RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 274 14 AUGUST 2014 PAGES 34980 TO 35112



[©] REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: realtime@mweb.co.za Web Address: http://www.realtimesa.co.za



	Page 34980		Page 34981
1	[PROCEEDINGS ON 14 AUGUST 2014]	1	must also give the Twala family an opportunity as well.
2	[09:26] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	2	MR NTSONKOTA: Chair, concerning the
3	We're continuing with the presentation from the families of	3	Twala family we had a chat to Mr Budlender yesterday and we
4	the deceased. I have been given a list here of five	4	agreed that the presentation will also have to be deferred
5	families on whose behalf counsel instructed by SERI are	5	to a later date which will be agreed.
6	going to make presentations. Are we going to have any	6	CHAIRPERSON: Possibly we can do the
7	presentations from, in respect of some of the other	7	Langa and Twala presentations on the same day.
, 8	deceased whose families have not yet made presentations?	8	ADV NTSONKOTA: If it's convenient, yes,
9	Do you know the answer to that?	9	we can have them done at the same time, Chair.
	-	9 10	
10	MR BUDLENDER SC: Not as far as I'm	10	3
11	aware, Chair. There are a couple outstanding and I think		5
12	we'll have to do it some other time. Mr Mojapelo has	12	CHAIRPERSON: But before we proceed with
13	better information than I have, Chair.	13	the presentations that we're going to hear this morning, I
14	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mojapelo?	14	ask you all to rise and take a minute's silence, to
15	MR MOJAPELO: Yes, we've asked Adv Masebe	15	consider the late Mr Twala who died two years ago today and
16	to do a presentation on behalf of the family of Mabebe and	16	we pray that his soul also may rest in peace -
17	Mabelane as soon as the SERI team has finished. And then	17	[A MINUTE'S SILENCE IS OBSERVED]
18	for Mrs Langa, because she is not here, we have decided to	18	CHAIRPERSON: And we pray that strength
19	ask at a later stage for a slot when she is around.	19	and consolation be given to his family and all those who
20	CHAIRPERSON: I think it's only right	20	mourn his death. Thank you, you may be seated. I think
21	that she should be here, and what about the Twala family?	21	we're going to have a presentation this morning firstly on
22	MR MOJAPELO: I don't have, we don't have	22	behalf of the Tukuza family, is that correct?
23	any information on the Twala family.	23	MS MOTLOENYA: That is correct, Chair.
24	CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think we should –	24	CHAIRPERSON: Their statement will be
25	perhaps Lonmin can help us in that regard, or NUM, but we	25	KKKK28.
	Page 34982		Page 34983
1	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start	1	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28.
1 2	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update	2	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene
	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were		statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D.
2	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been –	2	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you.
2 3	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have	2 3	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382,
2 3 4	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been –	2 3 4	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern
2 3 4 5	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have	2 3 4 5	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the
2 3 4 5 6	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this	2 3 4 5 6	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage.	2 3 4 5 6 7	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending the Commission today. Ms Ngxande has just left the room,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind. He loved all his children and his parents. He was a quiet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending the Commission today. Ms Ngxande has just left the room, though, because she is not feeling well but they are all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind. He loved all his children and his parents. He was a quiet person. He did not like politics. He never participated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending the Commission today. Ms Ngxande has just left the room, though, because she is not feeling well but they are all discharged from hospital and they are back at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind. He loved all his children and his parents. He was a quiet person. He did not like politics. He never participated in any strikes before. He was not a union member before,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending the Commission today. Ms Ngxande has just left the room, though, because she is not feeling well but they are all discharged from hospital and they are back at the Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind. He loved all his children and his parents. He was a quiet person. He did not like politics. He never participated in any strikes before. He was not a union member before, until he joined AMCU. His dependants, he has two wives,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending the Commission today. Ms Ngxande has just left the room, though, because she is not feeling well but they are all discharged from hospital and they are back at the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind. He loved all his children and his parents. He was a quiet person. He did not like politics. He never participated in any strikes before. He was not a union member before, until he joined AMCU. His dependants, he has two wives, Nokwandisa Tukuza and Nolundi Tukuza, seven children –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending the Commission today. Ms Ngxande has just left the room, though, because she is not feeling well but they are all discharged from hospital and they are back at the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that information. I am pleased they have been discharged from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind. He loved all his children and his parents. He was a quiet person. He did not like politics. He never participated in any strikes before. He was not a union member before, until he joined AMCU. His dependants, he has two wives, Nokwandisa Tukuza and Nolundi Tukuza, seven children – Nomiselo Nopiki, Phumeza Nopiki, Figile Tukuza, Phumzile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending the Commission today. Ms Ngxande has just left the room, though, because she is not feeling well but they are all discharged from hospital and they are back at the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that information. I am pleased they have been discharged from hospital and I hope that they will suffer no further ill-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind. He loved all his children and his parents. He was a quiet person. He did not like politics. He never participated in any strikes before. He was not a union member before, until he joined AMCU. His dependants, he has two wives, Nokwandisa Tukuza and Nolundi Tukuza, seven children – Nomiselo Nopiki, Phumeza Nopiki, Figile Tukuza, Lamla Tukuza,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending the Commission today. Ms Ngxande has just left the room, though, because she is not feeling well but they are all discharged from hospital and they are back at the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that information. I am pleased they have been discharged from hospital and I hope that they will suffer no further ill- effects from the emotional stress of what happened	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind. He loved all his children and his parents. He was a quiet person. He did not like politics. He never participated in any strikes before. He was not a union member before, until he joined AMCU. His dependants, he has two wives, Nokwandisa Tukuza and Nolundi Tukuza, seven children – Nomiselo Nopiki, Phumeza Nopiki, Figile Tukuza, Phumzile Tukuza, Inaminkosi Tukuza, Alometu Tukuza, Lamla Tukuza, his mother Majikana and his father Tyotyotyo Tukuza.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending the Commission today. Ms Ngxande has just left the room, though, because she is not feeling well but they are all discharged from hospital and they are back at the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that information. I am pleased they have been discharged from hospital and I hope that they will suffer no further ill- effects from the emotional stress of what happened yesterday. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind. He loved all his children and his parents. He was a quiet person. He did not like politics. He never participated in any strikes before. He was not a union member before, until he joined AMCU. His dependants, he has two wives, Nokwandisa Tukuza and Nolundi Tukuza, seven children – Nomiselo Nopiki, Phumeza Nopiki, Figile Tukuza, Phumzile Tukuza, Inaminkosi Tukuza, Alometu Tukuza, Lamla Tukuza, his mother Majikana and his father Tyotyotyo Tukuza. I still working at Phula when he came to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending the Commission today. Ms Ngxande has just left the room, though, because she is not feeling well but they are all discharged from hospital and they are back at the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that information. I am pleased they have been discharged from hospital and I hope that they will suffer no further ill- effects from the emotional stress of what happened yesterday. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind. He loved all his children and his parents. He was a quiet person. He did not like politics. He never participated in any strikes before. He was not a union member before, until he joined AMCU. His dependants, he has two wives, Nokwandisa Tukuza and Nolundi Tukuza, seven children – Nomiselo Nopiki, Phumeza Nopiki, Figile Tukuza, Phumzile Tukuza, Inaminkosi Tukuza, Alometu Tukuza, Lamla Tukuza, his mother Majikana and his father Tyotyotyo Tukuza. I still working at Phula when he came to Rustenburg looking for a job. He found a job at New Mine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending the Commission today. Ms Ngxande has just left the room, though, because she is not feeling well but they are all discharged from hospital and they are back at the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that information. I am pleased they have been discharged from hospital and I hope that they will suffer no further ill- effects from the emotional stress of what happened yesterday. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind. He loved all his children and his parents. He was a quiet person. He did not like politics. He never participated in any strikes before. He was not a union member before, until he joined AMCU. His dependants, he has two wives, Nokwandisa Tukuza and Nolundi Tukuza, seven children – Nomiselo Nopiki, Phumeza Nopiki, Figile Tukuza, Phumzile Tukuza, Inaminkosi Tukuza, Alometu Tukuza, Lamla Tukuza, his mother Majikana and his father Tyotyotyo Tukuza. I still working at Phula when he came to Rustenburg looking for a job. He found a job at New Mine and in 2000 he moved to Karee where he started as a general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending the Commission today. Ms Ngxande has just left the room, though, because she is not feeling well but they are all discharged from hospital and they are back at the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that information. I am pleased they have been discharged from hospital and I hope that they will suffer no further ill- effects from the emotional stress of what happened yesterday. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Then the first presentation, Chair, will be for the family of Mr Mpangeli	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind. He loved all his children and his parents. He was a quiet person. He did not like politics. He never participated in any strikes before. He was not a union member before, until he joined AMCU. His dependants, he has two wives, Nokwandisa Tukuza and Nolundi Tukuza, seven children – Nomiselo Nopiki, Phumeza Nopiki, Figile Tukuza, Phumzile Tukuza, Inaminkosi Tukuza, Alometu Tukuza, Lamla Tukuza, his mother Majikana and his father Tyotyotyo Tukuza. I still working at Phula when he came to Rustenburg looking for a job. He found a job at New Mine and in 2000 he moved to Karee where he started as a general worker. Later on he was promoted as an RDO. He then got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending the Commission today. Ms Ngxande has just left the room, though, because she is not feeling well but they are all discharged from hospital and they are back at the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that information. I am pleased they have been discharged from hospital and I hope that they will suffer no further ill- effects from the emotional stress of what happened yesterday. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Then the first presentation, Chair, will be for the family of Mr Mpangeli Tukuza. On behalf of the Tukuza family is his widow,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind. He loved all his children and his parents. He was a quiet person. He did not like politics. He never participated in any strikes before. He was not a union member before, until he joined AMCU. His dependants, he has two wives, Nokwandisa Tukuza and Nolundi Tukuza, seven children – Nomiselo Nopiki, Phumeza Nopiki, Figile Tukuza, Phumzile Tukuza, Inaminkosi Tukuza, Alometu Tukuza, Lamla Tukuza, his mother Majikana and his father Tyotyotyo Tukuza. I still working at Phula when he came to Rustenburg looking for a job. He found a job at New Mine and in 2000 he moved to Karee where he started as a general worker. Later on he was promoted as an RDO. He then got injured while working. His toes were cut off by the machine. As a result he was transferred to a default
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, before we start with the presentations, just to give you an update regarding the medical status of the people who were admitted yesterday. They have all been – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I should have asked you for that. Thank you for bringing it up at this stage. MS MOTLOENYA: They have all been discharged from hospital, Chair, and they are all attending the Commission today. Ms Ngxande has just left the room, though, because she is not feeling well but they are all discharged from hospital and they are back at the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for that information. I am pleased they have been discharged from hospital and I hope that they will suffer no further ill- effects from the emotional stress of what happened yesterday. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Then the first presentation, Chair, will be for the family of Mr Mpangeli	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statement in respect of the late Mr Tukuza will be KKKK28. MS MOTLOENYA: And he was killed at scene 1, recorded as body D. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MS MOTLOENYA: Can we go to slide 382, please? Mpangeli Tukuza is from Ngqeleni in the Eastern Cape. The statement was made by Jamela Tukuza who is the brother of Mpangeli Tukuza. "Mpangeli was a peaceful man. He was very kind. He loved all his children and his parents. He was a quiet person. He did not like politics. He never participated in any strikes before. He was not a union member before, until he joined AMCU. His dependants, he has two wives, Nokwandisa Tukuza and Nolundi Tukuza, seven children – Nomiselo Nopiki, Phumeza Nopiki, Figile Tukuza, Phumzile Tukuza, Inaminkosi Tukuza, Alometu Tukuza, Lamla Tukuza, his mother Majikana and his father Tyotyotyo Tukuza. I still working at Phula when he came to Rustenburg looking for a job. He found a job at New Mine and in 2000 he moved to Karee where he started as a general worker. Later on he was promoted as an RDO. He then got injured while working. His toes were cut off by the

Pretoria

		1	
	Page 34984		Page 34985
1	He did not join the strike in the beginning, he	1	for him. I went to Phokeng Mortuary, that is where I found
2	only joined the strike on the 11th of August 2012 where he	2	his body. I recognised him by his clothes because his head
3	was told the main reason why they embarked on a strike	3	was badly damaged. I was devastated and hurt. I didn't
4	action. He told me he supported the strike because he also	4	know how to tell my parents as they are elderly and not
5	complained about the salary they were earning. Since then	5	well. When I tried to call my father, I got hold of my
6	he had been attending all those meetings and gatherings on	6	other brother Mketeni Tukuza. He was very hurt but when I
7	the koppie. Since I was not working at that time I did not	7	told him that our brother died, he could not believe it.
8	pay too much attention to what was happening there and I	8	He then told my father and my father was the one who told
9	never asked any questions about it.	9	everyone. Mpangeli's second wife, Nolundi, was at home.
10	On the 13th of August 2012 he left home to stay in	10	Nokwandisa was at her work. I had to call to tell her the
11	Wonderkop. I don't know who he stayed with but he told me	11	sad news.
12	he was fine and that he would call me every day, maybe	12	My parents also told me that there was a person
13	twice a day. The last time he contacted me was on the 15th	13	from TEBA who also came and told them about my brother's
14	of August 2012. The following day when I tried to call him	14	passing. I made all the arrangements with the assistance
15	his cell phone was switched off. I tried him again during	15	of his first wife, Nokwandisa. We both attended the
16	the day, but had no luck. I then heard from other people	16	memorial service and we transported his body back home. My
17	that there had been a shooting at the koppie.	17	parents at home are still suffering, they have not
18	It was around 18H00 and a bit dark. I became	18	recovered yet and there was no counselling provided. My
19	worried. I called one of my sons, Nkosikona, to find out	19	father made it very clear that he wants nothing to do with
20	the whereabouts of my brother. He told me he was with him	20	the Commission, that is not going to bring his son back, he
21	during the day at around 10AM. He promised that he would	21	is not going to bring back his son. He has been affected
22	have some lunch at their place with them. They never saw	22	mentally. Whenever he sees a police officer he would start
23	him again. I heard that there were many people who died	23	talking to himself or ask anyone around whether these are
24	that day.	24	the people who killed his son. He would go on about how
25	The following morning I therefore started to look	25	lucky they are to still be alive while his son is dead.
	Page 34986		Page 34987
1	Page 34986 I would like to attend this Commission but since	1	Page 34987 CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the
1 2	•	1 2	
	I would like to attend this Commission but since		CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the
2	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able	2	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya
2 3	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my	2 3	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29.
2 3 4	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners,
2 3 4 5	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza
2 3 4 5 6	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow.
2 3 4 5 6 7	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint,	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age, Mr Chairperson, we are known today as widows. Lonmin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and he was the only one in his family relied on. His community
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age, Mr Chairperson, we are known today as widows. Lonmin doesn't care for us, they don't know what it is that we are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and he was the only one in his family relied on. His community knew him as a hard worker, well-behaved son and a huge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age, Mr Chairperson, we are known today as widows. Lonmin doesn't care for us, they don't know what it is that we are eating. Mr Magadla came down and promised that he would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and he was the only one in his family relied on. His community knew him as a hard worker, well-behaved son and a huge soccer fan. He had two minor children, Buthle Zwelindawo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age, Mr Chairperson, we are known today as widows. Lonmin doesn't care for us, they don't know what it is that we are eating. Mr Magadla came down and promised that he would help us. As it is right now we don't know what to do, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and he was the only one in his family relied on. His community knew him as a hard worker, well-behaved son and a huge soccer fan. He had two minor children, Buthle Zwelindawo and Siwake Precious, his mother, Nosajini Mabiya and his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age, Mr Chairperson, we are known today as widows. Lonmin doesn't care for us, they don't know what it is that we are eating. Mr Magadla came down and promised that he would help us. As it is right now we don't know what to do, we are all over the place, into cases, people who want to win	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and he was the only one in his family relied on. His community knew him as a hard worker, well-behaved son and a huge soccer fan. He had two minor children, Buthle Zwelindawo and Siwake Precious, his mother, Nosajini Mabiya and his niece Nthandazo Mabiya."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age, Mr Chairperson, we are known today as widows. Lonmin doesn't care for us, they don't know what it is that we are eating. Mr Magadla came down and promised that he would help us. As it is right now we don't know what to do, we are all over the place, into cases, people who want to win certain things using our names. There are people who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and he was the only one in his family relied on. His community knew him as a hard worker, well-behaved son and a huge soccer fan. He had two minor children, Buthle Zwelindawo and Siwake Precious, his mother, Nosajini Mabiya and his niece Nthandazo Mabiya." MS LEWIS: "He came to work for Lonmin in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age, Mr Chairperson, we are known today as widows. Lonmin doesn't care for us, they don't know what it is that we are eating. Mr Magadla came down and promised that he would help us. As it is right now we don't know what to do, we are all over the place, into cases, people who want to win certain things using our names. There are people who are planting, who are ploughing our gardens, promising that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and he was the only one in his family relied on. His community knew him as a hard worker, well-behaved son and a huge soccer fan. He had two minor children, Buthle Zwelindawo and Siwake Precious, his mother, Nosajini Mabiya and his niece Nthandazo Mabiya." MS LEWIS: "He came to work for Lonmin in 2007 and lived in the informal settlement. He visited home
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age, Mr Chairperson, we are known today as widows. Lonmin doesn't care for us, they don't know what it is that we are eating. Mr Magadla came down and promised that he would help us. As it is right now we don't know what to do, we are all over the place, into cases, people who want to win certain things using our names. There are people who are planting, who are ploughing our gardens, promising that they would support us and whilst not doing so. I will always respect the police, I will always respect the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and he was the only one in his family relied on. His community knew him as a hard worker, well-behaved son and a huge soccer fan. He had two minor children, Buthle Zwelindawo and Siwake Precious, his mother, Nosajini Mabiya and his niece Nthandazo Mabiya." MS LEWIS: "He came to work for Lonmin in 2007 and lived in the informal settlement. He visited home four times a year and honed us regularly. My husband supported all of us, he was the only breadwinner. In July
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age, Mr Chairperson, we are known today as widows. Lonmin doesn't care for us, they don't know what it is that we are eating. Mr Magadla came down and promised that he would help us. As it is right now we don't know what to do, we are all over the place, into cases, people who want to win certain things using our names. There are people who are planting, who are ploughing our gardens, promising that they would support us and whilst not doing so. I will always respect the police, I will always respect the police, I have nothing against them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and he was the only one in his family relied on. His community knew him as a hard worker, well-behaved son and a huge soccer fan. He had two minor children, Buthle Zwelindawo and Siwake Precious, his mother, Nosajini Mabiya and his niece Nthandazo Mabiya." MS LEWIS: "He came to work for Lonmin in 2007 and lived in the informal settlement. He visited home four times a year and honed us regularly. My husband supported all of us, he was the only breadwinner. In July I visited my husband and I was with him when the strike
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age, Mr Chairperson, we are known today as widows. Lonmin doesn't care for us, they don't know what it is that we are eating. Mr Magadla came down and promised that he would help us. As it is right now we don't know what to do, we are all over the place, into cases, people who want to win certain things using our names. There are people who are planting, who are ploughing our gardens, promising that they would support us and whilst not doing so. I will always respect the police, I will always respect the police, I have nothing against them. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and he was the only one in his family relied on. His community knew him as a hard worker, well-behaved son and a huge soccer fan. He had two minor children, Buthle Zwelindawo and Siwake Precious, his mother, Nosajini Mabiya and his niece Nthandazo Mabiya." MS LEWIS: "He came to work for Lonmin in 2007 and lived in the informal settlement. He visited home four times a year and honed us regularly. My husband supported all of us, he was the only breadwinner. In July I visited my husband and I was with him when the strike started. He was not a talkative person. When I asked him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age, Mr Chairperson, we are known today as widows. Lonmin doesn't care for us, they don't know what it is that we are eating. Mr Magadla came down and promised that he would help us. As it is right now we don't know what to do, we are all over the place, into cases, people who want to win certain things using our names. There are people who are planting, who are ploughing our gardens, promising that they would support us and whilst not doing so. I will always respect the police, I will always respect the police, I have nothing against them. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That's the presentation for the Tukuza family, is it? We now move on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and he was the only one in his family relied on. His community knew him as a hard worker, well-behaved son and a huge soccer fan. He had two minor children, Buthle Zwelindawo and Siwake Precious, his mother, Nosajini Mabiya and his niece Nthandazo Mabiya." MS LEWIS: "He came to work for Lonmin in 2007 and lived in the informal settlement. He visited home four times a year and honed us regularly. My husband supported all of us, he was the only breadwinner. In July I visited my husband and I was with him when the strike started. He was not a talkative person. When I asked him about the strike, he never told me much about it. He was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age, Mr Chairperson, we are known today as widows. Lonmin doesn't care for us, they don't know what it is that we are eating. Mr Magadla came down and promised that he would help us. As it is right now we don't know what to do, we are all over the place, into cases, people who want to win certain things using our names. There are people who are planting, who are ploughing our gardens, promising that they would support us and whilst not doing so. I will always respect the police, I will always respect the police, L have nothing against them. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That's the presentation for the Tukuza family, is it? We now move on to the presentation presented on behalf of the Mabiya	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and he was the only one in his family relied on. His community knew him as a hard worker, well-behaved son and a huge soccer fan. He had two minor children, Buthle Zwelindawo and Siwake Precious, his mother, Nosajini Mabiya and his niece Nthandazo Mabiya." MS LEWIS: "He came to work for Lonmin in 2007 and lived in the informal settlement. He visited home four times a year and honed us regularly. My husband supported all of us, he was the only breadwinner. In July I visited my husband and I was with him when the strike started. He was not a talkative person. When I asked him about the strike, he never told me much about it. He was not happy that they were on strike because of the no work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age, Mr Chairperson, we are known today as widows. Lonmin doesn't care for us, they don't know what it is that we are eating. Mr Magadla came down and promised that he would help us. As it is right now we don't know what to do, we are all over the place, into cases, people who want to win certain things using our names. There are people who are planting, who are ploughing our gardens, promising that they would support us and whilst not doing so. I will always respect the police, I will always respect the police, I have nothing against them. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That's the presentation for the Tukuza family, is it? We now move on to the presentation presented on behalf of the Mabiya family.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and he was the only one in his family relied on. His community knew him as a hard worker, well-behaved son and a huge soccer fan. He had two minor children, Buthle Zwelindawo and Siwake Precious, his mother, Nosajini Mabiya and his niece Nthandazo Mabiya." MS LEWIS: "He came to work for Lonmin in 2007 and lived in the informal settlement. He visited home four times a year and honed us regularly. My husband supported all of us, he was the only breadwinner. In July I visited my husband and I was with him when the strike started. He was not a talkative person. When I asked him about the strike, he never told me much about it. He was not happy that they were on strike because of the no work no pay method. He was hoping that it would end soon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	I would like to attend this Commission but since I am the only person working in the family, I won't be able to attend. Government and Lonmin should take over my brother's responsibilities. I am hoping for justice once the Commission is over." And that's the end of the presentation on behalf of the Tukuza family. MRS NOLUNDI TUKUZA: I have a complaint, Chairman, about Lonmin because they are the people that called the police who killed our husbands. Our children will grow up not knowing their fathers. At our young age, Mr Chairperson, we are known today as widows. Lonmin doesn't care for us, they don't know what it is that we are eating. Mr Magadla came down and promised that he would help us. As it is right now we don't know what to do, we are all over the place, into cases, people who want to win certain things using our names. There are people who are planting, who are ploughing our gardens, promising that they would support us and whilst not doing so. I will always respect the police, I will always respect the police, L have nothing against them. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That's the presentation for the Tukuza family, is it? We now move on to the presentation presented on behalf of the Mabiya	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: The statement for the Mabiya family in respect of the late Mr Mafolisi Mabiya will be KKKK29. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair and Commissioners, on behalf of the Mabiya family we have got Phumeza Zwelindawo who is Mr Mabiya's widow. "Mafolisi Mabiya was born in Idutywa. My name is Phumeza Nxolise Mabiya. I live in Idutywa in the Eastern Cape. I am the wife of Mafolisi Mabiya who was killed in the Marikana tragedy. His father died a long time ago and he was the only one in his family relied on. His community knew him as a hard worker, well-behaved son and a huge soccer fan. He had two minor children, Buthle Zwelindawo and Siwake Precious, his mother, Nosajini Mabiya and his niece Nthandazo Mabiya." MS LEWIS: "He came to work for Lonmin in 2007 and lived in the informal settlement. He visited home four times a year and honed us regularly. My husband supported all of us, he was the only breadwinner. In July I visited my husband and I was with him when the strike started. He was not a talkative person. When I asked him about the strike, he never told me much about it. He was not happy that they were on strike because of the no work

		r	
1	Page 34988	1	Page 34989 we have been discharged, he must come and fetch us at the
1	increase of R12 500. He used to complain to me about how hard they worked as rock drill operators and how little	2	bus station.
2	their salaries are. He wanted to build a house for his	2	I was picked up by my neighbours and they told me
3			
4	family but because of the cost of living, he never	4	they couldn't find my husband. When I got home they told
5	fulfilled his dream.	5	me about what happened the day before on the 16th of August
6	On the 10th of August 2012 my husband attended the	6	2012 and that my husband did not come home. They told me
7	march and came back in the afternoon. He didn't say	7	many people had been taken to hospitals, some were arrested
8	anything about it. The following day, the 11th of August	8	and some were killed. I was shocked. I knew my husband
9	2012, he said they were shot at by NUM people before they	9	was there at the koppie that day but to think that he could
10	met with the employer. He told me that they would go again	10	be dead or injured was frightening. I did not know what to
11	the following day. He woke up the following day, prepared	11	think, I just lost hope but my neighbours and my husband's
12	himself and went to the koppie to meet with the other	12	cousins" – Chair, I'm going to ask Ms Motloenya to read the
13	miners. After that, he came home late at night and he	13	names for me.
14	didn't tell me anything.	14	MS MOTLOENYA: Mputhumi Mabiya and Mchubi
15	On the 15th of August 2012 our son Buthle got very	15	Phumsikati.
16	sick. When my husband came back from the strike it was	16	MS BARNES: - "who also came from
17	around 9 o'clock. He called an ambulance which came at	17	Idutywa, gave me strength. They told me he could be alive
18	around 10 o'clock and rushed him to hospital. I had to go	18	and that they are going to keep searching until they find
19	with my son and he had to be hospitalised. While at	19	him. On the 18th of August 2012 they came back and told me
20	hospital, I heard on the news that things had gone horribly	20	they found my husband in Phokeng Mortuary. They told me he
21	wrong at the koppie. The police shot at the miners. It	21	was also shot and killed on the 16th of August 2012 by
22	was like a movie. Everybody at the hospital was watching	22	police. I cried. I was very hurt and devastated. So many
23	and my husband didn't have his phone. I didn't call anyone	23	things came to my mind, so many questions, but I had no
24	to confirm the news. My son was discharged on the 17th of	24	answers.
25	August 2012. I called my neighbour to tell my husband that	25	His family in the Eastern Cape was contacted by
	Page 34990		
	Tage 54790		Page 34991
1	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did	1	Page 34991 Thank you, Sir.
1 2		1 2	•
	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did		Thank you, Sir.
2	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by	2	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should
2 3	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem	2 3	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was
2 3 4	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral	2 3 4	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were
2 3 4 5	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not	2 3 4 5	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H.
2 3 4 5 6	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big	2 3 4 5 6	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the
2 3 4 5 6 7	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum	2 3 4 5 6 7	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him. I want the Commission to uncover the truth of why	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described before his name was attached to it as body M.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him. I want the Commission to uncover the truth of why my husband was killed so terribly, like a wild animal. Government and Lonmin should take responsibility for what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described before his name was attached to it as body M. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, on behalf of the Pato family we have Luvo Pato, who is Mr Pato's brother.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him. I want the Commission to uncover the truth of why my husband was killed so terribly, like a wild animal. Government and Lonmin should take responsibility for what happened that day and support our family. My husband was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described before his name was attached to it as body M. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, on behalf of the Pato family we have Luvo Pato, who is Mr Pato's brother. The statement was deposed to by Mr Pato's father. Henry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him. I want the Commission to uncover the truth of why my husband was killed so terribly, like a wild animal. Government and Lonmin should take responsibility for what happened that day and support our family. My husband was a peaceful man, he did not deserve to die like that."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described before his name was attached to it as body M. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, on behalf of the Pato family we have Luvo Pato, who is Mr Pato's brother. The statement was deposed to by Mr Pato's father. Henry Mvuyisi Pato is from Mbizana in the Eastern Cape.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him. I want the Commission to uncover the truth of why my husband was killed so terribly, like a wild animal. Government and Lonmin should take responsibility for what happened that day and support our family. My husband was a peaceful man, he did not deserve to die like that." MS PHUMEZA MABIYA: My complaint is much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described before his name was attached to it as body M. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, on behalf of the Pato family we have Luvo Pato, who is Mr Pato's brother. The statement was deposed to by Mr Pato's father. Henry Mvuyisi Pato is from Mbizana in the Eastern Cape. "My name is Mongese Pato. I'm an adult male
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him. I want the Commission to uncover the truth of why my husband was killed so terribly, like a wild animal. Government and Lonmin should take responsibility for what happened that day and support our family. My husband was a peaceful man, he did not deserve to die like that." MS PHUMEZA MABIYA: My complaint is much against Lonmin, Chairperson. What happened to us during	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described before his name was attached to it as body M. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, on behalf of the Pato family we have Luvo Pato, who is Mr Pato's brother. The statement was deposed to by Mr Pato's father. Henry Mvuyisi Pato is from Mbizana in the Eastern Cape. "My name is Mongese Pato. I'm an adult male pensioner. I live in Mbizana, Eastern Cape province. I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him. I want the Commission to uncover the truth of why my husband was killed so terribly, like a wild animal. Government and Lonmin should take responsibility for what happened that day and support our family. My husband was a peaceful man, he did not deserve to die like that." MS PHUMEZA MABIYA: My complaint is much against Lonmin, Chairperson. What happened to us during 2012 was very hurting, to find myself at the age of 18 as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described before his name was attached to it as body M. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, on behalf of the Pato family we have Luvo Pato, who is Mr Pato's brother. The statement was deposed to by Mr Pato's father. Henry Mvuyisi Pato is from Mbizana in the Eastern Cape. "My name is Mongese Pato. I'm an adult male pensioner. I live in Mbizana, Eastern Cape province. I am the father of Henry Mvuyisi Pato who was killed at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him. I want the Commission to uncover the truth of why my husband was killed so terribly, like a wild animal. Government and Lonmin should take responsibility for what happened that day and support our family. My husband was a peaceful man, he did not deserve to die like that." MS PHUMEZA MABIYA: My complaint is much against Lonmin, Chairperson. What happened to us during 2012 was very hurting, to find myself at the age of 18 as a widow and I was at that time a month pregnant. What hurts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described before his name was attached to it as body M. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, on behalf of the Pato family we have Luvo Pato, who is Mr Pato's brother. The statement was deposed to by Mr Pato's father. Henry Mvuyisi Pato is from Mbizana in the Eastern Cape. "My name is Mongese Pato. I'm an adult male pensioner. I live in Mbizana, Eastern Cape province. I am the father of Henry Mvuyisi Pato who was killed at Marikana.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him. I want the Commission to uncover the truth of why my husband was killed so terribly, like a wild animal. Government and Lonmin should take responsibility for what happened that day and support our family. My husband was a peaceful man, he did not deserve to die like that." MS PHUMEZA MABIYA: My complaint is much against Lonmin, Chairperson. What happened to us during 2012 was very hurting, to find myself at the age of 18 as a widow and I was at that time a month pregnant. What hurts me much is that whilst the child is growing and becoming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described before his name was attached to it as body M. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, on behalf of the Pato family we have Luvo Pato, who is Mr Pato's brother. The statement was deposed to by Mr Pato's father. Henry Mvuyisi Pato is from Mbizana in the Eastern Cape. "My name is Mongese Pato. I'm an adult male pensioner. I live in Mbizana, Eastern Cape province. I am the father of Henry Mvuyisi Pato who was killed at Marikana. My son was not married. He is survived by his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him. I want the Commission to uncover the truth of why my husband was killed so terribly, like a wild animal. Government and Lonmin should take responsibility for what happened that day and support our family. My husband was a peaceful man, he did not deserve to die like that." MS PHUMEZA MABIYA: My complaint is much against Lonmin, Chairperson. What happened to us during 2012 was very hurting, to find myself at the age of 18 as a widow and I was at that time a month pregnant. What hurts me much is that whilst the child is growing and becoming older, he will ask me where his father is. I blame Lonmin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described before his name was attached to it as body M. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, on behalf of the Pato family we have Luvo Pato, who is Mr Pato's brother. The statement was deposed to by Mr Pato's father. Henry Mvuyisi Pato is from Mbizana in the Eastern Cape. "My name is Mongese Pato. I'm an adult male pensioner. I live in Mbizana, Eastern Cape province. I am the father of Henry Mvuyisi Pato who was killed at Marikana. My son was not married. He is survived by his two minor children, who he loved very much. My son loved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him. I want the Commission to uncover the truth of why my husband was killed so terribly, like a wild animal. Government and Lonmin should take responsibility for what happened that day and support our family. My husband was a peaceful man, he did not deserve to die like that." MS PHUMEZA MABIYA: My complaint is much against Lonmin, Chairperson. What happened to us during 2012 was very hurting, to find myself at the age of 18 as a widow and I was at that time a month pregnant. What hurts me much is that whilst the child is growing and becoming older, he will ask me where his father is. I blame Lonmin and the police.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described before his name was attached to it as body M. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, on behalf of the Pato family we have Luvo Pato, who is Mr Pato's brother. The statement was deposed to by Mr Pato's father. Henry Mvuyisi Pato is from Mbizana in the Eastern Cape. "My name is Mongese Pato. I'm an adult male pensioner. I live in Mbizana, Eastern Cape province. I am the father of Henry Mvuyisi Pato who was killed at Marikana. My son was not married. He is survived by his two minor children, who he loved very much. My son loved football, along with his brothers. He played for a team
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him. I want the Commission to uncover the truth of why my husband was killed so terribly, like a wild animal. Government and Lonmin should take responsibility for what happened that day and support our family. My husband was a peaceful man, he did not deserve to die like that." MS PHUMEZA MABIYA: My complaint is much against Lonmin, Chairperson. What happened to us during 2012 was very hurting, to find myself at the age of 18 as a widow and I was at that time a month pregnant. What hurts me much is that whilst the child is growing and becoming older, he will ask me where his father is. I blame Lonmin and the police. [09:46] As a person of my age at the moment. I have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described before his name was attached to it as body M. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, on behalf of the Pato family we have Luvo Pato, who is Mr Pato's brother. The statement was deposed to by Mr Pato's father. Henry Mvuyisi Pato is from Mbizana in the Eastern Cape. "My name is Mongese Pato. I'm an adult male pensioner. I live in Mbizana, Eastern Cape province. I am the father of Henry Mvuyisi Pato who was killed at Marikana. My son was not married. He is survived by his two minor children, who he loved very much. My son loved football, along with his brothers. He played for a team based in Mbizana.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him. I want the Commission to uncover the truth of why my husband was killed so terribly, like a wild animal. Government and Lonmin should take responsibility for what happened that day and support our family. My husband was a peaceful man, he did not deserve to die like that." MS PHUMEZA MABIYA: My complaint is much against Lonmin, Chairperson. What happened to us during 2012 was very hurting, to find myself at the age of 18 as a widow and I was at that time a month pregnant. What hurts me much is that whilst the child is growing and becoming older, he will ask me where his father is. I blame Lonmin and the police. [09:46] As a person of my age at the moment. I have to be looking after his family, the mother is not being given 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described before his name was attached to it as body M. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, on behalf of the Pato family we have Luvo Pato, who is Mr Pato's brother. The statement was deposed to by Mr Pato's father. Henry Mvuyisi Pato is from Mbizana in the Eastern Cape. "My name is Mongese Pato. I'm an adult male pensioner. I live in Mbizana, Eastern Cape province. I am the father of Henry Mvuyisi Pato who was killed at Marikana. My son was not married. He is survived by his two minor children, who he loved very much. My son loved football, along with his brothers. He played for a team based in Mbizana. He had two minor children, Cwebo Tlake and Senao
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	people from TEBA and they came to identify his body. I did not know what to do. All the things were taken care of by his family. I don't know if we were given any post-mortem report or how my husband was killed. The funeral arrangements were made by his family members. I was not included in the decisions the family took. He played a big role in the family. His father passed away and his mum does not get a pension. He was the only one in the family who was employed and he therefore supported all of us. It is not easy to survive without him. I want the Commission to uncover the truth of why my husband was killed so terribly, like a wild animal. Government and Lonmin should take responsibility for what happened that day and support our family. My husband was a peaceful man, he did not deserve to die like that." MS PHUMEZA MABIYA: My complaint is much against Lonmin, Chairperson. What happened to us during 2012 was very hurting, to find myself at the age of 18 as a widow and I was at that time a month pregnant. What hurts me much is that whilst the child is growing and becoming older, he will ask me where his father is. I blame Lonmin and the police. [09:46] As a person of my age at the moment. I have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thank you, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, we should have said that Mr Mabiya was killed at scene 2. He was described as, days before the dignity of the people were properly recognised, as body H. MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. Chair, the next presentation is on behalf of the Pato family. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The statement in respect of the Pato family will be KKKK30. I see he was also killed at scene 2 and his body was initially described before his name was attached to it as body M. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, on behalf of the Pato family we have Luvo Pato, who is Mr Pato's brother. The statement was deposed to by Mr Pato's father. Henry Mvuyisi Pato is from Mbizana in the Eastern Cape. "My name is Mongese Pato. I'm an adult male pensioner. I live in Mbizana, Eastern Cape province. I am the father of Henry Mvuyisi Pato who was killed at Marikana. My son was not married. He is survived by his two minor children, who he loved very much. My son loved football, along with his brothers. He played for a team based in Mbizana.

1	Page 34992 Mongese Pato, the mother of the children, Nonboncwele	1	Page 34993 son's death. We were told that he was shot by the police.
2	Tlake, and his three siblings, Thubo, Mfana and Fundiswa.	2	We were shocked. We did not think that he would be killed.
3	He left home in June 2011 to seek employment in	3	My worst fear was that he would be dismissed.
4	Marikana on the recommendation of his brother Vuyile Pato.	4	We were extremely sad and shocked when we heard
5	He subsequently visited home once since June 2011. He	5	that my son was killed. We never thought that he may be
6	would phone about twice a month to enquire how we were.	6	killed. The worst we feared was that he would be
7	My son played a pivotal role in supporting our	7	dismissed. In addition we have lost our only breadwinner.
8	family by buying food and providing school fees for the	8	I don't know what is going to become of us.
9	children of the family, which included fees for his sister	9	Some of his colleagues from Marikana attended the
10	at Fort Hare University.	10	funeral. They told us what happened on the day at the
11	My son complained about the conditions at work.	11	koppie, but not in detail. They said the workers were on
12	He said he worked very hard but was paid very little.	12	strike and the police shot them. We want to know the
13	During the strike he called to inform us that he was not	13	truth. The government must support the family as my son
14	working since they were on strike. He did not say much	14	had been doing, including providing for the education of
15	more except that he wanted a better salary. He also told	15	the children and completing the house he had started to
16	us that he could be dismissed as a result of the strike and	16	build.
17	could thus return home any day.	17	Lonmin could have paid the increment the miners
18	What we heard about the strike was mainly from	18	asked for and then the deaths would not have happened.
19	the television. He did not tell us about the police. His	19	Lonmin has not contacted us."
20	brother, also a worker at Lonmin, had come back home at the	20	That is the presentation.
21	commencement of the strike.	21	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
22	After the strike we were told by one of his	22	MR PATO: I am a bit scared, a bit
23	colleagues that he could not be found. We only heard of	23	frightened of talking, but I have no option but to talk.
24	his death the following Tuesday, the 21st of August 2012.	24	Let me start with Lonmin. It's my brother, but let me
25	His colleague, Mr Msongelwa, told us the sad news of my	25	start with Lonmin.
			D 0.000
1	Page 34994 It was a week long that we are looking for my	1	Page 34995 F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetva is KKKK31
1	It was a week long that we are looking for my	1	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31.
1 2 3	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who	1 2 3	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the
2	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin	2	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31.
2 3	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who	2 3	 F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo
2 3 4	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements	2 3 4	 F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from
2 3 4 5	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin.	2 3 4 5	 F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa.
2 3 4 5 6	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how	2 3 4 5 6	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take
2 3 4 5 6 7	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to	2 3 4 5 6 7	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were attacking. What I want to know is whether at scene 2 it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were attacking. What I want to know is whether at scene 2 it was the workers who attacked or whether they were being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five minutes. CHAIRPERSON: - some other consolation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were attacking. What I want to know is whether at scene 2 it was the workers who attacked or whether they were being attacked. People were killed, Mr Chairman, some with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five minutes. CHAIRPERSON: - some other consolation and comfort, because I understand it's a very stressful
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were attacking. What I want to know is whether at scene 2 it was the workers who attacked or whether they were being attacked. People were killed, Mr Chairman, some with bullets that numbered up to 17 per person. I wanted to say more but I'd better not. I want to sit down. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I can assure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five minutes. CHAIRPERSON: - some other consolation and comfort, because I understand it's a very stressful experience for her and we don't want to increase the pain that she's had to endure for the last two years. Shall we take a short adjournment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were attacking. What I want to know is whether at scene 2 it was the workers who attacked or whether they were being attacked. People were killed, Mr Chairman, some with bullets that numbered up to 17 per person. I wanted to say more but I'd better not. I want to sit down. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I can assure you we are doing our best to discover what happened at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five minutes. CHAIRPERSON: - some other consolation and comfort, because I understand it's a very stressful experience for her and we don't want to increase the pain that she's had to endure for the last two years. Shall we take a short adjournment? MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were attacking. What I want to know is whether at scene 2 it was the workers who attacked or whether they were being attacked. People were killed, Mr Chairman, some with bullets that numbered up to 17 per person. I wanted to say more but I'd better not. I want to sit down. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I can assure you we are doing our best to discover what happened at scene 2 where Mr Pato was killed. And that's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five minutes. CHAIRPERSON: - some other consolation and comfort, because I understand it's a very stressful experience for her and we don't want to increase the pain that she's had to endure for the last two years. Shall we take a short adjournment? MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We take a short
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were attacking. What I want to know is whether at scene 2 it was the workers who attacked or whether they were being attacked. People were killed, Mr Chairman, some with bullets that numbered up to 17 per person. I wanted to say more but I'd better not. I want to sit down. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I can assure you we are doing our best to discover what happened at scene 2 where Mr Pato was killed. And that's the presentation on behalf of the Pato family.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five minutes. CHAIRPERSON: - some other consolation and comfort, because I understand it's a very stressful experience for her and we don't want to increase the pain that she's had to endure for the last two years. Shall we take a short adjournment? MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We take a short adjournment. When you're ready to continue just tell us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were attacking. What I want to know is whether at scene 2 it was the workers who attacked or whether they were being attacked. People were killed, Mr Chairman, some with bullets that numbered up to 17 per person. I wanted to say more but I'd better not. I want to sit down. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I can assure you we are doing our best to discover what happened at scene 2 where Mr Pato was killed. And that's the presentation on behalf of the Pato family. MS MOTLOENYA: That is, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five minutes. CHAIRPERSON: - some other consolation and comfort, because I understand it's a very stressful experience for her and we don't want to increase the pain that she's had to endure for the last two years. Shall we take a short adjournment? MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We take a short adjournment. When you're ready to continue just tell us and it's not necessary for her to be here if she feels that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were attacking. What I want to know is whether at scene 2 it was the workers who attacked or whether they were being attacked. People were killed, Mr Chairman, some with bullets that numbered up to 17 per person. I wanted to say more but I'd better not. I want to sit down. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I can assure you we are doing our best to discover what happened at scene 2 where Mr Pato was killed. And that's the presentation on behalf of the Pato family. MS MOTLOENYA: That is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I take it we're now going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five minutes. CHAIRPERSON: - some other consolation and comfort, because I understand it's a very stressful experience for her and we don't want to increase the pain that she's had to endure for the last two years. Shall we take a short adjournment? MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We take a short adjournment. When you're ready to continue just tell us and it's not necessary for her to be here if she feels that the pain and stress will be unbearable.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were attacking. What I want to know is whether at scene 2 it was the workers who attacked or whether they were being attacked. People were killed, Mr Chairman, some with bullets that numbered up to 17 per person. I wanted to say more but I'd better not. I want to sit down. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I can assure you we are doing our best to discover what happened at scene 2 where Mr Pato was killed. And that's the presentation on behalf of the Pato family. MS MOTLOENYA: That is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I take it we're now going to have the presentation on behalf of the Ntenetya family.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five minutes. CHAIRPERSON: - some other consolation and comfort, because I understand it's a very stressful experience for her and we don't want to increase the pain that she's had to endure for the last two years. Shall we take a short adjournment? MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We take a short adjournment. When you're ready to continue just tell us and it's not necessary for her to be here if she feels that the pain and stress will be unbearable. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were attacking. What I want to know is whether at scene 2 it was the workers who attacked or whether they were being attacked. People were killed, Mr Chairman, some with bullets that numbered up to 17 per person. I wanted to say more but I'd better not. I want to sit down. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I can assure you we are doing our best to discover what happened at scene 2 where Mr Pato was killed. And that's the presentation on behalf of the Pato family. MS MOTLOENYA: That is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I take it we're now going to have the presentation on behalf of the Ntenetya family. Mr Ntenetya was killed at scene 1.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five minutes. CHAIRPERSON: - some other consolation and comfort, because I understand it's a very stressful experience for her and we don't want to increase the pain that she's had to endure for the last two years. Shall we take a short adjournment? MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We take a short adjournment. When you're ready to continue just tell us and it's not necessary for her to be here if she feels that the pain and stress will be unbearable. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [10:02] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were attacking. What I want to know is whether at scene 2 it was the workers who attacked or whether they were being attacked. People were killed, Mr Chairman, some with bullets that numbered up to 17 per person. I wanted to say more but I'd better not. I want to sit down. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I can assure you we are doing our best to discover what happened at scene 2 where Mr Pato was killed. And that's the presentation on behalf of the Pato family. MS MOTLOENYA: That is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I take it we're now going to have the presentation on behalf of the Ntenetya family. Mr Ntenetya was killed at scene 1. MS MOTLOENYA: At scene 1.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five minutes. CHAIRPERSON: - some other consolation and comfort, because I understand it's a very stressful experience for her and we don't want to increase the pain that she's had to endure for the last two years. Shall we take a short adjournment? MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We take a short adjournment. When you're ready to continue just tell us and it's not necessary for her to be here if she feels that the pain and stress will be unbearable. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [10:02] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, the widow of Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were attacking. What I want to know is whether at scene 2 it was the workers who attacked or whether they were being attacked. People were killed, Mr Chairman, some with bullets that numbered up to 17 per person. I wanted to say more but I'd better not. I want to sit down. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I can assure you we are doing our best to discover what happened at scene 2 where Mr Pato was killed. And that's the presentation on behalf of the Pato family. MS MOTLOENYA: That is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I take it we're now going to have the presentation on behalf of the Ntenetya family. Mr Ntenetya was killed at scene 1. MS MOTLOENYA: At scene 1. CHAIRPERSON: At scene 1. CHAIRPERSON: At scene 1.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five minutes. CHAIRPERSON: - some other consolation and comfort, because I understand it's a very stressful experience for her and we don't want to increase the pain that she's had to endure for the last two years. Shall we take a short adjournment? MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Ve take a short adjournment. When you're ready to continue just tell us and it's not necessary for her to be here if she feels that the pain and stress will be unbearable. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [10:02] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, the widow of Mr Ntenetya says she's going to be able to sit here and we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	It was a week long that we are looking for my brother and could not find him. It was other workers who then found him eventually and told us. Secondly, Lonmin said to us we should go on with the funeral arrangements but there was no financial assistance from Lonmin. Let me come to the Commission. I do not know how to refer to the Chairman as a judge or what. According to the workers there is what he calls scene 1 and scene 2. It is alleged that at scene 1 it is the workers that were attacking. What I want to know is whether at scene 2 it was the workers who attacked or whether they were being attacked. People were killed, Mr Chairman, some with bullets that numbered up to 17 per person. I wanted to say more but I'd better not. I want to sit down. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I can assure you we are doing our best to discover what happened at scene 2 where Mr Pato was killed. And that's the presentation on behalf of the Pato family. MS MOTLOENYA: That is, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I take it we're now going to have the presentation on behalf of the Ntenetya family. Mr Ntenetya was killed at scene 1. MS MOTLOENYA: At scene 1.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	F. The statement in respect of Mr Ntenetya is KKKK31. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, attending the Commission on behalf of the Ntenetya family is Mosipo Ntenetya, who is the widow. Mongezeleli Ntenetya was from Idutjwa. CHAIRPERSON: Would you like us to take an adjournment? Would you like perhaps if she could be given some water and some other – MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair, just for five minutes. CHAIRPERSON: - some other consolation and comfort, because I understand it's a very stressful experience for her and we don't want to increase the pain that she's had to endure for the last two years. Shall we take a short adjournment? MS MOTLOENYA: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We take a short adjournment. When you're ready to continue just tell us and it's not necessary for her to be here if she feels that the pain and stress will be unbearable. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [10:02] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. MS MOTLOENYA: Chair, the widow of Mr

Image: Jury and the second s		Dere 24004		Dama 24007
2 present to hear what has to be said in respect of the late 3 M Nometya. 2 wron word. I presume held been shot. 3 M Nometya. We address the set of the data of the support the news of his death. You would 5 4 MS MOTLOENVA: Yes, Chair, just for the 5 5 context, Chair and Commissioners, M Monetya is the man who was shot at scene 1 and who was in the orange jersey with the stripos and can be scen sitting up and then 5 the support the news of his death. You would 5 6 failing over. They had three children, he was the first born 10 Ninentya. TEAG gave the family R15 000, powrment gave food 10 10 Ninentya. TEAG gave the family R15 000, powrment gave food 10 vouchors, a tort and chairs. We did not signed an 12 11 Orlando Fundagubi. He lived in the hostel in Markana. He 12 ind main the context the commission of 13 12 Indext to him about the strike. He was serve walking. H 14 ind not the statement for us a strike. He was serve walking. H 14 14 Orlando Fundagubi. He in bout the strike. He was serve walking. H 14 ind main the statement in respect of M Lehupa will 14 15 visited in the in bout the strike. He was serve walking. H 14 ind the statement in respect of M Lehupa will 14 16 respect of M Lehupa will is the reserve the strike	1	Page 34996 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure she'd like to be	1	Page 34997 CHAIRPERSON: Injected, I think, is the
3 MS NOTLOENYA. Well shot. We vero 4 Westkend when we heard the new soft is death. You would 5 who vas shot at score 1 and who was in the orange (arsy. We all through and the medical 6 interve thirtiges and can be seen shitting up and them Interve thirtiges and can be seen shitting up and them Interve thirtiges are in seen sequenting the 6 Interve thirtiges and can be seen shitting up and them Interve thirtiges are in sequences. Interve thirtiges interve the canney RTS 000, government gave fond 10 Nondopartiges and can be seen shitting up and them channes, Ingenit Umanda, U				• • • •
4 Wash and Verwers of Bis darms of Section 1 5 context, Chair and Commissioners, Mr Humelya is the man who was bal as scene 1 and who was in the orange jersey 7 With the stripes and can be scene stifting up and then 8 falling over the one who dating get the medial 8 8 falling over, Theo new who dating get the weak was married to Mosipo falling over, we many this is won family. 9 attention. Mongezeleii Ntenetyia was married to Mosipo family because wen his truthers. There is no one supporting the environment gave food 10 Interview. Weak Motte was the first torn the children. Nignabul Umtanda, Ingranti Umtanda, Um				
5 context, Chair and Commissioners, Mr Nitenstya is the man 5 think that the family view geing crazy. We all thought of 6 who was shot at scene 1 and who was in the orange [crsy] think that the family the support shot person sitting up and then 8 falling over. The one who didn't get the medical the support that the gave the family R15 000, government gave food 9 there children, Namo Uthatada, Ingranti Uthanda, Uthatada, TERA gave the family R15 000, government gave food 10 three children, Namo Uthatada, Ingranti Uthanda, Uthatada, TerBA gave the family R15 000, government gave food 11 fullage Fundagubi and his nephews. Molekwa Fundagubi and To the funeral. Lwas no told about the Commission of 12 three children, his sister and nephews. His wife and mather saw him Terms and the limes avair, he phone his wife and mother 14 orthy participating in the strike. Hi was sken awaking. I Terms and the kines how and karkana. 16 to the kines transe name. Terms and the kines the family member of ours came to who the body in Markana. 20 came to toll us had did at Markana. Sosen 1 and twe statement in respect of Mr. Leupa will 21 to the kines transe maine way also one of Ms MOTLOENYA: Mr Leupa was also one of 21 <td></td> <td></td> <th>4</th> <td></td>			4	
6 who was shot at scare 1 and who was in the orange jersey 6 the support that he gave to the family. His younge 7 with the stripes and can be seen sitting up and then 7 brother works in the mines. There is no supporting the 8 falling over. The one who didn't get the medical 7 brother works in the mines. There is no supporting the 9 tatention. Mongozolel Ntenctya was married to Mosjoo 10 child. His dependents are his wife, Mosjoo Ntenetya, his 10 child. His dependents are his wife, Mosjoo Ntenetya, his 11 for the funeral. I was not told about the Commission of 11 trading brothers, Makakaa, Undaguid and his moles, Hie wide and mother 13 of tradinguit. Vant the Commission to make findings on the 14 ordando Fundaguid. He lived in the hostid in Markana. 16 brothersystamic, Char. 17 of the line. Vant Bio Commission to make findings on the 16 brothersystamic, Char. 18 ordando Fundaguid. He lived in the hostid in Markana. 16 charlas the family trave in moning. from the 19 did not tak to him about the strike. Lew as secon walking, I 16 charlas the mersy at the take the family members, in particular he 2 antiming member of ours			5	
7 with the stripes and can be seen sitting up and then 7 bottlor works in the minos. There is no one supporting the 8 failing over. The one who didn't get the medical 7 bottlor works in the minos. There is no one supporting the 9 attention. Mongozelial Rineardy was married to Mosipo 7 bottlor works in the minos. There is no one supporting the 10 three children, Naraio Umtanda, Ingandi Umtanda, Umtanda, 1 1 bottlor works in the minos. 1 11 three children, Naraio Umtanda, Ingandi Umtanda, Umtanda, 1 1 ingainy. It was important for us to attend the Commission of 12 three children, Naraio Umtanda, Ingandi Umtanda, Umtanda, Umtanda, 1 1 1 1 13 of languity. It was important for us to attend the Commission of 1 1 1 14 ont by participating in the strike. He was seen walking, I 1 6 1 <td></td> <td>-</td> <th></th> <td></td>		-		
8 falling over. The one who didn't get the medical 9 family because even his brother supports his own family. 9 attention. Mongezelei Ntenetya was married to Mosipo 10 visited three thidren, has was married to Mosipo Ntenetya, his 11 right dial. His dependents are his wife. Mosipo Ntenetya, his 11 or the funeral. I was not told about the Commission of 12 three children, Nganal Umtanda, Inganati Umtanda, Umtanda, 10 or the funeral. I was not told about the Commission of 13 totaga built, He lived in the hostel in Markana, He 10 or the funity. I was important for us to attend the Commission of 14 ordando Fundagubi. He was import and gubi. He 10 family because even his brother supports or us to attend the Commission of 15 visited three times a year, he phoned his wife and mother 10 family of the later hard statument in respect of family since the sole 10 did not talk to him about the strike. But other people 10 11 family of the later M Jackson Lehupa will 11 14 us he was from Mount Fleicher. Family He was from Mount Fleicher. Family 11 KeKKaz 2 They saw a bullet wound in his forehead. I did not see the				
9 attention. Mongezelell Ntenetya was married to Mosipo 9 TEBA gave the family R15 000, government gave food 10 Nicnetya. They had three children, he was the first born 10 vouchers, a tent and chairs. We did not slaptifier a cow 11 theid, Bis dependents are his wife, Mosipo Muchana, Iumanda, Iuganati Umtanda, Inganati			8	
10 Ntenetya. They had three children, he was the first born 11 child. His dependents are his wife, Mosipo Mtenetya, his 12 three children, Njanalo Umrada, Umrada, Umrada, Umrada 13 Tulega Fundagubi and his nephews Molekwa Fundagubi and 14 Orlando Fundagubi. He lived in the hostel in Marikana. He 15 visited three times ay ear, he phoned his wife and mother 16 regularly. He supported his mother. Unree children, his 17 wife, his sister and nephews. His wife and mother saw him 18 on TV participating in the strike. He was seen waiking. 1 19 dd not tak to him about the strike. But other poople 10 reambor of usr came to to wer the body in Marikana. 12 Inguity O the late Mr Jackson Lehupa wall 14 us he was killed by the police and injected during a 15 protest over a wage dispute. 16 Page 34999 1 He was from Mount Fletcher in the Eastern Cape. I am 2 Amarika Anungu. Like in Marikana. 16 Inguity to know that Jackson wills erromesison of 16 Inguity to know that Jackson wills erromesison of 2 Ms Mothother Kannakinaa. 16		c	9	
11 child. His dependents are his wife, Mosipo Ntenetya, his 11 for the funeral. I was not told about the Commission of 12 three children, Njanalo Umtanda, Inganati Umtanda, In			10	
12 three children, Njanalo Umtanda, Inganati Umtanda, Umtanda, 11 Inguiry. It was important for us to attend the Commission on the 13 Tudega Fundagubi and his nephexs Molekwa Fundagubi and 13 of Inguiry. It was important for us to attend the Commission on the 14 Orlando Fundagubi. Hell wells in mother, three children, his 13 of Inguiry. It was important for us to attend the Commission on 16 regulary. He supported his mother, three children, his 14 support 14 19 did not lafk to his mother, swing 1 16 behaff of the Nieneiya family, Chair. 19 afmily on the strike. He was soon waking, I 1 onto the last presentation for this morning, from the 10 behaff of the late Mr Jackson Lehupa wow was also killed at cosene to tell us he had led at Marikana. 12 11 reviews wak liked by the police and injected during a reviews way a dispute. 14 KKKX32. 11 he was from Mount Fletcher in the Eastern Cape. I am 2 supported our six children and three of his 12 the was from Mount Fletcher in the Castern Cape. I am 3 supported three of his brathers children and three of his 1 family of the sate				-
13 Tulega Fundagubi and his nephews Molekwa Fundagubi and 13 of Inquiry. I want the Commission to make findings on the 14 Orlando Fundagubi. He lives in the hostel in Marikana. He support or maintenance of the family since the sole 15 breaddimmers were killed. That is the presentation on 16 regularly. He supported his mother, three children, his 17 off and Fundagubi. 18 on TV participating in the strike. He was ene warking. 19 did not talk to him about the strike. But other people 20 came to tell us he had died at Marikana. Golosa Engondow. 21 hey saw a bullet wound in his forehead. I did not see the 22 post mortem. His colleagues attended the funeral and told 24 us he was killed by the police and injected during a 25 protest over a wage dispute. 14 Ortsonor Majackine, I was minite to Jackson Lehupa in the commission of 25 norts were killed at scene 1 and previously known as 24 body to was at also when he was off 25 induity fue trained to Jackson diftiger. He was a very helpful main the commusity and everyone caref or him. 4 besleved by his community and everyone caref or him. 4 wask and when he kooked of				
14 Orlando Fundagubi. He lived in the hostel in Marikana. He 14 support or maintenance of the family since the sole 15 visited three times a year, he phoned his wife and mother 14 support or maintenance of the family since the sole 16 regulary. He supported his mother, three children, his 15 behalf of the Ntenetys family. Chair. 18 on TV participating in the strike. He was seen walking. 14 support or maintenance of the family since the sole 19 did not talk to him about the strike. But other people onto the last presentation for thesmornin, from the 20 came to tell us he had ide at Marikana. Globas Engondova, 21 a family member of ours came to view the body in Marikana. Charly saw a builet wound in his forehead. I did not see the 21 post mortem. His colleagues attended the funeral and told KKK32. 22 They saw a builet wound in his forehead. I did not set the MS MOTLOENYA: Mr Lehupa was also not of 23 those that were killed at scene 1 and previously known as 24 body E. On behalf of the Lehupa family we've got the 24 visk killed by the police and injected during a 25 supported extended family members, in particular he 34 cloustomary law. My husband waskilled at Marikana.				
15 visited three times a year, he phoned his wife and mother 16 regulary. He supported his mother, three children, his 17 off wife, his sitter and nephows. His wife and mother saw him 18 on TV participating in the strike. He was seen walking. I 19 did not talk to him about the strike. But other people 10 came to tell us he had died at Marikana. 21 hey saw a buildt wound in his forehead. I did not see the 22 my saw a buildt wound in his forehead. I did not see the 23 protest over a wage dispute. 25 protest over a wage dispute. 26 he was killed by the police and injected during a 27 Zameka Nungu. I live in Mount Fletcher, Iastern Cape. I am 28 of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. 5 for furber days he would come. Was slight at Marikana. 5 for hire days he would rout. Fletcher, Eastern Cape. I am 4 of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. 5 for hire days he would now in Hai Cackson Lehupa in times 6 longuiry to know thai Jackson will be remembered and missed. 7 He was rowd by his community and everyone cared for him. 8 sister's c				
16 regularly. He supported his mother, three children, his 17 wife, his sitter and nephews. His wife and mother saw him 18 on TV participating in the strike. He was seen walking. I 19 did not talk to him about the strike. But other people 20 came to tell us he had died at Marikana. Golosa Engondova, 21 a family member of ours came to view the body in Marikana. 25 post mortem. His colleagues attended the funeral and told 24 us he was killed by the police and injected during a 25 protest over a wage dispute. 26 motot Sitter and Mount Fletcher in the Eastern Cape. Lim 2 zameka Nungu. Live in Mount Fletcher, Eastern Cape. Lim 3 my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms 4 of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. 5 MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of 6 Inquiry to know that Jackson wills are membered and missed. 7 He was a very helpful man in the community, always gluing 9 hej to anyone in need. My husband would come home during 10 his leave days for about 30 days and also when he would return to the 10 hej to anyone in need. My husband would come home during		-		
17 wfe, his sister and nephews. His wife and mother saw him 18 on TV participating in the strike. He was seen waking. I 19 did not talk to him about the strike. But other people 20 came to tell us he had died at Marikana. Golosa Engondowa, 21 a family member of ours came to view the body in Marikana. 22 They saw a buildet wound in his forchead. I did not see the 23 post mortem. His colleagues attended the funeral and told 24 us he was killed by the police and injected during a 25 protest over a wage dispute. 26 post mortem. His colleagues attended the funeral and told 27 he was from Mount Fletcher in the Eastern Cape. Lam 28 customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. 5 MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of 6 Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. 7 to stacson daily during the strike. He used to phone every 8 was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. 8 he was a very helpful man in the community, always giving 9 his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was atll. 14 MS MOTLOENVA: His dependents, his wift 15 Za				
18 on TV participating in the strike. He was seen walking. I 19 did not talk to him about the strike. But other people 20 came to tell us he had died at Marikana. Golosa Engondova, 18 onto the last presentation for this morning, from the 21 the was to dive the study in Markana. 18 onto the last presentation for this morning, from the 22 the statement in respect of Mr Lehupa will 21 23 these was builted wound in his forchead. I did not see the 24 us he was tilled by the police and injected during a 25 protest over a wage dispute. 7 Page 34998 1 He was from Mount Fletcher in the Eastern Cape. I am 2 2 Jameka Nungu. I live in Mount Fletcher, Eastern Cape. I am 2 MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of 3 Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. 4 issers children although they did not say with him. We 5 MS LEWIS: I want the Commistion of 1 for utrong with why husband would come home during 10 his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off 1 for three days he would come. We also used to speak 1 for three days he would come. Wer				
 19 did not talk to him about the strike. But other people came to tell us he had died at Marikana. Golosa Engondova, 21 a family member of ours came to view the body in Marikana. 2 They saw a bullet wound in his forehead. I did not see the fact many sources and injected during a post mortem. His colleagues attended the funeral and told us he was killed by the police and injected during a protest over a wage dispute. Page 34998 He was from Mount Fletcher in the Eastern Cape. I am 2 Zameka Nungu. I live in Mount Fletcher, Eastern Cape using a fully of the iate Mr Jackson Lehupa died at the age of 48. Page 34998 He was from Mount Fletcher in the Eastern Cape. I am 2 Zameka Nungu. I live in Mount Fletcher, Eastern Cape using including school fees, for Gustomary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. 5 MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of 1 inquity to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. 7 He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. 8 He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving 9 help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during 10 his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off 11 for three days for about 30 days and also when he was fullet telphonically every day and he would come kome to Movit as well. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife 12 cameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, 15 Siswe, Oleie and Nothol. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife 12 ameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, 15 Siswe, Oleie and Nothol. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife 12 aneka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, 15 sokson alone was the first to be employed in the mining 2 industry in our family. We fusched fue to the fact that people had the fact that people had 10 not samily do. I never though that it would end with the 20 deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jackson did 1 not sam aynthing to me regarding the fact that people had 2 been killed.		-		2
20 came to tell us he had died at Marikana. Golosa Engondova, 20 scene 1 and the statement in respect of Mr Lehupa will 21 a family member of ours came to view the body in Marikana. 20 scene 1 and the statement in respect of Mr Lehupa will 21 a family member of ours came to view the body in Marikana. 21 KKKX32. 22 bot mortem. His colleagues attended the funeral and told 22 KKKX32. 23 those that were killed at scene 1 and previously known as 24 us he was killed by the police and injected during a 23 those that were killed at scene 1 and previously known as 25 portest over a wage dispute. Page 34999 1 family. He supported our six children and me. He also 26 Zameka Nungu. I live in Mount Fletcher, Eastern Cape. I am supported were daily members, in particular he 3 3 supported our six children and three of his 4 sister's children although they did not stay with him. We 5 MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of 1 sister's children although they did not stay with him. We 6 Inquiry to know that Jackson killed at Markana. 6 to bak some that we was off 1 10 hely to anyone in need. My husband would come home durin				
21 a family member of ours came to view the body in Marikana. 21 KKKK32. 22 most mortem. His colleagues attended the funeral and told MS MOTLOENYA: Mr Lehupa was also one of 23 post mortem. His colleagues attended the funeral and told those that were killed at scene 1 and previously known as 24 us he was killed by the police and injected during a those that were killed at scene 1 and previously known as 25 protest over a wage dispute. Page 34998 1 Faws from Mount Fletcher in the Eastern Cape I am Page 34998 2 Zameka Nungu. 1 live in Mount Fletcher, Eastern Cape with my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms 3 of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. family. He supported our six children and three of his 3 supported three of his torther's children and three of his supported three of his torther's children and three of his 4 he was loved by his community, always giving fele on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke 7 He was alved any for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak 12 telephonically every day and he would call b				
22 They saw a bullet wound in his forehead. I did not see the 29 post mortem. His colleagues attended the funeral and told 24 us he was killed by the police and injected during a 25 protest over a wage dispute. 26 Page 34998 1 He was from Mount Fletcher in the Eastern Cape. I am 2 Zameka Nungu. I live in Mount Fletcher, Eastern Cape with 3 wick children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms 4 of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. 5 MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of 6 Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. 7 He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving 9 help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during 10 his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was diff. 12 zameka Nungu, Is is kichildren, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, 13 Kas MOTLOENVA: His dependents, his wife 24 body E. Con behalf of the Lehupa family. We was also one of say and also when he would return to the 16 Inquiry to know that Jackson wills ceremethered and missed. 17 MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the 16 orange Free State		-		
 post mortem. His colleagues attended the funeral and told us he was killed by the police and injected during a protest over a wage dispute. Page 34998 He was from Mount Fletcher in the Eastern Cape. I am Zameka Nungu. Hive in Mount Fletcher, Eastern Cape with of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson usel to take and the community, always giving He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during he was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would call before going to to there days he would come. We also used to speak tat hewas to light not. tat help to anyone in need. My husband was the level to police, Tebiso, Nowele, Siswe, Olele and Notholo. My SLEWIS: Jackson is originally from the for Lam, however, not certain how he found a job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight			22	
24 us he was killed by the police and injected during a 24 body E. On behalf of the Lehupa family we've got the 25 protest over a wage dispute. Page 34998 1 He was from Mount Fletcher in the Eastern Cape. I am 2 2 zameka Nungu. Liive in Mount Fletcher, Eastern Cape. I am 1 2 zameka Nungu. Liive in Mount Fletcher, Eastern Cape. I am 2 3 of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. 5 5 MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of 6 6 Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. 7 7 He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving 9 9 help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during 10 9 help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during 10 14 MS MOTLOENVA: His dependents, his wife 13 work and when he knocked off from work as well. 11 14 MS LEWIS: Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining 10 16 Siswe, Olele and Notholo. 12 anything about the police. He would just call me to say 17 MS LEWIS: Jackson alone was the firs		-	23	-
25 protest over a wage dispute. 25 widow, Zameka Nungu. Jackson Lehupa died at the age of 48. 1 He was from Mount Fletcher in the Eastern Cape. Iam 1 family. He supported our six children and me. He also 2 Zameka Nungu. I live in Mount Fletcher, Eastern Cape. with 1 family. He supported our six children and me. He also 3 Supported extended family members, in particular he 3 4 supported three of his brother's children and three of his 5 MS LEWIS: I want the commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. 7 He was avery helpful man in the community, always giving 6 9 help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during 1 the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to 10 his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off 10 kopple each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the 11 for three days he would coll before going to 14 MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife 16 Siswe, Olele and Notholo. 11 14 MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the 17 MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the 11 methat they worked very hard yet they earned very little. <td></td> <td>-</td> <th>24</th> <td></td>		-	24	
Page 34999 1 He was from Mount Fletcher in the Eastern Cape. I am 2 Zameka Nungu. I live in Mount Fletcher, Eastern Cape with 3 my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms 4 of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. 5 MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of 6 Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. 7 He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. 1 He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving 9 help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during 9 help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during 10 his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off 11 for three days he would call before going to 13 work and when he knocked off from work as well. 14 MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife 15 Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, 16 Slswe, Olele and Notholo. 17 MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the 18 Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee 19 mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a 20 job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day. 21 Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining 22 industry in our family. My husband fived in the Nkaneng 23 informal settlement. We used to live together, but then 1 24 moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building 25 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our 26 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our 26 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our 26 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our 26 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our 27 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our 28 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our 29 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our 20 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our 20 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our 20 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our 20 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our 20 our home there. My h	25		25	
1 He was from Mount Fletcher in the Eastern Cape. I am 1 family. He supported our six children and me. He also 2 Zameka Nungu. I live in Mount Fletcher, Eastern Cape with 3 my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms 4 of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. 5 Supported Attended family members, in particular he 5 MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of 6 6 Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. 7 7 He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving 8 9 help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during 9 16 his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off 10 17 for three days he would come. We also used to speak 12 18 WS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife 17 Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, 15 16 Siswe, Olele and Notholo. 14 for Lommin management to come and talk to them regarding 17 MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the 12 10 his sub children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, 15 the wase frome the strike would end with the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
 Zameka Nungu. 1 live in Mount Fletcher, Eastern Cape with my six children. 1 was married to Jackson Lehupa In terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: 1 want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to work and when he knocked off from work as well. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, Siswe, Olele and Notholo. MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee mine in 2007. 1 am, however, not certain how he found a job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day. job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day. job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day. informal settlement. We used to live together, but then 1 moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building more there. My husband lived in the Nkaneng more there. My husband was the breadwinner of our the was hen Theard that people had died on the 16th of August 2012. 1 first heard of the shootings on the radio while my children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was 		Page 34998		Page 34999
3my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms3supported three of his brother's children and three of his4of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana.5MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of65Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed.7He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him.67He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving6clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke7He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving8day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to9help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during9the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the10his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off10koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the11for three days he would coll before going to10work and when he knocked off from work as well.14MS MOTLOENYA:His dependents, his wife1415Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele,1516Siswe, Olele and Notholo.15the wage increase. I did not press him for details because16Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee19not say any pople. When I spoke to him Jackson did19ipb at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day.11anways thought that this strike would end like they19industry in our family. My husband lived in the Mixaneng21not say any popple. When I spoke to him Jackson di	1		1	family. He supported our six children and me. He also
4of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana.4sister's children although they did not stay with him. We5MS LEWIS:I want the Commission of5relied on him for everything including school fees,6Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed.7He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him.57He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving8day during the strike. He used to phone every8He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving8day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to9help to anyone in need.My husband would come home during9the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the10his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off10koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the11for three days he would call before going to10workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say12telephonically every day and he would call before going to11for Lommin management to come and talk to them regarding14MS MOTLOENYA:His dependents, his wife14for Lommin management to come and talk to them regarding15Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele,15the wage increase. I did not press him for details because16Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee19mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a19not garay faminent. We used to live together, but then I20deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jack	2			
5MS LEWIS:I want the Commission of5relied on him for everything including school fees,6Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed.7He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him.8He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving9day during the strike. He used to phone every9help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during9the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the10his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off10koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the11for three days he would come. We also used to speak11workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say12telephonically every day and he would call before going to12anything about the police. He would just call me to say13work and when he knocked off from work as well.13that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting14MS MOTLOENVA:His dependents, his wife14for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding15Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele,15the wage increase. I did not press him for details because16Siswe, Olee and Notholo.1616he soundet tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell17MS LEWIS:Jackson is originally from the11me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little.18I always thought that this strike would end like they19normally do. I never thought that it would end with the20job at Kare		-		supported extended family members, in particular he
6Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed.6clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke7He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him.7to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every8He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving9the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the9help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during9the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the10his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off1for three days he would come. We also used to speak1011for three days he would come. We also used to speak12anything about the police. He would just call me to say13work and when he knocked off from work as well.13that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting14MS MOTLOENYA:His dependents, his wife14for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding15Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele,15the wage increase. I did not press him for details because16Siswe, Olele and Notholo.16he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell17MS LEWIS:Jackson is originally from the1818Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee18I always thought that this strike would end like they19mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a10I not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had22industry in our family. My husband lived i		my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms		supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his
7He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him.7to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every8He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving9help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during9help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during9the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the10his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off10koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the11for three days he would come. We also used to speak11work and when he knocked off from work as well.1214MS MOTLOENYA:His dependents, his wife14for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding15Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele,15the wage increase. I did not press him for details because16Siswe, Olele and Notholo.16he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell17MS LEWIS:Jackson is originally from the17me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little.18Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee19normally do. I never thought that it would end with the20job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day.201always thought that this strike would end like they21Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining21not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had22industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng23was when I heard that people had died on the 16th	3 4	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana.	3 4	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We
8He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving 9 help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during 10 his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off 11 for three days he would come. We also used to speak 12 telephonically every day and he would call before going to 13 work and when he knocked off from work as well.8day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the 10 koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say 13 work and when he knocked off from work as well.14MS MOTLOENYA: Sameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, 16 Siswe, Olele and Notholo.13that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting 14 for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding 15 Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, 16 Siswe, Olele and Notholo.16he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell 17 me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little.18Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee 19 mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a 20 job at Karee. He was the first to be employed in the mining 21 Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the Nkaneng 23 informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I 24 moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building 25 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our202012. I first heard of the shootings on the radio while my 25 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our	3 4	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of	3 4	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees,
9help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak9the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say12telephonically every day and he would call before going to uwrk and when he knocked off from work as well.13workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say14MS MOTLOENYA: MS MOTLOENYA:His dependents, his wife14for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding15Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, Siswe, Olele and Notholo.15the wage increase. I did not press him for details because18Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee job at Karee.18l always thought that this strike would end like they19mein in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a job at Karee.19normally do. I never thought that it would end with the20industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng 2322been killed. I only became aware of how serious the strike 2424moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building 25242012. I first heard of the shootings on the radio while my25our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our25children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was	3 4 5 6	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed.	3 4 5 6	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke
10his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off10koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the11for three days he would come. We also used to speak11workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say12telephonically every day and he would call before going to12anything about the police. He would just call me to say13work and when he knocked off from work as well.13that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting14MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife14for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding15Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele,15the wage increase. I did not press him for details because16Siswe, Olele and Notholo.16he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell17MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the17me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little.18Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee19normally do. I never thought that this strike would end like they19mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a20deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jackson did20Industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng22was when I heard that people had died on the 16th of August23informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I23was when I heard of the shootings on the radio while my25our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our25children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was <td>3 4 5 6 7</td> <td>my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him.</td> <th>3 4 5 6 7</th> <td>supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every</td>	3 4 5 6 7	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him.	3 4 5 6 7	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every
11for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to work and when he knocked off from work as well.11workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting the use increase. I did not press him for details because he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little.13MS LEWIS: Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building to ur home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our111212 <t< td=""><td>3 4 5 6 7 8</td><td>my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving</td><th>3 4 5 6 7 8</th><td>supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to</td></t<>	3 4 5 6 7 8	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving	3 4 5 6 7 8	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to
12telephonically every day and he would call before going to12anything about the police. He would just call me to say13work and when he knocked off from work as well.13that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting14MS MOTLOENYA:His dependents, his wife14for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding15Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele,15the wage increase. I did not press him for details because16Siswe, Olele and Notholo.16he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell17MS LEWIS:Jackson is originally from the17me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little.18Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee18I always thought that this strike would end like they19mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a19normally do. I never thought that it would end with the20job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day.21not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had21Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining21not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had23informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I23was when I heard that people had died on the 16th of August24moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building242012. I first heard of the shootings on the radio while my25our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our25children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was <td>3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td> <td>my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during</td> <th>3 4 5 6 7 8 9</th> <td>supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the</td>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the
13work and when he knocked off from work as well.13that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting14MS MOTLOENYA:His dependents, his wife14for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding15Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele,15the wage increase.I did not press him for details because16Siswe, Olele and Notholo.16he sounded tired.Before the strike Jackson used to tell17MS LEWIS:Jackson is originally from the17me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little.18Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee18I always thought that this strike would end like they19mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a19normally do. I never thought that it would end with the20job at Karee.He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day.20deaths of so many people.When I spoke to him Jackson did21Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining11not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had22informal settlement.We used to live together, but then I23was when I heard that people had died on the 16th of August23our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our25our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our25	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off	3 4 5 7 8 9 10	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the
14MS MOTLOENYA:His dependents, his wife14for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding15Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele,15the wage increase.I did not press him for details because16Siswe, Olele and Notholo.16he sounded tired.Before the strike Jackson used to tell17MS LEWIS:Jackson is originally from the17me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little.18Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee18I always thought that this strike would end like they19mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a19normally do. I never thought that it would end with the20job at Karee.He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day.20eaths of so many people.21Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining21not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had22industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng22was when I heard that people had died on the 16th of August23informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I23was when I heard of the shootings on the radio while my25our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our25children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say
15Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, Siswe, Olele and Notholo.15the wage increase. I did not press him for details because he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell17MS LEWIS:Jackson is originally from the Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day.15the wage increase. I did not press him for details because he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little.18Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day.19normally do. I never thought that it would end with the deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jackson did not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had been killed. I only became aware of how serious the strike was when I heard that people had died on the 16th of August 2012. I first heard of the shootings on the radio while my 2524our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our25children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say
16Siswe, Olele and Notholo.16he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell17MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the17me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little.18Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee18I always thought that this strike would end like they19mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a19normally do. I never thought that it would end with the20job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day.20deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jackson did21Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining21not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had22industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng23informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I24moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building242012. I first heard of the shootings on the radio while my25our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our25children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to work and when he knocked off from work as well.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting
17MS LEWIS:Jackson is originally from the17me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little.18Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee18I always thought that this strike would end like they19mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a19normally do. I never thought that it would end with the20job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day.20deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jackson did21Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining21not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had22industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng22been killed. I only became aware of how serious the strike23informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I23was when I heard that people had died on the 16th of August24moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building242012. I first heard of the shootings on the radio while my25our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our25children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to work and when he knocked off from work as well. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding
18Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee18I always thought that this strike would end like they19mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a19normally do. I never thought that it would end with the20job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day.20deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jackson did21Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining21not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had22industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng22been killed. I only became aware of how serious the strike23informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I23was when I heard that people had died on the 16th of August24moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building242012. I first heard of the shootings on the radio while my25our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our25children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to work and when he knocked off from work as well. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding the wage increase. I did not press him for details because
19mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day.19normally do. I never thought that it would end with the deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jackson did 2121Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our19normally do. I never thought that it would end with the deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jackson did to more regarding the fact that people had been killed. I only became aware of how serious the strike was when I heard that people had died on the 16th of August 2012. I first heard of the shootings on the radio while my 25 children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to work and when he knocked off from work as well. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, Siswe, Olele and Notholo.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding the wage increase. I did not press him for details because he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell
20job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day.20deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jackson did21Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining21not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had22industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng22been killed. I only became aware of how serious the strike23informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I23was when I heard that people had died on the 16th of August24moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building242012. I first heard of the shootings on the radio while my25our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our25children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to work and when he knocked off from work as well. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, Siswe, Olele and Notholo. MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding the wage increase. I did not press him for details because he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little.
21Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our21not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had been killed. I only became aware of how serious the strike 2324moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our242012. I first heard of the shootings on the radio while my 2525our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our25children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to work and when he knocked off from work as well. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, Siswe, Olele and Notholo. MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding the wage increase. I did not press him for details because he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little. I always thought that this strike would end like they
 industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our been killed. I only became aware of how serious the strike was when I heard that people had died on the 16th of August 2012. I first heard of the shootings on the radio while my children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to work and when he knocked off from work as well. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, Siswe, Olele and Notholo. MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding the wage increase. I did not press him for details because he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little. I always thought that this strike would end like they normally do. I never thought that it would end with the
23informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I23was when I heard that people had died on the 16th of August24moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building242012. I first heard of the shootings on the radio while my25our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our25children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to work and when he knocked off from work as well. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, Siswe, Olele and Notholo. MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding the wage increase. I did not press him for details because he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little. I always thought that this strike would end like they normally do. I never thought that it would end with the deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jackson did
24moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building242012. I first heard of the shootings on the radio while my25our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our25children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to work and when he knocked off from work as well. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, Siswe, Olele and Notholo. MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day. Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding the wage increase. I did not press him for details because he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little. I always thought that this strike would end like they normally do. I never thought that it would end with the deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jackson did not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had
25 our home there. My husband was the breadwinner of our 25 children and I were eating dinner. Before then I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to work and when he knocked off from work as well. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, Siswe, Olele and Notholo. MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day. Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding the wage increase. I did not press him for details because he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little. I always thought that this strike would end like they normally do. I never thought that it would end with the deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jackson did not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had been killed. I only became aware of how serious the strike
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to work and when he knocked off from work as well. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, Siswe, Olele and Notholo. MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day. Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding the wage increase. I did not press him for details because he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little. I always thought that this strike would end like they normally do. I never thought that it would end with the deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jackson did not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had been killed. I only became aware of how serious the strike was when I heard that people had died on the 16th of August
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	my six children. I was married to Jackson Lehupa in terms of customary law. My husband was killed at Marikana. MS LEWIS: I want the Commission of Inquiry to know that Jackson will be remembered and missed. He was loved by his community and everyone cared for him. He was a very helpful man in the community, always giving help to anyone in need. My husband would come home during his leave days for about 30 days and also when he was off for three days he would come. We also used to speak telephonically every day and he would call before going to work and when he knocked off from work as well. MS MOTLOENYA: His dependents, his wife Zameka Nungu, his six children, Oliete, Tebiso, Nowele, Siswe, Olele and Notholo. MS LEWIS: Jackson is originally from the Orange Free State province and he started working at Karee mine in 2007. I am, however, not certain how he found a job at Karee. He was drilling rocks for eight hours a day. Jackson alone was the first to be employed in the mining industry in our family. My husband lived in the Nkaneng informal settlement. We used to live together, but then I moved back home to Mount Fletcher because we were building	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	supported extended family members, in particular he supported three of his brother's children and three of his sister's children although they did not stay with him. We relied on him for everything including school fees, clothes, groceries and many other household needs. I spoke to Jackson daily during the strike. He used to phone every day during the strike at about 4am when he would return to the informal settlement to sleep. He would return to the koppie each day at around 8am. He never mentioned why the workers were gathering at the koppie nor did he say anything about the police. He would just call me to say that he was now going to the mountain and they were waiting for Lonmin management to come and talk to them regarding the wage increase. I did not press him for details because he sounded tired. Before the strike Jackson used to tell me that they worked very hard yet they earned very little. I always thought that this strike would end like they normally do. I never thought that it would end with the deaths of so many people. When I spoke to him Jackson did not say anything to me regarding the fact that people had been killed. I only became aware of how serious the strike was when I heard that people had died on the 16th of August 2012. I first heard of the shootings on the radio while my

1	Page 35000	1	Page 35001
1	worried, but I did not fear for his life. After hearing	1 2	his death. To this day I have not received an official explanation. They eventually released his body on the 31st
2 3	about the killings on the 16th of August 2012 I became frantic with worry. I tried calling him and his phone was	2	of August 2012 and it was driven down to the Eastern Cape
4	off. I did not know what to do, I could not eat. I walked	4	on the very same day. We had a funeral for him on the 1st
5	out of the house and just kept walking, not knowing where I	5	of September 2012, however, the workers from Marikana who
6	was going. One of his colleagues, Tebogo Labita said that	6	came for the funeral left the day afterwards. They could
7	they looked for Jackson at the Phokeng Mortuary and at the	7	not tell me anything in much detail because I was in
8	hospital on the 19th of August 2012, but they did not find	8	distress. I just couldn't understand much of what anyone
9	him. I had planned to travel to Marikana to try and find	9	was saying to me because I was in shock. In our tradition,
10	out what had happened to my husband, however, we then	10	when someone dies we have to perform certain rituals. We
11	received a call on the 21st of August 2012 from Mabokelo, a	11	preformed the rituals. I still have to slaughter a cow
12	family member who told me that Jackson had been killed as a	12	when I remove the mourning clothes. My husband's body also
13	result of the strike. She had received the news from TEBA	13	needs to be clothed, [African language]. The children also
14	who told her that Jackson was amongst the people who had	14	need to be cleansed with the sheep. My ceremony and my
15	been killed, but they did not furnish any details of when	15	husband's will be conducted in June 2013. According to our
16	he was killed. On the 27th of August 2012 Tebogo and other	16	tradition and culture I have to conduct these ceremonies
17	colleagues arrived in Rustenburg. They wanted to see	17	personally. If I do not have any money for this I cannot
18	Jackson's body, but the people at the mortuary would not	18	remove my mourning clothes. I was very hurt by my
19	allow them to do so. Instead they told them to choose a	19	husband's death and what I have heard about the manner in
20	coffin for his body. They were told to return on the	20	which he was killed. Even now I am not well.
21	following day, the 28th of August 2012 which they did.	21	My children have also been very badly affected.
22	After they returned to the mortuary they were only shown	22	The three year old keeps asking where his father is. I've
23	the body from the neck up. They were not shown the rest of	23	received counselling from social workers who have been to
24	his body nor were they provided with a post mortem report.	24	my home several times, but I'm still very hurt. I still
25	No one from the mortuary or the mine told me the cause of	25	don't believe that it's true, even now that I'm attending
			J
	Page 35002		Page 35003
1	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up	1	Page 35003 law partial?
1 2	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream.	1 2	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a
	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the		law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going
2	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too	2	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding.
2 3	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen	2 3	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who
2 3 4	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result	2 3 4	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that
2 3 4 5	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the	2 3 4 5	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the part of the mine to agree to take family members in place	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them and building them a home just as my husband was doing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the part of the mine to agree to take family members in place in place of an employee. Usually a child or partner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them and building them a home just as my husband was doing. When I last saw my husband it was at the bus stop where he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the part of the mine to agree to take family members in place in place of an employee. Usually a child or partner replaces the deceased. If any such offer were made I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them and building them a home just as my husband was doing. When I last saw my husband it was at the bus stop where he said he would see me when he comes from work. Little did I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the part of the mine to agree to take family members in place in place of an employee. Usually a child or partner replaces the deceased. If any such offer were made I would definitely take it up. I want to work so that I can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them and building them a home just as my husband was doing. When I last saw my husband it was at the bus stop where he said he would see me when he comes from work. Little did I know that the next time I would see him was when I had bury
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the part of the mine to agree to take family members in place in place of an employee. Usually a child or partner replaces the deceased. If any such offer were made I would definitely take it up. I want to work so that I can support my children. So I will have to get someone to look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them and building them a home just as my husband was doing. When I last saw my husband it was at the bus stop where he said he would see me when he comes from work. Little did I know that the next time I would see him was when I had bury him in a box.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the part of the mine to agree to take family members in place in place of an employee. Usually a child or partner replaces the deceased. If any such offer were made I would definitely take it up. I want to work so that I can support my children. So I will have to get someone to look after my children while I am away. According to the law	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them and building them a home just as my husband was doing. When I last saw my husband it was at the bus stop where he said he would see me when he comes from work. Little did I know that the next time I would see him was when I had bury him in a box. ZAMEKA NUNGU: I am hurting, Mr Chair and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the part of the mine to agree to take family members in place in place of an employee. Usually a child or partner replaces the deceased. If any such offer were made I would definitely take it up. I want to work so that I can support my children. So I will have to get someone to look after my children while I am away. According to the law when someone kills another they must be arrested. I want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them and building them a home just as my husband was doing. When I last saw my husband it was at the bus stop where he said he would see me when he comes from work. Little did I know that the next time I would see him was when I had bury him in a box. ZAMEKA NUNGU: I am hurting, Mr Chair and the Commission. My heart is bleeding, crying, complaining
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the part of the mine to agree to take family members in place in place of an employee. Usually a child or partner replaces the deceased. If any such offer were made I would definitely take it up. I want to work so that I can support my children. So I will have to get someone to look after my children while I am away. According to the law when someone kills another they must be arrested. I want those responsible for my husband's death to be arrested. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them and building them a home just as my husband was doing. When I last saw my husband it was at the bus stop where he said he would see me when he comes from work. Little did I know that the next time I would see him was when I had bury him in a box. ZAMEKA NUNGU: I am hurting, Mr Chair and the Commission. My heart is bleeding, crying, complaining about Lonmin. If Lonmin could not afford to pay them they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the part of the mine to agree to take family members in place in place of an employee. Usually a child or partner replaces the deceased. If any such offer were made I would definitely take it up. I want to work so that I can support my children. So I will have to get someone to look after my children while I am away. According to the law when someone kills another they must be arrested. I want those responsible for my husband's death to be arrested. I want to know what the police's job is. Is it not to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them and building them a home just as my husband was doing. When I last saw my husband it was at the bus stop where he said he would see me when he comes from work. Little did I know that the next time I would see him was when I had bury him in a box. ZAMEKA NUNGU: I am hurting, Mr Chair and the Commission. My heart is bleeding, crying, complaining about Lonmin. If Lonmin could not afford to pay them they could have simply dismissed them and sent them back home.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the part of the mine to agree to take family members in place in place of an employee. Usually a child or partner replaces the deceased. If any such offer were made I would definitely take it up. I want to work so that I can support my children. So I will have to get someone to look after my children while I am away. According to the law when someone kills another they must be arrested. I want those responsible for my husband's death to be arrested. I want to know what the police's job is. Is it not to arrest people? Why did they kill people like my husband?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them and building them a home just as my husband was doing. When I last saw my husband it was at the bus stop where he said he would see me when he comes from work. Little did I know that the next time I would see him was when I had bury him in a box. ZAMEKA NUNGU: I am hurting, Mr Chair and the Commission. My heart is bleeding, crying, complaining about Lonmin. If Lonmin could not afford to pay them they could have simply dismissed them and sent them back home. They should not have called the police to kill our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the part of the mine to agree to take family members in place in place of an employee. Usually a child or partner replaces the deceased. If any such offer were made I would definitely take it up. I want to work so that I can support my children. So I will have to get someone to look after my children while I am away. According to the law when someone kills another they must be arrested. I want those responsible for my husband's death to be arrested. I want to know what the police's job is. Is it not to arrest people? Why did they kill people like my husband? Is it the law in this country that when policemen kill	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them and building them a home just as my husband was doing. When I last saw my husband it was at the bus stop where he said he would see me when he comes from work. Little did I know that the next time I would see him was when I had bury him in a box. ZAMEKA NUNGU: I am hurting, Mr Chair and the Commission. My heart is bleeding, crying, complaining about Lonmin. If Lonmin could not afford to pay them they could have simply dismissed them and sent them back home. They should not have called the police to kill our husbands. The police are now sitting with their wives and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the part of the mine to agree to take family members in place in place of an employee. Usually a child or partner replaces the deceased. If any such offer were made I would definitely take it up. I want to work so that I can support my children. So I will have to get someone to look after my children while I am away. According to the law when someone kills another they must be arrested. I want those responsible for my husband's death to be arrested. I want to know what the police's job is. Is it not to arrest people? Why did they kill people like my husband? Is it the law in this country that when policemen kill people they shouldn't be brought before the courts of law	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them and building them a home just as my husband was doing. When I last saw my husband it was at the bus stop where he said he would see me when he comes from work. Little did I know that the next time I would see him was when I had bury him in a box. ZAMEKA NUNGU: I am hurting, Mr Chair and the Commission. My heart is bleeding, crying, complaining about Lonmin. If Lonmin could not afford to pay them they could have simply dismissed them and sent them back home. They should not have called the police to kill our husbands. The police are now sitting with their wives and children, their life goes on, our life does not go on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the part of the mine to agree to take family members in place in place of an employee. Usually a child or partner replaces the deceased. If any such offer were made I would definitely take it up. I want to work so that I can support my children. So I will have to get someone to look after my children while I am away. According to the law when someone kills another they must be arrested. I want those responsible for my husband's death to be arrested. I want to know what the police's job is. Is it not to arrest people? Why did they kill people like my husband? Is it the law in this country that when policemen kill people they shouldn't be brought before the courts of law because if these people had killed any other people or the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them and building them a home just as my husband was doing. When I last saw my husband it was at the bus stop where he said he would see me when he comes from work. Little did I know that the next time I would see him was when I had bury him in a box. ZAMEKA NUNGU: I am hurting, Mr Chair and the Commission. My heart is bleeding, crying, complaining about Lonmin. If Lonmin could not afford to pay them they could have simply dismissed them and sent them back home. They should not have called the police to kill our husbands. The police are now sitting with their wives and children, their life goes on, our life does not go on. Instead of seeing light, we're seeing darkness. You wake
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	the Commission. Each day it seems as if I'll wake up tomorrow and they will tell me that it was just a dream. Our house is incomplete and it was my plan to complete the house. The house has three rooms and is therefore too small for us. In addition after the funeral the kitchen burnt down. I'm still suffering financial loss as a result and without my husband's support I'm struggling to find the means to fix the kitchen. This in itself is causing my children and me untold hardship. It makes me realise how hard it is going to be for us to survive without my husband's support. I heard that it's a practise on the part of the mine to agree to take family members in place in place of an employee. Usually a child or partner replaces the deceased. If any such offer were made I would definitely take it up. I want to work so that I can support my children. So I will have to get someone to look after my children while I am away. According to the law when someone kills another they must be arrested. I want those responsible for my husband's death to be arrested. I want to know what the police's job is. Is it not to arrest people? Why did they kill people like my husband? Is it the law in this country that when policemen kill people they shouldn't be brought before the courts of law	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	law partial? I have no money, I cannot even provide a tombstone for my husband. I do not know how we are going to survive. My husband provided all the necessary funding. The fact that he died at work and that he has children who must go to school must be considered. I also think that the mine failed its workers. The mine did not do anything to stop the strike. All they did was call the police, at most they should have fired them. The government and the mine must help me because they killed my husband. They give me assistance with raising my children, educating them and building them a home just as my husband was doing. When I last saw my husband it was at the bus stop where he said he would see me when he comes from work. Little did I know that the next time I would see him was when I had bury him in a box. ZAMEKA NUNGU: I am hurting, Mr Chair and the Commission. My heart is bleeding, crying, complaining about Lonmin. If Lonmin could not afford to pay them they could have simply dismissed them and sent them back home. They should not have called the police to kill our husbands. The police are now sitting with their wives and children, their life goes on, our life does not go on.

р.		!
Pľ	etc	oria

		1	
1	Page 35004 going to stop you from crying because you're sleeping	1	Page 35005 houses for us, to feed us, to educate our children. Now
2	alone. I have a six year old child, the last child. This	2	Lonmin is only taking the responsibility of educating the
3	child keeps on asking me mummy when is daddy coming back.	3	children. What about our food what are we going to eat at
4	One day in December I was at home doing laundry outside	4	home? When my husband died the height of the house that
5	this child was playing next to me he said but mummy when is	5	was being built was only three rows up. We do not have
6	daddy coming back. I could not answer the child, instead I	6	houses. We ask Lonmin to take the responsibility that my
7	cried came, my children came out of the house, the	7	husband was doing in building that house and take care of
	-	8	us as well as the government because the police belong to
8	police have done us bad. I trusted the police officers now		the government. We are appealing, we are appealing so much
9	when I see the police officer I see an enemy, our husbands	9	
10	are referred to as criminals. According to me a criminal	10	so. I will now refer to the workers, I ask you people to
11	is someone who breaks into people's houses. Whilst they	11	be strong, my brothers and sisters be strong and don't turn
12	were sitting on the mountain whose place did they break	12	back. If you want something steadfast on it because our
13	into? God says a man shall live by his sweat, that is what	13	husbands died for the truth. People don't want to hear the
14	they were doing and that is what they died for. They asked	14	truth. The truth is bitter, people don't want the truth.
15	for an increment because they were working hard. My	15	Be strong, stand together and do not forget us because who
16	husband had some marks on his back as if he was hit with a	16	stand on that mountain are looking at you. They're looking
17	sjambok. He would say wife we are working hard as you see	17	at you, we appeal to you as well as the union AMCU. We
18	me. We work hard, but we earn little and when they asked	18	trust that union, we appeal to you to be strong and go
19	the employer to increase their salaries they responded by	19	forward and to my fellow widows, ladies let us pray. Let
20	firearms. We're struggling today. Lonmin has made this	20 21	us have hope.
21	bed now it's difficult for them to lie on it. Let Lonmin		[10:22] That one day God will answer. Even if the
22	lie on the bed that they made. It must not end on Lonmin	22	Commission goes on, but it is not above God, God is
23	just saying it's going to educate the children what about	23 24	everything. It is not above God. One day God will
24 25	us, what are we going to eat? Our husbands are not working	24 25	respond. Even if these are covered, whatever is covered God will reveal. Whatever is covered, God will reveal
20	for the education of the children only, they have to build	23	dou win reveal. Whatever is covered, dou win reveal
	Page 35006		Page 35007
1	Page 35006 because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on	1	Page 35007 MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have
1 2		1 2	-
	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on		MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have
2	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not	2	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the
2 3	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us	2 3	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family.
2 3 4	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to	2 3 4	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family.
2 3 4 5	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a	2 3 4 5	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family
2 3 4 5 6	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space	2 3 4 5 6	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been
2 3 4 5 6 7	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Those are the presentations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received a request in respect, on behalf of the Langa family, same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Those are the presentations that we've been receiving yesterday and today. As far as I can see there are still 10 deceased persons in respect of whom presentations have not been made. There are six	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received a request in respect, on behalf of the Langa family, same reason. Mrs Langa can't be here today either.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Those are the presentations that we've been receiving yesterday and today. As far as I can see there are still 10 deceased persons in respect of whom presentations have not been made. There are six families who are represented by SERI and I don't know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received a request in respect, on behalf of the Langa family, same reason. Mrs Langa can't be here today either. MS MASEVHE: That is correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And I don't know what –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Those are the presentations that we've been receiving yesterday and today. As far as I can see there are still 10 deceased persons in respect of whom presentations have not been made. There are six families who are represented by SERI and I don't know whether at some future date - it's clear that we're going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received a request in respect, on behalf of the Langa family, same reason. Mrs Langa can't be here today either. MS MASEVHE: That is correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And I don't know what – yes, so at some future date before the end of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Those are the presentations that we've been receiving yesterday and today. As far as I can see there are still 10 deceased persons in respect of whom presentations have not been made. There are six families who are represented by SERI and I don't know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received a request in respect, on behalf of the Langa family, same reason. Mrs Langa can't be here today either. MS MASEVHE: That is correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And I don't know what – yes, so at some future date before the end of the Commission we will have presentations or certainly create
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Those are the presentations that we've been receiving yesterday and today. As far as I can see there are still 10 deceased persons in respect of whom presentations have not been made. There are six families who are represented by SERI and I don't know whether at some future date - it's clear that we're going to have to have one further session dealing with the presentations. As far as the persons who died before the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received a request in respect, on behalf of the Langa family, same reason. Mrs Langa can't be here today either. MS MASEVHE: That is correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And I don't know what – yes, so at some future date before the end of the Commission we will have presentations or certainly create space for presentations from the people to whom I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Those are the presentations that we've been receiving yesterday and today. As far as I can see there are still 10 deceased persons in respect of whom presentations have not been made. There are six families who are represented by SERI and I don't know whether at some future date - it's clear that we're going to have to have one further session dealing with the presentations. As far as the persons who died before the 16th are concerned, there are still presentations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received a request in respect, on behalf of the Langa family, same reason. Mrs Langa can't be here today either. MS MASEVHE: That is correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And I don't know what – yes, so at some future date before the end of the Commission we will have presentations or certainly create space for presentations from the people to whom I have referred. Can you please tell us, are we likely to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Those are the presentations that we've been receiving yesterday and today. As far as I can see there are still 10 deceased persons in respect of whom presentations have not been made. There are six families who are represented by SERI and I don't know whether at some future date - it's clear that we're going to have to have one further session dealing with the presentations. As far as the persons who died before the 16th are concerned, there are still presentations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received a request in respect, on behalf of the Langa family, same reason. Mrs Langa can't be here today either. MS MASEVHE: That is correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And I don't know what – yes, so at some future date before the end of the Commission we will have presentations or certainly create space for presentations from the people to whom I have referred. Can you please tell us, are we likely to get presentations from the remaining six families on behalf of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Those are the presentations that we've been receiving yesterday and today. As far as I can see there are still 10 deceased persons in respect of whom presentations have not been made. There are six families who are represented by SERI and I don't know whether at some future date - it's clear that we're going to have to have one further session dealing with the presentations. As far as the persons who died before the 16th are concerned, there are still presentations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received a request in respect, on behalf of the Langa family, same reason. Mrs Langa can't be here today either. MS MASEVHE: That is correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And I don't know what – yes, so at some future date before the end of the Commission we will have presentations or certainly create space for presentations from the people to whom I have referred. Can you please tell us, are we likely to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Those are the presentations that we've been receiving yesterday and today. As far as I can see there are still 10 deceased persons in respect of whom presentations have not been made. There are six families who are represented by SERI and I don't know whether at some future date - it's clear that we're going to have to have one further session dealing with the presentations. As far as the persons who died before the 16th are concerned, there are still presentations outstanding from four of the families of those who were not strikers. That's the Mabelane family Mabebe, Langa and Twala. Are we going to have one of those presentations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received a request in respect, on behalf of the Langa family, same reason. Mrs Langa can't be here today either. MS MASEVHE: That is correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And I don't know what – yes, so at some future date before the end of the Commission we will have presentations or certainly create space for presentations from the people to whom I have referred. Can you please tell us, are we likely to get presentations from the remaining six families on behalf of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Those are the presentations that we've been receiving yesterday and today. As far as I can see there are still 10 deceased persons in respect of whom presentations have not been made. There are six families who are represented by SERI and I don't know whether at some furture date - it's clear that we're going to have to have one further session dealing with the presentations. As far as the persons who died before the 16th are concerned, there are still presentations outstanding from four of the families of those who were not strikers. That's the Mabelane family Mabebe, Langa and Twala. Are we going to have one of those presentations now?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received a request in respect, on behalf of the Langa family, same reason. Mrs Langa can't be here today either. MS MASEVHE: That is correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And I don't know what – yes, so at some future date before the end of the Commission we will have presentations or certainly create space for presentations from the people to whom I have referred. Can you please tell us, are we likely to get presentations from the remaining six families on behalf of whom SERI have instructed counsel? MS LEWIS: Yes, Chair. The presentations have been prepared, they are part of this PowerPoint
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Those are the presentations that we've been receiving yesterday and today. As far as I can see there are still 10 deceased persons in respect of whom presentations have not been made. There are six families who are represented by SERI and I don't know whether at some future date - it's clear that we're going to have to have one further session dealing with the presentations. As far as the persons who died before the 16th are concerned, there are still presentations outstanding from four of the families of those who were not strikers. That's the Mabelane family Mabebe, Langa and Twala. Are we going to have one of those presentations now? <u>MS MASEVHE: Chairperson –</u>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received a request in respect, on behalf of the Langa family, same reason. Mrs Langa can't be here today either. MS MASEVHE: That is correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And I don't know what – yes, so at some future date before the end of the Commission we will have presentations or certainly create space for presentations from the people to whom I have referred. Can you please tell us, are we likely to get presentations from the remaining six families on behalf of whom SERI have instructed counsel? MS LEWIS: Yes, Chair. The presentations have been prepared, they are part of this PowerPoint presentation. The only problem is that for various reasons
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Those are the presentations that we've been receiving yesterday and today. As far as I can see there are still 10 deceased persons in respect of whom presentations have not been made. There are six families who are represented by SERI and I don't know whether at some future date - it's clear that we're going to have to have one further session dealing with the presentations. As far as the persons who died before the 16th are concerned, there are still presentations outstanding from four of the families of those who were not strikers. That's the Mabelane family Mabebe, Langa and Twala. Are we going to have one of those presentations now? MS MASEVHE: Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: Are we going to have one of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received a request in respect, on behalf of the Langa family, same reason. Mrs Langa can't be here today either. MS MASEVHE: That is correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And I don't know what – yes, so at some future date before the end of the Commission we will have presentations or certainly create space for presentations from the people to whom I have referred. Can you please tell us, are we likely to get presentations from the remaining six families on behalf of whom SERI have instructed counsel? MS LEWIS: Yes, Chair. The presentations have been prepared, they are part of this PowerPoint presentation. The only problem is that for various reasons those family members haven't been here this week, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	because He is, He does not [inaudible] eternal. Even on that day God was present. God is still there, let us not lose hope. Let us not lose hope. Again I appeal, let us forgive the police so that God can help us because - not to respond evil but let us forgive the police. When I see a police officer, I feel humiliated but I try to give space in my heart to forgive a police officer and not take the sin that the officer committed and bring it to me. Let God be my answer. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Those are the presentations that we've been receiving yesterday and today. As far as I can see there are still 10 deceased persons in respect of whom presentations have not been made. There are six families who are represented by SERI and I don't know whether at some future date - it's clear that we're going to have to have one further session dealing with the presentations. As far as the persons who died before the 16th are concerned, there are still presentations outstanding from four of the families of those who were not strikers. That's the Mabelane family Mabebe, Langa and Twala. Are we going to have one of those presentations now? <u>MS MASEVHE: Chairperson –</u>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I have been requested to read the presentation on behalf of the Mabebe family. CHAIRPERSON: The Mabebe family. MS MASEVHE: As far as Mabelane's family is concerned, Ms Mabelane is not here today so I've been requested to request the Commission to stand it down until the day that she's available. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I have also received a request in respect, on behalf of the Langa family, same reason. Mrs Langa can't be here today either. MS MASEVHE: That is correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And I don't know what – yes, so at some future date before the end of the Commission we will have presentations or certainly create space for presentations from the people to whom I have referred. Can you please tell us, are we likely to get presentations from the remaining six families on behalf of whom SERI have instructed counsel? MS LEWIS: Yes, Chair. The presentations have been prepared, they are part of this PowerPoint presentation. The only problem is that for various reasons

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

1	Page 35008	1	Page 35009
1	CHAIRPERSON: Well, may I suggest that	1	and so forth have been received, because a lot of the
2	those representing those families, what I call the SERI	2	statements that we've received were obviously made in 2012
3	families and the others, please liaise with the evidence	3	and I would like to think that at least some of those
4	leaders so we can make a space in the programme before we wind up our proceedings, for these presentations to be	4 5	people concerned have in fact received grants since then and it's obviously material, for the sake of completeness,
5			that it must be put before us.
6	made. Thank you. MS LEWIS: Thank you, Chair, we'll do	6	
8	that.	8	MS LEWIS: Yes, Chair, we are in the process of doing that investigation.
9	CHAIRPERSON: And I understand, Mr Bham,	0 9	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so that concludes
10	that you wish at some stage to make comments on behalf of	9 10	for the moment the family presentations except for the
11	Lonmin in respect of some of the things that have been	11	presentation that we're going to receive in respect of the
12	said, indicate some of the things that Lonmin is doing but	12	Mabebe family.
13	perhaps that can also be deferred until the space that I,	13	MS MASEVHE: Yes, Chairperson. I will
14	the occasion to which I've referred, later on when we're	14	request Ms Hester Mabebe to take her seat at the witness
15	going to have final presentations.	15	stand before –
16	MR BHAM: Yes, Mr Chairman, I am awaiting	16	CHAIRPERSON: Will you then also go down
17	a statement and as soon as it comes to hand I will advise	17	there as well or would you prefer to speak from where you
18	you and read it out.	18	are?
19	CHAIRPERSON: I suggest you also liaise	19	MS MASEVHE: If it's preferable,
20	then with the evidence leaders so we can do it all at the	20	Chairperson, I will do that.
21	same time, the outstanding presentations plus whatever	21	CHAIRPERSON: Well, you must do what you
22	response Lonmin wishes to make.	22	prefer to do.
23	MR BHAM: I will do that.	23	MS MASEVHE: Chairperson, this is the
24	CHAIRPERSON: And I hope we will also at	24	presentation of the family of Mr Eric Thapelo Mabebe who
25	that stage receive updates in respect of what social grants	25	was brutally killed on the evening of the 12th of August
	Page 35010		Page 35011
1	2012 at K4 shaft. This presentation, Chairperson, is made	1	Impact of his death on the family. Thapelo was a
2	by Mrs Hester Mabebe who states that, "I am an adult	2	breadwinner to his children and also to his extended
3	female, sister of the late Mr Thapelo Eric Mabebe who		
4		3	family, as most of his sisters and brothers are not in
-	worked for Lonmin as a loco operator. I make this	4	formal employment and support their families by temporary
5	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three	4 5	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is
6	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the	4 5 6	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took
6 7	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this	4 5 6 7	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African
6 7 8	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission."	4 5 6 7 8	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support
6 7 8 9	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission	4 5 6 7 8 9	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended
6 7 8 9 10	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased.	4 5 7 8 9 10	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for
6 7 8 9 10 11	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased. "Our life with the deceased, our individual and	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for most of the household needs and now we are left with a huge
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased. "Our life with the deceased, our individual and collective feelings of loss as a family, impact financially	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for most of the household needs and now we are left with a huge gap to fill. He used to buy clothes for his children as
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased. "Our life with the deceased, our individual and collective feelings of loss as a family, impact financially and morally as a result of the loss of my brother in the	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for most of the household needs and now we are left with a huge gap to fill. He used to buy clothes for his children as well as us, the extended family. As a family we have to,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased. "Our life with the deceased, our individual and collective feelings of loss as a family, impact financially and morally as a result of the loss of my brother in the family as well as the questions we would like the	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for most of the household needs and now we are left with a huge gap to fill. He used to buy clothes for his children as well as us, the extended family. As a family we have to, but thank Lonmin for assistance they gave us but we will
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased. "Our life with the deceased, our individual and collective feelings of loss as a family, impact financially and morally as a result of the loss of my brother in the family as well as the questions we would like the Commission to address in its work.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for most of the household needs and now we are left with a huge gap to fill. He used to buy clothes for his children as well as us, the extended family. As a family we have to, but thank Lonmin for assistance they gave us but we will address the Lonmin issue later in this statement.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased. "Our life with the deceased, our individual and collective feelings of loss as a family, impact financially and morally as a result of the loss of my brother in the family as well as the questions we would like the Commission to address in its work. The life of Thapelo Eric Mabebe. Thapelo was	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for most of the household needs and now we are left with a huge gap to fill. He used to buy clothes for his children as well as us, the extended family. As a family we have to, but thank Lonmin for assistance they gave us but we will address the Lonmin issue later in this statement. The death of Thapelo means that the support that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased. "Our life with the deceased, our individual and collective feelings of loss as a family, impact financially and morally as a result of the loss of my brother in the family as well as the questions we would like the Commission to address in its work. The life of Thapelo Eric Mabebe. Thapelo was born in Seging, Pampierstad in the Northern Cape Province	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for most of the household needs and now we are left with a huge gap to fill. He used to buy clothes for his children as well as us, the extended family. As a family we have to, but thank Lonmin for assistance they gave us but we will address the Lonmin issue later in this statement. The death of Thapelo means that the support that most of us received went with him and the cycle of poverty
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased. "Our life with the deceased, our individual and collective feelings of loss as a family, impact financially and morally as a result of the loss of my brother in the family as well as the questions we would like the Commission to address in its work. The life of Thapelo Eric Mabebe. Thapelo was born in Seging, Pampierstad in the Northern Cape Province from a poor family in 1975. He is one of the six children	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for most of the household needs and now we are left with a huge gap to fill. He used to buy clothes for his children as well as us, the extended family. As a family we have to, but thank Lonmin for assistance they gave us but we will address the Lonmin issue later in this statement. The death of Thapelo means that the support that most of us received went with him and the cycle of poverty that gripped the family and extended family will rear its
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased. "Our life with the deceased, our individual and collective feelings of loss as a family, impact financially and morally as a result of the loss of my brother in the family as well as the questions we would like the Commission to address in its work. The life of Thapelo Eric Mabebe. Thapelo was born in Seging, Pampierstad in the Northern Cape Province from a poor family in 1975. He is one of the six children and was raised by a single parent, our mother. Our mother	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for most of the household needs and now we are left with a huge gap to fill. He used to buy clothes for his children as well as us, the extended family. As a family we have to, but thank Lonmin for assistance they gave us but we will address the Lonmin issue later in this statement. The death of Thapelo means that the support that most of us received went with him and the cycle of poverty that gripped the family and extended family will rear its head again, with no-one to mitigate it. Thapelo was
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased. "Our life with the deceased, our individual and collective feelings of loss as a family, impact financially and morally as a result of the loss of my brother in the family as well as the questions we would like the Commission to address in its work. The life of Thapelo Eric Mabebe. Thapelo was born in Seging, Pampierstad in the Northern Cape Province from a poor family in 1975. He is one of the six children and was raised by a single parent, our mother. Our mother passed on at an early stage of our lives and as a result of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for most of the household needs and now we are left with a huge gap to fill. He used to buy clothes for his children as well as us, the extended family. As a family we have to, but thank Lonmin for assistance they gave us but we will address the Lonmin issue later in this statement. The death of Thapelo means that the support that most of us received went with him and the cycle of poverty that gripped the family and extended family will rear its head again, with no-one to mitigate it. Thapelo was working hard to break this cycle but his death is a great
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased. "Our life with the deceased, our individual and collective feelings of loss as a family, impact financially and morally as a result of the loss of my brother in the family as well as the questions we would like the Commission to address in its work. The life of Thapelo Eric Mabebe. Thapelo was born in Seging, Pampierstad in the Northern Cape Province from a poor family in 1975. He is one of the six children and was raised by a single parent, our mother. Our mother passed on at an early stage of our lives and as a result of poverty and lack of financial support, he attended school	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for most of the household needs and now we are left with a huge gap to fill. He used to buy clothes for his children as well as us, the extended family. As a family we have to, but thank Lonmin for assistance they gave us but we will address the Lonmin issue later in this statement. The death of Thapelo means that the support that most of us received went with him and the cycle of poverty that gripped the family and extended family will rear its head again, with no-one to mitigate it. Thapelo was working hard to break this cycle but his death is a great disruption of his intent. His death in these difficult
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased. "Our life with the deceased, our individual and collective feelings of loss as a family, impact financially and morally as a result of the loss of my brother in the family as well as the questions we would like the Commission to address in its work. The life of Thapelo Eric Mabebe. Thapelo was born in Seging, Pampierstad in the Northern Cape Province from a poor family in 1975. He is one of the six children and was raised by a single parent, our mother. Our mother passed on at an early stage of our lives and as a result of poverty and lack of financial support, he attended school up to grade 6 and had to look for work from an early age.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for most of the household needs and now we are left with a huge gap to fill. He used to buy clothes for his children as well as us, the extended family. As a family we have to, but thank Lonmin for assistance they gave us but we will address the Lonmin issue later in this statement. The death of Thapelo means that the support that most of us received went with him and the cycle of poverty that gripped the family and extended family will rear its head again, with no-one to mitigate it. Thapelo was working hard to break this cycle but his death is a great disruption of his intent. His death in these difficult times renders us vulnerable. He worked hard to make sure
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased. "Our life with the deceased, our individual and collective feelings of loss as a family, impact financially and morally as a result of the loss of my brother in the family as well as the questions we would like the Commission to address in its work. The life of Thapelo Eric Mabebe. Thapelo was born in Seging, Pampierstad in the Northern Cape Province from a poor family in 1975. He is one of the six children and was raised by a single parent, our mother. Our mother passed on at an early stage of our lives and as a result of poverty and lack of financial support, he attended school up to grade 6 and had to look for work from an early age. The deceased had three children aged 20, 15 and 2 years	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for most of the household needs and now we are left with a huge gap to fill. He used to buy clothes for his children as well as us, the extended family. As a family we have to, but thank Lonmin for assistance they gave us but we will address the Lonmin issue later in this statement. The death of Thapelo means that the support that most of us received went with him and the cycle of poverty that gripped the family and extended family will rear its head again, with no-one to mitigate it. Thapelo was working hard to break this cycle but his death is a great disruption of his intent. His death in these difficult times renders us vulnerable. He worked hard to make sure that his children get better education than what he went
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	submission on behalf of my family as well as the three children of the deceased. I first would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to permit us to make this submission." She says that the objective of this submission shall address the life of the deceased. "Our life with the deceased, our individual and collective feelings of loss as a family, impact financially and morally as a result of the loss of my brother in the family as well as the questions we would like the Commission to address in its work. The life of Thapelo Eric Mabebe. Thapelo was born in Seging, Pampierstad in the Northern Cape Province from a poor family in 1975. He is one of the six children and was raised by a single parent, our mother. Our mother passed on at an early stage of our lives and as a result of poverty and lack of financial support, he attended school up to grade 6 and had to look for work from an early age.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	formal employment and support their families by temporary employment positions they find themselves in, what is commonly known as contract employment. Thapelo took advantage of the job opportunities in the South African mining sector to give his children and his siblings support in their formal workplace and thus most of the extended family relied on his support. In our family he paid for most of the household needs and now we are left with a huge gap to fill. He used to buy clothes for his children as well as us, the extended family. As a family we have to, but thank Lonmin for assistance they gave us but we will address the Lonmin issue later in this statement. The death of Thapelo means that the support that most of us received went with him and the cycle of poverty that gripped the family and extended family will rear its head again, with no-one to mitigate it. Thapelo was working hard to break this cycle but his death is a great disruption of his intent. His death in these difficult times renders us vulnerable. He worked hard to make sure

1	Page 35012	1	Page 35013
1	Our feelings as family. Though we accept that	1	MS MABEBE: I am scared of the people
2	death can come to any person, but Thapelo's death was very	2	that worked with him. When they came to the funeral in a bus we were scared of them, scared of the workers that
3	cruel, very untimely and we believe very avoidable. As a	3	
4	family, together with his children, are still unable to	4	worked together with Mabebe, I'm scared of them. The
5	cope with the humiliation of the manner in which he died.	5	Mabebe family is also scared of them. The manner in which
6	We submit that he died trying to improve the lives of his	6	they killed a fellow worker with such brutality. They
7	children as well as that of his extended family but his	7	chopped him and chopped him, these are the people that
8	death carries some stigma, as though he was doing wrong.	8	worked together with him, Chairperson. We, as Mabebe
9	He lived in abject poverty for the better part of his life.	9	family, felt very hurt. We are asking the law that those
10	We feel that Lonmin has taken away Thapelo and have a	10	that are responsible for chopping him, for killing him, the
11	responsibility to assist us and replace his deeds to his	11	law should take its course, should be sent to prison. It
12	children and to us as a family. We just have questions and	12	is only then that [indistinct]. It's now been two years
13	more questions. Our situation is very precarious though we	13	living with [inaudible], murderers who killed this person.
14	request those who we blame for support.	14	I don't know that if a person goes to work in South Africa
15	The questions we ask – who killed our brother?	15	looking for money, wages, he should - because everyone has
16	What did the employer do? Why the employer did not call	16	a right to strike for his own – he did not have money, this
17	the police because it was clear that the situation was not	17	was the only job. That is why he was going to work, but
18	peaceful? At what stage were the police called for	18	what I am - for is God the Father, just like Jesus Christ
19	assistance and what was their response? Whether his death	19	who said "Father, send me," I am referring to X, Mr X in
20	is classified as a mining incident or murder? What type of	20	this case who sacrificed himself and said, "I am one of the
21	assistance shall Lonmin provide to the family?"	21	killers." I thank Mr X but the killers of Thapelo who are
22	Chairperson, I am informed Ms Mabebe would like	22	still walking on [inaudible] whilst our brother is dead. I
23	to say something to the Commission.	23	want to ask, I want to talk that the killers, if only they
24	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Ms Mabebe, what would	24	would be sent to jail, only then will we get some rest. I
25	you like to tell us?	25	want them to be imprisoned because they committed murder,
	Page 35014		Page 35015
1	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike,	1	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I
2	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for	2	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the
2 3	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave	2 3	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I
2 3 4	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the	2 3 4	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the	2 3 4 5	 [11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's
2 3 4 5 6	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6	 [11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson.	2 3 4 5	 [11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	[11:03]CHAIRPERSON:The Commission resumes. Iunderstand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead theevidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. Iunderstand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct?MR WESLEY:It's Major Govender, that'scorrect, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Yes. Major Govender, wouldyou please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then	2 3 4 5 6 7	 [11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's now Major, is he? He's been promoted since he made his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You swear. Alright, will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's now Major, is he? He's been promoted since he made his statement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You swear. Alright, will you swear the evidence that you will give before this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's now Major, is he? He's been promoted since he made his statement? MR WESLEY: That's correct, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 [11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You swear. Alright, will you swear the evidence that you will give before this Commission will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's now Major, is he? He's been promoted since he made his statement? MR WESLEY: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Major Govender. Are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 [11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You swear. Alright, will you swear the evidence that you will give before this Commission will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Would you please raise your right hand and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's now Major, is he? He's been promoted since he made his statement? MR WESLEY: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Major Govender. Are you going to call him now or shall we take the – shall we take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You swear the evidence that you will give before this Commission will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Would you please raise your right hand and say, "I swear, so help me God."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's now Major, is he? He's been promoted since he made his statement? MR WESLEY: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Major Govender. Are you going to call him now or shall we take the – shall we take the adjournment now or –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You swear. Alright, will you swear the evidence that you will give before this Commission will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Would you please raise your right hand and say, "I swear, so help me God." VEERASAMY VELAYUDAM GOVENDER: I swear.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's now Major, is he? He's been promoted since he made his statement? MR WESLEY: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Major Govender. Are you going to call him now or shall we take the – shall we take the adjournment now or – MR WESLEY: If it pleases you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You swear. Alright, will you swear the evidence that you will give before this Commission will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Would you please raise your right hand and say, "I swear, so help me God." VEERASAMY VELAYUDAM GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You may be seated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's now Major, is he? He's been promoted since he made his statement? MR WESLEY: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Major Govender. Are you going to call him now or shall we take the – shall we take the adjournment now or – MR WESLEY: If it pleases you. CHAIRPERSON: I'm in your hands.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You swear. Alright, will you swear the evidence that you will give before this Commission will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Would you please raise your right hand and say, "I swear, so help me God." VEERASAMY VELAYUDAM GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You may be seated. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's now Major, is he? He's been promoted since he made his statement? MR WESLEY: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Major Govender. Are you going to call him now or shall we take the – shall we take the adjournment now or – MR WESLEY: If it pleases you. CHAIRPERSON: I'm in your hands. MR WESLEY: We can take it now, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You swear. Alright, will you swear the evidence that you will give before this Commission will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Would you please raise your right hand and say, "I swear, so help me God." VEERASAMY VELAYUDAM GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You may be seated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's now Major, is he? He's been promoted since he made his statement? MR WESLEY: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Major Govender. Are you going to call him now or shall we take the – shall we take the adjournment now or – MR WESLEY: If it pleases you. CHAIRPERSON: I'm in your hands. MR WESLEY: We can take it now, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the comfort	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You swear. Alright, will you swear the evidence that you will give before this Commission will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Would you please raise your right hand and say, "I swear, so help me God." VEERASAMY VELAYUDAM GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You may be seated. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's now Major, is he? He's been promoted since he made his statement? MR WESLEY: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Major Govender. Are you going to call him now or shall we take the – shall we take the adjournment now or – MR WESLEY: If it pleases you. CHAIRPERSON: I'm in your hands. MR WESLEY: We can take it now, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 [11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You swear. Alright, will you swear the evidence that you will give before this Commission will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Would you please raise your right hand and say, "I swear, so help me God." VEERASAMY VELAYUDAM GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You may be seated. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] Chairperson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's now Major, is he? He's been promoted since he made his statement? MR WESLEY: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Major Govender. Are you going to call him now or shall we take the – shall we take the adjournment now or – MR WESLEY: If it pleases you. CHAIRPERSON: I'm in your hands. MR WESLEY: We can take it now, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the comfort	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You swear. Alright, will you swear the evidence that you will give before this Commission will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Would you please raise your right hand and say, "I swear, so help me God." VEERASAMY VELAYUDAM GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You may be seated. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. MS MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's now Major, is he? He's been promoted since he made his statement? MR WESLEY: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Major Govender. Are you going to call him now or shall we take the – shall we take the adjournment now or – MR WESLEY: If it pleases you. CHAIRPERSON: I'm in your hands. MR WESLEY: We can take it now, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the comfort break now and we will resume then in about a quarter of an hour's time. MR WESLEY: Thank you.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You swear. Alright, will you swear the evidence that you will give before this Commission will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Would you please raise your right hand and say, "I swear, so help me God." VEERASAMY VELAYUDAM GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You may be seated. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MR WESLEY: Thank you, Chair. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	killing a person who is going to work who is not on strike, because he did not have money, for him to be outside for months on end, I want the law to take its course. We leave everything in the hands of the Almighty, He will make the final decision. I am scared of the people who – the workers, his co-workers, I am scared of them. Thank you, Mr Chairperson. If MASEVHE: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That then concludes the presentations we're going to have today. Mr Wesley, I believe you're going to call Captain – I see he's now Major, is he? He's been promoted since he made his statement? MR WESLEY: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Major Govender. Are you going to call him now or shall we take the – shall we take the adjournment now or – MR WESLEY: If it pleases you. CHAIRPERSON: I'm in your hands. MR WESLEY: We can take it now, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We fil take the comfort break now and we will resume then in about a quarter of an hour's time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	[11:03] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I understand, Mr Wesley, that you are going to lead the evidence of the witness. He was captain at the time. I understand he's now Major Govender. Is that correct? MR WESLEY: It's Major Govender, that's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Major Govender, would you please stand? Are you prepared to swear that your evidence will be correct, or do you wish to make an affirmation? MAJOR GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You swear. Alright, will you swear the evidence that you will give before this Commission will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Would you please raise your right hand and say, "I swear, so help me God." VEERASAMY VELAYUDAM GOVENDER: I swear. CHAIRPERSON: You may be seated. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. MR WESLEY: Thank you, Chair. The exhibit number that applies to this witness, the exhibit

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

	Demo 2501/		D 25017
1	Page 35016 Govender, are?	1	Page 35017 MR WESLEY: 10 August.
2	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's – can I spell it to	2	CHAIRPERSON: 10 August.
3	you, Chair, it's V-E-E-R-A-S-A-M-Y, second name is V-E-L-A-	3	MR WESLEY: 2012.
4	Y-U-D-A-M, and the surname is Govender, Chair.	4	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, Mr Wesley.	5	MR WESLEY: Then there's a, this next
6	MR WESLEY: Yes, Chair, if we can do the	6	document will be a statement of Captain Govender dated 3
7	homework before I start my questioning with the witness –	7	September 2012. That will be LLLL2. Then there is, the
8	CHAIRPERSON: What we call housework.	8	next document will be an email by Captain Govender dated 11
9	MR WESLEY: Yes.	9	August 2013. That will be LLLL3. The next document will
10	CHAIRPERSON: The homework is what we did	10	be an email by Captain Govender dated 12 August 2012,
11	last night when we read the papers.	11	LLLL4, and finally, Chair, there is a fifth document, it's
12	MR WESLEY: Housework.	12	a statement of Captain Govender dated 28 August 2012, which
13	CHAIRPERSON: So it's LLLL1 is the	13	will then be LLLL5.
14	statement, it's look like dated the 18th of September –	14	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. The
15	MR WESLEY: No, Chair. That's already in	15	documents will be so marked.
16	as FFFF7.	16	EXAMINATION BY MR WESLEY: Major, if we
17	CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I beg your pardon.	17	could begin with something which is slightly formal. You
18	That's FFFF7, yes, you're correct, I'm sure.	18	have a bundle which I prepared for you of the documents
19	MR WESLEY: Yes. Chair, you'll see on my	19	that I've referred to, which I will be referring to in
20	index of the bundle which I've prepared for you –	20	leading your evidence. If we could please just formally
20	CHAIRPERSON: Yes.	20	confirm from you that they are in fact what they purport to
22	MR WESLEY: That's FFFF7. The first one	22	be. If you could go to the first page of the bundle you'll
22	should be the email by Captain Govender dated 10 August	22	see on the top right-hand corner they should be numbered
23	2012. That will be LLLL1. Then –	24	consecutively. The number appears in a circle. That's the
24	CHAIRPERSON: It's dated 10, is it?	25	page number to which I'll be referring to. Do you see
20		20	
	Page 35018		Page 35019
1	Page 35018 that?	1	Page 35019 and the contents are correct?
1 2	-	1 2	<u> </u>
	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible]		and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find
2	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off,	2	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012
2 3	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7.	2	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words
2 3 4	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off,	2 3 4	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the
2 3 4 5 6 7	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible]	2 3 4 5 6 7	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content of that statement as being correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to those recipients and it's your email.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content of that statement as being correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: I do, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to those recipients and it's your email. MAJOR GOVENDER: Confirmed, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] Inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content of that statement as being correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: MAJOR GOVENDER: I do, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document, you'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to those recipients and it's your email. MAJOR GOVENDER: Confirmed, Chair. MR WESLEY: And finally the last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content of that statement as being correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: MAJOR GOVENDER: I do, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document, you'll find that at page 4 of the bundle, that is an email that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to those recipients and it's your email. MAJOR GOVENDER: Confirmed, Chair. MR WESLEY: And finally the last statement which we have of yours is at page 12 of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content of that statement as being correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: I do, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document, you'll find that at page 4 of the bundle, that is an email that you drafted and sent to the recipients as indicated on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to those recipients and it's your email. MAJOR GOVENDER: Confirmed, Chair. MR WESLEY: And finally the last statement which we have of yours is at page 12 of that bundle and it's a statement that you made on the 28th of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content of that statement as being correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: I do, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document, you'll find that at page 4 of the bundle, that is an email that you drafted and sent to the recipients as indicated on the email itself on the 10th of August 2012 at 02:50PM, in other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to those recipients and it's your email. MAJOR GOVENDER: Confirmed, Chair. MR WESLEY: And finally the last statement which we have of yours is at page 12 of that bundle and it's a statement that you made on the 28th of August 2012. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content of that statement as being correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: I do, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document, you'll find that at page 4 of the bundle, that is an email that you drafted and sent to the recipients as indicated on the email itself on the 10th of August 2012 at 02:50PM, in other words 14:50, 10 to 3 in the afternoon of the 10th of August.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to those recipients and it's your email. MAJOR GOVENDER: Confirmed, Chair. MR WESLEY: And finally the last statement which we have of yours is at page 12 of that bundle and it's a statement that you made on the 28th of August 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content of that statement as being correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: I do, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document, you'll find that at page 4 of the bundle, that is an email that you drafted and sent to the recipients as indicated on the email itself on the 10th of August 2012 at 02:50PM, in other words 14:50, 10 to 3 in the afternoon of the 10th of August. That's your email?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to those recipients and it's your email. MAJOR GOVENDER: Confirmed, Chair. MR WESLEY: And finally the last statement which we have of yours is at page 12 of that bundle and it's a statement that you made on the 28th of August 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And do you confirm the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content of that statement as being correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: I do, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document, you'll find that at page 4 of the bundle, that is an email that you drafted and sent to the recipients as indicated on the email itself on the 10th of August 2012 at 02:50PM, in other words 14:50, 10 to 3 in the afternoon of the 10th of August. That's your email? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to those recipients and it's your email. MAJOR GOVENDER: Confirmed, Chair. MR WESLEY: And finally the last statement which we have of yours is at page 12 of that bundle and it's a statement that you made on the 28th of August 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And do you confirm the contents of that statement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content of that statement as being correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: I do, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document, you'll find that at page 4 of the bundle, that is an email that you drafted and sent to the recipients as indicated on the email itself on the 10th of August 2012 at 02:50PM, in other words 14:50, 10 to 3 in the afternoon of the 10th of August. That's your email? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: That is correct, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to those recipients and it's your email. MAJOR GOVENDER: Confirmed, Chair. MR WESLEY: And finally the last statement which we have of yours is at page 12 of that bundle and it's a statement that you made on the 28th of August 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And do you confirm the contents of that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I also confirm it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content of that statement as being correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: I do, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document, you'll find that at page 4 of the bundle, that is an email that you drafted and sent to the recipients as indicated on the email itself on the 10th of August 2012 at 02:50PM, in other words 14:50, 10 to 3 in the afternoon of the 10th of August. That's your email? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: You drafted it? MAJOR GOVENDER: That's right, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to those recipients and it's your email. MAJOR GOVENDER: Confirmed, Chair. MR WESLEY: And finally the last statement which we have of yours is at page 12 of that bundle and it's a statement that you made on the 28th of August 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And do you confirm the contents of that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I also confirm it, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content of that statement as being correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: I do, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document, you'll find that at page 4 of the bundle, that is an email that you drafted and sent to the recipients as indicated on the email itself on the 10th of August 2012 at 02:50PM, in other words 14:50, 10 to 3 in the afternoon of the 10th of August. That's your email? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: You drafted it? MAJOR GOVENDER: That's right, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to those recipients and it's your email. MAJOR GOVENDER: Confirmed, Chair. MR WESLEY: And finally the last statement which we have of yours is at page 12 of that bundle and it's a statement that you made on the 28th of August 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And do you confirm the contents of that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I also confirm it, Chair. MR WESLEY: Major, in 2012 you were a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content of that statement as being correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: I do, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document, you'll find that at page 4 of the bundle, that is an email that you drafted and sent to the recipients as indicated on the email itself on the 10th of August 2012 at 02:50PM, in other words 14:50, 10 to 3 in the afternoon of the 10th of August. That's your email? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: You drafted it? MAJOR GOVENDER: That's right, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 6 then, which is another statement. Do you confirm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to those recipients and it's your email. MAJOR GOVENDER: Confirmed, Chair. MR WESLEY: And finally the last statement which we have of yours is at page 12 of that bundle and it's a statement that you made on the 28th of August 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And do you confirm the contents of that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I also confirm it, Chair. MR WESLEY: Major, in 2012 you were a captain. You've now been promoted in the meantime to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	that? MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: Now the first document, do you see that? It's FFFF7. MAJOR GOVENDER: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR WESLEY: That's a statement of yours dated 18 September 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And you confirm the content of that statement as being correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: I do, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document, you'll find that at page 4 of the bundle, that is an email that you drafted and sent to the recipients as indicated on the email itself on the 10th of August 2012 at 02:50PM, in other words 14:50, 10 to 3 in the afternoon of the 10th of August. That's your email? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: You drafted it? MAJOR GOVENDER: That's right, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and the contents are correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 8. It's an email dated the 11th of August 2012 which was sent at 14:12, which is 02:12PM, in other words in the afternoon, to the recipients as indicated in the document. Do you confirm that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chair. MR WESLEY: The next document you'll find at page 10 then of your bundle. That's an email dated 12 August 2012 which was sent at 18:02 on the 12th of August to those recipients and it's your email. MAJOR GOVENDER: Confirmed, Chair. MR WESLEY: And finally the last statement which we have of yours is at page 12 of that bundle and it's a statement that you made on the 28th of August 2012. Is that correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair. MR WESLEY: And do you confirm the contents of that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I also confirm it, Chair. MR WESLEY: Major, in 2012 you were a

	Page 35020		Page 35021
1	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair.	1	MR WESLEY: And visible policing, you do
2	MR WESLEY: Would you tell the Commission	2	those, you perform those duties usually in uniform?
3	in 2012 where you were posted and what your job was?	3	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct,
4	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Mr Chair, on the	4	Chairperson.
5	10th of August 2012 I was on duty, crime prevention duties.	5	MR WESLEY: And part of those duties,
6	At about 18:20 I received a call from Mr Hendrik Blaauw –	6	would that include monitoring and policing the area around
7	MR WESLEY: We'll get to that in a	7	Marikana?
8	moment. I just want to know where were you posted? Were	8	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I confirm that.
9	you at Marikana Police Station?	9	MR WESLEY: How many years, in 2012 then
10	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I presently am	10	how many years had you been stationed at the Marikana
11	posted at Marikana Police Station.	11	Police Station?
12	MR WESLEY: What was your job there?	12	MAJOR GOVENDER: Approximately 10 years
13	MAJOR GOVENDER: I'm a VISPOL commander.	13	now. I started there in 2005, Chair.
14	MR WESLEY: VISPOL?	14	MR WESLEY: So in 2012 you had
15	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes.	15	approximately seven years experience at the Marikana Police
16	MR WESLEY: VISPOL stands for visible	16	Station?
17	policing?	17	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Mr
18	MAJOR GOVENDER: Visible policing	18	Chairperson.
19	commander doing crime prevention duties.	19	MR WESLEY: And did you, would you say
20	MR WESLEY: And could you just expand	20	you knew the area and the populace and the local dynamics
21 22	crime prevention duties, what does that entail? MAJOR GOVENDER: That's basically visible	21 22	fairly well? MAJOR GOVENDER: I can confirm that.
22	5	22	
23 24	policing in terms of high visibility of patrols, crime prevention, it's roadblocks, stop and searches, all other	23 24	I've been very actively involved in that area. MR WESLEY: Could you please go then,
24	crime prevention activities relating to those duties.	24	let's start at the document which is at page 4 of the
25	chine prevention detivities relating to those duties.	25	let's start at the document which is at page 4 of the
	Page 35022		Page 35023
1	Page 35022 bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLLL1.	1	Page 35023 few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching
1 2	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right-	1 2	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few
	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLLL1.		few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and
2 3 4	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair.	2	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were
2 3	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the	2 3	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I
2 3 4 5 6	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your	2 3 4 5 6	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were.
2 3 4 5 6 7	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention	2 3 4 5 6 7	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you observed the march, can you explain to the Commission how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was informed by security personnel that they were addressed by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you observed the march, can you explain to the Commission how did you observe the march and from where did you observe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was informed by security personnel that they were addressed by some senior official, or senior management of management of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you observed the march, can you explain to the Commission how did you observe the march and from where did you observe the march?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was informed by security personnel that they were addressed by some senior official, or senior management of management of Lonmin, and subsequently the protesters then left the LPD
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you observed the march, can you explain to the Commission how did you observe the march and from where did you observe the march? MAJOR GOVENDER: Okay, Mr Chair, from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was informed by security personnel that they were addressed by some senior official, or senior management of management of Lonmin, and subsequently the protesters then left the LPD offices and moved back to the assembly point at Wonderkop.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you observed the march, can you explain to the Commission how did you observe the march and from where did you observe the march? MAJOR GOVENDER: Okay, Mr Chair, from the 10th of August at about 7 o'clock there was a gathering at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was informed by security personnel that they were addressed by some senior official, or senior management of management of Lonmin, and subsequently the protesters then left the LPD offices and moved back to the assembly point at Wonderkop. At about 13:30 I think it was that they dispersed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you observed the march, can you explain to the Commission how did you observe the march and from where did you observe the march? MAJOR GOVENDER: Okay, Mr Chair, from the 10th of August at about 7 o'clock there was a gathering at Wonderkop and there was a procession of a march that took	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was informed by security personnel that they were addressed by some senior official, or senior management of management of Lonmin, and subsequently the protesters then left the LPD offices and moved back to the assembly point at Wonderkop. At about 13:30 I think it was that they dispersed from the point of assembly. It was peaceful. There were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you observed the march, can you explain to the Commission how did you observe the march and from where did you observe the march? MAJOR GOVENDER: Okay, Mr Chair, from the 10th of August at about 7 o'clock there was a gathering at Wonderkop and there was a procession of a march that took place from that particular point of assembly through to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was informed by security personnel that they were addressed by some senior official, or senior management of management of Lonmin, and subsequently the protesters then left the LPD offices and moved back to the assembly point at Wonderkop. At about 13:30 I think it was that they dispersed from the point of assembly. It was peaceful. There were no incidents that were reported. The march was monitored
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you observed the march, can you explain to the Commission how did you observe the march and from where did you observe the march? MAJOR GOVENDER: Okay, Mr Chair, from the 10th of August at about 7 o'clock there was a gathering at Wonderkop and there was a procession of a march that took place from that particular point of assembly through to the LPD offices onto Lonmin premises, and I was present. I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was informed by security personnel that they were addressed by some senior official, or senior management of management of Lonmin, and subsequently the protesters then left the LPD offices and moved back to the assembly point at Wonderkop. At about 13:30 I think it was that they dispersed from the point of assembly. It was peaceful. There were no incidents that were reported. The march was monitored also by the Public Order members of Rustenburg.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you observed the march, can you explain to the Commission how did you observe the march and from where did you observe the march? MAJOR GOVENDER: Okay, Mr Chair, from the 10th of August at about 7 o'clock there was a gathering at Wonderkop and there was a procession of a march that took place from that particular point of assembly through to the LPD offices onto Lonmin premises, and I was present. I was in a vehicle. I escorted the procession to the destination	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was informed by security personnel that they were addressed by some senior official, or senior management of management of Lonmin, and subsequently the protesters then left the LPD offices and moved back to the assembly point at Wonderkop. At about 13:30 I think it was that they dispersed from the point of assembly. It was peaceful. There were no incidents that were reported. The march was monitored also by the Public Order members of Rustenburg. MR WESLEY: During this time, this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you observed the march, can you explain to the Commission how did you observe the march and from where did you observe the march? MAJOR GOVENDER: Okay, Mr Chair, from the 10th of August at about 7 o'clock there was a gathering at Wonderkop and there was a procession of a march that took place from that particular point of assembly through to the LPD offices onto Lonmin premises, and I was present. I was in a vehicle. I escorted the procession to the destination of the march. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was informed by security personnel that they were addressed by some senior official, or senior management of management of Lonmin, and subsequently the protesters then left the LPD offices and moved back to the assembly point at Wonderkop. At about 13:30 I think it was that they dispersed from the point of assembly. It was peaceful. There were no incidents that were reported. The march was monitored also by the Public Order members of Rustenburg. MR WESLEY: During this time, this monitoring, you were in close proximity to the crowd?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you observed the march, can you explain to the Commission how did you observe the march and from where did you observe the march? MAJOR GOVENDER: Okay, Mr Chair, from the 10th of August at about 7 o'clock there was a gathering at Wonderkop and there was a procession of a march that took place from that particular point of assembly through to the LPD offices onto Lonmin premises, and I was present. I was in a vehicle. I escorted the procession to the destination	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was informed by security personnel that they were addressed by some senior official, or senior management of management of Lonmin, and subsequently the protesters then left the LPD offices and moved back to the assembly point at Wonderkop. At about 13:30 I think it was that they dispersed from the point of assembly. It was peaceful. There were no incidents that were reported. The march was monitored also by the Public Order members of Rustenburg. MR WESLEY: During this time, this monitoring, you were in close proximity to the crowd?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top right- hand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you observed the march, can you explain to the Commission how did you observe the march and from where did you observe the march? MAJOR GOVENDER: Okay, Mr Chair, from the 10th of August at about 7 o'clock there was a gathering at Wonderkop and there was a procession of a march that took place from that particular point of assembly through to the LPD offices onto Lonmin premises, and I was present. I was in a vehicle. I escorted the procession to the destination of the march. I was amidst of several of the protesters that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was informed by security personnel that they were addressed by some senior official, or senior management of management of Lonmin, and subsequently the protesters then left the LPD offices and moved back to the assembly point at Wonderkop. At about 13:30 I think it was that they dispersed from the point of assembly. It was peaceful. There were no incidents that were reported. The march was monitored also by the Public Order members of Rustenburg. MR WESLEY: During this time, this monitoring, you were in close proximity to the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was amidst, or amongst
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top righthand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you observed the march, can you explain to the Commission how did you observe the march and from where did you observe the march? MAJOR GOVENDER: Okay, Mr Chair, from the 10th of August at about 7 o'clock there was a gathering at Wonderkop and there was a procession of a march that took place from that particular point of assembly through to the LPD offices onto Lonmin premises, and I was present. I was in a vehicle. I escorted the procession to the destination of the march. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was informed by security personnel that they were addressed by some senior official, or senior management of management of Lonmin, and subsequently the protesters then left the LPD offices and moved back to the assembly point at Wonderkop. At about 13:30 I think it was that they dispersed from the point of assembly. It was peaceful. There were no incidents that were reported. The march was monitored also by the Public Order members of Rustenburg. MR WESLEY: During this time, this monitoring, you were in close proximity to the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was amidst, or amongst the protesters whilst the procession was taking place.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 bundle. We're not going to – do you have it? It's LLLL1. You remember the page numbering; it's page 4, top righthand corner, the number in a circle. MAJOR GOVENDER: I do have it, Chair. MR WESLEY: We're not going to read the entire document in. You've confirmed that it is your email, but one part of it I want to direct your attention at. It concerns happenings on the 10th of August, you monitored a march by employees, Lonmin employees to the LPD offices and back. You observed the march. When you observed the march, can you explain to the Commission how did you observe the march and from where did you observe the march? MAJOR GOVENDER: Okay, Mr Chair, from the 10th of August at about 7 o'clock there was a gathering at Wonderkop and there was a procession of a march that took place from that particular point of assembly through to the LPD offices onto Lonmin premises, and I was present. I was in a vehicle. I escorted the procession to the destination of the march. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	few of, or a delegation instead of the entire mass marching up to the LPD offices and that they should single out a few of their delegation to go up to the offices of the LPD, and we were there for some time, up and until there were certain discussions that evolved around certain issues. I cannot confirm what these issues were. Later on the march then proceeded to the LPD offices where the masses gathered in front of the offices. I was also not present at that particular gathering, but I stood at a distance and several minutes later I was informed by security personnel that they were addressed by some senior official, or senior management of management of Lonmin, and subsequently the protesters then left the LPD offices and moved back to the assembly point at Wonderkop. At about 13:30 I think it was that they dispersed from the point of assembly. It was peaceful. There were no incidents that were reported. The march was monitored also by the Public Order members of Rustenburg. MR WESLEY: During this time, this monitoring, you were in close proximity to the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was amidst, or amongst the protesters whilst the procession was taking place. MR WESLEY: And you used the word in

	Page 35024		Page 35025
1	peaceful then?	1	MR WESLEY: During the march to and from
2	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, it was peaceful.	2	the LPD offices, at any time were you requested by anybody
3	MR WESLEY: During the time that you had	3	to take any action in relation to this crowd?
4	to observe the crowd did you see anybody, any parts of the	4	MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall
5	crowd carrying any weapons?	5	of. Look, my role as a VISPOL commander, not trained to do
6	MAJOR GOVENDER: If I recall yes, they	6	crowd control and management, so no one approached me in
7	had sticks. I don't know if you can call that a weapon,	7	regard to having this crowd dispersed.
8	but they were, they had sticks in their hands and nothing	8	MR WESLEY: Thank you. Could you then
9	dangerous that I take note of.	9	please turn to the document which is the first, go to the
10	MR WESLEY: Was there, while you were	10	first page of the bundle, it's exhibit FFFF7, it's your
11	monitoring this crowd did you observe or perceive any	11	statement dated 18 September 2012. You have it?
12	indications that the crowd could become violent, any	12	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes.
13	indications they might be prone to violence at that day?	13	MR WESLEY: I'll read paragraph 2. "On
14	MAJOR GOVENDER: To my knowledge, like I	14	Friday 2012-08-10 I at about 18:20 whilst on duty I
15	said I was amongst those people and I didn't see any threat	15	received a report from Mr Henry Blaauw, the security
16	that they had against us at that particular moment.	16	manager at Lonmin Platinum, that employees of Lonmin are
17	MR WESLEY: Now you state in the email	17	being threatened and intimidated by other employees as a
18	that I've referred to that on that day in relation to this	18	result of industrial action. The threat was concentrated
19	march no police action was taken. Had police action been	19	at Rowland Shaft and at Karee 3 Shaft. I immediately
20	necessary on that day, would it have been taken?	20	proceeded to Rowland Shaft Wonderkop, accompanied by
21	MAJOR GOVENDER: The availability of	21	Warrant Officer Van Rooyen, Warrant Officer Bauer, and
22	police at that particular time to monitor and control was	22	Constable Njomo."
23	not possible, if you look at the capacity of protesters.	23	Now what I want to ask you is this; firstly in
24 25	In a situation like this it would rather be safer to let the protesters go on to their destination.	24 25	relation to Mr Blaauw, was it common for you to receive reports from Mr Blaauw?
23		25	
	Page 35026		Page 35027
1	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a	1	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr
2	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's	2	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair.
2 3	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person	2 3	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at
2 3 4	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana.	2 3 4	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were
2 3 4 5	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then	2 3 4 5	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the
2 3 4 5 6	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened	2 3 4 5 6	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you	2 3 4 5 6 7	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now if we can go to paragraph	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now apart from knobkieries were members of this group armed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now if we can go to paragraph 3, I'll read it again and then pose some questions to you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now apart from knobkieries were members of this group armed with any sharp-bladed weapons or firearms that you could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now if we can go to paragraph 3, I'll read it again and then pose some questions to you. "Upon arrival at Wonderkop I noticed a group of African	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now apart from knobkieries were members of this group armed with any sharp-bladed weapons or firearms that you could see?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now if we can go to paragraph 3, I'll read it again and then pose some questions to you. "Upon arrival at Wonderkop I noticed a group of African males standing on the side of the road opposite Rowland	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now apart from knobkieries were members of this group armed with any sharp-bladed weapons or firearms that you could see? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no other weapons
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAJOR GOVENDER:Yes, we share arelationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana.MR WESLEY:Okay, so Mr Blaauw thenreports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing.MAJOR GOVENDER:That is correct,Chairperson.MR WESLEY:NR WESLEY:Now if we can go to paragraph3, I'll read it again and then pose some questions to you."Upon arrival at Wonderkop I noticed a group of African males standing on the side of the road opposite Rowland Shaft. The group consisted of approximately 15 African	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now apart from knobkieries were members of this group armed with any sharp-bladed weapons or firearms that you could see? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no other weapons except the knobkieries that were in their possession.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now if we can go to paragraph 3, I'll read it again and then pose some questions to you. "Upon arrival at Wonderkop I noticed a group of African males standing on the side of the road opposite Rowland Shaft. The group consisted of approximately 15 African males. Some of the males were in possession of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now apart from knobkieries were members of this group armed with any sharp-bladed weapons or firearms that you could see? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no other weapons except the knobkieries that were in their possession. MR WESLEY: Now if you could go to page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now if we can go to paragraph 3, I'll read it again and then pose some questions to you. "Upon arrival at Wonderkop I noticed a group of African males standing on the side of the road opposite Rowland Shaft. The group consisted of approximately 15 African males. Some of the males were in possession of knobkieries. As we drove closer the group immediately	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now apart from knobkieries were members of this group armed with any sharp-bladed weapons or firearms that you could see? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no other weapons except the knobkieries that were in their possession. MR WESLEY: Now if you could go to page 23 of that bundle, I want to refer you to a document that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now if we can go to paragraph 3, I'll read it again and then pose some questions to you. "Upon arrival at Wonderkop I noticed a group of African males standing on the side of the road opposite Rowland Shaft. The group consisted of approximately 15 African males. Some of the males were in possession of knobkieries. As we drove closer the group immediately started to move towards the Wonderkop Hostel. We then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now apart from knobkieries were members of this group armed with any sharp-bladed weapons or firearms that you could see? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no other weapons except the knobkieries that were in their possession. MR WESLEY: Now if you could go to page 23 of that bundle, I want to refer you to a document that appears there. It's EEEE32A, page 23 of the bundle. Do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now if we can go to paragraph 3, I'll read it again and then pose some questions to you. "Upon arrival at Wonderkop I noticed a group of African males standing on the side of the road opposite Rowland Shaft. The group consisted of approximately 15 African males. Some of the males were in possession of knobkieries. As we drove closer the group immediately started to move towards the Wonderkop Hostel. We then proceeded to the group of Lonmin security officials parked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now apart from knobkieries were members of this group armed with any sharp-bladed weapons or firearms that you could see? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no other weapons except the knobkieries that were in their possession. MR WESLEY: Now if you could go to page 23 of that bundle, I want to refer you to a document that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now if we can go to paragraph 3, I'll read it again and then pose some questions to you. "Upon arrival at Wonderkop I noticed a group of African males standing on the side of the road opposite Rowland Shaft. The group consisted of approximately 15 African males. Some of the males were in possession of knobkieries. As we drove closer the group immediately started to move towards the Wonderkop Hostel. We then proceeded to the group of Lonmin security officials parked at the four-way crossing. We sat in the vehicle and I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now apart from knobkieries were members of this group armed with any sharp-bladed weapons or firearms that you could see? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no other weapons except the knobkieries that were in their possession. MR WESLEY: Now if you could go to page 23 of that bundle, I want to refer you to a document that appears there. It's EEEE32A, page 23 of the bundle. Do you have it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now if we can go to paragraph 3, I'll read it again and then pose some questions to you. "Upon arrival at Wonderkop I noticed a group of African males standing on the side of the road opposite Rowland Shaft. The group consisted of approximately 15 African males. Some of the males were in possession of knobkieries. As we drove closer the group immediately started to move towards the Wonderkop Hostel. We then proceeded to the group of Lonmin security officials parked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now apart from knobkieries were members of this group armed with any sharp-bladed weapons or firearms that you could see? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no other weapons except the knobkieries that were in their possession. MR WESLEY: Now if you could go to page 23 of that bundle, I want to refer you to a document that appears there. It's EEEE32A, page 23 of the bundle. Do you have it? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now if we can go to paragraph 3, I'll read it again and then pose some questions to you. "Upon arrival at Wonderkop I noticed a group of African males standing on the side of the road opposite Rowland Shaft. The group consisted of approximately 15 African males. Some of the males were in possession of knobkieries. As we drove closer the group immediately started to move towards the Wonderkop Hostel. We then proceeded to the group of Lonmin security officials parked at the four-way crossing. We sat in the vehicle and I was approached by the chief of Lonmin, Mr Graeme Sinclair, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now apart from knobkieries were members of this group armed with any sharp-bladed weapons or firearms that you could see? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no other weapons except the knobkieries that were in their possession. MR WESLEY: Now if you could go to page 23 of that bundle, I want to refer you to a document that appears there. It's EEEE32A, page 23 of the bundle. Do you have it? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair. MR WESLEY: Okay, on page 23, this is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now if we can go to paragraph 3, I'll read it again and then pose some questions to you. "Upon arrival at Wonderkop I noticed a group of African males standing on the side of the road opposite Rowland Shaft. The group consisted of approximately 15 African males. Some of the males were in possession of knobkieries. As we drove closer the group immediately started to move towards the Wonderkop Hostel. We then proceeded to the group of Lonmin security officials parked at the four-way crossing. We sat in the vehicle and I was approached by the chief of Lonmin, Mr Graeme Sinclair, and Mr Derek Botes, who said that I must disperse the group of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now apart from knobkieries were members of this group armed with any sharp-bladed weapons or firearms that you could see? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no other weapons except the knobkieries that were in their possession. MR WESLEY: Now if you could go to page 23 of that bundle, I want to refer you to a document that appears there. It's EEEE32A, page 23 of the bundle. Do you have it? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair. MR WESLEY: Okay, on page 23, this is a shooting incident report by Mr Kellerman in relation to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, we share a relationship in terms of partnership and if there's anything that does happen there then I'm the first person that gets informed of any situation at Marikana. MR WESLEY: Okay, so Mr Blaauw then reports to you that Lonmin employees are being threatened and intimidated at Rowland Crossing and K3 Shaft and you then go straight to Rowland Crossing. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now if we can go to paragraph 3, I'll read it again and then pose some questions to you. "Upon arrival at Wonderkop I noticed a group of African males standing on the side of the road opposite Rowland Shaft. The group consisted of approximately 15 African males. Some of the males were in possession of knobkieries. As we drove closer the group immediately started to move towards the Wonderkop Hostel. We then proceeded to the group of Lonmin security officials parked at the four-way crossing. We sat in the vehicle and I was approached by the chief of Lonmin, Mr Graeme Sinclair, and Mr Derek Botes, who said that I must disperse the group of males standing on the opposite side. I said to Mr Botes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Mr Chair. MR WESLEY: So when you arrived at Rowland Crossing you saw this crowd of men that were purportedly perpetrating acts of intimidation. You saw the crowd? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I did see the crowd there, but I cannot confirm that this is the same people that were intimidating the employees. MR WESLEY: Okay, I understand that. Now apart from knobkieries were members of this group armed with any sharp-bladed weapons or firearms that you could see? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no other weapons except the knobkieries that were in their possession. MR WESLEY: Now if you could go to page 23 of that bundle, I want to refer you to a document that appears there. It's EEEE32A, page 23 of the bundle. Do you have it? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair. MR WESLEY: Okay, on page 23, this is a shooting incident report by Mr Kellerman in relation to events on the 10th between 18:35 and 20:10. He mentions,

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

-			
	Page 35028		Page 35029
1	them." You didn't see that though?	1	for that time. There were in fact two shooting reports.
2	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't see those	2	The other one is also in the bundle at page 28, it's
3	sharpened objects you speak of.	3	EEEE32B. The first one is in relation to the firing of 37
4	[11:23] MR WESLEY: And just for record purposes,	4	millimetre stoppers, the one which is EEEE32B is in
5	Chair, there were two incident, shooting reports –	5	relation to the firing of rubber rounds, they both contain
6	CHAIRPERSON: Then the next line is	6	the same reference which he says the persons had
7	important surely, I take it, it reads and intimidated, is	7	knobkerries, pangas and spears with them and intimidated
8	that the –	8	the workers at the area. Now you were asked, if you return
9	MR WESLEY: The workers at the area.	9	to your statement, at page 1, you were asked by Mr - you
10	CHAIRPERSON: The workers at the area.	10	say Derek Botes, we know him in the Commission as Dirk
11	The crowd refused to withdraw from there, what's the last	11	Botes, you were asked by him to disperse the crowd.
12	word?	12	MAJOR GOVENDER: That's is correct,
13	MR WESLEY: From the area, Chair. Shall	13	Chair.
14	I read it? I think it says area.	14	MR WESLEY: The crowd or group, if you
15	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	15	don't mind I'll use the words interchangeably, did Mr Botes
16	MR WESLEY: So you didn't see, apart from	16	tell you why it was required by him that SAPS disperse the
17	knopkieries, you didn't see pangas and spears and you	17	crowd.
18	didn't see a crowd, this crowd perpetrating any acts of	18	MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't recall why he
19	intimidation.	19	asked me, but he just said to me that I must go there and
20 21	MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, like I made mention of is on my arrival there I just saw a group of	20 21	ensure that they leave the place. No, no reason was said to me why I should move those people from there.
21	people that were standing there. There was no weapons	21	CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry to interrupt you,
23	except for the knobkerries that I saw in their possession.	22	Mr Wesley, I notice that the document to which you're
23	MR WESLEY: Chair, just for record	23	referring is not actually compiled by Mr Kellerman, it
25	purposes this is a shooting report filed by Mr Kellerman	25	relates to shooting by, discharged by him certain stoppers
	Page 35030		Page 35031
1	Page 35030 and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes.	1	Page 35031 around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity
2	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct,	1 2	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And
	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's		around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or
2 3 4	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out	2	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they
2 3 4 5	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes.	2 3 4 5	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson,
2 3 4 5 6	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain
2 3 4 5 6 7	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of these people, by names I may not know them, but appearance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree with the request that the police should take it upon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of these people, by names I may not know them, but appearance I may.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree with the request that the police should take it upon themselves to disperse these people?" "Mr Chairman, Dirk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of these people, by names I may not know them, but appearance I may. MR WESLEY: I would like to refer you to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree with the request that the police should take it upon themselves to disperse these people?" "Mr Chairman, Dirk was in a state, he was not in a quiet state, he was vocal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of these people, by names I may not know them, but appearance I may. MR WESLEY: I would like to refer you to some testimony of Mr Sinclair, it's on day 268, day 268	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree with the request that the police should take it upon themselves to disperse these people?" "Mr Chairman, Dirk was in a state, he was not in a quiet state, he was vocal and he said that when he came back to me I recall very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of these people, by names I may not know them, but appearance I may. MR WESLEY: I would like to refer you to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree with the request that the police should take it upon themselves to disperse these people?" "Mr Chairman, Dirk was in a state, he was not in a quiet state, he was vocal and he said that when he came back to me I recall very clearly saying to him Dirk calm down and address these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of these people, by names I may not know them, but appearance I may. MR WESLEY: I would like to refer you to some testimony of Mr Sinclair, it's on day 268, day 268 page 34115, day 268 page 34115.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree with the request that the police should take it upon themselves to disperse these people?" "Mr Chairman, Dirk was in a state, he was not in a quiet state, he was vocal and he said that when he came back to me I recall very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of these people, by names I may not know them, but appearance I may. MR WESLEY: I would like to refer you to some testimony of Mr Sinclair, it's on day 268, day 268 page 34115, day 268 page 34115. MAJOR GOVENDER: There we have it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree with the request that the police should take it upon themselves to disperse these people?" "Mr Chairman, Dirk was in a state, he was not in a quiet state, he was vocal and he said that when he came back to me I recall very clearly saying to him Dirk calm down and address these situations correctly. So I cautioned him as the way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of these people, by names I may not know them, but appearance I may. MR WESLEY: I would like to refer you to some testimony of Mr Sinclair, it's on day 268, day 268 page 34115, day 268 page 34115. MAJOR GOVENDER: There we have it. MR WESLEY: Now this is part of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree with the request that the police should take it upon themselves to disperse these people?" "Mr Chairman, Dirk was in a state, he was not in a quiet state, he was vocal and he said that when he came back to me I recall very clearly saying to him Dirk calm down and address these situations correctly. So I cautioned him as the way because he was dealing with the police at the scene." Do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of these people, by names I may not know them, but appearance I may. MR WESLEY: I would like to refer you to some testimony of Mr Sinclair, it's on day 268, day 268 page 34115, day 268 page 34115. MAJOR GOVENDER: There we have it. MR WESLEY: Now this is part of the testimony by Mr Sinclair in relation to what was happening	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree with the request that the police should take it upon themselves to disperse these people?" "Mr Chairman, Dirk was in a state, he was not in a quiet state, he was vocal and he said that when he came back to me I recall very clearly saying to him Dirk calm down and address these situations correctly. So I cautioned him as the way because he was dealing with the police at the scene." Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of these people, by names I may not know them, but appearance I may. MR WESLEY: I would like to refer you to some testimony of Mr Sinclair, it's on day 268, day 268 page 34115, day 268 page 34115. MAJOR GOVENDER: There we have it. MR WESLEY: Now this is part of the testimony by Mr Sinclair in relation to what was happening on the evening of the 10th of August. Mr Sinclair testifies	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree with the request that the police should take it upon themselves to disperse these people?" "Mr Chairman, Dirk was in a state, he was not in a quiet state, he was vocal and he said that when he came back to me I recall very clearly saying to him Dirk calm down and address these situations correctly. So I cautioned him as the way because he was dealing with the police at the scene." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, I see that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of these people, by names I may not know them, but appearance I may. MR WESLEY: I would like to refer you to some testimony of Mr Sinclair, it's on day 268, day 268 page 34115, day 268 page 34115. MAJOR GOVENDER: There we have it. MR WESLEY: Now this is part of the testimony by Mr Sinclair in relation to what was happening on the evening of the 10th of August. Mr Sinclair testifies from page 3 on – from line 3 at page 34115. Mr Sinclair	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree with the request that the police should take it upon themselves to disperse these people?" "Mr Chairman, Dirk was in a state, he was not in a quiet state, he was vocal and he said that when he came back to me I recall very clearly saying to him Dirk calm down and address these situations correctly. So I cautioned him as the way because he was dealing with the police at the scene." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, I see that. MR WESLEY: Now he, Mr Sinclair's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of these people, by names I may not know them, but appearance I may. MR WESLEY: I would like to refer you to some testimony of Mr Sinclair, it's on day 268, day 268 page 34115, day 268 page 34115. MAJOR GOVENDER: There we have it. MR WESLEY: Now this is part of the testimony by Mr Sinclair in relation to what was happening on the evening of the 10th of August. Mr Sinclair testifies from page 3 on – from line 3 at page 34115. Mr Sinclair "Mr Chairman, when I was present I recall that Botes, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree with the request that the police should take it upon themselves to disperse these people?" "Mr Chairman, Dirk was in a state, he was not in a quiet state, he was vocal and he said that when he came back to me I recall very clearly saying to him Dirk calm down and address these situations correctly. So I cautioned him as the way because he was dealing with the police at the scene." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, I see that. MR WESLEY: Now he, Mr Sinclair's description of Mr Botes' state of mind at that stage was he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of these people, by names I may not know them, but appearance I may. MR WESLEY: I would like to refer you to some testimony of Mr Sinclair, it's on day 268, day 268 page 34115, day 268 page 34115. MAJOR GOVENDER: There we have it. MR WESLEY: Now this is part of the testimony by Mr Sinclair in relation to what was happening on the evening of the 10th of August. Mr Sinclair testifies from page 3 on – from line 3 at page 34115. Mr Sinclair "Mr Chairman, when I was present I recall that Botes, we approached, I wasn't right next to him, but we approached Govender that he spoke to Govender. I recall very definitely that there were shots, there was one or two in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree with the request that the police should take it upon themselves to disperse these people?" "Mr Chairman, Dirk was in a state, he was not in a quiet state, he was vocal and he said that when he came back to me I recall very clearly saying to him Dirk calm down and address these situations correctly. So I cautioned him as the way because he was dealing with the police at the scene." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, I see that. MR WESLEY: Now he, Mr Sinclair's description of Mr Botes' state of mind at that stage was he was not in a quiet state, he was vocal and he says he had to tell him to calm down. Did that accord with what you recall of Mr Botes' state of mind at that moment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	and so. But it's actually completed I see by Mr Beukes. MR WESLEY: Beukes, that's correct, Chair. We've referred to it previously as Kellerman's shooting reports, but directly, Chair, as you pointed out they are in fact completed by a security officer, R Beukes. You are quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know Mr Beukes, Mr Govender, Major Govender. Have you come across him in the course of your work as Vispol commander at Marikana? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I meet a lot of these people, by names I may not know them, but appearance I may. MR WESLEY: I would like to refer you to some testimony of Mr Sinclair, it's on day 268, day 268 page 34115, day 268 page 34115. MAJOR GOVENDER: There we have it. MR WESLEY: Now this is part of the testimony by Mr Sinclair in relation to what was happening on the evening of the 10th of August. Mr Sinclair testifies from page 3 on – from line 3 at page 34115. Mr Sinclair "Mr Chairman, when I was present I recall that Botes, we approached, I wasn't right next to him, but we approached Govender that he spoke to Govender. I recall very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	around, but there was no crowd that I saw in the vicinity opposite the road that was threatening or whatever." And he carries on. "And during my visit no rubber bullets or things by the security had been fired at all although they informed me that they had already done that." Chairperson, "Now tell me, you've said that Mr Botes asked Captain Govender to disperse these people who were standing there and he apparently declined." Mr Sinclair "Yes." Chairperson, "Because he said you were present when it happened." Mr Sinclair "I was." Chairperson "Do you agree with the request that the police should take it upon themselves to disperse these people?" "Mr Chairman, Dirk was in a state, he was not in a quiet state, he was vocal and he said that when he came back to me I recall very clearly saying to him Dirk calm down and address these situations correctly. So I cautioned him as the way because he was dealing with the police at the scene." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, I see that. MR WESLEY: Now he, Mr Sinclair's description of Mr Botes' state of mind at that stage was he was not in a quiet state, he was vocal and he says he had to tell him to calm down. Did that accord with what you

	Page 35032		Page 35033
1	state of mind was, but he was very vocal.	1	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair.
2	MR WESLEY: The way he was behaving.	2	MR WESLEY: You then told Mr Botes,
3	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes he was like giving	3	according to your statement, that you were not going to
4	an instruction that I should disperse those people.	4	disperse the group. The reason being they were not
5	MR WESLEY: Let me ask you this then.	5	presenting a problem to anyone.
6	Was he calm or would you say he was agitated or excited?	6	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, I did
7	MAJOR GOVENDER: I would say he was more	7	mention that.
8	excited than anything else.	8	MR WESLEY: Now from observing this group
9	MR WESLEY: Now after you received this	9	of people, I think you've already covered it, but let's
10	request from Mr Botes that SAPS must disperse this group	10	just confirm it, were they threatening?
11	what did you do?	11	MAJOR GOVENDER: Not to my knowledge at
12	MAJOR GOVENDER: I was in the company of	12	that particular point in time.
13	- like I earlier mentioned in my statement with three other	13	MR WESLEY: Did you see this group that
14	members, ${\rm I}$ asked one constable to approach this crowd and	14	you observed, did you see them intimidating anybody?
15	to enquire from them what were they doing at that	15	MAJOR GOVENDER: No I did not see or
16	particular point. And after a few minutes my member did	16	observe any of that.
17	return to me, it's Constable Njomo and he informed me that	17	MR WESLEY: Now after you told Mr Botes
18	they were waiting for transportation, a taxi. And	18	that you were not going disperse this grouping or crowd
19	subsequently thereafter observed that this group had	19	what did Mr Botes and Mr Sinclair do?
20	started to move towards the hostels and there was no taxi	20	MAJOR GOVENDER: They just went back
21	that came along. Then that's it and then everybody had	21	towards the point they were standing, next to the vehicle
22	dispersed from that particular point.	22	and I had no further conversation with them.
23	MR WESLEY: So Constable Njomo went to	23	MR WESLEY: And what did you do after
24	the crowd, spoke to them and they spoke back to him and	24	that?
25	then he returned.	25	MAJOR GOVENDER: Well I just stood around
	Page 35034		Page 35035
1	there and waited up until I heard two shots, gunshots	1	second page of the exhibit.
2	coming from the direction of the stadium, closer towards	2	MR WESLEY: That's correct, Chair. Each
3	the informal settlement of Nkaneng and at that particular	3	page it's the second, it's in fact paragraph 2.7 of the
4	point I was also joined by other members of my station.	4	exhibit. Under the heading Particulars of Witnesses on
5	And I asked them to go out and to just patrol around there	5	both documents are listed firstly Superintendent PW Botha
6	to see if anybody had been injured, if someone has been	6	and then Captain Govender and then what reads SAPS
7	shot and I did the same. I jumped in my vehicle and drove	7	Marikana. Do you see that?
8	towards the shopping centre at Wonderkop or shopping	8	
			MAJOR GOVENDER: I do, Chair.
9	complex at Wonderkop, the taxi rank, along the hostels for	9	MR WESLEY: Now did you witness Mr
10	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report	10	MR WESLEY: Now did you witness Mr Kellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge
10 11	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report I also received from my crime prevention members that they	10 11	MR WESLEY: Now did you witness Mr Kellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge any of their weapons on that evening while you were at the
10 11 12	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report I also received from my crime prevention members that they did not come across anything or no report was made of any	10 11 12	MR WESLEY: Now did you witness Mr Kellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge any of their weapons on that evening while you were at the scene at Rowland Crossing?
10 11 12 13	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report I also received from my crime prevention members that they did not come across anything or no report was made of any person that had been injured or shot.	10 11 12 13	MR WESLEY: Now did you witness Mr Kellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge any of their weapons on that evening while you were at the scene at Rowland Crossing? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not in my presence,
10 11 12 13 14	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report I also received from my crime prevention members that they did not come across anything or no report was made of any person that had been injured or shot. MR WESLEY: If I can refer you back to	10 11 12 13 14	MR WESLEY: Now did you witness Mr Kellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge any of their weapons on that evening while you were at the scene at Rowland Crossing? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not in my presence, Chair.
10 11 12 13 14 15	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report I also received from my crime prevention members that they did not come across anything or no report was made of any person that had been injured or shot. MR WESLEY: If I can refer you back to the document, the two shooting reports. If you can go to	10 11 12 13 14 15	MR WESLEY:Now did you witness MrKellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge any of their weapons on that evening while you were at the scene at Rowland Crossing?MAJOR GOVENDER:Not in my presence,Chair.MR WESLEY:If we could then go back to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report I also received from my crime prevention members that they did not come across anything or no report was made of any person that had been injured or shot. MR WESLEY: If I can refer you back to the document, the two shooting reports. If you can go to page 24, your bundle. That's the first shooting EEEE32A,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR WESLEY:Now did you witness MrKellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge any of their weapons on that evening while you were at the scene at Rowland Crossing?MAJOR GOVENDER:Not in my presence,Chair.If we could then go back to exhibit FFFF7, I want to take you to the page which is in
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report I also received from my crime prevention members that they did not come across anything or no report was made of any person that had been injured or shot. MR WESLEY: If I can refer you back to the document, the two shooting reports. If you can go to page 24, your bundle. That's the first shooting EEEE32A, go to page 24. Do you have it?	 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 	MR WESLEY:Now did you witness MrKellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge any of their weapons on that evening while you were at the scene at Rowland Crossing? MAJOR GOVENDER:Not in my presence,MAJOR GOVENDER:Not in my presence,Chair.If we could then go back to exhibit FFFF7, I want to take you to the page which is in the second page of your bundle, it's the second page of the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report I also received from my crime prevention members that they did not come across anything or no report was made of any person that had been injured or shot. MR WESLEY: If I can refer you back to the document, the two shooting reports. If you can go to page 24, your bundle. That's the first shooting EEEE32A, go to page 24. Do you have it? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair.	 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	MR WESLEY:Now did you witness MrKellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge any of their weapons on that evening while you were at the scene at Rowland Crossing?MAJOR GOVENDER:Not in my presence,MAJOR GOVENDER:Not in my presence,Chair.If we could then go back toexhibit FFFF7, I want to take you to the page which is in the second page of your bundle, it's the second page of the document, paragraph 4 of your statement. You describe in
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report I also received from my crime prevention members that they did not come across anything or no report was made of any person that had been injured or shot. MR WESLEY: If I can refer you back to the document, the two shooting reports. If you can go to page 24, your bundle. That's the first shooting EEEE32A, go to page 24. Do you have it? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair. MR WESLEY: Okay if you can just keep	 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	MR WESLEY:Now did you witness MrKellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge any of their weapons on that evening while you were at the scene at Rowland Crossing? MAJOR GOVENDER:Not in my presence,MAJOR GOVENDER:Not in my presence,Chair.If we could then go back to exhibit FFFF7, I want to take you to the page which is in the second page of your bundle, it's the second page of the document, paragraph 4 of your statement. You describe in that paragraph what you/ve testified now about what you did
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report I also received from my crime prevention members that they did not come across anything or no report was made of any person that had been injured or shot. MR WESLEY: If I can refer you back to the document, the two shooting reports. If you can go to page 24, your bundle. That's the first shooting EEEE32A, go to page 24. Do you have it? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair. MR WESLEY: Okay if you can just keep your finger there. Also page then over to page 29, that's	 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	MR WESLEY: Now did you witness Mr Kellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge any of their weapons on that evening while you were at the scene at Rowland Crossing? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not in my presence, Chair. MR WESLEY: If we could then go back to exhibit FFFF7, I want to take you to the page which is in the second page of your bundle, it's the second page of the document, paragraph 4 of your statement. You describe in that paragraph what you've testified now about what you did after you told Mr Botes you would not be dispersing the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report I also received from my crime prevention members that they did not come across anything or no report was made of any person that had been injured or shot. MR WESLEY: If I can refer you back to the document, the two shooting reports. If you can go to page 24, your bundle. That's the first shooting EEEE32A, go to page 24. Do you have it? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair. MR WESLEY: Okay if you can just keep your finger there. Also page then over to page 29, that's the equivalent page of the other shooting incident report	 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	MR WESLEY:Now did you witness MrKellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge any of their weapons on that evening while you were at the scene at Rowland Crossing? MAJOR GOVENDER:Not in my presence, Not in my presence, Chair.MR WESLEY:If we could then go back to exhibit FFFF7, I want to take you to the page which is in the second page of your bundle, it's the second page of the document, paragraph 4 of your statement. You describe in that paragraph what you've testified now about what you did after you told Mr Botes you would not be dispersing the crowd, but the very last line reads as follows. "I do
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report I also received from my crime prevention members that they did not come across anything or no report was made of any person that had been injured or shot. MR WESLEY: If I can refer you back to the document, the two shooting reports. If you can go to page 24, your bundle. That's the first shooting EEEE32A, go to page 24. Do you have it? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair. MR WESLEY: Okay if you can just keep your finger there. Also page then over to page 29, that's the equivalent page of the other shooting incident report EEEE32B.	 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	MR WESLEY:Now did you witness MrKellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge any of their weapons on that evening while you were at the scene at Rowland Crossing? MAJOR GOVENDER:Not in my presence,MAJOR GOVENDER:Not in my presence,Chair.If we could then go back to exhibit FFFF7, I want to take you to the page which is in the second page of your bundle, it's the second page of the document, paragraph 4 of your statement. You describe in that paragraph what you've testified now about what you did after you told Mr Botes you would not be dispersing the crowd, but the very last line reads as follows. "I do recall seeing a few security personnel and one of them I
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report I also received from my crime prevention members that they did not come across anything or no report was made of any person that had been injured or shot. MR WESLEY: If I can refer you back to the document, the two shooting reports. If you can go to page 24, your bundle. That's the first shooting EEEE32A, go to page 24. Do you have it? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair. MR WESLEY: Okay if you can just keep your finger there. Also page then over to page 29, that's the equivalent page of the other shooting incident report EEEE32B. MAJOR GOVENDER: I have it, Chair.	 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	MR WESLEY:Now did you witness MrKellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge any of their weapons on that evening while you were at the scene at Rowland Crossing? MAJOR GOVENDER:Not in my presence,MAJOR GOVENDER:Not in my presence,Chair.If we could then go back to exhibit FFFF7, I want to take you to the page which is in the second page of your bundle, it's the second page of the document, paragraph 4 of your statement. You describe in that paragraph what you've testified now about what you did after you told Mr Botes you would not be dispersing the crowd, but the very last line reads as follows. "I do recall seeing a few security personnel and one of them I know as PW Botha."
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about 15, 20 minutes. I came across nothing and the report I also received from my crime prevention members that they did not come across anything or no report was made of any person that had been injured or shot. MR WESLEY: If I can refer you back to the document, the two shooting reports. If you can go to page 24, your bundle. That's the first shooting EEEE32A, go to page 24. Do you have it? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair. MR WESLEY: Okay if you can just keep your finger there. Also page then over to page 29, that's the equivalent page of the other shooting incident report EEEE32B.	 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	MR WESLEY:Now did you witness MrKellerman or any other member of Lonmin security discharge any of their weapons on that evening while you were at the scene at Rowland Crossing?MAJOR GOVENDER:Not in my presence,Chair.MR WESLEY:If we could then go back to exhibit FFFF7, I want to take you to the page which is in the second page of your bundle, it's the second page of the document, paragraph 4 of your statement. You describe in that paragraph what you've testified now about what you did after you told Mr Botes you would not be dispersing the crowd, but the very last line reads as follows. "I do recall seeing a few security personnel and one of them I

	•	_	
1	Page 35036 MAJOR GOVENDER: I know him well. I've	1	Page 35037 paragraph 2. "On Saturday 2012-08-11 I reported for duty
1			at 10h30 dressed in civilian and accompanied by Warrant
	had several interactions with him during the course of our	2	
	duties.	3	Officer Kruger to Wonderkop after receiving information
4	MR WESLEY: Now when you saw him at this	4	that the striking Lonmin mine employees were gathered at a
_	time was he armed?	5	mountain in an open veld and were busy with some sort of
6	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I do recall.	6	traditional rituals. Earlier the same evening it was
7	MR WESLEY: What was he armed with?	7	suspected that the same employees were alleged to be
8	MAJOR GOVENDER: With a shotgun and a	8	involved in a shooting incident vide which is V-I-D-E
9	side arm, a pistol.	9	Marikana CAS67082012 and 68082012 Attempted Murder. Do you
10	MR WESLEY: A pistol?	10	see that?
11	MAJOR GOVENDER: A side arm, a small arm.	11	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, I see that.
12	MR WESLEY: A small arm, would that be	12	MR WESLEY: Now I can tell you because
13	something like a 9 millimetre pistol?	13	these documents are already exhibits CAS67 that is a
14	MAJOR GOVENDER: I'm not sure what	14	docket, it is before this Commission, we don't need to call
15	calibre it was, but it was a small arm.	15	it up, it's exhibit XX2.20 as well as ZZ4. That's a docket
16	MR WESLEY: All right, Sir. The other	16	in relation to the attempted murder of Mr Bongani Ngema and
17	Lonmin security personnel that you saw there, that area,	17	CAS68 is a docket, it's exhibit XX6 also BBB8 which is in
18	can you recall whether they were carrying any weapons?	18	relation to the attempted murder of Mr Vusimuzi Mandla
19	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't take note of	19	Mabuyakhulu both on 11 August 2012 and the testimony, the
20	what other weapons were in their possession. I didn't	20	evidence before this Commission is that that was in
	think it was important for me to do that at that stage.	21	relation to a clash that occurred between the group of
22	MR WESLEY: Thank you. If you could then	22	protestors and some NUM official near the NUM office which
	go to page 6 of bundle, it's LLLL2. That's your statement	23	is on the eastern side of the Wonderkop hostel. Do you
	dated 3 September 2012. You're now dealing with events on	24	accept that?
	Saturday 11 August 2012. I'll read the paragraph to you,	25	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes I do.
	5 5 1 5 1 5 1		
	Page 35038		Page 35039
1	MR WESLEY: Right. Now you mentioned	1	mountain.
	here in the last line which I read to you "Earlier the same	2	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chairperson.
	morning it was suspected that the same employees were	3	CHAIRPERSON: And the evidence that we
	alleged to be involved in a shooting incident vide Marikana	4	have is that there was an incident in the vicinity of the
	CAS67 and 68 Attempted Murder." What was the suspected	5	NUM office where shots were fired and people were injured.
6	involvement of the crowd in those incidents that you're	6	And I presume that that's what you're talking about.
7	talking about here?	7	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chairperson
8	MAJOR GOVENDER: Sorry if you can repeat	8	MR WESLEY: Is that the suspected
9	that now. Are you speaking of the one at the mountain	9	involvement of the crowd?
10	itself?	10	MAJOR GOVENDER: I'm speaking of the
11	MR WESLEY: Yes let me take you back. In	11	earlier incident, yes that is so, Chairperson.
12	paragraph 2 you talk about going on duty on the 11th of	12	MR WESLEY: If I could then ask you to
13	August during the morning at 10:30. You dressed in	13	turn to a document which appears from page 14 of the
14	civilian wear, Warrant Officer Kruger is with you. You go	14	bundle. It's exhibit U. Are you at page 14?
15	to Wonderkop. "After receiving information that striking	15	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes I'm there.
16	Lonmin mine employees were gathered at the mountain in an	16	MR WESLEY: All right, now turn over. Go
17	open veld and were busy with some sort of traditional	17	past page 14, past page 15, the document I want to refer
18	rituals." So the employees that you are talking about are	18	you to specifically begins at page 16 of the bundle, it's
	the ones that are gathered at the mountain.	19	exhibit U. It's an contingency plan for 10 August 2012.
20	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct.	20	Now you can accept this. Lieutenant-Colonel Merafe who
	MR WESLEY: You then to on to say that	21	signed this document and he testified that it was prepared
21	~ 1 mm	22	on the 10th of August and it was signed by him and Brigadier
21 22	they were alleged to be involved in the shooting which is		
22	they were alleged to be involved in the shooting which is CAS67 and 68 which I've explained to you.		
22	CAS67 and 68 which I've explained to you.	23	Seboloke on that day. Now I would like to refer you to -
22 23 24	h II many L. Louis		

	Page 35040		Page 35041
1	could go to day 216, page 26620, 26620. What they're	1	Chair.
2	talking about here, you'll see at the start, line 1.	2	MR WESLEY: Now that's how he describes
3	Chairperson it's the contingency plan 10 August 2012, it is	3	this plan. If you can then open the document there on page
4	exhibit SS3, don't worry about the SS3, this document was	4	16. I just want to take you through some of the, point out
5	also handed in as exhibit U. Now Lieutenant-Colonel Merafe	5	some of the parts to you. On the first, if I could call it
6	was being led by the SAPS counsel, Ms Baloyi in relation to	6	the first page, you'll see they're also numbered at the
7	this document that I've referred you to and she says from	7	bottom, page 1 paragraph 1.2 the venue, it reads, "The area
8	line 8 "Now you see on the first page the document is	8	where the operation is to take place, namely Western
9	headed South African Police Rustenburg POP, Contingency	9	Platinum Mine (Karee Mine) and Eastern Platinum Mine which
10	Plan, Strike By Employees of Lonmin Eastern and Western	10	falls within the policing precinct of Marikana SAPS." Do
11	Platinum [Karee Mine] on 2012/08/10. Do you see that?"	11	you see that?
12	Colonel Merafe, "I see, Chairperson." Ms Baloyi "And in	12	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, I see that.
13	the line immediately below to the right it says Enquiries	13	MR WESLEY: That's the area of operations
14	Lieutenant-Colonel Merafe and it gives details, telephone	14	that you cover.
15	numbers and a fax number. Do you see that?" Colonel	15	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct.
16	Merafe "It is so." Ms Baloyi "What is this document,	16	MR WESLEY: You covered it actually at
17	Colonel?"	17	that time, is that correct?
18	Colonel Merafe "The document is a plan. The	18	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair.
19	operational plan which we draw to prepare ourselves for	19	MR WESLEY: It was your usual area of
20	anything, to prepare ourselves for any action that might	20	operation or part of your usual area of operation, is that
21	happen. This is not a proper plan, it is something that is	21	correct?
22	being done to take care of the situation as at the time to	22	MAJOR GOVENDER: Again, it's basically
23	intervene. Ms Baloyi, now Colonel Merafe it is a plan to	23	Western Plats and Karee, that is correct.
24	can – an intervention plan. Do you see that?	24	MR WESLEY: Okay. If you go over to the
25	[11:42] MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I do see that,	25	next page, paragraph 2, if I can just read that to you.
	Page 35042		Page 35043
1	It's headed "Mission." "The Deputy Provincial Commissioner	1	to the next questions are but let's get them on the record.
2	of North-West Province has instructed that public order and	-	
		2	Were you consulted by Colonel Merafi or anybody under his
3	crime prevention be maintained in the area of Marikana	2 3	Were you consulted by Colonel Merafi or anybody under his charge in the drafting of this document?
3 4	crime prevention be maintained in the area of Marikana along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western		
	-	3	charge in the drafting of this document?
4	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western	3 4	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of
4 5	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike.	3 4 5	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not
4 5 6	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be	3 4 5 6	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one.
4 5 6 7	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that?	3 4 5 6 7	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with
4 5 6 7 8	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson.	3 4 5 6 7 8	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing
4 5 6 7 8 9	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now mention here is made of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing up of this document, this plan was discussed?
4 5 7 8 9 10	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now mention here is made of an instruction given by the Deputy Provincial Commissioner.	3 4 5 7 8 9 10	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing up of this document, this plan was discussed? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not, I didn't attend any
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now mention here is made of an instruction given by the Deputy Provincial Commissioner. Was that, an instruction like that brought to your	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing up of this document, this plan was discussed? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not, I didn't attend any meeting as such.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now mention here is made of an instruction given by the Deputy Provincial Commissioner. Was that, an instruction like that brought to your attention on the 10th of August or shortly thereafter?	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing up of this document, this plan was discussed? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not, I didn't attend any meeting as such. MR WESLEY: And you weren't given a copy
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now mention here is made of an instruction given by the Deputy Provincial Commissioner. Was that, an instruction like that brought to your attention on the 10th of August or shortly thereafter? MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't see this document prior to that and we didn't have any discussions revolving around this plan as such.	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing up of this document, this plan was discussed? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not, I didn't attend any meeting as such. MR WESLEY: And you weren't given a copy of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't have it. I was never given a copy of it.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now mention here is made of an instruction given by the Deputy Provincial Commissioner. Was that, an instruction like that brought to your attention on the 10th of August or shortly thereafter? MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't see this document prior to that and we didn't have any discussions	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing up of this document, this plan was discussed? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not, I didn't attend any meeting as such. MR WESLEY: And you weren't given a copy of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't have it. I was
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now mention here is made of an instruction given by the Deputy Provincial Commissioner. Was that, an instruction like that brought to your attention on the 10th of August or shortly thereafter? MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't see this document prior to that and we didn't have any discussions revolving around this plan as such. CHAIRPERSON: You didn't see this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing up of this document, this plan was discussed? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not, I didn't attend any meeting as such. MR WESLEY: And you weren't given a copy of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't have it. I was never given a copy of it. MR WESLEY: Can you maybe tell us a little bit more specifically, when was the first time you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now mention here is made of an instruction given by the Deputy Provincial Commissioner. Was that, an instruction like that brought to your attention on the 10th of August or shortly thereafter? MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't see this document prior to that and we didn't have any discussions revolving around this plan as such. CHAIRPERSON: You didn't see this document prior to that. MAJOR GOVENDER: Prior to this.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing up of this document, this plan was discussed? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not, I didn't attend any meeting as such. MR WESLEY: And you weren't given a copy of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't have it. I was never given a copy of it. MR WESLEY: Can you maybe tell us a little bit more specifically, when was the first time you ever saw this document?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now mention here is made of an instruction given by the Deputy Provincial Commissioner. Was that, an instruction like that brought to your attention on the 10th of August or shortly thereafter? MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't see this document prior to that and we didn't have any discussions revolving around this plan as such. CHAIRPERSON: You didn't see this document prior to that. MAJOR GOVENDER: Prior to this. CHAIRPERSON: When did you see this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing up of this document, this plan was discussed? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not, I didn't attend any meeting as such. MR WESLEY: And you weren't given a copy of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't have it. I was never given a copy of it. MR WESLEY: Can you maybe tell us a little bit more specifically, when was the first time you ever saw this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: The first time that I
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now mention here is made of an instruction given by the Deputy Provincial Commissioner. Was that, an instruction like that brought to your attention on the 10th of August or shortly thereafter? MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't see this document prior to that and we didn't have any discussions revolving around this plan as such. CHAIRPERSON: You didn't see this document prior to that. MAJOR GOVENDER: Prior to this. CHAIRPERSON: When did you see this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing up of this document, this plan was discussed? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not, I didn't attend any meeting as such. MR WESLEY: And you weren't given a copy of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't have it. I was never given a copy of it. MR WESLEY: Can you maybe tell us a little bit more specifically, when was the first time you ever saw this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: The first time that I see this document is that, when I was called here to lead
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now mention here is made of an instruction given by the Deputy Provincial Commissioner. Was that, an instruction like that brought to your attention on the 10th of August or shortly thereafter? MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't see this document prior to that and we didn't have any discussions revolving around this plan as such. CHAIRPERSON: You didn't see this document prior to that. MAJOR GOVENDER: Prior to this. CHAIRPERSON: When did you see this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: The document was never	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing up of this document, this plan was discussed? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not, I didn't attend any meeting as such. MR WESLEY: And you weren't given a copy of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't have it. I was never given a copy of it. MR WESLEY: Can you maybe tell us a little bit more specifically, when was the first time you ever saw this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: The first time that I see this document is that, when I was called here to lead evidence.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now mention here is made of an instruction given by the Deputy Provincial Commissioner. Was that, an instruction like that brought to your attention on the 10th of August or shortly thereafter? MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't see this document prior to that and we didn't have any discussions revolving around this plan as such. CHAIRPERSON: You didn't see this document prior to that. MAJOR GOVENDER: Prior to this. CHAIRPERSON: When did you see this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: The document was never given to me, Chairperson, I don't know about the document.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing up of this document, this plan was discussed? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not, I didn't attend any meeting as such. MR WESLEY: And you weren't given a copy of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't have it. I was never given a copy of it. MR WESLEY: Can you maybe tell us a little bit more specifically, when was the first time you ever saw this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: The first time that I see this document is that, when I was called here to lead evidence. MR WESLEY: That's recently, this year,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now mention here is made of an instruction given by the Deputy Provincial Commissioner. Was that, an instruction like that brought to your attention on the 10th of August or shortly thereafter? MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't see this document prior to that and we didn't have any discussions revolving around this plan as such. CHAIRPERSON: You didn't see this document prior to that. MAJOR GOVENDER: Prior to this. CHAIRPERSON: When did you see this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: The document was never given to me, Chairperson, I don't know about the document. CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing up of this document, this plan was discussed? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not, I didn't attend any meeting as such. MR WESLEY: And you weren't given a copy of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't have it. I was never given a copy of it. MR WESLEY: Can you maybe tell us a little bit more specifically, when was the first time you ever saw this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: The first time that I see this document is that, when I was called here to lead evidence. MR WESLEY: That's recently, this year, 2014?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	along the route to Eastern Platinum Mine and Western Platinum Mine before, during and after the strike. Marikana crime prevention and Rustenburg POP will be deployed." Do you see that? MAJOR GOVENDER: I see that, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Now mention here is made of an instruction given by the Deputy Provincial Commissioner. Was that, an instruction like that brought to your attention on the 10th of August or shortly thereafter? MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't see this document prior to that and we didn't have any discussions revolving around this plan as such. CHAIRPERSON: You didn't see this document prior to that. MAJOR GOVENDER: Prior to this. CHAIRPERSON: When did you see this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: The document was never given to me, Chairperson, I don't know about the document.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	charge in the drafting of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I can recall of because there's been so many other issues relating – not this particular one. MR WESLEY: Did you attend a meeting with Colonel, where Colonel Merafi was present where the drawing up of this document, this plan was discussed? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not, I didn't attend any meeting as such. MR WESLEY: And you weren't given a copy of this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't have it. I was never given a copy of it. MR WESLEY: Can you maybe tell us a little bit more specifically, when was the first time you ever saw this document? MAJOR GOVENDER: The first time that I see this document is that, when I was called here to lead evidence. MR WESLEY: That's recently, this year,

		1	
1	Page 35044 your mention – I want to draw your attention, if you can go	1	Page 35045
1	to page 18 you'll see paragraph 5.2. – let's start. Page	1	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it, Chairperson.
2 3		2	MR WESLEY: Should this document not have
	17 rather, let's just do it, completely it properly	3	been brought to your attention? MAJOR GOVENDER: It should have actually
4	paragraph 4.1.1. The heading is "Marikana SAPS." It says,	4	Ş
5	"Marikana crime prevention, one officer six members." Go	5	been brought to my attention and there should have been
6	over the page to paragraph 5.2.3, "Marikana SAPS" is the	6	prior discussions made about it.
7	heading again. It says, "One officer and six members of	7	MR WESLEY: Now we know that you haven't
8	crime prevention will be responsible for patrolling and	8	seen this before and it is an unfair question to an extent
9	visible at Eastern and Western Platinum Mine." That	9	but I need to –
10	records then what the duties would have been of that	10	CHAIRPERSON: You're speaking to your own
11	contingent of Marikana SAPS people. If you can then –	11	question, Mr Wesley.
12	CHAIRPERSON: There's also 5.2.1 of	12	MR WESLEY: Yes, I am indeed, Chair,
13	course.	13	because it struck me that –
14	MR WESLEY: Indeed, Chair. 5.2.1,	14	CHAIRPERSON: I want to ask a question, I
15	operational focus areas. Oh, 5.2.1, I see it's under	15	hope –
16	5.1.2. "Visible policing at the mines where the strike	16	MR WESLEY: Please, Chair.
17	is." If we could go over to paragraph 10.2, I just want to	17	CHAIRPERSON: - it won't be regarded as
18	point this out to you. Under 10.2 the heading is "Command	18	being unfair. What is talked about in the section of this
19	and control." Then there's a subheading "South African	19	document you're looking at is the setting up of a joint
20	Police Service," then there's a number, three columns	20	operational centre, a JOC, and with a JOC committee and
21	headed "Commander," "Name" and "Contact number." The third	21	inasmuch as you are listed in 10.2 as one of the commanders
22	person under "Commander," the third line reads "VISPOL"	22	involved, in fact the commander of VISPOL, would you have
23	under the name is Captain Govender and then there's a cell	23	been a member of the JOCCOM?
24	phone number. So you're actually specifically mentioned in	24	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I would have been
25	this document, do you see that?	25	part of –
_			
			D
1	Page 35046 CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a	1	Page 35047 the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the
1	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a	1	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the
2	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member?	2	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular	2 3	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I	2 3 4	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but,
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time	2 3 4 5	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that	2 3 4 5 6	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and you didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and you didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it but can you –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the 10th you were a member of the JOCCOM and the other people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and you didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it but can you – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Wesley,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the 10th you were a member of the JOCCOM and the other people came in later on, I think, not on the 10th but the question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON:Were you informed that aJOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member?MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, on the particularday – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if Irecall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't timeto even go and discuss this issue therefore at thatparticular time, Chair.MR WESLEY:My question to you, which isperhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this inthe sense that you don't, you never saw the document andyou didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it butcan you –CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry, Mr Wesley,forgive me interrupting you but would you look at paragraph	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the 10th you were a member of the JOCCOM and the other people came in later on, I think, not on the 10th but the question I'm asking you is, were you aware of the fact that you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and you didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it but can you – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Wesley, forgive me interrupting you but would you look at paragraph 3.2.2 which is on the second page of the document? "The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the 10th you were a member of the JOCCOM and the other people came in later on, I think, not on the 10th but the question I'm asking you is, were you aware of the fact that you were a member of the JOCCOM? Did you ever attend a meeting of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and you didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it but can you – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Wesley, forgive me interrupting you but would you look at paragraph 3.2.2 which is on the second page of the document? "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" - it says 7AM - "on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the 10th you were a member of the JOCCOM and the other people came in later on, I think, not on the 10th but the question I'm asking you is, were you aware of the fact that you were a member of the JOCCOM? Did you ever attend a meeting of the JOCCOM?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and you didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it but can you – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Wesley, forgive me interrupting you but would you look at paragraph 3.2.2 which is on the second page of the document? "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" - it says 7AM - "on 2012.08.10." So I understand it arose quite suddenly and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the 10th you were a member of the JOCCOM and the other people came in later on, I think, not on the 10th but the question I'm asking you is, were you aware of the fact that you were a member of the JOCCOM? Did you ever attend a meeting of the JOCCOM? MAJOR GOVENDER: On that particular day,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and you didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it but can you – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Wesley, forgive me interrupting you but would you look at paragraph 3.2.2 which is on the second page of the document? "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" - it says 7AM - "on 2012.08.10." So I understand it arose quite suddenly and obviously what should have been done at 7 o'clock in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the 10th you were a member of the JOCCOM and the other people came in later on, I think, not on the 10th but the question I'm asking you is, were you aware of the fact that you were a member of the JOCCOM? Did you ever attend a meeting of the JOCCOM? MAJOR GOVENDER: On that particular day, no, Chairperson, I was not there for that JOC and –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and you didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it but can you – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Wesley, forgive me interrupting you but would you look at paragraph 3.2.2 which is on the second page of the document? "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" - it says 7AM - "on 2012.08.10." So I understand it arose quite suddenly and obviously what should have been done at 7 o'clock in the morning on the 10th wasn't done but then it goes on, the JOC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the 10th you were a member of the JOCCOM and the other people came in later on, I think, not on the 10th but the question I'm asking you is, were you aware of the fact that you were a member of the JOCCOM? Did you ever attend a meeting of the JOCCOM? MAJOR GOVENDER: On that particular day, no, Chairperson, I was not there for that JOC and – CHAIRPERSON: Adv Hemraj wants to know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and you didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it but can you – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Wesley, forgive me interrupting you but would you look at paragraph 3.2.2 which is on the second page of the document? "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" - it says 7AM - "on 2012.08.10." So I understand it arose quite suddenly and obviously what should have been done at 7 o'clock in the morning on the 10th wasn't done but then it goes on, the JOC will be activated at 7AM on the 10th of August and, quote,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the 10th you were a member of the JOCCOM and the other people came in later on, I think, not on the 10th but the question I'm asking you is, were you aware of the fact that you were a member of the JOCCOM? Did you ever attend a meeting of the JOCCOM? MAJOR GOVENDER: On that particular day, no, Chairperson, I was not there for that JOC and – CHAIRPERSON: Adv Hemraj wants to know, this document is in the future tense, "The JOC will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and you didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it but can you – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Wesley, forgive me interrupting you but would you look at paragraph 3.2.2 which is on the second page of the document? "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" - it says 7AM - "on 2012.08.10." So I understand it arose quite suddenly and obviously what should have been done at 7 o'clock in the morning on the 10th wasn't done but then it goes on, the JOC will be activated at 7AM on the 10th of August and, quote, "remain optional for the duration of the strike."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the 10th you were a member of the JOCCOM and the other people came in later on, I think, not on the 10th but the question I'm asking you is, were you aware of the fact that you were a member of the JOCCOM? Did you ever attend a meeting of the JOCCOM? MAJOR GOVENDER: On that particular day, no, Chairperson, I was not there for that JOC and – CHAIRPERSON: Adv Hemraj wants to know, this document is in the future tense, "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and you didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it but can you – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Wesley, forgive me interrupting you but would you look at paragraph 3.2.2 which is on the second page of the document? "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" - it says 7AM - "on 2012.08.10." So I understand it arose quite suddenly and obviously what should have been done at 7 o'clock in the morning on the 10th wasn't done but then it goes on, the JOC will be activated at 7AM on the 10th of August and, quote, "remain optional for the duration of the strike." COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Operational.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the 10th you were a member of the JOCCOM and the other people came in later on, I think, not on the 10th but the question I'm asking you is, were you aware of the fact that you were a member of the JOCCOM? Did you ever attend a meeting of the JOCCOM? MAJOR GOVENDER: On that particular day, no, Chairperson, I was not there for that JOC and – CHAIRPERSON: Adv Hemraj wants to know, this document is in the future tense, "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" – MAJOR GOVENDER: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and you didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it but can you – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Wesley, forgive me interrupting you but would you look at paragraph 3.2.2 which is on the second page of the document? "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" - it says 7AM - "on 2012.08.10." So I understand it arose quite suddenly and obviously what should have been done at 7 o'clock in the morning on the 10th wasn't done but then it goes on, the JOC will be activated at 7AM on the 10th of August and, quote, "remain optional for the duration of the strike." COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Operational. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did I say something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the 10th you were a member of the JOCCOM and the other people came in later on, I think, not on the 10th but the question I'm asking you is, were you aware of the fact that you were a member of the JOCCOM? Did you ever attend a meeting of the JOCCOM? MAJOR GOVENDER: On that particular day, no, Chairperson, I was not there for that JOC and – CHAIRPERSON: Adv Hemraj wants to know, this document is in the future tense, "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" – MAJOR GOVENDER: Correct. CHAIRPERSON: - on the 10th. Was it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and you didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it but can you – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Wesley, forgive me interrupting you but would you look at paragraph 3.2.2 which is on the second page of the document? "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" - it says 7AM - "on 2012.08.10." So I understand it arose quite suddenly and obviously what should have been done at 7 o'clock in the morning on the 10th wasn't done but then it goes on, the JOC will be activated at 7AM on the 10th of August and, quote, "remain optional for the duration of the strike." COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Operational. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did I say something else? "Remain operational for the duration of the strike."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the 10th you were a member of the JOCCOM and the other people came in later on, I think, not on the 10th but the question I'm asking you is, were you aware of the fact that you were a member of the JOCCOM? Did you ever attend a meeting of the JOCCOM? MAJOR GOVENDER: On that particular day, no, Chairperson, I was not there for that JOC and – CHAIRPERSON: Adv Hemraj wants to know, this document is in the future tense, "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" – MAJOR GOVENDER: correct. CHAIRPERSON: - on the 10th. Was it actually activated, to your knowledge, on the 10th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CHAIRPERSON: Were you informed that a JOCCOM had been set up and that you were a member? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, on the particular day – look, this is actually relating to the 10th and if I recall, this was a spontaneous issue so there wasn't time to even go and discuss this issue therefore at that particular time, Chair. MR WESLEY: My question to you, which is perhaps unfair and it's unfair and I do understand this in the sense that you don't, you never saw the document and you didn't know of its existence, you weren't shown it but can you – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Wesley, forgive me interrupting you but would you look at paragraph 3.2.2 which is on the second page of the document? "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" - it says 7AM - "on 2012.08.10." So I understand it arose quite suddenly and obviously what should have been done at 7 o'clock in the morning on the 10th wasn't done but then it goes on, the JOC will be activated at 7AM on the 10th of August and, quote, "remain optional for the duration of the strike." COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Operational. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, did I say something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the 10th of August and would only cease to exist after the strike was over. The strike, of course, had started on the morning of the 10th and we know the strike went on for quite a long time and I think it finally ended in September but, so the question I want to know is, did you know that a JOC had been set up on the 7th, on the 10th and that you were a member and that the JOC – I know other people took over later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Other people came in and became members of the JOCCOM but as from the morning of the 10th you were a member of the JOCCOM and the other people came in later on, I think, not on the 10th but the question I'm asking you is, were you aware of the fact that you were a member of the JOCCOM? Did you ever attend a meeting of the JOCCOM? MAJOR GOVENDER: On that particular day, no, Chairperson, I was not there for that JOC and – CHAIRPERSON: Adv Hemraj wants to know, this document is in the future tense, "The JOC will be activated at 7 o'clock" – MAJOR GOVENDER: Correct. CHAIRPERSON: - on the 10th. Was it

	Page 35048		Page 35049
1	don't know.	1	set up, are the commanders members of the JOCCOM?
2	CHAIRPERSON: Was it activated on the	2	MAJOR GOVENDER: Chairperson, that is so
3	11th?	3	because you need to be briefed.
4	MAJOR GOVENDER: Chairperson, I have no	4	CHAIRPERSON: Ja, and you were one of the
5	knowledge of any JOC being activated.	5	commanders listed in the document.
6	CHAIRPERSON: So you never functioned as	6	MAJOR GOVENDER: According to the
7	a member of the JOCCOM?	7	document, yes, Chairperson.
8	MAJOR GOVENDER: I was not present at any	8	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
9	of those, in the JOC.	9	MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I'm sorry, I
10	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	10	don't have the right to cross-examine but insofar as this
11	MR WESLEY: Major, my question actually	11	might be relevant to my section, maybe through you
12	follows on from the Chairperson's –	12	Chairperson, it's simply to establish – because we're
13	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Wesley, I'm looking at	13	talking of the JOC which may or may not be the same one
14	Mr Semenya, he has turned his light on.	14	that was inherited from Lonmin and I just want –
15	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I wanted to make	15	CHAIRPERSON: The JOC isn't a place, as
16	the observation as well that he is not indicated as being	16	far as I understand, the JOC is a -
17	one of the JOC members either.	17	MR MPOFU: Is a concept.
18	CHAIRPERSON: Well, I asked him whether,	18	CHAIRPERSON: Is a body.
19	as one of the commanders, he would have been a member of	19	MR MPOFU: Correct.
20	the JOCCOM and I understood him to answer in the	20	CHAIRPERSON: But anyway, if you have a
21	affirmative but perhaps I misunderstood or perhaps you	21	question that you want me to ask, perhaps you can convey it
22	misunderstood.	22	to me later on.
23	MR SEMENYA SC: In terms of the document	23	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson.
24	itself it does not purport to make him a member of the JOC.	24	CHAIRPERSON: I think I've interrupted
25	CHAIRPERSON: Normally if the JOCCOM is	25	poor Mr Wesley enough.
	Page 35050		Page 35051
1	Page 35050 MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson.	1	Page 35051 MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct.
1 2		1 2	
	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson.		MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct.
2	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I	2	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go
2 3	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this,	2 3	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police
2 3 4	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of	2 3 4	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part
2 3 4 5	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated?	2 3 4 5	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a possible event in terms of the strike, so it could have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a possible event in terms of the strike, so it could have been activated at a later stage.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: But you've also, that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a possible event in terms of the strike, so it could have been activated at a later stage. MR WESLEY: Now following on from that on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: But you've also, that was part of your normal policing functions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a possible event in terms of the strike, so it could have been activated at a later stage. MR WESLEY: Now following on from that on 10 August, the document also refers to it, you'll see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: But you've also, that was part of your normal policing functions. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a possible event in terms of the strike, so it could have been activated at a later stage. MR WESLEY: Now following on from that on 10 August, the document also refers to it, you'll see paragraph 5.2.3 of this operational plan says, "One officer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: But you've also, that was part of your normal policing functions. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Chair. MR WESLEY: You didn't do that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a possible event in terms of the strike, so it could have been activated at a later stage. MR WESLEY: Now following on from that on 10 August, the document also refers to it, you'll see paragraph 5.2.3 of this operational plan says, "One officer and six members of crime prevention" under the heading	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: But you've also, that was part of your normal policing functions. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Chair. MR WESLEY: You didn't do that specifically because this plan, which we've now gone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a possible event in terms of the strike, so it could have been activated at a later stage. MR WESLEY: Now following on from that on 10 August, the document also refers to it, you'll see paragraph 5.2.3 of this operational plan says, "One officer and six members of crime prevention" under the heading "Marikana MAS," "will be responsible for patrolling and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: But you've also, that was part of your normal policing functions. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Chair. MR WESLEY: You didn't do that specifically because this plan, which we've now gone through, dictates that you should do it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a possible event in terms of the strike, so it could have been activated at a later stage. MR WESLEY: Now following on from that on 10 August, the document also refers to it, you'll see paragraph 5.2.3 of this operational plan says, "One officer and six members of crime prevention" under the heading "Marikana MAS," "will be responsible for patrolling and visible at Eastern and Western Platinum Mine and Karee	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: But you've also, that was part of your normal policing functions. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Chair. MR WESLEY: You didn't do that specifically because this plan, which we've now gone through, dictates that you should do it. MAJOR GOVENDER: No, it was not dictated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a possible event in terms of the strike, so it could have been activated at a later stage. MR WESLEY: Now following on from that on 10 August, the document also refers to it, you'll see paragraph 5.2.3 of this operational plan says, "One officer and six members of crime prevention" under the heading "Marikana MAS," "will be responsible for patrolling and visible at Eastern and Western Platinum Mine and Karee Mine." I gather after "visible" there should actually be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: But you've also, that was part of your normal policing functions. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Chair. MR WESLEY: You didn't do that specifically because this plan, which we've now gone through, dictates that you should do it. MAJOR GOVENDER: No, it was not dictated by any plans. It's my normal duties, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a possible event in terms of the strike, so it could have been activated at a later stage. MR WESLEY: Now following on from that on 10 August, the document also refers to it, you'll see paragraph 5.2.3 of this operational plan says, "One officer and six members of crime prevention" under the heading "Marikana MAS," "will be responsible for patrolling and visible at Eastern and Western Platinum Mine and Karee Mine." I gather after "visible" there should actually be the word "policing." The word "policing" would be missing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: But you've also, that was part of your normal policing functions. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Chair. MR WESLEY: You didn't do that specifically because this plan, which we've now gone through, dictates that you should do it. MAJOR GOVENDER: No, it was not dictated by any plans. It's my normal duties, Chair. MR WESLEY: The last document which I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a possible event in terms of the strike, so it could have been activated at a later stage. MR WESLEY: Now following on from that on 10 August, the document also refers to it, you'll see paragraph 5.2.3 of this operational plan says, "One officer and six members of crime prevention" under the heading "Marikana MAS," "will be responsible for patrolling and visible at Eastern and Western Platinum Mine and Karee Mine." I gather after "visible" there should actually be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: But you've also, that was part of your normal policing functions. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Chair. MR WESLEY: You didn't do that specifically because this plan, which we've now gone through, dictates that you should do it. MAJOR GOVENDER: No, it was not dictated by any plans. It's my normal duties, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a possible event in terms of the strike, so it could have been activated at a later stage. MR WESLEY: Now following on from that on 10 August, the document also refers to it, you'll see paragraph 5.2.3 of this operational plan says, "One officer and six members of crime prevention" under the heading "Marikana MAS," "will be responsible for patrolling and visible at Eastern and Western Platinum Mine and Karee Mine." I gather after "visible" there should actually be the word "policing." The word "policing" would be missing there, is that – would you agree with me?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: But you've also, that was part of your normal policing functions. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Chair. MR WESLEY: You didn't do that specifically because this plan, which we've now gone through, dictates that you should do it. MAJOR GOVENDER: No, it was not dictated by any plans. It's my normal duties, Chair. MR WESLEY: The last document which I would just like to refer you to just to clarify one very,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a possible event in terms of the strike, so it could have been activated at a later stage. MR WESLEY: Now following on from that on 10 August, the document also refers to it, you'll see paragraph 5.2.3 of this operational plan says, "One officer and six members of crime prevention" under the heading "Marikana MAS," "will be responsible for patrolling and visible at Eastern and Western Platinum Mine and Karee Mine." I gather after "visible" there should actually be the word "policing." The word "policing" would be missing there, is that – would you agree with me? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: But you've also, that was part of your normal policing functions. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Chair. MR WESLEY: You didn't do that specifically because this plan, which we've now gone through, dictates that you should do it. MAJOR GOVENDER: No, it was not dictated by any plans. It's my normal duties, Chair. MR WESLEY: The last document which I would just like to refer you to just to clarify one very, very small point – it might even be so insignificant that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: Major, my question which I said was perhaps unfair and it's unfair in the light that you didn't know about the content of this document but it follows on from what the Chair was – and it's simply this, do you know whether any, or whether this plan or part of this plan was implemented or activated? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it refers to a contingency plan, it's not the actual implementation of the plan. It's just making you aware that there might be a possible event in terms of the strike, so it could have been activated at a later stage. MR WESLEY: Now following on from that on 10 August, the document also refers to it, you'll see paragraph 5.2.3 of this operational plan says, "One officer and six members of crime prevention" under the heading "Marikana MAS," "will be responsible for patrolling and visible at Eastern and Western Platinum Mine and Karee Mine." I gather after "visible" there should actually be the word "policing." The word "policing" would be missing there, is that – would you agree with me? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, I would agree with you, visible policing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR WESLEY: Now on – we don't need to go there but I can tell you that Colonel Merafi did testify on day 216, one finds it at page 26622 to 26623. What he does say is that, "On 10 and 11 August the Marikana police station was responsible for monitoring and policing as part of its crime prevention functions in the Marikana area." Now you did do monitoring and policing on the 10th and 11th August in the Marikana area? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MR WESLEY: But you've also, that was part of your normal policing functions. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Chair. MR WESLEY: You didn't do that specifically because this plan, which we've now gone through, dictates that you should do it. MAJOR GOVENDER: No, it was not dictated by any plans. It's my normal duties, Chair. MR WESLEY: The last document which I would just like to refer you to just to clarify one very, very small point – it might even be so insignificant that it shouldn't be mentioned but just to clarify it, I had a

	Page 35052		Page 35053
1	which would start there, your e-mail which appears at pages	1	document in your bundle, Mr –
2	8 to 9 of your bundle, it's exhibit LLL3, in paragraph 3	2	CHAIRPERSON: Not in the bundle I've got
3	you say that, "At about 11:30 whilst the victims were	3	but in any event, it's in front of us and it's already an
4	visited at Andrew Saffy Hospital, Lieutenant Sepeng, the	4	exhibit and on the second page this document – there it is
5	duty officer, were informed that there were two other	5	on the screen now – the third paragraph reads as follows,
6	victims with gunshot wounds admitted at hospital the	6	"On the morning of the 10th of August 2012 the station
7	previous night." Now Lieutenant-Colonel Merafi in exhibit	7	commissioner of Marikana, Lieutenant-Colonel Msiza, called
8	QQQ2 on the second page, the fourth paragraph, he says that	8	me" – that's Colonel Merafi – "and said there's an illegal
9	you attended the hospital, that's the Andrew Saffy	9	march at Wonderkop by Lonmin mineworkers to Lonmin offices.
10	Hospital, on that evening. Did you go to the hospital?	10	He also said he couldn't handle the situation with his own
11	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, Chairperson.	11	members because they are plus-minus 3 000 people on the
12	MR WESLEY: Who went to the hospital?	12	march. I did send members under the command of Lieutenant
13	MAJOR GOVENDER: Lieutenant Sepeng, the	13	Mologe and requested" – now this is the passage I want to
14	duty officer of Marikana at that particular point in time.	14	put to you – "and requested the station commander to
15	MR WESLEY: Now think back to the 10th and	15	immediately open a JOC at the Marikana police station."
16	11th of August, could you tell the Commission what was, what	16	This is on the 10th. Now do you know whether that was done?
17	interactions did you have with Lieutenant-Colonel Merafi on	17	MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I was out there
18	the 10th, the 11th and 12th of August that you can remember?	18	amongst those people when the march started, so I don't
19	MAJOR GOVENDER: None. I did not deal	19	have any knowledge of what has taken place at the station
20 21	with him or speak with him on any of those dates. MR WESLEY: Thank you, Chair.	20 21	that particular day. CHAIRPERSON: And did you go back to the
21		21	station at any stage on the 10th or were you at the station
22	CHAIRPERSON: A document has been put before us which is exhibit QQQ2. It's a statement by	22	at any stage on the 11th?
23	Colonel Merafi.	23	MAJOR GOVENDER: Only after the march had
24	MR WESLEY: It's the very last bundle,	24 25	dispersed and everything was peaceful around there, I think
20		20	
	Page 35054		Page 35055
1	Page 35054 I then made a return back to the station.	1	Page 35055 MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair.
1 2		1 2	
	I then made a return back to the station.		MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair.
2	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	2	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock.
2 3	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call	2 3	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first?
2 3 4	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question	2 3 4	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best,
2 3 4 5	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the	2 3 4 5	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I	2 3 4 5 6	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an
2 3 4 5 6 7	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied. MR MPOFU: Yes, just in case it's misunderstood. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understood it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied. MR MPOFU: Yes, just in case it's misunderstood. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understood it that way but of course others may not have, so –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX: Thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied. MR MPOFU: Yes, just in case it's misunderstood. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understood it that way but of course others may not have, so – MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, Major Govender. I'm acting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied. MR MPOFU: Yes, just in case it's misunderstood. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understood it that way but of course others may not have, so – MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now been clarified.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, Major Govender. I'm acting for the South African Human Rights Commission. There were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied. MR MPOFU: Yes, just in case it's misunderstood. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understood it that way but of course others may not have, so – MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now been clarified. MR MPOFU: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, Major Govender. I'm acting for the South African Human Rights Commission. There were three documents that should have been provided to you, WW7,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied. MR MPOFU: Yes, just in case it's misunderstood. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understood it that way but of course others may not have, so – MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now been clarified. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Who is cross-examining	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, Major Govender. I'm acting for the South African Human Rights Commission. There were three documents that should have been provided to you, WW7, which is the shooting incident report dated 17 August 2012,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied. MR MPOFU: Yes, just in case it's misunderstood. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understood it that way but of course others may not have, so – MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now been clarified. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Who is cross-examining first, Mr Wesley?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, Major Govender. I'm acting for the South African Human Rights Commission. There were three documents that should have been provided to you, WW7, which is the shooting incident report dated 17 August 2012, and then two identified sections of two statements by Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied. MR MPOFU: Yes, just in case it's misunderstood. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understood it that way but of course others may not have, so – MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now been clarified. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Who is cross-examining first, Mr Wesley? MR WESLEY: Chair, we've got two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, Major Govender. I'm acting for the South African Human Rights Commission. There were three documents that should have been provided to you, WW7, which is the shooting incident report dated 17 August 2012, and then two identified sections of two statements by Mr Gary White. You've been provided with those and had an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied. MR MPOFU: Yes, just in case it's misunderstood. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understood it that way but of course others may not have, so – MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now been clarified. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Who is cross-examining first, Mr Wesley? MR WESLEY: Chair, we've got two requests. The South African Human Rights Commission have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, Major Govender. I'm acting for the South African Human Rights Commission. There were three documents that should have been provided to you, WW7, which is the shooting incident report dated 17 August 2012, and then two identified sections of two statements by Mr Gary White. You've been provided with those and had an opportunity to review them, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied. MR MPOFU: Yes, just in case it's misunderstood. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understood it that way but of course others may not have, so – MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now been clarified. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Who is cross-examining first, Mr Wesley? MR WESLEY: Chair, we've got two requests. The South African Human Rights Commission have requested the opportunity to cross-examine the witness for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, Major Govender. I'm acting for the South African Human Rights Commission. There were three documents that should have been provided to you, WW7, which is the shooting incident report dated 17 August 2012, and then two identified sections of two statements by Mr Gary White. You've been provided with those and had an opportunity to review them, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chairperson, I do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied. MR MPOFU: Yes, just in case it's misunderstood. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understood it that way but of course others may not have, so – MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now been clarified. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Who is cross-examining first, Mr Wesley? MR WESLEY: Chair, we've got two requested the opportunity to cross-examine the witness for 30 minutes and then Lonmin for 60 minutes. The Human	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le ROUX. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, Major Govender. I'm acting for the South African Human Rights Commission. There were three documents that should have been provided to you, WW7, which is the shooting incident report dated 17 August 2012, and then two identified sections of two statements by Mr Gary White. You've been provided with those and had an opportunity to review them, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chairperson, I do have them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied. MR MPOFU: Yes, just in case it's misunderstood. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understood it that way but of course others may not have, so – MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now been clarified. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Who is cross-examining first, Mr Wesley? MR WESLEY: Chair, we've got two requests. The South African Human Rights Commission have requested the opportunity to cross-examine the witness for 30 minutes and then Lonmin for 60 minutes. The Human Rights Commission have requested they go first.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, Major Govender. I'm acting for the South African Human Rights Commission. There were three documents that should have been provided to you, WW7, which is the shooting incident report dated 17 August 2012, and then two identified sections of two statements by Mr Gary White. You've been provided with those and had an opportunity to review them, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chairperson, I do have them. MS LE ROUX: If we can start then with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied. MR MPOFU: Yes, just in case it's misunderstood. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understood it that way but of course others may not have, so – MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now been clarified. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Who is cross-examining first, Mr Wesley? MR WESLEY: Chair, we've got two requests. The South African Human Rights Commission have requested the opportunity to cross-examine the witness for 30 minutes and then Lonmin for 60 minutes. The Human Rights Commission have requested they go first. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, I see Ms Le Roux	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, Major Govender. I'm acting for the South African Human Rights Commission. There were three documents that should have been provided to you, WW7, which is the shooting incident report dated 17 August 2012, and then two identified sections of two statements by Mr Gary White. You've been provided with those and had an opportunity to review them, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chairperson, I do have them. MS LE ROUX: If we can start then with WW7, and if I can ask you to confirm that it is your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	I then made a return back to the station. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, before you call on the cross-examiner, two things. Firstly, your question has covered my concern and secondly, just to clarify the statement I made just in case it's misunderstood, when I said I don't have the right to cross-examine I didn't mean that it was denied by anybody, I did not apply. CHAIRPERSON: You hadn't applied. MR MPOFU: Yes, just in case it's misunderstood. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understood it that way but of course others may not have, so – MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now been clarified. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Who is cross-examining first, Mr Wesley? MR WESLEY: Chair, we've got two requests. The South African Human Rights Commission have requested the opportunity to cross-examine the witness for 30 minutes and then Lonmin for 60 minutes. The Human Rights Commission have requested they go first.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS LE ROUX: Yes, thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's now 12 o'clock. Should we take the adjournment first? MS LE ROUX: That probably is best, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I think so. Quarter of an hour adjournment for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:27] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, Major Govender. I'm acting for the South African Human Rights Commission. There were three documents that should have been provided to you, WW7, which is the shooting incident report dated 17 August 2012, and then two identified sections of two statements by Mr Gary White. You've been provided with those and had an opportunity to review them, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chairperson, I do have them. MS LE ROUX: If we can start then with

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

	Page 35056		Page 35057
1	signature?	1	with it, what function it serves?
2	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct,	2	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, it is for the
3	Chairperson.	3	information of the National Commissioner and it does not
4	MS LE ROUX: And did you complete this	4	stop there, if they look into further investigation as to
5	document?	5	whether someone should be held liable for whatever had
6	MAJOR GOVENDER: I did, Chairperson.	6	taken place.
7	MS LE ROUX: Right, if I could start at a	7	MS LE ROUX: And what is the nature of
8	general level and ask you what the purpose of this form is,	8	that further investigation? Is that different to anything
9	when is this form filled out?	9	IPID would do?
10	MAJOR GOVENDER: Firstly the purpose of	10	MAJOR GOVENDER: Normally what happens is
11	the form is a notification to the national head office via	11	every shooting is now investigated by IPID, so they also
12	the Provincial Commissioner of any shooting incident that	12	take a copy of the shooting report as well.
13	takes place where a police official is involved, especially	13	MS LE ROUX: But the further
14	with the use of sharp ammunition.	14	investigation that is possible would be conducted by whom?
15	MS LE ROUX: And is that a shooting	15	MAJOR GOVENDER: By IPID.
16	incident where the police do the shooting, or any shooting	16	MS LE ROUX: By IPID, okay. This
17	incident, even if they are shot at?	17	particular shooting report, am I correct that you completed
18	MAJOR GOVENDER: Basically it is for the	18	it on the 17th of August 2012?
19	police that use the firearms.	19	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct.
20	MS LE ROUX: Right, and what does the –	20	MS LE ROUX: And we see that it's
21	so you say that it goes up to national command. What do	21	addressed to the Provincial Commissioner and the cluster
22	they do with it, if you know?	22	commander. Am I correct that the cluster commander in
23	MAJOR GOVENDER: It goes via the	23	August 2012 was Major-General Patrick Asaneng?
24 25	provincial office and up to the national level.	24 25	MAJOR GOVENDER: That would be correct. MS LE ROUX: And so this is addressed to
25	MS LE ROUX: And do you know what they do	20	IVIS LE ROUX. AITU SU (TIIS IS duulesseu (U
	Page 35058		
			Page 35059
1	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng?	1	because there was a shooting incident?
2	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so.	2	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at
2 3	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of	2 3	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is
2 3 4	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting	2 3 4	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by
2 3 4 5	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report?	2 3 4 5	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty
2 3 4 5 6	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's	2 3 4 5 6	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place
2 3 4 5 6 7	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from	2 3 4 5 6 7	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case neither the Provincial Commissioner's office nor the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties? MAJOR GOVENDER: It is my duties.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case neither the Provincial Commissioner's office nor the cluster commander in his office, neither of them came back	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties? MAJOR GOVENDER: It is my duties. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now in the form, if we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case neither the Provincial Commissioner's office nor the cluster commander in his office, neither of them came back to you for any follow-up or response to what you submitted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties? MAJOR GOVENDER: It is my duties. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now in the form, if we go to page, the bottom of page 6, there it sets out the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case neither the Provincial Commissioner's office nor the cluster commander in his office, neither of them came back to you for any follow-up or response to what you submitted to them, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties? MAJOR GOVENDER: It is my duties. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now in the form, if we go to page, the bottom of page 6, there it sets out the particulars of your investigation. Firstly am I correct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case neither the Provincial Commissioner's office nor the cluster commander in his office, neither of them came back to you for any follow-up or response to what you submitted to them, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I said, it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties? MAJOR GOVENDER: It is my duties. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now in the form, if we go to page, the bottom of page 6, there it sets out the particulars of your investigation. Firstly am I correct that this refers to the investigation conducted by the duty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case neither the Provincial Commissioner's office nor the cluster commander in his office, neither of them came back to you for any follow-up or response to what you submitted to them, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties? MAJOR GOVENDER: It is my duties. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now in the form, if we go to page, the bottom of page 6, there it sets out the particulars of your investigation. Firstly am I correct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case neither the Provincial Commissioner's office nor the cluster commander in his office, neither of them came back to you for any follow-up or response to what you submitted to them, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I said, it is forwarded to IPID. IPID does the investigation in terms of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties? MAJOR GOVENDER: It is my duties. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now in the form, if we go to page, the bottom of page 6, there it sets out the particulars of your investigation. Firstly am I correct that this refers to the investigation conducted by the duty officer who completes the form, because it states the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case neither the Provincial Commissioner's office nor the cluster commander in his office, neither of them came back to you for any follow-up or response to what you submitted to them, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I said, it is forwarded to IPID. IPID does the investigation in terms of this issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties? MAJOR GOVENDER: It is my duties. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now in the form, if we go to page, the bottom of page 6, there it sets out the particulars of your investigation. Firstly am I correct that this refers to the investigation conducted by the duty officer who completes the form, because it states the time and date on which the officer arrived at the scene, as well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case neither the Provincial Commissioner's office nor the cluster commander in his office, neither of them came back to you for any follow-up or response to what you submitted to them, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I said, it is forwarded to IPID. IPID does the investigation in terms of this issue. MS LE ROUX: Right, did IPID follow up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties? MAJOR GOVENDER: It is my duties. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now in the form, if we go to page, the bottom of page 6, there it sets out the particulars of your investigation. Firstly am I correct that this refers to the investigation conducted by the duty officer who completes the form, because it states the time and date on which the officer arrived at the scene, as well as his or her name, and if an officer did not visit the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case neither the Provincial Commissioner's office nor the cluster commander in his office, neither of them came back to you for any follow-up or response to what you submitted to them, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I said, it is forwarded to IPID. IPID does the investigation in terms of this issue. MS LE ROUX: Right, did IPID follow up with you at all in response to the shooting incident	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties? MAJOR GOVENDER: It is my duties. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now in the form, if we go to page, the bottom of page 6, there it sets out the particulars of your investigation. Firstly am I correct that this refers to the investigation conducted by the duty officer who completes the form, because it states the time and date on which the officer arrived at the scene, as well as his or her name, and if an officer did not visit the scene a reason for that must be given. So am I correct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case neither the Provincial Commissioner's office nor the cluster commander in his office, neither of them came back to you for any follow-up or response to what you submitted to them, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I said, it is forwarded to IPID. IPID does the investigation in terms of this issue. MS LE ROUX: Right, did IPID follow up with you at all in response to the shooting incident report?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties? MAJOR GOVENDER: It is my duties. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now in the form, if we go to page, the bottom of page 6, there it sets out the particulars of your investigation. Firstly am I correct that this refers to the investigation conducted by the duty officer who completes the form, because it states the time and date on which the officer arrived at the scene, as well as his or her name, and if an officer did not visit the scene a reason for that must be given. So am I correct that this portion of the form is where the person
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case neither the Provincial Commissioner's office nor the cluster commander in his office, neither of them came back to you for any follow-up or response to what you submitted to them, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I said, it is forwarded to IPID. IPID does the investigation in terms of this issue. MS LE ROUX: Right, did IPID follow up with you at all in response to the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I know of.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties? MAJOR GOVENDER: It is my duties. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now in the form, if we go to page, the bottom of page 6, there it sets out the particulars of your investigation. Firstly am I correct that this refers to the investigation conducted by the duty officer who completes the form, because it states the time and date on which the officer arrived at the scene, as well as his or her name, and if an officer did not visit the scene a reason for that must be given. So am I correct that this portion of the form is where the person completing it details what they did in order to complete
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case neither the Provincial Commissioner's office nor the cluster commander in his office, neither of them came back to you for any follow-up or response to what you submitted to them, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I said, it is forwarded to IPID. IPID does the investigation in terms of this issue. MS LE ROUX: Right, did IPID follow up with you at all in response to the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I know of. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now we'll go through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties? MAJOR GOVENDER: It is my duties. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now in the form, if we go to page, the bottom of page 6, there it sets out the particulars of your investigation. Firstly am I correct that this refers to the investigation conducted by the duty officer who completes the form, because it states the time and date on which the officer arrived at the scene, as well as his or her name, and if an officer did not visit the scene a reason for that must be given. So am I correct that this portion of the form is where the person completing it details what they did in order to complete the form?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Major-General Mbombo and Major-General Asaneng? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MS LE ROUX: Right, did either one of them come back to you following receipt of the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happens is it's forwarded to their offices and the necessary is done from there. It does not necessarily mean that they must acknowledge that they'll come back to me. MS LE ROUX: And in this particular case neither the Provincial Commissioner's office nor the cluster commander in his office, neither of them came back to you for any follow-up or response to what you submitted to them, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I said, it is forwarded to IPID. IPID does the investigation in terms of this issue. MS LE ROUX: Right, did IPID follow up with you at all in response to the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not that I know of. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now we'll go through the form, but if I could ask you to start at a general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because there was a shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, how it works at any particular police station where an incident happens is there a duty officer and incidents like this is attended by a duty officer and during that week I was the standby duty officer to attend to any serious events that take place there and I performed my role on that particular day. MS LE ROUX: Right, so no one asked you to fill out this report; you understood it to be part of your duties? MAJOR GOVENDER: It is my duties. MS LE ROUX: Okay, now in the form, if we go to page, the bottom of page 6, there it sets out the particulars of your investigation. Firstly am I correct that this refers to the investigation conducted by the duty officer who completes the form, because it states the time and date on which the officer arrived at the scene, as well as his or her name, and if an officer did not visit the scene a reason for that must be given. So am I correct that this portion of the form is where the person completing it details what they did in order to complete the form? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, yes.

1	Page 35060	1	Page 35061
1 2	What volatile situation were you referring to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Alright, if you go back	2	MAJOR GOVENDER: I got hold of them and I
2	MAJOR GOVENDER: Alright, if you go back to that incident you would know that the incident is	2	- okay, the incident was reported to me round about 4
4	actually relating to the koppie and it was dark, it	4	o'clock that afternoon, or after 4, and that's when I was
5	happened – okay, it happened earlier on but the thing is	5	anxious to go and find out what was going on at that
6	that place had to be cordoned off, the necessary evidence	6	particular point.
7	had to be gathered and firstly it is to secure and to	7	MS LE ROUX: Who reported the incident to
8	prevent lives and things of that sort. So I took it upon	8	you?
9	myself to ensure that I do not become a target to go to	9	MAJOR GOVENDER: I was called by the JOC
10	that particular area. That is why I said it is a volatile	10	that was in operation who informed me that I should go and
11	situation.	11	attend to the scene.
12	MS LE ROUX: And I'm correct that you	12	MS LE ROUX: And do you recall who at the
13	weren't on the scene of the shootings on the 16th? You	13	JOC contacted you?
14	weren't present at Marikana on that day, were you?	14	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, not in particular at
15	MAJOR GOVENDER: Not at the shooting	15	this stage. Like I said, it is the duty of a duty officer
16	incidents, but I was at Marikana.	16	also on receiving this information that must arrive at the
17	MS LE ROUX: So who advised you that	17	scene and establish what has taken place.
18	there was a volatile situation that meant you couldn't go	18	MS LE ROUX: Do you recall if it was a
19	to the scene of the shooting on the 16th?	19	male or a female officer who called you from the JOC?
20	MAJOR GOVENDER: The operational	20	MAJOR GOVENDER: Jeez, I think it was a
21	commander, Brigadier Calitz.	21	female officer.
22	MS LE ROUX: And what did he advise you?	22	MS LE ROUX: And do you recall that you
23	MAJOR GOVENDER: That the scene is being	23	were called at approximately 4 o'clock? Or you said after
24	preserved and that I should come to them at a later stage.	24	4.
25	MS LE ROUX: And when did he advise you	25	MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, between 4 and after
	2		
1	Page 35062		Page 35063
1	Page 35062 4 or so.	1	Page 35063 would say round about maybe between, I said it was between
1 2		1 2	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating
	4 or so.		would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5.
2	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between	2	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier
2 3	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5.	2 3	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident?
2 3 4	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was	2 3 4	 would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the
2 3 4 5	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you?	2 3 4 5	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had done the shooting?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on this particular shooting report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had done the shooting? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. It was not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on this particular shooting report. MS LE ROUX: Right, so when you spoke to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had done the shooting? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. It was not given to me, any details of any particular person that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on this particular shooting report. MS LE ROUX: Right, so when you spoke to him at approximately 5:30 on the 16th when he said to you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had done the shooting? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. It was not given to me, any details of any particular person that was involved in the shooting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on this particular shooting report. MS LE ROUX: Right, so when you spoke to him at approximately 5:30 on the 16th when he said to you that you shouldn't come through then, did he give you any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had done the shooting? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. It was not given to me, any details of any particular person that was involved in the shooting. MS LE ROUX: What information were you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on this particular shooting report. MS LE ROUX: Right, so when you spoke to him at approximately 5:30 on the 16th when he said to you that you shouldn't come through then, did he give you any details at that stage about what had happened?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had done the shooting? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. It was not given to me, any details of any particular person that was involved in the shooting. MS LE ROUX: What information were you given in that phone call?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on this particular shooting report. MS LE ROUX: Right, so when you spoke to him at approximately 5:30 on the 16th when he said to you that you shouldn't come through then, did he give you any details at that stage about what had happened? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had done the shooting? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. It was not given to me, any details of any particular person that was involved in the shooting. MS LE ROUX: What information were you given in that phone call? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was just told that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on this particular shooting report. MS LE ROUX: Right, so when you spoke to him at approximately 5:30 on the 16th when he said to you that you shouldn't come through then, did he give you any details at that stage about what had happened? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into any further –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had done the shooting? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. It was not given to me, any details of any particular person that was involved in the shooting. MS LE ROUX: What information were you given in that phone call? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was just told that there was a police shooting there and that I must attend to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on this particular shooting report. MS LE ROUX: Right, so when you spoke to him at approximately 5:30 on the 16th when he said to you that you shouldn't come through then, did he give you any details at that stage about what had happened? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into any further – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you continue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had done the shooting? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. It was not given to me, any details of any particular person that was involved in the shooting. MS LE ROUX: What information were you given in that phone call? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was just told that there was a police shooting there and that I must attend to the scene. As I've explained earlier on, any incident of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on this particular shooting report. MS LE ROUX: Right, so when you spoke to him at approximately 5:30 on the 16th when he said to you that you shouldn't come through then, did he give you any details at that stage about what had happened? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into any further – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you continue with your answer, Mr Semenya, you turned your light on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had done the shooting? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. It was not given to me, any details of any particular person that was involved in the shooting. MS LE ROUX: What information were you given in that phone call? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was just told that there was a police shooting there and that I must attend to the scene. As I've explained earlier on, any incident of this nature must be attended by the duty officer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on this particular shooting report. MS LE ROUX: Right, so when you spoke to him at approximately 5:30 on the 16th when he said to you that you shouldn't come through then, did he give you any details at that stage about what had happened? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into any further – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you continue with your answer, Mr Semenya, you turned your light on. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I see for the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had done the shooting? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. It was not given to me, any details of any particular person that was involved in the shooting. MS LE ROUX: What information were you given in that phone call? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was just told that there was a police shooting there and that I must attend to the scene. As I've explained earlier on, any incident of this nature must be attended by the duty officer. MS LE ROUX: And how long after you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on this particular shooting report. MS LE ROUX: Right, so when you spoke to him at approximately 5:30 on the 16th when he said to you that you shouldn't come through then, did he give you any details at that stage about what had happened? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into any further – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you continue with your answer, Mr Semenya, you turned your light on. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I see for the second time my learned colleague says Brigadier Calitz said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had done the shooting? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. It was not given to me, any details of any particular person that was involved in the shooting. MS LE ROUX: What information were you given in that phone call? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was just told that there was a police shooting there and that I must attend to the scene. As I've explained earlier on, any incident of this nature must be attended by the duty officer. MS LE ROUX: And how long after you received the call from the JOC did you speak to Brigadier	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on this particular shooting report. MS LE ROUX: Right, so when you spoke to him at approximately 5:30 on the 16th when he said to you that you shouldn't come through then, did he give you any details at that stage about what had happened? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into any further – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you continue with your answer, Mr Semenya, you turned your light on. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I see for the second time my learned colleague says Brigadier Calitz said you mustn't go there. What the report says is that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had done the shooting? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. It was not given to me, any details of any particular person that was involved in the shooting. MS LE ROUX: What information were you given in that phone call? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was just told that there was a police shooting there and that I must attend to the scene. As I've explained earlier on, any incident of this nature must be attended by the duty officer. MS LE ROUX: And how long after you received the call from the JOC did you speak to Brigadier Calitz where he told you not to come through that day?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on this particular shooting report. MS LE ROUX: Right, so when you spoke to him at approximately 5:30 on the 16th when he said to you that you shouldn't come through then, did he give you any details at that stage about what had happened? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into any further – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you continue with your answer, Mr Semenya, you turned your light on. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I see for the second time my learned colleague says Brigadier Calitz said you mustn't go there. What the report says is that the place is volatile and it's cordoned off. I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	4 or so. MS LE ROUX: But would you put it between 4 and 5? Could it have been later? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I could say between 4 and 5. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what exactly was reported to you by the officer that contacted you? MAJOR GOVENDER: That there was a shooting incident and that a number of people had been shot. MS LE ROUX: Did she tell you who had done the shooting? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. It was not given to me, any details of any particular person that was involved in the shooting. MS LE ROUX: What information were you given in that phone call? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was just told that there was a police shooting there and that I must attend to the scene. As I've explained earlier on, any incident of this nature must be attended by the duty officer. MS LE ROUX: And how long after you received the call from the JOC did you speak to Brigadier Calitz where he told you not to come through that day?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would say round about maybe between, I said it was between 4 and 5, round about half past 5 or so. I'm estimating between half past 5. MS LE ROUX: Right, and did Brigadier Calitz tell you any details about the shooting incident? MAJOR GOVENDER: I approached him the next day and I obtained all the information. You will see that the report was completed on the 17th. MS LE ROUX: Yes. MAJOR GOVENDER: And the information that he gave me on the 17th was information that I furnished on this particular shooting report. MS LE ROUX: Right, so when you spoke to him at approximately 5:30 on the 16th when he said to you that you shouldn't come through then, did he give you any details at that stage about what had happened? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into any further – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you continue with your answer, Mr Semenya, you turned your light on. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I see for the second time my learned colleague says Brigadier Calitz said you mustn't go there. What the report says is that the

1	Page 35064 officer from going there.	1	Page 35065 MAJOR GOVENDER: And that they need to
2	MS LE ROUX: Chair, Major Govender	2	gather the necessary information before we move in there.
3	testified a moment ago that Brigadier Calitz said to him	3	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you.
4	that he need not come through at that point and could come		MS LE ROUX: So Major Govender, on the
5	through at a later stage because the scene was being	5	16th you had the call from the JOC between 4 and 5, you had
6	processed and – Major Govender, it was Brigadier Calitz	6	the call with Brigadier Calitz at approximate 5:30. Did
7	that advised you not to come through at that point,	7	you have any further contact with any SAPS members or
8	correct?	8	anyone else who was at the scene of the shooting incident
9	MAJOR GOVENDER: They said it was a	9	on the 16th?
10	volatile situation there and the scene has been cordoned	10	MAJOR GOVENDER: Not. I did, like I said
11	off.	11	I just stayed away from there and I waited till I be
12	MS LE ROUX: So Brigadier Calitz told you	12	contacted again and to get the information to complete my
13	it was volatile and not to come through then?	13	report.
14	MAJOR GOVENDER: Exactly. That was the	14	MS LE ROUX: Right, so then turning then
15	message that I got.	15	to the 17th of August 2012, the form indicates at the top of
16	CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? Did he	16	page 7 that – it is cut off but it seems to be that that's
17	say you mustn't come or did he say you don't have to come?	17	when you visited, it says "Date and time of completion of
18	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, he didn't	18	this report" and it says 10AM on the 17th of August.
19	say I must not come there. He said I must come at a later	19	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct.
20	stage.	20	MS LE ROUX: Right, so turning to your
21	CHAIRPERSON: Come at a later stage.	21	investigation that you did on the 17th of August, what did
22	MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja.	22	that entail?
23	CHAIRPERSON: And the reason he gave was	23	MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, I went to the
24	the one you've mentioned; it was cordoned off and was	24	scene earlier than that, but this report was only compiled
25	volatile. Is that –	25	at that particular time, at 10 o'clock.
	Page 35066		Page 35067
1	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you	1	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about
2	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene.	2	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before?
	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct.		of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is
2 3 4	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to	2 3 4	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was
2 3 4 5	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene?	2 3 4 5	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the
2 3 4 5 6	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just	2 3 4 5 6	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just after 7, probably about between 7 and 8.	2 3 4 5 6 7	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just after 7, probably about between 7 and 8. MS LE ROUX: In the morning?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just after 7, probably about between 7 and 8. MS LE ROUX: In the morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: In the morning, that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just after 7, probably about between 7 and 8. MS LE ROUX: In the morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: In the morning, that is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just after 7, probably about between 7 and 8. MS LE ROUX: In the morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: In the morning, that is correct. MS LE ROUX: And who did you talk to at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just after 7, probably about between 7 and 8. MS LE ROUX: In the morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: In the morning, that is correct. MS LE ROUX: And who did you talk to at the scene?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Probably between four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS LE ROUX:Right, so on the 17th youwent to the scene.MAJOR GOVENDER:That is correct.MS LE ROUX:And what time did you get tothe scene?MAJOR GOVENDER:I think it was justafter 7, probably about between 7 and 8.MS LE ROUX:In the morning?MAJOR GOVENDER:In the morning, that isCorrect.MS LE ROUX:Not who did you talk to atthe scene?MS LE ROUX:And who did you talk to atthe scene?MS LE ROUX:In the differenceIn the scene?MAJOR GOVENDER:I met a few officers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Probably between four and five I think.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS LE ROUX:Right, so on the 17th youwent to the scene.MAJOR GOVENDER:That is correct.MS LE ROUX:And what time did you get tothe scene?MAJOR GOVENDER:I think it was justafter 7, probably about between 7 and 8.MS LE ROUX:In the morning?MS LE ROUX:In the morning?MAJOR GOVENDER:In the morning, that isMS LE ROUX:In the morning?MAJOR GOVENDER:In the morning, that iscorrect.MS LE ROUX:And who did you talk to atHe scene?MAJOR GOVENDER:I met a few officersIn the scene?In the scene?MAJOR GOVENDER:I met a few officersI met a few officers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Probably between four and five I think. MS LE ROUX: Four or five, and they'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to Image: Correct. MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just Image: Correct. MS LE ROUX: In the morning? Image: Correct. MS LE ROUX: In the morning, that is Image: Correct. MS LE ROUX: And who did you talk to at Image: Correct. MS LE ROUX: And who did you talk to at Image: Correct. MS LE ROUX: In the morning, that is Image: Correct. MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers Image: Correct. MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers Image: Correct. MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers Image: Correct. MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers Image: Correct. MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers Image: Correct. MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers Image: Correct. MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers Image: Correct. MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers Image: Correct. MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Probably between four and five I think. MS LE ROUX: Four or five, and they'd been present the day before?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just after 7, probably about between 7 and 8. MS LE ROUX: In the morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: In the morning, that is MAJOR GOVENDER: In the morning, that is correct. MS LE ROUX: And who did you talk to at the scene? MS LE ROUX: And who did you talk to at the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers there. I also met Brigadier Calitz and I received the necessary information from him. mage: scene	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Probably between four and five I think. MS LE ROUX: Four or five, and they'd been present the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know. I was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS LE ROUX:Right, so on the 17th youwent to the scene.MAJOR GOVENDER:That is correct.MS LE ROUX:And what time did you get tothe scene?MAJOR GOVENDER:I think it was justafter 7, probably about between 7 and 8.MS LE ROUX:In the morning?MAJOR GOVENDER:In the morning, that isCorrect.MS LE ROUX:And who did you talk to atthe scene?MAJOR GOVENDER:I met a few officersthe scene?MAJOR BRIGHT Calitz and I received the necessarythe scene?MAJOR HAR MAR MAR MAR MAR MAR MAR MAR MAR MAR M	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Probably between four and five I think. MS LE ROUX: Four or five, and they'd been present the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know. I was not at the scene there, but to me they just indicated this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS LE ROUX:Right, so on the 17th youwent to the scene.MAJOR GOVENDER:That is correct.MS LE ROUX:And what time did you get tothe scene?MAJOR GOVENDER:I think it was justafter 7, probably about between 7 and 8.MS LE ROUX:In the morning?MAJOR GOVENDER:In the morning, that isIn the morning, that iscorrect.MS LE ROUX:And who did you talk to atMS LE ROUX:And who did you talk to atInthe scene?I met a few officersInMAJOR GOVENDER:I met a few officersIn <t< td=""><td>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18</td><td>of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Probably between four and five I think. MS LE ROUX: Four or five, and they'd been present the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know. I was not at the scene there, but to me they just indicated this is what happened and that is sufficient enough for me to say</td></t<>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Probably between four and five I think. MS LE ROUX: Four or five, and they'd been present the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know. I was not at the scene there, but to me they just indicated this is what happened and that is sufficient enough for me to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just after 7, probably about between 7 and 8. MS LE ROUX: In the morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: In the morning, that is correct. MS LE ROUX: And who did you talk to at the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers there. I don't know the full details of those people, and I also met Brigadier Calitz and I received the necessary information from him. MS LE ROUX: The information that is set out in the report you said came from Brigadier Calitz alone, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Probably between four and five I think. MS LE ROUX: Four or five, and they'd been present the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know. I was not at the scene there, but to me they just indicated this is what happened and that is sufficient enough for me to say that they are witnesses in this matter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just after 7, probably about between 7 and 8. MS LE ROUX: In the morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: In the morning, that is correct. MS LE ROUX: And who did you talk to at the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers there. I don't know the full details of those people, and I also met Brigadier Calitz and I received the necessary information from him. MS LE ROUX: The information that is set out in the report you said came from Brigadier Calitz alone, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: He was the operational	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Probably between four and five I think. MS LE ROUX: Four or five, and they'd been present the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know. I was not at the scene there, but to me they just indicated this is what happened and that is sufficient enough for me to say that they are witnesses in this matter. MS LE ROUX: And what did they indicate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just after 7, probably about between 7 and 8. MS LE ROUX: In the morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: In the morning, that is correct. MS LE ROUX: And who did you talk to at the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers there. I don't know the full details of those people, and I also met Brigadier Calitz and I received the necessary information from him. MS LE ROUX: The information that is set out in the report you said came from Brigadier Calitz alone, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Probably between four and five I think. MS LE ROUX: Four or five, and they'd been present the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know. I was not at the scene there, but to me they just indicated this is what happened and that is sufficient enough for me to say that they are witnesses in this matter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just after 7, probably about between 7 and 8. MS LE ROUX: In the morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: In the morning, that is correct. MS LE ROUX: And who did you talk to at the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers there. I don't know the full details of those people, and I also met Brigadier Calitz and I received the necessary information from him. MS LE ROUX: The information that is set out in the report you said came from Brigadier Calitz alone, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: He was the operational commander and he was present and I thought that would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Probably between four and five I think. MS LE ROUX: Four or five, and they'd been present the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know. I was not at the scene there, but to me they just indicated this is what happened and that is sufficient enough for me to say that they are witnesses in this matter. MS LE ROUX: And what did they indicate to you had happened?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just after 7, probably about between 7 and 8. MS LE ROUX: In the morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: In the morning, that is correct. MS LE ROUX: And who did you talk to at the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers there. I don't know the full details of those people, and I also met Brigadier Calitz and I received the necessary information from him. MS LE ROUX: The information that is set out in the report you said came from Brigadier Calitz alone, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: He was the operational commander and he was present and I thought that would be the most reliable information that I would receive to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Probably between four and five I think. MS LE ROUX: Four or five, and they'd been present the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know. I was not at the scene there, but to me they just indicated this is what happened and that is sufficient enough for me to say that they are witnesses in this matter. MS LE ROUX: And what did they indicate to you had happened? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I've mentioned in,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS LE ROUX: Right, so on the 17th you went to the scene. MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And what time did you get to the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it was just after 7, probably about between 7 and 8. MS LE ROUX: In the morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: In the morning, that is correct. MS LE ROUX: And who did you talk to at the scene? MAJOR GOVENDER: I met a few officers there. I don't know the full details of those people, and I also met Brigadier Calitz and I received the necessary information from him. MS LE ROUX: The information that is set out in the report you said came from Brigadier Calitz alone, correct? MAJOR GOVENDER: He was the operational commander and he was present and I thought that would be the most reliable information that I would receive to have completed the necessary documentation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the 17th, they didn't provide you with any detail about what had happened the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: What I can tell you is that there were a number of members involved. There was nobody in particular that was, could pinpoint which of the officers had fired at those targets, or the victims. So it led, it would mean to a situation making it difficult for me to acknowledge who was involved in this incident. MS LE ROUX: Approximately how many other officers, other than Brigadier Calitz did you speak to that morning? If you had to estimate how many you spoke to? MAJOR GOVENDER: Probably between four and five I think. MS LE ROUX: Four or five, and they'd been present the day before? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know. I was not at the scene there, but to me they just indicated this is what happened and that is sufficient enough for me to say that they are witnesses in this matter. MS LE ROUX: And what did they indicate to you had happened? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I've mentioned in, if you'd look at the one paragraph where I gave a brief

		r	
1	Page 35068 MS LE ROUX: We'll get to that shortly,	1	Page 35069
2	but so that description came from those four or five	1	familiar, I assume, as you sit here today that there was scene 1 and scene 2. So koppies 1, 2 and 3 were all
3	officers, as well as Brigadier Calitz?	2	involved and you're familiar as well with the reference to
4	MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I said earlier on	4	the kraal, I assume. Are you familiar with that layout,
5	that the most reliable person to have taken the information	5	koppie 1, koppie 2, the kraal, koppie 3?
6	was from Brigadier Calitz. He was the operational	6	MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, that is my policing
7	commander and he was on ground, at the ground on that	7	area and I know the area.
8	particular time.	8	MS LE ROUX: Okay, when you said you
9	MS LE ROUX: How long did you spend with	9	walked the scene, was that with Brigadier Calitz?
9 10	Brigadier Calitz at the koppie where he was providing you	9 10	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, not with Brigadier
11	with the information you used to complete the report?	11	Calitz. Brigadier Calitz was busy with other issues there.
12	MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, I don't recall	12	MS LE ROUX: So the approximately one-
13	time, the duration of it, but probably about an hour	12	hour period that you spent with Brigadier Calitz, where
14	because I walked the entire scenes to go and have a look	14	were you physically with him?
14	exactly what was taking, what had taken place there, and I	14	MAJOR GOVENDER: At the very – I don't
16	found all role-players busy at the scene there, especially	16	know whether it would be scene 1, but the main scene. That
17	with the investigation that was taking place.	17	would be scene 1.
18	MS LE ROUX: And when you say you walked	18	MS LE ROUX: So you were standing with
19	the scenes, what specifically did you look at? What	19	him in the location of scene 1 next to the kraal?
20	specific aspects of the scene did you look at?	20	MAJOR GOVENDER: Getting the information.
21	MAJOR GOVENDER: I found the members of	21	MS LE ROUX: For approximately one hour
22	LCRC busy with photographic equipment, picking up weapons,	22	and then did you also walk over to koppie 3, which we now
23	looking at empty shells, which I didn't really make real	23	know is scene 2?
24	note of what was going on there.	24	MAJOR GOVENDER: Chairperson, I said an
25	MS LE ROUX: Major Govender, you're	25	hour at that scenes. So it does not necessarily mean that
	, .,		ý
	Page 35070		Page 35071
1	Page 35070 I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz.	1	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the
1 2	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear,	1 2	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the
	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz.		MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then
2	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz,	2	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting
2 3	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to	2 3	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near
2 3 4	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2?	2 3 4	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you
2 3 4 5	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations, whether you were keeping an audio recording or making	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations, whether you were keeping an audio recording or making notes? How did you record what you observed and what you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. MS LE ROUX: And then you record,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations, whether you were keeping an audio recording or making notes? How did you record what you observed and what you were told?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. MS LE ROUX: And then you record, "Unknown members of SAPS being involved" and in response to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations, whether you were keeping an audio recording or making notes? How did you record what you observed and what you were told? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happened is that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. MS LE ROUX: And then you record, "Unknown members of SAPS being involved" and in response to the questions asked in 1.1 and 1.2 you note that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations, whether you were keeping an audio recording or making notes? How did you record what you observed and what you were told? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happened is that I just noted it on – normally I carry a file and I just noted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. MS LE ROUX: And then you record, "Unknown members of SAPS being involved" and in response to the questions asked in 1.1 and 1.2 you note that the identity of the members is still to be determined and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations, whether you were keeping an audio recording or making notes? How did you record what you observed and what you were told? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happened is that I just noted it on – normally I carry a file and I just noted it on a normal foolscap and I went and sat down and I put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. MS LE ROUX: And then you record, "Unknown members of SAPS being involved" and in response to the questions asked in 1.1 and 1.2 you note that the identity of the members is still to be determined and therefore no statements have yet been made by them, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations, whether you were keeping an audio recording or making notes? How did you record what you observed and what you were told? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happened is that I just noted it on – normally I carry a file and I just noted it on a normal foolscap and I went and sat down and I put this into the prospective according, or perspective	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. MS LE ROUX: And then you record, "Unknown members of SAPS being involved" and in response to the questions asked in 1.1 and 1.2 you note that the identity of the members is still to be determined and therefore no statements have yet been made by them, and then in response to 1.2, which is about whether anybody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations, whether you were keeping an audio recording or making notes? How did you record what you observed and what you were told? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happened is that I just noted it on – normally I carry a file and I just noted it on a normal foolscap and I went and sat down and I put this into the prospective according, or perspective according to the document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. MS LE ROUX: And then you record, "Unknown members of SAPS being involved" and in response to the questions asked in 1.1 and 1.2 you note that the identity of the members is still to be determined and therefore no statements have yet been made by them, and then in response to 1.2, which is about whether anybody making statements have been advised of their right to legal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations, whether you were keeping an audio recording or making notes? How did you record what you observed and what you were told? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happened is that I just noted it on – normally I carry a file and I just noted it on a normal foolscap and I went and sat down and I put this into the prospective according, or perspective according to the document. MS LE ROUX: And what happened to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. MS LE ROUX: And then you record, "Unknown members of SAPS being involved" and in response to the questions asked in 1.1 and 1.2 you note that the identity of the members is still to be determined and therefore no statements have yet been made by them, and then in response to 1.2, which is about whether anybody making statements have been advised of their right to legal representation you say, "Once identified will be informed."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations, whether you were keeping an audio recording or making notes? How did you record what you observed and what you were told? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happened is that I just noted it on – normally I carry a file and I just noted it on a normal foolscap and I went and sat down and I put this into the prospective according, or perspective according to the document. MS LE ROUX: And what happened to the foolscap pages that you'd written on?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. MS LE ROUX: And then you record, "Unknown members of SAPS being involved" and in response to the questions asked in 1.1 and 1.2 you note that the identity of the members is still to be determined and therefore no statements have yet been made by them, and then in response to 1.2, which is about whether anybody making statements have been advised of their right to legal representation you say, "Once identified will be informed." Did you plan to take any steps to determine the identity of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations, whether you were keeping an audio recording or making notes? How did you record what you observed and what you were told? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happened is that I just noted it on – normally I carry a file and I just noted it on a normal foolscap and I went and sat down and I put this into the prospective according, or perspective according to the document. MS LE ROUX: And what happened to the foolscap pages that you'd written on? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I do not have it. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. MS LE ROUX: And then you record, "Unknown members of SAPS being involved" and in response to the questions asked in 1.1 and 1.2 you note that the identity of the members is still to be determined and therefore no statements have yet been made by them, and then in response to 1.2, which is about whether anybody making statements have been advised of their right to legal representation you say, "Once identified will be informed." Did you plan to take any steps to determine the identity of the members that had been involved in the shooting incident
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations, whether you were keeping an audio recording or making notes? How did you record what you observed and what you were told? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happened is that I just noted it on – normally I carry a file and I just noted it on a normal foolscap and I went and sat down and I put this into the prospective according, or perspective according to the document. MS LE ROUX: And what happened to the foolscap pages that you'd written on? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I do not have it. I didn't, I don't have it presently, because it's the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. MS LE ROUX: And then you record, "Unknown members of SAPS being involved" and in response to the questions asked in 1.1 and 1.2 you note that the identity of the members is still to be determined and therefore no statements have yet been made by them, and then in response to 1.2, which is about whether anybody making statements have been advised of their right to legal representation you say, "Once identified will be informed." Did you plan to take any steps to determine the identity of the members that had been involved in the shooting incident so that you could update the shooting incident report?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations, whether you were keeping an audio recording or making notes? How did you record what you observed and what you were told? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happened is that I just noted it on – normally I carry a file and I just noted it on a normal foolscap and I went and sat down and I put this into the prospective according, or perspective according to the document. MS LE ROUX: And what happened to the foolscap pages that you'd written on? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I do not have it. I didn't, I don't have it presently, because it's the same information that I transferred onto this document and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. MS LE ROUX: And then you record, "Unknown members of SAPS being involved" and in response to the questions asked in 1.1 and 1.2 you note that the identity of the members is still to be determined and therefore no statements have yet been made by them, and then in response to 1.2, which is about whether anybody making statements have been advised of their right to legal representation you say, "Once identified will be informed." Did you plan to take any steps to determine the identity of the members that had been involved in the shooting incident so that you could update the shooting incident report? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't go into any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	I spent an hour with Brigadier Calitz. MS LE ROUX: Okay, so just so I'm clear, you were at the koppies for approximately one hour. Within that time is the time you spent with Brigadier Calitz, which was only at the kraal? He didn't come with you to what we know as scene 2? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, no, he was not at that particular – MS LE ROUX: Okay, did you record in any way what either the members or Brigadier Calitz told you at the scene? Did you note any of your own observations, whether you were keeping an audio recording or making notes? How did you record what you observed and what you were told? MAJOR GOVENDER: What happened is that I just noted it on – normally I carry a file and I just noted it on a normal foolscap and I went and sat down and I put this into the prospective according, or perspective according to the document. MS LE ROUX: And what happened to the foolscap pages that you'd written on? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I do not have it. I didn't, I don't have it presently, because it's the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS LE ROUX: If we can now start at the top of page 1 in WW7, so this just records yourself as the person who should be contacted for any inquiries, and then if we proceed through the first page it records a shooting incident on the 16th at 3:45 at Middelkraal open veld near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. Is there any reason why you didn't note there the presence of the koppies and the kraal, the fact that there were two shooting scenes? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, this became only known to you as scene 1 and scene 2 and things of that sort. I took it as an entire area there, that's why I said near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. MS LE ROUX: And then you record, "Unknown members of SAPS being involved" and in response to the questions asked in 1.1 and 1.2 you note that the identity of the members is still to be determined and therefore no statements have yet been made by them, and then in response to 1.2, which is about whether anybody making statements have been advised of their right to legal representation you say, "Once identified will be informed." Did you plan to take any steps to determine the identity of the members that had been involved in the shooting incident so that you could update the shooting incident report?

Pretoria

	Page 35072		Page 35073
1	of my duties. Like I said, the investigation is solely in	1	group was armed with dangerous weapons and firearms." If
2	the hands of IPID and if they needed for me to complete	2	we can pause there, do you recall who was the source of
3	further information then I would, and the reporting	3	that piece of information that they had dangerous, that the
	procedure is that when I said unknown members of the force,		
4	•	4	group had dangerous weapons and firearms? Was that only
5	service, it is not known, it was not known at that	5	Brigadier Calitz or was it the other members as well?
6	particular stage. It was merely information for reporting	6	MAJOR GOVENDER: That information, like I
7	to the higher authorities at SAPS.	7	said, was received from Brigadier Calitz, the operational
8	[12:47] MS LE ROUX: And you didn't have any	8	commander, and that was also informed to me and I did
9	intention to update your shooting incident report and file	9	endorse it in that.
10	one that had more detail in it once you'd established that?	10	MS LE ROUX: And what detail were you
11	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't have any	11	told about what dangerous weapons the group were alleged to
12	further intention, like I'm saying, IPID is the one that's	12	have?
13	involved in the investigation of this whole matter.	13	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, if you look at that
14	MS LE ROUX: Right. If we turn then to	14	section it says a short description, so I didn't get into
15	page 2, here you are asked to provide a short description	15	details as to what type of weapons that were utilised
16	of the incident without unnecessary repetition of other	16	there.
17	facts and there are a few aspects of this that I would like	17	MS LE ROUX: Right, but when you were
18	to understand. Firstly, this description is your summary	18	talking to Brigadier Calitz that morning did he tell you
19	of what you were told by the four to five members you met	19	any more detail about what weapons they had?
20	with, as well as Brigadier Calitz, correct?	20	MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't recall exactly.
21	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, that is correct.	21	MS LE ROUX: And with respect to
22	MS LE ROUX: So you record there that "On	22	firearms, did you get any detail about how many firearms,
23	Thursday, the 16th August from approximately 7 o'clock there	23	what type of firearms?
24	was a mass gathering of striking Lonmin employees at a	24	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't –
25	koppie at Middelkraal near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop. The	25	MS LE ROUX: Anything like that.
	Page 35074		Page 35075
1	Page 35074 MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details	1	Page 35075 MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion
1 2		1 2	
	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details		MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion
2	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well.	2	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had.
2 3	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well. MS LE ROUX: But it's Brigadier Calitz	2 3	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were
2 3 4	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well. MS LE ROUX: But it's Brigadier Calitz who told you firearms, plural?	2 3 4	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from
2 3 4 5	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well. MS LE ROUX: But it's Brigadier Calitz who told you firearms, plural? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exactly. MS LE ROUX: The next sentence says, "The	2 3 4 5	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you?
2 3 4 5 6	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well. MS LE ROUX: But it's Brigadier Calitz who told you firearms, plural? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exactly. MS LE ROUX: The next sentence says, "The group was identified to be violent." Identified by	2 3 4 5 6	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that
2 3 4 5 6 7	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well. MS LE ROUX: But it's Brigadier Calitz who told you firearms, plural? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exactly. MS LE ROUX: The next sentence says, "The group was identified to be violent." Identified by Brigadier Calitz to you?	2 3 4 5 6 7	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MAJOR GOVENDER:I didn't go into detailson that one as well.MS LE ROUX:But it's Brigadier Calitzwho told you firearms, plural?MAJOR GOVENDER:Exactly.MS LE ROUX:The next sentence says, "Thegroup was identified to be violent."Identified byBrigadier Calitz to you?Ja, like I said, it's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well. MS LE ROUX: But it's Brigadier Calitz who told you firearms, plural? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exactly. MS LE ROUX: The next sentence says, "The group was identified to be violent." Identified by Brigadier Calitz to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, like I said, it's a compilation from the information that I got from Brigadier	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details about when they were warned?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAJOR GOVENDER:I didn't go into detailson that one as well.MS LE ROUX:But it's Brigadier Calitzwho told you firearms, plural?MAJOR GOVENDER:Exactly.MS LE ROUX:The next sentence says, "Thegroup was identified to be violent."Identified byBrigadier Calitz to you?Ja, like I said, it's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MAJOR GOVENDER:I didn't go into detailson that one as well.MS LE ROUX:But it's Brigadier Calitzwho told you firearms, plural?MAJOR GOVENDER:Exactly.MS LE ROUX:The next sentence says, "Thegroup was identified to be violent."Identified byBrigadier Calitz to you?Ja, like I said, it's acompilation from the information that I got from BrigadierCalitz, the operational commander.MS LE ROUX:It then goes on to say, "In	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details about when they were warned? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, it was brief – MS LE ROUX: No details.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MAJOR GOVENDER:I didn't go into detailson that one as well.MS LE ROUX:But it's Brigadier CalitzMS LE ROUX:But it's Brigadier CalitzMAJOR GOVENDER:Exactly.MS LE ROUX:The next sentence says, "Thegroup was identified to be violent."Identified byBrigadier Calitz to you?MAJOR GOVENDER:MAJOR GOVENDER:Ja, like I said, it's acompilation from the information that I got from BrigadierCalitz, the operational commander.MS LE ROUX:It then goes on to say, "Inthe past few days the group have murdered, assaulted and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details about when they were warned? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, it was brief – MS LE ROUX: No details. MAJOR GOVENDER: - and according to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAJOR GOVENDER:I didn't go into detailson that one as well.MS LE ROUX:But it's Brigadier Calitzwho told you firearms, plural?MAJOR GOVENDER:Exactly.MS LE ROUX:The next sentence says, "Thegroup was identified to be violent."Identified byBrigadier Calitz to you?Ja, like I said, it's acompilation from the information that I got from BrigadierCalitz, the operational commander.MS LE ROUX:It then goes on to say, "Inthe past few days the group have murdered, assaulted andbrutally attacked several other persons." I'm correct,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details about when they were warned? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, it was brief – MS LE ROUX: No details. MAJOR GOVENDER: - and according to the point of what I've endorsed onto this document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAJOR GOVENDER:I didn't go into detailson that one as well.MS LE ROUX:But it's Brigadier Calitzwho told you firearms, plural?MAJOR GOVENDER:Exactly.MS LE ROUX:The next sentence says, "Thegroup was identified to be violent."Identified byBrigadier Calitz to you?Ja, like I said, it's acompilation from the information that I got from BrigadierCalitz, the operational commander.MS LE ROUX:It then goes on to say, "Inthe past few days the group have murdered, assaulted andbrutally attacked several other persons." I'm correct,though, that at the time on the morning of the 17th you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAJOR GOVENDER:That was a suspicionthat we had.MS LE ROUX:You then record, "They weregiven a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse fromthe area."That warning was to have been provided by whom,who gave that warning?What did Brigadier Calitz tell you?MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, he just said thata warning was given, so my assumption there would be him.MS LE ROUX:Did he give you any detailsabout when they were warned?MAJOR GOVENDER:No, no, it was brief –MS LE ROUX:No details.MAJOR GOVENDER:- and according to thepoint of what I've endorsed onto this document.MS LE ROUX:MS LE ROUX:Right. It then goes on to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well. MS LE ROUX: But it's Brigadier Calitz who told you firearms, plural? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exactly. MS LE ROUX: The next sentence says, "The group was identified to be violent." Identified by Brigadier Calitz to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, like I said, it's a compilation from the information that I got from Brigadier Calitz, the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: It then goes on to say, "In the past few days the group have murdered, assaulted and brutally attacked several other persons." I'm correct, though, that at the time on the morning of the 17th you hadn't, you were not aware of any evidence that showed that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details about when they were warned? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, it was brief – MS LE ROUX: No details. MAJOR GOVENDER: - and according to the point of what I've endorsed onto this document. MS LE ROUX: Right. It then goes on to say, "At 3:45 the police officials took action to disperse
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAJOR GOVENDER:I didn't go into detailson that one as well.MS LE ROUX:But it's Brigadier Calitzwho told you firearms, plural?MAJOR GOVENDER:Exactly.MAJOR GOVENDER:Exactly.MS LE ROUX:MS LE ROUX:The next sentence says, "Thegroup was identified to be violent."Identified byBrigadier Calitz to you?Ja, like I said, it's acompilation from the information that I got from BrigadierCalitz, the operational commander.MS LE ROUX:It then goes on to say, "Inthe past few days the group have murdered, assaulted andbrutally attacked several other persons." I'm correct,though, that at the time on the morning of the 17th youhadn't, you were not aware of any evidence that showed thatthe group at the koppie included the same individuals who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details about when they were warned? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, it was brief – MS LE ROUX: No details. MAJOR GOVENDER: - and according to the point of what I've endorsed onto this document. MS LE ROUX: Right. It then goes on to say, "At 3:45 the police officials took action to disperse the mob." What action were you told was taken?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well. MS LE ROUX: But it's Brigadier Calitz who told you firearms, plural? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exactly. MS LE ROUX: The next sentence says, "The group was identified to be violent." Identified by Brigadier Calitz to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, like I said, it's a compilation from the information that I got from Brigadier Calitz, the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: It then goes on to say, "In the past few days the group have murdered, assaulted and brutally attacked several other persons." I'm correct, though, that at the time on the morning of the 17th you hadn't, you were not aware of any evidence that showed that the group at the koppie included the same individuals who had, in the preceding days, committed any acts of violence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details about when they were warned? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, it was brief – MS LE ROUX: No details. MAJOR GOVENDER: - and according to the point of what I've endorsed onto this document. MS LE ROUX: Right. It then goes on to say, "At 3:45 the police officials took action to disperse the mob." What action were you told was taken? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, I didn't get into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well. MS LE ROUX: But it's Brigadier Calitz who told you firearms, plural? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exactly. MS LE ROUX: The next sentence says, "The group was identified to be violent." Identified by Brigadier Calitz to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, like I said, it's a compilation from the information that I got from Brigadier Calitz, the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: It then goes on to say, "In the past few days the group have murdered, assaulted and brutally attacked several other persons." I'm correct, though, that at the time on the morning of the 17th you hadn't, you were not aware of any evidence that showed that the group at the koppie included the same individuals who had, in the preceding days, committed any acts of violence. You didn't know that there was definitely an identity of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details about when they were warned? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, it was brief – MS LE ROUX: No details. MAJOR GOVENDER: - and according to the point of what I've endorsed onto this document. MS LE ROUX: Right. It then goes on to say, "At 3:45 the police officials took action to disperse the mob." What action were you told was taken? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, I didn't get into details of this as well, but I was told that firstly there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well. MS LE ROUX: But it's Brigadier Calitz who told you firearms, plural? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exactly. MS LE ROUX: The next sentence says, "The group was identified to be violent." Identified by Brigadier Calitz to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, like I said, it's a compilation from the information that I got from Brigadier Calitz, the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: It then goes on to say, "In the past few days the group have murdered, assaulted and brutally attacked several other persons." I'm correct, though, that at the time on the morning of the 17th you hadn't, you were not aware of any evidence that showed that the group at the koppie included the same individuals who had, in the preceding days, committed any acts of violence. You didn't know that there was definitely an identity of individuals there, did you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details about when they were warned? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, it was brief – MS LE ROUX: No details. MAJOR GOVENDER: - and according to the point of what I've endorsed onto this document. MS LE ROUX: Right. It then goes on to say, "At 3:45 the police officials took action to disperse the mob." What action were you told was taken? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, I didn't get into details of this as well, but I was told that firstly there was a water canon that was available at the scene and maybe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well. MS LE ROUX: But it's Brigadier Calitz who told you firearms, plural? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exactly. MS LE ROUX: The next sentence says, "The group was identified to be violent." Identified by Brigadier Calitz to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, like I said, it's a compilation from the information that I got from Brigadier Calitz, the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: It then goes on to say, "In the past few days the group have murdered, assaulted and brutally attacked several other persons." I'm correct, though, that at the time on the morning of the 17th you hadn't, you were not aware of any evidence that showed that the group at the koppie included the same individuals who had, in the preceding days, committed any acts of violence. You didn't know that there was definitely an identity of individuals there, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: This was the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details about when they were warned? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, it was brief – MS LE ROUX: No details. MAJOR GOVENDER: - and according to the point of what I've endorsed onto this document. MS LE ROUX: Right. It then goes on to say, "At 3:45 the police officials took action to disperse the mob." What action were you told was taken? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, I didn't get into details of this as well, but I was told that firstly there was a water canon that was available at the scene and maybe baton rounds were also used. Like I'm saying, I cannot get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well. MS LE ROUX: But it's Brigadier Calitz who told you firearms, plural? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exactly. MS LE ROUX: The next sentence says, "The group was identified to be violent." Identified by Brigadier Calitz to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, like I said, it's a compilation from the information that I got from Brigadier Calitz, the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: It then goes on to say, "In the past few days the group have murdered, assaulted and brutally attacked several other persons." I'm correct, though, that at the time on the morning of the 17th you hadn't, you were not aware of any evidence that showed that the group at the koppie included the same individuals who had, in the preceding days, committed any acts of violence. You didn't know that there was definitely an identity of individuals there, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: This was the information, like I'm saying to you that is here, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details about when they were warned? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, it was brief – MS LE ROUX: No details. MAJOR GOVENDER: - and according to the point of what I've endorsed onto this document. MS LE ROUX: Right. It then goes on to say, "At 3:45 the police officials took action to disperse the mob." What action were you told was taken? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, I didn't get into details of this as well, but I was told that firstly there was a water canon that was available at the scene and maybe baton rounds were also used. Like I'm saying, I cannot get into details what action was taken, I was not there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well. MS LE ROUX: But it's Brigadier Calitz who told you firearms, plural? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exactly. MS LE ROUX: The next sentence says, "The group was identified to be violent." Identified by Brigadier Calitz to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, like I said, it's a compilation from the information that I got from Brigadier Calitz, the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: It then goes on to say, "In the past few days the group have murdered, assaulted and brutally attacked several other persons." I'm correct, though, that at the time on the morning of the 17th you hadn't, you were not aware of any evidence that showed that the group at the koppie included the same individuals who had, in the preceding days, committed any acts of violence. You didn't know that there was definitely an identity of individuals there, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: This was the information, like I'm saying to you that is here, was received from the operational commander.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details about when they were warned? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, it was brief – MS LE ROUX: No details. MAJOR GOVENDER: - and according to the point of what I've endorsed onto this document. MS LE ROUX: Right. It then goes on to say, "At 3:45 the police officials took action to disperse the mob." What action were you told was taken? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, I didn't get into details of this as well, but I was told that firstly there was a water canon that was available at the scene and maybe baton rounds were also used. Like I'm saying, I cannot get into details what action was taken, I was not there. MS LE ROUX: But Major Govender, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't go into details on that one as well. MS LE ROUX: But it's Brigadier Calitz who told you firearms, plural? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exactly. MS LE ROUX: The next sentence says, "The group was identified to be violent." Identified by Brigadier Calitz to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, like I said, it's a compilation from the information that I got from Brigadier Calitz, the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: It then goes on to say, "In the past few days the group have murdered, assaulted and brutally attacked several other persons." I'm correct, though, that at the time on the morning of the 17th you hadn't, you were not aware of any evidence that showed that the group at the koppie included the same individuals who had, in the preceding days, committed any acts of violence. You didn't know that there was definitely an identity of individuals there, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: This was the information, like I'm saying to you that is here, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was a suspicion that we had. MS LE ROUX: You then record, "They were given a warning to hand over all weapons and disperse from the area." That warning was to have been provided by whom, who gave that warning? What did Brigadier Calitz tell you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, he just said that a warning was given, so my assumption there would be him. MS LE ROUX: Did he give you any details about when they were warned? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, no, it was brief – MS LE ROUX: No details. MAJOR GOVENDER: - and according to the point of what I've endorsed onto this document. MS LE ROUX: Right. It then goes on to say, "At 3:45 the police officials took action to disperse the mob." What action were you told was taken? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, I didn't get into details of this as well, but I was told that firstly there was a water canon that was available at the scene and maybe baton rounds were also used. Like I'm saying, I cannot get into details what action was taken, I was not there.

	Page 35076		Page 35077
1	you remember them telling you any other –	1	you?
2	MAJOR GOVENDER: No.	2	MAJOR GOVENDER: No information.
3	MS LE ROUX: - aspects of the plan?	3	MS LE ROUX: You were just told there was
4	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, Chairman, I don't.	4	a charge.
5	MS LE ROUX: Were you aware of barbed	5	MAJOR GOVENDER: That's it.
6	wire playing any part in the plan?	6	MS LE ROUX: And then I assume your
7	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is when I went to	7	answer will be the same that for the next sentence you were
8	the scene the next day, I did see there was a barbed wire	8	told by Brigadier Calitz that the police officials acted in
9	display there.	9	self-defence.
10	MS LE ROUX: But when you were getting	10	MAJOR GOVENDER: That was also something
11	information from the members and Brigadier Calitz, no-one	11	that I also assumed on my, or I assumed myself because the
12	mentioned what the actual plan was to disperse?	12	issue is that if you are attacked, obviously in possession
13	MAJOR GOVENDER: The plan was not	13	of dangerous weapons, then one's life is presumably going
14	discussed with me, Chair.	14	to be in immediate danger. So the assumption comes from
15	MS LE ROUX: Okay. It then states, "The	15	myself as well, to say that they acted in self-defence, and
16	mob charged." Were you told where this alleged charge took	16	that of Brigadier Calitz.
17	place?	17	MS LE ROUX: So Brigadier Calitz did tell
18	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, the information that	18	you it was self-defence?
19	I received is basically what you see that's appearing on	19	MAJOR GOVENDER: He said that the mob
20	this report.	20	charged and that was the reason for that action to be
21	MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told that it	21	taken.
22	had happened near the kraal or in the open veld or at	22	MS LE ROUX: Did Brigadier Calitz use the
23	another koppie?	23	words "self-defence?"
24	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I was –	24	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, he didn't –
25	MS LE ROUX: No information was given to	25	MS LE ROUX: Or defend themselves or
	Page 35078		Page 35079
1	anything like that?	1	succumbed as a result of the action there.
1 2	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend	1 2	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3,
	anything like that?		succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you
2 3 4	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition.	2	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that
2 3	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the	2 3	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later
2 3 4 5 6	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You	2 3 4	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on
2 3 4 5 6 7	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you?	2 3 4 5	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The date and time of the incident was at 3:45 in the afternoon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The date and time of the incident was at 3:45 in the afternoon. Did you, did anyone give you a time period for when the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told there was any warning before shooting, a warning shot or a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The date and time of the incident was at 3:45 in the afternoon. Did you, did anyone give you a time period for when the shooting incident concluded?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told there was any warning before shooting, a warning shot or a warning that sharp point ammunition would be used?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The date and time of the incident was at 3:45 in the afternoon. Did you, did anyone give you a time period for when the shooting incident concluded? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told there was any warning before shooting, a warning shot or a warning that sharp point ammunition would be used? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know what was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The date and time of the incident was at 3:45 in the afternoon. Did you, did anyone give you a time period for when the shooting incident concluded? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. MS LE ROUX: Okay. Turning to the bottom	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told there was any warning before shooting, a warning shot or a warning that sharp point ammunition would be used? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know what was the form of warning but I was informed that there was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The date and time of the incident was at 3:45 in the afternoon. Did you, did anyone give you a time period for when the shooting incident concluded? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. MS LE ROUX: Okay. Turning to the bottom of page 2, there, in addition to what you've set out above	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told there was any warning before shooting, a warning shot or a warning that sharp point ammunition would be used? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know what was the form of warning but I was informed that there was a warning given.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The date and time of the incident was at 3:45 in the afternoon. Did you, did anyone give you a time period for when the shooting incident concluded? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. MS LE ROUX: Okay. Turning to the bottom of page 2, there, in addition to what you've set out above in response to 2.1.1 you also state there that, "The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told there was any warning before shooting, a warning shot or a warning that sharp point ammunition would be used? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know what was the form of warning but I was informed that there was a warning given. MS LE ROUX: But am I correct that when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The date and time of the incident was at 3:45 in the afternoon. Did you, did anyone give you a time period for when the shooting incident concluded? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. MS LE ROUX: Okay. Turning to the bottom of page 2, there, in addition to what you've set out above in response to 2.1.1 you also state there that, "The members responded in self-defence with rubber bullets, stun	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told there was any warning before shooting, a warning shot or a warning that sharp point ammunition would be used? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know what was the form of warning but I was informed that there was a warning given. MS LE ROUX: But am I correct that when you typed in "yes" here to the question "Did the member
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The date and time of the incident was at 3:45 in the afternoon. Did you, did anyone give you a time period for when the shooting incident concluded? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. MS LE ROUX: Okay. Turning to the bottom of page 2, there, in addition to what you've set out above in response to 2.1.1 you also state there that, "The members responded in self-defence with rubber bullets, stun grenades and sharp ammunition." That detail, rubber	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told there was any warning before shooting, a warning shot or a warning that sharp point ammunition would be used? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know what was the form of warning but I was informed that there was a warning given. MS LE ROUX: But am I correct that when you typed in "yes" here to the question "Did the member give an oral warning," that was the same warning that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The date and time of the incident was at 3:45 in the afternoon. Did you, did anyone give you a time period for when the shooting incident concluded? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. MS LE ROUX: Okay. Turning to the bottom of page 2, there, in addition to what you've set out above in response to 2.1.1 you also state there that, "The members responded in self-defence with rubber bullets, stun grenades and sharp ammunition." That detail, rubber bullets, stun grenades and sharp ammunition, where did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told there was any warning before shooting, a warning shot or a warning that sharp point ammunition would be used? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know what was the form of warning but I was informed that there was a warning given. MS LE ROUX: But am I correct that when you typed in "yes" here to the question "Did the member give an oral warning," that was the same warning that was referred to and set out on the previous page about, to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The date and time of the incident was at 3:45 in the afternoon. Did you, did anyone give you a time period for when the shooting incident concluded? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. MS LE ROUX: Okay. Turning to the bottom of page 2, there, in addition to what you've set out above in response to 2.1.1 you also state there that, "The members responded in self-defence with rubber bullets, stun grenades and sharp ammunition." That detail, rubber bullets, stun grenades and sharp ammunition, where did you get that from?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told there was any warning before shooting, a warning shot or a warning that sharp point ammunition would be used? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know what was the form of warning but I was informed that there was a warning given. MS LE ROUX: But am I correct that when you typed in "yes" here to the question "Did the member give an oral warning," that was the same warning that was referred to and set out on the previous page about, to disperse?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The date and time of the incident was at 3:45 in the afternoon. Did you, did anyone give you a time period for when the shooting incident concluded? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. MS LE ROUX: Okay. Turning to the bottom of page 2, there, in addition to what you've set out above in response to 2.1.1 you also state there that, "The members responded in self-defence with rubber bullets, stun grenades and sharp ammunition." That detail, rubber bullets, stun grenades and sharp ammunition, where did you get that from? MAJOR GOVENDER: This thing was compiled	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told there was any warning before shooting, a warning shot or a warning that sharp point ammunition would be used? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know what was the form of warning but I was informed that there was a warning given. MS LE ROUX: But am I correct that when you typed in "yes" here to the question "Did the member give an oral warning," that was the same warning that was referred to and set out on the previous page about, to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The date and time of the incident was at 3:45 in the afternoon. Did you, did anyone give you a time period for when the shooting incident concluded? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. MS LE ROUX: Okay. Turning to the bottom of page 2, there, in addition to what you've set out above in response to 2.1.1 you also state there that, "The members responded in self-defence with rubber bullets, stun grenades and sharp ammunition." That detail, rubber bullets, stun grenades and sharp ammunition, where did you get that from? MAJOR GOVENDER: This thing was compiled a day later and if you look at the scene itself of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told there was any warning before shooting, a warning shot or a warning that sharp point ammunition would be used? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know what was the form of warning but I was informed that there was a warning given. MS LE ROUX: But am I correct that when you typed in "yes" here to the question "Did the member give an oral warning," that was the same warning that was referred to and set out on the previous page about, to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. CHAIRPERSON: Talking about warnings,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	anything like that? MAJOR GOVENDER: He said to defend themselves was the reason why they had to use the ammunition. MS LE ROUX: It then states, "In the process several persons were killed and injured." You didn't yet know the details, did you? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't get into details as to how many were shot, how many were injured and how many killed. MS LE ROUX: Moving on then it says, "The date and time of the incident was at 3:45 in the afternoon. Did you, did anyone give you a time period for when the shooting incident concluded? MAJOR GOVENDER: Not really. MS LE ROUX: Okay. Turning to the bottom of page 2, there, in addition to what you've set out above in response to 2.1.1 you also state there that, "The members responded in self-defence with rubber bullets, stun grenades and sharp ammunition." That detail, rubber bullets, stun grenades and sharp ammunition, where did you get that from? MAJOR GOVENDER: This thing was compiled	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	succumbed as a result of the action there. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 3, obviously at the top of that page you filled out that you still needed to determine the details of the members that were involved and that you still needed to determine later whether any member used their own initiative or acted on command. 2.2.4 you say that an oral warning was given beforehand. Did – that's again based on what Brigadier Calitz told you, that there had been a warning to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chairperson. MS LE ROUX: So you weren't told there was any warning before shooting, a warning shot or a warning that sharp point ammunition would be used? MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know what was the form of warning but I was informed that there was a warning given. MS LE ROUX: But am I correct that when you typed in "yes" here to the question "Did the member give an oral warning," that was the same warning that was referred to and set out on the previous page about, to disperse? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct.

	Page 35080		Page 35081
1	MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. 2.2.5 you noted	1	you get any information from Brigadier Calitz or the
2	that members fired warning shots but you didn't know how	2	members you –
3	many. Again that comes from Brigadier Calitz.	3	CHAIRPERSON: No, it doesn't, it doesn't
4	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes.	4	specify that. It obviously relates to all the ammunition
5	MS LE ROUX: He told you specifically	5	that was used, soft and hard, blunt and sharp.
6	that there were warning shots?	6	MS LE ROUX: So Major Govender, when you
7	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, correct, what I'm	7	said yes here, you were referring to the use of the less
8	saying there, Chairperson, is that this entire report is	8	than lethal, the stun grenades, the water canon?
9	based on the version given to me or the information given	9	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I was actually
10	to me by Brigadier Calitz.	10	making mention of the ammunition that was utilised there.
11	MS LE ROUX: Now at the bottom of page 3	11	It doesn't –
12	the question is, "Did he or she, the member, attempt to hit	12	MS LE ROUX: The live ammunition?
13	the target with minimum force, for example did he or she	13	MAJOR GOVENDER: It does not specifically
14	fired at the victim's legs or at the vehicle's tyres or	14	– one must understand that this report is based on what
15	whatever the case may be?" And you answered, yes. What	15	information was given to me, whether it was the use of
16	were you told about the use of minimum force against the	16	lethal ammunition or not.
17	strikers?	17	MS LE ROUX: Yes, but my question is, the
18	MAJOR GOVENDER: When you refer to	18	information you got, what did it say about when they were
19	minimum force, the first thing that I did make mention of	19	using live ammunition that they were doing so with minimum
20	there was that they had to utilise rubber bullets and the	20	force, so shooting at legs or not at vital organs of a
21	water cannon.	21	person? Were you told anything about how the shooting was
22	MS LE ROUX: But the question is, did he	22	done?
23	or she attempt to hit the target with minimum force such as	23	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I was not given any
24	firing at legs or tyres or whatever the case may be? So it	24	further details, because you will see that paragraph
25	relates to the sharp point ammunition that was used. Did	25	relates to that the members had to protect themselves.
	Page 35082		Page 35083
1	Page 35082 MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4,	1	Page 35083 assumptions here?
1 2	-	1 2	-
	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4,		assumptions here?
2	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have	2	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from
2 3	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what	2 3	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there
2 3 4	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the	2 3 4	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the
2 3 4 5	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members.	2 3 4 5	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand whether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was the member trained to handle a firearm" and you note that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand whether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire or something like that and you filled in there, "At the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was the member trained to handle a firearm" and you note that "All members received basic training." At that stage you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand whether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire or something like that and you filled in there, "At the original target." Again that comes from what you were told
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was the member trained to handle a firearm" and you note that "All members received basic training." At that stage you obviously weren't aware of whether any members who had been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand whether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire or something like that and you filled in there, "At the original target." Again that comes from what you were told that morning?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was the member trained to handle a firearm" and you note that "All members received basic training." At that stage you obviously weren't aware of whether any members who had been involved in the shooting incident, what the state of their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand whether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire or something like that and you filled in there, "At the original target." Again that comes from what you were told that morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was the member trained to handle a firearm" and you note that "All members received basic training." At that stage you obviously weren't aware of whether any members who had been involved in the shooting incident, what the state of their firearms training was, in particular, you only note basic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand whether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire or something like that and you filled in there, "At the original target." Again that comes from what you were told that morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: If we turn to the top of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was the member trained to handle a firearm" and you note that "All members received basic training." At that stage you obviously weren't aware of whether any members who had been involved in the shooting incident, what the state of their firearms training was, in particular, you only note basic training.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	assumptions here?MAJOR GOVENDER:I got this fromBrigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or therewere members that were equipped with these firearms.MS LE ROUX:If we turn then to page 5,the second last question there, the question was, "Did themember shoot at the original target or victim? If not,what was the involvement of the target or victim at theincident?"This question seems to want to understandwhether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire orsomething like that and you filled in there, "At theoriginal target."Again that comes from what you were toldthat morning?MAJOR GOVENDER:That is correct.MS LE ROUX:If we turn to the top ofpage 6, you record there "34 African males deceased and 32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was the member trained to handle a firearm" and you note that "All members received basic training." At that stage you obviously weren't aware of whether any members who had been involved in the shooting incident, what the state of their firearms training was, in particular, you only note basic training. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand whether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire or something like that and you filled in there, "At the original target." Again that comes from what you were told that morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: If we turn to the top of page 6, you record there "34 African males deceased and 32 African males injured." Where did you get that detail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was the member trained to handle a firearm" and you note that "All members received basic training." At that stage you obviously weren't aware of whether any members who had been involved in the shooting incident, what the state of their firearms training was, in particular, you only note basic training. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MS LE ROUX: Yes, you were not aware?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand whether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire or something like that and you filled in there, "At the original target." Again that comes from what you were told that morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: If we turn to the top of page 6, you record there "34 African males deceased and 32 African males injured." Where did you get that detail from?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was the member trained to handle a firearm" and you note that "All members received basic training." At that stage you obviously weren't aware of whether any members who had been involved in the shooting incident, what the state of their firearms training was, in particular, you only note basic training. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MS LE ROUX: Yes, you were not aware? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was not aware of what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand whether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire or something like that and you filled in there, "At the original target." Again that comes from what you were told that morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: If we turn to the top of page 6, you record there "34 African males deceased and 32 African males injured." Where did you get that detail from? MAJOR GOVENDER: Also from Brigadier
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was the member trained to handle a firearm" and you note that "All members received basic training." At that stage you obviously weren't aware of whether any members who had been involved in the shooting incident, what the state of their firearms training was, in particular, you only note basic training. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MS LE ROUX: Yes, you were not aware? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was not aware of what training those members have received but I know at basic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand whether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire or something like that and you filled in there, "At the original target." Again that comes from what you were told that morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: If we turn to the top of page 6, you record there "34 African males deceased and 32 African males injured." Where did you get that detail from? MAJOR GOVENDER: Also from Brigadier Calitz as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was the member trained to handle a firearm" and you note that "All members received basic training." At that stage you obviously weren't aware of whether any members who had been involved in the shooting incident, what the state of their firearms training was, in particular, you only note basic training. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MS LE ROUX: Yes, you were not aware? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was not aware of what training those members have received but I know at basic training you are trained to handle the firearms that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand whether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire or something like that and you filled in there, "At the original target." Again that comes from what you were told that morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: If we turn to the top of page 6, you record there "34 African males deceased and 32 African males injured." Where did you get that detail from? MAJOR GOVENDER: Also from Brigadier Calitz as well. MS LE ROUX: And if we turn to the middle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was the member trained to handle a firearm" and you note that "All members received basic training." At that stage you obviously weren't aware of whether any members who had been involved in the shooting incident, what the state of their firearms training was, in particular, you only note basic training. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MS LE ROUX: Yes, you were not aware? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was not aware of what training those members have received but I know at basic training you are trained to handle the firearms that are used by the South African Police Services.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand whether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire or something like that and you filled in there, "At the original target." Again that comes from what you were told that morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: If we turn to the top of page 6, you record there "34 African males deceased and 32 African males injured." Where did you get that detail from? MAJOR GOVENDER: Also from Brigadier Calitz as well. MS LE ROUX: And if we turn to the middle of that page, 2.4.7 where the question is, "Were the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was the member trained to handle a firearm" and you note that "All members received basic training." At that stage you obviously weren't aware of whether any members who had been involved in the shooting incident, what the state of their firearms training was, in particular, you only note basic training. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MS LE ROUX: Yes, you were not aware? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was not aware of what training those members have received but I know at basic training you are trained to handle the firearms that are used by the South African Police Services. MS LE ROUX: Second last on the page you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand whether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire or something like that and you filled in there, "At the original target." Again that comes from what you were told that morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: If we turn to the top of page 6, you record there "34 African males deceased and 32 African males injured." Where did you get that detail from? MAJOR GOVENDER: Also from Brigadier Calitz as well. MS LE ROUX: And if we turn to the middle of that page, 2.4.7 where the question is, "Were the suspects in possession of any firearms?" You record,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 4, again 2.2.8.1 you state that, "The members' actions were justified because they acted in the interest to protect themselves. If they didn't act in s their lives would have been in immediate danger." Again the basis of that is what you were told by Brigadier Calitz and the members at the scene the next day, the four or five members. MAJOR GOVENDER: It is correct and then that is also based on my assumption as well. MS LE ROUX: The next question was, "Was the member trained to handle a firearm" and you note that "All members received basic training." At that stage you obviously weren't aware of whether any members who had been involved in the shooting incident, what the state of their firearms training was, in particular, you only note basic training. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MS LE ROUX: Yes, you were not aware? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was not aware of what training those members have received but I know at basic training you are trained to handle the firearms that are used by the South African Police Services.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	assumptions here? MAJOR GOVENDER: I got this from Brigadier Calitz as well, that there were people or there were members that were equipped with these firearms. MS LE ROUX: If we turn then to page 5, the second last question there, the question was, "Did the member shoot at the original target or victim? If not, what was the involvement of the target or victim at the incident?" This question seems to want to understand whether people were shot as bystanders or in crossfire or something like that and you filled in there, "At the original target." Again that comes from what you were told that morning? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: If we turn to the top of page 6, you record there "34 African males deceased and 32 African males injured." Where did you get that detail from? MAJOR GOVENDER: Also from Brigadier Calitz as well. MS LE ROUX: And if we turn to the middle of that page, 2.4.7 where the question is, "Were the

	D 25004		Dama 25005
1	Page 35084 weapons?	1	Page 35085 operational commander now. I had to – further information,
2	MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, it's not every	2	other names of those members I don't know.
3	single person who would be in possession of a firearm, say,	3	MS LE ROUX: Right, but you didn't think
4	so the information that I got is what I put in there and it	4	it was necessary to record that you'd spoken to the
5	said clearly, firearms and dangerous weapons, Chairperson.	5	operational commander?
6	MS LE ROUX: Alright. If we go to page 7	6	MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't think it was
7	then, 3.1 asks whether the suspension of the members	7	necessary here because if I said witnesses then it had to
8	involved in the incident is recommended and you state, "Not	8	be investigated from there.
9	at this stage." At what stage would you have recommended	9	MS LE ROUX: 3.7 asks whether –
10	that a member be suspended?	10	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux, you've got –
11	MAJOR GOVENDER: If you go back and refer	11	your time is up.
12	to this document you'll see there's justification and an	12	MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. I've got one
13	assumption that has been made by myself in compilation of	13	last question.
14	this report and then if there's investigation that can	14	CHAIRPERSON: Alright.
15	sustain, that can reflect, can say that this is the people	15	MS LE ROUX: 3.7, it asks there whether
16	that are involved, then it's up to them to make the	16	there were any independent witnesses present and you state
17	decision.	17	"Not at this stage." Of course you're aware that members
18	MS LE ROUX: Alright. 3.4 you record	18	of the media had been there. Were you told that there were
19	that, "The report was compiled from witnesses." Is there	19	members of the media, people who weren't involved either on
20	any reason why you didn't note that it was predominantly	20	the police side or the strikers' side that you could have
21	Brigadier Calitz?	21	spoken to?
22	MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, I didn't need to put	22	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't, I didn't
23	his details in there because like I said, this information	23	see it necessary to go and approach members of the media.
24	was based, from the operational commander and the	24	That is the function of the investigation itself. This is
25	Commission is aware that Brigadier Calitz was the	25	basically my report to inform my provincial and national
	Page 35086		D
	Faue 30000		
1	office.	1	Page 35087 you, Major.
1 2		1 2	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the
	office.		you, Major.
2	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question	2	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the
2 3	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the	2	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly
2 3 4	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he	2 3 4	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two.
2 3 4 5	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For	2 3 4 5	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
2 3 4 5 6	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page	2 3 4 5 6	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I
2 3 4 5 6 7	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement,	2 3 4 5 6 7	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence justification for the shooting incident when they didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major Govender.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence justification for the shooting incident when they didn't yet have an investigation and a sufficient level of detail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence justification for the shooting incident when they didn't yet have an investigation and a sufficient level of detail available to them, to summarise what he says –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Mr Van As, I believe you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence justification for the shooting incident when they didn't yet have an investigation and a sufficient level of detail available to them, to summarise what he says – CHAIRPERSON: That was the criticism.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Mr Van As, I believe you're cross-examining.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence justification for the shooting incident when they didn't yet have an investigation and a sufficient level of detail available to them, to summarise what he says – CHAIRPERSON: That was the criticism. You've read the criticism, your attention was drawn to it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Mr Van As, I believe you're cross-examining. MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence justification for the shooting incident when they didn't yet have an investigation and a sufficient level of detail available to them, to summarise what he says – CHAIRPERSON: That was the criticism. You've read the criticism, your attention was drawn to it, is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Mr Van As, I believe you're cross-examining. MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence justification for the shooting incident when they didn't yet have an investigation and a sufficient level of detail available to them, to summarise what he says – CHAIRPERSON: That was the criticism. You've read the criticism, your attention was drawn to it, is that right? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Mr Van As, I believe you're cross-examining. MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon – CHAIRPERSON: Before you do so can I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence justification for the shooting incident when they didn't yet have an investigation and a sufficient level of detail available to them, to summarise what he says – CHAIRPERSON: That was the criticism. You've read the criticism, your attention was drawn to it, is that right? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, it was brought to my attention.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Mr Van As, I believe you're cross-examining. MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon – CHAIRPERSON: Before you do so can I just ask the witness a question? The account that you give of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence justification for the shooting incident when they didn't yet have an investigation and a sufficient level of detail available to them, to summarise what he says – CHAIRPERSON: That was the criticism. You've read the criticism, your attention was drawn to it, is that right? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, it was brought to my attention. CHAIRPERSON: Now the last point to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Mr Van As, I believe you're cross-examining. MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon – CHAIRPERSON: Before you do so can I just ask the witness a question? The account that you give of what happened, what you describe as the shooting incident
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence justification for the shooting incident when they didn't yet have an investigation and a sufficient level of detail available to them, to summarise what he says – CHAIRPERSON: That was the criticism. You've read the criticism, your attention was drawn to it, is that right? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, it was brought to my attention. CHAIRPERSON: Now the last point to be dealt with now is, do you wish to answer that criticism and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Mr Van As, I believe you're cross-examining. MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon – CHAIRPERSON: Before you do so can I just ask the witness a question? The account that you give of what happened, what you describe as the shooting incident is contained, as I read it, in paragraphs 2.1.1 and 2.1.4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence justification for the shooting incident when they didn't yet have an investigation and a sufficient level of detail available to them, to summarise what he says – CHAIRPERSON: That was the criticism. You've read the criticism, your attention was drawn to it, is that right? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, it was brought to my attention. CHAIRPERSON: Now the last point to be dealt with now is, do you wish to answer that criticism and if so, what do you want to say in relation to it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Mr Van As, I believe you're cross-examining. MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon – CHAIRPERSON: Before you do so can I just ask the witness a question? The account that you give of what happened, what you describe as the shooting incident is contained, as I read it, in paragraphs 2.1.1 and 2.1.4 of exhibit WW7. Is that correct? Page 2 of the exhibit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence justification for the shooting incident when they didn't yet have an investigation and a sufficient level of detail available to them, to summarise what he says – CHAIRPERSON: That was the criticism. You've read the criticism, your attention was drawn to it, is that right? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, it was brought to my attention. CHAIRPERSON: Now the last point to be dealt with now is, do you wish to answer that criticism and if so, what do you want to say in relation to it? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I don't wish to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Mr Van As, I believe you're cross-examining. MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon – CHAIRPERSON: Before you do so can I just ask the witness a question? The account that you give of what happened, what you describe as the shooting incident is contained, as I read it, in paragraphs 2.1.1 and 2.1.4 of exhibit WW7. Is that correct? Page 2 of the exhibit. Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence justification for the shooting incident when they didn't yet have an investigation and a sufficient level of detail available to them, to summarise what he says – CHAIRPERSON: That was the criticism. You've read the criticism, your attention was drawn to it, is that right? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, it was brought to my attention. CHAIRPERSON: Now the last point to be dealt with now is, do you wish to answer that criticism and if so, what do you want to say in relation to it? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I don't wish to answer. That is his opinion, thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Mr Van As, I believe you're cross-examining. MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon – CHAIRPERSON: Before you do so can I just ask the witness a question? The account that you give of what happened, what you describe as the shooting incident is contained, as I read it, in paragraphs 2.1.1 and 2.1.4 of exhibit WW7. Is that correct? Page 2 of the exhibit. Is that right? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chairperson?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	office. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the last question would be just to round up because we did give the Major the two passages in Gary White's statement that record how he deals with Captain, then Captain Govender's report. For the record, in JJJ178 Mr White's final statement, it's page 31 paragraph 4.2.12A and in WW2, his provisional statement, it's page 23 paragraph 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 as well as footnote 23 and 24 that relate there. To summarise, Chair, Mr White points to this shooting incident report as an example of how, in his opinion, the SAPS adopted a self-defence justification for the shooting incident when they didn't yet have an investigation and a sufficient level of detail available to them, to summarise what he says – CHAIRPERSON: That was the criticism. You've read the criticism, your attention was drawn to it, is that right? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chair, it was brought to my attention. CHAIRPERSON: Now the last point to be dealt with now is, do you wish to answer that criticism and if so, what do you want to say in relation to it? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I don't wish to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you, Major. CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the adjournment. We'll reassemble at – well, it's now nearly five past, we'll reassemble at 10 to two. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:56] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I want to announce before we carry on with the evidence that owing to unforeseen circumstances over which we have no control we will not be sitting tomorrow and so the end of the evidence of the present witness we'll adjourn until Monday morning at 9:30. You're still under oath, Major Govender. VEERASAMY VELAYUDAF GOVENDER: [s.u.o.] CHAIRPERSON: Mr Van As, I believe you're cross-examining. MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon – CHAIRPERSON: Before you do so can I just ask the witness a question? The account that you give of what happened, what you describe as the shooting incident is contained, as I read it, in paragraphs 2.1.1 and 2.1.4 of exhibit WW7. Is that correct? Page 2 of the exhibit. Is that right?

	Page 35088		Page 35089
1	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, Chairperson.	1	penultimate sentence, penultimate sentence and the last
2	CHAIRPERSON: And you describe the	2	sentence, 2.1.1.
3	location where it happened as being in the open veld at	3	That's an account according to the police version
4	Middelkraal.	4	of what happened at scene 1 where the police saw these
5	MAJOR GOVENDER: At Wonderkop.	5	people approaching, they understood them to be charging at
6	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, sorry, the open	6	them to attack them and they fired in circumstances which
7	veld at Middelkraal near Nkaneng Village, Wonderkop,	7	according to the evidence they believed were justified by
8	Marikana.	8	rules of self-defence.
9	MAJOR GOVENDER: Ja, the various –	9	But that's not what happened at scene 2. So
10	CHAIRPERSON: That's 2.1.3.	10	would you agree with me that the description of the
11	MAJOR GOVENDER: It is so, Chairperson.	11	incident that you give in the report is a description of
12	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. So what I	12	what happened at scene 1?
13	notice from the description of the incident in 2.1.1 and	13	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I'll agree with
14	2.1.4 is you appear only to be describing what happened at	14	you, Chairperson.
15	scene 1. What happened at scene 1 according to the police	15	CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
16	evidence we've got is – well, there may be disputes about	16	MAJOR GOVENDER: Because that is the
17	some of the things, which I don't have to worry you with,	17	actual report that I did receive.
18	but what you say in the last sentence of 2.1.1 is "The mob	18	CHAIRPERSON: Is that what Brigadier
19	charged the police officials with firearms and dangerous	19	Calitz told you?
20	weapons" – I beg your pardon, penultimate paragraph, 2.1.1,	20	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so.
21	"The mob charged the police officials with firearms and	21	CHAIRPERSON: He didn't tell you there
22	dangerous weapons. The police officials acted in self-	22	were two scenes, two incidents?
23	defence. In the process several persons were killed and	23	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I – but I went to
24	injured." It's actually the, to be pedantic, it's the	24	the other scene as well –
25	anti-penultimate paragraph, penultimate paragraph, anti-	25	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understand that,
	Page 35090		Page 35091
1	Page 35090 but he didn't tell you that?	1	Page 35091 MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it.
1 2		1 2	-
	but he didn't tell you that?		MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it.
2	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information	2	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that
2 3	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank	2 3	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre.
2 3 4	but he didn't tell you that?MAJOR GOVENDER:This is the informationhe gave to me, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:Thank you. Mr Van As.	2 3 4	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that
2 3 4 5	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon.	2 3 4 5	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening?
2 3 4 5 6	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to	2 3 4 5 6	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that appears at page 8 of that bundle, the email dated 11 August	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence correctly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that appears at page 8 of that bundle, the email dated 11 August 2012 and the exhibit number is exhibit LLLL3. I think it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence correctly. MAJOR GOVENDER: Not half past 6, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that appears at page 8 of that bundle, the email dated 11 August 2012 and the exhibit number is exhibit LLLL3. I think it's upside down on the screen. There we go. Can you just go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence correctly. MAJOR GOVENDER: Not half past 6, because if you will look I've got an AVL report that gives you the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that appears at page 8 of that bundle, the email dated 11 August 2012 and the exhibit number is exhibit LLLL3. I think it's upside down on the screen. There we go. Can you just go to the top of the email, please? Firstly can you confirm,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence correctly. MAJOR GOVENDER: Not half past 6, because if you will look I've got an AVL report that gives you the exact time of my arrival.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that appears at page 8 of that bundle, the email dated 11 August 2012 and the exhibit number is exhibit LLLL3. I think it's upside down on the screen. There we go. Can you just go to the top of the email, please? Firstly can you confirm, Major Govender, is this an email which you sent at 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence correctly. MAJOR GOVENDER: Not half past 6, because if you will look I've got an AVL report that gives you the exact time of my arrival. MR VAN AS: You said you arrived at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that appears at page 8 of that bundle, the email dated 11 August 2012 and the exhibit number is exhibit LLLL3. I think it's upside down on the screen. There we go. Can you just go to the top of the email, please? Firstly can you confirm, Major Govender, is this an email which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on Saturday the 11th of August 2012?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence correctly. MAJOR GOVENDER: Not half past 6, because if you will look I've got an AVL report that gives you the exact time of my arrival. MR VAN AS: You said you arrived at the scene at 20 past 6, you received a telephone call from Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that appears at page 8 of that bundle, the email dated 11 August 2012 and the exhibit number is exhibit LLLL3. I think it's upside down on the screen. There we go. Can you just go to the top of the email, please? Firstly can you confirm, Major Govender, is this an email which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on Saturday the 11th of August 2012? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence correctly. MAJOR GOVENDER: Not half past 6, because if you will look I've got an AVL report that gives you the exact time of my arrival. MR VAN AS: You said you arrived at the scene at 20 past 6, you received a telephone call from Mr Blaauw and you then travelled to Lonmin. "I immediately
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that appears at page 8 of that bundle, the email dated 11 August 2012 and the exhibit number is exhibit LLLL3. I think it's upside down on the screen. There we go. Can you just go to the top of the email, please? Firstly can you confirm, Major Govender, is this an email which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on Saturday the 11th of August 2012? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chairperson. MR VAN AS: If you look at paragraph 1 of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence correctly. MAJOR GOVENDER: Not half past 6, because if you will look I've got an AVL report that gives you the exact time of my arrival. MR VAN AS: You said you arrived at the scene at 20 past 6, you received a telephone call from Mr Blaauw and you then travelled to Lonmin. "I immediately proceeded to Rowland Shaft," it's in your statement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that appears at page 8 of that bundle, the email dated 11 August 2012 and the exhibit number is exhibit LLLL3. I think it's upside down on the screen. There we go. Can you just go to the top of the email, please? Firstly can you confirm, Major Govender, is this an email which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on Saturday the 11th of August 2012? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chairperson. MR VAN AS: If you look at paragraph 1 of this email it says the following, "Emanating from the march	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence correctly. MAJOR GOVENDER: Not half past 6, because if you will look I've got an AVL report that gives you the exact time of my arrival. MR VAN AS: You said you arrived at the scene at 20 past 6, you received a telephone call from Mr Blaauw and you then travelled to Lonmin. "I immediately proceeded to Rowland Shaft," it's in your statement. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chairperson, sorry, as I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that appears at page 8 of that bundle, the email dated 11 August 2012 and the exhibit number is exhibit LLLL3. I think it's upside down on the screen. There we go. Can you just go to the top of the email, please? Firstly can you confirm, Major Govender, is this an email which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on Saturday the 11th of August 2012? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chairperson. MR VAN AS: If you look at paragraph 1 of this email it says the following. "Emanating from the march that took place on Friday 2012, August the 10th, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence correctly. MAJOR GOVENDER: Not half past 6, because if you will look I've got an AVL report that gives you the exact time of my arrival. MR VAN AS: You said you arrived at the scene at 20 past 6, you received a telephone call from Mr Blaauw and you then travelled to Lonmin. "I immediately proceeded to Rowland Shaft," it's in your statement. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chairperson, sorry, as I said in the statement is that I received a call at 20 past
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that appears at page 8 of that bundle, the email dated 11 August 2012 and the exhibit number is exhibit LLLL3. I think it's upside down on the screen. There we go. Can you just go to the top of the email, please? Firstly can you confirm, Major Govender, is this an email which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on Saturday the 11th of August 2012? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chairperson. MR VAN AS: If you look at paragraph 1 of this email it says the following, "Emanating from the march that took place on Friday 2012, August the 10th, the situation has thus become violent in that employees of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence correctly. MAJOR GOVENDER: Not half past 6, because if you will look I've got an AVL report that gives you the exact time of my arrival. MR VAN AS: You said you arrived at the scene at 20 past 6, you received a telephone call from Mr Blaauw and you then travelled to Lonmin. "I immediately proceeded to Rowland Shaft," it's in your statement. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chairperson, sorry, as I said in the statement is that I received a call at 20 past 6 from Mr Henry Blaauw.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that appears at page 8 of that bundle, the email dated 11 August 2012 and the exhibit number is exhibit LLLL3. I think it's upside down on the screen. There we go. Can you just go to the top of the email, please? Firstly can you confirm, Major Govender, is this an email which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on Saturday the 11th of August 2012? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chairperson. MR VAN AS: If you look at paragraph 1 of this email it says the following. "Emanating from the march that took place on Friday 2012, August the 10th, the situation has thus become violent in that employees of Lonmin who were from work at approximately 20:00 on Friday	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence correctly. MAJOR GOVENDER: Not half past 6, because if you will look I've got an AVL report that gives you the exact time of my arrival. MR VAN AS: You said you arrived at the scene at 20 past 6, you received a telephone call from Mr Blaauw and you then travelled to Lonmin. "I immediately proceeded to Rowland Shaft," it's in your statement. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chairperson, sorry, as I said in the statement is that I received a call at 20 past 6 from Mr Henry Blaauw. MR VAN AS: Right, be that as it may; you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that appears at page 8 of that bundle, the email dated 11 August 2012 and the exhibit number is exhibit LLLL3. I think it's upside down on the screen. There we go. Can you just go to the top of the email, please? Firstly can you confirm, Major Govender, is this an email which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on Saturday the 11th of August 2012? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chairperson. MR VAN AS: If you look at paragraph 1 of this email it says the following, "Emanating from the march that took place on Friday 2012, August the 10th, the situation has thus become violent in that employees of Lonmin who were from work at approximately 20:00 on Friday evening were attacked and assaulted by a group of employees	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence correctly. MAJOR GOVENDER: Not half past 6, because if you will look I've got an AVL report that gives you the exact time of my arrival. MR VAN AS: You said you arrived at the scene at 20 past 6, you received a telephone call from Mr Blaauw and you then travelled to Lonmin. "I immediately proceeded to Rowland Shaft," it's in your statement. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chairperson, sorry, as I said in the statement is that I received a call at 20 past 6 from Mr Henry Blaauw. MR VAN AS: Right, be that as it may; you didn't witness this incident of assault, these incidents of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	but he didn't tell you that? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is the information he gave to me, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr Van As. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Good afternoon, Major Govender. MAJOR GOVENDER: Good afternoon. MR VAN AS: I'd firstly please like to take you to your emails which form part of the bundle of documents which were submitted this morning by the evidence leaders, and if we could perhaps go to the email that appears at page 8 of that bundle, the email dated 11 August 2012 and the exhibit number is exhibit LLLL3. I think it's upside down on the screen. There we go. Can you just go to the top of the email, please? Firstly can you confirm, Major Govender, is this an email which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on Saturday the 11th of August 2012? MAJOR GOVENDER: I confirm, Chairperson. MR VAN AS: If you look at paragraph 1 of this email it says the following. "Emanating from the march that took place on Friday 2012, August the 10th, the situation has thus become violent in that employees of Lonmin who were from work at approximately 20:00 on Friday	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MAJOR GOVENDER: I see it. MR VAN AS: Where did you receive that information? MAJOR GOVENDER: This is information that I got from my CSC, my community service centre. MR VAN AS: And had you left Rowland Crossing by 8 o'clock on the Friday evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: Exact time I don't know. Probably I would have left by then. MR VAN AS: Because you said you arrived at about half past 6, if I understood your evidence correctly. MAJOR GOVENDER: Not half past 6, because if you will look I've got an AVL report that gives you the exact time of my arrival. MR VAN AS: You said you arrived at the scene at 20 past 6, you received a telephone call from Mr Blaauw and you then travelled to Lonmin. "I immediately proceeded to Rowland Shaft," it's in your statement. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chairperson, sorry, as I said in the statement is that I received a call at 20 past 6 from Mr Henry Blaauw. MR VAN AS: Right, be that as it may; you

	Page 35092		Page 35093
1	MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't witness any	1	same bundle of documents. It's a statement dated the 3rd of
2	of those.	2	September 2012 and the exhibit number is LLLL2, and in this
3	MR VAN AS: But they were reported to	3	statement you make mention of the fact that at half past 10
4	you?	4	on the Saturday morning you and Warrant Officer Kruger went
5	MAJOR GOVENDER: There were reports given	5	to the koppie.
6	to me, yes, and then the email was for information to my	6	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair.
7	superiors.	7	MR VAN AS: And if I can read paragraph 3
8	MR VAN AS: Alright. There's no reason	8	of the statement, you say the following, "As we drove
9	for you to doubt the content of this email, or the	9	closer to the mountain opposite Big Horn substation we
10	information that you received?	10	noticed people gathered on the mountain. All of them were
11	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot doubt that	11	casually dressed. We proceeded along the mountain in an
12	because that is information that I put onto this email.	12	easterly direction and found at the foot of the mountain
13	MR VAN AS: Okay. You then go on in the	13	people were in a queue following one another. In front of
14	email to mention the incident that took place on Saturday	14	the queue I noticed that a person dressed in traditional
15	morning the 11th of August, the attack on the NUM office.	15	dress wearing animal skins, performing some sort of ritual.
16	Was that also reported to you?	16	The people, all males, were also wearing blankets. Warrant
17	MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, the information was	17	Officer Kruger and I proceeded past these people along the
18	given to me about that.	18	informal settlement towards Wonderkop Hostel where I showed
19	MR VAN AS: Right, and you also in the	19	Warrant Officer Kruger the area that was used by these
20	same paragraph make mention of the fact that some four	20	employees to gather the previous day." And this is the
21	people have now been shot and hospitalised over the course	21	important bit, Major Govender; "I'm not fully acquainted
22	of the weekend.	22	with the types of rituals that are performed. However, I
23	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct.	23	have knowledge that there are certain ethnic groups
24	MR VAN AS: Then I'd like to please take	24	performing certain rituals to either commit crimes or
25	you to your second statement which appears at page 6 of the	25	engage in faction fighting, waging a war between
	Dama 25004		
i i	Page 35094		Page 35095
1	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that	1	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack
2	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement?	1 2	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you
	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair.		assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you
2	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the	2	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would
2 3	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday?	2 3	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it
2 3 4	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the	2 3 4	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email?
2 3 4 5	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10.	2 3 4 5	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the	2 3 4 5 6	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to?	2 3 4 5 6 7	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: Now what I want to put to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in the email?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: Now what I want to put to you, Major Govender, that the view that you formed in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in the email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, at that particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: Now what I want to put to you, Major Govender, that the view that you formed in the statement which is recorded here is that these people on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in the email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, at that particular time you could not even say that they were going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: Now what I want to put to you, Major Govender, that the view that you formed in the statement which is recorded here is that these people on the koppie were preparing for some sort of fight. Is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in the email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, at that particular time you could not even say that they were going to be engaged in that kind of – how can I say? - more often into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: Now what I want to put to you, Major Govender, that the view that you formed in the statement which is recorded here is that these people on the koppie were preparing for some sort of fight. Is that correct? Is that fair?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in the email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, at that particular time you could not even say that they were going to be engaged in that kind of – how can I say? - more often into violent attacks. So I saw no reason to have stipulated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: Now what I want to put to you, Major Govender, that the view that you formed in the statement which is recorded here is that these people on the koppie were preparing for some sort of fight. Is that correct? Is that fair? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is my view.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in the email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, at that particular time you could not even say that they were going to be engaged in that kind of – how can I say? - more often into violent attacks. So I saw no reason to have stipulated this in my email. The facts of those that had been injured
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: Now what I want to put to you, Major Govender, that the view that you formed in the statement which is recorded here is that these people on the koppie were preparing for some sort of fight. Is that correct? Is that fair? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is my view. MR VAN AS: Why didn't you mention it in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in the email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, at that particular time you could not even say that they were going to be engaged in that kind of – how can I say? - more often into violent attacks. So I saw no reason to have stipulated this in my email. The facts of those that had been injured was reported to my superiors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: Now what I want to put to you, Major Govender, that the view that you formed in the statement which is recorded here is that these people on the koppie were preparing for some sort of fight. Is that correct? Is that fair? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is my view. MR VAN AS: Why didn't you mention it in your email?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in the email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, at that particular time you could not even say that they were going to be engaged in that kind of – how can I say? - more often into violent attacks. So I saw no reason to have stipulated this in my email. The facts of those that had been injured was reported to my superiors. MR VAN AS: You didn't foresee that these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: Now what I want to put to you, Major Govender, that the view that you formed in the statement which is recorded here is that these people on the koppie were preparing for some sort of fight. Is that correct? Is that fair? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is my view. MR VAN AS: Why didn't you mention it in your email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, I didn't see it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in the email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, at that particular time you could not even say that they were going to be engaged in that kind of – how can I say? - more often into violent attacks. So I saw no reason to have stipulated this in my email. The facts of those that had been injured was reported to my superiors. MR VAN AS: You didn't foresee that these people may be preparing for another attack on the NUM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: Now what I want to put to you, Major Govender, that the view that you formed in the statement which is recorded here is that these people on the koppie were preparing for some sort of fight. Is that correct? Is that fair? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is my view. MR VAN AS: Why didn't you mention it in your email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, I didn't see it necessary to have mentioned it at that particular time, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in the email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, at that particular time you could not even say that they were going to be engaged in that kind of – how can I say? - more often into violent attacks. So I saw no reason to have stipulated this in my email. The facts of those that had been injured was reported to my superiors. MR VAN AS: You didn't foresee that these people may be preparing for another attack on the NUM office?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: Now what I want to put to you, Major Govender, that the view that you formed in the statement which is recorded here is that these people on the koppie were preparing for some sort of fight. Is that correct? Is that fair? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is my view. MR VAN AS: Why didn't you mention it in your email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, I didn't see it necessary to have mentioned it at that particular time, and from what I have stated there is that the statement was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in the email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, at that particular time you could not even say that they were going to be engaged in that kind of – how can I say? - more often into violent attacks. So I saw no reason to have stipulated this in my email. The facts of those that had been injured was reported to my superiors. MR VAN AS: You didn't foresee that these people may be preparing for another attack on the NUM office? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: Now what I want to put to you, Major Govender, that the view that you formed in the statement which is recorded here is that these people on the koppie were preparing for some sort of fight. Is that correct? Is that fair? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is my view. MR VAN AS: Why didn't you mention it in your email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, I didn't see it necessary to have mentioned it at that particular time, and from what I have stated there is that the statement was subsequently requested from me at a later stage.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in the email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, at that particular time you could not even say that they were going to be engaged in that kind of – how can I say? - more often into violent attacks. So I saw no reason to have stipulated this in my email. The facts of those that had been injured was reported to my superiors. MR VAN AS: You didn't foresee that these people may be preparing for another attack on the NUM office? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't. MR VAN AS: Or they may be preparing for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: Now what I want to put to you, Major Govender, that the view that you formed in the statement which is recorded here is that these people on the koppie were preparing for some sort of fight. Is that correct? Is that fair? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is my view. MR VAN AS: Why didn't you mention it in your email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, I didn't see it necessary to have mentioned it at that particular time, and from what I have stated there is that the statement was subsequently requested from me at a later stage. MR VAN AS: With the greatest respect,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in the email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, at that particular time you could not even say that they were going to be engaged in that kind of – how can I say? - more often into violent attacks. So I saw no reason to have stipulated this in my email. The facts of those that had been injured was reported to my superiors. MR VAN AS: You didn't foresee that these people may be preparing for another attack on the NUM office? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't. MR VAN AS: Or they may be preparing for further acts of intimidation and assault of non-striking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	individuals of a tribe or clan." Do you stand by that statement? MAJOR GOVENDER: I stand by that, Chair. MR VAN AS: And when did you visit the koppie on the Saturday? MAJOR GOVENDER: Like I mention in the statement, at about half past 10. MR VAN AS: So it was before you sent the email I've referred you to? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: Now what I want to put to you, Major Govender, that the view that you formed in the statement which is recorded here is that these people on the koppie were preparing for some sort of fight. Is that correct? Is that fair? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is my view. MR VAN AS: Why didn't you mention it in your email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, I didn't see it necessary to have mentioned it at that particular time, and from what I have stated there is that the statement was subsequently requested from me at a later stage.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	assault of non-striking employees. There's been an attack on the NUM office and you go to the koppie where you observe a group of males performing rituals which you subjectively believe are of the nature that one would perform before going to war, and you choose not to put it in an email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes, I chose not to. MR VAN AS: And again I'm going to afford you an opportunity because with respect, I think it's important. What was your reason for not including this in the email? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, at that particular time you could not even say that they were going to be engaged in that kind of – how can I say? - more often into violent attacks. So I saw no reason to have stipulated this in my email. The facts of those that had been injured was reported to my superiors. MR VAN AS: You didn't foresee that these people may be preparing for another attack on the NUM office? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, I didn't. MR VAN AS: Or they may be preparing for

	Page 35096		Page 35097
1	that.	1	MR VAN AS: People walking to and from
2	MR VAN AS: And would it be fair for the	2	work at Rowland Shaft would pass the point where these
3	Commission to accept that had you foreseen that you would	3	individuals were standing with their knobkieries, would
4	have reported it to your superiors?	4	they not?
5	MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't – like I'm	5	MAJOR GOVENDER: I observed, like I said
6	saying to you that I don't foresee it being an important	6	15 persons there standing and they posed no threat.
7	issue to have given this information. Remember I was also	7	MR VAN AS: Please answer the question,
8	with a crime intelligence gathering officer, so he could	8	Major Govender. People walking to and from Rowland Shaft
9	have made mention of it if I –	9	would walk past where these individuals were assembled with
10	MR VAN AS: Let's go back to the Friday	10	knobkieries?
11	evening. On the same vein Mr Botes asked you to intervene	11	MAJOR GOVENDER: I don't know how you see
12	because strikers were intimidating non-striking employees.	12	that would be a threat to these people.
13	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct.	13	CHAIRPERSON: Is it correct though, they
14	MR VAN AS: And you chose not to because	14	had knobkieries?
15	you didn't think they posed a threat to non-striking	15	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Chairperson.
16	employees?	16	CHAIRPERSON: And people had to walk past
17	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is according to me	17	them?
18	they did not pose any threat.	18	MAJOR GOVENDER: I didn't see any persons
19	MR VAN AS: Individuals standing around	19	walk past this group. Like I said when –
20	on a Friday evening at half past 6 at the entrance to a	20	CHAIRPERSON: Were they on the route that
21	shaft with knobkieries?	21	the people would have walked on, on their way to the shaft?
22	MAJOR GOVENDER: Chairperson, we must	22	MAJOR GOVENDER: Say again, Chairman.
23	just verify at the entrance to a shaft, it was a public	23	CHAIRPERSON: Were they on the route,
24	road and it separates the shaft. So I cannot see how you	24	were these people standing with the knobkieries on the
25	can make mention of it as being an entrance to a shaft.	25	route which workers would have had to walk along –
	D 05000	1	D
1	Page 35098 MA JOR GOVENDER: It's quite –	1	Page 35099 MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later.
1	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite –	1	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later.
1 2 3	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft?		MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes.
2	MAJOR GOVENDER:It's quite –CHAIRPERSON:- on the way to the shaft?MAJOR GOVENDER:Chair, it's quite an	2	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that
2 3	MAJOR GOVENDER:It's quite –CHAIRPERSON:- on the way to the shaft?MAJOR GOVENDER:Chair, it's quite anopen area. It's quite wide.There are many ways of going	2 3	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes.
2 3 4	MAJOR GOVENDER:It's quite –CHAIRPERSON:- on the way to the shaft?MAJOR GOVENDER:Chair, it's quite an	2 3 4	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with
2 3 4 5	MAJOR GOVENDER:It's quite –CHAIRPERSON:- on the way to the shaft?MAJOR GOVENDER:Chair, it's quite anopen area.It's quite wide.There are many ways of goingto and from the hostels and at that particular point	2 3 4 5	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for
2 3 4 5 6	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So	2 3 4 5 6	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MAJOR GOVENDER:It's quite –CHAIRPERSON:- on the way to the shaft?MAJOR GOVENDER:Chair, it's quite anopen area.It's quite wide.There are many ways of goingto and from the hostels and at that particular pointthere's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation.Sothey were standing at that particular point.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So they were standing at that particular point. CHAIRPERSON: I see.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So they were standing at that particular point. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR VAN AS: And the security manager	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAJOR GOVENDER:It's quite –CHAIRPERSON:- on the way to the shaft?MAJOR GOVENDER:Chair, it's quite anopen area.It's quite wide.There are many ways of goingto and from the hostels and at that particular pointthere's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation.Sothey were standing at that particular point.CHAIRPERSON:I see.MR VAN AS:And the security managerasked you to disperse them because non-striking employees	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything of that sort, so what suspicion must I have?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So they were standing at that particular point. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR VAN AS: And the security manager asked you to disperse them because non-striking employees had been intimidated from going to work earlier that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything of that sort, so what suspicion must I have? CHAIRPERSON: Doesn't it throw doubt upon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So they were standing at that particular point. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR VAN AS: And the security manager asked you to disperse them because non-striking employees had been intimidated from going to work earlier that evening?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything of that sort, so what suspicion must I have? CHAIRPERSON: Doesn't it throw doubt upon the explanation they'd given you that they're waiting for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So they were standing at that particular point. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR VAN AS: And the security manager asked you to disperse them because non-striking employees had been intimidated from going to work earlier that evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: That was not made	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything of that sort, so what suspicion must I have? CHAIRPERSON: Doesn't it throw doubt upon the explanation they'd given you that they're waiting for a taxi, why didn't they wait for the taxi? Why did they walk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAJOR GOVENDER:It's quite –CHAIRPERSON:- on the way to the shaft?MAJOR GOVENDER:Chair, it's quite anopen area.It's quite wide.There are many ways of goingto and from the hostels and at that particular pointthere's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation.Sothey were standing at that particular point.CHAIRPERSON:I see.MR VAN AS:And the security managerasked you to disperse them because non-striking employeeshad been intimidated from going to work earlier thatevening?MAJOR GOVENDER:MAJOR GOVENDER:That was not mademention of.He just said go and disperse that group of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything of that sort, so what suspicion must I have? CHAIRPERSON: Doesn't it throw doubt upon the explanation they'd given you that they're waiting for a taxi, why didn't they wait for the taxi? Why did they walk away before the taxi had a chance to come?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So they were standing at that particular point. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR VAN AS: And the security manager asked you to disperse them because non-striking employees had been intimidated from going to work earlier that evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: That was not made mention of. He just said go and disperse that group of people, and like I made mention of it, they posed no threat	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything of that sort, so what suspicion must I have? CHAIRPERSON: Doesn't it throw doubt upon the explanation they'd given you that they're waiting for a taxi, why didn't they wait for the taxi? Why did they walk away before the taxi had a chance to come? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I don't –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So they were standing at that particular point. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR VAN AS: And the security manager asked you to disperse them because non-striking employees had been intimidated from going to work earlier that evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: That was not made mention of. He just said go and disperse that group of people, and like I made mention of it, they posed no threat and I even sent one of my constables to approach them and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything of that sort, so what suspicion must I have? CHAIRPERSON: Doesn't it throw doubt upon the explanation they'd given you that they're waiting for a taxi, why didn't they wait for the taxi? Why did they walk away before the taxi had a chance to come? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I don't – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not suggesting that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So they were standing at that particular point. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR VAN AS: And the security manager asked you to disperse them because non-striking employees had been intimidated from going to work earlier that evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: That was not made mention of. He just said go and disperse that group of people, and like I made mention of it, they posed no threat and I even sent one of my constables to approach them and to find out from them what they were exactly doing at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything of that sort, so what suspicion must I have? CHAIRPERSON: Doesn't it throw doubt upon the explanation they'd given you that they're waiting for a taxi, why didn't they wait for the taxi? Why did they walk away before the taxi had a chance to come? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I don't – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not suggesting that it indicated you should have done anything. I'm just asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So they were standing at that particular point. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR VAN AS: And the security manager asked you to disperse them because non-striking employees had been intimidated from going to work earlier that evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: That was not made mention of. He just said go and disperse that group of people, and like I made mention of it, they posed no threat and I even sent one of my constables to approach them and to find out from them what they were exactly doing at that point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything of that sort, so what suspicion must I have? CHAIRPERSON: Doesn't it throw doubt upon the explanation they'd given you that they're waiting for a taxi, why didn't they wait for the taxi? Why did they walk away before the taxi had a chance to come? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I don't – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not suggesting that it indicated you should have done anything. I'm just asking you, and I don't know why Mr Van As is asking the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So they were standing at that particular point. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR VAN AS: And the security manager asked you to disperse them because non-striking employees had been intimidated from going to work earlier that evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: That was not made mention of. He just said go and disperse that group of people, and like I made mention of it, they posed no threat and I even sent one of my constables to approach them and to find out from them what they were exactly doing at that point. MR VAN AS: And they told this constable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything of that sort, so what suspicion must I have? CHAIRPERSON: Doesn't it throw doubt upon the explanation they'd given you that they're waiting for a taxi, why didn't they wait for the taxi? Why did they walk away before the taxi had a chance to come? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I don't – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not suggesting that it indicated you should have done anything. I'm just asking you, and I don't know why Mr Van As is asking the question. He may have the same point that I'm putting to you as I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So they were standing at that particular point. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR VAN AS: And the security manager asked you to disperse them because non-striking employees had been intimidated from going to work earlier that evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: That was not made mention of. He just said go and disperse that group of people, and like I made mention of it, they posed no threat and I even sent one of my constables to approach them and to find out from them what they were exactly doing at that point. MR VAN AS: And they told this constable that they are waiting for a taxi?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything of that sort, so what suspicion must I have? CHAIRPERSON: Doesn't it throw doubt upon the explanation they'd given you that they're waiting for a taxi, why didn't they wait for the taxi? Why did they walk away before the taxi had a chance to come? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I don't – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not suggesting that it indicated you should have done anything. I'm just asking you, and I don't know why Mr Van As is asking the question. He may have the same point that I'm putting to you as I have, but does it not throw suspicion on the truth of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So they were standing at that particular point. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR VAN AS: And the security manager asked you to disperse them because non-striking employees had been intimidated from going to work earlier that evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: That was not made mention of. He just said go and disperse that group of people, and like I made mention of it, they posed no threat and I even sent one of my constables to approach them and to find out from them what they were exactly doing at that point. MR VAN AS: And they told this constable that they are waiting for a taxi? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MR VAN AS: And then they just happened to move off in the direction of Wonderkop Hostel with their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything of that sort, so what suspicion must I have? CHAIRPERSON: Doesn't it throw doubt upon the explanation they'd given you that they're waiting for a taxi, why didn't they wait for the taxi? Why did they walk away before the taxi had a chance to come? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I don't – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not suggesting that it indicated you should have done anything. I'm just asking you, and I don't know why Mr Van As is asking the question. He may have the same point that I'm putting to you as I have, but does it not throw suspicion on the truth of the explanation they gave you that they were waiting for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So they were standing at that particular point. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR VAN AS: And the security manager asked you to disperse them because non-striking employees had been intimidated from going to work earlier that evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: That was not made mention of. He just said go and disperse that group of people, and like I made mention of it, they posed no threat and I even sent one of my constables to approach them and to find out from them what they were exactly doing at that point. MR VAN AS: And they told this constable that they are waiting for a taxi? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MR VAN AS: And then they just happened to move off in the direction of Wonderkop Hostel with their knobkieries.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything of that sort, so what suspicion must I have? CHAIRPERSON: Doesn't it throw doubt upon the explanation they'd given you that they're waiting for a taxi, why didn't they wait for the taxi? Why did they walk away before the taxi had a chance to come? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I don't – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not suggesting that it indicated you should have done anything. I'm just asking you, and I don't know why Mr Van As is asking the question. He may have the same point that I'm putting to you as I have, but does it not throw suspicion on the truth of the explanation they gave you that they were waiting for a taxi? They didn't wait very much longer for the taxi and then they walked off. That's fair, isn't it? MAJOR GOVENDER: Look, but they leaving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's quite – CHAIRPERSON: - on the way to the shaft? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, it's quite an open area. It's quite wide. There are many ways of going to and from the hostels and at that particular point there's like a taxi pick-up point for transportation. So they were standing at that particular point. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR VAN AS: And the security manager asked you to disperse them because non-striking employees had been intimidated from going to work earlier that evening? MAJOR GOVENDER: That was not made mention of. He just said go and disperse that group of people, and like I made mention of it, they posed no threat and I even sent one of my constables to approach them and to find out from them what they were exactly doing at that point. MR VAN AS: And they told this constable that they are waiting for a taxi? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so. MR VAN AS: And then they just happened to move off in the direction of Wonderkop Hostel with their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR VAN AS: A couple of minutes later. MAJOR GOVENDER: Yes. MR VAN AS: And you don't find that incredibly suspicious that a group of individuals with knobkieries who told the constable that they're waiting for a taxi, just happen to move off a couple of minutes later? MAJOR GOVENDER: No, what's suspicious there? There was nothing yet for them, for me to suspect them. They were not even attacking any persons or anything of that sort, so what suspicion must I have? CHAIRPERSON: Doesn't it throw doubt upon the explanation they'd given you that they're waiting for a taxi, why didn't they wait for the taxi? Why did they walk away before the taxi had a chance to come? MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I don't – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not suggesting that it indicated you should have done anything. I'm just asking you, and I don't know why Mr Van As is asking the question. He may have the same point that I'm putting to you as I have, but does it not throw suspicion on the truth of the explanation they gave you that they were waiting for a taxi? They didn't wait very much longer for the taxi and then they walked off. That's fair, isn't it?

	Page 35100		Page 35101
1	what type of actions – I said let them go.	1	you in fact underestimated the situation, but if in fact -
2	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I understand	2	I take it he'll go on to say that if in fact you had
3	that. I think Mr Van As had moved on to another point.	3	realised at the time what was going to happen later, or
4	The explanation they gave you that they're waiting for a	4	according to the reports you received was going to happen
5	taxi doesn't stand up so well in the light of the fact that	5	later, you might then have called in the Public Order
6	they didn't wait for the taxi, they walked off. That's his	6	Policing because as you said, it wasn't a matter which was
7	point. Would you agree with that?	7	in your area of competence to deal with. Is that fair?
8	MAJOR GOVENDER: I agree with that,	8	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is fair, Chair.
9	Chairperson.	9	MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson.
10	MR VAN AS: And as you've already	10	And more seriously on the Saturday, had you properly
11	conceded, we know that non-striking employees were	11	assessed the risk, you would also have called in Public
12	assaulted later that evening.	12	Order Policing or reported to someone that there are a
13	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is the information	13	group of individuals performing what appeared to be war
14	that I received as well.	14	rituals to you and there's a need for a more visible and
15	MR VAN AS: So you clearly underestimated	15	heavier police presence on the mine.
16	the situation.	16	MAJOR GOVENDER: Chairperson, I'd like to
17	MAJOR GOVENDER: I just want to inform	17	take you back to that contingency plan. You would have
18	you as well that I do not have any training to manage a	18	noted there was a contingency plan that was presented
19	crowd or control a crowd. I had, if there came a situation	19	earlier on and it's stipulated in that plan what is the
20	then I would have to have brought in my Public Order	20	role of Public Order Policing.
21	Policing members to come and assist because it –	21	MR VAN AS: But with respect, Major
22	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that. I	22	Naidoo, you weren't even aware –
23	don't think that's Mr Van As's point. He's not pointing a	23	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, he's not Naidoo.
24	finger of blame at you at the moment. He's just suggesting	24	MR VAN AS: I beg your pardon, Major
25	to you that with the benefit of hindsight it appears that	25	Govender.
		1	
1	Page 35102 CHAIRPERSON: Naidoo was the Major-	1	Page 35103
1	CHAIRPERSON: Naidoo was the Major-	1	that stage?
2	CHAIRPERSON: Naidoo was the Major- General.	2	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct.
	CHAIRPERSON: Naidoo was the Major- General. MR VAN AS: Major-General.		that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at,
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.	2 3 4	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.	2 3	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingency	2 3 4 5	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday.
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?	2 3 4 5 6	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?MAJOR GOVENDER:I was not and I just	2 3 4 5 6 7	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?MAJOR GOVENDER:I was not and I justsaid to you that it was presented earlier on today during	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?MAJOR GOVENDER:I was not and I justsaid to you that it was presented earlier on today duringthe evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?MAJOR GOVENDER:I was not and I justsaid to you that it was presented earlier on today duringthe evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable proposition to put to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?MAJOR GOVENDER:I was not and I justsaid to you that it was presented earlier on today duringthe evidence.MR VAN AS:But let's deal with your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable proposition to put to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, there was no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?MAJOR GOVENDER:I was not and I justsaid to you that it was presented earlier on today duringthe evidence.MR VAN AS:MR VAN AS:But let's deal with yoursubjective assessment of the situation. What I want to putto you is had you property communicated the facts in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable proposition to put to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, there was no information on hand, so how would I have known that this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?MAJOR GOVENDER:I was not and I justsaid to you that it was presented earlier on today duringthe evidence.MR VAN AS:MR VAN AS:But let's deal with yoursubjective assessment of the situation.What I want to put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable proposition to put to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, there was no information on hand, so how would I have known that this would be, this is what would happen the very next day?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?MAJOR GOVENDER:I was not and I justsaid to you that it was presented earlier on today duringthe evidence.MR VAN AS:But let's deal with yoursubjective assessment of the situation. What I want to putto you is had you property communicated the facts in theemail which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on the Saturday,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable proposition to put to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, there was no information on hand, so how would I have known that this would be, this is what would happen the very next day? MR VAN AS: There was information on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?MAJOR GOVENDER:I was not and I justsaid to you that it was presented earlier on today duringthe evidence.MR VAN AS:But let's deal with yoursubjective assessment of the situation. What I want to putto you is had you properly communicated the facts in theemail which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on the Saturday,you would also have communicated that there's a significant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable proposition to put to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, there was no information on hand, so how would I have known that this would be, this is what would happen the very next day? MR VAN AS: There was information on hand, there was a lot of information on hand. Would you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?MAJOR GOVENDER:I was not and I justsaid to you that it was presented earlier on today duringthe evidence.MR VAN AS:MR VAN AS:But let's deal with yoursubjective assessment of the situation. What I want to putto you is had you properly communicated the facts in theemail which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on the Saturday,you would also have communicated that there's a significantgroup of people who've assessment at the koppie and who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable proposition to put to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, there was no information on hand, so how would I have known that this would be, this is what would happen the very next day? MR VAN AS: There was information on hand, there was a lot of information on hand. Would you like me to go through it again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?MAJOR GOVENDER:I was not and I justsaid to you that it was presented earlier on today duringthe evidence.MR VAN AS:But let's deal with yoursubjective assessment of the situation. What I want to putto you is had you properly communicated the facts in theemail which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on the Saturday,you would also have communicated that there's a significantgroup of people who've assembled at the koppie and whoappear to be preparing Formation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable proposition to put to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, there was no information on hand, so how would I have known that this would be, this is what would happen the very next day? MR VAN AS: There was information on hand, there was a lot of information on hand. Would you like me to go through it again? MAJOR GOVENDER: You can go through it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?MAJOR GOVENDER:I was not and I justsaid to you that it was presented earlier on today duringthe evidence.MR VAN AS:But let's deal with yoursubjective assessment of the situation. What I want to putto you is had you properly communicated the facts in theemail which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on the Saturday,you would also have communicated that there's a significantgroup of people who've assembled at the koppie and whoappear to be preparing for some sort of fight.MAJOR GOVENDER:Chair, if you go back to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable proposition to put to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, there was no information on hand, so how would I have known that this would be, this is what would happen the very next day? MR VAN AS: There was information on hand, there was a lot of information on hand. Would you like me to go through it again? MAJOR GOVENDER: You can go through it. MR VAN AS: People had been intimidated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?MAJOR GOVENDER:I was not and I justsaid to you that it was presented earlier on today duringthe evidence.MR VAN AS:But let's deal with yoursubjective assessment of the situation. What I want to putto you is had you properly communicated the facts in theemail which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on the Saturday,you would also have communicated that there's a significantgroup of people who've assembled at the koppie and whoappear to be preparing for some sort of fight.MAJOR GOVENDER:Chair, if you go back tothe referral of my statement you'd see that I'd been there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable proposition to put to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, there was no information on hand, so how would I have known that this would be, this is what would happen the very next day? MR VAN AS: There was information on hand, there was a lot of information on hand. Would you like me to go through it again? MAJOR GOVENDER: You can go through it. MR VAN AS: People had been intimidated and assaulted on their way to work, four people had been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON:Naidoo was the Major-General.MR VAN AS:Major-General.CHAIRPERSON:He's Major Govender.MR VAN AS:Thank you, Mr Chairperson.Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingencyplan over that weekend, were you?MAJOR GOVENDER:I was not and I justsaid to you that it was presented earlier on today duringthe evidence.MR VAN AS:But let's deal with yoursubjective assessment of the situation. What I want to putto you is had you properly communicated the facts in theemail which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on the Saturday,you would also have communicated that there's a significantgroup of people who've assembled at the koppie and whoappear to be preparing for some sort of fight.MAJOR GOVENDER:Chair, if you go back tothe referral of my statement you'd see that I'd been therewith the intelligence officer and I think it was his role	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable proposition to put to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, there was no information on hand, so how would I have known that this would be, this is what would happen the very next day? MR VAN AS: There was information on hand, there was a lot of information on hand. Would you like me to go through it again? MAJOR GOVENDER: You can go through it. MR VAN AS: People had been intimidated and assaulted on their way to work, four people had been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: Naidoo was the Major- General. MR VAN AS: Major-General. CHAIRPERSON: He's Major Govender. MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingency plan over that weekend, were you? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was not and I just said to you that it was presented earlier on today during the evidence. MR VAN AS: But let's deal with your subjective assessment of the situation. What I want to put to you is had you properly communicated the facts in the email which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on the Saturday, you would also have communicated that there's a significant group of people who've assembled at the koppie and who appear to be preparing for some sort of fight. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, if you go back to the referral of my statement you'd see that I'd been there with the intelligence officer and I think it was his role to do the necessary in terms of gathering information.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable proposition to put to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, there was no information on hand, so how would I have known that this would be, this is what would happen the very next day? MR VAN AS: There was information on hand, there was a lot of information on hand. Would you like me to go through it again? MAJOR GOVENDER: You can go through it. MR VAN AS: People had been intimidated and assaulted on their way to work, four people had been shot, there had been an attack on the NUM office and a large group of individuals were, in your mind, preparing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Naidoo was the Major- General. MR VAN AS: Major-General. CHAIRPERSON: He's Major Govender. MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingency plan over that weekend, were you? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was not and I just said to you that it was presented earlier on today during the evidence. MR VAN AS: But let's deal with your subjective assessment of the situation. What I want to put to you is had you properly communicated the facts in the email which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on the Saturday, you would also have communicated that there's a significant group of people who've assembled at the koppie and who appear to be preparing for some sort of fight. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, if you go back to the referral of my statement you'd see that I'd been there with the intelligence officer and I think it was his role to do the necessary in terms of gathering information. [14:15] MR VAN AS: Are you referring to Warrant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable proposition to put to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, there was no information on hand, so how would I have known that this would be, this is what would happen the very next day? MR VAN AS: There was information on hand, there was a lot of information on hand. Would you like me to go through it again? MAJOR GOVENDER: You can go through it. MR VAN AS: People had been intimidated and assaulted on their way to work, four people had been shot, there had been an attack on the NUM office and a large group of individuals were, in your mind, preparing for war at the large koppie.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: Naidoo was the Major- General. MR VAN AS: Major-General. CHAIRPERSON: He's Major Govender. MR VAN AS: Thank you, Mr Chairperson. Major Govender, you weren't even aware of the contingency plan over that weekend, were you? MAJOR GOVENDER: I was not and I just said to you that it was presented earlier on today during the evidence. MR VAN AS: But let's deal with your subjective assessment of the situation. What I want to put to you is had you properly communicated the facts in the email which you sent at 12 minutes past 2 on the Saturday, you would also have communicated that there's a significant group of people who've assembled at the koppie and who appear to be preparing for some sort of fight. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, if you go back to the referral of my statement you'd see that I'd been there with the intelligence officer and I think it was his role to do the necessary in terms of gathering information. [14:15] MR VAN AS: Are you referring to Warrant Officer Kruger?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that stage? MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct. MR VAN AS: What I'm really driving at, Major Govender, is the following, that the deaths on the Sunday could easily have been avoided had you properly have assessed the risk on the Saturday. MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot answer that question, Chair. MR VAN AS: Is that a reasonable proposition to put to you? MAJOR GOVENDER: Well, there was no information on hand, so how would I have known that this would be, this is what would happen the very next day? MR VAN AS: There was information on hand, there was a lot of information on hand. Would you like me to go through it again? MAJOR GOVENDER: You can go through it. MR VAN AS: People had been intimidated and assaulted on their way to work, four people had been shot, there had been an attack on the NUM office and a large group of individuals were, in your mind, preparing for war at the large koppie. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair –

	Page 35104		Page 35105
1	MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, according to my	1	that?
2	information I did the necessary by sending out those e-	2	MAJOR GOVENDER: The Rustenburg cluster
3	mails.	3	JOC is situation at Phokeng and it is a centre where all
4	CHAIRPERSON: I think you told us that	4	information that all happenings, that is forwarded to this
5	Warrant Officer Kruger was from the criminal intelligence	5	JOC.
6	section, is that correct?	6	MR VAN AS: And Mr Jameson Patrick
7	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair.	7	Asemeng?
8	CHAIRPERSON: And you actually took him	8	MAJOR GOVENDER: He was the cluster
9	to show him, according to your statement, where incidents	9	commander at that particular time, he is one of my senior
10	had happened the previous day?	10	officers and he is responsible for the cluster of
11	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is correct, Chair.	11	Rustenburg.
12	CHAIRPERSON: With a view, I take it, to	12	MR VAN AS: And Rustenburg cluster VISPOL
13	enabling him to make the necessary reports to Colonel	13	co-ordinator, is that the same person or is that someone
14	Engelbrecht or whoever he had to report to, is that	14	else?
15	correct?	15	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is another person.
16	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Chair.	16	MR VAN AS: And who is that person?
17	CHAIRPERSON: So it wasn't necessary for	17	MAJOR GOVENDER: It's Colonel Diole.
18	you to make a separate report to the criminal intelligence	18	MR VAN AS: And Rustenburg CI cluster
19	people if one of their own representatives had been present	19	station, it appears to be M-A-A-S-S.
20	and had seen what you had seen.	20	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is Captain Maass,
21	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is so, Chair.	21	he is one also from the intelligence office.
22	MR VAN AS: If we look at exhibit LLLL3,	22	MR VAN AS: And North-West Nodal Point,
23	there's a whole list of individuals, the address list on	23	who is that?
24	the e-mail. Can we perhaps go through them? The first one	24	MAJOR GOVENDER: That is actually at the
25	is Rustenburg cluster JOC, could you please explain who is	25	provincial level for the information of the provincial
20	is reasoning staster see, sourd you prouse explain who is	20	provincial level for the information of the provincial
	Page 35106		Page 35107
1	office.	1	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and
2	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I	2	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should
2 3	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included	2 3	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts.
2 3 4	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have	2 3 4	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major
2 3	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers.	2 3	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts.
2 3 4	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate	2 3 4	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious.
2 3 4 5	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and	2 3 4 5	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did
2 3 4 5 6	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary.	2 3 4 5 6	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be
2 3 4 5 6 7	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it – MAJOR GOVENDER: No –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other side of the coin. Had you been aware of the fact that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it – MAJOR GOVENDER: No –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it – MAJOR GOVENDER: No – CHAIRPERSON: - would report what he had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other side of the coin. Had you been aware of the fact that 1 000 heavily armed strikers would march to NUM's office on the Saturday morning and that two Lonmin security officers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it – MAJOR GOVENDER: No – CHAIRPERSON: - would report what he had seen, you and he had seen at the koppie, would report that to his senior officers? MAJOR GOVENDER: I will not say an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other side of the coin. Had you been aware of the fact that 1 000 heavily armed strikers would march to NUM's office on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it – MAJOR GOVENDER: No – CHAIRPERSON: - would report what he had seen, you and he had seen at the koppie, would report that to his senior officers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other side of the coin. Had you been aware of the fact that 1 000 heavily armed strikers would march to NUM's office on the Saturday morning and that two Lonmin security officers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it – MAJOR GOVENDER: No – CHAIRPERSON: - would report what he had seen, you and he had seen at the koppie, would report that to his senior officers? MAJOR GOVENDER: I will not say an assumption but I had faith that he would have done so. MR VAN AS: And did you follow up as to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other side of the coin. Had you been aware of the fact that 1 000 heavily armed strikers would march to NUM's office on the Saturday morning and that two Lonmin security officers would be killed, attempting to stop them from reaching NUM's office, would you have taken steps to prevent that? MAJOR GOVENDER: If there was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it – MAJOR GOVENDER: No – CHAIRPERSON: - would report what he had seen, you and he had seen at the koppie, would report that to his senior officers? MAJOR GOVENDER: I will not say an assumption but I had faith that he would have done so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other side of the coin. Had you been aware of the fact that 1 000 heavily armed strikers would march to NUM's office on the Saturday morning and that two Lonmin security officers would be killed, attempting to stop them from reaching NUM's office, would you have taken steps to prevent that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it – MAJOR GOVENDER: No – CHAIRPERSON: - would report what he had seen, you and he had seen at the koppie, would report that to his senior officers? MAJOR GOVENDER: I will not say an assumption but I had faith that he would have done so. MR VAN AS: And did you follow up as to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other side of the coin. Had you been aware of the fact that 1 000 heavily armed strikers would march to NUM's office on the Saturday morning and that two Lonmin security officers would be killed, attempting to stop them from reaching NUM's office, would you have taken steps to prevent that? MAJOR GOVENDER: If there was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it – MAJOR GOVENDER: No – CHAIRPERSON: - would report what he had seen, you and he had seen at the koppie, would report that to his senior officers? MAJOR GOVENDER: I will not say an assumption but I had faith that he would have done so. MR VAN AS: And did you follow up as to whether this information had come into the hands of police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other side of the coin. Had you been aware of the fact that 1 000 heavily armed strikers would march to NUM's office on the Saturday morning and that two Lonmin security officers would be killed, attempting to stop them from reaching NUM's office, would you have taken steps to prevent that? MAJOR GOVENDER: If there was information, yes, it would have been filtered down to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the kopple in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it – MAJOR GOVENDER: No – CHAIRPERSON: - would report what he had seen, you and he had seen at the koppie, would report that to his senior officers? MAJOR GOVENDER: I will not say an assumption but I had faith that he would have done so. MR VAN AS: And did you follow up as to whether this information had come into the hands of police intelligence?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other side of the coin. Had you been aware of the fact that 1 000 heavily armed strikers would march to NUM's office on the Saturday morning and that two Lonmin security officers would be killed, attempting to stop them from reaching NUM's office, would you have taken steps to prevent that? MAJOR GOVENDER: If there was information, yes, it would have been filtered down to the necessary units and the necessary would have been done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it – MAJOR GOVENDER: No – CHAIRPERSON: - would report what he had seen, you and he had seen at the koppie, would report that to his senior officers? MAJOR GOVENDER: I will not say an assumption but I had faith that he would have done so. MR VAN AS: And did you follow up as to whether this information had come into the hands of police intelligence? MAJOR GOVENDER: I did not follow that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other side of the coin. Had you been aware of the fact that 1 000 heavily armed strikers would march to NUM's office on the Saturday morning and that two Lonmin security officers would be killed, attempting to stop them from reaching NUM's office, would you have taken steps to prevent that? MAJOR GOVENDER: If there was information, yes, it would have been filtered down to the necessary units and the necessary would have been done. MR VAN AS: So the real question before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it – MAJOR GOVENDER: No – CHAIRPERSON: - would report what he had seen, you and he had seen at the koppie, would report that to his senior officers? MAJOR GOVENDER: I will not say an assumption but I had faith that he would have done so. MR VAN AS: And did you follow up as to whether this information had come into the hands of police intelligence? MAJOR GOVENDER: I did not follow that up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other side of the coin. Had you been aware of the fact that 1 000 heavily armed strikers would march to NUM's office on the Saturday morning and that two Lonmin security officers would be killed, attempting to stop them from reaching NUM's office, would you have taken steps to prevent that? MAJOR GOVENDER: If there was information, yes, it would have been filtered down to the necessary units and the necessary would have been done. MR VAN AS: So the real question before the Commission is the following. Having regard to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it – MAJOR GOVENDER: No – CHAIRPERSON: - would report what he had seen, you and he had seen at the koppie, would report that to his senior officers? MAJOR GOVENDER: I will not say an assumption but I had faith that he would have done so. MR VAN AS: And did you follow up as to whether this information had come into the hands of police intelligence? MAJOR GOVENDER: I did not follow that up. MR VAN AS: And can the Commission, with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other side of the coin. Had you been aware of the fact that 1 000 heavily armed strikers would march to NUM's office on the Saturday morning and that two Lonmin security officers would be killed, attempting to stop them from reaching NUM's office, would you have taken steps to prevent that? MAJOR GOVENDER: If there was information, yes, it would have been filtered down to the necessary units and the necessary would have been done. MR VAN AS: So the real question before the Commission is the following. Having regard to the information at your disposal as at 12 minutes past two on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	office. MR VAN AS: And again, with respect, I want to come back to the point. Had you included information about the koppie in this e-mail, it would have arrived at the attention of all these senior officers. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I still indicate that there's a role for the crime intelligence official and I am of the opinion he did what was necessary. CHAIRPERSON: Did you assume that Warrant Officer Kruger, who was a CI person as I understand it – MAJOR GOVENDER: No – CHAIRPERSON: - would report what he had seen, you and he had seen at the koppie, would report that to his senior officers? MAJOR GOVENDER: I will not say an assumption but I had faith that he would have done so. MR VAN AS: And did you follow up as to whether this information had come into the hands of police intelligence? MAJOR GOVENDER: I did not follow that up. MR VAN AS: And can the Commission, with respect, assume that the reason you didn't follow up on any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MAJOR GOVENDER: I cannot assume that and I think the Commission shouldnt assume that, they should rely on facts. MR VAN AS: Your assumption, Major Govender, was that the situation wasn't sufficiently serious. MAJOR GOVENDER: Chair, I think I did what was necessary by sending in the reports, it should be analysed by the higher level, the necessary should be done from there. MR VAN AS: Let's view it from the other side of the coin. Had you been aware of the fact that 1 000 heavily armed strikers would march to NUM's office on the Saturday morning and that two Lonmin security officers would be killed, attempting to stop them from reaching NUM's office, would you have taken steps to prevent that? MAJOR GOVENDER: If there was information, yes, it would have been filtered down to the necessary units and the necessary would have been done. MR VAN AS: So the real question before the Commission is the following. Having regard to the information at your disposal as at 12 minutes past two on the Saturday, the 11th August, should you have reasonably

RCHIVE

Page 35108 1 MAJOR GOVENDER: I think it's quite clear 2 in my e-mail, what I said there, this is what had happened 2 malicious damage to property and t	
2 in my e-mail, what I said there, this is what had happened 2 malicious damage to property and t	Page 35109
1.2 on that northoular day.	•
3 on that particular day. 3 vide Marikana CAS107/08/2012. No	•
4 MR VAN AS: You see the reason for this 4 arrested at this stage. Investigation	•
5 line of cross-examination, Major Govender, is the 5 And this is the important part, pleas	-
6 following. Lonmin security has been severely criticised 6 "At this stage the area is being exte	•••
7 for not arriving at the very conclusion I've asked you to 7 Rustenburg POP and Gauteng." Do	•
	see it.
	d you get that
10evidence was led by Lonmin.10information from?	
11 MR VAN AS: And it would appear as if 11 MAJOR GOVENDER: C	hairperson, after that
12 they had a whole lot less evidence at their disposal or 12 incident had happened there where	those two security
13 information at their disposal than what you had at your 13 officials were slain, I went to that so	cene much later when
14 disposal. 14 it was cleared up and then I found	members of public order
15 CHAIRPERSON: Well, he can't comment on 15 policing present at that hostel itself.	
16 that. 16 MR VAN AS: And was	the area being
17 MR VAN AS: He can't comment on that. 17 extensively patrolled?	-
	Vell, according to my
19 mail and this is exhibit LLLL4 and it appears at page 10 of 19 information there was sufficient peo	
	t information was
21 minutes past six on the 12th of August, that's the Sunday, 21 this?	
	met the public order
23 murder of the two security officers. Can you confirm that? 23 policing official at the hostel.	
	again it's comothing
	again it's something
25 MR VAN AS: I'd like to take you to 25 that Lonmin security have been critic	iciseu about. Tou le
Page 35110	Page 35111
•	Faue SSTT
1 aware of the fact that there was an attack on K4 shaft that 1 said, when I arrived there and the in	8
	nstruction I was given
2 evening? 2 to disperse these people, I did not set	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not so3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness a	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness a4made mention of the very next day.4there and I cannot see why I should	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not so3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness a4made mention of the very next day.4there and I cannot see why I should5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should disperse	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.4there and I cannot see why I should5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should disperse6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I did	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I Io not have any
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.3there and I cannot see why I should5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should disperse6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management control	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I lo not have any rol, Chair.
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.3there and I cannot see why I should5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should disperse6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contr8MR VAN AS:Thank you	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I Io not have any
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.3there and I cannot see why I should5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should disperse6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contre8MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I to not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson,
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.3place there, I did not even witness at5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers4there and I cannot see why I should6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contr8MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.10on that because I don't know where they came from.10CHAIRPERSON:Thank	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I lo not have any rol, Chair.
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.3place there, I did not even witness at5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers4there and I cannot see why I should6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contre8Hostel to K4 shaft. It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.1010on that because I don't know where they came from.11you have re-examination?11	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I Io not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.3place there, I did not even witness at5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers4there and I cannot see why I should6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contr8Hostel to K4 shaft. It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.1010on that because I don't know where they came from.10CHAIRPERSON:Thank11MR VAN AS:And if there'd been extensive11you have re-examination?12police patrolling, surely they would have intercepted this12MR WESLEY:Nothing,	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I to not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair.
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness a4made mention of the very next day.3place there, I did not even witness a5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers4there and I cannot see why I should6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contr8Hostel to K4 shaft. It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.1010on that because I don't know where they came from.10CHAIRPERSON:Thank11MR VAN AS:And if there'd been extensive12MR WESLEY:Nothing,12police patrolling, surely they would have intercepted this13CHAIRPERSON:Thank	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I lo not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair. you, Major, you are
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.3there and I cannot see why I should5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should disperse6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contre8Hostel to K4 shaft. It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.1010on that because I don't know where they came from.10CHAIRPERSON:Thank11MR VAN AS:And if there'd been extensive11you have re-examination?1212police patrolling, surely they would have intercepted this13CHAIRPERSON:Thank14MAJOR GOVENDER:I also cannot answer on14excused on the usual basis, if it's ne	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I to not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair. you, Major, you are cessary for you to
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not so3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.4there and I cannot see why I should5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should disperse6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contre8Hostel to K4 shaft. It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.1010on that because I don't know where they came from.11MR VAN AS:And if there'd been extensive11you have re-examination?12police patrolling, surely they would have intercepted this12MR WESLEY:Nothing,13CHAIRPERSON:Thank14excused on the usual basis, if it's ne15that one.15come back, you'll come back without	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I to not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair. you, Major, you are cessary for you to t our having to subpoena
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not so3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.3place there, I did not even witness at5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should disperse6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contr8Hostel to K4 shaft. It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.1010on that because I don't know where they came from.11MR VAN AS:And if there'd been extensive1112police patrolling, surely they would have intercepted this12MR WESLEY:Nothing,13group of strikers.13CHAIRPERSON:Thank14MAJOR GOVENDER:I also cannot answer on14excused on the usual basis, if it's ne15that one.16MR VAN AS:Just bear with me a minute16you. I take it you accept that condition	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I lo not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair. you, Major, you are cessary for you to t our having to subpoena tion and therefore you
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not see3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.3place there, I did not even witness at5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should disperse6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contre8Hostel to K4 shaft. It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.Thank10on that because I don't know where they came from.10CHAIRPERSON:Thank11MR VAN AS:And if there'd been extensive11you have re-examination?12police patrolling, surely they would have intercepted this12MR WESLEY:Nothing,13group of strikers.13CHAIRPERSON:Thank14MAJOR GOVENDER:I also cannot answer on14excused on the usual basis, if it's ne15that one.15come back, you'll come back without16MR VAN AS:Just bear with me a minute16you. I take it you accept that condit17please, Mr Chair. And finally, Major Govender - in17are excused from further attendance	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I to not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair. you, Major, you are cessary for you to t our having to subpoena tion and therefore you e.
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not see3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.3place there, I did not even witness at5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should disperse6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contre8Hostel to K4 shaft. It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.Thank10on that because I don't know where they came from.10CHAIRPERSON:Thank11MR VAN AS:And if there'd been extensive11you have re-examination?12police patrolling, surely they would have intercepted this12MR WESLEY:Nothing,13group of strikers.13CHAIRPERSON:Thank14MAJOR GOVENDER:I also cannot answer on14excused on the usual basis, if it's ne15that one.15come back, you'll come back without16MR VAN AS:Just bear with me a minute16you. I take it you accept that condit17please, Mr Chair. And finally, Major Govender - in17are excused from further attendance	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I lo not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair. you, Major, you are cessary for you to t our having to subpoena tion and therefore you
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not see3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.3place there, I did not even witness at5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should disperse6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contre8Hostel to K4 shaft. It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.Thank10on that because I don't know where they came from.10CHAIRPERSON:Thank11MR VAN AS:And if there'd been extensive11you have re-examination?12police patrolling, surely they would have intercepted this12MR WESLEY:Nothing,13group of strikers.13CHAIRPERSON:Thank14MAJOR GOVENDER:I also cannot answer on14excused on the usual basis, if it's ne15that one.15come back, you'll come back without16MR VAN AS:Just bear with me a minute16you. I take it you accept that condit17please, Mr Chair. And finally, Major Govender - in17are excused from further attendance	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I Io not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair. you, Major, you are cessary for you to t our having to subpoena tion and therefore you e. es, Chairperson, noted.
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.3there and I cannot see why I should5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should disperse6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contre8Hostel to K4 shaft. It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.1010on that because I don't know where they came from.10CHAIRPERSON:Thank11MR VAN AS:And if there'd been extensive11you have re-examination?12police patrolling, surely they would have intercepted this12MR WESLEY:Nothing,13group of strikers.13CHAIRPERSON:Thank14MAJOR GOVENDER:I also cannot answer on14excused on the usual basis, if it's ne15that one.16MR VAN AS:Just bear with me a minute1716MR VAN AS:Just bear with me a minute16you. I take it you accept that conditi17please, Mr Chair. And finally, Major Govender - in17are excused from further attendance18hindsight which, as we all know, is	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I Io not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair. you, Major, you are cessary for you to t our having to subpoena tion and therefore you e. es, Chairperson, noted.
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.3there and I cannot see why I should5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should disperse6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contre8Hostel to K4 shaft. It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.1010on that because I don't know where they came from.10CHAIRPERSON:Thank11MR VAN AS:And if there'd been extensive11you have re-examination?12police patrolling, surely they would have intercepted this12MR WESLEY:Nothing,13group of strikers.13CHAIRPERSON:Thank14MAJOR GOVENDER:I also cannot answer on14excused on the usual basis, if it's ne15that one.16MR VAN AS:Just bear with me a minute1716MR VAN AS:Just bear with me a minute16you. I take it you accept that conditi17please, Mr Chair. And finally, Major Govender - in17are excused from further attendance18hindsight which, as we all know, is	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I to not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair. you, Major, you are cessary for you to t our having to subpoena tion and therefore you e. es, Chairperson, noted. WITNESS EXCUSED] is point we will then
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.3place there, I did not even witness at5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers6blace there, I did not even witness at6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contr8Hostel to K4 shaft. It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.1010on that because I don't know where they came from.10CHAIRPERSON:Thank11MR VAN AS:And if there'd been extensive11you have re-examination?12police patrolling, surely they would have intercepted this12MR WESLEY:Nothing,13group of strikers.13CHAIRPERSON:Thank14MAJOR GOVENDER:I also cannot answer on14excused on the usual basis, if it's ne15that one.15come back, you'll come back without16MR VAN AS:Just bear with me a minute17are excused from further attendance17please, Mr Chair. And finally, Major Govender - in17are excused from further attendance18hindsight which, as we all know, is a perfect science – </td <td>nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I Io not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair. you, Major, you are cessary for you to t our having to subpoena tion and therefore you e. es, Chairperson, noted. WITNESS EXCUSED] s point we will then ning you want to say,</td>	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I Io not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair. you, Major, you are cessary for you to t our having to subpoena tion and therefore you e. es, Chairperson, noted. WITNESS EXCUSED] s point we will then ning you want to say,
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.4there and I cannot see why I should5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should dispers6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contr8Hostel to K4 shaft.It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.Thank10on that because I don't know where they came from.10CHAIRPERSON:Thank11MR VAN AS:And if there'd been extensive11you have re-examination?12police patrolling, surely they would have intercepted this12MR WESLEY:Nothing,13group of strikers.13CHAIRPERSON:Thank14MAJOR GOVENDER:I also cannot answer on14excused on the usual basis, if it's ne15that one.15come back, you'll come back without16MR VAN AS:Just bear with me a minute16you. I take it you accept that conditi17please, Mr Chair. And finally, Major Govender - in17are excused from further attendance18hindsight which, as we all know, is a perfect sc	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I Io not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair. you, Major, you are cessary for you to t our having to subpoena tion and therefore you e. es, Chairperson, noted. WITNESS EXCUSED] s point we will then ning you want to say,
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness at4made mention of the very next day.4there and I cannot see why I should5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers5someone to say that I should dispers6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contr8Hostel to K4 shaft.It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.Thank you10on that because I don't know where they came from.10CHAIRPERSON:Thank11MR VAN AS:And if there'd been extensive11you have re-examination?Thank12police patrolling, surely they would have intercepted this12MR WESLEY:Nothing,13group of strikers.13CHAIRPERSON:Thank14MAJOR GOVENDER:I also cannot answer on14excused on the usual basis, if it's ne15that one.15come back, you'll come back without16MR VAN AS:Just bear with me a minute16you. I take it you accept that conditi17please, Mr Chair.And finally, Major Govender - in17are excused from further attendance18hindsight which, as we	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I lo not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair. you, Major, you are cessary for you to t our having to subpoena tion and therefore you e. es, Chairperson, noted. WITNESS EXCUSED] is point we will then hing you want to say, ave something to say?
2evening?2to disperse these people, I did not set3MAJOR GOVENDER:I'm aware of it. It was3place there, I did not even witness a4made mention of the very next day.5MR VAN AS:And a group of strikers6appeared to have moved, we must assume from somewhere6do not have sufficient training or I d7around either the informal settlement or the Wonderkop7training in crowd management contr8Hostel to K4 shaft. It's quite a distance, isn't it?8MR VAN AS:Thank you9MAJOR GOVENDER:Well, I cannot comment9those are my questions.1010on that because I don't know where they came from.10CHAIRPERSON:Thank11MR VAN AS:And if there'd been extensive11you have re-examination?1212police patrolling, surely they would have intercepted this13CHAIRPERSON:Thank14MAJOR GOVENDER:I also cannot answer on14excused on the usual basis, if it's ne15that one.15come back, you'll come back withou16MR VAN AS:Just bear with me a minute16you. I take it you accept that condit17please, Mr Chair. And finally, Major Govender - in17are excused from further attendance18hindsight which, as we all know, is a perfect science -18MAJOR GOVENDER:Ye19INO FURTHER QUESTIONS -20CHAIRPERSON:At this20creard to the tragic events of th	nstruction I was given ee any violence taken any violence take place I take a decision from se people. Firstly, I lo not have any rol, Chair. u, Mr Chairperson, you. Mr Wesley, do Chair. you, Major, you are cessary for you to t our having to subpoena tion and therefore you e. es, Chairperson, noted. WITNESS EXCUSED] is point we will then hing you want to say, ave something to say?

	Page 35112	
1	l indicated previously, until 9:30 on Monday morning.	
2	[COMMISSION ADJOURNED]	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
- RC	As and the second and	
	As my ET X 13 124 Le	
2	infy home, Drie or to have	
he as	A. Jamity Ke you oth	
A 6	CHIVE COD LUCTION	

Α	affirmation 35015:10	anti 35088:25	35109:4	35108:22 35110:1
abject 35012:9	affirmative 35048:21	anti-penultimate	arrival 35026:13	attacked 34994:11,12
able 34986:2 34995:24	afford 35003:19 35095:8	35088:25 anxious 35061:5	35028:21 35091:15 arrive 35061:16	35074:14 35077:12 35090:24
accept 35012:1	Africa 35013:14		35108:8	
35037:24 35039:20		anybody 35024:4		attacking 34994:10
35096:3 35111:16	African 35001:13	35025:2 35026:25	arrived 35000:17	35099:9
accompanied 35025:20	35011:7 35026:13,15	35033:14 35034:6	35027:3 35059:17	attacks 35095:15
35037:2	35040:9 35044:19	35043:2 35054:8	35091:10,16 35106:5	attempt 35080:12,23
accord 35031:23	35054:20 35055:15	35071:18 35099:25	35111:1	attempted 35037:9,16
account 35087:19	35082:22 35083:16	anyway 35049:20	arriving 35108:7	35037:18 35038:5
35089:3	35083:17	apart 35027:11	Asaneng 35057:23	attempting 35107:15
acknowledge 35058:9	afternoon 34988:7	35028:16	35058:1	attend 34986:1,3
35067:8	35018:18 35019:6	apparently 35031:8	Asemeng 35105:7	34997:12 35043:7,1
acquainted 35093:21	35055:14 35061:4	appeal 35005:17,18	asked 34980:15	35047:15 35059:6
act 35082:4	35078:12 35087:17	35006:3	34982:6 34984:9	35061:11 35062:19
acted 35077:8,15	35090:6,7	appealing 35005:9,9	34987:21 34993:18	attendance 35111:17
35079:6 35082:3	age 34986:10 34990:18	appear 35088:14	35004:14,18	attended 34985:15
35088:22 35110:22	34990:23 34997:25	35102:17 35108:11	35007:25 35029:8,9	34988:6 34993:9
	35010:22	appearance 35030:11	35029:11,19 35031:6	34996:23 35010:21
acting 35055:14	aged 35010:23	appeared 35101:13	35032:14 35034:5	35052:9 35059:4
action 34984:4	agitated 35032:6	35110:6	35048:18 35058:24	35062:21
35024:19,19 35025:3	ago 34981:15 34987:10	appearing 35076:19	35059:8 35071:15	attending 34982:9
35025:18 35040:20	35064:3	appears 35017:24	35072:15 35096:11	34984:6 34995:2
35075:16,17,22				35001:25
35077:20 35079:1	agree 35002:12	35027:18 35039:13	35098:10 35108:7	
actions 35082:2	35031:10 35050:21	35052:1 35090:12	asking 35001:22	attention 34984:8
35100:1	35050:23 35089:10	35092:25 35100:25	35004:3 35013:9	34996:9 35022:7
activated 35046:16,20	35089:13 35100:7,8	35105:19 35108:19	35047:14 35099:17	35042:12 35044:1
35047:21,24 35048:2	agreed 34981:4,5	applied 35054:9	35099:18	35045:3,5 35086:16
35048:5 35050:7,12	alive 34985:25	applies 35015:23	asks 35084:7 35085:9	35086:19 35106:5
actively 35021:23	34989:17	apply 35054:8	35085:15	audio 35070:12
activities 35020:25	alleged 34994:9	approach 35032:14	aspects 35068:20	August 34980:1
acts 35027:5 35028:18	35037:7 35038:4,22	35085:23 35098:16	35072:17 35076:3	34984:2,10,14
35074:18 35095:23	35073:11 35076:16	approached 35025:6	assault 35091:24,25	34988:6,8,15,25
actual 35050:9	allegedly 35038:24	35026:21 35030:22	35095:1,23	34989:5,19,21
35076:12 35089:17	allow 35000:19	35030:22 35063:6	assaulted 35074:13	34992:24 34999:23
addition 34993:7	Almighty 35014:4	approaching 35089:5	35090:24 35100:12	35000:2,8,11,16,21
35002:5 35078:17	Alometu 34983:16	approximate 35065:6	35103:19	35001:3 35009:25
	Alright 34981:10	approximately	assembled 35097:9	35016:23 35017:1,2
address 35010:10,15	35009:9 35015:12	35021:12,15	35102:16	35017:10,12
35011:15 35031:15	35054:24 35060:2	35026:15 35061:23	assembly 35022:17	35018:17,18 35019:
35104:23	35084:6,18 35085:14	35063:14 35067:9	35023:14,16	35019:11,11,17
addressed 35022:25	35092:8	35069:12,21 35070:3	assertion 35063:25	35020:5 35022:8,15
35023:11 35057:21	ambulance 34988:17	35072:23 35090:23	assessed 35101:11	35030:19 35036:25
35057:25				
adjourn 35087:10	AMCU 34983:13	area 35021:6,20,23	35103:6	35037:19 35038:13
35111:21,25	35005:17 35090:25	35028:9,10,13,14	assessment 35102:12	35039:19,22 35040:
ADJOURNED 35112:2	amidst 35022:21	35029:8 35036:17	assist 35012:11	35042:12 35046:20
adjournment 34995:7	35023:21	35041:7,13,19,20	35100:21	35047:1 35050:14
34995:15,18	ammunition 35056:14	35042:3 35051:7,9	assistance 34985:14	35051:5,9,25
35014:17 35055:3,7	35078:4,20,21	35060:10 35069:7,7	34994:5 35003:11	35052:16,18 35053:
35087:3	35079:14 35080:25	35071:11 35075:5	35011:14 35012:19	35055:17 35057:18
ADJOURNS 34995:21	35081:4,10,12,16,19	35093:19 35098:4	35012:21	35057:23 35065:15
35014:25 35055:8	analysed 35107:9	35101:7 35109:6,16	assume 35069:1,4	35065:18,21
35087:5	Andrew 35052:4,9	35109:19	35077:6 35106:9,23	35072:23 35090:12
admitted 34982:4	animal 34990:12	areas 35044:15	35107:1,2 35110:6	35090:17,21
35052:6	35093:15	arm 35036:9,11,11,12	assumed 35077:11,11	35092:15 35107:23
adopted 35086:11	announce 35087:7	35036:15	assumption 35075:8	35108:21
adult 34991:16 35010:2	answer 34980:9	armed 35027:11	35077:14 35082:9	authorities 35072:7
Adv 34991:16 33010:2 Adv 34980:15 34981:8	35004:6 35005:21	35036:5,7 35073:1	35084:13 35106:16	availability 35024:21
	35006:9 35048:20	35107:13	35107:4	available 35007:8
34981:11 35047:19	35063:20 35077:7	arose 35046:17	assumptions 35083:1	35075:20 35086:14
advantage 35011:7	35086:21,24	arrangements	assure 34994:15	AVL 35091:14
advice 35110:22	35080.21,24	34985:14 34990:5	As's 35100:23	avoidable 35012:3
advise 35008:17	35103:7 35110:14	34993.14 34990.3	attached 34991:11	
35060:22,25				avoided 35103:5
advised 35060:17	answered 35080:15	arrest 35002:21	attack 35089:6	awaiting 35008:16
35064:7 35071:19	answers 34989:24	arrested 34989:7	35092:15 35095:1,19	aware 34980:11
a service a service se	35042:25	35002:18,19,25	35103:20 35107:25	34999:22 35047:14

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Pretoria

				Page 2
35050:10 35074:16	best 34994:16 35055:4	35081:1 35082:6,25	35069:11,13 35070:1	35038:5,23
35076:5 35082:13,18	better 34980:13	35083:3,19 35084:21	35070:4,10 35072:20	CAS67082012 35037:9
35082:19 35084:25	34992:15 34994:14	35084:25 35089:18	35073:5,7,18 35074:3	CAS68 35037:17
35085:17 35101:22	35011:23,24 35012:9	bring 34985:20,21	35074:8,11,24	cause 35000:25
35102:6 35107:12	Beukes 35030:1,2,5,7	35006:8	35075:6,24 35076:11	causing 35002:8
35110:1,3	Bham 35008:9,16,23	bringing 34982:6	35077:8,16,17,22	cautioned 35031:16
,	big 34990:6 35093:9	brother 34983:8	35079:9 35080:3,10	cease 35047:1
В	bit 34984:18 34993:22	34984:20 34985:6,7	35081:1 35082:6,25	cell 34984:15 35044:23
back 34982:12	34993:22 35043:17	34991:13 34992:4,20	35083:3,20 35084:21	centre 35034:8
34985:16,20,21	35093:21	34993:24 34994:2	35084:25 35089:19	35045:20 35091:5
34988:7,16 34989:19	bitter 35005:14	34997:7,8 35010:13	call 34984:12,14	35105:3
34992:20 34998:24	Blaauw 35020:6	35012:15 35013:22	34985:5,10 34988:23	ceremonies 35001:16
35003:20 35004:3,6	35025:15,24,25	brothers 34991:22	34998:12 34999:12	ceremony 35001:14
35004:16 35005:12	35026:5 35091:18,22	35005:11 35011:3	35000:11 35003:8	certain 34986:16
35022:10 35023:14	blame 34990:21	brother's 34985:13	35008:2 35012:16	34998:19 35001:10
35031:14 35032:24	35012:14 35100:24	34986:4 34999:3	35014:11,16 35016:8	35022:23,24 35023:5
35033:20 35034:14	blankets 35093:16	brought 35002:23	35020:6 35024:7	35023:5 35029:25
35035:15 35038:11	bleeding 35003:18	35042:11 35045:3,5	35037:14 35041:5	35093:23,24
35043:25 35052:15	blunt 35081:5	35086:19 35100:20	35054:3 35062:17,23	certainly 35007:16
35053:21 35054:1	body 34983:3 34985:2	brutality 35013:6	35065:5,6 35091:17	Chairman 34986:8
35058:4,9,12 35060:2	34985:16 34990:1	brutally 35009:25	35091:21	34994:7,12 35008:16
35084:11 35096:10	34991:5,10,11	35074:14	called 34984:19	35030:21 35031:12
35101:17 35102:18	34994:25 34996:21	bud 35110:23	34986:9 34988:17,25	35076:4 35097:22
35106:3 35111:15,15	34997:24 35000:18	Budlender 34980:10	34992:13 34994:25	Chairperson's
bad 35004:8	35000:20,23,24	34981:3 35111:22,23	35003:21 35012:18	35048:12
badly 34985:3	35001:2,12 35049:18	build 34988:3 34993:16	35043:20 35053:7	chairs 34997:10
35001:21	Bongani 35037:16	35004:25	35061:9,19,23	chance 35099:14
Baloyi 35040:6,12,16	born 34987:7 34996:10	building 34998:24	35101:5,11	charge 35043:3
35040:23	35010:17	35003:12 35005:7	calling 35000:3	35076:16 35077:4
barbed 35076:5,8	Botes 35026:22,23	built 35005:5	calls 34994:8	charged 35076:16
BARNES 34989:16	35029:10,11,15	bullet 34996:22	calm 35031:15,23	35077:20 35088:19
based 34991:23	35030:21 35031:6,21	bullets 34994:13	35032:6	35088:21
35079:8 35080:9	35031:24 35032:10	35031:3 35078:19,21	cannon 35075:25	charging 35089:5
35081:14 35082:9	35033:2,17,19	35080:20	35080:21	chat 34981:3
35084:24	35035:20 35096:11	bundle 35016:20	canon 35075:20	chief 35026:21
basic 35082:12,15,20	Botes's 35110:22	35017:18,22	35081:8	child 34990:20
basically 35020:22	Botha 35035:5,23,25	35018:15 35019:10	capacity 35024:23	34996:11 35002:13
35041:22 35056:18	bottom 35041:7	35019:16 35022:1	Cape 34983:7 34987:9	35004:2,2,3,5,6
35076:19 35085:25	35059:13 35078:16	35025:10 35027:17	34989:25 34991:15	children 34983:10,14
basis 35082:5 35111:14	35080:11	35027:18 35029:2	34991:17 34998:1,2	34986:9 34987:13
baton 35075:21	box 35003:16	35034:16 35035:17	35001:3 35010:17	34990:25 34991:21
Bauer 35025:21	breadwinner 34987:19	35036:23 35039:14	captain 35014:11	34991:24 34992:1,9
BBB8 35037:17	34993:7 34998:25	35039:18 35052:2,25	35015:3 35016:23	34993:15 34996:10
bear 35110:16	35011:2	35053:1,2 35090:9,12	35017:6,8,10,12	34996:12,16 34998:3
becoming 34990:20	breadwinners	35093:1 35108:20	35019:24 35031:6	34998:15 34999:1,3,4
bed 35004:21,22	34997:15	burnt 35002:6	35035:6 35044:23	34999:25 35001:13
beg 35016:17 35088:20	break 35004:12	bury 35003:15	35086:5,5 35102:25	35001:21 35002:9,16
35101:24	35011:20 35014:22	bus 34989:2 35003:13	35105:20	35002:17 35003:5,11
beginning 34984:1	breaks 35004:11	35013:3	care 34986:12 34990:2	35003:23 35004:7,23
begins 35039:18	brief 35067:23	busy 35037:5 35038:17	35005:7 35040:22	35004:25 35005:1,3
behalf 34980:5,16	35075:11	35068:16,22	cared 34998:7	35010:6,18,23
34981:22 34982:23	briefed 35049:3	35069:11	carries 35012:8	35011:2,8,12,23,24
34986:6,23 34987:5	Brigadier 35039:22	Buthle 34987:13	35031:3	35012:4,7,12
34991:7,12 34994:18	35060:21 35062:23	34988:15	carry 35070:16	choose 35000:19
34994:21 34995:3	35063:4,22 35064:3,6	buy 35011:12	35087:7	35095:5
34997:16,24 35007:2	55005.4,22 55004.5,0		carrying 35024:5	chopped 35013:7,7
	35064:12 35065:6	buying 34992:8		
35007:10,19	35064:12 35065:6 35066:15,18	buying 34992:8 bystanders 35083:10	35036:18	chopping 35013:10
35008:10 35010:5	35064:12 35065:6 35066:15,18 35067:10 35068:3,6	bystanders 35083:10	35036:18 case 35013:20	chopping 35013:10 chose 35095:7
35008:10 35010:5 behaving 35032:2	35064:12 35065:6 35066:15,18	bystanders 35083:10	35036:18	chose 35095:7 35096:14
35008:10 35010:5 behaving 35032:2 believe 34985:7	35064:12 35065:6 35066:15,18 35067:10 35068:3,6 35068:10 35069:9,10 35069:11,13 35070:1	bystanders 35083:10 <u>C</u> calibre 35036:15	35036:18 case 35013:20	chose 35095:7
35008:10 35010:5 behaving 35032:2	35064:12 35065:6 35066:15,18 35067:10 35068:3,6 35068:10 35069:9,10	bystanders 35083:10	35036:18 case 35013:20 35034:25 35054:6,10	chose 35095:7 35096:14
35008:10 35010:5 behaving 35032:2 believe 34985:7	35064:12 35065:6 35066:15,18 35067:10 35068:3,6 35068:10 35069:9,10 35069:11,13 35070:1	bystanders 35083:10 <u>C</u> calibre 35036:15	35036:18 case 35013:20 35034:25 35054:6,10 35058:10 35080:15	chose 35095:7 35096:14 Christ 35013:18 CI 35105:18 35106:10 circle 35017:24
35008:10 35010:5 behaving 35032:2 believe 34985:7 35001:25 35012:3	35064:12 35065:6 35066:15,18 35067:10 35068:3,6 35068:10 35069:9,10 35069:11,13 35070:1 35070:4,10 35072:20	bystanders 35083:10 C calibre 35036:15 Calitz 35060:21	35036:18 case 35013:20 35034:25 35054:6,10 35058:10 35080:15 35080:24 35109:1	chose 35095:7 35096:14 Christ 35013:18 CI 35105:18 35106:10
35008:10 35010:5 behaving 35032:2 believe 34985:7 35001:25 35012:3 35014:11 35087:14	35064:12 35065:6 35066:15,18 35067:10 35068:3,6 35068:10 35069:9,10 35069:11,13 35070:1 35070:4,10 35072:20 35073:5,7,18 35074:3 35074:8,10,24 35075:6,24 35076:11	bystanders 35083:10 C calibre 35036:15 Calitz 35060:21 35062:24 35063:5,22	35036:18 case 35013:20 35034:25 35054:6,10 35058:10 35080:15 35080:24 35109:1 cases 34986:15	chose 35095:7 35096:14 Christ 35013:18 CI 35105:18 35106:10 circle 35017:24 35022:3 circumstances 35087:8
35008:10 35010:5 behaving 35032:2 believe 34985:7 35001:25 35012:3 35014:11 35087:14 35095:4	35064:12 35065:6 35066:15,18 35067:10 35068:3,6 35068:10 35069:9,10 35069:11,13 35070:1 35070:4,10 35072:20 35073:5,7,18 35074:3 35074:8,10,24	bystanders 35083:10 C calibre 35036:15 Calitz 35060:21 35062:24 35063:5,22 35064:3,6,12 35065:6	35036:18 case 35013:20 35034:25 35054:6,10 35058:10 35080:15 35080:24 35109:1 cases 34986:15 casually 35093:11	chose 35095:7 35096:14 Christ 35013:18 CI 35105:18 35106:10 circle 35017:24 35022:3
35008:10 35010:5 behaving 35032:2 believe 34985:7 35001:25 35012:3 35014:11 35087:14 35095:4 believed 35089:7	35064:12 35065:6 35066:15,18 35067:10 35068:3,6 35068:10 35069:9,10 35069:11,13 35070:1 35070:4,10 35072:20 35073:5,7,18 35074:3 35074:8,10,24 35075:6,24 35076:11	bystanders 35083:10 C calibre 35036:15 Calitz 35060:21 35062:24 35063:5,22 35064:3,6,12 35065:6 35066:15,18	35036:18 case 35013:20 35034:25 35054:6,10 35058:10 35080:15 35080:24 35109:1 cases 34986:15 casually 35093:11 CAS107/08/2012	chose 35095:7 35096:14 Christ 35013:18 CI 35105:18 35106:10 circle 35017:24 35022:3 circumstances 35087:8

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Pretoria

				Page
35038:14	35057:22,22	35065:12 35068:11	35040:3,9 35050:9	35036:2 35044:13
clan 35094:1	35058:12 35060:21	35072:2	35101:17,18 35102:6	35047:2 35054:13
clarified 35054:15	35066:21 35068:7	completed 35030:1,5	contingent 35044:11	35058:25 35085:17
clarify 35051:21,23	35073:8 35074:11,23	35057:17 35063:8	continue 34995:18	35092:21
35054:5	35084:24 35085:1,5	35066:23	35063:19	courts 35002:23
clash 35037:21	35105:9	completely 35044:3	continuing 34980:3	cousins 34989:12
classified 35012:20	commanders 35045:21	completeness 35009:5	35109:4	cover 35041:14
cleansed 35001:14	35048:19 35049:1,5	completes 35059:16	contract 35011:6	covered 35005:24,24
clear 34985:19	commencement	completing 34993:15	control 35024:22	35005:25 35033:9
35006:15 35012:17	34992:21	35059:21	35025:6 35044:19	35041:16 35054:5
35070:2 35108:1	comment 35108:15,17	completion 35065:17	35087:9 35100:19	cow 34997:10 35001:11
cleared 35109:14	35110:9	complex 35034:9	35111:7	co-ordinator 35105:13
	comments 35008:10	conceded 35100:11	convenient 34981:8	
clearly 35031:15 35084:5 35100:15	Commission 34980:2		conversation 35033:22	co-workers 35014:6
		concentrated 35025:18		crazy 34997:5
close 35010:25	34982:10,13	concept 35049:17	convey 35049:21	create 35007:16
35023:20	34985:20 34986:1,5	concern 35054:5	cope 35012:5	cried 34989:22 35004:7
closer 35026:17	34990:11 34994:6	concerned 35006:18	copy 35043:12,15	crime 35020:5,19,21,23
35034:2 35093:9	34995:3,21,21,22	35007:6 35009:4	35057:12	35020:25 35034:11
clothed 35001:13	34997:11,12,13	concerning 34981:2	cordoned 35060:6	35042:3,6 35044:5,8
clothes 34985:2	34998:5 35002:1	concerns 35022:8	35063:24 35064:10	35050:16 35051:7
34999:6 35001:12,18	35003:18 35005:22	concluded 35078:14	35064:24	35096:8 35106:7
35011:12	35007:7,16 35010:7	concludes 35009:9	corner 35017:23	crimes 35093:24
cluster 35057:21,22	35010:15 35012:23	35014:10	35022:3	criminal 35004:10
35058:12 35104:25	35014:25,25 35015:1	conclusion 35108:7	correct 34981:22,23	35104:5,18
35105:2,8,10,12,18	35015:14 35020:2	condition 35111:16	35007:12 35014:14	criminals 35004:10
coffin 35000:20	35022:11 35029:10	conditions 34992:11	35015:4,6,9 35016:18	criticised 35108:6
coin 35107:12	35037:14,20	conduct 35001:16	35018:9,10,12,20	35109:25
colleague 34992:25	35052:16 35054:20	conducted 35001:15	35019:1,17,18	criticism 35086:15,16
35063:22	35054:23 35055:8,8,9	35057:14 35059:15	35020:1 35021:3	35086:21
colleagues 34992:23	35055:15 35084:25	confirm 34988:24	35026:9 35027:1	crossfire 35083:10
34993:9 34996:23	35087:5,5,6 35096:3	35017:21 35018:11	35029:12 35030:2,6	crossing 35026:7,8,20
35000:6,17	35106:22 35107:2,21	35018:24 35019:2,7,8	35033:1 35035:2,24	35027:4 35035:12
collective 35010:12	35112:2	35019:19,21 35021:8	35038:20 35041:15	35091:7 35110:24
Colonel 35040:12,15,17	commissioner 35042:1	35019.19,21 35021.8	35041:17,18,21,23	cross-examination
35040:18,23 35043:2	35042:10 35046:22	35027:8 35033:10	35047:22 35049:19	35055:13 35090:5
·	35053:7 35056:12			35108:5
35043:8,8 35051:3		35055:24 35090:15	35051:1,10 35055:20	
35052:24 35053:8	35057:3,21	35090:18 35108:23	35056:2 35057:17,19	cross-examine
35104:13 35105:17	Commissioners	35108:24	35057:22,24	35049:10 35054:7,21
columns 35044:20	34987:4 34996:5	confirmed 35019:13	35058:14 35059:14	cross-examiner
come 34989:1,6	Commissioner's	35022:6	35059:19,23	35054:4
34992:20 34994:6	35058:11	consecutively 35017:24	35060:12 35064:8,16	cross-examining
34998:9,11 34999:14	commit 35093:24	consider 34981:15	35065:19 35066:3,10	35054:17,25
35012:2 35030:8	committed 35006:8	considered 35003:6	35066:19 35072:20	35087:15
35034:12 35058:4,9	35013:25 35074:18	consisted 35026:15	35072:21 35074:14	crowd 35023:20,24,25
35060:24 35062:24	committee 35045:20	consolation 34981:19	35079:10,18,23	35024:4,5,11,12
35063:15 35064:4,4,7	common 35025:24	34995:11	35080:7 35082:8	35025:3,6,7 35027:4
35064:13,17,17,19,19	commonly 35011:6	constable 35025:22	35083:14 35087:22	35027:6,7 35028:11
35064:21 35070:5	communicated	35032:14,17,23	35092:23 35093:6	35028:18,18
35099:14 35100:21	35102:13,15	35098:19 35099:5	35094:10,15	35029:11,14,17
35106:3,18 35111:15	community 34987:11	constables 35098:16	35096:13 35097:13	35030:25 35031:1
35111:15	34998:7,8 35091:5	consultation 35051:24	35103:2 35104:6,7,11	35032:14,24
comes 35003:14	company 35032:12	consulted 35042:24	35104:15	35033:18 35035:21
35008:17 35063:25	competence 35101:7	35043:2	correctly 35031:16	35038:6 35039:9
35077:14 35080:3	compilation 35074:10	contact 35044:21	35091:12	35100:19,19 35111:7
35083:12	35084:13	35065:7	cost 34988:4	cruel 35012:3
comfort 34995:12	compiled 35029:24	contacted 34984:13	couldn't 35001:8	cry 35003:25
35014:21	-		35060:18	
	35065:24 35078:23 35084:19	34989:25 34993:19		crying 35003:18
coming 35004:3,6		35061:13 35062:7	counsel 34980:5	35004:1 CSC 35001:5
35034:2	complain 34988:1	35065:12 35071:3	35007:20 35040:6	CSC 35091:5
command 35044:18	complained 34984:5	contain 35029:5	counselling 34985:18	culture 35001:16
35053:12 35056:21	34992:11	contained 35087:21	35001:23	customary 34998:4
35079:7	complaining 35003:18	content 35018:11	country 35002:22	cut 34983:22 35065:16
commander 35020:13	complaint 34986:7	35050:4 35092:9	couple 34980:11	Cwebo 34991:24
35020:19 35025:5	34990:16	contents 35019:1,20	35099:1,6	cycle 35011:17,20
35030:9 35044:21,22	complete 35002:3	context 34996:5	course 35013:11	
	05056 4 05050 01			
35045:22 35053:14	35056:4 35059:21	contingency 35039:19	35014:3 35030:9	D

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
D 34983:3	35002:14 35006:12	35036:19,20	document 35017:6,8,9	drove 35026:17
daddy 35004:3,6	35010:6,10,11,23	35063:17 35064:18	35017:11 35018:4,14	35034:7 35093:8
daily 34999:7	35083:16	35067:1 35068:23	35018:23 35019:3,7,9	due 35022:24 35059:25
damage 35109:2	December 35004:4	35070:5,23,25	35021:25 35022:6	duration 35046:21,24
damaged 34985:3	decided 34980:18	35071:7,24 35089:21	35025:9 35027:17	35068:13
danger 35077:14	decision 35014:5	35090:1 35091:24	35029:23 35034:15	duties 35020:5,19,21
35082:5	35084:17 35111:4	35092:1 35094:17,19	35035:18 35039:13	35020:25 35021:2,5
dangerous 35024:9	decisions 34990:6	35095:18,21,25	35039:17,21,25	35036:3 35044:10
35073:1,3,4,11	declined 35031:8	35096:15 35097:18	35040:4,7,8,16,18	35051:19 35059:10
35077:13 35083:24	deeds 35012:11	35099:13,22,25	35041:3 35042:14,17	35059:11 35072:1
35083:25 35084:5	default 34983:23	35100:6	35042:20,21,22,25	duty 35020:5 35025:14
35088:19,22	defence 35088:23	die 34990:15	35043:3,9,13,18,20	35037:1 35038:12
dark 34984:18 35060:4	defend 35077:25	died 34981:15	35044:25 35045:2,19	35052:5,14 35059:4,5
darkness 35003:24	35078:2	34984:23 34985:7	35046:10,15	35059:5,15 35061:15
date 34981:5 35006:15	deferred 34981:4	34987:10 34996:20	35040.10,15	35061:15 35062:21
35007:15 35059:17	35008:13	34997:25 34999:23		
35065:17 35078:12			35049:5,7 35050:4,14	35063:25 dynamics 35021:20
	definitely 35002:15	35003:5 35004:14	35051:20 35052:22	uynamics 33021.20
dated 35016:14,23,25	35030:24 35074:19	35005:4,13 35006:17	35053:1,4 35055:25	E
35017:6,8,10,12	delegation 35023:1,3	35012:5,6	35056:5 35070:19,24	
35018:9,25 35019:4	denied 35054:8	dies 35001:10	35075:14 35084:12	e 34997:24 35104:2
35019:10 35025:11	dependants 34983:13	different 35057:8	documentation	35108:18
35036:24 35055:17	dependents 34996:11	difficult 35004:21	35066:23	earlier 35032:13
35090:12 35093:1	34998:14	35011:21 35067:7	documents 35017:15	35037:6 35038:2,25
dates 35052:20	deployed 35042:7	dignity 34991:4	35017:18 35035:5	35039:11 35060:5
day 34981:7 34984:12	deposed 34991:14	dinner 34999:25	35037:13 35055:16	35062:20 35065:24
34984:13,14,16,21,24	Deputy 35042:1,10	Diole 35105:17	35090:10 35093:1	35068:4 35098:11
34988:8,11,11	Derek 35026:22	direct 35022:7	doesn't 35099:11	35101:19 35102:9
34989:5,9 34990:14	35029:10	direction 35034:2	35100:5	early 35010:20,22
34992:17 34993:10	describe 35035:18	35093:12 35098:23	doing 34986:18	earn 35004:18
34998:12,20 34999:8	35087:20 35088:2	directly 35030:4	34993:14 34994:16	earned 34999:17
34999:10 35000:21	described 34991:4,10	Dirk 35029:10	35003:12 35004:4,14	earning 34984:5
35001:1,4,6 35002:1	describes 35041:2	35031:12,15	35005:7 35008:12	easily 35103:5
35004:4 35005:21,23	describing 35088:14	discharge 35035:10	35009:8 35012:8	easterly 35093:12
35006:2 35007:8	description 35031:21	discharged 34982:9,12	35020:19 35032:15	eastern 34983:6
35024:13,18,20	35067:24 35068:2	34982:15 34988:24	35081:19 35098:17	34987:8 34989:25
35030:14,14,15	35072:15,18	34989:1 35029:25	don't 34993:8	34991:15,17 34998:1
35039:23 35040:1	35073:14 35088:13	discover 34994:16	34995:13 35001:25	34998:2 35001:3
35046:4 35047:17	35089:10,11	discuss 35046:6	35005:11,13,14	35037:23 35040:10
35051:4 35053:20	deserve 34990:15	discussed 35043:9	35024:7 35029:15,18	35041:9 35042:4
35059:7 35060:14	destination 35022:19	35076:14	35037:14 35040:4	35044:9 35050:18
35062:24 35063:7	35024:25	discussions 35023:5	35063:24 35064:17	easy 34990:10
35067:2,15,25	detail 34993:11	35042:14 35045:6	35065:14 35067:16	eat 35000:4 35004:24
35076:8 35078:24	35001:7 35067:1	dismissed 34992:16	35068:12 35069:15	35005:3
35082:7 35093:20	35072:10 35073:10		35070:23 35088:17	eating 34986:13
35103:13 35104:10	35072:10 35073:10	34993:3,7 35003:20 disperse 35026:22	35091:8 35096:5,6	ating 34986:13 34999:25
35108:3 35110:4 down 34001:4 34004:24	35075:24 35078:20	35029:11,16 35031:7	35097:11 35099:3,15	educate 35004:23
days 34991:4 34994:24	35082:25 35083:17	35031:12 35032:4,10	35099:18 35100:23	35005:1
34998:10,10,11	35086:13	35033:4,18 35075:4	doubt 35092:9,11	educating 35003:11
35074:13,18	details 34999:15	35075:16 35076:12	35099:11	35005:2
dead 34985:25	35000:15 35040:14	35079:9,22 35098:10	drafted 35018:16,21	education 34993:14
34989:10 35013:22	35059:21 35062:14	35098:14 35111:2,5	drafting 35043:3	35004:25 35011:23
deal 35052:19 35101:7	35063:5,16 35066:14	dispersed 35023:15	draw 35040:19 35044:1	EEEE32A 35027:18
35102:11	35073:15 35074:1	35025:7 35032:22	drawing 35043:8	35034:16
dealing 35006:16	35075:9,12,19,22	35053:25	drawn 35086:16	EEEE32B 35029:3,4
35031:17 35036:24	35078:7,9 35079:4	dispersing 35035:20	35103:25	35034:22
deals 35086:5	35081:24 35084:23	35110:23	dream 34988:5	effects 34982:17
dealt 35086:21	determine 35071:21	display 35076:9	35002:2	eight 34998:20
death 34981:20	35079:4,5	disposal 35107:22	dress 35093:15	either 35007:11
34992:24 34993:1	determined 35071:16	35108:12,13,14	dressed 35037:2	35048:17 35058:3
34997:4 35001:1,19	devastated 34985:3	dispute 34996:25	35038:13 35093:11	35070:10 35085:19
35002:19 35011:1,16	34989:22	disputes 35088:16	35093:14	35093:24 35110:7
35011:20,21 35012:2	dictated 35051:18	disruption 35011:21	drill 34988:2	elderly 34985:4
	dictates 35051:17	distance 35023:10	drilling 34998:20	email 35016:23
35012:2,8,19	ultiales 55051.17			
35012:2,8,19			driven 35001:3	35017:8.10 35018:15
35012:2,8,19 deaths 34993:18	didn't 34996:8	35030:25 35110:8	driven 35001:3 driver 34983:24	35017:8,10 35018:15 35018:17.19 35019:4
35012:2,8,19			driven 35001:3 driver 34983:24 driving 35103:3	35017:8,10 35018:15 35018:17,19 35019:4 35019:10,12 35022:7

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

35090:12,15,16,20 35092:6,9,12,14	evening 35009:25 35030:19 35035:11	extent 35045:8	fighting 35093:25	Page
35090:12,15,16,20 35092:6,9,12,14		extent 35045:8	fighting 35093.25	
35090:12,15,16,20 35092:6,9,12,14		CAICHI JJU4J.0		25(18).7 25(197.4
35092:6,9,12,14				35082:7 35087:4
		extremely 34993:4	Figile 34983:15	fix 35002:8
	35037:6 35052:10	e-mail 35051:25	file 35070:16 35072:9	Fletcher 34998:1,2,24
35094:9,18 35095:6	35090:24 35091:7	35052:1 35104:24	filed 35028:25	focus 35044:15
35095:11,16	35096:11,20	35106:4 35108:2,20	fill 35011:12 35058:24	follow 35058:18
35102:14	35098:12 35100:12		35059:9	35106:17,20,23
emails 35090:9	35110:2	F	filled 35056:9 35079:3	following 34984:14,25
Emanating 35090:20	event 35050:11	F 34995:1	35083:11	34988:8,11,11
embarked 34984:3	35053:3	fact 34999:21 35003:5	filtered 35107:18	34992:24 35000:21
	events 35027:23	35009:4 35017:21	final 35008:15 35014:5	35050:13 35058:4
employed 34990:9	35036:24 35059:6	35029:1 35030:5	35086:6	35090:20 35093:8,13
1 0				
34998:21	35110:20,21	35035:3 35045:22	finally 35017:11	35103:4 35107:21
	eventually 34994:3	35047:14 35051:25	35019:14 35047:4	35108:6 35109:1
employees 35022:9,9	35001:2	35071:8 35092:20	35110:17	35110:21
35025:16,17 35026:6 e	everybody 34988:22	35093:3 35100:5	financial 34994:5	follows 35035:21
35027:9 35037:4,7	35032:21	35101:1,1,2 35107:12	35002:6 35010:21	35048:12 35050:5
35038:3,16,18	evidence 35008:3,20	35110:1	financially 35010:12	35053:5
35040:10 35072:24	35015:3,9,13	faction 35093:25	find 34984:19 34989:4	follow-up 35058:13
35090:22,24	35017:20 35037:20	facts 35072:17	34989:18 34990:18	food 34992:8 34997:9
35093:20 35095:1,24	35039:3 35043:21	35095:16 35102:13	34994:2 35000:8,9	35005:3
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
35096:12,16	35060:6 35074:16	35107:3	35002:7 35011:5	foolscap 35070:17,21
35098:10 35100:11	35087:7,10 35088:16	failed 35003:7	35018:15,23 35019:3	foot 35093:12
employer 34987:25	35089:7 35090:10	fair 35094:15 35096:2	35019:9 35061:5	football 34991:22
34988:10 35004:19	35091:11 35102:10	35099:23 35101:7,8	35098:17 35099:3	footnote 35086:8
35012:16,16	35108:10,12	fairly 35021:21	findings 34997:13	force 35072:4 35080:13
-	evil 35006:5	faith 35106:16	finds 35051:4	35080:16,19,23
	evolved 35023:5	falling 34996:8	fine 34984:12	35081:20
	exact 35091:8,15	falls 35041:10	finger 35034:20	forehead 34996:22
			-	
	exactly 35062:6	familiar 35069:1,3,4	35100:24	foresee 35095:18,25
ended 35047:4	35064:14 35068:15	families 34980:3,5,8	finished 34980:17	35096:6
endorse 35073:9	35073:20 35074:5	35006:14,19	firearm 35082:11	foreseen 35096:3
endorsed 35075:14	35098:17	35007:19 35008:2,3	35084:3	forget 35005:15
endure 34995:14	EXAMINATION	35011:4	firearms 35004:20	forgive 35006:4,5,7
enemy 35004:9	35017:16	fan 34987:13	35027:12 35056:19	35046:14
	example 35080:13	far 34980:10 35006:11	35073:1,4,22,22,23	form 35056:8,9,11
engaged 35095:14	35086:10	35006:17 35007:5	35074:4 35082:15,21	35058:23,24
	excited 35032:6,8			
0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	35049:16	35082:24 35083:4,23	35059:12,16,20,22
	excused 35111:14,17	father 34983:17	35083:24,25 35084:5	35065:15 35079:16
enquire 34992:6	35111:19	34985:5,8,8,19	35088:19,21	35090:9
	exhibit 35015:23,23	34987:10 34990:7,21	fired 35003:9 35031:4	formal 35011:4,9
Enquiries 35040:13	35025:10 35035:1,4	34991:14,18,25	35039:5 35067:6	35017:17
ensure 35029:20	35035:16 35037:15	35001:22 35013:18	35080:2,14 35089:6	formally 35017:20
35060:9	35037:17 35039:14	35013:19	firing 35029:3,5	formed 35094:12
entail 35020:21	35039:19 35040:4,5	fathers 34986:10	35080:24	35107:24
35065:22	35052:2,7,23 35053:4	fax 35040:15	first 34982:21	Fort 34992:10
entire 34983:25	, ,			
	35087:22,22	fear 34993:3 35000:1	34985:15 34996:10	forth 35009:1
35022:6 35023:1	35090:13,13 35093:2	feared 34993:6	34998:21 34999:24	forward 35005:19
35068:14 35071:11	35104:22 35108:19	feed 35005:1	35010:6 35016:22	forwarded 35058:7,16
	exhibits 35037:13	feel 35006:6 35012:10	35017:22 35018:4	35105:4
	exist 35047:1	feeling 34982:11	35025:9,10 35026:3	found 34983:19
35096:20,23,25 e	existence 35046:11	feelings 35010:12	35027:24 35029:3	34985:1 34989:20
	expand 35020:20	35012:1	35034:16 35040:8	34992:23 34994:3
	experience 34995:13	feels 34995:19	35041:5,6 35043:17	34998:19 35068:16
equivalent 35034:21	35011:24 35021:15	fees 34992:8,9 34999:5	35043:19 35054:18	35068:21 35093:12
	experienced 35011:25	fellow 35005:19	35054:23 35055:3	35109:14
	explain 35022:11	35013:6	35071:4 35080:19	four 34987:18
escorted 35022:19	35104:25	felt 35013:9	35104:24 35110:23	35006:19 35067:12
	explained 35038:23	female 35010:3	firstly 34981:21	35067:14 35068:2
35068:16	35062:20	35061:19,21	35025:23 35035:5	35072:19 35082:7
establish 35049:12	explanation 35001:2	fetch 34989:1	35054:4 35056:10	35092:20 35094:24
35061:17	35099:12,21 35100:4	FFFF7 35016:16,18,22	35059:14 35060:7	35103:19
	extended 34999:2	35018:5 35025:10	35072:18 35075:19	fourth 35052:8
estimate 35067:11	35010:25 35011:2,9	35035:16	35090:8,15 35111:5	four-way 35026:20
			-	
estimating 35063:2	35011:13,18 35012:7	fifth 35017:11	five 34980:4 34995:9	frantic 35000:3
the most P	extensive 35110:11	fight 35094:14	35067:13,14 35068:2	Free 34998:18
	extensively 35109:6,17	35102:17	35072:19 35079:25	Friday 35025:14
ARCHIVE FOR	JUSTICE			

Pretoria

				Page
35090:21,23 35091:7	35043:12,15	35098:11 35101:3,4	35067:21 35070:15	hired 34983:24
35096:10,20	35059:19 35062:14	Golosa 34996:20	35070:20 35076:22	hit 35004:16 35080:12
frightened 34993:23	35062:17 35075:4,8	Good 35055:14	35087:20 35088:3,14	35080:23
frightening 34989:10	35076:25 35079:7,17	35087:17 35090:6,7	35088:15 35089:4,9	hold 34985:5 35061:2
front 35023:8 35053:3	35080:9,9 35081:15	Govender's 35086:5	35089:12 35098:22	home 34984:10
35093:13	35081:23 35092:5,18	government 34986:3	35104:10 35108:2	34985:9,16,17
fulfilled 34988:5	35096:7 35099:12	34990:13 34993:13	35109:12 35110:19	34987:17 34988:13
full 35015:25 35066:14	35111:1	34997:9 35003:9	happening 34984:8	34989:4,6 34992:3,5
fully 35093:21	gives 35040:14	35005:8,9	35030:18	34992:17,20 34998:9
function 35057:1	35091:14	grade 35010:22	happenings 35022:8	34998:24,25
35085:24	giving 34998:8 35032:3	Graeme 35026:21	35105:4	35001:24 35003:12
functioned 35048:6	go 34983:5 34985:24	grant 34990:25	happens 35057:10	35003:20 35004:4
functions 35051:7,13	34988:10,18 34994:4	grants 35008:25	35058:6 35059:3	35005:4
Fundagubi 34996:13	35003:6,23 35005:18	35009:4	happy 34987:23	homework 35016:7,10
34996:13,14	35009:16 35017:22	great 35011:20	hard 34987:12 34988:2	honed 34987:18
funding 35003:4	35021:24 35023:3	greatest 35094:23	34992:12 34999:17	hope 34982:16
Fundiswa 34992:2	35024:25 35025:9	grenades 35078:20,21	35002:10 35004:15	34989:11 35005:20
funeral 34990:4	35026:8,11 35027:16	35081:8	35004:17,18	35006:3,3 35008:24
34993:10 34994:4	35029:19 35034:5,15	grievance 34987:25	35010:24 35011:20	35045:15
34996:23 34997:11	35034:17 35035:15	gripped 35011:18	35011:22 35081:5	hoping 34986:4
35001:4,6 35002:5	35036:23 35038:14	groceries 34999:6	hardship 35002:9	34987:24
35013:2	35039:16 35040:1	ground 35068:7,7	Hare 34992:10	Horn 35093:9
furnish 35000:15	35041:24 35043:25	group 35026:13,15,17	head 34985:2 35011:19	horribly 34988:20
furnished 35063:11	35044:1,5,17 35046:6	35026:19,22	35056:11	hospital 34982:9,12,16
further 34982:16	35051:2 35052:10	35027:11 35028:21	headed 35040:9	34988:18,20,22
35006:16 35033:22	35053:21 35054:23	35029:14 35032:10	35042:1 35044:21	35000:8 35052:4,6,9
35039:24 35057:4,8	35058:22 35059:13	35032:19 35033:4,8	heading 35035:4	35052:10,10,12
35057:13 35063:18	35060:2,9,18 35061:5	35033:13 35037:21	35044:4,7,18	hospitalised 34988:19
35065:7 35071:25	35061:10 35063:23	35073:1,4,11 35074:7	35050:16	35092:21 35094:25
35072:3,12 35081:24	35068:14 35071:24	35074:13,17	hear 34981:13 34996:2	hospitals 34989:7
35085:1 35095:23	35074:1 35084:6,11	35090:24 35095:3	35005:13	hostel 34996:14
35108:18 35111:17	35085:23 35090:11	35097:19 35098:14	heard 34984:16,23	35026:18 35037:23
35111:19,24	35090:14,14	35099:4 35101:13	34988:20 34992:18	35093:18 35098:23
future 35006:15	35092:13 35095:2	35102:16 35103:21	34992:23 34993:4	35109:15,23 35110:8
35007:15 35047:20	35096:10 35098:14	35110:5,13	34997:4 34999:23,24	hostels 35032:20
	35100:1 35101:2	grouping 35033:18	35001:19 35002:11	35034:9 35098:5
G	35102:18 35103:16	groups 35093:23	35034:1	hour 35055:7 35068:13
gap 35011:12	35103:17 35104:24	grow 34986:10	hearing 35000:1	35069:13,21,25
gardens 34986:17	God 35004:13	growing 34990:20	heart 35003:18	35070:1,3
Gary 35055:19 35086:4	35005:21,22,22,23,23	gunshot 35052:6	35006:7	hours 34998:20
gather 35050:19	35005:25,25 35006:2	gunshots 35034:1	heavier 35101:15	hour's 35014:23
35065:2 35093:20	35006:2,4,8 35013:18		heavily 35107:13	house 34988:3
gathered 35023:8	35015:16	H	height 35005:4	34993:15 35000:5
35037:4 35038:16,19	goes 35003:23	H 34991:5	held 35057:5	35002:3,4,4 35004:7
35060:7 35093:10	35005:22 35013:14	half 35063:2,3	help 34980:25	35005:4,7
gathering 34999:11	35046:19 35056:21	35091:11,13 35093:3	34986:14 34998:9	household 34999:6
35022:15 35023:9,24	35056:23 35074:12	35094:7 35096:20	35003:10 35006:4	35011:11
35072:24 35096:8	35075:15	hand 35008:17	35015:16	houses 35004:11
35102:21	going 34980:6,6	35015:15 35022:3	helpful 34998:8	35005:1,6
gatherings 34984:6	34981:13,21	35075:4 35103:12,15	Hemraj 35046:22	housework 35016:8,12
Gauteng 35109:7	34985:20,21	35103:15	35047:19	huge 34987:12
general 34983:20	34989:12,18 34993:8	handed 35040:5	Hendrik 35020:6	35011:11
35056:8 35058:23	34994:20 34995:24	handle 35053:10	Henry 34991:14,18	Human 35054:20,22
	34997:5 34998:12	35082:11,21	35025:15 35091:22	35055:15
35102:2		hands 35014:4,19	Hester 35009:14	humiliated 35006:6
	34999:13 35000:6			
getting 35069:20	34999:13 35000:6 35002:10 35003:3	35024:8 35072:2	35010:2	humiliation 35012:5
getting 35069:20 35076:10	35002:10 35003:3	35024:8 35072:2	35010:2 he'd 34997:2	
getting 35069:20 35076:10 give 34981:1 34982:2	35002:10 35003:3 35004:1,23,24	35024:8 35072:2 35106:18	he'd 34997:2	hurt 34985:3,6
getting 35069:20 35076:10 give 34981:1 34982:2 35003:11 35006:6	35002:10 35003:3 35004:1,23,24 35005:3 35006:15,21	35024:8 35072:2 35106:18 happen 35026:3	he'd 34997:2 he'll 35101:2	hurt 34985:3,6 34989:22 35001:18
getting 35069:20 35076:10 give 34981:1 34982:2 35003:11 35006:6 35011:8 35015:13	35002:10 35003:3 35004:1,23,24 35005:3 35006:15,21 35006:24 35008:15	35024:8 35072:2 35106:18 happen 35026:3 35040:21 35099:6	he'd 34997:2 he'll 35101:2 he's 35014:11,12	hurt 34985:3,6 34989:22 35001:18 35001:24 35013:9
getting 35069:20 35076:10 give 34981:1 34982:2 35003:11 35006:6 35011:8 35015:13 35063:15 35075:9	35002:10 35003:3 35004:1,23,24 35005:3 35006:15,21 35006:24 35008:15 35009:11 35013:17	35024:8 35072:2 35106:18 happen 35026:3 35040:21 35099:6 35101:3,4 35103:13	he'd 34997:2 he'll 35101:2 he's 35014:11,12 35015:4 35100:23,24	hurt 34985:3,6 34989:22 35001:18 35001:24 35013:9 hurting 34990:18
getting 35069:20 35076:10 give 34981:1 34982:2 35003:11 35006:6 35011:8 35015:13 35063:15 35075:9 35078:13 35079:20	35002:10 35003:3 35004:1,23,24 35005:3 35006:15,21 35006:24 35008:15 35009:11 35013:17 35014:1,10,11,16	35024:8 35072:2 35106:18 happen 35026:3 35040:21 35099:6 35101:3,4 35103:13 happened 34982:17	he'd 34997:2 he'll 35101:2 he's 35014:11,12 35015:4 35100:23,24 35101:23 35102:4	hurt 34985:3,6 34989:22 35001:18 35001:24 35013:9 hurting 34990:18 35003:17
getting 35069:20 35076:10 give 34981:1 34982:2 35003:11 35006:6 35011:8 35015:13 35063:15 35075:9 35078:13 35079:20 35086:3 35087:19	35002:10 35003:3 35004:1,23,24 35005:3 35006:15,21 35006:24 35008:15 35009:11 35013:17 35014:1,10,11,16 35015:2 35022:1,5	35024:8 35072:2 35106:18 happen 35026:3 35040:21 35099:6 35101:3,4 35103:13 happened 34982:17 34989:5 34990:14,17	he'd 34997:2 he'll 35101:2 he's 35014:11,12 35015:4 35100:23,24 35101:23 35102:4 high 35020:23	hurt 34985:3,6 34989:22 35001:18 35001:24 35013:9 hurting 34990:18 35003:17 hurts 34990:19
getting 35069:20 35076:10 give 34981:1 34982:2 35003:11 35006:6 35011:8 35015:13 35063:15 35075:9 35078:13 35079:20 35086:3 35087:19 35089:11	35002:10 35003:3 35004:1,23,24 35005:3 35006:15,21 35006:24 35008:15 35009:11 35013:17 35014:1,10,11,16 35015:2 35022:1,5 35033:3,18 35038:12	35024:8 35072:2 35106:18 happen 35026:3 35040:21 35099:6 35101:3,4 35103:13 happened 34982:17 34989:5 34990:14,17 34993:10,18	he'd 34997:2 he'll 35101:2 he's 35014:11,12 35015:4 35100:23,24 35101:23 35102:4 high 35020:23 higher 35072:7	hurt 34985:3,6 34989:22 35001:18 35001:24 35013:9 hurting 34990:18 35003:17 hurts 34990:19 husband 34987:18,20
getting 35069:20 35076:10 give 34981:1 34982:2 35003:11 35006:6 35011:8 35015:13 35063:15 35075:9 35078:13 35079:20 35086:3 35087:19 35089:11 given 34980:4	35002:10 35003:3 35004:1,23,24 35005:3 35006:15,21 35006:24 35008:15 35009:11 35013:17 35014:1,10,11,16 35015:2 35022:1,5 35033:3,18 35038:12 35061:5 35064:1	35024:8 35072:2 35106:18 happen 35026:3 35040:21 35099:6 35101:3,4 35103:13 happened 34982:17 34989:5 34990:14,17 34993:10,18 34994:16 35000:10	he'd 34997:2 he'll 35101:2 he's 35014:11,12 35015:4 35100:23,24 35101:23 35102:4 high 35020:23 higher 35072:7 35107:9	hurt 34985:3,6 34989:22 35001:18 35001:24 35013:9 hurting 34990:18 35003:17 hurts 34990:19 husband 34987:18,20 34988:6,16,23,25
getting 35069:20 35076:10 give 34981:1 34982:2 35003:11 35006:6 35011:8 35015:13 35063:15 35075:9 35078:13 35079:20 35086:3 35087:19 35089:11	35002:10 35003:3 35004:1,23,24 35005:3 35006:15,21 35006:24 35008:15 35009:11 35013:17 35014:1,10,11,16 35015:2 35022:1,5 35033:3,18 35038:12	35024:8 35072:2 35106:18 happen 35026:3 35040:21 35099:6 35101:3,4 35103:13 happened 34982:17 34989:5 34990:14,17 34993:10,18	he'd 34997:2 he'll 35101:2 he's 35014:11,12 35015:4 35100:23,24 35101:23 35102:4 high 35020:23 higher 35072:7	hurt 34985:3,6 34989:22 35001:18 35001:24 35013:9 hurting 34990:18 35003:17 hurts 34990:19 husband 34987:18,20

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
34998:4,9,22,25	35060:16 35089:22	informed 35012:22	35045:22 35056:13	JOC 35045:20,20
35000:10 35002:21	35091:24 35104:9	35023:11 35026:4	35062:15 35067:4,8	35046:16,19,25
35003:3,4,10,12,13	include 35021:6	35031:5 35032:17	35069:3 35071:14,22	35047:5,7,18,20
35004:16 35005:4,7	included 34990:6	35046:1 35052:5	35072:13 35079:5	35048:5,9,17,24
husbands 34986:9	34992:9 35074:17	35061:10 35071:20	35082:14,24 35084:8	35049:13,15,16
35003:22 35004:9,24	35106:3	35073:8 35079:16	35084:16 35085:19	35053:15 35061:9,13
35005:13	including 34983:25	Inganati 34996:12	involvement 35038:6	35061:19 35062:23
husband's 34989:11	34993:14 34999:5	inherited 35049:14	35039:9 35083:8	35065:5 35104:25
35001:12,15,19	35095:10	initially 34991:10	IPID 35057:9,11,15,16	35105:3,5
35001:12,13,19	incomplete 35002:3	initiative 35079:6	35058:16,16,18	JOCCOM 35045:23
55002.7,11,19	increase 34988:1	injected 34996:24	35072:2,12	35046:2 35047:11,12
I	34995:13 34999:15	34997:1	isn't 35099:23	35047:15,16 35048:7
identified 35055:18	35004:19	injured 34983:22	issue 35011:15 35046:5	35048:20,25 35049:1
	incredibly 35099:4			join 34984:1
35071:20 35074:7,7	-	34989:10 35034:6,13	35046:6 35058:17	•
identify 34990:1	increment 34993:17	35039:5 35078:6,9	35077:12 35096:7	joined 34983:13
identity 35071:16,21	35004:15	35083:17 35088:24	issues 35022:24	34984:2 35034:4
35074:19	independent 35085:16	35095:16	35023:5,6 35043:5	joint 35045:19
Idutjwa 34995:5	index 35016:20	inquiries 35071:3	35069:11	judge 34994:7
Idutywa 34987:7,8	indicate 35008:12	Inquiry 34997:12,13	I'd 34994:14 35090:8	July 34987:19
34989:17	35067:20 35106:6	34998:6	35092:24 35101:16	jumped 35034:7
ill 34982:16	indicated 35018:16	insignificant 35051:22	35102:19	June 34992:3,5
illegal 35053:8	35019:6 35022:25	insofar 35049:10	I'll 35002:1 35017:25	35001:15
imagine 35042:25	35048:16 35067:17	instructed 34980:5	35025:13 35026:12	justice 34986:4
immediate 35077:14	35099:17 35112:1	35007:20 35042:2	35029:15 35036:25	justification 35084:12
35082:5	indicates 35065:15	instruction 35032:4	35089:13	35086:12
immediately 35025:19	indications 35024:12	35042:10,11 35111:1	I'm 34991:16 34996:1	justified 35082:3
35026:17 35040:13	35024:13	intelligence 35096:8	35001:24,25 35002:6	35089:7
35053:15 35091:18	indistinct 35013:12	35102:20 35104:5,18	35002:7 35016:18	
imminent 35107:25	individual 35010:11	35105:21 35106:7,19	35020:13 35026:3	K
impact 35010:12	individuals 35074:17	intent 35011:21	35029:22 35036:14	Karee 34983:20
35011:1	35074:20 35094:1	intention 35072:9,12	35039:10,15	34998:18,20
implementation	35096:19 35097:3,9	interactions 35036:2	35055:14 35060:12	35025:19 35040:11
35050:9	35099:4 35101:13	35052:17	35063:2 35070:2	35041:9,23 35050:18
implemented 35050:7	35103:21 35104:23	intercepted 35110:12	35093:21 35095:8	keep 34989:18
important 34997:12	industrial 35025:18	interchangeably	35096:5 35099:16,17	35034:19 35070:25
35028:7 35036:21	industrial 35025.18 industry 34998:22	35029:15	35099:19	keeping 35070:12
		interest 35082:3	I've 35001:22 35008:14	
35093:21 35095:10	inference 35103:24			keeps 35001:22
35096:6 35109:5	inform 34992:13	interested 35075:24	35016:20 35017:19	35004:3
impression 35107:24	35085:25 35100:17	interrupt 35029:22	35021:23 35024:18	Kellerman 35027:22
imprisoned 35013:25	informal 34987:17	interrupted 35049:24	35036:1 35038:23	35028:25 35029:24
improve 35012:6	34998:23 34999:9	interrupting 35046:14	35040:7 35062:20	35035:10
Inaminkosi 34983:16	35034:3 35093:18	intervene 35040:23	35067:22 35091:14	Kellerman's 35030:3
inasmuch 35045:21	35110:7	35096:11	35094:9 35108:7	kept 35000:5
inaudible 35006:1	information 34980:13	intervention 35040:24		kill 35002:21,22
35013:13,22	34980:23 34982:15	intimidated 35025:17	J	35003:21
35015:20 35018:3,7	35037:3 35038:15	35026:7 35028:7	Ja 35040:25 35049:4	killed 34983:2
incident 35012:20	35057:3 35061:16	35029:7 35098:11	35061:25 35062:4	34985:24 34986:9
35027:22 35028:5	35062:16 35063:7,10	35103:18	35064:22 35069:6	34987:9 34989:8,21
35034:21 35037:8	35063:11 35065:2,12	intimidating 35027:9	35074:9 35084:22	34990:4,12 34991:3
35038:4 35039:4,11	35066:16,17,22	35033:14 35096:12	35088:9 35108:24	34991:10,18 34993:2
35055:17 35056:12	35068:5,11 35069:20	intimidation 35027:5	Jackson 34997:19,25	34993:5,6 34994:12
35056:16,17 35058:5	35070:24 35072:3,6	35028:19 35094:25	34998:3,6,17,21	34994:17,22
35058:19,25 35059:1	35073:3,6 35074:10	35095:23	34999:7,16,20	34996:24 34997:15
35059:3 35060:3,3	35074:22 35076:11	intimidatory 35110:24	35000:7,12,14	34997:19,23 34998:4
35061:3,7 35062:9,20	35076:18,25 35077:2	investigated 35057:11	Jackson's 35000:18	34999:22 35000:12
35063:5 35065:8	35080:9 35081:1,15	35085:8	jail 35013:24	35000:15,16
35067:8 35071:5,22	35081:18 35084:4,23	investigation 35009:8	Jamela 34983:7	35001:20 35002:24
35071:23 35072:9,16	35085:1 35090:2	35057:4,8,14	Jameson 35105:6	35003:10 35009:25
35078:12,14,25	35091:3,4 35092:6,10	35058:16 35059:14	Jeez 35061:20	35012:15 35013:6,13
	35091:3,4 35092:0,10	35059:15 35065:21	jersey 34996:6	35078:6,10 35088:23
35082.14 35082.0		35068:17 35071:25	Jesus 35013:18	35107:15
35082:14 35083:9 35084:8 35086:10 12	35100.12 25102.21	JJUU0.17 JJU71.2J		
35084:8 35086:10,12	35100:13 35102:21		11117Q 25006.6	
35084:8 35086:10,12 35087:20 35088:13	35103:12,14,15,25	35072:1,13 35084:14	JJJ178 35086:6	killers 35013:21,21,23
35084:8 35086:10,12 35087:20 35088:13 35089:11 35091:24	35103:12,14,15,25 35104:2 35105:4,25	35072:1,13 35084:14 35085:24 35086:13	job 34983:19,19	killing 35013:10
35084:8 35086:10,12 35087:20 35088:13 35089:11 35091:24 35092:14 35109:12	35103:12,14,15,25 35104:2 35105:4,25 35106:4,18 35107:18	35072:1,13 35084:14 35085:24 35086:13 Investigations 35109:4	job 34983:19,19 34998:20 35002:20	killing 35013:10 35014:1
35084:8 35086:10,12 35087:20 35088:13 35089:11 35091:24 35092:14 35109:12 incidents 35023:17	35103:12,14,15,25 35104:2 35105:4,25 35106:4,18 35107:18 35107:22 35108:13	35072:1,13 35084:14 35085:24 35086:13 Investigations 35109:4 involved 35021:23	job 34983:19,19 34998:20 35002:20 35011:7 35013:17	killing 35013:10 35014:1 killings 35000:2
35084:8 35086:10,12 35087:20 35088:13 35089:11 35091:24 35092:14 35109:12	35103:12,14,15,25 35104:2 35105:4,25 35106:4,18 35107:18 35107:22 35108:13 35109:10,19,20	35072:1,13 35084:14 35085:24 35086:13 Investigations 35109:4	job 34983:19,19 34998:20 35002:20	killing 35013:10 35014:1

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
kind 34983:9 35095:14	35103:22 35106:4,13	lie 35004:21,22	35011:14,15	Mabuyakhulu
kitchen 35002:5,8	koppies 35069:2	Lieutenant 35052:4,13	35012:10,21 35022:9	35037:19
KKKK28 34981:25	35070:3 35071:7	35053:12	35022:18 35023:13	machine 34983:23
34983:1	kraal 35069:4,5,19	Lieutenant-Colonel	35025:16,16 35026:6	Mafolisi 34987:2,7,9
KKKK29 34987:3	35070:5 35071:8	35039:20 35040:5,14	35026:19,21	Magadla 34986:13
KKKK30 34991:9	35076:22	35052:7,17 35053:7	35035:10 35036:17	mail 35108:19
KKKK31 34995:1	Kruger 35037:3	life 35000:1 35003:23	35037:4 35038:16	mails 35104:3
KKKK32 34997:21	35038:14 35093:4,17	35003:23 35010:10	35040:10 35049:14	main 34984:3 35069:16
knew 34987:12	35093:19 35102:23	35010:11,16	35053:9,9 35054:22	maintained 35042:3
34989:8 35021:20	35104:5 35106:10	35011:25,25 35012:9	35072:24 35090:23	maintenance 34997:14
knobkerries 35028:23	K3 35026:7	35077:13	35091:18 35107:14	Majikana 34983:17
35029:7	K4 35010:1 35110:1,8	light 35003:24	35108:6,10 35109:25	Major-General
knobkieries 35026:17	T	35048:14 35050:3	look 34984:25	35057:23 35058:1,1
35027:11,15,25	$\frac{L}{L^{25015.24}}$	35063:20 35100:5	35002:16 35010:22	35102:3
35096:21 35097:3,10	L 35015:24	line 35028:6 35030:20	35016:14 35024:23	making 35050:10
35097:14,24	Labita 35000:6	35035:21 35038:2	35025:5 35046:4,14	35067:7 35070:12
35098:24 35099:5	lack 35010:21	35040:2,8,13	35050:8 35057:4	35071:19 35081:10
knocked 34998:13	ladies 35005:19	35044:22 35071:25	35059:2 35065:23	male 34991:16
knopkieries 35028:17	Lamla 34983:16	35108:5 list 34080:4 35104:23	35067:23 35068:12	35061:19 males 35026:14 16 16
know 34980:9 34984:11 34985:4	Langa 34980:18	list 34980:4 35104:23 35104:23	35068:14,19,20	males 35026:14,16,16
34984:11 34985:4 34986:12,14	34981:7 35006:20 35007:10,11	35104:23 listed 35035:5	35071:9 35073:13 35075:18 35078:24	35026:23 35083:16 35083:17 35093:16
34980:12,14 34989:10 34990:2,3	language 35001:13	35045:21 35049:5	35084:2 35090:19	35095:3
34993:8,12 34994:6	large 35103:21,22	little 34988:2 34992:12	35091:14 35095:12	malicious 35109:2
34994:10 34998:6	late 34981:15 34983:1	34999:17 35003:14	35099:24 35104:22	man 34983:9 34990:15
35000:4 35002:20	34987:2 34988:13	35004:18 35043:17	35111:22	34996:5 34998:8
35003:3,15 35006:14	34996:2 34997:19	live 34987:8 34990:25	looked 35000:7	35004:13
35007:14 35013:14	35010:3	34991:17 34998:2,23	looking 34983:19	manage 35100:18
35020:8 35024:7	laundry 35004:4	35004:13 35081:12	34990:24 34994:1	management 34999:14
35029:10 35030:7,11	law 34998:4 35002:17	35081:19	35005:16,16	35023:12,12 35025:6
35031:25 35035:23	35002:22,23 35003:1	lived 34987:17	35013:15 35045:19	35111:7
35035:25 35036:1	35013:9,11 35014:3	34996:14 34998:22	35048:13 35068:23	manager 35025:16
35042:22 35045:7	layout 35069:4	35012:9	lose 35006:3,3	35098:9
35046:11 35047:3,5,5	LCRC 35068:22	lives 35010:20 35012:6	loss 35002:6 35010:12	Mandla 35037:18
35047:7,19 35048:1	lead 35015:2 35043:20	35060:8 35082:4	35010:13	Mangopasa 34991:25
35050:4,6 35053:16	leaders 35008:4,20	living 34988:4	lost 34989:11 34993:7	manner 35001:19
35056:22,25	35090:11	35013:13	lot 35009:1 35030:10	35012:5 35013:5
35058:21 35060:3	leading 35017:20	LLLL1 35016:13,24	35103:15 35108:12	manuscript 35027:24
35063:24 35066:14	learned 35063:22	35022:1	loved 34983:10	march 34988:7
35067:16 35069:7,16	leave 34998:10 35014:3	LLLL2 35017:7	34991:21,21 34998:7	35022:9,10,11,12,13
35069:23 35070:6	35029:20	35036:23 35093:2	LPD 35022:9,18,22,23	35022:16,20,24
35074:19 35078:7	leaving 35099:24	LLLL3 35017:9	35023:2,3,7,13	35023:7,17,25
35079:15 35080:2	led 35040:6 35067:7	35090:13 35104:22	35025:2	35024:19 35025:1
35082:20 35085:2	35108:10	LLLL4 35017:11	luck 34984:16	35053:9,12,18,24
35091:8 35097:11	left 34982:10 34984:10	35108:19	lucky 34985:25	35090:20 35107:13
35099:18 35100:11	34992:3 35001:6	LLLL5 35017:13	lunch 34984:22	marching 35022:22
35108:9 35110:10,18	35011:11 35023:13	LLL3 35052:2	Luvo 34991:13	35023:1
knowing 34986:10	35079:25 35091:6,9	local 35021:20		Marikana 34987:10
35000:5 35003:25	legal 35071:19	location 35069:19	<u>M</u>	34991:19 34992:4
knowledge 35024:14	legs 35080:14,24	35088:3	M 34991:11	34993:9 34996:14,20
35033:11 35047:24	35081:20	loco 35010:4	Maass 35105:20	34996:21 34998:4
35047:25 35048:5	Lehupa 34997:19,20,22	long 34987:10 34994:1	Mabebe 34980:16	35000:9 35001:5
35053:19 35093:23	34997:24,25 34998:3	35047:4 35062:22	35006:20 35007:3,4	35020:9,11 35021:7
known 34986:11	lethal 35081:8,16	35068:9 January 25000:22	35009:12,14,24	35021:10,15 35026:4
34997:23 35011:6	let's 35021:25 35033:9	longer 35099:22	35010:2,3,16	35030:9 35035:7
35071:10 35072:5,5	35043:1 35044:2,3	Lonmin 34980:25	35012:22,24 35013:1	35037:9 35038:4
35103:12 koppig 34084:7-17	35096:10 35102:11	34986:3,8,11	35013:4,5,8 Mabalana 34080:17	35041:10 35042:3,6
koppie 34984:7,17	35107:11 35108:18	34987:16 34990:13	Mabelane 34980:17	35044:4,5,6,11
34988:12,21 34989:9 34993:11 34999:10	level 35056:8,24 35058:24 35086:13	34990:17,21 34992:20 34993:17	35006:20 35007:6 Mabelane's 35007:5	35050:17 35051:5,7,9 35052:14 35053:7,15
34773.11 34777.10	35105:25 35107:9	34992:20 34993:17 34993:19,24,25	Mabiya 34986:23	35060:14,16 35088:8
3/000.11 35060.4	55105.25 55107.9		34987:2,2,5,7,8,9,14	35109:3
34999:11 35060:4 35068:10 35069:5 5 5	I FWIS 3/087.16		1 14701 / / 1 / 0 7 14	55107.5
35068:10 35069:5,5,5	LEWIS 34987:16 34998:5 17 35007:21	34994:3,5 34999:14 35003:19 19		marked 35017.15
35068:10 35069:5,5,5 35069:22 35072:25	34998:5,17 35007:21	35003:19,19	34987:15 34989:14	marked 35017:15 marks 35004:16
35068:10 35069:5,5,5 35069:22 35072:25 35074:17 35076:23	34998:5,17 35007:21 35008:7 35009:7	35003:19,19 35004:20,21,22	34987:15 34989:14 34990:16 34991:3	marks 35004:16
35068:10 35069:5,5,5 35069:22 35072:25	34998:5,17 35007:21	35003:19,19	34987:15 34989:14	

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
MAS 35050:17	35092:20 35093:3	missed 34998:6	34994:23 34995:2,9	necessary 34995:19
Masebe 34980:15	35094:6,17 35096:9	missing 35050:20	34995:16,23 34996:4	35003:4 35024:20
MASEVHE 35006:23	35096:25 35098:14	Mission 35042:1	34997:3,22 34998:14	35058:7 35060:6
35007:1,5,12	35098:15 35110:4	misunderstood	Mount 34998:1,2,24	35065:2 35066:15,23
35009:13,19,23	mentioned 34999:10	35048:21,22 35054:6	mountain 34999:13	35070:25 35085:4,7
35014:8	35032:13 35038:1	35054:11	35004:12 35005:16	35085:23 35094:20
mass 35023:1 35072:24	35044:24 35051:23	mitigate 35011:19	35037:5 35038:9,16	35102:21 35104:2,13
masses 35023:8	35064:24 35067:22	Mketeni 34985:6	35038:19 35039:1	35104:17 35106:8
material 35009:5	35075:25 35076:12	mob 35075:17	35093:9,10,11,12	35107:8,9,19,19
matter 35058:25	35094:20	35076:16 35077:19	mourn 34981:20	35111:14
35067:19 35072:13	mentions 35027:23	35088:18,21	mourning 35001:12,18	neck 35000:23
35101:6 35110:23	Merafe 35039:20	Mojapelo 34980:12,14	move 34986:22	need 34998:9 35001:14
Mbizana 34991:15,17	35040:5,12,14,16,18	34980:15,22	34997:17 35026:18	35022:25 35037:14
34991:23	35040:23	Molekwa 34996:13	35029:21 35032:20	35045:9 35049:3
Mbombo 35058:1	Merafi 35043:2,8	Mologe 35053:13	35065:2 35098:23	35051:2 35064:4
Mchubi 34989:14	35051:3 35052:7,17	moment 34990:23	35099:6 35108:18	35065:1 35084:22
mean 35054:7 35058:8	35052:24 35053:8	35009:10 35020:8	moved 34983:20	35101:14
35067:7 35069:25	merely 35072:6	35024:16 35031:24	34998:24 35023:14	needed 35072:2
means 35002:8	message 35064:15	35064:3 35100:24	35100:3 35110:6	35079:4,5
35011:16	met 34988:10 35066:13	Monday 35087:11	movie 34988:22	needs 34999:6
meant 35060:18	35066:15,25	35112:1	Moving 35078:11	35001:13 35011:11
media 35085:18,19,23	35072:19 35109:22	money 35001:17	Mpangeli 34982:22	neighbour 34988:25
medical 34982:3	method 34987:24	35003:2 35013:15,16	34983:6,8,9,24	neighbours 34989:3,1
34996:8	Mfana 34992:2	35014:2	Mpangeli's 34985:9	neither 35058:11,12
meet 34988:12	Microphone 35015:19	Mongese 34991:16	MPOFU 35049:9,17,19	nephews 34996:13,17
35030:10	35018:2,6	34992:1	35049:23 35050:1	never 34983:11
meeting 35043:7,11	Middelkraal 35071:5	Mongezeleli 34995:4	35054:3,10,14,16	34984:9,22 34987:22
35047:15	35072:25 35088:4,7	34996:9	Mputhumi 34989:14	34988:4 34993:5
meetings 34984:6	middle 35003:25	monitor 35024:22	Msiza 35053:7	34999:10,19
member 34983:12	35083:21	monitored 35022:9	Msongelwa 34992:25	35042:21,25
34996:21 35000:12	millimetre 35029:4	35023:17	mum 34990:7	35043:15 35046:10
35032:16 35035:10	35036:13	monitoring 35021:6	mummy 35004:3,5	35048:6
35045:23 35046:2	mind 34989:23	35023:20 35024:11	murder 35012:20	New 34983:19
35047:7,12,15	35029:15 35031:21	35051:6,8	35013:25 35037:9,16	news 34985:11
35048:7,19,24	35031:24 35032:1	month 34990:19	35037:18 35038:5	34988:20,24
35079:6,19 35080:12	35103:21	34992:6	35108:23 35109:1	34992:25 34997:4
35082:11 35083:7	mine 34983:19	months 35014:3	murdered 35074:13	35000:13
35084:10	34998:19 35000:25	mood 35023:25	murderers 35013:13	Ngema 35037:16
members 34990:5	35002:12 35003:7,7	morally 35010:13	mustn't 35063:23	Ngqeleni 34983:6
34999:2 35002:12	35003:10 35037:4	morning 34981:13,21	35064:17	Ngxande 34982:10
35007:24 35010:25	35038:16 35040:11	34984:25 34997:18	Mvuyisi 34991:15,18	niece 34987:15
35023:18 35027:11	35041:9,9,9 35042:4	35038:3,13 35046:19	M-A-A-S-S 35105:19	night 34988:13
35032:14 35034:4,11	35042:5 35044:9	35046:25 35047:3,11		35003:25 35016:11
35044:5,7 35047:11	35050:18,19	35053:6 35066:8,9,25	N	35052:7
35048:17 35049:1	35101:15	35067:11 35073:18	Naidoo 35101:22,23	nipped 35110:22
35050:16 35053:11	miners 34988:13,21	35074:15 35083:13	35102:1	Njanalo 34996:12
35053:12 35065:7	34993:17	35087:11 35090:10	name 34987:7	Njomo 35025:22
35067:4 35068:21	mines 34997:7	35092:15 35093:4	34991:11,16 35016:3	35032:17,23
35070:10 35071:14	35044:16	35107:14 35112:1	35044:21,23	Nkaneng 34998:22
35071:16,22 35072:4	mineworkers 35053:9	mortem 34996:23	35059:18	35034:3 35071:6,12
35072:19 35073:5	minimum 35080:13,16	35000:24	names 34986:16	35072:25 35088:7
35075:25 35076:11	35080:19,23	mortuary 34985:1	34989:13 34994:25	Nkosikona 34984:19
35078:19 35079:4	35081:19	34989:20 35000:7,18	35015:25 35030:11	Nodal 35105:22
35080:2 35081:2,25	mining 34998:21	35000:22,25	35085:2	Nokwandisa 34983:14
35082:2,6,7,12,13,20	35011:8 35012:20	Mosipo 34995:3	national 35056:11,21	34985:10,15
35083:4 35084:7	minor 34987:13	34996:9,11	35056:24 35057:3	Nolundi 34982:24
35085:2,17,19,23	34991:21,24	mother 34983:17	35085:25	34983:14 34985:9
35090:25 35100:21	minute 35110:16	34987:14 34990:24	nature 35039:25	34986:7
35109:14	minutes 34995:10	34991:25 34992:1	35057:7 35062:21	Nomanzi 34991:25
memorial 34985:16	35023:10 35032:16	34996:15,16,17	35095:4	Nomiselo 34983:15
men 35027:4	35034:10 35054:22	35010:19,19	near 35037:22 35071:5	Nonboncwele 34992:1
mentally 34985:22	35054:22 35079:25	Motloenya 34981:23	35071:12 35072:25	non-striking 35095:1
mention 35028:21	35090:17 35099:1,6	34982:1,8,19,21	35076:22 35088:7	35095:23 35096:12
35033:7 35042:9	35102:14 35107:22	34983:2,5 34986:25	nearly 35087:3	35096:15 35098:10
35044:1 35080:19	35108:21	34987:4 34989:12,14	necessarily 35058:8	35100:11
a man F. I have and he	minute's 34981:14,17	34991:6,12 34994:19	35069:25	Nopiki 34983:15,15
35081:10 35092:14	IIIIIIIIII 3 34701.14.17	JH771.0.14 JH774.17	33007.2.7	

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 10
normal 35051:13,19	35070:13 35097:5	35041:3 35053:15	35051:4 35052:8	35108:3
35070:17	observing 35033:8	35071:5 35076:22	35053:4 35055:25	particulars 35035:4
normally 34999:19	obtained 35063:7	35088:3,6 35098:4	35059:13,13	35059:14
35048:25 35057:10	obviously 35009:2,5	operation 35041:8,20	35065:16 35071:2,4	partner 35002:13
35070:16	35046:18 35077:12	35041:20 35061:10	35072:15 35078:17	partnership 35026:2
Northern 35010:17	35079:3 35081:4	operational 35040:19	35079:2,3,21	parts 35024:4 35041:5
North-West 35042:2	35082:13	35044:15 35045:20	35080:11 35082:1,23	pass 35097:2
35105:22 Nosajini 34987:14	occasion 35008:14	35046:22,24 35050:15 35060:20	35083:5,16,22	passage 35053:13
note 35024:9 35036:19	occurred 35037:21 offer 35002:14	35066:20 35068:6	35084:6 35086:6,8 35087:22 35090:12	passages 35086:4 passed 34990:7
35068:24 35070:11	office 35037:22	35073:7 35074:11,23	35092:25 35108:19	35010:20
35071:7,15 35082:11	35039:5 35056:11,24	35084:24 35085:1,5	pages 35052:1	passing 34985:14
35082:15 35084:20	35059:15 35050:11,24	operations 35041:13	35070:21	Pato 34991:7,9,13,13
noted 35070:16,16	35092:15 35095:2,20	operator 35010:4	paid 34992:12	34991:15,16,18,25
35080:1 35101:18	35103:20 35105:21	operators 34988:2	34993:17 35011:10	34992:1,4 34993:22
35111:18	35106:1 35107:13,16	opinion 35086:11,24	pain 34995:13,20	34994:17,18
notes 35070:13	35107:25 35108:22	35106:8	Pampierstad 35010:17	Pato's 34991:13,14
Notholo 34998:16	officer 34985:22	opportunities 35011:7	pangas 35027:25	Patrick 35057:23
notice 35029:23	35004:9 35006:6,7,8	opportunity 34981:1	35028:17 35029:7	35105:6
35088:13	35025:21,21 35030:5	35010:7 35054:21	papers 35016:11	patrol 35034:5
noticed 35026:13	35037:3 35038:14	35055:20 35095:9	paragraph 35025:13	35109:19
35093:10,14	35044:5,7 35050:15	opposite 35026:14,23	35026:11 35035:3,18	patrolled 35109:6,17
notification 35056:11	35052:5,14 35059:4,5	35031:2 35093:9	35035:19 35036:25	patrolling 35044:8
Nowele 34998:15	35059:6,16,17,18	option 34993:23	35037:1 35038:12	35050:17,24
no-one 35011:19	35061:15,19,21	optional 35046:21	35041:7,25 35044:2,4	35110:12
35076:11	35062:7,21 35064:1	oral 35079:7,20	35044:6,17 35046:14	patrols 35020:23
Ntenetya 34994:21,22	35093:4,17,19	orange 34996:6 34998:18	35050:15 35052:2,8	pause 35073:2 pay 34984:8 34987:24
34995:1,3,4,4,24 34996:3,5,9,10,11	35096:8 35102:20,23 35104:5 35106:10	order 35023:18	35053:5 35067:23 35081:24 35086:7,8	pay 34984:8 34987:24 35003:19
34997:16	officers 35004:8	35042:2 35059:21	35081.24 35080.7,8	peace 34981:16
Nthandazo 34987:15	35066:13,24 35067:6	35100:20 35101:5,12	35090:19 35092:20	peaceful 34983:9
NTSONKOTA	35067:10 35068:3	35100.20 35101.3,12	35093:7 35109:1	34990:15 35012:18
34981:2,8,11	35105:10 35106:5,14	35109:22	paragraphs 35087:21	35023:16,25 35024:1
NUM 34980:25	35107:14 35108:23	organs 35081:20	pardon 35016:17	35024:2 35053:25
34988:9 35037:22,22	offices 35022:10,18,22	original 35083:7,12	35088:20 35101:24	pedantic 35088:24
35039:5 35092:15	35022:23 35023:2,3,8	originally 34998:17	parent 35010:19	pension 34990:8,25
35095:2,19 35103:20	35023:8,14 35025:2	Orlando 34996:14	parents 34983:10,25	pensioner 34991:17
35107:25 35108:22	35053:9 35058:7	outside 35004:4	34985:4,12,17	penultimate 35088:20
number 35015:23,24	official 35001:1	35014:2	parked 35026:19	35088:25 35089:1,1
35017:24,25 35022:3	35023:12 35037:22	outstanding 34980:11	part 35002:12	people's 35004:11
35040:15 35044:20	35056:13 35106:7	35006:19 35008:21	35007:22 35012:9	perceive 35024:11
35044:21,24 35062:9	35109:23	owing 35087:8	35021:5 35022:7	perfect 35110:18
35067:4 35090:13	officials 35026:19	o'clock 35022:15	35030:17 35041:20	perform 35001:10
35093:2	35075:16 35077:8	35061:4,23 35065:25	35045:25 35050:6	35021:2 35095:5
numbered 34994:13 35017:23 35041:6	35088:19,21,22	35091:7	35051:6,13 35059:9	performed 35059:7 35093:22
35017:23 35041:6 numbering 35022:2	35109:13 Oh 35016:17 35044:15	o'clock 34988:17,18 35046:16,18	35071:25 35076:6 35090:9 35109:5	35093:22 performing 35093:15
numbering 35022:2 numbers 35040:15	okay 35022:14 35026:5	35046:16,18 35047:21 35055:2	partial 35003:1	35093:24 35095:3
NUM's 35107:13,16	35027:10,21	35072:23	participated 34983:11	35101:13
Nungu 34997:25	35034:19 35041:24	55012.25	participating 34996:18	period 35069:13
34998:2,15 35003:17	35057:16 35058:22	P	particular 34999:2	35078:13
Nxolise 34987:8	35059:12 35060:5	page 35017:22,25	35022:17 35023:9	permit 35010:7
	35061:3 35062:6	35018:15,24 35019:4	35024:16,22	perpetrating 35027:5
0	35069:8 35070:2,9	35019:10,15	35032:16,22	35028:18
oath 35055:10	35076:15 35078:16	35021:25 35022:2,2	35033:12 35034:3	person 34983:11
35087:11	35092:13	35025:10 35027:16	35043:6 35046:3,7	34985:12 34986:2
objective 35010:9	old 35001:22 35004:2	35027:18,21,25	35047:17 35052:14	34987:21 34990:23
objects 35028:3	35010:24	35029:2,9 35030:15	35053:20 35057:17	34994:13 35012:2
observation 35048:16	older 34990:21	35030:15,20,20	35058:10 35059:3,7	35013:13,14 35014:1
observations 35070:11	Olele 34998:16	35034:16,17,20,20,21	35060:10 35061:6,14	35026:3 35034:13
observe 35022:12,12	Oliete 34998:15	35035:1,3,16,17,17	35062:14 35063:12	35044:22 35059:20
35024:4,11 35033:16	once 34986:4 34992:5	35036:23 35039:13	35065:25 35067:5,24	35062:14 35068:5
35095:3	35071:20 35072:10	35039:14,17,17,18	35068:8 35070:8	35071:3 35081:21
observed 34981:17	ones 35038:19	35040:1,8 35041:3,6	35072:6 35082:15	35084:3 35093:14
35022:10,11	one's 35077:13	35041:7,25 35044:2,2	35094:20 35095:12 35008:5 7 35105:0	35105:13,15,16
35032:19 35033:14	open 35037:5 35038:17	35044:6 35046:15	35098:5,7 35105:9	35106:10
ARCHIVE FO	R . U S I I C L	1	1	

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

				Page 1
personally 35001:17	please 34983:6	35049:25	34997:18 35007:2,23	35102:13 35103:5
personnel 35023:11	35007:18 35008:3	POP 35040:9 35042:6	35009:11,24 35010:1	property 35109:2
35035:22 35036:17	35015:8,15 35017:20	35109:7	presentations 34980:6	proposition 35103:10
persons 35006:12,17	35021:24 35025:9	populace 35021:20	34980:7,8 34981:7,13	prospective 35070:18
35027:25 35029:6	35045:16 35090:8,15	portion 35059:20	34982:2 35006:10,13	protect 35081:25
35074:14 35078:6	35092:24 35097:7	pose 35026:12	35006:17,18,21,25	35082:3
35088:23 35097:6,18	35104:25 35109:5	35096:18 35099:25	35007:16,17,19,21	protest 34996:25
35099:9	35110:17	posed 35096:15	35008:5,15,21	protesters 35022:21
perspective 35070:18	pleased 34982:15	35097:6 35098:15	35009:10 35014:10	35023:13,22
Phokeng 34985:1	pleases 35014:18	position 34983:24	presented 34986:23	35023:13,22
34989:20 35000:7	ploughing 34986:17	positions 35011:5	35007:25 35101:18	protestors 35037:22
35105:3	plural 35074:4	possession 35026:16	35102:9	provide 35003:2
phone 34984:15	plus 35008:21	35027:15 35028:23	presenting 35026:25	35012:21 35067:1
34988:23 34992:6	plus 55000.21 plus-minus 35053:11	35036:20 35077:12	35033:5	35072:15
34999:7 35000:3	point 35022:17,23	35083:23,25 35084:3	presently 35020:10	provided 34985:18
35044:24 35062:17	35023:14,16	possibility 35107:24	35026:24 35070:23	35000:24 35003:4
phoned 34996:15	35032:16,22	possible 35024:23	preserved 35060:24	35055:16,19 35075:5
-	<i>,</i>		press 34999:15	,
photographic 35068:22	35033:12,21 35034:4	35050:11 35057:14		providing 34992:8
Phula 34983:18	35041:4 35044:18	Possibly 34981:6	presumably 35077:13	34993:14 35068:10
Phumeza 34983:15	35051:22 35052:14	post 34996:23	presume 34997:2	province 34991:17
34987:5,8 34990:16	35061:6 35064:4,7	35000:24	35039:6	34998:18 35010:17
Phumsikati 34989:15	35075:14 35079:14	posted 35020:3,8,11	prevent 35060:8	35042:2
Phumzile 34983:15	35080:25 35086:20	post-mortem 34990:3	35107:16	provincial 35042:1,10
physically 35069:14	35097:2 35098:5,6,7	poverty 35010:21	prevention 35020:5,19	35056:12,24
picked 34989:3	35098:18 35099:19	35011:17 35012:9	35020:21,24,25	35057:21 35058:11
picking 35068:22	35100:3,7,23	PowerPoint 35007:22	35034:11 35042:3,6	35085:25 35105:25
pick-up 35098:6	35105:22 35106:3	practise 35002:11	35044:5,8 35050:16	35105:25
piece 35073:3	35111:20	pray 34981:16,18	35051:7	provisional 35086:7
pillar 35010:24	pointed 35030:4	35005:19	previous 35052:7	proximity 35023:20
pinpoint 35067:5	pointing 35100:23	precarious 35012:13	35079:21 35093:20	public 35023:18
pistol 35036:9,10,13	points 35086:10	preceding 35074:18	35104:10	35042:2 35096:23
pivotal 34992:7	police 34985:22	precinct 35041:10	previously 34997:23	35100:20 35101:5,11
place 34984:22	34986:9,19,20	Precious 34987:14	35030:3 35112:1	35101:20 35109:14
34986:15 35002:12	34988:21 34989:22	precluded 35063:25	prior 35042:14,17,18	35109:22
35002:13 35004:12	34990:22 34992:19	predominantly	35045:6	purport 35017:21
35022:17 35023:22	34993:1,12 34996:24	35084:20	prison 35013:11	35048:24
35029:20 35038:24	34999:12 35002:25	prefer 35009:17,22	probably 35055:4	purportedly 35027:5
35041:8 35049:15	35003:8,21,22	preferable 35009:19	35062:25 35066:7	purpose 35056:8,10
35053:19 35056:13	35004:8,8,9 35005:8	preformed 35001:11	35067:12 35068:13	purposes 35028:4,25
35057:6 35059:6	35006:4,5,6,7	pregnant 34990:19	35091:9	put 35009:6 35052:22
35060:6 35061:17	35012:17,18 35020:9	premises 35022:18	problem 35007:23	35053:14 35062:2
35063:24 35067:24	35020:11 35021:11	prepare 35040:19,20	35026:25 35033:5	35070:17 35084:4,22
35068:15,17	35021:15 35024:19	prepared 34988:11	procedure 35072:4	35092:12 35094:11
35076:17 35090:21	35024:19,22	35007:22 35015:8	proceed 34981:12	35095:5 35102:12
35092:14 35111:3,3	35031:11,17 35040:9	35016:20 35017:18	35071:4	35103:10
plan 35002:3 35039:19	35044:20 35051:5	35039:21	proceeded 35023:7	putting 35099:19
35040:3,10,18,19,21	35053:15 35056:13	preparing 35094:14	35025:20 35026:19	PW 35035:5,23,25
35040:23,24 35041:3	35056:16,19 35059:3	35095:19,22	35091:19 35093:11	
35042:15 35043:9	35062:19 35075:16	35102:17 35103:21	35093:17	Q
35050:6,7,9,10,15	35077:8 35082:22	presence 35035:13	proceedings 34980:1	QQQ2 35052:8,23
35051:16 35071:21	35085:20 35088:15	35071:7 35101:15	35008:5	quadruple 35015:24
35076:3,6,12,13	35085.20 35088.15	present 34995:25	process 35009:8	quarter 35013.24 quarter 35014:22
35101:17,18,19	35089:3,4 35101:15	34996:2 35006:2	35078:6 35088:23	35055:6
35102:7	35106:18 35110:12	35022:18 35023:9	processed 35064:6	question 35045:8,11,14
planned 35000:9	policemen 35002:22	35030:21 35031:9	procession 35022:16,19	35046:8 35047:5,13
plans 35051:19	police's 35002:20	35043:8 35048:8	35023:22	35048:11 35049:21
planting 34986:17	policing 35020:17,18	35060:14 35066:21	programme 35008:4	
Platinum 35025:16			programme 35008:4 promised 34984:21	35050:2 35054:4 35079:19 35080:12
	35020:23 35021:1,6	35067:15 35085:16	-	
35040:11 35041:9,9	35041:10 35044:16	35087:10 35104:19	34986:13	35080:22 35081:17
35042:4,5 35044:9	35050:20,20,23,25	35109:15	promising 34986:17	35082:10 35083:6,6,9
35050:18	35051:6,8,13 35069:6	presentation 34980:3	promoted 34983:21	35083:22 35085:13
Plats 35041:23	35100:21 35101:6,12	34980:16 34981:4,21	35014:12 35019:24	35086:2 35087:19
	35101:20 35109:15	34982:22 34986:6,22	prone 35024:13	35097:7 35099:18
played 34990:6			·	
played 34990:6 34991:22 34992:7	35109:23	34986:23 34991:7	proper 35040:21	35103:8 35107:20
played 34990:6 34991:22 34992:7 playing 35004:5	35109:23 politics 34983:11	34986:23 34991:7 34993:20 34994:18	properly 34991:5	questioning 35016:7
played 34990:6 34991:22 34992:7	35109:23	34986:23 34991:7		

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 12
34989:23 35010:14	35066:22 35089:17	regularly 34987:18	35071:20	35036:16 35038:1
35012:12,13,15	35091:2	34996:16	representatives	35039:16 35040:13
35026:12 35039:24	received 35000:11,13	relate 35086:9	35104:19	35049:10 35054:7
35039:24 35043:1	35001:1,23 35007:9	relates 35029:25	represented 35006:14	35056:7,20 35058:3
35071:15 35111:9,19	35009:1,2,4 35011:17	35080:25 35081:4,25	representing 35008:2	35058:18 35059:8,24
queue 35093:13,14	35020:6 35025:15	relating 35020:25	request 35007:7,10	35063:4,13 35065:14
quiet 34983:10	35032:9 35034:11	35043:5 35046:4	35009:14 35012:14	35065:20 35066:1,24
35031:13,22	35062:23 35066:15	35060:4	35031:11 35032:10	35071:19 35072:14
quite 35030:6 35046:17	35073:7 35074:23	relation 35023:24	requested 35007:2,7	35073:17 35075:15
35047:3 35098:1,3,4	35076:19 35082:12	35024:18 35025:3,24	35025:2 35053:13,14	35085:3 35086:17
35108:1 35110:8	35082:20 35091:17	35027:22 35029:3,5	35054:21,23	35087:23 35091:23
quote 35046:20	35091:21 35092:10	35030:18 35037:16	35094:22	35092:19
4.000 000 000	35094:25 35100:14	35037:18,21 35040:6	requests 35054:20	Rights 35054:20,23
R	35101:4	35086:22	required 35029:16	35055:15
R 35030:5	receiving 35006:11	relationship 35026:2	respect 34980:7	right-hand 35017:23
radio 34999:24	35037:3 35038:15	released 35001:2	34983:1 34986:19,19	rise 34981:14
raise 35015:15	35061:16	relevant 35049:11	34987:2 34991:9	risk 35101:11 35103:6
raised 35010:19	recipients 35018:16	reliable 35066:22	34995:1 34996:2	ritual 35093:15
raising 35003:11	35019:6,12	35068:5	34997:20 35006:12	rituals 35001:10,11
rank 35034:9	recognised 34985:2	relied 34987:11	35007:10 35008:11	35037:6 35038:18
RDO 34983:21	34991:5	34999:5 35011:10	35008:25 35009:11	35093:22,24 35095:3
reaching 35107:15	recommendation	rely 35107:3	35073:21 35094:23	35101:14
read 34989:12 35007:2	34992:4	remain 35046:21,24	35095:9 35101:21	road 35026:14 35031:2
35008:18 35016:11	recommended 35084:8	remaining 35007:19	35106:2,23	35096:24
35022:5 35025:13	35084:9	remember 35022:2	respond 35005:24	roadblocks 35020:24
35026:12 35027:24	record 35028:4,24	35052:18 35076:1	35006:5	rock 34988:2
35028:14 35036:25	35043:1 35070:9,13	35096:7	responded 35004:19	rocks 34998:20
35038:2 35041:25	35071:13 35072:22	remembered 34998:6	35078:19	role 34990:7 34992:7
35086:16 35087:21	35075:3 35083:16,23	remove 35001:12,18	response 35008:22	35025:5 35059:7
35093:7	35084:18 35085:4	renders 35011:22	35012:19 35058:13	35101:20 35102:20
reads 35028:7 35035:6	35086:4,6	repeat 35038:8	35058:19 35071:14	35106:7
35035:21 35041:7	recorded 34983:3	repetition 35072:16	35071:18 35078:18	role-players 35068:16
35044:22 35053:5	35094:13	replace 35012:11	responsibilities	room 34982:10
ready 34995:18	recording 35070:12	replaces 35002:14	34986:4	rooms 35002:4
35054:25	records 35044:10	report 34990:4	responsibility 34990:13	Rooyen 35025:21
real 35068:23 35107:20	35071:2,4	35000:24 35025:15	35005:2,6 35012:11	round 35061:3 35063:1
realise 35002:9	recovered 34985:18	35027:22 35028:25	responsible 35002:19	35063:2 35086:3
realised 35101:3	refer 34994:7 35005:10	35034:10,12,21	35013:10 35044:8	rounds 35029:5
really 35062:13	35027:17 35030:13	35055:17 35057:12	35050:17,24 35051:6	35075:21
35068:23 35078:15	35034:14 35039:17	35057:17 35058:5,20	35105:10	route 35042:4
35103:3	35039:23 35051:21	35058:25 35059:9	rest 34981:16 35000:23	35097:20,23,25
rear 35011:18	35080:18 35084:11	35063:8,12,23	35013:24	Rowland 35025:19,20
reason 34984:3	reference 35029:6	35065:13,18,24	result 34983:23	35026:7,8,14 35027:4
35007:11 35029:20	35069:3	35066:18 35068:11	34992:16 35000:13	35035:12 35091:6,19
35033:4 35059:19	referral 35102:19	35071:23 35072:9	35002:6 35010:13,20	35097:2,8 35110:24
35064:23 35071:6	referred 34994:25	35076:20 35080:8	35025:18 35079:1	rows 35005:5
35077:20 35078:3	35004:10 35007:18	35081:14 35084:14	resume 35014:22	rubber 35029:5
35084:20 35092:8	35008:14 35017:19	35084:19 35085:25	resumes 34980:2	35031:3 35078:19,20
35095:10,15	35024:18 35030:3	35086:5,10 35089:11	34995:21,22	35080:20
35106:23 35108:4	35040:7 35079:21	35089:17 35091:14	35014:25 35015:1	rules 35089:8
reasonable 35103:9,24	35094:9	35104:14,18	35055:8,9 35087:5,6	rushed 34988:18
35107:24	55074.7		return 34992:17	Rustenburg 34983:19
JJ107.24	referring 35013.19	35106.12.13		
reasonably 35107.23	referring 35013:19 35017:19 25	35106:12,13 35108:22		•
reasonably 35107:23 reasons 35007:23	35017:19,25	35108:22	34999:8,9 35000:20	35000:17 35023:18
reasons 35007:23	35017:19,25 35029:24 35060:1	35108:22 reported 35023:17	34999:8,9 35000:20 35029:8 35032:17	35000:17 35023:18 35040:9 35042:6
reasons 35007:23 reassemble 35087:3,4	35017:19,25 35029:24 35060:1 35081:7 35102:22	35108:22 reported 35023:17 35037:1 35061:3,7	34999:8,9 35000:20 35029:8 35032:17 35054:1	35000:17 35023:18 35040:9 35042:6 35104:25 35105:2,11
reasons 35007:23 reassemble 35087:3,4 recall 35024:6 35025:4	35017:19,25 35029:24 35060:1 35081:7 35102:22 refers 35050:8,14	35108:22 reported 35023:17 35037:1 35061:3,7 35062:7 35092:3,16	34999:8,9 35000:20 35029:8 35032:17 35054:1 returned 35000:22	35000:17 35023:18 35040:9 35042:6 35104:25 35105:2,11 35105:12,18 35109:7
reasons 35007:23 reassemble 35087:3,4 recall 35024:6 35025:4 35029:18 35030:21	35017:19,25 35029:24 35060:1 35081:7 35102:22 refers 35050:8,14 35059:15	35108:22 reported 35023:17 35037:1 35061:3,7 35062:7 35092:3,16 35095:17 35096:4	34999:8,9 35000:20 35029:8 35032:17 35054:1 returned 35000:22 35032:25	35000:17 35023:18 35040:9 35042:6 35104:25 35105:2,11 35105:12,18 35109:7 R12 34988:1
reasons 35007:23 reassemble 35087:3,4 recall 35024:6 35025:4 35029:18 35030:21 35030:23 35031:14	35017:19,25 35029:24 35060:1 35081:7 35102:22 refers 35050:8,14 35059:15 reflect 35084:15	35108:22 reported 35023:17 35037:1 35061:3,7 35062:7 35092:3,16 35095:17 35096:4 35101:12	34999:8,9 35000:20 35029:8 35032:17 35054:1 returned 35000:22 35032:25 reveal 35005:25,25	35000:17 35023:18 35040:9 35042:6 35104:25 35105:2,11 35105:12,18 35109:7
reasons 35007:23 reassemble 35087:3,4 recall 35024:6 35025:4 35029:18 35030:21 35030:23 35031:14 35031:24 35035:22	35017:19,25 35029:24 35060:1 35081:7 35102:22 refers 35050:8,14 35059:15 reflect 35084:15 refused 35028:11	35108:22 reported 35023:17 35037:1 35061:3,7 35062:7 35092:3,16 35095:17 35096:4 35101:12 reporting 35072:3,6	34999:8,9 35000:20 35029:8 35032:17 35054:1 returned 35000:22 35032:25 reveal 35005:25,25 review 35055:20	35000:17 35023:18 35040:9 35042:6 35104:25 35105:2,11 35105:12,18 35109:7 R12 34988:1 R15 34997:9
reasons 35007:23 reassemble 35087:3,4 recall 35024:6 35025:4 35029:18 35030:21 35030:23 35031:14 35031:24 35035:22 35036:6,18 35043:4	35017:19,25 35029:24 35060:1 35081:7 35102:22 refers 35050:8,14 35059:15 reflect 35084:15 refused 35028:11 regard 34980:25	35108:22 reported 35023:17 35037:1 35061:3,7 35062:7 35092:3,16 35095:17 35096:4 35101:12 reporting 35072:3,6 reports 35025:25	34999:8,9 35000:20 35029:8 35032:17 35054:1 returned 35000:22 35032:25 reveal 35005:25,25 review 35055:20 revolving 35042:15	35000:17 35023:18 35040:9 35042:6 35104:25 35105:2,11 35105:12,18 35109:7 R12 34988:1 R15 34997:9 S
reasons 35007:23 reassemble 35087:3,4 recall 35024:6 35025:4 35029:18 35030:21 35030:23 35031:14 35031:24 35035:22 35036:6,18 35043:4 35046:5 35061:12,18	35017:19,25 35029:24 35060:1 35081:7 35102:22 refers 35050:8,14 35059:15 reflect 35084:15 refused 35028:11 regard 34980:25 35025:7 35107:21	35108:22 reported 35023:17 35037:1 35061:3,7 35062:7 35092:3,16 35095:17 35096:4 35101:12 reporting 35072:3,6 reports 35025:25 35026:6 35028:5	34999:8,9 35000:20 35029:8 35032:17 35054:1 returned 35000:22 35032:25 reveal 35005:25,25 review 35055:20 revolving 35042:15 re-examination	35000:17 35023:18 35040:9 35042:6 35104:25 35105:2,11 35105:12,18 35109:7 R12 34988:1 R15 34997:9 <u>S</u> s 35082:4
reasons 35007:23 reassemble 35087:3,4 recall 35024:6 35025:4 35029:18 35030:21 35030:23 35031:14 35031:24 35035:22 35036:6,18 35043:4 35046:5 35061:12,18 35061:22 35068:12	35017:19,25 35029:24 35060:1 35081:7 35102:22 refers 35050:8,14 35059:15 reflect 35084:15 refused 35028:11 regard 34980:25 35025:7 35107:21 35110:19,20	35108:22 reported 35023:17 35037:1 35061:3,7 35062:7 35092:3,16 35095:17 35096:4 35101:12 reporting 35072:3,6 reports 35025:25 35026:6 35028:5 35029:1 35030:4	34999:8,9 35000:20 35029:8 35032:17 35054:1 returned 35000:22 35032:25 reveal 35005:25,25 review 35055:20 revolving 35042:15 re-examination 35111:11	35000:17 35023:18 35040:9 35042:6 35104:25 35105:2,11 35105:12,18 35109:7 R12 34988:1 R15 34997:9 S s 35082:4 sacrificed 35013:20
reasons 35007:23 reassemble 35087:3,4 recall 35024:6 35025:4 35029:18 35030:21 35030:23 35031:14 35031:24 35035:22 35036:6,18 35043:4 35046:5 35061:12,18 35061:22 35068:12 35073:2,20	35017:19,25 35029:24 35060:1 35081:7 35102:22 refers 35050:8,14 35059:15 reflect 35084:15 refused 35028:11 regard 34980:25 35025:7 35107:21 35110:19,20 regarded 35045:17	35108:22 reported 35023:17 35037:1 35061:3,7 35062:7 35092:3,16 35095:17 35096:4 35101:12 reporting 35072:3,6 reports 35025:25 35026:6 35028:5 35029:1 35030:4 35034:15 35092:5	34999:8,9 35000:20 35029:8 35032:17 35054:1 returned 35000:22 35032:25 reveal 35005:25,25 review 35055:20 revolving 35042:15 re-examination 35111:11 right 34980:20	35000:17 35023:18 35040:9 35042:6 35104:25 35105:2,11 35105:12,18 35109:7 R12 34988:1 R15 34997:9 <u>S</u> s 35082:4 sacrificed 35013:20 sad 34985:11 34992:25
reasons 35007:23 reassemble 35087:3,4 recall 35024:6 35025:4 35029:18 35030:21 35030:23 35031:14 35031:24 35035:22 35036:6,18 35043:4 35046:5 35061:12,18 35061:22 35068:12 35073:2,20 receipt 35058:4	35017:19,25 35029:24 35060:1 35081:7 35102:22 refers 35050:8,14 35059:15 reflect 35084:15 refused 35028:11 regard 34980:25 35025:7 35107:21 35110:19,20 regarded 35045:17 regarding 34982:3	35108:22 reported 35023:17 35037:1 35061:3,7 35062:7 35092:3,16 35095:17 35096:4 35101:12 reporting 35072:3,6 reports 35025:25 35026:6 35028:5 35029:1 35030:4 35034:15 35092:5 35094:25 35101:4	34999:8,9 35000:20 35029:8 35032:17 35054:1 returned 35000:22 35032:25 reveal 35005:25,25 review 35055:20 revolving 35042:15 re-examination 35111:11 right 34980:20 34986:14 35013:16	35000:17 35023:18 35040:9 35042:6 35104:25 35105:2,11 35105:12,18 35109:7 R12 34988:1 R15 34997:9 <u>S</u> s 35082:4 sacrificed 35013:20 sad 34985:11 34992:25 34993:4
reasons 35007:23 reassemble 35087:3,4 recall 35024:6 35025:4 35029:18 35030:21 35030:23 35031:14 35031:24 35035:22 35036:6,18 35043:4 35046:5 35061:12,18 35061:22 35068:12 35073:2,20	35017:19,25 35029:24 35060:1 35081:7 35102:22 refers 35050:8,14 35059:15 reflect 35084:15 refused 35028:11 regard 34980:25 35025:7 35107:21 35110:19,20 regarded 35045:17	35108:22 reported 35023:17 35037:1 35061:3,7 35062:7 35092:3,16 35095:17 35096:4 35101:12 reporting 35072:3,6 reports 35025:25 35026:6 35028:5 35029:1 35030:4 35034:15 35092:5	34999:8,9 35000:20 35029:8 35032:17 35054:1 returned 35000:22 35032:25 reveal 35005:25,25 review 35055:20 revolving 35042:15 re-examination 35111:11 right 34980:20	35000:17 35023:18 35040:9 35042:6 35104:25 35105:2,11 35105:12,18 35109:7 R12 34988:1 R15 34997:9 <u>S</u> s 35082:4 sacrificed 35013:20 sad 34985:11 34992:25

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 13
sake 35009:5	35089:4,9,12,24	35094:8 35098:16	35055:17 35056:12	sister's 34999:4
salaries 34988:3	35091:17 35109:13	35102:14 35108:18	35056:15,16,16	Siswe 34998:16
35004:19	scenes 35068:14,19	sentence 35074:6	35057:11,12,17	sit 34994:14 34995:24
salary 34984:5	35069:25 35071:8	35077:7 35088:18	35058:4,19,24	35069:1
34992:15	35089:22	35089:1,1,2	35059:1 35060:15,19	sitting 34995:25
SAPS 35029:16	school 34992:8 34999:5	separate 35104:18	35062:9,12,15,19	34996:7 35003:22
35032:10 35035:6	35003:6 35010:21	separates 35096:24	35063:5,12 35065:8	35004:12 35087:9
35040:6 35041:10	science 35110:18	Sepeng 35052:4,13	35071:4,8,22,23	situation 35012:13,17
35044:4,6,11 35065:7	screen 35053:5	September 35001:5	35072:9 35078:14	35024:24 35026:4
35071:14 35072:7	35090:14	35016:14 35017:7	35079:13 35081:20	35040:22 35053:10
35086:11	script 35070:25	35018:9,25 35025:11	35081:21 35082:14	35059:25 35060:1,11
sat 35026:20 35070:17	searches 35020:24	35036:24 35047:4	35086:10,12	35060:18 35064:10
Saturday 35036:25	searching 34989:18	35093:2	35087:20	35067:7 35090:22
35037:1 35090:17	seat 35009:14	SERI 34980:5,17	shootings 34999:24	35100:16,19 35101:1
35092:14 35093:4	seated 34981:20	35006:14 35007:20	35060:13	35102:12 35105:3
35094:5 35101:10	35015:18	35008:2	shopping 35034:8,8	35106:24 35107:5
35102:14 35103:6	Seboloke 35039:23	serious 34999:22	short 34995:15,17	situations 35031:16
35107:14,23	second 34985:9	35059:6 35106:25	35072:15 35073:14	Siwake 34987:14
35110:19	35016:3 35035:1,3,17	35107:6	shortly 35042:12	six 34998:3,15 34999:1
saw 34984:22 34996:17	35035:17 35046:15	seriously 35101:10	35068:1	35004:2 35006:13
		serves 35057:1	shot 34988:9,21	
34996:22 35003:13	35052:8 35053:4			35007:19 35010:18
35027:4,5 35028:21	35063:22 35082:23	service 34985:16	34989:21 34993:1,12	35044:5,7 35050:16
35028:23 35031:1	35083:6 35092:25	35044:20 35072:5	34996:6 34997:2,3	35108:21
35036:4,17 35038:25	secondly 34994:3	35091:5	35034:7,13 35056:17	sjambok 35004:17
35043:18 35046:10	35054:5	Services 35082:22	35062:10 35078:9	skins 35093:15
35089:4 35095:15	section 35045:18	session 35006:16	35079:13 35083:10	slain 35109:13
saying 35001:9	35049:11 35073:14	set 35046:2,25 35047:6	35092:21 35094:24	slaughter 34997:10
35004:23 35031:15	35104:6	35049:1 35066:17	35103:20	35001:11
35072:12 35074:22	sections 35055:18	35078:17 35079:21	shotgun 35036:8	sleep 34999:9
35075:21 35080:8	sector 35011:8	35082:24	shots 35030:24 35034:1	sleeping 35004:1
35096:6	secure 35060:7	sets 35059:13	35039:5 35080:2,6	slide 34983:5
says 34995:24	security 35023:11	setting 35045:19	shouldnt 35107:2	slightly 35017:17
•	•	0	shouldn't 35002:23	
35004:13 35010:9	35025:15 35026:19	settlement 34987:17		slot 34980:19
35028:14 35029:6	35030:5 35031:4	34998:23 34999:9	35051:23 35063:15	small 35002:5
35031:22 35040:7,13	35035:10,22	35034:3 35093:18	show 35104:9	35036:11,12,15
35044:4,7 35046:16	35036:17 35098:9	35110:7	showed 35074:16	35051:22
35050:15 35052:8	35107:14 35108:6,23	seven 34983:14	35093:18	soccer 34987:13
35063:22,23	35109:12,25	35021:15	shown 35000:22,23	social 35001:23
35065:17,18	seeing 35003:24,24	severely 35108:6	35046:11	35008:25
35073:14 35074:6	35035:22	shaft 35010:1 35025:19	siblings 34992:2	soft 35081:5
35078:11 35086:14	seek 34992:3	35025:19,20 35026:7	35011:8	sole 34997:14
35090:20	seen 34996:7,18	35026:15 35091:19	sick 34988:16	solely 35072:1
SC 34980:10 35048:15	35042:25 35045:8	35096:21,23,24,25	side 35026:14,23	son 34985:20,21,24,25
35048:23 35063:21	35104:20,20	35097:2,8,21 35098:2	35036:9,11 35037:23	34987:12 34988:15
35111:23	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	35106:13,13	35110:1,8 share 25026:1	35085:20,20	34988:19,24
scared 34993:22	sees 34985:22	share 35026:1	35107:12	34991:20,21 34992:7
35013:1,3,3,4,5	Seging 35010:17	sharp 35056:14	signature 35055:25	34992:11 34993:5,13
35014:5,6	self 35088:22	35078:20,21	35056:1	sons 34984:19
scene 34983:2 34991:3	self-defence 35077:9,15	35079:14 35080:25	signed 35039:21,22	son's 34993:1
34991:10 34994:8,8,9	35077:18,23	35081:5	significant 35102:15	soon 34980:17
34994:10,17,22,23	35078:19 35086:11	sharpened 35028:3	silence 34981:14,17	34987:24 35008:17
34996:6 34997:20,23	35089:8	sharp-bladed 35027:12	simply 35003:20	sorry 34982:5
35031:17 35035:12	Semenya 35048:14,15	sheep 35001:14	35049:12 35050:5	35029:22 35034:25
35059:17,19,25	35048:23 35063:20	shells 35068:23	sin 35006:8	35038:8 35046:13,23
35060:13,19,23	35063:21	she'd 34996:1	Sinclair 35026:21	35049:9 35063:19
35061:11,17	Senao 34991:24	she's 34995:14,24,25	35030:14,18,19,20	35088:6 35091:20
35062:20 35064:5,10	send 35013:19	35007:8	35030:14,10,19,20	35111:21
35065:8,24 35066:2,5	35053:12 35108:20	shock 35001:9	Sinclair's 35031:20	sort 35037:5 35038:17
35066:12,25	sending 35104:2	shocked 34989:8	single 35010:19	35060:8 35071:11
35067:17 35068:16	35107:8	34993:2,4	35023:2 35083:25	35093:15 35094:14
35068:20 35069:2,2,9	senior 35023:12,12	shoot 35083:7	35084:3	35099:10 35102:17
35069:16,16,17,19,23	35105:9 35106:5,14	shooting 34984:17	Sir 34991:1 35036:16	soul 34981:16
Di la				a a model 24000.16
35070:6,11 35071:10	sense 35046:10	35027:22 35028:5,25	sister 34992:9	sounded 34999:16
Di la		35027:22 35028:5,25 35029:1,25 35030:4	34996:17 35010:3	source 35073:2
35070:6,11 35071:10	sense 35046:10			
35070:6,11 35071:10 35071:10 35075:20	sense 35046:10 sent 35003:20 35013:11	35029:1,25 35030:4	34996:17 35010:3	source 35073:2

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Pretoria

				Page 14
35044:19 35054:20	35053:18	34992:14,16,18,21,22	35012:14	talking 34985:23
35055:15 35082:22	state 34998:18	34993:12 34996:18	supported 34984:4	34993:23 35038:7,18
space 35006:6	35024:17 35031:13	34996:19 34999:7,8	34987:19 34990:9	35039:6 35040:2
35007:17 35008:4,13	35031:13,21,22,24	34999:16,18,22	34996:16 34999:1,2,3	35049:13 35073:18
speak 34998:11	35032:1 35051:25	35000:13 35003:8	supporting 34983:25	35079:24
35009:17 35022:25	35078:18 35082:2,14	35013:16 35014:1	34992:7 34997:7	target 35060:9
35028:3 35052:20	35084:8 35085:16	35040:10 35042:5	supports 34997:8	35080:13,23 35083:7
35062:23 35067:10	stated 35094:21	35044:16 35046:21	sure 34996:1 35011:22	35083:8,12
speaking 35038:9	statement 34981:24	35046:24 35047:2,2,3	35016:18 35036:14	targets 35067:6
35039:10 35045:10	34983:1,7 34987:1	35050:11	surely 35028:7	taxi 35032:18,20
spears 35027:25	34991:8,14 34995:1	strikers 35006:20	35110:12	35034:9 35098:6,20
35028:17 35029:7	34997:20 35008:17	35080:17 35085:20	surname 35016:4	35099:6,13,13,14,22
specific 35068:20	35011:15 35014:13	35096:12 35107:13	survive 34990:10	35099:22 35100:5,6
specifically 35039:18	35016:14 35017:6,12	35110:5,13,24	35002:10 35003:4	tea 35055:7
35043:17 35044:24	35018:8,12,24,25	strikes 34983:12	survived 34991:20	team 34980:17
35051:16 35068:19	35019:15,16,20	striking 35037:4	suspect 35099:8	34991:22
35080:5 35081:13	35025:11 35029:9	35038:15 35072:24	suspected 35037:7	TEBA 34985:13
specify 35081:4	35032:13 35033:3	stripes 34996:7	35038:3,5 35039:8	34990:1 34997:9
spell 35016:2	35035:18 35036:23	strong 35005:11,11,15	35090:25	35000:13
spend 35068:9	35052:23 35054:6	35005:18	suspects 35083:23	Tebiso 34998:15
spent 35069:13	35086:4,6,7 35091:19	struck 35045:13	35109:3	Tebogo 35000:6,16
35070:1,4	35091:21 35092:25	struggling 35002:7	suspended 35084:10	telephone 35040:14
spoke 34999:6,20	35091:21 35092:23	35004:20	suspension 35084.10	35091:17
35030:23 35032:24	35094:7,13,21	stun 35078:19,21	suspicion 35075:1	telephonically
35030.23 35032.24 35063:13	35102:19 35104:9	35081:8	35099:10,20	34998:12
35067:11	statements 35009:2	subheading 35044:19	suspicious 35099:4,7	television 34992:19
	35055:18 35071:17	subjective 35102:12	sustain 35084:15	
spoken 35085:4,21				tell 34985:4,10
spontaneous 35046:5	35071:19	subjectively 35095:4	swear 35015:8,11,12,13	34988:14,25
SS3 35040:4,4	states 35010:2	submission 35010:5,8,9	35015:16,17	34992:19 34995:18
stadium 35034:2	35059:16,24	submit 35012:6	sweat 35004:13	34996:20 34999:16
stage 34980:19 34982:7	35076:15 35078:5	submitted 35058:13	switched 34984:15	35001:7 35002:2
35007:25 35008:10	station 34989:2	35090:10	s.u.o 35055:11	35007:18 35012:25
35008:25 35010:20	35020:9,11 35021:11	subpoena 35111:15	35087:13	35020:2 35029:16
35012:18 35031:21	35021:16 35034:4	subsequent 35110:21	T	35031:6,23 35037:12
35036:21 35050:12	35051:6 35053:6,14	subsequently 34992:5		35043:16 35051:3,24
35053:22,23	35053:15,19,22,22	35023:13 35032:19	take 34981:14 34986:3	35052:16 35062:11
35060:24 35061:15	35054:1 35059:3	35094:22	34990:13 34994:20	35063:5 35067:3
35063:16 35064:5,20	35105:19	substation 35093:9	34995:6,15,17	35073:18 35075:6
35064:21 35072:6	stationed 35021:10	succumbed 35079:1	35002:12,15 35005:6	35077:17 35089:21
35082:12 35084:9,9	status 34982:3	suddenly 35046:17	35005:7 35006:7	35090:1
35085:17 35094:22	stay 34984:10 34999:4	suffer 34982:16	35009:14 35013:11	telling 35076:1
35103:1 35109:4,6	stayed 34984:11	suffering 34985:17	35014:3,16,16,20,21	temporary 35011:4
stand 35005:15,16	35065:11	35002:6	35024:9 35025:3	tense 35047:20
35007:7 35009:15	steadfast 35005:12	sufficient 35067:18	35028:7 35031:11	tent 34997:10
35015:8 35094:1,3	steps 35071:21	35086:13 35109:19	35035:16 35036:19	terms 34998:3
35100:5	35107:16	35111:6	35038:11 35040:22	35020:23 35026:2
standby 35059:5	sticks 35024:7,8	sufficiently 35106:24	35041:4,8 35055:3	35048:23 35050:11
standing 35026:14,23	stigma 35012:8	35107:5	35057:12 35059:6	35058:16 35102:21
35026:24 35028:22	stipulated 35095:15	suggest 35008:1,19	35071:21 35087:2	terribly 34990:12
35030:25 35031:7	35101:19	suggesting 35099:16	35090:9 35092:24	testified 35035:19
35033:21 35069:18	stood 35023:10	35100:24	35101:2,17 35104:12	35039:21,25 35064:3
35096:19 35097:3,6	35033:25	summarise 35086:9,14	35108:25 35111:3,4	35066:25
35097:24 35098:7	stop 35003:8,13	summary 35072:18	35111:16	testifies 35030:19
stands 35020:16	35004:1 35020:24	Sunday 35103:5	taken 34989:7 34990:2	testify 35051:3
start 34982:1 34985:22	35057:4 35107:15	35108:18,21	35012:10 35024:19	testimony 35030:14,18
34993:24,25 35016:7	stopped 35022:24	35110:20	35024:20 35053:19	35037:19
35021:25 35040:2	stoppers 35029:4,25	Superintendent	35057:6 35061:17	thank 34981:11,20
	straight 35026:8	35035:5	35068:5,15 35075:17	34982:6,14,20
35044:2 35052:1			35075:22 35077:21	34983:4 34986:21
35054:25 35055:23	strength 34981:18	superiors 35092:7		
35054:25 35055:23 35056:7 35058:23	strength 34981:18 34989:17 35010:24	35095:17 35096:4	35107:16 35111:2	34991:1,2,8 34993:21
35054:25 35055:23 35056:7 35058:23 35071:1	strength 34981:18 34989:17 35010:24 stress 34982:17	35095:17 35096:4 support 34986:18	35107:16 35111:2 takes 35056:13	34991:1,2,8 34993:21 34994:14,15
35054:25 35055:23 35056:7 35058:23 35071:1 started 34983:20	strength 34981:18 34989:17 35010:24 stress 34982:17 34995:20	35095:17 35096:4 support 34986:18 34990:14 34993:13	35107:16 35111:2 takes 35056:13 talk 34993:23 34996:19	34991:1,2,8 34993:21 34994:14,15 34997:17 35006:9
35054:25 35055:23 35056:7 35058:23 35071:1 started 34983:20 34984:25 34987:21	strength 34981:18 34989:17 35010:24 stress 34982:17 34995:20 stressful 34995:12	35095:17 35096:4 support 34986:18 34990:14 34993:13 34997:6,14 35002:7	35107:16 35111:2 takes 35056:13 talk 34993:23 34996:19 34999:14 35013:23	34991:1,2,8 34993:21 34994:14,15 34997:17 35006:9 35008:6,7 35010:6
35054:25 35055:23 35056:7 35058:23 35071:1 started 34983:20 34984:25 34987:21 34993:15 34998:18	strength 34981:18 34989:17 35010:24 stress 34982:17 34995:20 stressful 34995:12 strike 34984:1,2,3,4	35095:17 35096:4 support 34986:18 34990:14 34993:13 34997:6,14 35002:7 35002:11,16	35107:16 35111:2 takes 35056:13 talk 34993:23 34996:19 34999:14 35013:23 35038:12 35066:11	34991:1,2,8 34993:21 34994:14,15 34997:17 35006:9 35008:6,7 35010:6 35011:14 35013:21
35054:25 35055:23 35056:7 35058:23 35071:1 started 34983:20 34984:25 34987:21 34993:15 34998:18 35021:13 35026:18	strength 34981:18 34989:17 35010:24 stress 34982:17 34995:20 stressful 34995:12 strike 34984:1,2,3,4 34987:20,22,23	35095:17 35096:4 support 34986:18 34990:14 34993:13 34997:6,14 35002:7 35002:11,16 35010:21 35011:4,8	35107:16 35111:2 takes 35056:13 talk 34993:23 34996:19 34999:14 35013:23 35038:12 35066:11 talkative 34987:21	34991:1,2,8 34993:21 34994:14,15 34997:17 35006:9 35008:6,7 35010:6 35011:14 35013:21 35014:6,8,9,24
35054:25 35055:23 35056:7 35058:23 35071:1 started 34983:20 34984:25 34987:21 34993:15 34998:18	strength 34981:18 34989:17 35010:24 stress 34982:17 34995:20 stressful 34995:12 strike 34984:1,2,3,4 34987:20,22,23 34988:16 34992:13	35095:17 35096:4 support 34986:18 34990:14 34993:13 34997:6,14 35002:7 35002:11,16	35107:16 35111:2 takes 35056:13 talk 34993:23 34996:19 34999:14 35013:23 35038:12 35066:11	34991:1,2,8 34993:21 34994:14,15 34997:17 35006:9 35008:6,7 35010:6 35011:14 35013:21

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 15
35017:4,14 35025:8	35036:21 35047:4,13	34985:7,8,8,12,13	35039:13,16	update 34982:2
35028:15 35036:22	35049:24 35052:15	34987:22 34988:10	35072:14 35079:2	35071:23 35072:9
35042:23 35048:10	35053:25 35055:6	34989:3,4,6,17,19,20	35082:1 35083:5,15	updates 35008:25
35049:8,23 35050:1	35061:20 35066:6	34992:15,22,25	35083:21	upside 35090:14
35052:21 35054:2,14	35067:13 35085:3,6	34993:1,10 34994:3	turned 35048:14	use 35029:15 35056:14
35054:16 35055:1,13	35090:13 35095:9	34996:23 34997:11	35063:20	35056:19 35077:22
35065:3 35086:24,25	35096:15 35100:3,23	35000:12,14,19,20,25	turning 35065:14,20	35078:3 35080:16
35086:25 35087:16	35102:20 35104:4	35033:2,17 35035:20	35078:16	35081:7,15
35088:12 35090:4,5	35106:24 35107:2,7	35062:18,24	TV 34996:18	usual 35041:19,20
35101:9 35102:5	35108:1	35064:12 35070:10	Twala 34980:21,23	35111:14
35111:8,10,13	third 35044:21,22	35070:14 35072:19	34981:1,3,7,15	usually 35002:13
Thapelo 35009:24	35053:5	35073:11 35074:4,24	35006:21	35021:2
35010:3,16,16	thought 34993:5	35075:17,19,25	twice 34984:13 34992:6	utilise 35080:20
35011:1,6,16,19	34997:5 34999:18,19	35076:16,21 35077:3	two 34981:15 34983:13	utilised 35073:15
35012:10 35013:21	35066:21	35077:8 35079:9,12	34987:13 34991:21	35081:10
Thapelo's 35012:2	threat 35024:15	35080:5,16 35081:21	34991:24 34995:14	
that's 34986:5,21	35025:18 35096:15	35082:6 35083:12,24	35013:12 35028:5	V
34994:17 35006:20	35096:18 35097:6,12	35085:18 35089:19	35029:1 35030:24	various 35007:23
35015:5 35016:15,18	35098:15 35099:25	35098:19 35099:5	35034:1,15 35052:5	35088:9
35016:22 35017:24	threatened 35025:17	35104:4	35054:4,19 35055:18	VEERASAMY
35018:8,19,22	35026:6	tombstone 35003:3	35055:18 35071:8	35015:17 35055:11
35019:10 35020:22	threatening 35031:2	tomorrow 35002:2	35086:4 35087:4	35087:13
35026:25 35029:12	35033:10	35087:9	35089:22,22	vehicle 35022:19
35030:2 35032:21	three 34992:2 34996:10	top 35017:23 35022:2	35107:14,22	35026:20 35033:21
35034:16,20 35035:2	34996:12,15,16	35065:15 35071:2	35108:20,23	35034:7
35036:23 35037:15	34998:11 34999:3,3	35079:3 35083:15	35109:12	vehicle's 35080:14
35039:6 35041:2,13	35001:22 35002:4	35090:15	Tyotyotyo 34983:17	vein 35096:11
35043:22 35052:9	35005:5 35010:5,23	tradition 35001:9,16	type 35012:20	VELAYUDAF
35053:8 35061:4	35032:13 35044:20	traditional 35037:6	35073:15,23 35100:1	35055:11 35087:13
35065:16 35071:11	35055:16	35038:17 35093:14	typed 35079:19	VELAYUDAM
35072:12 35076:19	throw 35099:11,20	tragedy 34987:10	types 35082:24	35015:17
35077:5 35079:8	Thubo 34992:2	tragic 35110:20,21	35093:22	veld 35037:5 35038:17
35088:10 35089:3,9	Thursday 35072:23	trained 35025:5	tyres 35080:14,24	35071:5 35076:22
35099:23 35100:6,23 35108:21	till 35065:11 time 34980:12 34981:9	35082:11,21 training 35082:12,15	U	35088:3,7 venue 35041:7
theft 35109:2	34984:7,13 34987:10	35082:16,20,21	U 35039:14,19 35040:5	verify 35096:23
there'd 35110:11	34990:19 35003:15	35100:18 35111:6,7	Umtanda 34996:12,12	version 35080:9
there's 35017:5	35008:21 35014:23	transferred 34983:23	34996:12	35089:3
35026:2 35043:5	35015:3 35023:4,19	35070:24	unable 35012:4	vicinity 35031:1
35044:12,19,20,23	35024:3,22 35025:2	transportation	unbearable 34995:20	35039:4
35053:8 35084:12,14	35029:1 35033:12	35032:18 35098:6	uncover 34990:11	victim 35083:7,8,25
35092:8 35095:1	35036:5 35040:22	transported 34985:16	underestimated	victims 35052:3,6
35098:6 35101:14	35041:17 35043:17	travel 35000:9	35100:15 35101:1	35067:6 35078:25
35102:15 35104:23	35043:19 35046:5,7	travelled 35091:18	understand 34995:12	victim's 35080:14
35106:7 35107:24	35047:4 35052:14	tribe 35094:1	35001:8 35008:9	vide 35037:8 35038:4
35111:21	35059:16 35063:22	tried 34984:14,15	35015:2,4 35027:10	35109:3
they'd 35067:14	35065:17,25 35066:4	34985:5 35000:3	35046:9,17 35049:16	view 34996:21
35099:12	35068:8,13 35070:4,4	true 35001:25	35072:18 35081:14	35094:12,16
they'll 35058:9	35074:15 35078:12	trust 35005:18	35083:9 35089:25	35104:12 35107:11
they're 35005:16	35078:13 35085:11	trusted 35004:8	35100:2,22 35106:10	Village 35071:6,12
35040:1 35041:6	35091:8,15 35094:20	truth 34990:11	understood 35048:20	35072:25 35088:7
35099:5,12 35100:4	35095:13 35101:3	34993:13 35005:13	35054:12 35059:9	violence 35024:13
thing 35060:5 35078:23	35105:9	35005:14,14,14	35089:5 35091:11	35074:18 35111:2,3
35080:19	times 34987:18	35015:14,14,15	unfair 35045:8,18	violent 35024:12
things 34986:16	34996:15 35001:24	35099:20	35046:9,9 35050:3,3	35074:7 35090:22
34988:20 34989:23	35011:22	try 35000:9 35006:6	unforeseen 35087:8	35095:15 35110:23
34990:2 35008:11,12	tired 34999:16	trying 35012:6	uniform 35021:2	visibility 35020:23
35031:4 35054:4	Tlake 34991:24,25	Tuesday 34992:24	union 34983:12	visible 35020:16,18,22
35060:8 35071:10	34992:2	Tukuza 34981:22	35005:17,18	35021:1 35044:9,16
35088:17	today 34981:15	34982:23,23,24	units 35107:19	35050:18,19,23,25
think 34980:11,20,24	34982:10 34986:11	34983:1,6,7,8,14,14	University 34992:10	35101:14
34981:20 34982:25	35004:20 35006:11	34983:15,16,16,16,16	unknown 35071:14	visit 35031:3 35059:18
34989:9,11 34993:2	35007:6,11 35014:10	34983:17 34985:6	35072:4	35094:4
34997:1,5 35003:6 35009:3 35023:15	35069:1 35102:9 toes 34983:22	34986:6,7,22 Tulega 34996:13	unnecessary 35072:16	visited 34987:17,20
35009:3 35023:15 35028:14 35033:9	told 34983:22	Tulega 34996:13 turn 35005:11 35025:9	untimely 35012:3 untold 35002:9	34992:5 34996:15 35052:4 35059:25
55028:14 55055:9		um 55005.11 55025.9	untoiu 55002.9	33032.4 33039.23
				-

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 1
35065:17	35000:17 35011:24	35014:21 35020:7	35110:7	34995:14 35010:23
Vispol 35020:13,14,16	35048:15	35058:22 35068:1	word 34997:2 35023:23	35013:12 35021:9,10
35025:5 35030:9	wants 34985:19	35087:3,4,10	35028:12 35050:20	35021:12,15
35044:22 35045:22	35047:19	35111:25	35050:20	yesterday 34981:3
35105:12	war 35093:25 35095:5	we're 34980:3		34982:4,18 35006:11
			words 35018:18	,
vital 35081:20	35101:13 35103:22	34981:13,21	35019:5 35029:15	young 34986:10
vocal 35031:13,22	warned 35075:10	34994:20 35003:24	35038:25 35046:25	younger 34997:6
35032:1	warning 35075:4,5,6,8	35004:20 35006:15	35077:23	you'd 35067:23
volatile 35059:25	35079:7,9,13,13,14	35008:14 35009:11	work 34985:10	35070:21 35072:10
35060:1,10,18	35079:16,17,20,20,25	35014:10 35022:1,5	34987:16,23	35085:4 35102:19
35063:24 35064:10	35080:2,6	35049:12	34992:11 34998:13	you'll 35016:19
35064:13,25	warnings 35079:24	we've 34980:15	34998:13 35002:15	35017:22 35018:14
vouchers 34997:10	Warrant 35025:21,21	34997:24 35006:11	35003:5,14 35004:18	35017:22 35010:14
vulnerable 35011:22	35037:2 35038:14	35007:25 35009:2	35010:15,22	35040:2 35041:6
Vusimuzi 35037:18	35093:4,16,19	35030:3 35051:16	35013:14,17 35014:1	35044:2 35050:14
Vuyile 34992:4	35102:22 35104:5	35054:19 35088:16	35030:9 35090:23	35084:12 35111:15
V-E-E-R-A-S-A-M-Y	35106:9	what's 35028:11	35097:2 35098:11	you're 34995:18
35016:3	wasn't 35030:22	35099:7	35103:19	35004:1 35014:11
V-E-L-A 35016:3	35101:6	whereabouts 34984:20	worked 34988:2	35016:18 35029:23
V-I-D-E 35037:8	wasn't 35046:5,19	whilst 34986:18	34992:12 34999:17	35036:24 35038:6
	35104:17 35107:5	34990:20 35004:11	35010:4 35011:22	35039:6 35044:24
W	watching 34988:22	35013:22 35023:22	35013:2,4,8	35045:10,19
wage 34987:25	water 34995:8	35025:14 35052:3	worker 34983:21	35055:10 35068:25
34996:25 34999:15	35075:20,25	White 35055:19	34987:12 34992:20	35069:3 35085:17
wages 35013:15	-	35086:9		
	35080:21 35081:8		35010:24 35013:6	35087:11,14
waging 35093:25	way 35031:16 35032:2	White's 35086:4	workers 34993:11	35109:25
wait 35099:13,22	35054:13 35070:10	White's 35086:6	34994:2,8,9,11	you've 35019:24
35100:6	35097:21 35098:2	who've 35102:16	34999:11 35001:5,23	35022:6 35031:6
waited 35034:1	35103:19	wide 35098:4	35003:7 35005:10	35033:9 35035:19
35065:11	ways 35098:4	widow 34982:23	35013:3 35014:6	35042:25 35051:12
waiting 34999:13	weakened 34997:4	34987:6 34990:19	35028:9,10 35029:8	35055:19 35064:24
35032:18 35098:20	weapon 35024:7	34995:4,23 34997:25	35097:25	35075:25 35078:17
35099:5,12,21	weapons 35024:5	widows 34986:11	working 34983:18,22	35079:25,25
35100:4	35027:12,14	35005:19	34984:7 34986:2	35085:10 35086:16
	35027:12,14	wife 34985:9,15		35094:25 35100:10
wake 35002:1 35003:24		·	34992:14 34998:18	
walk 35069:22 35097:9	35036:18,20	34987:9 34996:11,15	35004:15,17,24	Y-U-D-A-M 35016:4
35097:16,19,25	35068:22 35073:1,4	34996:17,17	35011:20	
35099:13	35073:11,15,19	34998:14 35004:17	workplace 35011:9	Z
walked 35000:4	35075:4 35077:13	wild 34990:12	works 34997:7 35059:2	Zameka 34997:25
35068:14,18 35069:9	35083:24 35084:1,5	win 34986:15	worried 34984:19	34998:2,15 35003:17
35097:21 35099:23	35088:20,22	wind 35008:5	35000:1	Zwelindawo 34987:6
35100:6	wear 35038:14	wire 35076:6,8	worry 35000:3 35040:4	34987:13
walking 34996:18	wearing 35093:15,16	wish 35008:10 35015:9	35088:17	ZZ4 35037:15
35000:5 35013:22	week 34994:1 35007:24	35086:21,23	worst 34993:3,6	
35097:1,8	35059:5 35110:21	wishes 35008:22	wouldn't 35031:25	0
want 34986:15	weekend 35092:22	withdraw 35028:11	wound 34996:22	000 34997:9 35053:11
34990:11 34993:12	35102:7	witness 35009:14	wounds 35052:6	35107:13
34994:10,14	well-behaved 34987:12	35015:3,23 35016:7	written 35027:24	02:12PM 35019:5
34995:13 34997:13	went 34985:1 34988:12	35035:9 35054:21	35070:21	02:50PM 35018:17
34998:5 35002:15,18	35011:17,23	35087:10,19	wrong 34988:21	09:26 34980:2
35002:20 35005:12	35032:23 35033:20	35091:24 35092:1	34997:2 35012:8	09:46 34990:23
35005:13,14	35038:25 35047:3	35111:3,19	wrote 35026:25	
35013:23,23,25	35052:12 35065:23	witnesses 35035:4	WW2 35086:7	1
35014:3 35020:8	35066:2 35070:17	35067:19 35084:19	WW7 35055:16,24	1 34983:3 34994:8,9,22
35022:7 35025:23	35076:7 35089:23	35085:7,16	35071:2 35087:22	34994:23 34996:6
35022:7 35025:25	35093:4 35109:13	wives 34983:13	55071.2 55007.22	
			<u> </u>	34997:20,23 35029:9
35039:17 35041:4	weren't 35060:13,14	35003:22		35040:2 35041:7
35044:1,17 35045:14	35101:22 35102:6	woke 34988:11	X 35013:19,19,21	35069:2,2,5,16,17,19
35047:5 35049:14,21	weren't 35043:12	Wonderkop 34984:11	XX2.20 35037:15	35071:2,10 35088:15
35053:13 35083:9	35046:11 35076:21	35022:16 35023:14	XX6 35037:17	35088:15 35089:4,12
35086:22 35087:7	35079:12 35082:13	35025:20 35026:13		35090:19 35107:13
35094:11 35100:17		35026:18 35034:8,9	Y	1st 35001:4
	35085:19	33020.10 33034.0.9		
La 11 Dette	35085:19 Western 35040:10		vear 34987.18	1.1 35071.15
35102:12 35106:3	Western 35040:10	35037:3,23 35038:15	year 34987:18 34996:15 35001:22	1.1 35071:15 1.2 350/11:7 35071:15
35102:12 35106:3 35111:21	Western 35040:10 35041:8,23 35042:4	35037:3,23 35038:15 35053:9 35071:6,12	34996:15 35001:22	1.2 35041:7 35071:15
35102:12 35106:3 35111:21 wanted 34988:3	Western 35040:10 35041:8,23 35042:4 35044:9 35050:18	35037:3,23 35038:15 35053:9 35071:6,12 35072:25 35088:5,7	34996:15 35001:22 35004:2 35043:22	1.2 35041:7 35071:15 35071:18
35102:12 35106:3 35111:21	Western 35040:10 35041:8,23 35042:4	35037:3,23 35038:15 35053:9 35071:6,12	34996:15 35001:22	1.2 35041:7 35071:15

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria Page 17

				Page 1
35016:23,25 35017:1	35065:5,9 35071:5	35039:19 35040:3	35063:3 35065:5	
35017:2 35018:18	35072:23	35053:6 35055:17	35083:5	
35019:10 35021:12	17 34994:13 35044:3	35057:18,23	5.1.2 35044:16	
35039:19 35040:3	35055:17	35065:15 35090:13	5.2 35044:2	
35050:14 35051:5	17th 34988:24	35090:17,21 35093:2	5.2.1 35044:12,14,15	
35065:25 35087:4	35057:18 35063:8,11	2012-08-10 35025:14	5.2.3 35044:6 35050:15	
35093:3 35094:7	35065:15,18,21	2012-08-11 35037:1	5:30 35063:14 35065:6	
35108:19	35066:1 35067:1	2012.08.10 35046:17	500 34988:1	
10AM 34984:21	35074:15	2012/08/10 35040:11		
35065:18	18 34990:18 35018:9	2013 35001:15 35017:9	6	
10h30 35037:2	35025:11 35044:2	2014 34980:1 35043:23	6 35010:22 35018:24	
10th 34988:6 35018:17	18H00 34984:18	21st 34992:24 35000:11	35036:23 35059:13	
35018:18 35020:5	18th 34989:19	216 35040:1 35051:4	35083:16 35091:11	
35022:8,15 35027:23	35016:14	23 35027:17,18,21	35091:13,17,22	
35030:19 35039:22	18:02 35019:11	35086:8,9	35092:25 35096:20	
35042:12 35046:4,19	18:20 35020:6	24 35034:16,17 35086:9	60 35054:22	
35046:20 35047:1,3,6 35047:12,13,23,24	35025:14 18:35 35027:23	26620 35040:1,1 26622 35051:4	68 35038:5,23	
35051:8 35052:15,18	19th 35000:8	26623 35051:4	68082012 35037:9	
35053:6,16,22	19th 35000.8 1975 35010:18	268 35030:14,14,15	7	
35090:21	1710 33010.10	208 35050.14,14,15 27th 35000:16	7 35022:15 35046:16,18	
10.2 35044:17,18	2	28 35017:12 35029:2	35047:21 35065:16	
35045:21	2 34991:3,10 34994:8	28 th 35000:21	35066:7,7 35072:23	
10:02 34995:22	34994:10,17	35019:16	35084:6	
10:22 35005:21	35010:23 35025:13	29 35034:20	7AM 35046:16,20	
10:30 35038:13	35037:1 35038:12		7th 35047:6	
11 35017:8 35036:25	35041:25 35069:2,2,5	3		
35037:19 35051:5	35069:23 35070:6	3 35017:6 35018:18	8	
35090:12	35071:10 35072:15	35025:19 35026:12	8 35019:4 35040:8	
11th 34984:2 34988:8	35078:17 35087:22	35030:20,20	35052:2 35066:7	
35019:4 35038:12	35089:9 35090:17	35036:24 35052:2	35090:12 35091:7	
35048:3 35051:8,25	35102:14	35053:11 35069:2,5	8am 34999:10	
35052:16,18	2.1.1 35078:18	35069:22 35079:2		
35053:23 35090:17	35087:21 35088:13	35080:11 35093:7	9	
35092:15 35107:23	35088:18,20 35089:2	3rd 35018:25 35093:1	9 34988:17 35036:13	
11:03 35015:1	2.1.3 35088:10	3.1 35084:7	35052:2	
11:23 35028:4 11:30 35052:3	2.1.4 35087:21 35088:14	3.2.2 35046:15 3.4 35084:18	9:30 35087:11 35112:1	
11:30 35032.5 11:42 35040:25	2.2.4 35079:7	3.7 35085:9,15		
12 35017:10 35019:10	2.2.4 35079.7 2.2.5 35080:1	3:45 35071:5 35075:16		
35019:15 35055:2	2.2.8.1 35082:2	35078:12		
35090:16 35102:14	2.4.7 35083:22	30 34998:10 35054:22		
35107:22	2.7 35035:3	31 35086:7		
12th 35009:25	20 35010:23 35034:10	31st 35001:2		
35019:11 35052:18	35091:17,21	32 35083:16		
35108:21	20:00 35090:23	34 35083:16		
12:27 35055:9	20:10 35027:23	34115 35030:15,15,20		
12:47 35072:8	2000 34983:20	37 35029:3		
13th 34984:10	2005 35021:13	382 34983:5		
13:30 35023:15	2007 34987:17			
13:56 35087:6	34998:19	4		
14 34980:1 35039:13,14	2011 34992:3,5	4 35018:15 35021:25		
35039:17 14:12 35010:5	2012 34984:2,10,14	35022:2 35035:18		
14:12 35019:5 14:15 35102:22	34988:6,9,15,25 34989:6,19,21	35061:3,4,23,24,25 35062:1,3,5 35063:2		
14:15 35102:22 14:50 35018:18	34990:18 34992:24	35062:1,3,5 35063:2		
15 35010:23 35026:15	34999:24 35000:2,8	35109:1		
35034:10 35039:17	35000:11,16,21	4am 34999:8		
35097:6	35001:3,5 35009:2	4.1.1 35044:4		
15th 34984:13	35010:1 35016:24	4.2.12A 35086:7		
34988:15	35017:3,7,10,12	4.3.2 35086:8		
16 35039:18 35041:4	35018:9,17,25	4.3.3 35086:8		
16th 34989:5,21	35019:4,11,17,23	48 34997:25		
34999:23 35000:2	35020:3,5 35021:9,14			
35006:18 35060:13	35025:11 35036:24	5		
35060:19 35063:14	35036:25 35037:19	5 35062:3,5 35063:2,2		
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE	1	L	1