RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 212 4 APRIL 2014 PAGES 26141 TO 26211



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: realtime@pixie.co.za Web Address: http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278



	Page 26141		Page 26143
1	[PROCEEDINGS ON 4 APRIL 2014]	1	the person who took the photograph, but either the person
2	[09:45] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. We	2	who took the photograph or someone else put the panga into
3	were informed that Mr Ntsebeza and his team were doing	3	his hand before the photograph was taken?" You see that?
4	extra work so that they could shorten the cross-examination	4	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct,
5	and finish by 1 o'clock today – half past 12? Half past	5	Chairperson.
6	12. We're losing half an hour just at the blink of an	6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, "Voorsitter ja, ek
7	eyelid. And so that's why we have come in late, and I	7	sien – ek moet aanneem dit is moontlik, of dit kan so wees
8	trust the time was usefully employed by Mr Ntsebeza and his	8	want die foto wat ek geneem het $-$ "
9	team and we will be able to see the benefits of it quite	9	-
	soon. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by the		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
10		10	see – I must accept it is possible, or it can be so because
11	affirmation that you made.	11	the photo which I took," and then I interrupted but I
12	SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Thank you,	12	didn't turn my microphone on, as I often fail to do, and
13	Chair.	13	then the witness then said, "It can't be anything else.
14	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza.	14	The picture which I" – I think I must have asked can it
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC (CONTD.):	15	anything else. He said, "It can't be anything else. The
16	Thank you, Mr Chairman. Thanks for the indulgence, Mr	16	picture which I," and then I said, "I understand you say
17	Chairman. I would hope that we will shorten the	17	that when you took your photograph," and the witness then
18	proceedings today and I will start, Colonel, where we ended	18	said, "It's correct."
19	yesterday, just so that in order for us to speed up to the	19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, thank you, Mr
20	end we don't get objections on the basis that we are	20	Chairman. So that was the evidence –
21	putting things to you that have not been put either in	21	CHAIRPERSON: It's a bit incoherent but I
22	chief or during the course of your evidence.	22	think it's quite clear really what he meant.
23	I want to deal with two things in that context.	23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. So that was the
24	The first one is the evidence-in-chief that you gave	24	evidence-in-chief and we said to you relevant to what we
25	relevant to the panga which my learned colleague and my	25	demonstrated by slides 14 to 15, if we could put those up,
	Page 26142		Page 26144
1	Page 26142 learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether	1	Page 26144 but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are
1 2	8	1 2	
	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether		but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are
2	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence.	2	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular
2 3	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all	2 3	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the
2 3 4	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were	2 3 4	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the
2 3 4 5	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include	2 3 4 5	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that
2 3 4 5 6	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the	2 3 4 5 6	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday?
2 3 4 5 6 7	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal	2 3 4 5 6 7	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in chief relevant to the panga, and that evidence will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us that it is highly unlikely that that panga, given the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in chief relevant to the panga, and that evidence will be found in lines 3 to 23 on pages 25264 to 25265, if we could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us that it is highly unlikely that that panga, given the manner in which the handle is still handled by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in chief relevant to the panga, and that evidence will be found in lines 3 to 23 on pages 25264 to 25265, if we could have that evidence up on the screen, please, to the extent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us that it is highly unlikely that that panga, given the manner in which the handle is still handled by the deceased, Mr Sokanyile, it is highly unlikely that it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in chief relevant to the panga, and that evidence will be found in lines 3 to 23 on pages 25264 to 25265, if we could have that evidence up on the screen, please, to the extent that the Chair will not object to me saying day 205, but –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us that it is highly unlikely that that panga, given the manner in which the handle is still handled by the deceased, Mr Sokanyile, it is highly unlikely that it was put into his hand. Do you agree with that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in chief relevant to the panga, and that evidence will be found in lines 3 to 23 on pages 25264 to 25265, if we could have that evidence up on the screen, please, to the extent that the Chair will not object to me saying day 205, but – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not in an objectionable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us that it is highly unlikely that that panga, given the manner in which the handle is still handled by the deceased, Mr Sokanyile, it is highly unlikely that it was put into his hand. Do you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: But isn't it likely that if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in chief relevant to the panga, and that evidence will be found in lines 3 to 23 on pages 25264 to 25265, if we could have that evidence up on the screen, please, to the extent that the Chair will not object to me saying day 205, but – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not in an objectionable mood this morning, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us that it is highly unlikely that that panga, given the manner in which the handle is still handled by the deceased, Mr Sokanyile, it is highly unlikely that it was put into his hand. Do you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: But isn't it likely that if he was rolled over that it would have fallen out of his hand, even if it had been in his hand when he was faced
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in chief relevant to the panga, and that evidence will be found in lines 3 to 23 on pages 25264 to 25265, if we could have that evidence up on the screen, please, to the extent that the Chair will not object to me saying day 205, but – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not in an objectionable mood this morning, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. But the lines really are 25264, line 3 and 25265, line 3.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us that it is highly unlikely that that panga, given the manner in which the handle is still handled by the deceased, Mr Sokanyile, it is highly unlikely that it was put into his hand. Do you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: But isn't it likely that if he was rolled over that it would have fallen out of his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in chief relevant to the panga, and that evidence will be found in lines 3 to 23 on pages 25264 to 25265, if we could have that evidence up on the screen, please, to the extent that the Chair will not object to me saying day 205, but – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not in an objectionable mood this morning, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us that it is highly unlikely that that panga, given the manner in which the handle is still handled by the deceased, Mr Sokanyile, it is highly unlikely that it was put into his hand. Do you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: But isn't it likely that if he was rolled over that it would have fallen out of his hand, even if it had been in his hand when he was faced downwards, and so somebody must have actually put it back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in chief relevant to the panga, and that evidence will be found in lines 3 to 23 on pages 25264 to 25265, if we could have that evidence up on the screen, please, to the extent that the Chair will not object to me saying day 205, but – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not in an objectionable mood this morning, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. But the lines really are 25264, line 3 and 25265, line 3. 25264, day 205, line 3. CHAIRPERSON: We've got the page now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us that it is highly unlikely that that panga, given the manner in which the handle is still handled by the deceased, Mr Sokanyile, it is highly unlikely that it was put into his hand. Do you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: But isn't it likely that if he was rolled over that it would have fallen out of his hand, even if it had been in his hand when he was faced downwards, and so somebody must have actually put it back in his hand before the photograph was taken? Isn't that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in chief relevant to the panga, and that evidence will be found in lines 3 to 23 on pages 25264 to 25265, if we could have that evidence up on the screen, please, to the extent that the Chair will not object to me saying day 205, but – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not in an objectionable mood this morning, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. But the lines really are 25264, line 3 and 25265, line 3. 25264, day 205, line 3. CHAIRPERSON: We've got the page now finally on the screen. What line are you referring to, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us that it is highly unlikely that that panga, given the manner in which the handle is still handled by the deceased, Mr Sokanyile, it is highly unlikely that it was put into his hand. Do you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: But isn't it likely that if he was rolled over that it would have fallen out of his hand, even if it had been in his hand when he was faced downwards, and so somebody must have actually put it back in his hand before the photograph was taken? Isn't that right? MR NTSEBEZA SC: He's lying on it, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in chief relevant to the panga, and that evidence will be found in lines 3 to 23 on pages 25264 to 25265, if we could have that evidence up on the screen, please, to the extent that the Chair will not object to me saying day 205, but – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not in an objectionable mood this morning, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. But the lines really are 25264, line 3 and 25265, line 3. 25264, day 205, line 3. CHAIRPERSON: We've got the page now finally on the screen. What line are you referring to, Mr Ntsebeza?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us that it is highly unlikely that that panga, given the manner in which the handle is still handled by the deceased, Mr Sokanyile, it is highly unlikely that it was put into his hand. Do you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: But isn't it likely that if he was rolled over that it would have fallen out of his hand, even if it had been in his hand when he was faced downwards, and so somebody must have actually put it back in his hand before the photograph was taken? Isn't that right? MR NTSEBEZA SC: He's lying on it, so he's rolled – yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in chief relevant to the panga, and that evidence will be found in lines 3 to 23 on pages 25264 to 25265, if we could have that evidence up on the screen, please, to the extent that the Chair will not object to me saying day 205, but – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not in an objectionable mood this morning, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. But the lines really are 25264, line 3 and 25265, line 3. 25264, day 205, line 3. CHAIRPERSON: We've got the page now finally on the screen. What line are you referring to, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Line 3, Mr Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us that it is highly unlikely that that panga, given the manner in which the handle is still handled by the deceased, Mr Sokanyile, it is highly unlikely that it was put into his hand. Do you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: But isn't it likely that if he was rolled over that it would have fallen out of his hand, even if it had been in his hand when he was faced downwards, and so somebody must have actually put it back in his hand before the photograph was taken? Isn't that right? MR NTSEBEZA SC: He's lying on it, so he's rolled – yes. CHAIRPERSON: In fact if you turn him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in chief relevant to the panga, and that evidence will be found in lines 3 to 23 on pages 25264 to 25265, if we could have that evidence up on the screen, please, to the extent that the Chair will not object to me saying day 205, but – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not in an objectionable mood this morning, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. But the lines really are 25264, line 3 and 25265, line 3. 25264, day 205, line 3. CHAIRPERSON: We've got the page now finally on the screen. What line are you referring to, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Line 3, Mr Chairman. Then we'll read until we get to, it's with the Chairman at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us that it is highly unlikely that that panga, given the manner in which the handle is still handled by the deceased, Mr Sokanyile, it is highly unlikely that it was put into his hand. Do you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: But isn't it likely that if he was rolled over that it would have fallen out of his hand, even if it had been in his hand when he was faced downwards, and so somebody must have actually put it back in his hand before the photograph was taken? Isn't that right? MR NTSEBEZA SC: He's lying on it, so he's rolled – yes. CHAIRPERSON: In fact if you turn him around, it isn't stuck to his hand by glue, is it? How is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	learned friend Ms Pillay contested yesterday as to whether it was your evidence. The second issue that I want to deal with is all the cartridges that were found and which we are saying were found in more or less the same area, and that would include those found by the same captain on a later visit, the second visit, during which he also invoked the aid of metal detectors. So let's then look at what your evidence was in chief relevant to the panga, and that evidence will be found in lines 3 to 23 on pages 25264 to 25265, if we could have that evidence up on the screen, please, to the extent that the Chair will not object to me saying day 205, but – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not in an objectionable mood this morning, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. But the lines really are 25264, line 3 and 25265, line 3. 25264, day 205, line 3. CHAIRPERSON: We've got the page now finally on the screen. What line are you referring to, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Line 3, Mr Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but I mean we have been down this path. It's where we are demonstrating in the way the body was found and particular in the way in which his left hand was still clasping the handle of the panga, that it is highly improbable that the panga could have been put in his hand. Do you recall that we put that to you yesterday? COLONEL VERMAAK: I recall it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We still put it to you. Would you agree? The probability, would you agree with us that it is highly unlikely that that panga, given the manner in which the handle is still handled by the deceased, Mr Sokanyile, it is highly unlikely that it was put into his hand. Do you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: But isn't it likely that if he was rolled over that it would have fallen out of his hand, even if it had been in his hand when he was faced downwards, and so somebody must have actually put it back in his hand before the photograph was taken? Isn't that right? MR NTSEBEZA SC: He's lying on it, so he's rolled – yes. CHAIRPERSON: In fact if you turn him

1	Page 26145	1	Page 26147
1	MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's exactly the	1	visit by Captain Moshwana. Mr Chairman, in the bundle of
2	point, Mr Chairman. If that should be read and understood	2	the list of documents which the families was wanting to
3	with what we have been saying, that on the evidence –	3	rely on, can we deal with new exhibits which will be
4	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you.	4	relevant to this line of cross-examination. Firstly there
5	Adv Hemraj points out that on the ground when he was face	5	is the affidavit, the first affidavit, or the affidavit by
6	downwards the blade was facing the other way, so someone	6	Captain Moshwana dated the 14th of October 2012. It is in
7	has picked up that panga and arranged it nicely so that the	7	the bundle, I believe. You have it?
8	photograph can be taken of it in his hand, which is not	8	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]
9	acceptable conduct as far as I'm concerned.	9	what was that?
10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. That's why I was	10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's a –
11	saying, Mr Chairman, that must be read with what we had put	11	CHAIRPERSON: I've got an affidavit of
12	to the witness and that is the unlikelihood of this witness	12	the 1st of April [Microphone off, inaudible]. What's the
13	having been shot 600 metres away from the place and that he	13	next exhibit number? I left my copy in chambers, but it
14	could have been clasping –	14	doesn't matter, Adv Hemraj has brought her. Ms Pillay with
15	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I'm sorry, I	15	her usual diligence in this matter will tell me the next
16	don't want to interrupt you. I thought that you'd actually	16	exhibit number.
17	achieved that in your cross-examination yesterday and I	17	MS PILLAY: Chair, the next number if
18	hope you're not trying to undo what you did yesterday.	18	00024.
19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.	19	CHAIRPERSON: The affidavit by Captain
20	CHAIRPERSON: What you put to the witness	20	Moshwana dated the 14th of October 2012 is marked exhibit
21	yesterday, if I can summarise it shortly as I understand	21	00024.
22	it, so if I've got it wrong you can correct me; this	22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Mr Chairman, we may
23	witness had been under the impression that one of the	23	just as well give the following document its exhibit
24	strikers who was wearing a white overall had been injured	24	number, which we'll get to when we do the cross-examination
25	and was being carried by his colleagues across the stream	25	around the issue of cartridges. It's a document which was
	Page 26146		Page 26148
			1 age 20140
1	and he thought – I think it's clear from his evidence –	1	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans,
1 2	and he thought – I think it's clear from his evidence – that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was	1 2	5
	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed		compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans,
2	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was	2	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115,"
2 3	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed	2	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that
2 3 4	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate	2 3 4	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document
2 3 4 5	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died	2 3 4 5	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that
2 3 4 5 6	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this	2 3 4 5 6	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document
2 3 4 5 6 7	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the	2 3 4 5 6 7	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the witness as I understood it, agreed with that and he said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that OOO25 and then as we go along we'll paginate the numbers so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the witness as I understood it, agreed with that and he said well, it must have been someone else who was being carried.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that OOO25 and then as we go along we'll paginate the numbers so we will, it's quite a bulky document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the witness as I understood it, agreed with that and he said well, it must have been someone else who was being carried. So I thought that was what you'd achieved in cross-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that OOO25 and then as we go along we'll paginate the numbers so we will, it's quite a bulky document. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the witness as I understood it, agreed with that and he said well, it must have been someone else who was being carried. So I thought that was what you'd achieved in cross- examination yesterday. If of course you didn't want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that OOO25 and then as we go along we'll paginate the numbers so we will, it's quite a bulky document. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a whole lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the witness as I understood it, agreed with that and he said well, it must have been someone else who was being carried. So I thought that was what you'd achieved in cross- examination yesterday. If of course you didn't want to achieve it or you want to have a go at undoing it, well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that OOO25 and then as we go along we'll paginate the numbers so we will, it's quite a bulky document. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a whole lot of photographs. Shall we quietly paginate our copies now and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the witness as I understood it, agreed with that and he said well, it must have been someone else who was being carried. So I thought that was what you'd achieved in cross- examination yesterday. If of course you didn't want to achieve it or you want to have a go at undoing it, well then be my guest.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that OOO25 and then as we go along we'll paginate the numbers so we will, it's quite a bulky document. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a whole lot of photographs. Shall we quietly paginate our copies now and then we'll proceed more smoothly after that. Shall we do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the witness as I understood it, agreed with that and he said well, it must have been someone else who was being carried. So I thought that was what you'd achieved in cross- examination yesterday. If of course you didn't want to achieve it or you want to have a go at undoing it, well then be my guest. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman knows me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that OOO25 and then as we go along we'll paginate the numbers so we will, it's quite a bulky document. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a whole lot of photographs. Shall we quietly paginate our copies now and then we'll proceed more smoothly after that. Shall we do that? Would you like to do that, Mr Ntsebeza?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the witness as I understood it, agreed with that and he said well, it must have been someone else who was being carried. So I thought that was what you'd achieved in cross- examination yesterday. If of course you didn't want to achieve it or you want to have a go at undoing it, well then be my guest. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman knows me well enough that I wouldn't like to undo it, and thank you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that OOO25 and then as we go along we'll paginate the numbers so we will, it's quite a bulky document. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a whole lot of photographs. Shall we quietly paginate our copies now and then we'll proceed more smoothly after that. Shall we do that? Would you like to do that, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the witness as I understood it, agreed with that and he said well, it must have been someone else who was being carried. So I thought that was what you'd achieved in cross- examination yesterday. If of course you didn't want to achieve it or you want to have a go at undoing it, well then be my guest. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman knows me well enough that I wouldn't like to undo it, and thank you very much, Mr Chairman. I think that's a very good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that OOO25 and then as we go along we'll paginate the numbers so we will, it's quite a bulky document. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a whole lot of photographs. Shall we quietly paginate our copies now and then we'll proceed more smoothly after that. Shall we do that? Would you like to do that, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Let's do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the witness as I understood it, agreed with that and he said well, it must have been someone else who was being carried. So I thought that was what you'd achieved in cross- examination yesterday. If of course you didn't want to achieve it or you want to have a go at undoing it, well then be my guest. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman knows me well enough that I wouldn't like to undo it, and thank you very much, Mr Chairman. I think that's a very good summation of what we will argue in the end and we can then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that OOO25 and then as we go along we'll paginate the numbers so we will, it's quite a bulky document. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a whole lot of photographs. Shall we quietly paginate our copies now and then we'll proceed more smoothly after that. Shall we do that? Would you like to do that, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Let's do that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the witness as I understood it, agreed with that and he said well, it must have been someone else who was being carried. So I thought that was what you'd achieved in cross- examination yesterday. If of course you didn't want to achieve it or you want to have a go at undoing it, well then be my guest. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman knows me well enough that I wouldn't like to undo it, and thank you very much, Mr Chairman. I think that's a very good summation of what we will argue in the end and we can then move on, bearing in mind time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that OOO25 and then as we go along we'll paginate the numbers so we will, it's quite a bulky document. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a whole lot of photographs. Shall we quietly paginate our copies now and then we'll proceed more smoothly after that. Shall we do that? Would you like to do that, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Let's do that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Let's do that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Let's do that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. Now you have – or sorry, sorry Mr Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the witness as I understood it, agreed with that and he said well, it must have been someone else who was being carried. So I thought that was what you'd achieved in cross- examination yesterday. If of course you didn't want to achieve it or you want to have a go at undoing it, well then be my guest. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman knows me well enough that I wouldn't like to undo it, and thank you very much, Mr Chairman. I think that's a very good summation of what we will argue in the end and we can then move on, bearing in mind time. Now the next topic I would like to deal with,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that OOO25 and then as we go along we'll paginate the numbers so we will, it's quite a bulky document. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a whole lot of photographs. Shall we quietly paginate our copies now and then we'll proceed more smoothly after that. Shall we do that? Would you like to do that, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Let's do that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Tex, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Let's do that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. Now you have – or sorry, sorry Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the witness as I understood it, agreed with that and he said well, it must have been someone else who was being carried. So I thought that was what you'd achieved in cross- examination yesterday. If of course you didn't want to achieve it or you want to have a go at undoing it, well then be my guest. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman knows me well enough that I wouldn't like to undo it, and thank you very much, Mr Chairman. I think that's a very good summation of what we will argue in the end and we can then move on, bearing in mind time. Now the next topic I would like to deal with, Colonel, is what – it pertains to the cartridges, all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that OOO25 and then as we go along we'll paginate the numbers so we will, it's quite a bulky document. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a whole lot of photographs. Shall we quietly paginate our copies now and then we'll proceed more smoothly after that. Shall we do that? Would you like to do that, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Let's do that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. Now you have – or sorry, sorry Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] at paginating than I am. While we're busy doing that, my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	that it was this, it was Mr Sokanyile whose dead body was found on the other side of the stream. You then pointed out to him a number of factors which tended to indicate that that couldn't be right because he must have died instantly, or almost instantly, besides which he had this panga in his hand, he clearly even when he was lying face- down had the panga in his hand, so it's highly unlikely that he'd been carried, carrying his panga. So all the circumstances point to him having been killed instantly at the scene and not having been carried there, and the witness as I understood it, agreed with that and he said well, it must have been someone else who was being carried. So I thought that was what you'd achieved in cross- examination yesterday. If of course you didn't want to achieve it or you want to have a go at undoing it, well then be my guest. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman knows me well enough that I wouldn't like to undo it, and thank you very much, Mr Chairman. I think that's a very good summation of what we will argue in the end and we can then move on, bearing in mind time. Now the next topic I would like to deal with,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	compiled by Captain Moshwana which is entitled "Plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115," the same CAS-number we've been referring to, "/8, dated March 31, 2014." CHAIRPERSON: Very well, we'll call that OOO [Microphone off, inaudible]. This is the document dated the 1st of April 2014 headed "Supply of plans, keys, photographs, and sworn statements, Marikana CAS115- 117/08/2012." Is that the document? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, okay. Let's make that OOO25 and then as we go along we'll paginate the numbers so we will, it's quite a bulky document. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a whole lot of photographs. Shall we quietly paginate our copies now and then we'll proceed more smoothly after that. Shall we do that? Would you like to do that, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Let's do that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Tex, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Let's do that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. Now you have – or sorry, sorry Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]

	Page 26149		Page 26151
1	contains both the cartridge case and the projectile, and	1	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The good thing
2	then when the projectile is no longer in the cartridge case	2	about it is that you are on familiar grounds. You know
3	one talks about a spent cartridge. The trouble is we in	3	what kind of, sort of how these photos are interpreted and
4	South Africa are so used to using the word "doppie" that we	4	because of your experience of securing crime scenes like,
5	never use the expression "spent cartridge," but I give you	5	including this one. Now if we looked at what Captain
6	permission to use the word "doppie" if you want to. I have	6	Moshwana says relevant to point B to C, he says point B to
7	23 pages. I hope that coincides with everybody else.	7	C is 2,4 metres. You see that?
8	Right, Mr Ntsebeza, your junior has paginated your copies	8	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.
9	for you?	9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: As a distance. Now you
10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, they are, Mr	10	can see where point B is at on page 21 of the presentation
11	Chairman.	11	and you can see where C is on page 25. That's the distance
12	CHAIRPERSON: Right, so can we proceed?	12	that is indicated as 2,4 metres. You see that?
13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.	13	COLONEL VERMAAK: I do.
14	CHAIRPERSON: Has the witness paginated	14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now whilst we're on that
15	his copy? He has?	15	page we'll see where N is, which is to the right of C, and
16	COLONEL VERMAAK: I have.	16	the distance between B and N would be approximately not
17	CHAIRPERSON: He has. So right, so we're	17	more than 3,5 metres. Would you agree with that as an
18	now ready for you to launch your next projectile in the	18	intelligent guess?
19	cross-examination.	19	COLONEL VERMAAK: I will accept it.
20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I hope I don't leave any	20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, now we now know
21	"doppies." Thank you, Mr Chairman. Now Colonel, if we	21	that N on the sketch plan relevant to I is four and a half
22	could turn to slide 23, just to re-orientate ourselves, of	22	metres long. N to I, if you look on the sketch plan on
23	the presentation. Now do you see the red circle which we	23	page 6 of the sketch plan, you see N to I, 4,6 metres. You
24	say indicates the area where the "doppies" were found?	24	see that? No, no, sketch plan.
25	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.	25	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.
	Page 26150		Page 26152
1	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto	1	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan.
2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs,"	2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy.
	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit.		MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even
2 3 4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: 00025, page?	2 3 4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in
2 3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: 00025, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: 00025, what page is it?	2 3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I,
2 3 4 5 6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: 00025, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: 00025, what page is it? CHAIRPERSON: Page?	2 3 4 5 6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see
2 3 4 5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: 00025, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: 00025, what page is it? CHAIRPERSON: Page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I'm just	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: 00025, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: 00025, what page is it? CHAIRPERSON: Page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I'm just being guided –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: 00025, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: 00025, what page is it? CHAIRPERSON: Page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I'm just being guided – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: OOO25, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO25, what page is it? CHAIRPERSON: Page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I'm just being guided – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a number of photographs as well, so you –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: 00025, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: 00025, what page is it? CHAIRPERSON: Page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I'm just being guided – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a number of photographs as well, so you – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Page 6. It's headed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line. COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: 00025, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: 00025, what page is it? CHAIRPERSON: Page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I'm just being guided – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a number of photographs as well, so you – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Page 6. It's headed "Measurements."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line. COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And an intelligent guess
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now in relation theretoI would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs,"etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit.CHAIRPERSON:OOO25, page?MR NTSEBEZA SC:OOO25, what page is it?CHAIRPERSON:Page?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I'm justbeing guided –It's got a number ofCHAIRPERSON:It's got a number ofphotographs as well, so you –MR NTSEBEZA SC:MR NTSEBEZA SC:Page 6. It's headed"Measurements."Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line. COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And an intelligent guess would therefore be that B to I is approximately seven and a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: 00025, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: 00025, what page is it? CHAIRPERSON: Page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I'm just being guided – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a number of photographs as well, so you – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Page 6. It's headed "Measurements." CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line. COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And an intelligent guess would therefore be that B to I is approximately seven and a half to eight metres, B to I. You see where B is, you see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now in relation theretoI would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs,"etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit.CHAIRPERSON:OOO25, page?MR NTSEBEZA SC:OOO25, what page is it?CHAIRPERSON:Page?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I'm justbeing guided –It's got a number ofCHAIRPERSON:It's got a number ofphotographs as well, so you –MR NTSEBEZA SC:MR NTSEBEZA SC:Page 6. It's headed"Measurements."Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:You see that?COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line. COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And an intelligent guess would therefore be that B to I is approximately seven and a half to eight metres, B to I. You see where B is, you see where I is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: OOO25, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO25, what page is it? CHAIRPERSON: Page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I'm just being guided – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a number of photographs as well, so you – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Page 6. It's headed "Measurements." CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It is the measurements	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line. COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And an intelligent guess would therefore be that B to I is approximately seven and a half to eight metres, B to I. You see where B is, you see where I is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now in relation theretoI would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs,"etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit.CHAIRPERSON:OOO25, page?MR NTSEBEZA SC:OOO25, what page is it?CHAIRPERSON:Page?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I'm justbeing guided –It's got a number ofphotographs as well, so you –MR NTSEBEZA SC:MR NTSEBEZA SC:Page 6. It's headed"Measurements."Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:You see that?COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:It is the measurementsMR NTSEBEZA SC:It is the measurements	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line. COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And an intelligent guess would therefore be that B to I is approximately seven and a half to eight metres, B to I. You see where B is, you see where I is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you accept – we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now in relation theretoI would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs,"etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit.CHAIRPERSON:OOO25, page?MR NTSEBEZA SC:OOO25, what page is it?CHAIRPERSON:Page?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I'm justbeing guided –It's got a number ofphotographs as well, so you –MR NTSEBEZA SC:MR NTSEBEZA SC:Page 6. It's headed"Measurements."Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:You see that?COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:It is the measurementsmade by Captain between the points, including the "doppie."Now if you went back then to slide 21, slide 21 of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line. COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And an intelligent guess would therefore be that B to I is approximately seven and a half to eight metres, B to I. You see where B is, you see where I is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you accept – we are trying to sort of in the end find what the diameter is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now in relation theretoI would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs,"etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit.CHAIRPERSON:OOO25, page?MR NTSEBEZA SC:OOO25, what page is it?CHAIRPERSON:Page?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I'm justbeing guided –It's got a number ofphotographs as well, so you –MR NTSEBEZA SC:MR NTSEBEZA SC:Page 6. It's headed"Measurements."Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:You see that?COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:It is the measurementsmade by Captain between the points, including the "doppie."Now if you went back then to slide 21, slide 21 of thepresentation, there you are, photoTowner the points.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line. COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And an intelligent guess would therefore be that B to I is approximately seven and a half to eight metres, B to I. You see where B is, you see where I is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you accept – we are trying to sort of in the end find what the diameter is of this range of photographs. The circle as well as what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: OOO25, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO25, what page is it? CHAIRPERSON: Page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I'm just being guided – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a number of photographs as well, so you – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Page 6. It's headed "Measurements." CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It is the measurements made by Captain between the points, including the "doppie." Now if you went back then to slide 21, slide 21 of the presentation, there you are, photo number 17. We will have to be a bit gymnastic because we will be referring to slide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line. COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And an intelligent guess would therefore be that B to I is approximately seven and a half to eight metres, B to I. You see where B is, you see where I is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you accept – we are trying to sort of in the end find what the diameter is of this range of photographs. The circle as well as what would be an approximate diameter, but the measurement now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: OOO25, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO25, what page is it? CHAIRPERSON: Page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I'm just being guided – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a number of photographs as well, so you – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Page 6. It's headed "Measurements." CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It is the measurements made by Captain between the points, including the "doppie." Now if you went back then to slide 21, slide 21 of the presentation, there you are, photo number 17. We will have to be a bit gymnastic because we will be referring to slide 20, we are now on 21, relevant to page 6 of exhibit OOO25.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line. COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And an intelligent guess would therefore be that B to I is approximately seven and a half to eight metres, B to I. You see where B is, you see where I is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you accept – we are trying to sort of in the end find what the diameter is of this range of photographs. The circle as well as what would be an approximate diameter, but the measurement now is between B to I and I would estimate it on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: OOO25, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO25, what page is it? CHAIRPERSON: Page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I'm just being guided – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a number of photographs as well, so you – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Page 6. It's headed "Measurements." CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It is the measurements made by Captain between the points, including the "doppie." Now if you went back then to slide 21, slide 21 of the presentation, there you are, photo number 17. We will have to be a bit gymnastic because we will be referring to slide 20, we are now on 21, relevant to page 6 of exhibit OOO25. If it's not too confusing for you, Colonel. In other words	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line. COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And an intelligent guess would therefore be that B to I is approximately seven and a half to eight metres, B to I. You see where B is, you see where I is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you accept – we are trying to sort of in the end find what the diameter is of this range of photographs. The circle as well as what would be an approximate diameter, but the measurement now is between B to I and I would estimate it on the configurations to be seven and a half to eight metres. Are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: OOO25, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO25, what page is it? CHAIRPERSON: Page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I'm just being guided – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a number of photographs as well, so you – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Page 6. It's headed "Measurements." CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It is the measurements made by Captain between the points, including the "doppie." Now if you went back then to slide 21, slide 21 of the presentation, there you are, photo number 17. We will have to be a bit gymnastic because we will be referring to slide 20, we are now on 21, relevant to page 6 of exhibit OOO25. If it's not too confusing for you, Colonel. In other words we'll be going between the sketch plan and the photograph	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line. COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And an intelligent guess would therefore be that B to I is approximately seven and a half to eight metres, B to I. You see where B is, you see where I is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you accept – we are trying to sort of in the end find what the diameter is of this range of photographs. The circle as well as what would be an approximate diameter, but the measurement now is between B to I and I would estimate it on the configurations to be seven and a half to eight metres. Are you happy with that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in relation thereto I would like us to go to the document "Plans, photographs," etcetera, the one that we have just made an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON: OOO25, page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO25, what page is it? CHAIRPERSON: Page? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I'm just being guided – CHAIRPERSON: It's got a number of photographs as well, so you – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Page 6. It's headed "Measurements." CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It is the measurements made by Captain between the points, including the "doppie." Now if you went back then to slide 21, slide 21 of the presentation, there you are, photo number 17. We will have to be a bit gymnastic because we will be referring to slide 20, we are now on 21, relevant to page 6 of exhibit OOO25. If it's not too confusing for you, Colonel. In other words	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sketch plan. Measurements, where it says measurements. Daar staan hy. Yes. Now B, N and I, if we go to the photographs and even the sketch plan – sketch plan, yes, sketch plan, you see in the sketch plan, page 20 of the presentation, B, N and I, they are in a straight line. You see that? Do you see where N is? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: B, N and I are in a straight line. COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And an intelligent guess would therefore be that B to I is approximately seven and a half to eight metres, B to I. You see where B is, you see where I is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you accept – we are trying to sort of in the end find what the diameter is of this range of photographs. The circle as well as what would be an approximate diameter, but the measurement now is between B to I and I would estimate it on the configurations to be seven and a half to eight metres. Are

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

	D 0/450		
1	Page 26153 proposition that I to J should be about, the estimation	1	Page 26155 contention also –
2	should be about two metres, 1,9 metres.	2	CHAIRPERSON: No, you're quite right.
3	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, if you want to	3	There's an extra R and S.
4	know what I to J is you don't have to estimate. Look at	4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
5	page 6 of OOO25 and you'll find the answer. It's 1.9	5	CHAIRPERSON: And a Q.
6	metres.	6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's in response to –
7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. My	7	CHAIRPERSON: Q, S, R. Is that right?
8	juniors have been able to point that out to me that	8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Exactly, Mr Chairman.
9	actually there is some support for that. J to K, same –	9	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so you want that
10	well, not same measurements, 0,9, if one looks at page 6 of	10	one in then. Alright, so that will be OOO – let's make his
11	00025. You see that, Captain? I mean - how can I demote	11	statement and the new plan with the extra spent cartridges
12	you? - Colonel.	12	on it 00026.
13	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.	13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, Colonel, if you
14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And K to L is 2,4	14	look at what is on the screen now you'll see Q and you'll
15	metres.	15	see R and you'll see S; Q, R, S. You see that?
16	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	16	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.
17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And all of that gives	17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, now those are the
18	you a total of 5,2 metres. Those distances put together	18	additional "doppies" which were not indicated as we were
19	gives you, quick arithmetic, gives you a total of 5,2	19	leading you yesterday and which we kept on saying all in
20	metres, but together.	20	good time we will get there. Now we've got there. But the
21	COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept that.	21	point I want to make here is that you can see all of these
22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now would you be	22	"doppies," including the ones that Captain Moshwana found
23	comfortable with a suggestion that I put to you now that	23	on a later visit, this time with him assisted by the aid,
24	the diameter, in other words when you have a circle the	24	in terms of his affidavit, of an metal detector. All of
25	diameter is the line that comes from side to side, the	25	these "doppies" are in the same range within the circle
	Page 26154		Page 26156
1	diameter of the whole would be 12 E to 12 metros? Whereas	1	5
1	diameter of the whole would be 12,5 to 13 metres? Whereas	1	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a
2	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and	2	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to
2 3	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of	2 3	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that?
2 3 4	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that –	2 3 4	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it.
2 3 4 5	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out,	2 3 4 5	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion
2 3 4 5 6	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that.	2 3 4 5 6	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support
2 3 4 5 6 7	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we	2 3 4 5 6	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in
2 3 4 5 6 7	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is about 12, on the outside 13 metres. Now this is the area	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I observe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is about 12, on the outside 13 metres. Now this is the area where all the "doppies" were found. Now I put it to you on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I observe that there's a lot of 9mm "doppies" there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is about 12, on the outside 13 metres. Now this is the area where all the "doppies" were found. Now I put it to you on Captain Moshwana, including his evidence of what was found	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I observe that there's a lot of 9mm "doppies" there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is about 12, on the outside 13 metres. Now this is the area where all the "doppies" were found. Now I put it to you on Captain Moshwana, including his evidence of what was found when he went back, in fact I want us to go to that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I observe that there's a lot of 9mm "doppies" there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: When I was there, there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is about 12, on the outside 13 metres. Now this is the area where all the "doppies" were found. Now I put it to you on Captain Moshwana, including his evidence of what was found when he went back, in fact I want us to go to that affidavit. I don't know, Mr Chairman, whether we gave it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I observe that there's a lot of 9mm "doppies" there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: When I was there, there was one person who was shooting with a R5.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is about 12, on the outside 13 metres. Now this is the area where all the "doppies" were found. Now I put it to you on Captain Moshwana, including his evidence of what was found when he went back, in fact I want us to go to that affidavit. I don't know, Mr Chairman, whether we gave it an exhibit number. The sketch plan to that affidavit, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I observe that there's a lot of 9mm "doppies" there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: When I was there, there was one person who was shooting with a R5. MR NTSEBEZA SC: All in good time –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is about 12, on the outside 13 metres. Now this is the area where all the "doppies" were found. Now I put it to you on Captain Moshwana, including his evidence of what was found when he went back, in fact I want us to go to that affidavit. I don't know, Mr Chairman, whether we gave it an exhibit number. The sketch plan to that affidavit, I don't know whether it's the one that is triple – the sketch	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I observe that there's a lot of 9mm "doppies" there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: When I was there, there was one person who was shooting with a R5. MR NTSEBEZA SC: All in good time – COLONEL VERMAAK: So all the other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is about 12, on the outside 13 metres. Now this is the area where all the "doppies" were found. Now I put it to you on Captain Moshwana, including his evidence of what was found when he went back, in fact I want us to go to that affidavit. I don't know, Mr Chairman, whether we gave it an exhibit number. The sketch plan to that affidavit, I don't know whether it's the one that is triple – the sketch plan on, is it OOO24, does it have a number?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I observe that there's a lot of 9mm "doppies" there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: When I was there, there was one person who was shooting with a R5. MR NTSEBEZA SC: All in good time – COLONEL VERMAAK: So all the other "doppies" I cannot stand in for because why as far as I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is about 12, on the outside 13 metres. Now this is the area where all the "doppies" were found. Now I put it to you on Captain Moshwana, including his evidence of what was found when he went back, in fact I want us to go to that affidavit. I don't know, Mr Chairman, whether we gave it an exhibit number. The sketch plan to that affidavit, I don't know whether it's the one that is triple – the sketch plan on, is it OOO24, does it have a number? CHAIRPERSON: Adv Hemraj points out to me that the statement which is Captain Moshwana's statement has attached to it a copy of the same sketch plan as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I observe that there's a lot of 9mm "doppies" there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: When I was there, there was one person who was shooting with a R5. MR NTSEBEZA SC: All in good time – COLONEL VERMAAK: So all the other "doppies" I cannot stand in for because why as far as I know the people who were with me was firing with a R5.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is about 12, on the outside 13 metres. Now this is the area where all the "doppies" were found. Now I put it to you on Captain Moshwana, including his evidence of what was found when he went back, in fact I want us to go to that affidavit. I don't know, Mr Chairman, whether we gave it an exhibit number. The sketch plan to that affidavit, I don't know whether it's the one that is triple – the sketch plan on, is it OOO24, does it have a number? CHAIRPERSON: Adv Hemraj points out to me that the statement which is Captain Moshwana's statement has attached to it a copy of the same sketch plan as the one we've been looking at already, so we don't have to mark	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I observe that there's a lot of 9mm "doppies" there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: When I was there, there was one person who was shooting with a R5. MR NTSEBEZA SC: All in good time – COLONEL VERMAAK: So all the other "doppies" I cannot stand in for because why as far as I know the people who were with me was firing with a R5. MR NTSEBEZA SC: All in good time. We'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is about 12, on the outside 13 metres. Now this is the area where all the "doppies" were found. Now I put it to you on Captain Moshwana, including his evidence of what was found when he went back, in fact I want us to go to that affidavit. I don't know, Mr Chairman, whether we gave it an exhibit number. The sketch plan to that affidavit, I don't know whether it's the one that is triple – the sketch plan on, is it OOO24, does it have a number? CHAIRPERSON: Adv Hemraj points out to me that the statement which is Captain Moshwana's statement has attached to it a copy of the same sketch plan as the one we've been looking at already, so we don't have to mark it again. One copy is enough.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I observe that there's a lot of 9mm "doppies" there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: When I was there, there was one person who was shooting with a R5. MR NTSEBEZA SC: All in good time – COLONEL VERMAAK: So all the other "doppies" I cannot stand in for because why as far as I know the people who were with me was firing with a R5. MR NTSEBEZA SC: All in good time. We'll get there. We just want you to relax, as we would like everybody else to, that we are not putting anything to you that is a trap or something that we have not provided for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is about 12, on the outside 13 metres. Now this is the area where all the "doppies" were found. Now I put it to you on Captain Moshwana, including his evidence of what was found when he went back, in fact I want us to go to that affidavit. I don't know, Mr Chairman, whether we gave it an exhibit number. The sketch plan to that affidavit, I don't know whether it's the one that is triple – the sketch plan on, is it OOO24, does it have a number? CHAIRPERSON: Adv Hemraj points out to me that the statement which is Captain Moshwana's statement has attached to it a copy of the same sketch plan as the one we've been looking at already, so we don't have to mark it again. One copy is enough. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, there are additional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I observe that there's a lot of 9mm "doppies" there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: When I was there, there was one person who was shooting with a R5. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: So all the other "doppies" I cannot stand in for because why as far as I know the people who were with me was firing with a R5. MR NTSEBEZA SC: All in good time. We'll get there. We just want you to relax, as we would like everybody else to, that we are not putting anything to you that is a trap or something that we have not provided for in this presentation. So there are no trick questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is about 12, on the outside 13 metres. Now this is the area where all the "doppies" were found. Now I put it to you on Captain Moshwana, including his evidence of what was found when he went back, in fact I want us to go to that affidavit. I don't know, Mr Chairman, whether we gave it an exhibit number. The sketch plan to that affidavit, I don't know whether it's the one that is triple – the sketch plan on, is it OOO24, does it have a number? CHAIRPERSON: Adv Hemraj points out to me that the statement which is Captain Moshwana's statement has attached to it a copy of the same sketch plan as the one we've been looking at already, so we don't have to mark it again. One copy is enough. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, there are additional cartridges. That's the ghost we want to exorcise. In this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I observe that there's a lot of 9mm "doppies" there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: When I was there, there was one person who was shooting with a R5. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: So all the other "doppies" I cannot stand in for because why as far as I know the people who were with me was firing with a R5. MR NTSEBEZA SC: All in good time. We'll get there. We just want you to relax, as we would like everybody else to, that we are not putting anything to you that is a trap or something that we have not provided for in this presentation. So there are no trick questions. But can we confirm on the basis of Captain Moshwana's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	if we drew a straight line from B to L we would have, and we said that was the diameter, we would have a circle of 12,5 to 13 metres. Would that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't work it out, but I will take your word for that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll be happy with that. So we are therefore, on your response to my proposition we will argue that our estimation therefore of the diameter is about 12, on the outside 13 metres. Now this is the area where all the "doppies" were found. Now I put it to you on Captain Moshwana, including his evidence of what was found when he went back, in fact I want us to go to that affidavit. I don't know, Mr Chairman, whether we gave it an exhibit number. The sketch plan to that affidavit, I don't know whether it's the one that is triple – the sketch plan on, is it OOO24, does it have a number? CHAIRPERSON: Adv Hemraj points out to me that the statement which is Captain Moshwana's statement has attached to it a copy of the same sketch plan as the one we've been looking at already, so we don't have to mark it again. One copy is enough. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, there are additional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which we have agreed with you is, if we want to give a range, 12, 13 metres. We can give you 14. Do you agree to that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. The conclusion which we want to draw, and I think which you will support in the line of your responses, is therefore that these "doppies" are not in two different locations; they're in one location, almal van hulle. All of them. Do you agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I observe that there's a lot of 9mm "doppies" there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: When I was there, there was one person who was shooting with a R5. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: So all the other "doppies" I cannot stand in for because why as far as I know the people who were with me was firing with a R5. MR NTSEBEZA SC: All in good time. We'll get there. We just want you to relax, as we would like everybody else to, that we are not putting anything to you that is a trap or something that we have not provided for in this presentation. So there are no trick questions.

Pretoria

	Page 26157		Page 26159
1	accounting are in one location?	1	direction that I could remember we were at that stage. The
2	COLONEL VERMAAK: They're on one	2	exact where we were standing I can truly not give it to
3	location, yes.	3	you.
4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's good enough for	4	CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, if you go back to
5	us. Now there may have been a contention yesterday, if we	5	page 20 of the presentation, the sketch plan, that's the
6	go to slide 23, now slide 23, I'm just trying to gather my	6	original plan, one sees that point H is a spent rifle
7	own thoughts now, you see the position of the red circles.	7	cartridges, all the others are spent 9 millimetre
8	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.	8	cartridges. And so would it be a fair summary of the
9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: On the basis of this	9	inference to what you're telling us today, in the light of
10	exercise from which we have just emerged can I put to you	10	what Mr Ntsebeza has put to you, is that when you tried to
11	that the position and size of the red circle where it has	11	indicate it in your evidence in chief where your people,
12	the legend "the position of the cartridges," can we put it	12	who the people who were with you were, you actually got it
13	to you on the basis of what we have just demonstrated that	13	wrong. That you indicated on for example, slide 7 of the
14	that position is approximately – and I don't want to put it	14	presentation a position which we've seen several times on
15	any higher than that – it's approximately correct?	15	the screen, which is different from the position where this
16	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.	16	circle is and all the spent cartridges were found. Would
17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I won't say, in fact it	17	you concede now, in the light of what's been put to you,
18	seems to me that it's too small, but anyway you remember I	18	what you've been shown you, that you made a mistake and
19	said we can make it 14 metres, and can I then make the	19	that you in fact should have said and you would have said
20	suggestion, which I will argue that this is yet another	20	if you'd been aware of what's being put to you that your
21	demonstration that all of these "doppies" were found at the	21	people were standing and were firing from that red circular
22	scene and were found at this position which we indicate	22	section which we've seen in the photograph? Is that fair?
23	there, which is the correct position, or the approximate	23	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I remember why I
24	correct position. Would you agree with that?	24	said it was more or less that point that I've indicated, it
25	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I haven't got a	25	was next to that informal house standing alone, one side
1	Page 26158 problem with Captain Moshwana's sketch plan, so if it is	1	Page 26160 and that is why I could identify it more or less where we
2	according to him the position I agree to that.	2	were standing when the members shoot back with the R5.
3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: That would be	3	CHAIRPERSON: Does that mean that you
4	sufficient. Now what I want to put to you, because that's	4	stay with what you pointed out.
5	what we will argue if there is a contest about it, is that	5	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.
6	given your evidence-in-chief you see where you have on that	6	CHAIRPERSON: You say that any shooting
7	sketch plan, as well – I mean on that slide, as well I	7	was done from the place where all the spent cartridges were
8	think it was slide 7 where we started, you put your SAP	8	found must have been done by other people in your absence.
9	members at a particular position relevant to that small, I	9	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, they
10	mean relevant to that big circle. Now I know what you said	, 10	were not with me for sure.
11	about 18 months and all of that, but going only on what we	11	CHAIRPERSON: And it would appear, it
12	have there and knowing now, as we do, that there was not	12	flows from fact, from what we've heard, that Captain
13	only one visit but a second visit by Captain Moshwana to	13	Moshwana then didn't find any cartridges at the place that
14	the crime scene which you on the 13th had ordered one of	14	you pointed out. And we don't know whether he looked but
15	your members to secure, you recall that.	14	certainly there's no evidence that any spent cartridges
16	[10:24] Now, there were, what I want to put to	16	were found at the place you indicated as opposed from where
17	you is that at that place where you indicate is the	17	shots were fired. Is that fair?
18	position where your members were when they shot, after	17	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, according to his
19	allegedly being shot at by the fleeing workers no	10	statement and what he show on his drawing I accept that. I
20	cartridges were found at that position. Would you agree	20	don't when he was sweeping the whole area as was indicating
20	with that?	20 21	now by Mr Ntsebeza that the next day when he went back he
21	COLONEL VERMAAK: There's only thing	21	discovered another three cartridges on the point that was
22	about your statement, Chair, you mentioned members that was	22 23	pointed out as the area where the people were shooting
25		23 24	from. So there's a possibility, Chair, that he might miss
24	shouting I testitied that there was one memor chooting		
24	shooting, I testified that there was one member shooting		
25	with a R5 and I also said that is just more or less the	24 25	the cartridge, I didn't say he did but I know for sure that

Pretor	ia
TIELUI	ıa

1	Page 26161 informal house was a marker what I can remember we were	1	Page 26163 CHAIRPERSON: Am I understanding you
2	next to it.	2	correctly when you say from the spot that you pointed out
2	CHAIRPERSON: We do know that the three	2	only one shot was fired and that was with an R5?
4	extra spent cartridges he found he found with the aid of a	4	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's a R5.
5	metal detector which that, of course, wasn't the next day,	4 5	CHAIRPERSON: So there wouldn't have been
	-		
6	it was, you know, a day subsequent, it was the 9th of	6	any cartridge, any spent cartridge cases from 9 millimetres
7	October. But then he re-visited the crime scene he says in	7	-
8	000 24, Para 4 and he used a metal detector to search for	8	COLONEL VERMAAK: From 9 millimetres, no.
9	cartridge cases and he found these three Q, R and S. So	9	CHAIRPERSON: - at that spot?
10	it's probable, I would think, they were covered by sand or	10	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair.
11 12	something of that sort which is why he didn't pick them up	11	CHAIRPERSON: There would or should have
	the first up but with the metal detector he could find	12	been one R5 spent cartridge. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. Chair.
13	them. Now how many shots were fired in the vicinity that	13	
14	you were talking about, that you indicated to us on page 23	14	CHAIRPERSON: And if anyone had gone
15	of the presentation, you know that spot near the informal	15	looking for it they might have found it and they have
16	houses, but how many shots were fired? You said an R5 was	16	needed a metal detector by the time they went because it
17 18	used by one member. COLONEL VERMAAK: One member and –	17 18	might been covered by the sand. Is that fair? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is a possibility
10	CHAIRPERSON: How many shots did he fire?	_	1 5
20	COLONEL VERMAAK: He was shooting one	19 20	yes, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, can I just
20	shot from that point.		
22	CHAIRPERSON: Did he only fire one shot?	21 22	follow that up by putting this? Can we agree that Captain Moshwana's affidavit, paragraph 4 thereof, I don't know
22	COLONEL VERMAAK: He fire one shot from	22	what exhibit number is, 00024, he gives two dates. The
23 24	that point.	23 24	first date is the day of the occurrence and the second date
25	CHAIRPERSON: And then the other people	24	is in October. In other words he didn't go there the next
20		20	is in october. In other words he didn't go there the hext
	Page 26162		Page 26164
1	Page 26162 with you, how many people were with you altogether?	1	Page 26164 day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He
1 2	5	1 2	s
	with you, how many people were with you altogether?		day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He
2	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight	2	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree
2 3	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members.	2 3	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the
2 3 4	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I	2 3 4	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S.
2 3 4 5	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units	2 3 4 5	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his
2 3 4 5 6	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols.	2 3 4 5 6	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so I was on a bit of a dwaalspoor for a moment but you had how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, oh yes, yes, yes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, oh yes, yes, yes indeed. And then I think we are able to agree that he put,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so I was on a bit of a dwaalspoor for a moment but you had how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, oh yes, yes, yes indeed. And then I think we are able to agree that he put, in terms of the sketch plan that we have just been looking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so I was on a bit of a dwaalspoor for a moment but you had how many people with you about? COLONEL VERMAAK: About eight members.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, oh yes, yes, yes indeed. And then I think we are able to agree that he put, in terms of the sketch plan that we have just been looking at which was an annexure to his affidavit, that there's three additional doppies, Q, R and S are located in the same circle, the same range as where the other doppies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so I was on a bit of a dwaalspoor for a moment but you had how many people with you about? COLONEL VERMAAK: About eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight. One of them was using an R5 and he only fired once. And the others -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, oh yes, yes, yes indeed. And then I think we are able to agree that he put, in terms of the sketch plan that we have just been looking at which was an annexure to his affidavit, that there's three additional doppies, Q, R and S are located in the same circle, the same range as where the other doppies which had indicated on the 13th of August 2012.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so I was on a bit of a dwaalspoor for a moment but you had how many people with you about? COLONEL VERMAAK: About eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight. One of them was using an R5 and he only fired once. And the others - COLONEL VERMAAK: I have instructed him,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, oh yes, yes, yes indeed. And then I think we are able to agree that he put, in terms of the sketch plan that we have just been looking at which was an annexure to his affidavit, that there's three additional doppies, Q, R and S are located in the same circle, the same range as where the other doppies which had indicated on the 13th of August 2012. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if I can answer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so I was on a bit of a dwaalspoor for a moment but you had how many people with you about? COLONEL VERMAAK: About eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight. One of them was using an R5 and he only fired once. And the others - COLONEL VERMAAK: I have instructed him, Chair, he was the only member that I've instructed to shoot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, oh yes, yes, yes indeed. And then I think we are able to agree that he put, in terms of the sketch plan that we have just been looking at which was an annexure to his affidavit, that there's three additional doppies, Q, R and S are located in the same circle, the same range as where the other doppies which had indicated on the 13th of August 2012. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if I can answer this question by using an example. On the 16th LCRC was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so I was on a bit of a dwaalspoor for a moment but you had how many people with you about? COLONEL VERMAAK: About eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. CHAIRPERSON: I have instructed him, COLONEL VERMAAK: I have instructed him, Chair, he was the only member that I've instructed to shoot at the person who was shooting at us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, oh yes, yes, yes indeed. And then I think we are able to agree that he put, in terms of the sketch plan that we have just been looking at which was an annexure to his affidavit, that there's three additional doppies, Q, R and S are located in the same circle, the same range as where the other doppies which had indicated on the 13th of August 2012. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if I can answer this question by using an example. On the 16th LCRC was also doing the scenes where the people were shot and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so I was on a bit of a dwaalspoor for a moment but you had how many people with you about? COLONEL VERMAAK: About eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight. One of them was using an R5 and he only fired once. And the others - COLONEL VERMAAK: I have instructed him, Chair, he was the only member that I've instructed to shoot at the person who was shooting at us. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, oh yes, yes, yes indeed. And then I think we are able to agree that he put, in terms of the sketch plan that we have just been looking at which was an annexure to his affidavit, that there's three additional doppies, Q, R and S are located in the same circle, the same range as where the other doppies which had indicated on the 13th of August 2012. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if I can answer this question by using an example. On the 16th LCRC was also doing the scenes where the people were shot and I remember it was shown on the television when the President
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so I was on a bit of a dwaalspoor for a moment but you had how many people with you about? COLONEL VERMAAK: About eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight. One of them was using an R5 and he only fired once. And the others - COLONEL VERMAAK: I have instructed him, Chair, he was the only member that I've instructed to shoot at the person who was shooting at us. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes Lunderstand. COLONEL VERMAAK: So nobody else shoot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, oh yes, yes, yes indeed. And then I think we are able to agree that he put, in terms of the sketch plan that we have just been looking at which was an annexure to his affidavit, that there's three additional doppies, Q, R and S are located in the same circle, the same range as where the other doppies which had indicated on the 13th of August 2012. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if I can answer this question by using an example. On the 16th LCRC was also doing the scenes where the people were shot and I remember it was shown on the television when the President visit Marikana the people of the informal settlement handed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so I was on a bit of a dwaalspoor for a moment but you had how many people with you about? COLONEL VERMAAK: About eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight. One of them was using an R5 and he only fired once. And the others - COLONEL VERMAAK: I have instructed him, Chair, he was the only member that I've instructed to shoot at the person who was shooting at us. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I understand. COLONEL VERMAAK: So nobody else shoot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, oh yes, yes, yes indeed. And then I think we are able to agree that he put, in terms of the sketch plan that we have just been looking at which was an annexure to his affidavit, that there's three additional doppies, Q, R and S are located in the same circle, the same range as where the other doppies which had indicated on the 13th of August 2012. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if I can answer this question by using an example. On the 16th LCRC was also doing the scenes where the people were shot and I remember it was shown on the television when the President visit Marikana the people of the informal settlement handed over to him a few doppies as well. So that just shows
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so I was on a bit of a dwaalspoor for a moment but you had how many people with you about? COLONEL VERMAAK: About eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight. One of them was using an R5 and he only fired once. And the others - COLONEL VERMAAK: I have instructed him, Chair, he was the only member that I've instructed to shoot at the person who was shooting at us. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I understand. COLONEL VERMAAK: So nobody else shoot back onto that person. CHAIRPERSON: I see, all right but you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, oh yes, yes, yes indeed. And then I think we are able to agree that he put, in terms of the sketch plan that we have just been looking at which was an annexure to his affidavit, that there's three additional doppies, Q, R and S are located in the same circle, the same range as where the other doppies which had indicated on the 13th of August 2012. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if I can answer this question by using an example. On the 16th LCRC was also doing the scenes where the people were shot and I remember it was shown on the television when the President visit Marikana the people of the informal settlement handed over to him a few doppies as well. So that just shows there is a possibility that he could miss it because why on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so I was on a bit of a dwaalspoor for a moment but you had how many people with you about? COLONEL VERMAAK: About eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight. One of them was using an R5 and he only fired once. And the others - COLONEL VERMAAK: I have instructed him, Chair, he was the only member that I've instructed to shoot at the person who was shooting at us. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I understand. COLONEL VERMAAK: So nobody else shoot back onto that person. CHAIRPERSON: I see, all right but you said other shots were fired. Were they with pistols?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, oh yes, yes, yes indeed. And then I think we are able to agree that he put, in terms of the sketch plan that we have just been looking at which was an annexure to his affidavit, that there's three additional doppies, Q, R and S are located in the same circle, the same range as where the other doppies which had indicated on the 13th of August 2012. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if I can answer this question by using an example. On the 16th LCRC was also doing the scenes where the people were shot and I remember it was shown on the television when the President visit Marikana the people of the informal settlement handed over to him a few doppies as well. So that just shows there is a possibility that he could miss it because why on the 16th there was a whole group of LCRC and forensic people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	with you, how many people were with you altogether? COLONEL VERMAAK: There were about eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight members. I think we understand that the POP there are normally units of eight, there's one R5 and the rest of them are pistols. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. CHAIRPERSON: Ten. COLONEL VERMAAK: Most of the members who was with me was TRT. CHAIRPERSON: Oh TRT, all right. Okay so I was on a bit of a dwaalspoor for a moment but you had how many people with you about? COLONEL VERMAAK: About eight members. CHAIRPERSON: About eight. One of them was using an R5 and he only fired once. And the others - COLONEL VERMAAK: I have instructed him, Chair, he was the only member that I've instructed to shoot at the person who was shooting at us. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I understand. COLONEL VERMAAK: So nobody else shoot back onto that person. CHAIRPERSON: I see, all right but you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	day as Commissioner Hemraj pointed out to the Chairman. He went there three months later, more or less. Can we agree on that? It's on the second visit three months down the line that he now finds Q, R, S. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query his statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You cannot? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot query that statement. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, oh yes, yes, yes indeed. And then I think we are able to agree that he put, in terms of the sketch plan that we have just been looking at which was an annexure to his affidavit, that there's three additional doppies, Q, R and S are located in the same circle, the same range as where the other doppies which had indicated on the 13th of August 2012. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if I can answer this question by using an example. On the 16th LCRC was also doing the scenes where the people were shot and I remember it was shown on the television when the President visit Marikana the people of the informal settlement handed over to him a few doppies as well. So that just shows there is a possibility that he could miss it because why on

		1	
	Page 26165		Page 26167
1	the incident the scene was visited by the President	1	exhibit L with your knowledge and I daresay with your
2	himself. And that was on television where the people	2	participation and that you would have been aware of
3	handed over a few doppies to the President.	3	inaccuracies if there were any in both the affidavits and
4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I hear you, Colonel, but	4	the sketch plans of Captain Moshwana. The sketch plan of
5	are we on the evidence that we have presented so far, are	5	the 13th depicting what he had found on the 13th and the
6	we able to agree that when Captain Moshwana in a crime	6	sketch depicting what he found in October. So I want to
7	scene which you on the 13th had ordered must be secured, he	7	put it to you that we must accept and if you accept it with
8	did his measurements on the 13th and when in October,	8	your concurrence all the better, that insofar as the events
9	approximately three months again, he went there now with	9	of the 13th of August are concerned we have evidence
10	the aid of metal detectors. He locates all the cartridges	10	objectively taken at the scene by Captain Moshwana which
11	which he had none on the 13th as well as on his visits in	11	indicates where the spent cartridges or the doppies, to use
12	October, he finds and locates them and plots them in the	12	a neutral word, were. Do you contest that?
13	same area in the relation to which we agreed that the	13	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, at Roots I
14	diameter about 12 to 13 metres if it were a circle around	14	never saw any statement of any LCRC member. This statement
15	it. Can you agree on that?	15	and this sketch plans I saw it now for the first time when
16	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson –	16	this documents were handed over to me. I cannot say that
17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just leave the President	17	the information in the sketch plans and the statement of
18	alone for now.	18	Captain Moshwana is wrong. What he has observed that is
19	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, the time	19	what he put on his sketch plan and that is also what he
20	period for three months that lapsed that the Captain went	20	testify about.
21	back to the scene I do accept that but I must also point	21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And of course the photos
22	out on the 13th at no stage did the Captain ask me to point	22	that he took are further indications of where he says he
23	out specific points what happened there. He never talked	23	found spent cartridges.
24	to me on the 13th. So he was doing the scene there on his	24	COLONEL VERMAAK: I can accept that.
25	own without any input from my side. The securement of the	25	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I think the
	Page 26166		Page 26168
1	Page 26166 scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was	1	Page 26168 rest with regard to this is something that we will argue,
1 2	5	1 2	5
	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was		rest with regard to this is something that we will argue,
2	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene	2	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the
2 3	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members.	2 3	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the
2 3 4	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to	2 3 4	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a
2 3 4 5	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you.	2 3 4 5	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break.
2 3 4 5 6	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition	2 3 4 5 6	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's
2 3 4 5 6 7	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found	2 3 4 5 6 7	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on the 13th you were observing him, you were aware of what he –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become absolutely necessary.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on the 13th you were observing him, you were aware of what he – you say he just didn't ask you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become absolutely necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you very much, Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on the 13th you were observing him, you were aware of what he – you say he just didn't ask you. COLONEL VERMAAK: Sir, I never saw	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become absolutely necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you very much, Mr Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on the 13th you were observing him, you were aware of what he – you say he just didn't ask you. COLONEL VERMAAK: Sir, I never saw Captain Moshwana there, the member of LCRC who I talked to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become absolutely necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you very much, Mr Chairman. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on the 13th you were observing him, you were aware of what he – you say he just didn't ask you. COLONEL VERMAAK: Sir, I never saw Captain Moshwana there, the member of LCRC who I talked to was Captain Botha, ag Colonel Botha. Colonel Botha was the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become absolutely necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you very much, Mr Chairman. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:08] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on the 13th you were observing him, you were aware of what he – you say he just didn't ask you. COLONEL VERMAAK: Sir, I never saw Captain Moshwana there, the member of LCRC who I talked to was Captain Botha, ag Colonel Botha. Colonel Botha was the member of LCRC who I talked to on the scene. I believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become absolutely necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you very much, Mr Chairman. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:08] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still bound by your affirmation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on the 13th you were observing him, you were aware of what he – you say he just didn't ask you. COLONEL VERMAAK: Sir, I never saw Captain Moshwana there, the member of LCRC who I talked to was Captain Botha, ag Colonel Botha. Colonel Botha was the member of LCRC who I talked to on the scene. I believe that the rest of the team arrived later on when we've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become absolutely necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you very much, Mr Chairman. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:08] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still bound by your affirmation, Lieutenant-Colonel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on the 13th you were observing him, you were aware of what he – you say he just didn't ask you. COLONEL VERMAAK: Sir, I never saw Captain Moshwana there, the member of LCRC who I talked to was Captain Botha, ag Colonel Botha. Colonel Botha was the member of LCRC who I talked to on the scene. I believe that the rest of the team arrived later on when we've already left but they didn't approach me at any time. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become absolutely necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you very much, Mr Chairman. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:08] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still bound by your affirmation, Lieutenant-Colonel. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on the 13th you were observing him, you were aware of what he – you say he just didn't ask you. COLONEL VERMAAK: Sir, I never saw Captain Moshwana there, the member of LCRC who I talked to was Captain Botha, ag Colonel Botha. Colonel Botha was the member of LCRC who I talked to on the scene. I believe that the rest of the team arrived later on when we've already left but they didn't approach me at any time. The only people that they know from LCRC who was there was Colonel Botha.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become absolutely necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you very much, Mr Chairman. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:08] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still bound by your affirmation, Lieutenant-Colonel. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC (CONTD.):
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on the 13th you were observing him, you were aware of what he – you say he just didn't ask you. COLONEL VERMAAK: Sir, I never saw Captain Moshwana there, the member of LCRC who I talked to was Captain Botha, ag Colonel Botha. Colonel Botha was the member of LCRC who I talked to on the scene. I believe that the rest of the team arrived later on when we've already left but they didn't approach me at any time. The only people that they know from LCRC who was there was Colonel Botha. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now secondly, you will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become absolutely necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you very much, Mr Chairman. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:08] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still bound by your affirmation, Lieutenant-Colonel. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC (CONTD.): Thank you, Mr Chairman. Colonel –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on the 13th you were observing him, you were aware of what he – you say he just didn't ask you. COLONEL VERMAAK: Sir, I never saw Captain Moshwana there, the member of LCRC who I talked to was Captain Botha, ag Colonel Botha. Colonel Botha was the member of LCRC who I talked to on the scene. I believe that the rest of the team arrived later on when we've already left but they didn't approach me at any time. The only people that they know from LCRC who was there was Colonel Botha. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now secondly, you will recall that I put it to you yesterday and I'm going to put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become absolutely necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you very much, Mr Chairman. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:08] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still bound by your affirmation, Lieutenant-Colonel. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC (CONTD.): Thank you, Mr Chairman. Colonel – MS PILLAY: Chair, if we can just clear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on the 13th you were observing him, you were aware of what he – you say he just didn't ask you. COLONEL VERMAAK: Sir, I never saw Captain Moshwana there, the member of LCRC who I talked to was Captain Botha, ag Colonel Botha. Colonel Botha was the member of LCRC who I talked to on the scene. I believe that the rest of the team arrived later on when we've already left but they didn't approach me at any time. The only people that they know from LCRC who was there was Colonel Botha. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now secondly, you will recall that I put it to you yesterday and I'm going to put it to you again, that the events of the 13th of August 2012	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become absolutely necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you very much, Mr Chairman. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:08] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still bound by your affirmation, Lieutenant-Colonel. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC (CONTD.): Thank you, Mr Chairman. Colonel – MS PILLAY: Chair, if we can just clear up the question of Mr Moshwana's statement; I think we've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on the 13th you were observing him, you were aware of what he – you say he just didn't ask you. COLONEL VERMAAK: Sir, I never saw Captain Moshwana there, the member of LCRC who I talked to was Captain Botha, ag Colonel Botha. Colonel Botha was the member of LCRC who I talked to on the scene. I believe that the rest of the team arrived later on when we've already left but they didn't approach me at any time. The only people that they know from LCRC who was there was Colonel Botha. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now secondly, you will recall that I put it to you yesterday and I'm going to put it to you again, that the events of the 13th of August 2012 with your participation became a subject of debate at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become absolutely necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you very much, Mr Chairman. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:08] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still bound by your affirmation, Lieutenant-Colonel. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC (CONTD.): Thank you, Mr Chairman. Colonel – MS PILLAY: Chair, if we can just clear up the question of Mr Moshwana's statement; I think we've marked it twice as an exhibit, as OOO24 and OOO26.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	scene was not a scene where they were shooting from, it was a scene where we found the body. That is the crime scene where I posted the members. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I don't want to be fair to you, I mean I don't want to be unfair to you. You see I understand you to have accepted the proposition which I put, all the cartridges, all the doppies were found in the same circle. But let me just take you up on that. You see you give me the impression when you give that response, that as Captain Moshwana was doing his thing on the 13th you were observing him, you were aware of what he – you say he just didn't ask you. COLONEL VERMAAK: Sir, I never saw Captain Moshwana there, the member of LCRC who I talked to was Captain Botha, ag Colonel Botha. Colonel Botha was the member of LCRC who I talked to on the scene. I believe that the rest of the team arrived later on when we've already left but they didn't approach me at any time. The only people that they know from LCRC who was there was Colonel Botha. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now secondly, you will recall that I put it to you yesterday and I'm going to put it to you again, that the events of the 13th of August 2012	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rest with regard to this is something that we will argue, but I just felt it was important that I give you the benefit of a comment. Mr Chairman, I know that I am the one who should be concerned about time but it would be a convenient stage at this time to take the comfort break. CHAIRPERSON: You're not the only who's concerned about time but we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take the – this will be the tea adjournment and we will take it now and then from the end of the adjournment through until 12:30 we will proceed without any further adjournments unless they become absolutely necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you very much, Mr Chairman. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:08] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. You're still bound by your affirmation, Lieutenant-Colonel. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC (CONTD.): Thank you, Mr Chairman. Colonel – MS PILLAY: Chair, if we can just clear up the question of Mr Moshwana's statement; I think we've

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 26169 and we'll just keep it as OOO24. It won't be the only document that will figure twice in the papers. It will join all the others in only figuring once. Adv Hemraj draws my attention to the fact that the one we marked – well, I'm not quite sure which is which, but OOO26 we do need, I think, as a self-standing exhibit because that's the second sketch plan which adds Q, R and S. Is that correct, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, it is. It was annexed to the – CHAIRPERSON: What we'll do, we'll change the situation. We won't mark his statement twice. We withdraw the allocation of OOO26 to the second copy of his statement but we will keep it for the sketch plan, the second sketch plan to which Mr Ntsebezar referred, the one which includes Q, R and S. Will that be in order, Ms Pillay? Do I have your permission to do that? MS PILLAY: That's fine. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Your permission, my learned friend. CHAIRPERSON: Do I have your permission as well, Mr Ntsebeza? That's very nice. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, it is – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, it is – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not going to ask	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 26171 of this exercise we will argue that the only reasonable conclusion from this evidence is that Mr Sokanyile was shot from the position where not just the majority but all of the cartridges, or as we have now come to call them, the "doppies," were found. Do you have a difficulty with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, I cannot speculate on that. I just can give my statement from what I have experienced on that day. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. No, I was not asking you to speculate. I was asking you to comment on what we say is exhibited by the object evidence, but I've taken note of your response. I now want to get on to new matter and that matter is who shot Mr Sokanyile and relevant thereto there is a new exhibit, Mr Chairman, which I would like to introduce. It's the affidavit of Officer – now I don't know how to pronounce this, De Caires, do you know, Colonel, how do we pronounce that D-E, and then C-A-I-R-E- S? De Caires. COLONEL VERMAAK: I just want to see if – MR NTSEBEZA SC: My junior doesn't know it. CHAIRPERSON: It looks like [Microphone off, inaudible]. Or have I been given the wrong one? Oh,
25	anybody else.	25	I was let down there for a moment. I'm getting the right
1 2 3 4	Page 26170 MR NTSEBEZA SC: It is by agreement with my learned friend. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, let's carry on with the cross-examination.	1 2 3 4	Page 26172 one now. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I don't know how to – CHAIRPERSON: Don't worry, give me a
5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.	5	copy, I'll endeavour to pronounce his name. Unless it's a
6	CHAIRPERSON: You'll be finished by half	6	Venda, in which case –
7	past 12, Mr Ntsebeza, I believe?	7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, it looks like
8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: We'll make the best	8	Portuguese or Spanish. De Caires.
9	endeavour to do so.	9	CHAIRPERSON: Oh, it looks like Silva De
10	CHAIRPERSON: Otherwise you're going to	10	Caires. Do you know Cindy, it looks like a lady, she's a
11	absent yourself from the JSC next week, are you?	11	warrant-officer –
12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: On Tuesday we'll be in	12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
13	the middle of interviewing potential judges. We'll see.	13	CHAIRPERSON: Forensic analyst, she's in
14	Thank you, Mr Chairman. Now Colonel, I will move to the	14	the ballistic section. I don't know if you –
15	next topic. I just want to conclude the exercise which	15	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know her.
16	we've just emerged from by putting to you that on the	16	CHAIRPERSON: She's Cindy Maria Silva de
16 17 18 19 20	objective evidence which we have taken you through, and I'm not unmindful of all the other possibilities which you raise about whether on the day of the visit of the President some other "doppies" were picked up and all of	16 17 18 19 20	Charge Solv: She's Cindy Mana Silva de Caires. It sound like a Portuguese name, I would guess. It's spelt D – her surname, probably with these Spanish and Portuguese names they have, it goes, the whole name is probably Silva de Caires. Silva, S-I-L-V-A, de, D-E of
21	that. That is not before the Commissioner, I mean the	21	course, and Caires, C-A-I-R-E-S.
22	Chairman and the Commissioners, but you know, I've taken	22	COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept, Chair.
23	note of that.	23	CHAIRPERSON: If she's Spanish her maiden
24	But what I am putting to you is that on the	24	name would have been Silva and she would have married Mr
25	objective evidence which we have demonstrated to you by way	25	Caires, but whether that applies that Portuguese people I

1	Page 26173	1	Page 26175
1	don't know. But what exhibit do we want Warrant-Officer –	1	top left corner and the number is 268994/2012. You see
2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: De Caires.	2	that?
3	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, what exhibit number do we give it? 00027? 00027, Ms Pillay, is that okay?	3 4	COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: On the next page
4 5	MS PILLAY: That's correct, Chair.	4 5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: On the next page thereto, it's now typed and it's very clear, this time on
6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh, I depend on Ms	6	the top right-hand corner of the page. Do you see that?
7	Pillay and my juniors, Mr Chairman, for these kinds of	7	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.
8	exercises.	8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, now if we turned to
9	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well I hope we've	9	paragraph 3 of this exhibit, now you see there in
10	pronounced her name correctly, but we've marked her	10	paragraphs 3 to 4 Adjudant de Caires list the cartridges
11	affidavit so now you can put it to the witness to see what	11	that were found on the scene. Do you see that?
12	happens.	12	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.
13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. Just so that	13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And from these
14	we are sure that we are speaking about the same incidents,	14	paragraphs, in particular paragraphs 3.1 to 3.2 and 4.1 to
15	same persons and everything else, we have been referring to	15	4.3 she lists 17 cartridges in total that she testifies or
16	this CAS-number, 115/08/2012. You see on the first page of	16	she deposes to as having been found at the scene,
17	exhibit 00027 –	17	cartridges B to O and Q to S. You see that, in those
18	CHAIRPERSON: What's the CAS-number?	18	paragraphs?
19	Sorry.	19	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.
20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's –	20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now all of these
21	CHAIRPERSON: 115?	21	cartridges besides H were 9mm cartridges according to what
22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's 115, Mr Chairman,	22	she says here, correct?
23	/08/2012.	23	COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept the statement.
24	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well if you look at	24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: H, as the Chairman has
25	page 2 of your presentation, which is OOO23, you will see	25	pointed out to you in the diagram is indeed a rifle
	Page 26174		Page 26176
1	that that number is given to your circle which is where all	1	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that?
2	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found.	2	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do.
2 3	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.	2 3	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle
2 3 4	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID	2 3 4	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were
2 3 4 5	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr	2 3 4 5	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have
2 3 4 5 6	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012.	2 3 4 5 6	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that
2 3 4 5 6 7	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So now we know we're in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then if we went to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So now we know we're in business. We know that Warrant-Officer Silva de Caires's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then if we went to paragraph 6.2, 6.2 – oh yes, you see, and if we read that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So now we know we're in business. We know that Warrant-Officer Silva de Caires's affidavit relates to Mr Sokanyile's case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then if we went to paragraph 6.2, 6.2 – oh yes, you see, and if we read that with paragraphs 3.2 and 4.2, her conclusion is that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So now we know we're in business. We know that Warrant-Officer Silva de Caires's affidavit relates to Mr Sokanyile's case. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there is another	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then if we went to paragraph 6.2, 6.2 – oh yes, you see, and if we read that with paragraphs 3.2 and 4.2, her conclusion is that the "doppies" F, I, N and Q were fired from the same firearm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So now we know we're in business. We know that Warrant-Officer Silva de Caires's affidavit relates to Mr Sokanyile's case. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there is another number which I think will be able to inform us that we are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then if we went to paragraph 6.2, 6.2 – oh yes, you see, and if we read that with paragraphs 3.2 and 4.2, her conclusion is that the "doppies" F, I, N and Q were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? That conclusion which she –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So now we know we're in business. We know that Warrant-Officer Silva de Caires's affidavit relates to Mr Sokanyile's case. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there is another number which I think will be able to inform us that we are dealing with the same and that what is called a LAB-number,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then if we went to paragraph 6.2, 6.2 – oh yes, you see, and if we read that with paragraphs 3.2 and 4.2, her conclusion is that the "doppies" F, I, N and Q were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? That conclusion which she – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So now we know we're in business. We know that Warrant-Officer Silva de Caires's affidavit relates to Mr Sokanyile's case. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there is another number which I think will be able to inform us that we are dealing with the same and that what is called a LAB-number, L-A-B number. I don't know what LAB stands for.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then if we went to paragraph 6.2, 6.2 – oh yes, you see, and if we read that with paragraphs 3.2 and 4.2, her conclusion is that the "doppies" F, I, N and Q were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? That conclusion which she – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - she makes. She says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So now we know we're in business. We know that Warrant-Officer Silva de Caires's affidavit relates to Mr Sokanyile's case. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there is another number which I think will be able to inform us that we are dealing with the same and that what is called a LAB-number, L-A-B number. I don't know what LAB stands for. CHAIRPERSON: Laboratory?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then if we went to paragraph 6.2, 6.2 – oh yes, you see, and if we read that with paragraphs 3.2 and 4.2, her conclusion is that the "doppies" F, I, N and Q were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? That conclusion which she – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - she makes. She says so in so many words in 6.2, the cartridge case mentioned in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So now we know we're in business. We know that Warrant-Officer Silva de Caires's affidavit relates to Mr Sokanyile's case. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there is another number which I think will be able to inform us that we are dealing with the same and that what is called a LAB-number, L-A-B number. I don't know what LAB stands for. CHAIRPERSON: Laboratory? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then if we went to paragraph 6.2, 6.2 – oh yes, you see, and if we read that with paragraphs 3.2 and 4.2, her conclusion is that the "doppies" F, I, N and Q were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? That conclusion which she – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - she makes. She says so in so many words in 6.2, the cartridge case mentioned in 3.2 was fired in the same firearm as the cartridges –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So now we know we're in business. We know that Warrant-Officer Silva de Caires's affidavit relates to Mr Sokanyile's case. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there is another number which I think will be able to inform us that we are dealing with the same and that what is called a LAB-number, L-A-B number. I don't know what LAB stands for. CHAIRPERSON: Laboratory? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: It's probably the number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then if we went to paragraph 6.2, 6.2 – oh yes, you see, and if we read that with paragraphs 3.2 and 4.2, her conclusion is that the "doppies" F, I, N and Q were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? That conclusion which she – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - she makes. She says so in so many words in 6.2, the cartridge case mentioned in 3.2 was fired in the same firearm as the cartridges – sorry, I mean the conclusion is there. We should then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So now we know we're in business. We know that Warrant-Officer Silva de Caires's affidavit relates to Mr Sokanyile's case. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there is another number which I think will be able to inform us that we are dealing with the same and that what is called a LAB-number, L-A-B number. I don't know what LAB stands for. CHAIRPERSON: Laboratory? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then if we went to paragraph 6.2, 6.2 – oh yes, you see, and if we read that with paragraphs 3.2 and 4.2, her conclusion is that the "doppies" F, I, N and Q were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? That conclusion which she – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - she makes. She says so in so many words in 6.2, the cartridge case mentioned in 3.2 was fired in the same firearm as the cartridges –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So now we know we're in business. We know that Warrant-Officer Silva de Caires's affidavit relates to Mr Sokanyile's case. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there is another number which I think will be able to inform us that we are dealing with the same and that what is called a LAB-number, L-A-B number. I don't know what LAB stands for. CHAIRPERSON: Laboratory? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: It's probably the number of these particular exhibits that were tested at the forensic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then if we went to paragraph 6.2, 6.2 – oh yes, you see, and if we read that with paragraphs 3.2 and 4.2, her conclusion is that the "doppies" F, I, N and Q were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? That conclusion which she – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - she makes. She says so in so many words in 6.2, the cartridge case mentioned in 3.2 was fired in the same firearm as the cartridges – sorry, I mean the conclusion is there. We should then leave cartridge H, which we now know to have been the rifle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So now we know we're in business. We know that Warrant-Officer Silva de Caires's affidavit relates to Mr Sokanyile's case. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there is another number which I think will be able to inform us that we are dealing with the same and that what is called a LAB-number, L-A-B number. I don't know what LAB stands for. CHAIRPERSON: Laboratory? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: It's probably the number of these particular exhibits that were tested at the forensic science laboratory.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then if we went to paragraph 6.2, 6.2 – oh yes, you see, and if we read that with paragraphs 3.2 and 4.2, her conclusion is that the "doppies" F, I, N and Q were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? That conclusion which she – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - she makes. She says so in so many words in 6.2, the cartridge case mentioned in 3.2 was fired in the same firearm as the cartridges – sorry, I mean the conclusion is there. We should then leave cartridge H, which we now know to have been the rifle "doppie" which was fired obviously because of its nature
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	that that number is given to your circle which is where all the "doppies" were found. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So it looks as if the IPID file, IPID docket in respect of the death of the late Mr Sokanyile is CAS115/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: 115, yes Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Will you agree with that, Lieutenant-Colonel? Does that make sense to you? Right, okay. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So now we know we're in business. We know that Warrant-Officer Silva de Caires's affidavit relates to Mr Sokanyile's case. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there is another number which I think will be able to inform us that we are dealing with the same and that what is called a LAB-number, L-A-B number. I don't know what LAB stands for. CHAIRPERSON: Laboratory? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: It's probably the number of these particular exhibits that were tested at the forensic science laboratory. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, on the first page,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	cartridge, 5.56mm rifle cartridge. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So there was one rifle "doppie" in the scene in which all these cartridges were found. Now from paragraph 6, 6.1 read with what we have just gone through, Warrant-Officer de Caires concludes that cartridges B to E and cartridge G and cartridge J to M and cartridge O, R, S, which were the ones that were found later on by Moshwana, she concludes that they all were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then if we went to paragraph 6.2, 6.2 – oh yes, you see, and if we read that with paragraphs 3.2 and 4.2, her conclusion is that the "doppies" F, I, N and Q were fired from the same firearm. Do you see that? That conclusion which she – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - she makes. She says so in so many words in 6.2, the cartridge case mentioned in 3.2 was fired in the same firearm as the cartridges – sorry, I mean the conclusion is there. We should then leave cartridge H, which we now know to have been the rifle "doppie" which was fired obviously because of its nature from a separate firearm. You would agree with that, with

		l.	
1	Page 26177	1	Page 26179
1	COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept.	1	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, it's at the point
2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. In fact I mean	2	where they found the "doppies," which is –
3	that's the inference that we obviously would be able to	3	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree there.
4	draw. So it seems to me that we are able from all of this	4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now there is a
5	to suggest, and we would argue that three firearms fired	5	discharge sheet which I believe is already an exhibit, Mr
6	the cartridges that were found at that scene, if we look at	6	Chair, HHH23. It's a discharge sheet for the 13th of
7	what Warrant-Officer Silva de Caires has compiled, is it	7	August, which is again in question. Have you got that? We
8	two 9mm pistols and one R5.	8	have 6 there, the number 6. Again you can see there a
9	COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept the statement.	9	number which we have become familiar with, serial number
10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Mr Chairman, can we	10	336969, which would seem to point that the member who
11	introduce another affidavit as a new exhibit, and that	11 12	carried the firearm on the day is Constable Mguye. You see
12	would be the statement – no, affidavit of Colonel Pieterse.	12	that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON: Lieutenant-Colonel		
14	Pieterse.	14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: It would be a reasonable
15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Lieutenant-Colonel	15	inference therefore to draw from this that it was Constable
16	Pieterse.	16	Mguye on this evidence that fired the cartridge H that was
17	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MS PILLAY: Chair, this will be OOO28.	17	found on the scene on the 13th of August 2012. Is that correct?
18 19	MS PILLAY: Chair, this will be OOO28. CHAIRPERSON: OOO28 and it's an affidavit	18 19	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, but I
20	of Lieutenant-Colonel [Microphone off, inaudible]. Sorry,	20	see he did fire 10 rounds.
20	was my microphone on? The affidavit of Lieutenant-Colonel	20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Come again.
22	David Stephanus [inaudible] Pieterse is exhibit 00028.	22	COLONEL VERMAAK: He fired 10 in total.
23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now if we went to	23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
24	paragraph 10, you see the same LAB-number. You see in	24	CHAIRPERSON: I see he's TRT Rustenburg.
25	paragraph 10 we have the same LAB-number that refers to Mr	25	Was he one of the TRT people who went with you – remember
	Page 26178		Page 26180
1	Sokanyile in that paragraph. You see that?	1	
		1	you told us how you went in the direction of the stream and
2	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.	2	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you
2 3	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing		you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know
3 4	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see	2 3 4	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you?
3	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You	2 3 4 5	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice
3 4 5 6	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that?	2 3 4 5 6	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't
3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they –
3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3. CHAIRPERSON: 13, 1-3, point 2.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me. CHAIRPERSON: You did tell us they were
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3. CHAIRPERSON: 13, 1-3, point 2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we again see that H,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me. CHAIRPERSON: You did tell us they were TRT –
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3. CHAIRPERSON: 13, 1-3, point 2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we again see that H, you remember there was still this "doppie" H. Now it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me. CHAIRPERSON: You did tell us they were TRT – COLONEL VERMAAK: I said they were TRT,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3. CHAIRPERSON: 13, 1-3, point 2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we again see that H, you remember there was still this "doppie" H. Now it's cartridge 268994/12, H. It was fired from the rifle with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me. CHAIRPERSON: You did tell us they were TRT – COLONEL VERMAAK: I said they were TRT, yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3. CHAIRPERSON: 13, 1-3, point 2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we again see that H, you remember there was still this "doppie" H. Now it's cartridge 268994/12, H. It was fired from the rifle with serial number that. You see that? 22336969. I don't know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me. CHAIRPERSON: You did tell us they were TRT – COLONEL VERMAAK: I said they were TRT, yes. CHAIRPERSON: From Rustenburg?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3. CHAIRPERSON: 13, 1-3, point 2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we again see that H, you remember there was still this "doppie" H. Now it's cartridge 268994/12, H. It was fired from the rifle with serial number that. You see that? 22336969. I don't know whether you say 669, or 696.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me. CHAIRPERSON: You did tell us they were TRT – COLONEL VERMAAK: I said they were TRT, yes. CHAIRPERSON: From Rustenburg? COLONEL VERMAAK: I asked them from where
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3. CHAIRPERSON: 13, 1-3, point 2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we again see that H, you remember there was still this "doppie" H. Now it's cartridge 268994/12, H. It was fired from the rifle with serial number that. You see that? 22336969. I don't know whether you say 669, or 696. [11:28] COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me. CHAIRPERSON: You did tell us they were TRT – COLONEL VERMAAK: I said they were TRT, yes. CHAIRPERSON: From Rustenburg? COLONEL VERMAAK: I asked them from where they are and they said Rustenburg, but I didn't have their
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3. CHAIRPERSON: 13, 1-3, point 2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we again see that H, you remember there was still this "doppie" H. Now it's cartridge 268994/12, H. It was fired from the rifle with serial number that. You see that? 22336969. I don't know whether you say 669, or 696. [11:28] COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, or just to link	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me. CHAIRPERSON: You did tell us they were TRT – COLONEL VERMAAK: I said they were TRT, yes. CHAIRPERSON: From Rustenburg? COLONEL VERMAAK: I asked them from where they are and they said Rustenburg, but I didn't have their names available.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3. CHAIRPERSON: 13, 1-3, point 2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we again see that H, you remember there was still this "doppie" H. Now it's cartridge 268994/12, H. It was fired from the rifle with serial number that. You see that? 22336969. I don't know whether you say 669, or 696. [11:28] COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, or just to link everything, the number 268994/12 tells us that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me. CHAIRPERSON: You did tell us they were TRT – COLONEL VERMAAK: I said they were TRT, yes. CHAIRPERSON: From Rustenburg? COLONEL VERMAAK: I asked them from where they are and they said Rustenburg, but I didn't have their names available. CHAIRPERSON: This point has now been
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3. CHAIRPERSON: 13, 1-3, point 2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we again see that H, you remember there was still this "doppie" H. Now it's cartridge 268994/12, H. It was fired from the rifle with serial number that. You see that? 22336969. I don't know whether you say 669, or 696. [11:28] COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, or just to link everything, the number 268994/12 tells us that this cartridge H, or "doppie" H is the cartridge, or the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me. CHAIRPERSON: You did tell us they were TRT – COLONEL VERMAAK: I said they were TRT, yes. CHAIRPERSON: From Rustenburg? COLONEL VERMAAK: I asked them from where they are and they said Rustenburg, but I didn't have their names available. CHAIRPERSON: This point has now been effectively brought forward for the, into the clear light
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3. CHAIRPERSON: 13, 1-3, point 2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we again see that H, you remember there was still this "doppie" H. Now it's cartridge 268994/12, H. It was fired from the rifle with serial number that. You see that? 22336969. I don't know whether you say 669, or 696. [11:28] COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, or just to link everything, the number 268994/12 tells us that this cartridge H, or "doppie" H is the cartridge, or the "doppie" H that was found at the scene where Mr Sokanyile	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me. CHAIRPERSON: You did tell us they were TRT – COLONEL VERMAAK: I said they were TRT, yes. CHAIRPERSON: From Rustenburg? COLONEL VERMAAK: I asked them from where they are and they said Rustenburg, but I didn't have their names available. CHAIRPERSON: This point has now been
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3. CHAIRPERSON: 13, 1-3, point 2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we again see that H, you remember there was still this "doppie" H. Now it's cartridge 268994/12, H. It was fired from the rifle with serial number that. You see that? 22336969. I don't know whether you say 669, or 696. [11:28] COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, or just to link everything, the number 268994/12 tells us that this cartridge H, or "doppie" H is the cartridge, or the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me. CHAIRPERSON: You did tell us they were TRT – COLONEL VERMAAK: I said they were TRT, yes. CHAIRPERSON: From Rustenburg? COLONEL VERMAAK: I asked them from where they are and they said Rustenburg, but I didn't have their names available. CHAIRPERSON: This point has now been effectively brought forward for the, into the clear light of day for the first time. So Constable Mguye apparently
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3. CHAIRPERSON: 13, 1-3, point 2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we again see that H, you remember there was still this "doppie" H. Now it's cartridge 268994/12, H. It was fired from the rifle with serial number that. You see that? 22336969. I don't know whether you say 669, or 696. [11:28] COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, or just to link everything, the number 268994/12 tells us that this cartridge H, or "doppie" H is the cartridge, or the "doppie" H that was found at the scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed. Are we able to agree on that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me. CHAIRPERSON: You did tell us they were TRT – COLONEL VERMAAK: I said they were TRT, yes. CHAIRPERSON: From Rustenburg? COLONEL VERMAAK: I asked them from where they are and they said Rustenburg, but I didn't have their names available. CHAIRPERSON: This point has now been effectively brought forward for the, into the clear light of day for the first time. So Constable Mguye apparently fired 10 times with his R5. You don't know if he was one
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: So ja, we are dealing with the same thing. Now that paragraph as you can see lists 17 cartridges that were collected at the scene. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 13.2 – 13.2, please. Is there somebody who responds to me who should put that thing on the screen? 13.2. 13, 1-3. CHAIRPERSON: 13, 1-3, point 2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we again see that H, you remember there was still this "doppie" H. Now it's cartridge 268994/12, H. It was fired from the rifle with serial number that. You see that? 22336969. I don't know whether you say 669, or 696. [11:28] COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, or just to link everything, the number 268994/12 tells us that this cartridge H, or "doppie" H is the cartridge, or the "doppie" H that was found at the scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed. Are we able to agree on that? COLONEL VERMAAK: At the point where they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you told us that only one R5 shot was fired. Now do you know who fired that shot? Or alternatively, do you know whether Constable Mguye was one of those who went with you? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I now take notice of that for the first time, Chair. At that stage I didn't know the members, as I testified and said they – MR NTSEBEZA SC: "Onbekend." COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, they were unknown to me. CHAIRPERSON: You did tell us they were TRT – COLONEL VERMAAK: I said they were TRT, yes. CHAIRPERSON: From Rustenburg? COLONEL VERMAAK: I asked them from where they are and they said Rustenburg, but I didn't have their names available. CHAIRPERSON: This point has now been effectively brought forward for the, into the clear light of day for the first time. So Constable Mguye apparently fired 10 times with his R5. You don't know if he was one of those with you and if he was – firstly, you don't know

1	Page 26181	1	Page 26183 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now serial number of his
1	about. That's something you can't help us on. COLONEL VERMAAK: Well, the R5 that they	2	gun –
3	found in that specific area I can say that is, there's no	2	CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I'm probably
4	doubt about that.	4	being my usual obtuse self, but I don't see a Yende here on
5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.	5	this thing. Ah, there we are, now we've got Yende. He had
6	COLONEL VERMAAK: But the fact that I	6	Q049587. That was his – but there was a PX one. What were
7	said that is not the point where I was where the people, or	7	the two numbers we were looking at before? There was a PX
8	where the person was shooting from, that could be that they	8	something. Where Thupe, Captain Thupe as we mentioned
9	were at a different stage there. I don't know.	9	earlier, he had a –
10	CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand that, but	7 10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
11	of course if Mguye was one of the people with you and if	11	CHAIRPERSON: - he had a 9mm PX2693E, but
12	only one shot was fired and then one – the total effect of	12	when we had the exhibit before us of the, by, was it
13	all the evidence might indicate that your memory is	13	Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse, what were the – here I've got
14	mistaken as to where you were with the people with you when	14	it. We're looking for Q049587. There we've got it.
14	the shots were fired. I understand you've got a clear	15	That's Yende.
16	recollection that you told us about, but I think what's	16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's Constable Yende.
17	being investigated now is whether you're not possibly	10	CHAIRPERSON: That's Constable Yende, and
17	mistaken and - but you can't help us as to whether	17	there's also Q036933. Is that there?
19	Constable Mguye was with you, but maybe we must, that can	19	COLONEL VERMAAK: It's Mogale.
20	be investigated further. Anyway, at the moment it's	20	CHAIRPERSON: That's Mogale.
20	inconclusive.	20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, we are getting
22	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.	22	there.
22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: What however is	22	CHAIRPERSON: They are both POPS people
23	conclusive, and I'd like to debate this with you, is that	24	from, Mogale is a warrant-officer, Yende is a constable.
25	however many cartridges he fired from his rifle on that day	25	They were POPS people from Johannesburg. So they fired 14
20		20	
	Page 26182		Page 26184
1	– 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that	1	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I
1 2	– 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the	2	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that?
	– 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that		and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I
2 3 4	– 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that?	2 3 4	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman.
2 3 4 5	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. 	2 3	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked?
2 3 4 5 6	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn 	2 3 4	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the
2 3 4 5 6 7	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think 	2 3 4 5 6 7	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with serial number Q049587. Is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by step, Mr Chairman –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with serial number Q049587. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by step, Mr Chairman – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Ntsebeza.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with serial number Q049587. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by step, Mr Chairman – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, Mr Chairman,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with serial number Q049587. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, in the interest of time I don't know whether we should read that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by step, Mr Chairman – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, Mr Chairman, it's okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with serial number Q049587. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, in the interest of time I don't know whether we should read that into the record, but that's what it says. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by step, Mr Chairman – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, Mr Chairman, it's okay. CHAIRPERSON: Carry on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with serial number Q049587. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, in the interest of time I don't know whether we should read that into the record, but that's what it says. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by step, Mr Chairman – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, Mr Chairman, it's okay. CHAIRPERSON: Carry on. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. Colonel,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with serial number Q049587. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, in the interest of time I don't know whether we should read that into the record, but that's what it says. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] on the exhibits before us, so – 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by step, Mr Chairman – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, Mr Chairman, it's okay. CHAIRPERSON: Carry on. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. Colonel, just to just place it on the record, because the Chairman
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with serial number Q049587. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, in the interest of time I don't know whether we should read that into the record, but that's what it says. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] on the exhibits before us, so – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Yes, Mr Chairman. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by step, Mr Chairman – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, Mr Chairman, it's okay. CHAIRPERSON: Carry on. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. Colonel, just to just place it on the record, because the Chairman has got the grip of all of it and the Commissioners they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with serial number Q049587. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, in the interest of time I don't know whether we should read that into the record, but that's what it says. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] on the exhibits before us, so – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Yes, Mr Chairman. Now then let's do again this exercise, let's go to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by step, Mr Chairman – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, Mr Chairman, it's okay. CHAIRPERSON: Carry on. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. Colonel, just to just place it on the record, because the Chairman has got the grip of all of it and the Commissioners they have seen where we want to go; there is a serial number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with serial number Q049587. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, in the interest of time I don't know whether we should read that into the record, but that's what it says. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] on the exhibits before us, so – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Yes, Mr Chairman. Now then let's do again this exercise, let's go to the discharge sheet and let's look at number 15 thereof. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by step, Mr Chairman – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, Mr Chairman, it's okay. CHAIRPERSON: Carry on. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. Colonel, just to just place it on the record, because the Chairman has got the grip of all of it and the Commissioners they have seen where we want to go; there is a serial number there of Constable Yende's firearm, a 9mm, it's Q049587.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with serial number Q049587. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, in the interest of time I don't know whether we should read that into the record, but that's what it says. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] on the exhibits before us, so – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Yes, Mr Chairman. Now then let's do again this exercise, let's go to the discharge sheet and let's look at number 15 thereof. Number 15 tells us that we are dealing with Constable 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by step, Mr Chairman – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, Mr Chairman, it's okay. CHAIRPERSON: Carry on. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. Colonel, just to just place it on the record, because the Chairman has got the grip of all of it and the Commissioners they have seen where we want to go; there is a serial number there of Constable Yende's firearm, a 9mm, it's Q049587. You see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 - 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with serial number Q049587. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, in the interest of time I don't know whether we should read that into the record, but that's what it says. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] on the exhibits before us, so – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Yes, Mr Chairman. Now then let's do again this exercise, let's go to the discharge sheet and let's look at number 15 thereof. Number 15 tells us that we are dealing with Constable Nyende, or Yende. You never know sometimes. Do you see 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by step, Mr Chairman – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, Mr Chairman, it's okay. CHAIRPERSON: Carry on. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. Colonel, just to just place it on the record, because the Chairman has got the grip of all of it and the Commissioners they have seen where we want to go; there is a serial number there of Constable Yende's firearm, a 9mm, it's Q049587. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Is it Yende's firearm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with serial number Q049587. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, in the interest of time I don't know whether we should read that into the record, but that's what it says. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] on the exhibits before us, so – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Yes, Mr Chairman. Now then let's do again this exercise, let's go to the discharge sheet and let's look at number 15 thereof. Number 15 tells us that we are dealing with Constable Nyende, or Yende. You never know sometimes. Do you see that, Colonel? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by step, Mr Chairman – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, Mr Chairman, it's okay. CHAIRPERSON: Carry on. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. Colonel, just to just place it on the record, because the Chairman has got the grip of all of it and the Commissioners they have seen where we want to go; there is a serial number there of Constable Yende's firearm, a 9mm, it's Q049587. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Is it Yende's firearm number?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 - 10, 20, 30, whatever the number – there's one thing that this evidence shows, is that one of those was fired at the place where we found the other cartridges. You agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I do agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Alright, now if we turn to 13.4 of Lieutenant-Colonel Pieterse's affidavit, I think it is OOO28, you see there what it says in 13.4. That speaks for itself, but do you agree that what it says is that those "doppies" were fired, those are "doppies" of cartridges which had been fired from the firearm with serial number Q049587. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, in the interest of time I don't know whether we should read that into the record, but that's what it says. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] on the exhibits before us, so – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Yes, Mr Chairman. Now then let's do again this exercise, let's go to the discharge sheet and let's look at number 15 thereof. Number 15 tells us that we are dealing with Constable Nyende, or Yende. You never know sometimes. Do you see 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and 12, and then we've got PX3749E, that was the one I mentioned earlier. Where is that? MR NTSEBEZA SC: They are not for us, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: They're not linked? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, they may be in the discharge sheet, but we are trying to isolate in the discharge sheet only those "doppies" that are relevant to what we have been canvassing with the Colonel as having been reflective of cartridges found by Captain Moshwana in August and October of 2012. If we could take it step by step, Mr Chairman – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Ntsebeza. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, Mr Chairman, it's okay. CHAIRPERSON: Carry on. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. Colonel, just to just place it on the record, because the Chairman has got the grip of all of it and the Commissioners they have seen where we want to go; there is a serial number there of Constable Yende's firearm, a 9mm, it's Q049587. You see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Is it Yende's firearm

	Page 26185		Page 26187
1	CHAIRPERSON: Look there, 28 on this	1	to persuade the Commission, and we would like to put what
2	exhibit.	2	we would seek to argue, that one can draw minimum of two
3	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.	3	conclusions from all of this evidence which we have
4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.	4	canvassed with you. The first is that the only reasonable
5	CHAIRPERSON: HHH23.	5	inference is that three officers, or one of these three
6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, you can be sure that	6	officers were under your command. One - let's put it this
7	we are simply seeking you to confirm, otherwise –	7	way; one of the officers of these three - Yende, Mogale,
8	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]	8	Mguye - shot and killed Mr Sokanyile. Would it be an
9	Sorry, if I read the ballistic evidence together with the	9	unreasonable inference?
10	discharge sheet, together with plan, details of what	10	COLONEL VERMAAK: I don't think there's
11	cartridge cases were found where, it appears now that	11	any evidence that one of those firearms that have been
12	Warrant-Officer Mogale's firearm fired some of the 9mm	12	discharged there was the firearm that was the one who shot
13	rounds because his cartridge cases were found there, and	13	the late Mr Sokwane.
14	Constable Yende also fired some because some of his	14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sokanyile.
15	cartridge cases were found there. So we now, it would	15	COLONEL VERMAAK: Sokanyile.
16	appear the circumstantial evidence seems to indicate that	16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, we proceed on the
17	Warrant-Officer Mogale and Constable Yende were part of the	17	basis that at the end of it all, as we have been trying to
18	group, if it was a group, that were in that circle who	18	indicate to you, that there were no other cartridges which
19	fired off 9mm rounds. That's what that indicates. That's	19	were found in the crime scene, and that will be the basis
20	right, is it?	20	on which we will premise the argument that if we look at
21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Very much so, Mr	21	all of this objective evidence – where the body was, where
22	Chairman.	22	the cartridges were found, the fact that the body was in a
23	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct,	23	straight line with the cartridges which were found – all of
24	Chairperson.	24	that would be the premise on which we will argue that it is
25	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, now what we are	25	reasonable to infer that one of the three officers, Yende,
	Page 26186		Page 26188
1	saying, if you bear in mind that we are now looking at what	1	Mogale, Mguye, fired the shot that killed Mr Sokanyile.
2	Colonel Pieterse says in paragraph 13.4 of his affidavit,	2	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot agree
3	is that Yende fired cartridges B to E, G, J to M, O, R, and	3	to that because why there is no evidence that proves that
4	S, and all of those were found on the scene. You see that?		
5		4	statement.
5	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.	4 5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence
6			
	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.	5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence
6	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now if we went to	5 6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue
6 7	COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now if we went toparagraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we deal	5 6 7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all
6 7 8	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now if we went to paragraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we deal with serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, N	5 6 7 8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement –
6 7 8 9	COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now if we went toparagraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we dealwith serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, Nand Q which were fired from that serial number firearm, in	5 6 7 8 9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement – and I'm not giving it the way you gave it, verbatim, but
6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now if we went toparagraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we dealwith serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, Nand Q which were fired from that serial number firearm, inother words from the firearm with that serial number. Do	5 6 7 8 9 10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement – and I'm not giving it the way you gave it, verbatim, but you were indicating that your member, or members shot in
6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now if we went toparagraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we dealwith serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, Nand Q which were fired from that serial number firearm, inother words from the firearm with that serial number. Doyou see that?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement – and I'm not giving it the way you gave it, verbatim, but you were indicating that your member, or members shot in the direction of a protester who was firing at you. That's the first part. [11:48] The second part you indicated the place
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now if we went toparagraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we dealwith serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, Nand Q which were fired from that serial number firearm, inother words from the firearm with that serial number. Doyou see that?COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now in the dischargesheet, if we looked at number 13 on that sheet you'll see	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement – and I'm not giving it the way you gave it, verbatim, but you were indicating that your member, or members shot in the direction of a protester who was firing at you. That's the first part. [11:48] The second part you indicated the place where you imagined or where you testified in chief your
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now if we went to paragraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we deal with serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, N and Q which were fired from that serial number firearm, in other words from the firearm with that serial number. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in the discharge sheet, if we looked at number 13 on that sheet you'll see that the relevant number, I mean member of SAPS, as the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement – and I'm not giving it the way you gave it, verbatim, but you were indicating that your member, or members shot in the direction of a protester who was firing at you. That's the first part. [11:48] The second part you indicated the place where you imagined or where you testified in chief your members were in the direction in which you were firing.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now if we went to paragraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we deal with serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, N and Q which were fired from that serial number firearm, in other words from the firearm with that serial number. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in the discharge sheet, if we looked at number 13 on that sheet you'll see that the relevant number, I mean member of SAPS, as the Chairman correctly pointed out, is Warrant-Officer Mogale	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement – and I'm not giving it the way you gave it, verbatim, but you were indicating that your member, or members shot in the direction of a protester who was firing at you. That's the first part. [11:48] The second part you indicated the place where you imagined or where you testified in chief your members were in the direction in which you were firing. Now we're going through the exercise to show the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now if we went to paragraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we deal with serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, N and Q which were fired from that serial number firearm, in other words from the firearm with that serial number. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in the discharge sheet, if we looked at number 13 on that sheet you'll see that the relevant number, I mean member of SAPS, as the Chairman correctly pointed out, is Warrant-Officer Mogale and the serial number of his firearm is again, as we	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement – and I'm not giving it the way you gave it, verbatim, but you were indicating that your member, or members shot in the direction of a protester who was firing at you. That's the first part. [11:48] The second part you indicated the place where you imagined or where you testified in chief your members were in the direction in which you were firing. Now we're going through the exercise to show the improbability of that side. But the point here is that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now if we went toparagraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we dealwith serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, Nand Q which were fired from that serial number firearm, inother words from the firearm with that serial number. Doyou see that?COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now in the dischargesheet, if we looked at number 13 on that sheet you'll seethat the relevant number, I mean member of SAPS, as theChairman correctly pointed out, is Warrant-Officer Mogaleand the serial number of his firearm is again, as weindicated, Q036933. You agree?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement – and I'm not giving it the way you gave it, verbatim, but you were indicating that your member, or members shot in the direction of a protester who was firing at you. That's the first part. [11:48] The second part you indicated the place where you imagined or where you testified in chief your members were in the direction in which you were firing. Now we're going through the exercise to show the improbability of that side. But the point here is that other than where we have located all the cartridges that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now if we went to paragraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we deal with serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, N and Q which were fired from that serial number firearm, in other words from the firearm with that serial number. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in the discharge sheet, if we looked at number 13 on that sheet you'll see that the relevant number, I mean member of SAPS, as the Chairman correctly pointed out, is Warrant-Officer Mogale and the serial number of his firearm is again, as we indicated, Q036933. You agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: I agree.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement – and I'm not giving it the way you gave it, verbatim, but you were indicating that your member, or members shot in the direction of a protester who was firing at you. That's the first part. [11:48] The second part you indicated the place where you imagined or where you testified in chief your members were in the direction in which you were firing. Now we're going through the exercise to show the improbability of that side. But the point here is that other than where we have located all the cartridges that were found there were no cartridges found in the place
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now if we went to paragraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we deal with serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, N and Q which were fired from that serial number firearm, in other words from the firearm with that serial number. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in the discharge sheet, if we looked at number 13 on that sheet you'll see that the relevant number, I mean member of SAPS, as the Chairman correctly pointed out, is Warrant-Officer Mogale and the serial number of his firearm is again, as we indicated, Q036933. You agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the affidavit points	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement – and I'm not giving it the way you gave it, verbatim, but you were indicating that your member, or members shot in the direction of a protester who was firing at you. That's the first part. [11:48] The second part you indicated the place where you imagined or where you testified in chief your members were in the direction in which you were firing. Now we're going through the exercise to show the improbability of that side. But the point here is that other than where we have located all the cartridges that were found there were no cartridges found in the place
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now if we went to paragraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we deal with serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, N and Q which were fired from that serial number firearm, in other words from the firearm with that serial number. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in the discharge sheet, if we looked at number 13 on that sheet you'll see that the relevant number, I mean member of SAPS, as the Chairman correctly pointed out, is Warrant-Officer Mogale and the serial number of his firearm is again, as we indicated, Q036933. You agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the affidavit points out that Warrant-Officer Mogale fired the cartridges F, I,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement – and I'm not giving it the way you gave it, verbatim, but you were indicating that your member, or members shot in the direction of a protester who was firing at you. That's the first part. [11:48] The second part you indicated the place where you imagined or where you testified in chief your members were in the direction in which you were firing. Now we're going through the exercise to show the improbability of that side. But the point here is that other than where we have located all the cartridges that were found there were no cartridges found in the place where you testified your members were when they fired at the protestors. Would you disagree with that?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now if we went to paragraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we deal with serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, N and Q which were fired from that serial number firearm, in other words from the firearm with that serial number. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in the discharge sheet, if we looked at number 13 on that sheet you'll see that the relevant number, I mean member of SAPS, as the Chairman correctly pointed out, is Warrant-Officer Mogale and the serial number of his firearm is again, as we indicated, Q036933. You agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the affidavit points out that Warrant-Officer Mogale fired the cartridges F, I, N and Q at the scene relating to Mr Sokanyile. That seems	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement – and I'm not giving it the way you gave it, verbatim, but you were indicating that your member, or members shot in the direction of a protester who was firing at you. That's the first part. [11:48] The second part you indicated the place where you imagined or where you testified in chief your members were in the direction in which you were firing. Now we're going through the exercise to show the improbability of that side. But the point here is that other than where we have located all the cartridges that were found there were no cartridges found in the place where you testified your members were when they fired at the protestors. Would you disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I stick to my
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now if we went to paragraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we deal with serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, N and Q which were fired from that serial number firearm, in other words from the firearm with that serial number. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in the discharge sheet, if we looked at number 13 on that sheet you'll see that the relevant number, I mean member of SAPS, as the Chairman correctly pointed out, is Warrant-Officer Mogale and the serial number of his firearm is again, as we indicated, Q036933. You agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the affidavit points out that Warrant-Officer Mogale fired the cartridges F, I, N and Q at the scene relating to Mr Sokanyile. That seems to be without a doubt. Do we agree?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement – and I'm not giving it the way you gave it, verbatim, but you were indicating that your member, or members shot in the direction of a protester who was firing at you. That's the first part. [11:48] The second part you indicated the place where you imagined or where you testified in chief your members were in the direction in which you were firing. Now we're going through the exercise to show the improbability of that side. But the point here is that other than where we have located all the cartridges that were found there were no cartridges found in the place where you testified your members were when they fired at the protestors. Would you disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I stick to my statement where I was with my member who was shooting at -
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now if we went to paragraph 13.5 of that affidavit you'll see that we deal with serial number Q036933, relative to "doppies" F, I, N and Q which were fired from that serial number firearm, in other words from the firearm with that serial number. Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in the discharge sheet, if we looked at number 13 on that sheet you'll see that the relevant number, I mean member of SAPS, as the Chairman correctly pointed out, is Warrant-Officer Mogale and the serial number of his firearm is again, as we indicated, Q036933. You agree? COLONEL VERMAAK: I agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the affidavit points out that Warrant-Officer Mogale fired the cartridges F, I, N and Q at the scene relating to Mr Sokanyile. That seems	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Except the only evidence that there is, is the objective one, but then we will argue about that at a later stage. The same proposition from all of this evidence, you will recall that in your statement – and I'm not giving it the way you gave it, verbatim, but you were indicating that your member, or members shot in the direction of a protester who was firing at you. That's the first part. [11:48] The second part you indicated the place where you imagined or where you testified in chief your members were in the direction in which you were firing. Now we're going through the exercise to show the improbability of that side. But the point here is that other than where we have located all the cartridges that were found there were no cartridges found in the place where you testified your members were when they fired at the protestors. Would you disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I stick to my

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

1	Page 26189	1	Page 26191
1	body. MR NTSEBEZA SC: If we assume your	1	evidence in chief was because your evidence in chief up to the end thereof and the evidence up to the point where we
2	5	3	
	members, on your evidence, were returning fire from the		began to cross-examine and debate with you the
4	protestors and if in returning the fire Mr Sokanyile was	4	probabilities, your evidence clearly had the tenor that
5	hit and we now know from all the evidence that there was a	5	whoever it was that you were saying had a white overall and
6	single shot that would have killed him with three minutes.	6	everything else was Mr Sokanyile. There was a body, there
7	There were no cartridges either at where you indicate the	7	was a person who died, there was a person who was across
8	body to have been found or where the body was actually	8	the river.
9	found, no cartridges were found at those places. Do you	9	MS PILLAY: Chair, just an objection.
10	agree with that? The objective evidence shows that from	10	The witness never testified that the body he saw – the
11	the place where the body was and in that vicinity there are	11	person from the air was Mr Sokanyile.
12	no cartridges which were found there.	12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, I do understand
13	CHAIRPERSON: Do you mean on the other	13	that. Your testimony to this point or to use a local
14	side of the screen, do you mean on the same side?	14	lexicon, up to so far has always been that we are dealing
15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: On the other side of the	15	with Mr Sokanyile.
16	screen.	16	CHAIRPERSON: What do you say about that
17	CHAIRPERSON: Where the body was found.	17	proposition – your evidence as I understand it, is that you
18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes –	18	saw someone with an overall being carried by some of his
19	CHAIRPERSON: That's clear. Even if he	19	colleagues.
20	doesn't agree with you it's clear from the evidence we	20	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair.
21	have.	21	CHAIRPERSON: You also say that at a
22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman, thank	22	later when you went over the river you found someone in a
23	you very much but these are things that happened. Now on	23	white overall lying on the ground and we know that was Mr
24	the evidence as a whole, the objective evidence the nature	24	Sokanyile. It was put to you that all the surrounding
25	of the wounds that were being treated on Mr Sokanyile a	25	evidence or circumstantial evidence seems to indicate quite
		-	
	Page 26190		Page 26192
1	Page 26190 single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we	1	Page 26192 clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and
1 2	•		
	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we	1	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and
2	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which	1 2	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think.
2	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and	1 2	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree.
2 3 4	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that	1 2 3 4	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a
2 3 4 5	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self	1 2 3 4 5	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at
2 3 4 5 6	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against	1 2 3 4 5 6	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was
2 3 4 5 6 7	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes I'm putting to you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether that shot brought about the death of anyone, any of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes I'm putting to you as the person who was pursuing these people, as you were	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether that shot brought about the death of anyone, any of the people that were beside the river?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes I'm putting to you as the person who was pursuing these people, as you were there and you said you shot and you identified Mr Sokanyile as the person who on your view was the person who got shot	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether that shot brought about the death of anyone, any of the people that were beside the river? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes I'm putting to you as the person who was pursuing these people, as you were there and you said you shot and you identified Mr Sokanyile as the person who on your view was the person who got shot at that day. I say all the evidence objectively – there's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether that shot brought about the death of anyone, any of the people that were beside the river? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, the direction that shot was fired nobody was found dead, that was injured or deadly wounded at that specific area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes I'm putting to you as the person who was pursuing these people, as you were there and you said you shot and you identified Mr Sokanyile as the person who on your view was the person who got shot at that day. I say all the evidence objectively – there's no evidence that this man was shot by anyone who was acting	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether that shot brought about the death of anyone, any of the people that were beside the river? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, the direction that shot was fired nobody was found dead, that was injured or deadly wounded at that specific area. CHAIRPERSON: Can I ask you something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes I'm putting to you as the person who was pursuing these people, as you were there and you said you shot and you identified Mr Sokanyile as the person who on your view was the person who got shot at that day. I say all the evidence objectively – there's no evidence that this man was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or anyone who felt that he was in immediate	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether that shot brought about the death of anyone, any of the people that were beside the river? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, the direction that shot was fired nobody was found dead, that was injured or deadly wounded at that specific area. CHAIRPERSON: Can I ask you something else while Mr Ntsebeza's reassembling his forces to proceed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes I'm putting to you as the person who was pursuing these people, as you were there and you said you shot and you identified Mr Sokanyile as the person who on your view was the person who got shot at that day. I say all the evidence objectively – there's no evidence that this man was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or anyone who felt that he was in immediate danger and therefore had to shoot and kill Mr Sokanyile, a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether that shot brought about the death of anyone, any of the people that were beside the river? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, the direction that shot was fired nobody was found dead, that was injured or deadly wounded at that specific area. CHAIRPERSON: Can I ask you something else while Mr Ntsebeza's reassembling his forces to proceed with the attack? That spot where there was this orange or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes I'm putting to you as the person who was pursuing these people, as you were there and you said you shot and you identified Mr Sokanyile as the person who on your view was the person who got shot at that day. I say all the evidence objectively – there's no evidence that this man was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or anyone who felt that he was in immediate danger and therefore had to shoot and kill Mr Sokanyile, a so-called fugitive.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether that shot brought about the death of anyone, any of the people that were beside the river? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, the direction that shot was fired nobody was found dead, that was injured or deadly wounded at that specific area. CHAIRPERSON: Can I ask you something else while Mr Ntsebeza's reassembling his forces to proceed with the attack? That spot where there was this orange or reddish circle on the photograph where spent cartridges
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes I'm putting to you as the person who was pursuing these people, as you were there and you said you shot and you identified Mr Sokanyile as the person who on your view was the person who got shot at that day. I say all the evidence objectively – there's no evidence that this man was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or anyone who felt that he was in immediate danger and therefore had to shoot and kill Mr Sokanyile, a so-called fugitive. COLONEL VERMAAK: Mr Chair, I never said	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether that shot brought about the death of anyone, any of the people that were beside the river? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, the direction that shot was fired nobody was found dead, that was injured or deadly wounded at that specific area. CHAIRPERSON: Can I ask you something else while Mr Ntsebeza's reassembling his forces to proceed with the attack? That spot where there was this orange or reddish circle on the photograph where spent cartridges were found, some of which can be linked to the firearms of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes I'm putting to you as the person who was pursuing these people, as you were there and you said you shot and you identified Mr Sokanyile as the person who on your view was the person who got shot at that day. I say all the evidence objectively – there's no evidence that this man was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or anyone who felt that he was in immediate danger and therefore had to shoot and kill Mr Sokanyile, a so-called fugitive. COLONEL VERMAAK: Mr Chair, I never said that the deceased was a person that we are shooting at. We	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether that shot brought about the death of anyone, any of the people that were beside the river? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, the direction that shot was fired nobody was found dead, that was injured or deadly wounded at that specific area. CHAIRPERSON: Can I ask you something else while Mr Ntsebeza's reassembling his forces to proceed with the attack? That spot where there was this orange or reddish circle on the photograph where spent cartridges were found, some of which can be linked to the firearms of two members of the POPS and from Johannesburg, at any stage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes I'm putting to you as the person who was pursuing these people, as you were there and you said you shot and you identified Mr Sokanyile as the person who on your view was the person who got shot at that day. I say all the evidence objectively – there's no evidence that this man was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or anyone who felt that he was in immediate danger and therefore had to shoot and kill Mr Sokanyile, a so-called fugitive. COLONEL VERMAAK: Mr Chair, I never said that the deceased was a person that we are shooting at. We were saying on the opposite side was a person that was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether that shot brought about the death of anyone, any of the people that were beside the river? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, the direction that shot was fired nobody was found dead, that was injured or deadly wounded at that specific area. CHAIRPERSON: Can I ask you something else while Mr Ntsebeza's reassembling his forces to proceed with the attack? That spot where there was this orange or reddish circle on the photograph where spent cartridges were found, some of which can be linked to the firearms of two members of the POPS and from Johannesburg, at any stage when you were in the vicinity did you see people firing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes I'm putting to you as the person who was pursuing these people, as you were there and you said you shot and you identified Mr Sokanyile as the person who on your view was the person who got shot at that day. I say all the evidence objectively – there's no evidence that this man was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or anyone who felt that he was in immediate danger and therefore had to shoot and kill Mr Sokanyile, a so-called fugitive. COLONEL VERMAAK: Mr Chair, I never said that the deceased was a person that we are shooting at. We were saying on the opposite side was a person that was shooting at us but I never said that he was the person who	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether that shot brought about the death of anyone, any of the people that were beside the river? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, the direction that shot was fired nobody was found dead, that was injured or deadly wounded at that specific area. CHAIRPERSON: Can I ask you something else while Mr Ntsebeza's reassembling his forces to proceed with the attack? That spot where there was this orange or reddish circle on the photograph where spent cartridges were found, some of which can be linked to the firearms of two members of the POPS and from Johannesburg, at any stage when you were in the vicinity did you see people firing from there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes I'm putting to you as the person who was pursuing these people, as you were there and you said you shot and you identified Mr Sokanyile as the person who on your view was the person who got shot at that day. I say all the evidence objectively – there's no evidence that this man was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or anyone who felt that he was in immediate danger and therefore had to shoot and kill Mr Sokanyile, a so-called fugitive. COLONEL VERMAAK: Mr Chair, I never said that the deceased was a person that we are shooting at. We were saying on the opposite side was a person that was shooting at us but I never said that he was the person who was shooting at us.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether that shot brought about the death of anyone, any of the people that were beside the river? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, the direction that shot was fired nobody was found dead, that was injured or deadly wounded at that specific area. CHAIRPERSON: Can I ask you something else while Mr Ntsebeza's reassembling his forces to proceed with the attack? That spot where there was this orange or reddish circle on the photograph where spent cartridges were found, some of which can be linked to the firearms of two members of the POPS and from Johannesburg, at any stage when you were in the vicinity did you see people firing from there? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, there was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	single shot, the paralysis of the spinal cord. And what we posited to you to have been the probable position in which he was shot, looking backwards because of the entrance and the exit of the wound we will argue that it's unlikely that Mr Sokanyile was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or even in self defence. Would you argue against that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm listening to your statement, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes I'm putting to you as the person who was pursuing these people, as you were there and you said you shot and you identified Mr Sokanyile as the person who on your view was the person who got shot at that day. I say all the evidence objectively – there's no evidence that this man was shot by anyone who was acting in self defence or anyone who felt that he was in immediate danger and therefore had to shoot and kill Mr Sokanyile, a so-called fugitive. COLONEL VERMAAK: Mr Chair, I never said that the deceased was a person that we are shooting at. We were saying on the opposite side was a person that was shooting at us but I never said that he was the person who	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	clearly that he wasn't the person who was being carried and you agreed with that, I think. COLONEL VERMAAK: That I agree. CHAIRPERSON: You also described a situation where you and the people with were being shot at and you said, if I understand you correctly, one shot was fired back with an R5, is that right? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to say whether that shot brought about the death of anyone, any of the people that were beside the river? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, the direction that shot was fired nobody was found dead, that was injured or deadly wounded at that specific area. CHAIRPERSON: Can I ask you something else while Mr Ntsebeza's reassembling his forces to proceed with the attack? That spot where there was this orange or reddish circle on the photograph where spent cartridges were found, some of which can be linked to the firearms of two members of the POPS and from Johannesburg, at any stage when you were in the vicinity did you see people firing from there?

	Page 26193		Page 26195
1	correct, it would seem either shots were fired from there	1	confirm.
2	after you left or were fired from there before you arrived.	2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay.
3	COLONEL VERMAAK: Both of them is	3	COLONEL VERMAAK: There might be members
4	possibilities, Chair.	4	of that group where the cartridges were found but where I
5	CHAIRPERSON: But I take it's rather	5	was with the members that I took with me that was not on
6	unlikely that these shots were being fired after you were	6	that point.
7	there because presumably most of the strikers had	7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: But as I understood it
8	disappeared from the scene.	8	you had asked your members, one of your members to secure
9	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I cannot –	9	the scene where Mr Sokanyile's body was found. I think we
10	CHAIRPERSON: By the time you left the	10	have traversed that.
11	scene, is that correct?	11	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I leave some
12	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	12	members there to secure the area.
13	CHAIRPERSON: So on the probabilities	13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, now and obviously I
14	shots were clearly fired from that area, right?	14	would assume that you didn't come back to find someone else
15	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	15	now securing the scene. You still found the same members
16	CHAIRPERSON: And again from the evidence	16	that you had asked to secure the scene when you came back.
17	we have so far it looks as if Warrant Officer Mogale and	17	COLONEL VERMAAK: When I went back with
18	Constable Yendi at least will have to tell us how their	18	the members who made the threat against the General
19	cartridge cases happened to be found there. But if they	19	afterwards they go back to the scene with Colonel Moolman
20	were involved in firing from there on probabilities it was	20	to make sure that the members is still there and also that
21	before you got there. Is that correct? It looks like it.	21	they have Nyala, a armoured vehicle with them.
22	COLONEL VERMAAK: It looks like if they	22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Was it the same members
23	were there before I was on that scene where we followed the	23	whom you had left?
24	person.	24	COLONEL VERMAAK: That was the same
25	CHAIRPERSON: And somebody, as we saw,	25	members that I left there.
	Page 26194		Page 26196
1	Page 26194 fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot.	1	Page 26196 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are
1 2		1 2	•
	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot.		MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are
2	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.	2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new
2 3	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I	2 3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the
2 3 4	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm	2 3 4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr
2 3 4 5	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet.	2 3 4 5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number.
2 3 4 5 6	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a
2 3 4 5 6 7	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes thank you, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be the same statement, some kind person has typed it out for us. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes thank you, Mr Chairman. I know I had indicated to you that I would come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be the same statement, some kind person has typed it out for us. So we'll call the manuscript OOO29.1 and we'll call the typed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes thank you, Mr Chairman. I know I had indicated to you that I would come back to your statements but I just want to and now we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be the same statement, some kind person has typed it out for us. So we'll call the manuscript OOO29.1 and we'll call the typed script 0029.2. Let's do the other one as well while we're about it. I take it you're going to put the other one. The other one is Warrant Officer Mogale, we'll make his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes thank you, Mr Chairman. I know I had indicated to you that I would come back to your statements but I just want to and now we can agree, that your evidence was that when you assembled this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now there arestatements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be newexhibits, maybe.The first we want to look at is thestatement by Constable Yendi which we would like MrChairman to give an exhibit number.CHAIRPERSON:Exhibit 9. We have got atyped version and a manuscript version.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Indeed, Mr Chairman.CHAIRPERSON:It appears to be the samestatement, some kind person has typed it out for us.Sowe'll call the manuscript OOO29.1 and we'll call the typedscript 0029.2.Let's do the other one as well while we'reabout it.I take it you're going to put the other one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes thank you, Mr Chairman. I know I had indicated to you that I would come back to your statements but I just want to and now we can agree, that your evidence was that when you assembled this group of people who were going to pursue the protestors after you had landed your helicopter, you said in your statement that you did not know the identities of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be the same statement, some kind person has typed it out for us. So we'll call the manuscript OOO29.1 and we'll call the typed script 0029.2. Let's do the other one as well while we're about it. I take it you're going to put the other one. The other one is Warrant Officer Mogale, we'll make his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes thank you, Mr Chairman. I know I had indicated to you that I would come back to your statements but I just want to and now we can agree, that your evidence was that when you assembled this group of people who were going to pursue the protestors after you had landed your helicopter, you said in your statement that you did not know the identities of the members whom you gathered together on that day to pursue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be the same statement, some kind person has typed it out for us. So we'll call the manuscript OOO29.1 and we'll call the typed script 0029.2. Let's do the other one as well while we're about it. I take it you're going to put the other one. The other one is Warrant Officer Mogale, we'll make his manuscript statement OOO30.1 and the typed version OOO30.2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And then the next one would be what, Mguye.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes thank you, Mr Chairman. I know I had indicated to you that I would come back to your statements but I just want to and now we can agree, that your evidence was that when you assembled this group of people who were going to pursue the protestors after you had landed your helicopter, you said in your statement that you did not know the identities of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be the same statement, some kind person has typed it out for us. So we'll call the manuscript OOO29.1 and we'll call the typed script 0029.2. Let's do the other one as well while we're about it. I take it you're going to put the other one. The other one is Warrant Officer Mogale, we'll make his manuscript statement OOO30.1 and the typed version OOO30.2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And then the next one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes thank you, Mr Chairman. I know I had indicated to you that I would come back to your statements but I just want to and now we can agree, that your evidence was that when you assembled this group of people who were going to pursue the protestors after you had landed your helicopter, you said in your statement that you did not know the identities of the members whom you gathered together on that day to pursue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be the same statement, some kind person has typed it out for us. So we'll call the manuscript OOO29.1 and we'll call the typed script 0029.2. Let's do the other one as well while we're about it. I take it you're going to put the other one. The other one is Warrant Officer Mogale, we'll make his manuscript statement OOO30.1 and the typed version OOO30.2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And then the next one would be what, Mguye.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes thank you, Mr Chairman. I know I had indicated to you that I would come back to your statements but I just want to and now we can agree, that your evidence was that when you assembled this group of people who were going to pursue the protestors after you had landed your helicopter, you said in your statement that you did not know the identities of the members whom you gathered together on that day to pursue the protestors. I don't think there's a – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think you say that in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be the same statement, some kind person has typed it out for us. So we'll call the manuscript OOO29.1 and we'll call the typed script 0029.2. Let's do the other one as well while we're about it. I take it you're going to put the other one. The other one is Warrant Officer Mogale, we'll make his manuscript statement OOO30.1 and the typed version OOO30.2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And then the next one would be what, Mguye. MS PILLAY: Chair, that's HHH30.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes thank you, Mr Chairman. I know I had indicated to you that I would come back to your statements but I just want to and now we can agree, that your evidence was that when you assembled this group of people who were going to pursue the protestors after you had landed your helicopter, you said in your statement that you did not know the identities of the members whom you gathered together on that day to pursue the protestors. I don't think there's a – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think you say that in your statement, you say that in your evidence. So you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be the same statement, some kind person has typed it out for us. So we'll call the manuscript OOO29.1 and we'll call the typed script 0029.2. Let's do the other one as well while we're about it. I take it you're going to put the other one. The other one is Warrant Officer Mogale, we'll make his manuscript statement OOO30.1 and the typed version OOO30.2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And then the next one would be what, Mguye. MS PILLAY: Chair, that's HHH30. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is HHH30 Mr Mguye?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes thank you, Mr Chairman. I know I had indicated to you that I would come back to your statements but I just want to and now we can agree, that your evidence was that when you assembled this group of people who were going to pursue the protestors after you had landed your helicopter, you said in your statement that you did not know the identities of the members whom you gathered together on that day to pursue the protestors. I don't think there's a – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think you say that in your statement, you say that in your evidence. So you wouldn't know – certainly on that day you did meet but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be the same statement, some kind person has typed it out for us. So we'll call the manuscript OOO29.1 and we'll call the typed script 0029.2. Let's do the other one as well while we're about it. I take it you're going to put the other one. The other one is Warrant Officer Mogale, we'll make his manuscript statement OOO30.1 and the typed version OOO30.2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And then the next one would be what, Mguye. MS PILLAY: Chair, that's HHH30. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is HHH30 Mr Mguye? MS PILLAY: HHH30. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 6 of Constable Yendi's statement. Can we call up that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes thank you, Mr Chairman. I know I had indicated to you that I would come back to your statements but I just want to and now we can agree, that your evidence was that when you assembled this group of people who were going to pursue the protestors after you had landed your helicopter, you said in your statement that you did not know the identities of the members whom you gathered together on that day to pursue the protestors. I don't think there's a – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think you say that in your statement, you say that in your evidence. So you wouldn't know – certainly on that day you did meet but would you know now as you sit and testify whether Yendi,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be the same statement, some kind person has typed it out for us. So we'll call the manuscript OOO29.1 and we'll call the typed script 0029.2. Let's do the other one as well while we're about it. I take it you're going to put the other one. The other one is Warrant Officer Mogale, we'll make his manuscript statement OOO30.1 and the typed version OOO30.2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And then the next one would be what, Mguye. MS PILLAY: Chair, that's HHH30. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is HHH30 Mr Mguye? MS PILLAY: HHH30. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 6 of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes thank you, Mr Chairman. I know I had indicated to you that I would come back to your statements but I just want to and now we can agree, that your evidence was that when you assembled this group of people who were going to pursue the protestors after you had landed your helicopter, you said in your statement that you did not know the identities of the members whom you gathered together on that day to pursue the protestors. I don't think there's a – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think you say that in your statement, you say that in your evidence. So you wouldn't know – certainly on that day you did meet but would you know now as you sit and testify whether Yendi, Mogale and Mguye were members who were under your command?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be the same statement, some kind person has typed it out for us. So we'll call the manuscript OOO29.1 and we'll call the typed script 0029.2. Let's do the other one as well while we're about it. I take it you're going to put the other one. The other one is Warrant Officer Mogale, we'll make his manuscript statement OOO30.1 and the typed version OOO30.2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And then the next one would be what, Mguye. MS PILLAY: Chair, that's HHH30. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is HHH30 Mr Mguye? MS PILLAY: HHH30. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 6 of Constable Yendi's statement. Can we call up that statement? Let's go to 7 rather. That is Yendi, 7, 7 no, no. Can you call up 6, paragraph 6, but I want the page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	fired at least one, maybe more but at least one R5 shot. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that's the person, I forget his name now, but that was the person whose firearm was also dealt with in that discharge sheet. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Constable Nduli. That seems to be the sum total so far where we are. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes thank you, Mr Chairman. I know I had indicated to you that I would come back to your statements but I just want to and now we can agree, that your evidence was that when you assembled this group of people who were going to pursue the protestors after you had landed your helicopter, you said in your statement that you did not know the identities of the members whom you gathered together on that day to pursue the protestors. I don't think there's a – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think you say that in your statement, you say that in your evidence. So you wouldn't know – certainly on that day you did meet but would you know now as you sit and testify whether Yendi,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now there are statements, Mr Chairman, it would appear they will be new exhibits, maybe. The first we want to look at is the statement by Constable Yendi which we would like Mr Chairman to give an exhibit number. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit 9. We have got a typed version and a manuscript version. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be the same statement, some kind person has typed it out for us. So we'll call the manuscript OOO29.1 and we'll call the typed script 0029.2. Let's do the other one as well while we're about it. I take it you're going to put the other one. The other one is Warrant Officer Mogale, we'll make his manuscript statement OOO30.1 and the typed version OOO30.2. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And then the next one would be what, Mguye. MS PILLAY: Chair, that's HHH30. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is HHH30 Mr Mguye? MS PILLAY: HHH30. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now paragraph 6 of Constable Yendi's statement. Can we call up that statement? Let's go to 7 rather. That is Yendi, 7, 7 no,

	Page 26197		Page 26199
1	CHAIRPERSON: It's paragraph 7 which is	1	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if I read
2	on the next page.	2	through his statement quickly I don't see anywhere that he
3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: On the other side of the	3	stated that he was posted by me at that scene. I can't say
4	river. Ja there we are where the cursor is.	4	that his statement is not true but I cannot say that he
5	CHAIRPERSON: The key passage, do you see	5	wasn't one of the members that was there. But I know for a
6	that? The key passage is this. What he says is that after	6	fact that the people who was with me was from the point
7	he'd done various things which were dealt in earlier	7	where I pointed it out more or less. So I don't know,
8	paragraphs, he says that the body of a man left behind on	8	maybe must he come and testify who gave him that
9	the other side of the river, he and his colleagues jumped	9	instruction to stay there because why if I read his
10	the river to go and see him. He was lying with his front	10	statement he didn't say that he was instructed by anybody.
11	on the ground. No he's talking about Mr Sokanyile.	11	CHAIRPERSON: Did you instruct anybody or
12	Underneath him was a stick or a panga. The paramedics were	12	any people –
13	also summoned as he was injured dead, he puts it quite	13	COLONEL VERMAAK: This is before me –
14	crisply. "I stood guard at the scene until mortuary van	14	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just finish
15	came and took the body." Now you were involved also in	15	the question? Did you instruct anybody or any persons in
16	having that scene preserved as it were, weren't you?	16	the police service to preserve the scene by inter alia
17	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.	17	making sure that the body wasn't interfered with, in other
18	CHAIRPERSON: Now what arrangements did	18	words did you post people to be at the body to see to it
19	you make to have the scene preserved?	19	that there was no interference. Is that right
20	COLONEL VERMAAK: I only go back to the	20	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.
21	main scene where I later explained to Brigadier Calitz what	21	CHAIRPERSON: Now was there someone there
22	the situation was and I also pointed out to him that there	22	already, a constable from the POP in Johannesburg?
23	was a body lying on the other side of the river and I sent	23	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, that's possible.
24	Nyala to go and protect the scene for us. Later we left	24	I don't know the members but I know that most of the
25	the scene while the LCRC members was still busy with their	25	members who was with me was TRT members and I posted four
	Page 26198		Page 26200
1	work on the scene itself.	1	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and
1 2	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a	1 2	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for
	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair –		members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes.
2 3 4	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so	2 3 4	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that
2 3 4 5	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long.	2 3 4 5	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall
2 3 4 5 6	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know	2 3 4 5 6	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you
2 3 4 5 6 7	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that	2 3 4 5 6 7	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I thought that we are in agreement as far as that goes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe just – I picked up now in the statement if you go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I thought that we are in agreement as far as that goes. [12:07] Whoever you saw wearing white overalls	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe just – I picked up now in the statement if you go to paragraph 6 in Constable Yende's statement and if I can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I thought that we are in agreement as far as that goes. [12:07] Whoever you saw wearing white overalls etcetera and all of that who has been identified as Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe just – I picked up now in the statement if you go to paragraph 6 in Constable Yende's statement and if I can read it "I also retaliated back to stop them shooting on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I thought that we are in agreement as far as that goes. [12:07] Whoever you saw wearing white overalls etcetera and all of that who has been identified as Mr Sokanyile. That is the body on all the evidence which was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe just – I picked up now in the statement if you go to paragraph 6 in Constable Yende's statement and if I can read it "I also retaliated back to stop them shooting on the ground and took a few minutes. I fired 12 rounds as we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I thought that we are in agreement as far as that goes. [12:07] Whoever you saw wearing white overalls etcetera and all of that who has been identified as Mr Sokanyile. That is the body on all the evidence which was across the river and this constable, this constable in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe just – I picked up now in the statement if you go to paragraph 6 in Constable Yende's statement and if I can read it "I also retaliated back to stop them shooting on the ground and took a few minutes. I fired 12 rounds as we are Public Order members who were carrying shotguns in my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I thought that we are in agreement as far as that goes. [12:07] Whoever you saw wearing white overalls etcetera and all of that who has been identified as Mr Sokanyile. That is the body on all the evidence which was across the river and this constable, this constable in that paragraph says on the other side of the river there was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe just – I picked up now in the statement if you go to paragraph 6 in Constable Yende's statement and if I can read it "I also retaliated back to stop them shooting on the ground and took a few minutes. I fired 12 rounds as we are Public Order members who were carrying shotguns in my Nyala." So if he was on the scene what happened to that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I thought that we are in agreement as far as that goes. [12:07] Whoever you saw wearing white overalls etcetera and all of that who has been identified as Mr Sokanyile. That is the body on all the evidence which was across the river and this constable, this constable in that paragraph says on the other side of the river there was a body of a man left behind. We all jumped the river to and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe just – I picked up now in the statement if you go to paragraph 6 in Constable Yende's statement and if I can read it "I also retaliated back to stop them shooting on the ground and took a few minutes. I fired 12 rounds as we are Public Order members who were carrying shotguns in my Nyala." So if he was on the scene what happened to that cartridges of the shotgun?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I thought that we are in agreement as far as that goes. [12:07] Whoever you saw wearing white overalls etcetera and all of that who has been identified as Mr Sokanyile. That is the body on all the evidence which was across the river and this constable, this constable in that paragraph says on the other side of the river there was a body of a man left behind. We all jumped the river to and see. He was lying with his front on the ground, this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe just – I picked up now in the statement if you go to paragraph 6 in Constable Yende's statement and if I can read it "I also retaliated back to stop them shooting on the ground and took a few minutes. I fired 12 rounds as we are Public Order members who were carrying shotguns in my Nyala." So if he was on the scene what happened to that cartridges of the shotgun? MR NTSEBEZA SC: We should not trip now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I thought that we are in agreement as far as that goes. [12:07] Whoever you saw wearing white overalls etcetera and all of that who has been identified as Mr Sokanyile. That is the body on all the evidence which was across the river and this constable, this constable in that paragraph says on the other side of the river there was a body of a man left behind. We all jumped the river to and see. He was lying with his front on the ground, this is uncannily like – underneath him there was a stok or panga	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe just – I picked up now in the statement if you go to paragraph 6 in Constable Yende's statement and if I can read it "I also retaliated back to stop them shooting on the ground and took a few minutes. I fired 12 rounds as we are Public Order members who were carrying shotguns in my Nyala." So if he was on the scene what happened to that cartridges of the shotgun? MR NTSEBEZA SC: We should not trip now, Colonel. I think there it's clear that he's not talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I thought that we are in agreement as far as that goes. [12:07] Whoever you saw wearing white overalls etcetera and all of that who has been identified as Mr Sokanyile. That is the body on all the evidence which was across the river and this constable, this constable in that paragraph says on the other side of the river there was a body of a man left behind. We all jumped the river to and see. He was lying with his front on the ground, this is uncannily like – underneath him there was a stok or panga etcetera, etcetera. Now we want to argue and this is what</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe just – I picked up now in the statement if you go to paragraph 6 in Constable Yende's statement and if I can read it "I also retaliated back to stop them shooting on the ground and took a few minutes. I fired 12 rounds as we are Public Order members who were carrying shotguns in my Nyala." So if he was on the scene what happened to that cartridges of the shotgun? MR NTSEBEZA SC: We should not trip now, Colonel. I think there it's clear that he's not talking about –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I thought that we are in agreement as far as that goes. [12:07] Whoever you saw wearing white overalls etcetera and all of that who has been identified as Mr Sokanyile. That is the body on all the evidence which was across the river and this constable, this constable in that paragraph says on the other side of the river there was a body of a man left behind. We all jumped the river to and see. He was lying with his front on the ground, this is uncannily like – underneath him there was a stok or panga etcetera, etcetera. Now we want to argue and this is what I'm putting to you, that this must have been the member, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe just – I picked up now in the statement if you go to paragraph 6 in Constable Yende's statement and if I can read it "I also retaliated back to stop them shooting on the ground and took a few minutes. I fired 12 rounds as we are Public Order members who were carrying shotguns in my Nyala." So if he was on the scene what happened to that cartridges of the shotgun? MR NTSEBEZA SC: We should not trip now, Colonel. I think there it's clear that he's not talking about – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I thought that we are in agreement as far as that goes. [12:07] Whoever you saw wearing white overalls etcetera and all of that who has been identified as Mr Sokanyile. That is the body on all the evidence which was across the river and this constable, this constable in that paragraph says on the other side of the river there was a body of a man left behind. We all jumped the river to and see. He was lying with his front on the ground, this is uncannily like – underneath him there was a stok or panga etcetera, etcetera. Now we want to argue and this is what I'm putting to you, that this must have been the member, in other words Constable Yende must have been the member or</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe just – I picked up now in the statement if you go to paragraph 6 in Constable Yende's statement and if I can read it "I also retaliated back to stop them shooting on the ground and took a few minutes. I fired 12 rounds as we are Public Order members who were carrying shotguns in my Nyala." So if he was on the scene what happened to that cartridges of the shotgun? MR NTSEBEZA SC: We should not trip now, Colonel. I think there it's clear that he's not talking about – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry – CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson is saying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I thought that we are in agreement as far as that goes. [12:07] Whoever you saw wearing white overalls etcetera and all of that who has been identified as Mr Sokanyile. That is the body on all the evidence which was across the river and this constable, this constable in that paragraph says on the other side of the river there was a body of a man left behind. We all jumped the river to and see. He was lying with his front on the ground, this is uncannily like – underneath him there was a stok or panga etcetera, etcetera. Now we want to argue and this is what I'm putting to you, that this must have been the member, in other words Constable Yende must have been the member or one of the members that you posted to secure the scene and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe just – I picked up now in the statement if you go to paragraph 6 in Constable Yende's statement and if I can read it "I also retaliated back to stop them shooting on the ground and took a few minutes. I fired 12 rounds as we are Public Order members who were carrying shotguns in my Nyala." So if he was on the scene what happened to that cartridges of the shotgun? MR NTSEBEZA SC: We should not trip now, Colonel. I think there it's clear that he's not talking about – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry – CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson is saying something.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>work on the scene itself. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, it's been a long day, I don't want to be unfair – CHAIRPERSON: It's not so long, it's so long. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, I don't know whether your answer should be understood to be saying that you dispute having asked the members of the group that you were leading to secure the crime scene. The scene where Mr Sokanyile was killed or was found to be dead because I thought that we are in agreement as far as that goes. [12:07] Whoever you saw wearing white overalls etcetera and all of that who has been identified as Mr Sokanyile. That is the body on all the evidence which was across the river and this constable, this constable in that paragraph says on the other side of the river there was a body of a man left behind. We all jumped the river to and see. He was lying with his front on the ground, this is uncannily like – underneath him there was a stok or panga etcetera, etcetera. Now we want to argue and this is what I'm putting to you, that this must have been the member, in other words Constable Yende must have been the member or</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	members there to secure the scene for me. I went back and I go and organise a Nyala to assist the members there for protection purposes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Colonel, I thought that we would not spend a bit of time on this. Now I recall that we wanted to make sure that when you came back you found the same people that you had posted there to secure the scene before you went away. I think we were agreed about that isn't it? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, if I maybe just – I picked up now in the statement if you go to paragraph 6 in Constable Yende's statement and if I can read it "I also retaliated back to stop them shooting on the ground and took a few minutes. I fired 12 rounds as we are Public Order members who were carrying shotguns in my Nyala." So if he was on the scene what happened to that cartridges of the shotgun? MR NTSEBEZA SC: We should not trip now, Colonel. I think there it's clear that he's not talking about – MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry – CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson is saying something.

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

	Page 26201		Page 26203
1	copy that I had seen.	1	now? All right now let's go to the page we were on, you'll
2	CHAIRPERSON: The manuscript copy also	2	see the bit where the constable deals with the matter we're
3	has a page missing.	3	busy with because otherwise we'll never finish by half past
4	MR CHASKALSON SC: I have another copy	4	12.
5	that has the missing page, I think in fairness to the	5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, but we'll
6	witness he must be given the missing page and we should all	6	try and –
7	see it.	7	CHAIRPERSON: Have you got the statement?
8	CHAIRPERSON: In fairness I think we	8	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair.
9	should see it too.	9	CHAIRPERSON: You have, well good luck to
10	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Chaskalson, our	10	you. All right now let's carry on.
11	copy stops - paragraph 4 stops on page 1 and the second	11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: The only point we want
12	page starts at paragraph 6.	12	to make, Mr Chairman, really about the statement it's very
13	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes the missing pages	13	[indistinct]. You see he doesn't mention any firing by
14	are – well the missing paragraphs are on the missing page.	14	anyone at the stream and it talks really about the main
15	It's the same statement, there's just a page missing.	15	incident. By the main incident I'm talking about where we
16	CHAIRPERSON: The page is missing -	16	started off where you saw things happening from a
17	MR CHASKALSON SC: Maybe if I can get the	17	helicopter, teargas, this, that and the next thing. I just
18	missing page printed and everybody can see it.	18	want to get your agreement, do you see Constable Mguye does
19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, why don't	19	not purport to be giving an account of what happened at the
20	we go to the other two statements –	20	place where the doppies place seem to have been at.
21	CHAIRPERSON: I was going to suggest that	21	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no on the contrary, he
22	to you yes, let's see if we can finish the other two	22	appears to say in the paragraph beginning "while it was
23	statements by half past 12.	23	tried to rescue him" and so on he appears to be saying that
24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman.	24	on what can describe as the field where the main engagement
25	CHAIRPERSON: Possibly if you are engaged	25	took place between the strikers and the members of the
20		20	
	Page 26202		Page 26204
1	Page 26202 on other business your junior can wrap up with this	1	Page 26204 police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10
1		1 2	5
	on other business your junior can wrap up with this		police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10
2	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on	2	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the
2 3	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday.	2 3	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's
2 3 4	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman.	2 3 4	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if
2 3 4 5	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that	2 3 4 5	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that.
2 3 4 5 6	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this	2 3 4 5 6	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the
2 3 4 5 6 7	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement.	2 3 4 5 6 7	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what we see on the screen doesn't help us but let's go on a bit further, either the rest of this page or the next page.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making the point that if he was writing this statement in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what we see on the screen doesn't help us but let's go on a bit further, either the rest of this page or the next page. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's okay if you dealt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making the point that if he was writing this statement in the course of giving material facts relevant to why a discharge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what we see on the screen doesn't help us but let's go on a bit further, either the rest of this page or the next page.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making the point that if he was writing this statement in the course of giving material facts relevant to why a discharge from his firearm was found where the other doppies were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what we see on the screen doesn't help us but let's go on a bit further, either the rest of this page or the next page. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's okay if you dealt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making the point that if he was writing this statement in the course of giving material facts relevant to why a discharge from his firearm was found where the other doppies were found this statement in its entirety doesn't address that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what we see on the screen doesn't help us but let's go on a bit further, either the rest of this page or the next page. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's okay if you dealt with the one that is on the screen, Chairman, as long as we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making the point that if he was writing this statement in the course of giving material facts relevant to why a discharge from his firearm was found where the other doppies were found this statement in its entirety doesn't address that. Do you agree with that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what we see on the screen doesn't help us but let's go on a bit further, either the rest of this page or the next page. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's okay if you dealt with the one that is on the screen, Chairman, as long as we know it to be the statement attributable to Constable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making the point that if he was writing this statement in the course of giving material facts relevant to why a discharge from his firearm was found where the other doppies were found this statement in its entirety doesn't address that. Do you agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes there's lack of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what we see on the screen doesn't help us but let's go on a bit further, either the rest of this page or the next page. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's okay if you dealt with the one that is on the screen, Chairman, as long as we know it to be the statement attributable to Constable Mguye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making the point that if he was writing this statement in the course of giving material facts relevant to why a discharge from his firearm was found where the other doppies were found this statement in its entirety doesn't address that. Do you agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes there's lack of information in this statement, I agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what we see on the screen doesn't help us but let's go on a bit further, either the rest of this page or the next page. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's okay if you dealt with the one that is on the screen, Chairman, as long as we know it to be the statement attributable to Constable Mguye. CHAIRPERSON: Well I haven't got it in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making the point that if he was writing this statement in the course of giving material facts relevant to why a discharge from his firearm was found where the other doppies were found this statement in its entirety doesn't address that. Do you agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes there's lack of information in this statement, I agree. CHAIRPERSON: That he appears to say that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what we see on the screen doesn't help us but let's go on a bit further, either the rest of this page or the next page. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's okay if you dealt with the one that is on the screen, Chairman, as long as we know it to be the statement attributable to Constable Mguye. CHAIRPERSON: Well I haven't got it in front of me, what does the front page of the statement say? Whose statement does it say it is? Mr Mguye apparently. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mguye ja, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making the point that if he was writing this statement in the course of giving material facts relevant to why a discharge from his firearm was found where the other doppies were found this statement in its entirety doesn't address that. Do you agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes there's lack of information in this statement, I agree. CHAIRPERSON: That he appears to say that he fired all 10 rounds that he fired at what I call the field of engagement as opposed to in the orange circle. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what we see on the screen doesn't help us but let's go on a bit further, either the rest of this page or the next page. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's okay if you dealt with the one that is on the screen, Chairman, as long as we know it to be the statement attributable to Constable Mguye. CHAIRPERSON: Well I haven't got it in front of me, what does the front page of the statement say? Whose statement does it say it is? Mr Mguye apparently. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mguye ja, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Well isn't that the one you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making the point that if he was writing this statement in the course of giving material facts relevant to why a discharge from his firearm was found where the other doppies were found this statement in its entirety doesn't address that. Do you agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes there's lack of information in this statement, I agree. CHAIRPERSON: That he appears to say that he fired all 10 rounds that he fired at what I call the field of engagement as opposed to in the orange circle. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: If you know what I mean.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what we see on the screen doesn't help us but let's go on a bit further, either the rest of this page or the next page. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's okay if you dealt with the one that is on the screen, Chairman, as long as we know it to be the statement attributable to Constable Mguye. CHAIRPERSON: Well I haven't got it in front of me, what does the front page of the statement say? Whose statement does it say it is? Mr Mguye apparently. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mguye ja, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Well isn't that the one you want?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making the point that if he was writing this statement in the course of giving material facts relevant to why a discharge from his firearm was found where the other doppies were found this statement in its entirety doesn't address that. Do you agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes there's lack of information in this statement, I agree. CHAIRPERSON: That he appears to say that he fired all 10 rounds that he fired at what I call the field of engagement as opposed to in the orange circle. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: If you know what I mean. COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what we see on the screen doesn't help us but let's go on a bit further, either the rest of this page or the next page. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's okay if you dealt with the one that is on the screen, Chairman, as long as we know it to be the statement attributable to Constable Mguye. CHAIRPERSON: Well I haven't got it in front of me, what does the front page of the statement say? Whose statement does it say it is? Mr Mguye apparently. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mguye ja, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Well isn't that the one you want? MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's fine now, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making the point that if he was writing this statement in the course of giving material facts relevant to why a discharge from his firearm was found where the other doppies were found this statement in its entirety doesn't address that. Do you agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes there's lack of information in this statement, I agree. CHAIRPERSON: That he appears to say that he fired all 10 rounds that he fired at what I call the field of engagement as opposed to in the orange circle. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: If you know what I mean. COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: So that's something that he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what we see on the screen doesn't help us but let's go on a bit further, either the rest of this page or the next page. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's okay if you dealt with the one that is on the screen, Chairman, as long as we know it to be the statement attributable to Constable Mguye. CHAIRPERSON: Well I haven't got it in front of me, what does the front page of the statement say? Whose statement does it say it is? Mr Mguye apparently. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mguye ja, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Well isn't that the one you want? MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's fine now, Mr Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making the point that if he was writing this statement in the course of giving material facts relevant to why a discharge from his firearm was found where the other doppies were found this statement in its entirety doesn't address that. Do you agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes there's lack of information in this statement, I agree. CHAIRPERSON: That he appears to say that he fired all 10 rounds that he fired at what I call the field of engagement as opposed to in the orange circle. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: If you know what I mean. COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: So that's something that he may have to explain. There may be an explanation but let's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	on other business your junior can wrap up with this statement or such other points as remain when we resume on Tuesday. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman. Let's suspend therefore the missing page, I'm reminded that there was a misfit page at one stage in the life of this Commission. If we look at Constable Mguye's statement. Now that would be OOO – that's the one that already has an exhibit number HHH13. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what we see on the screen doesn't help us but let's go on a bit further, either the rest of this page or the next page. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's okay if you dealt with the one that is on the screen, Chairman, as long as we know it to be the statement attributable to Constable Mguye. CHAIRPERSON: Well I haven't got it in front of me, what does the front page of the statement say? Whose statement does it say it is? Mr Mguye apparently. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mguye ja, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Well isn't that the one you want? MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's fine now, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	police that was where he used his R5 and he fired off 10 rounds. He doesn't say that he fired off anything in the vicinity of that orange circle. So that's something he's going to have come and explain to us but I don't know if the witness can help us on that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, to the extent that we were exploring with him objective evidence and the objective evidence does put rifle doppie age at the place where the other doppies are. So I was just making the point that if he was writing this statement in the course of giving material facts relevant to why a discharge from his firearm was found where the other doppies were found this statement in its entirety doesn't address that. Do you agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes there's lack of information in this statement, I agree. CHAIRPERSON: That he appears to say that he fired all 10 rounds that he fired at what I call the field of engagement as opposed to in the orange circle. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: If you know what I mean. COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: So that's something that he

1	Page 26205		Page 26207
1	missing page?	1	cartridge from a firearm that he used that day was found in
2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: We would like time to	2	what I call the orange circle and Mr Ntsebeza calls the red
3	read that, Mr Chairman.	3	circle. We're using different colours but we're talking
4	CHAIRPERSON: Yes all right. Well carry	4	about the same circle.
5	on with the other statement you were going to put to the	5	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. I don't know,
6	witness.	6	it's information or something that we have experienced in
7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed, Mr Chairman.	7	the past is sometimes the driver of Nyala have a R5 or a
8	CHAIRPERSON: If we don't get there by	8	shotgun with him. And when things get hot there's taking
9	12:30 then I'm sure your junior will be happy to assist us	9	people who are taking that firearm to use it and sometimes
10	on Tuesday morning with it.	10	they didn't declare they have shot that specific rounds.
11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman. Now,	11	And afterwards you will find out but this member said he
12	Mr Chairman, was it OOO30 that I watched? 30.2 Mogale,	12	didn't fire any shots but when they linked then he said yes
13	Warrant Officer Mogale. You see again, once again we now	13	he remembers so and so used his firearm.
14	know that Warrant Mogale fired in the vicinity –	14	CHAIRPERSON: The discharge tends to
15	CHAIRPERSON: He appears to have, I mean	15	indicate that they, I don't know, but they admitted that
16	let's not – I mean the probabilities seem to point in that	16	they fired all these shots.
17	direction, the circumstantial evidence points in that	17	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
18	direction. You never know, things have happened in this	18	CHAIRPERSON: Anyway these are
19	Commission before that have surprised us, so there may be a	19	interesting matters that we will pursue when they come here
20	surprise in this one too. But let's stick on your	20	but I don't know, with respect, that you can help us on it.
21	circumstantial evidence point of the moment. The evidence	21	Unless there's something Mr Ntsebeza wants to put to you
22	seems to point with high degree of probability that he was	22	about it.
23	one of those in the orange circle who fired.	23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just two questions, Mr
24	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agree on that.	24	Chairman, and then we can call it a day. My juniors will
25	CHAIRPERSON: All right now that's where	25	deal with the rest on Tuesday. Colonel, I've just been
	Page 26206		Page 26208
-			
1	Mr Ntsebeza is.	1	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere
2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is	2	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did
2 3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie	2 3	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol?
2 3 4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired	2 3 4	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all.
2 3 4 5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not	2 3 4 5	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry
2 3 4 5 6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why	2 3 4 5 6	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You	2 3 4 5 6 7	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what weapons was issued also to this member because why both of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh I see because I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what weapons was issued also to this member because why both of them look as if they are talking about shotguns. They are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh I see because I was going to ask because I haven't been able to find any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what weapons was issued also to this member because why both of them look as if they are talking about shotguns. They are POP members, so I know on the discharge sheet they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh I see because I was going to ask because I haven't been able to find any ballistic analysis of a firearm that could have –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what weapons was issued also to this member because why both of them look as if they are talking about shotguns. They are POP members, so I know on the discharge sheet they mentioned the R5 but we we'll have to go the SAP first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh I see because I was going to ask because I haven't been able to find any ballistic analysis of a firearm that could have – CHAIRPERSON: The evidence was that he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what weapons was issued also to this member because why both of them look as if they are talking about shotguns. They are POP members, so I know on the discharge sheet they mentioned the R5 but we we'll have to go the SAP first teams maybe to –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh I see because I was going to ask because I haven't been able to find any ballistic analysis of a firearm that could have – CHAIRPERSON: The evidence was that he normally doesn't carry a firearm. He keeps it in a safe in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what weapons was issued also to this member because why both of them look as if they are talking about shotguns. They are POP members, so I know on the discharge sheet they mentioned the R5 but we we'll have to go the SAP first teams maybe to – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure the police in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh I see because I was going to ask because I haven't been able to find any ballistic analysis of a firearm that could have – CHAIRPERSON: The evidence was that he normally doesn't carry a firearm. He keeps it in a safe in his office. There is a reason that I take it is private to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what weapons was issued also to this member because why both of them look as if they are talking about shotguns. They are POP members, so I know on the discharge sheet they mentioned the R5 but we we'll have to go the SAP first teams maybe to – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure the police in their evidence – I mean the legal representatives of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh I see because I was going to ask because I haven't been able to find any ballistic analysis of a firearm that could have – CHAIRPERSON: The evidence was that he normally doesn't carry a firearm. He keeps it in a safe in his office. There is a reason that I take it is private to him and we won't probe but he has a reason for that. Am I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what weapons was issued also to this member because why both of them look as if they are talking about shotguns. They are POP members, so I know on the discharge sheet they mentioned the R5 but we we'll have to go the SAP first teams maybe to – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure the police in their evidence – I mean the legal representatives of the police and evidence leaders can go into that. At the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh I see because I was going to ask because I haven't been able to find any ballistic analysis of a firearm that could have – CHAIRPERSON: The evidence was that he normally doesn't carry a firearm. He keeps it in a safe in his office. There is a reason that I take it is private to him and we won't probe but he has a reason for that. Am I correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what weapons was issued also to this member because why both of them look as if they are talking about shotguns. They are POP members, so I know on the discharge sheet they mentioned the R5 but we we'll have to go the SAP first teams maybe to – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure the police in their evidence – I mean the legal representatives of the police and evidence leaders can go into that. At the moment we've got the discharge sheet which tells us what it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh I see because I was going to ask because I haven't been able to find any ballistic analysis of a firearm that could have – CHAIRPERSON: The evidence was that he normally doesn't carry a firearm. He keeps it in a safe in his office. There is a reason that I take it is private to him and we won't probe but he has a reason for that. Am I correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what weapons was issued also to this member because why both of them look as if they are talking about shotguns. They are POP members, so I know on the discharge sheet they mentioned the R5 but we we'll have to go the SAP first teams maybe to – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure the police in their evidence – I mean the legal representatives of the police and evidence leaders can go into that. At the moment we've got the discharge sheet which tells us what it tells us in relation to the weapons used by these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh I see because I was going to ask because I haven't been able to find any ballistic analysis of a firearm that could have – CHAIRPERSON: The evidence was that he normally doesn't carry a firearm. He keeps it in a safe in his office. There is a reason that I take it is private to him and we won't probe but he has a reason for that. Am I correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Only on rare occasions did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what weapons was issued also to this member because why both of them look as if they are talking about shotguns. They are POP members, so I know on the discharge sheet they mentioned the R5 but we we'll have to go the SAP first teams maybe to – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure the police in their evidence – I mean the legal representatives of the police and evidence leaders can go into that. At the moment we've got the discharge sheet which tells us what it tells us in relation to the weapons used by these particular policemen on the day, on the 13th and that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh I see because I was going to ask because I haven't been able to find any ballistic analysis of a firearm that could have – CHAIRPERSON: The evidence was that he normally doesn't carry a firearm. He keeps it in a safe in his office. There is a reason that I take it is private to him and we won't probe but he has a reason for that. Am I correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Only on rare occasions did he take his firearm out of the safe. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what weapons was issued also to this member because why both of them look as if they are talking about shotguns. They are POP members, so I know on the discharge sheet they mentioned the R5 but we we'll have to go the SAP first teams maybe to – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure the police in their evidence – I mean the legal representatives of the police and evidence leaders can go into that. At the moment we've got the discharge sheet which tells us what it tells us in relation to the weapons used by these particular policemen on the day, on the 13th and that evidence was the evidence Mr Ntsebeza relies on. But the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh I see because I was going to ask because I haven't been able to find any ballistic analysis of a firearm that could have – CHAIRPERSON: The evidence was that he normally doesn't carry a firearm. He keeps it in a safe in his office. There is a reason that I take it is private to him and we won't probe but he has a reason for that. Am I correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Only on rare occasions did he take his firearm out of the safe. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what weapons was issued also to this member because why both of them look as if they are talking about shotguns. They are POP members, so I know on the discharge sheet they mentioned the R5 but we we'll have to go the SAP first teams maybe to – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure the police in their evidence – I mean the legal representatives of the police and evidence leaders can go into that. At the moment we've got the discharge sheet which tells us what it tells us in relation to the weapons used by these particular policemen on the day, on the 13th and that evidence was the evidence Mr Ntsebeza relies on. But the point he makes, I don't know if you can take it any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh I see because I was going to ask because I haven't been able to find any ballistic analysis of a firearm that could have – CHAIRPERSON: The evidence was that he normally doesn't carry a firearm. He keeps it in a safe in his office. There is a reason that I take it is private to him and we won't probe but he has a reason for that. Am I correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Only on rare occasions did he take his firearm out of the safe. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: This wasn't one of them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And if this statement is made as an account by him of why a cartridge or a doppie that is traceable to the firearm that was definitely fired in the red circle as we have come to label it it does not assist anyone. It does not explain why he was there or why the doppie is where Captain Moshwana found it to be. You agree with that I'm sure. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes Chair, I just want to know or maybe we have to look into the information, what weapons was issued also to this member because why both of them look as if they are talking about shotguns. They are POP members, so I know on the discharge sheet they mentioned the R5 but we we'll have to go the SAP first teams maybe to – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure the police in their evidence – I mean the legal representatives of the police and evidence leaders can go into that. At the moment we've got the discharge sheet which tells us what it tells us in relation to the weapons used by these particular policemen on the day, on the 13th and that evidence was the evidence Mr Ntsebeza relies on. But the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reminded that - I have not been able to find it anywhere and I don't think you have testified about it either, did you yourself on that day carry a 9 millimetre pistol? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at all. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't carry anything? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you use any firearm on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh I see because I was going to ask because I haven't been able to find any ballistic analysis of a firearm that could have – CHAIRPERSON: The evidence was that he normally doesn't carry a firearm. He keeps it in a safe in his office. There is a reason that I take it is private to him and we won't probe but he has a reason for that. Am I correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Only on rare occasions did he take his firearm out of the safe. Is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.

	Page 26209		Page 26211
1	sure that one of those rarest occasions was not the 13th but	1	exactly half past 12. But can I just ask one aspect.
2	you have testified that. The last question, Colonel, I got	2	CHAIRPERSON: It depends how long the
3	the impression in your evidence that you were saying this	3	aspect is, because we have got people who have made travel
	was not an operation really that called for other units	4	arrangements and who will be gravely embarrassed and very
4		-	
5	other than POP members. Did I misread your – or did I	5	angry with me if I –
6	misunderstand your evidence?	6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay, Mr Chairman, maybe
7	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, we saw the	7	let me –
8	Nyalas from the air, we didn't know at that stage there was	8	CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps your learned junior
9	TRT and NIU members being deployed there, so we take it	9	can ask this question on Tuesday morning. Give it to her
10	that the people who have been deployed was POP members.	10	in writing to make sure she gets it correct.
11	And yes it was a illegal march and normally POP will handle	11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.
12	it.	12	Mr Chairman, for today then that is the end of my cross-
13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Let me just explain why	13	examination.
14	I ask the question. The tenor of your evidence as I	14	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, we will adjourn
15	understood it in being critical of the plan, Scott plan,	15	now until Tuesday morning here at this venue at 9 o'clock
16	whatever and all of that was what led me to conclude that -	16	or shortly thereafter.
17	in fact I think at one stage you said if you were in	17	[COMMISSION ADJOURNED]
18	charge. And you had even suggested this to those who had	18	
19	been doing the planning, that you would have regarded this	19	
20	as a POP operation. And that the other units	20	
21	[12:27] And that the other units would not,	21	
22	were not appropriate for this operation. Now, I am	22	
23	paraphrasing what I understood your tenor of the evidence	23	
23	to have been. Have I done justice to assuming that that	23	
24 25	was the nature of your evidence?	24 25	
20	was the nature of your condence:	20	•
-	Page 26210		
1	Page 26210 COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that,		
1	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that,		
	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there.		
2	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see		
2	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie,		
2 3 4 5	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you		
2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the		
2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes, I agreed that,that was a public order policing incident there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now, that is – you seeit struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie,I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When youassemble people of your members that must pursue theprotestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if you can		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if you can remember I said I asked Captain Loest. He was with me in		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if you can remember I said I asked Captain Loest. He was with me in the helicopter and I asked him that he must get members for		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes, I agreed that,that was a public order policing incident there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now, that is – you seeit struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie,I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When youassemble people of your members that must pursue theprotestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT membersand it's units you know who are certainly not POP members.What informed you or what informed your decision to chooseTRT members?COLONEL VERMAAK:Chair, if you canremember I said I asked Captain Loest. He was with me inthe helicopter and I asked him that he must get members forme to go with me to follow that person and then he came		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if you can remember I said I asked Captain Loest. He was with me in the helicopter and I asked him that he must get members for me to go with me to follow that person and then he came with those members and due to the time I took the members		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if you can remember I said I asked Captain Loest. He was with me in the helicopter and I asked him that he must get members for me to go with me to follow that person and then he came with those members and due to the time I took the members and I go with them. And also for the fact that there is a		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if you can remember I said I asked Captain Loest. He was with me in the helicopter and I asked him that he must get members for me to go with me to follow that person and then he came with those members and due to the time I took the members and I go with them. And also for the fact that there is a R5 involved. So it was no intention to go and disperse		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if you can remember I said I asked Captain Loest. He was with me in the helicopter and I asked him that he must get members for me to go with me to follow that person and then he came with those members and due to the time I took the members and I go with them. And also for the fact that there is a R5 involved. So it was no intention to go and disperse people. It was going to be secure the scene where we saw		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if you can remember I said I asked Captain Loest. He was with me in the helicopter and I asked him that he must get members for me to go with me to follow that person and then he came with those members and due to the time I took the members and I go with them. And also for the fact that there is a R5 involved. So it was no intention to go and disperse people. It was going to be secure the scene where we saw people was dragging a person, to go and to secure the scene		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if you can remember I said I asked Captain Loest. He was with me in the helicopter and I asked him that he must get members for me to go with me to follow that person and then he came with those members and due to the time I took the members and I go with them. And also for the fact that there is a R5 involved. So it was no intention to go and disperse people. It was going to be secure the scene where we saw people was dragging a person, to go and to secure the scene there. But on our way we got a person who was shooting at		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if you can remember I said I asked Captain Loest. He was with me in the helicopter and I asked him that he must get members for me to go with me to follow that person and then he came with those members and due to the time I took the members and I go with them. And also for the fact that there is a R5 involved. So it was no intention to go and disperse people. It was going to be secure the scene where we saw people was dragging a person, to go and to secure the scene		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if you can remember I said I asked Captain Loest. He was with me in the helicopter and I asked him that he must get members for me to go with me to follow that person and then he came with those members and due to the time I took the members and I go with them. And also for the fact that there is a R5 involved. So it was no intention to go and disperse people. It was going to be secure the scene where we saw people was dragging a person, to go and to secure the scene there. But on our way we got a person who was shooting at		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if you can remember I said I asked Captain Loest. He was with me in the helicopter and I asked him that he must get members for me to go with me to follow that person and then he came with those members and due to the time I took the members and I go with them. And also for the fact that there is a R5 involved. So it was no intention to go and disperse people. It was going to be secure the scene where we saw people was dragging a person, to go and to secure the scene there. But on our way we got a person who was shooting at us. So, no I didn't take them with me for a crowd control		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if you can remember I said I asked Captain Loest. He was with me in the helicopter and I asked him that he must get members for me to go with me to follow that person and then he came with those members and due to the time I took the members and I go with them. And also for the fact that there is a R5 involved. So it was no intention to go and disperse people. It was going to be secure the scene where we saw people was dragging a person, to go and to secure the scene there. But on our way we got a person who was shooting at us. So, no I didn't take them with me for a crowd control situation. I think they were at that stage the only		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I agreed that, that was a public order policing incident there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, that is – you see it struck me against that, that when you assemble a possie, I mean, I am sorry I don't want to be colloquial. When you assemble people of your members that must pursue the protestors or you own evidence you assembled TRT members and it's units you know who are certainly not POP members. What informed you or what informed your decision to choose TRT members? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if you can remember I said I asked Captain Loest. He was with me in the helicopter and I asked him that he must get members for me to go with me to follow that person and then he came with those members and due to the time I took the members and I go with them. And also for the fact that there is a R5 involved. So it was no intention to go and disperse people. It was going to be secure the scene where we saw people was dragging a person, to go and to secure the scene there. But on our way we got a person who was shooting at us. So, no I didn't take them with me for a crowd control situation. I think they were at that stage the only persons that reacted to the request of Captain Loest but I		

Pretoria

				Page
A	26182:13 26186:18	armoured 26195:21	26202:11 26203:2	26205:4 26208:3,5,15
aanneem 26143:7	26186:19,23 26188:2	arranged 26145:7	blade 26145:6	carrying 26146:9
able 26141:9 26153:8	26189:10,20 26192:3	arrangements	blink 26141:6	26200:15
26164:11 26165:6	26194:13 26204:14	26197:18 26211:4	body 26144:2 26146:2	cartridge 26148:25
26174:16 26177:3,4	26204:16 26205:24	arrived 26166:17	26166:2 26178:24	26149:1,2,3,5
26178:22 26186:25	26206:8	26193:2	26187:21,22 26189:1	26160:25 26161:9
26192:9 26208:1,12	agreed 26146:12	asked 26143:14	26189:8,8,11,17	26163:6,6,12 26176:1
absence 26160:8	26156:1 26165:13	26180:16 26195:8,16	26191:6,10 26195:9	26176:1,7,7,8,19,22
absent 26170:11	26192:2 26200:8	26198:8 26210:12,13	26197:8,15,23	26178:14,20,20
absolutely 26168:11	26210:1	asking 26142:24	26198:14,17	26179:16 26185:11
accept 26143:10	agreement 26170:1	26171:10,10	26199:17,18	26185:13,15
26150:25 26151:19	26198:11 26203:18	aspect 26211:1,3	bone 26154:25	26193:19 26206:3
26152:17 26153:21	Ah 26183:5	assemble 26210:4,6	Botha 26166:15,15,15	26207:1
26160:19 26165:21	aid 26142:7 26155:23	assembled 26194:13	26166:20	cartridges 26142:4
26167:7,7,24	26161:4 26165:10	26210:7	bound 26141:10	26146:24,25
26172:22 26175:23	air 26191:11 26209:8	assist 26200:2 26205:9	26168:16	26147:25 26154:24
26177:1,9	alia 26199:16 allegedly 26158:19	26206:6 assisted 26155:23	break 26168:5	26155:11 26157:12
acceptable 26145:9	allocation 26169:13	assisted 20135:25 assume 26189:2	breaths 26204:25 Brigadier 26197:21	26158:20 26159:7,8 26159:16 26160:7,13
accepted 26166:6	almal 26156:9	26195:14	brought 26147:14	26160:15,22 26161:4
account 26203:19	Alright 26155:9,10	assuming 26209:24	26180:20 26192:10	26165:10 26166:7
26206:3	26170:3 26173:9	attached 26154:20	bulky 26148:13	26167:11,23 26171:4
accounting 26157:1	26182:6	attack 26192:17	bundle 26147:1,7	26175:10,15,17,21,21
achieve 26146:16	alternatively 26180:3	attention 26169:4	business 26174:13	26175:10,15,17,21,21 26176:4,7,20 26177:6
achieved 26145:17	altogether 26162:1	attributable 26202:15	26202:1	26178:5 26181:25
26146:14	analysis 26208:13	August 26164:16	busy 26148:24	26182:3,11 26184:10
acting 26190:5,15	analyst 26172:13	26166:23 26167:9	26197:25 26203:3	26186:3,21 26187:18
additional 26154:23	angry 26211:5	26179:7,17 26184:11	20197.23 20203.3	26187:22,23
26155:18 26164:14	annexed 26169:10	available 26180:18	C	26188:18,19 26189:7
address 26204:13	annexure 26164:13	aware 26159:20	C 26151:6,7,11,15	26189:9,12 26192:18
adds 26169:7	answer 26153:5	26166:11 26167:2	Caires 26171:17,19	26195:4 26200:17
adjourn 26211:14	26164:17 26198:7	20100.11 20107.2	26172:8,10,17,20,21	case 26144:25 26149:1
ADJOURNED	anybody 26169:25	B	26172:25 26173:2	26149:2 26172:6
26211:17	26199:10,11,15	B 26151:6,6,10,16	26172:29 20175:2	26174:14 26176:19
adjournment 26168:8	anyway 26157:18	26152:3,5,9,13,14,14	26177:7	cases 26161:9 26163:6
26168:9	26181:20 26207:18	26152:21 26154:2	Caires's 26174:13	26185:11,13,15
adjournments	apparently 26180:21	26175:17 26176:7	Calitz 26197:21	26193:19
26168:10 ADJOURNS 26168:14	26202:19	26186:3	call 26148:5 26171:4	CAS-number 26148:3
Adjudant 26175:10	appear 26160:11	back 26144:17	26196:11,11,22,24	26173:16,18
admitted 26207:15	26185:16 26196:2	26150:18 26154:13	26204:18 26207:2,24	CAS115 26148:2,8
Adv 26145:5 26147:14	appears 26185:11	26159:4 26160:2,21	called 26174:17	CAS115/08/2012
26154:18 26169:3	26196:9 26203:22,23	26162:22 26165:21	26209:4	26174:6
affidavit 26147:5,5,5	26204:17 26205:15	26192:7 26194:12	calls 26207:2	certainly 26160:15
26147:11,19	applies 26172:25	26195:14,16,17,19	cancel 26168:25	26194:22 26210:8
26154:14,15	approach 26166:18	26197:20 26200:1,6	canvassed 26187:4	Chair 26141:13
26155:24 26163:22	appropriate 26209:22	26200:13	canvassing 26184:9	26142:13 26147:17
26164:13 26171:16	approximate 26152:20	backwards 26190:3	can't 26143:13,15	26157:25 26158:23
26173:11 26174:14	26157:23	ballistic 26172:14	26181:1,18	26159:23 26160:5,24
26177:11,12,19,21	approximately	26185:9 26208:13	captain 26142:6	26162:7,18 26163:10
26182:7 26186:2,7,20	26151:16 26152:13	basis 26141:20	26147:1,6,19 26148:1	26163:13,19 26164:6
26198:24	26157:14,15 26165:9	26156:24 26157:9,13	26150:17,24 26151:5	26164:17 26168:22
affidavits 26167:3	April 26141:1	26187:17,19	26153:11 26154:12	26172:22 26173:5
affirmation 26141:11	26147:12 26148:7	bear 26186:1	26154:19 26155:22	26174:11 26177:18
26168:16	area 26142:5 26149:24	bearing 26146:22	26156:24 26158:1,13	26178:17 26179:6
Affirms 26168:18	26154:10 26160:20	began 26191:3	26160:12 26163:21	26180:6 26181:22
Africa 26149:4	26160:23 26165:13	beginning 26203:22	26165:6,20,22	26188:2,22 26190:9
ag 26166:15	26181:3 26192:14	believe 26147:7	26166:10,14,15	26190:19 26191:9,20
age 26204:8	26193:14 26195:12	26166:16 26170:7	26167:4,10,18	26192:8,12,23
agree 26144:9,9,13	argue 26146:21	26179:5	26183:8 26184:10	26193:4 26194:2,9,19
26151:17 26156:2,9	26154:9 26157:20	benefit 26168:3	26206:7 26210:12,23	26196:18 26197:17
26157:24 26158:2,20	26158:5 26168:1	benefits 26141:9	carried 26145:25	26199:1,20,23
26163:21 26164:2,11	26171:1 26177:5	best 26170:8	26146:9,11,13	26200:10 26202:20
26165:6,15 26174:8	26187:2,24 26188:6	better 26167:8	26179:11 26191:18	26203:8 26204:20
26176:24 26178:22	26190:4,6 26198:20	big 26158:10	26192:1	26206:9 26208:19,24
26179:3 26182:3,5,9	argument 26187:20	bit 26143:21 26150:20	carry 26170:3	26209:7 26210:11
	arithmetic 26153:19	26162:12 26200:5	26184:16 26203:10	Chairman 26141:16,17
ARCHIVE EO	8 JUSTICE			

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Pretoria

26142:16,22,23 collected 26178:5 convenient 26168:5 26163:24 26164:1 26159:15 261 26143:9,20 26145:1 colours 26207:3 colours 26207:3 26149:18 26170:19 26190:11 26181:25 26190:14 26149:19 22 26179:21 26150:7 26179:21 26194:11 26181:25 26194:12 261914:17.22 26198:3 26192:13 26206:21 26207:1,24 26190:12 26196:13 26206:21 26207:1,24 26190:12 26196:13 26192:13 262 26192:13 262 26192:13 262 26192:13 262 26192:13 262 26192:13 262 26192:13 26174:17 26192:13 26198:12 26192:13 26174:17 26192:13 26198:10 26192:13 26198:10 26192:13 26198:22 26192:13 26198:22 26192:13 26198:22 26192:13 26198:22 26192:13 26198:22 26198:22 26193:12 5:16 26177:7 26198:22 26198:12 26158:12 26158:16 26177:7 26198:22 26168:12 26198:10 26188:12 26189:10 26188:12 26189:10 26188:12 26189:12 26188:12 26189:12 26188:12 26189:12 26188:12 26189:12 26188:12 26189:12 26188:12 26189:12 26188:12 26181:12 26188:12 26181:12 26188:12 26181:11 26189:12 26181:11 26189:12 2618:11 26189:12 2618:11 26189:12 2618:11 26189:	7:3 1:5 7:15 9:1 88:11,15 005:17 :21 5193:8 9:5,6 84:7,8 86:13 44:11 87:12 60:22 :17 8
26145:11 26146:18 colours 26207:3 26149:8 26179:12 6180:21 difficulty 2617 26146:20 26147:13 26199:12 26194:11 26194:15 26154:20 26194:15 26154:20 26194:17.22 26192:13 26208:3.9 26199:12 26207:1,24 26180:12 26172:51,26207:1 26189:12 26172:51,26207:1 26189:22 26175:1,5222 26174:1 26177:52 26174:1 26177:52 26174:1 26177:52 26174:1 26197:13 26187:6 26187:12 2617:1 26189:22 2618:10 26187:12 2617:1 26189:22 2618:10 26189:22 2618:10 26189:22 2618:10 26189:12 2618:10 26189:12 2617:1 26197:13 26187:1 26192:13 262 2617:17 26189:22 2618:10 26189:22 2619:11 26189:22 2618:10 26189:22 2617:1 26189:22 2618:10 26189:22 2618:10 26189:22 2618:11 26192:12 2618:12 26188:12 2618:12 </td <td>1:5 7:15 9:1 18:11,15 105:17 221 5193:8 9:5,6 84:7,8 86:13 44:11 87:12 60:22 117 8</td>	1:5 7:15 9:1 18:11,15 105:17 221 5193:8 9:5,6 84:7,8 86:13 44:11 87:12 60:22 117 8
26146:20 26147:1,22 come 26141:7 26171:4 copy 26147:13 26181:25 26190:14 diligence 26147 26148:10,22 26179:21 26199:8 26149:15 26154:20 26194:17,22 26198:3 direction 26159 26148:11,21 26150:7 26195:14 26199:8 26153:22 26169:13 26208:3.9 26192:13 2620 26158:8 26164:1 26207:12,4,11 de 26171:17,19 26172:8 26080:12 26071:12 26198:25 26173:7,22 26174:7 comfortable 26153:25 correct 26143:4,18 26175:15,20 2617:6 dispage 26169:1 26173:7,22 26174:7 comment 26168:3 26157:15,23,24 dead 26162:26192:13 26185:12 26173:7,22 26174:7 comment 26168:3 261673:25 26173:15 26171:13 26198:10 26185:12 26182:12 26186:16 comment 26168:3 261673:15,23,24 dead 26146:2 2619:21 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26187:13 26182:12 26186:12 26187:13 26182:12 26187:13 26182:12 26187:13 26187:12 26187:13 26187:13 26187:14 2609:13<	7:15 0:1 18:11,15 105:17 193:8 9:5,6 84:7,8 86:13 14:11 87:12 60:22 17 8
26148:19.22 26179:21 2619:13 26194:12 226169:13 26206:21 26207:1,2 26180:1 2618 26153: 2 26164:14 26207:19 26208:3,9 26192:13 262 26155: 8 26164:1 26207:19 26201:1,2,4,11 de 26171:17.19 26172:8 26192:13 262 26165: 31,32.1 comes 26153:25 cord 26190:1 26172:5 26201:2 26172:5 26174:13 26172:10 26174:2 26172:10 26174:2 26172:10 26174:2 26172:10 26174:2 26172:10 26174:2 26172:10 26174:2 26173:10 26176:10 26176:6 disappeared 26 26173:7,22 26174:7 commont 26168:3 26165:3 26163:13 26197:13 26198:10 261 26185:10 261 26184:12,14,18 26171:10 26169:8 26173:5 dead 2616:22 2619:11 26194:5 2620 26185:22 26198:11 26169:13 (26163:13 26169:13 (26163:13) 26146:23 2617:3 26200:14 2619:22 26198:11 26169:14 (26174:11 26175:22) deal 2614:23 26147:3 26200:14 26194:23 26147:3 26200:14 2620:22 426203:5,12 Commission 26164:1 26198:26191:20 dealing 26174:17 dischareged 261 2620:22 426203:5,12 Commission 26164:1 26192:20 (272:4644:1	9:1 38:11,15 005:17 :21 5193:8 9:5,6 84:7,8 86:13 04:11 87:12 60:22 :17 8
26149:11.21 26150:7 26195:14 26180:1 26180:12 26207:1.24 26180:12 26180:12 26192:13 26208:3,9 26192:13 26205:18 26192:13 26205:18 26192:13 26205:18 26192:13 26205:18 26192:13 26205:18 26192:13 26205:18 26192:13 26198:25 26198:25 26171:17,19 26173:2 26174:13 26198:25 26173:2 26174:13 26198:25 26173:2 26177:1 26194:24 26157:15,23,24 dead 26188:21 26188:22 26183:13 26197:13 26188:22 26185:10 2618:21:2610 26188:12 26185:12 26197:13 2618:21:2610:10 2618:21:2612:10 2618:22:2610:12 2618:12 26191:26 26191:26 26191:26 26191:26 26191:26 26191:26 26191:26 26191:26 26191:27 2618:12 26191:27 2618:22 2618:12 26191:26 26191:21 26191:21 26192:14 26191:26 26200:12 26200:12 26200:12 26200:12 26200:12 26200:12 26200:12 26200:12	88:11,15 105:17 21 5193:8 9:5,6 84:7,8 86:13 4:11 87:12 60:22 117 8
26153:7 26154:14 26204:4 26206:5 26172:5 26200:25 26208:3.9 26192:13 262 26155:8 26164:1 2607:19 26201:1,2,4,11 26172:9,16,20,20 diagree 2618 26170:5,14,22 comfort 26168:5 correr 26175:1,6 26173:2 26174:13 26198:22 26171:15 26172:2 comfort 26168:5 correr 26175:1,6 26177:7 discharge 261 26187:12 26177:10 26194:24 26167:15,23,24 dead 177:7 dead 188:10 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 26185:12 2618:12 26166:13 26191:13 26194:5 26207:14 26194:5 26207:14 26194:5 26207:14 26194:5 26207:14 26193:12,15 2618:12 2618:12 2618:12 2618:12 2618:12 2618:12 2618:12 2618:12 2618:12 2618:12 26193:11 26170:17 discharge 2615 26007:14 26107:12 26207:14 26207:14 26207:14 26190:16 26170:12 26170:12 2618:1	205:17 :21 5193:8 9:5,6 84:7,8 86:13 14:11 87:12 60:22 :17 8
26155:8.26164:1 26207:19 26201:1,2,4,11 de 26171:17,19 26172:8 26205:18 26160:5,14,22 comfort 26168:5 corner 26175:1,6 26173:2,26174:13 26198:25 26170:5,14,22 comfort 26168:5 corner 26143:4,18 26175:10,26176:6 disappeared 26 26173:7,22,26174:7 26198:25 corner 26163:13 26173:2,26174:13 26182:21 2613 26182:12,4,18 comment 26168:3 26160:5,9 26163:13 26197:13 26184:22 26185:12,0214 26188:12,14,18 26171:10 26169:23 26173:5 dead 26146:2 26192:13 26184:22 26185:12,0214 26194:5,26201:24 26204:13:19 26188:22,26198:16 Commission 26141:2 26177:18,221612:12 deal 2614:123 2614:12 26194:5,26201:4 26204:62,36147:3 26204:13 26194:2,5201:4 26190:224,26203:5,12 Commissioner 26164:1 26193:12,15,21 2618:12,221614 26203:2 dispeare 26210: 26201:24,26203:5,12 Commissioner 26164:12 26193:1,11 26178:32,2617:1 dispeare 26210: 26201:24,26203:5,12 Commissioner 26164:12 26192:10 dealt 26194:5,26197:7 <t< td=""><td>:21 5193:8 9:5,6 84:7,8 86:13 14:11 87:12 60:22 :17 8</td></t<>	:21 5193:8 9:5,6 84:7,8 86:13 14:11 87:12 60:22 :17 8
26168:3,13,21 comes 26153:25 cord 26190:1 26172:9,16,20,20 disagree 26188 26170:5,14,22 comfort 26168:5 corner 26173:1,6 26173:2 26174:13 26198:25 26171:52 command 26187:6 26145:22 26153:16 26177:7 dead 26146:2 26192:13 26188:22 26163:16 26183:12,14,19 26187:10 26169:25 26167:15.22 26163:16 26197:13 26198:10 26185:12 261 26183:12,22 26186:16 commission 26141:2 26167:15.22 26187:13 26198:10 26185:12 26173:15 dead 26141:23 26142:3 26203:14 26197:13 26198:10 26185:12 261 26201:24 26202:4,1 26187:12 26207:24 26187:12 26173:2 26183:12 26174:17 discovered 261 26201:24 26203:5,12 Commissioner 26164:1 26193:12,15,21 26178:3 26182:22 dispres 26210: 26201:24 26203:3,7,11 26170:22 26184:19 26197:17 26199:20 deal 26141:24 dispres 26174:7 26201:22 26207:24 Commissioner 26164:1 26193:12,15,21 26174:17 distance 26151 26201:22 26207:24 Commissioner 26164:1 26193:12,15,21 26174:17 distance 26151	5193:8 9:5,6 84:7,8 86:13 14:11 87:12 60:22 :17 8
26168:3,13,21 comes 26153:25 cord 26190:1 26172:9,16,20,20 disagree 26188 26170:5,14,22 comfort 26168:5 corner 26173:1,6 26173:2 26174:13 26198:25 26171:52 command 26187:6 26145:22 26153:16 26177:7 dead 26146:2 26192:13 26188:22 26163:16 26183:12,14,19 26187:10 26169:25 26167:15.22 26163:16 26197:13 26198:10 26185:12 261 26183:12,22 26186:16 commission 26141:2 26167:15.22 26187:13 26198:10 26185:12 26173:15 dead 26141:23 26142:3 26203:14 26197:13 26198:10 26185:12 261 26201:24 26202:4,1 26187:12 26207:24 26187:12 26173:2 26183:12 26174:17 discovered 261 26201:24 26203:5,12 Commissioner 26164:1 26193:12,15,21 26178:3 26182:22 dispres 26210: 26201:24 26203:3,7,11 26170:22 26184:19 26197:17 26199:20 deal 26141:24 dispres 26174:7 26201:22 26207:24 Commissioner 26164:1 26193:12,15,21 26174:17 distance 26151 26201:22 26207:24 Commissioner 26164:1 26193:12,15,21 26174:17 distance 26151	5193:8 9:5,6 84:7,8 86:13 14:11 87:12 60:22 :17 8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5193:8 9:5,6 84:7,8 86:13 14:11 87:12 60:22 :17 8
26171:15 26172:2 comfortable 26153:23 correct 26143:4,18 26175:10 26176:6 disappeared 267 26173:7,22 26174:7 26194:24 26155:15 23,24 dead 26146:2 26192:13 26185:22 26153:16 26185:12 26171:10 26194:24 26167:15 23,24 dead 26146:2 26192:13 26185:12 26197:13 26198:10 26185:12 26197:13 26198:10 26185:12 26197:13 26198:10 26185:22 26194:14 26197:13 26198:10 26185:23 26197:13 26109:14:23 26142:33 26207:14 26109:13 26207:12 26185:23 26197:13 26185:23 26197:13 26207:14 26207:14 26207:14 26207:14 26207:14 26207:14 26207:14 26207:14 26207:14 26207:14 26207:14 26171:12 26171:12 26178:12 26191:14 26179:18,19 26186:7 26207:25 discharged 261 26201:24 26203:5,1,11 26170:21 26201:10 26179:12,12,12 26177:17 26177:17 26177:17 26177:17 26177:17 26177:17 26177:17 26171:12 26178:17 26177:17 26171:12 26177:17 26171:12 26177:7 26171:12 26171:12 26171:12 26171:12 26171:12 26171:12 26171:12<	9:5,6 84:7,8 86:13 44:11 87:12 60:22 :17 8
26173:7,22 26174:7 command 26187:6 26145:22 26153:16 26177:7 discharge 2617 26175:24 26177:10 26194:24 26157:15,23,24 26182:12 2618 26182:12 2619 26182:14,19 26184:4 26171:10 26169:13 26191:13 26191:13 26191:13 26191:13 26191:13 26191:13 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:17 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:14 26191:22 26191:14 26191:22 26191:12 26191:14 26191:22 26191:22 26191:22 26191:22 26191:22 26191:22 26191:22 26191:22 26191:23 26191:23 26191:22 26191:22 26191:22 26191:22 26191:22 26191:23 26191:23 26191:23 26191:23 26191:23 26191:23 26191:23 26191:23 26191:23 26191:23 26191:23 26191:23 26191:23 26191:23 26191:23	9:5,6 84:7,8 86:13 44:11 87:12 60:22 :17 8
26175:24 26177:10 26194:24 26157:15.23,24 dead 26146:2 26192:13 26182:21 261 26182:14,19 26184:4 comment 26168:3 26160:5,9 26163:13 26197:13 26198:10 26185:22 26194:14 26171:2 26178:13 26192:14 26184:2 26192:14 26184:2 26192:14 26192:12 26191:14 26192:12 26191:14 26192:12 26191:14 26192:12 261111 26172:12 26191:14 26192:12 261111 26171:25 26191:14 26192:12 26171:12	84:7,8 86:13 44:11 87:12 60:22 :17 8
26182:14,19 26184:4 comment 26168:3 26160:5,9 26163:13 26197:13 26198:10 26185:10 261 26184:12,14,18 26171:10 26169:22 26173:5 deadly 26192:14 26194:52 2620 26185:22 26186:16 Commission 26141:2 26177:17 deadly 26192:14 26194:52 2620 26196:25,8 26201:19 26187:1 26202:7 26181:22 26182:12 26186:7 26207:25 discharged 261 26201:24 26202:14 26205:19 26211:17 26185:23 26191:20 dealing 26174:17 discharged 261 26202:24 26203:5,12 Commissioner 26164:1 26193:12,15,21 26178:3 26182:22 dispute 26198:3 26201:25 26211:61,11 26170:22 26184:19 26197:17 26199:20 dealing 26174:17 dispute 26151:3 26201:22 26207:24 compilation 26166:25 26204:20 26207:17 26202:13 distances 26151:3 26201:12 compilation 26166:25 26204:20 26207:17 26202:13 distances 26151:3 26200:22,24 26201:4 26167:9 26168:4,7 26192:10 doctar 26174:5 doctar 26174:5 26200:22,24 26201:4 26167:9 26168:4,7 26192:10 dealing 26166:24 26148:69,913	86:13 14:11 87:12 60:22 :17 3
26184:12,14,18 26171:10 26169:8 26173:5 deadly 26192:14 26194:5 2620 26185:22 26186:16 Commission 26141:2 26174:11 26175:22 deal 26141:23 26142:3 262007:14 262007:14 262007:14 262007:14 26196:22,58 26201:19 26187:12 2602:7 26187:22 26182:12 26186:7 26207:25 discharged 261 26202:42 26203:5,12 Commissioner 26164:1 26192:8 26193:1,11 26178:3 26182:22 dispute 26198:8 26194:2,6,9,19 deals 26203:2 dispute 26198:8 26194:2,6,9,19 deals 26203:2 dispute 26198:8 26193:12,15,211 26170:21 26201:10 26197:17 26199:20 deals 26194:2 56197.7 dispute 26198:8 26194:2,6,9,19 deals 26194:2 50197.7 dispute 26198:8 26194:2,6,9,19 deals 26194:5 26197.7 distances 26151 26201:22 26201:16,11 26170:22 26184:19 26197:17 26202:13 deals 26194:5 26197.7 distances 26152 26101:12 compiled 26148:1 26208:18,19,21,22 death 26174:5 docket 26174:5 docket 26174:5 26109:11 concret 26159:17 corcret 26163:2 26173:10 26186:16 26181:24 26192:10 dockeat 26166:24	4:11 87:12 60:22 :17 8
26185:22 26186:16 Commission 26141:2 2617:11 26175:22 deal 26141:23 26142:3 26206:13,19 26189:22 26194:11 26168:14,14,15 26171:18,19 26146:23 26147:3 26207:14 26201:24 26202:4,14 26205:19 26211:17 26185:23 26191:20 dealing 26174:17 discovered 261 26202:24 26203:5,12 Commissioner 26164:1 26192:8 26193:1,11 26178:3 26182:22 dispure 26183: 26205:12 26207:24 Commissioner 26164:1 26192:8 26193:1,11 26178:3 26182:22 dispure 26198: 26205:12 26207:24 Commissioner 26164:1 26192:12,15,21 26171:2 6207:2 distance 26151 26201:22 26207:24 Commissioner 26166:25 26204:20 26207:17 26202:13 dit 26143:7,7 change 26169:11 26177:7 26171:10 26192:10 docket 26174:5 docket 26174:5 26200:22,24 26201:4 26167:9 26168:47 26192:6 26192:10 document 2614 26200:10,13,17 conclude 26170:15 couldn't 26146:5 26190:20 26167:16 26142:10 26159:11 conclude 26176:6,9 26146:15 26161:5 deceision 26210:9 26167:12	87:12 60:22 :17 8
26189:22 26194:11 26168:14,14,15 26179:18,19 26146:23 26147:3 26207:14 26196:25,8 26201:19 26187:1 26202:7 26181:22 26182:12 26185:7 26207:25 discharged 261 26201:24 26202:4,14 26205:19 26211:17 26185:23 26191:20 dealing 26174:17 discovered 261 26202:24 26203:3,11 26170:21 26201:10 26192:8 26193:1,11 26178:3 26182:22 disperse 2610: 26201:25 26211:6,11 26170:22 26184:19 26197:17 26199:20 deals 26193:2 distance 26151: 26200:25 26211:6,11 26170:22 2618:19,21,22 distance 26155: distance 26155: distance 26155: 26211:12 compilation 26166:25 26204:20 26207:17 26202:13 distance 26155: 2619:13 26177:7 26211:10 dechar 26166:24 26148:6,9,13 26200:22,24 26201:4 26167:9 26168:4,7 26192:10 document 2614 26200:10,11,3,17 conclude 26170:15 couldn't 26146:5 26190:20 26167:16 chie 26141:22 2609:16 course 26141:22 26190:20 26167:16 26142:10 26159:11 concludes 26176:6,9 <td< td=""><td>60:22 :17 3</td></td<>	60:22 :17 3
26196:2,5,8 26201:19 26187:1 26202:7 26181:22 26182:12 26186:7 26207:25 discharged 261 26201:24 26203:5,12 Commissioner 26164:1 26192:8 26193:1,11 26178:3 26182:22 discovered 261 26204:6 26205:3,7,11 26170:21 26201:10 26193:1,25,21 26191:14 dispres 26109 26204:6 26205:3,7,11 26170:22 26184:19 26197:17 26199:20 dealt 26194:5 26197:7 distance 26151 26201:25 26211:6,11 26170:22 26184:19 26197:17 26199:20 dealt 26194:5 26197:7 distance 26151 26211:12 compilation 26166:25 26204:20 26207:17 26202:13 distance 26151 26191:1 26177:7 26211:10 26192:10 document 2614 charge 26209:18 concede 26159:17 correctly 26163:2 debate 26166:24 26148:6,9,13 26100:22,24 26201:4 26167:15 colldn't 26146:5 26190:20 26167:16 26142:10 26159:11 conclude 26176:6,9 26146:15 26161:5 decisan 26210:9 documents 261 26142:10 26159:11 concludes 26176:6,9 26146:15 26161:5 decisan 26210:9 26171:2 26176:14,16 <t< td=""><td>60:22 :17 3</td></t<>	60:22 :17 3
26201:24 26202:4,14 26205:19 26211:17 26185:23 26191:20 dealing 26174:17 discovered 2619 26202:24 26203:5,12 Commissioner 26164:1 26192:8 26193:1,11 26178:3 26182:22 disperse 26210 26202:24 26202:4 Commissioner 26164:1 26193:12,15,21 26191:14 dispute 26198:8 26205:12 26207:24 Commissioner 26166:15 26194:2,69,19 deals 26203:2 distance 26151 26211:12 compilation 26166:25 26204:20 26207:17 26202:13 dit 26143:7,7 chambers 26147:13 compiled 26148:1 26197:17 26192:10 document 2614 charge 26209:18 concede 26159:17 correctly 26163:2 26192:10 document 2614 26200:22,24 26201:4 26167:9 26168:4,7 26192:6 26192:10 document 261 26201:10,13,17 conclude 26170:15 couldn't 26146:5 26190:20 26167:12 26142:10 26159:11 concludes 26176:6,9 26146:15 26161:5 declare 26207:10 26171:2 26149:122 26209:16 course 26141:22 26190:20 26167:21 2617:21 26171:2 26142:10 26159:11	60:22 :17 3
26202:24 26203:5,12 Commissioner 26164:1 26192:8 26193:1,11 26178:3 26182:22 disperse 26103 26204:6 26205:3,7,11 26170:22 26201:10 26193:12,15,21 26191:14 dispute 26198:8 26205:12 26207:24 Commissioners 26194:2,69,19 deals 2603:2 distance 26151: 26210:25 26211:6,11 26170:22 26184:19 26197:17 26199:20 deals 26194:5 26197:7 distance 26151: 26210:25 26211:10 compilation 26166:25 26204:20 26207:17 26202:13 dit 26143:7,7 champer 26169:11 26177:7 26173:10 26186:16 26192:10 document 2614 26200:22,24 26201:4 26167:9 26168:4,7 26192:6 debta 26166:24 26148:6,9,13 261201:0,13,17 conclude 26170:15 couldn't 26146:5 26190:20 26167:16 26142:10 26159:11 conclude 26176:6,9 26167:21 2617:21 decison 26210:9 document 2614 26142:10 26159:11 concludes 26176:6,9 26167:12 26172:12 definitely 2606:4 26147:12 26188:14 26191:1,1 concludes 26176:6,9 26167:12 26172:12 definitely 26206:4 26148:24 261	:17 3
26204:6 26205:3,7,11 26170:21 26201:10 26193:12,15,21 26191:14 dispute 26198:8 26205:12 26207:24 Commissioners 26194:2,6,9,19 deals 26203:2 distance 26151: 26210:25 26211:6,11 26170:22 26184:19 26197:17 26199:20 deals 26203:2 distance 26151: 26211:12 compilation 26166:25 26204:20 26207:17 26202:13 distance 26157: chambers 26147:13 compiled 26148:1 26208:18,19,21,22 dealt 26174:5 docket 26174:5 charge 26209:18 concede 26159:17 correctly 26163:2 debate 26166:24 26148:6,9,13 26200:22,24 26201:4 26167:9 26168:4,7 26192:6 decased 26144:12 documents 261 26200:10,13,17 conclude 26176:6,9 26146:15 26161:5 26190:20 26167:12 definitely 26206:4 26148:24 261 26188:14 26191:1,1 concludes 26176:6,9 26146:15 26161:5 definitely 26206:4 26148:24 261 26147:5 26188:14 26191:1,1 conclusion 26156:5 26167:21 26172:21 definitely 26206:4 26148:24 261 26147:21 26149:23 conclusions 26187:3 2616	3
26205:12 26207:24 Commissioners 26194:2,6,9,19 deals 26203:2 distance 26151: 26210:25 26211:6,11 26170:22 26184:19 26197:17 26199:20 deals 26203:2 distance 26153: 26201:25 26211:6,11 26170:22 26184:19 26197:17 26199:20 deals 26202:13 distance 26153: chambers 26147:13 compiled 26148:1 26208:18,19,2,122 deals 26202:13 dic 26143:7,7 charge 26209:18 concede 26159:17 correctly 26163:2 debate 26166:24 26148:6,9,13 26200:22,24 26201:4 26167:9 26168:4,7 26192:6 decased 26144:12 documents 261 26201:10,13,17 conclude 26170:15 couldn't 26146:5 26190:20 26167:16 26142:10 26159:11 concludes 26176:69 26146:15 26161:5 declare 26207:10 26167:12 26142:10 26159:11 concludes 26176:55 26167:21 26172:21 defence 26109:66,616 doing 26141:32 26142:10 26159:11 conclusion 26156:5 26161:10 deelare 26207:10 26148:24 261 26142:12 26176:14,16 26176:21,25 conclusions 26187:3 26163:17 definitely 26206:4 26148:24 261<	
26210:25 26211:6,11 26170:22 26184:19 26197:17 26199:20 dealt 26194:5 26197:7 distances 26153 26211:12 compilation 26166:25 26204:20 26207:17 26202:13 dit 26143:7,7 chambers 26147:13 compiled 26148:1 26208:18,19,21,22 dealt 26174:5 docket 26174:5 chambers 26169:11 26177:7 26211:10 26192:10 document 2614 charge 26209:18 concede 26159:17 concerned 26145:9 26173:10 26186:16 26181:24 26191:3 26169:2 26200:22,24 26201:4 26167:9 26168:4,7 26192:6 decased 26144:12 documents 261 26201:10,13,17 conclude 26170:15 couldn't 26146:5 26190:20 26167:16 26142:10 26159:11 concludes 26176:69 26146:15 26161:5 declare 26207:10 26171:2 26142:10 26159:11 conclusion 26156:5 26167:21 26172:21 defence 26190:66,616 doing 26141:32 26142:10 26159:11 conclusions 26187:3 26163:17 definitely 26206:4 26148:24 261 cincle 26149:23 conclusions 26187:3 26163:17 definitely 26205:22 26165:24 261	9116
26211:12 compilation 26166:25 26204:20 26207:17 26202:13 dit 26143:7,7 chambers 26147:13 compiled 26148:1 26208:18,19,21,22 death 26174:5 docket 26174:5 change 26169:11 26177:7 26211:10 26192:10 docket 26174:5 charge 26209:18 concerde 26159:17 correctly 26163:2 death 26166:24 26148:6,9,13 26200:22,24 26201:4 26167:9 26168:4,7 26192:6 decased 26144:12 documents 261 26201:10,13,17 conclude 26170:15 couldn't 26146:5 26190:20 26167:16 chief 26141:22 26209:16 couldn't 26146:5 26190:20 26167:12 26142:10 26159:11 concludes 26176:6,9 26146:15 26161:5 declare 26207:10 26171:21 26188:14 26191:1,1 conclusion 26156:5 26167:21 26172:21 defence 26190:66,16 doing 26141:3 ching 26172:10,16 26176:21,25 covered 26161:10 defence 26190:66,16 doing 26141:3 26152:19 26153:24 conclusions 26187:3 26163:17 defenote 26190:64:4 26148:22 26157:13 ching 26157:11 26158:10 conclus	
chambers 26147:13 change 26169:11 compiled 26148:1 26177:7 26208:18,19,21,22 26211:10 death 26174:5 26192:10 docket 26174:5 document 2614 charge 26209:18 concede 26159:17 correctly 26163:2 26192:10 debate 26166:24 26148:6,9,13 26200:22,24 26201:4 26167:9 26168:4,7 26192:6 deceased 26144:12 26169:2 documents 261 26200:22,24 26201:4 26179:9 26168:4,7 26192:6 deceased 26144:12 26167:9 26167:9 26167:9 26167:5 26192:10 documents 261 26142:10 26159:11 concludes 26170:15 couldn't 26146:5 26190:20 26167:12 defance 26190:36,616 doing 26147:1 26142:10 26159:11 concludes 26176:6,9 26146:15 26161:5 declare 26207:10 26171:21 26188:14 26191:1,1 conclusion 26156:5 26167:21 26172:21 defence 26190:6,6,16 doing 26141:3 choose 26210:9 26171:2 26176:14,16 26181:11 26204:11 definitely 26206:4 26148:24 261 circle 26149:23 conclusions 26187:3 26163:17 demonstrated 26209:19 26155:19 26153:24 conlusions 26187:3).10
change 26169:11 26177:7 26211:10 26192:10 document 2614 charge 26209:18 concede 26159:17 correctly 26163:2 debate 26166:24 26148:69,13 Chaskalson 26200:21 concerned 26145:9 26173:10 26186:16 26181:24 26191:3 26169:2 26200:22,24 26201:4 26167:9 26168:4,7 26192:6 decased 26144:12 26169:2 26201:10,13,17 conclude 26170:15 couldn't 26146:5 26190:20 26167:16 26142:10 26159:11 concludes 26176:6,9 26164:15 26161:5 decision 26210:9 doesn't 26147:1 26188:14 26191:1,1 conclusion 26156:5 26167:21 26172:21 definitely 26206:4 26148:24 261 Cindy 26172:10,16 26176:21,25 covered 26161:10 definitely 26206:4 26148:24 261 26152:19 26153:24 conclusions 26187:3 26163:17 demonstrated 26209:19 26155:12 26157:13 conclusions 26187:3 26163:17 26143:25 26157:13 26145:14 26161:7 26159:16 26164:15 conclusions 26187:3 26163:17 26170:25 26145:14 26161:7 26159:16 26164:15 conclusion	
charge 26209:18concede 26159:17correctly 26163:2debate 26166:2426148:6,9,1326200:22,24 26201:426167:9 26168:4,726192:626181:24 26191:326169:226201:10,13,17conclude 26170:1526192:6decased 26144:12documents 261-26142:10 26159:1126209:16conclude 26176:6,926146:15 26161:5declare 26207:1026171:212618:14 26191:1,1conclusion 26156:526167:21 26172:1definitely 26206:42618:24 26126152:19 26152:1926177:21,2526167:12 26176:14,1626173:10degree 26205:222618:24 26126152:19 26153:24conclusions 26187:326163:17demonstrated26209:1926145:16 26126157:11 26158:10conclusive 26181:24crime 26151:426170:2526145:16 26126173:16 26126151:14 26166:826152:22confugurations26152:2226165:6 26166:226157:2126157:2126151:14 26166:826152:22crisply 26197:1426157:2126157:2126153:4 261526167:16:14 26166:826152:22crisply 26197:1426157:2126157:2126157:2126151:14 26166:826152:22crisply 26197:1426157:2126157:2126157:2126157:2126152:12 26206:5configurations26152:12crisply 26197:1426157:2126157:2126171:16 26126171:12 26209:15configurations26152:22crisply 26197:1426157:2126157:2126171:16 26126174:12 26206:5configurations26152:22crisply 26197:14 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Chaskalson 26200:21 26200:22,24 26201:4 26201:10,13,17concerned 26145:9 26167:9 26168:4,7 conclude 26170:1526173:10 26186:16 26192:6 couldn't 26146:5 26190:2026181:24 26191:3 26190:2026169:2 documents 2617 26190:20chief 26141:22 26142:10 26159:11 26188:14 26191:1,126009:16 concludes 26176:6,9 26171:2 26176:14,1626146:15 26161:5 26167:21 26172:21decision 26210:9 decision 26210:9doesn't 26147:1 26171:2126188:14 26191:1,1 26188:14 26191:1,1conclusion 26156:5 26176:21,2526167:21 26172:21 26181:11 26204:11 26181:11 26204:11 definitely 26206:4definitely 26206:4 26165:2226165:24 261 26165:24 261circle 26149:23 26152:19 26153:24 26152:19 26153:24 26159:16 26164:15 26159:16 26164:15conclusive 26181:24 26179:22crime 26151:4 26163:17 26163:1726173:25 26157:13 26173:22don't 26141:20 26173:2426159:16 26164:15 26159:16 26164:15 26159:16 26164:15configurations 26152:2226165:24 261 26187:19 26198:9 26165:2426157:21 26173:10 26174:1226157:21 26157:2126153:24 26153:2426165:14 26166:8 26159:16 26166:18 26159:16 26166:1926152:22crisply 26197:14 26157:2126157:21 26157:2126157:21 26157:2126192:18 26204:3,19 26205:23 26206:5 26207:2,3,4constable 26179:11,15cross examinationdepend 26173:6 depend 26173:626174:18 261 26181:9 261826207:2,3,4constable 26179:11,15cross examinationdepicting 26167:5,626181:9 2618	
26200:22,24 26201:426167:9 26168:4,726192:6decased 26144:12documents 261-26201:10,13,17conclude 26170:15couldn't 26146:526190:2026167:16chief 26141:2226209:16course 26141:22decision 26210:9doesn't 26147:126142:10 26159:11concludes 26176:6,926146:15 26161:5declare 26207:1026171:2126188:14 26191:1,1conclusion 26156:526167:21 26172:21defence 26190:6,6,16doing 26141:3choose 26210:926171:2 26176:14,1626181:11 26204:11definitely 26206:426148:24 261Cindy 26172:10,1626176:21,25covered 26161:10degree 26205:2226165:24 261circle 26149:23conclusions 26187:326163:17demonstrated26209:1926152:19 26153:24conclusive 26181:24crime 26151:426143:25 26157:13don't 26141:2026157:11 26158:10conduct 26145:926165:6 26166:2demonstrating 26144:226153:4 261526159:16 26164:15configurations26187:19 26198:9demonstration26154:21 26126165:14 26166:826152:22crisply 26197:1426157:2126171:16 26126192:18 26204:3,1926185:7 26195:1cross 26146:14depend 26173:626174:18 26126205:23 26206:5confusing 26150:2226211:12depends 26211:226180:22,23,226207:2,3,4constable 26179:11,15cross-examinationdepicting 26167:5,626181:9 2618	20150:2
26201:10,13,17conclude 26170:15couldn't 26146:526190:2026167:16chief 26141:2226209:16course 26141:22decision 26210:9doesn't 26147:126142:10 26159:11concludes 26176:6,926146:15 26161:5declare 26207:1026171:2126188:14 26191:1,1conclusion 26156:526167:21 26172:21defence 26190:6,6,16doing 26141:3choose 26210:926171:2 26176:14,1626181:11 26204:11definitely 26206:426148:24 261Cindy 26172:10,1626176:21,25covered 26161:10degree 26205:2226165:24 261circle 26149:23conclusions 26187:326163:17demonstrated26209:1926152:19 26153:24conclusive 26181:24crime 26151:426143:25 26157:13don't 26141:2026155:25concurrence 26167:826158:14 26161:726170:2526145:16 26126159:16 26164:15configurations26187:19 26198:9demonstrating 26144:226153:24 26126165:14 26166:826152:22crisply 26197:1426157:2126171:16 26126192:18 26204:3,1926185:7 26195:1cross 26146:14depend 26173:626174:18 26126205:23 26206:5confusing 26150:2226211:12depends 26211:226180:22,23,226207:2,3,4constable 26179:11,15cross-examinationdepicting 26167:5,626181:9 2618	17.0
chief 26141:2226209:16course 26141:22decision 26210:9doesn't 26147:126188:14 26191:1,1conclusion 26156:526167:21 26172:21definitely 26207:1026171:2126180:26210:926171:2 26176:14,1626176:21,2526161:10definitely 26206:426148:24 261Cindy 26172:10,1626176:21,25conclusions 26187:326163:17demonstrated26209:1926152:19 26153:24conclusive 26181:24crime 26151:426143:25 26157:13don't 26141:2026154:3 26155:25concurrence 26167:826158:14 26161:726170:2526145:16 26126159:16 26164:15configurations26158:14 26161:726157:2126153:4 261526155:14 26166:826152:22critical 26209:15demonstrating 26144:226154:21 26126192:18 26204:3,1926185:7 26195:1crisply 26197:1426157:2126171:16 26126205:23 26206:5confusing 26150:2226211:12depend 26173:626174:18 26126207:2,3,4constable 26179:11,15cross-examinationdepicting 26167:5,626181:9 2618	47:2
26142:10 26159:11 26188:14 26191:1,1 choose 26210:9conclusion 26176:6,9 26171:2 26176:14,1626146:15 26161:5 26167:21 26172:21 26181:11 26204:11 covered 26161:10declare 26207:10 defence 26190:6,6,16 definitely 26206:426171:21 doing 26141:3choose 26210:9 Cindy 26172:10,16 circle 26149:2326176:21,25 26176:21,2526167:21 26172:21 26163:17definitely 26206:4 degree 26205:2226165:24 261 26163:1726152:19 26153:24 26154:3 26155:25 26157:11 26158:10 26159:16 26164:15conclusive 26181:24 conduct 26145:9crime 26151:4 26165:6 26166:226143:25 26157:13 26165:6 26166:2don't 26141:20 26170:2526165:14 26166:8 26159:16 26164:15 26152:22configurations 26152:2226187:19 26198:9 crisply 26197:14demonstration 26157:2126157:21 26157:2126174:1 26185:18 26192:18 26204:3,19 26205:23 26206:5 26207:2,3,4configurations 26150:22critical 26209:15 cross-examinationdemote 26153:11 depends 26211:226172:14,15 22 26181:9 2618	
26188:14 26191:1,1 choose 26210:9conclusion 26156:526167:21 26172:21 26171:2 26176:14,16defence 26190:6,6,16 definitely 26206:4doing 26141:3 26148:24 261Cindy 26172:10,16 circle 26149:2326176:21,25 26152:19 26153:24conclusions 26187:3 26152:19 26153:2426163:17 26153:12defence 26205:22 26165:14 26161:7026163:17 26158:14 26161:726152:19 26153:24 26157:11 26158:10 26159:16 26164:15 26159:16 26164:15concurrence 26167:8 conduct 26145:926158:14 26161:7 26165:6 26166:226143:25 26157:13 26165:6 26166:2don't 26141:20 26172:1226159:16 26164:15 26159:16 26164:15 26152:22configurations 26152:2226187:19 26198:9 crisply 26197:1426157:21 26157:2126153:4 2615 26157:2126174:1 26185:18 26192:18 26204:3,19confirm 26156:24 26185:7 26195:1critical 26209:15 cross 26146:14demote 26153:11 depend 26173:626172:14,15 22 26211:226207:2,3,4constable 26179:11,15cross-examinationdepicting 26167:5,626181:9 2618	i4
choose 26210:926171:2 26176:14,1626181:11 26204:11definitely 26206:426148:24 261Cindy 26172:10,1626176:21,25covered 26161:10degree 26205:2226165:24 261circle 26149:23conclusions 26187:326163:17demonstrated26209:1926152:19 26153:24conclusive 26181:24crime 26151:426143:25 26157:13don't 26141:2026154:3 26155:25concurrence 26167:826158:14 26161:726170:2526145:16 26126157:11 26158:10conduct 26145:926165:6 26166:226170:2526145:16 26126159:16 26164:15configurations26187:19 26198:9261657:2126154:21 26126152:22crisply 26197:1426157:2126171:16 26126174:1 26185:18confirm 26156:24critical 26209:15demote 26153:1126172:14,15 226192:18 26204:3,1926185:7 26195:1cross 26146:14depend 26173:626174:18 26126205:23 26206:5constable 26179:11,15cross-examinationdepicting 26167:5,626181:9 2618	
Cindy 26172:10,1626176:21,25covered 26161:10degree 26205:2226165:24 261circle 26149:23conclusions 26187:326163:17demonstrated26209:1926152:19 26153:24conclusive 26181:24crime 26151:426143:25 26157:13don't 26141:2026154:3 26155:25concurrence 26167:826158:14 26161:726170:2526145:16 26126157:11 26158:10conduct 26145:926165:6 26166:226170:2526145:16 26126159:16 26164:15configurations26187:19 26198:926157:2126154:21 26126152:22crisply 26197:1426157:2126171:16 26126174:1 26185:18confirm 26156:24critical 26209:15demote 26153:1126172:14,15 226192:18 26204:3,1926185:7 26195:1cross 26146:14depend 26173:626174:18 26126205:23 26206:5constable 26179:11,15cross-examinationdepicting 26167:5,626181:9 2618	<i></i>
circle 26149:23conclusions 26187:326163:17demonstrated26209:1926152:19 26153:24conclusive 26181:24crime 26151:426143:25 26157:13don't 26141:2026154:3 26155:25concurrence 26167:826158:14 26161:726170:2526145:16 26126157:11 26158:10conduct 26145:926165:6 26166:226170:2526145:16 26126159:16 26164:15configurations26187:19 26198:926157:2126154:21 26126152:14 26166:826152:22crisply 26197:1426157:2126171:16 26126174:1 26185:18confirm 26156:24critical 26209:15demote 26153:1126172:14,15 226107:23 26206:5confusing 26150:2226211:12depends 26211:226180:22,23,226207:2,3,4constable 26179:11,15cross-examinationdepicting 26167:5,626181:9 2618	
26152:19 26153:24 26154:3 26155:25conclusive 26181:24 concurrence 26167:8 conduct 26145:9crime 26151:4 26158:14 26161:7 26165:6 26166:226143:25 26157:13 26170:25don't 26141:20 26170:2526159:16 26164:15 26159:16 26164:15configurations 26152:2226187:19 26198:9 crisply 26197:1426170:25 26187:19 26198:926154:21 261 26157:2126174:1 26185:18 26192:18 26204:3,19confirm 26156:24 26185:7 26195:1critical 26209:15 cross 26146:14demote 26153:11 26173:626172:14,15 2 26171:16 26126207:2,3,4constable 26179:11,15cross-examinationdepicting 26167:5,626181:9 2618	66:10
26154:3 26155:25concurrence 26167:826158:14 26161:726170:2526145:16 26126157:11 26158:10conduct 26145:926165:6 26166:2demonstrating 26144:226153:4 261526159:16 26164:15configurations26187:19 26198:9demonstration26154:21 26126165:14 26166:826152:22crisply 26197:1426157:2126171:16 26126174:1 26185:18confirm 26156:24critical 26209:15demote 26153:1126172:14,15 226192:18 26204:3,1926185:7 26195:1cross 26146:14depend 26173:626174:18 26126205:23 26206:5confusing 26150:2226211:12depends 26211:226180:22,23,226207:2,3,4constable 26179:11,15cross-examinationdepicting 26167:5,626181:9 2618	
26157:11 26158:10conduct 26145:926165:6 26166:2demonstrating 26144:226153:4 261526159:16 26164:15configurations26187:19 26198:9demonstration26154:21 26126165:14 26166:826152:22crisply 26197:1426157:2126171:16 26126174:1 26185:18confirm 26156:24critical 26209:15demote 26153:1126172:14,15 226192:18 26204:3,1926185:7 26195:1cross 26146:14depend 26173:626174:18 26126205:23 26206:5confusing 26150:2226211:12depends 26211:226180:22,23,226207:2,3,4constable 26179:11,15cross-examinationdepicting 26167:5,626181:9 2618	
26159:16 26164:15configurations26187:19 26198:9demonstration26154:21 26126165:14 26166:826152:22crisply 26197:1426157:2126171:16 26126174:1 26185:18confirm 26156:24critical 26209:15demote 26153:1126172:14,15 226192:18 26204:3,1926185:7 26195:1cross 26146:14depend 26173:626174:18 26126205:23 26206:5confusing 26150:2226211:12depends 26211:226180:22,23,226207:2,3,4constable 26179:11,15cross-examinationdepicting 26167:5,626181:9 2618	
26165:14 26166:826152:22crisply 26197:1426157:2126171:16 26126174:1 26185:18confirm 26156:24critical 26209:15demote 26153:1126172:14,15 226192:18 26204:3,1926185:7 26195:1cross 26146:14depend 26173:626174:18 26126205:23 26206:5confusing 26150:2226211:12depends 26211:226180:22,23,226207:2,3,4constable 26179:11,15cross-examinationdepicting 26167:5,626181:9 2618	
26174:1 26185:18 26192:18 26204:3,19confirm 26156:24 26185:7 26195:1critical 26209:15 cross 26146:14demote 26153:11 depend 26173:626172:14,15 2 26174:18 26126205:23 26206:5 26207:2,3,4confusing 26150:22 constable 26179:11,1526211:12 cross-examinationdepend 26173:6 depends 26211:226180:22,23,2 26181:9 2618	
26192:18 26204:3,1926185:7 26195:1cross 26146:14depend 26173:626174:18 26126205:23 26206:5confusing 26150:2226211:12depends 26211:226180:22,23,226207:2,3,4constable 26179:11,15cross-examinationdepicting 26167:5,626181:9 2618	
26205:23 26206:5 confusing 26150:22 26211:12 depends 26211:2 26180:22,23,2 26207:2,3,4 constable 26179:11,15 cross-examination depicting 26167:5,6 26181:9 2618	
26207:2,3,4 constable 26179:11,15 cross-examination depicting 26167:5,6 26181:9 2618	
circles 26157;7 26180;4,21 26181:19 26141:4,15 26145:17 denicts 26150:24 26183:4 2618	
	7:10
circular 26159:2126182:22 26183:1626147:4,24 26149:19deployed 26209:9,1026210:5	
circumstances 26183:17,24 26168:20 26170:4 deposes 26175:16 doppie 26149:4	
26146:10 26184:21 26185:14 cross-examine 26191:3 describe 26203:24 26150:17 261	
circumstantial 26185:17 26193:18 crowd 26210:21 described 26192:4 26178:13,20,2	
26185:16 26191:25 26194:7 26196:4,22 cursor 26197:4 details 26185:10 26204:8 2620	
26205:17,21 26198:15,15,22 C-A-I-R-E 26171:18 detector 26155:24 doppies 26149:33	
clasping 26144:3 26199:22 26200:12 C-A-I-R-E-S 26172:21 26161:5,8,12 26154:11 261	
26145:14 26202:7,15 26203:2 26163:16 26155:22,25 2	26156:8
clear 26143:22 26146:1 26203:18 D detectors 26142:8 26156:11,17,2	
26168:22 26175:5 contains 26149:1 D 26172:18 26165:10 26157:21 261	
26180:20 26181:15 CONTD 26141:15 Daar 26152:2 diagram 26175:25 26164:15,22 2	25
26189:19,20 26168:20 danger 26190:17 diameter 26152:18,20 26166:7 2616	25 64:14
26200:19 contention 26155:1 daresay 26167:1 26153:24,25 26154:1 26170:20 261	25 64:14 26165:3
clearly 26146:7 26157:5 date 26163:24,24 26154:3,9 26165:14 26174:2 2617	25 64:14 26165:3 57:11
26188:24 26191:4 contest 26158:5 dated 26147:6,20 didn't 26143:12 26178:24 261	25 64:14 26165:3 67:11 71:5
26192:1 26193:14 26167:12 26148:3,7 26146:15 26154:5 26182:10,10 2	25 64:14 26165:3 67:11 71:5 76:15
coincides 26149:7 contested 26142:1 dates 26163:23 26180:6,17 26210:21 26186:8 2620	25 64:14 26165:3 67:11 71:5 76:15 79:2
colleague 26141:25 context 26141:23 David 26177:22 die 26143:8 26204:9,12	25 64:14 26165:3 67:11 71:5 '6:15 79:2 26184:8
colleagues 26145:25 contrary 26203:21 day 26142:13,18 died 26146:5 26191:7 doubt 26181:4	25 64:14 26165:3 67:11 71:5 '6:15 79:2 26184:8
26191:19 26197:9 control 26210:21 26160:21 26161:5,6 different 26156:8 26186:23	25 64:14 26165:3 67:11 71:5 '6:15 79:2 26184:8
	25 64:14 26165:3 67:11 71:5 '6:15 79:2 26184:8

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 3
downwards 26144:17	26204:7,8 26205:17	fair 26159:8,22	first 26141:24 26147:5	26183:21
26145:6	26205:21,21	26160:17 26163:17	26161:12 26163:24	ghost 26154:24
dragging 26210:19	26206:17,18,22,22	26166:5	26167:15 26173:16	give 26147:23 26149:5
draw 26156:6 26177:4	26208:14 26209:3,6	fairness 26201:5,8	26174:24 26180:6,21	26156:1,2 26159:2
26179:15 26187:2	26209:14,23,25	fallen 26144:15	26187:4 26188:12	26166:9,9 26168:2
drawing 26160:19	26210:7	familiar 26151:2	26190:25 26196:3	26171:7 26172:4
draws 26169:4	evidence-in-chief	26179:9	26206:14	26173:4 26196:5
drew 26154:2	26141:24 26143:24	families 26147:2	firstly 26147:4	26211:9
driver 26207:7	26158:6	far 26145:9 26156:17	26180:23	given 26144:10
due 26210:15	exact 26159:2	26165:5 26191:14	fleeing 26158:19	26158:6 26171:24
dwaalspoor 26162:12	exactly 26145:1	26193:17 26194:8	flows 26160:12	26174:1 26201:6
D-E 26171:18 26172:20	26155:8 26164:25	26198:11	follow 26163:21	gives 26153:17,19,19
	26211:1	felt 26168:2 26190:16	26210:14	26163:23
E	examination 26146:15	field 26203:24	followed 26193:23	giving 26188:9
E 26176:7 26186:3	26211:13	26204:19	following 26147:23	26203:19 26204:11
earlier 26183:9	example 26159:13	figure 26169:2	forces 26192:16	glue 26144:23
26184:2 26197:7	26164:18	figuring 26169:3	forensic 26164:24	go 26146:16 26148:12
effect 26181:12	exercise 26157:10	file 26174:5	26172:13 26174:22	26150:2 26152:3
effectively 26180:20	26170:15 26171:1	finally 26142:20	forget 26194:4	26154:13 26157:6
eight 26152:14,22	26182:20 26188:16	find 26152:18 26153:5	forward 26180:20	26159:4 26163:25
26162:2,4,6,14,15	exercises 26173:8	26160:13 26161:12	foto 26143:8	26182:20 26184:20
either 26141:21	exhibit 26142:25	26195:14 26207:11	found 26142:4,5,6,11	26195:19 26196:23
26143:1 26189:7	26147:13,16,20,23	26208:1,12	26144:2 26146:3,25	26197:10,20,24
26193:1 26202:12	26150:3,21 26154:15	finds 26164:4 26165:12	26149:24 26154:11	26200:2,11 26201:20
26208:2	26163:23 26167:1	fine 26169:18 26202:23	26154:12 26155:22	26202:11 26203:1
ek 26143:6,7,8	26168:24 26169:6	26202:25	26157:21,22	26206:14,18
embarrassed 26211:4	26171:15 26173:1,3	finish 26141:5	26158:20 26159:16	26210:14,16,17,19
emerged 26157:10	26173:17 26175:9	26199:14 26201:22	26160:8,16 26161:4,4	goes 26144:24
26170:16	26177:11,22 26179:5	26203:3	26161:9 26163:15	26172:19 26198:11
employed 26141:8	26183:12 26185:2	finished 26170:6	26166:2,7 26167:5,6	going 26144:24
endeavour 26170:9	26196:5,6 26202:9	fire 26161:19,22,23	26167:23 26171:5	26150:23 26158:11
26172:5	exhibited 26171:11	26179:20 26189:3,4	26174:2 26175:11,16	26166:22 26169:24
ended 26141:18	exhibits 26147:3	26207:12	26176:5,8 26177:6	26170:10 26188:16
engaged 26201:25	26174:22 26182:18	firearm 26176:10,15,20	26178:21,24 26179:2	26194:14 26196:13
engagement 26203:24	26196:3	26176:24 26179:11	26179:17 26181:3	26201:21 26204:4
26204:19	exit 26190:4	26182:11 26184:21	26182:3 26184:10	26205:5 26208:12
entirety 26204:13	exorcise 26154:24	26184:23 26185:12	26185:11,13,15	26210:18
entitled 26148:1	experience 26151:4	26186:9,10,17	26186:4 26187:19,22	good 26146:20 26151:1
entrance 26190:3	experienced 26171:8	26187:12 26194:4	26187:23 26188:19	26155:20 26156:15
estimate 26152:21	26207:6	26204:12 26206:4	26188:19,25 26189:8	26156:19 26157:4
26153:4	explain 26204:4,24	26207:1,9,13 26208:8	26189:9,9,12,17	26203:9
estimation 26153:1	26206:6,25 26209:13	26208:13,15,21	26191:22 26192:13	gravely 26211:4
26154:9	explained 26197:21	firearms 26177:5	26192:19 26193:19	grip 26184:19
etcetera 26150:3	explanation 26204:24	26187:11 26192:19	26195:4,9,15	ground 26145:5
26198:13,20,20	exploring 26204:7	fired 26160:17	26198:10 26200:7	26191:23 26197:11
events 26166:23,25	expression 26149:5	26161:13,16	26204:12,13 26206:7	26198:18 26200:14
26167:8	extent 26142:12	26162:16,24 26163:3	26207:1	grounds 26151:2
everybody 26149:7	26204:7	26176:10,15,20,23,25	four 26151:21	group 26164:24
26156:21 26201:18	extra 26141:4 26155:3	26177:5 26178:14	26199:25	26185:18,18
evidence 26141:22	26155:11 26161:4	26179:16,22 26180:2	friend 26142:1	26194:14 26195:4
26142:2,9,10,12	eyelid 26141:7	26180:3,22,25	26169:20 26170:2	26198:8
26143:20 26145:3		26181:12,15,25	front 26197:10	guard 26197:14
26146:1 26154:12	$\frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{F}}$	26182:2,10,11	26198:18 26202:18	guess 26151:18
26159:11 26160:15	F 26176:15 26186:8,21	26183:25 26185:12	26202:18	26152:12 26172:17
26165:5 26167:9	face 26145:5 26146:7	26185:14,19 26186:3	fugitive 26190:18	guest 26146:17
26170:17,25 26171:2	faced 26144:16	26186:9,21 26188:1	further 26167:22	guided 26150:8
26171:11 26179:16	facing 26145:6	26188:20 26192:7,13	26168:10 26181:20	gun 26183:2
26181:13 26182:2	fact 26144:22 26154:13	26193:1,2,6,14	26202:11 26206:24	gymnastic 26150:20
26185:9,16 26187:3	26157:17 26159:19	26194:1 26200:14	G	Н
26187:11,21 26188:3	26160:12 26169:4	26204:1,2,18,18		
26188:5,8 26189:3,5	26177:2 26181:6	26205:14,23 26206:4	G 26176:7 26186:3	H 26159:6 26175:21,24
26189:10,20,24,24	26187:22 26199:6	26207:16	gather 26157:6	26176:22,25
26190:14,15 26191:1	26209:17 26210:16	firing 26156:18	gathered 26194:17	26178:12,13,14,20,20
26191:1,2,4,17,25,25	factors 26146:4	26159:21 26188:11	geneem 26143:8	26178:21 26179:16
26193:16 26194:13	facts 26204:11	26188:15 26192:21	General 26195:18	half 26141:5,5,6
26194:21 26198:14	fail 26143:12	26193:20 26203:13	getting 26171:25	26151:21 26152:14
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE	1	1	1

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Pretoria

				Page 4
26152:22 26170:6	identities 26194:16	26152:12	jumped 26197:9	launch 26149:18
26201:23 26203:3	illegal 26209:11	intention 26210:17	26198:17	LCRC 26164:18,24
26211:1	imagined 26188:14	inter 26199:16	junior 26149:8	26166:14,16,19
hand 26143:3 26144:3	immediate 26190:16	interest 26182:15	26171:21 26202:1	26167:14 26197:25
26144:5,13,16,16,18	important 26168:2	interesting 26207:19	26205:9 26211:8	leaders 26206:18
26144:23,24 26145:8	impression 26145:23	interfered 26199:17	juniors 26153:8	leading 26155:19
26146:7,8	26166:9 26209:3	interference 26199:19	26173:7 26207:24	26198:9
handed 26164:21	improbability	interpreted 26151:3	justice 26209:24	learned 26141:25
26165:3 26167:16	26188:17	interrupt 26145:4,16		26142:1 26169:20
handle 26144:4,11	improbable 26144:4	interrupted 26143:11	K	26170:2 26211:8
26209:11	inaccuracies 26167:3	interviewing 26170:13	K 26153:9,14	leave 26149:20
handled 26144:11	inaudible 26147:8,12	introduce 26171:16	kan 26143:7	26165:17 26176:22
happened 26165:23	26148:6,23 26171:24	26177:11	keep 26169:1,14	26195:11
26189:23 26193:19	26177:17,20,22	investigated 26181:17	keeps 26208:15	led 26209:16
26200:16 26203:19	26182:17 26185:8	26181:20	kept 26155:19	left 26144:3 26147:13
26205:18	incident 26165:1	invoked 26142:7	key 26197:5,6	26166:18 26175:1
happening 26203:16	26203:15,15 26210:2	involved 26193:20	keys 26148:2,7	26193:2,10 26195:23
happens 26173:12	incidents 26173:14	26197:15 26210:17	keys 20148.2,7 kill 26190:17	26195:25 26197:8,24
happy 26152:23	include 26142:5	IPID 26174:4,5		
		,	killed 26146:10	26198:17
26154:7 26202:25	includes 26169:16	isn't 26144:14,18,23,25	26178:22 26187:8	legal 26206:17
26205:9	including 26146:25	isolate 26184:7	26188:1 26189:6	legend 26157:12
haven't 26157:25	26150:17 26151:5	issue 26142:3 26147:25	26198:10	let's 26142:9 26148:11
headed 26148:7	26154:12 26155:22	issued 26206:11	kind 26151:3 26196:10	26148:20 26155:10
26150:11	incoherent 26143:21	it's 26142:23 26143:18	kinds 26173:7	26170:3 26182:20,20
hear 26165:4 26190:25	inconclusive 26181:21	26143:21,22 26144:1	know 26151:2,20	26182:21 26187:6
heard 26160:12	indicate 26146:4	26146:1,8 26147:10	26153:4 26154:14,16	let's 26196:12,23
26190:24	26157:22 26158:17	26147:25 26148:13	26156:18 26158:10	26201:22 26202:5,11
helicopter 26194:15	26159:11 26181:13	26148:15 26150:9,11	26160:14,25 26161:3	26203:1,10 26204:24
26203:17 26210:13	26185:16 26187:18	26150:22 26153:5	26161:6,15 26163:22	26205:16,20
help 26181:1,18	26189:7 26191:25	26154:16 26155:6	26166:19 26168:3	lexicon 26191:14
26202:11 26204:5	26207:15	26157:15,18	26170:22 26171:17	Lieutenant-Colonel
26206:25 26207:20	indicated 26151:12	26171:16 26172:5,18	26171:17,21 26172:3	26141:10 26168:17
Hemraj 26145:5	26155:18 26159:13	26173:20,22	26172:10,14,15	26174:9 26177:13,15
26147:14 26154:18	26159:24 26160:16	26174:21,25 26175:5	26173:1 26174:12,13	26177:20,21 26182:7
26164:1 26169:3	26161:14 26164:16	26175:5 26177:19	26174:18 26176:22	26183:13
26201:10	26186:18 26188:13	26178:13 26179:1,6	26178:15 26180:3,3,7	life 26202:6
het 26143:8	26194:11	26181:20 26183:19	26180:22,23,24	light 26159:9,17
he'd 26146:9	indicates 26149:24	26184:15,21	26181:9 26182:15,23	26180:20
he's 26144:20,21	26167:11 26185:19	26203:12 26210:8	26189:5 26191:23	line 26142:17,17,18,20
26179:24	indicating 26160:20	I'd 26181:24	26194:11,16,22,23	26142:22,24 26147:4
HHH13 26202:9	26188:10	I'll 26154:7 26172:5	26198:6 26199:5,7,24	26152:6,10 26153:25
HHH23 26179:6	indications 26167:22	I'm 26142:14 26145:9	26199:24 26202:15	26154:2 26156:7
26185:5	indistinct 26203:13	26145:15 26150:7	26204:4,21 26205:14	26164:4 26187:23
HHH30 26196:18,19	indulgence 26141:16	26157:6 26169:5,24	26205:18 26206:10	lines 26142:11,17
26196:20	infer 26187:25	26170:17 26171:25	26206:13,23 26207:5	link 26178:18
high 26205:22	inference 26159:9	26183:3,3 26188:9	26207:15,20 26209:8	linked 26184:5
higher 26157:15	26177:3 26179:15	I've 26145:22 26147:11	26210:8	26192:19 26207:12
highly 26144:4,10,12	26187:5,9	26170:22 26171:11	knowing 26158:12	list 26147:2 26175:10
26146:8	inform 26174:16	26183:13	knowledge 26167:1	listening 26190:8
26146:8 hit 26189:5	informal 26159:25	20103.13	knows 26146:18	lists 26175:15 26178:5
		J	Knows 20140:18	
hold 26204:25	26161:1,15 26164:21		L	local 26191:13
hope 26141:17	information 26167:17	J 26153:1,4,9 26176:7		located 26164:14
26145:18 26149:7,20	26204:16 26206:10	26186:3	L 26142:25 26153:14	26188:18
26173:9	26207:6	ja 26143:6,6 26148:11	26154:2 26167:1	locates 26165:10,12
hot 26207:8	informed 26141:3	26155:17 26178:3	LAB 26174:18	location 26156:9
hour 26141:6	26166:25 26210:9,9	26185:25 26197:4	label 26206:5	26157:1,3
house 26159:25	injured 26145:24	26202:20 26204:22	laboratory 26174:19	locations 26156:8
26161:1	26192:14 26197:13	26208:24	26174:23	Loest 26210:12,23
houses 26161:16	input 26165:25	JOHANNES 26141:12	LAB-number 26174:17	long 26151:22
hulle 26156:9	insofar 26167:8	26168:18	26177:24,25	26164:25 26198:3,4,5
hy 26152:2	instantly 26146:6,6,10	Johannesburg	lack 26204:15	26202:14 26211:2
- 5/1 N / 190-271 P #P		26183:25 26192:20	lady 26172:10	longer 26149:2
- oral a francial a sea	instruct 26199:11,15	20185:25 20192:20	1449 2017 2010	
AI m	instruct 26199:11,15 instructed 26162:17,18	26199:22	landed 26194:15	look 26142:9 26151:22
I identified 26190:12		26199:22	landed 26194:15	
identified 26190:12	instructed 26162:17,18 26199:10	26199:22 join 26169:3	landed 26194:15 lapsed 26165:20	look 26142:9 26151:22 26153:4 26155:14
A HEALT	instructed 26162:17,18	26199:22	landed 26194:15	look 26142:9 26151:22

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page S
26187:20 26196:3	member 26158:24	mistake 26159:18	nice 26169:22	26162:11 26173:4
26202:7 26206:10,12	26161:17,18	mistaken 26181:14,18	nicely 26145:7	26174:10 26184:15
looked 26151:5	26162:18 26166:14	misunderstand	NIU 26209:9	26195:2 26202:13
26160:14 26186:14	26166:16 26167:14	26209:6	normally 26162:5	26211:6
looking 26154:21	26179:10 26186:15	moet 26143:7	26208:15 26209:11	Onbekend 26180:8
			note 26170:23	once 26162:16 26169:3
26163:15 26164:12	26188:10,23	Mogale 26183:19,20,24		
26183:7,14 26186:1	26198:21,22	26185:17 26186:16	26171:12	26205:13
26190:3	26206:11 26207:11	26186:21 26187:7	notice 26180:5	ones 26155:22 26176:8
looks 26153:10	members 26158:9,15	26188:1 26193:17	Ntsebeza's 26192:16	OOO 26148:6
26171:23 26172:7,9	26158:18,23 26160:2	26194:24 26196:14	number 26146:4	26155:10 26161:8
26172:10 26174:4	26162:3,4,9,14	26205:12,13,14	26147:13,16,17,24	26202:8
26193:17,21,22	26166:3 26180:7	Mogale's 26185:12	26150:9,19 26154:15	OOO23 26173:25
losing 26141:6	26188:10,15,20	Mogale's 26206:24	26154:17 26163:23	OOO24 26147:18,21
lot 26148:15 26156:11	26189:3 26192:20	moment 26162:12	26173:3 26174:1,16	26154:17 26163:23
luck 26203:9	26194:17,24 26195:3	26171:25 26181:20	26174:18,21 26175:1	26168:24 26169:1
lying 26144:20 26146:7	26195:5,8,8,12,15,18	26205:21 26206:19	26178:15,19 26179:8	OOO25 26148:12
26178:25 26191:23	26195:20,22,25	months 26158:11	26179:9,9 26182:1,12	26150:4,5,21 26153:5
26197:10,23	26197:25 26198:8,23	26164:2,3 26165:9,20	26182:21,22 26183:1	26153:11
26198:18	26199:5,24,25,25	mood 26142:15	26184:20,24 26186:8	OOO26 26155:12
L-A-B 26174:18	26200:1,2,15	Moolman 26195:19	26186:9,10,14,15,17	26168:24,25 26169:5
	26203:25 26206:13	moontlik 26143:7	26196:5 26202:9	26169:13
M	26209:5,9,10 26210:6	morning 26142:15	numbers 26148:12	OOO27 26173:4,4,17
M 26176:7 26186:3	26210:7,8,10,13,15	26205:10 26211:9,15	26183:7	OOO28 26177:18,19
maiden 26172:23	26210:15	mortis 26144:25	Nyala 26195:21	26177:22 26182:8
main 26197:21	memory 26181:13	mortuary 26197:14	26197:24 26200:2,16	OOO29.1 26196:11
26203:14,15,24	mention 26203:13	Moshwana 26147:1,6	26207:7	OOO30 26205:12
majority 26171:3	mentioned 26158:23	26147:20 26148:1	Nyalas 26209:8	OOO30.1 26196:15
making 26199:17	26176:19 26183:8	26151:6 26154:12	Nyende 26182:23	OOO30.2 26196:15
26204:9	26184:2 26206:14	26155:22 26158:13	1 (yehue 20102.23	operation 26209:4,20
man 26190:15 26197:8	metal 26142:7	26160:13 26165:6	0	26209:22
26198:17	26155:24 26161:5,8	26166:10,14 26167:4	O 26175:17 26176:8	opposed 26160:16
manner 26144:11	26161:12 26163:16	26167:10,18 26176:9	26186:3	26204:19
manuscript 26196:7,11	26165:10	26184:10 26206:7	object 26142:13	opposite 26188:25
26196:15 26201:2	metres 26145:13	Moshwana's 26154:19	26171:11	26190:21
march 26148:4	26151:7,12,17,22,23	26156:24 26158:1	objection 26191:9	orange 26192:17
26209:11	26152:14,22 26153:2	26168:23	objectionable 26142:14	26204:3,19 26205:23
Maria 26172:16	26153:2,6,15,18,20	Moshwana's 26163:22	objections 26141:20	26207:2
Marikana 26148:2,8	26154:1.4.10 26156:2	move 26146:22	objective 26170:17,25	order 26141:19
26164:21	26157:19 26165:14	26170:14	26187:21 26188:6	26169:16 26200:15
mark 26154:21	Mguye 26179:11,16	20170.11	26189:10,24 26204:7	26210:2
26169:12	26180:4,21 26181:11	N	26204:8	ordered 26158:14
marked 26147:20	26181:19 26187:8			26165:7
		N 26151:15,16,21,22,23	objectively 26167:10	
26168:24 26169:4	26188:1 26194:24	26152:3,5,7,9	26190:14	organise 26200:2
26173:10	26196:17,19	26176:15 26186:8,22	observe 26156:10	original 26159:6
marker 26161:1	26202:16,19,20	name 26172:5,17,19,24	observed 26167:18	outside 26154:10
married 26172:24	26203:18	26173:10 26194:4	observing 26166:11	overall 26145:24
material 26204:11	Mguye's 26202:7	names 26172:19	obtuse 26183:4	26191:5,18,23
matter 26147:14,15	microphone 26143:12	26180:18	obviously 26176:23	overalls 26198:12
26171:13,14 26203:2	26147:8,12 26148:6	nature 26176:23	26177:3 26195:13	o'clock 26141:5
matters 26207:19	26148:23 26171:23	26189:24 26209:25	occasions 26208:20	26211:15
mean 26144:1	26177:17,20,21	Nduli 26194:7	26209:1	
26153:11 26158:7,10	26182:17 26185:8	near 26161:15	occurrence 26163:24	P
26160:3 26166:5	middle 26170:13	necessarily 26142:25	October 26147:6,20	page 26142:19 26150:4
26170:21 26176:21	millimetre 26159:7	necessary 26168:11	26161:7 26163:25	26150:5,6,11,21
	26208:3	need 26169:6		
26177:2 26186:15			26165:8,12 26167:6	26151:10,11,15,23
26189:13,14	millimetres 26163:6,8	needed 26163:16	26184:11	26152:5 26153:5,10
26204:21 26205:15	mind 26146:22 26186:1	neutral 26167:12	office 26208:16	26159:5 26161:14
26205:16 26206:17	minimum 26187:2	never 26149:5	Officer 26171:16	26173:16,25
26210:5	minutes 26189:6	26165:23 26166:13	26193:17 26196:14	26174:24,25 26175:4
meant 26143:22	26200:14	26167:14 26182:23	26205:13 26206:24	26175:6 26196:24
measurement 26152:20	misfit 26202:6	26190:19,22	officers 26187:5,6,7,25	26197:2 26200:25
measurements	misread 26209:5	26191:10 26203:3	oh 26162:11 26164:10	26201:3,5,6,11,12,14
26150:12,16 26152:2	missing 26200:25	26205:18	26171:24 26172:9	26201:15,16,18
26152:2 26153:10	26201:3,5,6,13,14,14	new 26147:3 26155:11	26173:6 26176:13	26202:5,6,12,12,18
26165:8	26201:15,16,18	26171:13,15	26208:11	26203:1 26205:1
meet 26194:22	26202:5 26205:1	26177:11 26196:2		
A R C H I V F F O		20177.11 20190:2	okay 26148:11	pages 26142:11
		-		1

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
26149:7 26201:13	persuade 26187:1	26203:11 26204:10	26174:21 26183:3	quite 26141:9 26143:22
paginate 26148:12,16	pertains 26146:24	26205:16,21,22	probe 26208:17	26148:13 26155:2
paginated 26149:8,14	-	26205.10,21,22	-	
	photo 26143:11		problem 26158:1	26169:5 26191:25
paginating 26148:24	26150:19	pointed 26146:3	proceed 26148:17	26197:13
panga 26141:25	photograph 26142:25	26160:4,14,23	26149:12 26168:10	Q036933 26183:18
26142:10 26143:2	26143:1,2,3,17	26163:2 26164:1	26187:16 26192:16	26186:8,18
26144:4,5,10 26145:7	26144:18 26145:8	26175:25 26186:16	proceedings 26141:1	Q049587 26182:12
26146:7,8,9 26197:12	26150:23,24	26197:22 26199:7	26141:18	26183:6,14 26184:21
26198:19	26159:22 26192:18	points 26145:5	projectile 26149:1,2,18	D
papers 26169:2	photographs 26148:2,8	26150:17 26154:18	pronounce 26171:17	R
Para 26161:8	26148:16 26150:2,10	26165:23 26186:20	26171:18 26172:5	R 26155:3,7,15,15
paragraph 26163:22	26152:3,19	26202:2 26205:17	pronounced 26173:10	26161:9 26164:4,14
26175:9 26176:5,13	photos 26151:3	police 26199:16	proposition 26153:1	26169:7,16 26176:8
26177:24,25 26178:1	26167:21	26204:1 26206:16,18	26154:8 26166:6	26186:3
26178:4,8 26186:2,7	pick 26161:11	policemen 26206:21	26188:7 26191:17	raise 26170:19
26196:21,24 26197:1	picked 26145:7	policing 26210:2	protect 26197:24	range 26152:19
26198:16 26200:12	26170:20 26200:11	POP 26162:5 26199:22	protection 26200:3	26155:25 26156:2
26201:11,12	picture 26143:14,16	26206:13 26209:5,10	protester 26188:11	26164:15
26203:22	Pieterse 26177:12,14	26209:11,20 26210:8	protestors 26188:21	rare 26208:20
paragraphs 26175:10	26177:16,22	POPS 26183:23,25	26189:4 26194:14,18	rarest 26209:1
26175:14,14,18	26183:13 26186:2	26192:20	26210:7	reacted 26210:23
26176:14 26197:8	Pieterse's 26182:7	Portuguese 26172:8,17	proves 26188:3	read 26142:23 26145:2
26201:14	Pillay 26142:1	26172:19,25	provided 26156:22	26145:11 26176:5,13
paralysis 26190:1	26147:14,17	posited 26190:2	public 26200:15	26182:15 26185:9
paramedics 26197:12	26168:22 26169:17	position 26157:7,11,12	26210:2	26199:1,9 26200:13
paraphrasing 26209:23	26169:18 26173:4,5,7	26157:14,22,23,24	purport 26203:19	26205:3
part 26142:25	26177:18 26191:9	26158:2,9,18,20	purposes 26200:3	ready 26149:18
26185:17 26188:12	26196:18,20	26159:14,15 26171:3	pursue 26194:14,17	really 26142:17
26188:13 26198:24	pistol 26208:3	26190:2	26207:19 26210:6	26143:22 26203:12
participation 26166:24	pistols 26162:6,24	possibilities 26170:18	pursuing 26190:11	26203:14 26209:4
26167:2	26177:8	26193:4	put 26141:21 26143:2	reason 26208:16,17
particular 26144:2	place 26145:13	possibility 26160:24	26143:25 26144:5,6,8	reasonable 26171:1
26158:9 26174:22	26158:17 26160:7,13	26163:18 26164:23	26144:13,17	26179:14 26187:4,25
26175:14 26206:21	26160:16 26182:3	possible 26143:10	26145:11,20	reassembling 26192:16
passage 26197:5,6	26184:18 26188:13	26199:23	26152:25 26153:18	recall 26144:5,7
path 26144:1	26188:19 26189:11	possibly 26181:17	26153:23 26154:11	26158:15 26166:22
people 26156:18	26203:20,20,25	26201:25	26157:10,12,14	26188:8 26200:5
26159:11,12,21	26204:9	possie 26210:4	26158:4,8,16	recollection 26181:16
26160:8,23 26161:25	places 26189:9	post 26199:18	26159:10,17,20	record 26182:16
26162:1,13 26164:19	plan 26150:23	posted 26166:3	26164:11 26166:7,22	26184:18
26164:21,24 26165:2	26151:21,22,23,24	26198:23 26199:3,25	26166:22 26167:7,19	red 26149:23 26157:7
26166:19 26172:25	26152:1,4,4,4,5	26200:7	26173:11 26178:10	26157:11 26159:21
26179:25 26181:7,11	26154:15,17,20	potential 26170:13	26187:1,6 26191:24	26206:5 26207:2
26181:14 26183:23	26155:11 26156:25	premise 26187:20,24	26196:13 26200:25	reddish 26192:18
26183:25 26190:11	26158:1,7 26159:5,6	presentation 26149:23	26204:8 26205:5	reference 26154:25
26192:5,11,21,24	26164:12 26167:4,19	26150:19 26151:10	26207:21	referred 26169:15
26194:14 26199:6,12	26169:7,14,15	26152:5 26156:23	puts 26197:13	referring 26142:20
26199:18 26200:7	26185:10 26209:15	26159:5,14 26161:15	putting 26141:21	26148:3 26150:20
26207:9 26209:10	26209:15	26173:25	26156:21 26163:21	
				26173:15
26210:6,18,19	planning 26209:19	presented 26165:5	26170:16,24	refers 26177:25
			26190:10 26198:21	reflective 26184:10
26211:3	plans 26148:1,7	preserve 26199:16		
period 26165:20	26150:2 26167:4,15	preserved 26197:16,19	PX 26183:6,7	regard 26168:1
period 26165:20 permission 26149:6	26150:2 26167:4,15 26167:17	preserved 26197:16,19 President 26164:20	PX 26183:6,7 PX2693E 26183:11	regarded 26209:19
period 26165:20 permission 26149:6 26169:17,19,21	26150:2 26167:4,15 26167:17 please 26142:12	preserved 26197:16,19 President 26164:20 26165:1,3,17	PX 26183:6,7	regarded 26209:19 relates 26174:14
period 26165:20 permission 26149:6 26169:17,19,21 person 26143:1,1	26150:2 26167:4,15 26167:17 please 26142:12 26178:9	preserved 26197:16,19 President 26164:20 26165:1,3,17 26170:20	PX 26183:6,7 PX2693E 26183:11 PX3749E 26184:1	regarded 26209:19 relates 26174:14 relating 26186:22
period 26165:20 permission 26149:6 26169:17,19,21 person 26143:1,1 26156:14 26162:19	26150:2 26167:4,15 26167:17 please 26142:12 26178:9 plots 26165:12	preserved 26197:16,19 President 26164:20 26165:1,3,17 26170:20 presumably 26193:7	PX 26183:6,7 PX2693E 26183:11 PX3749E 26184:1 Q	regarded 26209:19 relates 26174:14 relating 26186:22 relation 26150:1
period 26165:20 permission 26149:6 26169:17,19,21 person 26143:1,1 26156:14 26162:19 26162:22 26180:25	26150:2 26167:4,15 26167:17 please 26142:12 26178:9 plots 26165:12 point 26145:2 26146:10	preserved 26197:16,19 President 26164:20 26165:1,3,17 26170:20 presumably 26193:7 printed 26201:18	PX 26183:6,7 PX2693E 26183:11 PX3749E 26184:1 Q query 26164:5,8	regarded 26209:19 relates 26174:14 relating 26186:22 relation 26150:1 26165:13 26206:20
period 26165:20 permission 26149:6 26169:17,19,21 person 26143:1,1 26156:14 26162:19 26162:22 26180:25 26181:8 26188:24	26150:2 26167:4,15 26167:17 please 26142:12 26178:9 plots 26165:12 point 26145:2 26146:10 26151:6,6,10 26153:8	preserved 26197:16,19 President 26164:20 26165:1,3,17 26170:20 presumably 26193:7 printed 26201:18 private 26208:16	PX 26183:6,7 PX2693E 26183:11 PX3749E 26184:1 Q query 26164:5,8 question 26142:24	regarded 26209:19 relates 26174:14 relating 26186:22 relation 26150:1 26165:13 26206:20 relative 26186:8
period 26165:20 permission 26149:6 26169:17,19,21 person 26143:1,1 26156:14 26162:19 26162:22 26180:25	26150:2 26167:4,15 26167:17 please 26142:12 26178:9 plots 26165:12 point 26145:2 26146:10	preserved 26197:16,19 President 26164:20 26165:1,3,17 26170:20 presumably 26193:7 printed 26201:18	PX 26183:6,7 PX2693E 26183:11 PX3749E 26184:1 Q query 26164:5,8	regarded 26209:19 relates 26174:14 relating 26186:22 relation 26150:1 26165:13 26206:20
period 26165:20 permission 26149:6 26169:17,19,21 person 26143:1,1 26156:14 26162:19 26162:22 26180:25 26181:8 26188:24	26150:2 26167:4,15 26167:17 please 26142:12 26178:9 plots 26165:12 point 26145:2 26146:10 26151:6,6,10 26153:8	preserved 26197:16,19 President 26164:20 26165:1,3,17 26170:20 presumably 26193:7 printed 26201:18 private 26208:16	PX 26183:6,7 PX2693E 26183:11 PX3749E 26184:1 Q query 26164:5,8 question 26142:24	regarded 26209:19 relates 26174:14 relating 26186:22 relation 26150:1 26165:13 26206:20 relative 26186:8
period 26165:20 permission 26149:6 26169:17,19,21 person 26143:1,1 26156:14 26162:19 26162:22 26180:25 26181:8 26188:24 26190:11,13,13,20,21	26150:2 26167:4,15 26167:17 please 26142:12 26178:9 plots 26165:12 point 26145:2 26146:10 26151:6,6,10 26153:8 26155:21 26159:6,24	preserved 26197:16,19 President 26164:20 26165:1,3,17 26170:20 presumably 26193:7 printed 26201:18 private 26208:16 probabilities 26191:4	PX 26183:6,7 PX2693E 26183:11 PX3749E 26184:1 Q query 26164:5,8 question 26142:24 26164:18 26168:23	regarded 26209:19 relates 26174:14 relating 26186:22 relation 26150:1 26165:13 26206:20 relative 26186:8 relax 26156:20
period 26165:20 permission 26149:6 26169:17,19,21 person 26143:1,1 26156:14 26162:19 26162:22 26180:25 26181:8 26188:24 26190:11,13,13,20,21 26190:22 26191:7,7 26191:11 26192:1	26150:2 26167:4,15 26167:17 please 26142:12 26178:9 plots 26165:12 point 26145:2 26146:10 26151:6,6,10 26153:8 26155:21 26159:6,24 26160:22 26161:21 26161:24 26165:21	preserved 26197:16,19 President 26164:20 26165:1,3,17 26170:20 presumably 26193:7 printed 26201:18 private 26208:16 probabilities 26191:4 26193:13,20 26205:16	PX 26183:6,7 PX2693E 26183:11 PX3749E 26184:1 Q query 26164:5,8 question 26142:24 26164:18 26168:23 26179:7 26199:15 26209:2,14 26211:9	regarded 26209:19 relates 26174:14 relating 26186:22 relation 26150:1 26165:13 26206:20 relative 26186:8 relax 26156:20 relevant 26141:25 26142:10 26143:24
period 26165:20 permission 26149:6 26169:17,19,21 person 26143:1,1 26156:14 26162:19 26162:22 26180:25 26181:8 26188:24 26190:11,13,13,20,21 26190:22 26191:7,7 26191:11 26192:1 26193:24 26194:3,4	26150:2 26167:4,15 26167:17 please 26142:12 26178:9 plots 26165:12 point 26145:2 26146:10 26151:6,6,10 26153:8 26155:21 26159:6,24 26160:22 26161:21 26161:24 26165:21 26165:22 26178:11	preserved 26197:16,19 President 26164:20 26165:1,3,17 26170:20 presumably 26193:7 printed 26201:18 private 26208:16 probabilities 26191:4 26193:13,20 26205:16 probability 26144:9	PX 26183:6,7 PX2693E 26183:11 PX3749E 26184:1 Q query 26164:5,8 question 26142:24 26164:18 26168:23 26179:7 26199:15 26209:2,14 26211:9 questions 26156:23	regarded 26209:19 relates 26174:14 relating 26186:22 relation 26150:1 26165:13 26206:20 relative 26186:8 relax 26156:20 relevant 26141:25 26142:10 26143:24 26147:4 26150:21
period 26165:20 permission 26149:6 26169:17,19,21 person 26143:1,1 26156:14 26162:19 26162:22 26180:25 26181:8 26188:24 26190:11,13,13,20,21 26190:22 26191:7,7 26191:11 26192:1 26193:24 26194:3,4 26196:10 26210:14	26150:2 26167:4,15 26167:17 please 26142:12 26178:9 plots 26165:12 point 26145:2 26146:10 26151:6,6,10 26153:8 26155:21 26159:6,24 26160:22 26161:21 26161:24 26165:21 26165:22 26178:11 26178:23 26179:1,10	preserved 26197:16,19 President 26164:20 26165:1,3,17 26170:20 presumably 26193:7 printed 26201:18 private 26208:16 probabilities 26191:4 26193:13,20 26205:16 probability 26144:9 26205:22	PX 26183:6,7 PX2693E 26183:11 PX3749E 26184:1 query 26164:5,8 question 26142:24 26164:18 26168:23 26179:7 26199:15 26209:2,14 26211:9 questions 26156:23 26207:23	regarded 26209:19 relates 26174:14 relating 26186:22 relation 26150:1 26165:13 26206:20 relative 26186:8 relax 26156:20 relevant 26141:25 26142:10 26143:24 26147:4 26150:21 26151:6,21 26158:9
period 26165:20 permission 26149:6 26169:17,19,21 person 26143:1,1 26156:14 26162:19 26162:22 26180:25 26181:8 26188:24 26190:11,13,13,20,21 26190:22 26191:7,7 26191:11 26192:1 26193:24 26194:3,4 26196:10 26210:14 26210:19,20	26150:2 26167:4,15 26167:17 please 26142:12 26178:9 plots 26165:12 point 26145:2 26146:10 26151:6,6,10 26153:8 26155:21 26159:6,24 26160:22 26161:21 26161:24 26165:21 26165:22 26178:11 26178:23 26179:1,10 26180:19 26181:7	preserved 26197:16,19 President 26164:20 26165:1,3,17 26170:20 presumably 26193:7 printed 26201:18 private 26208:16 probabilities 26191:4 26193:13,20 26205:16 probability 26144:9 26205:22 probable 26161:10	PX 26183:6,7 PX2693E 26183:11 PX3749E 26184:1 Q query 26164:5,8 question 26142:24 26164:18 26168:23 26179:7 26199:15 26209:2,14 26211:9 questions 26156:23 26207:23 quick 26153:19	regarded 26209:19 relates 26174:14 relating 26186:22 relation 26150:1 26165:13 26206:20 relative 26186:8 relax 26156:20 relevant 26141:25 26142:10 26143:24 26147:4 26150:21 26151:6,21 26158:9 26158:10 26171:14
period 26165:20 permission 26149:6 26169:17,19,21 person 26143:1,1 26156:14 26162:19 26162:22 26180:25 26181:8 26188:24 26190:11,13,13,20,21 26190:22 26191:7,7 26191:11 26192:1 26193:24 26194:3,4 26196:10 26210:14	26150:2 26167:4,15 26167:17 please 26142:12 26178:9 plots 26165:12 point 26145:2 26146:10 26151:6,6,10 26153:8 26155:21 26159:6,24 26160:22 26161:21 26161:24 26165:21 26165:22 26178:11 26178:23 26179:1,10	preserved 26197:16,19 President 26164:20 26165:1,3,17 26170:20 presumably 26193:7 printed 26201:18 private 26208:16 probabilities 26191:4 26193:13,20 26205:16 probability 26144:9 26205:22	PX 26183:6,7 PX2693E 26183:11 PX3749E 26184:1 query 26164:5,8 question 26142:24 26164:18 26168:23 26179:7 26199:15 26209:2,14 26211:9 questions 26156:23 26207:23	regarded 26209:19 relates 26174:14 relating 26186:22 relation 26150:1 26165:13 26206:20 relative 26186:8 relax 26156:20 relevant 26141:25 26142:10 26143:24 26147:4 26150:21 26151:6,21 26158:9

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
relies 26206:22	26194:1 26204:1	26164:3 26169:7,13	26206:12	26148:22,22
rely 26147:3	26206:14 26207:7	26169:15 26188:13	shots 26160:17	26173:19 26176:21
remain 26202:2	26210:17	26201:11	26161:13,16,19	26177:20 26183:3
remember 26157:18		secondly 26166:21	26162:24 26181:15	26184:13 26185:9
26159:1,23 26161:1	S	section 26159:22	26193:1,6,14	26199:14 26200:21
26164:20,25	S 26155:3,7,15,15	26172:14	26207:12,16	26202:10 26210:5
26178:13 26179:25	26161:9 26164:4,14	secure 26158:15	show 26160:19	sort 26151:3 26152:18
26188:24 26210:12	26169:7,16 26171:19	26195:8,12,16	26188:16	26161:11
remembers 26207:13	26175:17 26176:8	26198:9,23 26200:1,7	shown 26159:18	sound 26172:17
reminded 26202:5	26186:4	26210:18,19	26164:20	South 26149:4
26208:1	safe 26208:15,21	secured 26165:7	shows 26164:22	so-called 26190:18
representatives	SALMON 26141:12	securement 26165:25	26182:2 26189:10	Spanish 26172:8,18,23
26206:17	26168:18	securing 26151:4	side 26146:3 26153:25	speaking 26173:14
request 26210:23	sand 26161:10	26195:15	26153:25 26159:25	speaks 26182:9
rescue 26203:23	26163:17	seek 26187:2	26165:25 26188:17	specific 26165:23
respect 26174:5	SAP 26158:8 26206:14	seeking 26185:7	26188:25 26189:14	26178:24 26181:3
26207:20	SAPS 26186:15	seen 26159:14,22	26189:14,15	26192:14 26207:10
responds 26178:9	saw 26152:11 26156:4	26184:20 26201:1	26190:21 26196:25	specifically 26210:24
response 26154:8	26166:13 26167:14	sees 26159:6	26197:3,9,23	speculate 26171:7,10
26155:6 26166:10	26167:15 26191:10	self 26183:4 26190:5,6	26198:16	speed 26141:19
26171:12	26191:18 26193:25	26190:16	sien 26143:7	spelt 26172:18
responses 26156:7	26198:12 26203:16	self-standing 26169:6	Silva 26172:9,16,20,20	spend 26200:5
rest 26162:6 26166:17	26209:7 26210:18	sense 26174:9	26172:24 26174:13	spent 26149:3,5
26168:1 26202:12	saying 26142:4,13	sense 20174.9 sent 26197:23	26177:7	26155:11 26159:6,7
26207:25	26145:3,11 26155:19	separate 26176:24	simply 26185:7	26159:16 26160:7,15
resume 26202:2	26186:1 26190:21	separate 20170.24 serial 26178:15	26190:25	26161:4 26163:6,12
resume 20202.2 resumes 26141:2	26191:5 26198:7	26179:9 26182:12	single 26189:6 26190:1	26167:11,23
26168:14,16	26200:22 26203:23	26183:1 26184:20	Single 20189.0 20190.1 Sir 26166:13	26192:18 26206:25
retaliated 26200:13	26209:3	26186:8,9,10,17	sit 26194:23	spinal 26190:1
returning 26189:3,4	says 26143:9 26150:24	service 26199:16	situation 26169:12	spoke 26180:25
re-orientate 26149:22	26151:6,6 26152:2	settlement 26164:21	26192:5 26197:22	spoke 20180.25 spot 26161:15 26163:2
re-visited 26161:7	26161:7 26167:22	seven 26152:13,22	26192.5 20197.22	26163:9 26192:17
rifle 26159:6 26175:25	26175:22 26176:18	seven 20132.13,22 sheet 26179:5,6	size 26157:11	staan 26152:2
26176:1,3,22	26182:8,9,16 26186:2	26182:21 26184:7,8	sketch 26150:23	stage 26159:1 26165:22
26178:14 26181:25	26196:25 26197:6,8	26185:10 26186:14	26151:21,22,23,24	26168:5 26180:6
26204:8	26198:16	26186:14 26194:5	26152:1,4,4,4,5	26181:9 26188:7
right 26144:19 26146:5	scene 26146:11	26206:13,19	26154:15,16,20	26192:20 26202:6
26149:8,12,17		-		
26151:15 26155:2,7	26157:22 26158:14 26161:7 26164:25	she's 26172:10,13,16 26172:23	26156:25 26158:1,7 26159:5 26164:12	26209:8,17 26210:22 stand 26156:17
26162:11,23	26165:1,7,21,24	shoot 26160:2	26167:4,4,6,15,17,19	standing 26159:2,21,25
-				26160:2
26171:25 26174:9 26185:20 26192:7	26166:1,1,2,2,16	26162:18,21	26169:7,14,15	stands 26174:18
26193:14 26199:19	26167:10 26175:11	26190:17	slide 26149:22	
	26175:16 26176:4	shooting 26156:14	26150:18,18,20	start 26141:18
26203:1,10 26205:4	26177:6 26178:5,21	26158:24,24 26160:6	26157:6,6 26158:7,8	started 26158:8
26205:25	26178:24 26179:17	26160:23 26161:20	26159:13	26203:16
right-hand 26175:6	26186:4,22 26187:19	26162:19 26166:1	slides 26143:25	starts 26201:12
rigor 26144:24	26193:8,11,23	26181:8 26188:23,24	small 26157:18	stated 26199:3
river 26191:8,22	26195:9,15,16,19	26190:20,22,23	26158:9	statement 26154:19,19
26192:11 26196:25	26197:14,16,19,21,24	26200:13 26210:20	smoothly 26148:17	26155:11 26158:23
26197:4,9,10,23	26197:25 26198:1,9,9	shorten 26141:4,17	Sokanyile 26144:12	26160:19 26164:6,9
26198:15,16,17	26198:23 26199:3,16	shortly 26145:21	26146:2 26171:2,14	26167:14,14,17
rolled 26144:15,21	26200:1,8,16	26211:16	26174:6 26178:1,21	26168:23 26169:12
Roots 26166:25	26210:18,19	shot 26145:13	26186:22 26187:8,14	26169:14 26171:7
26167:13	scenes 26151:4	26158:18,19	26187:15 26188:1	26175:23 26177:9,12
rounds 26179:20	26164:19	26161:21,22,23	26189:4,25 26190:5	26188:4,8,23 26190:9
26185:13,19	science 26174:23	26163:3 26164:19	26190:12,17 26191:6	26194:16,21 26196:4
26200:14 26204:2,18	Scott 26209:15	26171:2,14 26180:2,3	26191:11,15,24	26196:10,15,22,23
26207:10	screen 26142:12,20	26180:25 26181:12	26197:11 26198:10	26199:2,4,10
Rustenburg 26179:24	26155:14 26159:15	26187:8,12 26188:1	26198:14	26200:11,12
26180:15,17	26178:10 26189:14	26188:10 26189:6	Sokanyile's 26174:14	26201:15 26202:2,7
R5 26156:14,18	26189:16 26202:11	26190:1,3,5,12,13,15	Sokanyile's 26195:9	26202:15,18,19
26158:25 26160:2	26202:14	26192:5,6,10,13	Sokwane 26187:13	26203:7,12 26204:10
26161:16 26162:6,16	script 26196:12	26194:1 26207:10	somebody 26144:17	26204:13,16 26205:5
26163:3,4,12 26177:8	search 26161:8	shotgun 26200:17	26178:9 26193:25	26206:2,24
	accord 0(1/0.27	26207:8	soon 26141:10	statements 26148:2,8
26180:2,22,25	second 26142:3,7			
26180:2,22,25 26181:2 26192:7	26158:13 26163:24	shotguns 26200:15	sorry 26145:4,15	26194:12 26196:2

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Pretoria

				Page
26201:20,23	26203:15 26206:12	26166:10 26178:4,10	26180:12,13	version 26196:7,7,15
stay 26160:4 26199:9	26207:3	26182:1 26183:5	26199:25 26209:9	vicinity 26161:13
step 26184:11,12	talks 26149:3 26203:14	26203:17	26210:7,10	26189:11 26192:21
Stephanus 26177:22	tea 26168:8	things 26141:21,23	true 26199:4	26204:3 26205:14
stick 26188:22	team 26141:3,9	26189:23 26197:7	truly 26159:2	view 26190:13
26197:12 26205:20	26166:17	26203:16 26205:18	trust 26141:8	visit 26142:6,7 26147:1
stok 26198:19	teams 26206:15	26207:8	try 26203:6	26155:23 26158:13
stood 26197:14	teargas 26203:17	think 26143:14,22	trying 26145:18	26158:13 26164:3,21
stop 26200:13	television 26164:20	26146:1,20 26156:6	26152:18 26157:6	26170:19
stops 26201:11,11	26165:2	26158:8 26161:10	26184:7 26187:17	visited 26165:1
straight 26152:6,10	tell 26147:15 26180:11	26162:5 26164:11	Tuesday 26170:12	visits 26165:11
26154:2 26187:23	26193:18	26167:25 26168:23	26202:3 26205:10	Voorsitter 26143:6
stream 26145:25	telling 26159:9	26169:6 26174:16	26207:25 26211:9,15	vooisittei 20145.0
26146:3 26180:1	tells 26178:19 26182:22	26181:16 26182:7	turn 26143:12	W
26203:14	26206:19,20	26187:10 26192:2	26144:22 26149:22	wait 26204:25
strikers 26145:24	Ten 26162:8	26194:18,20 26195:9	26182:6	want 26141:23 26142:3
26193:7 26203:25	tended 26146:4	26200:8,19 26201:5,8	turned 26175:8	26143:8 26145:16
struck 26210:4	tends 26207:14	26208:2 26209:17	twice 26168:24 26169:2	
				26146:15,16 26149:6
stuck 26144:23	tenor 26191:4	26210:22,24	26169:12 two 26141:23 26153:2	26152:25 26153:3 26154:13 24 26155:0
subject 26166:24	26209:14,23	thought 26145:16	two 26141:23 26153:2	26154:13,24 26155:9
subsequent 26161:6	terms 26155:24	26146:1,14 26198:11	26156:8 26163:23	26155:21 26156:1,6
sufficient 26158:4	26164:12	26200:4	26177:8 26183:7	26156:20 26157:14
suggest 26177:5	tested 26174:22	thoughts 26157:7	26187:2 26192:20	26158:4,16 26166:4,5
26201:21	testified 26158:24	threat 26195:18	26201:20,22	26167:6 26170:15
suggested 26209:18	26180:7 26188:14,20	three 26160:22 26161:3	26207:23	26171:13,20 26173:1
suggestion 26153:23	26191:10 26208:2	26161:9 26164:2,3,14	typed 26175:5 26196:7	26184:20 26186:25
26157:20	26209:2	26165:9,20 26177:5	26196:10,11,15	26194:12 26196:3,24
sum 26194:8	testifies 26175:15	26187:5,5,7,25	26200:24	26198:3,20 26202:22
summarise 26145:21	testify 26167:20	26189:6		26203:11,18 26206:9
summary 26159:8	26194:23 26199:8	Thupe 26183:8,8	U	26210:5
summation 26146:21	26210:24	time 26141:8 26146:22	uncannily 26198:19	wanted 26200:6
summoned 26197:13	testifying 26198:24	26155:20,23	underneath 26197:12	26208:25
Supply 26148:7	testimony 26191:13	26156:15,19	26198:19	wanting 26147:2
support 26153:9	testing 26190:25	26163:16 26165:19	understand 26143:16	wants 26207:21
26156:6	thank 26141:12,16	26166:18 26167:15	26145:21 26162:5,20	Warrant 26193:17
sure 26160:10,25	26142:16 26143:19	26168:4,5,7 26175:5	26166:6 26181:10,15	26196:14 26205:13
26169:5 26173:14	26146:19 26148:21	26180:6,21 26182:15	26191:12,17 26192:6	26205:14 26206:24
26185:6 26195:20	26149:21 26168:12	26190:25 26193:10	understanding	warrant-officer
26199:17 26200:6	26168:21 26170:5,14	26200:5 26205:2	26148:25 26163:1	26172:11 26173:1
26205:9 26206:8,16	26173:13 26184:17	26210:15	understood 26145:2	26174:13 26176:6
26209:1 26211:10	26189:22 26194:10	times 26159:14	26146:12 26195:7	26177:7 26183:24
surname 26172:18	26211:11,14	26180:22	26198:7 26209:15,23	26185:12,17
surprise 26205:20	Thanks 26141:16	today 26141:5,18	undo 26145:18	26186:16,21
surprised 26205:19	that's 26141:7 26143:4	26159:9 26211:12	26146:19	wasn't 26161:5
surrounding 26191:24	26145:1,10 26146:20	told 26180:1,2	undoing 26146:16	26192:1 26199:5,17
suspend 26202:5	26151:11 26153:16	26181:16	unfair 26166:5 26198:3	26208:23
sweeping 26160:20	26154:24 26157:4	top 26175:1,6	units 26162:5 26209:4	wat 26143:8
sworn 26148:2,8	26158:4 26169:6,18	topic 26146:23	26209:20,21 26210:8	watched 26205:12
S-I-L-V-A 26172:20	26169:22 26173:5	26170:15	unknown 26180:9	way 26144:2,3 26145:6
	26174:11 26177:3	total 26153:18,19	unlikelihood 26145:12	26170:25 26187:7
T	26179:19 26181:1,22	26175:15 26179:22	unmindful 26170:18	26188:9 26210:20
take 26154:6 26166:8	26182:16 26183:15	26181:12 26188:25	unreasonable 26187:9	weapons 26206:11,20
26168:5,7,8 26180:5	26183:16,17,20	26194:8	use 26149:5,6 26167:11	wearing 26145:24
26184:11 26193:5	26185:19,19,23	traceable 26206:4	26191:13 26207:9	26198:12
26196:13 26206:23	26188:11	trap 26156:22	26208:8	week 26170:11
26208:16,21 26209:9	thereof 26163:22	travel 26211:3	usefully 26141:8	wees 26143:7
26210:21	26182:21 26191:2	traversed 26195:10	usual 26147:15	went 26150:18
taken 26143:3	thereto 26150:1	treated 26189:25	26183:4	26154:13 26160:21
26144:18 26145:8	26171:14 26175:5	trick 26156:23		26163:16 26164:2
26167:10 26170:17	there's 26155:3	tried 26159:10	V	26165:9,20 26176:12
/ 31 1 1/32	26156:11 26181:3	26203:23	van 26156:9 26197:14	26177:23 26179:25
201/0:22 201/1.12			various 26197:7	26180:1,4 26186:6
26170:22 26171:12 talk 26148:25	26182:1 26183:18			
talk 26148:25	26182:1 26183:18 26187:10	trip 26200:18 triple 26154:16		
talk 26148:25 talked 26165:23	26187:10	triple 26154:16	vehicle 26195:21	26191:22 26195:17
talk 26148:25 talked 26165:23 26166:14,16	26187:10 they're 26156:8	triple 26154:16 trouble 26149:3	vehicle 26195:21 Venda 26172:6	26191:22 26195:17 26200:1,8
talk 26148:25 talked 26165:23	26187:10	triple 26154:16	vehicle 26195:21	26191:22 26195:17

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Pretoria

				Dama
				Page
26147:24 26148:5,12	26187:7,25 26198:22	26179:17 26206:21	26153:5,10 26176:5	
26148:17 26150:23	Yende's 26184:21,23	26209:1	26179:8,8 26196:21	
26151:15 26156:19	Yende's 26200:12	13.2 26178:8,9,10	26196:24,24	
26168:25 26169:1,11	Yendi 26193:18	13.4 26182:7,8 26186:2	26200:12 26201:12	
26169:11 26170:8,12	26194:23 26196:4,23	13.5 26186:7	6.1 26176:5	
26170:13	Yendi's 26196:22	14 26143:25 26156:2	6.2 26176:13,13,19	
we're 26141:6	yesterday 26141:19	26157:19 26183:25	600 26145:13	
26148:24 26149:17	26142:1 26144:6	14th 26147:6,20	669 26178:16	
26151:14 26174:12	26145:17,18,21	15 26143:25 26182:21	696 26178:16	
26183:14	26146:15 26155:19	26182:22	0,0,201,0110	
we've 26142:19	26157:5 26166:22	16th 26164:18,24	7	
26148:3 26154:21	you'd 26145:16	17 26150:19 26175:15	7 26158:8 26159:13	
26155:20 26168:23	26146:14	26178:5	26196:23,23,23	
26170:16 26173:9,10	you'll 26153:5	18 26158:11	26197:1	
26183:5,14 26184:1	26155:14,14,15	10 20130.11	20177.1	
we'll 26196:11,11,14	26170:6 26186:7,14	2	8	
26203:3,5 26206:14	you're 26141:10	2 26173:25 26178:11	8 26148:3	
we're 26188:16	26145:18 26155:2		0 20148.5	
26196:12 26203:2	26143:18 26133:2 26168:16 26170:10	2,4 26151:7,12 26153:14	9	
26196:12 26203:2 26207:3,3	26188:16 26170:10	20 153:14 20 26150:21 26152:5	9 26159:7 26163:6,8	
we've 26159:14,22			-	
we've 26159:14,22 26160:12 26166:17	you've 26181:15	26159:5 26182:1 2012 26147:6 20	26196:6 26208:3	
	0	2012 26147:6,20	26211:15 0mm 26156:11	
26206:19		26164:16 26166:23	9mm 26156:11	
what's 26147:12	0,9 26153:10	26179:17 26184:11	26175:21 26177:8	
26173:18 26181:16	0029.2 26196:12	2014 26141:1 26148:4,7	26183:11 26184:21	
whilst 26151:14	08/2012 26173:23	205 26142:13,18	26185:12,19	
white 26145:24	09:45 26141:2	21 26150:18,18,21	9th 26161:6	
26191:5,23 26198:12	1	26151:10		
withdraw 26169:13	$\frac{1}{1}$	22336969 26178:15		
witness 26143:13,17	1 26141:5 26201:11	23 26142:11 26149:7,22		
26145:12,12,20,23	1st 26147:12 26148:7	26157:6,6 26161:14		
26146:12 26149:14	1,9 26153:2	24 26161:8		
26173:11 26191:10	1-3 26178:10,11	25 26151:11		
26201:6 26204:5	1.9 26153:5	25264 26142:11,17,18		
26205:6	10 26177:24,25	25265 26142:11,17		
won't 26157:17	26179:20,22	268994/12 26178:14,19		
26169:1,12	26180:22 26182:1	268994/2012 26175:1		
word 26149:4,6	26204:1,18	28 26185:1		
26154:6 26167:12	10:05 26150:25			
words 26150:22	10:24 26158:16	3		
26153:24 26163:25	11 26142:24	3 26142:11,17,17,18,22		
26176:19 26186:10	11:08 26168:15	26175:9,10		
26198:22 26199:18	11:28 26178:17	3,5 26151:17		
work 26141:4 26154:5	11:48 26188:13	3.1 26175:14		
26198:1	115 26173:21,22	3.2 26175:14 26176:14		
workers 26158:19	26174:7	26176:20		
worry 26172:4	115/08/2012 26173:16	30 26182:1		
wouldn't 26146:19	117/08/2012 26148:9	30.2 26205:12		
wouldn't 26163:5	12 26141:5,6 26154:10	31 26148:4		
26194:22	26156:2 26165:14	336969 26179:10		
wound 26190:4	26170:7 26184:1			
wounded 26192:14	26200:14 26201:23	4		
wounds 26189:25	26203:4 26211:1	4 26141:1 26161:8		
wrap 26202:1	12,5 26154:1,4	26163:22 26175:10		
writing 26204:10	12:07 26198:12	26201:11		
26211:10	12:27 26209:21	4,6 26151:23		
written 26200:25	12:30 26168:9 26205:9	4.1 26175:14		
wrong 26145:22	13 26154:1,4,10	4.2 26176:14		
26159:13 26167:18	26156:2 26165:14	4.3 26175:15		
26171:24	26178:10,11			
and the sero	26186:14	5		
- R V Y Man E CE	13th 26158:14	5,2 26153:18,19		
Yende 26182:23	26164:16 26165:7,8	5.56mm 26176:1		
26183:4,5,15,16,17	26165:11,22,24			
26183:24 26185:14	26166:11,23,25	6		
				1
26185:17 26186:3	26167:5,5,9 26179:6	6 26150:11,21 26151:23		

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119