board 37495:13 37441:13				8	-
argue 37473:3 37456:16 37574:9,1537457:10 37458:1,10 37457:10 37458:1,10balls 37438:437559:6,6,637474:19 37475:7 37492:4 37497:8,13asking 37394:1137459:16 37400:16 37401:22Baloyi 37465:2.0Baloyi 37465:2.03750:12 37527:6,18 37502:12 37527:20 37528:437409:8,10 37413:15 37409:8,10 37413:1537466:15,24 37472:8 37466:15,24 37472:8Barpaining 37587:18 3758:13Barnard 37578:23 37408:4,21,2437495:24 37515:337422:19 37445:13 37495:24 37515:337422:19 37445:13 37422:19 37445:1337529:20 37530:18 37529:20 37530:18Barnard 37578:23 37409:15 37415:437497:14 37497:1437497:16,16 37497:16,16autonomy 37483:19 arises 37452:16Bases 37443:13746:21 37497:16,37509:5Barsible 37392:23 37412:12,16,23Basic 37430:24 basis 37430:24body 37437:10 37440:63 37577:1937574:22 37574:2237554:14 37542:19 3754:2237405:13 37409:20 37440:25 37441:1 armed 37407:1837465:22 37425:6 37427:10 37425:6 37427:1037442:22 37587:24 37425:6 37427:10baske 37439:6 37442:22bound 37499:21 bound 37499:21armed 37400:25 37440:25 37440:25aspect 37456:59 37491:8 37565:9 37565:937427:10 37425:6 37427:10 37410:18 37421:9,11 avoiding 37427:5 becami 37396:16assess 37438:20 37486:13,2237465:7,12,17 37410:18 37421:9,11 37495:13 37509:9break 37269:20 37484:5,3148:5,113 37484:5,113 37484:12,37485:1937508:2,724 37507:11 37588:8 37588:12assessed 37438:20 37466:12,16,1937427:5 37497:14becoming 37500:23 37466:12,61937508:12 37508:12 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
37474:19 37475:7 37492:4 37497:8,13asking 37394:1137459:16 37460:16 37492:2,2,9 37464:1Baloyi 37465:20 Bapo 37579:1blame 37420:23 blaming 37557:1237505:12 37527:6,1837499:13 7416:1137492:1 37492:1 37511:337588:1blaming 37587:18blaming 37587:20argument 37481:1237420:18,24 37421:837512:11 37529:19Barnard 37578:2337409:15 37415:437495:24 37515:337422:19 37445:1337529:20 3753:1937441:8 37486:2337409:15 37415:437491:1437497:16,16autonomy 37483:1937441:8 37486:23body 37437:10 37440:637491:1437497:16,16autonomy 37483:19bases 37445:1 37468:137577:19arisen 3740:22 37541:137551:1 3750:2237542:2 37531:437409:2037441:1537577:223753:14 37557:2037412:12,16,2337442:22 37587:24botro 37399:2037541:1337558:137416:22 37419:16basis 37439:8 37441:15bound 37499:21armaments 37441:10aspect 37456:2237420:10 37422:14baseat 37508:1,2bound 37499:21armaments 37441:10aspect 37407:837428:4 37463:19baset 3759:937397:437402:24 37407:183746:2337428:4 37463:19baset 3759:1,237397:1837402:24 37407:2537491:18 3746:237442:2337492:23758:1,3armaments 37441:1537422:337412:13 37428:19baset 37509:1,2bound 37499:21armaments 37461:237491:8 37565:9avenue 37586:19baset 37509:1,237397:437402:24 37407:1837462:2<			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
37492:4 37497:8,13 37505:12 37527:0 37505:12 37527:6,18 37505:12 37527:20 37528:437409:8,10 37413:15 37409:8,10 37413:1537466:15,24 37472:8 37467:21 37501:13 37507:11 37573:21Bano 37579:1 barganing 37587:18 37409:8,12 37420:18,24 37421:8 37409:22 37532:1blaming 37553:25 boodshed 37407:20 37509:13 37529:20 37500:18 37542:2 37542:1 37529:20 37530:18 37408:22Bano 37579:1 barganing 37587:18 37409:15 37415:437409:24 37517:137420:18,24 37421:8 37495:24 37512:137422:19 37445:13 37529:20 37530:18 37542:2375422:19 37445:13 37543:9 37573:14 37497:16,16 arxien 37408:22 37547:2237497:16,16 37549:52 arxien 37409:20 37542:1937407:16 37409:20 37405:13 37409:20 37405:13 37409:20bases 37445:1 37468:1 bases 37445:1 37468:1 bases 37445:1 37468:1 37440:25 37441:15armises 37440:25 37441:10 armed 37407:18 37440:25 37441:10 armed 37407:18 37406:25 37414:15 37456:22 37414:15 37456:22 374107:837425:6 37427:10 37425:6 37427:10 37425:6 37427:10 37425:6 37427:10 37425:6 37427:10 37467:25Basket 37439:6 beart 37389:21 bound 37499:21 bound 37499:21 bound 37499:21 bound 37445:23 37411:8 37565:9 arxin 37396:16 armawerd 37460:25 37491:8 37565:9 arxin 37396:16 37409:2637425:6 37427:10 37422:3 37597:18 37402:24 37403:25 37402:24 37403:25 37409:25 37579:11 arxing 37396:16 3758:12 arming 37507:11 37580:12 37409:23 35797:11 37409:23 35797:11 37509:11 37509:11 37509:11 37509:12 37578:13 37409:23 35797:12 37442:23 37465:19 37449:9Bay ording 37427:5 37402:10 37422:14 37461:3 37462:18 37446:24 37446:24Bay ording 37407:24 37446:24 37446:24 37446:24 <td>8</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>, ,</td>	8	,			, ,
37505:1237527:2037522:1337408:4,21,2437408:4,21,24argument 37481:1237420:18,2437421:1837527:2137422:1937420:1537529:2037530:1837408:42.2137409:1537415:1437517:1137573:2137484:1637490:2537530:2237533:1937441:837497:14body37418:22,24arisen 37408:2237497:16,16autonony37438:19bases37445:137407:1037440:637577:1237551:1437557:2037412:12,16,2337442:2237547:14bordy37599:2037574:2237554:1437558:137416:2237410:1037422:14basis37439:6bound37499:21armed 37407:18aspect 37456:2237420:1037422:1037467:25bound37399:20bound37399:2037414:15, 57415:12aspect 37407:837422:337519:837426:1937467:25bound37399:2137438:337414:1537422:337519:837538:23asseut37466:2537485:1937485:1937402:2437406:2537491:837496:937466:1937499:21becoming 37500:2337486:36,367581:9 </td <td></td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		6			
37527:2037528:437413:1937416:1137479:2137501:1337588:137408:4,21,24argument37481:1237420:18,2437421:837512:1137529:19Barnard37578:2337409:1537409:1537415:437495:2437515:337422:1937445:1337529:2037530:18based37433:13board37550:3arisen37408:2237494:2337495:2237549:2237549:2237549:2237549:14bases37497:14board37550:3arisen37452:1637507:1637509:5available37392:23bases37430:24bord37399:2037574:223754:1437557:2037412:12,16,2337442:2237442:15bottom37399:2037574:223754:1437558:137465:2237407:1837465:2237422:1937422:19basis37439:21armed37407:1837463:2337425:637422:1037467:25bound aries37497:14armed37407:1837463:2337425:637427:1037467:25bound aries37397:437408:3337414:1537422:337519:837588:19avenue37588:19avenue3758:1337402:2437407:837491:837461:237467:25brandishing37484:537485:1937402:2437403:2537491:837578:13avenue37366:19avenue37586:19avenue37586:1937402:2437403:2537578:13asses	/			-	
argument 37481:1237420:18,24 37421:837512:11 37529:19Barnard 37578:2337409:15 37415:437495:24 37515:337422:19 37445:1337529:20 37530:18based 37433:1337418:22,2437517:1 37573:2137484:16 37490:2537530:22 37533:1937441:8 37486:23body 37437:10 3740:6arisen 37408:2237497:16,16automoy 37483:19bases 37445:1 37468:11body 37437:10 37440:6arises 37452:1637507:16 37509:5available 37392:23basis 37439:8 37441:15body 37437:10 3740:6arises 37452:237554:14 37557:2037412:12,16,2337442:22 37587:24bord 37409:11armard 3740:25 37441:1137558:137466:2237420:10 37422:16basis 37439:6bound 37499:21armad 37407:1837466:2237426:6 37427:1037467:25bound 37499:21bound 37499:2137438:337414:15 37422:337519:8 37538:23beart 37508:1,2brancis 37407:2437438:337444:5 37445:9avenue 37586:19beart 37508:1,2brandishing 37395:1237409:24 37403:2537491:8 37565:9avenue 37386:9beg 37416:19 3749:2337486:1937409:24 37403:2537491:13374461:3 37462:1837512:237484:5 37485:1937409:25 37579:11assess 37434:1737410:18 37421:9,11beg 37466:1537426:1037429:24 37403:253758:13awere 37396:9beg 37416:19 3749:2337484:5,1137508:12assess 37434:1737410:18 37422:1837465:2137427:18 37484:5,1137508:12assess 37438:2037465:7,12,17	,				
37495:24 37515:3 37573:2137422:19 37445:13 37484:16 37490:25 37491:1437529:20 37530:18 37530:22 37533:19 375411:8 374986:23 37491:14based 37433:13 37411:8 37486:23 37497:1437418:22,24 board 37550:3arisen 37408:2237494:23 37495:22 37491:1437497:16,16 37497:16,16autonomy 37483:19 available 37392:2337441:8 37486:23 37497:1437577:19 bore 37450:10arisen 37407:22 37574:2237507:16 37509:5 3753:14 37552:10available 37392:23 37412:12,16,23basis 37439:8 37441:15 37442:22 37587:24bore 37405:11 bourg 37392:20armaments 37441:10 armed 37407:18aspect 37456:22 37456:2237420:10 37422:14 37425:6 37427:10basket 37439:6 3746:22 3749:21bound 37499:21 bourd 37499:21armed 37407:18 37438:337414:15 37422:3 37414:15 37461:237425:6 37427:10 37491:8 37538:23 armoured 37460:2537491:8 37565:9 37491:8 3758:12 37491:8 37565:9avenue 37586:19 avaiding 37427:5 avare 37396:16beautifully 37483:11 37448:5 37485:19 37448:5 37485:1937402:24 37403:25 3749:25 37579:11 37500:1237578:13 assess 37434:17 37401:12 37449:1937461:2 37462:18 37466:12,16,19 37466:12,16,1937465:2,12 37446:24break 37426:10 37484:5 37485:19 37484:22 37485:11 37462:12,1737509:11 37509:11 37509:11 37509:11 37509:1137466:1,1,12 37476:12 37474:1037467:21 37474:10 3749:13 37569:937427:18 37484:5,11 37449:10 37559:937509:11 37509:11 37509:11 37509:11 37509:1137466:1,1,12 37471:21 37474:103749:10 37559:937518:9 37572:237509:12 <b< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>, ,</td></b<>					, ,
37517:137484:1637490:2537530:2237533:1937441:837486:23board37550:3arisen37491:1437497:16,16autonomy37431:937497:14bases37497:14body37437:1037440:6arises37507:1637509:5available37392:23bases37430:24bore37577:19arising37535:1437542:1937405:1337409:20basic37430:24bore3799:2037577:2237554:1437557:2037412:12,16,2337442:2237887:24bought37563:18armad37407:1837463:2337422:637422:14basket37499:21bought37663:18armed37407:1837463:2337428:637427:1037467:25bound37499:2137438:337414:1537422:337519:837583:23beart37508:1237397:4armored37460:2537414:1537422:337519:837588:19beart37500:2337486:3,637581:937402:2437403:2537578:13assess37436:19beart37462:1837486:3,637581:937402:2437403:2537579:11assess37461:237410:1837421:9,113748:5337486:3,637581:937402:2437403:2537579:11assess37438:2037410:1837421:9,113748:2237588:1937486:3,637581:937402:2437403:2537578:13assess37438:2037461:3	5				
arisen 37408:2237494:23 37495:2237543:9 37573:1437497:14body 37437:10 37440:637491:1437497:16,16autonomy 37483:19bases 37445:1 37468:137577:19arises 37452:1637507:16 37509:5available 37392:23basis 37430:24bore 37405:11arises 37452:1637535:14 37542:1937405:13 37409:20basis 37439:8 37441:15bottom 37399:2037574:2237558:137412:12,16,2337442:22 37587:24bought 37563:18arm 37440:25 37441:10aspect 37456:2237420:10 37422:14basket 37439:6bought 37492:21armed 37407.1837463:2337425:6 37427:1037467:25bound ares 37470:2437414:5,5 37415:12aspects 37407:837428:4 37463:19bearer 37554:9bound ares 37497:183740:24 37403:2537578:13avenue 37586:19beautifully 37483:11breach 37397:1837402:24 37403:2537578:13avenue 37396:937416:13 37421:9,1137466:2437509:1237499:937465:7,12,17beginning 37466:1537427:18 37484:5,1137509:1137489:237465:7,12,17beginning 37466:1537427:18 37484:5,1137509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,1237466:12,16,1937496:13, 37462:1537427:12 37474:1037509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,1237466:12,16,1937496:13, 37569:937427:18 37484:5,1137509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,2237471:21 37474:103749:10 37555:9break 37485:1237509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,1237471:21 37474:103749:10 37555:9breakdown 37485:21					-
37491:1437497:16,16autonomy 37483:19bases 37445:1 37468:137577:19arises 37452:1637507:16 37509:537507:16 37509:5available 37392:23basic 37430:24bore 37405:1137574:2237554:14 37557:2037412:12,16,2337442:22 37587:24bought 37563:18arm 37440:25 37441:137558:137456:2237422:14baset 37439:6bought 37563:18armed 37407:1837663:2337425:6 37427:1037467:25bound 37499:21armed 37407:1837643:2337425:6 37427:1037467:25boundaries 37470:2437438:337414:15 37422:337519:8 37538:23beast 37508:1,2bradishing 37395:1237402:24 37403:2537491:8 37565:9avenue 37386:19beast 37508:1,2breach 37397:4arms 37396:16assault 37461:2avoiding 37427:5began 37446:19 37499:237484:5 37485:1937402:24 37403:2537578:13avenue 37386:9beg 37416:19 37499:237588:8 37589:137402:24 37403:2537578:13assess 37434:1737466:7,12,17began 37466:2437426:1037506:12assess 37438:2037465:7,12,16,1937495:13 37569:937518:13 37484:5,1137484:23 37485:1337509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,1237466:12,16,1937495:13 37569:937518:9 37518:9 37518:1337509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,1237466:12,16,1937495:13 37569:937518:9 37518:1337509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,1237471:21 37474:1037491:10 37555:9breaking 37485:2137509:11 37528:8,21asses 37433:1 37449:9					
arises 37452:1637507:16 37509:5available 37392:23basic 37430:24bore 37405:11arising 37411:337535:14 37542:1937405:13 37409:2037422:23 37439:8 37441:15bottom 37399:20arm 37440:25 37441:1037558:137416:22 37419:1637442:22 37587:24bound 37499:21armed 37407:1837463:2337425:6 37427:1037467:25bear 37389:21boundaries 37470:2437414:5,5 37415:12aspects 37407:837428:4 37463:19bear 37554:19brandishing 37395:1237414:5,5 37415:12aspects 37407:837428:4 37463:19bear 37558:1,2brandishing 37395:1237414:15 37422:337414:15 37422:337519:8 37538:23beart 37508:1,2breach 37397:437402:24 37403:2537491:8 37565:9avoiding 37427:5beautifuly 37483:11breach 37397:1837402:24 37403:2537578:13asseut 37461:2avoiding 37427:5beg 37416:19 37492:237588:8 37589:137402:24 37403:2537578:13asses 37434:1737466:13 37462:1837466:2437484:5 37485:1937495:12army 37507:11assess 37438:2037465:7,12,17beginning 37463:1537484:22 37485:1337508:5,7,24 37509:137486:11,1237466:12,16,1937495:13 37569:937518:9 37572:237509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,1237471:21 37474:103749:10 37555:9breakdown 37485:2137509:11 37529:7assist 37433:1 37449:937474:12 37485:1837449:10 37555:9breaking 37484:15,20					
arising 37411:3 37574:2237535:14 37542:19 37554:14 37557:2037405:13 37409:20 37412:12,16,23basis 37439:8 37441:15 37442:22 37587:24bottom 37399:20 bought 37563:18arm 37440:25 37441:10 armed 37407:1837558:137412:12,16,2337442:22 37587:24bought 37563:18armed 37407:18 37414:5,5 37415:12aspect 37456:2237420:10 37422:14 37463:23basket 37439:6bound aires 37470:24armed 37407:18 37438:337463:2337425:6 37427:1037467:25brandishing 37395:1237438:337414:15 37422:337519:8 37538:23bearer 37554:937397:4armoured 37460:25 37491:8 37565:937491:8 37565:9avenue 37586:19beautifully 37483:1137484:5 37485:19arms 37396:16 37402:24 37403:25 37439:25 37579:11asses 37434:17 37449:937410:18 37421:9,111began 37446:24 37461:3 37462:18break 37426:1037508:5,7,24 37509:1 37509:11 37528:8,21 37599:11 37528:8,21assessent 37486:11 37486:11,12 arssist 37433:1 37449:937466:12,16,19 37474:12 37474:10 37474:12 37485:18behalf 37428:14 37449:10 37555:9breakdown 37485:21 breaking 37484:15,20					
37574:2237554:14 37557:2037412:12,16,2337442:22 37587:24bought 37563:18arm 37440:25 37441:1037558:137416:22 37419:16basket 37439:6bound 37499:21armed 37407:1837463:2337425:6 37427:1037467:25bear 37389:21boundaries 37470:2437414:5,5 37415:1237407:837428:4 37463:19bearer 37554:9brandishing 37395:1237438:337414:15 37422:337519:8 37538:23bearer 37508:1,2breach 37397:4armoured 37460:2537491:8 37565:9avenue 37586:19beautifully 37483:1137484:5 37485:19arms 37396:16assault 37461:2avoiding 37427:5becoming 37500:2337486:3,6 37581:937402:24 37403:2537578:13aware 37396:9beg 37416:19 37499:237486:3,6 37581:937439:25 37579:11assess 37434:1737410:18 37421:9,11began 37446:24break 37426:1037508:5,7,24 37509:1assessed 37438:2037465:7,12,17beginning 37463:1537484:22 37485:1337509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,1237471:21 37474:1037495:13 37569:937518:9 37572:237509:11 37529:7assist 37433:1 37449:937471:21 37474:103749:10 37555:9breakdown 37485:2137509:11 37529:7assist 37433:1 37449:937474:12 37485:183749:10 37555:9breaking 37484:15,20					
arm 37440:25 37441:11 armaments 37441:10 armed 37407:1837558:1 aspect 37456:22 37438:337416:22 37419:16 37463:23basket 37439:6 bear 37389:21 37425:6 37427:10bound 37499:21 boundaries 37470:24 37425:6 37427:1037414:5,5 37415:12 37438:3aspect 37407:8 37414:15 37422:337425:6 37427:10 37414:15 37422:3aspect 37407:8 37414:15 37422:337428:4 37463:19 37414:15 37422:3bear 37389:21 37428:4 37463:19armoured 37460:25 arms 37396:1637491:8 37565:9 assault 37461:237410:18 37580:19 avoiding 37427:5beautifully 37483:11 becoming 37500:23breach 37397:18 37486:3,6 37581:937402:24 37403:25 37439:25 37579:11 37580:1237491:8 37461:2 assess 37434:17 37410:18 37421:9,11 37508:57,24 37509:1assess 37434:17 37486:11,12 37466:12,16,19beautifully 37483:11 37466:12,16,19break 37426:10 37495:13 37569:937508:57,24 37509:1 37509:11 37528:8,21 37529:7assist 37433:1 37449:937471:21 37474:10 37471:21 37474:10befalf 37428:14 37449:10 37555:9breakdown 37485:21 breaking 37484:15,20	0				
armaments 37441:10 armed 37407:18 37414:5,5 37415:12 37438:3aspect 37456:22 37438:337420:10 37422:14 37463:23 aspects 37407:8 37414:15 37422:3 37414:15 37422:3bear 37389:21 37428:4 37463:19 bearer 37554:9boundaries 37470:24 bradishing 37395:12 37402:24 37403:25 37402:24 37403:2537402:24 37403:25 37402:24 37403:25 37439:25 37579:11 37580:1237461:2 37449:937420:10 37422:14 37461:2bear 37389:21 37428:4 37463:19 bearer 37586:19 avenue 37586:19 beavtifully 37483:11bear 37389:21 37467:25boundaries 37470:24 bradishing 37395:12 37486:3,6 37581:937402:24 37403:25 37439:25 37579:11 37580:1237578:13 assess 37434:17 37449:937410:18 37421:9,11 37461:3 37462:18 37465:7,12,17bear 37360:9 beat 37502:21break 37426:10 37495:13 37569:937508:5,7,24 37509:1 37509:11 37528:8,21 37529:7assist 37433:1 37449:937466:12,16,19 37474:12 37485:183749:10 37555:937529:7assist 37433:1 37449:937474:12 37485:1837449:10 37555:9breaking 37484:15,20					8
armed 37407:18 37443:5,5 37415:12 37438:3 $37463:23$ aspects 37407:8 37414:15 37422:3 $37425:6 37427:10$ 37428:4 37463:19 37519:8 37538:23 $37467:25$ bearer 37554:9 beast 37508:1,2 beast 37508:1,2 beast 37508:1,2brandishing 37395:12 37397:4armoured 37460:25 arms 37396:16 $37491:8 37565:9$ assault 37461:2 $37578:13$ aware 37396:9 $avenue 37586:19$ aware 37396:9 $beautifully 37483:11$ becoming 37500:23 beg 37416:19 37499:2 $37484:5 37485:19$ 37486:3,6 37581:9 $37402:24 37403:25$ 37439:25 37579:11 37580:12 $37578:13$ assess 37434:17 3749:9 $avare 37396:9$ 37461:3 37462:18 37461:3 37462:18 $beg 37416:19 37499:2$ 37512:2 $37486:3,6 37581:9$ 37427:18 37486:24 37427:18 37484:5,11army 37507:11 37508:5,7,24 37509:1 37509:11 37528:8,21 $assesment 37486:11$ 37486:11,12 37433:1 37449:9 $37466:12,16,19$ 37471:21 37474:10 37474:12 37485:18 $37492:10 37555:9$ $break down 37485:21$ breaking 37484:15,20					
37414:5,5 37415:12 37438:3aspects 37407:8 37414:15 37422:337428:4 37463:19 37519:8 37538:23bearer 37554:9 beast 37508:1,237397:4armoured 37460:25 arms 37396:1637491:8 37565:9 assault 37461:2avenue 37586:19 avoiding 37427:5bearer 37508:1,2 beautifully 37483:11breach 37397:18 37484:5 37485:1937402:24 37403:25 37439:25 37579:11 37580:1237578:13 assess 37434:17avoiding 37427:5 avare 37396:9beg 37416:19 37499:2 beg 37416:19 37499:237486:3,6 37581:9 37426:4037508:12 army 37507:11 37508:5,7,24 37509:137499:937466:7,12,17 37486:11,12beginning 37463:15 37466:12,16,1937495:13 37569:9 37495:13 37569:937509:11 37528:8,21 37529:737486:11,12 assist 37433:1 37449:937471:21 37474:10 37474:12 37485:1837499:10 37555:9breakdown 37485:21 breaking 37484:15,20		-			
37438:337414:15 37422:337519:8 37538:23beast 37508:1,2breach 37397:18armoured 37460:2537491:8 37565:9avenue 37586:19beautifully 37483:1137484:5 37485:19arms 37396:16assault 37461:2avoiding 37427:5beautifully 37483:1137484:5 37485:1937402:24 37403:2537578:13aware 37396:9beg 37416:19 37499:237486:3,6 37581:937439:25 37579:11assess 37434:1737410:18 37421:9,11beg an 37446:2437588:8 37589:137580:1237449:937461:3 37462:1837512:2beg an 37446:2437427:18 37484:5,11army 37507:11assessed 37438:2037465:7,12,17beginning 37463:1537484:22 37485:1337508:5,7,24 37509:1assessment 37486:1137466:12,16,1937495:13 37569:937518:9 37572:237509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,1237471:21 37474:1037449:10 37555:9breakdown 37485:2137529:7assist 37433:1 37449:937474:12 37485:1837449:10 37555:9breaking 37484:15,20					
armoured 37460:2537491:8 37565:9avenue 37586:19beautifully 37483:1137484:5 37485:19arms 37396:16assault 37461:2avoiding 37427:5becoming 37500:2337486:3,6 37581:937402:24 37403:2537578:13aware 37396:9beg 37416:19 37499:237486:3,6 37581:937439:25 37579:11assess 37434:1737410:18 37421:9,11began 37446:2437427:18 37426:1037580:1237449:937461:3 37462:1837512:2break 37426:10army 37507:11assessed 37438:2037465:7,12,17beginning 37463:1537484:22 37484:5,1137508:5,7,24 37509:1assessment 37486:1137466:12,16,1937495:13 37569:937518:9 37572:237509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,1237471:21 37474:1037449:10 37555:9breakdown 37485:2137529:7assist 37433:1 37449:937474:12 37485:1837449:10 37555:9breaking 37484:15,20		-			
arms 37396:16assault 37461:2avoiding 37427:5becoming 37500:2337486:3,6 37581:937402:24 37403:2537578:13aware 37396:9beg 37416:19 37499:237588:8 37589:137439:25 37579:11assess 37434:1737410:18 37421:9,11beg an 37446:2437528:237580:1237449:937461:3 37462:1837512:237427:18 37484:5,11army 37507:11assessed 37438:2037465:7,12,17beginning 37463:1537484:22 37485:1337508:5,7,24 37509:1assessment 37486:1137466:12,16,1937495:13 37569:937518:9 37572:237509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,1237471:21 37474:1037449:10 37555:9breakdown 37485:2137529:7assist 37433:1 37449:937474:12 37485:1837449:10 37555:9breaking 37484:15,20					
37402:24 37403:25 37439:25 37579:1137578:13 assess 37434:17 3749:9aware 37396:9 37410:18 37421:9,11beg 37416:19 37499:2 began 37446:24 37512:237588:8 37589:1 break 37426:10army 37507:11 37508:57,24 37509:11assessed 37438:20 assessment 37486:11 37509:11 37528:8,21 37509:11 37529:7assessment 37486:11 37433:1 37449:937466:12,16,19 37467:12 137474:10 37471:21 37474:10assessment 37486:12 37495:13 37559:9beg 37416:19 37499:2 began 37446:24 37512:237588:8 37589:1 break 37426:10 37427:18 37484:5,11 37484:22 37485:13 37485:21					
37439:25 37579:11 37509:12assess 37434:17 37449:937410:18 37421:9,11 37461:3 37462:18 37465:7,12,17began 37446:24 37512:2break 37426:10 37427:18 37484:5,11army 37507:11 37508:5,7,24 37509:11assessed 37438:20 assessment 37486:11 37466:12,16,1937465:7,12,17 37466:12,16,19begining 37463:15 37495:13 37569:9break 37426:10 37484:22 37485:1337508:5,7,24 37509:11 37509:11 37528:8,21 37529:7assessment 37486:11 37433:1 37449:937471:21 37474:10 37474:12 37485:18behalf 37428:14 37449:10 37555:9breakdown 37485:21 breaking 37484:15,20					
37580:1237449:937461:3 37462:1837512:237427:18 37484:5,11army 37507:11assessed 37438:2037465:7,12,17beginning 37463:1537484:22 37485:1337508:5,7,24 37509:1assessment 37486:1137466:12,16,1937495:13 37569:937518:9 37572:237509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,1237471:21 37474:1037492:13 37492:1337485:2137529:7assist 37433:1 37449:937474:12 37485:1837449:10 37555:9breaking 37484:15,20	/ 31 1 195-				
army 37507:11assessed 37438:2037465:7,12,17beginning 37463:1537484:22 37485:1337508:5,7,24 37509:1assessment 37486:1137466:12,16,1937495:13 37569:937518:9 37572:237509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,1237471:21 37474:10behalf 37428:14breakdown 37485:2137529:7assist 37433:1 37449:937474:12 37485:1837449:10 37555:9breaking 37484:15,20	2.37		-	-	
37508:5,7,24 37509:1assessment 37486:1137466:12,16,1937495:13 37509:937518:9 37572:237509:11 37528:8,2137486:11,1237471:21 37474:10behalf 37428:14breakdown 37485:2137529:7assist 37433:1 37449:937474:12 37485:1837449:10 37555:9breaking 37484:15,20	De la la present				
37509:11 37528:8,21 37529:737486:11,12 assist 37433:1 37449:937471:21 37474:10 37474:12 37485:18behalf 37428:14 37449:10 37555:9breakdown 37485:21 breaking 37484:15,20				0 0	
37529:7 assist 37433:1 37449:9 37474:12 37485:18 37449:10 37555:9 breaking 37484:15,20					
0	37509:11 37528:8,21				
	27520.7				

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Pretoria

				Page
37484:21	37496:11 37502:8,13	categorically 37454:12	changing 37535:15	37507:5 37514:3
brick 37405:15	37503:9 37508:23	categorisation	37536:19 37584:3	37527:7 37561:12
37416:24 37445:22	37519:8 37521:4	37502:14	characterisation	37563:23 37578:23
brief 37575:19	37522:24 37525:23	causally 37539:2	37501:23 37508:1	clearer 37485:9
briefed 37394:12	37538:12 37552:1	37585:3,14	37522:23 37523:12	37492:21 37494:2
37418:5 37505:15,22	37553:2 37559:14	cause 37503:12,14,18	37523:17 37525:3,7	clearly 37408:14
37506:23 37525:5,11	37564:1 37579:18	37503:21,22	37525:17 37526:15	37424:18 37432:12
		caused 37558:19		
37526:23 37527:1,4	called 37393:1,8,20,20 37427:19 37453:16		37532:2,13 37533:1,6 37533:12 37534:1,12	37441:23 37442:2
37532:18		causes 37503:16		37454:12 37460:19
briefing 37406:14	37473:20 37501:24	causing 37440:6	37534:22 37535:1,4,5	37477:5 37495:12
37411:22 37413:24	37524:18 37531:12	caution 37408:20	37535:11,12,16,21	37496:11 37497:5
37429:25 37471:19	37532:23 37555:19	37588:21	37536:19,22,24	37517:19 37527:1
37525:10 37546:5	37585:12	cautionary 37408:23	37551:16 37564:12	37540:6,21,22
briefly 37455:15,16	calling 37476:11	37415:8	37570:16 37571:4,5	37564:23 37565:16
brigadier 37388:13	37488:3 37553:19	cautioning 37589:3	37585:12	37565:19 37568:25
37390:17,24 37391:4	calls 37387:19,21	CCCC1 37483:14	characterise 37526:19	37577:11 37578:12
37391:6,13,18	37388:7,9,10,11,13	CCMA 37584:2	characterised 37532:10	close 37530:19
37445:14 37447:13	37401:14 37402:4	Cele 37485:18	characterises 37514:6	37577:20
37451:6	37477:12 37512:13	central 37588:1	characterising	closer 37574:11
bring 37510:20	37512:22 37514:25	centrally 37587:25	37551:21 37552:15	closing 37584:25
broadly 37469:24	37517:21 37521:4	37588:2	characteristics 37442:8	coffee 37430:18,22
37554:22	37549:7,8 37561:21	Centre 37501:4	charge 37394:21	colleague 37482:12
broke 37501:12	37578:4,20	37586:11	37415:22 37422:22	37581:19
brought 37510:22	call-up 37453:24	certain 37451:4	37455:22 37461:9	colleagues 37395:22
37514:25 37569:13	37454:18	37456:1,8,21 37457:5	37488:24 37492:7	37404:11 37442:11
37569:14	campaign 37554:10	37462:3,10,19	Chaskalson 37447:17	37578:7
Brunswick 37574:13	cancelling 37584:4	37464:1 37497:17	37468:5 37476:23	collect 37449:19
	8			37453:8
37574:14 37576:3,5,7	canon 37418:11,18	37524:5 37549:16	37477:12,17,23	
budgeted 37447:5	canons 37414:11	certainly 37390:16	37498:19,20 37500:2	collection 37545:9
Budlender 37500:3,5,9	37418:17	37423:11 37426:7	37500:18	collectively 37584:2
37500:19	can't 37398:2 37401:9	37433:21 37439:16	Chaskalson's 37454:8	collusion 37470:12
build 37535:22	37401:22 37406:5	37441:16 37453:18	check 37399:3 37450:4	37478:16
building 37481:25	37412:20 37413:6	37453:20 37518:10	37450:7 37534:7	Colonel 37421:7
bullet 37436:21	37415:8 37417:1	37518:11 37532:6	chief 37398:9 37564:18	37422:5 37447:14,17
37437:12 37440:25	37419:11 37420:23	37533:17	Chikansa 37555:9	37451:7 37452:11,20
37442:18,23 37460:9	37450:5,19 37474:17	CF 37390:25	child 37521:3	37454:8,25 37456:16
37461:21,23 37514:4	37493:5 37494:2	chain 37538:12	choice 37499:10,11	37457:2,24 37458:6
37514:19 37523:17	37518:14 37522:5	37539:4 37564:2	chose 37566:14	37460:15 37463:21
37526:21 37539:16	37528:5 37531:10	37576:22 37585:13	circle 37400:7	combining 37513:12
37583:5	37532:15 37535:20	chairing 37545:11	37518:23	come 37387:5 37393:16
bullets 37440:5	37536:1 37549:12	37576:12	circles 37528:17	37400:9,13 37401:11
37468:1 37520:6	37580:1 37583:1	chairman 37468:20	circling 37398:10	37403:6,14,14,18
bundle 37589:21	37584:13	37513:7 37539:20	circulate 37548:19	37424:13 37439:7
Burger 37473:6	capacities 37468:14	37550:11 37556:1	circulated 37500:13	37441:13 37449:7
37482:13 37498:23	capacity 37477:14	37568:1 37569:5,6	37542:18 37567:23	37453:10 37457:15
37498:25	37564:17 37566:3,5	37576:23	circulating 37568:1	37457:19 37464:18
bus 37518:24	37568:24 37569:15	challenge 37515:8	circulation 37567:5,22	37468:17 37470:21
business 37563:14	Cape 37519:11	challenges 37447:25	circumstance 37442:24	37470:23 37478:14
busy 37426:18	37521:11	37451:20	circumstances 37393:6	37482:3,10 37483:3
37445:23 37457:22	capital 37508:16	chambers 37457:19	37395:9 37406:17	37482.3,10 37483.3
37471:2 37474:7	Captain 37461:4	37498:21	37451:4 37481:11	37489:19 37491:21
37572:1 Braz 27400:10	captured 37565:4	chance 37398:1	civil 37514:9,16	37492:3,5 37493:3,21
Bye 37400:10	careful 37411:10	37441:1 37442:16	37545:18 37546:11	37497:11 37499:6
	carefully 37411:11	37490:5 37494:23	37546:18	37510:10 37513:10
<u> </u>	37482:25 37486:22	chances 37415:3	clarification 37500:11	37518:25 37574:11
cabinet 37533:10	carried 37398:18	37440:21 37441:2	clarify 37557:8	37584:5
37570:17	carry 37412:21	change 37533:12	clarity 37420:24	comes 37402:15
calibre 37467:21	37475:22 37574:1	37534:12,21	37566:11	37412:18 37490:5
call 37388:20 37389:5,5	cart 37525:23,24	37536:24 37556:11	clear 37417:20,21	37494:9 37545:7
	cartridge 37467:22	37571:5 37585:11	37437:12 37457:12	37546:3 37562:4
37390:9,10,22	e .	abamand 27520.1 10.05	37457:17 37463:13	comfort 37426:9
37390:9,10,22 37391:1,1,1 37399:21	case 37420:1,2 37422:7	changed 37532:1,12,25		
/ 30 Lac.	case 37420:1,2 37422:7 37436:24 37493:24	37533:6 37534:4	37464:6 37470:7	37427:18
37391:1,1,1 37399:21		0		37427:18 comfortable 37411:25
37391:1,1,1 37399:21 37399:24 37400:20	37436:24 37493:24	37533:6 37534:4	37464:6 37470:7	
37391:1,1,1 37399:21 37399:24 37400:20 37401:17 37428:13	37436:24 37493:24 37514:5 37530:25	37533:6 37534:4 37535:5 37544:2	37464:6 37470:7 37483:13,17	comfortable 37411:25
37391:1,1,1 37399:21 37399:24 37400:20 37401:17 37428:13 37464:4 37471:8	37436:24 37493:24 37514:5 37530:25 37586:12 37587:16	37533:6 37534:4 37535:5 37544:2 37548:4,5 37549:8	37464:6 37470:7 37483:13,17 37487:13,16,19	comfortable 37411:25 37502:13

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
37453:5 37478:18	concentrate 37389:2	contact 37519:8	37471:1 37492:24	37551:24 37552:2,5
37498:15 37542:4	37552:3	37573:6,6,20,24	37516:7 37517:11	37552:17 37553:2
37580:17	concern 37396:1	37575:25	correspondence	cross 37448:8 37456:4
command 37456:25	37542:16,24 37543:5	contacted 37391:13,18	37567:1	37589:5
37465:6	37544:24 37546:6	contacting 37574:24	Costa 37568:14	cross-examination
commander 37420:15	37550:21 37551:4,7	37575:11	37584:1 37586:13,24	37447:16 37454:8
37461:10	concerned 37395:17	contain 37427:11,21,22	couldn't 37403:19	37455:3,12 37457:5
commanders 37449:21	37405:15 37407:22	contained 37427:20	37424:15 37452:8	37469:21 37473:5
37466:14,25	37442:6,14 37443:18	37457:9 37472:22,25	37491:24 37525:5	37498:7 37499:1
commas 37580:25	37445:23 37447:25	contains 37436:21	37532:18 37537:23	37501:8 37557:12
comment 37435:20	37452:19 37503:14	CONTD 37501:8	council 37587:16	37585:20 37586:9
37455:18 37506:13	37506:9,10 37513:17	37557:12	counsel 37505:5	37590:1
37532:15 37560:2,24	37513:18,18,21	content 37460:10	37589:23	cross-examine
37581:16	37518:11 37530:7	contents 37559:25	countries 37433:14	37454:21 37456:9
commenting 37549:11	37545:3 37547:16	context 37482:14	country 37396:3	cross-examined
comments 37544:13	37549:4 37553:9	37539:2	37431:15 37443:20	37449:3 37456:22
37545:17	37560:25 37565:16	continuation 37402:17	37490:16 37495:1	37457:4 37463:20
commercial 37564:18		37422:25		37464:22 37499:4
	concerning 37414:1		couple 37436:18	
37566:5	37508:17	continue 37436:22	37449:15 37528:22	cross-examining
commissioners	concerns 37452:4	37530:11	course 37401:16	37469:6 37499:25
37392:14 37394:15	conclusion 37466:2	continued 37423:5	37409:15 37410:16	crowd 37480:6
37408:19 37431:20	conditions 37555:21	37479:19 37517:9	37412:9 37419:22,23	crucial 37397:23
37477:19	conduct 37517:25	37529:11 37530:12	37425:20 37450:1	crusade 37585:11
Commission's	conference 37420:11	continues 37492:8	37453:14 37468:1	cry 37464:18
37573:10	37423:19	continuous 37543:3	37484:22 37485:14	CSIR 37439:1
commitment 37429:18	confess 37434:23	37556:2	37522:10 37530:19	37441:12
committee 37488:13	37468:10	continuum 37439:4	37532:4 37541:13	culminating 37475:11
committees 37584:5	confidence 37581:20	contradiction 37448:23	37565:7 37566:4	cup 37430:18,21
common 37468:17	37582:2,5,5,21	contradictorily	37580:2 37587:2	Currently 37465:8
communicated	37583:7,14 37584:12	37447:22	covenant 37548:11	custody 37445:22
37422:15 37427:1	37584:15	contrasting 37553:2	37550:2	cut 37489:5 37491:10
37447:7 37452:18	confined 37567:19	control 37516:2	covenants 37544:3	37521:1
communicating	confirmation 37515:17	controversial 37472:2	37548:9	Cyril 37488:6,6,11,13
37409:13 37568:24	confirmed 37489:17	37474:5	cover 37483:1	37522:2,23 37534:13
37569:19	conflict 37490:22	controversy 37411:3	covered 37444:19	37537:21,25 37538:6
communication	confronted 37461:8	37471:25	co-operate 37428:14	37568:3,24 37570:18
37391:4,6 37565:16	37463:21 37465:1	conversation 37390:2	co-operating 37429:6	37574:23 37575:11
37567:19,23	confusion 37558:19		co-operation 37429:1	57574.25 57575.11
		37398:5 37399:1,2,6		D
37568:18 37569:21	connected 37494:7	37399:10 37400:10	37429:14 37494:5	
37576:6	37507:16 37585:3,14	37400:17,19 37401:6	created 37491:12	Da 37568:14 37584:1
communications	connection 37494:11	37402:2 37403:5	creation 37544:11	37586:13,23
37391:7,25 37550:19	connotation 37492:14	37408:6,9,12	37545:15	daily 37577:20 37581:4
37561:23 37562:7	37493:6,13,15	37432:10 37433:7	credit 37493:4	damage 37440:7
37564:4,7 37574:8,8	connotations 37494:1	37489:13,17,21	37497:22 37581:2	37467:22 37512:6
37574:10,11 37576:4	consider 37425:23	37491:15 37493:16	criminal 37501:25	damaging 37504:3,20
communiqués	37434:11 37441:16	37494:13 37519:1	37514:10,17	37504:21,24 37505:1
37546:10,13	37453:20 37571:10	37539:11 37542:9	37517:19,19,22,25	37505:25 37506:1,1,2
communities 37580:21	37573:5 37574:9	conversations	37521:14,15,17	37506:2,25
companies 37580:22	considerable 37425:20	37400:12,25	37522:17,19 37523:1	danger 37437:2
	consideration 37460:23	,	-	
company 37543:3		37517:20	37524:18 37525:18	dangerous 37395:12
37544:4,9 37547:24	37496:7 37576:3	conversed 37489:14	37526:4,7,16,20	37407:14,14,17,19
37548:14 37551:24	considerations 37489:9	convey 37492:13	37527:10 37532:2,11	37415:2
37552:1,16 37553:2	37490:1,18,19,22	37493:8 37552:24	37533:23 37535:13	Davis 37551:18
37554:1 37555:3	37495:4 37550:1	37553:7,7,12 37567:8	37554:1 37577:13	37552:11,13
37556:4 37562:12,14	considered 37409:17	conveyance 37570:6	37578:3	37553:13,17
37563:21 37565:23	37409:19 37410:2	conveyed 37404:11	criminality 37503:8	day 37401:18 37402:6
57505.21 57505.25	37418:23 37427:11	37571:14	criminals 37517:21	37403:20 37404:19
		conveying 37553:15	37536:25 37576:25	37406:12 37414:9
37574:14,15	37428:20 37571.18			
37574:14,15 compiled 37421:22	37428:20 37571:18 37571:21		$37577 \cdot 10.20$	
37574:14,15 compiled 37421:22 compiling 37446:4	37571:21	conveyor 37566:14,23	37577:4,10,20	37420:21 37423:22
37574:14,15 compiled 37421:22 compiling 37446:4 completely 37537:16	37571:21 considering 37443:8	conveyor 37566:14,23 37567:9,13	37578:3,9,12,15	37447:19 37448:19
37574:14,15 compiled 37421:22 compiling 37446:4 completely 37537:16 37539:7 37582:9	37571:21 considering 37443:8 conspiracy 37431:3	conveyor 37566:14,23 37567:9,13 copied 37572:9	37578:3,9,12,15 37581:3	37447:19 37448:19 37452:21 37460:22
37574:14,15 compiled 37421:22 compiling 37446:4 completely 37537:16 37539:7 37582:9 comply 37457:18	37571:21 considering 37443:8 conspiracy 37431:3 constitution 37483:4	conveyor 37566:14,23 37567:9,13 copied 37572:9 copy 37388:2 37447:21	37578:3,9,12,15 37581:3 critical 37443:15,23	37447:19 37448:19 37452:21 37460:22 37471:10 37498:10
37574:14,15 compiled 37421:22 compiling 37446:4 completely 37537:16 37539:7 37582:9 comply 37457:18 concede 37491:8	37571:21 considering 37443:8 conspiracy 37431:3 constitution 37483:4 37484:16 37496:6,8	conveyor 37566:14,23 37567:9,13 copied 37572:9 copy 37388:2 37447:21 core 37483:18	37578:3,9,12,15 37581:3 critical 37443:15,23 37444:3,6,7 37496:14	37447:19 37448:19 37452:21 37460:22 37471:10 37498:10 37520:4 37525:3
37574:14,15 compiled 37421:22 compiling 37446:4 completely 37537:16 37539:7 37582:9 comply 37457:18	37571:21 considering 37443:8 conspiracy 37431:3 constitution 37483:4 37484:16 37496:6,8 constitutional 37483:8	conveyor 37566:14,23 37567:9,13 copied 37572:9 copy 37388:2 37447:21 core 37483:18 corrected 37482:17	37578:3,9,12,15 37581:3 critical 37443:15,23 37444:3,6,7 37496:14 criticise 37444:7	37447:19 37448:19 37452:21 37460:22 37471:10 37498:10 37520:4 37525:3 37558:17
37574:14,15 compiled 37421:22 compiling 37446:4 completely 37537:16 37539:7 37582:9 comply 37457:18 concede 37491:8	37571:21 considering 37443:8 conspiracy 37431:3 constitution 37483:4 37484:16 37496:6,8	conveyor 37566:14,23 37567:9,13 copied 37572:9 copy 37388:2 37447:21 core 37483:18	37578:3,9,12,15 37581:3 critical 37443:15,23 37444:3,6,7 37496:14	37447:19 37448:19 37452:21 37460:22 37471:10 37498:10 37520:4 37525:3
37574:14,15 compiled 37421:22 compiling 37446:4 completely 37537:16 37539:7 37582:9 comply 37457:18 concede 37491:8 conceded 37493:22	37571:21 considering 37443:8 conspiracy 37431:3 constitution 37483:4 37484:16 37496:6,8 constitutional 37483:8	conveyor 37566:14,23 37567:9,13 copied 37572:9 copy 37388:2 37447:21 core 37483:18 corrected 37482:17	37578:3,9,12,15 37581:3 critical 37443:15,23 37444:3,6,7 37496:14 criticise 37444:7	37447:19 37448:19 37452:21 37460:22 37471:10 37498:10 37520:4 37525:3 37558:17

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
days 37395:10	37478:19,23 37479:2	37502:12 37514:9,17	diplomacy 37433:4	37495:2,8
37421:19 37447:20	37479:10,18	detail 37391:9	37434:18	discussion 37393:18
37448:24 37498:1	37480:23 37482:3,7	37401:10 37407:8	diplomatic 37432:14	37394:19 37402:17
37507:18 37549:22	37482:10 37488:15	37409:6,16,18,22,25	37432:22 37433:5,23	37410:1 37412:9,10
De 37429:21,25	37497:18 37576:20	37410:4,15 37413:16	37434:16,21	37413:11,14
37431:7 37433:1		-	-	
	37581:8 37585:4,6	37413:19 37415:9	direct 37573:6	37416:13 37417:15
37434:11 37435:15	decisions 37432:8,9	37418:12,19 37419:1	directed 37509:7	37419:3 37420:6
37435:22 37436:9	37445:1	37419:8 37433:7,9	directly 37449:20	37422:19,24,25
37439:5 37443:14	defective 37412:17	37483:1	37474:9 37483:3	37430:15 37435:20
37452:11 37468:12	defence 37442:4	detailed 37418:7	37519:12 37543:7	37444:25 37445:8
37482:1,5,10	defend 37442:11	details 37389:17	37574:10,24	37446:14,25 37479:1
deal 37392:4 37393:24	defer 37522:7	37391:19 37392:1	37575:12	37479:2,11,11
37403:12,16,17	defined 37496:5	37398:24 37401:14	director 37562:11,14	37482:23 37487:3,5
37412:5 37415:19	definite 37431:13	37407:9 37408:2,7	directors 37568:12	37488:20 37489:6
37434:9 37441:16	37468:15	37413:11,14	disagree 37413:18	37491:19 37492:1
	definitely 37415:13	,	37491:2	
37444:15 37455:1		37416:11 37417:25		37494:24 37495:17
37456:15 37477:19	37452:9 37465:24	37418:21 37419:5	disallow 37574:1	37496:2 37513:8
37485:15 37491:17	37516:5	37430:3 37433:3,6	disapprove 37424:24	37521:11 37532:13
37492:25 37498:22	definition 37552:25	37485:24	37425:1	37551:3
37531:3,5,7 37585:22	definitive 37516:25	deterring 37579:4	disarm 37392:22	discussions 37406:12
dealing 37398:12,13	degree 37583:4	DG 37514:5 37522:24	37394:13 37395:2,19	37413:12 37415:14
37414:20,25 37452:3	delay 37387:16	37525:4,5,11,12	37395:24 37396:11	37435:24 37445:5
37454:24,24	deleted 37482:18	37526:23,24 37527:8	37396:21,25 37397:6	37482:11 37489:19
37456:10 37457:22	deliberate 37480:7	37532:17,17,18	37397:9,12 37402:16	37514:5 37526:22
37458:9 37459:15	deliberately 37473:9	37538:5,8,13	37407:5,17,17	37587:25
37461:24 37478:15	37478:22 37479:8	37539:11 37542:9	37408:15 37410:8	disengage 37586:12
37501:13 37513:19	37566:14 37570:3,6	37564:25	37413:13 37472:12	disgrace 37546:20
37531:9 37548:3	deliberations 37393:8	dial 37540:7	disarmament 37410:14	disgruntled 37583:23
37549:20	demand 37542:6	dictionary 37513:9	37410:23	disintegrate 37440:5,6
deals 37453:19 37562:6	37583:12	37542:5	disarmed 37402:16	37468:2
dealt 37403:10	demands 37488:17	didn't 37405:18,23	37415:15	disintegrates 37442:18
37446:21 37451:9,19	37542:7	37414:18,20	disarming 37396:8	dispersal 37410:14
37456:4 37523:11	democratic 37496:5	37418:13 37419:14	37407:1,3,12,23	disperse 37410:8
37587:7,9,12	37584:7	37421:5,7 37424:4,24	37408:2,6,9 37409:5	37480:6
dear 37500:19				
	denied 37461:3	37425:18 37443:21	37409:9 37413:14	disposal 37584:23
death 37409:15	denounce 37507:6	37445:10 37446:10	37414:3 37415:14	dispute 37389:22
37411:3,5 37464:23	denounced 37504:25	37446:16 37476:17	37419:4 37472:4,20	37501:16 37503:19
37465:18 37535:18	37551:8	37481:15 37482:10	37472:22	37505:8,16 37506:3
deaths 37415:16	denouncing 37505:2	37486:25 37487:1	disciplinary 37493:23	37506:21 37508:6,12
37512:5 37535:13	deny 37512:21	37532:5 37537:2,7,20	disclose 37423:10	37508:15,22
37536:20 37585:3,14	denying 37502:11	37537:21 37541:16	37464:17	37509:18,24
debate 37389:23	department 37546:10	37543:4 37550:18	disclosed 37420:10,19	37510:15 37511:6,24
37405:16 37407:13	37550:18 37560:24	37571:10,24 37572:8	37421:9,13 37423:11	37512:9 37513:23,24
37407:21 37416:22	37561:1,2,23 37562:7	37577:6 37580:4	37429:25	37517:9 37521:5,13
37416:25 37417:1	Depending 37484:25	37582:8 37588:9,10	disclosure 37420:17	37521:15,17,23
37428:10 37429:9	deployment 37394:17	37588:17	37422:24 37423:2,9	37522:17,19 37523:1
37493:24 37503:24	37441:7	died 37414:17	37554:24 37556:2	37524:19 37525:18
37513:22 37518:4	deputy 37575:20	37442:24	discontinued 37436:7	37525:24 37526:4,8
37534:25 37576:5	37576:18	difference 37521:14,18	discuss 37398:20	37527:9 37528:11
debated 37481:11	describe 37432:18	different 37424:22	37404:14,15	37529:8,16 37530:8
debating 37503:4	37503:11,21	37433:17 37438:22	37406:23 37408:8	37530:23 37531:8
debts 37548:12	37580:18	37446:1 37504:19	37464:18 37471:18	37533:22 37534:5
deceased 37442:21,23	described 37389:8	37513:12,18,19	37472:3	disregard 37433:18
decide 37561:10,11	37392:8 37393:21	37521:16 37529:13	discussed 37401:12	disregarded 37409:23
decided 37397:14	37409:16 37432:18	37534:17 37550:12	37405:8 37406:15	dissatisfaction
37527:19 37586:12	37447:21 37540:24	difficult 37414:6	37409:6,9,22 37418:8	37395:21 37396:20
decision 37405:5,10	37541:5 37555:16	37415:12 37435:19	37419:16 37422:12	disseminated 37467:7
37419:14,25 37420:2	37563:25 37566:12	37487:8 37490:10	37422:21 37423:18	distinction 37495:10
37421:6,12 37422:13	describes 37493:6	difficulty 37401:17	37423:22 37427:18	37496:13
37425:5 37426:1,20	description 37542:7,8	37402:19	37438:11 37446:3,5	distributed 37500:16
37426:25 37429:12	37552:22 37580:19	diffuse 37487:19	37471:20 37475:11	disturbs 37457:17
37429:24 37431:9,10	designed 37422:6	37488:19,23	37490:6 37559:18	diversionary 37541:22
	desire 37497:19,20	37491:22 37492:3,5	37581:18	37552:2
37431.16 37433.11			51501.10	51552.2
37431:16 37433:11			discusses 37/82.16	divide 37568.18
37471:3,7,8,9	37498:1	37493:4,21 37495:3	discusses 37483:16	divide 37568:18
			discusses 37483:16 discussing 37419:4 37423:2 37489:8,25	divide 37568:18 dividends 37547:17 divides 37567:21

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
dividing 37561:12	double-headed 37508:2	element 37508:21,23	37438:18 37441:10	37463:21 37466:3,6,6
divulged 37430:18,21	37513:24	37522:19	37464:7	37468:7,15,17,21,22
37430:22 37452:11	dozen 37411:5	elements 37513:12	ensuring 37453:9	37474:20,21 37475:7
divulging 37431:2	draft 37392:8 37422:9	else's 37545:11	37487:19 37493:19	37476:24 37498:15
DMR 37505:4 37511:2	37474:10 37475:6,8	email 37520:3,6,9,17	37515:12	37511:15 37512:3
document 37387:21	37475:21 37476:25	37522:11 37532:20	entail 37442:1	37515:1 37517:1
37389:5 37424:8	37478:2,5,9 37549:2	37533:8,17 37534:8	enter 37440:6	37525:18 37529:19
37476:25 37582:17	37549:3,15	37534:16 37539:11	entitled 37442:3	37530:21 37534:16
documentation	drafted 37476:8	37539:16 37543:9	37456:9 37556:16	37546:20
37428:1	dragged 37551:24	37549:10 37550:3	37573:20	evident 37552:6
documents 37427:20	37552:17 37553:3	37564:25 37570:12	entry 37390:21,23	37553:16 37568:2
37535:7	draw 37500:12	37572:4,9	37455:7	37581:19
dodge 37549:12	37573:10	emails 37517:20	environment 37408:13	EVP 37574:7
37550:5	drive 37427:9,10,19	37539:25 37542:13	equal 37508:22	exact 37493:18
doesn't 37409:16	37452:17 37457:6,24	37542:19 37547:18	equipment 37438:23	exactly 37405:17
37411:11 37413:4,12	37519:12	37550:4 37553:8,14	equipped 37438:19	37445:1 37478:8
37442:20 37470:21	driving 37509:10	37564:11 37565:5	erroneously 37468:11	37507:22 37518:25
37475:4,20 37477:14	37519:25 37551:13	emanating 37388:12	error 37429:11	37522:1 37523:3
37478:4 37497:10	drove 37519:16	embellished 37570:3,6	erupted 37517:18	37527:25 37538:11
37518:5 37521:20,22	drowned 37544:13	embellishment	escalated 37503:8	37544:21 37548:25
37533:11 37559:7	37545:17	37571:11	essence 37478:5	37554:23 37562:20
37561:1 37574:1	dual 37555:6	emphasis 37536:7	essentially 37388:16	37579:8
37583:3 37589:17	due 37450:1	emphasised 37536:14	established 37535:7	examination 37448:9
doing 37391:14	duties 37465:25	emphatic 37535:25	establishing 37483:17	37589:6
37398:22 37413:7	37483:8 37565:7	37536:13,16,19	estimation 37539:23	examined 37456:5
37419:2,9,10	duty 37405:22	37585:10,11,15	etcetera 37550:2	example 37397:13
37455:15 37485:20	37465:16 37529:6	employment 37566:4	eTV 37551:20	37489:18 37549:21
37511:7 37537:10	dwaalspoor 37478:11	empty 37553:13	37552:14,25	37570:14 37571:3
37543:25 37553:10	dying 37486:7	encircle 37407:5	evade 37412:13,23	37584:5
37554:18 37562:1	E	37413:13	evades 37510:10	excerpt 37445:11
37564:2,3,5,13,15		encourage 37516:14	evading 37510:5,6,9	Exchange 37554:25
37565:5,6,16,22,23 37566:1,2,3	earlier 37395:24	37523:11,16 endeavoured 37433:24	evaluated 37439:4	37555:3,6
don't 37404:3 37406:4	37400:25 37404:14 37411:17 37425:9	ended 37393:19	evaluating 37441:10 evaluation 37441:11	exchanges 37556:17 excluded 37425:13
37407:7 37408:10	37441:6 37445:18	37493:20 37511:25	evasive 37432:19,23	37464:10
37409:6,7,11	37451:13 37453:23	37530:8	37433:25 37434:16	EXCO 37517:21
37410:15 37412:24	37451.15 37455.25	endorse 37409:20	37433.23 37434.10	37568:11,15,17
37410:15 37412:24 37413:6 37416:10	37481:2 37551:15	37412:15	evasiveness 37433:4	37576:11,12
37417:1,4,23 37419:3	37557:8 37564:1	endorsed 37392:18,21	37434:18	EXCOS 37569:12
37419:20 37420:17	37570:15 37574:12	37404:18 37405:12	evening 37401:25	Excuse 37457:11
37420:22 37423:9	37576:12 37579:7	37406:16 37408:15	37421:3 37423:12	excused 37498:16,18
37440:20 37443:25	37585:2 37589:9	37409:9 37412:11,22	event 37410:16	executed 37395:4
37448:22 37449:19	early 37403:16	37417:24 37420:3,8	37503:24 37513:6	executive 37431:11
37449:23 37450:20	37579:12	37422:13 37425:6	37573:21	37432:8 37433:15
37469:23 37477:3	easier 37458:19	37426:1 37431:19	events 37414:16	37434:14,15
37480:19 37481:12	37500:7	endorsement 37419:15	37479:20 37550:11	37496:14 37562:11
37483:1 37485:24	easily 37403:11	37421:12 37471:8,12	37576:22 37585:3	37562:13 37567:23
37489:5 37492:18	Eastern 37518:7,11,22	endorsing 37412:3	Eventually 37549:12	37568:12 37569:4,11
37493:2 37494:10,12	37519:4	37424:21	everybody 37406:8	37569:14,15,21,24,24
37497:18 37525:11	echoed 37550:4	ends 37524:5	37443:19 37445:18	executives 37567:20
37532:7,16 37533:2	effect 37433:15	enforce 37512:4	37545:10 37586:18	37568:10
37535:17 37549:14	37456:15 37492:4	37517:8	evidence 37387:10,20	exercise 37443:18
37549:14 37550:10	37518:5 37547:24	enforced 37512:3	37388:18 37393:16	37541:3
37550:18 37552:7	37585:5	engaged 37430:12,13	37398:8 37401:5	exerted 37538:20
37553:11,12	effected 37407:1	37430:14 37574:13	37403:12,23	exhibit 37387:23
37554:15,20 37557:9	37408:3	engagement 37430:8	37419:24 37421:22	37388:8,9 37399:10
37558:6 37562:9	effecting 37490:1	37430:11 37433:1	37429:21 37431:8,8	37421:22,23
37563:13,14	Effectively 37469:25	37482:21 37586:13	37434:24 37435:6	37423:24 37425:4
37569:10 37572:11	efforts 37547:6	engagements 37418:7	37437:4,10 37440:9	37426:19 37427:8,17
the second se	eight 37389:22	engaging 37552:5	37441:4 37442:17,20	37447:23 37452:17
37572:13,14,16,17,22	11 07005 01	enquire 37391:23	37442:23 37445:19	37453:23 37457:7
37572:23,24	either 37395:21			27450 11 27461 16
37572:23,24 37573:16 37575:24	37422:17 37423:12	37406:25 37408:1,2	37446:15,25 37448:5	37458:11 37461:16
37572:23,24 37573:16 37575:24 37579:22 37583:17	37422:17 37423:12 37427:9,12 37431:17	enquired 37407:11	37448:6,24 37452:11	37461:18,18
37572:23,24 37573:16 37575:24 37579:22 37583:17 37585:25 37589:2	37422:17 37423:12 37427:9,12 37431:17 37489:19 37561:10	enquired 37407:11 37408:19	37448:6,24 37452:11 37455:13,16,18	37461:18,18 37462:17 37463:4
37572:23,24 37573:16 37575:24 37579:22 37583:17 37585:25 37589:2 double 37502:3	37422:17 37423:12 37427:9,12 37431:17 37489:19 37561:10 37583:25	enquired 37407:11 37408:19 enquiry 37408:8	37448:6,24 37452:11 37455:13,16,18 37456:15,23 37457:2	37461:18,18 37462:17 37463:4 37589:12,18
37572:23,24 37573:16 37575:24 37579:22 37583:17 37585:25 37589:2	37422:17 37423:12 37427:9,12 37431:17 37489:19 37561:10	enquired 37407:11 37408:19	37448:6,24 37452:11 37455:13,16,18	37461:18,18 37462:17 37463:4

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
existed 37447:20	37419:14,18 37420:5	fault 37508:20 37584:9	37390:6 37392:4	forward 37391:1,2
37450:17	37421:16,23	fear 37489:16	37393:9 37397:15	37407:20 37441:17
existence 37452:14	37422:11,24 37423:4	February 37435:23	37399:5,11 37426:9	37468:8 37544:4
expected 37401:3,5,7	37425:25 37426:19	37438:9	37436:7 37444:23	37548:15
37404:10 37407:10	37426:21 37428:19	feedback 37399:24	37467:12 37489:12	foul 37464:18
37413:2 37430:23	37428:21 37429:23	37400:1,12 37402:10	37505:5 37512:19	found 37430:4,6
37431:14 37433:12	37436:23 37437:4	37412:2 37413:24	37514:4 37518:5	37437:10 37449:8
37434:25 37462:25	37446:25 37464:4	37412:2 37413:24	37524:1 37529:4	37454:7
37481:5	37472:1 37474:3	37570:12,13,14,18,23	37539:10 37546:16	four 37389:24
experience 37404:22	37478:21,24 37480:7	37571:4,16 37573:4	37546:17 37547:14	37472:15
37404:23	37485:21 37491:14	Feeling 37544:9	37585:4 37586:17	fourth 37514:4
experienced 37406:25	37491:22 37500:13	fellow 37578:7	firstly 37423:9 37434:2	framework 37441:22
37407:10 37408:1	37504:25 37506:2	felt 37487:8	37484:14 37489:6	37441:23 37483:12
37410:20 37411:9	37508:15 37515:1	FFF2 37472:6,7	37505:12 37563:24	37483:16,25 37484:6
		,		
experiences 37408:17	37551:4 37573:10	37479:16 EEE44.27287-25	five 37436:25 37497:3	37484:12 37490:7
expert 37429:21	factor 37497:12	FFF44 37387:25	37581:5 37585:21,23	frameworks 37486:7
37468:9,15,22	37570:4,23	37388:1	37586:1	Frank 37568:13
37482:1 37583:21	factors 37485:4	field 37404:22,22	five-minute 37402:2	37578:22 37579:6,11
37584:8	facts 37464:23	fifth 37388:8,8,20	37586:3,4	freely 37578:3
explain 37397:11	factual 37547:11	37389:4,5 37390:19	flow 37431:7	Friday 37405:25
37426:2 37438:15	fail 37573:14	fight 37415:1	Flowing 37507:25	37406:7 37431:8
37446:22 37449:1	failed 37404:12	figure 37493:20	flows 37508:13,14	37500:12 37548:23
37450:21 37453:3	37410:19 37480:5	37505:3	fly 37519:11	37550:8,9
37454:6 37552:8	37537:15,16 37540:4	files 37450:14,14	flying 37567:19	friend 37473:6
explained 37436:2	failure 37397:11	final 37447:22 37451:8	focus 37455:13	full 37391:19 37429:1
37450:22 37490:8	37428:21,24	37581:5	focused 37394:19	37429:14 37446:3
37566:13	37429:11 37507:2	finalised 37421:23	follow 37410:15	37447:5 37454:23
explanation 37437:9	faint 37561:7	37422:9 37423:25	37460:24 37584:22	37477:4 37553:13
37450:25 37489:10	fair 37391:15,20	37424:11 37425:23	37586:15	37574:19
explicitly 37463:13	37401:9 37410:18	37548:22 37550:8	followed 37501:3	fullest 37464:9
explore 37396:18	37412:24 37413:9	Finally 37581:4	37584:8	fully 37428:14
express 37516:25	37423:19 37424:6	financed 37544:4	following 37401:5	37429:18 37446:21
expressed 37395:21	37427:24 37431:12	37548:15	37420:21 37471:10	fundamental 37409:18
37396:20 37397:2	37432:18,23 37434:7	financial 37404:23	37482:15 37582:20	funds 37544:1
37491:13	37435:7,13 37452:22	37544:3 37548:12,13	follows 37434:5	37547:21
expression 37421:13	37459:21,24	37550:1	37442:1 37460:13,16	furnished 37414:3
extent 37387:18	37473:12,13 37479:4	financials 37548:8	follow-up 37444:5	furore 37408:21
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
37446:20 37490:19	37480:19 37563:25	find 37405:9,17	foot 37399:17	further 37387:10
37512:10 37561:16	fairly 37403:11	37412:8 37429:11,15	force 37441:25	37390:9 37392:22
37573:5	37428:13	37435:4 37458:19	37442:12	37399:18,19,19
external 37565:15	fairness 37449:16	37464:10 37492:15	forced 37538:1	37409:17 37410:7
37574:8 37576:4	37476:24 37563:24	37492:18 37494:3,5	forcefully 37542:6	37414:15 37428:10
extra 37418:18	faith 37397:18	37509:10 37537:18	foremost 37389:13	37429:10 37431:7
37419:16 37442:7	fall 37428:25	37555:13	37467:12	37433:24 37435:14
extract 37554:7	falling 37429:13	fine 37472:5 37482:8	forget 37490:15	37443:13 37453:8
extraordinary 37392:9	falls 37434:3	37494:16 37503:23	37553:17 37569:10	37454:9 37460:25
37393:21 37394:10	false 37525:18	37504:13 37513:16	37583:15	37467:16 37475:22
37394:10 37420:6	37571:19	37525:13 37535:9,9	forgive 37401:21	37478:4 37480:4
37446:9 37451:1,8	familiar 37589:4	37558:24 37572:25	forgotten 37499:3	37497:14 37498:17
37473:20 37474:11	familiarise 37589:13	37581:1	form 37456:23	37498:18 37503:7
37474:14,22 37475:4	family 37455:9	finish 37394:4,6	37466:22 37519:10	37526:19 37528:6
37475:15,20 37476:6	far 37395:16 37405:15	37465:10 37519:23	37528:16	37530:17 37556:9
37476:10 37477:6	37406:9 37413:12	37522:8	formal 37446:9	37576:7 37585:18
37480:22	37421:9,11 37445:22	finishes 37465:23	formed 37450:13	Furthermore 37457:2
e-mail 37501:13	37447:4,25 37452:18	fire 37436:21,25	forms 37449:6	37464:22
37505:13 37508:3,18	37503:14 37518:11	37438:4	formulated 37520:3	future 37523:5
57505.15 57500.5,10	37527:16 37530:7	firearms 37395:15	forte 37404:21	iutuit 57545.5
F	37541:14 37565:15	37397:5 37415:2	forth 37426:2 37448:16	G
face 37489:7,10				
,	Farm 37576:17	37460:19 37468:23	37529:25 37555:22	gather 37532:17
37490:2 37575:19,20	Farmer 37566:17	fired 37436:21	37556:15 formum 27202:7.0	gathered 37413:24
facie 37435:3 37516:25	fashion 37398:14	37437:13,14	forum 37392:7,9	37444:12
37518:3	37401:15 37410:3	37442:23 37456:1,21	37404:16 37444:21	gathering 37530:2
fact 37390:8,13	fast 37486:14,21	37462:3,10,19	37473:17 37475:19	37531:15,17,23
37391:11 37397:18	37583:20	37464:2 37485:19	37476:21 37477:10	Gauteng 37405:1
37397:22 37398:8	fatal 37440:8,22	firmly 37431:16	37478:24 37479:9,22	37417:8
37412:10,11 37415:2	37442:18	first 37388:6 37389:13	37588:1	gear 37460:21
ARCHIVE FO	R LUSTICE	1	1	1

RealTime Transcriptions

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

				Page
Generally 37486:1	37528:17 37531:11	half 37426:9 37454:22	heard 37395:25	37473:22
generals 37406:24	37533:9 37536:8,10	37469:6,12 37501:4	37419:24 37421:7	hold 37416:24
37408:1 37422:21	37543:13 37551:17	37553:13,13	37429:8 37447:16	37448:25 37583:16
37430:20 37433:20	37554:17 37563:23	half-empty/half	37448:24 37461:13	Holland 37433:17
getting 37444:24	37566:12 37572:1,25	37544:12	37467:4 37495:16	home 37403:13,14,15
37450:6 37481:22	37574:19 37575:14	hand 37387:12	37517:1 37519:25	37513:9 37520:8
37546:25 37570:5	37576:7 37577:15	37411:10 37439:25	37532:4 37537:3,4	honest 37401:20
give 37387:10 37394:4	37583:19,25	handled 37542:14	37538:23 37539:6	37495:18
0	·		37540:25 37551:11	
37395:20 37398:1	37584:22	hands-on 37542:15		hope 37423:7 37426:6
37399:24,25 37400:1	God 37387:13,14	handwritten 37518:21	37558:4	37454:22 37455:11
37411:14 37413:16	goes 37412:16	hang 37413:3	hearing 37493:24	37467:14 37470:24
37413:21 37414:10	37459:11 37468:2	happen 37398:15	37524:2	37493:7 37500:14
37414:12 37415:18	37471:1 37491:11,18	37403:1 37413:6	heart 37444:11	37513:22 37518:4,4
37419:5 37426:23	37492:11 37515:16	37440:18 37476:18	37533:2,15	37528:3
37432:14 37433:3,9	good 37387:9 37405:3	37493:3 37580:4	hearts 37444:11	hoping 37516:15
37436:8,12 37437:20	37416:22 37455:4	37587:25	heavier 37467:20	horizontal 37436:22
37439:5 37444:5	37469:22 37481:10	happened 37390:1	held 37392:6 37453:1	37468:3,12
37447:17 37449:10	37497:6 37501:9	37391:12,16,16	37561:8	horizontally 37468:2
37449:10 37450:19	37515:17 37518:14	37392:1 37396:17	helicopter 37452:13	hour 37392:11,14
				37393:22 37399:25
37455:17 37456:17	37527:18 37536:6	37397:25 37409:11	help 37387:13,14	
37458:23 37465:10	37576:10	37412:8,22 37431:15	37392:5 37419:11	37400:1 37405:8
37469:23 37478:20	governance 37483:2	37437:5 37448:4	37432:20 37435:12	37419:17 37444:25
37480:20 37487:20	37485:19,21 37486:7	37452:6,8,9,9,22	37507:3,4,9,15	37446:14 37454:22
37490:11 37518:12	37490:7	37475:21 37476:3,14	37551:19	37469:6,10,12,15
37526:9 37549:19	government 37541:25	37485:22 37512:1	helpful 37444:25	37501:4
37558:3 37574:19	graduation 37438:22	37532:3 37571:16	37505:25 37506:25	hours 37501:5
37579:10 37581:4	grant 37584:6	happening 37391:8	37522:24 37584:24	hour's 37400:9
given 37388:2	grasping 37408:12	37402:10 37408:13	helps 37513:7 37558:6	House 37573:6
37393:12 37402:10	grateful 37515:5	37411:24 37413:25	HEMRAJ 37560:4,7	37574:24 37575:12
	0		37560:11	
37420:9 37425:10	37589:17	37488:22 37491:21		housekeeping 37387:4
37434:17 37436:10	great 37415:4 37477:19	37492:2 37554:21	hesitate 37414:20	houses 37546:12
37437:9 37438:18,23	greater 37440:23	37556:3	hey 37553:11	human 37489:23
37443:12 37447:21	37442:16	happens 37391:22	he'd 37417:9,10	Hunter 37447:14
37448:13 37453:2,22	grenades 37438:5	37433:13	37432:1 37491:23	37451:7
37454:6,22,23	37457:1 37458:3	happy 37482:9	37579:16	hybrid 37512:22,25
37461:6 37463:2	37461:2 37465:6	hard 37427:9,10,19	he'll 37455:1 37493:3,4	37513:1,11,11,25
37464:8 37470:3,4	grievance 37583:25	37452:17 37457:6,24	37501:3	37528:16,23
37474:25 37481:5,9	37587:10	37562:10	he's 37443:22,25,25	37555:20
37484:4 37526:17	ground 37411:24	hasn't 37442:7 37497:5	37454:24 37491:12	Hydra 37502:4
37537:24 37555:17	37456:12	haven't 37402:16	37492:12,22,23	37503:3 37512:23,24
			· · ·	57505:5 57512:25,24
37574:7 37576:3	grounds 37392:20	37407:24 37424:14	37493:7 37497:7,8,13	т
37580:11 37583:25	group 37460:24	37443:12 37445:24	37497:13,14,16,16,18	I
37586:14	guess 37391:23	37470:10 37563:18	37506:16 37510:6,9	Ian 37564:24 37566:17
gives 37403:8 37458:23	37563:16	37586:2	37522:10 37539:21	37568:12
giving 37394:15	Gumbi 37454:20,23	head 37408:18 37409:7	37539:21 37566:8	idea 37405:3 37421:20
37470:22 37486:11	37455:2,3,7,9,21	37509:18,18 37511:2	37572:20,20	37497:15 37535:3
37487:9 37590:1	37456:4,13 37457:11	37511:8 37520:2	37589:17	37551:25 37552:4
glass 37553:13	37457:21 37458:15	headed 37502:4	НННН 37475:16	37576:10
go 37402:15 37403:2,13	37458:21 37459:1,5,9	37508:1 37511:16	HHHH11.1 37475:8,18	identical 37477:2
37403:14,15,15,25	37459:13,15,19,23	37513:4 37528:24	hidden 37430:4	identification 37392:24
, , , ,				
37410:15 37411:12	37460:2,5,8,13	heading 37459:5,16	high 37440:7 37488:4	identified 37567:9
37412:15 37413:4	37461:15,23 37462:6	37508:18 37511:20	higher 37482:3,11	37576:4
37414:12 37417:5	37462:15 37463:3,8	37543:15 37558:22	37536:9,10	identifies 37466:14
37418:10 37428:3	37463:20 37464:12	37559:20,22,23,25	highest 37441:24	identifying 37466:22
37430:2 37442:3	37464:14,21	headlights 37522:21	37484:15	ignorant 37452:21
37443:21 37444:20	37465:12,18 37466:2	headline 37507:18	highly 37515:24	illegal 37530:1
37448:4 37460:8,22	37466:10,17,21	37546:17	hill 37577:4,20 37581:3	37531:15,16,22
37472:1 37474:9	37467:3,6,10,15,17	headlines 37546:20	hindsight 37397:24	illogical 37581:13,17
	guys 37492:16	heads 37502:24	history 37410:17	37582:2 37583:12
			37415:13	
37475:22,24		2/5/10/1/ 2/5/10/19	5/413:13	37584:16
37475:22,24 37482:22,23 37483:1	Gwede 37572:5,10	37509:14 37510:12		1 magaz 27205 10
37475:22,24 37482:22,23 37483:1 37485:5 37486:14		37529:4	hit 37440:5,25	images 37395:10
37475:22,24 37482:22,23 37483:1 37485:5 37486:14 37497:14 37498:11	Gwede 37572:5,10 37575:19 37576:21	37529:4 hear 37428:22 37489:2	hit 37440:5,25 37445:22	37397:3
37475:22,24 37482:22,23 37483:1 37485:5 37486:14 37497:14 37498:11 37503:6 37506:14	Gwede 37572:5,10 37575:19 37576:21 H	37529:4 hear 37428:22 37489:2 37492:22 37493:15	hit 37440:5,25 37445:22 hits 37440:8	37397:3 imagination 37504:2
37475:22,24 37482:22,23 37483:1 37485:5 37486:14 37497:14 37498:11	Gwede 37572:5,10 37575:19 37576:21	37529:4 hear 37428:22 37489:2	hit 37440:5,25 37445:22	37397:3
37475:22,24 37482:22,23 37483:1 37485:5 37486:14 37497:14 37498:11 37503:6 37506:14	Gwede 37572:5,10 37575:19 37576:21 H	37529:4 hear 37428:22 37489:2 37492:22 37493:15	hit 37440:5,25 37445:22 hits 37440:8	37397:3 imagination 37504:2
37475:22,24 37482:22,23 37483:1 37485:5 37486:14 37497:14 37498:11 37503:6 37506:14 37513:9 37514:3	Gwede 37572:5,10 37575:19 37576:21 H hadn't 37452:20	37529:4 hear 37428:22 37489:2 37492:22 37493:15 37494:13,14,15	hit 37440:5,25 37445:22 hits 37440:8 Hm 37432:15	37397:3 imagination 37504:2 imagine 37406:10

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
immediate 37416:1	include 37441:12	37460:14 37463:4,6	interconnected	37577:12,13
immediately 37391:12	37477:15 37559:7	37463:14,17,19	37506:21	37578:17
37391:17 37436:7	included 37425:13	37464:7,14 37466:21	interest 37424:12	involving 37446:14
37456:16 37492:17	37463:4 37539:4	37467:6,20,24	37454:14 37487:15	IR 37543:15,17
37539:3 37541:2	includes 37477:6	37468:4 37473:7	37494:14 37495:8	37546:16 37557:18
impact 37551:5	37523:17	37487:14,20,21	interested 37396:6,6	37558:14 37559:5
37556:14	including 37404:25	37517:8 37526:25	37412:6,7 37417:6	37560:8,14 37561:25
impacts 37550:2	37421:6 37439:7	37529:24	37418:21 37434:19	37583:21,21 37584:8
Impala 37488:15	37471:4 37523:12	informed 37389:25	37493:16,19	37584:10 37588:24
37491:23	incoming 37544:1	37390:2 37391:23	interesting 37519:18	irresponsible 37438:10
impartial 37485:3,13	37547:17 37561:20	37440:11 37464:12	interests 37405:7	37438:13
impartiality 37484:13	inconceivable 37507:20	37472:9,17 37473:10	37409:13 37564:6	IRs 37584:11
impartially 37483:5	incorporate 37548:21	37479:22,23,25	interfere 37496:15	isn't 37402:3 37405:3
implement 37472:9,17	incorporates 37559:2	37480:4	interference 37495:11	37407:12 37410:24
37473:11	37559:20 37560:1,18	initiate 37464:25	37496:12	37407:12 37410:24 37417:12 37445:25
implementation	incorrect 37450:21	37465:4,14	interim 37447:22	37452:1 37476:15
37428:25 37448:1		,	37451:8	37478:11 37485:6
	37453:2,3 37478:8	injured 37437:3		
37451:21	37479:14 37535:5	37465:15	internal 37465:7,14	37521:6 37553:5
implemented 37404:1	increased 37441:2	injuries 37440:22	37542:14 37547:10	37582:2
37409:21 37471:16	indebted 37496:25	injuring 37517:25	37564:9,22	issue 37394:17,25
implicated 37494:25	independent 37428:23	injury 37440:8 37441:2	internally 37464:25	37409:5,8 37411:21
implication 37424:19	37429:9 37515:17	37442:17 37465:19	37548:19 37565:23	37428:3 37432:7
37534:3	indicate 37411:15	37512:6	international 37437:20	37449:14 37473:15
implications 37494:24	37434:10 37437:4	injustice 37419:2,9	internationally	37474:10 37478:19
implicit 37417:20,22	37468:19 37486:5	inkling 37391:11,15	37436:3	37481:22 37486:13
imply 37507:1	37496:3	innocent 37437:2	interpret 37494:13	37500:14 37502:7,8
import 37422:18	indicated 37389:15	input 37449:10	interpreted 37492:25	37502:25 37503:1,7
importance 37508:22	37392:23 37394:16	37451:14	interpreting 37495:19	37504:1 37511:18
important 37389:20	37395:1 37402:25	inputs 37418:8	interrogate 37413:3	37512:7,14 37513:8
37392:16 37405:9,16	37408:6 37411:17	37424:13 37443:6	37433:24 37574:18	37514:9,10,17
37406:10 37410:23	37413:10 37414:18	37450:9,13	interrupt 37456:3	37521:9 37525:25
37411:25 37412:10	37414:19 37419:24	inputting 37463:16	37543:22	37526:21 37528:24
37416:15 37424:14	37420:13 37429:3	inquiries 37465:9	interrupted 37494:9	37529:1,2 37535:6
37432:25 37438:18	37457:9	inquiry 37464:25	Interruption 37399:21	37541:13,21 37542:4
37449:5 37451:12	indicates 37446:25	37465:4,7,15,21	intervene 37546:19	37542:17,23 37543:1
37453:5,6 37464:3	37458:1 37477:13	insistence 37513:8	37572:6,11,20,21	37543:1,2,5 37544:19
37467:24 37479:9	37489:11	37542:4,11	intervention 37504:12	37549:12 37551:21
37480:23 37484:16	indication 37402:21	insistent 37535:24	37508:4,24 37509:1,6	37552:1,3,4,16
37486:24 37488:20	indirectly 37482:1	37536:10 37541:18	37509:23 37510:1,17	37553:1 37554:1,8
37518:3 37535:11,19	individual 37488:4	37541:19	37510:24 37511:9,10	37559:20 37560:8
-			-	
37566:19 37585:2	individually 37584:2	insofar 37496:4	37514:13,16,20	37563:6 37572:1
imposed 37554:25	indulged 37578:13	37501:16 37503:25	37515:9 37534:11	37587:7 37589:6
impress 37570:3	industrial 37512:13	37508:6 37509:24	interview 37456:8	issued 37453:24
37571:22	37514:8 37557:18	37527:14 37563:25	intimidation 37512:5	37458:2 37550:9,9
impression 37489:24	37558:10,11,22	37564:1	37517:8 37529:19	issues 37388:24
37490:6 37491:12	37560:9,11,18	institutional 37483:20	37577:14 37578:14	37389:17 37394:19
improper 37470:5	37583:22	37483:25 37484:12	introduce 37455:8	37402:14 37414:12
37471:3 37481:23	industry 37488:24	instructed 37466:4	37500:24 37518:4	37415:20 37430:15
improved 37424:9	37509:8 37544:15	instruction 37431:11	37586:8	37434:9 37436:10
inaccurate 37477:15	37559:18	37431:14 37434:12	introduced 37521:4	37441:16 37444:13
inappropriate	inevitable 37548:20	37453:24 37456:2,21	inverted 37580:25	37451:15 37463:1,25
37436:20 37440:7	infectious 37500:24	37457:12 37458:2	investigate 37433:24	37465:11 37486:3
inaudible 37415:14	inferred 37418:19	37460:18 37461:1,4,6	37465:4	37502:22 37517:19
37481:4 37487:3	influence 37483:20	37462:3,10,20	investigating 37435:13	37535:10 37545:4,23
37500:4 37515:3	37516:10 37523:11	37464:2	investor 37558:5,8,12	37546:1 37548:22
37517:24 37519:20	37523:16 37524:5,14	instructions 37430:24	37558:13,14 37559:1	37549:24,25
37524:3 37535:8	37524:17,23,25	37430:24	37559:23 37560:24	37561:21 37581:18
37543:16 37557:25	37525:16 37526:14	instruments 37438:16	37560:25 37561:1,6,7	I'd 37406:8,8 37424:16
37558:7 37563:11	37538:6 37569:2	insufficient 37504:14	37561:12 37562:2,19	37424:17 37516:19
37576:18 37579:1,20	influenced 37415:13	37504:15,21 37510:3	37583:22	37519:4 37523:2
incident 37396:15	information 37388:4	insult 37500:6	investors 37556:15	37525:4 37535:16
111 1 12 12 12 12 12 12	37391:19 37400:3		37562:3	
37459:16 37460:16		intended 37426:4		37542:3,9 37553:19
37460:22 37573:13	37402:20 37414:21	37538:21 37555:19	involved 37398:10	37589:16
37573:17	37423:3 37431:13	37555:20	37411:5 37422:2	I'll 37394:1 37400:9
incidentally 37536:23	37449:19 37453:3,8	interaction 37454:24	37509:6 37511:3	37419:2 37426:23
incidents 37530:17	37453:22 37457:8,25	37456:6	37565:4 37568:13	37436:24 37444:2
ARCHIVE EO	8 LUSTICE			

				Page 10
37450:4 37475:14	Jack 37494:8	kindly 37457:14	37393:22	37516:7,17 37517:2
37478:7 37479:15	January 37458:6	knew 37410:9 37412:7	lasting 37399:6	37518:9 37521:10
37481:24 37494:19	37460:15 37463:9,24	37412:14 37415:15	late 37455:9 37464:24	37526:11 37543:8
37496:25 37500:20	37466:12	37425:17 37426:21	37465:19 37500:16	37564:23 37572:3
37503:2 37520:25	Jill 37494:8	37426:22 37428:23	37517:9	letters 37508:16
37570:13 37572:9	JJJJ192(bis) 37399:10	37448:10 37472:21	launching 37515:24	37565:5
37574:4 37581:4	JJJ192 37482:24	37472:24	law 37396:2,4 37442:3	let's 37407:21
37584:6 37586:1	37486:14	knowing 37417:24	37484:5,5,15,15,20	37411:10,10 37413:3
I've 37400:24,25	JJJ203 37453:23	37581:23 37583:4,9	37484:21,22	37413:4 37429:20
37401:4,8 37402:19	job 37483:3 37485:5	knowledge 37421:14	37485:13,13 37490:8	37459:10 37470:6
37403:18 37405:18	37543:25	37422:11,15 37463:3	37509:12 37512:6	37471:6 37476:12
37405:21 37406:13	JOC 37406:19	37467:7	37525:25 37541:10	37489:20 37491:18
37408:5 37409:4	37462:25	known 37407:4,5	lawyer 37464:6	37494:20 37495:24
37412:8 37413:8,10	JOCCOM 37398:10	37414:23 37423:4	lay 37396:16 37402:24	37502:8 37503:23
37413:20 37421:19	jog 37485:17	37425:11 37473:10	37403:24 37480:1	37509:21 37528:16
37425:8 37428:10	Johannesburg 37555:6	knows 37463:19	37579:10 37580:11	37543:13 37551:17
37442:8,18 37444:15	37555:22	37464:11,17	lead 37408:3 37498:23	37571:25 37572:2,25
37444:23 37449:15	journey 37454:13	37586:18 37589:15	leader 37496:10	37575:14 37585:10
37450:22 37454:6	judgment 37566:18,20	koppie 37395:12	leaders 37387:20	level 37438:17
37473:14 37480:15	37566:24 37567:9	37397:4 37398:11	37412:1 37445:19	37441:25 37484:8
37480:25 37481:1	judiciary 37433:16,17	37403:2,10,16,21	37457:4,24 37468:7	levelled 37465:4
37484:12 37488:25	37434:12,13,14,15	37414:9 37437:7,11	37468:17	levels 37441:8
37489:2,23 37490:8	June 37511:24 37512:8	37480:2,3 37576:25	leading 37499:3	liaising 37554:11,13
37494:21 37495:12	37517:9 37529:9,15	37577:23 37578:18	leads 37541:1	liberally 37517:22,23
37495:16 37506:19	37529:20 37587:2	37580:13	learn 37558:17	lie 37401:20
37517:1 37525:19		kraal 37437:14	learned 37444:14,18	Lieutenant 37390:20
37526:10 37537:3	K	Kwadi 37487:18	37447:20 37448:1	37465:20 37480:3
37540:24 37554:6	keep 37452:22		37451:20 37473:6	Lieutenant-Colonel
37560:20 37570:15	37515:10 37531:1	L	37482:12 37581:18	37398:8 37403:22
37576:12 37585:12	37566:17	L 37421:22,23 37422:9	lease 37486:8	37456:7 37461:10
37585:18	keeping 37515:19	37423:24 37425:4	leases 37486:5	37466:11
i.e 37515:9 37516:11	37516:1	37426:19 37427:8,17	leave 37413:4 37454:10	Lieutenant-General
37580:22	keeps 37468:2	37452:17 37457:7	37454:21 37457:14	37388:10 37389:6
	kept 37416:18,21	37461:16,18	37457:14,18,19	37417:7 37472:8
J	37418:24 37538:2	37462:17	37460:18 37480:3	37473:10 37474:24
ja 37404:17 37441:18	37545:11	label 37576:24	37535:6	37479:23
37446:12 37450:11	key 37535:10	labelled 37577:4	led 37468:22 37512:5	life 37500:6
37463:20 37470:13	Kgotle 37568:14	37578:14	37535:13,17	light 37410:1 37425:19
37470:25 37475:18	37577:16,24 37581:3	Labiya 37477:7	37536:20	37438:8 37467:18
37477:22 37481:2,3	kill 37440:20 37492:16	labour 37502:8	leeway 37487:9,10	37480:12,14
37481:20,20	37492:20 37493:2	37503:7,19 37504:1	left 37420:7 37424:5	37482:11 37560:5
37482:22 37488:25	37494:4,6 37538:15	37505:8 37508:6,11	37452:12 37473:9	lightly 37409:25
37492:7 37501:21	37539:2	37508:15,22	37476:18,20,21	Liliesleaf 37576:17
37502:18 37503:9,17	killed 37395:13	37509:17,24	37477:16 37489:24	limited 37454:21
37504:13 37505:1,23	37437:3,12,15	37510:14 37511:6,7	37492:12 37501:1	line 37390:18,19
37512:18 37514:11	37442:20,21	37511:18 37512:2	37516:20	37455:11,12,24
37517:4,13 37519:2	37455:10 37465:15	37521:5,12,15,17,23	leg 37440:8,18	37456:1,21,25
37520:11,13,25	37480:24 37518:15	37522:16,19 37523:1	37510:15	37458:2 37460:20,24
37523:20 37524:4	37536:25 37541:2	37524:19 37525:17	legal 37441:22,23	37462:2,10,19
37525:19 37528:5,6	37577:19	37525:24 37526:3,8	37483:20 37484:6	37464:1 37465:15,24
37528:25 37531:10	killing 37408:22	37527:9 37528:10	37501:3 37584:7	37486:16,18 37514:4
37531:20 37532:9	37515:25 37518:2	37529:8,16 37530:8	37586:11,15,16	37518:5 37542:13
37533:3 37534:2,2	37529:5 37578:13	37530:23 37531:8	legitimately 37515:15	37561:6,12 37562:19
37536:18 37537:6,9	37579:18	37533:22 37534:5	length 37453:21	lines 37399:17
37537:19 37538:4	killings 37439:19	37584:16	lengthy 37422:6	37569:20,22,23
37539:1,8,13	37577:13	lack 37484:13	Lepaaku 37455:10	37576:6
37540:12 37542:23	kind 37406:10	land 37484:15	37464:24 37465:19	linked 37536:22
37543:10 37545:2	37410:23 37414:19	Langa 37518:16	lessons 37444:14,18	37539:2
37548:13 37551:2	37418:21 37436:20	language 37517:25	37448:1 37451:20	list 37400:17 37475:9
37553:4 37558:20	37437:15,19	lapsed 37425:20	lethal 37438:25	37475:10,23 37477:1
37559:11,11,19	37438:11 37440:22	laptop 37457:24	37439:3 37440:1	37477:1,2,5,12,14,20
37568:3 37569:9	37460:4 37478:24	large 37415:4	37441:11 37442:12	37567:5,22
37570:22 37575:9,18	37479:2,11 37485:20	largely 37544:13	Lethoko 37476:25	listed 37555:3,6,25
37579:21 37580:7,9	37512:10 37541:23	37545:17	letter 37507:5,10	37562:12
37582:10,12 37583:3	37547:25 37554:24	largest 37551:18	37508:10 37509:5	listen 37482:24
37584:9,9	37570:17 37573:22	lasted 37392:11	37511:11,13,15,20	37486:22 37510:4
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE		1	

RealTime Transcriptions

Pretoria

				Page 1
37522:14 37581:25	lost 37445:21 37548:21	37505:8,16 37506:3	Ma'am 37472:13	37461:8 37462:3,10
37581:25	37549:13,16,17	37506:22 37509:25	Mbombo 37388:11	37462:19 37463:13
listened 37396:10	37581:20 37582:1,5,9	37542:1 37544:10	37389:6 37390:21	37464:1,8 37465:5
37405:4	37582:20 37583:6,14	37545:25 37568:13	37392:10 37393:1,10	37466:13 37476:21
listening 37412:2	37583:14 37584:12	37568:17,18	37394:2,12 37396:11	37477:18,20 37496:4
37483:23 37486:12	37584:15	37581:20	37399:22,22 37402:1	37581:21 37582:21
listing 37555:22	lot 37398:1 37400:25	manager 37566:5	37411:18 37413:24	37583:6 37587:17,18
little 37399:18,18	37401:12 37449:6	managing 37411:16,24	37422:20 37425:12	membership 37540:11
37506:13 37574:5	37451:14 37454:14	37412:1 37415:3	37430:13 37446:21	37540:11
LLL11 37447:23	37454:16 37469:23	mandate 37584:3	37446:22 37458:7	memory 37408:11
local 37580:21	37473:5 37549:18	mandates 37583:25	37467:1,10 37471:22	37409:11 37445:17
locality 37583:17	37574:11	MANGWASHI	37472:9,17 37473:10	37445:20 37449:14
logic 37584:17	lots 37402:4 37543:25	37469:19	37479:12,23 37480:4	37485:18 37574:5,13
logical 37583:15	37547:17	manipulate 37466:6	37486:24 37487:1,17	mention 37393:19,23
logically 37584:11	Louis 37455:9	Manpower 37510:20	37487:18 37488:1	37397:20 37405:18
London 37543:25	LPD 37587:19	Mantashe 37572:5,10	37489:16	37405:24 37425:4
37547:15,15	LRC 37585:21	37575:19 37576:21	mean 37421:16	37405.24 37425.4
37554:25 37555:3,12	lunch 37403:14	march 37435:23	37432:17 37447:18	37420.19 37429.12
37555:17,17,22	37437:7 37498:24	37438:9 37529:24	37450:18 37464:22	37506:5 37532:5
	37499:7,8			mentioned 37406:1,6
long 37387:7 37454:13	<i>*</i>	Marikana 37391:17	37472:7,16 37484:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
37454:13 37486:15	Luthuli 37573:6	37394:13 37401:13	37484:21 37485:1	37408:21 37411:21
37489:10 37512:20	37574:24 37575:11	37402:4,11 37413:25	37486:5 37494:10	37419:18 37420:10
37549:17 37551:2	M	37416:1 37417:9	37515:23,24	37424:14 37427:8
37583:24		37448:3,4 37451:22	37517:15 37520:21	37440:4 37444:15
longer 37401:15	magnitude 37431:17	37452:9,10 37479:20	37525:10 37528:10	37449:2 37459:1
37420:1	37433:11 37482:3	mark 37518:22	37528:10 37531:8	37471:11 37488:2,11
Lonmin 37417:11	Mahomed 37569:13,24	37542:19 37544:9,23	37542:12 37545:5	37549:7 37580:23
37447:1 37487:25	37576:15,18,19	37553:8,11 37578:22	37549:23 37554:23	mentioning 37415:20
37497:9 37524:18	main 37393:19	37579:13	37578:23 37579:5	merely 37542:9
37528:7 37531:25	37436:18,19	market 37555:23	37583:21 37588:3	merits 37574:24
37544:10 37546:23	37448:14 37474:15	37556:5 37562:3	meaning 37485:13	37575:11
37554:10,13 37555:2	37474:16,17 37477:3	37579:6	37556:5	message 37453:7
37555:13 37561:8,10	37477:4	Masemola 37392:13	means 37412:13	37571:14
37564:4,5,6,19,23,25	Major 37415:25	37394:20 37408:18	37485:2 37515:25	messages 37566:15
37565:7,17,20,24	37461:7 37476:25	37416:4 37417:3	37522:17 37528:5	messaging 37545:17
37566:2,7 37573:5	Major-General	37431:21	37535:4 37557:18	met 37423:5 37533:1,5
37576:16 37581:9	37417:7 37460:17	Mashego 37388:13	37559:17	37570:15
37585:1 37586:12,12	37461:1,3,7	37390:18,24 37391:4	meant 37453:12	metre 37468:12
37587:12 37588:3	making 37443:4	37391:6,13,18	37496:16 37506:15	metres 37436:23,25
Lonmin's 37545:15	37471:2 37492:22	masses 37392:22	37506:19 37514:2	37437:2,11,15
look 37388:10	37505:12 37506:18	masters 37571:22	37515:16 37553:7,7	Mick 37551:18
37411:10 37426:6	37511:13 37566:8	material 37427:10	37573:7 37577:22,25	37552:10,13
37438:21,24	37569:16 37581:16	37527:17 37556:3,11	37581:2	37553:13,17
37451:16 37459:3	Malema 37488:13,16	materialise 37480:5	measures 37418:23	Microphone 37481:4
37462:1,17 37465:10	37488:19,22,23	Mathunjwa 37402:22	mechanisms 37483:3	37500:4 37517:24
37468:25 37475:20	37491:21,22 37492:3	37479:25	37483:20	37519:19 37535:7
37488:8 37509:4	37492:4,5 37493:3	matter 37398:14	media 37507:19	37543:16 37557:25
37513:9 37520:22,25	37497:21	37409:18 37411:6	37546:12 37547:4	37558:7 37563:11
37527:5 37553:8,18	Malema's 37492:6,9	37412:6 37422:11	37557:15 37559:2,7,7	37579:20
37561:5 37563:13	37497:11	37423:1,3,3 37428:6	37559:9,21 37560:2	middle 37512:12
37567:21 37582:14	man 37407:18	37428:10 37429:21	37560:18	37517:10
37586:2	37532:19 37548:19	37431:5 37452:4	meet 37519:8,10	Midrand 37411:20
looked 37513:11	37549:1 37552:23	37468:16 37478:4	37577:20 37581:3	37475:20 37479:22
37542:5	37565:6	37491:17 37497:7,10	meetings 37416:23	midst 37401:14
looking 37397:24	manage 37411:19	37501:25 37527:19	37419:19 37445:2	MIGDETT 37559:18
37430:3 37434:19	37414:4 37438:19	37546:22 37575:25	37446:9 37545:12	Mike 37568:14 37584:1
37438:24 37444:16	37491:24	37580:8 37583:4	37576:12	millimetre 37439:25
	managed 37412:16	matters 37389:14	Melrose 37520:12	37440:24 37467:21
	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		member 37447:13	mind 37387:8 37389:21
37553:12		3/431.7 3/4200.7		
37553:12 looks 37390:22	37488:18,19	37431:7 37450:2 37453:19 37468:3		37300.6 37/12.11
37553:12 looks 37390:22 loop 37566:18,25	37488:18,19 management 37392:7,9	37453:19 37468:3	37462:25 37464:20	37390:6 37413:11 37415:10 37418:13
37553:12 looks 37390:22 loop 37566:18,25 37567:4	37488:18,19 management 37392:7,9 37442:2 37444:21	37453:19 37468:3 37574:22	37462:25 37464:20 37465:15 37474:16	37415:10 37418:13
37553:12 looks 37390:22 loop 37566:18,25 37567:4 losing 37441:1	37488:18,19 management 37392:7,9 37442:2 37444:21 37473:17 37475:19	37453:19 37468:3 37574:22 Mawela 37392:13	37462:25 37464:20 37465:15 37474:16 members 37417:10	37415:10 37418:13 37433:8 37439:12
37553:12 looks 37390:22 loop 37566:18,25 37567:4 losing 37441:1 37548:21	37488:18,19 management 37392:7,9 37442:2 37444:21 37473:17 37475:19 37477:10 37478:23	37453:19 37468:3 37574:22 Mawela 37392:13 37394:20 37408:18	37462:25 37464:20 37465:15 37474:16 members 37417:10 37435:24 37436:6	37415:10 37418:13 37433:8 37439:12 37446:2 37451:17
37553:12 looks 37390:22 loop 37566:18,25 37567:4 losing 37441:1 37548:21 loss 37547:16,16,16	37488:18,19 management 37392:7,9 37442:2 37444:21 37473:17 37475:19 37477:10 37478:23 37479:9,21 37487:8,9	37453:19 37468:3 37574:22 Mawela 37392:13 37394:20 37408:18 37416:5,6 37417:2	37462:25 37464:20 37465:15 37474:16 members 37417:10 37435:24 37436:6 37438:3 37442:9	37415:10 37418:13 37433:8 37439:12 37446:2 37451:17 37467:25 37468:17
37553:12 looks 37390:22 loop 37566:18,25 37567:4 losing 37441:1 37548:21	37488:18,19 management 37392:7,9 37442:2 37444:21 37473:17 37475:19 37477:10 37478:23	37453:19 37468:3 37574:22 Mawela 37392:13 37394:20 37408:18	37462:25 37464:20 37465:15 37474:16 members 37417:10 37435:24 37436:6	37415:10 37418:13 37433:8 37439:12 37446:2 37451:17

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 1
37531:1 37534:4	Mkhwanazi 37447:14	37460:18 37461:1,3,7	37494:3,6,7 37508:6	NIU 37410:10
minded 37518:13	37451:7,15	37461:8 37462:4,20	37508:23 37509:25	NMF 37420:6
mine 37463:1 37492:7	Mm 37460:12	37464:2,23	37510:18 37519:10	37479:18 37480:22
37492:8,9 37549:20	Mmmm 37543:18	Mthethwa 37483:10	37519:11,11	non 37569:3,13
37550:19 37553:9	MO 37389:8	37488:2 37571:15	needed 37429:4	non-communication
37559:6 37561:22,24	mobile 37387:21	mule 37513:1,13	37463:18 37467:12	37427:3
37562:7 37578:20	mobilised 37415:17,19	Munroe 37518:22	37474:13 37504:11	non-executive 37568:5
37580:17	mobilising 37400:4,7	37544:23	37509:23,24	37568:6,23 37569:6
Minerals 37532:1	modus 37408:8	murder 37414:21	37510:19 37529:21	37569:21
mine's 37493:22	Mokwena 37486:24	37508:12 37518:1	37531:19 37551:20	non-executives
minimum 37418:24	37487:17 37488:1,5	37578:13	needs 37414:7 37508:4	37567:20,22 37568:1
mining 37488:24,24	37488:14 37491:13	murders 37529:5	37548:22	37568:19
37492:7	37492:7,17,25	mustn't 37516:25	negative 37424:24	non-lethal 37438:3
Minister 37388:14,15	37568:10	mutated 37522:18	37450:20 37544:10	37444:16
37389:16 37471:25	moment 37399:20		37544:11 37545:14	non-operational
37473:8 37482:14,18	37412:6 37436:24	<u> </u>	37545:16 37553:10	37477:20
37483:10,21 37488:2	37444:21 37459:8	Naidoo 37421:2	negotiate 37587:24	normal 37414:7
37488:11 37495:14	37491:17 37500:25	37423:12 37425:17	37588:7	normally 37405:14
37496:7 37501:15	37500:25 37515:4	name 37455:9 37488:3	negotiated 37588:11,16	37416:23 37438:3
37504:25 37505:4,15	Monday 37395:16	37586:10	negotiation 37397:15	37440:6
37507:17 37510:20	37405:2 37417:9	names 37477:16	37397:23 37588:7	North 37392:24
37511:1,6 37514:25	37460:17 37507:5	narrative 37409:8	negotiations 37397:17	North-West 37394:24
37516:10 37519:7	37508:10 37509:5	Natasha 37574:6,14	37397:19 37398:1	note 37389:12
37525:3 37532:1	37511:11 37526:11	37576:7 37578:23	Neither 37503:20	37408:20,23 37415:8
37536:23 37537:14	37537:14 37569:10	National 37387:5	nephew 37437:6	37416:21 37483:22
37538:7,14 37539:20	37579:12	37388:3 37392:7,9	never 37398:23	37498:8 37500:25
37539:25 37550:21	money 37485:20	37393:15 37425:22	37410:20 37426:4,4	37546:4
37564:23 37567:12	monitor 37396:8	37426:16 37443:19	37430:4 37431:4,17	notes 37445:3,7
37567:16 37569:1	monster 37502:2	37455:4,12,22	37433:14 37439:12	37446:2,3,5,8,10,11
37570:1 37571:15	Moonoo 37474:24	37456:5,17,18	37446:2 37463:23	37446:15,16
minute 37393:8	morale 37544:12	37457:22 37458:11	37466:4 37475:15,17	37473:16 37474:3,20
37498:21 37554:20	37553:9	37460:10 37461:11	37475:17,21,21,25	37518:21 37543:10
minuted 37475:1	morning 37389:12	37461:22,25	37476:2 37506:17	37545:9,11
37576:14	37397:16 37398:15	37464:22 37465:13	37532:17 37571:3,18	notice 37555:7
minutes 37389:7,24	37402:25 37403:14	37466:2 37469:18	37571:21	noticing 37415:19
37392:8,18,21	37403:16 37405:6,11	37473:17 37475:19	nevertheless 37532:8	notification 37454:19
37393:2,3,21	37405:25 37455:4	37477:9 37478:23	new 37396:7 37423:6	notion 37522:25
37394:23 37404:18	37473:16 37487:7	37479:8,21 37481:17	37425:13 37558:17	notwithstanding
37416:17,20	37500:17 37523:25	37483:25 37487:4	news 37507:18	37589:17
37426:13 37427:22	37532:5 37538:24	37488:7,21 37489:7	37546:17 37551:20	November 37461:17
37445:10,14 37446:2	37539:7 37540:25	37489:24 37490:16	37552:14 37553:10	now-now 37493:5
37446:4 37454:23	37541:6 37555:16	37491:19 37492:1	37563:14	no-one 37512:20
37474:10,15,16,17,18	37589:10,19	37495:1 37523:25	newspaper 37562:16	Ntsebeza 37448:9,18
37474:23 37475:6,8,9	mortuary 37505:7	37538:24 37541:6	37563:8	37449:3 37468:20
37475:11,22 37476:5	Mothiba 37474:25	nationalise 37492:10	newspapers 37547:1	37469:2
37477:3,4 37478:2,5	motivation 37481:23	nationalised 37492:9	37561:9 37562:22	NUM 37407:16
37478:6,9 37497:3	MOU 37439:1	37493:22	Ngcukaitobi 37585:24	37509:8 37518:7,13
37498:24 37499:6	mountain 37400:4	nature 37431:9	37586:5,9,10,22,25	37519:4 37551:23
37501:2 37556:25	mounted 37447:7	37436:5	37587:3,6,11,20,23	37552:16 37581:20
37573:11 37581:5	mouth 37391:14	Ncube 37549:11	37588:4,6,10,15,19	37582:1,19,20
37585:21,23 37586:1	move 37404:19	near 37400:18	37588:23,25	37583:5 37587:17
misdiagnosis 37507:25	37429:20 37447:12	37437:13 37455:11	37589:12	number 37388:4,9,24
mislead 37478:22	37459:10 37471:23	37455:23 37456:1,20	nice 37425:16,17	37400:6 37404:24
misled 37479:7	37473:1 37478:7	37456:25 37458:1	37483:15 37558:16	37410:9 37414:18
misnomer 37464:11	37492:18,19 37493:1	37462:2,9,19 37464:1	night 37397:2,3	37421:4 37429:22
misrepresentation	37494:5,6 37497:19	NEC 37540:11	37402:1,20 37403:13	37444:17 37458:19
37449:13	moving 37400:1	necessarily 37565:23	37403:15 37404:25	37458:24 37461:23
missed 37437:14	37528:5 37556:20	necessary 37408:2	37405:2 37421:6	37461:24 37500:10
mistake 37500:8	Mpembe 37389:15	37423:10 37430:2	37422:12 37425:5	37533:2 37589:13,18
37506:19 37511:12	37395:25 37396:5,16	37441:19 37446:3	37426:1,20 37428:22	numbers 37414:8
mistakes 37500:20	37407:15 37411:21	37449:6 37485:15	37431:10 37444:22	37458:23,24 37516:2
misunderstand	37421:1 37423:11	37546:9 37554:10	37452:20 37487:23	37516:3 37549:16,21
37417:23 37446:7	37425:11,16	need 37396:25 37397:9	37488:8 37516:20	NV 37574:6
misunderstanding	37426:21 37430:20	37415:18 37428:10	37519:6 37542:5	Nyalas 37460:19
37565:13	37455:22 37456:19	37468:7 37492:14,15	nine 37389:7 37498:1	N-O-T 37511:6
mixing 37511:13	37456:22 37458:2	37492:16,19 37494:1	nitty-gritty 37412:3,7	
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE	1	1	

Pretoria

				Page
0	37524:4 37527:2	37513:24 37515:24	overtook 37550:11	37473:8 37474:4,17
oath 37426:16	37531:21 37535:23	37515:24 37555:13	overview 37447:24	37474:18,25 37479:9
37463:17 37469:18	37537:24 37538:11	37579:21,25	37448:15 37450:2	37488:20 37489:23
37472:16 37499:16	37539:13,17	operational 37404:24	37451:19	37504:5,7 37505:9
37499:16,17,18,19,22	37544:24 37550:20	37448:2 37451:21	o'clock 37402:3	37508:11 37545:3
37557:4	37551:17 37554:6	37477:18 37483:13	37403:1,3,24	37552:10,14 37563:5
object 37556:21,23	37558:25 37563:23	operationally 37406:18	37589:19	37563:14 37565:6
37577:6 37583:1	37568:22 37569:17	operations 37394:21	o'clock 37390:12	37585:12 37587:15
objection 37574:1	37570:13 37571:13	37404:21 37406:25		participants 37420:11
37590:1	37572:25 37574:18	37407:3 37408:1	P	37496:3
objective 37517:16,17	37578:24 37579:17	37410:14 37411:19	package 37401:15	participate 37462:24
	37580:10 37588:21	37420:20 37436:1,5	37548:22 37549:24	37462:25
objectively 37411:11	omission 37397:12	37437:17,25	37549:25	participated 37393:8
obligated 37556:6,8,9	37425:14,14 37426:5	37438:11 37439:11	page 37388:8,8,20	participating 37414:22
obligation 37429:14	37426:5 37427:4	37439:12,18 37451:5	37389:4 37390:24	37430:12
observe 37400:9	37428:19 37429:4	37496:15 37555:15	37399:6,11,18	particular 37391:24
obsessed 37535:15,21	37480:7,10 37481:10	opinion 37430:5	37400:18 37458:16	37407:11 37432:9
37541:17	37481:14 37505:14	opportunity 37426:23	37458:17,23 37459:3	37442:21,21,23
obsessive 37536:11	37506:4	37454:6 37455:17	37486:14 37501:13	37461:22 37473:11
obvious 37553:5	omit 37478:22	37456:17 37459:25	37505:18,20	37489:21 37493:20
obviously 37389:25	omitted 37482:13		-	
37392:16 37407:22		37462:16,23,24	37520:20 37521:9	37553:8 37554:10
37413:21 37421:9	omitting 37479:8	37478:21	37523:15 37533:13	particularly 37417:6
37432:19 37435:2,11	once 37400:3,7 37443:3	opposed 37442:25	37533:18 37543:9,13	37440:7 37482:12
37452:7 37467:24	37454:16 37475:17	37451:2 37508:2	37543:23 37551:17	parties 37500:10,13,15
37468:15 37476:16	37484:23 37492:8	37522:18 37541:25	37554:17 37555:7	37510:22
37561:7 37574:25	37522:5 37528:4	opposite 37419:10	37557:11 37560:5	parts 37445:18
37575:3,24 37580:2	37531:1,1 37536:25	37480:10 37493:18	37572:2 37573:1,2	37482:17
occasion 37452:12	ones 37547:10	37518:5	37574:20 37575:14	party 37430:18,21
occur 37419:14	37577:12 37578:10	option 37403:9 37404:1	37577:2,15 37578:25	37477:8 37581:8
occurred 37419:13	37578:14	37404:19 37419:25	37582:14	37585:2
occurring 37578:4	one's 37410:18	37583:22 37585:25	panel 37447:13,15,16	passage 37458:20
odd 37449:8	one-on-one 37479:2,11	37586:1	37447:20,22 37448:7	37459:25 37492:11
offer 37518:8	ongoing 37397:17	order 37387:9	37448:9,10,20,20,21	37497:14
offered 37551:19	37428:2 37465:21	37391:19 37394:18	37448:25 37449:17	passive 37477:14
office 37408:18	37555:14	37414:4 37433:15,16	37450:17 37451:1,6	passé 37526:21
37519:12 37520:9,10	onwards 37395:14	37435:25 37436:5	37452:14 37453:24	37539:16
37520:11	OOO10 37458:14,15	37437:16,24	panels 37449:20	path 37436:22 37468:3
officer 37415:22	37458:16	37439:11.17	paper 37506:10	37468:12
37455:10 37464:24	open 37576:6 37583:23	37441:24 37442:2	37563:15	peaceful 37479:24
	37586:19	37447:25 37451:3,3	papers 37450:15	37526:18 37578:11
37465:19 37468:23	opening 37462:7,13	37451:10 37470:2,4	parading 37395:11	peacefully 37396:4
37564:18	37470:2 37482:2	37471:8 37478:19	paragraph 37458:19	37578:11
officers 37415:24	operandi 37408:8	37481:23 37509:12	37458:23,24	pedantic 37419:5,8
37465:2	operate 37429:19	37512:7 37525:25	37459:23 37460:10	pending 37453:1
official 37446:2	37484:1 37485:4	orders 37584:4,4		perception 37470:11
37565:7		,	37472:6,18 37473:3	
officials 37458:8	operated 37423:4	ordinary 37410:15	37474:13 37479:16	37487:17,18
37466:5,18,25	operating 37420:15	37451:9 37561:9	37479:16 37480:3	37545:25
37467:8	37425:10	organisation 37415:20	37482:16 37483:14	period 37395:13
oh 37460:6 37500:19	operation 37405:24	37428:2 37430:9	37548:18 37560:5,23	37530:4
37524:1 37547:9	37406:7 37407:11,12	37485:15 37540:14	37561:25 37572:3	permission 37456:6
37557:24 37565:14	37409:15 37410:8,11	37540:17 37583:13	parallel 37452:15	37470:7
37575:7 37579:21	37410:23 37411:4,16	37584:13	parcel 37430:15	person 37391:25
okay 37399:19,20	37411:20 37412:4	original 37445:19	37441:7 37462:23	37411:4 37417:6
37425:3 37460:2	37415:3,22 37417:25	originally 37429:24	37561:8	37419:10 37430:14
37461:15 37472:5,21	37419:25 37421:5	37564:24 37569:12	pardon 37388:23	37437:2,5,14 37438:0
37474:9 37475:16	37422:3,22 37423:5	ounces 37549:16	37416:19 37499:2	37440:22 37442:17
37479:15 37480:19	37436:20 37437:19	37550:1	part 37387:16	37484:17 37496:10
37481:7 37485:17	37440:19 37443:15	outbreak 37555:14	37410:11,24	37505:5 37535:15
37486:13 37490:4,15	37443:22,23 37444:3	outcome 37443:18	37417:14,17	37536:23,24
37490:15 37490:4,15	37444:6,8,10 37447:6	37444:10	37420:20 37427:9	37577:19 37588:24
37490:15 37491:6	37448:6 37455:23	outside 37395:17	37429:25 37430:15	personal 37564:17
1/494.10 18	37458:10 37462:23	outstanding 37428:3	37430:24 37437:9	37566:2
span w / / / world it ct				
37507:24 37509:21		overlap 37561·15	$3/44 \cdot 1 + 3/444 \cdot 17$	Dersonally 575475
37507:24 37509:21 37510:11,16	37465:3 37466:15,23	overlap 37561:15 overlong 37453:22	37441:7,11 37444:12 37448:6 37450:13 14	personally 37542:8 37564:3-16
37507:24 37509:21 37510:11,16 37511:18 37513:20	37465:3 37466:15,23 37480:24 37483:19	overlong 37453:22	37448:6 37450:13,14	37564:3,16
37507:24 37509:21 37510:11,16	37465:3 37466:15,23			

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page 1
37417:8	platform 37463:6	37480:5 37482:1,18	POPS 37410:8	37515:18,19,22
phase 37471:24	platinum 37544:15	37483:3 37488:18	portfolio 37574:8	37516:1,3,11
37472:1	37559:17	37489:8 37491:23	portrayed 37544:18	37530:13
Phillimore 37516:16	Plats 37518:7,11,22	37496:4 37507:10	Portway 37555:9	present 37392:20
37566:17 37567:25	37519:4	37508:5,7,24 37509:2	position 37401:25	37395:22 37404:25
37575:1,4,5,6,10	PLC 37555:13,17	37509:11 37514:22	37411:14 37433:17	37405:12 37410:10
37576:11	please 37387:7,12,15	37515:4,10,17	37442:10 37443:24	37415:23 37417:11
phone 37387:19	37391:14,14 37394:6	37516:11 37518:8,12	37540:9,19,24	37453:7 37465:2
37388:7,13 37389:9	37482:24 37486:22	37526:18,20 37528:7	positive 37397:12	presentation 37421:21
37390:8,11,23	37490:12,13 37507:6	37528:21 37529:2,21	37551:20 37552:15	37422:10 37425:22
37402:4 37514:25	37507:16 37509:20	37529:23 37530:13	37552:25	37425:24 37427:17
37519:5 37537:21 37549:7,8 37561:20	37511:2 37512:17 37516:10 37520:7	37530:22 37531:3,11 37536:23 37538:15	possession 37395:15 37415:2	presented 37455:13,16 37455:19 37456:23
phoned 37401:7	37522:13 37523:16	37539:12 37541:6,24	possibility 37405:24	37457:7 37458:4,8
37421:3 37537:17	37526:12 37532:19	37546:19 37551:21	37406:6 37408:21	37460:14 37461:16
37553:13	37538:18 37539:12	37552:1,4,15 37553:1	37479:24 37571:22	37461:17 37462:7,17
photographic 37409:10	37539:20 37545:13	37579:3,8 37580:11	possible 37440:19	37464:15 37466:4,6
photographs 37457:12	37552:23,24	policemen 37407:11	37442:22 37450:18	37466:11,22
37457:16,17	37555:13 37557:8	policeperson 37407:6	37453:6 37500:15	president 37509:7,8
phrase 37420:7	37574:3	policies 37447:24	37567:14 37586:16	37571:15 37575:21
37432:16 37549:24	plenary 37422:4	37448:15 37451:20	possibly 37391:17	37576:18
pick 37570:14	ploughed 37456:12	policing 37394:18,21	37403:20 37405:25	press 37443:12
picked 37444:14	plural 37570:4	37410:17 37435:25	37452:21 37453:21	37542:19 37544:9
37546:12 37566:22	pocketbook 37456:24	37436:5 37437:16	37468:11 37534:6	37545:14 37547:4,8
picking 37547:5	37465:2	37439:12,18	37555:19 37576:9	37550:8,14 37557:15
picture 37574:19	point 37389:20	37441:24 37447:25	37585:3,5	37559:6,9,21 37560:2
piece 37396:10	37390:10 37403:6	37448:2 37451:22	post 37422:19 37452:6	37560:19 37576:20
37506:10	37408:11 37419:9	37489:9 37490:2,20	37575:20	pressing 37447:6
Pillay 37387:24	37428:10 37434:3	policy 37581:10	postponed 37579:14,15	pressure 37538:12,19
37535:24 37536:9	37435:13,21	politely 37538:6	37580:8	37538:23 37539:4,9
37541:15 37552:2	37437:21 37439:2	37579:18	postponement	37539:10,19,21,23
37581:19 37582:4	37443:7,13 37446:19	political 37432:8	37579:18,24	37540:23 37541:1,3,7
pistol 37440:24	37455:7 37456:4,10	37483:14,19	postponing 37405:24 37406:6	37541:24 37554:9
pistols 37439:25 place 37389:14,22	37456:11 37460:9 37461:23 37468:18	37484:14 37485:4 37489:9,25 37490:18	potential 37563:17,17	37564:2,11 37566:15 37566:23 37567:8,10
37390:4,14 37391:3	37468:19 37477:7,21	37490:18,22 37491:5	potentially 37495:3	37570:5 37585:13
37393:22 37400:21	37478:5,6,15,16,18	37491:7 37492:13	power 37465:14	pressurised 37538:4,5
37401:18 37402:2	37482:9 37485:6	37493:6,13,15,20	37523:23 37524:6	37538:8,9,13,13,14
37407:15 37408:7	37491:25 37492:20	37494:1,8,9,11,21,24	powers 37465:24	37538:14,15
37412:2,4 37418:6,23	37492:23 37493:7	37495:4,10,11	37466:8	pressurising 37488:3
37419:4 37420:20	37497:3,5,6 37506:18	37496:7,11,12,14	PR 37542:12,14,17,23	37538:2,16
37421:19 37429:24	37513:21 37516:22	37497:12,22 37505:3	37542:25 37543:5	Press/media 37559:5
37440:19 37463:16	37518:3 37521:25	37508:4,24 37509:1,6	37544:19 37546:22	presumably 37437:13
37465:9 37467:19	37522:1 37523:18	37509:22,25	37547:5 37554:21	37515:8
37479:11 37484:24	37524:21,23	37510:17,24 37511:9	37555:19,20	presume 37556:13
37489:13,21 37528:7	37525:15 37526:21	37511:10 37514:13	37557:15,16 37561:1	presuppositions
placed 37442:10	37527:12,18,18,19,20	37514:16,20 37515:9	37562:25 37574:12	37434:15 37490:10
plan 37394:13 37395:1	37528:5 37535:6,11	37524:5 37540:8,14	37574:14,15	Pretoria 37452:13
37396:11 37398:7,7,9	37545:2 37554:6	37540:17,23,25	practise 37416:22	37563:13
37398:17,20 37401:3	37563:12 37564:21	37541:7 37554:8	preceded 37431:11	pretty 37451:25
37401:4 37402:12 37403:8,21 37404:1	37566:8 37569:16 37582:23 37585:22	37564:2 37566:23 37567:8,10 37570:5	predecessor 37485:18 prefer 37477:13	previous 37419:24
37403.8,21 37404.1 37404.1	37586:3,4	37585:13	premier 37488:16	37519:5 37535:4 37539:24 37549:3
37406:16,19,23	points 37391:24	politically 37488:4	premises 37495:12	previously 37388:5
37408:8,15 37412:3	37415:21 37419:8	politicians 37524:10,12	preparation 37449:5	37456:5
37412:14 37413:12	37461:21 37478:1	37526:14 37538:21	37450:9,15 37453:5	prima 37435:3
37471:9,15,15,18,24	37563:23 37589:10	37538:22	37454:13	37516:25 37518:3
37472:2,10,11,18,23	police 37388:12,15	politics 37496:2	prepare 37422:7	primarily 37556:5
37472:25 37473:12	37390:25 37395:15	ponies 37526:5 37531:1	37449:7,9 37454:17	principles 37397:23
37579:8	37396:2 37410:19,24	pony 37525:24,25	prepared 37402:22,24	37485:19,22
planner 37398:9	37427:9,9,17,18,19	37526:5 37531:2	37440:13,16 37441:2	prior 37460:22
planning 37395:5	37429:14,21	POP 37436:1,5,6	37441:3 37545:9	private 37442:4
37402:15 37412:17	37433:12 37438:16	37438:2,6 37439:11	prescripts 37407:7	privy 37432:25
37420:15 37463:7	37438:18,19,20	37439:12,18,24,24	presence 37421:14	37433:7
plans 37406:22	37439:9,23 37458:8	37440:19 37461:8,9	37422:16 37423:18	probably 37391:7
37414:2 37515:18	37465:2,13 37470:14	37466:13,13,15,24	37442:1 37489:20	37402:24 37417:16
ARCHIVE FO	8 JUSTICE			<u> </u>

37462:14 37476:18 proposed 37392:2 prosed 37392:2 pr		Page 1
37519:6 3748:24 3749:24 3749:23 3748:24 37428:24 37428:24 37428:24 37428:24 37428:24 37428:24 37429:10 37428:24 37429:10	18 pro	37456:18
37519:6 37498:4 purpose 37400:16 37428:24 37429:22 37387:16 37409:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37499:0 37469:0	0 pro	586:13,21
problem 3740:83 3749:63 <t< td=""><td>2 3</td><td>16</td></t<>	2 3	16
37408:8 37419:0 37479:0 37479:0 37449:0 37469:0 <t< td=""><td>pro</td><td>463:6</td></t<>	pro	463:6
37419:10 37436:23 37581:6.7 37478:23749:52.3 37467:16 37469:23 37467:16 37469:23 37467:16 37469:23 37489:13 37416:13 37469:23 37469:23 37469:23 37469:23 37469:23 37469:22 37469:23 37469:23 37469:23 374775:1 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:25 37449:24 37452:25 374612:3 37451:23 37467:24 37452:25 37467:24 37452:25 37467:24 37452:25 37467:24 37452:25 37561:20 37571:23 37472:43 37451:23 <td></td> <td>37479:24</td>		37479:24
3743624 37497:16 37497:16 37492.25 37497:16 37492.25 37497:16 37492.25 37497:12 37492.25 37497:12 37493.11 37492.12 37493.11 37492.12 37493.11 37492.12 37493.11 37491.12 37491.13 37491.13 37491.15 37491.15 37491.15 37491.15 37491.15 37491.15 37491.15 37491.15 37491.15 37491.16 37493.12 37491.16 37493.12 37491.16 37493.12 37491.16 37493.12 37491.16 37493.12 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.12 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.12 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.12 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16 37491.16		
37444:24 37445:16 prospect 37440:7 purposes 37446:4 37486:23 37487.6 37461:24 3746 37409:52.1750:28 protect 37492:20 37448:14 37495:21 37498:18 37508:25 37488:25 3748.7 37510:15 37521:2 protection 37518.8.12 37566:3 37586:6 quick 37478:1 37482:25 3748 37440:4 37442:19 37495:10 37451:23 374 37498:25 3749 37480:13 3739:13 3739:12 37488:25 3748 37440:4 37442:19 37395:2 3790:12,25 37400:19,23 quick 37407:24 3741:4 37410:23 751:14 37561:8 37561:3 37581:3 guite 37407:24 3742:10 37489:3 3759:12 3758:13 guite 37407:24 3742:10 37489:3 3758:13 guite 37407:24 3742:10 37489:3 3758:13 guite 37407:24 3742:10 37489:3 3758:13 guite 37407:24 3742:15 3758:13 guite 37407:24 3742:14 3748:15 37571:12 3758:13 guite 37407:24 3748:15 37578:11 guite 37407:24 3742:14 3748:15 3758:12 3758:13 guite 3749:12 3758:13 guite 3749:12 3749:12 3748:14 3749:12 3748:14 3749:12 3748:14 3749:12 3748:14 3749:12 3748:14 3749:12 3748:14 3749:12 3748:14 3749:12 3748:14 3749:12 3748:14 3749:12 3748:14 3749:12 3748:14 3749:12 3748:14 3748:14 3744:14 3744:12 3744:14 3744:12 3744:14 37		
374975.21 37502.8 Protea 37475.20 37448:14 37495:21 37493:11 37498:16 37475:12 3748 3750121 37503.8 protect 37494:7 3756:23 25 3756:3 37498:18 37508:25 37486:14.21 375411:3 3747:15 protest 37396:3.8 jush 3756:12 37586:6 37486:14.21 37411:3 37413.5 protest 37396:3.8 jush 3756:1.2 37587:1.6 37492:1.5 375 37440:4 3743:1.3 37495:2.2 37309:1.3700:3 37497:1.5 3751 37440:4 37413:5 37400:1.5 37410:2 37412:1 37411:3 37497:1.5 3751 procedure 37451:2.2 37409:1.5 37410:2 37412:1 37449:1.6 3758:3.5 procedure 37451:2 37409:1.5 37410:2 37412:1 374371.4 37551.51 procedure 37451:2 37489:1.2 37434:2.4 3758:1.5 37404:2.1 3758:3.5 proceeding 37405:1.5 37407:1.7 37448:1.5 3758:1.2 3758:1.2 3758:3.2 proceeding 37407:1.7 protestors 374.51.1 37438:1.4 374375:1.4 37435:1.2 3758:3.2 37498:3.3717 proceeding 37407:1.7 protestors 374.51.1		
37502:12 37503:8 protect 37494:7 37562:25 375633 37498:18 37508:25 37486:14.21 375101:5 37512:2 protetion 37518:8,12 375663 37486:6 quick 37478:1 37492:5 3749 37541:1 37413:5 37415:1 7 37494:15 37415:1 7 37494:15 37412:2 37839:1 37391:22 37480:5 3749 37493:25 3749 37440:4 37442:19 37395:2 37561:2,25 37400:19,23 quict 37407:24 37415:1 37518:3 3751:23 37497:15 3751 procedure 37451:2,89 37495:2 37441:3 37412:1 37412:2 37449:16 37407:24 3715:16 37561:8 3756 procedure 37451:2,89 37499:12 37422:10 37424:5 20 37517:22 37530:13 37583:5 procedire 37451:2 37471:13 37449:17 3731:18,21 quote 3758:13 3751:23 37542:15 37489:33 37410:13 protesting 3749:12 37428:10 37430:19 37551:20 37552:15 37499:33 3751 proceeding 37403:19 protesting 3749:12 37449:13 37414:13 37414:13 37451:13 37449:13 37451:14 37451:14 proceeding 37403:19 protesting 3740:19 37468:10 37471:23 37542:13 37446:10 37471:23 37542:13 37449:13 37451:14		
37510:15 37521:2 protection 37518:3,12 37566:3 37586:6 37488:25 3748 37544:10,11 37411:3 37411:3 37492:12 37392:12,2 3758716:12 guickly 37585:23 37497:15 37412:3 37492:12 37492:12 37492:12 37402:19,23 guickly 37582:23 37497:15 37411:3 37412:23 37581:12 37561:31 37411:23 37516:12 guickly 37582:23 37497:15 37410:23 37412:21 37412:23 37530:13 37561:33 37404:13 37461:19 37561:33 37404:22 37581:33 37461:33 37461:33 37461:33 37461:33 37461:33 37461:33 37461:33 37461:33 37461:33 37461:33 37461:33 37461:33 3747561:33 3747561:33 37475		
37544:10.11 protest 37396:3.8 push 37516:12 quick 37478:1 37490:16 3749 375451:5 37471:5 37419:17 3749:15 37419:12 37480:1 37490:16 3749 37492:32 3749 37440:4 37442:19 37392:12,21 37401:8 37402:5,37471:5 37419:12 37480:1 37402:5,37471:5 37419:12 37480:1 37402:5,37471:5 37419:12 37480:1 37402:5,37471:6 37563:13,41.1 37415:13 37421:2 37480:15 3751:22 37422:14 37457:16 37563:13,41.1 37583:19 37402:5,37330:13 37583:12 3742:24 3742:24 3751:22 3758:12 3742:14 37457:16 3758:12 3742:14 37457:16 3758:12 37402:5,3731:23 37542:15 37480:1 3742:24 3742:24 37551:20 3758:12.3 37480:23 3751 37480:1 3748:22 3742:14 37457:14 3758:12 37404:23 3748:22 3758:12.3 37404:23 3751:23 3748:23 3744:24 3758:12.3 3748:23 3744:44 3748:12 quote 3789:12.3 3748:23 3742:25 3758:12.3 3748:23 3742:13 37449:12.3748:13 3746:12.3 3748:23 3742:13 3748:23 3744:14 3748:23 3748:13 3744:24 3745:14 3748:12.21 3748:13 3748:12.21 3748:13 3748:12.21 3748:13 3755:12.	-	,
3743:15 37445:15 37445:15 37495:10 37495:10 37495:10 37495:12 37495:12 37495:12 37495:12 37495:12 37495:12 37495:12 37495:12 37495:12 37495:12 37495:12 37495:12 37495:12 37495:12 37495:12 3751:12 3743:13 37489:13 <td< td=""><td>-</td><td></td></td<>	-	
problems 37495:18 37495:20 37392:18.21 37401:8 quickly 37585:23 37471:13 37413:5 37440:4 37420:19.23 37400:19.23 37407:15 37410:23 37407:15 37410:23 37407:15 37411:23 37418:12 37407:15 37412:23 37407:15 37412:23 37407:14 3751:23 3751:12 3751:12 3751:12 3751:12 3751:12 3751:12 3751:12 3751:12 3758:12 37400:13 37490:13 37490:13 37490:14 37531:13 3751:12 37551:12 37551:12 37551:12 37551:12 37551:12 37551:12 37551:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374971:13 374971:14 374970:13 374971:14 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374971:14 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374970:13 374971:13 <		
37411:3 37412:3 protesters 37394:14 37402:19.23 quiet 37400:3 37412:3 3745:3 37404:3 3745:3 37404:3 3745:3 37403:16 37407:4 3745:3 37403:16 37401:12 3746:15 3756:12.3 3756:12.3 3756:12.3 3757:12.2 3750:14.23 3757:12.2 3750:14.23 3757:12.2 3750:14.23 3755:12.2 3750:14.23 3755 3755:12.2 3750:14.23 3755 3755:12.2 37551:22 37551:22 37551:23 37551:		
37440:437442:19 37395:237396:12.25 37400:37407:17 prite 57407:2437415:4 37561:837563 procedures 37451:2 37409:5937414:3 37410:237412:7 37418:1237457:16 37581:2 37578:12 37410:23742:524 37517:22 37531:233758:13 37583:12 37581:2 37581:2 37581:2 37410:23742:524 37517:22 37551:20 37551:22 37581:1 3741:2:3 3741:2:3 37581:2 37581:2 37581:1 3741:2:3 3741:2:3 3741:2:3 3741:2:3 3741:2:3 37451:1 37581:1 37581:1 37581:1 37581:1 37581:1 37581:1 37581:1 37581:1 37581:1 37581:1 37581:1 37581:1 37581:1 37581:1		
37449:16 37309:9 37408:15 37410:2 37412:7 37418:1 37421:22 3748:15 37517:22 37570:14 3757 procedure 37451:2 37449:15 37412:1 3742:10 3742:12 3748:15 37517:22 37570:14 3757 proced 37405:3.5,10 37480:1 3742:21 03742:12 37438:10 3742:12 37551:20 37552:15 readig 37409:13 jrocecded 37405:5 37471:10 protesting 37407:7 374371.82.1 guotig 37392:25 guotig 37392:25 37543:14 jrocecding 37407:17 protestor 37415:12 37447:13 73458:16 37467:12 37531:1 guotig 37392:25 37543:14 jrocecs 37401:12 provide 37407:17 37468:10 37467:12 37475:14,16,17.18 readi 3750:15 37473:45748:19 jrocess 37401:12 provide 37427:19 3748:15.20 3746:16 37551:5 37407:8 37408 jrocess 37407:12 37489:15.20 37489:15.20 37454:16 37547:3 37474:46 37452:9 jrocess 37397:24 37399:10 37394:12 37397:10 3749:6 37591:2 37444:17 3752:11.2 3746:16 37457:5.10 jrocess 37397:24 37399:10 37394:21,5 37544:16 37542:1.4 37497:6 3757:2.4 <t< td=""><td>-</td><td></td></t<>	-	
procedure 37451:2.8.9 37409:5.9 37414:3 37415:1 37421:22 37486:1 37517:22 37577:12 37432:13 37448:15 37447:12 37577:14 37577:12 37577:12 37577:13 37447:12 37437:14 37447:12 37577:12 37577:12 37577:13 37447:12 37466:13 37477:13 37447:14 37466:13 37477:19 37551:23 37574:10 37477:19 37551:20 37461:03750:15 37470:03700'T 37401:14:93756:12 37441:19 37472:13 37446:12,02 37551:12,01 37476:1377:03 37551:20 37466:13,0750:12 37461:1037651:15		
procedures 37451:2 37472:4,12,20,22 37422:10 37425:2 3753:3 3758:5 proceed 37405:3,5,10 37480:1 37422:10 37425:24 37531:23 3754:15 readily 37404:21 3741:22 37438:10 37434:24 37425:24 37531:23 3754:15 readily 37404:21 37471:10 protesting 3749:23 3751 37434:24 37452:25 37583:13 37489:23 3751 3740:14 37457:17 provide 37407:7 37449:17 37458:16 37461:19 quotes 37580:13 reads 37460:13,1 3740:12 37437:18 provide 37447:24 37458:16 37467:19 37455:19 37475:19 37449:12 37451:14 37448:15 37450:1 374765:19 37475:19 37475:19 37447:43 7351:9 37448:15 37450:1 37474:52.03 37451:19 37472:43 7340:10 3748:12 37472:43 73471:374748:63 7485 37584:7 3758:14 provincia 37391:25 37401:20 37497:5 37472:43 7351:5,10 37492:12 3748 3744:43 3758:14 provincia 37391:25 37472:43 7351:5,10 37492:12 3748 37485:12,03 37551:5,10 37497:23 37551:5,10 37497:24 37497:5 37584:3 3756:14 provincia 37391:25 37547:14 374748:14 3522.9 37561:13 37417:		
proceed 37419:25 37480:1 37424:24 37432:24 37432:33 37542:15 readily 37404:21 37419:25 37438:10 37581:12 37432:12 37424:24 37432:25 37578:1.23 37542:15 reading 37489:23 37543:14 guote 37578:1.23 37489:23 37489		
37419:25 37438:10 protesting 37392:22 374328:10 37431:20 37552:15 reading 37489:23 37143:22 37434:23 37434:24 37435:25,5 37554:20 37552:15 37489:23 37149:23 37143:22 37489:23 37149:23 37489:23 37149:23 37489:23 37149:23 37489:23 37149:23 37489:23 37149:23 37489:23 37149:23 37489:23 37149:23 37489:23 37149:23 37489:23 37149:23 37478:21 quotes 37392:21 37478:20 37489:23 37489:23 37489:23 37489:23 37489:23 37489:23 37489:23 37489:23 37472:24 37472:24 37472:24 37472:24 37472:24 37472:23 37466:13 37476:13 37476:13 37476:13 37448:33 37489:23 3749:21 37476:23 37497:23 37506:23 37506:23 37506:23 37506:23 37506:23 37506:23 37497:12 37456:12,37547:3 374478:63 37462:2,919 37464:21 37506:23 37506:23 37506:23 37506:23		
proceeding 37405:5 37578:11 37434:24 37435:2,5 37534:20 37578:3,23 37489:23 3751 37471:10 protestors 37415:12 37434:24 37435:2,5 37538:12 37548:11 37434:24 37435:2,5 37538:12 37548:13 proceeding 37403:19 proted 37407:2 37449:17 37458:16 37441:19 37447:14 37458:16 37461:19 37475:14 37475:14 37475:14 37475:14 37475:14 37475:14 37475:14 37475:14 37475:12 37475:33:17 ready 37548:19 37407:4, 52,337422:4 37400:12,0 37482:2,37488:5 37519:25 37532:5 37407:637507:24 37487:637487:3 37478:637485 37487:4,37586:13 provincial 37388:613;11,18 37497:637507:24 37550:23 375515:1,0 37497:637507:24 3750:25 375515:1,0 37408:10,20 37581:14 37556:11,2 3750:23 37401:12,3 37406:12,025 37581:14 3758:11,3 37408:10,20 37549:14,37559:12,37497:5 37408:12,37497:5 37408:12,37497:5 37408:12,37497:6 37482:2,37488:12,37497:6 37482:12,37494:12,37497:6 37482:12,37494:12,37497:13 3750:22,37406:12,37497:5 37497:63,37497:23 37404:12,37497:13 37497:63,37		
37471:10 protestors 37415:12 37437:18,21 quote 37392:25 37543:14 proceedings 37387:1 37448:15 37450:1 37449:17 37458:12 quoting 37392:21 37475:19 37405:14 37457:13 37448:15 37450:1 37464:10 37467:12 37533:17 ready 3748:23 37407:4,5,23 37402:24 37407:4,5,23 37422:6 province 37406:19 37477:20 37480:13 37519:25 37532:5 37407:4,37390:7 37472:4 37531:9 37422:22 37489:15,20 37546:16 37547:3 37478:6 37495 37584:7 37586:14 provincial 37388:11,18 37496:20,23 37497:5 37550:23 37551:1,0 37492:21 3749 37584:7 37586:14 provincial 37389:12 37533:16 37456:1,0,25 37518:18 3754:1,3 37488:8 3754:23 37399:23 37402:6 37547:7 37539:16 37462:2,91,9375:11,2 37566:1,3 3755:1,10 37456:1,16 37490:23 37400:3,3 3740:10,3 3749:1,4 37456:1,20,25 37518:18 3754:1,3 4752:1,3 3755:1,10 37549:1,3 37557:7 37408:3 37400:2,3 37397:10 37549:1,4 37543:1,4 37462:2,91,93755:1,10 37462:2,91,93755:1,12 37549:1,3 37557:7 37407:4 37449:1,3 37403:1,3 37560:1,3 3755:1,10	-	
proceeding 37403:19 protocols 37407:7 37449:17 37458:12 quotes 37580:13 reads 37460:13,1 37405:14 37457:13 37448:15 37450:1 37448:16 37461:19 37437.16 037467:12 37437.16 037467:12 37437.16 037467:12 37437.16 037467:12 37437.16 037467:12 37437.16 037467:12 37437.12 037480:13 37457:19 37437.12 037480:13 37457:19 37437.12 037480:13 37533:17 ready 37548:19 37437.12 037480:13 37532:15 37471.12 03740 37437.12 037480:13 037457:15 3753751.51 37407.12 03740 37407.12		
proceedings 37387:1 37405:14 37457:13 37448:15 37450:1 37448:15 37450:1 37442:4 37531:9 3742:22 37489:15,20 37448:23 37497:6 37546:16 37547:3 37546:16 37547:3 37546:16 37547:3 37546:16 37547:3 37546:16 37547:3 37546:16 37547:3 37546:16 37547:3 37478:23 37497:6 37550:23 37551:5,10 37489:63 37497:6 37597:24 37586:15,16 37489:123 37546:15,16 37399:22 37402:6 37399:22 37407:10 37389:6 37399:10 37541:14 37542:9 37546:15,16 37457:7 37546:15,16 37457:7 37546:15,16 37457:7 37546:15,16 37457:7 37546:15,16 37457:7 37546:15,16 37497:23 37497:6 37547:13 37549:13,10 37544:13 37547:16 37467:29 37467:29 37467:29 37467:29 37467:29 37467:29 37467:29 37467:29 37467:29 37467:29 37467:21 3		
37405:14 37448:15 37448:15 37464:10 37467:12 3753:17 ready 37548:19 provided 37420:16,21 37464:10 37467:12,11,16 37472.17,17 ready 37548:19 37407:4,5,23 37420:16,21 37472.14,16,17,18 37472.14,16,17,18 ready 37490:74,37390.7 37441:9 37420:16,21 37472.37488:5 37519:25 37547:61 37470:78,37408 37584:7 37586:14 provincial 37388:11,18 37496:20,23 37550:23 37550:23 37560:8 37412:12 37490:12 37492:12		460:13,16
37457:17 provided 37427:19 37468:8 37474:9 real 37526:21 process 37401:12 provide 37461:4 37477:41,16,17,18 radio 37505:15 37407:43263 37407:45,23 37422:6 37422:12 37482:2 37488:5 37519:25 37532:5 37401:20 3740 37422:4 37531:9 37422:22 37482:2 37488:5 37519:25 37532:5 37407:8 37498:0 37453:13 37586:14 province 3706:12 37497:6 37507:24 raliway 37455:11,23 37506:8 37511:23 37586:15,16 37399:10 37394:2,15 37534:7 37535:16 37456:2,9,19 37464:1 37580:14 3758 Processing 37574:7 37399:22 37402:6 37457:73559:12 37442:2 37491:23 37388:17 3742 970duced 37387:20 37404:10,25 37580:12 37559:21 37432:12 37432:12 37388:17 3742 970duced 37387:20 37404:10,25 37580:12 37559:21 37442:2,3 37388:17 3742 970duced 37457:7 37417:8 37420:3,25 37580:12 37581:16 374352:12 37431:22 37468:18 37469:25 374351:14 37582:12 970fusbility 37547:3 37441:23 37441:4 37498:21 37451:16 37492:13 37417:18 37492:13 37462:2,919 3		
process 37401:12 provides 37451:4 37475:14,16,17,18 R really 37390:7 37441:9,3746:24 37475:12,37482:6 37475:12,37482:13 37478:20,37482:15 37401:20,3740 37441:9,3746:22,4 37422:22 37482:12,37488:5 37505:15 37407:3707:837408 37558:17 37583:18 37583:19 37422:22 37497:637507:24 railway 37455:1,20 37546:16,37547:3 37478:637492:12 37497:637507:24 railway 37455:1,20 37506:837511.23 37506:837511.23 37506:837511.23 37506:837511.23 37506:837511.23 37562:1,3,375 3758:18,37584:73 37492:12,37397:10 37541:14,37542:9 37462:2,919,37466:1 37450:14,3758 970cessing 37574:7 37399:22,37402:6 37547:17,37499:3,3,10 37462:2,919,37466:1 37485:1,23,3787:12 37580:14,3758 37460:20,21 37580:14,3758 37462:2,919,37466:1 37463:13,3740:10,25 37580:12,37581:15,6 37432:12,3746:12,37347:12 37488:17,3742 37460:20,21 37436:18,19,37 37541:13,37547:16 37491:16,3764 37491:18,37492:8,10 37452:13,374112 37462:2,37461:2,37471:18 37491:16,37536:1,37491:12 37481:14,37436:12,37441:19		
37407:4.5.23 37422:6 province 37406:19 37478:20 37480:13 37478:20 37480:13 37505:15 37401:20 37401:40 37401:40 37401:40 374501:10 37401:40 37450:10 37460:20 37501:11 3750 37401:40 37401:40 37431:12 37401:40 <td>pro</td> <td>26:21</td>	pro	26:21
37441:9 37462:24 37420:16,21 37482:2 37488:5 37519:25 37532:5 37407:8 37408 37472:4 37531:9 3742:22 37489:15,20 37546:16 37547:3 37478:6 37485 37583:18 37583:19 37392:10,13,19,24,25 37517:14 37525:3 37456:1,20,25 37516:13 37489:15,16 37394:23 37397:10 37541:14 37542:9 37466:1,20,25 37516:1,3755 37585:15,16 37392:20 37402:6 37541:14 37542:9 37462:2,919 37462:1,3755 37580:14 3758 produced 37387:0 37403:3 37404:10,25 37549:14,15 37498:12,37497:1 37489:15,20 37438:12 37497:1 37381:13 37580:14 3758 37544:3 37547:16 37417:8 37402:3,25 37549:14,15 37408:23 37437:12 reason 37450:14, 3788 37456:12,3755 37466:18 37469:25 3746:18 37499:13 37436:18,19 37 37544:3 37547:16 37431:18,19,20 37581:18 37583:12 37468:18 37469:25 37476:19 3748 37498:13 3749:13 37488:13 37488:10 37498:821 37518:14 3752 37461:19 37498:13 37498:13 37498:12 37535:11 3758 37549:13 37556:14 37491:13 37496:2 37441:24 37443:10 37448:23 37491:12	pro	390:7
37472:4 37531:9 37422:22 37489:15,20 3756:16 37547:3 37478:6 37485 37584:7 37586:14 provincial 37388:11,18 37489:20,23 37497:5 37550:23 37551:5,10 37492:12 3749 37581:14 37582:3 37397:24 37392:10,13,19,24,25 375171:4 37525:3 37456:1,20,25 37561:1,20,25 37580:15,10 37580:14,3755 37581:18 37584:23 37393:10 37394:2,15 37541:14 37525:9 37462:2,9,19 37464:1 37580:14,3755 37586:15,16 37399:22 37402:6 37549:1,3,10 37549:1,3,10 37408:23 37430:14 37580:14 3758 produced 37387:20 37403:3 37404:10,25 37580:12,37551:21 37432:12 37434:1,23 37388:17 3742 37489:8,25 37544:3 37547:16 37408:19 37410:25 37580:12 37581:5,6 37446:21 37476:18 37400:14 37580:12 37581:12 37435:11 37468:18 37469:25 37461:19 3758:11 3758 37549:13 37556:14 3749:13 37576:13 37446:21 37477:18 37410:4 37431:14 3749:22 37531:1 3758 profitability 37547:24 37491:18 37492:8,10 3740:123 37403:11 37408:20 37411:9 reasona 3746:22 37541:16 3756:13 37410:4 37342:12 37403:11 37408:20 37411:9 reasona 3746:22 37541:16 3756:13 37410:4 37	22:6 pro	20 37406:17
37584:7 37586:14 provincial 37388:11,18 37496:20,23 37497:5 37550:23 37551:5,10 37492:12 3749 jrocesses 37397:24 37389:6 37391:25 37497:6 37507:24 37550:23 37551:5,10 37506:8 37511 jrocesses 37397:24 37392:10,13,19,24,25 37517:14 37525:3 37456:1,20,25 37518:18 3754 jrocessing 37574:7 37392:22 37402:6 37547:7 37549:3,3,10 37547:7 37549:3,3,10 37547:12 37397:12 37580:14 3758 jroduced 37387:20 37403:10,25 37550:20 37559:21 37483:12 37387:12 37387:12 37488:23 37431:22 37388:17 3742 jroduction 37457:7 37417:8 37420:3,25 37580:12 37581:5,6 37435:12,21 37463:1 37466:18,19,20 37581:18 3788:12 37468:18 37469:25 37476:19 3748 jrofitability 37547:24 37491:13 37490:17 37491:13 37491:14 37492:37483:10 raised 37389:17 3753:11 3788 jrofitability 37547:24 37491:18 37492:8,10 37491:13 3790:11 37408:20 37411:9 37581:15,16 jropess 37495:24 37497:8 37410:4 37431:4 37430:19,22 37391:9 37392:13,3796:6 3741:52,13749:10 37432:12,13749:10 37581:15,16 jropess 374	4 3	8 37408:10
processes 37397:24 37389:6 37391:25 37497:6 37507:24 railway 37455:11,23 37506:8 37511 37414:9 37453:9 37392:10,13,19,24,25 37517:14 37525:3 37456:1,20,25 3758:18 37584:23 37592:10,13,19,24,25 37534:7 37535:16 37456:1,20,25 3756:15,16 37394:23 37397:10 37541:14 37542:9 37460:20,21 3756:14,3758 37580:14 3758 37580:14 3758 3766:8 37511 37580:14 3758 37580:12 3759:21 37408:23 3746:12 37395:1 reason 37387:16 37457:6 37408:19 37416:8 37550:20 3759:21 37432:12 37434:2,3 37436:18,19 32 37544:3 37547:16 37491:18 37420:3,25 37580:12 37581:15,6 37435:12,21 37463:1 37468:18 37469:25 3746:19 3748 37544:3 37547:16 37490:17 37491:13 37490:17 37491:13 37414:4 37483:10 raised 37389:17 37535:11 3758 37559:12,17 37490:17 37491:13 37491:12 37490:17 37491:13 37491:12 37490:17 37491:13 37491:12 37490:17 37491:13 37491:12 37490:17 37491:13 37401:23 37403:11 37408:20 37411:9 reasons 37436:2, profita 37457:16 37492:17,24 3749:11 37491:14 37431:1 37491:14 37431:1 37431:14 37431:1 37431:	3	6 37485:5,6
processes 37397:24 37389:6 37391:25 37497:6 37507:24 railway 37455:11,23 37506:8 37511 37414:9 37453:9 37392:10,13,19,24,25 37517:14 37525:3 37456:1,20,25 3758:18 37584:23 37592:10,13,19,24,25 37534:7 37535:16 37456:1,20,25 3756:15,16 37394:23 37397:10 37541:14 37542:9 37460:20,21 3756:14,3758 37580:14 3758 37580:14 3758 3766:8 37511 37580:14 3758 37580:12 3759:21 37408:23 3746:12 37395:1 reason 37387:16 37457:6 37408:19 37416:8 37550:20 3759:21 37432:12 37434:2,3 37436:18,19 32 37544:3 37547:16 37491:18 37420:3,25 37580:12 37581:15,6 37435:12,21 37463:1 37468:18 37469:25 3746:19 3748 37544:3 37547:16 37490:17 37491:13 37490:17 37491:13 37414:4 37483:10 raised 37389:17 37535:11 3758 37559:12,17 37490:17 37491:13 37491:12 37490:17 37491:13 37491:12 37490:17 37491:13 37491:12 37490:17 37491:13 37491:12 37490:17 37491:13 37401:23 37403:11 37408:20 37411:9 reasons 37436:2, profita 37457:16 37492:17,24 3749:11 37491:14 37431:1 37491:14 37431:1 37431:14 37431:1 37431:	4 pro	12 37495:22
37414:9 37453:9 37392:10,13,19,24,25 37517:14 37525:3 37456:1,20,25 37518:18 3754 37583:18 37584:23 37393:10 37394:2,15 37534:7 37535:16 37458:2 37460:20,21 37562:1,3 375 37586:15,16 37399:22 37402:6 37547:7 37549:3,310 37542:1,3 3754 37547:12 37395:1 raise 37387:12 37395:1 reass 37457:6 37408:19 37416:8 37547:7 3749:3,310 37457:6 374408:19 37416:8 37550:20 37559:21 37432:12 37434:2,3 37388:17 3746:18,3746:19,3748 37548:6,20 37549:7 37446:21 37477:18 37581:18 37543:12 37494:13 3756:14 37490:17 37491:13 37446:21 37477:18 37441:24 37483:10 raised 37389:17 37518:14 3752 37549:13 37556:14 37490:17 37491:13 37490:17 37491:13 37408:10 37408:10 3741:19 3746:2,3 37541:16 3756:12 37591:2,17 37490:17 37491:18 37491:13 37396:6 3741:19 37492:3,10 37391:13 37396:6 3741:19:10 reason 37486:2, 2 37451:2 37492:24 37497:8 37410:4 37431:4 37431:19:10 37581:15,16 profitability 37547:24 prudent 37576:13 37410:4 37431:4 37431:19:10 recall 37382:1		8 37511:19
37583:18 37584:23 37393:10 37394:2,15 37534:7 37535:16 37458:2 37460:20,21 37562:1,3 3757 37586:15,16 37394:23 37397:10 37541:14 37542:9 37462:2,9,19 37464:1 37580:14 3758 produced 37387:20 37408:10 37404:10,25 37547:7 37593:16 37408:23 37431:22 reams 37450:14, 3758 groduction 37457:7 37417:8 37420:3,25 37580:12 37581:5,6 37435:12,21 37463:1 37436:18,19 37 37544:3 37547:16 37431:18,19,20 37581:18 37583:12 37468:18 37469:25 37476:19 3748 37549:13 37556:14 37499:3 37498:82,5 37491:13 37396:6 37415:21 37419:10 37408:20 37411:9 reasons 37436:2, profitability 37547:16 37491:18 37492:8,10 37410:4 37431:1 37430:19,22 37541:16 3756 profits 37547:16 37492:17,24 37495:1 37410:4 37431:1 37430:19,22 37541:16 3756 profits 37547:16 37492:17,24 37495:1 37410:4 37431:1 37436:23 37402:2 37541:16 3756 profits 37547:16 37492:17,24 37492:1 37410:4 37431:1 37436:23 37403:11 37436:23 37403:12 3743432:21 37532:12 37411:4 37432:2 37511:16 3756 profits 37480:1 37444:24 37442:2 3741:4 37432:2 <td></td> <td></td>		
37586:15,1637394:23 37397:1037541:14 37542:937462:2,9,19 37464:137580:14 3758Processing 37574:737399:22 37402:637547:7 37549:3,3,10raise 37387:12 37395:1reason 37387:1637401237408:12 37401:2537549:14,1537408:23 37431:22reason 37387:1637457:637408:19 3740:3,2537580:12 3759:2137408:23 37432:2337388:17 374237544:3 37547:1637417:8 37420:3,2537580:12 37581:16,637435:12,21 37463:137466:18,19 3737548:6,20 37549:737446:21 37477:18puts 37434:1437498:2137466:18,137469:2537476:19 374837559:12,1737490:17 37491:1337498:82537441:24 37483:10raised 37389:1737535:11 3758profitability 37547:1637492:17,24 37495:137410:4 37431:1237436:20 37411:9reason 37436:2,profits 37547:1637492:17,24 37495:137410:123 37403:1137430:19,2237541:16 3756profits 37547:1637492:17,24 37495:1337410:4 37431:137432:7,9,2137581:15,16profits 37542:3public 37394:1837437:10 4 37431:137432:7,9,2137581:15,1637465:3 37480:137435:5537471:6 3759:2137434:9,23 37463:2337396:24 3739promise 37466:2137441:24 37442:237491:16 3759:2137433:19,19,2237391:13 37396:637405:23property 37409:1337452:3 37470:137432:7,9,2137392:13 37392:13 37396:24promise 37466:2137441:24 37442:237491:16 3759:2137433:19,19,2237391:23 37463:23property 37460:213		
Processing 37574:7 produced 37387:2037399:22 37402:6 37403:3 37404:10,25 37403:3 37404:10,25 37408:19 37416:837547:7 37549:3,3,10 37550:21raise 37387:12 37395:1 37408:23 37431:22 37432:12 37432:23 37435:12,21 37432:12 37432:12 37432:12,37432:12 37432:12 37432:12,37432:12 37432:12 37432:12,37432:12,37432:12 37432:12 37432:12,37441:19reams 37450:14,37431:12,2137432:12,21337422,2137442:22,374411:19reams 37460:24,37521:10,37412,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37542:12,37		
produced 37387:2037403:3 37404:10,2537549:14,1537408:23 37431:22reason 37387:1637457:637408:19 37416:837550:20 37559:2137432:12 37434:2,337388:17 3742production 37457:737417:8 37420:3,2537580:12 37581:5,637435:12,21 37463:137436:18,19 3737548:6,20 37549:737446:21 37477:1837446:21 37477:1837436:12,37483:1237488:18 37469:2537476:19 374837559:12,1737490:17 37491:1337490:17 37491:13putting 37390:1137408:20 37411:9reasona 37436:2,37559:12,1737492:17,24 37495:137401:23 37403:1137408:20 37411:9reasona 37436:2,profits 37547:1637492:17,24 37495:137401:23 37403:1137430:19,2237541:16 3756profits 37446:2437497:837410:4 37431:437431:12,21 37432:137581:15,16promise 37428:25prudent 37576:1337447:25 37491:1237432:7,9,21recall 37388:2137465:3 37480:137436:5 37437:16,2437551:4 37582:2437434:9,23 37463:2337396:24 3739237465:3 37480:137441:24 37442:237551:4 37582:2437463:25 37470:137397:21 3739proper 37460:2137441:23 37543:337447:25 37541:22Qrealing 37435:1137466:3 3740837507:1237542:23 7541:23 37543:337542:23 37463:2337396:24 37397:21 373937509:2337447:25 37541:2237545:2437545:27,737400:15 374037460:2437544:24 37545:3,6,6qualifed 37855:2375251:1037416:4 3742737394:23,2437545:22,22 37546:6		
37457:6 37408:19 37416:8 37550:20 37559:21 37432:12 37434:2,3 37388:17 3742 production 37457:7 37417:8 37420:3,25 37580:12 37581:5,6 37435:12,21 37463:1 37436:18,19 37 37544:3 37547:16 37431:18,19,20 37581:18 37583:12 37468:18 37469:25 37476:19 3748 37549:13 37556:14 37479:3 37489:8,25 37441:24 37483:10 37408:20 37411:9 37535:11 3758 37559:12,17 37490:17 37491:13 9749:217,24 37492:8,10 37391:13 37396:6 37415:21 37419:10 raised 37389:17 37538:12 37430:19,22 profits 37547:16 37497:18 37497:8 37410:4 37431:4 37431:12,21 37432:1 37581:15,16 promise 37428:25 prudent 37576:13 37441:24 37441:9 37432:7,9,21 recall 37382:1 37465:3 37480:1 37435:53 5747:16,24 37497:8 37410:4 37431:4 37432:7,9,21 recall 37382:1 gromise 37428:25 prudent 37576:13 37441:24 37441:9 37431:10,21 37493:13 3796:6 37432:23 37432:13 37497:12 37434:19,23 37463:23 37396:24 3739 gromised 37464:24 37414:4 37435:25 37491:16 37539:21 37446:23 37403:13 3740:13 3740:13 3740:13 3740:13 3740:13 3740:13 3740:13 37441:19 37449:23 37443:13 37463:23		
production 37457:737417:8 37420:3,2537580:12 37581:5,637435:12,21 37463:137436:18,19 3737544:3 37547:1637431:18,19,2037581:18 37583:1237468:18 37469:2537476:19 374837548:6,20 37549:737446:21 37477:18 puts 37436:14 37479:3 37489:8,2537441:24 37483:1037498:2137518:14 375237559:12,1737490:17 37491:13 putting 37390:1137408:20 37411:9 reasons 37435:237557:11 3758 profits 37547:1637492:8,1037391:13 37396:637415:21 37419:1037430:19,2237541:16 3753 profits 37547:1637497:837410:4 37431:437430:19,2237541:16 3758 progress 37495:2437497:837410:4 37431:437432:7,9,21 reasons 37436:2 promise 37428:25 prudent 37576:1337434:10 37441:937432:7,9,21 recall 37388:2137465:3 37480:137436:5 37437:16,2437551:4 37582:2437434:9,23 37463:2337396:24 373937465:23 37480:137441:24 37442:237541:23 37543:337400:15 374037400:15 374037579:2337441:24 37442:237541:2237541:23 37543:337400:15 374037507:17 37521:1037440:23 37543:337400:15 374037522:15 37524:937410:4 3741 property 37512:637545:22,22 37546:6 qualified 37585:23759:1237522:15 37524:937432:13743237592:18,2237546:19 37550:1437592:1837532:14,2037432:2,79,3737394:23,2437550:16,18 37551:537499:1237532:14,2037432:2,79,37		1 - 0 - 10 - 0 -
37544:3 37547:16 37548:6,20 37549:7 37548:6,20 37549:737431:18,19,20 37446:21 37477:18 37446:21 37477:18 37549:13 37556:1437431:18,19,20 37446:21 37477:18 37490:17 37491:13 37490:17 37491:1337581:18 37583:12 puts 37434:1437468:18 37469:25 37498:2137476:19 3748 37598:17profitability 37547:24 profits 37547:16 progress 37495:24 promise 37428:2537491:18 37492:8,10 37492:17,24 37495:137491:13 37396:6 37401:13 37396:6 37401:13 37403:1137408:20 37411:9 37403:12 37403:11reasons 37436:2, 37415:21 37419:10profits 37547:24 progress 37495:24 progress 37495:2437497:8 37497:837410:4 37431:4 37434:10 37441:937431:12,21 37432:1 37432:7,9,2137581:15,16 recall 37388:21gromise 37428:25 promised 37464:24 37465:3 37480:1 proper 37460:21 37441:24 37445:2537414:4 37435:25 37441:24 37445:2537491:16 37539:21 37551:4 37582:24 37551:4 37582:24 37546:21 37460:21 37447:25 37541:2237491:16 37539:21 37447:25 37541:2237400:15 3740 37400:15 3740proper 37460:21 374409:13 37546:24 37545:3,6,6 property 37512:637545:23,27545:3,6,6 37545:22,22 37546:6 37545:22,22 37546:61qualified 37585:2 qualify 37561:18 37499:1237532:14,20 37532:14,2037432:7,9,37 37432:27,9 37 37432:27,9 37 37432:27,9 37 37394:23,224		
37548:6,20 37549:7 37549:13 37556:1437446:21 37477:18 37479:3 37489:8,25 37559:12,17puts 37439:14 37490:17 37491:13 37490:17 37491:13puts 37434:14 37491:13 37490:17 37491:1337498:21 37441:24 37483:10 putting 37390:1137498:21 37408:20 37411:937535:11 3758 reasonably 3752 reasonably 3752 reasonably 3752profitability 37547:24 profits 37547:1637492:17,24 37492:8,10 37492:17,24 37495:137491:13 37396:6 37491:13 37396:637415:21 37419:10 37401:23 37403:11reasons 37436:2, 37403:19,22progress 37495:24 promise 37428:2537497:8 prudent 37576:13 public 37394:1837410:4 37431:4 37434:10 37441:937432:7,9,21 37432:7,9,21recall 37388:21 37433:19,19,2237465:3 37480:1 proper 37460:21 37465:3 37480:137414:4 37435:25 37491:16,2437491:16 37539:21 37441:24 37442:2 37431:12,21 37431:12,21 37432:337396:24 3739 37465:3 37491:1237460:24 37465:3 37480:1 proper 37460:21 37441:24 37442:237411:4 37422:2 37491:16 37551:4 37582:2437463:25 37470:1 37463:25 37470:137396:24 3739 37465:23 37402:13750:23 37460:2437544:24 37543:3 37441:24 37442:2 37544:24 37545:3,6,637441:2937579:23 37545:22,22 37546:6 qualified 37585:2 qualified 37585:2 37592:15 37524:937416:10 3741 37430:1 37431:137431 37506:16,18 37550:14 37499:12property 37512:6 37394:23,2437546:19 37550:14 37590:16,18 37551:537499:1237532:14,20 37532:14,2037432:2,7,9 37 37434:18 3744:18 374		,
37549:13 37556:14 37559:12,1737479:3 37489:8,25 37490:17 37491:13 37490:17 37491:13 37491:18 37492:8,10 37491:18 37492:8,10 profits 37547:16 progress 37495:24 promise 37428:2537491:18 37492:8,10 37497:8raised 37389:17 37401:23 37403:11 37401:23 37403:11 37430:19,2237555:11 3758 reasons 37436:2, 37430:19,22gromise 37428:25 promise 37428:23 promised 37464:24grudent 37576:13 37414:4 3745:2537410:4 37431:4 37436:5 37437:16,24 37465:3 37480:1 37436:5 37437:16,2437414:4 37435:25 37431:12,21 37432:137432:7,9,21 37432:7,9,21recall 37388:21 37432:7,9,21gromised 37464:24 37465:3 37480:1 propert 37460:21 37579:2337414:4 37435:25 37441:24 37442:2 37441:24 37442:2 37541:23 37543:3 37440:24 37545:3,6,6 property 37409:13 37460:2437447:25 37541:22 37541:23 37545:3,6,6 37541:23 37545:2,22 37546:6 37545:22,22 37546:6 3759:2437460:24 37545:22,22 37546:6 37545:22,22 37546:6 37394:23,2437460:14 37431 37430:137431 37532:14,2037432:2,7,9,37 37430:137431 37432:2,7,9,37 37432:2,7,9,37 37394:23,24		
37559:12,1737490:17 37491:13putting 37390:1137408:20 37411:9reasonably 3752profitability 37547:2437491:18 37492:8,1037391:13 37396:637415:21 37419:10reasons 37436:2,profits 37547:1637492:17,24 37495:137401:23 37403:1137408:20 37411:9reasons 37436:2,progress 37495:2437497:837410:4 37431:437430:19,2237581:15,16promise 37428:25prudent 37576:1337434:10 37441:937432:7,9,21recall 37388:2137495:23public 37394:1837478:25 37491:1237433:19,19,2237391:9 37392promised 37464:2437414:4 37435:2537491:16 37539:2137443:9,23 37463:2337396:24 373937465:3 37480:137436:5 37437:16,2437551:4 37582:2437463:25 37470:137397:21 3739propert 37460:2137441:24 37442:237447:25 37541:22 Q Ramaphosa 37488:637410:4 37411properly 37409:1337541:23 37543:3quad 37387:2437507:17 37521:1037416:10 374137460:2437545:2,22 37546:6qualified 37585:237522:15 37524:937418:7 37423properly 37512:637545:2,22 37546:637499:10,1537522:14,2037432:2,7,9 3737394:23,2437550:16,18 37551:537499:1237534:20,2037434:18 3744		
profitability 37547:2437491:18 37492:8,1037391:13 37396:637415:21 37419:10reasons 37436:2,profits 37547:1637492:17,24 37495:137401:23 37401:23 37403:1137430:19,2237541:16 3756progress 37495:2437497:837410:4 37431:437431:12,21 37432:137581:15,16promise 37428:25prudent 37576:1337434:10 37441:937432:7,9,21recall 37388:2137495:23public 37394:1837478:25 37491:1237433:19,19,2237391:9 37392promised 37464:2437414:4 37435:2537491:16 37539:2137434:9,23 37463:2337396:24 373937465:3 37480:137436:5 37437:16,2437551:4 37582:2437463:25 37470:137397:21 3739prompted 37497:19,2037439:11,17puzzled 37441:1937487:5,6,737400:15 3740proper 37460:2137441:24 37442:237447:25 37541:22quad 37387:2437507:17 37521:1037410:4 3741137460:2437541:23 37543:3quad 37387:2437507:17 37521:1037416:10 3741properly 37409:1337545:22,22 37546:6qualified 37585:237522:15 37524:937418:7 3742337394:23,2437550:16,18 37550:1437499:1237534:20,2037432:2,7,9 37		
profits 37547:1637492:17,24 37495:137401:23 37403:1137430:19,2237541:16 3756progress 37495:2437497:837410:4 37431:437430:19,2237541:15,16promise 37428:25prudent 37576:1337434:10 37441:937432:7,9,21recall 37388:2137495:23public 37394:1837478:25 37491:1237433:19,19,2237391:9 37392promised 37464:2437414:4 37435:2537491:16 37539:2137434:9,23 37463:2337396:24 373937465:3 37480:137436:5 37437:16,2437551:4 37582:2437463:25 37470:137397:21 3739prompted 37497:19,2037439:11,17puzzled 37441:1937487:5,6,737400:15 3740proper 37460:2137441:24 37442:2quad 37387:2437507:17 37521:1037406:3 3740837400:2437541:23 37543:3quad 37387:2437507:17 37521:1037416:10 3741property 37512:637545:2,22 37546:6qualified 37585:237522:15 37524:937418:7 37423proposal 37392:18,2237546:19 37550:1437499:1237499:1237532:14,2037432:2,7,9 37	-	
progress37495:2437497:837410:437431:437431:12,2137432:137581:15,16promise37428:25prudent37576:1337434:1037441:937432:7,9,21recall37388:2137495:23public37394:1837478:2537491:1637539:2137434:9,2337463:2337391:937392promised37497:19,2037436:537437:16,2437551:437551:437582:2437463:2537463:2537400:1537397:2137397:21proper37460:2137441:2437441:2437442:237541:2237541:2237541:2237541:2337541:2337543:3property37409:1337541:2337545:3,6,6qualified37585:237507:1737521:1037416:1037416:10propesal37392:18,2237546:1937550:16,18375951:537499:1237532:14,2037432:2,7,937430:137394:23,2437550:16,1837551:537499:1237534:20,2037434:1837431:12,21		
promise 37428:25 37495:23prudent 37576:13 public 37394:1837434:10 37441:9 37435:2537432:7,9,21recall 37388:2137495:23 promised 37464:2437414:4 37435:25 37465:3 37480:137436:5 37437:16,24 37436:5 37437:16,2437436:5 37437:16,24 37439:11,1737436:5 37437:16,24 37551:4 37582:2437434:9,23 37463:23 37463:25 37470:137396:24 3739 37396:24 3739prompted 37497:19,20 proper 37460:2137441:24 37442:2 37447:25 37541:2237441:24 37442:2 Q 37487:5,6,737400:15 3740 37400:15 3740properly 37409:13 37460:2437541:23 37543:3 37544:24 37545:3,6,6qual 37387:24 qual 37387:2437507:17 37521:10 3752:15 37524:937410:4 37411 37416:10 3741 37416:10 3741property 37512:6 37394:23,2437546:19 37550:14 37550:16,18 37551:537499:1237532:14,20 37499:1237432:2,7,9 37 37343:18 3744:18 3744		
37495:23public 37394:1837478:25 37491:1237433:19,19,2237391:9 37392promised 37464:2437414:4 37435:2537491:16 37539:2137434:9,23 37463:2337396:24 373937465:3 37480:137436:5 37437:16,2437551:4 37582:2437463:25 37470:137397:21 3739prompted 37497:19,2037441:24 37442:237441:24 37442:237447:25 37541:2237447:25 37541:22properly 37409:1337541:23 37543:337544:24 37545:3,6,6qual 37387:24Ramaphosa 37488:637410:4 37411property 37512:637545:22,22 37546:6qualified 37585:237522:15 37524:937418:7 37423proposal 37392:18,2237546:19 37550:1437550:16,18 37551:537499:1237534:20,2037434:18 3744:18 3744		,
promised 37464:2437414:4 37435:2537491:16 37539:2137434:9,23 37463:2337396:24 373937465:3 37480:137436:5 37437:16,2437551:4 37582:2437463:25 37470:137397:21 3739prompted 37497:19,2037439:11,17puzzled 37441:1937487:5,6,737400:15 3740proper 37460:2137447:25 37541:22Qraising 37435:1137406:3 3740837409:1337541:23 37543:3quad 37387:2437507:17 37521:1037416:10 3741property 37409:1337544:24 37545:3,6,6qualified 37585:237522:15 37524:937418:7 37423property 37512:637545:22,22 37546:6qualify 37561:1837526:14,24 37527:737430:1 37431proposal 37392:18,2237546:19 37550:14quarter 37469:10,1537532:14,2037432:2,7,9 3737394:23,2437550:16,18 37551:537499:1237534:20,2037434:18 3744		
37465:3 37480:1 37436:5 37437:16,24 37551:4 37582:24 37463:25 37470:1 37397:21 3739 prompted 37497:19,20 37439:11,17 puzzled 37441:29 37487:5,6,7 37400:15 3740 proper 37460:21 37447:25 37541:22 2 2 2 2 37466:325 37470:1 37397:21 3739 proper 37460:21 37447:25 37541:22 2 2 2 2 2 37406:3 37408 properly 37409:13 37541:23 37543:3 quad 37387:24 37507:17 37521:10 37410:4 37411 groperty 37512:6 37545:22,22 37546:6 qualified 37585:2 37522:15 37524:9 37418:7 37423 proposal 37392:18,22 37546:19 37550:14 quarter 37469:10,15 37532:14,20 37432:2,7,9 37 37394:23,24 37550:16,18 37551:5 37499:12 37534:20,20 37434:18 3744		
prompted 37497:19,2037439:11,17puzzled 37441:1937487:5,6,737400:15 3740proper 37460:2137441:24 37442:237447:25 37541:22maising 37435:1137406:3 3740837579:2337447:25 37541:22Q37400:15 3740837406:3 37408properly 37409:1337541:23 37543:3quad 37387:2437507:17 37521:1037416:10 374137460:2437544:24 37545:3,6,6qualified 37585:237522:15 37524:937418:7 37423property 37512:637545:22,22 37546:6qualify 37561:1837526:14,24 37527:737430:1 37431proposal 37392:18,2237546:19 37550:14quarter 37469:10,1537532:14,2037432:2,7,9 3737394:23,2437550:16,18 37551:537499:1237534:20,2037434:18 3744		,
proper 37460:2137441:24 37442:2raising 37435:1137406:3 3740837579:2337447:25 37541:22 QRamaphosa 37488:637410:4 37411properly 37409:1337541:23 37543:3 quad 37387:2437507:17 37521:1037416:10 374137460:2437544:24 37545:3,6,6 qualified 37585:237522:15 37524:937418:7 37423property 37512:637545:22,22 37546:6 qualify 37561:1837526:14,24 37527:737430:1 37431proposal 37392:18,2237546:19 37550:14 quarter 37469:10,1537532:14,2037432:2,7,9 3737394:23,2437550:16,18 37551:537499:1237534:20,2037434:18 3744		
37579:23 37447:25 37541:22 Q Ramaphosa 37488:6 37410:4 37411 properly 37409:13 37541:23 37543:3 quad 37387:24 37507:17 37521:10 37416:10 3741 37460:24 37544:24 37545:3,6,6 qualified 37585:2 37522:15 37524:9 37418:7 37423 property 37512:6 37545:22,22 37546:6 qualify 37561:18 37526:14,24 37527:7 37430:1 37431 37394:23,24 37550:16,18 37551:5 37499:12 37532:14,20 37434:18 3744		
properly37409:1337541:2337543:3quad37387:2437507:1737521:1037416:1037416:10374137460:2437544:2437545:3,6,6qualified37585:237522:1537524:937418:737423property37512:637545:22,2237546:6qualified37561:1837526:14,2437522:737430:137430:137430:1proposal37392:18,2237546:1937550:14quarter37469:10,1537532:14,2037432:2,7,937432:2,7,937432:2,7,937394:23,2437550:16,18375951:537499:1237534:20,2037434:183744:183744		
37460:2437544:24 37545:3,6,6qualified 37585:237522:15 37524:937418:7 37423property 37512:637545:22,22 37546:6qualify 37561:1837526:14,24 37527:737430:1 37431proposal 37392:18,2237546:19 37550:14quarter 37469:10,1537532:14,2037432:2,7,9 3737394:23,2437550:16,18 37551:537499:1237534:20,2037434:18 3744		
property 37512:637545:22,22 37546:6qualify 37561:1837526:14,24 37527:737430:1 37431proposal 37392:18,2237546:19 37550:14quarter 37469:10,1537532:14,2037432:2,7,9 3737394:23,2437550:16,18 37551:537499:1237534:20,2037434:18 3744		
proposal 37392:18,22 37394:23,2437546:19 37550:14 37550:16,18 37551:5quarter 37469:10,15 37499:1237532:14,20 37534:20,2037432:2,7,9 37 37434:18 3744		
37394:23,24 37550:16,18 37551:5 37499:12 37534:20,20 37434:18 3744		1 37431:24
	,22 3	2,7,9 37433:10
37404:19 37409:20 37551:9 37556:4 4 americs 37547:18 37537:11 17 37538:9 37450:3 37450		18 37449:19
	20 3	3 37454:25
37409:21 37410:2 37559:2,7,13,13 query 37562:4 37538:14,20 37468:22 3748	2 ^{serie} 3	22 37482:20
37412:11,22 37413:4 37560:18 37561:6,13 questioned 37448:7,19 37539:19,22 37540:4 37486:20 3748	and the second sec	
37417:24 37419:15 37562:20 37563:2 37513:10 37557:10 37566:14 37568:3,4 37518:24 3753		
37420:8 37421:12 publicity 37546:10,14 questioning 37442:13 37570:1 37572:4 37580:1	presented in the second s	
37422:13 37425:5 published 37562:16 37518:23 37522:6 37574:23 37575:11 recalled 37389:1		
37500:14,16 37563:8 37544:2 37548:4,4 37576:14 receive 37389:10	Land Contract of the	
ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE		

				Page
37443:6	refusal 37542:6	relevant 37427:11,21	37579:7,11,12,16	37413:1,1,5 37463:14
received 37387:19	37588:7	37453:20 37468:18	reports 37450:8	37463:16 37464:7
37388:4 37389:12	refused 37587:24	rely 37573:20	37457:5 37458:1	37467:14 37495:10
37401:5 37402:5	refute 37478:21	remain 37439:22	37479:19 37547:1	37496:12 37574:16
37430:25 37441:14	regard 37465:18	37515:12 37573:6	37561:9 37580:16	37576:8
37449:25 37450:9	37466:10,23	remained 37443:18	report-backs 37570:2,5	responsible 37412:21
	37516:23 37578:6			-
37472:8 37500:6		37475:2	represent 37455:9	37422:5 37445:14
37548:5 37549:7	37580:14	remains 37429:18	representatives	37496:14
receiving 37388:21	regarded 37411:6	37438:24 37444:10	37583:24 37587:15	rest 37412:19 37530:18
37442:17 37479:19	37429:13	remember 37390:11,16	request 37387:6	37563:15 37568:9
reckless 37460:22	regarding 37555:14	37391:2 37398:3	requested 37428:6	restoring 37509:12
recognised 37436:3	regular 37479:19	37400:10,18,22	require 37485:14	rests 37429:14
recollection 37428:15	regularly 37555:23	37401:9,22 37402:1	required 37412:13	result 37408:22
37462:14 37576:22	37556:2	37406:4,5,9 37407:7	37530:22 37531:3	37486:7 37532:13
recommendations	regulation 37563:6,6	37413:7,20 37415:8	37555:21 37562:6	37534:11,22 37547:5
37441:13 37443:4,5	regulator 37505:4	37417:2 37428:12	requirement 37556:2	resultant 37503:1
37448:16 37449:1	37511:2	37432:21,21	requirements 37554:24	resume 37426:11
		-	requires 37396:4	
37453:19 37465:10	regulatory 37543:1,2	37433:21 37434:4,5,6	-	resumes 37387:2
reconstruct 37393:7	37550:15,17	37435:4,6,9,11,19	research 37438:24	37426:14,15
record 37416:23,23	37554:23,23	37450:6,8,19	37443:6 37444:16	37469:11,16,17
37418:13 37431:3,4	37556:12 37559:3	37455:11 37456:8	reset 37569:23	37499:13,14 37557:2
37441:8,9,22	37562:5,25 37563:4	37482:16 37487:6	resolution 37479:24	37557:3
37443:10 37450:25	reinforce 37521:9	37488:7,13,14,15	resolve 37500:14	retained 37576:3
37460:10 37463:17	37522:24,25 37523:7	37492:9 37494:13	37579:4	reticent 37441:21
37467:19 37480:25	37527:8	37501:14,20,24	resolved 37508:3	retrieved 37457:6,23
37487:1 37510:12	reintroduce 37455:8	37507:10 37534:16	37514:13,16	37457:25
37527:18,20	relate 37470:1	37536:1 37544:6	37526:10	return 37518:7
-	37471:24			37580:12
recorded 37390:9		37570:20 37581:7,10	resolving 37529:14	
37409:7 37445:17,20	related 37440:4	37581:13 37582:10	resort 37528:8,21	reverse 37390:22
recording 37399:9	37470:7 37487:20	remembered 37402:7	37529:2,4	revert 37500:15
37422:6 37445:24	37509:10,11	37432:1	Resource 37420:14	review 37447:13,20
37474:4,6	37526:23 37554:19	remembering 37401:17	resources 37392:23	37448:22 37449:9
records 37418:10	37562:5 37579:13	remembers 37432:12	37394:17 37409:20	37450:17 37451:1,2,6
37444:24 37450:4,8	relates 37388:6	remind 37499:15	37412:12,15,23	37451:9,15 37452:5
rectify 37584:23	37392:6 37456:6	37501:4	37419:16 37420:9	37453:8
reduce 37518:10	37470:8 37472:20	reminded 37422:8	37422:14 37425:6	reviewed 37452:8
redundant 37524:21,22	37548:11 37562:1	37453:23	37501:3 37586:11	reviews 37451:4
refer 37458:11	relating 37471:15	reminder 37526:22	resourcing 37406:15	37453:1
37461:18,21	relation 37480:23	remiss 37443:7	37411:23 37418:9	Riah 37416:12
37479:15 37587:14	37500:11 37503:17	remit 37394:18	37471:20	37426:17
reference 37447:18	37534:8	removed 37485:23	respect 37512:19	rifle 37407:18
37478:22 37479:8,18	relations 37512:14	repeat 37396:14	respecting 37569:20	rifles 37435:25 37436:4
37480:21 37547:14	37514:9 37544:25	37407:25 37419:21	respects 37444:7	37437:24 37439:11
37548:18 37555:8	37545:3,6,7,22,22	37441:7 37451:5	respond 37413:15	37439:17,22
references 37482:13,18	37546:7 37550:14,16	37471:5 37479:5	37435:17,19 37439:9	right 37387:10,12
referred 37390:18	37550:18 37557:18	37481:5 37525:9	37453:12 37454:15	37414:14 37415:23
37394:11 37491:22	37558:5,8,10,11,12	repeatedly 37516:19	37537:23	37416:14 37418:9
37518:21 37539:1	37558:13,15,23	repeating 37525:2	responded 37433:2	37422:1 37423:23
37561:22,23	37559:1,2,8,14,23	repetition 37508:10	37439:22 37471:17	37424:18,23
37587:21	37560:10,12,18,24,25	reploughing 37456:11	37549:12	37435:14 37437:11
referring 37458:24	37561:1,6,6,12,13	reply 37432:13,14,19	responding 37439:4	37443:25 37448:11
37460:1 37474:3	37562:3,19 37583:22	37432:22	37553:10	37449:24 37470:16
37504:23,24	37583:22 37584:16	replying 37522:10	response 37387:5	37473:15 37481:16
37505:10 37554:24	relatively 37398:14	report 37398:18	37418:1,3,4 37434:17	37482:23 37485:7
37555:4 37570:12	37403:18 37406:1	37402:5 37422:4	37434:20 37441:6	37491:9 37493:8
37586:20	37415:4 37526:18	37447:22 37448:13	37443:3 37449:11	37495:12 37498:5
2,200.20	relayed 37471:23	37449:22,23 37450:1	37465:23 37485:12	37500:20,21
		-		-
refers 37489:18	27172.7 27526.0	37450:6,13 37451:8	37496:9 37497:25	37501:11,16 37516:16 37530:9,11
refers 37489:18 37562:6 37574:16	37473:7 37536:9	27451 16 27457 0 22		1 1/3/01/01/01/01/01/01/01/01
refers 37489:18 37562:6 37574:16 reflected 37389:5	release 37545:8	37451:16 37457:9,23	37500:15	
refers 37489:18 37562:6 37574:16 reflected 37389:5 37390:18 37477:9,24	release 37545:8 37548:19 37549:1,10	37458:9,10,12	responses 37394:16	37534:15 37541:7
refers 37489:18 37562:6 37574:16 reflected 37389:5 37390:18 37477:9,24 37478:3	release 37545:8 37548:19 37549:1,10 37550:7,8,14		responses 37394:16 37415:23 37418:8	37534:15 37541:7 37546:13,15
refers 37489:18 37562:6 37574:16 reflected 37389:5 37390:18 37477:9,24	release 37545:8 37548:19 37549:1,10	37458:9,10,12	responses 37394:16	37534:15 37541:7
refers 37489:18 37562:6 37574:16 reflected 37389:5 37390:18 37477:9,24 37478:3	release 37545:8 37548:19 37549:1,10 37550:7,8,14	37458:9,10,12 37460:14 37466:10	responses 37394:16 37415:23 37418:8 37589:11	37534:15 37541:7 37546:13,15
refers 37489:18 37562:6 37574:16 reflected 37389:5 37390:18 37477:9,24 37478:3 reflection 37387:9 37477:5 37478:8	release 37545:8 37548:19 37549:1,10 37550:7,8,14 released 37414:12 37551:15 37555:16	37458:9,10,12 37460:14 37466:10 37466:13,22 37525:1 37563:14 37570:2	responses 37394:16 37415:23 37418:8 37589:11 responsibilities	37534:15 37541:7 37546:13,15 37548:17 37566:8 riot 37460:21
refers 37489:18 37562:6 37574:16 reflected 37389:5 37390:18 37477:9,24 37478:3 reflection 37387:9 37477:5 37478:8 37552:21	release 37545:8 37548:19 37549:1,10 37550:7,8,14 released 37414:12 37551:15 37555:16 releases 37547:8	37458:9,10,12 37460:14 37466:10 37466:13,22 37525:1 37563:14 37570:2 37577:19 37579:6	responses 37394:16 37415:23 37418:8 37589:11 responsibilities 37465:25 37483:8	37534:15 37541:7 37546:13,15 37548:17 37566:8 riot 37460:21 rise 37395:20 37403:8
refers 37489:18 37562:6 37574:16 reflected 37389:5 37390:18 37477:9,24 37478:3 reflection 37387:9 37477:5 37478:8	release 37545:8 37548:19 37549:1,10 37550:7,8,14 released 37414:12 37551:15 37555:16	37458:9,10,12 37460:14 37466:10 37466:13,22 37525:1 37563:14 37570:2	responses 37394:16 37415:23 37418:8 37589:11 responsibilities	37534:15 37541:7 37546:13,15 37548:17 37566:8 riot 37460:21

Pretoria

				Page 1
37418:22 37438:17	37437:24 37438:6,23	37587:11	37426:4,7,23 37431:3	37546:10 37555:23
37440:14,17	37439:10,17,22	says 37407:4 37432:11	37452:20 37453:13	senior 37415:22,24
risks 37408:20 37441:2	37440:21,25 37441:3	37432:12 37433:2,13	secretariat 37474:15	37458:8 37461:9
risky 37497:10,20	37442:1,15 37467:21	37434:23 37442:2	secretary 37447:15	37466:5,13,18,25
37515:24	37467:22	37447:23,23	secretary-general	37467:8 37505:3
risk-free 37398:14	R5s 37436:11,13	37460:25 37462:9	37572:5,10	SENS 37555:5
37403:18 37406:1	37437:16 37440:4	37474:6 37483:4,14	section 37459:14	37562:12,15,19
rivalry 37545:18	37441:7,12,19	37483:15 37487:17	37546:14 37557:16	37563:6,7
37546:11	37442:6,8,14,25	37487:17,18,24	secure 37518:22	sense 37409:8 37410:21
rivalry/civil 37544:14	37443:11	37488:1,1,6,8,12,12	security 37508:18	37464:8,9
Rivonia 37576:16	57115.11	37489:18,18	37511:21 37512:7,8	sensible 37589:8
Roger 37505:24	S	37491:20,25 37492:7	37512:11,12,21	sent 37388:19 37390:19
37516:15,19 37519:5	SA 37544:15 37546:17	37492:10 37493:24	37517:2,4,10 37521:3	37550:2 37555:8
37537:17 37538:10	safe 37547:13	37494:4,14 37505:8	37522:11,12 37526:7	37562:21,22
37538:13,17 37540:2	SAfm 37551:3	37506:3,21 37512:13	37530:4,6,10 37531:2	sentence 37394:4
37540:2,4 37545:9	sake 37424:12	37513:23 37516:17	37544:2 37548:5	37413:22 37434:16
37550:2,4 37566:17	SARC 37424.12 SAP 37466:25	37521:10 37522:1,15	see 37387:5 37388:9,14	37519:24 37522:15
37567:25 37569:3,5		37527:7,9 37533:21	37390:17 37391:10	37546:16 37559:5
	SAPS 37417:10			37580:10
37569:24 37575:4,5	37420:1,1 37421:21	37534:2,13,16,21	37392:3,4 37394:22	
37575:10 37576:11	37422:7,10 37428:14	37542:6 37550:5	37397:3 37398:6,25	sentiment 37577:9
role 37429:23 37495:13	37435:24 37448:2	37552:14 37559:20	37401:2 37403:7	separate 37422:3
room 37457:14,15	37451:21 37461:2	37560:7 37563:6	37405:7 37410:6,7	37487:8,10 37502:22
37584:12	37462:8 37466:18	37570:15 37571:13	37413:4 37415:16	37531:8
root 37503:12,14,15,18	37467:7 37468:7,17	37572:4,19 37573:5	37417:19 37422:24	separately 37428:20
37503:21,22	37476:8 37479:21	37577:18 37580:11	37426:8 37427:7,16	separation 37483:13
Roots 37419:13,14,19	37483:17,18 37484:6	SC 37441:21 37467:19	37430:16 37432:11	September 37387:1
37420:11,17,19	37484:8,18,20,24	37468:5,20 37469:2	37442:14 37445:1	37511:25 37517:10
37421:9,10,14,15,19	37488:6 37495:5	37476:23 37477:17	37446:18 37449:15	37529:12 37530:8,18
37422:2,8,15,23	37496:4,10	37477:23 37482:5	37450:16,24	37573:11,18
37423:6,18,18,22	satisfied 37492:21	37496:18 37497:2	37454:19 37458:22	serious 37391:12
37452:12,15 37461:3	Saturday 37550:9	37498:19,25 37499:9	37459:4,25 37461:11	37402:5 37407:19
round 37390:12,12	save 37459:19 37561:3	37500:3,5,9 37506:12	37462:4,5,6 37477:1	37410:1 37411:3,6
37391:2 37467:21	37582:13	scale 37415:4	37491:11,25	37442:17 37443:18
route 37584:1	saw 37421:23 37422:9	scenario 37582:17	37496:17 37499:15	37486:8 37492:13
routine 37410:14,22	37423:24 37517:19	scene 37389:8,21	37513:9 37514:4	37493:6 37494:1
Rover 37429:21,25	37550:3 37568:13	37437:11,13 37461:9	37519:13 37521:19	37500:24 37555:14
37433:1 37434:11	saying 37402:9	37461:10 37462:23	37525:22 37534:8	seriously 37417:23
37435:15,22 37436:9	37407:16 37409:1,11	37531:2 37586:8	37545:10 37546:15	37429:10 37437:3
37439:5 37443:14	37409:21 37410:4,5	scheme 37483:17	37551:24 37553:14	served 37451:7
37468:13 37482:1,5	37411:12 37412:25	scope 37565:7 37566:4	37555:16 37557:9	serves 37574:13
37482:10	37413:6 37417:21	Scott 37398:9 37403:22	37558:16 37569:25	service 37442:10
Rover's 37431:8	37418:12 37419:3	37421:7 37447:17	37573:25 37575:12	37449:10 37465:13
37452:12	37450:9 37462:14,22	37452:20 37454:9	37579:1	37496:5
RP 37574:22,25	37466:21 37468:22	37457:2,24	Seedat 37569:13	Services 37388:12
37575:3	37472:14 37477:11	Scott's 37452:11	37576:15	37390:25
rubber 37438:4,4	37480:11 37484:11	screen 37399:15,16,20	seeing 37554:9	session 37392:9
37441:25	37489:22 37490:9,19	37454:19 37458:13	seek 37505:5 37552:24	37393:22 37420:6
rules 37556:16	37492:25 37495:25	37458:16 37459:8	seeking 37495:19	37422:4 37452:13
ruling 37500:11	37497:18 37503:5	37461:19	seen 37402:2 37424:17	37473:20 37474:11
run 37405:15 37414:16	37504:14,20	screens 37395:11,19	37460:3 37461:13	37474:14 37475:20
37416:24 37440:13	37505:15 37508:20	Scroll 37460:9	37520:23 37553:19	37476:6,11 37477:6
37440:17 37441:2	37510:14 37513:23	search 37428:4	self 37442:4 37552:5	37480:22
37561:1	37516:7 37519:4,9	seasoned 37404:24	self-evident 37478:7	sessions 37421:10
rundown 37399:24	37521:15,16	37406:18	37544:21 37546:20	37422:3 37425:20
running 37494:17	37534:10 37536:12	seated 37387:16	37547:11	set 37451:2 37546:23
37520:24	37538:19,23 37541:6	second 37400:18	self-standing 37474:22	37546:25 37554:22
runs 37560:25		37413:3 37419:8	sell 37561:11	37586:8
Russo-Bello 37568:14	37545:14,21,24 37546:2,18 37549:12	37478:5 37486:8	semantic 37534:25	settle 37535:24
	37550:25 37552:25	37514:19 37559:5	37535:6	setup 37411:16
Rustenburg 37411:20				-
37420:14 37461:5 P1 27442:7 7 27467:20	37553:1,11 37554:1,3	37576:8 37583:5	Semenya 37388:2	seven 37389:24
R1 37442:7,7 37467:20	37559:19 37562:19	secondly 37508:11	37441:21 37467:18	37498:24 37499:6
37468:14	37565:3 37567:5	37585:8	37467:19,23	seventh 37390:24
R1s 37441:20 37442:25	37568:17 37570:22	seconds 37389:23,23	37468:18 37482:5	Shabangu 37504:25
37443:11	37574:12 37575:23	37399:7 37400:17	37496:18 37497:1,2	37521:11 37532:22
R5 37435:25 37436:4	37581:24 37583:4	secrecy 37431:4	Semenya's 37468:6	37533:6 37550:21
a man r . I have a strike	Park V-Lander Mr. La	-	-	000001 0 00000 0 0 0
37436:19,25	37584:10,11	secret 37423:15	send 37390:20	37551:3 37570:1,15

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 7
37571:23	37454:7 37483:13	37579:4 37581:24	37390:24 37410:17	37472:9,18,22
Shaft 37580:22	37547:6	37584:24	37483:12 37496:5	37473:11 37556:22
Shanduka 37568:25	signal 37521:5	situational 37479:19	37544:14 37545:19	37567:20 37581:19
shape 37519:10	signalling 37527:22,25	situations 37442:9	37555:15	37589:8
share 37463:14	signed 37454:3,4	six 37573:3	so-called 37505:13	stages 37472:15,15
37466:17 37547:25	37564:24,25	skeletal 37416:17,20	SO262 37461:11	stakeholder 37576:4,5
37555:25	significant 37410:9	skilled 37411:18	space 37463:15	stakeholders 37573:4
shared 37394:13	significantly 37440:23	skip 37548:17	SPCA 37584:13,14	stand 37387:7
37414:2 37466:13,20	signs 37445:20	slide 37461:18,18,19,20	speak 37389:16	37455:21 37457:3
37466:24 37467:3	similar 37434:14	37462:5	37403:2 37524:9,10	37463:22
37491:14	37541:5 37573:24	slides 37462:1	37524:10,11,13	standing 37451:3,3,10
shareholder 37551:18	Similarly 37569:12	slightly 37500:7,8	37526:25 37537:14	37460:20
37562:4 37568:7	Similarly 37509.12 Simon 37543:10	37534:17	37537:18 37539:3	stands 37391:1
shareholders 37487:25	37545:9 37568:12	SMS 37388:19,21	37574:23 37575:10	37558:14
37488:9,10 37493:23	simple 37407:12,22	37389:8,9,10	37576:13 37582:1	Star 37563:13
37544:1 37547:21	37410:8 37484:10	37390:19	37589:22	start 37486:18
37553:15 37555:23	37526:13	solemn 37428:13	speaking 37486:24	37491:19 37499:8
37556:5,15 37562:4	simply 37409:16,22,25	Solidarity 37587:18	37488:2 37565:19	37517:16 37572:2
37562:21 37563:7,9	37431:17 37437:17	solve 37397:14	37567:12,16 37572:4	37585:25 37586:3
37563:12,13,17,18	37501:24 37504:15	37490:20,21,22	37572:10	started 37387:3
37568:25	37505:14 37508:14	solving 37529:1,3	speaks 37537:11	37394:5 37512:9
shares 37561:8,11	37509:11 37510:14	somebody 37407:4	special 37475:19	37529:8,16,20
37563:18	37511:1 37537:22	somebody's 37540:24	37540:5	37539:10 37549:19
sharing 37394:17	37571:22	somewhat 37447:21	specific 37406:22	37556:7 37586:13
37428:1	sincerely 37498:1	soon 37391:11,17	37437:21 37451:25	starting 37444:15
she'd 37447:2	single-handed 37508:1	37465:23 37500:15	37477:7	startled 37434:24,25
37505:15 37510:19	Sinkers 37580:22	sooner 37478:15	specifically 37401:1	37435:9
37510:21 37534:3,4	sir 37455:20 37484:2	sore 37444:11	37408:10 37443:11	startling 37432:5
she's 37481:5,9,12	37486:11	sorry 37387:3,25	37448:3,8 37452:3	starts 37399:17
37492:24 37505:3,4	sit 37394:18 37437:24	37391:1 37393:14	37458:9 37470:3	37455:2
37534:19 37535:5	37501:17 37504:22	37394:6 37395:13	37471:14,14 37473:4	state 37441:22
37555:18 37570:16	37505:9,17 37506:22	37417:7 37418:18	37477:21 37524:20	37454:12 37462:2
shield 37483:15,19	site 37514:22 37515:4	37456:3 37457:11	37534:21 37543:6	stated 37449:2
shielded 37484:13	37515:10 37526:20	37468:21 37474:1	37568:21 37578:4	statement 37434:10
37496:6	37539:12	37476:23 37478:25	speculating 37559:10	37443:7 37456:24
		37499:2,7 37500:2	speech 37493:3	
shoot 37440:19	sitting 37411:20	-	-	37462:7,13 37463:23
shooting 37389:8	37412:4 37447:19	37505:18,21 37522:4	speed 37540:7	37464:17 37468:8
37390:3,4,14 37391:3	37452:15 37457:13	37523:13 37543:22	spin 37541:23	37470:2 37472:6,16
shootings 37389:21	37457:15 37558:22	37547:9 37550:24,24	37542:12 37544:17	37479:1,4,10 37482:2
37419:18 37452:10	sittings 37419:23	37558:2,18 37565:14	37544:20 37545:6,7	37482:14,17
short 37429:13 37489:6	37448:25 37453:1	37566:10 37570:9	37546:3,3	37483:22 37506:20
37491:11 37500:9	situation 37394:13	37572:2,3 37573:9,25	spirit 37391:24	37506:24 37532:7
37521:1	37398:17 37402:4	37574:4 37575:15	37428:1	37534:7
shortcomings 37458:9	37406:14 37411:23	37577:1 37582:3	spoke 37389:15,16,16	statements 37463:17
37466:14,23	37414:1,4,15	37584:8 37588:13	37392:12 37393:23	37463:18 37465:1
shorten 37513:22	37415:12 37418:5	37589:20	37394:9,15 37416:7	37467:13 37478:21
shortened 37453:21	37437:20 37440:23	sort 37390:5 37413:22	37416:11 37417:11	37534:10
shortening 37518:4	37448:2 37451:22	37424:17 37438:11	37447:2 37488:10,16	stating 37409:25
shortly 37390:2	37471:20 37488:19	37477:14 37497:11	37526:24	37429:10
shot 37440:18	37490:20 37491:24	37497:21 37501:17	spoken 37417:10	stay 37515:13
shotguns 37438:4	37492:6 37493:4,22	37504:12 37505:17	37484:12 37491:23	stayed 37415:9
shottist 37442:21	37494:8,22,25	37506:22 37546:22	37491:23 37516:19	37477:19
shouldn't 37435:21	37495:2,3 37496:3	37576:22 37579:11	37519:4,14 37525:4	staying 37516:1
37477:9 37485:4,5	37508:4,18 37511:21	sorted 37502:7 37504:1	37564:8 37576:20,21	stealing 37485:20
37500:19	37512:7,8,11,12,21	37504:10,15 37506:3	spokesman 37551:14	step 37420:13
show 37443:11	37516:1 37517:3,4,6	sorting 37497:22	spokesperson 37388:13	steps 37420.15
37460:19 37475:9	37517:10 37521:3	sought 37478:22	37390:25 37532:8	sterling 37543:25
37510:12 37568:20	37522:11,12 37526:7	37553:12	sponsored 37576:16	STF 37410:10
	-		-	
showing 37456:24	37528:7,9,10,14	sound 37415:7	spot 37412:20	stick 37445:17,20
shown 37424:11	37530:4,7,10 37531:2	sounding 37415:8	spun 37551:25	37470:24 37480:6
57425.22	57555.12 57556.1	sounds 37423:7	square 37428:25	37503:2
chone 21/28.77	37539:15 37541:1	37478:10 37576:9	stabilised 37508:5	stock 37554:25 37555:3
In II Dette	37544:2 37548:5,12	37589:5	stage 37389:25	37555:6 37556:17
37489:6				
Siamese 37513:3	37548:13 37549:5	source 37428:23	37403:24 37405:23	stop 37457:15
37489:6 Siamese 37513:3 side 37390:22 37399:10	37548:13 37549:5 37556:10 37573:18		37403:24 37405:23 37426:8,10 37446:22	37518:24 37584:3,4
37489:6 Siamese 37513:3	37548:13 37549:5	source 37428:23		

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

				Page 1
store 37548:19 37549:1	suggesting 37417:23	37491:20 37492:1	talk 37394:23 37396:7	37447:2
stories 37547:4	37554:21	Susan 37521:11	37411:22 37476:12	television 37395:11,18
story 37546:11	suggestion 37589:8	37532:22 37533:5	37476:16 37487:22	37395:18 37397:3
straddled 37567:20	suggests 37431:2	37570:15	37505:22 37507:2,17	tell 37391:11 37393:17
straddling 37568:18	summarise 37436:14	sustain 37515:9,18	37507:21 37511:3	37393:24 37397:13
37569:22	37455:16	37516:2,11 37526:22	37516:9,15 37522:5	37398:6 37404:9,20
strange 37435:4	summarised 37403:9	sustaining 37440:22		37407:10 37413:2
		8	37526:8,8 37538:7,10	
strategy 37448:2	37405:19 37442:8	37515:19,22,25	37538:17 37539:20	37417:1 37418:9,16
37451:21	37471:1,4	swear 37387:10,13	37542:1 37559:6	37421:1,1,2 37427:23
stretch 37504:2	summarising 37388:24	swinging 37553:23	37567:16 37569:2	37428:21 37430:16
strike 37414:22	37423:8 37456:14,16	swore 37387:7	37583:13 37584:14	37435:3 37436:24
37493:17,20 37495:9	37554:22	sympathise 37410:21	talked 37468:13	37437:18,20
37498:9 37512:2	summary 37413:22	syndrome 37544:13	37507:22 37510:12	37442:15,20 37444:2
37517:7 37518:10,10	37423:19 37455:24	s.u.o 37426:17	37523:2,24 37551:15	37455:1 37463:10
37556:7,14 37578:12	37457:1,8,23	37469:19 37499:23	talking 37389:13	37464:20 37465:20
striker 37437:5,7	summoned 37529:23	37557:5	37399:12,22	37475:3 37476:7
strikers 37395:11,14,20	Sunday 37517:18	0,00,10	37411:21 37414:8,9	37481:24 37506:16
37396:21 37397:13	37539:10 37542:20	Т	37419:15 37421:17	37512:17 37562:11
		table 37410:3		
37397:19 37398:10	37547:8 37549:4		37432:8 37445:8	telling 37396:11
37398:11,16	37556:8	tactic 37541:22	37467:5 37473:3,19	37408:16,24 37409:1
37402:22 37403:2,13	sunrise 37406:7	tactical 37397:12	37488:7 37495:17	37409:2,23 37415:10
37411:5 37414:17	superimposing 37553:1	37403:9,25 37404:19	37507:2 37508:11,12	37423:17 37589:18
37542:7 37552:5	superior 37416:2	37404:21	37508:19 37509:15	tells 37443:22 37517:2
37580:18,19	support 37394:16	tactically 37397:16	37510:13,13,24	Tembeka 37586:10
string 37553:8,14	37414:10,13	take 37390:9 37413:12	37514:3 37518:19	ten 37426:9 37498:1
strong 37441:1	37415:18 37420:19	37417:14 37418:6	37522:12,20 37523:4	term 37501:24 37502:1
37488:14	37541:15 37551:19	37420:15 37424:2,12	37523:5 37526:2,4,6	terms 37396:24
strongly 37402:23	37553:15 37573:21	37426:6,9 37427:12	37528:12,15 37534:9	37400:2 37407:6
37475:17	supported 37396:24	37427:23 37428:13	37536:20 37539:9,25	37414:2,10 37428:6
structural 37483:18	37397:9 37408:14	37434:5 37437:7	37540:1,2 37541:14	37439:3 37441:24
structured 37434:13			37543:19 37544:17	37450:17 37465:13
	supporting 37487:12	37441:22 37443:4		
stuck 37390:6	suppose 37488:25	37445:10 37446:2,2,5	37555:18 37559:23	37488:14 37496:24
study 37589:9	37505:24 37534:24	37446:8,10,16	37559:24,25	37499:22 37542:12
stuff 37542:14	37544:1,11	37448:9 37457:16	37563:24 37568:16	37556:16 37574:10
stun 37438:5 37457:1	supposed 37518:6	37460:23,23	37568:17 37574:9	37576:3 37589:16
37458:3 37461:1	37562:1	37462:12,13 37469:5	37581:9 37582:19	terrible 37391:16
37465:6	sure 37408:11	37473:8 37476:14,20	37585:6	37413:5 37493:2
subject 37555:12	37411:11 37412:15	37478:4,11 37485:15	talks 37411:18	testified 37392:10
37575:25 37578:25	37423:1,21 37435:16	37490:17,18	37434:11	37393:17 37455:14
submission 37427:6	37443:16 37446:21	37492:14 37494:2	Tambo 37519:12	37455:24 37456:19
37473:14	37450:19 37451:14	37495:11 37497:13	Tanya 37542:14,15,16	37458:5.6
submit 37428:7				'
	37454:15 37465:24	37498:24 37499:7	37543:18,21,25	testify 37456:15
37429:4 37453:17	37468:1 37476:9	37509:21 37512:9	37547:7,14,19	testimony 37389:14
37509:22	37481:11 37487:24	37515:13 37519:8	37548:18,18	37393:13 37394:10
subsequent 37502:12	37492:22 37502:6	37525:16 37528:6	37549:11 37555:9,16	37455:25 37456:2
37503:1 37539:11	37505:21,21	37549:17 37570:16	37560:25 37561:18	37463:24
subsequently 37430:19	37506:23 37516:11	37588:20 37589:1,6,7	37562:1,6	text 37459:15
37448:24 37510:21	37518:14 37525:11	taken 37393:2	tape 37405:13	Thandeka 37549:11
37511:14 37537:3	37526:23 37531:23	37395:15,19	37416:23,24,25	37550:4
37579:15	37531:25 37552:3	37400:21 37401:18	37445:24	thank 37387:6,15
subservient 37503:11	37553:21 37572:21	37405:10 37410:24	taped 37405:14	37388:25 37389:11
substantially 37510:5	37589:15,17	37419:4,25 37420:2	tapes 37444:24	37392:3 37426:12
37518:10	surely 37402:4,7	37422:13 37425:5	Tatane 37408:22	37427:7 37441:18
succeed 37540:4	37409:18,23 37411:8	37422:13 37425:5	37411:4	37447:11 37454:20
successfully 37398:18	37412:13,18,23	37441:15 37446:11	tea 37393:18 37469:5	37455:3 37457:21
suffered 37530:20	37414:23 37422:20	37446:15 37457:13	37499:7 37556:21	37467:17,23,25
sufficient 37420:24	37431:25 37483:2,21	37471:7 37473:6,17	team 37411:23	37469:4,8,13,21
37483:18	37486:8 37521:2,2	37474:14,16,20	37416:11 37449:19	37470:25 37474:8
	37527:8 37561:12	37478:6,19,23	37464:18 37525:23	37477:25 37481:21
suggest 37412:24		37479:18 37480:23	teargas 37438:4	37481:21 37483:9
	surprise 37430:20,23	57479.10 57400.25		
suggest 37412:24	surprise 37430:20,23 37431:1	37482:7 37488:24	37457:1 37458:3	37484:3 37486:17
suggest 37412:24 37426:9,25 37475:2 37479:1 37494:22	37431:1	37482:7 37488:24		37484:3 37486:17 37493:12 37496:21
suggest 37412:24 37426:9,25 37475:2 37479:1 37494:22 37572:5,11 37586:16	37431:1 surprised 37432:6	37482:7 37488:24 37490:1 37492:6	37457:1 37458:3 37461:1 37465:6	37493:12 37496:21
suggest 37412:24 37426:9,25 37475:2 37479:1 37494:22 37572:5,11 37586:16 37589:7,22	37431:1 surprised 37432:6 37435:1,2 37482:7,10	37482:7 37488:24 37490:1 37492:6 takers 37499:19	37457:1 37458:3 37461:1 37465:6 technical 37549:20	37493:12 37496:21 37498:6,8,12,15
suggest 37412:24 37426:9,25 37475:2 37479:1 37494:22 37572:5,11 37586:16 37589:7,22 suggested 37407:20	37431:1 surprised 37432:6 37435:1,2 37482:7,10 37589:16	37482:7 37488:24 37490:1 37492:6 takers 37499:19 takes 37438:17	37457:1 37458:3 37461:1 37465:6 technical 37549:20 telephone 37387:21,22	37493:12 37496:21 37498:6,8,12,15 37500:1,17,18,22,22
suggest 37412:24 37426:9,25 37475:2 37479:1 37494:22 37572:5,11 37586:16 37589:7,22	37431:1 surprised 37432:6 37435:1,2 37482:7,10	37482:7 37488:24 37490:1 37492:6 takers 37499:19	37457:1 37458:3 37461:1 37465:6 technical 37549:20	37493:12 37496:21 37498:6,8,12,15

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page 20
37536:16 37541:12	37505:8 37509:3	37530:22	37454:21	37547:3 37552:19
37554:2,5 37556:19	37511:16 37521:6	time 37387:7 37388:22	torch 37554:9	37553:20
37557:1 37560:3,17	37522:17,18 37523:3	37389:9,10 37390:8	torchbearer 37585:9	twice 37494:10
37566:9 37569:8	37525:22 37531:6	37390:13,13 37391:3	totally 37436:2,20	twin 37502:24
37570:18 37571:25	37539:3 37541:23	37394:4 37400:10	touch 37582:20	37509:14 37510:12
37573:23 37574:2	37542:5 37544:18	37408:11 37410:9,18	37583:6,14	37511:15 37522:21
37575:7 37582:25	37547:25 37551:25	37412:18 37422:9	touched 37391:24	37528:23 37529:3
37585:17,19	37558:16 37567:18	37425:20 37438:18	37446:20	twins 37513:3
37589:12 37590:3	37570:17 37583:15	37438:23 37443:7	Town 37519:11	twin-headed 37502:1,2
thanked 37571:8	things 37396:6,17	37447:1 37449:14	37521:11 track 37460:21	37502:3,16,20
thanking 37571:11 thanks 37400:10	37406:20 37414:18 37414:19 37418:7	37459:19 37469:23 37473:2 37489:5	trade 37582:16	37503:3,5,6 37504:17 37512:24 37528:25
37464:21 37467:15	37424:9,22 37438:3	37493:11 37494:17	training 37451:15,19	37529:1
37522:23 37584:20	37444:13,14,17,18,22	37495:22 37497:3,4	transcribed 37399:11	two 37389:14 37413:2
theme 37531:1	37446:3,5 37453:19	37498:2 37500:20,25	transcript 37401:7	37413:22 37419:7
theory 37536:20	37454:14 37456:9	37510:23 37512:8	transmitted 37538:21	37424:21 37444:22
thereof 37433:6	37467:13,13 37483:4	37516:14 37517:6	37538:22 37539:4	37462:1 37478:1
there'd 37395:10	37483:13 37485:14	37519:7,14 37520:24	transmitter 37539:23	37495:12 37496:13
37514:24 37517:9	37486:6 37489:19	37524:1,21 37529:15	transpired 37400:2	37498:22 37499:12
there's 37389:22	37496:13 37497:17	37529:20 37537:8	transposing 37551:25	37500:19 37501:4
37401:12 37407:13	37508:8 37516:10	37539:15 37546:18	travel 37468:12	37502:22 37508:8,25
37410:7 37411:23	37523:12,17	37547:9,13 37549:21	treatment 37463:2	37513:12 37514:5
37416:25 37417:6	37524:16 37526:13	37550:19 37561:3	trialists 37576:17	37520:6 37531:1
37425:4 37435:13	37553:19 37559:18	37574:6,12 37578:4	tried 37390:22	37542:3 37547:7
37437:2 37438:6	37562:21 37566:21	37579:16 37580:2	37405:13 37411:14	37553:19 37556:16
37445:16,20 37454:9	37571:16 37578:17	37582:13 37584:6	37416:23	37565:9 37585:2 37586:16
37454:18 37456:11 37475:9,10 37476:10	thinking 37400:6 37550:22	37587:1 37589:6 times 37528:22	triggered 37461:2 37564:1	two-bullet 37520:14
37484:23 37493:24	third 37430:18,21	titles 37583:15	tripe 37458:12	two-headed 37521:2,6
37494:21 37498:20	37460:9	today 37437:24	trouble 37407:23	two-line 37520:15
37505:7 37507:1	thought 37387:3,18	37492:18 37494:5	TRT 37410:9 37461:4	two-pony 37525:23,23
37511:23 37529:13	37388:24 37390:1,5	37505:15 37579:4,14	true 37415:6 37424:16	two-year 37586:17
37531:8 37533:7	37398:13 37405:22	Tokota 37500:22	37438:7,21 37440:2	type 37397:8 37398:5
37539:14 37549:18	37406:11 37409:14	told 37393:1,24	37474:21 37475:4	37407:8 37408:7
37555:8 37556:10	37424:5 37427:20	37398:21 37401:3,4,8	37502:6 37505:17	37425:9 37444:17
37560:2,23 37561:11	37499:9 37502:15	37402:1 37403:3	37511:4 37527:6	37485:14 37487:10
37565:9 37590:1	37509:23 37510:2	37405:22 37412:8	37531:11 37571:6	37503:3
they'd 37410:20	37516:24 37525:6	37416:8 37419:23	trust 37468:4	types 37414:11
37476:20 37518:7	37527:21 37531:18	37420:4,25 37421:2,3	trustee 37576:19	U
they're 37397:3	37533:3 37535:23	37422:16 37425:19	truth 37387:11,11,12	
37400:7 37412:21	37536:2,4 37542:6 37553:9 37558:18	37430:17 37435:22	37504:3,4,20 truthful 37464:8	UASA 37587:17
37544:20,21,21 37545:11 37547:10	37566:18,25 37576:9	37436:16,17 37437:6 37443:14,14	try 37397:14 37407:17	ultimatum 37579:10 37580:11
37547:11 37561:21	thousand 37403:20	37443:14,14 37444:23 37447:3,4	37475:2 37486:22	umbrage 37426:7
37562:22 37568:15	threat 37438:17	37452:25 37454:7	37496:20,23 37505:5	unacceptable 37436:2
they've 37397:5	37441:8	37456:8 37479:25	37518:15	uncharitably 37585:9
thing 37390:6 37391:22	threats 37438:20	37481:25 37492:15	trying 37405:9	uncle 37437:7
37393:9 37396:7	37439:4	37520:4 37551:18	37408:12 37412:8	underlie 37502:21
37401:23 37405:17	three 37399:17	37580:20 37588:25	37487:22 37492:12	underline 37487:12,22
37407:9,17,19	37414:17 37421:20	tomorrow 37405:3	37492:20,23 37493:7	underlying 37502:7,8
37410:22 37413:21	37447:14 37450:18	37492:18 37493:1	37497:7 37508:14	37502:24,25 37503:7
37417:20,21	37472:15 37474:23	37494:4 37497:9,10	37516:19 37535:22	understand 37389:19
37419:11 37423:6	37476:21 37507:18	37585:25 37586:3	37537:14 37546:21	37390:10,21,25
37425:13 37427:5	thrown 37461:2	37589:10,19	37549:6 37554:6	37408:12 37410:14
37430:4 37432:25	thumb 37444:11	tonight 37551:20	37565:10 Tuesday 27200:1	37410:21 37419:20
37443:8,13 37446:19	Thupe 37461:4 Thursday 37390:12	tonnes 37549:16 37550:1	Tuesday 37399:1 37447:2 37523:3	37420:18,23
37453:11 37476:5 37478:24 37484:20	37402:25 37403:23	37550:1 top 37400:18 37517:1	37447:237523:3 37542:21 37547:9	37421:11 37425:15 37426:24 37427:8
37487:20 37488:21	37402:23 37405:23	topic 37392:4,5	37549:4 37555:10	37433:3 37434:3
37487.20 37488.21	37404.1 37403.10	37419:12 37447:12	37579:13	37435:5 37441:23
37489:12 37491:20	37420:1 37446:24	37454:24 37455:1	turn 37505:5	37442:9,11,12
37491:21,22 37492:2	37447:7 37497:19	37461:24 37469:7,12	turned 37390:3	37448:23 37455:19
37492:2,3,16 37493:1	37515:25 37579:19	37469:24 37470:7,18	37412:10 37453:2	37456:18 37472:13
37493:2 37494:4	37579:25	37470:19 37471:1	37520:16,17	37484:21 37488:25
37497:11,12	tide 37553:22	37498:9	turns 37545:7	37491:5 37493:7,13
37503:25 37504:19	till 37405:24 37517:9	topics 37388:4,6	TV 37507:20 37546:16	37493:14 37494:11
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			

Pretoria

				Page 2
37495:6,7,9 37496:24	37438:10,16,22,25	W	37494:3 37495:19	37546:16 37549:13
37521:14 37529:9,24	37439:3 37441:3,11	wage 37501:16	37507:24 37512:14	37550:5,5 37554:18
37535:17,18,21	37442:4 37452:11	37505:16 37506:3	37519:10 37529:14	37555:6
37539:5 37554:20	37454:22 37513:25	37511:24 37512:9	37537:10,18 37541:4	we've 37388:4 37401:6
37562:22 37572:6,9	37524:9 37540:23	37513:23,24 37517:9	37541:15 37542:22	37402:2 37405:13,15
37572:16,16,18	37541:7,16 37566:18	37586:17,17 37587:8	37550:20 37553:24	37416:24 37427:19
37573:7,14 37585:20	37585:9,10,21	37587:12,15,16	37576:15 37587:7,12	37437:6 37438:11
37586:11	37586:1	37588:8,12,20	37588:14	37440:9 37441:14
understanding	uses 37483:15	37589:4,9,16	ways 37542:4	37445:22 37447:21
37418:17 37433:13	V	wages 37587:4,7,25	wayward 37496:1	37448:5 37453:2
37468:6 37496:11		wait 37405:4	weaknesses 37448:1	37458:22 37460:6
37517:11 37557:18	value 37489:7,10	waiting 37505:22	37451:20	37491:5 37492:25
understood 37436:19 37446:6 37539:7	37490:2 37547:25	37507:18 37520:23	weapon 37439:3 37440:24 37442:4	37493:1,1,5 37497:9
undertaking 37419:16	Van 37573:9,13 37574:1	walking 37397:4	weapons 37395:12	37497:10 37507:17 37518:6 37520:23
37428:13,14 37480:5	various 37393:23	wall 37405:15	37396:1,7,9 37397:4	37549:16,17 37551:2
undertakings 37420:9	37396:17 37422:2	37416:24 37445:22	37407:14,15 37415:2	37558:4 37564:8
undue 37483:19	37435:24 37446:14	wane 37516:4	37438:10,16,25	37584:15
unfair 37506:13	37448:5 37450:2	waning 37582:5,9	37441:11 37444:17	what's 37437:1
unfairly 37391:14	37451:14	wanted 37388:20	37468:13 37480:2	37441:20 37442:7
37423:8	vehicles 37460:25	37391:7 37392:4	37508:10,15	37443:1 37447:21
unfolding 37479:20	vein 37434:15	37396:8 37400:9 37415:14 37479:17	Wednesday 37392:7	37458:24 37459:7
unfortunate 37445:24	Velanti 37576:19		37397:2,16 37401:24	37473:20 37489:11
unfortunately	Verity 37555:9	37498:9 37500:12 37507:21 37509:9	37402:20 37419:17	37506:16 37514:15
37413:11 37488:4	Vermaak 37454:25	37510:20 37511:3,9	37420:2 37421:6	37563:20 37572:12
unhappy 37442:24	37456:7,16 37458:6	37515:8,10 37524:17	37422:12 37425:5,25	Where's 37570:10
union 37544:14	37460:15 37461:10	37526:13 37536:24	37426:20 37428:22	whichever 37584:10
37545:18 37546:10	37462:22,22,22	37537:22,25	37431:10 37444:22	whilst 37400:4,6
37582:16	37463:21 37466:11	37539:19 37566:18	37452:19 37505:7	who'd 37405:1
unions 37501:17	37467:5,11	37567:16 37571:22	37532:4 37533:18	37476:15 37477:16
37504:2,10,16,22	Vernacular 37455:6	37587:3,12,24	37579:15	who's 37511:2,2
37505:9,16 37506:4	version 37505:12	wants 37497:6	week 37429:22 37447:6	wind 37454:8
37506:22	VICTORIA 37469:19	warning 37536:25	37500:12 37515:20	wire 37403:11,16
unique 37410:16	videos 37460:19	Warrant 37455:10	37515:23 37516:3	wires 37546:17
37447:24 37451:20	view 37431:9,16	37464:24 37465:19	37569:10	wish 37454:9 37589:11
unit 37438:6 37439:24	37435:3 37492:9	37468:23	weekend 37447:4	withdrawn 37584:3
37587:18	37504:10 37516:25	wasn't 37404:15,22	37516:4,12	withhold 37464:19
units 37436:1,1,6	37526:9 37544:13	37407:22 37409:22	weeks 37421:20	witness 37456:14
37439:12,18,19,24	37545:6,16 37546:4	37410:7,22 37415:18	went 37392:14 37422:3	37458:5 37459:25
unlawful 37396:10	37551:15	37423:10,15	37437:7,14 37452:13	37476:24 37496:24
37470:5 37471:3	views 37491:12	37424:11,12	37453:19 37468:13	37498:18,23,25
37490:23	37516:25 37545:10	37425:17,19	37520:8,9,9 37542:20	37499:3,4,5,6
unlawfulness 37495:5	vigorously 37556:21	37448:22 37451:18	37542:21 37550:10	37512:20,22 37528:3
unprecedented 37414:16	Viljoen 37574:6 37576:7	37452:17,17,18	37556:7 weren't 37404:21	witness's 37498:15 woman 37534:19
unprotected 37414:22	violate 37588:11	37474:6,17 37477:21	37415:5 37421:10	woman 37334:19 wondered 37390:5
37512:1,2 37517:6	violation 37588:17	37481:17 37540:1,2	37454:6 37530:22	won't 37405:16
37556:7 37578:12	violence 37415:16	37542:8 37551:6	Wesley 37500:24	37417:5 37418:9
37580:18	37504:25 37505:3,14	wasn't 37390:8	37501:2	37423:8 37426:6
unreasonable 37389:20	37506:5 37507:3,6	37427:9 37437:5	Wessels 37468:23	37443:12 37447:18
unrest 37461:9	37508:12 37509:10	37504:23 37507:20 37507:21 37512:10	West 37392:25	37454:22 37482:22
37490:21 37502:12	37509:19 37512:4	37513:23 37515:2	western 37400:8	37492:21 37495:23
37503:19 37514:9,16	37517:8,18 37529:5	watch 37485:22	we'd 37422:20	37517:23 37518:15
37544:14 37545:18	37530:19 37531:8	watched 37552:18	37516:18	37531:11 37566:12
37546:11,18	37549:4 37551:8	watching 37395:17,18	we'll 37412:5,15	word 37423:9 37430:17
untoward 37427:5	37555:13,15	water 37414:11	37445:25 37467:25	37435:1 37452:12
37428:6 37541:3	37577:14	37418:10,17,18	37468:25 37469:4,15	37471:12 37476:19
untrue 37521:13	violent 37528:10,14	way 37391:21 37392:18	37472:1 37487:2	37483:15 37488:5
37570:3,7,23	37539:14	37398:13 37403:19	we're 37389:13	37494:7 37513:25
37571:19	virtually 37555:21	37407:20 37408:3	37400:6 37407:5	37514:2 37516:16
update 37556:10	Visser 37422:5	37414:5 37422:10	37414:10 37419:15	37517:21 37541:17
usage 37456:25	volatile 3 7495:3	37442:3 37449:12	37443:9 37444:16	37546:13 37578:3
37458:3 37465:6	volunteered 37569:11	37471:6 37474:9	37445:8 37474:6	37582:9 37585:9,10
use 37420:8 37421:13	voted 37583:24	37484:11 37488:17	37494:17 37507:16	words 37389:9
37430:17 37435:25	VVVV1 37501:14	37489:16 37492:15	37510:13 37513:19	37391:13 37439:20
37436:4 37437:16	37505:20		37521:23 37522:11	37448:3 37473:7
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE	1	1	

				Page 22
37491:19 37493:21	yards 37468:3	09:32 37387:2	37423:5,13 37439:19	41 37543:13,23,24
37493:25 37494:10	years 37389:14	09:52 37399:25	37446:24 37471:22	37557:11,13
37495:18 37506:1,24	37500:19		37472:8 37573:13	44 37530:20 37585:4
37514:2 37517:5,15	yesterday 37486:19,25	1	16:02:19 37388:19	47 37461:18,18,19
37522:25 37537:21	37487:2 37501:12	1 37389:8,21 37400:5	37389:7	37521:9 37533:13,18
37587:23	37513:8 37518:21	37437:11,13 37560:5	18th 37510:21	
work 37403:21 37414:7	37520:5 37535:16	1.4 37470:7,10	37512:11	5
37427:11,21	37547:10 37581:7	10 37387:1 37393:2,3	18:20 37400:18	5.56 37467:22
37454:17 37515:14	37582:4	37414:17 37458:12	1994 37410:22	595 37437:1
37518:7,13,14,15,25	you'd 37390:1	37505:7		
37519:16,25	37403:25 37419:2,10	10th 37395:13 37512:3	2	6
37542:13 37551:14	37424:4,6 37484:4	37529:18,20	2 37403:21 37461:23	6 37402:3 37486:18
37576:17 37580:12	37489:6,11 37490:7	37530:22 37531:12	37526:21 37539:16	6th 37461:17
worker 37584:5	37491:15 37514:21	37531:23 37556:7	37580:12	60 37448:24
workers 37509:25	37517:15 37567:22	37577:19 37585:6	2.7 37575:15,16	600 37436:23 37468:3
37581:21,24	37577:24	10:12 37414:6	2:58PM 37533:19	37468:12
37583:12 37588:11	you'll 37387:10	10:53 37426:15	20 37454:23	64 37399:7
working 37420:17	37428:11 37459:4	100% 37493:9	200 37437:10,15	
37574:14	37477:1 37522:25	37517:12	2011 37586:18	7
works 37496:10	37545:10	106 37554:17 37573:1	2012 37439:13,19	7.62 37467:21
world 37395:17	you're 37407:18	107 37574:20	37454:2 37455:11,23	74 37501:2
37552:3	37408:24 37409:23	11:13 37440:21 11:33 27455.6	37456:1,20 37458:1	76th 37448:19
worried 37547:23	37413:6 37415:10	11:33 37455:6	37458:10 37459:16	8
37561:11	37417:20 37421:11	11:42 37555:10 11:52 37469:12	37460:17 37461:17	
worry 37578:25 worrying 37446:23	37423:17 37426:16 37440:13,25 37441:1	11:52 37469:12 111 37575:14 37577:2	37462:2,9 37464:1	800 37400:5 37514:21
worrying 37446:23 worst 37500:5	-		37466:15,24 37472:8	37515:2,4,10
worst 37300:3 wouldn't 37401:1,17	37442:3 37456:9,10 37458:24 37460:1	12 37389:23 12th 37395:14 37578:5	37479:21 37511:24 37543:9 37573:11	37526:18
37403:1,21 37409:14	37469:18 37471:21	12:12 37395.14 37578.5 12:12 37469:17	2013 37435:23 37438:9	9
37403.1,21 37409.14 37409:17 37411:6	37478:15 37484:22	12:12 37409.17 12:32 37484:4	37458:7 37460:15	9 37403:1,2,24
37409.17 37411.0	37485:3,3 37498:16	12:52 37494.4 12:52 37498:11	37463:9,24 37466:12	37439:25 37440:24
37422:24 37423:1,6	37499:15,15,19,21,24	13th 37395:16	37586:18	
37422:24 37423:1,0 37425:12 37449:8,12	37503:14 37504:14	37396:15 37455:10	2014 37387:1	37480:2 37486:14 37589:18
37425.12 37449.8,12 37450:3 37476:16	37505:12 37510:4	37455:23,25	2014 37387.1 21 37479:16	9th 37556:7
37498:2 37556:23	37511:12 37518:18	37456:20,24 37457:9	21 37479.10 21st 37458:6 37460:15	901 37330.7
wouldn't 37390:10	37522:5 37523:5	37458:1,10 37459:16	37463:9,24 37466:12	
37397:15 37426:23	37524:1 37534:15	37460:16 37462:2,9	22 37479:16	
37427:24 37430:23	37535:22 37536:10	37464:1 37466:15,24	23 37472:6,18 37482:16	
37503:2,12 37506:19	37536:16 37540:23	13:58 37499:14	24 37482:16 37577:15	
would've 37431:15	37544:17 37545:21	134 37582:14,15,16	262 37438:22 37441:24	
writes 37511:20	37553:11 37556:9,20	37583:5	37442:3 37451:3	
37521:10	37557:4 37559:23	136 37447:19,20	27th 37453:24	
written 37507:5	37565:12,22,23	14th 37387:22 37399:1		
37539:16 37583:2	37566:1,2 37573:20	37555:9	3	
wrong 37411:12	37586:20 37589:2,6	14:18 37516:18	3 37407:13 37461:24	
37412:16 37413:4	37589:25	14:32 37399:5	37471:9,15,24	
37421:20 37424:13	you've 37387:5	14:32:06 37399:6	37472:1,9,18,22	
37429:15,18 37435:3	37411:17 37417:22	14:38 37536:22	37473:11 37572:3	
37441:20 37442:7	37434:9 37437:18	14:58 37554:8	37576:7 37580:12	
37443:22,25	37440:16 37441:3	15 37393:3 37426:13	3rd 37573:11,17	
37453:19 37468:14	37456:10 37489:4	37556:25	3000 37414:8	
37476:19 37494:20	37495:14 37527:11	15th 37392:7 37398:20	303 37400:17 37401:14	
37494:24 37497:22	37527:17,20,23	37401:18,24	31 37551:17 37572:2,3	
37501:25 37507:25	37528:2 37537:4	37422:23 37423:5,12	32 37555:8	
37512:16 37582:11	37540:25 37541:4	37471:7,19 37479:20	34 37486:7 37501:13	
37588:14	37553:6 37560:5	37501:13 37515:23	37505:18,20	
wrongly 37480:13	37586:14	37533:18 37543:9	37515:25 37523:15	
wrote 37472:23		37580:2,5	37541:1	
37506:7,11,14,15	Z	15:24 37557:3	35 37572:3,3	
37520:8 37543:8,9	zero 37458:12 37573:3	15:43 37576:11		
37544:21	Zokwana 37407:16	16 37458:16,17 37459:3	4	
13.3 1 1991 V 1991 - 1995 -	and and a second	37483:14	4 37390:12	
AX	the 10th	16th 37387:20,22	4.4 37573:4,4	
XX2.9 37589:13	000 37400:5 37403:21	37388:7 37395:6	40 37543:9 37560:5	
la anti- thread the	37407:13 37580:12	37396:7 37402:14,15	37578:25	
Y Party	37580:12	37414:16 37422:23	400 37407:14	
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions