RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 146 11 NOVEMBER 2013 PAGES 16083 TO 16206



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: realtime@pixie.co.za Web Address: http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278



Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

1	Page 16083 [PROCEEDINGS ON 11 NOVEMBER 2013]	1	Page 16085 Hospital? Did you convey that to Mr Mathunjwa?" and you
2	[09:53] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission commences.	2	say, "Correct." And you were then asked, "What did you
3	We're starting a bit later than the scheduled time, but	3	convey to him?" and you say that you told Mr Mathunjwa that
4	that is because we were requested only to start when we	4	the Provincial Commissioner had gone to visit Lieutenant
5	were told that the General had an opportunity to read all	5	Baloyi at the Ferncrest Hospital in Rustenburg. You recall
6	the documents to which his attention had been drawn	6	that evidence?
7	yesterday, which form the subject matter of the cross-	7	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
8	examination, and we were also then provided with copies of	8	Chairperson.
9	some at least of these documents, which we've had the	9	MS BARNES: Now what I'd like us to do,
10	opportunity to study, so that's the reason for the delay,	10	General, is to look at what you've said in your evidence
11	for which I apologise to those who'd been waiting in the	11	there happened on this particular occasion, and look at
12	chamber since the scheduled time for us to begin. General,	12	what Mr Mathunjwa says happened and see if we can get to
13	you're still under oath.	13	the bottom of it. So I'd like to start by taking you to Mr
14	WILLIAM MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.	14	Mathunjwa's statement. It's exhibit NN. If you go to page
15	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, I understand	15	22 of the statement to paragraph 72, Mr Mathunjwa says the
16	you're going to cross-examine on behalf of AMCU. Is that	16	following, he says, "I cannot remember the exact time, but
17	correct?	17	I think it was about 12:30 when I told my colleagues that
18	MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair.	18	the sun was hot and we had given an undertaking that we
19	CHAIRPERSON: Please do so.	19	would speak to the workers much earlier. We then left for
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS BARNES: Thank	20	the koppie with no police escort." Okay, so what Mr
21	you. Good morning, General.	21	Mathunjwa is saying there is he estimates that he left to
22	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Good morning,	22	go and speak to the strikers at the koppie at about 12:30
23	Advocate.	23	on the 16th of August. You see that?
24	MS BARNES: General, I'd like to start by	24	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I see it,
25	referring you to a portion of your evidence-in-chief. It	25	Chairperson.
	Page 16084		Page 16086
1	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript	1	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just
2	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to	2	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement,
2 3	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be	2 3	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I
2 3 4	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look,	2 3 4	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25,
2 3 4 5	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen	2 3 4 5	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to
2 3 4 5 6	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the	2 3 4 5 6	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's
2 3 4 5 6 7	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders,"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC, there he requested to see the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report again from Papa1, "reports that everything is still under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC, there he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. I was in the JOC at that time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report again from Papa1, "reports that everything is still under control; AMCU is still addressing the people." You see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC, there he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. I was in the JOC at that time. Then when I saw him, I went to him." You were then asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report again from Papa1, "reports that everything is still under control; AMCU is still addressing the people." You see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC, there he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. I was in the JOC at that time. Then when I saw him, I went to him." You were then asked by your counsel, "Roughly what time are we talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report again from Papa1, "reports that everything is still under control; AMCU is still addressing the people." You see that? CHAIRPERSON: Could we go back for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC, there he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. I was in the JOC at that time. Then when I saw him, I went to him." You were then asked by your counsel, "Roughly what time are we talking about now?" and you say, "Chairperson, it was towards 1 o'clock,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report again from Papa1, "reports that everything is still under control; AMCU is still addressing the people." You see that? CHAIRPERSON: Could we go back for a moment to look at 1006, just for a second? So it starts at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC, there he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. I was in the JOC at that time. Then when I saw him, I went to him." You were then asked by your counsel, "Roughly what time are we talking about now?" and you say, "Chairperson, it was towards 1 o'clock, between 12 and 1 o'clock at that time." Your counsel then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report again from Papa1, "reports that everything is still under control; AMCU is still addressing the people." You see that? CHAIRPERSON: Could we go back for a moment to look at 1006, just for a second? So it starts at 1004, I'm told by Adv Hemraj. Let's look at 1004. So that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC, there he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. I was in the JOC at that time. Then when I saw him, I went to him." You were then asked by your counsel, "Roughly what time are we talking about now?" and you say, "Chairperson, it was towards 1 o'clock, between 12 and 1 o'clock at that time." Your counsel then says, "Please continue." You say, "And when he arrived at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report again from Papa1, "reports that everything is still under control; AMCU is still addressing the people." You see that? CHAIRPERSON: Could we go back for a moment to look at 1006, just for a second? So it starts at 1004, I'm told by Adv Hemraj. Let's look at 1004. So that tells us that at 11:55, that's 1004, Brigadier Tsiloane
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC, there he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. I was in the JOC at that time. Then when I saw him, I went to him." You were then asked by your counsel, "Roughly what time are we talking about now?" and you say, "Chairperson, it was towards 1 o'clock, between 12 and 1 o'clock at that time." Your counsel then says, "Please continue." You say, "And when he arrived at the JOC he said 'I want to see the Provincial Commissioner'	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report again from Papa1, "reports that everything is still under control; AMCU is still addressing the people." You see that? CHAIRPERSON: Could we go back for a moment to look at 1006, just for a second? So it starts at 1004, I'm told by Adv Hemraj. Let's look at 1004. So that tells us that at 11:55, that's 1004, Brigadier Tsiloane reported the president of AMCU just left the base on his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC, there he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. I was in the JOC at that time. Then when I saw him, I went to him." You were then asked by your counsel, "Roughly what time are we talking about now?" and you say, "Chairperson, it was towards 1 o'clock, between 12 and 1 o'clock at that time." Your counsel then says, "Please continue." You say, "And when he arrived at the JOC he said 'I want to see the Provincial Commissioner' and I informed him that the Provincial Commissioner has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report again from Papa1, "reports that everything is still under control; AMCU is still addressing the people." You see that? CHAIRPERSON: Could we go back for a moment to look at 1006, just for a second? So it starts at 1004, I'm told by Adv Hemraj. Let's look at 1004. So that tells us that at 11:55, that's 1004, Brigadier Tsiloane reported the president of AMCU just left the base on his own to visit the group. So we have Mr Mathunjwa leaving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC, there he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. I was in the JOC at that time. Then when I saw him, I went to him." You were then asked by your counsel, "Roughly what time are we talking about now?" and you say, "Chairperson, it was towards 1 o'clock, between 12 and 1 o'clock at that time." Your counsel then says, "Please continue." You say, "And when he arrived at the JOC he said 'I want to see the Provincial Commissioner' and I informed him that the Provincial Commissioner has gone go Ferncrest Hospital and he insisted to see the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report again from Papa1, "reports that everything is still under control; AMCU is still addressing the people." You see that? CHAIRPERSON: Could we go back for a moment to look at 1006, just for a second? So it starts at 1004, I'm told by Adv Hemraj. Let's look at 1004. So that tells us that at 11:55, that's 1004, Brigadier Tsiloane reported the president of AMCU just left the base on his own to visit the group. So we have Mr Mathunjwa leaving then at 5 to 12. Then 1006 tells us that, if the times are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC, there he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. I was in the JOC at that time. Then when I saw him, I went to him." You were then asked by your counsel, "Roughly what time are we talking about now?" and you say, "Chairperson, it was towards 1 o'clock, between 12 and 1 o'clock at that time." Your counsel then says, "Please continue." You say, "And when he arrived at the JOC he said 'I want to see the Provincial Commissioner' and I informed him that the Provincial Commissioner has gone go Ferncrest Hospital and he insisted to see the Provincial Commissioner. Then I phoned the Provincial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report again from Papa1, "reports that everything is still under control; AMCU is still addressing the people." You see that? CHAIRPERSON: Could we go back for a moment to look at 1006, just for a second? So it starts at 1004, I'm told by Adv Hemraj. Let's look at 1004. So that tells us that at 11:55, that's 1004, Brigadier Tsiloane reported the president of AMCU just left the base on his own to visit the group. So we have Mr Mathunjwa leaving then at 5 to 12. Then 1006 tells us that, if the times are correct, that at 12:12, 12 minutes past noon, past 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC, there he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. I was in the JOC at that time. Then when I saw him, I went to him." You were then asked by your counsel, "Roughly what time are we talking about now?" and you say, "Chairperson, it was towards 1 o'clock, between 12 and 1 o'clock at that time." Your counsel then says, "Please continue." You say, "And when he arrived at the JOC he said 'I want to see the Provincial Commissioner' and I informed him that the Provincial Commissioner has gone go Ferncrest Hospital and he insisted to see the Provincial Commissioner. Then I phoned the Provincial Commissioner." And then your counsel goes on and says,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report again from Papa1, "reports that everything is still under control; AMCU is still addressing the people." You see that? CHAIRPERSON: Could we go back for a moment to look at 1006, just for a second? So it starts at 1004, I'm told by Adv Hemraj. Let's look at 1004. So that tells us that at 11:55, that's 1004, Brigadier Tsiloane reported the president of AMCU just left the base on his own to visit the group. So we have Mr Mathunjwa leaving then at 5 to 12. Then 1006 tells us that, if the times are correct, that at 12:12, 12 minutes past noon, past 12 o'clock, Mr Mathunjwa arrives and they addressed the media.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC, there he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. I was in the JOC at that time. Then when I saw him, I went to him." You were then asked by your counsel, "Roughly what time are we talking about now?" and you say, "Chairperson, it was towards 1 o'clock, between 12 and 1 o'clock at that time." Your counsel then says, "Please continue." You say, "And when he arrived at the JOC he said 'I want to see the Provincial Commissioner' and I informed him that the Provincial Commissioner has gone go Ferncrest Hospital and he insisted to see the Provincial Commissioner. Then I phoned the Provincial Commissioner." And then your counsel goes on and says, "Sorry, did you tell him, or did you know why, for what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report again from Papa1, "reports that everything is still under control; AMCU is still addressing the people." You see that? CHAIRPERSON: Could we go back for a moment to look at 1006, just for a second? So it starts at 1004, I'm told by Adv Hemraj. Let's look at 1004. So that tells us that at 11:55, that's 1004, Brigadier Tsiloane reported the president of AMCU just left the base on his own to visit the group. So we have Mr Mathunjwa leaving then at 5 to 12. Then 1006 tells us that, if the times are correct, that at 12:12, 12 minutes past noon, past 12 o'clock, Mr Mathunjwa arrives and they addressed the media. It sounds as it Mr Mathunjwa must have gone with some other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	appears on page 11420 of the transcript. The transcript references that I will be referring to have been given to the people operating the screen, so I'm sure they'll be able to put that up for us. If we could have a look, General, at page 11420 from line 15, it is on the screen now, that it might be easier if you just look at the screen. Okay, you've given evidence here, Sir, about the events of the 16th of August, and you say the following, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa had gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters, and Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC, there he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. I was in the JOC at that time. Then when I saw him, I went to him." You were then asked by your counsel, "Roughly what time are we talking about now?" and you say, "Chairperson, it was towards 1 o'clock, between 12 and 1 o'clock at that time." Your counsel then says, "Please continue." You say, "And when he arrived at the JOC he said 'I want to see the Provincial Commissioner' and I informed him that the Provincial Commissioner has gone go Ferncrest Hospital and he insisted to see the Provincial Commissioner. Then I phoned the Provincial Commissioner." And then your counsel goes on and says,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS BARNES: And if you can perhaps just keep your finger on that page of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, because we're going to come back to it shortly, but if I could ask you to look at the same time at exhibit FFF25, this is the police occurrence book. If you could go to page 24 of that document, and on page 24 you'll see there's entry 1008, 1009, and then the one after that is 1010. I'd like you to just focus on those three entries. You'll see that the first entry just after half past 12, "Papa1 records that the media are speaking to the AMCU leaders," and then as the next entry is 1009 where there's a report again from Papa1, "reports that everything is still under control; AMCU is still addressing the people." You see that? CHAIRPERSON: Could we go back for a moment to look at 1006, just for a second? So it starts at 1004, I'm told by Adv Hemraj. Let's look at 1004. So that tells us that at 11:55, that's 1004, Brigadier Tsiloane reported the president of AMCU just left the base on his own to visit the group. So we have Mr Mathunjwa leaving then at 5 to 12. Then 1006 tells us that, if the times are correct, that at 12:12, 12 minutes past noon, past 12 o'clock, Mr Mathunjwa arrives and they addressed the media.

11th November 2013

1	Page 16087 group together with the media. The group were also at the	1	Page 16089
1 2	gathering. Then we move on to 1008, the one you were	1 2	page? Have you got paragraph 77 in front of you? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.
3	dealing with, where 24 Media - presumably, is that Media	2	CHAIRPERSON: What he's saying is he left
4	24? – at the group busy with AMCU leaders.	4	at about half past 1 and the point put to you by Adv Barnes
5	MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair.	5	is that that coincides more or less with what we see in the
6	That's –	6	police occurrence book. So we can accept, she will say, I
7	CHAIRPERSON: And then your client	7	take it, that when Mr Mathunjwa says he left at about half
8	according to 1009 was, Mr Mathunjwa rather, the president	8	past 1, we can accept that he's correct. Am I reading your
9	of your client was still addressing the people at 5 past 1	9	mind correct, Adv Barnes?
10	and then there's a further note, the one that you were	9 10	MS BARNES: Yes, Chair.
11	focussing on, which is 1010, that at 13:25, it looks as if	11	CHAIRPERSON: I take it you'd agree with
12	something, it looks as if the address had ended by then	12	that too?
13	because it's in the past tense. Is that, am I reading it	13	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,
14	correctly?	14	it's more or less with some of the minor adjustments in
15	MS BARNES: Yes, it looks as though that	15	terms of the content thereof.
16	came to an end at around half past 1, if you look at entry	16	CHAIRPERSON: All she's concentrating -
17	1010.	17	MS BARNES: Yes, we're just talking about
18	CHAIRPERSON: Well yes, it looks as if it	18	the time now.
19	had already come to an end by 25 past 1 because it's,	19	CHAIRPERSON: All she's concentrating on
20	there's a summary of what AMCU had promised, I presume Mr	20	at the moment is the times.
20	Mathunjwa had promised that he and his colleagues would be	20	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Okay.
22	back after half an hour with the mine management. So	22	CHAIRPERSON: So are you happy with that?
23	they'd promised to go off and see if they could get the	23	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.
24	mine management to come back with them. Is that a	23	MS BARNES: So in summary from Mr
25	correct –	25	Mathunjwa's statement taken together with the occurrence
20		20	
	Page 16088		Page 16090
1	Page 16088 MS BARNES: Yes.	1	Page 16090 book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around
1 2	-	1 2	5
	MS BARNES: Yes.		book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree?
2	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these	2	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he
2 3	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the	2 3	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree?
2 3 4	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair.	2 3 4	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more
2 3 4 5	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the	2 3 4 5	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look
2 3 4 5 6	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect?	2 3 4 5 6	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one page on to page 23, in paragraph 77 you'll see that Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in terms of safety and security, as had been explained to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one page on to page 23, in paragraph 77 you'll see that Mr Mathunjwa says, "Again I'm not sure of the time, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in terms of safety and security, as had been explained to me the night before. We waited for about 30 minutes. I then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one page on to page 23, in paragraph 77 you'll see that Mr Mathunjwa says, "Again I'm not sure of the time, but I think it was about half past 1 when I, together with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in terms of safety and security, as had been explained to me the night before. We waited for about 30 minutes. I then asked a black SAPS officer to ask for either of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one page on to page 23, in paragraph 77 you'll see that Mr Mathunjwa says, "Again I'm not sure of the time, but I think it was about half past 1 when I, together with the other AMCU officials, left the koppie. I went back to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in terms of safety and security, as had been explained to me the night before. We waited for about 30 minutes. I then asked a black SAPS officer to ask for either of the generals to come out and see me," and then in the next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one page on to page 23, in paragraph 77 you'll see that Mr Mathunjwa says, "Again I'm not sure of the time, but I think it was about half past 1 when I, together with the other AMCU officials, left the koppie. I went back to the base." So Mr Mathunjwa's recordal in his statement seems	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in terms of safety and security, as had been explained to me the night before. We waited for about 30 minutes. I then asked a black SAPS officer to ask for either of the generals to come out and see me," and then in the next paragraph, paragraph 78, Mr Mathunjwa then says that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one page on to page 23, in paragraph 77 you'll see that Mr Mathunjwa says, "Again I'm not sure of the time, but I think it was about half past 1 when I, together with the other AMCU officials, left the koppie. I went back to the base." So Mr Mathunjwa's recordal in his statement seems to accord with what's contained in the occurrence book.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in terms of safety and security, as had been explained to me the night before. We waited for about 30 minutes. I then asked a black SAPS officer to ask for either of the generals to come out and see me," and then in the next paragraph, paragraph 78, Mr Mathunjwa then says that General Mpembe came out of the operations room, and if we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one page on to page 23, in paragraph 77 you'll see that Mr Mathunjwa says, "Again I'm not sure of the time, but I think it was about half past 1 when I, together with the other AMCU officials, left the koppie. I went back to the base." So Mr Mathunjwa's recordal in his statement seems to accord with what's contained in the occurrence book. You agree?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in terms of safety and security, as had been explained to me the night before. We waited for about 30 minutes. I then asked a black SAPS officer to ask for either of the generals to come out and see me," and then in the next paragraph, paragraph 78, Mr Mathunjwa then says that General Mpembe came out of the operations room, and if we might pause there, we will deal with the conversation that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one page on to page 23, in paragraph 77 you'll see that Mr Mathunjwa says, "Again I'm not sure of the time, but I think it was about half past 1 when I, together with the other AMCU officials, left the koppie. I went back to the base." So Mr Mathunjwa's recordal in his statement seems to accord with what's contained in the occurrence book. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in terms of safety and security, as had been explained to me the night before. We waited for about 30 minutes. I then asked a black SAPS officer to ask for either of the generals to come out and see me," and then in the next paragraph, paragraph 78, Mr Mathunjwa then says that General Mpembe came out of the operations room, and if we might pause there, we will deal with the conversation that you had with Mr Mathunjwa shortly, but if we could just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one page on to page 23, in paragraph 77 you'll see that Mr Mathunjwa says, "Again I'm not sure of the time, but I think it was about half past 1 when I, together with the other AMCU officials, left the koppie. I went back to the base." So Mr Mathunjwa's recordal in his statement seems to accord with what's contained in the occurrence book. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson, I just want to check which paragraph on page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in terms of safety and security, as had been explained to me the night before. We waited for about 30 minutes. I then asked a black SAPS officer to ask for either of the generals to come out and see me," and then in the next paragraph, paragraph 78, Mr Mathunjwa then says that General Mpembe came out of the operations room, and if we might pause there, we will deal with the conversation that you had with Mr Mathunjwa shortly, but if we could just pause at that point. Now we know, General, that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one page on to page 23, in paragraph 77 you'll see that Mr Mathunjwa says, "Again I'm not sure of the time, but I think it was about half past 1 when I, together with the other AMCU officials, left the koppie. I went back to the base." So Mr Mathunjwa's recordal in his statement seems to accord with what's contained in the occurrence book. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson, I just want to check which paragraph on page 27.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in terms of safety and security, as had been explained to me the night before. We waited for about 30 minutes. I then asked a black SAPS officer to ask for either of the generals to come out and see me," and then in the next paragraph, paragraph 78, Mr Mathunjwa then says that General Mpembe came out of the operations room, and if we might pause there, we will deal with the conversation that you had with Mr Mathunjwa shortly, but if we could just pause at that point. Now we know, General, that the special JOCCOM meeting that the SAPS held on the 16th of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one page on to page 23, in paragraph 77 you'll see that Mr Mathunjwa says, "Again I'm not sure of the time, but I think it was about half past 1 when I, together with the other AMCU officials, left the koppie. I went back to the base." So Mr Mathunjwa's recordal in his statement seems to accord with what's contained in the occurrence book. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson, I just want to check which paragraph on page 27. MS BARNES: No, we're on page 23 of Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in terms of safety and security, as had been explained to me the night before. We waited for about 30 minutes. I then asked a black SAPS officer to ask for either of the generals to come out and see me," and then in the next paragraph, paragraph 78, Mr Mathunjwa then says that General Mpembe came out of the operations room, and if we might pause there, we will deal with the conversation that you had with Mr Mathunjwa shortly, but if we could just pause at that point. Now we know, General, that the special JOCCOM meeting that the SAPS held on the 16th of August took place from half past 1 to 2 o'clock. Is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one page on to page 23, in paragraph 77 you'll see that Mr Mathunjwa says, "Again I'm not sure of the time, but I think it was about half past 1 when I, together with the other AMCU officials, left the koppie. I went back to the base." So Mr Mathunjwa's recordal in his statement seems to accord with what's contained in the occurrence book. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson, I just want to check which paragraph on page 27. MS BARNES: No, we're on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, page 23, paragraph 77.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in terms of safety and security, as had been explained to me the night before. We waited for about 30 minutes. I then asked a black SAPS officer to ask for either of the generals to come out and see me," and then in the next paragraph, paragraph 78, Mr Mathunjwa then says that General Mpembe came out of the operations room, and if we might pause there, we will deal with the conversation that you had with Mr Mathunjwa shortly, but if we could just pause at that point. Now we know, General, that the special JOCCOM meeting that the SAPS held on the 16th of August took place from half past 1 to 2 o'clock. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one page on to page 23, in paragraph 77 you'll see that Mr Mathunjwa says, "Again I'm not sure of the time, but I think it was about half past 1 when I, together with the other AMCU officials, left the koppie. I went back to the base." So Mr Mathunjwa's recordal in his statement seems to accord with what's contained in the occurrence book. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson, I just want to check which paragraph on page 27. MS BARNES: No, we're on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, page 23, paragraph 77. CHAIRPERSON: You see there he says he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in terms of safety and security, as had been explained to me the night before. We waited for about 30 minutes. I then asked a black SAPS officer to ask for either of the generals to come out and see me," and then in the next paragraph, paragraph 78, Mr Mathunjwa then says that General Mpembe came out of the operations room, and if we might pause there, we will deal with the conversation that you had with Mr Mathunjwa shortly, but if we could just pause at that point. Now we know, General, that the special JOCCOM meeting that the SAPS held on the 16th of August took place from half past 1 to 2 o'clock. Is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MS BARNES: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - summary of what these entries say? MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. You're with us, General; you agree that that's what the occurrence book appears to reflect? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's what the occurrence book is supposed to – is reflecting, yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: And you'll see, General, if you go back to Mr Mathunjwa's statement, if you just go one page on to page 23, in paragraph 77 you'll see that Mr Mathunjwa says, "Again I'm not sure of the time, but I think it was about half past 1 when I, together with the other AMCU officials, left the koppie. I went back to the base." So Mr Mathunjwa's recordal in his statement seems to accord with what's contained in the occurrence book. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson, I just want to check which paragraph on page 27. MS BARNES: No, we're on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, page 23, paragraph 77.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	book, it appears that Mr Mathunjwa left the base at around 12 to go to the koppie to address the strikers, and then he returned to the base at about 1:30. You agree? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's what, more or less what is being said in the FFF25 and also Mr Mathunjwa's statement, which is exhibit NN. MS BARNES: Now if we can go on and look at what Mr Mathunjwa says happened next. You can stay then on page 23 of Mr Mathunjwa's statement, paragraph 77, and he then says the following, "At the base I asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. I had to report back to her in terms of safety and security, as had been explained to me the night before. We waited for about 30 minutes. I then asked a black SAPS officer to ask for either of the generals to come out and see me," and then in the next paragraph, paragraph 78, Mr Mathunjwa then says that General Mpembe came out of the operations room, and if we might pause there, we will deal with the conversation that you had with Mr Mathunjwa shortly, but if we could just pause at that point. Now we know, General, that the special JOCCOM meeting that the SAPS held on the 16th of August took place from half past 1 to 2 o'clock. Is that correct?

Pretoria

1	Page 16091	1	Page 16093
1	while Mr Mathunjwa was waiting, he had come back from the	1	outside the JOC. He then asks another officer to please go
2	koppie and he was waiting at the JOC to report back to the	2	in and call one of the generals, and eventually you come
3	police, you together with your colleagues were in the	3	out. But given that he waited for 30 minutes and given
4	special JOCCOM meeting. Is that correct?	4	that he arrived at half past 1, and given that the meeting
5	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: May you repeat the	5	ran from half past 1 to 2, the simple point is that he's
6	question, Chairperson?	6	waiting outside while the meeting is taking place. Isn't
7	CHAIRPERSON: The question is that Mr	7	that right?
8	Mathunjwa says he came back at about half past 1. He	8	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I
9	wanted to see either you or the Provincial Commissioner.	9	cannot confirm that he was outside for –
10	He was kept waiting for about half an hour till about 2	10	CHAIRPERSON: You don't know, do you. If
11	o'clock and then you'll see 78 says you then came out, and	11	you were inside the JOCCOM, if you were inside the JOC room
12	what counsel is putting to you is that while he was waiting	12	you wouldn't know necessarily who was waiting outside. So
13	outside from about, according to him from half past 1 to 2	13	you can't confirm that, but I take it you also can't
14	o'clock, the JOC meeting, the special JOC meeting was	14	contradict it. So it's something in respect of which you
15	taking place, JOC committee meeting was taking place in the	15	have no knowledge. Is that right?
16	JOC room. That's the point that's being put to you. That	16	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
17	seems right, isn't it?	17	Chairperson.
18	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,	18	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: General, if you
19	because the JOC meeting took place at 13:30.	19	look at your evidence in the transcript, it would appear as
20	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, between 13:30 and 2 –	20	well according to that timeframe you would have met with Mr
21	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes.	21	Mathunjwa before the 1:30 meeting that took place.
22	CHAIRPERSON: And I think the evidence is	22	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
23	- well, I don't know whether you can confirm it, but the	23	Chairperson, it was when he was called by the Provincial
24	evidence is that the JOC meeting continued, but the	24	Commissioner in the morning.
25	decision had been conveyed to those present, and in fact	25	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: No, you say that he
1	Page 16092 Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to	1	Page 16094 – sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the
1	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to	1 2	- sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the
2	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the	2	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is
2 3	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the	2 3	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30
2 3 4	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That	2 3 4	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript.
2 3 4 5	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott	2 3 4 5	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line
2 3 4 5 6	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen,	2 3 4 5 6	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according	2 3 4 5 6 7	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you? MS BARNES: Yes, the point is really that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr Mathunjwa arriving, "he then requested to see the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you? MS BARNES: Yes, the point is really that while Mr Mathunjwa was waiting to give feedback, remember he says he had to wait for 30 minutes, that the JOCCOM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr Mathunjwa arriving, "he then requested to see the Provincial Commissioner." So he made that request to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you? MS BARNES: Yes, the point is really that while Mr Mathunjwa was waiting to give feedback, remember he says he had to wait for 30 minutes, that the JOCCOM meeting was taking place, that's the simple point. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr Mathunjwa arriving, "he then requested to see the Provincial Commissioner." So he made that request to you. Is that right? Is that what you're saying in your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you? MS BARNES: Yes, the point is really that while Mr Mathunjwa was waiting to give feedback, remember he says he had to wait for 30 minutes, that the JOCCOM meeting was taking place, that's the simple point. That seems clear from the evidence. You accept that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr Mathunjwa arriving, "he then requested to see the Provincial Commissioner." So he made that request to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you? MS BARNES: Yes, the point is really that while Mr Mathunjwa was waiting to give feedback, remember he says he had to wait for 30 minutes, that the JOCCOM meeting was taking place, that's the simple point. That seems clear from the evidence. You accept that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr Mathunjwa arriving, "he then requested to see the Provincial Commissioner." So he made that request to you. Is that right? Is that what you're saying in your evidence? He asked you if he could see the Provincial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you? MS BARNES: Yes, the point is really that while Mr Mathunjwa was waiting to give feedback, remember he says he had to wait for 30 minutes, that the JOCCOM meeting was taking place, that's the simple point. That seems clear from the evidence. You accept that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't understand the feedback with regard to, because there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr Mathunjwa arriving, "he then requested to see the Provincial Commissioner." So he made that request to you. Is that right? Is that what you're saying in your evidence? He asked you if he could see the Provincial Commissioner?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you? MS BARNES: Yes, the point is really that while Mr Mathunjwa was waiting to give feedback, remember he says he had to wait for 30 minutes, that the JOCCOM meeting was taking place, that's the simple point. That seems clear from the evidence. You accept that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't understand the feedback with regard to, because there were times in the morning and then now he said he's going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr Mathunjwa arriving, "he then requested to see the Provincial Commissioner." So he made that request to you. Is that right? Is that what you're saying in your evidence? He asked you if he could see the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, he asked me when I went to him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you? MS BARNES: Yes, the point is really that while Mr Mathunjwa was waiting to give feedback, remember he says he had to wait for 30 minutes, that the JOCCOM meeting was taking place, that's the simple point. That seems clear from the evidence. You accept that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't understand the feedback with regard to, because there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr Mathunjwa arriving, "he then requested to see the Provincial Commissioner." So he made that request to you. Is that right? Is that what you're saying in your evidence? He asked you if he could see the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, he asked me when I went to him. MS BARNES: Was that now before the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you? MS BARNES: Yes, the point is really that while Mr Mathunjwa was waiting to give feedback, remember he says he had to wait for 30 minutes, that the JOCCOM meeting was taking place, that's the simple point. That seems clear from the evidence. You accept that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't understand the feedback with regard to, because there were times in the morning and then now he said he's going to give the feedback. That's one maybe I want also the clarity on the question. Was he going to give the feedback	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr Mathunjwa arriving, "he then requested to see the Provincial Commissioner." So he made that request to you. Is that right? Is that what you're saying in your evidence? He asked you if he could see the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, he asked me when I went to him. MS BARNES: Was that now before the special JOCCOM meeting started, or did you come out of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you? MS BARNES: Yes, the point is really that while Mr Mathunjwa was waiting to give feedback, remember he says he had to wait for 30 minutes, that the JOCCOM meeting was taking place, that's the simple point. That seems clear from the evidence. You accept that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't understand the feedback with regard to, because there were times in the morning and then now he said he's going to give the feedback. That's one maybe I want also the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr Mathunjwa arriving, "he then requested to see the Provincial Commissioner." So he made that request to you. Is that right? Is that what you're saying in your evidence? He asked you if he could see the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, he asked me when I went to him. MS BARNES: Was that now before the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you? MS BARNES: Yes, the point is really that while Mr Mathunjwa was waiting to give feedback, remember he says he had to wait for 30 minutes, that the JOCCOM meeting was taking place, that's the simple point. That seems clear from the evidence. You accept that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't understand the feedback with regard to, because there were times in the morning and then now he said he's going to give the feedback. That's one maybe I want also the clarity on the question. Was he going to give the feedback to SAPS, or maybe –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr Mathunjwa arriving, "he then requested to see the Provincial Commissioner." So he made that request to you. Is that right? Is that what you're saying in your evidence? He asked you if he could see the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, he asked me when I went to him. MS BARNES: Was that now before the special JOCCOM meeting started, or did you come out of the meeting to speak to Mr Mathunjwa at some stage?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you? MS BARNES: Yes, the point is really that while Mr Mathunjwa was waiting to give feedback, remember he says he had to wait for 30 minutes, that the JOCCOM meeting was taking place, that's the simple point. That seems clear from the evidence. You accept that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't understand the feedback with regard to, because there were times in the morning and then now he said he's going to give the feedback. That's one maybe I want also the clarity on the question. Was he going to give the feedback to SAPS, or maybe – MS BARNES: Well, General, we've seen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr Mathunjwa arriving, "he then requested to see the Provincial Commissioner." So he made that request to you. Is that right? Is that what you're saying in your evidence? He asked you if he could see the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, he asked me when I went to him. MS BARNES: Was that now before the special JOCCOM meeting started, or did you come out of the meeting to speak to Mr Mathunjwa at some stage? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, when I met Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you? MS BARNES: Yes, the point is really that while Mr Mathunjwa was waiting to give feedback, remember he says he had to wait for 30 minutes, that the JOCCOM meeting was taking place, that's the simple point. That seems clear from the evidence. You accept that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't understand the feedback with regard to, because there were times in the morning and then now he said he's going to give the feedback. That's one maybe I want also the clarity on the question. Was he going to give the feedback to SAPS, or maybe – MS BARNES: Well, General, we've seen what he said in his statement. He says he comes back from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr Mathunjwa arriving, "he then requested to see the Provincial Commissioner." So he made that request to you. Is that right? Is that what you're saying in your evidence? He asked you if he could see the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, he asked me when I went to him. MS BARNES: Was that now before the special JOCCOM meeting started, or did you come out of the meeting to speak to Mr Mathunjwa at some stage? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, when I met Mr Mathunjwa it was before the special JOCCOM meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Colonel Scott says that he was asked at about 2 o'clock to expound what exactly was going to happen, what form the operation would take. I think that's his evidence. So the JOCCOM meeting as such had ended at about 2 o'clock. That seems to be, all that happened after that was Colonel Scott then gave a briefing, an exposition of what was to happen, but the suggestion is that you left the JOCCOM, according to Mr Mathunjwa, at 2 o'clock. Is that the point you're making, Ms Barnes, or am I misreading you? MS BARNES: Yes, the point is really that while Mr Mathunjwa was waiting to give feedback, remember he says he had to wait for 30 minutes, that the JOCCOM meeting was taking place, that's the simple point. That seems clear from the evidence. You accept that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't understand the feedback with regard to, because there were times in the morning and then now he said he's going to give the feedback. That's one maybe I want also the clarity on the question. Was he going to give the feedback to SAPS, or maybe – MS BARNES: Well, General, we've seen what he said in his statement. He says he comes back from the koppie, he wants to speak to the police to give you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 sorry, if you look at the transcript at page 11420, the timeframe you give is around between 12 and 1 o'clock. Is that correct? So that would then have been before the 1:30 JOCCOM meeting according to the transcript. MS BARNES: If we can go to – is it line 15? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do see line 15. MS BARNES: And if you go on a little bit it says, "When he arrived at the JOC," so this is now Mr Mathunjwa arriving, "he then requested to see the Provincial Commissioner." So he made that request to you. Is that right? Is that what you're saying in your evidence? He asked you if he could see the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, he asked me when I went to him. MS BARNES: Was that now before the special JOCCOM meeting started, or did you come out of the meeting to speak to Mr Mathunjwa at some stage? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, when I met Mr Mathunjwa it was before the special JOCCOM meeting. MS BARNES: So and that's when he asked –

		Ι	
1	Page 16095	1	Page 16097
1	are we talking about now?" At line 24 General Mpembe says,	1	paragraph 78 Mr Mathunjwa then says the following, "General
2	"Chairperson, it was towards 1 o'clock, between 12 and 1	2	Mpembe came out of the operations room and said to me that
3	o'clock at that time." So that's before the JOCCOM meeting	3	he was no longer in charge of the operation and the
4	which started at 1:30.	4	Provincial Commissioner was in charge. I said to General
5	MS BARNES: And we know of course,	5	Mpembe that I would like to see the Provincial Commissioner
6	General, that the Provincial Commissioner was present	6	and General Mpembe said to me that the Provincial
7	throughout this special JOCCOM meeting. Isn't that	7	Commissioner was not around as she'd gone to an ANC torch
8	correct? She was in that meeting.	8	bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now
9	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, she was.	9	did that conversation happen at the same time, before the
10	MS BARNES: Now presumably - you say that	10	JOCCOM meeting started or did this conversation happen
11	you spoke to Mr Mathunjwa before that meeting started and	11	after the JOCCOM meeting was finished?
12	he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner. Presumably	12	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: After,
13	you would have been interested to get a report-back from Mr	13	Chairperson, as I said. It was before the JOCCOM meeting,
14	Mathunjwa about what had happened on the koppie. Isn't	14	sorry, Chairperson.
15	that right?	15	COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: Sorry, General, did
16	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,	16	you say that she went to a torch – did you say that to
17	correct.	17	Mathunjwa?
18	MS BARNES: So would you have asked Mr	18	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I
19	Mathunjwa what had happened at the koppie and what his	19	didn't say so, the answer is no to the question of
20	report-back was? Did you ask him that?	20	Commissioner Tokota and the conversation with Mr Mathunjwa,
21	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, Mr	21	as I said before, did take place before the JOCCOM meeting,
22	Mathunjwa wanted to see the Provincial Commissioner and	22	but after he came from the koppie.
23	then I did ask him, but he wanted to see the Provincial	23	MS BARNES: Okay, so this conversation
24	Commissioner.	24	here and I understand that it's disputed, I understand that
25	CHAIRPERSON: I think the key point is at	25	you say one thing about where the Provincial Commissioner
1	Page 16096 what time this discussion took place, whether it was before	1	Page 16098 was and Mr Mathuniwa says another thing and we're going to
1	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before	1	was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to
2	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he	2	was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78
2 3	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or	2 3	was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right?
2 3 4	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that	2 3 4	was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.
2 3 4 5	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say	2 3 4 5	was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure
2 3 4 5 6	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive	2 3 4 5 6	was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we
2 3 4 5 6 7	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he	2 3 4 5 6 7	was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that answer that this discussion took place after he returned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr Mathunjwa that she'd gone to the Ferncrest Hospital. Is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that answer that this discussion took place after he returned from the koppie and we know from the police occurrence book	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr Mathunjwa that she'd gone to the Ferncrest Hospital. Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that answer that this discussion took place after he returned from the koppie and we know from the police occurrence book roughly what time that was. So it does look as if your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr Mathunjwa that she'd gone to the Ferncrest Hospital. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that answer that this discussion took place after he returned from the koppie and we know from the police occurrence book roughly what time that was. So it does look as if your answer in lines 24 and following is wrong as far as times	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr Mathunjwa that she'd gone to the Ferncrest Hospital. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, that the Provincial Commissioner has gone to Ferncrest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that answer that this discussion took place after he returned from the koppie and we know from the police occurrence book roughly what time that was. So it does look as if your answer in lines 24 and following is wrong as far as times are concerned. I can understand it's difficult to estimate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr Mathunjwa that she'd gone to the Ferncrest Hospital. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, that the Provincial Commissioner has gone to Ferncrest Hospital.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that answer that this discussion took place after he returned from the koppie and we know from the police occurrence book roughly what time that was. So it does look as if your answer in lines 24 and following is wrong as far as times are concerned. I can understand it's difficult to estimate times correctly that's why one has things like occurrence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr Mathunjwa that she'd gone to the Ferncrest Hospital. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, that the Provincial Commissioner has gone to Ferncrest Hospital.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that answer that this discussion took place after he returned from the koppie and we know from the police occurrence book roughly what time that was. So it does look as if your answer in lines 24 and following is wrong as far as times are concerned. I can understand it's difficult to estimate times correctly that's why one has things like occurrence books to check the accuracy or estimations of time. But it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr Mathunjwa that she'd gone to the Ferncrest Hospital. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, that the Provincial Commissioner has gone to Ferncrest Hospital. MS BARNES: And we know that when Mr Mathunjwa testified in this Commission which was I think in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that answer that this discussion took place after he returned from the koppie and we know from the police occurrence book roughly what time that was. So it does look as if your answer in lines 24 and following is wrong as far as times are concerned. I can understand it's difficult to estimate times correctly that's why one has things like occurrence books to check the accuracy or estimations of time. But it looks as if your answers in lines 24 and following about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr Mathunjwa that she'd gone to the Ferncrest Hospital. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, that the Provincial Commissioner has gone to Ferncrest Hospital. MS BARNES: And we know that when Mr Mathunjwa testified in this Commission which was I think in late November or early December last year, it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that answer that this discussion took place after he returned from the koppie and we know from the police occurrence book roughly what time that was. So it does look as if your answer in lines 24 and following is wrong as far as times are concerned. I can understand it's difficult to estimate times correctly that's why one has things like occurrence books to check the accuracy or estimations of time. But it looks as if your answers in lines 24 and following about the time isn't right, but it does seem that this discussion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr Mathunjwa that she'd gone to the Ferncrest Hospital. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, that the Provincial Commissioner has gone to Ferncrest Hospital. MS BARNES: And we know that when Mr Mathunjwa testified in this Commission which was I think in late November or early December last year, it was specifically put to him by your counsel that this was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that answer that this discussion took place after he returned from the koppie and we know from the police occurrence book roughly what time that was. So it does look as if your answer in lines 24 and following is wrong as far as times are concerned. I can understand it's difficult to estimate times correctly that's why one has things like occurrence books to check the accuracy or estimations of time. But it looks as if your answers in lines 24 and following about the time isn't right, but it does seem that this discussion took place after Mr Mathunjwa returned from the koppie.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr Mathunjwa that she'd gone to the Ferncrest Hospital. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, that the Provincial Commissioner has gone to Ferncrest Hospital. MS BARNES: And we know that when Mr Mathunjwa testified in this Commission which was I think in late November or early December last year, it was specifically put to him by your counsel that the Provincial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that answer that this discussion took place after he returned from the koppie and we know from the police occurrence book roughly what time that was. So it does look as if your answer in lines 24 and following is wrong as far as times are concerned. I can understand it's difficult to estimate times correctly that's why one has things like occurrence books to check the accuracy or estimations of time. But it looks as if your answers in lines 24 and following about the time isn't right, but it does seem that this discussion took place after Mr Mathunjwa returned from the koppie. That seems right, am I correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr Mathunjwa that she'd gone to the Ferncrest Hospital. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, that the Provincial Commissioner has gone to Ferncrest Hospital. MS BARNES: And we know that when Mr Mathunjwa testified in this Commission which was I think in late November or early December last year, it was specifically put to him by your counsel that the Provincial Commissioner had gone off to a function, that you'd in fact
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that answer that this discussion took place after he returned from the koppie and we know from the police occurrence book roughly what time that was. So it does look as if your answer in lines 24 and following is wrong as far as times are concerned. I can understand it's difficult to estimate times correctly that's why one has things like occurrence books to check the accuracy or estimations of time. But it looks as if your answers in lines 24 and following about the time isn't right, but it does seem that this discussion took place after Mr Mathunjwa returned from the koppie. That seems right, am I correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr Mathunjwa that she'd gone to the Ferncrest Hospital. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, that the Provincial Commissioner has gone to Ferncrest Hospital. MS BARNES: And we know that when Mr Mathunjwa testified in this Commission which was I think in late November or early December last year, it was specifically put to him by your counsel that this was not correct. That you'd not told him that the Provincial Commissioner had gone off to a function, that you'd in fact said that she'd gone to hospital to visit a colleague.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what time this discussion took place, whether it was be ore or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that answer that this discussion took place after he returned from the koppie and we know from the police occurrence book roughly what time that was. So it does look as if your answer in lines 24 and following is wrong as far as times are concerned. I can understand it's difficult to estimate times correctly that's why one has things like occurrence books to check the accuracy or estimations of time. But it looks as if your answers in lines 24 and following about the time isn't right, but it does seem that this discussion took place after Mr Mathunjwa returned from the koppie. That seems right, am I correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Okay, if we go on now,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr Mathunjwa that she'd gone to the Ferncrest Hospital. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, that the Provincial Commissioner has gone to Ferncrest Hospital. MS BARNES: And we know that when Mr Mathunjwa testified in this Commission which was I think in late November or early December last year, it was specifically put to him by your counsel that this was not correct. That you'd not told him that the Provincial Commissioner had gone off to a function, that you'd in fact said that she'd gone to hospital to visit a colleague. That was put to Mr Mathunjwa in his testimony by your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	what time this discussion took place, whether it was before or after he'd been to the koppie. In other words, had he come back from the koppie wishing to report something, or had he not yet gone to the koppie? Now the answer to that appears to be in lines 15 and following from what you say on page 11420, where you say, "Chairperson, we did receive the report that Mr Mathunjwa has gone to the koppie and he did address the protesters. [10:13] And Mr Mathunjwa did come back to the JOC and when he arrived at the JOC then he requested to see the Provincial Commissioner. So it does appear from that answer that this discussion took place after he returned from the koppie and we know from the police occurrence book roughly what time that was. So it does look as if your answer in lines 24 and following is wrong as far as times are concerned. I can understand it's difficult to estimate times correctly that's why one has things like occurrence books to check the accuracy or estimations of time. But it looks as if your answers in lines 24 and following about the time isn't right, but it does seem that this discussion took place after Mr Mathunjwa returned from the koppie. That seems right, am I correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 was and Mr Mathunjwa says another thing and we're going to get to that in a moment, but this conversation in page 78 happened before the JOCCOM meeting started. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I'm just trying to make sure that I understand your evidence, okay thank you. Now we see what Mr Mathunjwa says here in this paragraph, he says that he was told that by yourself that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch bearing ceremony with the Premier of the North-West. Now you say that that's not correct, is that right? You say no, in fact you told Mr Mathunjwa that she'd gone to the Ferncrest Hospital. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, that the Provincial Commissioner has gone to Ferncrest Hospital. MS BARNES: And we know that when Mr Mathunjwa testified in this Commission which was I think in late November or early December last year, it was specifically put to him by your counsel that this was not correct. That you'd not told him that the Provincial Commissioner had gone off to a function, that you'd in fact said that she'd gone to hospital to visit a colleague.

1	Page 16099 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I follow what the	1	Page 16101 version as we'll see and it in fact only deals with the
2	Advocate says.	2	events of Thursday the 16th. Do you have that document in
3	MS BARNES: So presumably before Mr	3	front of you?
4	Mathunjwa testified last year you would have consulted with	4	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.
5	your legal representatives, you would have gone through Mr	5	MS BARNES: If you go to page 3 of the
6	Mathunjwa's statement and things that he said, particularly	6	document you'll the second entry at the top, it's at the
7	about you, that were incorrect, you would have drawn your	7	time of 10:24. You'll see that it's marked in red, I'm not
8	attorney's and perhaps your counsel's attention to. Is	8	sure how it came to be marked in red, but in any event it
9	that right, is that what happened?	9	states as follows, "Arrival of MEC Baloyi, Premier and
10	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I don't understand	10	ministers at premises at JOC for meeting." Do you see
11	the question, Chairperson.	11	that?
12	MS BARNES: The question is before Mr	12	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
13	Mathunjwa testified last year, before he gave his evidence	13	Chairperson, I see it.
14	in this Commission you would presumably have had a meeting	14	MS BARNES: It seems that premier there
15	with your lawyers and to go through Mr Mathunjwa's	15	is actually – should be – is actually referenced to
16	statement so that you could point out to your lawyers	16	Premier, the Premier of the province. Were you aware,
17	anything in his statement that was incorrect?	17	General, that on the morning of the 16th the Premier of the
18	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson,	18	North-West, MEC Baloyi and ministers had arrived at the
19 20	the answer is no in the sense that by the time when I get	19	JOC for a meeting?
20	consulted with my legal representatives it was long after	20	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I
21	Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence.	21	first see it here in the sentence.
22	MS BARNES: But you would have told your	22	CHAIRPERSON: The police counsel can tell
23 24	lawyers at some stage that Mr Mathunjwa's statement that you told him the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC	23 24	us what the significance of the fact that this entry – what the significance is of the fact that this entry is in
24 25	torch bearing event was wrong. You would have given your	24 25	red type. I think I know the answer, but I may be wrong.
23	toren bearing event was wrong. Too would have given you	23	Teu type. T think I know the answer, but I may be wrong.
	Page 16100		Page 16102
1	attorneys that information, correct?	1	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us?
1 2	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say	1 2	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also
	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa		But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so –
2 3 4	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission.	2	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the
2 3 4 5	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now,	2 3 4 5	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were
2 3 4 5 6	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit –	2 3 4 5 6	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red
2 3 4 5 6 7	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put	2 3 4 5 6 7	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm instructed that these other entries that were made by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're going to do it at some stage are you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm instructed that these other entries that were made by Brigadier Pretorius after the event of the 16th and I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're going to do it at some stage are you? MS BARNES: Yes, I will revisit this to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm instructed that these other entries that were made by Brigadier Pretorius after the event of the 16th and I think she'll – and this entry is wrong because the evidence will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're going to do it at some stage are you? MS BARNES: Yes, I will revisit this to some extent, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm instructed that these other entries that were made by Brigadier Pretorius after the event of the 16th and I think she'll – and this entry is wrong because the evidence will be that the Premier and the MEC arrived at Marikana after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're going to do it at some stage are you? MS BARNES: Yes, I will revisit this to some extent, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The document you want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm instructed that these other entries that were made by Brigadier Pretorius after the event of the 16th and I think she'll – and this entry is wrong because the evidence will be that the Premier and the MEC arrived at Marikana after the 16th, not on the 16th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're going to do it at some stage are you? MS BARNES: Yes, I will revisit this to some extent, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The document you want to put to him is this one of the new documents? Must I give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm instructed that these other entries that were made by Brigadier Pretorius after the event of the 16th and I think she'll – and this entry is wrong because the evidence will be that the Premier and the MEC arrived at Marikana after the 16th, not on the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're going to do it at some stage are you? MS BARNES: Yes, I will revisit this to some extent, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The document you want to put to him is this one of the new documents? Must I give it an exhibit number?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us?MS BARNES:Chair, perhaps I should alsoindicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so –CHAIRPERSON:No, no, no, that's thepoint.It's an addition, but I seem to remember we weregiven an explanation at some point about what these redentries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police cantell us.If he can't, he can take instruction.MR MATHIBEDI SC:Mr Chairman, I'minstructed that these other entries that were made byBrigadier Pretorius after the event of the 16th and I thinkshe'll – and this entry is wrong because the evidence willbe that the Premier and the MEC arrived at Marikana afterthe 16th, not on the 16th.CHAIRPERSON:Thank you.MS BARNES:If I could at this stage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're going to do it at some stage are you? MS BARNES: Yes, I will revisit this to some extent, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The document you want to put to him is this one of the new documents? Must I give it an exhibit number? MS BARNES: No, it's not. It's in fact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm instructed that these other entries that were made by Brigadier Pretorius after the event of the 16th and I think she'll – and this entry is wrong because the evidence will be that the Premier and the MEC arrived at Marikana after the 16th, not on the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS BARNES: If I could at this stage introduce an article, this would be a new exhibit. It's an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're going to do it at some stage are you? MS BARNES: Yes, I will revisit this to some extent, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The document you want to put to him is this one of the new documents? Must I give it an exhibit number? MS BARNES: No, it's not. It's in fact JJJ128.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm instructed that these other entries that were made by Brigadier Pretorius after the event of the 16th and I think she'll – and this entry is wrong because the evidence will be that the Premier and the MEC arrived at Marikana after the 16th, not on the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS BARNES: If I could at this stage introduce an article, this would be a new exhibit. It's an article that comes out of the Taung Daily News, I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're going to do it at some stage are you? MS BARNES: Yes, I will revisit this to some extent, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The document you want to put to him is this one of the new documents? Must I give it an exhibit number? MS BARNES: No, it's not. It's in fact JJJ128. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Ms Barnes, what's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm instructed that these other entries that were made by Brigadier Pretorius after the event of the 16th and I think she'll – and this entry is wrong because the evidence will be that the Premier and the MEC arrived at Marikana after the 16th, not on the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS BARNES: If I could at this stage introduce an article, this would be a new exhibit. It's an article that comes out of the Taung Daily News, I'm not quite sure what the exhibit would be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're going to do it at some stage are you? MS BARNES: Yes, I will revisit this to some extent, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The document you want to put to him is this one of the new documents? Must I give it an exhibit number? MS BARNES: No, it's not. It's in fact JJJ128. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Ms Barnes, what's JJJ128?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm instructed that these other entries that were made by Brigadier Pretorius after the event of the 16th and I think she'll – and this entry is wrong because the evidence will be that the Premier and the MEC arrived at Marikana after the 16th, not on the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS BARNES: If I could at this stage introduce an article, this would be a new exhibit. It's an article that comes out of the Taung Daily News, I'm not quite sure what the exhibit would be. CHAIRPERSON: Well let's ask Ms Pillay,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're going to do it at some stage are you? MS BARNES: Yes, I will revisit this to some extent, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The document you want to put to him is this one of the new documents? Must I give it an exhibit number? MS BARNES: No, it's not. It's in fact JJJ128. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Ms Barnes, what's JJJ128 is another, apparently	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm instructed that these other entries that were made by Brigadier Pretorius after the event of the 16th and I think she'll – and this entry is wrong because the evidence will be that the Premier and the MEC arrived at Marikana after the 16th, not on the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS BARNES: If I could at this stage introduce an article, this would be a new exhibit. It's an article that comes out of the Taung Daily News, I'm not quite sure what the exhibit would be. CHAIRPERSON: Well let's ask Ms Pillay, she always comes to our assistance. Would this be HHH56?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're going to do it at some stage are you? MS BARNES: Yes, I will revisit this to some extent, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The document you want to put to him is this one of the new documents? Must I give it an exhibit number? MS BARNES: No, it's not. It's in fact JJJ128. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Ms Barnes, what's JJJ128 is another, apparently another version of the SAPS occurrence book, it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm instructed that these other entries that were made by Brigadier Pretorius after the event of the 16th and I think she'll – and this entry is wrong because the evidence will be that the Premier and the MEC arrived at Marikana after the 16th, not on the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS BARNES: If I could at this stage introduce an article, this would be a new exhibit. It's an article that comes out of the Taung Daily News, I'm not quite sure what the exhibit would be. CHAIRPERSON: Well let's ask Ms Pillay, she always comes to our assistance. Would this be HHH56? MS PILLAY: That's correct, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're going to do it at some stage are you? MS BARNES: Yes, I will revisit this to some extent, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The document you want to put to him is this one of the new documents? Must I give it an exhibit number? MS BARNES: No, it's not. It's in fact JJJ128. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Ms Barnes, what's JJJ128? MS BARNES: JJJ128 is another, apparently another version of the SAPS occurrence book, it was obtained off Colonel Scott's hard drive, but it relates –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm instructed that these other entries that were made by Brigadier Pretorius after the event of the 16th and I think she'll – and this entry is wrong because the evidence will be that the Premier and the MEC arrived at Marikana after the 16th, not on the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS BARNES: If I could at this stage introduce an article, this would be a new exhibit. It's an article that comes out of the Taung Daily News, I'm not quite sure what the exhibit would be. CHAIRPERSON: Well let's ask Ms Pillay, she always comes to our assistance. Would this be HHH56? MS PILLAY: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's an article from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	attorneys that information, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say I was consulted with the legal team long after Mr Mathunjwa has given evidence to the Commission. MS BARNES: I'd like to take you now, General, to another exhibit – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to put to him at some stage what would happen during the cross- examination of Mr Mathunjwa by the police council on this point? You don't have to do it now, but I take you're going to do it at some stage are you? MS BARNES: Yes, I will revisit this to some extent, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The document you want to put to him is this one of the new documents? Must I give it an exhibit number? MS BARNES: No, it's not. It's in fact JJJ128. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Ms Barnes, what's JJJ128 is another, apparently another version of the SAPS occurrence book, it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But can counsel for the police perhaps tell us? MS BARNES: Chair, perhaps I should also indicate that this entry does not appear in FFF25, so – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that's the point. It's an addition, but I seem to remember we were given an explanation at some point about what these red entries are about, but perhaps counsel for the police can tell us. If he can't, he can take instruction. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Mr Chairman, I'm instructed that these other entries that were made by Brigadier Pretorius after the event of the 16th and I think she'll – and this entry is wrong because the evidence will be that the Premier and the MEC arrived at Marikana after the 16th, not on the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS BARNES: If I could at this stage introduce an article, this would be a new exhibit. It's an article that comes out of the Taung Daily News, I'm not quite sure what the exhibit would be. CHAIRPERSON: Well let's ask Ms Pillay, she always comes to our assistance. Would this be HHH56? MS PILLAY: That's correct, Chair.

	D		D
1	Page 16103 see. Is that correct, Ms Barnes?	1	Page 16105 MS BARNES: Chair, we don't know much
2	MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. So	2	more than what is contained in this article at this stage.
3	General, you'll see that this is entitled Kgosi Maubane	3	It's certainly the way we read the article there was an
4	Elected As New Chairperson For Traditional Healers and -	4	event to commemorate this election held on Thursday the 16th
5	MR MATHIBEDI SC: Traditional Leaders	5	in Pretoria and there's a reference to the Premier having
6	actually. It's Traditional Leaders, not Healers.	6	congratulated the incumbent.
7	MS BARNES: I beg your pardon.	7	CHAIRPERSON: The way I read it the
8	CHAIRPERSON: Did you say healers? I	8	election took place on Thursday. The members of the House
9	thought you said leaders.	9	were inaugurated on the Thursday which was either the 16th
10	MS BARNES: I thought I said leaders.	10	or the 9th and once they'd been inaugurated they then had to
11	CHAIRPERSON: It wasn't too clearly	11	elect a chairperson and deputy chairperson. The election
12	actually. Anyway now it is clear. So it's a very	12	took place after Judge Mabusa had sworn the members in.
13	important position that the chairperson was elected to,	13	That's the inauguration of the members and Kgosi Maubane
14	that the chief was elected to but the new chairperson of	14	was elected. The report also seems to be wrong, he seems
15	the National House of Traditional Leaders for the next four	15	to have got less votes, fewer votes rather than the person
16	years from August 2012.	16	who wasn't elected, which indicates how reliable the report
17	MS BARNES: And this article, General,	17	is. But anyway I understand the point you're trying to
18	describes an event that took place in Pretoria on the 16th	18	make is that you say this report indicates that the Premier
19	of August in order to commemorate or celebrate this	19	wasn't there, she was in Pretoria. I'm putting it to you
20	election and the Premier of the North-West was present at	20	the report doesn't seem to say that and there are a whole
21	that event and spoke and congratulated the new incumbent.	21	lot of problems about which Thursday it is, but maybe you
22	That's the essence of the article. I presume you have had	22	must do some further investigation before you return to the
23	an opportunity to read it.	23	charge on this particular point.
24	CHAIRPERSON: Where do you see that in	24	MS BARNES: So we won't take this any
25	the article, I'm not sure that the article says that the	25	further at this stage.
	Dago 14104		Dago 14104
1	Page 16104 Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but	1	Page 16106 CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does
1	Page 16104 Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on	1 2	-
	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but		CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does
2	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on	2	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non-
2 3	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says	2 3	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just
2 3 4	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that
2 3 4 5	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is
2 3 4 5 6	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway
2 3 4 5 6 7	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him. Am I right, or am I wrong?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him. Am I right, or am I wrong? MS BARNES: Well, Chair, there's a picture of the Premier on the article. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand, but that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Did she come on the 17th? The Premier and the ministers and the MEC did they come on the 17th, the following day or don't you know that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him. Am I right, or am I wrong? MS BARNES: Well, Chair, there's a picture of the Premier on the article. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand, but that may be a studio picture that was on file in the newspaper.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Did she come on the 17th? The Premier and the ministers and the MEC did they come on the 17th, the following day or don't you know that? MR MATHIBEDI SC: I don't know. We'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him. Am I right, or am I wrong? MS BARNES: Well, Chair, there's a picture of the Premier on the article. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand, but that may be a studio picture that was on file in the newspaper. If the newspaper report says in turn she was there in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Did she come on the 17th? The Premier and the ministers and the MEC did they come on the 17th, the following day or don't you know that? MR MATHIBEDI SC: I don't know. We'll take instruction –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him. Am I right, or am I wrong? MS BARNES: Well, Chair, there's a picture of the Premier on the article. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand, but that may be a studio picture that was on file in the newspaper. If the newspaper report says in turn she was there in Pretoria and shook him by the hand and congratulated him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Did she come on the 17th? The Premier and the ministers and the MEC did they come on the 17th, the following day or don't you know that? MR MATHIBEDI SC: I don't know. We'll take instruction – CHAIRPERSON: Well we'll find out. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him. Am I right, or am I wrong? MS BARNES: Well, Chair, there's a picture of the Premier on the article. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand, but that may be a studio picture that was on file in the newspaper. If the newspaper report says in turn she was there in Pretoria and shook him by the hand and congratulated him then and there then it's fine. But if it simply says she	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Did she come on the 17th? The Premier and the ministers and the MEC did they come on the 17th, the following day or don't you know that? MR MATHIBEDI SC: I don't know. We'll take instruction – CHAIRPERSON: Well we'll find out. If it's relevant we'll find out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him. Am I right, or am I wrong? MS BARNES: Well, Chair, there's a picture of the Premier on the article. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand, but that may be a studio picture that was on file in the newspaper. If the newspaper report says in turn she was there in Pretoria and shook him by the hand and congratulated him then and there then it's fine. But if it simply says she congratulated him – but what puzzles me about it is it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Did she come on the 17th? The Premier and the ministers and the MEC did they come on the 17th, the following day or don't you know that? MR MATHIBEDI SC: I don't know. We'll take instruction – CHAIRPERSON: Well we'll find out. If it's relevant we'll find out. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him. Am I right, or am I wrong? MS BARNES: Well, Chair, there's a picture of the Premier on the article. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand, but that may be a studio picture that was on file in the newspaper. If the newspaper report says in turn she was there in Pretoria and shook him by the hand and congratulated him then and there then it's fine. But if it simply says she congratulated him – but what puzzles me about it is it's dated the 16th of August, so it's the Taung Daily News of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Did she come on the 17th? The Premier and the ministers and the MEC did they come on the 17th, the following day or don't you know that? MR MATHIBEDI SC: I don't know. We'll take instruction – CHAIRPERSON: Well we'll find out. If it's relevant we'll find out. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: But anyway this point is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him. Am I right, or am I wrong? MS BARNES: Well, Chair, there's a picture of the Premier on the article. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand, but that may be a studio picture that was on file in the newspaper. If the newspaper report says in turn she was there in Pretoria and shook him by the hand and congratulated him then and there then it's fine. But if it simply says she congratulated him – but what puzzles me about it is it's dated the 16th of August, so it's the Taung Daily News of the 16th. Now we know the 16th was a Thursday and it says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Did she come on the 17th? The Premier and the ministers and the MEC did they come on the 17th, the following day or don't you know that? MR MATHIBEDI SC: I don't know. We'll take instruction – CHAIRPERSON: Well we'll find out. If it's relevant we'll find out. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: But anyway this point is a non-event, perhaps you shout move onto the next one which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him. Am I right, or am I wrong? MS BARNES: Well, Chair, there's a picture of the Premier on the article. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand, but that may be a studio picture that was on file in the newspaper. If the newspaper report says in turn she was there in Pretoria and shook him by the hand and congratulated him then and there then it's fine. But if it simply says she congratulated him – but what puzzles me about it is it's dated the 16th of August, so it's the Taung Daily News of the 16th. Now we know the 16th was a Thursday and it says that he was elected during an inauguration of the House in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Did she come on the 17th? The Premier and the ministers and the MEC did they come on the 17th, the following day or don't you know that? MR MATHIBEDI SC: I don't know. We'll take instruction – CHAIRPERSON: Well we'll find out. If it's relevant we'll find out. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: But anyway this point is a non-event, perhaps you should move onto the next one which hopefully will be an event.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him. Am I right, or am I wrong? MS BARNES: Well, Chair, there's a picture of the Premier on the article. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand, but that may be a studio picture that was on file in the newspaper. If the newspaper report says in turn she was there in Pretoria and shook him by the hand and congratulated him then and there then it's fine. But if it simply says she congratulated him – but what puzzles me about it is it's dated the 16th of August, so it's the Taung Daily News of the 16th. Now we know the 16th was a Thursday and it says that he was elected during an inauguration of the House in Pretoria on Thursday. Now either that would be the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Did she come on the 17th? The Premier and the ministers and the MEC did they come on the 17th, the following day or don't you know that? MR MATHIBEDI SC: I don't know. We'll take instruction – CHAIRPERSON: Well we'll find out. If it's relevant we'll find out. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: But anyway this point is a non-event, perhaps you should move onto the next one which hopefully will be an event. MS BARNES: Chair, just for the record,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him. Am I right, or am I wrong? MS BARNES: Well, Chair, there's a picture of the Premier on the article. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand, but that may be a studio picture that was on file in the newspaper. If the newspaper report says in turn she was there in Pretoria and shook him by the hand and congratulated him then and there then it's fine. But if it simply says she congratulated him – but what puzzles me about it is it's dated the 16th of August, so it's the Taung Daily News of the 16th. Now we know the 16th was a Thursday and it says that he was elected during an inauguration of the House in Pretoria on Thursday. Now either that would be the previous Thursday if the newspaper appears in the morning,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Did she come on the 17th? The Premier and the ministers and the MEC did they come on the 17th, the following day or don't you know that? MR MATHIBEDI SC: I don't know. We'll take instruction – CHAIRPERSON: Well we'll find out. If it's relevant we'll find out. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: But anyway this point is a non-event, perhaps you should move onto the next one which hopefully will be an event. MS BARNES: Chair, just for the record, we have requested diaries and chopper logbooks and so on so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him. Am I right, or am I wrong? MS BARNES: Well, Chair, there's a picture of the Premier on the article. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand, but that may be a studio picture that was on file in the newspaper. If the newspaper report says in turn she was there in Pretoria and shook him by the hand and congratulated him then and there then it's fine. But if it simply says she congratulated him – but what puzzles me about it is it's dated the 16th of August, so it's the Taung Daily News of the 16th. Now we know the 16th was a Thursday and it says that he was elected during an inauguration of the House in Pretoria on Thursday. Now either that would be the previous Thursday if the newspaper appears in the morning, or possibly the morning of the 16th if the newspaper appears	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Did she come on the 17th? The Premier and the ministers and the MEC did they come on the 17th, the following day or don't you know that? MR MATHIBEDI SC: I don't know. We'll take instruction – CHAIRPERSON: Well we'll find out. If it's relevant we'll find out. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: But anyway this point is a non-event, perhaps you should move onto the next one which hopefully will be an event. MS BARNES: Chair, just for the record, we have requested diaries and chopper logbooks and so on so that we can attempt to get to the bottom of the movements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Premier was present in Pretoria. I may have misread it but certainly the election took place it would seem on presumably the 15th of August in Pretoria. It actually says on Thursday, so it must have been the 16th then. Or unless it was the previous Thursday. Anyway there's an election in Pretoria and this report says that the Premier of the North-West Province congratulated Kgosi Phopolo Pontsho Maubane on his election. Unless I misread it, it doesn't say that she was in Pretoria when she congratulated him. Am I right, or am I wrong? MS BARNES: Well, Chair, there's a picture of the Premier on the article. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand, but that may be a studio picture that was on file in the newspaper. If the newspaper report says in turn she was there in Pretoria and shook him by the hand and congratulated him then and there then it's fine. But if it simply says she congratulated him – but what puzzles me about it is it's dated the 16th of August, so it's the Taung Daily News of the 16th. Now we know the 16th was a Thursday and it says that he was elected during an inauguration of the House in Pretoria on Thursday. Now either that would be the previous Thursday if the newspaper appears in the morning,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: - think you can. It does sound as if this cross-examination point is a bit of a non- event for two reasons. Firstly the one that we've just covered and secondly counsel for the police says that that entry which Brigadier Pretorius made subsequently, which is reflected in the red ink entry, is in fact wrong anyway because the Premier wasn't there on the Thursday morning. Is that correct, was it the following day the 17th? MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Did she come on the 17th? The Premier and the ministers and the MEC did they come on the 17th, the following day or don't you know that? MR MATHIBEDI SC: I don't know. We'll take instruction – CHAIRPERSON: Well we'll find out. If it's relevant we'll find out. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: But anyway this point is a non-event, perhaps you should move onto the next one which hopefully will be an event. MS BARNES: Chair, just for the record, we have requested diaries and chopper logbooks and so on so

Pretoria

1	Page 16107	1	Page 16109
1	CHAIRPERSON: If we hear no more of the	1	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, correct,
2	point from you we will assume that the point is a non-	2	Chairperson. In addition on this article I see the badge
3	event.	3	of the South African Police Service. I don't know why is
4	MS BARNES: General, if we can move now	4	it here and I'm really shocked about this badge here which
5	to the first statement that you made, are you wanting to	5	has nothing to do with – so maybe that's why it's being
6	say something, General?	6	used as an exhibit.
7	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.	7	CHAIRPERSON: I think you've misread it.
8	Chairperson, Mr Mathunjwa's statement on paragraph 78 he	8	That's the second report, the second report talks about the
9	speaks about –	9	suspension of the North-West Transport Investment Board and
10	CHAIRPERSON: Paragraph?	10	its subsidiary companies. Suspension took place,
11	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: 78.	11	suspension was effected by the Executive Council of the
12	CHAIRPERSON: 78, thank you.	12	North-West Province and that's the next story on the
13	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: - he speaks about	13	website of Taung Daily News for the 16th of August. It's
14	the torch bearing and the torch bearing and the	14	not relevant at all.
15	inauguration of the House are two different ceremonies.	15	[10:33] What we have to look at stops above that green
16	The torch bearing in North-West was attended by the	16	about Bombela chiefs, Bobby Motaung arrested, SARS
17	President and he addressed in Mafikeng. I'm not sure,	17	corruption, Jimmy Mohala, ANC, that's where that, the next
18	Chairperson, about the time. I'm just assisting that we	18	report starts, has got nothing to do with us at all. So
19	should –	19	don't worry about the SAPS badge, it's not relevant for our
20	CHAIRPERSON: We know the President on	20	purposes.
21	that day was in Mozambique I think. We also know that, if	21	MS BARNES: General, if we can look now
22	we could take judicial notice of it that the ANC torch, the	22	at your statement, the first statement that you've made in
23	centenary torch to celebrate the centenary of the ANC	23	this Commission, it's exhibit GGG12, if you –
24	travelled all over the country.	24	CHAIRPERSON: This is his first
25	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.	25	statement, I think this is his second statement, his first
	Page 16108		Page 16110
1	CHAIRPERSON: And it spent some time in	1	statement was a handwritten one. Unless this is a typed
2	each province.	2	copy of it.
3	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.	3	MS BARNES: That may be so, Chair,
4	CHAIRPERSON: And it spent some time in	4	
E		-	there's the supplementary statement which followed this.
5	the North-West Province.	5	There may have been –
6	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	5 6	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway –
	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson.	5	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one.
6	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And as far as I could see	5 6 7 8	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial –
6 7 8 9	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And as far as I could see from the little research I did which I didn't bother about	5 6 7 8 9	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify
6 7 8 9 10	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And as far as I could see from the little research I did which I didn't bother about because for the reasons will become apparent in a moment,	5 6 7 8 9 10	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made.
6 7 8 9 10 11	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And as far as I could see from the little research I did which I didn't bother about because for the reasons will become apparent in a moment, it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And as far as I could see from the little research I did which I didn't bother about because for the reasons will become apparent in a moment, it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's correct,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an exhibit number, it's GGG12.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And as far as I could see from the little research I did which I didn't bother about because for the reasons will become apparent in a moment, it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's correct, Chairperson.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an exhibit number, it's GGG12. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I've got it,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.And as far as I could seeCHAIRPERSON:And as far as I could seefrom the little research I did which I didn't bother aboutbecause for the reasons will become apparent in a moment,it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:That's correct,Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:In fact on the 16th it went	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an exhibit number, it's GGG12. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I've got it, Chairperson.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.And as far as I could seeCHAIRPERSON:And as far as I could seefrom the little research I did which I didn't bother aboutbecause for the reasons will become apparent in a moment,it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:That's correct,Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:In fact on the 16th it wentover the border I think, to the Norther Cape but certainly	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an exhibit number, it's GGG12. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I've got it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: On page 15, at paragraph 31,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.And as far as I could seeCHAIRPERSON:And as far as I could seefrom the little research I did which I didn't bother aboutbecause for the reasons will become apparent in a moment,it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:That's correct,Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:In fact on the 16th it wentover the border I think, to the Northern Cape but certainlyit wasn't in Rustenburg.So that does seem to be what is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an exhibit number, it's GGG12. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I've got it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: On page 15, at paragraph 31, you says, "At about half past eight on the same day," and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.And as far as I could seeCHAIRPERSON:And as far as I could seefrom the little research I did which I didn't bother aboutbecause for the reasons will become apparent in a moment,it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:That's correct,Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:In fact on the 16th it wentover the border I think, to the Northern Cape but certainlyit wasn't in Rustenburg.So that does seem to be what isknown in Afrikaans as a dwaalspoor at this particular point	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an exhibit number, it's GGG12. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I've got it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: On page 15, at paragraph 31, you says, "At about half past eight on the same day," and if you just -
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.And as far as I could seeCHAIRPERSON:And as far as I could seefrom the little research I did which I didn't bother aboutbecause for the reasons will become apparent in a moment,it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:That's correct,Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:In fact on the 16th it wentover the border I think, to the Northern Cape but certainlyit wasn't in Rustenburg.So that does seem to be what is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an exhibit number, it's GGG12. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I've got it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: On page 15, at paragraph 31, you says, "At about half past eight on the same day," and if you just - CHAIRPERSON: Please wait for us to get -
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.And as far as I could seeCHAIRPERSON:And as far as I could seefrom the little research I did which I didn't bother aboutbecause for the reasons will become apparent in a moment,it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:That's correct,Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:In fact on the 16th it wentover the border I think, to the Northern Cape but certainlyit wasn't in Rustenburg.So that does seem to be what isknown in Afrikaans as a dwaalspoor at this particular point	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an exhibit number, it's GGG12. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I've got it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: On page 15, at paragraph 31, you says, "At about half past eight on the same day," and if you just -
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.And as far as I could seefrom the little research I did which I didn't bother aboutbecause for the reasons will become apparent in a moment,it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:That's correct,Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:In fact on the 16th it wentover the border I think, to the Northern Cape but certainlyit wasn't in Rustenburg.So that does seem to be what isknown in Afrikaans as a dwaalspoor at this particular pointabout the torch.But certainly the President may well have	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an exhibit number, it's GGG12. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I've got it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: On page 15, at paragraph 31, you says, "At about half past eight on the same day," and if you just - CHAIRPERSON: Please wait for us to get -
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.And as far as I could seefrom the little research I did which I didn't bother aboutbecause for the reasons will become apparent in a moment,it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:That's correct,Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:In fact on the 16th it wentover the border I think, to the Northern Cape but certainlyit wasn't in Rustenburg.So that does seem to be what isknown in Afrikaans as a dwaalspoor at this particular pointabout the torch. But certainly the President may well haveattended a function in the North-West Province when the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an exhibit number, it's GGG12. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I've got it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: On page 15, at paragraph 31, you says, "At about half past eight on the same day," and if you just - CHAIRPERSON: Please wait for us to get - MR SNYMAN SC: Oh, I apologise.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:And as far as I could seefrom the little research I did which I didn't bother about because for the reasons will become apparent in a moment, it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:That's correct, That's correct, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:In fact on the 16th it went over the border I think, to the Northern Cape but certainly it wasn't in Rustenburg. So that does seem to be what is known in Afrikaans as a dwaalspoor at this particular point about the torch. But certainly the President may well have attended a function in the North-West Province but it	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an exhibit number, it's GGG12. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I've got it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: On page 15, at paragraph 31, you says, "At about half past eight on the same day," and if you just - CHAIRPERSON: Please wait for us to get - MR SNYMAN SC: Oh, I apologise. CHAIRPERSON: - to the section on the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And as far as I could see from the little research I did which I didn't bother about because for the reasons will become apparent in a moment, it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: In fact on the 16th it went over the border I think, to the Northern Cape but certainly it wasn't in Rustenburg. So that does seem to be what is known in Afrikaans as a dwaalspoor at this particular point about the torch. But certainly the President may well have attended a function in the North-West Province but it obviously couldn't have been the 17th because A, he was in	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an exhibit number, it's GGG12. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I've got it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: On page 15, at paragraph 31, you says, "At about half past eight on the same day," and if you just - CHAIRPERSON: Please wait for us to get - MR SNYMAN SC: Oh, I apologise. CHAIRPERSON: - to the section on the screen, then we can listen to you, Advocate, at the same
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: And as far as I could see from the little research I did which I didn't bother about because for the reasons will become apparent in a moment, it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That's correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: In fact on the 16th it went over the border I think, to the Northern Cape but certainly it wasn't in Rustenburg. So that does seem to be what is known in Afrikaans as a dwaalspoor at this particular point about the torch. But certainly the President may well have attended a function in the North-West Province but it obviously couldn't have been the 17th because A, he was in Mozambique at the time and as far as I could ascertain from my own Google research the torch went to the Northern Cape on that day. So I don't know whether your understanding is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an exhibit number, it's GGG12. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I've got it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: On page 15, at paragraph 31, you says, "At about half past eight on the same day," and if you just - CHAIRPERSON: Please wait for us to get - MR SNYMAN SC: Oh, I apologise. CHAIRPERSON: - to the section on the screen, then we can listen to you, Advocate, at the same time. Now would you now please read it to us?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.And as far as I could seefrom the little research I did which I didn't bother aboutbecause for the reasons will become apparent in a moment,it wasn't in Rustenburg on the 16th.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:That's correct,Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:In fact on the 16th it wentover the border I think, to the Northern Cape but certainlyit wasn't in Rustenburg.So that does seem to be what isknown in Afrikaans as a dwaalspoor at this particular pointabout the torch. But certainly the President may well haveattended a function in the North-West Province but itobviously couldn't have been the 17th because A, he was inMozambique at the time and as far as I could ascertain frommy own Google research the torch went to the Northern Cape	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There may have been – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway – MS BARNES: - an initial handwritten one. This is the first substantial – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the way to identify it is to give the date by which it was made. MS BARNES: Yes, and it does have an exhibit number, it's GGG12. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I've got it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: On page 15, at paragraph 31, you says, "At about half past eight on the same day," and if you just - CHAIRPERSON: Please wait for us to get - MR SNYMAN SC: Oh, I apologise. CHAIRPERSON: - to the section on the screen, then we can listen to you, Advocate, at the same time. Now would you now please read it to us? MS BARNES: Paragraph 31, "At about half

1	Page 16111 and the two Union presidents." If you –	1	Page 16113 It may well have been mentioned again on the Tuesday, but
2	CHAIRPERSON: Relates to Tuesday the 14th	2	the evidence we have is that Lonmin made that point first
3	of August.	3	on the evening of Monday the 13th, and as I say, they have
4	MS BARNES: That's right, I just wanted	4	repeated it again, and then – but in fact my recollection
5	the witness to perhaps just look at the preceding paragraph	5	is, they were then shown photographs the following day, and
6	and just confirm that you are there talking about the 14th	6	they then had to admit that they were wrong, that some of
7	of August. Is that right?	7	the people were people known to them as being their
8	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's paragraph 31,	8	employees. So anyway, I don't know that this is the point
9	Chairperson, I just want to –	9	Ms Barnes if busy with, but it seems to be a point that we
10	MS BARNES: Yes.	10	must get right while we are busy with it.
11	CHAIRPERSON: And the suggestion is if	11	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it
12	you look at paragraph 30, you will see that you are talking	12	was also raised when the National Commissioner was there.
13	in paragraph 31, about what happened on the 14th, on the	13	As I said, it was firstly raised of the meeting with the
14	evening of the 14th, round about 08:30 as I would describe	14	Provincial Commissioner when we arrived at Lonmin, and then
15	it and 20:30 as you would.	15	after the incident, when the National Commissioner arrived,
16	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	16	we briefed him, and we also said that the Lonmin management
17	Chairperson, I see it.	17	said they were faceless. And then we spoke to the Lonmin,
18	MS BARNES: You say that you made the	18	and then, then the National Commissioner said "in the
19	arrangement through mine management, who in particular did	19	meeting that I am going to meet with them I will also raise
20	you put this request to?	20	it with them." And it was on the basis of my request in
21	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Mr Kwadi,	21	particular that now that we have established that they are
22	Chairperson.	22	the AMCU and NUM members, I would like personally to talk
23	MS BARNES: And what precisely did you	23	to the union leaders, so that the problem should be
24	ask Mr Kwadi to do?	24	resolved in a negotiated manner.
25	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, my	25	MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, General. I
	Page 16112		Dama 1/114
1	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll	1	Page 16114 do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise
1 2	-	1 2	5
	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll		do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise
2	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have	2	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the
2 3	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the	2 3 4	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did
2 3 4	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on	2 3 4	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to
2 3 4 5	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now	2 3 4 5	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person
2 3 4 5 6	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we	2 3 4 5 6	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today, at least we got the photos and we also through your help	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members. MS BARNES: And did Mr Kwadi then return
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today, at least we got the photos and we also through your help established that some of these people are from AMCU and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members. MS BARNES: And did Mr Kwadi then return to you and confirm that he had arranged such a meeting?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today, at least we got the photos and we also through your help established that some of these people are from AMCU and some are from NUM." Then I, we have to make sure that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members. MS BARNES: And did Mr Kwadi then return to you and confirm that he had arranged such a meeting? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today, at least we got the photos and we also through your help established that some of these people are from AMCU and some are from NUM." Then I, we have to make sure that we talk to the union leaders and then I requested that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members. MS BARNES: And did Mr Kwadi then return to you and confirm that he had arranged such a meeting? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I was only informed that the meeting is going to take place, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today, at least we got the photos and we also through your help established that some of these people are from AMCU and some are from NUM." Then I, we have to make sure that we talk to the union leaders and then I requested that meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members. MS BARNES: And did Mr Kwadi then return to you and confirm that he had arranged such a meeting? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I was only informed that the meeting is going to take place, and the time, and that was to me an answer of my request.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today, at least we got the photos and we also through your help established that some of these people are from AMCU and some are from NUM." Then I, we have to make sure that we talk to the union leaders and then I requested that meeting. MS BARNES: Okay, I am really just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members. MS BARNES: And did Mr Kwadi then return to you and confirm that he had arranged such a meeting? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I was only informed that the meeting is going to take place, and the time, and that was to me an answer of my request. CHAIRPERSON: When did you get that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today, at least we got the photos and we also through your help established that some of these people are from AMCU and some are from NUM." Then I, we have to make sure that we talk to the union leaders and then I requested that meeting. MS BARNES: Okay, I am really just wanting to get specific details about your request to Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members. MS BARNES: And did Mr Kwadi then return to you and confirm that he had arranged such a meeting? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I was only informed that the meeting is going to take place, and the time, and that was to me an answer of my request. CHAIRPERSON: When did you get that information?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today, at least we got the photos and we also through your help established that some of these people are from AMCU and some are from NUM." Then I, we have to make sure that we talk to the union leaders and then I requested that meeting. MS BARNES: Okay, I am really just wanting to get specific details about your request to Mr Kwadi. Did you speak – did you make the request -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members. MS BARNES: And did Mr Kwadi then return to you and confirm that he had arranged such a meeting? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I was only informed that the meeting is going to take place, and the time, and that was to me an answer of my request. CHAIRPERSON: When did you get that information? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today, at least we got the photos and we also through your help established that some of these people are from AMCU and some are from NUM." Then I, we have to make sure that we talk to the union leaders and then I requested that meeting. MS BARNES: Okay, I am really just wanting to get specific details about your request to Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members. MS BARNES: And did Mr Kwadi then return to you and confirm that he had arranged such a meeting? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I was only informed that the meeting is going to take place, and the time, and that was to me an answer of my request. CHAIRPERSON: When did you get that information? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it was already on the following day, on the 15th to say,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today, at least we got the photos and we also through your help established that some of these people are from AMCU and some are from NUM." Then I, we have to make sure that we talk to the union leaders and then I requested that meeting. MS BARNES: Okay, I am really just wanting to get specific details about your request to Mr Kwadi. Did you speak – did you make the request - CHAIRPERSON: I am sorry to interrupt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members. MS BARNES: And did Mr Kwadi then return to you and confirm that he had arranged such a meeting? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I was only informed that the meeting is going to take place, and the time, and that was to me an answer of my request. CHAIRPERSON: When did you get that information? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today, at least we got the photos and we also through your help established that some of these people are from AMCU and some are from NUM." Then I, we have to make sure that we talk to the union leaders and then I requested that meeting. MS BARNES: Okay, I am really just wanting to get specific details about your request to Mr Kwadi. Did you speak – did you make the request - CHAIRPERSON: I am sorry to interrupt you, my recollection is, the same as my colleagues'	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members. MS BARNES: And did Mr Kwadi then return to you and confirm that he had arranged such a meeting? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I was only informed that the meeting is going to take place, and the time, and that was to me an answer of my request. CHAIRPERSON: When did you get that information? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it was already on the following day, on the 15th to say, General Mpembe, the meeting then is arranged for this time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today, at least we got the photos and we also through your help established that some of these people are from AMCU and some are from NUM." Then I, we have to make sure that we talk to the union leaders and then I requested that meeting. MS BARNES: Okay, I am really just wanting to get specific details about your request to Mr Kwadi. Did you speak – did you make the request - CHAIRPERSON: I am sorry to interrupt you, my recollection is, the same as my colleagues' recollection I think, is that the story about faceless	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members. MS BARNES: And did Mr Kwadi then return to you and confirm that he had arranged such a meeting? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I was only informed that the meeting is going to take place, and the time, and that was to me an answer of my request. CHAIRPERSON: When did you get that information? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it was already on the following day, on the 15th to say, General Mpembe, the meeting then is arranged for this time. That was the time when we were still at the meeting, then I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today, at least we got the photos and we also through your help established that some of these people are from AMCU and some are from NUM." Then I, we have to make sure that we talk to the union leaders and then I requested that meeting. MS BARNES: Okay, I am really just wanting to get specific details about your request to Mr Kwadi. Did you speak – did you make the request - CHAIRPERSON: I am sorry to interrupt you, my recollection is, the same as my colleagues' recollection I think, is that the story about faceless people was first aired, if that is the right word, or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members. MS BARNES: And did Mr Kwadi then return to you and confirm that he had arranged such a meeting? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I was only informed that the meeting is going to take place, and the time, and that was to me an answer of my request. CHAIRPERSON: When did you get that information? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it was already on the following day, on the 15th to say, General Mpembe, the meeting then is arranged for this time. That was the time when we were still at the meeting, then I was informed by Mr Kwadi himself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	answer will be, is still yes, it's Mr Kwadi, and I'll explain even the circumstances under which as I have already testified about it. I did testify to the Commission that when the Provincial Commissioner arrived on the 13th, we had a meeting with the mine management which it was made mention of faceless people. Now following up with that, after the incident of the 13th, I wanted to see now the mine management following up the faceless and then we brought photographs of the mine – of the strikers, and I said "yesterday, you said you don't know the people, today, at least we got the photos and we also through your help established that some of these people are from AMCU and some are from NUM." Then I, we have to make sure that we talk to the union leaders and then I requested that meeting. MS BARNES: Okay, I am really just wanting to get specific details about your request to Mr Kwadi. Did you speak – did you make the request - CHAIRPERSON: I am sorry to interrupt you, my recollection is, the same as my colleagues' recollection I think, is that the story about faceless people was first aired, if that is the right word, or raised by the people from Lonmin on the 13th, the Monday	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	do have understand your motivation for wanting to organise such a meeting, what I am trying to establish is the precise details of how you did that through Mr Kwadi. Did you speak to – did you ask Mr Kwadi over the telephone to arrange this meeting, or did you speak to him in person about it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I remember very well, I did it in person but it was not only Mr Kwadi, but specifically I mentioned Mr Kwadi because he was working with the union members. MS BARNES: And did Mr Kwadi then return to you and confirm that he had arranged such a meeting? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I was only informed that the meeting is going to take place, and the time, and that was to me an answer of my request. CHAIRPERSON: When did you get that information? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it was already on the following day, on the 15th to say, General Mpembe, the meeting then is arranged for this time. That was the time when we were still at the meeting, then I was informed by Mr Kwadi himself. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

	5 4/445		
1	Page 16115 asked him to attend a meeting, did he?	1	Page 16117 programme had even taken place the previous day?
2	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson,	2	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes.
3	and I did not ask, only what I asked him, is about the	3	CHAIRPERSON: You had set in motion the
4	meeting, and if the meeting is arranged, then I thought	4	arrangements - set in motion the process which was supposed
5	that it's him who arranged it.	5	to lead to the meeting. That's your point.
6	CHAIRPERSON: He told you that on the	6	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes. And I need
7	morning, some time on the 15th?	7	also to put it on record that Mr Mathunjwa in his
8	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	8	statement, and it is also recorded on the OO4, his
9	Chairperson.	9	recording, hence the meeting was handed over to me to chair
10	CHAIRPERSON: Well, you see, the evidence	10	the meeting. That was a precise evidence that the meeting
11	is, I don't know if you remember this, the evidence is that	11	was actually arranged by SAPS.
12	on a radio programme The Forum at eight, on SAFM, on the	12	MS BARNES: Well, it's not correct to say
13	morning of the 15th, just after eight o'clock, the people	13	that it was arranged by SAPS, General. What you've told us
14	who took page in the programme were Mr Sekwane of NUM and	14	is that you asked Mr Kwadi to arrange a meeting with the
15	Mr Mathunjwa of AMCU and a representative of Lonmin, under	15	two union presidents, but what Mr Kwadi did after that, you
16	the chairmanship of Mr Malini Gwala who was at that stage	16	have no detailed knowledge of, isn't that correct?
17	the presenter of the SAFM programme and at the end of that	17	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson,
18	programme, we have a transcript of it, at the end of that	18	this is not what I am saying, but what I am saying is 004
19	programme Mr Gwala suggested that the union presidents	19	is very clear and Mr Mathunjwa also in his statement he
20	should go there to Marikana to deal with the problem and	20	said the meeting that was attended it was handed over to
21	address the strikers, and we have read the transcript, and	21	the police leader, that is Major-General Mpembe. So the
22	eventually they both agreed. I think Mathunjwa was happy	22	meeting could not have been handed over to me, if I did not
23	to go immediately, and Mr Thekwana took a time. At the end	23	personally make the arrangements.
24	of the programme they agreed to go. There was a	24	MS BARNES: We accept that you chaired
25	representative of Lonmin also taking part in the programme.	25	the meeting, but I think we can move on at this stage,
	Page 16116		
	Paue 10110		Page 16118
1	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that	1	Page 16118 General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement,
1 2	6	1 2	8
	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that		General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement,
2	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to	2	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At
2 3	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were	2 3	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was
2 3 4	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be	2 3 4	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to
2 3 4 5	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala	2 3 4 5	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters
2 3 4 5 6	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made	2 3 4 5 6	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members.
2 3 4 5 6 7	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on	2 3 4 5 6 7	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address the protesters." Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts right. MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct, Chair,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address the protesters." Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts right. MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct, Chair, thank you. Mr Mathunjwa's evidence was of course that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address the protesters." Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts right. MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct, Chair, thank you. Mr Mathunjwa's evidence was of course that the only reason he ended up on Lonmin on that day, was in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address the protesters." Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. MS BARNES: So you and Mr Kwadi would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts right. MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct, Chair, thank you. Mr Mathunjwa's evidence was of course that the only reason he ended up on Lonmin on that day, was in response to a challenge by Mr Gwala. Mr Mathunjwa did not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address the protesters." Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. MS BARNES: So you and Mr Kwadi would have heard what the Union president said to the protesters.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts right. MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct, Chair, thank you. Mr Mathunjwa's evidence was of course that the only reason he ended up on Lonmin on that day, was in response to a challenge by Mr Gwala. Mr Mathunjwa did not give any evidence about having been requested to attend a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address the protesters." Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. MS BARNES: So you and Mr Kwadi would have heard what the Union president said to the protesters. Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts right. MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct, Chair, thank you. Mr Mathunjwa's evidence was of course that the only reason he ended up on Lonmin on that day, was in response to a challenge by Mr Gwala. Mr Mathunjwa did not give any evidence about having been requested to attend a meeting by Lonmin, but you wouldn't be able to help us any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address the protesters." Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. MS BARNES: So you and Mr Kwadi would have heard what the Union president said to the protesters. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts right. MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct, Chair, thank you. Mr Mathunjwa's evidence was of course that the only reason he ended up on Lonmin on that day, was in response to a challenge by Mr Gwala. Mr Mathunjwa did not give any evidence about having been requested to attend a meeting by Lonmin, but you wouldn't be able to help us any further with that, presumably General. You made a request	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address the protesters." Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. MS BARNES: So you and Mr Kwadi would have heard what the Union president said to the protesters. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. We were not in such a proximity but when I say we followed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts right. MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct, Chair, thank you. Mr Mathunjwa's evidence was of course that the only reason he ended up on Lonmin on that day, was in response to a challenge by Mr Gwala. Mr Mathunjwa did not give any evidence about having been requested to attend a meeting by Lonmin, but you wouldn't be able to help us any further with that, presumably General. You made a request to Mr Kwadi, but what he did after that, you have no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address the protesters." Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. MS BARNES: So you and Mr Kwadi would have heard what the Union president said to the protesters. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. We were not in such a proximity but when I say we followed them we couldn't stay where the Nyalas, where the vehicles
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts right. MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct, Chair, thank you. Mr Mathunjwa's evidence was of course that the only reason he ended up on Lonmin on that day, was in response to a challenge by Mr Gwala. Mr Mathunjwa did not give any evidence about having been requested to attend a meeting by Lonmin, but you wouldn't be able to help us any further with that, presumably General. You made a request to Mr Kwadi, but what he did after that, you have no knowledge of. Is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address the protesters." Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. MS BARNES: So you and Mr Kwadi would have heard what the Union president said to the protesters. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. We were not in such a proximity but when I say we followed them we couldn't stay where the Nyalas, where the vehicles were transporting, we came nearer, but the way in a Nyala
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts right. MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct, Chair, thank you. Mr Mathunjwa's evidence was of course that the only reason he ended up on Lonmin on that day, was in response to a challenge by Mr Gwala. Mr Mathunjwa did not give any evidence about having been requested to attend a meeting by Lonmin, but you wouldn't be able to help us any further with that, presumably General. You made a request to Mr Kwadi, but what he did after that, you have no knowledge of, Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address the protesters." Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. MS BARNES: So you and Mr Kwadi would have heard what the Union president said to the protesters. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. We were not in such a proximity but when I say we followed them we couldn't stay where the Nyalas, where the vehicles
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts right. MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct, Chair, thank you. Mr Mathunjwa's evidence was of course that the only reason he ended up on Lonmin on that day, was in response to a challenge by Mr Gwala. Mr Mathunjwa did not give any evidence about having been requested to attend a meeting by Lonmin, but you wouldn't be able to help us any further with that, presumably General. You made a request to Mr Kwadi, but what he did after that, you have no knowledge of. Is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address the protesters." Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. MS BARNES: So you and Mr Kwadi would have heard what the Union president said to the protesters. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. We were not in such a proximity but when I say we followed them we couldn't stay where the Nyalas, where the vehicles were transporting, we came nearer, but the way in a Nyala closer to the protesters.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts right. MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct, Chair, thank you. Mr Mathunjwa's evidence was of course that the only reason he ended up on Lonmin on that day, was in response to a challenge by Mr Gwala. Mr Mathunjwa did not give any evidence about having been requested to attend a meeting by Lonmin, but you wouldn't be able to help us any further with that, presumably General. You made a request to Mr Kwadi, but what he did after that, you have no knowledge of. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, but I also need to comment on this, to show that I did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address the protesters." Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. MS BARNES: So you and Mr Kwadi would have heard what the Union president said to the protesters. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. We were not in such a proximity but when I say we followed them we couldn't stay where the Nyalas, where the vehicles were transporting, we came nearer, but the way in a Nyala closer to the protesters. MS BARNES: "Mr Mathunjwa used a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	One doesn't get the impression from the programme that there was an arrangement already in place. It seems to have been as far as the trade union presidents were concerned, to have been the agreement to go, seemed to be in consequence of the imputation or the urging by Mr Gwala but again I don't think anything turns on that. Clearly after the programme, which is nine o'clock, the presidents had agreed to go, and arrangements clearly were being made to take them there. So again, I don't anything turns on it, but while we are busy with it, we should get the facts right. MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct, Chair, thank you. Mr Mathunjwa's evidence was of course that the only reason he ended up on Lonmin on that day, was in response to a challenge by Mr Gwala. Mr Mathunjwa did not give any evidence about having been requested to attend a meeting by Lonmin, but you wouldn't be able to help us any further with that, presumably General. You made a request to Mr Kwadi, but what he did after that, you have no knowledge of. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, but I also need to comment on this, to show that I did really take the initiative. The SAPS, the South African	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	General. If you can look at page 16 of your statement, GGG12, page16, paragraph 36, you say the following, "At about four o'clock the leadership of both unions was conveyed to the koppie separately in a police Nyala to address the group of about 2 000 to 3 000 protesters gathered at the koppie." And it then goes on, turning my page, "In each instance, Mr Jomo Kwadi of Lonmin and I followed the Nyala on foot, accompanied by police members. We were both present when each delegation addressed the protesters. Each member was allowed 15 minutes to address the protesters." Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. MS BARNES: So you and Mr Kwadi would have heard what the Union president said to the protesters. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. We were not in such a proximity but when I say we followed them we couldn't stay where the Nyalas, where the vehicles were transporting, we came nearer, but the way in a Nyala closer to the protesters. MS BARNES: "Mr Mathunjwa used a loudhailer to address the protesters," isn't that right?

-		r	
	Page 16119		Page 16121
1	MS BARNES: And when the strikers	1	"Situation report. Papa 1 reported that they concluded
2	responded to Mr Mathunjwa, they also took the loudhailer	2	that they will meet tomorrow at nine o'clock and they will
3	and used the loudhailer and used the loud hailer in order	3	feedback from management on what they've said today."
4	to respond to him. Were you aware of that?	4	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,
5	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I was aware	5	I could see it.
6	is the cheering of the crowd when Mr Mathunjwa spoke	6	MS BARNES: So that entry would have been
7	because that was obvious.	7	made by the police officers who were in the Nyala who heard
8	CHAIRPERSON: What counsel wants to know	8	what was said, is that correct?
9	from you is, did you see that when Mr Mathunjwa replied, he	9	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,
10	used a loudhailer? Or were you not able to see that from	10	from the reading of the entry.
11	where you were standing?	11	MS BARNES: Presumably you would have
12	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I	12	discussed with the police officers who were in the Nyala
13	could see it.	13	what was said afterwards, is that correct?
14	MS BARNES: You could see that	14	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson.
15	loudhailers were being used but you couldn't hear what was	15	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: I am sorry, can I
16	being said.	16	just clarify something? The question you put was the entry
17	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, there	17	would have been made by whom? Sorry, can I just have
18	were some of the information that I heard, there were some	18	clarity
19	that I could not hear because of the crowd that was jeering	19	MS BARNES: By the police officers who
20	on that day.	20	wee in Papa 1.
21	MS BARNES: We know that there were	21	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, the entry must
22	police officers in the Nyala with Mr Mathunjwa, one of	22	surely have been made by the police officer in the JOC,
23	those, I think it was, can you confirm for us that Papa 1	23	writing down what had been reported from Papa 1, the actual
24	was the Nyala that Mr Mathunjwa was in on that occasion?	24	recording was in the JOC.
25	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, it is	25	MS BARNES: Yes, I put it incorrectly.
1	Page 16120	1	Page 16122
1	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's	1	The information –
2	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala.	2	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was
2 3	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's	2 3	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1.
2 3 4	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that	2 3 4	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have
2 3 4 5	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct?	2 3 4 5	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now
2 3 4 5 6	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is	2 3 4 5 6	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that	2 3 4 5 6 7	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in Papa 1 at that time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in Papa 1 at that time? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I may say yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, according to GGG4, Mr Mathunjwa spoke to the generals
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in Papa 1 at that time? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I may say yes, Brigadier Calitz was in charge of Papa 1 but when Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, according to GGG4, Mr Mathunjwa spoke to the generals personally, so it was better for me to hear if I may be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in Papa 1 at that time? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I may say yes, Brigadier Calitz was in charge of Papa 1 but when Mr Mathunjwa was addressing, I don't know whether was in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, according to GGG4, Mr Mathunjwa spoke to the generals personally, so it was better for me to hear if I may be allowed by the Commission to use the word "from the horses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in Papa 1 at that time? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I may say yes, Brigadier Calitz was in charge of Papa 1 but when Mr Mathunjwa was addressing, I don't know whether was in the Nyala or outside the Nyala.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, according to GGG4, Mr Mathunjwa spoke to the generals personally, so it was better for me to hear if I may be allowed by the Commission to use the word "from the horses mouth." I didn't want to hear from the hearsay. I wanted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in Papa 1 at that time? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I may say yes, Brigadier Calitz was in charge of Papa 1 but when Mr Mathunjwa was addressing, I don't know whether was in the Nyala or outside the Nyala. MS BARNES: If you have regard to exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, according to GGG4, Mr Mathunjwa spoke to the generals personally, so it was better for me to hear if I may be allowed by the Commission to use the word "from the horses mouth." I didn't want to hear from the hearsay. I wanted to hear from Mr Mathunjwa himself, and we met after he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in Papa 1 at that time? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I may say yes, Brigadier Calitz was in charge of Papa 1 but when Mr Mathunjwa was addressing, I don't know whether was in the Nyala or outside the Nyala. MS BARNES: If you have regard to exhibit FFF25, which is the occurrence book that we looked at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, according to GGG4, Mr Mathunjwa spoke to the generals personally, so it was better for me to hear if I may be allowed by the Commission to use the word "from the horses mouth." I didn't want to hear from the hearsay. I wanted to hear from Mr Mathunjwa himself, and we met after he addressed the people and that's why I didn't ask the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in Papa 1 at that time? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I may say yes, Brigadier Calitz was in charge of Papa 1 but when Mr Mathunjwa was addressing, I don't know whether was in the Nyala or outside the Nyala. MS BARNES: If you have regard to exhibit FFF25, which is the occurrence book that we looked at earlier, you will see that there's an entry at page 19,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, according to GGG4, Mr Mathunjwa spoke to the generals personally, so it was better for me to hear if I may be allowed by the Commission to use the word "from the horses mouth." I didn't want to hear from the hearsay. I wanted to hear from Mr Mathunjwa himself, and we met after he addressed the people and that's why I didn't ask the police.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in Papa 1 at that time? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I may say yes, Brigadier Calitz was in charge of Papa 1 but when Mr Mathunjwa was addressing, I don't know whether was in the Nyala or outside the Nyala. MS BARNES: If you have regard to exhibit FFF25, which is the occurrence book that we looked at earlier, you will see that there's an entry at page 19, it's entry 228, and this is the report by the police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, according to GGG4, Mr Mathunjwa spoke to the generals personally, so it was better for me to hear if I may be allowed by the Commission to use the word "from the horses mouth." I didn't want to hear from the hearsay. I wanted to hear from Mr Mathunjwa himself, and we met after he addressed the people and that's why I didn't ask the police. MS BARNES: We know that you had a de-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in Papa 1 at that time? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I may say yes, Brigadier Calitz was in charge of Papa 1 but when Mr Mathunjwa was addressing, I don't know whether was in the Nyala or outside the Nyala. MS BARNES: If you have regard to exhibit FFF25, which is the occurrence book that we looked at earlier, you will see that there's an entry at page 19, it's entry 228, and this is the report by the police officers that were in Papa 1 as to what had transpired. Do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, according to GGG4, Mr Mathunjwa spoke to the generals personally, so it was better for me to hear if I may be allowed by the Commission to use the word "from the horses mouth." I didn't want to hear from the hearsay. I wanted to hear from Mr Mathunjwa himself, and we met after he addressed the people and that's why I didn't ask the police. MS BARNES: We know that you had a de- briefing after that exchange, and we have a transcript to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in Papa 1 at that time? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I may say yes, Brigadier Calitz was in charge of Papa 1 but when Mr Mathunjwa was addressing, I don't know whether was in the Nyala or outside the Nyala. MS BARNES: If you have regard to exhibit FFF25, which is the occurrence book that we looked at earlier, you will see that there's an entry at page 19, it's entry 228, and this is the report by the police officers that were in Papa 1 as to what had transpired. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, according to GGG4, Mr Mathunjwa spoke to the generals personally, so it was better for me to hear if I may be allowed by the Commission to use the word "from the horses mouth." I didn't want to hear from the hearsay. I wanted to hear from Mr Mathunjwa himself, and we met after he addressed the people and that's why I didn't ask the police. MS BARNES: We know that you had a de- briefing after that exchange, and we have a transcript to that, and it's not necessary for us to go through that. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in Papa 1 at that time? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I may say yes, Brigadier Calitz was in charge of Papa 1 but when Mr Mathunjwa was addressing, I don't know whether was in the Nyala or outside the Nyala. MS BARNES: If you have regard to exhibit FFF25, which is the occurrence book that we looked at earlier, you will see that there's an entry at page 19, it's entry 228, and this is the report by the police officers that were in Papa 1 as to what had transpired. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, according to GGG4, Mr Mathunjwa spoke to the generals personally, so it was better for me to hear if I may be allowed by the Commission to use the word "from the horses mouth." I didn't want to hear from the hearsay. I wanted to hear from Mr Mathunjwa himself, and we met after he addressed the people and that's why I didn't ask the police. MS BARNES: We know that you had a de- briefing after that exchange, and we have a transcript to that, and it's not necessary for us to go through that. We know what was said there, but it's clear from that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in Papa 1 at that time? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I may say yes, Brigadier Calitz was in charge of Papa 1 but when Mr Mathunjwa was addressing, I don't know whether was in the Nyala or outside the Nyala. MS BARNES: If you have regard to exhibit FFF25, which is the occurrence book that we looked at earlier, you will see that there's an entry at page 19, it's entry 228, and this is the report by the police officers that were in Papa 1 as to what had transpired. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I just want to confirm is OB entry 231.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, according to GGG4, Mr Mathunjwa spoke to the generals personally, so it was better for me to hear if I may be allowed by the Commission to use the word "from the horses mouth." I didn't want to hear from the hearsay. I wanted to hear from Mr Mathunjwa himself, and we met after he addressed the people and that's why I didn't ask the police. MS BARNES: We know that you had a de- briefing after that exchange, and we have a transcript to that, and it's not necessary for us to go through that. We know what was said there, but it's clear from that transcript General, that you were not unhappy or annoyed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	correct, Chairperson, it is Papa 1 of Brigadier Calitz's Nyala. MS BARNES: We know that, I think it's Colonel MacIntosh was in that Nyala at the time, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What I know is that Colonel MacIntosh, Chairperson, was posted in that Nyala, but at the time of the address, I think he might confirm that he was there. But I know that in terms of the posting he was posted to be with Papa 1. MS BARNES: Brigadier Calitz, was he in Papa 1 at that time? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I may say yes, Brigadier Calitz was in charge of Papa 1 but when Mr Mathunjwa was addressing, I don't know whether was in the Nyala or outside the Nyala. MS BARNES: If you have regard to exhibit FFF25, which is the occurrence book that we looked at earlier, you will see that there's an entry at page 19, it's entry 228, and this is the report by the police officers that were in Papa 1 as to what had transpired. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The information – CHAIRPERSON: But what was recorded was information that had been received from Papa 1. MS BARNES: The information would have been received from the police officers in Papa 1. Now presumably, you would have discussed the exchange, that Mr Mathunjwa had with the strikers with the police officers who had been in Papa 1? Who had been right there and had heard what was said. Did you do that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Why not? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, according to GGG4, Mr Mathunjwa spoke to the generals personally, so it was better for me to hear if I may be allowed by the Commission to use the word "from the horses mouth." I didn't want to hear from the hearsay. I wanted to hear from Mr Mathunjwa himself, and we met after he addressed the people and that's why I didn't ask the police. MS BARNES: We know that you had a de- briefing after that exchange, and we have a transcript to that, and it's not necessary for us to go through that. We know what was said there, but it's clear from that

11th November 2013

	Page 16123		Page 16125
1	right?	1	really conveying – am I correct? – was the result of the
2	[10:53] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,	2	exchanges between you and Mr Mathunjwa? Is that right?
3	I used the words to be precise, "I am impressed." I am	3	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
4	sorry, I just want to add to say, I said I'm impressed,	4	Chairperson.
5	even if after I played the devil's advocate to Mr	5	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Might you have said
6	Mathunjwa.	6	that Mr Mathunjwa told you that the strikers had agreed to
7	MS BARNES: If we can go now to exhibit	7	put down their weapons at 9 o'clock the next morning?
8	GGG5, this is the statement of the Provincial Commissioner,	8	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
9	General Mbombo, and if we go to page 7 of that statement –	9	Chairperson, that could be found on the, I think it's O-O,
10	CHAIRPERSON: Which paragraph do you want	10	it's GGG4, from page 12 upwards, that's where he was really
11	to refer to in particular?	11	informing us –
12	MS BARNES: It's paragraph 18, General	12	CHAIRPERSON: We have got the transcript
13	Mbombo says the following, "On Wednesday, the 15th of	13	of what he told you and I think we've also got a
14	August, while I was attending the National SAPS Management	14	transcript, haven't we, of what happened when he spoke to
15	Forum meeting in Midrand, I received telephonic feedback	15	the strikers. So we don't have to speculate. Is this
16	from Major-General Mpembe to the extent that there had been	16	perhaps a note upon which we can take the tea adjournment?
17	an agreement between AMCU and SAPS that the following day	17	MS BARNES: Yes, Chair.
18	at 9 the protesters shall lay down their weapons and	18	[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
19	disperse peacefully." Now General, is that what you told	19	[11:22] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
20	the Provincial Commissioner?	20	Major-General, you're still under oath.
21	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	21	WILLIAM MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.
22	Chairperson, to indicate to the Provincial Commissioner	22	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes.
23	that the protesters according to Mr Mathunjwa are prepared	23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS BARNES (CONTD.):
24	to lay down their weapons the following day. So as a	24	Thank you, Chair. General, if I might take you back for a
25	result of that then I gave the Provincial Commissioner the	25	minute to your special JOCCOM meeting that took place from
	Page 16124		Page 16126
1	feedback.	1	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute
2	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say	2	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at
2 3	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement	2 3	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list
2 3 4	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay	2 3 4	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read
2 3 4 5	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that	2 3 4 5	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant-
2 3 4 5 6	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used?	2 3 4 5 6	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved
2 3 4 5 6 7	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the	2 3 4 5 6 7	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were going to lay down their weapons. But as a result of that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were going to lay down their weapons. But as a result of that I gave to the Provincial Commissioner the feedback, so the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide feedback as agreed." Now my question, General, is when the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were going to lay down their weapons. But as a result of that I gave to the Provincial Commissioner the feedback, so the Provincial Commissioner, if she interpreted it as an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide feedback as agreed." Now my question, General, is when the Provincial Commissioner said this in the meeting, why did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were going to lay down their weapons. But as a result of that I gave to the Provincial Commissioner the feedback, so the Provincial Commissioner, if she interpreted it as an agreement, it is also correct in the sense that we expected	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide feedback as agreed." Now my question, General, is when the Provincial Commissioner said this in the meeting, why did you not say –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were going to lay down their weapons. But as a result of that I gave to the Provincial Commissioner the feedback, so the Provincial Commissioner, if she interpreted it as an agreement, it is also correct in the sense that we expected that the protesters were going to lay their weapons down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide feedback as agreed." Now my question, General, is when the Provincial Commissioner said this in the meeting, why did you not say – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, firstly – no,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were going to lay down their weapons. But as a result of that I gave to the Provincial Commissioner the feedback, so the Provincial Commissioner, if she interpreted it as an agreement, it is also correct in the sense that we expected that the protesters were going to lay their weapons down tomorrow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide feedback as agreed." Now my question, General, is when the Provincial Commissioner said this in the meeting, why did you not say – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, firstly – no, that's not good cross-examination. You've got to get him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were going to lay down their weapons. But as a result of that I gave to the Provincial Commissioner the feedback, so the Provincial Commissioner, if she interpreted it as an agreement, it is also correct in the sense that we expected that the protesters were going to lay their weapons down tomorrow. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide feedback as agreed." Now my question, General, is when the Provincial Commissioner said this in the meeting, why did you not say – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, firstly – no, that's not good cross-examination. You've got to get him to say whether the minute is correct. You can't ask the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were going to lay down their weapons. But as a result of that I gave to the Provincial Commissioner the feedback, so the Provincial Commissioner, if she interpreted it as an agreement, it is also correct in the sense that we expected that the protesters were going to lay their weapons down tomorrow. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is you didn't use the word "agreement"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide feedback as agreed." Now my question, General, is when the Provincial Commissioner said this in the meeting, why did you not say – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, firstly – no, that's not good cross-examination. You've got to get him to say whether the minute is correct. You can't ask the question assuming it is. It may well be, but you've got to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were going to lay down their weapons. But as a result of that I gave to the Provincial Commissioner the feedback, so the Provincial Commissioner, if she interpreted it as an agreement, it is also correct in the sense that we expected that the protesters were going to lay their weapons down tomorrow. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is you didn't use the word "agreement"? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide feedback as agreed." Now my question, General, is when the Provincial Commissioner said this in the meeting, why did you not say – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, firstly – no, that's not good cross-examination. You've got to get him to say whether the minute is correct. You can't ask the question assuming it is. It may well be, but you've got to ask the question first.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were going to lay down their weapons. But as a result of that I gave to the Provincial Commissioner the feedback, so the Provincial Commissioner, if she interpreted it as an agreement, it is also correct in the sense that we expected that the protesters were going to lay their weapons down tomorrow. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is you didn't use the word "agreement"? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide feedback as agreed." Now my question, General, is when the Provincial Commissioner said this in the meeting, why did you not say – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, firstly – no, that's not good cross-examination. You've got to get him to say whether the minute is correct. You can't ask the question assuming it is. It may well be, but you've got to ask the question first. MS BARNES: I thought this was one of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were going to lay down their weapons. But as a result of that I gave to the Provincial Commissioner the feedback, so the Provincial Commissioner, if she interpreted it as an agreement, it is also correct in the sense that we expected that the protesters were going to lay their weapons down tomorrow. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is you didn't use the word "agreement"? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: That may be the way she	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide feedback as agreed." Now my question, General, is when the Provincial Commissioner said this in the meeting, why did you not say – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, firstly – no, that's not good cross-examination. You've got to get him to say whether the minute is correct. You can't ask the question assuming it is. It may well be, but you've got to ask the question first. MS BARNES: I thought this was one of the minutes that was in fact correct, but let me ask you –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were going to lay down their weapons. But as a result of that I gave to the Provincial Commissioner the feedback, so the Provincial Commissioner, if she interpreted it as an agreement, it is also correct in the sense that we expected that the protesters were going to lay their weapons down tomorrow. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is you didn't use the word "agreement"? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: That may be the way she interpreted it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide feedback as agreed." Now my question, General, is when the Provincial Commissioner said this in the meeting, why did you not say – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, firstly – no, that's not good cross-examination. You've got to get him to say whether the minute is correct. You can't ask the question assuming it is. It may well be, but you've got to ask the question first. MS BARNES: I thought this was one of the minutes that was in fact correct, but let me ask you – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were going to lay down their weapons. But as a result of that I gave to the Provincial Commissioner the feedback, so the Provincial Commissioner, if she interpreted it as an agreement, it is also correct in the sense that we expected that the protesters were going to lay their weapons down tomorrow. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is you didn't use the word "agreement"? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: That may be the way she interpreted it. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide feedback as agreed." Now my question, General, is when the Provincial Commissioner said this in the meeting, why did you not say – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, firstly – no, that's not good cross-examination. You've got to get him to say whether the minute is correct. You can't ask the question assuming it is. It may well be, but you've got to ask the question first. MS BARNES: I thought this was one of the minutes that was in fact correct, but let me ask you – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think any assumptions of that kind can be made one way or the other.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	feedback. MS BARNES: Yes, but did you actually say to the Provincial Commissioner that there was an agreement between the police and AMCU that the strikers would lay down their weapons the next day? Are those the words that you used? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: These are the words that I used by the Provincial Commissioner to interpret what I'm saying, but the feedback that I received from Mr Mathunjwa is that the protesters tomorrow were going to lay down their weapons. But as a result of that I gave to the Provincial Commissioner the feedback, so the Provincial Commissioner, if she interpreted it as an agreement, it is also correct in the sense that we expected that the protesters were going to lay their weapons down tomorrow. CHAIRPERSON: What you're saying is you didn't use the word "agreement"? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: That may be the way she interpreted it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1:30 to 2 o'clock on the 16th, if you could go to the minute of that meeting, it's JJJ126, and I'd like you to look at paragraph 3 in particular, so there's the attendance list and then just below that paragraph 3. I'd like to read that for you. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant- General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she was involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the whole morning. Mr Mathunjwa was supposed to indicate at 9 o'clock whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide feedback as agreed." Now my question, General, is when the Provincial Commissioner said this in the meeting, why did you not say – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, firstly – no, that's not good cross-examination. You've got to get him to say whether the minute is correct. You can't ask the question assuming it is. It may well be, but you've got to ask the question first. MS BARNES: I thought this was one of the minutes that was in fact correct, but let me ask you – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think any

1	Page 16127 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I	1	Page 16129
2	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I will say yes, but there is one clarity that I would like to	1 2	9:00 whether the protesters on the koppie were prepared to lay down their weapons. Mr Mathunjwa failed to provide
2	get, because JJJ126, I remember this was EE something when	2	
	I was testifying, so I see JJ today. I just want to make		feedback as agreed." That's the passage that was put to
4		4	you, and what Adv Barnes wants to know, does that coincide
5	sure is it the same one that I testified in my evidence-in-	5	with your recollection of what happened at that meeting?
6	chief with? Because now this is another exhibit number.	6	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
7	MS BARNES: Well, it appears that there	7	Chairperson. If I need to respond is that the culture in
8	are two exhibits. Perhaps I'll have to clarify, I'll have	8	the police is that when your senior speaks in the meeting
9	to clarify whether there's also an exhibit that's called	9	you do not say things in front of the other juniors, but I
10	EE, but can you tell us –	10	think, Chairperson, that's why I'm saying I think
11	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]	11	Lieutenant-General Mbombo will be in a position to explain
12	entitled to be satisfied that this is indeed the minute	12	why she speaks about agreement.
13	before you ask him to comment on it. He's raised the	13	MS BARNES: But General, my question to
14	query. It's not an unreasonable query. Perhaps Ms Pillay	14	you is really the following. You know at this stage that
15	with her usual mastery of these things can tell us the	15	Mr Mathunjwa is standing outside the JOC and is wanting to
16	answer.	16	give feedback to the police, and has asked to give the
17	MS BARNES: It seems we have rather	17	feedback to the Provincial Commissioner –
18	quickly been able to establish that you're quite correct,	18	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, no, l'm not
19	General, there is an EE exhibit, which my colleague tells	19	sure that you got him to admit that initially. He said he
20	me is identical to JJJ126. Perhaps Ms Pillay could confirm	20	was outside for half an hour. The witness said, eventually
21	that for us, just so that we're absolutely certain?	21	he said he saw him and went out to speak to him, but I'm
22	MS PILLAY: Chair, the document we have	22	not sure that you've got him to say at what stage he
23	been working from is exhibit EE. I think there is one very	23	realised that Mr Mathunjwa is waiting outside. I think
24	minor change in the current document, but the one the	24	that point must be clarified first also before the question
25	witnesses have been testifying from is exhibit EE. The	25	you now want to put can be put to him.
1	Page 16128 paragraph is identical though the paragraph on which Ms	1	Page 16130 MS BARNES: We have established. General
1	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms	1	MS BARNES: We have established, General,
2	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining.	2	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went
2 3	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be	2 3	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial
2 3 4	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about.	2 3 4	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't
2 3 4 5	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it?	2 3 4 5	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct?
2 3 4 5 6	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE.	2 3 4 5 6	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,
2 3 4 5 6 7	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to satisfy himself, so he can satisfy himself. JJJ wasn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police; let's go and hear what it is.'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to satisfy himself, so he can satisfy himself. JJJ wasn't before us in any event when he was testifying previously.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police; let's go and hear what it is.' MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to satisfy himself, so he can satisfy himself. JJJ wasn't before us in any event when he was testifying previously. So let him look at EE and then we can – this one is, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police; let's go and hear what it is.' MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, what 0
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to satisfy himself, so he can satisfy himself. JJJ wasn't before us in any event when he was testifying previously. So let him look at EE and then we can – this one is, I think taken from Colonel Scott's computer, and I think it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police; let's go and hear what it is.' MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, what 0 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Sorry, just a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to satisfy himself, so he can satisfy himself. JJJ wasn't before us in any event when he was testifying previously. So let him look at EE and then we can – this one is, I think taken from Colonel Scott's computer, and I think it was put in for reasons connected with that, but let's look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police; let's go and hear what it is.' MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, what 0 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Sorry, just a second. I'm sorry to interrupt you. Was Mr Mathunjwa
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to satisfy himself, so he can satisfy himself. JJJ wasn't before us in any event when he was testifying previously. So let him look at EE and then we can – this one is, I think taken from Colonel Scott's computer, and I think it was put in for reasons connected with that, but let's look at the EE, so there you are, exhibit EE. There you see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police; let's go and hear what it is.' MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, what 0 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Sorry, just a second. I'm sorry to interrupt you. Was Mr Mathunjwa wanting to give feedback to the police or was he looking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to satisfy himself, so he can satisfy himself. JJJ wasn't before us in any event when he was testifying previously. So let him look at EE and then we can – this one is, I think taken from Colonel Scott's computer, and I think it was put in for reasons connected with that, but let's look at the EE, so there you are, exhibit EE. There you see para 3 on the screen now, and that does contain the words	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police; let's go and hear what it is.' MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, what 0 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Sorry, just a second. I'm sorry to interrupt you. Was Mr Mathunjwa wanting to give feedback to the police or was he looking for transport to the, back to the scene?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to satisfy himself, so he can satisfy himself. JJJ wasn't before us in any event when he was testifying previously. So let him look at EE and then we can – this one is, I think taken from Colonel Scott's computer, and I think it was put in for reasons connected with that, but let's look at the EE, so there you are, exhibit EE. There you see para 3 on the screen now, and that does contain the words which were in the one we saw earlier, but let me just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police; let's go and hear what it is.' MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, what 0 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Sorry, just a second. I'm sorry to interrupt you. Was Mr Mathunjwa wanting to give feedback to the police or was he looking for transport to the, back to the scene? MS BARNES: There's no mention of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to satisfy himself, so he can satisfy himself. JJJ wasn't before us in any event when he was testifying previously. So let him look at EE and then we can – this one is, I think taken from Colonel Scott's computer, and I think it was put in for reasons connected with that, but let's look at the EE, so there you are, exhibit EE. There you see para 3 on the screen now, and that does contain the words which were in the one we saw earlier, but let me just repeat them for the benefit of those who haven't been able	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police; let's go and hear what it is.' MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, what 0 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Sorry, just a second. I'm sorry to interrupt you. Was Mr Mathunjwa wanting to give feedback to the police or was he looking for transport to the, back to the scene? MS BARNES: There's no mention of transport in the passages that I referred to earlier. Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to satisfy himself, so he can satisfy himself. JJJ wasn't before us in any event when he was testifying previously. So let him look at EE and then we can – this one is, I think taken from Colonel Scott's computer, and I think it was put in for reasons connected with that, but let's look at the EE, so there you are, exhibit EE. There you see para 3 on the screen now, and that does contain the words which were in the one we saw earlier, but let me just repeat them for the benefit of those who haven't been able to follow it properly. "The Provincial Commissioner,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police; let's go and hear what it is.' MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, what 0 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Sorry, just a second. I'm sorry to interrupt you. Was Mr Mathunjwa wanting to give feedback to the police or was he looking for transport to the, back to the scene? MS BARNES: There's no mention of transport in the passages that I referred to earlier. Mr Mathunjwa has simply come back from the koppie at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to satisfy himself, so he can satisfy himself. JJJ wasn't before us in any event when he was testifying previously. So let him look at EE and then we can – this one is, I think taken from Colonel Scott's computer, and I think it was put in for reasons connected with that, but let's look at the EE, so there you are, exhibit EE. There you see para 3 on the screen now, and that does contain the words which were in the one we saw earlier, but let me just repeat them for the benefit of those who haven't been able to follow it properly. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police; let's go and hear what it is.' MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, what 0 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Sorry, just a second. I'm sorry to interrupt you. Was Mr Mathunjwa wanting to give feedback to the police or was he looking for transport to the, back to the scene? MS BARNES: There's no mention of transport in the passages that I referred to earlier. Mr Mathunjwa has simply come back from the koppie at this stage and he's wanting to report back to the Provincial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to satisfy himself, so he can satisfy himself. JJJ wasn't before us in any event when he was testifying previously. So let him look at EE and then we can – this one is, I think taken from Colonel Scott's computer, and I think it was put in for reasons connected with that, but let's look at the EE, so there you are, exhibit EE. There you see para 3 on the screen now, and that does contain the words which were in the one we saw earlier, but let me just repeat them for the benefit of those who haven't been able to follow it properly. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she is involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police; let's go and hear what it is.' MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, what 0 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Sorry, just a second. I'm sorry to interrupt you. Was Mr Mathunjwa wanting to give feedback to the police or was he looking for transport to the, back to the scene? MS BARNES: There's no mention of transport in the passages that I referred to earlier. Mr Mathunjwa has simply come back from the koppie at this stage and he's wanting to report back to the Provincial Commissioner about his engagement with the strikers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to satisfy himself, so he can satisfy himself. JJJ wasn't before us in any event when he was testifying previously. So let him look at EE and then we can – this one is, I think taken from Colonel Scott's computer, and I think it was put in for reasons connected with that, but let's look at the EE, so there you are, exhibit EE. There you see para 3 on the screen now, and that does contain the words which were in the one we saw earlier, but let me just repeat them for the benefit of those who haven't been able to follow it properly. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she is involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr Joseph Mathunjwa, to resolve the current situation, for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police; let's go and hear what it is.' MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, what 0 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Sorry, just a second. I'm sorry to interrupt you. Was Mr Mathunjwa wanting to give feedback to the police or was he looking for transport to the, back to the scene? MS BARNES: There's no mention of transport in the passages that I referred to earlier. Mr Mathunjwa has simply come back from the koppie at this stage and he's wanting to report back to the Provincial Commissioner about his engagement with the strikers. That –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	paragraph is identical though, the paragraph on which Ms Barnes is currently cross-examining. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think he should be shown EE, seeing that's the one that he's concerned about. What's the number? EE what? EE1, is it? MS PILLAY: EE. MS BARNES: It seems it's just EE. CHAIRPERSON: Just EE, no number. Alright, okay. MS BARNES: Perhaps we could go to EE - CHAIRPERSON: Show him EE, just to satisfy himself, so he can satisfy himself. JJJ wasn't before us in any event when he was testifying previously. So let him look at EE and then we can – this one is, I think taken from Colonel Scott's computer, and I think it was put in for reasons connected with that, but let's look at the EE, so there you are, exhibit EE. There you see para 3 on the screen now, and that does contain the words which were in the one we saw earlier, but let me just repeat them for the benefit of those who haven't been able to follow it properly. "The Provincial Commissioner, Lieutenant-General Mbombo, informed the meeting that she is involved in meetings with the leadership of AMCU, namely Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS BARNES: We have established, General, haven't we, that you saw Mr Mathunjwa just before you went into the JOC meeting and he asked to see the Provincial Commissioner and you then went into the JOC meeting. Isn't that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I did see that. MS BARNES: General, surely then when you went into the JOC meeting you should have said to the Provincial Commissioner that, 'Mr Mathunjwa is here, I've just seen him, he's wanting to give feedback to the police; let's go and hear what it is.' MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, what 0 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Sorry, just a second. I'm sorry to interrupt you. Was Mr Mathunjwa wanting to give feedback to the police or was he looking for transport to the, back to the scene? MS BARNES: There's no mention of transport in the passages that I referred to earlier. Mr Mathunjwa has simply come back from the koppie at this stage and he's wanting to report back to the Provincial Commissioner about his engagement with the strikers.

1	Page 16131 He'd actually come back in his own vehicle, as far as I can	1	Page 16133 your concern. Is that correct?
2	recall, on the Thursday.	2	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
3	MS BARNES: [Microphone off, inaudible]	3	Chairperson, those that are going at work.
4	transport issue hasn't arisen at this stage, but the point,	4	MS BARNES: Yes, the ones that are not
5	the question really, General, is that Mr Mathunjwa has come	5	participating in the strike. Is that correct?
6	back and he wants to see the Provincial Commissioner to	6	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
7	give feedback. Why do you not tell the Provincial	7	Chairperson.
8	Commissioner that he's there and suggest that you go and	8	MS BARNES: Now we also know, and I'm
9	get his feedback?	9	sure you accept it, that while you were having that
10	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Advocate, I need	10	conversation with the strikers at the railway line, they
11	to put the things also in the right perspective in a very	11	repeatedly asked you to escort them to the koppie. Is that
12	short brief. The Provincial Commissioner was referring to	12	correct?
13	he, to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa	13	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.
14	personally. That's why he was, she was even specific about	14	MS BARNES: Now General, if those
15	9 o'clock. By the time that Mr Mathunjwa wanted to give	15	strikers had an intention to injure or kill people along
16	the feedback to the Provincial Commissioner, I did testify	16	the way back to the koppie, why would they ask the police
17	that the Provincial Commissioner was not there, and I even	17	to escort them back to the koppie?
18	said that he was at the hospital, and when I wanted to give	18	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: In my
19	feedback to Mr Mathunjwa he has gone again. But the	19	understanding it was that it was because I wanted to disarm
20	Provincial Commissioner knew that Mr Mathunjwa did come	20	them. I wanted they must voluntarily hand over their
21	back, but what the Provincial Commissioner is referring to	21	weapons, and that, the reason that they gave me is that
22	here, it is her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa	22	they were attacked by NUM people, and which I did not
23	himself.	23	agree.
24	MS BARNES: So it's a simple question,	24	MS BARNES: Yes, but the point is simple,
25	General. Did you say to the Provincial Commissioner, 'I've	25	General. If they harboured these intentions to hurt
		1	
	Page 16132		Page 16134
1	Page 16132 just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come	1	Page 16134 people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the
1 2		1 2	5
	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come		people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the
2	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.'	2	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie?
2 3	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner?	2 3	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I
2 3 4	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson,	2 3 4	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I
2 3 4 5	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was	2 3 4 5	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but
2 3 4 5 6	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa.	2 3 4 5 6	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that
2 3 4 5 6 7	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to	2 3 4 5 6 7	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and I do not intend to repeat the questions they have asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't have to be the koppie; it could be a location of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and I do not intend to repeat the questions they have asked you, but there are just two aspects there that I need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't have to be the koppie; it could be a location of your choice. In other words they could be escorted back to some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and I do not intend to repeat the questions they have asked you, but there are just two aspects there that I need to ask you about. Firstly, you've testified that you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't have to be the koppie; it could be a location of your choice. In other words they could be escorted back to some other location. You agree that they said that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and I do not intend to repeat the questions they have asked you, but there are just two aspects there that I need to ask you about. Firstly, you've testified that you were concerned that this group of strikers on their way back to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't have to be the koppie; it could be a location of your choice. In other words they could be escorted back to some other location. You agree that they said that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and I do not intend to repeat the questions they have asked you, but there are just two aspects there that I need to ask you about. Firstly, you've testified that you were concerned that this group of strikers on their way back to the koppie would go into the informal settlement and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't have to be the koppie; it could be a location of your choice. In other words they could be escorted back to some other location. You agree that they said that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I do not agree. They were precisely saying the koppie. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and I do not intend to repeat the questions they have asked you, but there are just two aspects there that I need to ask you about. Firstly, you've testified that you were concerned that this group of strikers on their way back to the koppie would go into the informal settlement and injure, maim, or kill people. I think those were the three	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't have to be the koppie; it could be a location of your choice. In other words they could be escorted back to some other location. You agree that they said that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I do not agree. They were precisely saying the koppie. I even asked them, "Where were you?" They said, "At the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and I do not intend to repeat the questions they have asked you, but there are just two aspects there that I need to ask you about. Firstly, you've testified that you were concerned that this group of strikers on their way back to the koppie would go into the informal settlement and injure, maim, or kill people. I think those were the three specific words that you used. Is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't have to be the koppie; it could be a location of your choice. In other words they could be escorted back to some other location. You agree that they said that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I do not agree. They were precisely saying the koppie. I even asked them, "Where were you?" They said, "At the koppie," and I said, "Are you coming there?" They said,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and I do not intend to repeat the questions they have asked you, but there are just two aspects there that I need to ask you about. Firstly, you've testified that you were concerned that this group of strikers on their way back to the koppie would go into the informal settlement and injure, maim, or kill people. I think those were the three specific words that you used. Is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't have to be the koppie; it could be a location of your choice. In other words they could be escorted back to some other location. You agree that they said that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I do not agree. They were precisely saying the koppie. I even asked them, "Where were you?" They said, "At the koppie," and I said, "Are you coming there?" They said, "Yes."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and I do not intend to repeat the questions they have asked you, but there are just two aspects there that I need to ask you about. Firstly, you've testified that you were concerned that this group of strikers on their way back to the koppie would go into the informal settlement and injure, maim, or kill people. I think those were the three specific words that you used. Is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, but what I said is that it was the briefing that I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't have to be the koppie; it could be a location of your choice. In other words they could be escorted back to some other location. You agree that they said that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I do not agree. They were precisely saying the koppie. I even asked them, "Where were you?" They said, "At the koppie," and I said, "Are you coming there?" They said, "Yes."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and I do not intend to repeat the questions they have asked you, but there are just two aspects there that I need to ask you about. Firstly, you've testified that you were concerned that this group of strikers on their way back to the koppie would go into the informal settlement and injure, maim, or kill people. I think those were the three specific words that you used. Is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, but what I said is that it was the briefing that I have received that they might injure or kill those that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't have to be the koppie; it could be a location of your choice. In other words they could be escorted back to some other location. You agree that they said that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I do not agree. They were precisely saying the koppie. I even asked them, "Where were you?" They said, "At the koppie," and I said, "Are you coming there?" They said, "Yes." MS BARNES: Okay, well perhaps then we need to go to exhibit QQ2, to page 10, page 10, and I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and I do not intend to repeat the questions they have asked you, but there are just two aspects there that I need to ask you about. Firstly, you've testified that you were concerned that this group of strikers on their way back to the koppie would go into the informal settlement and injure, maim, or kill people. I think those were the three specific words that you used. Is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, but what I said is that it was the briefing that I have received that they might injure or kill those that are going at work.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't have to be the koppie; it could be a location of your choice. In other words they could be escorted back to some other location. You agree that they said that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I do not agree. They were precisely saying the koppie. I even asked them, "Where were you?" They said, "At the koppie," and I said, "Are you coming there?" They said, "Yes." MS BARNES: Okay, well perhaps then we need to go to exhibit QQ2, to page 10, page 10, and I'll give you the line number in a moment. So if you can look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and I do not intend to repeat the questions they have asked you, but there are just two aspects there that I need to ask you about. Firstly, you've testified that you were concerned that this group of strikers on their way back to the koppie would go into the informal settlement and injure, maim, or kill people. I think those were the three specific words that you used. Is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, but what I said is that it was the briefing that I have received that they might injure or kill those that are going at work. MS BARNES: But you were concerned that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't have to be the koppie; it could be a location of your choice. In other words they could be escorted back to some other location. You agree that they said that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I do not agree. They were precisely saying the koppie. I even asked them, "Where were you?" They said, "At the koppie," and I said, "Are you coming there?" They said, "Yes." MS BARNES: Okay, well perhaps then we need to go to exhibit QQ2, to page 10, page 10, and I'll give you the line number in a moment. So if you can look at line 20 on that page, this Lonmin worker 1 says the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and I do not intend to repeat the questions they have asked you, but there are just two aspects there that I need to ask you about. Firstly, you've testified that you were concerned that this group of strikers on their way back to the koppie would go into the informal settlement and injure, maim, or kill people. I think those were the three specific words that you used. Is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, but what I said is that it was the briefing that I have received that they might injure or kill those that are going at work. MS BARNES: But you were concerned that the strikers might injure or kill people in the vicinity,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't have to be the koppie; it could be a location of your choice. In other words they could be escorted back to some other location. You agree that they said that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I do not agree. They were precisely saying the koppie. I even asked them, "Where were you?" They said, "At the koppie," and I said, "Are you coming there?" They said, "Yes." MS BARNES: Okay, well perhaps then we need to go to exhibit QQ2, to page 10, page 10, and I'll give you the line number in a moment. So if you can look at line 20 on that page, this Lonmin worker 1 says the following, "We are at the mountain, but if you want us we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	just seen Mr Mathunjwa outside moments ago; he's just come back from the koppie and I've just seen him moments ago.' Did you say that to the Provincial Commissioner? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, because I could see that the Provincial Commissioner was referring to her earlier interaction with Mr Mathunjwa. MS BARNES: General, if we can go now to the 13th of August, and I'm going to ask you some questions relating to the conversation that you had with the strikers at the railway line on the 13th of August. I know that some of my colleagues have cross-examined you on this aspect and I do not intend to repeat the questions they have asked you, but there are just two aspects there that I need to ask you about. Firstly, you've testified that you were concerned that this group of strikers on their way back to the koppie would go into the informal settlement and injure, maim, or kill people. I think those were the three specific words that you used. Is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, but what I said is that it was the briefing that I have received that they might injure or kill those that are going at work. MS BARNES: But you were concerned that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	people, or even to kill people, why would they ask the police to take them to the koppie? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I don't know why they asked the police to escort them. I cannot think or say what they, were their intentions, but what I do know is that the people, there were people that died as a result of those movements and so on, but I cannot say precisely by whom. MS BARNES: Now you agree with me that while the strikers said that they wanted you to escort them back to the koppie, they also said to you that it didn't have to be the koppie; it could be a location of your choice. In other words they could be escorted back to some other location. You agree that they said that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I do not agree. They were precisely saying the koppie. I even asked them, "Where were you?" They said, "At the koppie," and I said, "Are you coming there?" They said, "Yes." MS BARNES: Okay, well perhaps then we need to go to exhibit QQ2, to page 10, page 10, and I'll give you the line number in a moment. So if you can look at line 20 on that page, this Lonmin worker 1 says the

νr	ωτα	ris
	eto	110

	Page 16135		Page 16137
1	the stadium to hear what our employer says regarding the	1	to a location to be addressed by the employer, but not to
2	request." You see that?	2	go and hand up the weapons, not in this paragraph, Mr
3	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I see it.	3	Chairman.
4	MS BARNES: So there you have a striker	4	CHAIRPERSON: I think that's correct, Ms
5	saying to you it doesn't have to be the koppie, "take us to	5	Barnes. I think there are two issues. The one was the
6	a location of your choice, for example, the stadium. Call	6	place where the employer was going to address them, if the
7	our employer to speak to us and we will give you our	7	employer was minded to address them, and the second issue
8	weapons."	8	is the place at which the weapons would thereafter be
9	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: There were many of	9	handed over, and I'm not sure that this passage that you
10	them that said, "At the koppie, we will give you there at	10	put covers both of those events.
11	the koppie."	11	MS BARNES: Well, if one goes up the page
12	CHAIRPERSON: This man who's described	12	a little bit to page, to line 15, I think it's quite clear
13	here as Lonmin worker 1, was he as far as you could see one	13	it's the same worker, Lonmin worker 1, he says, "What we
14	of the leaders of the group?	14	are saying is that you let us go to the place where we are
15	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, at	15	gathered and we will give you," that probably should be
16	that moment I couldn't see who's the leader, because there	16	"our weapons when you bring our employer." And then if you
17	were so many self-appointed leaders who were talking on	17	carry on going down, "We are at the mountain, but if you
18	behalf of the strikers. They are about more than 10, if I	18	want us we will come to the location of your choice. We
19	remember, or maybe the video can be clear, but there was	19	will come to the stadium to hear what our employer says
20	one who was even giving others instructions, but there were	20	regarding our request." So it's the same worker who's
21	many that were speaking and talking how do they feel and	21	talking about if they are escorted two things are intended
22	what their intentions are.	22	to happen; the employer will come and talk to them, and the
23	CHAIRPERSON: As far as I can remember	23	weapons will be handed over, but this striker is saying
24	from the video one person did quite a lot of speaking. Is	24	that does not have to be the koppie, "it can be a location
25	that correct?	25	of your choice."
	Page 16136		Page 16138
1	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	1	COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: Sorry, Ms Barnes,
2	Chairperson.	2	but if you look at the previous paragraph, it says, "We
3	CHAIRPERSON: Is that the person	3	will hand you the weapons at the koppie," because the place
4	described here as Lonmin worker 1?	4	where they are is the koppie. Then the second paragraph
5			
	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,	5	
	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe: I'm not	5 6	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So
6	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not		says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must
6 7	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one	6	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where
6	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not	6 7	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must
6 7 8	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I	6 7 8	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we
6 7 8 9	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin	6 7 8 9	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the
6 7 8 9 10	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin worker 1.	6 7 8 9 10	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the employer.
6 7 8 9 10 11	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin worker 1. CHAIRPERSON: And after this Lonmin	6 7 8 9 10 11	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the employer. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin worker 1. CHAIRPERSON: And after this Lonmin worker 1, this person described as Lonmin worker 1, says	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the employer. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson –
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin worker 1. CHAIRPERSON: And after this Lonmin worker 1, this person described as Lonmin worker 1, says what is transcribed here on page 10 of the transcript, did	6 7 9 10 11 12 13	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the employer. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson – MS BARNES: I think the witness would
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin worker 1. CHAIRPERSON: And after this Lonmin worker 1, this person described as Lonmin worker 1, says what is transcribed here on page 10 of the transcript, did any of the other strikers present disagree or indicate that	6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the employer. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson – MS BARNES: I think the witness would like to say something.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin worker 1. CHAIRPERSON: And after this Lonmin worker 1, this person described as Lonmin worker 1, says what is transcribed here on page 10 of the transcript, did any of the other strikers present disagree or indicate that he didn't agree with what this worker had said?	6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the employer. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson – MS BARNES: I think the witness would like to say something. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, even
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin worker 1. CHAIRPERSON: And after this Lonmin worker 1, this person described as Lonmin worker 1, says what is transcribed here on page 10 of the transcript, did any of the other strikers present disagree or indicate that he didn't agree with what this worker had said? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, as	6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the employer. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson – MS BARNES: I think the witness would like to say something. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, even on the same page there on top they still mention the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin worker 1. CHAIRPERSON: And after this Lonmin worker 1, this person described as Lonmin worker 1, says what is transcribed here on page 10 of the transcript, did any of the other strikers present disagree or indicate that he didn't agree with what this worker had said? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, as far as I remember - the video can be there – they didn't	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the employer. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson – MS BARNES: I think the witness would like to say something. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, even on the same page there on top they still mention the koppie, and I remember very well when I asked them,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin worker 1. CHAIRPERSON: And after this Lonmin worker 1, this person described as Lonmin worker 1, says what is transcribed here on page 10 of the transcript, did any of the other strikers present disagree or indicate that he didn't agree with what this worker had said? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, as far as I remember - the video can be there – they didn't disagree, but the majority of them, they were referring	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the employer. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson – MS BARNES: I think the witness would like to say something. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, even on the same page there on top they still mention the koppie, and I remember very well when I asked them, [African language] and they said "At the koppie."
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin worker 1. CHAIRPERSON: And after this Lonmin worker 1, this person described as Lonmin worker 1, says what is transcribed here on page 10 of the transcript, did any of the other strikers present disagree or indicate that he didn't agree with what this worker had said? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, as far as I remember - the video can be there – they didn't disagree, but the majority of them, they were referring them at the koppie, and I remember even I said to them	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the employer. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson – MS BARNES: I think the witness would like to say something. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, even on the same page there on top they still mention the koppie, and I remember very well when I asked them, [African language] and they said "At the koppie." [11:41] MS BARNES: But General, didn't this give
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin worker 1. CHAIRPERSON: And after this Lonmin worker 1, this person described as Lonmin worker 1, says what is transcribed here on page 10 of the transcript, did any of the other strikers present disagree or indicate that he didn't agree with what this worker had said? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, as far as I remember - the video can be there – they didn't disagree, but the majority of them, they were referring them at the koppie, and I remember even I said to them [African language] then they said "At the mountain."	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the employer. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson – MS BARNES: I think the witness would like to say something. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, even on the same page there on top they still mention the koppie, and I remember very well when I asked them, [African language] and they said "At the koppie." [11:41] MS BARNES: But General, didn't this give you a way out? You now had strikers saying, you know, the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin worker 1. CHAIRPERSON: And after this Lonmin worker 1, this person described as Lonmin worker 1, says what is transcribed here on page 10 of the transcript, did any of the other strikers present disagree or indicate that he didn't agree with what this worker had said? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, as far as I remember - the video can be there – they didn't disagree, but the majority of them, they were referring them at the koppie, and I remember even I said to them [African language] then they said "At the mountain." That's what they said, and that was in majority that, the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the employer. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson – MS BARNES: I think the witness would like to say something. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, even on the same page there on top they still mention the koppie, and I remember very well when I asked them, [African language] and they said "At the koppie." [11:41] MS BARNES: But General, didn't this give you a way out? You now had strikers saying, you know, the koppie is not the only possible location. Didn't this give
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin worker 1. CHAIRPERSON: And after this Lonmin worker 1, this person described as Lonmin worker 1, says what is transcribed here on page 10 of the transcript, did any of the other strikers present disagree or indicate that he didn't agree with what this worker had said? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, as far as I remember - the video can be there – they didn't disagree, but the majority of them, they were referring them at the koppie, and I remember even I said to them [African language] then they said "At the mountain." That's what they said, and that was in majority that, the video can also be clear on that.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the employer. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson – MS BARNES: I think the witness would like to say something. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, even on the same page there on top they still mention the koppie, and I remember very well when I asked them, [African language] and they said "At the koppie." [11:41] MS BARNES: But General, didn't this give you a way out? You now had strikers saying, you know, the koppie is not the only possible location. Didn't this give you a way out on the day?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I'm not sure whether it is the same one. Maybe; I'm not definitely sure whether it is the same one, because the one that spoke on that day, as I testified, is Mr Noki, that I don't know whether it's him that described him as Lonmin worker 1. CHAIRPERSON: And after this Lonmin worker 1, this person described as Lonmin worker 1, says what is transcribed here on page 10 of the transcript, did any of the other strikers present disagree or indicate that he didn't agree with what this worker had said? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, as far as I remember - the video can be there – they didn't disagree, but the majority of them, they were referring them at the koppie, and I remember even I said to them [African language] then they said "At the mountain." That's what they said, and that was in majority that, the video can also be clear on that. MR MATHEBEDI SC: Sorry, Mr Chairman.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	says the employer can address us wherever they want. So there is specifically says we are saying is that you must let us go to the place where we are, and the place where they are at that moment is the koppie. That is where we will give you the weapons. Then you must bring the employer. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I'm sorry, Chairperson – MS BARNES: I think the witness would like to say something. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, even on the same page there on top they still mention the koppie, and I remember very well when I asked them, [African language] and they said "At the koppie." [11:41] MS BARNES: But General, didn't this give you a way out? You now had strikers saying, you know, the koppie is not the only possible location. Didn't this give you a way out on the day? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson.

1	Page 16139 them. There could have been nothing has happened, but for	1	Page 16141 Zokwana and then Mr Mathunjwa on the 15th of August. If you
1	0 11		
2	the fact that they mention any other location, it couldn't	2	look at page 684, paginated page 684, you're having a
3	give me any way out.	3	conversation here with Mr Mathunjwa, and perhaps one should
4	MS BARNES: General, we've heard evidence	4	just go back to 683, just so that you can get the context
5	in relation to Warrant-Officer Kuhn on the 13th, and in	5	and try and recall what you were talking about. You're
6	relation to the teargas that he fired. Now what that	6	telling Mr Mathunjwa about the firearms that the strikers
7	evidence has been, and I think it's accepted to this	7	had gotten hold of and on page 683 you say, "I know there
8	extent, is that Warrant-Officer Kuhn fired the teargas on	8	is one, but there might be 10, there might be 6, and we
9	the 13th on the basis of a command which he said that he	9	understand" –
10	heard, but he could not identify –	10	CHAIRPERSON: That passage isn't the part
11	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I don't think	11	that's being shown on the screen. Perhaps we could go down
12	you can say it's accepted. What is accepted, whether it's	12	a bit.
13	correct or not is a matter we will be in a position, we	13	MS BARNES: Sorry, that's the bottom of
14	hope, to be able to make a finding on at the end, but you	14	page –
15	can't say it's accepted. We're trying to keep an open	15	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you've given us the
16	mind. What is accepted is that Kuhn claimed that he had	16	line number, we'll pick it up. Yes, it's line 29.
17	received an order and which he had obeyed, but there are	17	MS BARNES: You say, "There might be 10,
18	certain problems in respect of that claim which were	18	there might be 6," and then on to the next page you – well,
19	covered in the evidence, but it's too early to say whether	19	if we go down to line 5, Mr Mathunjwa says, "Where did they
20	we accept what he said on the point or reject it.	20	get these firearms?" and then you say, "No, no, remember
21	MS BARNES: Chair, I apologise. I	21	now that thing that happened, first they took police
22	phrased it poorly. I was really referring to Kuhn's	22	firearm," and then Mr Mathunjwa says, "Oh, not one?" and
23	version, that Warrant-Officer Kuhn's version, which seems	23	you then say, "No, no, they did not take one. They did not
24	to be clear, is that he heard a command to fire teargas,	24	take one, and we, we lost our focus and we did not want to
25	but he could not identify where that command had come from.	25	kill people. So that is what we are saying." So it seems
		-	P. P
	Page 16140		Page 16142
1	Page 16140 Is that how you understand his version?	1	Page 16142 to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you
1 2		1 2	5
	Is that how you understand his version?		to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you
2	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,	2	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell
2 3	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave	2 3	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment.
2 3 4	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command.	2 3 4	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so.
2 3 4 5	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]	2 3 4 5	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]
2 3 4 5 6	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all.	2 3 4 5 6	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	2 3 4 5 6 7	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn? CHAIRPERSON: He's dealt with this point	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't take one," and then you said, "We lost focus and we did not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn? CHAIRPERSON: He's dealt with this point in evidence. He said initially he didn't, but when he was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't take one," and then you said, "We lost focus and we did not want to kill people. So that's what we are saying there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn? CHAIRPERSON: He's dealt with this point in evidence. He said initially he didn't, but when he was re-examined by Mr Semenya, I think it was – Mr Ngalwana,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't take one," and then you said, "We lost focus and we did not want to kill people. So that's what we are saying there are State firearms there." And then what Ms Barnes wants
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn? CHAIRPERSON: He's dealt with this point in evidence. He said initially he didn't, but when he was re-examined by Mr Semenya, I think it was – Mr Ngalwana, sorry, yes it was Mr Ngalwana, I remember – when he was re-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't take one," and then you said, "We lost focus and we did not want to kill people. So that's what we are saying there are State firearms there." And then what Ms Barnes wants to know from you is what did you mean when you said "We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn? CHAIRPERSON: He's dealt with this point in evidence. He said initially he didn't, but when he was re-examined by Mr Semenya, I think it was – Mr Ngalwana, sorry, yes it was Mr Ngalwana, I remember – when he was re- examined by Mr Ngalwana, one of the points he made was he'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't take one," and then you said, "We lost focus and we did not want to kill people. So that's what we are saying there are State firearms there." And then what Ms Barnes wants to know from you is what did you mean when you said "We lost focus," unless you're suggesting that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn? CHAIRPERSON: He's dealt with this point in evidence. He said initially he didn't, but when he was re-examined by Mr Semenya, I think it was – Mr Ngalwana, sorry, yes it was Mr Ngalwana, I remember – when he was re- examined by Mr Ngalwana, one of the points he made was he'd thought about it subsequently and he'd come to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't take one," and then you said, "We lost focus and we did not want to kill people. So that's what we are saying there are State firearms there." And then what Ms Barnes wants to know from you is what did you mean when you said "We lost focus," unless you're suggesting that the transcription is wrong, but if you accept the correctness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn? CHAIRPERSON: He's dealt with this point in evidence. He said initially he didn't, but when he was re-examined by Mr Semenya, I think it was – Mr Ngalwana, sorry, yes it was Mr Ngalwana, I remember – when he was re- examined by Mr Ngalwana, one of the points he made was he'd thought about it subsequently and he'd come to the conclusion that disciplinary steps should be taken and he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't take one," and then you said, "We lost focus and we did not want to kill people. So that's what we are saying there are State firearms there." And then what Ms Barnes wants to know from you is what did you mean when you said "We lost focus," unless you're suggesting that the transcription is wrong, but if you accept the correctness of the transcription, she wants to know from you what did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn? CHAIRPERSON: He's dealt with this point in evidence. He said initially he didn't, but when he was re-examined by Mr Semenya, I think it was – Mr Ngalwana, sorry, yes it was Mr Ngalwana, I remember – when he was re- examined by Mr Ngalwana, one of the points he made was he'd thought about it subsequently and he'd come to the conclusion that disciplinary steps should be taken and he was going to do, he was either going to do the necessary or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't take one," and then you said, "We lost focus and we did not want to kill people. So that's what we are saying there are State firearms there." And then what Ms Barnes wants to know from you is what did you mean when you said "We lost focus," unless you're suggesting that the transcription is wrong, but if you accept the correctness of the transcription, she wants to know from you what did you mean by saying "We lost our focus"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn? CHAIRPERSON: He's dealt with this point in evidence. He said initially he didn't, but when he was re-examined by Mr Semenya, I think it was – Mr Ngalwana, sorry, yes it was Mr Ngalwana, I remember – when he was re- examined by Mr Ngalwana, one of the points he made was he'd thought about it subsequently and he'd come to the conclusion that disciplinary steps should be taken and he was going to do, he was either going to do the necessary or had already done so, but certainly that matter has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't take one," and then you said, "We lost focus and we did not want to kill people. So that's what we are saying there are State firearms there." And then what Ms Barnes wants to know from you is what did you mean when you said "We lost focus," unless you're suggesting that the transcription is wrong, but if you accept the correctness of the transcription, she wants to know from you what did you mean by saying "We lost our focus"? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Actually I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn? CHAIRPERSON: He's dealt with this point in evidence. He said initially he didn't, but when he was re-examined by Mr Semenya, I think it was – Mr Ngalwana, sorry, yes it was Mr Ngalwana, I remember – when he was re- examined by Mr Ngalwana, one of the points he made was he'd thought about it subsequently and he'd come to the conclusion that disciplinary steps should be taken and he was going to do, he was either going to do the necessary or had already done so, but certainly that matter has been covered. There may well be other questions you want to ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't take one," and then you said, "We lost focus and we did not want to kill people. So that's what we are saying there are State firearms there." And then what Ms Barnes wants to know from you is what did you mean when you said "We lost focus," unless you're suggesting that the transcription is wrong, but if you accept the correctness of the transcription, she wants to know from you what did you mean by saying "We lost our focus"? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Actually I was only saying to Mr Mathunjwa that they didn't take only one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn? CHAIRPERSON: He's dealt with this point in evidence. He said initially he didn't, but when he was re-examined by Mr Semenya, I think it was – Mr Ngalwana, sorry, yes it was Mr Ngalwana, I remember – when he was re- examined by Mr Ngalwana, one of the points he made was he'd thought about it subsequently and he'd come to the conclusion that disciplinary steps should be taken and he was going to do, he was either going to do the necessary or had already done so, but certainly that matter has been covered. There may well be other questions you want to ask about it, but that's the evidence he gave. I think you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't take one," and then you said, "We lost focus and we did not want to kill people. So that's what we are saying there are State firearms there." And then what Ms Barnes wants to know from you is what did you mean when you said "We lost focus," unless you're suggesting that the transcription is wrong, but if you accept the correctness of the transcription, she wants to know from you what did you mean by saying "We lost our focus"? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Actually I was only saying to Mr Mathunjwa that they didn't take only one firearm, they took more firearm, but not referring any loss
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn? CHAIRPERSON: He's dealt with this point in evidence. He said initially he didn't, but when he was re-examined by Mr Semenya, I think it was – Mr Ngalwana, sorry, yes it was Mr Ngalwana, I remember – when he was re- examined by Mr Ngalwana, one of the points he made was he'd thought about it subsequently and he'd come to the conclusion that disciplinary steps should be taken and he was going to do, he was either going to do the necessary or had already done so, but certainly that matter has been covered. There may well be other questions you want to ask about it, but that's the evidence he gave. I think you weren't with us at the time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't take one," and then you said, "We lost focus and we did not want to kill people. So that's what we are saying there are State firearms there." And then what Ms Barnes wants to know from you is what did you mean when you said "We lost focus," unless you're suggesting that the transcription is wrong, but if you accept the correctness of the transcription, she wants to know from you what did you mean by saying "We lost our focus"? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Actually I was only saying to Mr Mathunjwa that they didn't take only one firearm, they took more firearm, but not referring any loss of focus on what, on the 13th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn? CHAIRPERSON: He's dealt with this point in evidence. He said initially he didn't, but when he was re-examined by Mr Semenya, I think it was – Mr Ngalwana, sorry, yes it was Mr Ngalwana, I remember – when he was re- examined by Mr Ngalwana, one of the points he made was he'd thought about it subsequently and he'd come to the conclusion that disciplinary steps should be taken and he was going to do, he was either going to do the necessary or had already done so, but certainly that matter has been covered. There may well be other questions you want to ask about it, but that's the evidence he gave. I think you weren't with us at the time. MS BARNES: If we can go now to exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't take one," and then you said, "We lost focus and we did not want to kill people. So that's what we are saying there are State firearms there." And then what Ms Barnes wants to know from you is what did you mean when you said "We lost focus," unless you're suggesting that the transcription is wrong, but if you accept the correctness of the transcription, she wants to know from you what did you mean by saying "We lost our focus"? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Actually I was only saying to Mr Mathunjwa that they didn't take only one firearm, they took more firearm, but not referring any loss of focus on what, on the 13th. MS BARNES: General, you say, "And we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Is that how you understand his version? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, with the addition of saying he did not even know who gave the command. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] received a command at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Now my question is simply this, General; did you recommend that disciplinary action be taken against Warrant-Officer Kuhn? CHAIRPERSON: He's dealt with this point in evidence. He said initially he didn't, but when he was re-examined by Mr Semenya, I think it was – Mr Ngalwana, sorry, yes it was Mr Ngalwana, I remember – when he was re- examined by Mr Ngalwana, one of the points he made was he'd thought about it subsequently and he'd come to the conclusion that disciplinary steps should be taken and he was going to do, he was either going to do the necessary or had already done so, but certainly that matter has been covered. There may well be other questions you want to ask about it, but that's the evidence he gave. I think you weren't with us at the time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to us, General, that what you're saying here is that you lost focus on the 13th of August, and I'd like you to tell the Commission what you meant by that comment. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I didn't say so. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Mr Mathunjwa line 10 on this page you are – sorry, I beg your pardon. General Mpembe, sorry, let me get it right, General Mpembe, according to line 10 on this page when Mr Mathunjwa had suggested that only one firearm had been taken, you said, "No, no, they didn't one. They didn't take one," and then you said, "We lost focus and we did not want to kill people. So that's what we are saying there are State firearms there." And then what Ms Barnes wants to know from you is what did you mean when you said "We lost focus," unless you're suggesting that the transcription is wrong, but if you accept the correctness of the transcription, she wants to know from you what did you mean by saying "We lost our focus"? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Actually I was only saying to Mr Mathunjwa that they didn't take only one firearm, they took more firearm, but not referring any loss of focus on what, on the 13th.

1	Page 16143 you got no explanation for what you meant by that comment?	1	Page 16145 decision.
1		1	
2	•	2 3	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: We would like to be
	I'm saying, I didn't mean to have lost focus on the 13th.	3 4	appraised of exactly where it appears in the transcript or a statement that a decision was taken for them to be
4	My evidence to the Commission is, (1), is that I did take a		
5	decision based on the situational appropriateness and to Mr	5	stopped. Perhaps you can refer us to that before you put
6	Mathunjwa here I wanted only to say to him they didn't take	6	the proposition, so that we can be appraised of where it
7	one firearm, they take more firearm, but losing of the	7	emanates from at least.
8	focus, really to me here it, I agree, it doesn't make	8	MS BARNES: I'm going to do that. I
9	sense.	9	simply wanted the witness to know where I was going with
10	MS BARNES: Because General, we've	10	the –
11	analysed the evidence in relation to the 13th and it seems	11	CHAIRPERSON: But you know, the way you
12	to us that what happened on the 13th was that you were in	12	put it was – but never mind, let's move on. I take it
13	fact indecisive and I need you to comment on this, and I'm	13	you're going to refer to the statement of Constable
14	going to –	14	Plaatjie which we were given copies of and which he'd been
15	CHAIRPERSON: Why do you say he was	15	given a copy of. Are you going to rely on anything else?
16	indecisive? On what facts do you base that proposition?	16	MS BARNES: Yes, there are quite a number
17	Because I think he has to know the facts upon which you base it before he can answer you properly.	17 18	of statements that are before the Commission already, which
18			I'm not going to refer to, but these are two new statements
19 20	MS BARNES: Indeed. In the sense that,	19 20	which say the same thing and I'll refer to those now.
20	General, it seems to us, if we look at all the evidence -	20	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well then you must
21 22	and I'll take you through that evidence in a moment – that you first took a decision that the strikers should be	21 22	put them to him so that he can then deal with them, but I understand that you are really saying to us that by way of
22	escorted and you then gave that order. You then changed	22	a preliminary point you put to the witness what you're
23 24	your mind and you took another decision that the strikers	23 24	going to put to him in more detail, you summarised it in
24 25	should in fact be stopped.	24 25	one sentence. But I think before I can expect him to give
25	should in fact be stopped.	23	one sentence. But I think before I can expect him to give
	Page 16144		Page 16146
1	0		
1	CHAIRPERSON: That's not his evidence. I	1	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two
2	CHAIRPERSON: That's not his evidence. I understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to	1 2	5
			an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two
2	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to	2	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want
2 3	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His	2 3	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first?
2 3 4	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take	2 3 4	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new
2 3 4 5	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of	2 3 4 5	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits –
2 3 4 5 6	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over	2 3 4 5 6	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the	2 3 4 5 6 7	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he, that that's an objective fact and that's something he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement of Constable Plaatjie. Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he, that that's an objective fact and that's something he admits. So I think you should reformulate the question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement of Constable Plaatjie. Is that right? MS BARNES: That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he, that that's an objective fact and that's something he admits. So I think you should reformulate the question because as it's presently put I'm not prepared to allow it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement of Constable Plaatjie. Is that right? MS BARNES: That's right. CHAIRPERSON: That will be HHH58.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he, that that's an objective fact and that's something he admits. So I think you should reformulate the question because as it's presently put I'm not prepared to allow it. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement of Constable Plaatjie. Is that right? MS BARNES: That's right. CHAIRPERSON: That will be HHH58. MS BARNES: If we look then first at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he, that that's an objective fact and that's something he admits. So I think you should reformulate the question because as it's presently put I'm not prepared to allow it. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can tell us, Ms Barnes, what evidence it is that you rely on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement of Constable Plaatjie. Is that right? MS BARNES: That's right. CHAIRPERSON: That will be HHH58. MS BARNES: If we look then first at the statement of Constable Zondi, do you have that in front
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he, that that's an objective fact and that's something he admits. So I think you should reformulate the question because as it's presently put I'm not prepared to allow it. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can tell us, Ms Barnes, what evidence it is that you rely on when you allege that he took a decision that they must be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement of Constable Plaatjie. Is that right? MS BARNES: That's right. CHAIRPERSON: That will be HHH58. MS BARNES: If we look then first at the statement of Constable Zondi, do you have that in front of –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he, that that's an objective fact and that's something he admits. So I think you should reformulate the question because as it's presently put I'm not prepared to allow it. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can tell us, Ms Barnes, what evidence it is that you rely on when you allege that he took a decision that they must be stopped. Exactly what are you alluding to there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement of Constable Plaatjie. Is that right? MS BARNES: That's right. CHAIRPERSON: That will be HHH58. MS BARNES: If we look then first at the statement of Constable Zondi, do you have that in front of – CHAIRPERSON: Make sure the witness has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he, that that's an objective fact and that's something he admits. So I think you should reformulate the question because as it's presently put I'm not prepared to allow it. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can tell us, Ms Barnes, what evidence it is that you rely on when you allege that he took a decision that they must be stopped. Exactly what are you alluding to there? MS BARNES: I will deal with the evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement of Constable Plaatjie. Is that right? MS BARNES: That's right. CHAIRPERSON: That will be HHH58. MS BARNES: If we look then first at the statement of Constable Zondi, do you have that in front of – CHAIRPERSON: Make sure the witness has got it. Have you got exhibit HHH57, the statement of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he, that that's an objective fact and that's something he admits. So I think you should reformulate the question because as it's presently put I'm not prepared to allow it. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can tell us, Ms Barnes, what evidence it is that you rely on when you allege that he took a decision that they must be stopped. Exactly what are you alluding to there? MS BARNES: I will deal with the evidence shortly, Commissioner. I didn't suggest that it was this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement of Constable Plaatjie. Is that right? MS BARNES: That's right. CHAIRPERSON: That will be HHH58. MS BARNES: If we look then first at the statement of Constable Zondi, do you have that in front of – CHAIRPERSON: Make sure the witness has got it. Have you got exhibit HHH57, the statement of Constable Zondi, in front of you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he, that that's an objective fact and that's something he admits. So I think you should reformulate the question because as it's presently put I'm not prepared to allow it. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can tell us, Ms Barnes, what evidence it is that you rely on when you allege that he took a decision that they must be stopped. Exactly what are you alluding to there? MS BARNES: I will deal with the evidence shortly, Commissioner. I didn't suggest that it was this witness's version, but what I'm putting to the witness is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement of Constable Plaatjie. Is that right? MS BARNES: That's right. CHAIRPERSON: That will be HHH58. MS BARNES: If we look then first at the statement of Constable Zondi, do you have that in front of – CHAIRPERSON: Make sure the witness has got it. Have you got exhibit HHH57, the statement of Constable Zondi, in front of you? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he, that that's an objective fact and that's something he admits. So I think you should reformulate the question because as it's presently put I'm not prepared to allow it. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can tell us, Ms Barnes, what evidence it is that you rely on when you allege that he took a decision that they must be stopped. Exactly what are you alluding to there? MS BARNES: I will deal with the evidence shortly, Commissioner. I didn't suggest that it was this witness's version, but what I'm putting to the witness is having looked at the evidence, which I will take you to in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement of Constable Plaatjie. Is that right? MS BARNES: That's right. CHAIRPERSON: That will be HHH58. MS BARNES: If we look then first at the statement of Constable Zondi, do you have that in front of – CHAIRPERSON: Make sure the witness has got it. Have you got exhibit HHH57, the statement of Constable Zondi, in front of you? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, I do have it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he, that that's an objective fact and that's something he admits. So I think you should reformulate the question because as it's presently put I'm not prepared to allow it. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can tell us, Ms Barnes, what evidence it is that you rely on when you allege that he took a decision that they must be stopped. Exactly what are you alluding to there? MS BARNES: I will deal with the evidence shortly, Commissioner. I didn't suggest that it was this witness's version, but what I'm putting to the witness is having looked at the evidence, which I will take you to in a moment, that is what we're going to argue that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement of Constable Plaatjie. Is that right? MS BARNES: That's right. CHAIRPERSON: That will be HHH58. MS BARNES: If we look then first at the statement of Constable Zondi, do you have that in front of – CHAIRPERSON: Make sure the witness has got it. Have you got exhibit HHH57, the statement of Constable Zondi, in front of you? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, I do have it. MS BARNES: If you look at paragraph 5 of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he, that that's an objective fact and that's something he admits. So I think you should reformulate the question because as it's presently put I'm not prepared to allow it. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can tell us, Ms Barnes, what evidence it is that you rely on when you allege that he took a decision that they must be stopped. Exactly what are you alluding to there? MS BARNES: I will deal with the evidence shortly, Commissioner. I didn't suggest that it was this witness's version, but what I'm putting to the witness is having looked at the evidence, which I will take you to in a moment, that is what we're going to argue that the Commission should find at the end, is that you took one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement of Constable Plaatjie. Is that right? MS BARNES: That's right. CHAIRPERSON: That will be HHH58. MS BARNES: If we look then first at the statement of Constable Zondi, do you have that in front of – CHAIRPERSON: Make sure the witness has got it. Have you got exhibit HHH57, the statement of Constable Zondi, in front of you? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, I do have it. MS BARNES: If you look at paragraph 5 of that statement it says, "General instructs us to let them,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	understand you may be proposing to put statements to him to that effect, but that's certainly not his evidence. His evidence is quite clear that originally he wanted to take the weapons away, but he decided, applying the principle of situational appropriateness, that if they didn't hand over their weapons he was simply going to escort them to the koppie because otherwise there would be bloodshed, and – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can – CHAIRPERSON: - a Tatane situation. So you can't put it to him as if that's something that he, that that's an objective fact and that's something he admits. So I think you should reformulate the question because as it's presently put I'm not prepared to allow it. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Perhaps you can tell us, Ms Barnes, what evidence it is that you rely on when you allege that he took a decision that they must be stopped. Exactly what are you alluding to there? MS BARNES: I will deal with the evidence shortly, Commissioner. I didn't suggest that it was this witness's version, but what I'm putting to the witness is having looked at the evidence, which I will take you to in a moment, that is what we're going to argue that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	an answer, you should put to him the passages in these two statements upon which you rely, and which one do you want to rely on first? MS BARNES: They will both be new exhibits – CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know, that's why I'm asking you which one do you want to rely on first. MS BARNES: Firstly the statement of Constable Zondi of POP. CHAIRPERSON: That's the handwritten statement, that will be HHH57, and thereafter the statement of Constable Plaatjie. Is that right? MS BARNES: That's right. CHAIRPERSON: That will be HHH58. MS BARNES: If we look then first at the statement of Constable Zondi, do you have that in front of – CHAIRPERSON: Make sure the witness has got it. Have you got exhibit HHH57, the statement of Constable Zondi, in front of you? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, I do have it. MS BARNES: If you look at paragraph 5 of

1	Page 16147 direction and said we must escort them." And then the	1	Page 16149 miners, trying to stop them." Do you have a comment on
2	following paragraph, paragraph 6, the language is a little	2	that paragraph?
3	unclear, but at the outset it says, "Then the consents of	3	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
4	once the group," I assume that means consent, or consensus	4	Chairperson. Chairperson, my comment is still the same to
5	perhaps, "once the group gets in the shacks it will be a	5	that one of Nkosinathi Reginald Zondi and there is also
6	big problem because police are not familiar with the	6	similarity on their statements on HHH57, then paragraph 2
7	shacks," and then comes the important line, "General Mpembe	7	he says that, "On Monday around 12 o'clock midday we got
8	issued a command of blocking the group from going to the	8	instructions from General Mpembe to go and stop the group
9	shacks," and it then goes on, "The first Nyala from the far	9	of people on the railway line who were intending to attack
10	left of the group drove in front and the others followed on	10	other members who were not striking." That's what, how he
11	the right and other police officers on foot." You see	11	interprets it, and I take that is an individual
12	that?	12	interpretation and when you check on page, on paragraph 2,
13	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I see it,	13	he says, "I was officially on duty when we were deployed at
14	Chairperson.	14	Marikana Lonmin. Captain Ngutu was our commander for that
15	MS BARNES: So that particular officer is	14	deployment." Now it is important to indicate here that
16	saying that you issued a command to block the group of	16	that commander is the one that briefed the members. I did
17	strikers. Do you have any comment on that?	17	not brief the members, and the members can also confirm
18	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,	18	that.
19	I do have a comment. My comment will also start from the	19	MS BARNES: Because you'll know, General,
20	evidence that is already before the Commission. As it was	20	that it's of course not just these two statement, but a
20	firstly presented by Adv Madlanga and by the evidence	20	large number of other statements that are already before
22	leaders, it was also presented through the SAPS15, and	22	the Commission, that I'm not going to traverse –
22		22	
23 24	firstly I said I did not brief any junior member personally. Secondly, I decided to go to the, to	23 24	CHAIRPERSON: They were put to him in cross-examination and he dealt with them. The versions put
24 25	intercept, and I gave my reasons thoroughly why I decided.	24 25	in these various statements were conflicting in any event,
25	intercept, and i gave my reasons thorouging why i decided.	25	in these valious statements were connicting in any event,
	Page 16148		Page 16150
1	Page 16148 Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene,	1	Page 16150 but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop
1 2	Page 16148 Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any	1 2	Page 16150 but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points
	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene,		but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop
2	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any	2 3	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points
2 3	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I	2 3	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I
2 3 4	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that	2 3 4	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it.
2 3 4 5	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and –	2 3 4 5	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point,
2 3 4 5 6	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean	2 3 4 5 6	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in
2 3 4 5 6 7	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement?	2 3 4 5 6 7	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and also there was another area of the shaft of the mine on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but of course the one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and also there was another area of the shaft of the mine on the left-hand side. But in that briefing I also gave my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but of course the one that you were just referring to now at HHH58 in para 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and also there was another area of the shaft of the mine on the left-hand side. But in that briefing I also gave my reasons to say my earlier briefing when I arrived on that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but of course the one that you were just referring to now at HHH58 in para 5 talks about General Mpembe instructing them to stop the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and also there was another area of the shaft of the mine on the left-hand side. But in that briefing I also gave my reasons to say my earlier briefing when I arrived on that day is that actually the people who are targeted by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but of course the one that you were just referring to now at HHH58 in para 5 talks about General Mpembe instructing them to stop the strikers, and then the next sentence seems to indicate the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and also there was another area of the shaft of the mine on the left-hand side. But in that briefing I also gave my reasons to say my earlier briefing when I arrived on that day is that actually the people who are targeted by the strikers are those that are reporting on duty because they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but of course the one that you were just referring to now at HHH58 in para 5 talks about General Mpembe instructing them to stop the strikers, and then the next sentence seems to indicate the nature of the stoppage that he envisaged, because it says,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and also there was another area of the shaft of the mine on the left-hand side. But in that briefing I also gave my reasons to say my earlier briefing when I arrived on that day is that actually the people who are targeted by the strikers are those that are reporting on duty because they don't want them to report on duty. Then as a result we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but of course the one that you were just referring to now at HHH58 in para 5 talks about General Mpembe instructing them to stop the strikers, and then the next sentence seems to indicate the nature of the stoppage that he envisaged, because it says, "One Nyala of Public Order Police unit drove after and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and also there was another area of the shaft of the mine on the left-hand side. But in that briefing I also gave my reasons to say my earlier briefing when I arrived on that day is that actually the people who are targeted by the strikers are those that are reporting on duty because they don't want them to report on duty. Then as a result we don't know where are they staying in the informal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but of course the one that you were just referring to now at HHH58 in para 5 talks about General Mpembe instructing them to stop the strikers, and then the next sentence seems to indicate the nature of the stoppage that he envisaged, because it says, "One Nyala of Public Order Police unit drove after and ended up in front of the walking miners, trying to stop
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and also there was another area of the shaft of the mine on the left-hand side. But in that briefing I also gave my reasons to say my earlier briefing when I arrived on that day is that actually the people who are targeted by the strikers are those that are reporting on duty because they don't want them to report on duty. Then as a result we don't know where are they staying in the informal settlement, and I went on very extensive to prove that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but of course the one that you were just referring to now at HHH58 in para 5 talks about General Mpembe instructing them to stop the strikers, and then the next sentence seems to indicate the nature of the stoppage that he envisaged, because it says, "One Nyala of Public Order Police unit drove after and ended up in front of the walking miners, trying to stop them." So it would seem, if this is correct, and I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and also there was another area of the shaft of the mine on the left-hand side. But in that briefing I also gave my reasons to say my earlier briefing when I arrived on that day is that actually the people who are targeted by the strikers are those that are reporting on duty because they don't want them to report on duty. Then as a result we don't know where are they staying in the informal settlement, and I went on very extensive to prove that informal settlement was one of the key point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but of course the one that you were just referring to now at HHH58 in para 5 talks about General Mpembe instructing them to stop the strikers, and then the next sentence seems to indicate the nature of the stoppage that he envisaged, because it says, "One Nyala of Public Order Police unit drove after and ended up in front of the walking miners, trying to stop them." So it would seem, if this is correct, and I'm not sure the witness agrees with it but if there was any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and also there was another area of the shaft of the mine on the left-hand side. But in that briefing I also gave my reasons to say my earlier briefing when I arrived on that day is that actually the people who are targeted by the strikers are those that are reporting on duty because they don't want them to report on duty. Then as a result we don't know where are they staying in the informal settlement, and I went on very extensive to prove that informal settlement was one of the key point. MS BARNES: Alright, if we can look then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but of course the one that you were just referring to now at HHH58 in para 5 talks about General Mpembe instructing them to stop the strikers, and then the next sentence seems to indicate the nature of the stoppage that he envisaged, because it says, "One Nyala of Public Order Police unit drove after and ended up in front of the walking miners, trying to stop them." So it would seem, if this is correct, and I'm not sure the witness agrees with it but if there was any instruction conveyed to the commanders which they may have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and also there was another area of the shaft of the mine on the left-hand side. But in that briefing I also gave my reasons to say my earlier briefing when I arrived on that day is that actually the people who are targeted by the strikers are those that are reporting on duty because they don't want them to report on duty. Then as a result we don't know where are they staying in the informal settlement, and I went on very extensive to prove that informal settlement was one of the key point. MS BARNES: Alright, if we can look then at the next statement, that's the statement from Constable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but of course the one that you were just referring to now at HHH58 in para 5 talks about General Mpembe instructing them to stop the strikers, and then the next sentence seems to indicate the nature of the stoppage that he envisaged, because it says, "One Nyala of Public Order Police unit drove after and ended up in front of the walking miners, trying to stop them." So it would seem, if this is correct, and I'm not sure the witness agrees with it but if there was any instruction conveyed to the commanders which they may have passed on, it related to stopping by blocking rather than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and also there was another area of the shaft of the mine on the left-hand side. But in that briefing I also gave my reasons to say my earlier briefing when I arrived on that day is that actually the people who are targeted by the strikers are those that are reporting on duty because they don't want them to report on duty. Then as a result we don't know where are they staying in the informal settlement, and I went on very extensive to prove that informal settlement was one of the key point. MS BARNES: Alright, if we can look then at the next statement, that's the statement from Constable Plaatjie, similarly at paragraph 5 of that statement he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but of course the one that you were just referring to now at HHH58 in para 5 talks about General Mpembe instructing them to stop the strikers, and then the next sentence seems to indicate the nature of the stoppage that he envisaged, because it says, "One Nyala of Public Order Police unit drove after and ended up in front of the walking miners, trying to stop them." So it would seem, if this is correct, and I'm not sure the witness agrees with it but if there was any instruction conveyed to the commanders which they may have passed on, it related to stopping by blocking rather than stopping by firing teargas or anything else.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and also there was another area of the shaft of the mine on the left-hand side. But in that briefing I also gave my reasons to say my earlier briefing when I arrived on that day is that actually the people who are targeted by the strikers are those that are reporting on duty because they don't want them to report on duty. Then as a result we don't know where are they staying in the informal settlement, and I went on very extensive to prove that informal settlement was one of the key point. MS BARNES: Alright, if we can look then at the next statement, that's the statement from Constable Plaatjie, similarly at paragraph 5 of that statement he says the following, "While General Mpembe was addressing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but of course the one that you were just referring to now at HHH58 in para 5 talks about General Mpembe instructing them to stop the strikers, and then the next sentence seems to indicate the nature of the stoppage that he envisaged, because it says, "One Nyala of Public Order Police unit drove after and ended up in front of the walking miners, trying to stop them." So it would seem, if this is correct, and I'm not sure the witness agrees with it but if there was any instruction conveyed to the commanders which they may have passed on, it related to stopping by blocking rather than stopping by firing teargas or anything else. [12:01] MS BARNES: General, the other relevant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thirdly, I only briefed the commanders there on the scene, and secondly, and then lastly that I did not give any member the command of any other, but the briefing that I gave to the commanders was that we should make sure that the protesters, strikers, do not enter the koppie and – CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you mean koppie. You mean the informal settlement? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Informal settlement, thanks, Chairperson. Informal settlement, and also there was another area of the shaft of the mine on the left-hand side. But in that briefing I also gave my reasons to say my earlier briefing when I arrived on that day is that actually the people who are targeted by the strikers are those that are reporting on duty because they don't want them to report on duty. Then as a result we don't know where are they staying in the informal settlement, and I went on very extensive to prove that informal settlement was one of the key point. MS BARNES: Alright, if we can look then at the next statement, that's the statement from Constable Plaatjie, similarly at paragraph 5 of that statement he says the following, "While General Mpembe was addressing the crowd they stand up and walk away. General Mpembe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but he dealt with them fully already. I don't want to stop you from raising a new point, but if it's simply the points that have been put to him already, then I don't think I should allow it. MS BARNES: I'm simply making the point, Chair, that the common thread in these statements and in the two new statements that I've introduced today is officers hearing an instruction by yourself to stop the strikers. That's the common threat. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but of course the one that you were just referring to now at HHH58 in para 5 talks about General Mpembe instructing them to stop the strikers, and then the next sentence seems to indicate the nature of the stoppage that he envisaged, because it says, "One Nyala of Public Order Police unit drove after and ended up in front of the walking miners, trying to stop them." So it would seem, if this is correct, and I'm not sure the witness agrees with it but if there was any instruction conveyed to the commanders which they may have passed on, it related to stopping by blocking rather than stopping by firing teargas or anything else. [12:01] MS BARNES: General, the other relevant piece of evidence on this point, we submit, is the

Page 16151 1 statement, this is where Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak refers 2 to arriving at the scene on the 13th, after the incident had 3 taken place, and he then says that police officers speak to 4 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:	Daga 1/152
2to arriving at the scene on the 13th, after the incident had2for what Vermaak describes having3taken place, and he then says that police officers speak to3MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:	Page 16153 ness has any explanation
3 taken place, and he then says that police officers speak to 3 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:	
	Chairperson –
4 him, and are very angry and upset with you, so much so that 4 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry,	let me ask a few
5 they in fact threatened your life, and they say, the 5 questions first, hopefully I can clear	
6 statement is in Afrikaans, but the important words are 6 you aware of the fact that some of	
8 because you gave the incorrect command, so the Afrikaans 8 said that to Lieutenant-Colonel Verr	-
9 words are "verkeerde opdragte" and the English translation 9 they blamed you for the fact that th	
10 would be "the incorrect command." Now, my question to you 10 because you had given wrong comm	-
11 is, why would these officers be threatening your life if 11 that complaint had been raised by t	hem against you to
12 you haven't in fact given any command? 12 Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak?	
13MR MATHIBEDI SC:Sorry, Mr Chairman,13MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:	No, Chairperson,
14 before the witness answers, what were the incorrect 14 but what I was aware of and I state	
15 commands that were allegedly given by the witness before he 15 that Lieutenant-Colonel Merafe was	not happy with my
16can answer.16decision of letting the strikers to go	-
17 CHAIRPERSON: All it's suggested as you 17 over, and I even explain it in details	
18say, it is eight lines from the foot of that paragraph18actually the strikers should have be	en dispersed. But that
19 where Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak is saying what he was told 19 they complained, I didn't know, but	what I do know,
20 after he landed, and presumably alighted from his20 Chairperson, again is that Lieutenar	nt-Colonel Vermaak while
21 helicopter. Then he says that some of the members were 21 I arrive on the scene, he said, "my	life is danger." But
22 very, very angry, mentioned to him that they blamed him for 22 he never explained to me that there	e were members that were
23 the members who were dead, "en dat hy verkeerde opdragte 23 complaining about me.	
24 gegee het," and that he gave wrong commands. Now that's 24 CHAIRPERSON: Ms Ba	rnes, I think it is
25 very vague. It's not clear what wrong commands in their 25 clear the witness can't take this ma	tter any further. It
Page 16152	Page 16154
1 opinion had been given. There are various possibilities, 1 may well be a matter for argumer	•
2 but I think you should ask the witness whether he's able to 2 subsequent witnesses may tell us	
3 comment at all. 3 think we are profitably engaged in	
4 MS BARNES: Yes, General – 4 this witness effectively to guess w	hat these people meant
5 CHAIRPERSON: Certainly, it's not clear 5 MS BARNES: I am h	appy to move on at this
5CHAIRPERSON:Certainly, it's not clear5MS BARNES:I am h6that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there6stage, Chair, thank you. General,	appy to move on at this
	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to
6 that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there 6 stage, Chair, thank you. General,	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the
 6 that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there 7 were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the 6 stage, Chair, thank you. General, 7 some of the events at Potchefstro 	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the
 6 that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there 7 were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the 8 witness. Let's give him a chance to answer. 6 stage, Chair, thank you. General, 7 some of the events at Potchefstro 8 16th. Now the Potchefstroom me 	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right?
 6 that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there 7 were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the 8 witness. Let's give him a chance to answer. 9 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: For example, one 6 stage, Chair, thank you. General, 7 some of the events at Potchefstrom me 8 16th. Now the Potchefstroom me 9 27th of August to the 7th of Septer 	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct,
 6 that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there 7 were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the 8 witness. Let's give him a chance to answer. 9 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: For example, one 10 of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm 11 them, I don't want a situation here, that's another 6 stage, Chair, thank you. General, 7 some of the events at Potchefstroom me 8 16th. Now the Potchefstroom me 9 27th of August to the 7th of Septe 10 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE 11 Chairperson, if I can also check the 	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct,
 6 that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there 7 were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the 8 witness. Let's give him a chance to answer. 9 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: For example, one 10 of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm 11 them, I don't want a situation here, that's another 6 stage, Chair, thank you. General, 7 some of the events at Potchefstroom me 8 16th. Now the Potchefstroom me 9 27th of August to the 7th of Septe 10 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE 11 Chairperson, if I can also check the 	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct, ne call ups. ups are exhibits
 6 that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there 7 were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the 8 witness. Let's give him a chance to answer. 9 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: For example, one 10 of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm 11 them, I don't want a situation here, that's another 12 CHAIRPERSON: Call 	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct, e call ups. ups are exhibits elevant statistics at my
 6 that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there 7 were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the 8 witness. Let's give him a chance to answer. 9 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: For example, one 9 of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm 10 of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm 11 them, I don't want a situation here, that's another 12 command. 13 MS BARNES: Yes, the commands aren't 6 stage, Chair, thank you. General, 7 some of the events at Potchefstroom me 8 16th. Now the Potchefstroom me 9 27th of August to the 7th of Septe 10 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE 11 Chairperson, if I can also check the 12 CHAIRPERSON: Call 13 MS BARNES: Yes, the commands aren't 	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct, he call ups. ups are exhibits elevant statistics at my te quickly. Do we have
 6 that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there 7 were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the 8 witness. Let's give him a chance to answer. 9 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: For example, one 9 of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm 10 of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm 11 them, I don't want a situation here, that's another 12 chairperson, if I can also check the 13 MS BARNES: Yes, the commands aren't 14 identified. I am simply putting the – putting what was 	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct, the call ups. ups are exhibits elevant statistics at my te quickly. Do we have om my friends. Do we
 6 that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there 7 were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the 8 witness. Let's give him a chance to answer. 9 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: For example, one 9 of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm 10 of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm 10 them, I don't want a situation here, that's another 11 chairperson, if I can also check the 12 chairperson. if I can also check the 13 MS BARNES: Yes, the commands aren't 14 identified. I am simply putting the – putting what was 15 stated to Vermaak to the witness, and perhaps he has some 	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct, e call ups. ups are exhibits elevant statistics at my te quickly. Do we have om my friends. Do we ing to stop you, if you've
 6 that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there 7 were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the 8 witness. Let's give him a chance to answer. 9 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: For example, one 9 of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm 10 them, I don't want a situation here, that's another 11 them, I don't want a situation here, that's another 12 CHAIRPERSON: Call 13 MS BARNES: Yes, the commands aren't 14 identified. I am simply putting the – putting what was 15 stated to Vermaak to the witness, and perhaps he has some 16 sort of explanation for this. 	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct, the call ups. ups are exhibits elevant statistics at my te quickly. Do we have om my friends. Do we ing to stop you, if you've ke it but I don't know that
 6 that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there 7 were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the 8 witness. Let's give him a chance to answer. 9 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: For example, one 9 of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm 10 them, I don't want a situation here, that's another 11 them, I don't want a situation here, that's another 12 CHAIRPERSON: Call 13 MS BARNES: Yes, the commands aren't 14 identified. I am simply putting the – putting what was 15 stated to Vermaak to the witness, and perhaps he has some 16 sort of explanation for this. 17 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: But you don't want 	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct, e call ups. ups are exhibits elevant statistics at my te quickly. Do we have om my friends. Do we ing to stop you, if you've ke it but I don't know that or are necessary for the
 6 that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there 7 were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the 8 witness. Let's give him a chance to answer. 9 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: For example, one 9 of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm 10 of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm 10 them, I don't want a situation here, that's another 11 them, I don't want a situation here, that's another 12 CHAIRPERSON: Call 13 MS BARNES: Yes, the commands aren't 14 identified. I am simply putting the – putting what was 15 stated to Vermaak to the witness, and perhaps he has some 16 sort of explanation for this. 17 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: But you don't want 18 to identify which command because this one is vague. There 6 stage, Chair, thank you. General, 7 some of the events at Potchefstrom 8 atted to Vermaak because this one is vague. There 10 stated to vermaak because this one is vague. There 	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct, e call ups. ups are exhibits elevant statistics at my te quickly. Do we have om my friends. Do we ing to stop you, if you've ke it but I don't know that or are necessary for the ng on that, you will
 6 that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there 7 were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the 8 witness. Let's give him a chance to answer. 9 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: For example, one 9 of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm 11 them, I don't want a situation here, that's another 12 command. 13 MS BARNES: Yes, the commands aren't 14 identified. I am simply putting the – putting what was 15 stated to Vermaak to the witness, and perhaps he has some 16 stage, Chair, thank you. General, 7 some of the events at Potchefstrom me 9 27th of August to the 7th of Septe 10 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE 11 Chairperson, if I can also check the 12 CHAIRPERSON: Call 13 before us, I mean, I haven't the r 14 finger tips, but I can get them quit 15 to spend time, with assistance, from 16 have to ask the witness. I am go 17 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: But you don't want 18 to identify which command because this one is vague. There 19 are so many commands. He says, "I can't confront them." 20 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But doesn't this 	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct, e call ups. ups are exhibits elevant statistics at my te quickly. Do we have om my friends. Do we ing to stop you, if you've ke it but I don't know that or are necessary for the ng on that, you will
6that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the witness. Let's give him a chance to answer.6stage, Chair, thank you. General, r some of the events at Potchefstrom 89MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm them, I don't want a situation here, that's another command.116th. Now the Potchefstroom me 911them, I don't want a situation here, that's another command.10MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE13MS BARNES: stated to Vermaak to the witness, and perhaps he has some sort of explanation for this.12CHAIRPERSON: call14to identify which command because this one is vague. The are so many commands. He says, "I can't confront them."17got a point to make you must mail the exact details that you asked for point to be made, but if I am wro correct me, I think. What exactly about the call ups?	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct, e call ups. ups are exhibits elevant statistics at my te quickly. Do we have om my friends. Do we ing to stop you, if you've ke it but I don't know that or are necessary for the ng on that, you will do you want to know
6that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the witness. Let's give him a chance to answer.6stage, Chair, thank you. General, some of the events at Potchefstrom B9MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm them, I don't want a situation here, that's another command.927th of August to the 7th of Septe D10of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm them, I don't want a situation here, that's another command.10MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE11them, I don't want a situation here, that's another to command.12CHAIRPERSON: Call13MS BARNES: stated to Vermaak to the witness, and perhaps he has some sort of explanation for this.13before us, I mean, I haven't the r finger tips, but I can get them qui to spend time, with assistance, from have to ask the witness. I am go got a point to make you must mail to identify which command because this one is vague. There are so many commands. He says, "I can't confront them." 2016have to ask the witness. I am go got a point to make you must mail the exact details that you asked for point to be made, but if I am wro correct me, I think. What exactly about the call ups?21whole point rather emanate from the differences of opinion 22MS BARNES:Well, I	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct, the call ups. ups are exhibits elevant statistics at my te quickly. Do we have om my friends. Do we ing to stop you, if you've ke it but I don't know that or are necessary for the ing on that, you will do you want to know
6that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the witness. Let's give him a chance to answer.6stage, Chair, thank you. General, some of the events at Potchefstrom me9MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm them, I don't want a situation here, that's another command.10MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, if I can also check th 1213MS BARNES: stated to Vermaak to the witness, and perhaps he has some to identify which command because this one is vague. The are so many commands. He says, "I can't confront them."13before us, I mean, I haven't the r the wat the says, "I can't confront them." 2021whole point rather emanate from the differences of opinion 22between Colonel Merafe and this witness?22MS BARNES: 22Well, I about the call ups?23MS BARNES: MS BARNES:Commissioner, I – the23Ms just clar	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct, e call ups. ups are exhibits elevant statistics at my te quickly. Do we have om my friends. Do we ing to stop you, if you've ke it but I don't know that or are necessary for the ng on that, you will do you want to know
6that the wrong commands that they complained of, if there were any, were the ones that you are now putting to the witness. Let's give him a chance to answer.6stage, Chair, thank you. General, some of the events at Potchefstrom me9MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: of the comments was that escort them, you can't disarm them, I don't want a situation here, that's another10MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE me11them, I don't want a situation here, that's another command.10MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE13MS BARNES: stated to Vermaak to the witness, and perhaps he has some sort of explanation for this.11Chairperson, if I can also check the tinger tips, but I can get them qui to spend time, with assistance, from to spend time, with assistance, from to identify which command because this one is vague. There are so many commands. He says, "I can't confront them."10some of the events at Potchefstrom some of the events at Potchefstrom me 2020COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: whole point rather emanate from the differences of opinion 22between Colonel Merafe and this witness?2023MS BARNES: MS BARNES:Commissioner, I – the23about the call ups. I was just clar	appy to move on at this if we can now turn to om after the events of the eting took place from the ember, is that right? : Correct, e call ups. ups are exhibits elevant statistics at my te quickly. Do we have om my friends. Do we ing to stop you, if you've ke it but I don't know that or are necessary for the ng on that, you will do you want to know ifying the date, the heral. I don't think they

	Page 16155		Page 16157
1	to a portion of your evidence -	1	of your involved in the 13th, gathered together in a
2	CHAIRPERSON: The dates are on record,	2	separate room and produced a narrative of what happened on
3	they extend over a period, it is on record.	3	the 13th.
4	MS BARNES: Indeed, I am going to be	4	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I said it before,
5	asking the witness some questions about his involvement in	5	and I said it yes, Chairperson.
6	Potchefstroom, so I just wanted to orientate, to help to	6	MS BARNES: And that is in fact the modus
7	orientate the General as well, with regard to those dates.	7	operandi at Potchefstroom, that individuals that had been
8	Now in your evidence-in-chief you testified about the	8	involved in particular events would go – form themselves
9	events of Potchefstroom, and I need to take you to a	9	into breakaway groups, and they would then produce a
10	particular passage, it's page 11168 of the transcript.	10	written narrative of the events as far as they could recall
11	Okay, that's perfect, it's line 5 on that page, and you say	11	them. Is that how it worked?
12	the following, "Chairperson, he earlier on, I said at	12	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,
13	Potchefstroom, we gathered all those members that took part	13	if you says modus operandi I only know of the group where I
14	on the 13th, and during that discussion that's when Warrant	14	was involved. I didn't take part to other groups but maybe
15	Officer Kuhn was asked in the presence of the members	15	other groups could have done it in a different way, I am
16	there, and that's - and then he said he heard an order but	16	only, if my answer on "yes," is only with that group of the
17	he could not know who gave the order or whose voice was	17	13th.
18	that." It's probably not necessary for me to go on any	18	MS BARNES: Do you remember when this
19	further. What I am really trying to establish here is, not	19	particular session took place at Potchefstroom?
20	so much what happened in relation to Warrant Officer Koen	20	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: As I indicated
21	here, but the session that you are referring to here, are	21	before, yes, Chairperson, according to the call ups.
22	you referring to a session where all the police officers	22	MS BARNES: Yes, but this particular
23	that were involved in the events of the 13th, got together	23	sessions where a narrative was produced, in relation to the
24	in a breakaway session, so to speak, and discussed what had	24	13th, do you remember when that happened or at least whether
25	happened and put together a narrative, is that what you are	25	it was in the first week or the second week?
1	Page 16156	1	Page 16158
1	referring to there?	1	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first
2	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	2	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week.
	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think		MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just
2 3 4	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that	2 3 4	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative
2 3 4 5	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I	2 3 4 5	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards
2 3 4 5 6	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want –	2 3 4 5 6	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the
2 3 4 5 6 7	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12,	2 3 4 5 6 7	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson,
2 3 4 5 6 7	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to	2 3 4 5 6 7	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over the radio and all of them would have heard it and only one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the information that you have, the information that you have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over the radio and all of them would have heard it and only one person wouldn't have fired a tear gas canister," and so on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over the radio and all of them would have heard it and only one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the information that you have, the information that you have a personal knowledge of. But there was no an agreed version.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over the radio and all of them would have heard it and only one person wouldn't have fired a tear gas canister," and so on. So that's all clearly there stated. You in fact showed us	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the information that you have, the information that you have a personal knowledge of. But there was no an agreed version. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Would all of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over the radio and all of them would have heard it and only one person wouldn't have fired a tear gas canister," and so on. So that's all clearly there stated. You in fact showed us your diary in which you had made contemporaneous notes on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the information that you have, the information that you have a personal knowledge of. But there was no an agreed version. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Would all of this be written down somewhere? Would there be a recording of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over the radio and all of them would have heard it and only one person wouldn't have fired a tear gas canister," and so on. So that's all clearly there stated. You in fact showed us your diary in which you had made contemporaneous notes on the points as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the information that you have, the information that you have a personal knowledge of. But there was no an agreed version. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Would all of this be written down somewhere? Would there be a recording of what everyone was saying? Was it put on paper?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over the radio and all of them would have heard it and only one person wouldn't have fired a tear gas canister," and so on. So that's all clearly there stated. You in fact showed us your diary in which you had made contemporaneous notes on the points as well. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the information that you have, the information that you have a personal knowledge of. But there was no an agreed version. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Would all of this be written down somewhere? Would there be a recording of what everyone was saying? Was it put on paper? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over the radio and all of them would have heard it and only one person wouldn't have fired a tear gas canister," and so on. So that's all clearly there stated. You in fact showed us your diary in which you had made contemporaneous notes on the points as well. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks, Chairperson, I didn't see the one where Captain Taupe said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the information that you have, the information that you have a personal knowledge of. But there was no an agreed version. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Would all of this be written down somewhere? Would there be a recording of what everyone was saying? Was it put on paper? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, it will be given on paper, and thereafter we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over the radio and all of them would have heard it and only one person wouldn't have fired a tear gas canister," and so on. So that's all clearly there stated. You in fact showed us your diary in which you had made contemporaneous notes on the points as well. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks, Chairperson, I didn't see the one where Captain Taupe said I did. I just wanted to -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the information that you have, the information that you have a personal knowledge of. But there was no an agreed version. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Would all of this be written down somewhere? Would there be a recording of what everyone was saying? Was it put on paper? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, it will be given on paper, and thereafter we go back and we give to Colonel Visser and what is given to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over the radio and all of them would have heard it and only one person wouldn't have fired a tear gas canister," and so on. So that's all clearly there stated. You in fact showed us your diary in which you had made contemporaneous notes on the points as well. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks, Chairperson, I didn't see the one where Captain Taupe said I did. I just wanted to - CHAIRPERSON: Because you weren't looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the information that you have, the information that you have a personal knowledge of. But there was no an agreed version. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Would all of this be written down somewhere? Would there be a recording of what everyone was saying? Was it put on paper? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, it will be given on paper, and thereafter we go back and we give to Colonel Visser and what is given to Colonel Visser, the one that comes from the group, he will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over the radio and all of them would have heard it and only one person wouldn't have fired a tear gas canister," and so on. So that's all clearly there stated. You in fact showed us your diary in which you had made contemporaneous notes on the points as well. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks, Chairperson, I didn't see the one where Captain Taupe said I did. I just wanted to - CHAIRPERSON: Because you weren't looking at line 12, and now I draw your attention to it, you see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the information that you have, the information that you have a personal knowledge of. But there was no an agreed version. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Would all of this be written down somewhere? Would there be a recording of what everyone was saying? Was it put on paper? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, it will be given on paper, and thereafter we go back and we give to Colonel Visser and what is given to Colonel Visser, the one that comes from the group, he will say, but this point is not in there, and it will be put in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over the radio and all of them would have heard it and only one person wouldn't have fired a tear gas canister," and so on. So that's all clearly there stated. You in fact showed us your diary in which you had made contemporaneous notes on the points as well. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks, Chairperson, I didn't see the one where Captain Taupe said I did. I just wanted to - CHAIRPERSON: Because you weren't looking at line 12, and now I draw your attention to it, you see it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the information that you have, the information that you have a personal knowledge of. But there was no an agreed version. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Would all of this be written down somewhere? Would there be a recording of what everyone was saying? Was it put on paper? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, it will be given on paper, and thereafter we go back and we give to Colonel Visser and what is given to Colonel Visser, the one that comes from the group, he will say, but this point is not in there, and it will be put in. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over the radio and all of them would have heard it and only one person wouldn't have fired a tear gas canister," and so on. So that's all clearly there stated. You in fact showed us your diary in which you had made contemporaneous notes on the points as well. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks, Chairperson, I didn't see the one where Captain Taupe said I did. I just wanted to - CHAIRPERSON: Because you weren't looking at line 12, and now I draw your attention to it, you see it. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the information that you have, the information that you have a personal knowledge of. But there was no an agreed version. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Would all of this be written down somewhere? Would there be a recording of what everyone was saying? Was it put on paper? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, it will be given on paper, and thereafter we go back and we give to Colonel Visser and what is given to Colonel Visser, the one that comes from the group, he will say, but this point is not in there, and it will be put in. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. MS BARNES: But General, isn't it correct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	referring to there? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. But I also wanted to correct there, I think it's line 13, because I did indicate to the Commission that Captain Taupe said I did give the order but there he says I did not. I just want – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he says, line 12, "General, I heard you giving the order." And you then said to him, "I did not give the order," then you want to explain, if you had given the order it would have been over the radio and all of them would have heard it and only one person wouldn't have fired a tear gas canister," and so on. So that's all clearly there stated. You in fact showed us your diary in which you had made contemporaneous notes on the points as well. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks, Chairperson, I didn't see the one where Captain Taupe said I did. I just wanted to - CHAIRPERSON: Because you weren't looking at line 12, and now I draw your attention to it, you see it. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It was the first week. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can I just understand that when this group met was there a narrative that, was there something that was written down as regards what was agreed on as being the events that occurred on the 13th? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what was happening there is that each and every one was expected to give information as far as you know, the information that you have, the information that you have a personal knowledge of. But there was no an agreed version. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Would all of this be written down somewhere? Would there be a recording of what everyone was saying? Was it put on paper? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, it will be given on paper, and thereafter we go back and we give to Colonel Visser and what is given to Colonel Visser, the one that comes from the group, he will say, but this point is not in there, and it will be put in. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. MS BARNES: But General, isn't it correct that this session produced a document that, I call it a

Г

	Page 16159		Page 16161
1	this group sat down and produced a document which said what	1	not all 70, so approximately how many would it have been?
2	happened on the 13th?	2	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I can
3	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson,	3	also, I can also know them by their call up instruction on
4	what I say is that you were supposed to be together and you	4	that day and the commanders, they can be four, or more than
5	compiled the information as far as your personal knowledge	5	five.
6	is concerned, and you will also make sure that is being	6	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, let's four or five or
7	given to the last document, that's what I am saying. But	7	a few more? Right?
8	it is not an agreed document.	8	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes.
9	MS BARNES: Are you saying that everybody	9	CHAIRPERSON: Now we know that Koen was
10	in the session would write down what they thought happened?	10	one of them and we know Captain Taupe was another.
11	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: What has happened	11	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, and also
12	according to their personal knowledge.	12	Lieutenant-Colonel Merafe.
13	CHAIRPERSON: I am not sure I understand	13	And Lieutenant Colonel Merafe and –
14	this. I can understand you had various breakaway sessions	14	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And –
15	and the people who were involved in a particular aspect of	15	CHAIRPERSON: Was Lieutenant-Colonel
16	the matter, would go away into a particular breakaway	16	Vermaak there as well?
17	session and discuss that aspect, and would there be someone	17	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: He was also there.
18	whose job it was to be the rapporteur of what was discussed	18	CHAIRPERSON: Also part of that group?
19	at that session, a duty to, when you went back into the	19	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: He was also part
20	main section, to report what had come out at the breakaway	20	of that group.
21	session, was it the duty of that person to rapport what was	21	CHAIRPERSON: And anybody else that you
22	said or did each person report separately or how did it	22	can remember?
23	work? You know, what often happens in this kind of	23	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: There was also a
24	situation where you have breakaway sessions, in the	24	Captain that was coming from POP in Johannesburg.
25	breakaway session somebody is appointed as the rapporteur,	25	CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
1	Page 16160	1	Page 16162
1	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the	1	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel
2	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary	2	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there.
	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said		MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch
2 3 4	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things,	2 3 4	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions
2 3 4 5	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it	2 3 4 5	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now
2 3 4 5 6	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway	2 3 4 5 6	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session,
2 3 4 5 6 7	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and	2 3 4 5 6 7	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage which we see on the screen? Sorry, before you answer that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what happened is that we will go and sit and we discuss it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what happened is that we will go and sit and we discuss it amongst ourselves and while we discuss it amongst
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage which we see on the screen? Sorry, before you answer that, perhaps something else you could answer, which will help us	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what happened is that we will go and sit and we discuss it amongst ourselves and while we discuss it amongst ourselves, then we will go back and when we go back, each
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage which we see on the screen? Sorry, before you answer that, perhaps something else you could answer, which will help us to follow what happened, this breakaway session, this is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what happened is that we will go and sit and we discuss it amongst ourselves and while we discuss it amongst
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage which we see on the screen? Sorry, before you answer that, perhaps something else you could answer, which will help us to follow what happened, this breakaway session, this is a breakaway session, is it that you are talking about, in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what happened is that we will go and sit and we discuss it amongst ourselves and while we discuss it amongst ourselves, then we will go back and when we go back, each one will present and hence my notes I took them on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage which we see on the screen? Sorry, before you answer that, perhaps something else you could answer, which will help us to follow what happened, this breakaway session, this is a breakaway session, is it that you are talking about, in the passage that we have before us? Is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what happened is that we will go and sit and we discuss it amongst ourselves and while we discuss it amongst ourselves, then we will go back and when we go back, each one will present and hence my notes I took them on the diary which I also submitted to this Commission and when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage which we see on the screen? Sorry, before you answer that, perhaps something else you could answer, which will help us to follow what happened, this breakaway session, this is a breakaway session, is it that you are talking about, in the passage that we have before us? Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what happened is that we will go and sit and we discuss it amongst ourselves and while we discuss it amongst ourselves, then we will go back and when we go back, each one will present and hence my notes I took them on the diary which I also submitted to this Commission and when the report is done, actually individually they will go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage which we see on the screen? Sorry, before you answer that, perhaps something else you could answer, which will help us to follow what happened, this breakaway session, this is a breakaway session, is it that you are talking about, in the passage that we have before us? Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what happened is that we will go and sit and we discuss it amongst ourselves and while we discuss it amongst ourselves, then we will go back and when we go back, each one will present and hence my notes I took them on the diary which I also submitted to this Commission and when the report is done, actually individually they will go to Colonel Visser, and Colonel Visser, we don't talk, we go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage which we see on the screen? Sorry, before you answer that, perhaps something else you could answer, which will help us to follow what happened, this breakaway session, this is a breakaway session, is it that you are talking about, in the passage that we have before us? Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Now, obviously all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what happened is that we will go and sit and we discuss it amongst ourselves and while we discuss it amongst ourselves, then we will go back and when we go back, each one will present and hence my notes I took them on the diary which I also submitted to this Commission and when the report is done, actually individually they will go to Colonel Visser, and Colonel Visser, we don't talk, we go to Colonel Visser, he types what you – and then Colonel Visser
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage which we see on the screen? Sorry, before you answer that, perhaps something else you could answer, which will help us to follow what happened, this breakaway session, this is a breakaway session, is it that you are talking about, in the passage that we have before us? Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Now, obviously all the people who were with you at the railway line, weren't at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what happened is that we will go and sit and we discuss it amongst ourselves and while we discuss it amongst ourselves, then we will go back and when we go back, each one will present and hence my notes I took them on the diary which I also submitted to this Commission and when the report is done, actually individually they will go to Colonel Visser, and Colonel Visser, we don't talk, we go to Colonel Visser, he types what you – and then Colonel Visser will put it on the slide and then now all of us will take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage which we see on the screen? Sorry, before you answer that, perhaps something else you could answer, which will help us to follow what happened, this breakaway session, this is a breakaway session, is it that you are talking about, in the passage that we have before us? Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Now, obviously all the people who were with you at the railway line, weren't at Roots. Weren't at Potchefstroom, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what happened is that we will go and sit and we discuss it amongst ourselves and while we discuss it amongst ourselves, then we will go back and when we go back, each one will present and hence my notes I took them on the diary which I also submitted to this Commission and when the report is done, actually individually they will go to Colonel Visser, and Colonel Visser, we don't talk, we go to Colonel Visser, he types what you – and then Colonel Visser will put it on the slide and then now all of us will take part and other one will say but my point is not in there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage which we see on the screen? Sorry, before you answer that, perhaps something else you could answer, which will help us to follow what happened, this breakaway session, this is a breakaway session, is it that you are talking about, in the passage that we have before us? Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Now, obviously all the people who were with you at the railway line, weren't at Roots. Weren't at Potchefstroom, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what happened is that we will go and sit and we discuss it amongst ourselves and while we discuss it amongst ourselves, then we will go back and when we go back, each one will present and hence my notes I took them on the diary which I also submitted to this Commission and when the report is done, actually individually they will go to Colonel Visser, and Colonel Visser, we don't talk, we go to Colonel Visser, he types what you – and then Colonel Visser will put it on the slide and then now all of us will take part and other one will say but my point is not in there, and one will also say your point is not in there. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage which we see on the screen? Sorry, before you answer that, perhaps something else you could answer, which will help us to follow what happened, this breakaway session, this is a breakaway session, is it that you are talking about, in the passage that we have before us? Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Now, obviously all the people who were with you at the railway line, weren't at Roots. Weren't at Potchefstroom, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. Only the commanders.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what happened is that we will go and sit and we discuss it amongst ourselves and while we discuss it amongst ourselves, then we will go back and when we go back, each one will present and hence my notes I took them on the diary which I also submitted to this Commission and when the report is done, actually individually they will go to Colonel Visser, and Colonel Visser, we don't talk, we go to Colonel Visser, he types what you – and then Colonel Visser will put it on the slide and then now all of us will take part and other one will say but my point is not in there, and one will also say your point is not in there. And that's the kind of rapporteur that took place.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it's his job or her job to compile the report of the breakaway session and then we all go back in to the plenary session, then the rapporteur then rapports what was said and then obviously some people then add extra things, that's one way breakaway sessions work. Another way it could work, I suppose, that each person at the breakaway session could write his own notes of what happened, and then everyone can go back and say what they had recorded. Now, can you remember how this particular breakaway session worked, the one that you were talking about in the passage which we see on the screen? Sorry, before you answer that, perhaps something else you could answer, which will help us to follow what happened, this breakaway session, this is a breakaway session, is it that you are talking about, in the passage that we have before us? Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Now, obviously all the people who were with you at the railway line, weren't at Roots. Weren't at Potchefstroom, correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. Only the commanders. CHAIRPERSON: So how many people took	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And also Colonel Silwane was there. CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps over the lunch adjournment you can have a look at the call up instructions and try to refresh your memory further on the matter. Now where somebody – was somebody at this breakaway session, was somebody acting as a kind of rapporteur or chairperson to get from the various members what they had to contribute on the particular topic that was under discussion? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, what happened is that we will go and sit and we discuss it amongst ourselves and while we discuss it amongst ourselves, then we will go back and when we go back, each one will present and hence my notes I took them on the diary which I also submitted to this Commission and when the report is done, actually individually they will go to Colonel Visser, he types what you – and then Colonel Visser will put it on the slide and then now all of us will take part and other one will say but my point is not in there, and one will also say your point is not in there. And that's the kind of rapporteur that took place. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, is it convenient

11th November 2013

	Page 16163		Page 16165
1	CHAIRPERSON: We will take a comfort	1	Chairperson.
2	break for ten minutes.	2	CHAIRPERSON: And presumably there were a
3	[COMMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]	3	number of breakaway sessions.
4	[12:32] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I	4	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: There were a
5	thought we'd taken too long a comfort break, which is why I	5	number of breakaway sessions according even to the dates.
6	came back as soon as I saw the General at his place, but	6	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, and, but some of
7	I'm afraid that I was precipitate, I came in too early	7	these –
8	because Ms Barnes wasn't with us. But fortunately she has	8	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: And the incidents.
9	now arrived and if we give her a minute, she will be in her	9	CHAIRPERSON: - these breakaway sessions
10	place and then she can continue. Did I tell you, you're	10	took place in parallel, I take it. One group went to one
11	already under oath? If I didn't, I'm telling you now.	11	room or –
12	WILLIAM MPEMBE: s.u.o.	12	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,
13	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, I'm sorry I came	13	and the other group went to a –
14	back too early. Please forgive me; I didn't intend to	14	CHAIRPERSON: Another group, if they were
15	embarrass you, but would you like to carry on with your	15	people who were not involved in the first group but
16	cross-examination?	16	involved in another one, they went somewhere else.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS BARNES (CONTD.):	17	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
18	Yes, thank you, Chair. So General, in relation to the	18	Chairperson.
19	breakaway session that we've been talking about in relation	19	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, and then the groups
20	to the 13th, are you telling this Commission that there	20	came back.
21	wasn't at the end of that session one document produced	21	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Came back –
22	that compiled all the notes from the various police	22	CHAIRPERSON: Colonel Visser was in –
23	officers present into one –	23	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: He was sitting in
24	CHAIRPERSON: No, he's saying the	24	the main room where feedback was going to be given; each
25	opposite. What he said was, unless I misunderstood him,	25	and every individual will go to him, and type what you were
	Page 16164		Page 16166
1	that people told their, contributed their inputs to the	1	telling him, and thereafter then Colonel Visser and the
2	discussions, that Colonel Visser typed it out. Isn't that	2	others, they produce a document.
3	right? It is what you said, wasn't it? Or did I	3	MS BARNES: Is that the input fed into
4	misunderstand? Is that right, General?	4	the actual police presentation through Colonel Visser? Is
5	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	5	that what you're saying? You see, what I'm really just
6	Chairperson.	6	trying to understand, General, is whether there was a
7	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, so at the end of the	7	document at some stage that was produced out of each
8 9	exercise there would have been a document typed by Colonel Visser, including the inputs that came from the various	8 9	breakaway session, which was separate from the police
9 10	people. Is that correct also?	9 10	presentation. Do you follow? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: As I'm saying is
11	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	10	that each individual went to Colonel Visser and there
12	Chairperson.	12	Colonel Visser type that feedback.
12	MS BARNES: Was Colonel Visser in the	12	CHAIRPERSON: You see, but the next
14	breakaway session?	14	question which Ms Barnes is asking you – perhaps I should
	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, he didn't say that.	15	say trying to ask you – is at the end of it all, at the end
15	or and Encontraction into a not not not not not unit out that.		of your group that was taking part in the discussion that
15 16	He said –	16	
16	He said – MS BARNES: Well, that's what I'm trying	16 17	
	MS BARNES: Well, that's what I'm trying	17	we've just been busy with, for what happened on the 13th
16 17	MS BARNES: Well, that's what I'm trying to confirm –		we've just been busy with, for what happened on the 13th near the railway line and so on, when Colonel Visser was
16 17 18	MS BARNES: Well, that's what I'm trying to confirm – CHAIRPERSON: - people broke away and	17 18	we've just been busy with, for what happened on the 13th near the railway line and so on, when Colonel Visser was finished with getting all the inputs from the people who
16 17 18 19 20	MS BARNES: Well, that's what I'm trying to confirm – CHAIRPERSON: - people broke away and then when they came back – is that correct? – from the	17 18 19 20	we've just been busy with, for what happened on the 13th near the railway line and so on, when Colonel Visser was finished with getting all the inputs from the people who spoke about that – and there were only about five or six of
16 17 18 19	MS BARNES: Well, that's what I'm trying to confirm – CHAIRPERSON: - people broke away and then when they came back – is that correct? – from the	17 18 19	we've just been busy with, for what happened on the 13th near the railway line and so on, when Colonel Visser was finished with getting all the inputs from the people who spoke about that – and there were only about five or six of them, I think you said four or five of them – then
16 17 18 19 20 21	MS BARNES: Well, that's what I'm trying to confirm – CHAIRPERSON: - people broke away and then when they came back – is that correct? – from the breakaway session? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.	17 18 19 20 21	we've just been busy with, for what happened on the 13th near the railway line and so on, when Colonel Visser was finished with getting all the inputs from the people who spoke about that – and there were only about five or six of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS BARNES: Well, that's what I'm trying to confirm – CHAIRPERSON: - people broke away and then when they came back – is that correct? – from the breakaway session? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.	17 18 19 20 21 22	we've just been busy with, for what happened on the 13th near the railway line and so on, when Colonel Visser was finished with getting all the inputs from the people who spoke about that – and there were only about five or six of them, I think you said four or five of them – then obviously there was a document which he, the completed
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	MS BARNES: Well, that's what I'm trying to confirm – CHAIRPERSON: - people broke away and then when they came back – is that correct? – from the breakaway session? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Back into as, what one can	 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	we've just been busy with, for what happened on the 13th near the railway line and so on, when Colonel Visser was finished with getting all the inputs from the people who spoke about that – and there were only about five or six of them, I think you said four or five of them – then obviously there was a document which he, the completed document which he typed out. Now did that go straight into

	Page 16167		Page 16169
1	answer to that because you weren't involved, but as far as	1	document to be marked as an exhibit. You propose to
2	you could see was that a document that went straight into	2	substitute for it a better document which will have the
3	the presentation, or as far as you could see was that a	3	benefit of your inputs and those of presumably your
4	document that was then used for the compilation of the	4	colleague who's sitting next to you over the lunch
5	police presentation? You understand what I'm asking you?	5	adjournment. Is that correct?
6	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.	6	MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. So if
7	What I don't know is that after we have done that,	7	one has a look at this document, you have it in front of
8	precisely what happened I do not know, but Colonel Visser	8	you now, General?
9	will explain, but even there one will indicate what has	9	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I have it in
10	happened individually. Whether it formed part or it	10	front of me, Chairperson.
11	didn't, but some of the others, on the final presentation I	11	MS BARNES: Have you seen this document
12	did see them that these were coming from the breakaway	12	before?
13	group.	13	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I've seen it this
14	MS BARNES: Well, perhaps we can take	14	morning, 6 o'clock.
15	you, General, then if you would, to exhibit JJJ140. This	15	MS BARNES: You never saw this document
16	is a document which is entitled "A chronological order of	16	at Potchefstroom?
17	the notes for the incident," and then just below that	17	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: As I've said is
18	there's a heading, "The 13th, Monday, 2012." Now what we	18	that how we compiled the documentations and everything, yes
19	have established is that this document was created and	19	I do know the contents of what could have been there at
20	saved on the 28th of August 2012, and in order to establish	20	Potchefstroom.
21	that we have produced a document which indicates the	21	MS BARNES: I'd like to refer you in
22	properties of this narrative, which we'd like to introduce	22	particular –
23	as a new exhibit.	23	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, could I just ask a
24	CHAIRPERSON: This is the document headed	24	question before you carry on? Does this look like the
25	"Chronological order of the notes for the incident."	25	document which Colonel Visser typed?
	Page 16168		Page 16170
1	Page 16168 MS BARNES: Ja.	1	Page 16170 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
1 2		1 2	-
	MS BARNES: Ja.		MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
2	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a –	2	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson.
2 3	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to	2 3	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a
2 3 4	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I	2 3 4	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all
2 3 4 5	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just –	2 3 4 5	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have
2 3 4 5 6	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document	2 3 4 5 6	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in,	2 3 4 5 6 7	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It is the one that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It is the one that was typed by Colonel Visser.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties for exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronological	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It is the one that was typed by Colonel Visser. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties for exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronological order of the notes to the incident," "13th, Monday, 2012,"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:It does look as if it's adocument that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later.been corrected later.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:It is the one thatwas typed by Colonel Visser.It is the one thatCHAIRPERSON:Alright, thank you.MS BARNES:If you look at the second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties for exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronological order of the notes to the incident," "13th, Monday, 2012," and then "Incidents" –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It is the one that was typed by Colonel Visser. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. MS BARNES: If you look at the second page of the document, the second paragraph, it says the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties for exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronological order of the notes to the incident," "13th, Monday, 2012," and then "Incidents" – MS BARNES: Yes, so that is the –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It is the one that was typed by Colonel Visser. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. MS BARNES: If you look at the second page of the document, the second paragraph, it says the following, "General Mpembe gave instructions" –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties for exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronological order of the notes to the incident," "13th, Monday, 2012," and then "Incidents" – MS BARNES: Yes, so that is the – CHAIRPERSON: And then on top of that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.It does look as if it's aCHAIRPERSON:It does look as if it's adocument that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:It is the one thatwas typed by Colonel Visser.It is the one thatCHAIRPERSON:Alright, thank you.MS BARNES:If you look at the secondpage of the document, the second paragraph, it says thefollowing, "General Mpembe gave instructions" –CHAIRPERSON:Sorry, let's wait for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties for exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronological order of the notes to the incident," "13th, Monday, 2012," and then "Incidents" – MS BARNES: Yes, so that is the – CHAIRPERSON: And then on top of that is a, superimposed as it were, is a document which I take it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.It does look as if it's aCHAIRPERSON:It does look as if it's adocument that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would havebeen corrected later.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:It is the one thatwas typed by Colonel Visser.CHAIRPERSON:Alright, thank you.MS BARNES:If you look at the secondpage of the document, the second paragraph, it says thefollowing, "General Mpembe gave instructions" –CHAIRPERSON:Sorry, let's wait for thepage to be shown to us on the screen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS BARNES:Ja.CHAIRPERSON:There's a –MS BARNES:I apologise. There seems tobe some confusion with that particular document, so Iwonder if I might just –CHAIRPERSON:Well, I've got a documentin my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in,which is headed, the main heading is "Document propertiesfor exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronologicalorder of the notes to the incident," "13th, Monday, 2012,"and then "Incidents" –MS BARNES:Yes, so that is the –CHAIRPERSON:And then on top of that isa, superimposed as it were, is a document which I take itsets out the properties for that document, and there some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.It does look as if it's aCHAIRPERSON:It does look as if it's adocument that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would havebeen corrected later.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:It is the one thatwas typed by Colonel Visser.CHAIRPERSON:Alright, thank you.MS BARNES:If you look at the secondpage of the document, the second paragraph, it says thefollowing, "General Mpembe gave instructions" –CHAIRPERSON:Sorry, let's wait for thepage to be shown to us on the screen.MS BARNES:Perhaps it will be useful to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties for exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronological order of the notes to the incident," "13th, Monday, 2012," and then "Incidents" – MS BARNES: Yes, so that is the – CHAIRPERSON: And then on top of that is a, superimposed as it were, is a document which I take it sets out the properties for that document, and there some kind person, thoughtful person, has put a red circle around	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Correct,Chairperson.It does look as if it's aCHAIRPERSON:It does look as if it's adocument that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later.MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:It is the one thatwas typed by Colonel Visser.It is the one thatCHAIRPERSON:Alright, thank you.MS BARNES:If you look at the secondpage of the document, the second paragraph, it says thefollowing, "General Mpembe gave instructions" –CHAIRPERSON:Sorry, let's wait for thepage to be shown to us on the screen.MS BARNES:Perhaps it will be useful tostart with the first paragraph.It says, "The General warn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties for exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronological order of the notes to the incident," "13th, Monday, 2012," and then "Incidents" – MS BARNES: Yes, so that is the – CHAIRPERSON: And then on top of that is a, superimposed as it were, is a document which I take it sets out the properties for that document, and there some kind person, thoughtful person, has put a red circle around the sections that deal with the date it was created, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It is the one that was typed by Colonel Visser. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. MS BARNES: If you look at the second page of the document, the second paragraph, it says the following, "General Mpembe gave instructions" – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, let's wait for the page to be shown to us on the screen. MS BARNES: Perhaps it will be useful to start with the first paragraph. It says, "The General warn them that by count of 10 they will be forced to surrender
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties for exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronological order of the notes to the incident," "13th, Monday, 2012," and then "Incidents" – MS BARNES: Yes, so that is the – CHAIRPERSON: And then on top of that is a, superimposed as it were, is a document which I take it sets out the properties for that document, and there some kind person, thoughtful person, has put a red circle around the sections that deal with the date it was created, the date it was modified, the date it was accessed. Now is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It is the one that was typed by Colonel Visser. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. MS BARNES: If you look at the second page of the document, the second paragraph, it says the following, "General Mpembe gave instructions" – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, let's wait for the page to be shown to us on the screen. MS BARNES: Perhaps it will be useful to start with the first paragraph. It says, "The General warn them that by count of 10 they will be forced to surrender the dangerous weapon. They did not listen to the General
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties for exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronological order of the notes to the incident," "13th, Monday, 2012," and then "Incidents" – MS BARNES: Yes, so that is the – CHAIRPERSON: And then on top of that is a, superimposed as it were, is a document which I take it sets out the properties for that document, and there some kind person, thoughtful person, has put a red circle around the sections that deal with the date it was created, the date it was modified, the date it was accessed. Now is that the document you want to put in? MS BARNES: That is indeed the document that we provided, but some confusion has since arisen in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It is the one that was typed by Colonel Visser. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. MS BARNES: If you look at the second page of the document, the second paragraph, it says the following, "General Mpembe gave instructions" – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, let's wait for the page to be shown to us on the screen. MS BARNES: Perhaps it will be useful to start with the first paragraph. It says, "The General warn them that by count of 10 they will be forced to surrender the dangerous weapon. They did not listen to the General and they decided to take the other direction leading
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties for exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronological order of the notes to the incident," "13th, Monday, 2012," and then "Incidents" – MS BARNES: Yes, so that is the – CHAIRPERSON: And then on top of that is a, superimposed as it were, is a document which I take it sets out the properties for that document, and there some kind person, thoughtful person, has put a red circle around the sections that deal with the date it was created, the date it was modified, the date it was accessed. Now is that the document you want to put in? MS BARNES: That is indeed the document	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It is the one that was typed by Colonel Visser. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. MS BARNES: If you look at the second page of the document, the second paragraph, it says the following, "General Mpembe gave instructions" – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, let's wait for the page to be shown to us on the screen. MS BARNES: Perhaps it will be useful to start with the first paragraph. It says, "The General warn them that by count of 10 they will be forced to surrender the dangerous weapon. They did not listen to the General and they decided to take the other direction leading towards the nearby settlement. They were followed towards
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties for exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronological order of the notes to the incident," "13th, Monday, 2012," and then "Incidents" – MS BARNES: Yes, so that is the – CHAIRPERSON: And then on top of that is a, superimposed as it were, is a document which I take it sets out the properties for that document, and there some kind person, thoughtful person, has put a red circle around the sections that deal with the date it was created, the date it was modified, the date it was accessed. Now is that the document you want to put in? MS BARNES: That is indeed the document that we provided, but some confusion has since arisen in relation to that document, which I would appreciate an opportunity to sort out, if I could perhaps do that over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It is the one that was typed by Colonel Visser. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. MS BARNES: If you look at the second page of the document, the second paragraph, it says the following, "General Mpembe gave instructions" – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, let's wait for the page to be shown to us on the screen. MS BARNES: Perhaps it will be useful to start with the first paragraph. It says, "The General warn them that by count of 10 they will be forced to surrender the dangerous weapon. They did not listen to the General and they decided to take the other direction leading towards the nearby settlement. They were followed towards the settlement." Then it says, "General Mpembe gave
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties for exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronological order of the notes to the incident," "13th, Monday, 2012," and then "Incidents" – MS BARNES: Yes, so that is the – CHAIRPERSON: And then on top of that is a, superimposed as it were, is a document which I take it sets out the properties for that document, and there some kind person, thoughtful person, has put a red circle around the sections that deal with the date it was created, the date it was modified, the date it was accessed. Now is that the document you want to put in? MS BARNES: That is indeed the document that we provided, but some confusion has since arisen in relation to that document, which I would appreciate an opportunity to sort out, if I could perhaps do that over lunchtime and then indicate –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It is the one that was typed by Colonel Visser. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. MS BARNES: If you look at the second page of the document, the second paragraph, it says the following, "General Mpembe gave instructions" – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, let's wait for the page to be shown to us on the screen. MS BARNES: Perhaps it will be useful to start with the first paragraph. It says, "The General warn them that by count of 10 they will be forced to surrender the dangerous weapon. They did not listen to the General and they decided to take the other direction leading towards the nearby settlement. They were followed towards the settlement." Then it says, "General Mpembe gave instruction to corner the strikers in order to disarm and arrest them. After the instruction of cornering them was announced, the police ran after the strikers and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MS BARNES: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: There's a – MS BARNES: I apologise. There seems to be some confusion with that particular document, so I wonder if I might just – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've got a document in my hand which says, which you gave me before we came in, which is headed, the main heading is "Document properties for exhibit JJJ140," and then it starts, "Chronological order of the notes to the incident," "13th, Monday, 2012," and then "Incidents" – MS BARNES: Yes, so that is the – CHAIRPERSON: And then on top of that is a, superimposed as it were, is a document which I take it sets out the properties for that document, and there some kind person, thoughtful person, has put a red circle around the sections that deal with the date it was created, the date it was modified, the date it was accessed. Now is that the document you want to put in? MS BARNES: That is indeed the document that we provided, but some confusion has since arisen in relation to that document, which I would appreciate an opportunity to sort out, if I could perhaps do that over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: It does look as if it's a document that was typed straight out because there are all sorts of little mistakes in it, which I take it would have been corrected later. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It is the one that was typed by Colonel Visser. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. MS BARNES: If you look at the second page of the document, the second paragraph, it says the following, "General Mpembe gave instructions" – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, let's wait for the page to be shown to us on the screen. MS BARNES: Perhaps it will be useful to start with the first paragraph. It says, "The General warn them that by count of 10 they will be forced to surrender the dangerous weapon. They did not listen to the General and they decided to take the other direction leading towards the nearby settlement. They were followed towards the settlement." Then it says, "General Mpembe gave instruction to corner the strikers in order to disarm and arrest them. After the instruction of cornering them was

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 16171 settlement." So I take it, General, that if you had seen this at Potchefstroom, you would have objected and said that's absolutely not true? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I want to put it again on record in my answer, I said to this Commission what was said in my presence and I denied it in my presence. I even said that, I even mentioned the name of the officer who said I gave the instruction, and it is there on my record as you quote it in before. I am the type of a commissioner who's not oppressing the information as it comes, but it has to be proven. MS BARNES: I take it, General, that as the police presentation, which as we now know is exhibit L, was produced at Potchefstroom, it was obviously compiled over time. It was reviewed and amended from time to time at Potchefstroom. I take it you would have been involved in at least some of those reviews. Is that right? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I did say the last time that I was there it was when I gave the information and the latest information is when it was presented to the Commission, and it was not even presented under oath. It was presented by Colonel Visser. He was not under oath, and it was also presented by, I think he's also Lieutenant- Colonel by then, Scott, and they did present it not under oath.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 16173MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:I said,Chairperson, I was not satisfied. The only issue that I raise in the presence of everybody, and not only in Potchefstroom, even in the Commission during my evidence- in-chief I did reveal that even the officers said I did give an instruction. which I said I did not.MS BARNES:Yes, but General, didn't that take place in the breakaway session in the first week that we've just talked about, where that allegation was made by Captain Tupe and you denied it? Didn't that happen in the breakaway session?MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE:Chairperson, I said it's both ways. It is in the breakaway and the information it was also filtered again, but I couldn't stop Captain Tupe to say this is what he said, but what I said in Potchefstroom and even in this Commission is myself who revealed that what Captain Tupe said. I didn't hide it, and I said I did not give an instruction. If I gave any person instruction, I could have done so through the radio, which everybody could have heard.MS BARNES:If we could try and focus specifically on the two occasions in the second week of Potchefstroom where the presentation was made to the commanders. Right, you've just confirmed that you were at both of those presentations.
23 24 25	Page 16172 MS BARNES: General, I'm not – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] wasn't under oath doesn't mean very much because presumably they wouldn't have told something different if it had been under oath, as opposed to not being under oath, but you're correct, it was presented not as evidence but really as preliminary evidential material to enable us to proceed with our work. That's what you're saying, is it? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Yes, General, I'm not talking about the stage at which the presentation was presented to this Commission, but I'm still talking about Potchefstroom. Colonel Scott in his evidence said that in the second week of Potchefstroom the presentation as it then was, was presented twice. Were you at either of those presentations? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. MS BARNES: Both? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, but there were other documents that they do have which I did not see, but the presentations, I did see them. MS BARNES: And you were satisfied with the presentation at that stage insofar as it related to yourself at Marikana. Is that right?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 16174 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, in my presence maybe they will have to look at the attendance if there was any other one, because there were days that I could not attend, but I need to state clearly that in Potchefstroom I did say that I did not give the command, and even in the Commission in, during my evidence-in-chief I did say what Captain Tupe said there, even if it's captured by Colonel Visser, but I still denied it, and in the Commission and even there I said if I gave a command to Warrant-Officer Kuhn or to whomever, it could have been during the, through the radio and everybody could have heard it. CHAIRPERSON: Presumably more than one person would have fired teargas too. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson? CHAIRPERSON: I say and presumably if you'd given the command over the radio and everyone had heard it, more than one person would have fired teargas. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: I understand. I understand your evidence, General. I'm really just trying to establish in the second week of Potchefstroom when the presentation was made to you and the other generals, the people that had been in charge, you were satisfied at that

			D
1	Page 16175 stage that it correctly reflected the position as it	1	Page 16177 Chairperson.
2	related to you?	2	MS BARNES: Now was that the stage at
3	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I say	3	which you arrived at the scene at which the killings had
4	it for the third time, no, with reference to the	4	just taken place?
5	instruction. I said it there, no, even in the Commission,	5	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It is on the
6	no, and I didn't hide it to the Commission because I know	6	scene, yes.
7	that if it does appear it will seem as if I wanted to hide	7	MS BARNES: And when you arrived at that
8	it.	8	point where the killings had taken place, it was - I'm just
9	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, I've got a	9	trying to get the timelines correct – that's when you, it
10	problem with this. I don't want to stop you asking the	10	was clear to you at that stage that the police were no
11	question because I think I see the point you're trying to	11	longer under attack. Is that correct?
12	make and I don't want to hamper you, but you know, we're	12	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before he answers
13	trying to find the truth here and what we do know is that	13	the question, I don't think it's appropriate to say he
14	earlier, which Mr Chaskalson referred to when he cross-	14	arrived on the scene. The fact is he was there all the
15	examined Colonel Scott, earlier drafts of the presentation	15	time, wasn't he? I'm not quite sure whether "arrived"
16	do reflect a statement, do contain a statement that the	16	covers what you want to put, but the way it was put isn't
17	General gave this instruction, but the final version	17	entirely accurate.
18	doesn't. So at some point a decision was taken to delete	18	MS BARNES: Well, you arrived at the
19	it, and that seems to fit in with the point that he	19	particular spot where the police officers had been killed.
20	contended that this information was incorrect. But as I	20	Is that the stage you're referring to in that passage?
21	say, I don't want to stop you if you've got meaningful	21	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I was walking with
22	focussed questions on the point. You obviously have the	22	the police all along, so I don't know whether I arrived at
23	fullest right to put them, but the point was of course made	23	a particular place, but I was all the time with the police
24	by Mr Chaskalson, he covered with, admittedly not with this	24	and even when the attack takes place I was always with the
25	witness, with Colonel Scott, he covered the previous drafts	25	police. I didn't go back to the JOC or to sit in the
	Page 16176		Page 16178
1	Page 16176 and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear	1	Page 16178 office.
1 2	0	1 2	-
	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear		office.
2	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave	2	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your
2 3	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts	2 3	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the
2 3 4	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he	2 3 4	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence.
2 3 4 5	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to	2 3 4 5	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you
2 3 4 5 6	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he	2 3 4 5 6	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the
2 3 4 5 6 7	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give	2 3 4 5 6 7	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it. MS BARNES: Chair, I'm happy to move on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed. [12:52] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: The statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it. MS BARNES: Chair, I'm happy to move on. If we can go to the transcript, General, day 103 at page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed. [12:52] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: The statement doesn't indicate that I was arriving, I said at the scene. So this indicates that I was always there with the police. Maybe I don't understand the question, but my understanding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it. MS BARNES: Chair, I'm happy to move on. If we can go to the transcript, General, day 103 at page 11093 – CHAIRPERSON: Do you have that page, General?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed. [12:52] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: The statement doesn't indicate that I was arriving, I said at the scene. So this indicates that I was always there with the police. Maybe I don't understand the question, but my understanding is that I was always with the police so even if when the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it. MS BARNES: Chair, I'm happy to move on. If we can go to the transcript, General, day 103 at page 11093 – CHAIRPERSON: Do you have that page, General? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do have it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed. [12:52] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: The statement doesn't indicate that I was arriving, I said at the scene. So this indicates that I was always there with the police. Maybe I don't understand the question, but my understanding is that I was always with the police so even if when the scene happened it happened within my full-blown view.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it. MS BARNES: Chair, I'm happy to move on. If we can go to the transcript, General, day 103 at page 11093 – CHAIRPERSON: Do you have that page, General? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do have it, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed. [12:52] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: The statement doesn't indicate that I was arriving, I said at the scene. So this indicates that I was always there with the police. Maybe I don't understand the question, but my understanding is that I was always with the police so even if when the scene happened it happened within my full-blown view. MS BARNES: At what stage did you say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it. MS BARNES: Chair, I'm happy to move on. If we can go to the transcript, General, day 103 at page 11093 – CHAIRPERSON: Do you have that page, General? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do have it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: 11093, I just need to find	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed. [12:52] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: The statement doesn't indicate that I was arriving, I said at the scene. So this indicates that I was always there with the police. Maybe I don't understand the question, but my understanding is that I was always with the police so even if when the scene happened it happened within my full-blown view. MS BARNES: At what stage did you say that the members should not follow the protesters because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it. MS BARNES: Chair, I'm happy to move on. If we can go to the transcript, General, day 103 at page 11093 – CHAIRPERSON: Do you have that page, General? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do have it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: 11093, I just need to find the line, if you could go down the page. Yes, line 13 you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed. [12:52] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: The statement doesn't indicate that I was arriving, I said at the scene. So this indicates that I was always there with the police. Maybe I don't understand the question, but my understanding is that I was always with the police so even if when the scene happened it happened within my full-blown view. MS BARNES: At what stage did you say that the members should not follow the protesters because by that time you could see that there was no longer an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it. MS BARNES: Chair, I'm happy to move on. If we can go to the transcript, General, day 103 at page 11093 – CHAIRPERSON: Do you have that page, General? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do have it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: 11093, I just need to find the line, if you could go down the page. Yes, line 13 you say, "Chairperson, the emotions were extremely high, but as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed. [12:52] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: The statement doesn't indicate that I was arriving, I said at the scene. So this indicates that I was always there with the police. Maybe I don't understand the question, but my understanding is that I was always with the police so even if when the scene happened it happened within my full-blown view. MS BARNES: At what stage did you say that the members should not follow the protesters because by that time you could see that there was no longer an attack.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it. MS BARNES: Chair, I'm happy to move on. If we can go to the transcript, General, day 103 at page 11093 – CHAIRPERSON: Do you have that page, General? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do have it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: 11093, I just need to find the line, if you could go down the page. Yes, line 13 you say, "Chairperson, the emotions were extremely high, but as a senior officer at the scene I did take control, cool up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed. [12:52] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: The statement doesn't indicate that I was arriving, I said at the scene. So this indicates that I was always there with the police. Maybe I don't understand the question, but my understanding is that I was always with the police so even if when the scene happened it happened within my full-blown view. MS BARNES: At what stage did you say that the members should not follow the protesters because by that time you could see that there was no longer an attack. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it. MS BARNES: Chair, I'm happy to move on. If we can go to the transcript, General, day 103 at page 11093 – CHAIRPERSON: Do you have that page, General? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do have it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: 11093, I just need to find the line, if you could go down the page. Yes, line 13 you say, "Chairperson, the emotions were extremely high, but as a senior officer at the scene I did take control, cool up the members and I said that the members should not follow	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed. [12:52] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: The statement doesn't indicate that I was arriving, I said at the scene. So this indicates that I was always there with the police. Maybe I don't understand the question, but my understanding is that I was always with the police so even if when the scene happened it happened within my full-blown view. MS BARNES: At what stage did you say that the members should not follow the protesters because by that time you could see that there was no longer an attack. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, that's when immediately after I was told by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it. MS BARNES: Chair, I'm happy to move on. If we can go to the transcript, General, day 103 at page 11093 – CHAIRPERSON: Do you have that page, General? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do have it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: 11093, I just need to find the line, if you could go down the page. Yes, line 13 you say, "Chairperson, the emotions were extremely high, but as a senior officer at the scene I did take control, cool up the members and I said that the members should not follow the protesters because by that time I could see that there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed. [12:52] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: The statement doesn't indicate that I was arriving, I said at the scene. So this indicates that I was always there with the police. Maybe I don't understand the question, but my understanding is that I was always with the police so even if when the scene happened it happened within my full-blown view. MS BARNES: At what stage did you say that the members should not follow the protesters because by that time you could see that there was no longer an attack. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, that's when immediately after I was told by Colonel Vermaak that my life was in danger and I also said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it. MS BARNES: Chair, I'm happy to move on. If we can go to the transcript, General, day 103 at page 11093 – CHAIRPERSON: Do you have that page, General? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do have it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: 11093, I just need to find the line, if you could go down the page. Yes, line 13 you say, "Chairperson, the emotions were extremely high, but as a senior officer at the scene I did take control, cool up the members and I said that the members should not follow the protesters because by that time I could see that there is no more any attack and I said that we should withdraw	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed. [12:52] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: The statement doesn't indicate that I was arriving, I said at the scene. So this indicates that I was always there with the police. Maybe I don't understand the question, but my understanding is that I was always with the police so even if when the scene happened it happened within my full-blown view. MS BARNES: At what stage did you say that the members should not follow the protesters because by that time you could see that there was no longer an attack. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, that's when immediately after I was told by Colonel Vermaak that my life was in danger and I also said we should no more follow the protesters.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it. MS BARNES: Chair, I'm happy to move on. If we can go to the transcript, General, day 103 at page 11093 – CHAIRPERSON: Do you have that page, General? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do have it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: 11093, I just need to find the line, if you could go down the page. Yes, line 13 you say, "Chairperson, the emotions were extremely high, but as a senior officer at the scene I did take control, cool up the members and I said that the members should not follow the protesters because by that time I could see that there is no more any attack and I said that we should withdraw and go back to the JOC." You see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed. [12:52] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: The statement doesn't indicates that I was arriving, I said at the scene. So this indicates that I was always there with the police. Maybe I don't understand the question, but my understanding is that I was always with the police so even if when the scene happened it happened within my full-blown view. MS BARNES: At what stage did you say that the members should not follow the protesters because by that time you could see that there was no longer an attack. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, that's when immediately after I was told by Colonel Vermaak that my life was in danger and I also said we should no more follow the protesters. MS BARNES: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	and so forth, and there is a point beyond which it's clear that it is not the police version that General Mpembe gave the instruction. A previous assertion in earlier drafts was clearly abandoned and withdrawn. So presumably he wasn't involved in that, so he's not the right person to ask about it, but that does confirm what he says, that he clearly stated at all material times that he didn't give that instruction. But if I'm wrong on that you must correct me, because that's the way I see it. MS BARNES: Chair, I'm happy to move on. If we can go to the transcript, General, day 103 at page 11093 – CHAIRPERSON: Do you have that page, General? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I do have it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: 11093, I just need to find the line, if you could go down the page. Yes, line 13 you say, "Chairperson, the emotions were extremely high, but as a senior officer at the scene I did take control, cool up the members and I said that the members should not follow the protesters because by that time I could see that there is no more any attack and I said that we should withdraw	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	office. CHAIRPERSON: You haven't turned your machine on. Repeat what you said. It wasn't recorded, the last sentence. MS BARNES: I'm not suggesting that you left the scene. I'm simply asking you to confirm that the stage you're referring to there is the stage at which you arrived, or walked up to the spot at which the police officers had been killed. [12:52] MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: The statement doesn't indicate that I was arriving, I said at the scene. So this indicates that I was always there with the police. Maybe I don't understand the question, but my understanding is that I was always with the police so even if when the scene happened it happened within my full-blown view. MS BARNES: At what stage did you say that the members should not follow the protesters because by that time you could see that there was no longer an attack. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson, that's when immediately after I was told by Colonel Vermaak that my life was in danger and I also said we should no more follow the protesters.

		1	
1	Page 16179		Page 16181
1	protesters moved on, there was a situation where the	1	Hemraj makes a suggestion which I think we should take on
2	protesters or, sorry I prefer strikers, where the strikers	2	board. This bundle of documents is not paginated, it might
3	had stopped walked and had in fact, some of them at least,	3	make it easier for us to follow when we later read the
4	had attacked some members of the police. That's correct	4	transcript and easier for you while you're putting
5	and there was something in the nature of a fight, but then	5	questions to the witness and while the witness is giving
6	when the fight was over did the strikers move on?	6	his answer if we number the pages. So the covering sheet
7	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	7	we'll call 1, the seconds that begins "This presentation
8	Chairperson.	8	uses Google Earth" etcetera we'll call 2 and then we'll
9	CHAIRPERSON: And when you said what	9	number all the pages thereafter sequentially. So it means
10	you've said here in the passage as being put to you that	10	that the last page which we'll number page 8 is the one
11	you said was the time when the strikers were no longer	11	headed "The strikers did not visibly change direction."
12	standing where the fight had taken place but were in the	12	And then there's a number of entries in it in various
13	process of moving away. Is that right?	13	colours of the rainbow. Is that correct?
14	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Definitely	14	MS BARNES: That would be correct, Chair.
15	correct, Chairperson.	15	CHAIRPERSON: Now when you refer to it
16	MS BARNES: So you were speaking to the	16	you can either call it exhibit HHH59 point, give the number
17	members and you said it was after Vermaak had told you	17	or page so and so, whichever you prefer.
18	about the threat on your life. Did you say this in the	18	MR MATHIBEDI SC: Sorry, Mr Chairman, we
19	presence of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak?	19	are being told that this is the likely path that the
20	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I said it to all	20	strikers would have taken, what's the basis of that, Mr
21	the members who were there and if Colonel Vermaak was there	21	Chairman? We don't have –
22	at that time I said it also to him.	22	CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't ask me the
23	MS BARNES: If we can go now to a new	23	question, we'll ask Ms Barnes.
24	exhibit. This is the presentation in relation to the path	24	MR MATHIBEDI SC: I'm doing it through
25	that the strikers took on the 13th of August.	25	the Chairman.
	D 4/400		D 4/100
1	Page 16180 CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us	1	Page 16182 CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the
1	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us	1	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the
2	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled	2	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it:	2 3	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it?
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring?	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And shall we give that the	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And shall we give that the exhibit number HHH59?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And shall we give that the exhibit number HHH59? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON:Ms Barnes, you've given usa document or rather a bundle of documents stapledtogether. The first document has the following on it:The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August2012, is the document to which you are referring?MS BARNES:Yes, quite correct, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:And shall we give that theexhibit number HHH59?MS BARNES:Thank you, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is that what you wanted?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON:Ms Barnes, you've given usa document or rather a bundle of documents stapledtogether.The first document has the following on it:The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August2012, is the document to which you are referring?MS BARNES:Yes, quite correct, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:And shall we give that theexhibit number HHH59?MS BARNES:Thank you, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is that what you wanted?Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON:Ms Barnes, you've given usa document or rather a bundle of documents stapledtogether.The first document has the following on it:The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August2012, is the document to which you are referring?MS BARNES:Yes, quite correct, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:And shall we give that theexhibit number HHH59?MS BARNES:Thank you, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is that what you wanted?Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just putthe words in quotation marks on it "The position and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which merely gives you a Google Earth imagery on which you made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And shall we give that the exhibit number HHH59? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is that what you wanted? Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just put the words in quotation marks on it "The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012." I take it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which merely gives you a Google Earth imagery on which you made certain – indicated certain areas and stated in the key
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON:Ms Barnes, you've given usa document or rather a bundle of documents stapledtogether.The first document has the following on it:The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August2012, is the document to which you are referring?MS BARNES:Yes, quite correct, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:And shall we give that theexhibit number HHH59?MS BARNES:Thank you, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is that what you wanted?Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just putthe words in quotation marks on it "The position anddirection of the strikers on 13 August 2012." I take itthis is a document you have compiled which you are wishing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which merely gives you a Google Earth imagery on which you made certain – indicated certain areas and stated in the key what they are. Right then we move to page 3 it may be that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON:Ms Barnes, you've given usa document or rather a bundle of documents stapledtogether.The first document has the following on it:The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August2012, is the document to which you are referring?MS BARNES:Yes, quite correct, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:And shall we give that theexhibit number HHH59?MS BARNES:Thank you, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Is that what you wanted?Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just putthe words in quotation marks on it "The position anddirection of the strikers on 13 August 2012." I take itthis is a document you have compiled which you are wishingto put to the witness.Is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which merely gives you a Google Earth imagery on which you made certain – indicated certain areas and stated in the key what they are. Right then we move to page 3 it may be that my colleague Advocate Hemraj has a suggestion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And shall we give that the exhibit number HHH59? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is that what you wanted? Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just put the words in quotation marks on it "The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012." I take it this is a document you have compiled which you are wishing to put to the witness. Is that correct? MS BARNES: Yes, that's right, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which merely gives you a Google Earth imagery on which you made certain – indicated certain areas and stated in the key what they are. Right then we move to page 3 it may be that my colleague Advocate Hemraj has a suggestion. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Are you perhaps, Ms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And shall we give that the exhibit number HHH59? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is that what you wanted? Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just put the words in quotation marks on it "The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012." I take it this is a document you have compiled which you are wishing to put to the witness. Is that correct? MS BARNES: Yes, that's right, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's not an original	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which merely gives you a Google Earth imagery on which you made certain – indicated certain areas and stated in the key what they are. Right then we move to page 3 it may be that my colleague Advocate Hemraj has a suggestion. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Are you perhaps, Ms Barnes, relying on evidence before us to plot these paths
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And shall we give that the exhibit number HHH59? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is that what you wanted? Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just put the words in quotation marks on it "The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012." I take it this is a document you have compiled which you are wishing to put to the witness. Is that correct? MS BARNES: Yes, that's right, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which merely gives you a Google Earth imagery on which you made certain – indicated certain areas and stated in the key what they are. Right then we move to page 3 it may be that my colleague Advocate Hemraj has a suggestion. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Are you perhaps, Ms Barnes, relying on evidence before us to plot these paths that you're suggesting?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And shall we give that the exhibit number HHH59? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is that what you wanted? Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just put the words in quotation marks on it "The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012." I take it this is a document you have compiled which you are wishing to put to the witness. Is that correct? MS BARNES: Yes, that's right, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's not an original document, it's a document constructed for the purpose of the Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which merely gives you a Google Earth imagery on which you made certain – indicated certain areas and stated in the key what they are. Right then we move to page 3 it may be that my colleague Advocate Hemraj has a suggestion. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Are you perhaps, Ms Barnes, relying on evidence before us to plot these paths that you're suggesting? MS BARNES: Partially it is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And shall we give that the exhibit number HHH59? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is that what you wanted? Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just put the words in quotation marks on it "The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012." I take it this is a document you have compiled which you are wishing to put to the witness. Is that correct? MS BARNES: Yes, that's right, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's not an original document, it's a document constructed for the purpose of the Commission. MS BARNES: That's right. It's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which merely gives you a Google Earth imagery on which you made certain – indicated certain areas and stated in the key what they are. Right then we move to page 3 it may be that my colleague Advocate Hemraj has a suggestion. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Are you perhaps, Ms Barnes, relying on evidence before us to plot these paths that you're suggesting? MS BARNES: Partially it is a combination, so it's a combination of information drawn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And shall we give that the exhibit number HHH59? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is that what you wanted? Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just put the words in quotation marks on it "The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012." I take it this is a document you have compiled which you are wishing to put to the witness. Is that correct? MS BARNES: Yes, that's right, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's not an original document, it's a document constructed for the purpose of the Commission. MS BARNES: That's right. It's a document, General, that's been compiled by our legal team,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which merely gives you a Google Earth imagery on which you made certain – indicated certain areas and stated in the key what they are. Right then we move to page 3 it may be that my colleague Advocate Hemraj has a suggestion. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Are you perhaps, Ms Barnes, relying on evidence before us to plot these paths that you're suggesting? MS BARNES: Partially it is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And shall we give that the exhibit number HHH59? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is that what you wanted? Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just put the words in quotation marks on it "The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012." I take it this is a document you have compiled which you are wishing to put to the witness. Is that correct? MS BARNES: Yes, that's right, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's not an original document, it's a document constructed for the purpose of the Commission. MS BARNES: That's right. It's a document, General, that's been compiled by our legal team, it's an analysis of the likely path that the strikers took	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which merely gives you a Google Earth imagery on which you made certain – indicated certain areas and stated in the key what they are. Right then we move to page 3 it may be that my colleague Advocate Hemraj has a suggestion. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Are you perhaps, Ms Barnes, relying on evidence before us to plot these paths that you're suggesting? MS BARNES: Partially it is a combination, so it's a combination of information drawn from exhibit B in particular and then video –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And shall we give that the exhibit number HHH59? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is that what you wanted? Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just put the words in quotation marks on it "The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012." I take it this is a document you have compiled which you are wishing to put to the witness. Is that correct? MS BARNES: Yes, that's right, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's not an original document, it's a document constructed for the purpose of the Commission. MS BARNES: That's right. It's a document, General, that's been compiled by our legal team,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which merely gives you a Google Earth imagery on which you made certain – indicated certain areas and stated in the key what they are. Right then we move to page 3 it may be that my colleague Advocate Hemraj has a suggestion. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Are you perhaps, Ms Barnes, relying on evidence before us to plot these paths that you're suggesting? MS BARNES: Partially it is a combination, so it's a combination of information drawn from exhibit B in particular and then video – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: What is exhibit B?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And shall we give that the exhibit number HHH59? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is that what you wanted? Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just put the words in quotation marks on it "The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012." I take it this is a document you have compiled which you are wishing to put to the witness. Is that correct? MS BARNES: Yes, that's right, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's not an original document, it's a document constructed for the purpose of the Commission. MS BARNES: That's right. It's a document, General, that's been compiled by our legal team, it's an analysis of the likely path that the strikers took on the 13th of August. So if we can start on the first page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which merely gives you a Google Earth imagery on which you made certain – indicated certain areas and stated in the key what they are. Right then we move to page 3 it may be that my colleague Advocate Hemraj has a suggestion. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Are you perhaps, Ms Barnes, relying on evidence before us to plot these paths that you're suggesting? MS BARNES: Partially it is a combination, so it's a combination of information drawn from exhibit B in particular and then video – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: What is exhibit B? Please remind me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've given us a document or rather a bundle of documents stapled together. The first document has the following on it: The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012, is the document to which you are referring? MS BARNES: Yes, quite correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And shall we give that the exhibit number HHH59? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is that what you wanted? Very well then exhibit HHH59 is a document – I'll just put the words in quotation marks on it "The position and direction of the strikers on 13 August 2012." I take it this is a document you have compiled which you are wishing to put to the witness. Is that correct? MS BARNES: Yes, that's right, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It's not an original document, it's a document constructed for the purpose of the Commission. MS BARNES: That's right. It's a document, General, that's been compiled by our legal team, it's an analysis of the likely path that the strikers took on the 13th of August. So if we can start on the first page of the presentation –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, you've heard the question that was addressed to me, may I delegate to you the duty of answering it? MS BARNES: Yes, that's precisely what the presentation addresses. It's how the path was arrived at. So that's the purpose of the presentation. So if one starts on page 1, General, you'll see this is a – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm sure you've answered the question entirely - fully, but let's take it page by page. No question arises in respect of the source of the information on the first page, nor the second which merely gives you a Google Earth imagery on which you made certain – indicated certain areas and stated in the key what they are. Right then we move to page 3 it may be that my colleague Advocate Hemraj has a suggestion. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Are you perhaps, Ms Barnes, relying on evidence before us to plot these paths that you're suggesting? MS BARNES: Partially it is a combination, so it's a combination of information drawn from exhibit B in particular and then video – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: What is exhibit B? Please remind me. MS BARNES: Exhibit B is the crime scene

		I	
1	Page 16183 was done. We know where bodies were found and where	1	Page 16185
1		1	us. If we're able to do that, then it won't be necessary
2	cartridges were found and so forth and that's all captured	2	to traverse them in this way and so for the moment, if we
3	in exhibit B. So it's partly drawn from exhibit B and	3	might be permitted to, in relation – well, the one has been
4	you'll see that particularly on page 12 and it's partly	4	introduced an exhibit, the other one has not yet been given
5	drawn from –	5	an exhibit number.
6	CHAIRPERSON: Page 12? We've only got 8	6	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. In respect of the
7	pages.	7	presentation, as I understand it, there's a problem in
8	MS BARNES: Oh sorry, page 4.	8	relation to certain of the things, but not – I mean, for
9	CHAIRPERSON: Page 4.	9	example, page 2 is quite useful to – it's an aerial view of
10	MS BARNES: It's partly drawn from the	10	the terrain with the place by the railway line where the
11	video footage and then it's partly drawn from the Google	11	first meeting was. I take it that red circle correctly
12	maps and there are really 3 points that are plotted to	12	indicates where engagement – if one can call it that - the
13	create the path and I can explain how each of the three	13	hostilities took place. And then the koppie is marked and
14	points was derived.	14	the informal settlement is marked. I mean that's useful
15	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: May I suggest that	15	thing to have anyway. And then if one disregards the
16	before you embark on this cross-examination that we have	16	arrow, which may well be subject to controversy at this
17	some clarity when you say for example it comes from video	17	stage – the arrow, the arrows, the third page also is quite
18	footage, precisely where it comes from so that I think	18	helpful. Four, is I think an exhibit we've had before
19	we're all on the same pages as to where this evidence	19	actually. And then five is two extracts from the videos
20	emanates from that you were using to draw these conclusions	20	with arrows indicating where the events, which are in the
21	with regards to the path?	21	two top pictures, took place.
22	CHAIRPERSON: It seems a sensible or	22	So there are matters outstanding in respect of
23	reasonable approach if I may say so. It's now lunch time,	23	which agreements are required and one hopes you'll succeed
24	so perhaps thought can be given to this and the other	24	in getting them. So we'll leave those documents for the
25	matter that you mentioned? Who has done the following? I	25	moment, and I think we may as well leave the exhibit
	Page 16184		Page 16186
1	Page 16184 can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at	1	Page 16186 though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that
1 2		1 2	
	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at		though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that
2	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly	2	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that
2 3	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've	2 3	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit.
2 3 4	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are	2 3 4	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair.
2 3 4 5	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the	2 3 4 5	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague,
2 3 4 5 6	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or	2 3 4 5 6	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the
2 3 4 5 6 7	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the	2 3 4 5 6 7	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all matters that you can address after lunch.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted by the evidence leaders primarily, but also the parties as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all matters that you can address after lunch. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted by the evidence leaders primarily, but also the parties as well, and ultimately it's our decision as to whether what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all matters that you can address after lunch. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the lunch	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted by the evidence leaders primarily, but also the parties as well, and ultimately it's our decision as to whether what is put before us will guide us to the truth, but let's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all matters that you can address after lunch. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the lunch adjournment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted by the evidence leaders primarily, but also the parties as well, and ultimately it's our decision as to whether what is put before us will guide us to the truth, but let's cross that bridge when we get there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all matters that you can address after lunch. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the lunch adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted by the evidence leaders primarily, but also the parties as well, and ultimately it's our decision as to whether what is put before us will guide us to the truth, but let's cross that bridge when we get there. MS BARNES: Yes, we do understand that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all matters that you can address after lunch. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the lunch adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:02] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will resume.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted by the evidence leaders primarily, but also the parties as well, and ultimately it's our decision as to whether what is put before us will guide us to the truth, but let's cross that bridge when we get there. MS BARNES: Yes, we do understand that, thanks, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all matters that you can address after lunch. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the lunch adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:02] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will resume. Ms Barnes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted by the evidence leaders primarily, but also the parties as well, and ultimately it's our decision as to whether what is put before us will guide us to the truth, but let's cross that bridge when we get there. MS BARNES: Yes, we do understand that, thanks, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you understand we want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all matters that you can address after lunch. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the lunch adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:02] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will resume. Ms Barnes? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. Chair, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted by the evidence leaders primarily, but also the parties as well, and ultimately it's our decision as to whether what is put before us will guide us to the truth, but let's cross that bridge when we get there. MS BARNES: Yes, we do understand that, thanks, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you understand we want to be able evidential value to it. That's the object of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all matters that you can address after lunch. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the lunch adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:02] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will resume. Ms Barnes? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. Chair, in relation to the presentation, as well as the properties	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted by the evidence leaders primarily, but also the parties as well, and ultimately it's our decision as to whether what is put before us will guide us to the truth, but let's cross that bridge when we get there. MS BARNES: Yes, we do understand that, thanks, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you understand we want to be able evidential value to it. That's the object of the exercise. And the direction in which the strikers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all matters that you can address after lunch. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the lunch adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:02] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will resume. Ms Barnes? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. Chair, in relation to the presentation, as well as the properties document, which Lindicated earlier that there was a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted by the evidence leaders primarily, but also the parties as well, and ultimately it's our decision as to whether what is put before us will guide us to the truth, but let's cross that bridge when we get there. MS BARNES: Yes, we do understand that, thanks, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you understand we want to be able evidential value to it. That's the object of the exercise. And the direction in which the strikers moved on that particular day has got some relevance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all matters that you can address after lunch. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the lunch adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:02] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will resume. Ms Barnes? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. Chair, in relation to the presentation, as well as the properties document, which I indicated earlier that there was a little bit of confusion over, what we thought would be a sensible	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted by the evidence leaders primarily, but also the parties as well, and ultimately it's our decision as to whether what is put before us will guide us to the truth, but let's cross that bridge when we get there. MS BARNES: Yes, we do understand that, thanks, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you understand we want to be able evidential value to it. That's the object of the exercise. And the direction in which the strikers moved on that particular day has got some relevance. There's already some circumstantial evidence on the point
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all matters that you can address after lunch. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the lunch adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:02] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will resume. Ms Barnes? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. Chair, in relation to the presentation, as well as the properties document, which L indicated earlier that there was a little bit of confusion over, what we thought would be a sensible way to proceed would be – and we've agreed this with our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted by the evidence leaders primarily, but also the parties as well, and ultimately it's our decision as to whether what is put before us will guide us to the truth, but let's cross that bridge when we get there. MS BARNES: Yes, we do understand that, thanks, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you understand we want to be able evidential value to it. That's the object of the exercise. And the direction in which the strikers moved on that particular day has got some relevance. There's already some circumstantial evidence on the point which may be of assistance, but clearly it's one of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all matters that you can address after lunch. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the lunch adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:02] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will resume. Ms Barnes? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. Chair, in relation to the presentation, as well as the properties document, which Lindicated earlier that there was a little bit of confusion over, what we thought would be a sensible way to proceed would be – and we've agreed this with our colleagues from SAPS – would be to attempt to reach	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted by the evidence leaders primarily, but also the parties as well, and ultimately it's our decision as to whether what is put before us will guide us to the truth, but let's cross that bridge when we get there. MS BARNES: Yes, we do understand that, thanks, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you understand we want to be able evidential value to it. That's the object of the exercise. And the direction in which the strikers moved on that particular day has got some relevance. There's already some circumstantial evidence on the point which may be of assistance, but clearly it's one of the issues. So it will be helpful if material is put before us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	can understand – Ms Barnes, I can understand looking at what you've prepared that some of the pages are fairly self-explanatory in a sense that they indicate spots you've identified and stated in the key what they are. There are also excerpts from videos that one can see from that the source of the information. But there are also documents or pages that have lines on them. We're not sure who drew the lines, one of the issues is going to be whether the line is correctly drawn and that might depend upon who drew them and what the lines were based on. Perhaps these are all matters that you can address after lunch. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We'll take the lunch adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:02] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will resume. Ms Barnes? MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. Chair, in relation to the presentation, as well as the properties document, which I indicated earlier that there was a little bit of confusion over, what we thought would be a sensible way to proceed would be – and we've agreed this with our colleagues from SAPS – would be to attempt to reach agreement with SAPS in relation to those particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	though, with the exhibit number, because the agreement that you hope to be able to conclude, will refer to that exhibit. MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: One approach my colleague, Advocate Hemraj, is raising, she says are we bound by the agreement if you have it? Well, I suppose technically not, because this is not a dispute between parties, it's not a court case where the court is bound by agreeing with the parties. We are here to find the truth, and we're assisted by the evidence leaders primarily, but also the parties as well, and ultimately it's our decision as to whether what is put before us will guide us to the truth, but let's cross that bridge when we get there. MS BARNES: Yes, we do understand that, thanks, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you understand we want to be able evidential value to it. That's the object of the exercise. And the direction in which the strikers moved on that particular day has got some relevance. There's already some circumstantial evidence on the point which may be of assistance, but clearly it's one of the issues. So it will be helpful if material is put before us of a nature which we can have regard to.

	Dege 1/107		Dage 1/190
1	Page 16187 you, Ms Barnes?	1	Page 16189 Exhibit 0019. It's not necessary for you to go to that,
2	MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair.	2	General, but the point is you were not given this
3	General, if we could go back to your statement, Exhibit	3	information by Lonmin on Monday. Is that correct?
		4	
4	GGG12, paragraph 3 of your statement. Now, this refers to		
5	a meeting which you had with Lonmin on Monday, the 13th of	5	MS BARNES: And then if we could look at
6	August, at 10 o'clock. That would have been shortly after	6	your statement at paragraph 30? That's page 15. The same
7	you arrived at Lonmin that morning. Do you need a moment	7	statement that we've been working with. This is now a
8	to find it?	8	reference to a meeting that you had with Lonmin on the 14th
9	CHAIRPERSON: According to my copy it is	9	of August, and it's now by this stage certain of strikers
10	paragraph 4.	10	have been identified by Lonmin as their employees. Is that
11	MS BARNES: Yes, sorry, Chair, it is in	11	correct? And you then say, "I challenged them on their
12	fact paragraph 4. Do you have that, General?	12	earlier claim that the marchers and protestors were unknown
13	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I have it,	13	to the mine and were faceless." And you then that they had
14	Chairperson.	14	a duty in terms of the Labour Relations Act to engage with
15	MS BARNES: And you've described who was	15	the unions. So you made a specific request and in fact an
16	at the meeting and you've said that Mr Mokwena, referring	16	appealed to Lonmin that occasion to engage with the
17	to protestors, stated that they were faceless and that the	17	strikers. Is that right?
18	company does not know them. Now, were you told at this	18	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I just want to
19	meeting, General, that Lonmin had obtained an interdict	19	check, is it paragraph 15 or?
20	against these strikers the previous Friday?	20	MS BARNES: Paragraph 30, page 15.
21	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson,	21	CHAIRPERSON: Paragraph 30, page 15.
22	that one we were not told.	22	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I see,
23	MS BARNES: If I could take you then to	23	Chairperson.
24	Exhibit RR? This is in fact the interdict application that	24	MS BARNES: So you made an appeal to
25	was brought – well, it's the founding affidavit, in support	25	Lonmin on that occasion to engage with the strikers. Is
	Page 16188		Page 16190
1	of the application. And you'll see that Lonmin is the	1	that right?
2	applicant and there are then three respondents. The first	2	SPEAKER: Sorry, Mr Chairperson, the
3	respondent is the National Union of Mineworkers. The	3	appeal was actually to engage with the trade unions, not
1	accord well it in fact described as the third but it		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4	second – well, it's in fact described as the third, but it	4	with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence
5	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the	4 5	
		4 5 6	with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence
5	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the		with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30.
5 6	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names	6	with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes.
5 6 7	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see	6 7	with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair.
5 6 7 8	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that?	6 7 8	with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're
5 6 7 8 9	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,	6 7 8 9	with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points
5 6 7 8 9 10	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it.	6 7 8 9 10	with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. CHAIRPERSON: I take it that when Lonmin's counsel get's a chance to present evidence,	6 7 8 9 10 11	with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record. So unless you want to take them further, I don't see the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. CHAIRPERSON: I take it that when Lonmin's counsel get's a chance to present evidence, they'll explain to us why Eastern Platinum Limited is	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record. So unless you want to take them further, I don't see the point in just getting him to repeat, possibly by way of some kind of highlighting exercise, particular passages of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. CHAIRPERSON: I take it that when Lonmin's counsel get's a chance to present evidence, they'll explain to us why Eastern Platinum Limited is referred to as the third applicant and there's no second	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record. So unless you want to take them further, I don't see the point in just getting him to repeat, possibly by way of some kind of highlighting exercise, particular passages of his affidavit.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. CHAIRPERSON: I take it that when Lonmin's counsel get's a chance to present evidence, they'll explain to us why Eastern Platinum Limited is referred to as the third applicant and there's no second applicant, but that's not a matter that need detain us at	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record. So unless you want to take them further, I don't see the point in just getting him to repeat, possibly by way of some kind of highlighting exercise, particular passages of his affidavit. MS BARNES: Chair, I simply wanted to ask
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. CHAIRPERSON: I take it that when Lonmin's counsel get's a chance to present evidence, they'll explain to us why Eastern Platinum Limited is referred to as the third applicant and there's no second applicant, but that's not a matter that need detain us at the moment. And they'll also explain to us why AMCU is the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record. So unless you want to take them further, I don't see the point in just getting him to repeat, possibly by way of some kind of highlighting exercise, particular passages of his affidavit. MS BARNES: Chair, I simply wanted to ask the general whether there were other occasions on which he
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. CHAIRPERSON: I take it that when Lonmin's counsel get's a chance to present evidence, they'll explain to us why Eastern Platinum Limited is referred to as the third applicant and there's no second applicant, but that's not a matter that need detain us at the moment. And they'll also explain to us why AMCU is the third respondent, as you've said, and why the other persons	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record. So unless you want to take them further, I don't see the point in just getting him to repeat, possibly by way of some kind of highlighting exercise, particular passages of his affidavit. MS BARNES: Chair, I simply wanted to ask the general whether there were other occasions on which he made a request to Lonmin to engage with either the unions
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. CHAIRPERSON: I take it that when Lonmin's counsel get's a chance to present evidence, they'll explain to us why Eastern Platinum Limited is referred to as the third applicant and there's no second applicant, but that's not a matter that need detain us at the moment. And they'll also explain to us why AMCU is the third respondent, as you've said, and why the other persons are referred to further respondents, but we don't have to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record. So unless you want to take them further, I don't see the point in just getting him to repeat, possibly by way of some kind of highlighting exercise, particular passages of his affidavit. MS BARNES: Chair, I simply wanted to ask the general whether there were other occasions on which he made a request to Lonmin to engage with either the unions or the strikers, other than the one that we see here.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. CHAIRPERSON: I take it that when Lonmin's counsel get's a chance to present evidence, they'll explain to us why Eastern Platinum Limited is referred to as the third applicant and there's no second applicant, but that's not a matter that need detain us at the moment. And they'll also explain to us why AMCU is the third respondent, as you've said, and why the other persons are referred to further respondents, but we don't have to waste time on it at this stage.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record. So unless you want to take them further, I don't see the point in just getting him to repeat, possibly by way of some kind of highlighting exercise, particular passages of his affidavit. MS BARNES: Chair, I simply wanted to ask the general whether there were other occasions on which he made a request to Lonmin to engage with either the unions or the strikers, other than the one that we see here. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. CHAIRPERSON: I take it that when Lonmin's counsel get's a chance to present evidence, they'll explain to us why Eastern Platinum Limited is referred to as the third applicant and there's no second applicant, but that's not a matter that need detain us at the moment. And they'll also explain to us why AMCU is the third respondent, as you've said, and why the other persons are referred to further respondents, but we don't have to waste time on it at this stage. MS BARNES: Indeed. If we can go to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record. So unless you want to take them further, I don't see the point in just getting him to repeat, possibly by way of some kind of highlighting exercise, particular passages of his affidavit. MS BARNES: Chair, I simply wanted to ask the general whether there were other occasions on which he made a request to Lonmin to engage with either the unions or the strikers, other than the one that we see here. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it is only this one. As I did testify that the first time when I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. CHAIRPERSON: I take it that when Lonmin's counsel get's a chance to present evidence, they'll explain to us why Eastern Platinum Limited is referred to as the third applicant and there's no second applicant, but that's not a matter that need detain us at the moment. And they'll also explain to us why AMCU is the third respondent, as you've said, and why the other persons are referred to further respondents, but we don't have to waste time on it at this stage. MS BARNES: Indeed. If we can go to paragraph 8 of that founding affidavit, you'll see that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record. So unless you want to take them further, I don't see the point in just getting him to repeat, possibly by way of some kind of highlighting exercise, particular passages of his affidavit. MS BARNES: Chair, I simply wanted to ask the general whether there were other occasions on which he made a request to Lonmin to engage with either the unions or the strikers, other than the one that we see here. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it is only this one. As I did testify that the first time when I met the management, it was on the 13th, and thereafter it
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. CHAIRPERSON: I take it that when Lonmin's counsel get's a chance to present evidence, they'll explain to us why Eastern Platinum Limited is referred to as the third applicant and there's no second applicant, but that's not a matter that need detain us at the moment. And they'll also explain to us why AMCU is the third respondent, as you've said, and why the other persons are referred to further respondents, but we don't have to waste time on it at this stage. MS BARNES: Indeed. If we can go to paragraph 8 of that founding affidavit, you'll see that that just identifies in terms the third to further	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record. So unless you want to take them further, I don't see the point in just getting him to repeat, possibly by way of some kind of highlighting exercise, particular passages of his affidavit. MS BARNES: Chair, I simply wanted to ask the general whether there were other occasions on which he made a request to Lonmin to engage with either the unions or the strikers, other than the one that we see here. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it is only this one. As I did testify that the first time when I met the management, it was on the 13th, and thereafter it was when I make the follow-up on the same – on the 14th, and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. CHAIRPERSON: I take it that when Lonmin's counsel get's a chance to present evidence, they'll explain to us why Eastern Platinum Limited is referred to as the third applicant and there's no second applicant, but that's not a matter that need detain us at the moment. And they'll also explain to us why AMCU is the third respondent, as you've said, and why the other persons are referred to further respondents, but we don't have to waste time on it at this stage. MS BARNES: Indeed. If we can go to paragraph 8 of that founding affidavit, you'll see that that just identifies in terms the third to further respondents. It says that they are 3 000 employees of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record. So unless you want to take them further, I don't see the point in just getting him to repeat, possibly by way of some kind of highlighting exercise, particular passages of his affidavit. MS BARNES: Chair, I simply wanted to ask the general whether there were other occasions on which he made a request to Lonmin to engage with either the unions or the strikers, other than the one that we see here. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it is only this one. As I did testify that the first time when I met the management, it was on the 13th, and thereafter it was when I make the follow-up on the same – on the 14th, and also requested that I should meet the unions.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. CHAIRPERSON: I take it that when Lonmin's counsel get's a chance to present evidence, they'll explain to us why Eastern Platinum Limited is referred to as the third applicant and there's no second applicant, but that's not a matter that need detain us at the moment. And they'll also explain to us why AMCU is the third respondent, as you've said, and why the other persons are referred to further respondents, but we don't have to waste time on it at this stage. MS BARNES: Indeed. If we can go to paragraph 8 of that founding affidavit, you'll see that that just identifies in terms the third to further respondents. It says that they are 3 000 employees of Lonmin and their names are in fact listed in annexure A1 to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record. So unless you want to take them further, I don't see the point in just getting him to repeat, possibly by way of some kind of highlighting exercise, particular passages of his affidavit. MS BARNES: Chair, I simply wanted to ask the general whether there were other occasions on which he made a request to Lonmin to engage with either the unions or the strikers, other than the one that we see here. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it is only this one. As I did testify that the first time when I met the management, it was on the 13th, and thereafter it was when I make the follow-up on the same – on the 14th, and also requested that I should meet the unions. CHAIRPERSON: Did you get any feedback
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	should be the second respondent, is AMCU. And then the third to further respondents are the persons whose names appear an annexure A to the Notice of Motion. Do you see that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I see it. CHAIRPERSON: I take it that when Lonmin's counsel get's a chance to present evidence, they'll explain to us why Eastern Platinum Limited is referred to as the third applicant and there's no second applicant, but that's not a matter that need detain us at the moment. And they'll also explain to us why AMCU is the third respondent, as you've said, and why the other persons are referred to further respondents, but we don't have to waste time on it at this stage. MS BARNES: Indeed. If we can go to paragraph 8 of that founding affidavit, you'll see that that just identifies in terms the third to further respondents. It says that they are 3 000 employees of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 with the strikers. If you have regard to the last sentence of paragraph 30. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, Ms Barnes. MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask, if you're leading up to something, I won't stop you, but these points that you're now making are all points already on record. So unless you want to take them further, I don't see the point in just getting him to repeat, possibly by way of some kind of highlighting exercise, particular passages of his affidavit. MS BARNES: Chair, I simply wanted to ask the general whether there were other occasions on which he made a request to Lonmin to engage with either the unions or the strikers, other than the one that we see here. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, it is only this one. As I did testify that the first time when I met the management, it was on the 13th, and thereafter it was when I make the follow-up on the same – on the 14th, and also requested that I should meet the unions.

		-	
1	Page 16191	1	Page 16193
1	as set out in the last sentence of paragraph 30?	1	Presumably you would have seen this on the day. Is that
2	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Paragraph?	2	correct?
3	CHAIRPERSON: Paragraph 30, at the top of	3	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I
4	page 15, the last sentence of that paragraph states what	4	did not see it. It's the first time that I see it in the Commission.
5	you informed them. You informed them of their duty in	5	
6	terms of the Labour Relations Act to do certain things.	6	
7	Did you get any feedback from Lonmin as to their response	7	on, what one could call the police JOC, or I think there
8 9	to what you had put to them? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson,	8 9	was a separate smaller room which was the Lonmin JOC? Do we know –
9 10	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, except what Mr Barnard Mokwena said when I met them with	9 10	MS BARNES: Yes, no, this is was in the
11	the unions. Except that one, I did not receive any	11	police JOC.
12	feedback.	12	CHAIRPERSON: Thanks.
13	MS BARNES: Now, General, if I might then	13	MS BARNES: You'll see that it is a
	refer you to Exhibit JJJ192? This is the transcript of a	14	photograph taken by a Captain Van Heerden in the police JOC
14		14	on the 16th of August. Now if you look at the document now,
15 16	meeting that was held between the provincial commissioner and certain employees of Lonmin on the 14th of August. And	16	General, you'll see that it refers to – well, it's titled,
	I simply wanted to find out from you, General, whether you	17	"Mining Security Posting," and it seems to indicate where
17 18	were present at this meeting or not?	18	various Lonmin security guards will be posted on the 16th of
19	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I	19	August. It also appears to indicate the various firearms
20	was not present.	20	that are allocated to those particular individuals. Can
21	MS BARNES: Did the provincial	21	you see that? Particularly on the enlargement page.
22	commissioner ever give you a report-back about this	22	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,
23	particular meeting?	23	I can see it.
24	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson,	24	MS BARNES: So you would presumably have
25	it is not normally a duty or a trend that a senior officer	25	had – one would have expected, General, that you would have
	Page 16192		Page 16194
1	will give a junior officer feedback.	1	had a discussion with at least Mr Sinclair, the head of
2	MS BARNES: I'm going to now refer to a	2	Lonmin Security, about where these particular security
3	new exhibit. It will be the last new exhibit, and it is a	3	officials were going to be posted.
4	photograph of one of the JOC boards. It's entitled,	4	MR MATHIBEDI SC: No, Chair, there's no
5	"Mining Security Posting," and it's a photograph that was	5	basis for that question, with respect. Sorry, Mr Chairman,
6	taken on the 16th of August 2012. The exhibit, I believe is	6	the witness answered that he's seen this document for the
7	two pages. There has been attempt – that is the first page	7	first time. That being the case, how would he have known
8	that we see on –	8	where the various officers were going to be posted?
9	CHAIRPERSON: The last one is on the	9	MS BARNES: Chair, I'm simply asking
10	second page. So we'll call that HHH60. 1 and 60.2. Is	10	since this document was in the police JOC, I'm simply
11	that in order?	11	asking, and given what it refers to, I'm simply asking the
12	MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair.	12	witness whether he would have had discussions with Mr
13	CHAIRPERSON: We better get permission	13	Sinclair about where security officials would be posted on
14	from Ms Pillay first.	14	the 16th?
15	MS PILLAY: That's in order, Chair.	15	CHAIRPERSON: The question asked has
16	CHAIRPERSON: Very well, the first page,	16	nothing directly to do with the document, it's a follow-up
17	which is headed a photograph of one of the JOC Boards,	17	from the document. Did you have discussions with Mr
18	"Mining Security Posting," I've marked HHH60.1 and the	18	Sinclair about the positions where various Lonmin employees
19	second page, which is no heading – it's actually got a page	19	would be posted by way of security postings? Did you have
20	number 2 on it, I've marked – which contains enlargements	20	such a discussion?
21	of - some of it appears on the document which is on 60.1,	21	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, the
22	together with a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. That	22	security, Mr Sinclair, they were posting their securities
23	will be HHH60.2.	23	on the normal posting, like inside the mines and so on, but
24	MS BARNES: So this was a document that	24	those discussions were not even part of the police
15.	was posted on the IOC wall on the 16th of August	1.25	discussions, but what I appended on the two de not house
	was posted on the JOC wall on the 16th of August.	25	discussions, but what I can also say is that we do not have

1	Page 16195 the same JOC with the security mine.	1	Page 16197 MS BARNES: Let me try and be clearer.
2	CHAIRPERSON: I didn't say that. No, the	2	The police were not issued with shotgun rounds containing
3	simple question is, did you have discussions with Mr	3	shotgun pellets on the 16th, is that correct?
4	Sinclair about where these people would be posted? If the	4	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,
4 5	answer to that is no, the answer to that is no, that's all.	5	Chairperson.
		6	MS BARNES: Then, General, we've
6		7	
7 8	Chairperson, the answer is no. MS BARNES: Was the issue of Lonmin	8	established earlier that during Mr Mathunjwa's evidence
			here in this Commission it was put to him that his
9	security postings discussed in the JOC on the 16th of August	9	statement that you had told him that the Provincial
10	in your presence?	10	Commissioner had left for an ANC torch bearing ceremony was
11	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson.	11	not correct, do you follow?
12	MS BARNES: Now, General, I'd just like	12	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson, I
13	to revisit something that we touched on earlier and that	13	do not follow.
14	was the matter of –	14	MS BARNES: When Mathunjwa gave his
15	CHAIRPERSON: Are you leaving this?	15	evidence in this Commission the counsel for the police and
16	MS BARNES: Yes, Chairperson.	16	- let me take it step by step. Mr Mathunjwa gave his
17	CHAIRPERSON: Well, let me ask a question	17	evidence and he said in the course of that evidence that
18	about it. According to this document, which remember we'll	18	you had told him when he wanted to speak to the Provincial
19	hear about at some stage, above the names of a number of	19	Commissioner that she was not there because she'd gone to
20	these people – well, I just turn the document on HHH60.1,	20	an ANC torch bearing ceremony. That was his evidence, it's
21	are references, as one can see, from HHH60.2 to firearms,	21	also in his statement as you will have seen. Now your
22	and if you have HHH60.2 in front of you, you will see above	22	counsel put it to him that you, General, would come and say
23	the name of Mr Kellerman, whom I gather is an employee of	23	that that was incorrect, that you never told Mr Mathunjwa
24	Lonmin, there is, it appears the words 9mm, which	24	that the Provincial Commissioner had gone to an ANC torch
25	presumably refers to a firearm.	25	bearing ceremony. Do you follow?
		1	
	Page 16196		Page 16198
1	Page 16196 [14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5	1	Page 16198 MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,
1 2	5	1 2	8
	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5		MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,
2	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an	2	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow.
2 3	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons,	2 3	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us
2 3 4	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession	2 3 4	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination?
2 3 4 5	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees?	2 3 4 5	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my
2 3 4 5 6	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain
2 3 4 5 6 7	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any	2 3 4 5 6 7	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then, General, that you would have given that information to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, perhaps I can just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then, General, that you would have given that information to your legal team?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, perhaps I can just ask you on that, we've heard evidence in this Commission	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then, General, that you would have given that information to your legal team? CHAIRPERSON: There must be – I mean they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, perhaps I can just ask you on that, we've heard evidence in this Commission that the police were not issued with shotguns that fired	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then, General, that you would have given that information to your legal team? CHAIRPERSON: There must be – I mean they couldn't have put that you deny that you spoke about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, perhaps I can just ask you on that, we've heard evidence in this Commission that the police were not issued with shotguns that fired live rounds on the 16th of August, is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then, General, that you would have given that information to your legal team? CHAIRPERSON: There must be – I mean they couldn't have put that you deny that you spoke about the torch bearing ceremony unless you told them that you deny
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, perhaps I can just ask you on that, we've heard evidence in this Commission that the police were not issued with shotguns that fired live rounds on the 16th of August, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: May you please	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then, General, that you would have given that information to your legal team? CHAIRPERSON: There must be – I mean they couldn't have put that you deny that you spoke about the torch bearing ceremony unless you told them that you deny talking about the torch bearing, I don't think it's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, perhaps I can just ask you on that, we've heard evidence in this Commission that the police were not issued with shotguns that fired live rounds on the 16th of August, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: May you please repeat because shotguns there are many, me I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then, General, that you would have given that information to your legal team? CHAIRPERSON: There must be – I mean they couldn't have put that you deny that you spoke about the torch bearing ceremony unless you told them that you deny talking about the torch bearing, I don't think it's the bearing, but anyway the torch ceremony. So I mean counsel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, perhaps I can just ask you on that, we've heard evidence in this Commission that the police were not issued with shotguns that fired live rounds on the 16th of August, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: May you please repeat because shotguns there are many, me I'm not understanding the question, because a shotgun it can mean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then, General, that you would have given that information to your legal team? CHAIRPERSON: There must be – I mean they couldn't have put that you deny that you spoke about the torch bearing ceremony unless you told them that you deny talking about the torch bearing, I don't think it's the bearing, but anyway the torch ceremony. So I mean counsel couldn't say General Mpembe denies that he spoke about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, perhaps I can just ask you on that, we've heard evidence in this Commission that the police were not issued with shotguns that fired live rounds on the 16th of August, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: May you please repeat because shotguns there are many, me I'm not understanding the question, because a shotgun it can mean there is a shotgun that can use the rubber bullet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then, General, that you would have given that information to your legal team? CHAIRPERSON: There must be – I mean they couldn't have put that you deny that you spoke about the torch bearing ceremony unless you told them that you deny talking about the torch bearing, I don't think it's the bearing, but anyway the torch ceremony. So I mean counsel couldn't say General Mpembe denies that he spoke about the ANC torch ceremony unless you had told them that that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, perhaps I can just ask you on that, we've heard evidence in this Commission that the police were not issued with shotguns that fired live rounds on the 16th of August, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: May you please repeat because shotguns there are many, me I'm not understanding the question, because a shotgun it can mean there is a shotgun that can use the rubber bullet. MS BARNES: Yes, the shotguns were issued	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then, General, that you would have given that information to your legal team? CHAIRPERSON: There must be – I mean they couldn't have put that you deny that you spoke about the torch bearing ceremony unless you told them that you deny talking about the torch bearing, I don't think it's the bearing, but anyway the torch ceremony. So I mean counsel couldn't say General Mpembe denies that he spoke about the ANC torch ceremony unless you had told them that that was so. That must correct surely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, perhaps I can just ask you on that, we've heard evidence in this Commission that the police were not issued with shotguns that fired live rounds on the 16th of August, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: May you please repeat because shotguns there are many, me I'm not understanding the question, because a shotgun it can mean there is a shotgun that can use the rubber bullet. MS BARNES: Yes, the shotguns were issued for the purposes of firing rubber bullets, but shotguns	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then, General, that you would have given that information to your legal team? CHAIRPERSON: There must be – I mean they couldn't have put that you deny that you spoke about the torch bearing ceremony unless you told them that you deny talking about the torch bearing, I don't think it's the bearing, but anyway the torch ceremony. So I mean counsel couldn't say General Mpembe denies that he spoke about the ANC torch ceremony unless you had told them that that was so. That must correct surely. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, perhaps I can just ask you on that, we've heard evidence in this Commission that the police were not issued with shotguns that fired live rounds on the 16th of August, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: May you please repeat because shotguns there are many, me I'm not understanding the question, because a shotgun it can mean there is a shotgun that can use the rubber bullet. MS BARNES: Yes, the shotguns were issued for the purposes of firing rubber bullets, but shotguns were not issued with shotgun shells on the 16th to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then, General, that you would have given that information to your legal team? CHAIRPERSON: There must be – I mean they couldn't have put that you deny that you spoke about the torch bearing ceremony unless you told them that you deny talking about the torch bearing, I don't think it's the bearing, but anyway the torch ceremony. So I mean counsel couldn't say General Mpembe denies that he spoke about the ANC torch ceremony unless you had told them that that was so. That must correct surely. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. From the beginning the Provincial Commissioner herself knew
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, perhaps I can just ask you on that, we've heard evidence in this Commission that the police were not issued with shotguns that fired live rounds on the 16th of August, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: May you please repeat because shotguns there are many, me I'm not understanding the question, because a shotgun it can mean there is a shotgun that can use the rubber bullet. MS BARNES: Yes, the shotguns were issued for the purposes of firing rubber bullets, but shotguns were not issued with shotgun shells on the 16th to the police, would that be correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then, General, that you would have given that information to your legal team? CHAIRPERSON: There must be – I mean they couldn't have put that you deny that you spoke about the torch bearing ceremony unless you told them that you deny talking about the torch bearing, I don't think it's the bearing, but anyway the torch ceremony. So I mean counsel couldn't say General Mpembe denies that he spoke about the ANC torch ceremony unless you had told them that that was so. That must correct surely. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. From the beginning the Provincial Commissioner herself knew that she has never been at the torch ceremony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>[14:22] One by SG which refers to a shotgun and then LM5 and then below that enlarged picture is a photograph of an LM5 assault rifle. Do you know anything about the weapons, in particular assault rifles which were in the possession of Lonmin employees? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: No, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Oh you can't throw any light on this at all. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, perhaps I can just ask you on that, we've heard evidence in this Commission that the police were not issued with shotguns that fired live rounds on the 16th of August, is that correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: May you please repeat because shotguns there are many, me I'm not understanding the question, because a shotgun it can mean there is a shotgun that can use the rubber bullet. MS BARNES: Yes, the shotguns were issued for the purposes of firing rubber bullets, but shotguns were not issued with shotgun shells on the 16th to the police, would that be correct? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, maybe </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson, I do follow. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, can you give us a reference to the transcript to this cross-examination? MS BARNES: I don't have it at my fingertips right now, Chair, but I will be able to obtain it. CHAIRPERSON: I understand. MS BARNES: So would it be correct then, General, that you would have given that information to your legal team? CHAIRPERSON: There must be – I mean they couldn't have put that you deny that you spoke about the torch bearing ceremony unless you told them that you deny talking about the torch bearing, I don't think it's the bearing, but anyway the torch ceremony. So I mean counsel couldn't say General Mpembe denies that he spoke about the ANC torch ceremony unless you had told them that that was so. That must correct surely. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson. From the beginning the Provincial Commissioner herself knew that she has never been at the torch ceremony. CHAIRPERSON: You see the allegation was

		I	
	Page 16199		Page 16201
1	you must have given instructions to the SAPS legal team	1	Commissioner this is the Provincial Commissioner, she is
2	that you never said that.	2	the one who is in charge of me? Did you say –
3	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, Chairperson,	3	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Yes, I report to
4	I did say particular during my consultation with the legal	4	her, she is my provincial commissioner.
5	team that I did not say so and I even put it clear in my	5	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I'm interested in if
6	statement that I do not know why Mr Mathunjwa will try to	6	you can remember what your exact words were. Did you say I
7	put words in my mouth. I didn't really understand why he	7	report to her or did you say, you know speaking
8	will always say I said things that I didn't say.	8	colloquially, did you say she's in charge of me?
9	MS BARNES: And if there were other	9	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, I
10	aspects of Mr Mathunjwa's evidence or any statements that	10	said she is in charge of the province and that all of us
11	were wrong you would also have told your attorneys about	11	were reporting to her, but not she is in charge of the
12	that, isn't that correct?	12	operation.
13	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Correct,	13	MR MATHIBEDI SC: Sorry, Mr Chairman,
14	Chairperson.	14	page 2462, that would be line 325 which reads as follows,
15		15	"And if that fact is established there is no way General
16	for the challenge that was put to Mr Mathunjwa by Mr	16	Mpembe could have said that to you."
17	Semenya, it is day 23, it's page 2460 and it's from line 22	17	CHAIRPERSON: You're now jumping from
18	to 2461 line 7.	18	2461, line 7 which is the end of the passage to which Ms
19	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	19	Barnes refers to something which on the next page. So have
20	MS BARNES: Now, General we know that you	20	the advantage over me if you've got it the computer in
21	have said it's not correct that you told Mr Mathunjwa that	21	front of you. So perhaps if that could be put up on the
22	you were no longer in charge of the operation on the 16th,	22	screen then we would be able to follow the point. I see
23	is that correct?	23	the point Ms Barnes is trying to make, it may be that it's
24	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: That I'm not in	24	not quite as focused as I think it is at the moment.
25	charge or – I just wanted to find the question for clarity.	25	Anyway we will see when we see that extract. We really, I
	Page 16200		Page 16202
1	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you	1	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through
2	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial	1 2	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen.
	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the		suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr
2	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr	2	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr	2 3	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr	2 3 4	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr	2 3 4 5	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the	2 3 4 5 6	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told	2 3 4 5 6 7	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct. MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. General Mpembe,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, it wasn't put to Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct. MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. General Mpembe, we have established through –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, it wasn't put to Mr Mathunjwa by your legal team in this Commission that that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct. MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. General Mpembe, we have established through – CHAIRPERSON: I think, Ms Barnes, you owe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, it wasn't put to Mr Mathunjwa by your legal team in this Commission that that was false. Do you have any explanation for that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct. MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. General Mpembe, we have established through – CHAIRPERSON: I think, Ms Barnes, you owe the witness an apology. You put something to him which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, it wasn't put to Mr Mathunjwa by your legal team in this Commission that that was false. Do you have any explanation for that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, in my evidence in chief I did say when I introduced Mr Mathunjwa	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct. MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. General Mpembe, we have established through – CHAIRPERSON: I think, Ms Barnes, you owe the witness an apology. You put something to him which is not correct but would it be safe to say the graceful way of handling it is to apologise and then move on. And I'm sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, it wasn't put to Mr Mathunjwa by your legal team in this Commission that that was false. Do you have any explanation for that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, in my evidence in chief I did say when I introduced Mr Mathunjwa to the Provincial Commissioner, not knowing that they met	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct. MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. General Mpembe, we have established through – CHAIRPERSON: I think, Ms Barnes, you owe the witness an apology. You put something to him which is not correct but would it be safe to say the graceful way of handling it is to apologise and then move on. And I'm sure you'd like to do it like that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, it wasn't put to Mr Mathunjwa by your legal team in this Commission that that was false. Do you have any explanation for that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, in my evidence in chief I did say when I introduced Mr Mathunjwa to the Provincial Commissioner, not knowing that they met somewhere else, I did say to Mr Mathunjwa in the presence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct. MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. General Mpembe, we have established through – CHAIRPERSON: I think, Ms Barnes, you owe the witness an apology. You put something to him which is not correct but would it be safe to say the graceful way of handling it is to apologise and then move on. And I'm sure you'd like to do it like that. MS BARNES: Yes, General, I do apologise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, it wasn't put to Mr Mathunjwa by your legal team in this Commission that that was false. Do you have any explanation for that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, in my evidence in chief I did say when I introduced Mr Mathunjwa to the Provincial Commissioner, not knowing that they met somewhere else, I did say to Mr Mathunjwa in the presence of Major-General Annandale "Provincial Commissioner, this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct. MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. General Mpembe, we have established through – CHAIRPERSON: I think, Ms Barnes, you owe the witness an apology. You put something to him which is not correct but would it be safe to say the graceful way of handling it is to apologise and then move on. And I'm sure you'd like to do it like that. MS BARNES: Yes, General, I do apologise for my error.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, it wasn't put to Mr Mathunjwa by your legal team in this Commission that that was false. Do you have any explanation for that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, in my evidence in chief I did say when I introduced Mr Mathunjwa to the Provincial Commissioner, not knowing that they met somewhere else, I did say to Mr Mathunjwa in the presence of Major-General Annandale "Provincial Commissioner, this is Mr Mathunjwa, the President of AMCU." And we were in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct. MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. General Mpembe, we have established through – CHAIRPERSON: I think, Ms Barnes, you owe the witness an apology. You put something to him which is not correct but would it be safe to say the graceful way of handling it is to apologise and then move on. And I'm sure you'd like to do it like that. MS BARNES: Yes, General, I do apologise for my error. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks, Advocate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, it wasn't put to Mr Mathunjwa by your legal team in this Commission that that was false. Do you have any explanation for that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, in my evidence in chief I did say when I introduced Mr Mathunjwa to the Provincial Commissioner, not knowing that they met somewhere else, I did say to Mr Mathunjwa in the presence of Major-General Annandale "Provincial Commissioner, this is Mr Mathunjwa, the President of AMCU." And we were in front of the JOC, of the JOC by that time. And I also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct. MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. General Mpembe, we have established through – CHAIRPERSON: I think, Ms Barnes, you owe the witness an apology. You put something to him which is not correct but would it be safe to say the graceful way of handling it is to apologise and then move on. And I'm sure you'd like to do it like that. MS BARNES: Yes, General, I do apologise for my error. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks, Advocate. MS BARNES: General, we have had a look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, it wasn't put to Mr Mathunjwa by your legal team in this Commission that that was false. Do you have any explanation for that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, in my evidence in chief I did say when I introduced Mr Mathunjwa to the Provincial Commissioner, not knowing that they met somewhere else, I did say to Mr Mathunjwa in the presence of Major-General Annandale "Provincial Commissioner, this is Mr Mathunjwa, the President of AMCU." And we were in front of the JOC, of the JOC by that time. And I also introduced the Provincial Commissioner to Mr Mathunjwa as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct. MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. General Mpembe, we have established through – CHAIRPERSON: I think, Ms Barnes, you owe the witness an apology. You put something to him which is not correct but would it be safe to say the graceful way of handling it is to apologise and then move on. And I'm sure you'd like to do it like that. MS BARNES: Yes, General, I do apologise for my error. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks, Advocate. MS BARNES: General, we have had a look at the video footage as well as the transcript of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, it wasn't put to Mr Mathunjwa by your legal team in this Commission that that was false. Do you have any explanation for that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, in my evidence in chief I did say when I introduced Mr Mathunjwa to the Provincial Commissioner, not knowing that they met somewhere else, I did say to Mr Mathunjwa in the presence of Major-General Annandale "Provincial Commissioner, this is Mr Mathunjwa, the President of AMCU." And we were in front of the JOC, of the JOC by that time. And I also introduced the Provincial Commissioner to Mr Mathunjwa as a Provincial Commissioner and she is in charge of me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct. MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. General Mpembe, we have established through – CHAIRPERSON: I think, Ms Barnes, you owe the witness an apology. You put something to him which is not correct but would it be safe to say the graceful way of handling it is to apologise and then move on. And I'm sure you'd like to do it like that. MS BARNES: Yes, General, I do apologise for my error. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks, Advocate. MS BARNES: General, we have had a look at the video footage as well as the transcript of your conversation with the strikers on the 13th of August and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, it wasn't put to Mr Mathunjwa by your legal team in this Commission that that was false. Do you have any explanation for that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, in my evidence in chief I did say when I introduced Mr Mathunjwa to the Provincial Commissioner, not knowing that they met somewhere else, I did say to Mr Mathunjwa in the presence of Major-General Annandale "Provincial Commissioner, this is Mr Mathunjwa, the President of AMCU." And we were in front of the JOC, of the JOC by that time. And I also introduced the Provincial Commissioner to Mr Mathunjwa as a Provincial Commissioner and she is in charge of me. CHAIRPERSON: I see, so did you actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct. MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. General Mpembe, we have established through – CHAIRPERSON: I think, Ms Barnes, you owe the witness an apology. You put something to him which is not correct but would it be safe to say the graceful way of handling it is to apologise and then move on. And I'm sure you'd like to do it like that. MS BARNES: Yes, General, I do apologise for my error. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks, Advocate. MS BARNES: General, we have had a look at the video footage as well as the transcript of your conversation with the strikers on the 13th of August and we have established that the person who is described as Lonmin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CHAIRPERSON: The allegation is that you told Mr Mathunjwa that he must speak to the Provincial Commissioner because you were no longer in charge of the operation, she was. That's the allegation, that's what Mr Mathunjwa said you said. Do you understand? It was Mr Mathunjwa's allegation about what you'd told him. Now the question I think is do you admit that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa or do you deny that that's what you told Mr Mathunjwa? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: I deny it, Chairperson. MS BARNES: General, it wasn't put to Mr Mathunjwa by your legal team in this Commission that that was false. Do you have any explanation for that? MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Chairperson, in my evidence in chief I did say when I introduced Mr Mathunjwa to the Provincial Commissioner, not knowing that they met somewhere else, I did say to Mr Mathunjwa in the presence of Major-General Annandale "Provincial Commissioner, this is Mr Mathunjwa, the President of AMCU." And we were in front of the JOC, of the JOC by that time. And I also introduced the Provincial Commissioner to Mr Mathunjwa as a Provincial Commissioner and she is in charge of me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	suppose, should start at 2460 line 22 and then run through to the passage – no, I think we must show it on the screen. The important passage is on page 2462 line 9 where Mr Semenya is reported as having said "neither did General Mpembe say to you that the Provincial Commissioner was the one in charge" to which Mr Mathunjwa says "Mr General Mpembe introduced the Provincial Commissioner during the morning and told us that she is in charge of the operation." So it was challenged. So what you have put I'm afraid isn't correct. MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. General Mpembe, we have established through – CHAIRPERSON: I think, Ms Barnes, you owe the witness an apology. You put something to him which is not correct but would it be safe to say the graceful way of handling it is to apologise and then move on. And I'm sure you'd like to do it like that. MS BARNES: Yes, General, I do apologise for my error. MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: Thanks, Advocate. MS BARNES: General, we have had a look at the video footage as well as the transcript of your conversation with the strikers on the 13th of August and we

1	Page 16203	1	Page 16205 what I can describe as a businesslike fashion. Mr
1	you that we have now established that and invite your	1	Chaskalson.
2	comment if you wish. CHAIRPERSON: If you want to see it	2	
3	CHAIRPERSON: If you want to see it again, just to satisfy yourself that it is indeed Mr Noki	3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, the evidence leaders don't have any questions for Major-General
4 5	you're entitled to ask for that. But if you're prepared to	4 5	Mpembe at this stage. We'd like to reserve our position
	accept it from counsel then we can carry on without more.		
6		6	until after Mr Mpofu but we're certainly not in a position
7	I'm in your hands.	7	to ask any new questions on new documents at this stage.
8	MAJOR-GENERAL MPEMBE: It's true,	8	And we haven't disclosed the new documents that we might
9	Chairperson, I do not want to dispute that, but I wanted to	9	want to raise with Major-General Mpembe.
10	say is that there was no precise leader and there is many	10	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. Is there
11	in the transcript and if you listen that they did not say	11	any other party representative here who wishes to ask
12	to me I can have another location. The location was	12	questions, something that's not yet been disclosed to us?
13	precisely the mountain.	13	No, so it seems as if – I'm sorry about this, but it
14	MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair, those are	14	appears that we cannot continue in the chamber this
15	our questions.	15	afternoon. I'd like to speak to the evidence leaders in
16	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The next person	16	regard to certain matters relating to phase 2 after we
17	scheduled to cross-examine is Mr Mpofu who's indicated on	17	adjourn and if there's anyone else here who wishes to raise
18	Friday I think he couldn't be here today but would be here	18	any matter with us n chambers they'll be free to do so now.
19	tomorrow. At one stage there was a possibility as I	19	But we will now adjourn then until 9 o'clock tomorrow
20	understood it that the evidence leaders might wish to ask	20	morning. Sorry, before we adjourn Advocate Hemraj wants to
21	the General some further questions. I don't know whether	21	say something to me.
22	that is so or not. Yes, before we move any further I did	22	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mathibedi, if
23	ask Mr Wesley to ascertain from Mr Mpofu whether the	23	you look at the evidence n chief of General Mpembe there
24 25	documents to which reference is going to be made during the cross-examination of General Mpembe by Mr Mpofu had been	24 25	are a lot of references to the video being stopped at
25	closs-examination of General whembe by wir whold had been	25	various places. Now when one reads that evidence it's very
	Page 16204		Page 16206
1	Page 16204 indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies	1	Page 16206 difficult to know at exactly which point in the video
1 2		1 2	-
	indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies		difficult to know at exactly which point in the video
2	indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I	2	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the
2 3	indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes	2 3	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some
2 3 4	indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand	2 3 4	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all
2 3 4 5	indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with	2 3 4 5	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the
2 3 4 5 6	indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also	2 3 4 5 6	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And
2 3 4 5 6 7	indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday.	2 3 4 5 6 7	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The exhibits will be given to the witness before close of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the reference is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The exhibits will be given to the witness before close of business day today, thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the reference is. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman, I'll pursue this matter. CHAIRPERSON: Well on that basis then we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The exhibits will be given to the witness before close of business day today, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. I never	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the reference is. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman, I'll pursue this matter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The exhibits will be given to the witness before close of business day today, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. I never thought of sitting in a Commission constituting business 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the reference is. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman, I'll pursue this matter. CHAIRPERSON: Well on that basis then we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The exhibits will be given to the witness before close of business day today, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. I never thought of sitting in a Commission constituting business but I suppose one learns all the time. Thank you. Ms 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the reference is. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman, I'll pursue this matter. CHAIRPERSON: Well on that basis then we can take the adjournment till 9 o'clock tomorrow morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The exhibits will be given to the witness before close of business day today, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. I never thought of sitting in a Commission constituting business but I suppose one learns all the time. Thank you. Ms Pillay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the reference is. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman, I'll pursue this matter. CHAIRPERSON: Well on that basis then we can take the adjournment till 9 o'clock tomorrow morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The exhibits will be given to the witness before close of business day today, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. I never thought of sitting in a Commission constituting business but I suppose one learns all the time. Thank you. Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Chair, the list of documents which Mr Mpofu intends to rely on has been circulated to the parties. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the reference is. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman, I'll pursue this matter. CHAIRPERSON: Well on that basis then we can take the adjournment till 9 o'clock tomorrow morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The exhibits will be given to the witness before close of business day today, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. I never thought of sitting in a Commission constituting business but I suppose one learns all the time. Thank you. Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Chair, the list of documents which Mr Mpofu intends to rely on has been circulated to the parties. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you and there are new 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the reference is. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman, I'll pursue this matter. CHAIRPERSON: Well on that basis then we can take the adjournment till 9 o'clock tomorrow morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The exhibits will be given to the witness before close of business day today, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. I never thought of sitting in a Commission constituting business but I suppose one learns all the time. Thank you. Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Chair, the list of documents which Mr Mpofu intends to rely on has been circulated to the parties. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you and there are new documents are there? Or are they all old ones? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the reference is. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman, I'll pursue this matter. CHAIRPERSON: Well on that basis then we can take the adjournment till 9 o'clock tomorrow morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The exhibits will be given to the witness before close of business day today, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. I never thought of sitting in a Commission constituting business but I suppose one learns all the time. Thank you. Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Chair, the list of documents which Mr Mpofu intends to rely on has been circulated to the parties. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you and there are new documents are there? Or are they all old ones? MS PILLAY: There don't appear to be any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the reference is. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman, I'll pursue this matter. CHAIRPERSON: Well on that basis then we can take the adjournment till 9 o'clock tomorrow morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The exhibits will be given to the witness before close of business day today, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. I never thought of sitting in a Commission constituting business but I suppose one learns all the time. Thank you. Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Chair, the list of documents which Mr Mpofu intends to rely on has been circulated to the parties. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you and there are new documents are there? Or are they all old ones? MS PILLAY: There don't appear to be any new documents. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the reference is. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman, I'll pursue this matter. CHAIRPERSON: Well on that basis then we can take the adjournment till 9 o'clock tomorrow morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The exhibits will be given to the witness before close of business day today, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. I never thought of sitting in a Commission constituting business but I suppose one learns all the time. Thank you. Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Chair, the list of documents which Mr Mpofu intends to rely on has been circulated to the parties. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you and there are new documents are there? Or are they all old ones? MS PILLAY: There don't appear to be any new documents. CHAIRPERSON: I see, so it's not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the reference is. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman, I'll pursue this matter. CHAIRPERSON: Well on that basis then we can take the adjournment till 9 o'clock tomorrow morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The exhibits will be given to the witness before close of business day today, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. I never thought of sitting in a Commission constituting business but I suppose one learns all the time. Thank you. Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Chair, the list of documents which Mr Mpofu intends to rely on has been circulated to the parties. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you and there are new documents are there? Or are they all old ones? MS PILLAY: There don't appear to be any new documents. CHAIRPERSON: I see, so it's not necessary for copies for documents to be provided, good. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the reference is. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman, I'll pursue this matter. CHAIRPERSON: Well on that basis then we can take the adjournment till 9 o'clock tomorrow morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 indicated. And whether insofar as any new documents copies have been made available for the witness to see. Because I don't want to waste time tomorrow if General Mpembe comes back and Mr Mpofu hasn't got the documents and we stand down. I see the attorney for Mr Mpofu's clients is with us, can she perhaps enlighten us on the point? We also raised at a meeting in chambers with Mr Mpofu on Friday. ATTORNEY: Thank you, Mr Chair. Documents have been given to the evidence already. The exhibits will be given to the witness before close of business day today, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. I never thought of sitting in a Commission constituting business but I suppose one learns all the time. Thank you. Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Chair, the list of documents which Mr Mpofu intends to rely on has been circulated to the parties. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you and there are new documents are there? Or are they all old ones? MS PILLAY: There don't appear to be any new documents. CHAIRPERSON: I see, so it's not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	difficult to know at exactly which point in the video reference is being made to – it's difficult to follow the evidence. We raised this with Mr Ngalwana and at some stage we were promised that we would have a list of all those spots on the video that are referred to where the video was stopped and the witness then comments on it. And I think if that can be done sooner rather than later it would help us follow that evidence because as it stands it's quite confusing because we don't know what the reference is. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman, I'll pursue this matter. CHAIRPERSON: Well on that basis then we can take the adjournment till 9 o'clock tomorrow morning.

				Page
Α	16123:5 16131:10	Annandale 16200:19	arises 16182:10	attack 16149:9
abandoned 16176:4	16180:25 16182:15	annexure 16188:7,24	arrange 16114:5	16176:23 16177:11
able 16084:4 16116:17	16186:6 16202:20	announced 16170:24	16117:14	16177:24 16178:19
16119:10 16127:18	16205:20	annoyed 16122:24	arranged 16110:24	attacked 16133:22
16128:20 16139:14	aerial 16185:9	answer 16096:4,12,15	16114:12,20 16115:4	16179:4
16152:2 16185:1	affidavit 16187:25	16097:19 16099:19	16115:5 16117:11,13	attempt 16106:24
16186:2,18 16198:6	16188:21 16190:14	16101:25 16112:1	arrangement 16111:19	16184:23 16192:7
	afraid 16163:7	16114:15 16127:16	16116:2	attend 16115:1
16201:22	16202:10	16143:18 16146:1	arrangements 16116:8	16116:16 16174:4
absolutely 16127:21	African 16109:3	16151:16 16152:8	16117:4.23	attendance 16126:3
16171:3	16116:23 16136:20	16157:16 16160:11	arrest 16170:23	16174:2
accept 16089:6,8	16138:18	16160:12 16167:1	arrested 16109:16	attended 16107:16
16092:14 16117:24	Afrikaans 16108:17	16171:5 16181:6	Arrival 16101:9	16108:19 16117:20
16133:9 16139:20				
16142:17 16190:7	16151:6,8	16195:5,5,7	arrive 16153:21	attending 16123:14
16198:25 16203:6	afternoon 16104:25	answered 16182:9	arrived 16084:12,18	attention 16083:6
accepted 16139:7,12,12	16205:15	16194:6	16093:4 16094:10	16099:8 16156:20
16139:15,16	ago 16132:1,2	answering 16182:3	16096:10 16101:18	attorney 16204:5,8
accessed 16168:18	agree 16088:5,18	answers 16096:19	16102:13 16112:4	attorneys 16100:1
accompanied 16118:8	16089:11 16090:3	16151:14 16177:12	16113:14,15	16199:11
accord 16088:17	16126:24 16133:23	anybody 16161:21	16148:12 16163:9	attorney's 16099:8
accuracy 16096:18	16134:9,14,16	anyway 16103:12	16177:3,7,14,15,18	August 16084:8
accuracy 16096.18 accurate 16177:17	16136:15 16143:8	16104:5 16105:17	16177:22 16178:8	16085:23 16090:22
Act 16189:14 16191:6	agreed 16115:22,24	16106:6,19 16110:6,9	16182:5 16187:7	16102:24 16103:16
	16116:8 16125:6	16113:8 16185:15	arrives 16086:23	16103:19 16104:3,19
acting 16162:7	16126:12 16129:3	16198:16 16201:25	arriving 16094:11	16109:13 16111:3,7
action 16140:10	16120.12 16129.3		16151:2 16178:11	16123:14 16132:8,10
actors 16106:25	-	apologise 16083:11		-
actual 16121:23	16184:22,25	16110:19 16139:21	arrow 16185:16,17	16141:1 16142:2
16166:4	agreeing 16186:9	16168:3 16202:16,18	arrows 16185:17,20	16154:9 16167:20
add 16123:4 16160:4	agreement 16116:4	apology 16202:14	article 16102:17,18,23	16179:25 16180:4,13
addition 16102:5	16123:17 16124:3,14	apparent 16108:10	16103:17,22,25,25	16180:23 16187:6
16109:2 16140:3	16124:18 16129:12	apparently 16100:21	16104:12 16105:2,3	16189:9 16191:16
address 16084:10	16184:24 16186:1,7	appeal 16189:24	16109:2	16192:6,25 16193:15
16087:12 16090:2	agreements 16185:23	16190:3	ascertain 16108:22	16193:19 16195:9
16096:8 16115:21	agrees 16150:18	appealed 16189:16	16203:23	16196:14 16202:23
	aired 16112:22	appear 16093:19	asked 16084:14	available 16204:2
16118:5,10,23	alighted 16151:20	16096:11 16102:3	16085:2 16090:10,14	aware 16101:16
16120:8 16137:6,7	allegation 16173:9	16175:7 16188:7	16092:1 16094:14,16	16119:4,5 16153:6,1
16138:5 16184:11	16198:23 16200:1,4,6	16204:21	16094:23 16095:12	16153:14
addressed 16086:23,25	allege 16144:17	appears 16084:1	16095:18 16115:1,3	A1 16188:24
16107:17 16118:9	0	16088:6 16090:1,25	16117:14 16129:16	AI 10188.24
16122:18 16136:25	allegedly 16151:15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		В
16137:1 16182:2	allocated 16193:20	16096:5 16104:23,24	16130:3 16132:12	
addresses 16182:5	allow 16144:14	16127:7 16145:3	16133:11 16134:4,17	B 16182:21,22,24
addressing 16086:13	16150:4	16153:7 16192:21	16138:17 16154:18	16183:3,3
16087:9 16120:15	allowed 16118:10	16193:19 16195:24	16155:15 16194:15	back 16084:11 16086:3
16148:22	16122:15	16205:14	asking 16146:7	16086:15 16087:22
adjourn 16205:17,19	alluding 16144:18	applicant 16188:2,14	16153:1 16155:5	16087:24 16088:11
16205:20	Alright 16128:9	16188:15	16166:14 16167:5	16088:15 16090:11
ADJOURNED	16145:20 16148:19	application 16187:24	16175:10 16178:6	16091:1,2,8 16092:2
	16170:9	16188:1,25	16194:9,11,11	16096:3,9 16125:24
16206:15	AMCU 16083:16	applying 16144:5	asks 16092:24 16093:1	16130:18,21,22
adjournment 16125:16	16086:10,13,19	appointed 16159:25	16094:25	16131:1,6,21 16132:
16162:4 16169:5	16087:4,20 16088:15	appraised 16145:3,6	aspect 16132:11	16132:15 16133:16
16184:14 16206:14				
ADJOURNS 16125:18	16112:12 16113:22	appreciate 16168:22	16159:15,17	16133:17 16134:11
16163:3 16184:15	16115:15 16123:17	approach 16183:23	aspects 16132:13	16134:13 16141:4
adjustments 16089:14	16124:4 16126:7	16186:5	16199:10	16158:18 16159:19
admit 16113:6	16128:23 16188:5,16	appropriate 16177:13	assault 16192:22	16160:2,8 16162:13
	16200:20	appropriateness	16196:3,4	16162:13 16163:6,14
16129:19 16200:7	amended 16171:15	16143:5 16144:6	assertion 16176:3	16164:20,23
		approximately 16161:1	assistance 16102:21	16165:20,21
admits 16144:13	analysed 16143:11		16154 15 16106 00	16176:24 16177:25
admits 16144:13 admittedly 16175:24	analysed 16143:11 analysis 16180:22	area 16148:10	16154:15 16186:22	101/0.24 101/7.23
admits 16144:13 admittedly 16175:24 Adv 16086:17 16089:4	analysis 16180:22		assisted 16186:10	
admits 16144:13 admittedly 16175:24 Adv 16086:17 16089:4 16089:9 16129:4	analysis 16180:22 ANC 16097:7 16098:9	area 16148:10 areas 16182:13	assisted 16186:10	16187:3 16204:4
admits 16144:13 admittedly 16175:24 Adv 16086:17 16089:4 16089:9 16129:4 16147:21	analysis 16180:22 ANC 16097:7 16098:9 16099:24 16107:22	area 16148:10 areas 16182:13 aren't 16152:13,24	assisted 16186:10 assisting 16107:18	16187:3 16204:4 badge 16109:2,4,19
admits 16144:13 admittedly 16175:24 Adv 16086:17 16089:4 16089:9 16129:4 16147:21 advantage 16201:20	analysis 16180:22 ANC 16097:7 16098:9 16099:24 16107:22 16107:23 16109:17	area 16148:10 areas 16182:13 aren't 16152:13,24 argue 16144:23	assisted 16186:10 assisting 16107:18 assume 16107:2	16187:3 16204:4 badge 16109:2,4,19 Baloyi 16085:5 16101:
admits 16144:13 admittedly 16175:24 Adv 16086:17 16089:4 16089:9 16129:4 16147:21 advantage 16201:20 advocate 16083:23	analysis 16180:22 ANC 16097:7 16098:9 16099:24 16107:22 16107:23 16109:17 16197:10,20,24	area 16148:10 areas 16182:13 aren't 16152:13,24 argue 16144:23 argument 16154:1	assisted 16186:10 assisting 16107:18 assume 16107:2 16147:4	16187:3 16204:4 badge 16109:2,4,19 Baloyi 16085:5 16101: 16101:18
admits 16144:13 admittedly 16175:24 Adv 16086:17 16089:4 16089:9 16129:4 16147:21 advantage 16201:20	analysis 16180:22 ANC 16097:7 16098:9 16099:24 16107:22 16107:23 16109:17	area 16148:10 areas 16182:13 aren't 16152:13,24 argue 16144:23	assisted 16186:10 assisting 16107:18 assume 16107:2	16187:3 16204:4 badge 16109:2,4,19 Baloyi 16085:5 16101:

Pretoria

				Page
16088:16 16090:1,3	briefing 16092:6	16140:20 16144:3	16185:11	16134:25,25
16090:10 16143:16	16122:21 16132:20	16152:5 16205:6	circulated 16204:17	16137:18,19,22
16143:18	16148:3,11,12	chair 16083:18 16087:5	circumstances 16112:2	16139:25 16140:17
based 16143:5	Brigadier 16086:18	16088:4 16089:10	circumstantial	16159:20 16197:22
16184:10	16102:11 16106:5	16100:13 16102:2,22	16186:21	comes 16092:22
basis 16113:20 16139:9	16120:1,11,14	16103:2 16104:11	claim 16139:18	16102:18,21 16147:7
16181:20 16194:5	bring 16137:16	16105:1 16106:22	16189:12	16158:19,24
16206:13	16138:9	16110:3 16116:12	claimed 16139:16	16171:11 16183:17
bearing 16097:8	broke 16164:19	16117:9 16125:17,24	clarified 16129:24	16183:18 16204:3
16098:9 16099:25	brought 16112:9	16127:22 16139:21	clarify 16121:16	comfort 16162:24
16107:14,14,16	16187:25	16150:6 16154:6	16127:8,9 16156:25	16163:1,5
16197:10,20,25	bullet 16196:18	16162:25 16163:18	clarifying 16154:23	coming 16134:18
16198:14,15,16	bullets 16196:20	16169:6 16176:10	clarity 16092:19	16161:24 16167:12
beg 16103:7 16142:7	bundle 16180:2	16180:6,9,16	16121:18 16127:2	command 16139:9,24
beginning 16198:21	16181:2	16181:14 16184:12	16183:17 16199:25	16139:25 16140:4,6
begins 16181:7	business 16204:11,13	16184:18,18 16186:4	clear 16092:14	16147:8,16 16148:3
behalf 16083:16	businesslike 16205:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		16186:16 16187:2,11	16103:12 16117:19	16151:8,10,12
16135:18	busy 16087:4 16113:9	16190:7,15 16192:12	16122:23 16135:19	16152:12,18 16174:5
believe 16192:6	16113:10 16116:10	16192:15 16194:4,9	16136:22 16137:12	16174:9,17
benefit 16128:20	16166:17	16198:6 16199:15	16139:24 16144:4	commander 16149:14
16169:3		16202:11 16203:14	16151:25 16152:5	16149:16
better 16122:14	<u> </u>	16204:8,16	16153:5,25 16176:1	commanders 16148:1,4
16169:2 16192:13	Calitz 16120:11,14	chaired 16117:24	16177:10 16199:5	16150:19 16160:22
beyond 16176:1	Calitz's 16120:1	Chairman 16102:9	clearer 16197:1	16161:4 16173:24
big 16147:6	call 16093:2 16135:6	16106:10,18	clearly 16103:11	commands 16151:15
bit 16083:3 16094:9	16154:11,12,21,23	16136:23 16137:3	16116:6,8 16156:13	16151:24,25 16152:6
16106:2 16137:12	16157:21 16158:23	16151:13 16181:18	16174:4 16176:4,7	16152:13,19,24
16141:12 16184:21	16161:3 16162:4	16181:21,25 16194:5	16186:22	16153:10
black 16090:14	16164:24 16181:7,8	16201:13 16206:11	client 16087:7,9	commemorate
blamed 16151:22	16181:16 16185:12	chairmanship	clients 16204:5	16103:19 16105:4
16153:9	16192:10 16193:7	16115:16	close 16204:10	commences 16083:2
block 16147:16	called 16093:23	challenge 16116:15	closer 16118:21	comment 16116:22
blocking 16147:8	16127:9	16199:16	coincide 16129:4	16127:13 16142:3
16150:20	canister 16156:12	challenged 16189:11	coincides 16089:5	16143:1,13 16147:17
bloodshed 16144:8	can't 16093:13,13	16202:9	colleague 16098:23	16147:19,19 16149:1
board 16109:9 16181:2	16126:17 16139:15	chamber 16083:12	16127:19 16169:4	16149:4 16152:3
boards 16192:4,17	16120:17 16159:19	16205:14	16182:15 16186:5	16203:2
Bobby 16109:16	16152:19 16153:25	chambers 16204:7	colleagues 16085:17	comments 16152:10
bodies 16183:1	Cape 16108:15,23	16205:18	16087:21 16091:3	16206:6
Bombela 16109:16	Captain 16149:14	chance 16152:8	16112:20 16132:11	Commission 16083:2
	16156:5,17 16161:10	16188:12	16184:23	
book 16086:5 16088:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			16098:18 16099:14
16088:8,17 16089:6	16161:24 16173:10	change 16127:24	colloquially 16201:8	16100:4 16109:23
16090:1 16096:13	16173:15,17 16174:7	16181:11	Colonel 16092:1,5	16112:4 16122:15
16100:22 16120:18	16193:14	changed 16143:23	16100:23 16120:4,7	16125:18,18,19
books 16096:18	captured 16174:8	16144:25	16128:15 16152:22	16142:3 16143:4
border 16108:15	16183:2	charge 16097:3,4	16158:18,19	16144:24 16145:17
bother 16108:9	carry 16137:17	16105:23 16120:14	16161:13 16162:1,17	16147:20 16149:22
bottom 16085:13	16163:15 16169:24	16174:25 16199:22	16162:17,18,18	16153:14 16156:4
16106:24 16141:13	16203:6	16199:25 16200:3,23	16164:2,8,13	16162:15 16163:3,4
bound 16186:6,9	cartridges 16183:2	16201:2,8,10,11	16165:22 16166:1,4	16163:20 16171:6,21
break 16162:24	case 16186:9 16194:7	16202:6,8	16166:11,12,18	16172:13 16173:4,16
16163:2,5	celebrate 16103:19	Chaskalson 16175:14	16167:8 16169:25	16174:6,9 16175:5,6
breakaway 16155:24	16107:23	16175:24 16205:2,3	16170:8 16171:22,24	16180:19 16184:15
16157:9 16159:14,16	centenary 16107:23,23	check 16088:20	16172:14 16174:8	16184:15,16 16193:5
16159:20,24,25	ceremonies 16107:15	16096:18 16149:12	16175:15,25	16196:12 16197:8,15
16160:2,5,6,9,13,14	ceremony 16097:8	16154:11 16189:19	16178:22 16179:21	16200:13 16204:13
	16098:9 16197:10,20	cheering 16119:6	colours 16181:13	16206:15
		chief 16103:14 16127:6	combination 16182:20	committee 16091:15
16160:24 16162:6	16197:25 16198.14			COMMMISSION
16160:24 16162:6 16163:19 16164:14	16197:25 16198:14 16198:16 18 22	16200.16 16205.23	16182220	
16160:24 16162:6 16163:19 16164:14 16164:21 16165:3,5,9	16198:16,18,22	16200:16 16205:23	16182:20	
16160:24 16162:6 16163:19 16164:14 16164:21 16165:3,5,9 16166:8 16167:12	16198:16,18,22 certain 16127:21	chiefs 16109:16	come 16084:11 16086:3	16163:3
16160:24 16162:6 16163:19 16164:14 16164:21 16165:3,5,9 16166:8 16167:12 16173:8,11,13	16198:16,18,22 certain 16127:21 16139:18 16182:13	chiefs 16109:16 choice 16134:13,25	come 16084:11 16086:3 16087:19,24	16163:3 common 16150:6,9
16160:24 16162:6 16163:19 16164:14 16164:21 16165:3,5,9 16166:8 16167:12 16173:8,11,13 bridge 1 6186:14	16198:16,18,22 certain 16127:21 16139:18 16182:13 16182:13 16185:8	chiefs 16109:16 choice 16134:13,25 16135:6 16137:18,25	come 16084:11 16086:3 16087:19,24 16090:15 16091:1	16163:3 common 16150:6,9 companies 16109:10
16160:24 16162:6 16163:19 16164:14 16164:21 16165:3,5,9 16166:8 16167:12 16173:8,11,13 bridge 16 186:14 brief 16131:12	16198:16,18,22 certain 16127:21 16139:18 16182:13 16182:13 16185:8 16189:9 16191:6,16	chiefs 16109:16 choice 16134:13,25 16135:6 16137:18,25 chopper 16106:23	come 16084:11 16086:3 16087:19,24 16090:15 16091:1 16093:2 16094:19	16163:3 common 16150:6,9 companies 16109:10 company 16187:18
16160:24 16162:6 16163:19 16164:14 16164:21 16165:3,5,9 16166:8 16167:12 16173:8,11,13 bridge 16186:14 brief 16131:12 16147:23 16149:17	16198:16,18,22 certain 16127:21 16139:18 16182:13 16182:13 16185:8 16189:9 16191:6,16 16205:16	chiefs 16109:16 choice 16134:13,25 16135:6 16137:18,25 chopper 16106:23 chronological 16167:16	come 16084:11 16086:3 16087:19,24 16090:15 16091:1 16093:2 16094:19 16096:3,9 16106:11	16163:3 common 16150:6,9 companies 16109:10 company 16187:18 compilation 16167:4
16160:24 16162:6 16163:19 16164:14 16164:21 16165:3,5,9 16166:8 16167:12 16173:8,11,13 bridge 16 186:14 brief 16131:12	16198:16,18,22 certain 16127:21 16139:18 16182:13 16182:13 16185:8 16189:9 16191:6,16	chiefs 16109:16 choice 16134:13,25 16135:6 16137:18,25 chopper 16106:23	come 16084:11 16086:3 16087:19,24 16090:15 16091:1 16093:2 16094:19	16163:3 common 16150:6,9 companies 16109:10 company 16187:18

				Page 3
16163:22 16169:18	continued 16091:24	16163:17 16183:16	16173:10 16174:8	16162:9 16166:16
16171:14 16180:14	contradict 16093:14	16198:4 16203:25	16198:24	16194:1,20
16180:21	contribute 16162:8	cross-examine	denies 16198:17	discussions 16164:2
complained 16152:6	contributed 16164:1	16083:16 16203:17	deny 16198:13,14	16194:12,17,24,25
16153:19	control 16086:13	cross-examined	16200:8,10	16195:3
complaining 16153:23	16176:20	16132:11	depend 16184:9	disperse 16123:19
complaint 16153:11	controversy 16185:16	cross-examining	deployed 16149:13	dispersed 16153:18
completed 16166:22 computer 16128:15	convenient 16162:23 conversation 16090:18	16128:2 crowd 16119:6,19	deployment 16149:15 deputy 16105:11	dispute 16186:8 16203:9
16201:20	16097:9,10,20,23	16148:23	derived 16183:14	disputed 16097:24
concentrating	16098:2 16132:9	culture 16129:7	describe 16111:14	disregards 16185:15
16089:16,19	16133:10 16141:3	current 16126:8	16205:1	document 16086:6
concern 16133:1	16202:23	16127:24 16128:24	described 16135:12	16100:14 16101:2,6
concerned 16096:16	convey 16085:1,3	currently 16128:2	16136:4,9,12	16127:22,24
16116:4 16128:4	conveyed 16091:25		16187:15 16188:4	16158:23 16159:1,7,8
16132:15,23 16159:6	16118:4 16150:19	D	16202:24	16163:21 16164:8
conclude 16186:2	conveying 16125:1	Daily 16102:18,24	describes 16103:18	16166:2,7,22,23
concluded 16121:1	cool 16176:20	16104:19 16109:13	16153:2	16167:2,4,16,19,21
conclusion 16140:18	copies 16083:8	danger 16153:21	detail 16145:24	16167:24 16168:4,6,8
conclusions 16183:20	16145:14 16204:1,24	16178:22	detailed 16117:16	16168:14,15,19,20,22
confirm 16091:23	copy 16102:24 16110:2	dangerous 16170:18 dat 16151:23	details 16112:17 16114:3 16153:17	16169:1,2,7,11,15,25
16093:9,13 16111:6 16114:12 16119:23	16145:15 16187:9 corner 16170:22	dat 16151:23 date 16110:10	16114:3 16153:17 16154:18	16170:4,11 16180:2,3 16180:5,11,14,18,18
16114:12 16119:23	cornering 16170:22	16154:23 16168:17	detain 16188:15	16180:21 16184:20
16149:17 16164:18	corrected 16170:6	16168:18,18	devil's 16123:5	16192:21,24
16176:6 16178:6	correctly 16087:14	dated 16104:19	diaries 16106:23	16193:15 16194:6,10
confirmed 16173:24	16096:17 16175:1	dates 16154:24 16155:2	diary 16156:14	16194:16,17
conflicting 16149:25	16184:9 16185:11	16155:7 16165:5	16162:15	16195:18,20
confront 16152:19	correctness 16142:17	day 16106:8,13	didn't 16122:16,18	documentations
confusing 16206:9	corruption 16109:17	16107:21 16108:24	16124:18 16134:11	16169:18
confusion 16168:4,21	couldn't 16118:19	16110:16,24 16113:5	16136:15,17	documents 16083:6,9
16184:21	16119:15 16135:16	16114:19 16116:14	16138:19,21	16100:15 16166:25
congratulated	16139:2 16173:14	16117:1 16119:20	16140:13 16142:5,11	16172:21 16180:2
16103:21 16104:7,9 16104:16,18 16105:6	council 16100:9 16109:11	16123:17,24 16124:5 16136:8 16138:22	16142:11,21 16143:3 16143:6 16144:6,20	16181:2 16184:6,25 16185:24 16203:24
connected 16128:16	counsel 16084:15,17,23	16148:13 16161:4	16153:19 16156:17	16204:1,4,9,16,20,22
consensus 16147:4	16091:12 16098:20	16176:11 16186:20	16157:14 16163:11	16204:24 16205:7,8
consent 16147:4	16098:25 16101:22	16193:1 16199:17	16163:14 16164:15	doesn't 16092:25
consents 16147:3	16102:1,7 16106:4	16204:11	16167:11 16173:7,10	16116:1 16135:5
consequence 16116:5	16119:8 16188:12	days 16174:3	16173:17 16175:6	16143:8 16152:20
Constable 16145:13	16197:15,22	de 16122:20	16176:7 16177:25	16172:3 16175:18
16146:9,12,16,20	16198:16 16203:6	dead 16151:23 16153:9	16195:2	doing 16181:24
16148:20	counsel's 16099:8	deal 16090:18	died 16134:7	don't 16091:23
constituting 16204:13	count 16170:17	16115:20 16144:19	differences 16152:21	16092:16 16093:10
constructed 16180:18	country 16107:24	16145:21 16168:17	different 16100:25	16109:19 16112:10
consultation 16199:4 consulted 16099:4,20	course 16095:5 16116:13 16149:20	dealing 16087:3 deals 16101:1	16107:15 16157:15 16172:4	16113:8 16115:11 16116:6,9 16120:15
16100:3	16110:13 16149:20	deals 16101:1 dealt 16140:12	difficult 16096:16	16116:6,9 16120:15
contacted 16114:25	16197:17	16149:24 16150:1	16206:1,2	16125.15 16126.22
contain 16128:18	court 16186:9,9	debriefing 16140:25	direction 16147:1	16139:11 16148:6,15
16175:16	covered 16106:4	December 16098:19	16170:19 16180:4,13	16148:16 16150:1,3
contained 16088:17	16139:19 16140:21	decided 16144:5	16181:11 16186:19	16152:11,17
16105:2	16175:24,25	16147:24,25	directly 16194:16	16153:16 16154:2,17
containing 16197:2	covering 16181:6	16170:19	disagree 16136:14,18	16154:22,24
contains 16192:20	covers 16137:10	decision 16091:25	disarm 16133:19	16162:17 16167:7
CONTD 16125:23	16177:16	16143:5,22,24	16152:10 16170:22	16168:25 16175:10
16163:17	create 16183:13	16144:17,25 16145:1	disciplinary 16140:10	16175:12,21
contemporaneous 16156:14	created 16167:19 16168:17	16145:4 16153:16 16175:18 16186:12	16140:18 disclosed 16205:8,12	16177:13,22 16188:18 16190:11
contended 16175:20	crime 16182:24	definitely 16136:7	discuss 16159:17	downloaded 16102:25
content 16089:15	cross 16083:7 16100:8	16179:14	16162:11,12	drafts 16175:15,25
contentious 16154:25	16175:14 16186:14	delay 16083:10	discussed 16121:12	16176:3
contents 16169:19	cross-examination	delegate 16182:2	16122:6 16155:24	draw 16156:20
context 16141:4	16083:20 16106:2	delegation 16118:9	16159:18 16195:9	16183:20
continue 16084:18	16125:23 16126:16	delete 16175:18	discussion 16096:1,12	drawn 16083:6
16163:10 16205:14	16149:24 16163:16	denied 16171:6	16096:20 16155:14	16099:7 16182:20
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE		L	

RealTime Transcriptions

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

			1. 2	
				Page
1(102.2.5.10.11	1(151.02		16192.22.24.16192.2	Č.
16183:3,5,10,11	en 16151:23	everybody 16159:9	16182:22,24 16183:3	16121:3 16123:15
16184:9	enable 16172:7	16173:3,20 16174:11	16183:3 16185:4,5,18	16124:1,9,12
drew 16184:7,9	ended 16087:12	evidence 16084:7	16185:25 16186:1,3	16126:12 16129:3,16
drive 16100:23	16092:4 16116:14	16085:6,10 16091:22	16187:3,24 16188:25	16129:17 16130:11
drop 16138:24	16148:25 16150:16	16091:24 16092:3,14	16189:1 16191:14	16130:17 16131:7,9
drove 16147:10	engage 16189:14,16,25	16093:19 16094:14	16192:3,3,6	16131:16,19
16148:25 16150:15	16190:3,17	16098:6 16099:13,21	exhibits 16127:8	16165:24 16166:12
duty 16148:14,15	engaged 16154:3	16100:4 16102:12	16146:5 16154:12	16190:24 16191:7,12
16149:13 16159:19	engagement 16130:23	16113:2 16115:10,11	16204:10	16192:1
	00			
16159:21 16182:3	16185:12	16116:13,16	expect 16145:25	feel 16135:21
16189:14 16191:5,25	English 16151:9	16117:10 16139:4,7	expected 16124:14	Ferncrest 16084:21,25
dwaalspoor 16108:17	enlarged 16196:2	16139:19 16140:13	16158:10 16193:25	16085:5 16098:12,15
	enlargement 16193:21	16140:22 16143:4,11	explain 16112:2	fewer 16105:15
E	enlargements 16192:20	16143:20,21 16144:1	16129:11 16153:17	FFF25 16086:4
earlier 16085:19	enlighten 16204:6	16144:3,4,16,19,22	16156:10 16167:9	16090:5 16100:24
16100:25 16120:19	enter 16148:5	16147:20,21	16183:13 16188:13	16102:3 16120:18
		16150:23 16155:1	16188:16	fight 16179:5,6,12
16128:19 16130:20	entirely 16177:17			
16131:13,22 16132:6	16182:9	16172:6,14 16173:4	explained 16090:12	file 16104:14
16148:12 16155:12	entitled 16103:3	16174:22 16182:17	16153:22	filtered 16173:14
16175:14,15 16176:3	16127:12 16167:16	16183:19 16186:11	explanation 16102:6	final 16167:11
16184:20 16189:12	16192:4 16203:5	16186:21 16188:12	16143:1 16152:16	16175:17
16195:13 16197:7	entries 16086:8	16196:12 16197:7,15	16153:1 16200:14	find 16106:16,17
early 16098:19	16088:3 16102:7,10	16197:17,17,20	exposition 16092:6	16144:24 16175:13
16139:19 16163:7,14	16181:12	16199:10 16200:16	expound 16092:2	16176:17 16186:10
,			expodia 16092.2 extend 16155:3	
Earth 16181:8	entry 16086:7,9,11	16203:20 16204:9		16187:8 16191:17
16182:12	16087:16 16101:6,23	16205:4,15,23,25	extensive 16148:17	16199:25
easier 16084:6 16181:3	16101:24 16102:3,12	16206:3,8	extent 16100:13	finding 16139:14
16181:4	16106:5,6 16120:19	evidence-in 16127:5	16123:16 16139:8	fine 16104:17
Eastern 16188:13	16120:20,24 16121:6	evidence-in-chief	extra 16160:4	finger 16086:2
EE 16127:3,10,19,23	16121:10,16,21	16083:25 16155:8	extract 16201:25	16154:14
16127:25 16128:4,5,6	envisaged 16150:14	16174:6	extracts 16185:19	fingertips 16198:6
16128:7,8,10,11,14	error 16202:19	evidential 16172:7	extremely 16176:19	finished 16097:11
			extremely 10170.19	
16128:17,17	escort 16085:20	16186:18	F	16166:19
EE1 16128:5	16133:11,17 16134:4	exact 16085:16		fire 16139:24
effect 16144:3	16134:10 16144:7	16154:18 16201:6	faceless 16112:6,8,21	firearm 16141:22
effected 16109:11	16147:1 16152:10	exactly 16092:2	16113:17 16187:17	16142:10,22,22
effectively 16154:4	escorted 16134:13	16144:18 16145:3	16189:13	16143:7,7 16195:25
eight 16110:16,24	16137:21 16138:25	16154:20 16206:1	fact 16091:25 16098:11	firearms 16141:6,20
16115:12,13	16143:23	examination 16083:8	16098:22 16100:17	16142:14 16193:19
16151:18	essence 16103:22	16100:9	16101:1,23,24	16195:21
either 16090:14	establish 16114:2	examined 16140:16	16106:6 16108:14	fired 16139:6,8
16091:9 16104:22	16127:18 16155:19	16175:15	16113:4 16126:21	16156:12 16174:14
16105:9 16140:19	16167:20 16174:23	example 16135:6	16139:2 16143:13,25	16174:18 16196:13
16172:16 16181:16	established 16112:12	16152:9 16182:25	16144:12 16151:5,12	firing 16150:21
16190:17	16113:21 16130:1	16183:17 16185:9	16153:6,9 16156:13	16196:20
elect 16105:11	16167:19 16197:7	excerpts 16184:5	16157:6 16177:14	first 16086:9 16088:25
elected 16103:4,13,14	16201:15 16202:12	exchange 16122:6,21	16179:3 16187:12,24	16101:21 16107:5
16104:21 16105:14	16202:24 16203:1	exchanges 16125:2	16188:4,24 16189:15	16109:22,24,25
			-	· · ·
16105:16	estimate 16096:16	Executive 16109:11	16201:15 16202:25	16110:8 16112:22
election 16103:20	estimates 16085:21	exercise 16154:3	facts 16116:10	16113:2 16126:19
16104:2,5,8 16105:4	estimations 16096:18	16164:8 16186:19	16143:16,17	16129:24 16140:25
16105:8,11	etcetera 16181:8	16190:13	failed 16126:11	16141:21 16143:22
emanate 16152:21	evening 16111:14	exhibit 16085:14	16129:2	16146:3,7,15 16147:
emanates 16145:7	16113:3	16086:4 16090:6	fairly 16184:2	16153:5 16157:25
16183:20	event 16099:25	16100:6,16 16102:17	false 16200:14	16158:1 16165:15
	16101:8 16102:11	16102:19 16109:6,23	familiar 16147:6	16170:16 16173:8
embark 16183:16				
embarrass 16163:15	16103:18,21 16105:4	16110:12 16120:17	far 16096:15 16102:25	16180:3,23 16182:11
emotions 16176:19	16106:3,21 16107:3	16123:7 16127:6,9,19	16108:8,22 16116:3	16185:11 16188:2
employee 16195:23	16128:13 16149:25	16127:23,25	16131:1 16135:13,23	16190:20 16192:7,14
employees 16113:8	events 16084:8 16101:2	16128:17 16134:21	16136:17 16147:9	16192:16 16193:4
16188:23 16189:10	16137:10 16154:7,7	16140:24 16146:19	16157:10 16158:10	16194:7
16191:16 16194:18	16155:9,23 16157:8	16150:25 16167:15	16159:5 16167:1,3	firstly 16106:3
16196:5	16157:10 16158:6	16167:23 16168:9	fashion 16205:1	16113:13 16126:15
employer 16135:1,7	16185:20	16169:1 16171:13	fed 16166:3	16132:14 16146:8
4 44 0 2 4 4 2 4 4 4 0 0 0	eventually 16093:2	16179:24 16180:8,11	feedback 16092:11,16	16147:21,23
16137:1,6,7,16,19,22				-
16137:1,6,7,16,19,22 16138:5,10	16115:22 16129:20	16181:16 16182:21	16092:18,19,24	fit 16175:19

				Page
five 16161:5,6	16201:21	giving 16135:20	16165:19	16137:19 16195:19
16166:20,21	fullest 16175:23	16156:8 16181:5	guards 16193:18	heard 16118:15
16185:19	fully 16150:1 16182:9	go 16084:21 16085:14	guess 16154:4	16119:18 16121:7
focus 16086:8 16141:24	full-blown 16178:15	16085:22 16086:5,15	guide 16186:13	16122:9 16139:4,10
16142:2,12,16,19,23	function 16098:22	16087:23 16088:11	Gwala 16115:16,19	16139:24 16155:16
16142:25 16143:3,8	16108:19	16088:11 16090:2,7	16116:5,15	16156:8,11 16173:20
16173:21	further 16087:10	16093:1 16094:5,9	Gwala's 16116:24	16174:12,18 16182:1
focused 16201:24	16105:22,25	16096:24 16099:15		16196:12
focussed 16175:22	16116:18 16153:25	16101:5 16115:20,23	H	hearing 16150:8
focussing 16087:11	16155:19 16162:5	16115:24 16116:4,8	hailer 16119:3	hearsay 16122:16
follow 16098:25	16188:6,18,22	16122:22 16123:7,9	half 16086:9 16087:16	Heerden 16193:14
16099:1 16128:21	16190:11 16203:21	16126:1 16128:10	16087:22 16088:14	held 16090:21 16105:4
16160:13 16166:9	16203:22	16130:12 16131:8	16089:4,7 16090:22	16140:25 16191:15
16176:21 16178:17		16132:7,16 16134:21	16091:8,10,13	helicopter 16151:21
16178:23 16181:3	G	16136:25 16137:2,14	16093:4,5 16110:16	help 16112:11
16197:11,13,25	gas 16156:12	16138:7 16140:24	16110:23 16129:20	16116:17 16155:6
16198:2 16201:22	gather 16195:23	16141:4,11,19	hamper 16175:12	16160:12 16206:8
16206:2,8	gathered 16118:6	16147:24 16149:8	hand 16104:16	helpful 16185:18
followed 16110:4	16137:15 16155:13	16153:16 16155:18	16133:20 16137:2	16186:23
16118:8,18 16147:10	16157:1	16157:8 16158:18	16138:3,25 16144:6	Hemraj 16086:17
16170:20	gathering 16087:2	16159:16 16160:2,8	16153:16 16168:7	16093:18,25
following 16084:8	gegee 16151:24	16162:11,13,13,16,17	handed 16117:9,20,22	16100:19 16121:15
16085:16 16090:10	generals 16090:15	16165:25 16166:23	16137:9,23	16125:5 16130:15
16096:5,15,19	16093:2 16122:13	16176:11,18,24	handling 16202:16	16144:9,15 16145:2
16097:1 16106:8,13	16174:24	16177:25 16179:23	hands 16203:7	16152:20 16158:3,13
16110:25 16112:6,8	getting 16154:3	16187:3 16188:20	handwritten 16110:1,7	16158:21 16181:1
16113:5 16114:19	16166:19 16185:24	16189:1	16146:10	16182:15,16,22
16118:2 16123:13,17	16190:12	goes 16084:23 16118:6	happen 16092:2,6	16183:15 16186:6
16123:24 16129:14	get's 16188:12	16137:11 16147:9	16097:9,10 16100:8	16205:20,22
16134:24 16147:2	GGG12 16109:23	going 16083:16	16137:22 16173:10	het 16151:24
16148:22 16155:12	16110:12 16118:2	16086:3 16092:2,17	happened 16085:11,12	he'd 16096:2 16131:1
16170:12 16180:3	16187:4	16092:19 16098:1	16090:8 16092:5	16140:16,17
16183:25	GGG17 16150:25	16100:7,11 16113:19	16095:14,19 16098:3	16145:14
follows 16101:9	GGG4 16122:13	16114:14 16124:11	16099:9 16111:13	he's 16089:3,8
16201:14	16125:10 16140:25	16124:15 16132:8,22	16125:14 16129:5	16092:17 16093:5
follow-up 16190:22	GGG5 16123:8	16133:3 16137:6,17	16139:1 16141:21	16127:13 16128:4
16194:16	give 16092:11,18,19,23	16140:19,19	16143:12 16151:7	16130:11,22 16131:8
foot 16118:8 16147:11	16094:2 16100:15	16143:14 16144:7.23	16153:2 16155:20,25	16132:1 16140:12
16151:18	16110:10 16116:16	16145:8,9,13,15,18	16157:2,24 16159:2	16152:2 16163:24
footage 16183:11,18	16129:16,16	16145:24 16147:8	16159:10,11 16160:7	16171:23 16176:5
16202:22	16130:11,17 16131:7	16149:22 16154:16	16160:13 16162:11	16194:6
forced 16170:17	16131:15,18	16155:4 16165:24	16166:17 16167:8,10	HHH56 16102:21
forgive 16163:14	16134:22 16135:7,10	16184:8 16186:25	16178:15,15	HHH57 16146:11,19
form 16083:7 16092:2	16137:15 16138:9,19	16192:2 16194:3,8	happening 16158:9	16149:6
16157:8	16138:21 16139:3	16203:24	happens 16159:23	HHH58 16146:14
formed 16167:10	16145:25 16148:2	good 16083:21,22	happy 16089:22	16150:11
forth 16176:1 16183:2	16152:8 16156:5,9	16126:16 16204:24	16115:22 16153:15	HHH59 16180:8,11
fortunately 16163:8	16158:10,18 16163:9	Google 16108:23	161154:5 16176:10	16181:16
Forum 16115:12	16173:6,18 16174:5	16181:8 16182:12	harboured 16133:25	HHH60 16192:10
16123:15	16176:7 16180:7	16183:11	hard 16100:23	HHH60.1 16192:18
found 16125:9 16183:1	16181:16 16191:22	gotten 16141:7	hasn't 16131:4	16195:20
16183:2	16192:1 16198:3	graceful 16202:15	haven't 16125:14	HHH60.2 16192:23
founding 16187:25	given 16084:2,7	greatest 16152:25	16128:20 16130:2	16195:21,22
16188:21	16085:18 16093:3,3,4	green 16109:15	16151:12 16154:13	hide 16173:17 16175:6
four 16103:15 16118:3	16099:21,25 16100:4	ground 16153:5	16178:2	16175:7
16161:4,6 16166:21	16102:6 16141:15	group 16086:20	head 16194:1	high 16176:19
16185:18	16145:14,15	16087:1,1,4 16118:5	headed 16167:24	highlighting 16190:13
free 16205:18	16151:12,15 16152:1	16132:15 16135:14	16168:8 16181:11	hold 16141:7 16184:25
Friday 16187:20	16153:10 16156:10	16146:25,25 16147:4	16192:17	hope 16139:14 16186:2
16203:18 16204:7	16158:17,18 16159:7	16147:5,8,10,16	heading 16167:18	hopefully 16106:21
10203.1010204.1	16165:24 16174:17	16149:8 16157:13,16	16168:8 16192:19	16153:5
		16158:4,19 16159:1	healers 16103:4,6,8	hopes 16185:23
friends 16154:15	16120.1 16102.24	1 1 1 1 0 4 1 7 1 0 1 1 7 1	IICAICIS 10103.4.0.8	nopes 10103.23
friends 16154:15 front 16089:1 16101:3	16180:1 16183:24			horses 16122.15
friends 16 154:15 front 160 89:1 16101:3 16129:9 16146:16,20	16185:4 16189:2	16161:18,20	hear 16107:1 16119:15	horses 16122:15
friends 16154:15 front 16089:1 16101:3 16129:9 16146:16,20 16147:10 16148:25	1618 5 :4 16189:2 16194:11 16198:1 0	16161:18,20 16165:10,13,14,15	hear 16107:1 16119:15 16119:19 16122:14	hospital 16084:21
friends 16 154:15 front 160 89:1 16101:3 16129:9 16146:16,20	16185:4 16189:2	16161:18,20	hear 16107:1 16119:15	

11th November 2013

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 6
16131:18	individual 16149:11	Internet 16102:25	16085:13 16086:7	Joseph 16126:7
hostilities 16185:13	16165:25 16166:11	interpret 16124:9	16126:2,4 16142:2	16128:24
hot 16085:18	individually 16162:16	interpretation	16154:25 16169:21	Judge 16105:12
hour 16087:22	16167:10	16149:12	16195:12	judicial 16107:22
16091:10 16129:20	individuals 16157:7	interpreted 16124:13	I'll 16112:1 16127:8,8	jumping 16201:17
House 16103:15	16193:20	16124:22	16134:21 16143:21	junior 16147:23
16104:21 16105:8	informal 16132:16,25	interprets 16149:11	16145:19	16192:1
16107:15	16148:7,8,9,16,18	interrupt 16112:19	I'm 16084:3 16086:17	juniors 16129:9
hurt 16133:25	16185:14	16130:16,25	16088:13,19 16123:4	
hy 16151:23	information 16100:1	introduce 16102:17	16124:9 16129:10,18	<u> </u>
	16114:17 16119:18	16167:22	16129:21 16130:16	keep 16086:2 16139:15
	16122:1,3,4 16158:10	introduced 16150:7	16132:8 16133:8	Kellerman 16195:23
identical 16127:20	16158:11,11 16159:5	16185:4 16200:16,22	16136:6,6 16137:9	kept 16091:10
16128:1 identified 16152:14,24	16171:10,19,20	16202:7	16138:11 16143:3,13	key 16095:25 16148:18 16182:13 16184:4
16184:4 16189:10	16173:14 16175:20 16182:11,20 16184:6	investigation 16105:22 Investment 16109:9	16144:14,21 16145:8 16145:18 16146:6	
identifies 16188:22	16189:3 16198:10	invite 16203:1	16149:22 16150:5,17	Kgosi 16103:3 16104:7 16105:13
identify 16110:9	informed 16084:20	involved 16126:6	16163:7,11,13	kill 16132:17,21,24
16139:10,25	16114:14,22 16126:6	16128:23 16155:23	16164:17 16166:5,10	16133:15 16134:1
16152:18	16128:22 16191:5,5	16128.23 16155.25	16167:5 16172:1,11	16133.13 16134.1
imagery 16182:12	informing 16125:11	16159:15 16165:15	16172:13 16174:22	16142:25
immediately 16115:23	initial 16110:7	16165:16 16167:1	16176:8,10 16177:8	killed 16177:19
16178:21	initially 16129:19	16171:16 16176:5	16177:15 16178:5,6	16178:9
important 16103:13	16140:13	involvement 16155:5	16192:2 16194:9,10	killings 16177:3,8
16147:7 16149:15	initiative 16116:23	in-chief 16173:5	16194:11	kind 16126:23
16151:6 16202:3	injure 16132:17,21,24	isn't 16091:17 16093:6	I've 16110:13 16130:10	16159:23 16162:7,22
impressed 16123:3,4	16133:15	16095:7,14 16117:16	16131:25 16132:2	16168:16 16190:13
impression 16116:1	ink 16106:6	16118:23 16130:4	16150:7 16168:6	knew 16131:20
imputation 16116:5	input 16166:3	16141:10 16158:22	16169:13,17 16175:9	16198:21
inaudible 16127:11	inputs 16164:1,9	16158:25 16164:2	16192:18,20	knowing 16200:17
16131:3 16140:5	16166:19 16169:3	16177:16		knowledge 16093:15
16142:6 16172:2	inside 16093:11,11	issue 16131:4 16137:7	J	16116:20 16117:16
inaugurated 16105:9	16194:23	16173:2 16195:8	Ja 16146:6 16168:1	16158:12 16159:5,12
16105:10	insisted 16084:21	issued 16147:8,16	jeering 16119:19	known 16108:17
inauguration 16104:21	insofar 16172:24	16196:13,19,21	Jimmy 16109:17	16113:7 16194:7
16105:13 16107:15	16204:1	16197:2	JJ 16127:4	Koen 16155:20
incident 16112:7	inspection 16182:25	issues 16137:5 16184:8	JJJ 16128:12	16161:9
16113:15 16151:2	instance 16118:7	16186:23	JJJ126 16126:2	koppie 16084:10
16167:17,25	instructed 16102:10	it's 16085:14 16087:13	16127:3,20	16085:20,22
16168:10	instructing 16150:12	16087:19 16088:7	JJJ128 16100:18,20,21	16088:15 16090:2
incidents 16165:8	instruction 16102:8	16089:14 16093:14	JJJ140 16167:15	16091:2 16092:23
16168:11	16106:15 16150:8,19	16109:19,23	16168:9	16095:14,19 16096:2
including 16164:9	16161:3 16170:22,23	16110:12 16111:8	JJJ192 16191:14	16096:3,4,7,13,21
incorrect 16099:7,17	16171:8 16173:6,18	16112:1 16115:5	job 16159:18 16160:1,1	16097:22 16118:4,6
16151:8,10,14	16173:19 16175:5,17	16117:12 16120:3,20	JOC 16084:11,12,13,19	16126:10 16129:1
16175:20 16197:23	16176:3,8	16122:22,23	16091:2,14,14,15,16	16130:21 16132:2,16
incorrectly 16121:25	instructions 16135:20	16123:12 16125:9,10	16091:19,24 16093:1	16133:11,16,17
increased 16170:25	16149:8 16162:4	16126:2 16127:14	16093:11 16094:10	16134:2,11,12,16,18
incumbent 16103:21	16170:12 16199:1	16128:7 16131:24	16096:9,10 16101:10	16135:5,10,11
16105:6	instructs 16146:24	16136:9 16137:12,13	16101:19 16121:22	16136:19 16137:24
indecisive 16143:13,16	16148:24 intend 16132:12	16137:20 16139:7,12 16139:12,15,19	16121:24 16129:15	16138:3,4,8,17,18,21
indicate 16102:3	16163:14	16139:12,15,19	16130:3,4,9 16176:24 16177:25 16192:4 17	16144:8 16148:5,7 16185:13
16123:22 16126:9 16128:25 16136:14	intended 16137:21	16141:16 16144:14 16149:20 16150:2	16177:25 16192:4,17 16192:25 16193:7,8	16185:13 Kuhn 16139:5,8,16
16128:23 16130:14	intending 16149:9	16149:20 16150:2	16192:25 16195:7,8	16140:11 16155:15
16156:4 16167:9	intends 16204:17	16155:10,11,18	16193:11,14 16194:10 16195:1,9	16174:10
16168:24 16178:11	intention 16133:15	16156:4 16160:1	16200:21,21	Kuhn's 16139:22,23
16184:3 16193:17,19	intention 10133:15	16170:3 16173:13	JOCCOM 16090:21	Kunii s 10139.22,23 Kwadi 16111:21,24
16202:25	16134:5 16135:22	16174:7 16176:1	16091:4 16092:4,7,12	16112:1,18 16114:3,4
indicated 16157:20	interaction 16131:13	16177:13 16183:3	16093:11 16094:4,19	16114:9,9,11,22,24
16182:13 16184:20	16131:22 16132:6	16185:9 16186:8,12	16094:22 16095:3,7	16116:19 16117:14
16203:17 16204:1	intercept 16147:25	16186:22 16187:25	16097:10,11,13,21	16117:15 16118:7,14
indicates 16105:16,18	interdict 16187:19,24	16188:4,25 16189:1,9	16098:3 16125:25	
16167:21 16178:12	16188:25	16192:4,5,19 16193:4	Johannesburg	L
16185:12	interested 16095:13	16193:16 16194:16	16161:24	L 16171:13
indicating 16185:20	16201:5	I'd 16083:24 16085:9	Jomo 16118:7	Labour 16189:14
	R LUSTICE			

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

				Page 7
16191:6	16132:10 16133:10	16146:15,23	16103:5 16106:9,14	meetings 16126:7
landed 16151:20	16134:22,23	16148:19 16150:25	16106:18 16151:13	16128:23
language 16136:20	16137:12 16141:16	16162:4 16169:7,24	16181:18,24 16194:4	member 16118:10
16138:18 16147:2	16141:16,19 16142:7	16170:3,10 16174:2	16201:13 16205:22	16147:23 16148:3
large 16149:21	16142:9 16147:7	16189:5 16193:15	16206:11	members 16105:8,12
lastly 16148:2	16149:9 16155:11	16202:21 16205:23	Mathunjwa's 16085:14	16105:13 16113:22
late 16098:19	16156:4,7,20	looked 16100:25	16086:2 16088:11,16	16114:10 16118:8
latest 16171:20	16160:19 16166:18	16120:18 16144:22 looking 16130:17	16088:23 16089:25	16149:10,16,17,17
lawyers 16099:15,16 16099:23	16176:18,18 16184:8 16185:10 16199:17	16156:19 16184:1	16090:6,9 16116:13 Mathunjwa's 16096:25	16151:21,23 16153:6 16153:9,22 16155:13
lay 16123:18,24	16199:18 16201:14	looks 16087:11,12,15	16099:6,15,23	16155:15 16162:8
16124:4,11,15	16201:18 16202:1,3	16087:18 16096:19	16107:8 16197:7	16176:21,21
16126:11 16129:2	lines 16096:5,15,19	16100:24	16199:10 16200:6	16178:17 16179:4,17
lead 16117:5	16151:18 16184:7,8	losing 16143:7	matter 16083:7	16179:21
leader 16117:21	16184:10	loss 16142:22	16139:13 16140:20	memory 16162:5
16135:16 16203:10	list 16126:3 16204:16	lost 16141:24 16142:2	16153:25 16154:1	mention 16112:6
leaders 16086:10	16206:4	16142:12,16,19,25	16159:16 16162:5	16130:19 16138:16
16087:4 16103:5,6,9	listed 16188:24	16143:3	16183:25 16188:15	16139:2
16103:10,15	listen 16110:21	lot 16105:21 16135:24	16195:14 16205:18	mentioned 16113:1
16112:14 16113:23	16170:18 16203:11	16205:24	16206:12	16114:9 16151:22
16135:14,17	little 16094:9 16108:9	loud 16119:3	matters 16184:11	16171:7 16183:25
16147:22 16186:11	16137:12 16147:2	loudhailer 16118:23	16185:22 16205:16	Merafe 16152:22
16203:20 16205:4,15	16170:5 16184:20 live 16196:14	16119:2,3,10 loudhailers 16119:15	Maubane 16103:3	16153:15 16161:12
leadership 16118:3 16126:7 16128:23	LM5 16196:14	lunch 16162:3 16169:4	16104:8 16105:13 Mbombo 16123:9,13	16161:13 merely 16182:12
leading 16170:19	16196:3	16183:23 16184:11	16126:6 16128:22	met 16093:20 16094:21
16190:9	location 16134:12,14	16184:13	16120:0 10128:22	16122:17 16158:4
learns 16204:14	16134:25 16135:6	lunchtime 16168:24	mean 16142:15,19	16190:21 16191:10
leave 16185:24,25	16137:1,18,24		16143:3 16148:6,7	16200:17
leaving 16086:20	16138:21 16139:2	Μ	16154:13 16172:3	Microphone 16127:11
16195:15	16203:12,12	Mabusa 16105:12	16185:8,14 16196:17	16131:3 16140:5
left 16085:19,21	loco 16182:25	machine 16178:3	16198:12,16	16142:6 16172:2
16086:19 16088:15	logbooks 16106:23	MacIntosh 16120:4,7	meaningful 16175:21	midday 16149:7
16088:25 16089:3,7	long 16099:20 16100:3	Madlanga 16147:21	means 16147:4 16181:9	Midrand 16123:15
16090:1 16092:7	16163:5	Mafikeng 16107:17	meant 16142:3 16143:1	mind 16089:9 16139:16
16147:10 16178:6	longer 16097:3	maim 16132:17	16154:2,4	16143:24 16144:25
16197:10	16177:11 16178:18	main 16159:20	MEC 16101:9,18	16145:12 minded 16127:7
left-hand 16148:11 legal 16099:5,20	16179:11 16199:22 16200:3	16165:24 16168:8 majority 16136:18,21	16102:13 16106:12 media 16086:10,23,25	minded 16137:7 mine 16087:22,24
16100:3 16180:21	Lonmin 16112:23	majority 16136:18,21 making 16092:9	16087:1,3,3	16108:25 16110:24
16198:11 16199:1,4	16113:2,14,16,17	16150:5 16190:10	meet 16113:19 16121:2	16111:19 16112:5,8,9
16200:13	16115:15,25	Malini 16115:16	16190:23	16148:10 16189:13
letting 16153:16	16116:14,17 16118:7	man 16135:12	meeting 16090:21	16195:1
let's 16086:17	16134:23 16135:13	management 16087:22	16091:4,14,14,15,19	miners 16149:1
16128:16 16130:12	16136:4,9,11,12	16087:24 16110:25	16091:24 16092:4,13	16150:16
16145:12 16152:8	16137:13 16149:14	16110:25 16111:19	16093:4,6,21 16094:4	mines 16194:23
16161:6 16170:13	16187:5,7,19 16188:1	16112:5,8 16113:16	16094:19,20,22	Mineworkers 16188:3
16186:13	16188:24 16189:3,8	16121:3 16123:14	16095:3,7,8,11	Mining 16192:5,18
let's 16102:20 16182:9	16189:10,16,25	16190:21	16097:10,11,13,21	16193:17
Lieutenant 16085:4	16190:17,25 16191:7	manner 16113:24	16098:3 16099:14	ministers 16101:10,18
16126:5 16161:13	16191:16 16193:8,18	maps 16183:12	16101:10,19	16106:12
16171:23 Lightenant Colonal	16194:2,18 16195:8	marchers 16189:12	16110:25 16112:5,15	minor 16089:14
Lieutenant-Colonel 16150:24 16151:1,19	16195:24 16196:5 16202:24	Marikana 16102:13 16115:20 16149:14	16113:13,19 16114:2 16114:5,12,14,20,21	16127:24 minute 16125:25
16150:24 16151:1,19	Lonmin's 16188:12	16115:20 16149:14 16172:25	16114:5,12,14,20,21 16115:1,4,4 16116:17	16126:1,17 16127:12
16161:12,15	look 16084:4,6	marked 16101:7,8	16117:5,9,10,10,14	16163:9
16179:19	16085:10,11 16086:4	16169:1 16185:13,14	16117:20,22,25	minutes 16086:22
Lieutenant-General	16086:16,17	16192:18,20	16123:15 16125:25	16090:13 16092:12
16128:22 16129:11	16087:16 16090:7	marks 16180:12	16126:2,6,13,25	16092:25 16093:3
life 16151:5,11	16093:19 16094:1	mastery 16127:15	16128:22 16129:5,8	16118:10 16126:21
16153:21 16178:22	16096:14 16109:15	material 16158:25	16130:3,4,9 16154:8	16163:2
16179:18	16109:21 16111:5,12	16172:7 16176:7	16156:25 16184:25	misread 16104:1,8
light 16196:8	16118:1 16126:2	16186:23	16185:11 16187:5,16	16109:7
Limited 16188:13	16128:14,16	MATHEBEDI	16187:19 16189:8	misreading 16092:9
line 16084:5 16094:5,7	16134:22 16138:2	16136:23	16191:15,18,23	mistakes 16170:5
16094:25 16095:1	16141:2 16143:20	Mathibedi 16102:9	16204:7	misunderstand
ARCHIVE FO	R . U S I I C L		1	

				Page
16164:4	National 16103:15	16188:7	16194:8	O-O 16125:9
misunderstood	16112:24 16113:12	November 16083:1	officially 16149:13	
16163:25	16113:15,18	16098:19	officials 16088:15	P
modified 16168:18	16123:14 16188:3	Nqutu 16149:14	16194:3,13	pace 16170:25
modus 16157:6,13	nature 16150:14	NUM 16112:13	Oh 16110:19 16141:22	pack 16182:25
Mohala 16109:17	16179:5 16186:24	16113:22 16115:14	16183:8 16196:7	pages 16181:6,9
Mokwena 16187:16	near 16166:18	16133:22	okay 16084:7 16085:20	16183:7,19 16184:2,7
16191:10		number 16100:16	-	16192:7
	nearby 16170:20,25		16089:21 16096:24	
moment 16086:16	nearer 16118:20	16110:12 16127:6	16097:23 16098:6	page16 16118:2
16089:20 16098:2	necessarily 16093:12	16128:5,8 16134:22	16112:16 16128:9	paginated 16141:2
16108:10 16134:22	necessary 16122:22	16141:16 16145:16	16134:20 16155:11	16181:2
16135:16 16138:8	16140:19 16154:18	16149:21 16165:3,5	old 16204:20	Papa 16119:23 16120:1
16143:21 16144:23	16155:18 16185:1	16180:8 16181:6,9,10	once 16105:10 16147:4	16120:10,12,14,21
16185:2,25 16187:7	16189:1 16204:24	16181:12,16 16185:5	16147:5	16121:1,20,23
16188:16 16201:24	need 16116:22 16117:6	16186:1 16192:20	ones 16133:4 16152:7	16122:3,5,8
moments 16132:1,2	16129:7 16131:10	16195:19	16204:20	Papa1 16086:9,12
Monday 16112:23	16132:13 16134:21	Nyala 16118:4,8,20	OO4 16117:8	paper 16158:15,17
16113:3 16149:7	16143:13 16155:9	16119:22,24 16120:2	opdragte 16151:9,23	para 16128:18
16167:18 16168:10	16174:4 16176:17	16120:4,8,16,16	open 16139:15	16150:11
16187:5 16189:3	16187:7 16188:15	16120.4,8,10,10	operandi 16157:7,13	
				paragraph 16085:15
morning 16083:21,22	negotiated 16113:24	16148:24 16150:15	operating 16084:3	16088:12,20,23
16092:17 16093:24	neither 16202:4	Nyalas 16118:19	operation 16092:3	16089:1 16090:9,16
16101:17 16104:23	never 16114:24		16097:3 16199:22	16090:16 16097:1
16104:24 16106:7	16145:12 16152:25	0	16200:4 16201:12	16098:7 16107:8,10
16115:7,13 16125:7	16153:22 16169:15	oath 16083:13	16202:9	16110:15,23 16111:5
16126:9 16128:25	16197:23 16198:22	16125:20 16163:11	operations 16090:17	16111:8,12,13
16169:14 16187:7	16199:2 16204:12	16171:21,22,25	16097:2	16118:2 16123:10,12
16202:8 16205:20	new 16100:15 16102:17	16172:3,5,5	opinion 16152:1,21	16126:3,4 16128:1,1
16206:14	16103:4,14,21	OB 16120:24	opportunity 16083:5	16136:24,24 16137:2
Motaung 16109:16	16145:18 16146:4	obeyed 16139:17	16083:10 16103:23	16138:2,4 16146:23
motion 16117:3,4	16150:2,7 16167:23	object 16186:18	16168:23	16147:2,2 16148:21
16188:7	16179:23 16192:3,3	objected 16171:2	opposed 16172:5	16149:2,6,12
motivation 16114:1				
	16204:1,19,22	objective 16144:12	opposite 16163:25	16150:25 16151:18
mountain 16134:24	16205:7,7,8	obtain 16198:6	oppressing 16171:10	16170:11,16 16187:4
16136:20 16137:17	News 16102:18,24	obtained 16100:23	order 16103:19	16187:10,12
16203:13	16104:19 16109:13	16187:19	16119:3 16139:17	16188:21 16189:6,19
mouth 16122:16	newspaper 16104:14	obvious 16119:7	16143:23 16148:24	16189:20,21 16190:5
16199:7	16104:15,23,24	obviously 16108:21	16150:15 16155:16	16191:1,2,3,4
move 16087:2	Ngalwana 16094:25	16160:4,18,25	16155:17 16156:5,8,9	parallel 16165:10
16106:20 16107:4	16140:14,15,16	16166:22 16171:14	16156:10 16167:16	pardon 16103:7
16117:25 16145:12	16206:3	16175:22	16167:20,25	16142:8
16154:5,25 16176:10	night 16090:13	occasion 16085:11	16168:10 16170:22	part 16115:25
16179:6 16182:14	16112:24	16119:24 16189:16	16192:11,15	16116:24 16141:10
16186:25 16202:16	nine 16116:7 16121:2	16189:25	organise 16114:1	16155:13 16157:14
	Nkosinathi 16149:5	occasions 16173:22	orientate 16155:6,7	
16203:22				16160:24 16161:18
moved 16146:25	NN 16085:14 16090:6	16190:16	original 16180:17	16161:19 16162:20
16179:1 16186:20	Noki 16136:8 16202:25	occurred 16158:6	originally 16144:4	16166:16 16167:10
movements 16106:24	16203:4	occurrence 16086:5	outset 16147:3	16194:24
16134:7	non 16106:2 16107:2	16088:6,8,17 16089:6	outside 16091:13	Partially 16182:19
moving 16179:13	non-event 16106:20	16089:25 16096:13	16093:1,6,9,12	participating 16133:5
Mozambique 16107:21	noon 16086:22	16096:17 16100:22	16120:16 16129:15	particular 16085:11
16108:22	normal 16194:23	16120:18	16129:20,23 16132:1	16105:23 16108:17
Mpofu 16203:17,23,25	normally 16191:25	office 16178:1	outstanding 16185:22	16111:19 16113:21
16204:4,7,17 16205:6	Northern 16108:15,23	officer 16090:14	owe 16202:13	16123:11 16126:3
Mpofu's 16204:5	North-West 16097:8	16093:1 16121:22	o'clock 16084:16,17	16132:25 16147:15
mustn't 16181:22	16098:10 16101:18	16147:15 16155:15	16086:23 16090:22	16155:10 16157:8,19
10101.22	16103:20 16104:7	16155:20 16171:8	16091:11,14 16092:1	16157:22 16159:15
N			-	
	16107:16 16108:5,19	16176:20 16191:25	16092:4,8 16094:2	16159:16 16160:9
	16108:20 16109:9,12	16192:1	16095:2,3 16115:13	16162:9 16168:4
n 16205:18,23	moto 16007.10	officers 16119:22	16116:7 16118:3	16169:22 16177:19
name 16171:7	note 16087:10			1 (177.02.1(100.01
name 16171:7 16195:23	16125:16	16120:21 16121:7,12	16121:2 16125:7	16177:23 16182:21
name 16171:7 16195:23 names 16188:6,24	16125:16 notes 16156:14 16160:7	16120:21 16121:7,12 16121:19 16122:5,7	16121:2 16125:7 16126:1,10 16131:15	16177:23 16182:21 16184:24 16186:20
name 16171:7 16195:23	16125:16	16120:21 16121:7,12		
name 16171:7 16195:23 names 16188:6,24	16125:16 notes 16156:14 16160:7	16120:21 16121:7,12 16121:19 16122:5,7	16126:1,10 16131:15	16184:24 16186:20
name 16171:7 16195:23 names 16188:6,24 16195:19 narrative 16155:25	16125:16 notes 16156:14 16160:7 16162:14 16163:22 16167:17,25	16120:21 16121:7,12 16121:19 16122:5,7 16147:11 16150:8 16151:3,11 16155:22	16126:1,10 16131:15 16149:7 16169:14	16184:24 16186:20 16190:13 16191:23 16193:20 16194:2
name 16171:7 16195:23 names 16188:6,24 16195:19	16125:16 notes 16156:14 16160:7 16162:14 16163:22	16120:21 16121:7,12 16121:19 16122:5,7 16147:11 16150:8	16126:1,10 16131:15 16149:7 16169:14 16187:6	16184:24 16186:20 16190:13 16191:23

RealTime Transcriptions

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

				Page
parties 16186:8,10,11	phrased 16139:22	16190:10	16134:8,16 16167:8	16105:5,19
16204:18	pick 16141:16	police 16085:20	16182:4 16183:18	Pretorius 16102:11
partly 16183:3,4,10,11	picture 16104:12,14	16086:5 16089:6	16203:13	16106:5
party 16205:11	16196:2	16091:3 16092:23	prefer 16179:2	previous 16104:5,23
pass 16146:25	pictures 16185:21	16096:13 16100:9	16181:17	16117:1 16138:2
passage 16129:3	piece 16150:23	16101:22 16102:1,7	preliminary 16145:23	16175:25 16176:3
16137:9 16141:10	Pillay 16102:20,22	16106:4 16109:3	16166:24 16172:7	16187:20
16155:10 16160:10	16127:14,20,22	16116:24 16117:21	premier 16097:8	previously 16128:13
16160:15,25	16128:6 16192:14,15	16118:4,8 16119:22	16098:10 16101:9,14	primarily 16186:11
16177:20 16179:10	16204:15,16,21	16120:20 16121:7,12	16101:16,16,17	principle 16144:5
16201:18 16202:2,3	Plaatjie 16145:14	16121:19,22 16122:5	16102:13 16103:20	probably 16137:15
passages 16130:20	16146:12 16148:21	16122:7,19 16124:4	16104:1,6,12 16105:5	16155:18
16146:1 16153:7	place 16090:22	16129:8,16 16130:11	16105:18 16106:7,12	problem 16113:23
16190:13	16091:15,15,19	16130:17 16133:16	premises 16101:10	16115:20 16147:6
passed 16146:25	16092:13 16093:6,21	16134:2,4 16141:21	prepared 16123:23	16175:10 16185:7
16150:20	16096:1,12,21	16147:6,11 16148:25	16126:10 16129:1	16204:25
path 16179:24	16097:21 16103:18	16150:15 16151:3	16144:14 16184:2	problems 16105:21
16180:22 16181:19	16104:2 16105:8,12	16155:22 16163:22	16203:5	16139:18
16182:5 16183:13,21	16109:10 16114:14	16166:4,8,24 16167:5	presence 16155:15	proceed 16172:7
paths 16182:17	16116:2 16117:1	16170:24 16171:13	16171:6,7 16173:3	16180:25 16184:22
pause 16090:18,20	16125:25 16137:6,8	16176:2 16177:10,19	16174:2 16179:19	PROCEEDINGS
peacefully 16123:19	16137:14 16138:3,7,7	16177:22,23,25	16195:10 16200:18	16083:1
pellets 16197:3	16151:3 16154:8	16178:8,12,14	16200:25	process 16117:4
people 16084:3	16157:19 16162:22	16179:4 16193:7,11	present 16091:25	16179:13
16086:13,25 16087:9	16163:6,10 16165:10	16193:14 16194:10	16095:6 16103:20	produce 16157:9
16112:6,10,12,22,23	16173:8 16177:4,8,23	16194:24 16196:13	16104:1 16112:24	16166:2
16112:25 16113:7,7	16177:24 16179:12	16196:22 16197:2,15	16118:9 16136:14	produced 16157:2,23
16115:13 16122:18	16185:10,13,21	Pontsho 16104:7	16162:14 16163:23	16158:23 16159:1
16132:17,24	places 16205:25	poorly 16139:22	16171:24 16188:12	16163:21 16166:7
16133:15,22 16134:1	Platinum 16188:13	POP 16146:9 16161:24	16191:18,20	16167:21 16171:14
16134:1,6,6 16141:25	played 16123:5	portion 16083:25	presentation 16166:4,9	profitably 16154:3
16142:13,25	please 16083:19	16155:1	16166:24 16167:3,5	programme 16115:12
16148:13 16149:9	16084:18 16093:1	position 16103:13	16167:11 16171:13	16115:14,17,18,19,24
16154:4 16159:15	16110:18,22	16104:25 16129:11	16172:12,15,24	16115:25 16116:1,7
16160:4,19,23	16163:14 16182:23	16139:13 16175:1	16173:23 16174:24	16117:1
16164:1,10,19	16196:15	16180:4,12 16205:5,6	16175:15 16179:24	promised 16087:20,21
16165:15 16166:19	plenary 16160:2	positions 16194:18	16180:24 16181:7	16087:23 16206:4
16174:25 16195:4,20	16164:24	possession 16196:4	16182:5,6 16184:19	properly 16128:21
perfect 16155:11	plot 16182:17	possibilities 16152:1	16185:7	16143:18
period 16155:3	plotted 16183:12	possibility 16203:19	presentations 16172:17	properties 16167:22
permission 16192:13	point 16089:4 16090:20	possible 16138:21	16172:22 16173:25	16168:8,15 16184:19
permitted 16185:3	16091:16 16092:8,10	possibly 16104:24	presented 16147:21,22	propose 16169:1
person 16105:15	16092:13 16093:5	16190:12	16171:20,21,22,23	proposing 16144:2
16114:5,8 16135:24	16095:25 16099:16	posted 16120:7,10	16172:6,12,16	proposition 16143:16
16136:3,12 16156:12	16100:10 16102:5,6	16192:25 16193:18	presenter 16115:17	16145:6
16159:21,22 16160:6	16105:17,23 16106:2	16194:3,8,13,19	presently 16144:14	protesters 16084:10
16168:16,16	16106:19 16107:2,2	16195:4	president 16086:19	16096:8 16118:5,10
16173:19 16174:14	16108:17 16113:2,8,9	posting 16120:10	16087:8 16107:17,20	16118:11,15,21,23
16174:18 16176:5	16117:5 16129:24	16192:5,18 16193:17	16108:18 16118:15	16123:18,23
16202:24 16203:16	16131:4 16133:24	16194:22,23	16200:20	16124:10,15
personal 16158:12	16139:20 16140:12	postings 16194:19	presidents 16111:1	16126:10 16129:1
16159:5,12	16145:23 16148:18	16195:9	16115:19 16116:3,7	16148:5 16176:22
personally 16113:22	16150:2,5,23	Potchefstroom 16154:7	16117:15	16178:17,23 16179:1
16117:23 16122:14	16152:21 16154:17	16154:8,24 16155:6,9	presumably 16087:3	16179:2
	16154:19 16158:20	16155:13 16157:7,19	16095:10,12 16099:3	protestors 16187:17
16131:14 16147:24			16099:14 16104:3	16189:12
persons 16188:6,17	16162:20,21	16160:20 16169:16		
persons 16188:6,17 perspective 16131:11	16162:20,21 16175:11,18,19,22,23	16169:20 16171:2,14	16116:18 16121:11	prove 16148:17
persons 16188:6,17 perspective 16131:11 phase 16205:16	16162:20,21 16175:11,18,19,22,23 16176:1 16177:8	16169:20 16171:2,14 16171:16 16172:13	16116:18 16121:11 16122:6 16151:20	proven 16171:11
persons 16188:6,17 perspective 16131:11 phase 16205:16 phoned 16084:22	16162:20,21 16175:11,18,19,22,23 16176:1 16177:8 16178:25 16181:16	16169:20 16171:2,14 16171:16 16172:13 16172:15 16173:4,16	16116:18 16121:11 16122:6 16151:20 16165:2 16169:3	proven 16171:11 provide 16126:11
persons 16188:6,17 perspective 16131:11 phase 16205:16 phoned 16084:22 Phopolo 16104:7	16162:20,21 16175:11,18,19,22,23 16176:1 16177:8 16178:25 16181:16 16186:21,25 16189:2	16169:20 16171:2,14 16171:16 16172:13 16172:15 16173:4,16 16173:23 16174:5,23	16116:18 16121:11 16122:6 16151:20 16165:2 16169:3 16172:3 16174:13,16	proven 16171:11 provide 16126:11 16129:2
persons 16188:6,17 perspective 16131:11 phase 16205:16 phoned 16084:22 Phopolo 16104:7 photograph 16192:4,5	16162:20,21 16175:11,18,19,22,23 16176:1 16177:8 16178:25 16181:16 16186:21,25 16189:2 16190:12 16201:22	16169:20 16171:2,14 16171:16 16172:13 16172:15 16173:4,16 16173:23 16174:5,23 preceding 16111:5	16116:18 16121:11 16122:6 16151:20 16165:2 16169:3 16172:3 16174:13,16 16176:4 16193:1,24	proven 16171:11 provide 16126:11 16129:2 provided 16083:8
persons 16188:6,17 perspective 16131:11 phase 16205:16 phoned 16084:22 Phopolo 16104:7 photograph 16192:4,5 16192:17,22	16162:20,21 16175:11,18,19,22,23 16176:1 16177:8 16178:25 16181:16 16186:21,25 16189:2 16190:12 16201:22 16201:23 16204:6	16169:20 16171:2,14 16171:16 16172:13 16172:15 16173:4,16 16173:23 16174:5,23 preceding 16111:5 precipitate 16163:7	16116:18 16121:11 16122:6 16151:20 16165:2 16169:3 16172:3 16174:13,16 16176:4 16193:1,24 16195:25	proven 16171:11 provide 16126:11 16129:2 provided 16083:8 16168:21 16204:24
persons 16188:6,17 perspective 16131:11 phase 16205:16 phoned 16084:22 Phopolo 16104:7 photograph 16192:4,5 16192:17,22 16193:14 16196:2	16162:20,21 16175:11,18,19,22,23 16176:1 16177:8 16178:25 16181:16 16186:21,25 16189:2 16190:12 16201:22 16201:23 16204:6 16206:1	16169:20 16171:2,14 16171:16 16172:13 16172:15 16173:4,16 16173:23 16174:5,23 preceding 16111:5 precipitate 16163:7 precise 16114:3	16116:18 16121:11 16122:6 16151:20 16165:2 16169:3 16172:3 16174:13,16 16176:4 16193:1,24 16195:25 presume 16087:20	proven 16171:11 provide 16126:11 16129:2 provided 16083:8 16168:21 16204:24 province 16101:16
persons 16188:6,17 perspective 16131:11 phase 16205:16 phoned 16084:22 Phopolo 16104:7 photograph 16192:4,5 16192:17,22 16193:14 16196:2 photographs 16112:9	16162:20,21 16175:11,18,19,22,23 16176:1 16177:8 16178:25 16181:16 16186:21,25 16189:2 16190:12 16201:22 16201:23 16204:6 16206:1 points 16140:16	16169:20 16171:2,14 16171:16 16172:13 16172:15 16173:4,16 16173:23 16174:5,23 preceding 16111:5 precipitate 16163:7 precise 16114:3 16117:10 16123:3	16116:18 16121:11 16122:6 16151:20 16165:2 16169:3 16172:3 16174:13,16 16176:4 16193:1,24 16195:25 presume 16087:20 16103:22	proven 16171:11 provide 16126:11 16129:2 provided 16083:8 16168:21 16204:24 province 16101:16 16104:7 16108:2,5,19
persons 16188:6,17 perspective 16131:11 phase 16205:16 phoned 16084:22 Phopolo 16104:7 photograph 16192:4,5 16192:17,22 16193:14 16196:2 photographs 16112:9 16113:5	16162:20,21 16175:11,18,19,22,23 16176:1 16177:8 16178:25 16181:16 16186:21,25 16189:2 16190:12 16201:22 16201:23 16204:6 16206:1 points 16140:16 16150:2 16156:15	16169:20 16171:2,14 16171:16 16172:13 16172:15 16173:4,16 16173:23 16174:5,23 preceding 16111:5 precipitate 16163:7 precise 16114:3 16117:10 16123:3 16203:10	16116:18 16121:11 16122:6 16151:20 16165:2 16169:3 16172:3 16174:13,16 16176:4 16193:1,24 16195:25 presume 16087:20 16103:22 Pretoria 16103:18	proven 16171:11 provide 16126:11 16129:2 provided 16083:8 16168:21 16204:24 province 16101:16 16104:7 16108:2,5,19 16108:20 16109:12
persons 16188:6,17 perspective 16131:11 phase 16205:16 phoned 16084:22 Phopolo 16104:7 photograph 16192:4,5 16192:17,22 16193:14 16196:2 photographs 16112:9	16162:20,21 16175:11,18,19,22,23 16176:1 16177:8 16178:25 16181:16 16186:21,25 16189:2 16190:12 16201:22 16201:23 16204:6 16206:1 points 16140:16	16169:20 16171:2,14 16171:16 16172:13 16172:15 16173:4,16 16173:23 16174:5,23 preceding 16111:5 precipitate 16163:7 precise 16114:3 16117:10 16123:3	16116:18 16121:11 16122:6 16151:20 16165:2 16169:3 16172:3 16174:13,16 16176:4 16193:1,24 16195:25 presume 16087:20 16103:22	proven 16171:11 provide 16126:11 16129:2 provided 16083:8 16168:21 16204:24 province 16101:16 16104:7 16108:2,5,19

				Page 10
provincial 16084:13,19	16099:11,12	16109:4 16112:16	reflect 16088:6	16159:20,22 16160:1
16084:20,22,22,25	16121:16 16126:12	16116:23 16125:1,10	16175:16	16162:16 16201:3,7
16085:4 16090:11	16126:18,19	16129:14 16131:5	reflected 16106:6	reported 16086:19
16091:9 16092:24	16129:13,24 16131:5	16139:22 16143:8	16175:1	16121:1,23 16202:4
16093:23 16094:12	16131:24 16140:9	16145:22 16155:19	reflecting 16088:8	reporting 16148:14
16094:14 16095:6,12	16144:13 16151:10	16166:5 16172:6	reformulate 16144:13	16201:11
16095:22,23	16166:14 16169:24	16174:22 16183:12	refresh 16162:5	reports 16086:12
16096:11 16097:4,5,6	16175:11 16177:13	16199:7 16201:25	regard 16092:16	report-back 16095:13
16097:25 16098:8,15	16178:13 16181:23	reason 16083:10	16120:17 16136:24	16095:20 16191:22
16098:21 16099:24	16182:2,9,10 16194:5	16116:14 16133:21	16155:7 16186:24	representative
16112:4,25 16113:14	16194:15 16195:3,17	reasonable 16183:23	16190:4 16205:16	16115:15,25
16123:8,20,22,25	16196:17 16199:25	reasons 16106:3	regarding 16135:1	16205:11
16124:3,8,12,13	16200:7	16108:10 16128:16	16137:20	representatives
16126:5,13,25	questions 16132:8,12	16147:25 16148:12	regards 16158:5	16099:5,20
16128:21 16129:17	16140:21 16153:5	recall 16085:5 16131:2	16183:21	request 16094:12
16130:3,10,22	16155:5 16175:22	16141:5 16157:10	Reginald 16149:5	16111:20 16112:17
16131:6,7,12,16,17	16181:5 16203:15,21	receive 16084:9	reject 16139:20	16112:18 16113:20
16131:20,21,25	16205:4,7,12	16096:6 16191:11	related 16150:20	16114:15 16116:18
16132:3,5 16191:15	quickly 16127:18	received 16122:3,5	16172:24 16175:2	16135:2 16137:20
16191:21 16197:9,18	16154:14	16123:15 16124:9	relates 16100:23	16189:15 16190:17
16197:24 16198:21	quite 16102:19	16132:21 16139:17	16111:2	requested 16083:4
16200:2,17,19,22,23	16127:18 16135:24	16140:6	relating 16132:9	16084:12 16094:11
16200:25 16201:1,4	16137:12 16144:4	recollection 16112:20	16205:16	16096:10 16106:23
16202:5,7	16145:16 16154:14	16112:21 16113:4	relation 16106:25	16112:14 16116:16
proximity 16118:18	16177:15 16180:6	16129:5	16122:25 16139:5,6	16190:23
Public 16148:24	16185:9,17 16201:24	recommend 16140:10	16143:11 16155:20	required 16185:23
16150:15	16206:9	record 16106:22	16157:23 16163:18	research 16108:9,23
purpose 16084:25	quotation 16180:12	16117:7 16155:2,3	16163:19 16168:22	reserve 16205:5
16180:18 16182:6	quote 16171:9	16171:5,9 16190:10	16179:24 16184:19	resolve 16126:8
purposes 16109:20	-	recordal 16088:16	16184:24 16185:3,8	16128:24
16196:20	R	recorded 16117:8	Relations 16189:14	resolved 16113:24
pursue 16206:12	radio 16115:12	16122:2 16160:8	16191:6	respect 16093:14
put 16084:4 16089:4	16156:11 16173:19	16178:3	relevance 16186:20	16139:18 16152:25
16091:16 16098:20	16174:11,17	recording 16117:9	relevant 16106:17	16182:10 16185:6,22
16098:24 16100:7,15	railway 16132:10	16121:24 16158:14	16109:14,19	16194:5
16111:20 16117:7	16133:10 16149:9	records 16086:10	16150:22 16154:13	respond 16119:4
16121:16,25 16125:7	16160:19 16166:18	red 16101:7,8,25	reliable 16105:16	16129:7
16128:16 16129:3,25	16185:10	16102:6 16106:6	rely 16144:16 16145:15	responded 16119:2
16129:25 16131:11	rainbow 16181:13	16168:16 16185:11	16146:2,3,7 16204:17	respondent 16188:3,5
16137:10 16144:2,11	raise 16113:19 16173:3	refer 16123:11 16145:5	relying 16182:17	16188:17
16144:14 16145:5,12	16205:9,17	16145:13,18,19	remember 16085:16	respondents 16188:2,6
16145:21,23,24	raised 16112:23	16154:25 16169:21	16092:11 16102:5	16188:18,23
16146:1 16149:23,24	16113:12,13	16181:15 16186:2	16114:8 16115:11	response 16116:15
16150:3 16153:7	16127:13 16153:11	16191:14 16192:2	16127:3 16135:19,23	16190:25 16191:7
16155:25 16158:15	16204:7 16206:3	reference 16105:5	16136:17,19	responsible 16151:7
16158:20 16162:19	raising 16150:2	16175:4 16189:8	16138:17 16140:15	result 16123:25
16168:16,19 16171:5	16186:6	16198:4 16199:15	16141:20 16157:18	16124:11 16125:1
16175:23 16177:16	ran 16093:5 16170:24	16203:24 16206:2,10	16157:24 16160:9	16134:7 16148:15
16177:16 16179:10	rapport 16159:21	referenced 16101:15	16161:22 16195:18	resume 16184:16
16180:11,15	rapporteur 16159:18	references 16084:2	16201:6	resumes 16125:18,19
16186:13,23 16191:8	16159:25 16160:3	16195:21 16205:24	remind 16182:23	16163:3,4 16184:15
16197:8,22 16198:13	16162:7,22	referred 16130:20	repeat 16091:5	return 16105:22
16198:24 16199:5,7	rapports 16160:3	16175:14 16188:14	16128:20 16132:12	16114:11
16199:16 16200:12	reach 16184:23	16188:18 16206:5	16178:3 16190:12	returned 16090:3
16201:21 16202:9,14	read 16083:5 16103:23	referring 16083:25	16196:16	16096:12,21
putting 16091:12	16105:3,7 16110:22	16084:2 16131:12,21	repeated 16113:4	reveal 16173:5
16105:19 16144:21	16115:21 16126:4	16132:6 16136:18	repeatedly 16133:11	revealed 16173:17
16152:7,14,14	16181:3	16139:22 16142:22	replied 16119:9	reviewed 16171:15
16181:4	reading 16087:13	16150:11 16155:21	report 16084:9	reviews 16171:17
puzzles 16104:18	16089:8 16121:10	16155:22 16156:1,25	16086:11 16090:11	revisit 16100:12
- R O Co	16136:24	16177:20 16178:7	16091:2 16096:3,7	16195:13
Cover Cover	reads 16201:14	16180:5 16187:16	16104:6,15 16105:14	re-examined 16140:14
QQ2 16134:21	16205:25	refers 16151:1 16187:4	16105:16,18,20	rifle 16192:22 16196:3
query 16127:14,14	realised 16129:23	16193:16 16194:11	16109:8,8,18	rifles 16196:4
question 16091:6,7 16092:19 16097:19	realistically 16198:25	16195:25 16196:1	16120:20 16121:1	right 16091:17 16093:7
1009/19/009/19	really 16092:10	16201:19	16130:22 16148:15	16093:15 16094:13
	R LUSTICE			

Pretoria

16095:15 16096:20 16145:22 16147:16 section 16110:20 16098:23 16197:19 16153:4 16160:10 16096:22 16098:33 11 16151:19 16158:15 16157:29 shell 1610:212 shocked 1610:94 16177:13 16173:13 16167 16104:10 16111:47 161665:10 16172:28 sections 16168:17 shocked 1610:94 16179:22 16183:13 16169 16111:120 says 16084:18,23 16198:12,15,16 16194:13,19,22 16199:12 short 16131:12 16190:21,6193: 16123:11 161252:15 16088:13,24 16090:19 16144:20 16196:21,24 16196:21,24 16196:21,24 16196:21,24 16196:21,24 16196:21,24 16196:21,24 16196:21,24 16197:25 sort 16152:16 16196:21,24 16197:2 sort 16152:16 16196:21,24 16197:23 sort 16152:16 16196:21,24 16197:2 sort 16162:2 sort 16162:2 sort 16162:2 1618:15 sort 16162:2 1618:15 sort 16162:2 1618:15 sort 16162:2 1618:15 16196:11 <td< th=""><th>:23 :12 18 11 5 13 68:23</th></td<>	:23 :12 18 11 5 13 68:23
16098:13 16099:9 16159:7.9 16163:24 security 16090:12 shock 16109:4 16109:4 16170:13 1617 16110:11.20 1618:25 security 16090:12 shook 16104:16 16130:12 16138:18 16187:2 16111:120 says 16084:18,23 16192:5,18 16193:17 short 1616:12.4 16138:13 1617 16113:121 16128:13 16098:13,24 16089:7 16193:18 16194:2.2 16090:19 16144:20 16205:13,20 16131:11 16142:12.3 16090:2,1116092:1 16193:18 16194:2.2 16090:19 16144:20 16205:13,20 16146:12,13 16091:1,116092:1 16199:11 16132:1.2 16190:21 16125: sort 16152:16 161 16164:3,4 16171:17 16099:21 16095:1 16193:1 16132:12 16196:21,24 16197:2 sort 16152:0 sort 16152:0 16175:23 16176:5 16104:3,65,17,20 16197:1 sort 16102:2 16184:6 source 16182:10 16162:3 16184:6 16189:21 16195:1 16198:1 16104:24 16147:13 16197:13 16182:4 showed 16166:13 16119:23 16189:11 16170:11 16144:19.22 16135:11 16144:14 161012:3 16145:25 1	:12 18 11 5 13 68:23
	18 11 5 13 68:23
16112:22 16113:10 16198:25 security 16090:12 shop 16116:24 16183:8 16187: 16118:16,23 16122:1 16085:12,15,16 16192:5,18 16193:17 shop 16116:24 16192:5 1620: 16131:11 16142:8 16090:8,11 16092:1 16193:18 16194:2.2 16090:19 16144:20 16192:5 1620: 16146:12,13 16090:8,11 16092:1 16092:12,22 16092:12,22 16196:1,17,18 sortel 1620:4:25 16164:51 16161:7 16097:1 16098:1,7,7 16169:1,13 16171:1 16196:1,2,12 16196:19,20,25 sortel 16122:4 16175:23 16170:5 16104:4,6,15,17,20 16197:21 show 16116:22 sound 16106:2 16180:20 16182:14 16106:4 16110:16 Sekwane 16115:14 16128:11 1620:2: sound 16106:3 16189:21 16160:23 16137:19 16138:2,5,6 16184:3 16184:3 16192:2 sound 1610:3 16199:17 16090:1 16137:19 16138:2,5,6 16184:3 16197:13 16182:1 16129:21 16109:24 16097:2 16157:2 16136:12 16137:13 self-explanatory 16141:11 16170:14 16129:21 16133 16097:2 16157:2 16146:24 16147:3 16197:13 16182:2	11 5 13 68:23
16116:11,20 says 16084:18,23 16192:5,18 16193:17 short 16131:12 16190:2 16193: 16118:16,23 16122:8 16085:12,15,16 16193:18 16194:2,2 16090:19 16144:20 16190:144:20 16190:1544:20 16195:13,20 16131:11 16142:8 16090:8,10,16 16195:1,9 16187:6 sort 16152:16 161 16146:12,13 16091:8,11 16092:1 16195:1,9 16196:21,24 16197:2 16196:21,24 16197:2 161616:15 16161:7 16094:10 16095:1 161690:11 16132:1,2 16196:12,14 16197:3 sorts 16170:5 south 1606:24 16177:25 16173:24 16106:4 16110:16 16196:12,113 16194:6 16196:19,20,25 source 16182:10 16180:26 source 16182:10 16180:26 source 16182:10 16180:26 16180:16 16106:4 1610:16 16196:19,20,25 source 16182:10 16182:20 16184:6 Source 16182:10 16180:24 16180:26 16180:26 16180:26 16180:46:24 16180:26 16181:24 16180:26 16181:24 16180:26 16181:24 16180:26 16181:24 16181:24 16181:24 16181:24 16181:24 16181:24 16181:24	5 13 68:23
16116:11.20 says 16084:18.23 16192:51,81 16193:17 short 16131:12 16190:216193: 16118:16.23 16122:8 16085:12,15,16 16193:18 16194:2,2 16090:19 16142:20 16194:51 2600:3 16194:51 2600:3 16131:11 16125:2 16090:8,10,16 16195:1,9 16196:11,31,9,22 16090:19 16144:20 16205:13,20 16147:11 16154:9 16090:8,11 16092:1 16195:1,9 16196:21,24 16197:2 sort 16152:16 161 16164:3,4 16171:17 16099:10 16095:1,1 16130:11 16132:1,2 16197:3 sorts 16160:5 sourd 16166:2 16172:25 16173:24 16100:43,6,15,17,20 16197:21 show 16116:22 source 16182:10 16196:31,26 16189:17 16190:1 16134:23 16135:1 16197:21 show 16116:22 source 16182:10 16192:23 1609 16199:17 16136:12 16137:13 16135:17 show 16113:5 16128:4 16192:23 1609 16091:16 16093:11 16134:23 16135:1 16138:27 16199:17 16202:4 16192:24 16192:23 1609 16091:16 16093:11 16134:23 16135:1 16192:17 shown 16113:5 16128:4 16192:18 16192:125 sift 16162:13	13 68:23
16118:16.23 16085:12.15.16 16193:18 16194:2.2 shorty 16086:3 16194:5 16201: 16132:11 16125:2 16088:13.24 16090:19 16193:19 16190:19 16192:15 16192:15 16192:15 16192:15 16192:15 16192:12	13 68:23
16123:1 16125:2 16088:13,24 16089:7 16194:13,19,22 16090:19 16144:20 16205:13,20 16131:11 16142:8 16090:8,10,16 16195:1,9 16187:6 sorte 16152:16 161 16146:12,13 16092:12,22 seeing 16128:4 shotgun 16196:1,17,18 sorte 16122:42 sorte 16122:42 sorte 16122:42 sorte 16122:42 sorte 16122:42 sound 16106:2 sound 1606:2 sound 1606:2 </td <td></td>	
16131:11 16142:28 16090:8,10,16 16195:1,9 16187:6 sort 16152:16 161 16146:12,13 16091:8,11 16092:1 16092:21 16187:6 sort 16152:16 161 16147:11 16167:1 16092:12,22 16192:1,21 16197:2 sort 16152:16 162: 16167:15 1617:1 16092:1,21 16192:1,31 1617:1 16197:3 sort 16152:16 162: 16172:25 16173:24 16099:21 16103:25 16193:1 16194:6 16196:19,20,25 sourds 16086:24 16179:13 16180:16 16106:4 16110:16 Sekwane 16115:14 16128:11 16202:2 Sourt 16109:3 16189:17 16190:1 16134:23 16135:1 16135:17 showed 16156:13 16146:23 16091:16 16093:11 16137:19 16138:25,6 16146:14 16141:11 16170:14 16092:23 1609-2 16097:2 16157:2 16146:24 16147:3 16199:17 16202:4 16101:24 16197:18 1620 16094:25 16092:11 16135:13 1618:7 16145:21 16137:13 16172:10 1612:2 16197:14 16172:10 1612:2 16097:2 16157:2 16146:24 16147:3 16199:17 16202:4 16101:24 16197:18 16002:15 16094:25 16090	
16146:12,13 16091:8,11 16092:1 seeing 16128:4 shotgun 16196:1,17,18 sorted 16204:25 16147:11 16154:9 16092:12,22 16195:11,131617:1 16195:21,24 16197:2 sorts 16170:25 16160:15 16161:7 16097:1 16098:1,7,7 16196:11,13 16171:1 16196:19,20,25 sound 16106:2 16183:21 16175:23 16176:5 16104:3,6,15,17,20 16197:21 showt 1616:22 16184:6 16180:20 16182:14 16120:25 16173:13 self-appointed showt 16156:13 16184:3 16198:17 16190:1 16136:12 16137:13 self-appointed showt 16135:16128:4 speak 16085:19.2 16198:6 16204:25 16136:12 16137:13 self-appointed significance 16101:23 16116:23 16097:2 16157:2 16148:22 161497.13 16199:17 16202:4 161101:24 16151:3 16155: 16105:11,24 16193:8 16148:22 16149:7.13 senior 16129:8 Silwane 1610:23 16197:18 16202 16094:25 16096:14 16157:13 16168:7 16199:17 16202:4 16101:24 16151:3 16158: 16094:25 16096:14 16157:13 16168:7 16199:19 16149:19 16157:2	
16147:11 16154:9 16092:12,22 seen 16092:21 16196:21,24 16197:2 sorts 16170:5 16160:15 16161:7 16094:10 16095:1 16197:3 16197:3 16197:3 sounds 16086:24 16172:25 16173:24 16099:2 16103:25 16193:1 16194:6 16196:19,20,25 sounds 16086:24 16175:23 16176:5 16106:4 16110:16 Sekwane 16115:14 16196:21,24 16197:2 source 16182:10 16180:20 16182:14 16102:25 16123:13 16197:3 showed 16156:13 16116:23 16189:17 16190:1 16134:23 16135:1 16135:17 showed 16156:13 16116:23 16091:16 16093:11 16141:19,22 16138:3 side 16148:11 16122:23 1609:223 16097:2 16157:2 16146:24 16147:3 16199:17 16202:4 16101:24 16129:21 16132 16097:2 16157:2 16150:14 16157:3,21 16170:11,162,21 16170:12 16197:13 16168:7 16094:25 16096:14 16150:14 16157:3,21 16170:11,162,21 16170:11,162,21 16133:24 16179:13 16168:7 16094:25 16096:14 16150:14 16157:3 16184:23 similar 16100:24 16133:24 16179:16 16202	
16160:15 16161:7 16094:10 16095:1 16130:11 16132:1,2 16197:3 sound 16106:2 16164:3,4 16171:17 16097:1 16098:1,7,7 16197:13 16196:13,16 source 16182:10 16172:25 16173:24 16092:2 16103:25 16197:1 16197:12 shotguns 16196:13,16 source 16182:10 16177:13 16180:16 16104:3,6,15,17,20 16197:21 show 16116:22 source 16182:10 16180:20 16182:14 16120:25 16123:13 16197:12 show 16115:14 16128:11 16092:2 source 16182:10 16188:6 16204:25 16136:12 16137:13 self-appointed shown 16113:5 16128:4 speak 16085:19,2 16197:12 16169:311 16136:12 16137:13 self-explanatory 16141:11 16170:14 16192:23 16094 16097:12 16157:2 16146:24 16147:3 16199:17 16202:4 16101:24 16151:3 16155: 16105:11,24 16193:8 16148:22 16199:17 senior 16129:8 similarty 16148:21 16151:3 16155: 16094:25 16096:14 16157:13 16168:7 16124:14 16143:9,19 similarty 16149:6 SPEAKER 16190 16197:2 16188:23 16202:6 sentence 16101:21 similarty 16149:5	
16164:3,4 16171:17 16097:1 16098:1,7,7 16169:11,13 16171:1 shotguns 16196:13,16 sounds 16086:24 16172:25 16173:24 16099:2 16103:25 16193:1 16194:6 16196:19,20,25 source 16182:10 16175:23 16176:5 16106:4 16110:16 Sekwane 16115:14 16196:22 South 16109:3 16189:17 16190:1 16134:23 16135:1 16137:13 self-appointed shown 161135:16128:4 space 16085:19,2 16189:17 16190:1 16137:19 16138:2,5,6 16148:1 161137:13 16145:1 16102:23 1609; 16097:2 16157:2 16146:24 16147:3 self-appointed side 16148:11 16129:23 1609; 16097:2 16157:2 16146:24 16147:3 semory 16140:14 significance 16101:23 16129:21 16133; 16097:2 16157:2 16148:21 16149:7,13 senior 16129:8 Silwane 16162:2 16197:18 16200; 16094:25 16096:14 16170:11,16,21 16184:3 16143:9,19 16132:14 16143:9,19 16132:14 16143:9,19 16135:21,24 16094:25 16096:14 16170:11,16,21 16184:23 sensible 16183:22 16093:31 161652: 16132:41 16179:16 16200; 16197:2	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$,
16180:20 16182:14 16120:25 16123:13 self-appointed showed 16156:13 16116:23 16189:17 16190:1 16134:23 16135:1 16135:17 showen 16113:5 16128:4 speak 16085:19,2 16198:6 16204:25 16136:12 16137:13 self-explanatory 16141:11 16170:14 16092:23 1609 room 16090:17 16137:19 16138:25,6 16184:3 side 16148:11 16112:18 1614 16097:2 16157:2 16146:24 16147:3 16199:17 16202:4 16101:24 16151:3 16155: 16165:11,24 16193:8 16148:22 16149:7,13 senior 16129:8 silwane 16162:2 16197:18 16200 roughly 16084:15 16152:19 16156:5,7 sense 16099:19 similar 16100:24 16092:13 16135:21,24 round 16111:14 16170:11,16,21 16184:3 sensible 16183:22 16093:51 16131:24 16179:16 16201 16197:2 16188:23 16202:6 16148:21 simple 16092:13 16130:21 16179:16 16201 16197:12 16188:23 16202:6 16184:21 16130:21 16140:9 speaks 161079:11 16197:12 16106:9,14,18 16191:1,4 16130:21 16170:12 16199:12	,
16189:17 16190:116134:23 16135:116135:17shown 16113:5 16128:4speak 16085:19,216198:6 16204:2516137:19 16138:2,5,616184:316141:11 16170:1416092:23 160916097:1 16 16093:1116147:316141:19,2216184:3side 16148:1116112:18 1611416097:2 16157:216146:24 16147:316199:17 16202:416101:2416101:2316152:11 161316165:11,24 16193:816148:22 16149:7,13senior 16129:816101:2416197:18 1620016094:25 16096:1416157:13 16168:7sense 16099:19similarly 16148:2116135:21,2416196:1416170:11,16,2116184:3similarly 16148:21speaki 16086:116197:216186:6sensible 16183:2216093:5 16131:2416179:16 1620016197:216106:9,14,1816176:6 16186:6sensible 16132:216093:5 16131:2416179:16 1620016197:216106:9,14,1816176:52 16150:1316130:21 16140:9speakis 16079:0116108:14,161610:19 16136:2316178:4 16190:416130:21 16140:9speakis 16079:2116108:11,161618:1816191:1,416150:2,5 16152:1416094:22 1609516108:11,1616130:1816191:1416191:17special 16090:2116109:1216148:11 16151:2separate 16157:216159:15 16191:17specifie 16112:1716206:11separate1057:216166:8 16193:816194:15 16191:17specifie 16112:1716206:1216148:11 16151:2separate16157:216159:2216159:416194:5216190:12161	、 I
16198:6 16204:25 16136:12 16137:13 self-explanatory 16141:11 16170:14 16092:23 16094 16090:17 16137:19 16138:2,5,6 self-explanatory 16141:11 16170:14 16092:23 16094 16090:17 16149:19 16138:2,5,6 self-explanatory 16141:11 16170:14 16092:23 16094 16097:2 16157:2 16146:24 16147:3 16199:17 16202:4 16102:24 16110:24 16129:21 16135 1605:11,24 16193:8 16148:22 16149:7,13 senior 16129:8 similar 16100:24 16197:18 16200 roughly 16084:15 16155:19 16156:5,7 16175:13 16186:7 16124:14 16143:9,19 similarity 16148:21 speaking 16086:1 round 16111:14 16170:11,16,21 16184:3 sensible 16183:22 16133:24 16135:21,24 rounds 16196:14 16176:6 16186:6 sensible 16183:22 16133:24 16179:13 16135:21,24 rubber 16196:18,20 16110:19 16136:23 16178:4 16190:4 16130:21 16140:9 speaking 16080:1 rub 16108:11,16 16181:24 16181:8 16145:25 16150:13 16130:21 16170:3 special 16090:21 rub 16196:18,20 16181:24 16194:4	2
room 16090:1716137:19 16138:2,5,616184:3side 16148:1116112:18 1614416091:16 16093:1116141:19,2216146:24 16147:316199:17 16202:4significance 16101:2316129:21 1613516157:216146:24 16147:316199:17 16202:416109:17 16202:416101:2416151:3 16155:16155:11,24 16193:816148:22 16149:7,13senior 16129:816176:20 16191:25Silwane 16162:216157:13 16155:16094:25 16096:1416157:13 16168:716124:14 16143:9,19isimilar 16100:2416205:1516094:25 16096:1416157:13 16168:716124:14 16143:9,19similarly 16148:21speaking 16086:116197:216186:66sensible 16183:2216093:5 16131:2416179:16 1620116197:216186:31 1600:9,14,1816145:25 16150:1316133:24 16195:3speaks 16107:9,12rubber 16196:18,2016166:9,14,1816145:25 16150:1316130:21 16140:9speaks 16107:9,12rubber 16196:18,2016151:13 16181:1816191:1,416150:2,5 16152:1416199:8,12rubber 16196:18,2016151:13 16181:1816191:1,416150:2,5 16152:1416199:2116108:11,161618:24 16194:4separate 16157:216153:1 16191:1716094:22 1609516108:11,161618:14 16151:216166:8 16193:816190:15 16191:1716131:14 1613216201:13 16205:316166:8 16193:816194:9,10,1116131:14 1613216201:13 16205:316165:2116194:9,10,1116131:14 1613216201:12secen 16130:1816159:2216194:17,13,1816189:15<	
16091:16 16093:11 16097:2 16157:2 16141:19,22 16146:24 16147:3 Semenya 16140:14 16199:17 16202:4 significance 16101:23 16101:24 16129:21 1613: 16151:3 16155: 16165:11,24 16193:8 16148:22 16149:7,13 16150:14 16151:3,21 16199:17 16202:4 16101:24 16151:3 16155: roughly 16084:15 16150:14 16155:7,7 senior 16129:8 16176:20 16191:25 similar 16100:24 16205:15 roughls 16096:14 16170:11,16,21 16184:3 16176:20 16191:25 similar 16100:24 speaking 16086:1 rounds 16196:14 16170:11,16,21 16184:3 simple 16092:13 16135:21,24 rounds 16196:14 16176:6 16186:6 sensible 16183:22 16093:5 16131:24 16179:16 16201 16197:2 16188:23 16202:6 16184:21 simple 16092:13 16129:8,12 rubber 16196:18,20 16100:9 16136:23 16178:4 16190:4 16130:21 16140:9 speaki 16090:21 16108:11,16 16181:24 16194:4 separate 16157:2 16135:1 16178:6 16129:25 16108:11,16 16148:1 16151:2 separately 16118:4 16190:15 16191:17 specific 16112:17 safe 16202:15 scene 16130:18	
16097:2 16157:216146:24 16147:316199:17 16202:416101:2416151:3 16155:16165:11,24 16193:816148:22 16149:7,1316176:20 16191:25senior 16129:8Silwane 16162:216197:18 16200roughly 16084:1516152:19 16156:5,716152:19 16156:5,716124:14 16143:9,19similarly 16148:2116205:15round 16111:1416170:11,16,2116184:3sense 16099:19similarly 16148:21speaking 16086:1rounds 16196:1416176:6 16186:6sensible 16183:22161093:5 16131:2416179:16 1620116197:216188:23 16202:616184:2116133:24 16195:3speakis 16107:9,1316102:9 16103:5sentence 16101:21simply 16104:1716129:8,12rubber 16196:18,2016110:19 16136:2316178:4 16190:416144:7 16145:916091:4,14 16016108:11,1616181:24 16194:416191:1,416190:15 16191:17special 16090:2116108:11,1616181:24 16194:416150:2,5 16152:1416094:22 1609216125:2516108:11,1616181:24 16194:416159:2216193:816190:15 16191:17specific 16112:1716206:11scene 16130:1816159:2216194:9,10,1116131:14 16132safe 16202:15scene 16130:1816159:22Sinclair 16194:1,13,1816194:9,10,11safet 16090:1216148:1 16151:216177:3,6,14 16178:6sequentially 16181:916144:7SAFM 16115:12,1716177:3,6,14 16178:6service 16109:3sit 16162:11 16177:2516173:2216092:20 16100:2216178:11,1516116:24sitting 1	
16165:11,24 16193:816148:22 16199:7,13senior 16129:8Silvane 16162:216197:18 16200Roots 16160:2016150:14 16151:3,2116176:20 16191:25similar 16100:24Similar 16100:24SPEAKER 16190roughly 16084:1516152:19 16156:5,7sense 16099:1916124:14 16143:9,19similarity 16149:6SPEAKER 1619016094:25 16096:1416170:11,16,2116176:6 16186:716124:14 16143:9,19similarity 16149:6SPEAKER 16190rounds 16196:1416170:11,16,2116184:3sensible 16183:2216093:5 16131:2416135:21,2416197:216188:23 16202:616184:2116133:24 16195:3speaks 16107:9,12RR 16187:24SC 16102:9 16103:5sentence 16101:21simply 16104:1716132:12 16170:11,1640:9run 6202:116110:19 16136:2316178:4 16190:416144:7 16145:916090:12,14 16090:21run 16202:116110:19 16136:2316178:4 16190:416144:7 16145:916090:14,14 16090:21run 16202:116181:24 16194:4separate 16157:216153:1 16178:616125:25safe 16202:15scene 16130:1816159:22Sinclair 16194:1,13,1816189:15safe 16202:15scene 16130:1816159:22Sinclair 16194:1,13,1816189:15safe 16202:15scene 16130:1816159:22Sinclair 16194:1,13,1816189:15safe 16202:1516131:16176:20September 16154:916144:716149:19(6183:8safe 16202:15scene 16130:1816159:22Sinclair 16194:1,13,1816189:15safe 16202:1216133:116176:20<	
Roots 16160:2016150:14 16151:3,2116176:20 16191:25similar 16100:2416205:15roughly 16084:1516152:19 16156:5,716152:19 16156:5,7sense 16099:19similarity 16149:6SPEAKER 1619016094:25 16096:1416157:13 16168:716124:14 16143:9,19isimilarity 16149:6speaking 16086:1round 16111:1416170:11,16,2116184:3simple 16092:1316135:21,24rounds 16196:1416176:6 16186:6sensible 16183:2216093:5 16131:2416179:16 1620116197:216188:23 16202:616184:2116133:24 16195:3speaks 16107:9,12RR 16187:24SC 16102:9 16103:5sentence 16101:21simply 16104:1716129:8,12rubber 16196:18,2016106:9,14,1816145:25 16150:1316130:21 16140:9special 16090:21run 16202:116106:9,14,1816178:4 16190:416144:7 16145:916091:4,14 160Rustenburg 16085:516151:13 16181:1816178:4 16190:416153:1 16178:616152:1416094:22 16095:116108:11,1616181:24 16194:4separate 16157:216153:1 16178:616125:25safe 16202:15scene 16130:1816159:22Sinclair 16194:1,13,1816189:15safety 16090:1216148:1 16151:2September 16154:916194:22 16195:4specific 16112:17SAPS 16090:14,2116177:3,6,14 16178:6Service 16109:3sit 16162:11 16177:2516173:2216092:20 16100:2216178:11,1516116:24sitting 16165:23speculate 16152:1	
roughly 16084:1516152:19 16156:5,7sense 16099:19similarity 16149:6SPEAKER 1619016094:25 16096:1416157:13 16168:716124:14 16143:9,19similarity 16149:6speaking 16086:1round 16111:1416170:11,16,2116184:3similarity 16149:6speaking 16086:116197:216188:23 16202:616184:2116093:5 16131:2416179:16 1620116197:216188:23 16202:616184:2116133:24 16195:3speaks 16107:9,12RR 16187:24SC 16102:9 16103:5sentence 16101:21simply 16104:1716129:8,12rubber 16196:18,2016110:19 16136:2316178:4 16190:416144:7 16145:916091:4,14 160ru 16202:116110:19 16136:2316178:4 16190:416150:2,5 16152:1416094:22 16092:1316108:11,1616151:13 16181:1816191:1,416150:2,5 16152:1416094:22 16092:2116108:11,1616151:13 16181:1816191:1,416190:416190:15 16191:17specific 16112:1716202:15scene 16130:1816159:2216166:8 16193:816190:15 16191:17specific 16112:17safe 16202:15scene 16130:1816159:22Sinclair 16194:4,113,1816189:15safety 16090:1216148:1 16151:2September 16154:916194:22 16195:4specific ally 16098SAFM 16115:12,1716177:3,6,14 16178:6Service 16109:3sit 16162:11 16177:2516173:2216092:20 16100:2216178:11,1516116:24sitting 16165:23speculate 16125:15	
16094:25 16096:14 round 16111:1416157:13 16168:7 16170:11,16,2116124:14 16143:9,19 16184:3similarly 16148:21 simple 16092:13speaking 16086:1 16195:21,24rounds 16196:14 16197:216176:6 16186:6 16188:23 16202:616184:3simple 16092:1316135:21,24RR 16187:24 rubber 16196:18,20 run 16202:1SC 16102:9 16103:5 16106:9,14,1816145:25 16150:1316130:21 16140:9 16145:25 16150:13speaking 16086:1Rustenburg 16085:5 16151:13 16181:1816145:25 16150:13 16191:1,416130:21 16140:9 16145:25 16150:13special 16090:21 16130:21 16140:9Safe 16202:15 safety 16090:12scene 16130:18 16148:1 16151:216159:22 16153:21 16176:20 16153:21 16176:2016166:8 16193:8 16159:2216194:1,13,18 16194:1,13,18SAFM 16115:12,17 16092:20 16100:2216177:3,6,14 16178:6 16177:3,6,14 16178:616116:24Sir 16084:7 Sir 16084:7Specifically 16098 Sir 16084:7	:2
round 16111:1416170:11,16,2116184:3simple 16092:1316135:21,24rounds 16196:1416176:6 16186:6sensible 16183:2216093:5 16131:2416179:16 1620116197:216188:23 16202:616184:2116133:24 16195:3speaks 16107:9,12RR 16187:24SC 16102:9 16103:5sentence 16101:21simply 16104:1716129:8,12rubber 16196:18,2016106:9,14,1816145:25 16150:1316130:21 16140:9special 16090:21run 16202:116110:19 16136:2316178:4 16190:416144:7 16145:916091:4,14 160Rustenburg 16085:516151:13 16181:1816191:1,416150:2,5 16152:1416094:22 16092:2116108:11,1616181:24 16194:4separate 16157:216153:1 16178:616125:2516201:13 16205:316166:8 16193:816190:15 16191:17specific 16112:1716206:11separately 16118:416194:9,10,1116131:14 16132safe 16202:15scene 16130:1816159:22Sinclair 16194:1,13,1816189:15safety 16090:1216148:1 16151:2September 16154:9Sir 16084:7specifically 16098SAFM 16115:12,1716177:3,6,14 16178:6Service 16109:3sit 16162:11 16177:2516173:2216092:20 16100:2216178:11,1516116:24sitting 16165:23speculate 16125:15	
rounds 16196:1416176:6 16186:6sensible 16183:2216093:5 16131:2416179:16 1620116197:216188:23 16202:616188:23 16202:616184:2116133:24 16195:3speaks 16107:9,12RR 16187:24SC 16102:9 16103:5sentence 16101:2116133:24 16195:3speaks 16107:9,12rubber 16196:18,2016106:9,14,1816145:25 16150:1316130:21 16140:9special 16090:21run 16202:116110:19 16136:2316178:4 16190:416130:21 16140:9special 16090:21Rustenburg 16085:516151:13 16181:1816191:1,416150:2,5 16152:1416094:22 1609516108:11,1616181:24 16194:4separate 16157:216153:1 16178:616125:25safe 16202:15scene 16130:1816159:2216190:15 16191:17specific 16112:17safe 16202:1516148:1 16151:2September 16154:916194:9,10,1116189:15safe 16202:1516148:1 16151:2September 16154:9separatel 16157:216194:1,13,1816199:1216148:1 16151:2September 16154:916194:22 16195:4specifically 16098SAFM 16115:12,1716177:3,6,14 16178:6Service 16109:3sit 16162:11 16177:2516173:2216092:20 16100:2216178:11,1516116:24sitting 16165:23speculate 16125:15	-
16197:216188:23 16202:616184:2116133:24 16195:3speaks 16107:9,12RR 16187:24SC 16102:9 16103:5sentence 16101:21simply 16104:1716129:8,12rubber 16196:18,2016110:19 16136:2316145:25 16150:1316130:21 16140:9special 16090:21run 16202:116110:19 16136:2316178:4 16190:416144:7 16145:916091:4,14 160Rustenburg 16085:516151:13 16181:1816191:1,416150:2,5 16152:1416094:22 1609516108:11,1616181:24 16194:4separate 16157:216153:1 16178:616125:25Safe 16202:15scene 16130:1816159:22Sinclair 16194:9,10,1116131:14 16132safe 16202:15scene 16130:1816159:22Sinclair 16194:1,13,1816189:15SAFM 16115:12,1716177:3,6,14 16178:6Service 16109:3Sit 16162:11 16177:2516173:2216092:20 16100:2216178:11,1516116:24Sitting 16165:23speculate 16125:12	:7
RR 16187:24 rubber 16196:18,20 run 16202:1SC 16102:9 16103:5 16106:9,14,18sentence 16101:21 16145:25 16150:13simply 16104:17 16130:21 16140:916129:8,12 special 16090:21Rustenburg 16085:5 16151:13 16181:1816178:4 16190:4 16151:13 16181:1816178:4 16190:4 16191:1,416130:21 16140:9 	
rubber 16196:18,20 run 16202:116106:9,14,1816145:25 16150:1316130:21 16140:9 16130:21 16140:9special 16090:21Rustenburg 16085:516151:13 16181:1816178:4 16190:416144:7 16145:916091:4,14 16016108:11,1616151:13 16181:1816191:1,416150:2,5 16152:1416094:22 1609516108:11,1616181:24 16194:4separate 16157:216153:1 16178:616125:2516201:13 16205:316166:8 16193:816190:15 16191:17specific 16112:1716206:11separately 16118:416194:9,10,1116131:14 16132safe 16202:15scene 16130:1816159:22Sinclair 16194:1,13,1816189:15safety 16090:1216148:1 16151:2September 16154:9Sir 16084:716114:9 16138:SAFM 16115:12,1716177:3,6,14 16178:6Service 16109:3Sir 16162:11 16177:2516173:2216092:20 16100:2216178:11,1516116:24sit 16165:23speculate 16125:1	
run 16202:1 Rustenburg 16085:5 16108:11,1616110:19 16136:23 16151:13 16181:18 16181:24 16194:416178:4 16190:4 16191:1,416144:7 16145:9 16150:2,5 16152:1416091:4,14 160 16094:22 1609516151:13 16181:18 16181:24 16194:416191:1,4 16181:24 16194:416191:1,4 16153:1 16178:616091:4,14 160 16155:2,5 16152:1416181:24 16194:4 16201:13 16205:3separate 16157:2 16166:8 16193:816153:1 16178:6 16190:15 16191:1716125:25 specific 16112:1716206:11 16206:11separately 16118:4 16159:2216194:9,10,11 16131:14 1613216131:14 16132 16199:1516159:22 sequentially 16181:9Sinclair 16194:1,13,18 16194:22 16195:416114:9 16138: specifically 16098Service 16109:3 16116:24Sir 16084:7 sit 16162:11 16177:2516173:22 16173:22	
Rustenburg 16085:5 16108:11,1616151:13 16181:18 16181:24 16194:416191:1,4 separate 16157:2 16166:8 16193:816150:2,5 16152:14 16153:1 16178:6 16190:15 16191:1716094:22 16095 16125:25S16108:11,1616181:24 16194:4 16201:13 16205:3separate 16157:2 16166:8 16193:816150:2,5 16152:14 16190:15 16191:1716094:22 16095 16125:25Safe 16202:15 safety 16090:12scene 16130:18 16148:1 16151:216159:22 September 16154:916194:9,10,11 16194:1,13,1816189:15 sepecific ally 16098SAFM 16115:12,17 SAPS 16090:14,21 16092:20 16100:2216177:3,6,14 16178:6 16178:11,15Service 16109:3 16116:24Sir 16084:7 sit 16162:11 16177:2516173:22 16173:22	94:19
16108:11,16 16181:24 16194:4 separate 16157:2 16153:1 16178:6 16125:25 S 16201:13 16205:3 16166:8 16193:8 16190:15 16191:17 specific 16112:17 Safe 16202:15 scene 16130:18 16159:22 Sinclair 16194:1,13,18 16189:15 SAFM 16115:12,17 16153:21 16176:20 sequentially 16181:9 Service 16109:3 Sir 16084:7 16114:9 16138: SAPS 16090:14,21 16177:3,6,14 16178:6 Service 16109:3 sit 16162:11 16177:25 16173:22 16092:20 16100:22 16178:11,15 16116:24 sepurate 16152:3 sepurate 16125:15	
S16201:13 16205:316166:8 16193:816190:15 16191:17specific 16112:17S16206:11separately 16118:416194:9,10,1116131:14 16132safe 16202:15scene 16130:1816159:22Sinclair 16194:1,13,1816189:15safety 16090:1216148:1 16151:2September 16154:9Sinclair 16194:22 16195:4specifically 16098SAFM 16115:12,1716153:21 16176:20sequentially 16181:9Sir 16084:716114:9 16138:SAPS 16090:14,2116177:3,6,14 16178:6Service 16109:3sit 16162:11 16177:2516173:2216092:20 16100:2216178:11,1516116:24sitting 16165:23speculate 16125:12	
S16206:11separately 16118:416194:9,10,1116131:14 16132safe 16202:15scene 16130:1816159:22Sinclair 16194:1,13,1816189:15safety 16090:1216148:1 16151:2September 16154:9Sinclair 16194:22 16195:4specifically 16098SAFM 16115:12,1716153:21 16176:20sequentially 16181:9Sir 16084:716114:9 16138:SAPS 16090:14,2116177:3,6,14 16178:6Service 16109:3sit 16162:11 16177:2516173:2216092:20 16100:2216178:11,1516116:24sitting 16165:23speculate 16125:12	
safe 16202:15 safety 16090:12scene 16130:1816159:22Sinclair 16194:1,13,1816189:15SAFM 16115:12,1716148:1 16151:2 16153:21 16176:20September 16154:9 sequentially 16181:916194:22 16195:4specifically 16098SAPS 16090:14,21 16092:20 16100:2216177:3,6,14 16178:6 16178:11,15Service 16109:3 16116:24Sir 16084:7 sit 16162:11 16177:2516173:22 16175:23	:18
safety 16090:1216148:1 16151:2September 16154:916194:22 16195:4specifically 16098SAFM 16115:12,1716153:21 16176:20sequentially 16181:9Sir 16084:716114:9 16138:SAPS 16090:14,2116177:3,6,14 16178:6Service 16109:3sit 16162:11 16177:2516173:2216092:20 16100:2216178:11,1516116:24sitting 16165:23speculate 16125:1	
SAFM 16115:12,1716153:21 16176:20sequentially 16181:9Sir 16084:716114:9 16138:SAPS 16090:14,2116177:3,6,14 16178:6Service 16109:3sit 16162:11 16177:2516173:2216092:20 16100:2216178:11,1516116:24sitting 16165:23speculate 16125:11	:20
SAPS 16090:14,21 16177:3,6,14 16178:6 Service 16109:3 sit 16162:11 16177:25 16173:22 16092:20 16100:22 16178:11,15 16116:24 sitting 16165:23 speculate 16125:11	
16092:20 16100:22 16178:11,15 16116:24 sitting 16165:23 speculate 16125:1	
	5
16109:19 16116:23 16182:24 session 16155:21,22,24 16169:4 16204:13 spend 16154:15	
16117:11,13 scheduled 16083:3,12 16157:19 16158:23 situation 16121:1 spent 16108:1,4	
16123:14,17 16203:17 16159:10,17,19,21,25 16126:8 16128:24 spoke 16095:11	
16184:23,24 16199:1 Scott 16092:1,5 16160:2,3,7,9,13,14 16144:10 16152:11 16103:21 16113	:17
SAPS15 16147:22 16171:24 16172:14 16160:24 16162:6 16159:24 16179:1 16119:6 16122:	
SARS 16109:16 16175:15,25 16163:19,21 situational 16143:5 16125:14 16136	:8
sat 16159:1 Scott's 16128:15 16164:14,21,24 16144:6 16166:20 16198	:13
satisfied 16127:12 Scott's 16100:23 16166:8 16173:8,11 six 16166:20 16198:17	
16172:23 16173:2 screen 16084:3,5,7 sessions 16157:23 slide 16162:19 spot 16177:19 162	78:8
16174:25 16110:21 16128:18 16159:14,24 16160:5 smaller 16193:8 spots 16184:3 162	06:5
satisfy 16128:12,12 16141:11 16160:11 16165:3,5,9 SNYMAN 16110:19 stadium 16135:1,	5
16203:4 16160:25 16170:14 set 16117:3,4 16191:1 somebody 16159:25 16136:25 16137	:19
saved 16167:20 16201:22 16202:2 sets 16168:15 16162:6,6,7 stage 16094:20	
saw 16084:14 16128:19 second 16086:16 settlement 16132:16,25 somewhat 16100:25 16099:23 16100	
16129:21 16130:2 16101:6 16109:8,8,25 16148:7,9,9,17,18 soon 16163:6 16102:16 16105	
16163:6 16169:15 16130:16 16137:7 16170:20,21 16171:1 sooner 16206:7 16115:16 16117	:2,25
saying 16085:2116138:4 16157:2516185:14sorry 16084:2416129:14,22	:2,25
16089:3 16094:13 16170:10,11 SG 16196:1 16088:19 16094:1,24 16130:22 16131	:2,25 :25
16117:18,18 16124:9 16172:14 16173:22 shacks 16147:5,7,9 16097:14,15 16100:7 16154:6 16162:	:2,25 :25
16124:17 16129:10 16174:23 16182:11 shack's 16146:25 16112:19 16121:15 16166:7 16172:	:2,25 :25 :4
16134:16 16135:5 16188:4,5,14 shaft 16148:10 16121:17 16123:4 16175:1 16177:	:2,25 :25 :4 24
16137:14,23 16138:6 16192:10,19 sheet 16181:6 16130:15,16,25 16177:20 16178	:2,25 :25 :4 24 12,24
16138:20 16140:3 secondly 16106:4 shells 16196:21 16136:23 16138:1,11 16178:16 16185	:2,25 :25 :4 12,24 2,10
16141:25 16142:1,13 16147:24 16148:2 she's 16089:16,19 16140:15 16141:13 16188:19 16189	:2,25 :25 :4 24 12,24 2,10 :7,7 :17
16142:19,21 16143:3 seconds 16181:7 she'd 16097:7 16098:12 16142:7,8 16151:13 16195:19 16203	:2,25 :25 :4 24 12,24 2,10 :7,7 :17
ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE	:2,25 :25 :4 24 12,24 2,10 :7,7 :17 :9

Pretoria

				Dogo 1
		1	[Page 1
16205:5,7 16206:4	straight 16166:23	16102:19 16103:25	Tatane 16144:10	16092:13,18
stand 16148:23	16167:2 16170:4	16107:17 16112:13	Taung 16102:18,24	16094:23 16095:3
16204:4	strike 16133:5	16127:5 16129:19,22	16104:19 16109:13	16109:8,17 16111:4
standing 16119:11	striker 16135:4	16133:9 16136:6,7	Taupe 16156:5,17	16116:12 16117:5
16129:15 16179:12	16137:23	16137:9 16146:18	16161:10	16118:24 16122:18
stands 16206:8	strikers 16085:22	16148:4 16150:18	tea 16125:16	16125:10 16126:16
stapled 16180:2	16090:2 16112:9	16159:6,13 16177:15	team 16100:3 16180:21	16126:24 16127:9
start 16083:4,24	16115:21 16119:1	16182:8 16184:7	16198:11 16199:1,5	16128:4 16129:3,10
16085:13 16147:19	16122:7 16124:4	16202:16	16200:13	16131:14 16136:21
16170:16 16180:23	16125:6,15 16130:23	surely 16121:22	tear 16156:12	16137:4 16140:22
16202:1	16132:9,15,24	16130:8 16198:19	teargas 16139:6,8,24	16141:11,13
started 16094:19	16133:10,15	surrender 16170:17	16150:21 16174:14	16142:13 16144:1,3
16095:4,11 16097:10	16134:10 16135:18	suspension 16109:9,10	16174:18	16144:11,12,12
16098:3	16136:14 16138:20	16109:11	technically 16186:7	16146:6,10,13
starting 16083:3	16141:6 16143:22,24	sworn 16105:12	telephone 16114:4	16148:20 16149:10
starts 16086:16	16147:17 16148:5,14	s.u.o 16163:12	telephonic 16123:15	16150:9 16151:24
16109:18 16168:9	16150:9,13 16153:16		tell 16084:24 16101:22	16152:11 16155:11
16182:7	16153:18 16170:22	T	16102:1,8 16127:10	16155:14,16
state 16142:14 16174:4	16170:24,25 16179:2	take 16089:7,11	16127:15 16131:7	16156:13 16158:24
stated 16152:15	16179:2,6,11,25	16092:3 16093:13	16142:2 16144:16	16159:7 16160:5
16153:14 16156:13	16180:4,13,22	16097:21 16100:5,10	16154:2 16163:10	16162:22 16164:17
16176:7 16182:13	16181:11,20	16102:8 16105:24	telling 16141:6	16171:3 16172:8
16184:4 16187:17	16186:19 16187:20	16106:15 16107:22	16163:11,20 16166:1	16176:9 16177:9
statement 16085:14,15	16189:9,17,25	16114:14 16116:9,23	tells 16086:18,21	16185:14 16186:18
16086:2 16088:11,16	16190:4,18 16202:23	16116:24 16125:16	16127:19	16188:15 16189:6
16088:23 16089:25	striking 16149:10	16125:24 16134:2	ten 16163:2	16190:6 16192:15
16090:6,9 16092:22	studio 16104:14	16135:5 16141:23,24	tense 16087:13	16195:5
16096:25 16099:6,16	study 16083:10	16142:12,21 16143:4	term 16158:24	Thekwana 16115:23
16099:17,23 16107:5	subject 16083:7	16143:6,7,21 16144:4	terms 16089:15	thereof 16089:15
16107:8 16109:22,22	16185:16	16144:22 16145:12	16090:12 16114:25	there's 16086:6,11
16109:25,25 16110:1	submit 16150:23	16149:11 16153:25	16120:9 16188:22	16087:10,20 16110:4
16110:4 16117:8,19	submitted 16162:15	16155:9 16157:14	16189:14 16191:6	16120:19 16126:3
16118:1 16123:8,9	subsequent 16154:2	16162:19,24 16163:1	terrain 16185:10	16127:9 16130:19
16145:4,13 16146:8	subsequently 16106:5	16165:10 16167:14	testified 16098:18	16167:18 16168:2
16146:11,11,16,19,24	16140:17	16168:14 16170:5,19	16099:4,13 16112:3	16185:7 16186:21
16148:20,20,21	subsidiary 16109:10	16171:1,12,16	16127:5 16132:14	16188:14 16194:4
16149:20 16150:24	substantial 16110:8	16173:8 16176:20	16136:8 16155:8	they'd 16087:23
16150:24 16151:1,6	substitute 16169:2	16180:13 16181:1	testify 16112:3	they'll 16084:3
16152:24 16175:16	succeed 16185:23	16182:9 16184:13	16131:16 16190:20	16188:13,16
16175:16 16178:10	suggest 16131:8	16185:11 16187:23	testifying 16127:4,25	they've 16121:3
16187:3,4 16189:6,7	16144:20 16183:15	16188:11 16190:11	16128:13	16184:25
16197:9,21 16199:6	suggested 16115:19	16197:16 16198:25	testimony 16098:24	they'd 16105:10
statements 16144:2	16142:10 16151:17	16206:14	thank 16083:18,20	thing 16097:25 16098:1
16145:17,18 16146:2	16152:25	taken 16089:25	16087:5 16088:4	16141:21 16145:19
16149:6,21,25	suggesting 16142:16	16117:1 16128:15	16098:6 16102:15	16185:15
16150:6,7 16199:10	16178:5 16182:18	16140:11,18	16103:2 16106:18	things 16096:17
states 16101:9 16191:4	suggestion 16092:7	16142:11 16145:4	16107:12 16113:25	16099:6 16127:15
statistics 16154:13	16111:11 16181:1	16151:3 16163:5	16114:23 16116:13	16129:9 16131:11
stay 16090:8 16118:19	16182:15	16175:18 16177:4,8	16125:24 16154:6	16137:21 16160:4
staying 16148:16	summarised 16145:24	16179:12 16181:20	16158:21 16162:23	16185:8 16191:6
step 16197:16,16	summary 16087:20	16192:6 16193:14	16163:18 16169:6	16199:8
steps 16140:18	16088:2 16089:24	takes 16177:24	16170:9 16178:24	think 16085:17
stop 16148:24 16149:1	sun 16085:18	talk 16112:14 16113:22	16180:9 16184:12,18	16088:14 16091:22
16149:8 16150:1,8,12	superimposed	16116:24 16137:22	16186:4 16187:2	16092:3 16094:25
16150:16 16154:16	16168:14	16162:17	16192:12 16199:19	16095:25 16098:18
16173:14 16175:10	supplementary	talked 16173:9	16203:14,16 16204:8	16101:25 16102:11
16175:21 16190:9	16110:4	talking 16084:15	16204:11,12,14,19	16106:1 16107:21
stoppage 16150:14	support 16187:25	16089:17 16095:1	16205:10 16206:11	16108:15 16109:7,25
stopped 16143:25	suppose 16160:6	16111:6,12 16135:17	thanks 16148:9	16112:21 16115:22
16144:18 16145:5	16186:7 16202:1	16135:21 16137:21	16156:16,22	16116:6 16117:25
16179:3 16205:24	16204:14	16141:5 16160:10,14	16186:16 16193:12	16119:23 16120:3,8
16206:6	supposed 16088:8	16160:24 16163:19	16202:20	16125:9,13 16126:22
stopping 16150:20,21	16117:4 16126:9	16172:11,13	that's 16083:10	16127:23 16128:3,15
			16006.10 16007.6	16128:15 16129:10
stops 16109:15	16128:25 16159:4	16198:15	16086:18 16087:6	
story 16109:12	sure 16084:3 16088:13	16198:15 talks 16109:8 16150:12	16088:5 16090:4,24	16129:10,23
	sure 16084:3 16088:13 16098:5 16101:8			

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

				Page 13
16137:4,5,12	tips 16154:14	16173:21 16197:1	16189:15 16190:3,17	votes 16105:15,15
16138:13 16139:7,11	titled 16193:16	16199:6	16190:23 16191:11	
16140:14,22	today 16112:10	trying 16098:5	unit 16148:25 16150:15	W
16143:17 16144:13	16121:3 16127:4	16105:17 16114:2	unknown 16189:12	wait 16092:12
16145:25 16148:6	16150:7 16203:18	16139:15 16149:1	unreasonable 16127:14	16110:18 16170:13
16150:3 16152:2	16204:11	16150:16 16155:19	ups 16154:11,12,21,23	waited 16090:13
16153:24 16154:3,20	Tokota 16097:15,20	16164:17 16166:6,15	16157:21	16093:3
16154:24 16156:3	16138:1	16174:22 16175:11	upset 16151:4	waiting 16083:11
16166:21 16171:23	told 16083:5 16085:3	16175:13 16177:9	upwards 16125:10	16091:1,2,10,12
16175:11 16177:13	16085:17 16086:17	16201:23	urging 16116:5	16092:11 16093:6,12
16181:1 16183:18	16098:8,11,21	Tsiloane 16086:18	use 16122:15 16124:18	16129:23
16185:18,25 16193:7	16099:22,24 16115:6	Tuesday 16111:2	16196:18	waits 16092:25
16198:15 16200:7	16117:13 16123:19	16113:1	useful 16170:15	walk 16148:23
16201:24 16202:2,13	16125:6,13 16151:19	Tupe 16173:10,15,17	16185:9,14	walked 16178:8
16203:18 16206:7	16164:1 16172:4	16174:7	uses 16181:8	16179:3
third 16175:4 16185:17	16178:21 16179:17	turn 16104:15 16154:6	usual 16127:15	walking 16148:25
16188:4,6,14,17,22	16181:19 16187:18	16195:20		16150:16 16170:25
Thirdly 16148:1	16187:22 16190:25	turned 16178:2	V	16177:21
thoroughly 16147:25	16197:9,18,23	turning 16118:6	vague 16151:25	wall 16192:25
thought 16103:9,10	16198:14,18	turns 16116:6,9	16152:18	want 16084:19
16115:4 16126:20	16199:11,21 16200:2	twice 16172:16	value 16186:18	16088:20 16092:18
16140:17 16159:10	16200:6,7,8 16202:8	two 16106:3 16107:15	Van 16193:14	16100:14 16111:9
16163:5 16183:24	tomorrow 16121:2	16111:1 16117:15	various 16106:25	16120:24 16122:16
16184:21 16204:13	16124:10,16	16127:8 16132:13	16112:25 16149:25	16123:4,10 16127:4
thoughtful 16168:16	16203:19 16204:3	16137:5,21 16145:18	16152:1 16159:14	16129:25 16134:24
thread 16150:6	16205:19 16206:14	16146:1 16149:20	16162:8 16163:22	16137:18 16138:5
threat 16150:9	top 16101:6 16138:16	16150:7 16173:22	16164:9 16181:12	16140:21 16141:24
16179:18	16168:13 16185:21	16185:19,21 16192:7	16193:18,19 16194:8	16142:13,25 16146:2
threatened 16151:5	16191:3	type 16101:25 16165:25 16166:12	16194:18 16205:25	16146:7 16148:15
threatening 16151:11 three 16086:8 16132:17	topic 16162:9 torch 16097:7,16	16171:10 16196:24	vehicle 16131:1 vehicles 16118:19	16150:1 16152:11,17
16183:13 16188:2	16098:9 16099:25	typed 16110:1 16164:2	venicies 16118:19 verkeerde 16151:9,23	16154:20,22 16156:6 16156:9 16168:19,25
throw 16196:7	16107:14,14,16,22,23	16164:8 16166:23	Vermaak 16150:24	16171:5 16175:10,12
Thursday 16101:2	16108:18,20,23	16169:25 16170:4,8	16151:1,19 16152:15	16175:21 16177:16
16104:4,5,20,22,23	16197:10,20,24	types 16162:18	16153:2,8,12,20	16186:17 16189:18
16105:4,8,9,21	16198:14,15,16,18,22	type s 10102.10	16161:16 16178:22	16190:11 16203:3,9
16106:7 16131:2	touched 16195:13	U	16179:17,19,21	16204:3 16205:9
till 16091:10 16206:14	trade 16116:3 16190:3	ultimately 16186:12	Vermaak's 16152:24	wanted 16091:9
time 16083:3,12	Traditional 16103:4,5	unclear 16147:3	version 16100:22	16095:22,23 16111:4
16084:13,15,17	16103:6,15	understand 16083:15	16101:1 16139:23,23	16112:7 16122:16
16085:16 16086:4	transcribed 16136:13	16092:16 16096:16	16140:1 16144:21	16131:15,18
16088:13 16089:18	transcript 16084:1,1	16097:24,24 16098:6	16158:12 16175:17	16133:19,20
16094:25 16095:3	16093:19 16094:1,4	16099:10 16104:13	16176:2	16134:10 16138:24
16096:1,14,18,20	16115:18,21	16105:17 16114:1	versions 16149:24	16143:6 16144:4
16097:9 16099:19	16122:21,24	16140:1 16141:9	vicinity 16132:24	16145:9 16153:17
16101:7 16107:18	16125:12,14	16144:2 16145:22	video 16135:19,24	16155:6 16156:3,18
16108:1,4,22	16136:13 16145:3	16158:4 16159:13,14	16136:17,22	16175:7 16180:10
16110:22 16114:15	16155:10 16176:11	16166:6 16167:5	16182:21 16183:11	16190:15 16191:17
16114:20,21 16115:7	16181:4 16191:14	16174:21,21	16183:17 16202:22	16197:18 16199:25
16115:23 16120:4,8	16198:4 16202:22	16178:13 16184:1,1	16205:24 16206:1,5,6	16202:25 16203:9
16120:12 16131:15	16203:11	16185:7 16186:15,17	videos 16184:5	wanting 16107:5
16140:23 16154:15	transcription 16142:17	16198:8 16199:7	16185:19	16112:17 16114:1
16171:15,15,15,19	16142:18	16200:5	view 16178:15 16185:9	16129:15 16130:11
16175:4 16176:22	translation 16151:9	understanding	visibly 16181:11	16130:17,22
16177:15,23	transpired 16120:21	16108:24 16133:19	visit 16085:4 16086:20	wants 16092:23
16178:18 16179:11	transport 16109:9	16178:13 16196:17	16098:23	16119:8 16129:4
16179:22 16183:23	16130:18,20 16131:4	16196:24	Visser 16158:18,19	16131:6 16142:14,18
16188:19 16190:20	transporting 16118:20	understood 16203:20	16162:17,17,18,18	16205:20
16193:4 16194:7	travelled 16107:24	undertaking 16085:18	16164:2,9,13	warn 16170:16
16200:21 16204:3,14	traverse 16149:22	unhappy 16122:24	16165:22 16166:1,4	Warrant 16155:14,20
timeframe 16093:20	16185:2	union 16111:1	16166:11,12,18	Warrant-Officer
16094:2	trend 16191:25	16112:14 16113:23	16167:8 16169:25	16139:5,8,23
timelines 16177:9	true 16171:3 16203:8	16114:10 16115:19	16170:8 16171:22	16140:11 16174:10
times 16086:21	truth 16175:13	16116:3 16117:15	16174:8	wasn't 16128:12
16089:20 16092:17	16186:10,13	16118:15 16188:3	voice 16155:17	16163:8,21 16164:3
16096:15,17 16176:7	try 16141:5 16162:5	unions 16118:3	voluntarily 16133:20	16172:3 16176:5
ARCHIVE FO	K JUSTICE		1	1

				Page 1
16177:15 16178:3	we're 16098:1	wrong 16096:15	16137:13 16143:4	15th 16104:3 16114:19
wasn't 16103:11	16183:19 16184:7	16099:25 16101:25	16181:7 16182:7	16115:7,13 16123:13
16105:16,19 16106:7	16205:6	16102:12 16104:10	16192:10 16202:25	16141:1
16108:11,16	we've 16106:3 16183:6	16105:14 16106:6	1:30 16090:3 16093:21	16 16118:1
16200:12	16196:12 16197:6	16113:6 16142:17	16094:3 16095:4	16th 16084:8 16085:23
waste 16188:19	what's 16088:17	16151:24,25 16152:6	16126:1	16090:21 16101:2,17
16204:3	16128:5	16153:10 16154:19	10 16134:21,21	16102:11,14,14,24
way 16105:3,7 16110:9	whichever 16181:17	16176:8 16199:11	16135:18 16136:13	16103:18 16104:4,19
16118:20 16124:21	who'd 16083:11		16141:8,17 16142:7,9	16104:20,20,24
16126:23 16132:15	who's 16135:12,16	Y	16170:17 16187:6	16105:4,9 16108:11
16133:16 16138:20	16137:20 16169:4	year 16098:19 16099:4	10:13 16096:9	16108:14 16109:13
16138:22 16139:3	16171:10	16099:13	10:24 16101:7	16126:1 16154:8
16145:11,22	WILLIAM 16083:14	years 16103:16	10:33 16109:15	16192:6,25 16193:15
16157:15 16160:5,5	16125:21 16163:12	yesterday 16083:7	10:53 16123:2	16193:18 16194:14
16176:9 16177:16	wish 16203:2,20	16112:10	1004 16086:17,17,18	16195:9 16196:14,21
16184:22 16185:2	wishes 16205:11,17	you'd 16089:11	1006 16086:16,21	16197:3 16199:22
16190:12 16194:19	wishing 16096:3	16174:17	1008 16086:7 16087:2	17th 16106:8,11,13
16201:15 16202:15	16180:14	you'll 16086:6,8	1009 16086:7,11	16108:21
ways 16173:13	withdraw 16176:23	16088:10,12	16087:8	18 16123:12
	withdrawn 16176:4	-	1010 16086:7 16087:11	19 16120:19
weapon 16170:18		16091:11 16149:19		19 10120.19
weapons 16123:18,24	witness 16111:5	16185:23 16188:1,21	16087:17 103 16176:11	2
16124:5,11,15	16129:20 16138:13	16193:13,16	103 16176:11	
16125:7 16126:11	16144:21 16145:9,23	you're 16083:13,16	11 16083:1	2 16090:22 16091:10,13
16129:2 16133:21	16146:18 16150:18	16088:5 16092:8	11:22 16125:19	16091:20 16092:1,4,8
16135:8 16137:2,8,16	16151:14,15 16152:2	16094:13 16124:17	11:41 16138:19	16093:5 16118:5
16137:23 16138:3,9	16152:8,15,22	16125:20 16127:18	11:55 16086:18	16126:1 16149:6,12
16138:25 16144:5,7	16153:1,25 16154:4	16141:2,5 16142:1,16	11093 16176:12,17	16181:8 16185:9
16196:3	16154:16 16155:5	16145:13,23	11168 16155:10	16192:20 16205:16
website 16109:13	16175:25 16180:15	16163:10 16166:5	11420 16084:1,5	20 16134:23
Wednesday 16123:13	16181:5,5 16194:6,12	16172:5,8 16175:11	16094:1 16096:6	20:30 16111:15
wee 16121:20	16202:14 16204:2,10	16177:20 16178:7	12 16084:17 16086:9,21	2012 16102:24
week 16157:25,25	16206:6	16186:25 16190:8,10	16086:22,22 16090:2	16103:16 16167:18
16158:2 16172:14	witnesses 16127:25	you've 16084:7	16094:2 16095:2	16167:20 16168:10
16173:8,22 16174:23	16154:2	16085:10 16109:22	16125:10 16149:7	16180:5,13 16192:6
went 16084:14	witness's 16144:21	16117:13 16126:16	16125:10 10149:7	2013 16083:1
16086:25 16088:15	wonder 16168:5	16126:18 16129:22	12:01 16150:22	2015 10005.17 22 16085:15 16199:17
16094:17 16097:16	won't 16185:1 16190:9	16120.18 10129.22	12:01 10130.22 12:12 16086:22	16202:1
				228 16120:20,25
16108:14,23	word 16112:22	16154:16 16173:24	12:30 16085:17,22	,
16129:21 16130:2,4,9	16122:15 16124:18	16175:21 16187:15	12:32 16163:4	23 16088:12,22,23
16148:17 16159:19	words 16096:2 16123:3	16187:16 16188:17	12:52 16178:10	16090:9 16096:25
16165:10,13,16	16124:5,8 16128:18	Z	13 16156:4 16176:18	16199:17
16166:11 16167:2	16132:18 16134:13		16180:4,13	231 16120:24
weren't 16140:23	16151:6,9 16180:12	Zokwana 16141:1	13th 16112:5,7,23	24 16086:6,6 16087:3,4
16156:19 16160:19	16195:24 16199:7	Zondi 16146:9,16,20	16113:3 16132:8,10	16094:25 16095:1
16160:20 16167:1	16201:6	16149:5	16139:5,9 16142:2,23	16096:15,19
Wesley 16203:23	work 16132:22 16133:3		16143:3,11,12	2460 16199:17 16202:1
we'd 16163:5 16167:22	16159:23 16160:5,6	0	16151:2 16155:14,23	2461 16199:18
we'll 16141:16	16172:8	0 16130:14	16157:1,3,17,24	16201:18
16185:24 16192:10	worked 16157:11	000 16118:5,5 16188:23	16158:7 16159:2	2462 16201:14 16202:3
16195:18	16160:10	0019 16189:1	16163:20 16166:17	25 16087:19
we're 16083:3 16086:3	worker 16134:23	004 16117:18	16167:18 16168:10	27 16088:21
16088:22 16089:17	16135:13 16136:4,10	08:30 16111:14	16179:25 16180:23	27 th 16154:9
16127:21 16139:15	16136:12,12,15	09:53 16083:2	16187:5 16190:21	28th 16167:20
16144:23 16175:12	16137:13,13,20	07.33 10003.2	16202:23	29 16141:16
	16137:13,13,20	1	13:25 16087:11	<i>≝1</i> 101 1 1.10
16185:1 16186:10	workers 16085:19			3
we've 16083:9		1 16084:16,17 16087:9	13:30 16091:19,20	
16092:21 16125:13	working 16114:10	16087:16,19	14th 16111:2,6,13,14	3 16101:5 16118:5
16139:4 16143:10	16127:23 16189:7	16088:14 16089:4,8	16189:8 16190:22	16126:3,4 16128:18
16158:25 16163:19	worry 16109:19	16090:22 16091:8,13	16191:16	16182:14 16183:12
		16093:4,5 16094:2	14:02 16184:16	16187:4 16188:23
16166:17 16173:9	wouldn't 16093:12			
16166:17 16173:9 16184:22 16185:18	16116:17 16156:12	16095:2,2 16119:23	14:22 16196:1	30 16090:13 16092:12
16166:17 16173:9			14:22 16196:1 15 16084:5 16094:6,8	30 16090:13 16092:12 16092:25 16093:3
16166:17 16173:9 16184:22 16185:18	16116:17 16156:12	16095:2,2 16119:23 16120:1,10,12,14,21		
16166:17 16173:9 16184:22 16185:18 16189:7 we'll 16101:1 16106:14	16116:17 16156:12 16166:25 16172:4 write 16159:10 16160:7	16095:2,2 16119:23 16120:1,10,12,14,21 16121:1,20,23	15 16084:5 16094:6,8	16092:25 16093:3 16111:12 16189:6,20
16166:17 16173:9 16184:22 16185:18 16189:7 we'll 16101:1 16106:14 16106:16,17,25	16116:17 16156:12 16166:25 16172:4 write 16159:10 16160:7 writing 16121:23	16095:2,2 16119:23 16120:1,10,12,14,21 16121:1,20,23 16122:3,5,8 16134:23	15 16084:5 16094:6,8 16096:5 16110:15 16118:10 16137:12	16092:25 16093:3 16111:12 16189:6,20 16189:21 16190:5
16166:17 16173:9 16184:22 16185:18 16189:7 we'll 16101:1 16106:14	16116:17 16156:12 16166:25 16172:4 write 16159:10 16160:7	16095:2,2 16119:23 16120:1,10,12,14,21 16121:1,20,23	15 16084:5 16094:6,8 16096:5 16110:15	16092:25 16093:3 16111:12 16189:6,20

		Pag
16111:13 325 16201:14 36 16118:2		
4 4 16183:8,9 16187:10		
16187:12 <u>5</u>		
5 16086:21 16087:9 16141:19 16146:23 16148:21 16150:11 16150:25 16155:11		
6 6 16141:8,18 16147:2 16169:14 60.1 16192:21		
60.1 16192.21 60.2 16192:10 683 16141:4,7 684 16141:2,2		
7 7 16123:9 16199:18		
16201:18 7th 16154:9 70 16161:1 72 16085:15		
77 16088:12,23 16089:1 16090:9 78 16090:16 16091:11 16097:1 16098:2		
16107:8,11,12 		
8 16181:10 16183:6 16188:21		
9 9 16123:18 16125:7		
16126:9 16131:15 16202:3 16205:19 16206:14 9mm 16195:24		
9th 16105:10 9:00 16129:1		
As and the start of the		
- F. Annutry Kelynorth		