RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 100 28 MAY 2013 PAGES 10609 TO 10729

HELD AT

CIVIC CENTRE, RUSTENBURG, NORTH WEST PROVINCE



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: realtime@pixie.co.za

NCRA.

Web Address: http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278

Page 10609

[PROCEEDINGS ON 28 MAY 2013]

[09:54] CHAIRPERSON: 2 The Commission resumes.

3 National Commissioner, you're still under oath.

MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: 4 s.u.o.

5 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu.

6 MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, good

7 morning. Chairperson, before I resume if I could just make

one or two remarks about the discussion that you and I had 8

9 before we stopped yesterday.

10 CHAIRPERSON:

MR MPOFU: 11 Yes I just wanted to say,

12 Chairperson, that I know that this Commission is difficult

13 enough for - especially for you and your fellow

14 commissioners, I think that one of the most difficult jobs

15 is to have to adjudicate. It's easier for us who can bat

16 from our various corners as it were and my own limited

17 experience having acted on the bench is that it is the most

18 difficult job under the sun. And so I just wanted to say,

19 Chair, that although this is obviously an emotional kind of

20 matter and the clients have various views about how matters

21 should be conducted, I just wanted to assure you that

22 nobody has defended the integrity of this Commission more

23 than myself with my own clients when it has been

24 questioned. And whatever quibblings or complaints one has

25 it never crosses that line of the integrity of the Wednesday, tomorrow. We're discussing with the evidence

leaders whether there's something that can be done to use

3 the time tomorrow morning. But I'll be able to inform you

about that when that's been finalised. The position is

5 that, well technically the Commission's period for hearing

6 evidence ends at the end of this month. We have as I think

7 you've been told, applied to the Presidency for an

8 extension and I was due to speak to the Minister this

9 morning where unfortunately I couldn't make contact with

10 him. Again once I have something positive to communicate I

11 shall do so. At the same time, but not before we know

12 officially whether there will be an extension I will give a

13 ruling on the application for removal of the seat of the

Commission from Rustenburg to Centurion. I take it that addresses so far as I can at this stage, the points that

16 you've raised.

17 MR BURGER SC: Ja, but our problem is

that we have diaries to accommodate. I don't know whether

19 I'm required on Monday morning and if so, where and if so

20 for how long. And we have an order of witnesses, I would

21 very much like to be present when General Mpembe is going

22 to give evidence. I have no indication of when he's likely

23 to give evidence.

24 CHAIRPERSON: The General is the next

witness who is scheduled to give evidence and my

Page 10610

Commission. I have the utmost respect for you and your

2 commissioners. It is indeed so, Chair, and I'm sure your

3 being probably the most experienced person in the room that

4 counsel had to fight fearlessly for and represent the views

5 of their clients but once again the line has to be drawn at

respecting the decorum of the forum which I'm recommitting 6

7 myself to do but mainly to assure you that - and that line

8 I don't even want to test. I know where it is, I will

9 unapologetically fight for my clients' interests but that

10 is not a line that I would wish ever to cross and so I just

11 wanted to clear the air on that one, Chair.

12 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much, Mr

13 Mpofu.

20

1

14 MR BURGER SC: Chair, while we're busy

15 with housekeeping may I know what's happening in the next

week, so that we can plan from my clients' side our own

17 affairs? I hear in the corridors, I'm not party to it,

that we may not have this witness on Wednesday. Are we 18

19 going to have -

> CHAIRPERSON: What I can tell you is the

21 witness is not available tomorrow, Wednesday. We're

22 discussing with the evidence leaders whether there's

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

something that can take place tomorrow morning. And

24 because we're not sitting on Thursday and Friday as I think

25 you've been told, we were proposing to stop at lunchtime on

Page 10612 understanding for the moment is that he will go to the

witness table and take the witness chair as soon as this

witness is finished. I had hoped this witness would be

finished tomorrow but I was informed that she is - a matter

over which she has no control, she's not available tomorrow

and therefore the problem arose which I mentioned earlier. 6

7 But in answer to the question who is going to be the next

8 witness, the answer to that is Major Mpembe, is Major-

9 General Mpembe.

10

MR BURGER SC: Chair, while I'm

difficult, bear with me for a while. 11

12 CHAIRPERSON: Yes

13 MR BURGER SC: Bear with me -

14 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just add

15 something else before you put something else to me? I

16 understand from Mr Mpofu that he anticipates, although it's

17 obviously difficult to predict, but he anticipates he will

18 be finished with the General, with the National

19 Commissioner some time in the course of Monday. Thereafter

20 Mr Gumbi will cross-examine here and thereafter there'll be

21 re-examination by Mr Semenya and if the questions that I

22 would like asked are not asked by anybody by then there are

23 a few questions I want to ask the National Commissioner

24 myself. So it sounds as if it's not likely that Major-

General Mpembe will give evidence before Tuesday morning.

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119 RealTime Transcriptions

I think, that's looking perhaps over confidently into a

crystal ball, that's the best that I can do by way of 2

3 answering your question.

Thank you. 4 MR BURGER SC:

5 CHAIRPERSON: But if there's something

else you want to raise then perhaps we can invite Mr 6

7 Budlender on behalf of the evidence leaders to contribute

anything that he has to contribute in regards to the points 8

9 that you raised.

10 MR BURGER SC: No, that is what I wanted to raise and it's awkward for me to raise it in the open 11

12 Commission. I wonder whether if in future we plan these

13 things it shouldn't be done in committee by everybody

14 concerned so that I don't hear over my shoulder what might

be happening on Wednesday and whether there's going to be 15

an inspection or a photo exhibition or whatever. I have 16

17 all these rumours coming at me and I feel left out and I 18

can't plan my diary and that's the reason why I raise it

now and I've said enough. Thank you very much for

20 listening to me, Chair.

19

1

21 CHAIRPERSON: The information that the

22 witness would not be available tomorrow was only

23 communicated to me after 4 o'clock yesterday afternoon, so

24 I wasn't able to consult anybody else thereafter. I

25 considered the possibility of an inspection but that we

Page 10614

decided would not be appropriate at this stage and that was

why I raised it with the evidence leaders whether there was 2

3 anything else that could be done. And it was explained

4 that it may well be possible but this is a matter we

5 haven't taken a final decision on, for something to be

presented by way of an index and a reconciliation of the 6

7 videos and working out of a timeline. That's something

8 that I'm not able to make a definite statement about.

9 That'll be decided in the course of the day, but certainly

10 no discourtesy was intended to anybody. It was a

11 logistical problem that arose as I said after 4 o'clock

12 yesterday afternoon when there was nothing else that we

13 could do. Mr Budlender, is there anything that you wish to

14 say?

15

16

17

MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, simply this, that we all labour under the same difficulty. We didn't

know until yesterday that Mr Mpofu would not complete his

18 cross-examination of the National Commissioner this week or

19 that he wouldn't complete it yesterday or rather that he

could not complete it today. We all didn't know until

21 yesterday afternoon that the National Commissioner is not

22 available tomorrow and neither did she know that she'd be

required tomorrow until it became clear that the cross-

24 examination was going to take some time. And so we all

25 labour under the same difficulty and under the same

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

Page 10615 frustration and we have to make do as best we can. I do

hope that the experience we've now had with the National

Commissioner and we've now had with General Annandale will

lead to - and the fact that we clearly are going to have

5 limited time to complete our work, assuming that an

6 extension is granted, will lead to the parties agreeing to

7 a method of curtailing the cross-examination so that we can

8 expedite the work of the Commission, get on with it. And

9 also try to make more reasonable projections as to how long

10 each witness is going to be in the box. If we know how

11 many cross-examiners there are that at least will give us

12 some basis for making some sort of reasonable prediction

13 but until we have that we are under the same difficulty and

we all have the same frustration. 14

> CHAIRPERSON: Anything you want to add,

Mr Burger?

15

17 MR BURGER SC: I don't want enter into a

debate but let me say this, it is unrealistic to expect the 18

19 people around this table to discipline themselves on cross-

20 examination. I will be the first one to agree to that, I

21 will not have many takers on that. I'm going to, in due

22 course, if this is extended and if we get another lease on

23 life, I'm going to request you very respectfully, Chair, to

24 direct limits for cross-examination. We cannot go on as

we're going on with this General as we're going on with

Page 10616

General Annandale. We're at police general two out of 11.

2 We haven't started on the rest of the case but I'm over

3 stating and don't ask me again to talk, I'm talking too

much, I'm sorry for that.

5 MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I think before I

address this I think originally you were going to respond 6

7 to what I had said, so can we get that out of the way? And

8 then I -

4

9 CHAIRPERSON: I want to thank you for 10 what you said. I personally think that sometimes being an

11 advocate with a difficult case is even more difficult than

12 being a judge but that's a matter that you and I can

13 discuss separately but thank you for what you said. I

14 appreciate it and I'm pleased to think that your clients,

15 whatever misunderstandings they may have sometimes, are

16 received constant assurances that we are really doing our

17 best, the three of us here to impartially and objectively

18 get to the truth of this matter. And it's a very emotional

19 matter, it's a very difficult matter for various reasons

20 but we must all try as harmoniously as we can to work to

21 get the right answer. So I think what you've said this

22 morning will contribute to that.

23 MR MPOFU: Thank you very much. Thank 24 you very much, Chairperson. Now Chairperson, before I

resume. Just apropos the other discussion, I just want to

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

6

Page 10619

11

12

1

Page 10617 register once again that we share the frustrations, maybe

2 more than other people for the obvious reasons that include

3 our lack of resources and so on. So every day that this

4 goes on is an extra burden to us, however, there are and

5 I'm just reminding the Chair, there are certain issues

6 which - of course the issue of the meeting that Mr Burger

7 mooted last month which I discussed with the Chair and with

8 Mr Chaskalson and Mr Bradley today, is something that I

9 think we should use, even this short break to try and do.

10 Especially when we do know exactly how much time we have

because then at least we'll be working backwards to a specific timetable.

And then just to remind the Chair not to forget
please, the other measures that we did try and suggest
which are the issues of the simultaneous translation,
insofar as it is feasible. I'm quite sure that the reason

17 why General Annandale took so long was among other things

18 the triple translation as it were, which as the Chair

19 correctly pointed out you can't deny the witness their

20 constitutional right to testify in a language of their

21 choice. But I'm on record as saying that if that issue can

22 be addressed it would, in theory at least have halved or

23 even cut by a third the amount of time that - of course

24 that's not strictly true but it could have cut by a third

25 the amount of time that General Annandale had spent. I

Page 10618

25

1

4

9

10

11

13

think there are various other ways of doing it including

2 those that have been suggested by my colleagues.

3 CHAIRPERSON: What you've said, you've

4 suggested that the meeting that was mooted earlier should

 $5\,$ $\,$ take place in the break and I hope that it will be possible

6 for all the parties concerned to agree. It's far better

7 for parties to agree to restrictions than for me to impose,

8 $\,$ if I have to I will but it would obviously be far better if

9 parties agree. And there should be ways of speeding up the

10 process without prejudicing the rights of the various

11 parties who are appearing but there are matters that we can

12 discuss possibly outside this auditorium to achieve that.

13 But anyway the meeting must I think proceed.

14 MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson.

15 CHAIRPERSON: And I think it may well

16 produce answers to some of the problems at least.

17 MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson,

18 without belabouring the point I think this goes back to

19 what I said earlier about the difficulty of your task

0 because of course, as it was said from day one and I think

21 people mustn't lose sight of this, there has to be this

22 delicate balance for speed but at the same time it can be

23 to the extent that parties feel that they're being

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

24 bulldozed and that I think is why you, yourself, Chair,

25 would rather favour a solution that comes from us, rather

than one that is imposed. Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu are you now ready

3 to proceed with your -

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.):

Thank you very much, Chairperson. Good morning, General.

GENERAL PHIYEGA: Good morning.

7 MR MPOFU: I'm going to just finish the

8 topic that we were busy with, about minimum force and so

9 on. Then I'll clean up some of the issues that we dealt

10 with yesterday, one or two questions. And then we will

11 move to the next topic which deals broadly with the issue

12 of self defence. You remember, or let me put it this way,

13 is it correct that a key part of the police's version in

14 this matter is that they were forced, I think is the word,

5 they were forced to use maximum force to defend themselves,

16 is that a fair summary?

17 GENERAL PHIYEGA: My recall is that we

3 spoke about private defence, defending themselves against

19 the perceived danger.

20 [10:13] MR MPOFU: Yes, no that I understand but

21 in one of the statements that you have said you stand by,

22 which is FFF5. Okay, I just took the liberty to number

23 that three pages, so if you go to page 3 – page 2, sorry,

24 are you there, General?

GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, Sir.

Page 10620

MR MPOFU: FFF5 is of course the

2 statement you made on the 17th of August 2012 to the media,

3 to the local and international media. You remember that?

GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes.

5 MR MPOFU: At the bottom of the paragraph

5 preceding the last one you say, I quote, "Police retreated

7 systematically and were forced to utilise maximum force to

8 defend themselves." Remember that?

GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I do.

MR MPOFU: Yes, and all I was saying is

that that statement that you made form the key part of the

12 police's version. Correct?

GENERAL PHIYEGA: It is true.

14 MR MPOFU: And would it be fair to say

15 that in all the instances where the police unfortunately

16 caused – I'm using that word loosely, not in the legal

17 sense – caused loss of life, in other words the 13th and the

18 two scenes, that was part of the defence; they were forced

two scenes, that was part of the defence, they were forced

19 by the circumstances to use maximum force. Correct? At

20 least, that's what you were told.

21 GENERAL PHIYEGA: I think the police will

22 always assess the dangers facing them, whether it's maximum

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

23 or minimum, and they would respond according to that

24 assessment.

25 MR MPOFU: Yes, no, no, I agree with

Page 10621

I that. All I'm asking you is what you were told by the

- 2 commanders, is that in the instances where loss of life was
- 3 caused, it was because the police had been acting in self-
- 4 defence, where they had been forced to use maximum force.
- 5 GENERAL PHIYEGA: As I've already
- 6 indicated, I was informed that their response was informed
- 7 by the assessment they'd made of the situation that
- 8 confronted them.
- 9 MR MPOFU: Okay, I'm going to move on.
- 10 I'll assume you don't want to answer my question, or I'm
- 11 asking it in an unclear fashion. So in any event,
- 12 yesterday we had gone as far as to agree that section
- 13 13(3)(b) prescribes in well, we hadn't agreed because I
- 14 was saying in all situations and you said depending on the
- 15 circumstances. So let's agree to disagree on that one, but
- 16 that it prescribes the use of minimum force. Correct?
- 17 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, my answer remains
- 18 the same as yesterday.
- 19 MR MPOFU: Okay, as I said, we agree to
- 20 disagree because I'm not allowed to argue propositions of
- 21 law with you. We'll debate that issue at the end; just so
- 22 that you know that my position is that it applies to all
- 23 situations. But moving on, you are aware that the
- 24 Constitution of this country, among other things protects
- 25 the right to life, and the right to bodily integrity, and
 - Page 10622

25

- 1 the right to human dignity, and those are some of the
- 2 constitutional constraints, as it were, under which the
- 3 police act in any circumstances. Correct?
- 4 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes.
- 5 MR MPOFU: So we have a Constitution that
- 6 imposes those constraints. We have section 13(3)(b) that
- 7 prescribes minimum force, with or without the qualification
- 8 that you've put, and then of course there is exhibit S,
- 9 which was written by you, which generally speaking forms
- 10 part of the prescripts, and I'm reading now from once
- 11 again it's unnumbered from the last page, paragraph 3.4,
- 12 "Disciplinary and criminal steps will be taken against
- 13 members who act beyond the command or use force than" or
- 14 use, I think the Chairperson had correctly inserted the
- 15 word there "or use more force than what was necessary."
- 16 You remember that prescript?
- 17 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I do.
- 18 MR MPOFU: And I think in April you and I
- 19 had agreed that the formulation, you can't use more force
- 20 than is necessary, is synonymous to saying you must use
- 21 minimum force. In other words you must use the minimum
- 22 force that is necessary. Correct?
- 23 GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'd like to read it as
- 24 written, because interpretations can take us to wrong
- 25 places. I'm happy with what I see here.
- Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

MR MPOFU: Ja, no I know you are happy

- and so am I. All I'm asking you is whether you and I had
- 3 agreed in April if you can't remember I'll have to look
- 4 for the passage that the usage of the wording "minimum,"
- 5 or rather "no more force than is necessary" is synonymous,
- 6 the requirement for minimum force. I'm saying we agreed on
- 7 that in April. If you don't remember then during the tea
- 8 break I'll look it up, and we can move on.
- 9 GENERAL PHIYEGA: All I'm saying is that
- 10 I think it is well articulated there. I understand it to
- 11 be saying what it is saying, and secondly also to say, you
- 12 know, when you talk minimum towards, there's a starting
- 13 point, there's a baseline, so minimum may be varied, so
- 14 that's why I'm comfortable with how it is written here. It
- 15 is much more lucid to me.
- 16 MR MPOFU: General, if you can please
- 17 listen to my question. I'm going to try for the last time,
- 18 otherwise I'm going to move on. You have already heard
- 19 that time is of the essence. I'm asking you a simple
- 20 question. When on the 5th of April I was giving you the 10
- 21 general principles, did you or did you not agree that the
- 22 formulation of no more force than is necessary is
- 23 synonymous with the requirement for minimum force? It's
- 24 either you did, or you didn't, or you cannot remember.
 - GENERAL PHIYEGA: I cannot remember, but

Page 10624

- I want to say I'm comfortable with what is written here and
- 2 I think the meaning is common in terms of this.
- 3 MR MPOFU: Okay, thank you. That's an
- 4 answer, you cannot remember. I'll look it up. Alright,
- 5 and what you do know is that FFF1, go to FFF1, go to 5.4.2,
- 6 which is on page 10 thereof. Actually you can read that
- 7 together with 5.4.1.4, which is just above it. It says,
- 8 "The use of force must meet the following requirement.
- 9 5.4.1.4., minimal to accomplish the goal," and as I'm
- 10 saying, if you can read that with 5.4.2, which is a
- 11 standalone subsection which says, "No more force should be
- 12 used or harm done than is necessary to accomplish the said
- 13 goal," and you'll agree that the spirit of those two
- 14 provisions is the same as what we are discussing don't
- 15 use anymore force than what is necessary, or use minimal
- 16 force to achieve your goals. Correct?
- 17 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes.
- 18 MR MPOFU: Okay, and then finally 5.4.5
- 19 across the page, says, "The use of lethal force is only
- 20 justified in the conditions laid down in the principles of
- 21 criminal law and the Regulation of Gatherings Act," and
- 22 putting aside for now the issue of the act, I want to say
- 23 to you and you can accept it, and since you're not a
- 24 lawyer I'm just going to say it to you, and if I'm
- 25 incorrect your advocate, I'm sure, will definitely correct

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

```
Page 10625
                                                                                                                           Page 10627
    me, and the Chair - I want to say to you that one of the
                                                                        moment.
                                                                     1
2
                                                                    2
    requirements or elements, as we call it as lawyers, for
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                  Subject to Mr Semenya's
3
    private defence is similar to what you and I have been
                                                                    3
                                                                        position - if he objects I will withdraw the question. I
4
    discussing, namely that the force that is used in private
                                                                        just want to put one more question, namely, just for my own
5
    or self-defence must not be more than what is necessary.
                                                                     5
                                                                         understanding, it is your evidence that the statements you
                                                                    6
    Would you just accept that at face value, as it were?
                                                                        make on the merits, as it were, whether it's FFF4 or FFF5,
6
7
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                    7
                                 You can accept that he's
                                                                        are what was reported to you by the commanders on the
                                                                    8
                                                                         ground. Do I understand that correctly?
8
    right on that point.
9
                                                                    9
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                     I've heard him, Judge,
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                          I've said so, yes.
    because he said I'm not a lawyer, I can only listen.
                                                                    10
                                                                                MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                  You do know that -
10
11
           MR MPOFU:
                             Now the issue is that if in
                                                                    11
                                                                         Chairperson, I'm sorry, I don't want to be, I'm not defying
12
    the light of what you and I have discussed so far, starting
                                                                    12
                                                                         the ruling, I'm only saying subject, but I'm not pursuing -
    with the Constitution, the Police Act, the common law, as
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
13
                                                                    13
                                                                                                      - questions on the same
14
    we've just discussed now, the FFF1 and your letter, all
                                                                    14
                                                                        topic -
15
    those prescripts, as it were, seem to have one thing in
                                                                    15
                                                                                MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                  Of FFF54.
    common, which is that they require minimum force or no more
16
                                                                    16
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      The point that's standing
    force than is necessary, which I will argue is the same
                                                                    17
17
                                                                        over is that sentence at the foot of page -
18
    thing, then would you agree that your statement that
                                                                    18
                                                                                MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                  In FFF5, it was put in context
                                                                    19
19
    despite all those things the police actually used maximum
20
    force, which is the opposite of minimum force, is the
                                                                    20
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      - of FFF5, the context of
21
    clearest example that the police breached the prescripts
                                                                    21
                                                                        that and what she said earlier, and we've got to get the
    and the requirements of law and that it is, as it were, it
                                                                        exact words of what she said earlier so that we can
22
                                                                    22
23
    flies in the face of what you and I have discussed so far?
                                                                    23
                                                                         properly understand the debate in respect of -
                                                                    24
                                                                                MR MPOFU:
24
           MR SEMENYA SC:
                                   Chair -
                                                                                                  Yes.
25
                                                                    25
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
                                 Mr Semenya?
                                                                                                      - of that.
                                                       Page 10626
                                                                                                                           Page 10628
                                                                                MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                  Thank you.
1
           MR SEMENYA SC:
                                    Chair, the witness
                                                                     1
    explained to us in April how she used the word "maximum"
                                                                     2
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
2
                                                                                                      That's standing over, but
3
    in, as it appears in that statement -
                                                                        you can proceed with the topic for the moment.
4
           MR MPOFU:
                              Chairperson, I'm sorry to
                                                                        [10:33] MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                     Thank you, Chairperson.
5
    interrupt Mr Semenya. I really would like the witness to
                                                                         Alright, in any event, irrespective of FFF5, do you know
    be left to answer for herself.
                                                                        yourself that it was the intention of the police to use
6
7
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  There is an objection. We
                                                                    7
                                                                         minimum force? This is now before the actual massacre, the
                                                                    8
8
    must give him chance to say what his objection is.
                                                                        actual event.
9
                                                                    9
           MR MPOFU:
                               No, of course, but it must not
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                          I'm not sure what you
10
    be in such a way that it suggests -
                                                                    10
                                                                        are actually referring to. Maybe if you could maybe give a
11
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                        little bit more detail, tell me about that intention that
                                  No, no, no, he's referring
                                                                    11
12
    to what the witness said before. You yourself haven't got
                                                                    12
                                                                        you're talking about.
                                                                    13
                                                                                MR MPOFU:
13
    the exact quotation of what she said and he's referring to
                                                                                                  Fair enough. If you go to
    it. I'm not sure if he's got the reference. Have you got
                                                                    14
                                                                        slide 282, L282, sorry, exhibit L282, you'll see there the
14
15
    the actual reference?
                                                                    15
                                                                        first bullet that it says, "SAPS entered into this conflict
16
           MR SEMENYA SC:
                                    We'll find it, Chair, but
                                                                    16
                                                                        situation at Marikana Lonmin Mine with the pure intention
17
    the witness did give evidence, did reply to that question,
                                                                    17
                                                                         to try their utmost to resolve it peacefully through
18
    did explain the context of the use of the word "maximum" as
                                                                    18
                                                                         negotiation, and if necessary, the absolute minimum force."
19
    it appears in that statement.
                                                                    19
                                                                        You see that?
                                                                    20
20
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                  Mr Mpofu, I wonder whether
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                          Yes, I do.
21
    the sensible thing to do is not to hold this over till
                                                                    21
                                                                                MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                  Since we know that you
22 after tea when you've got the actual guotation, and it may
                                                                        approved this document, I don't expect you to just know it
    well be that the matter can be dealt with satisfactorily
                                                                    23
                                                                        off by heart, but do you agree that that was the pure
24 then. At the moment it's a bit in the air. I take it
                                                                    24
                                                                        intention of the police?
25 you've got other cross-examination material to use at the
                                                                    25
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                           I have already said
   ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE
```

Page 10629 1 ves. 2 MR MPOFU: And once again you being a 3 non-lawyer, I won't bore you with the details, save to say 4 that the expression "absolute minimum force" has a 5 technical meaning in terms of the European Union rules 6 where it's traced to the right to life, as it is traced to 7 the right to life in South Africa, but that's a matter I will deal with in argument, but save to say that the 8 9 intention, pure intention of the police was to act with 10 absolute minimum force. 11 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** Perhaps I could just 12 say, Advocate, that the police may also be having a meaning 13 for that. 14 MR MPOFU: Yes, ja. 15 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, to put 16 that into -17 MR MPOFU: Sorry? 18 **COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:** To put that into 19 context, minimum force is described very clearly in the 20 preceding slide, isn't it? 21 MR MPOFU: Sorry Commissioner, I didn't 22 hear the last part. 23 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Just to put that 24 into context, minimum force is described in L281. 25 MR MPOFU: Ves Page 10630 **COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:** 1 Yes. 2 MR MPOFU: Ja, described, I think it's a 3

I've read to you, in the face of the pure intention that I've referred you to, that if one of the commanders came here and said look, we used maximum force, that would 5 amount to nothing short of a confession that they breached 6 all the prescripts that you and I have discussed this 7 morning, and it's just simply, it's like to say you may not 8 cross when the robot is red and someone says I crossed when 9 the robot was red, that at that simplistic level, it would 10 amount to the negation of all the prescripts. Correct? 11 GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't agree with you. 12 MR MPOFU: Why? 13 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** For a number of 14 reasons; because that entire context has to be taken into account, instead of by saying the situation we were dealing with there was an illegal gathering and your reference to 16 17 the Constitution that talks about rights and whatever, it 18 also says people have the right to gather, but gather 19 peacefully and unarmed. You clearly and rightfully show 20 the positive intention of the police. I've shared with 21 this Commission that in the 18 years we have dealt with 22 over 151 000 gatherings and protests and a majority of 23 those, almost 80 to 90% we've dealt with very successfully, 24 and even where we've had to deal with unrest that showed some level of violence, we've been able to use a lot of the Page 10632

maximum force was used, in the face of the prescripts that

different context, but we'll - there is, yes there is 4 another reference to minimum force, but absolute minimum 5 force, as I say is something else, which I can't debate with the witness. I'll debate it with you as a panel at 6 the right time. Then also of course the Commissioner 7 8 refers to minimum force as, or rather in a particular 9 context, but slide 281 refers to it in terms of phase 3, 10 which sometimes, I must confess, is confusing whether it's 11 the same thing as stage 3 because some of the stages have 12 their own phases, but that's not where we are right now. 13 But maybe just to clarify the point that that has been raised, so that there's no ambiguity, if you go to slide 14 15 283, the second bullet says, "Even when stage 3 of the 16 operational plan was implemented, the use of live 17 ammunition was never an option and the use of minimum force 18 if negotiation was not successful, was the next 19 alternative." You see that part? 20 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I do. 21 MR MPOFU: Anyway, the only proposition that I wish to put to you at this stage is that if indeed and that's a matter that the Commission will make a finding

24 on in due course - if indeed maximum force was used as a

matter of fact, forget who said what and so on, if indeed

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

I'm on record in my testimony here that that situation was 3 unprecedented, and this is why I wouldn't agree with you. 4 MR MPOFU: Okay, in fairness I'm going to ask you a question and clarify it more, because the last 6 thing I want to do is to misconstrue what you are saying to 7 the Commission on this crucial point. I'm saying to you 8 that I'm going to argue, which I cannot argue with you 9 because you're a witness - I'm going to argue that these 10 prescripts, this body of prescripts that you and I have discussed, outlaw the use of maximum force. In other words 11 12 it is prohibited. I may or may not succeed in that 13 argument at the end of the Commission, but I'm begging you 14 to indulge me and assume that that will be accepted, that 15 in terms of the prescripts, without qualification, the use 16 of maximum force is outlawed. It has no place in South 17 Africa; it has no place under any circumstances and so on, 18 whatever it is that I will argue. Now I'm saying to you if 19 in those circumstances one of the commanders were to come 20 here and say Mr Chairman, in actual fact we used maximum force on the 16th, or on the 13th, or whenever, that would be tantamount to the example that I gave to saying Mr 23 Chairman, we broke the law. 24 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya? 25 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, Mr Bizos spent a

prescripts that you are talking about very successfully.

```
Page 10633
    considerable period of time cross-examining on this topic
2
    and the witness has given answers to all those questions
3
    before, and Chair, secondly, there is no basis for this
4
    hypothesis that another general is going to come here and
5
    say we used maximum force. There's no basis for it.
           CHAIRPERSON:
6
                                 Mr Mpofu will say that what
7
    he said is that this statement was based on what the
8
    commanders told her. He will therefore say, I imagine,
9
    that this sense comes from one of the commanders, but of
    course Mr Mpofu, you leave out the word "forced." What the
10
11
    statement says, they were forced to use maximum force, and
12
    if maximum force - sorry, if minimum force is not more than
13
    was necessary, then if you are forced to use something,
14
    then can you say that it's more than was necessary if it's
15
16
           MR MPOFU:
                              Yes.
17
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  That's really the point,
18
    isn't it?
19
           MR MPOFU:
                              Thank you, yes. No, no -
20
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  Isn't that a matter that we
```

```
force, more force than was necessary, where that person
 2
     says I was forced to use maximum force to defend myself?
 3
            MR MPOFU:
                               Yes. No, that's an issue that
4
     I'm sure Mr Semenya and I will debate at length at the end.
5
     But as far as Mr Bizos' point is concerned, Chair, with
6
     respect, the mere fact that Mr Bizos covered something
7
     cannot me prohibit me. Mr Bizos covered this from the
8
     point of view of the so-called doctrine and all that. I'm
9
     not talking about any doctrine. I'm simply saying that
10
     from the prescripts which I've read to the witness,
11
     counter-posed against either what she said at the press
12
     conference or the hypothetical general who will come and
13
     say it, that's all I'm dealing with, and I'm almost
14
     finished. I was just really putting the proposition, as I
15
     say, that I will argue, in fairness to the witness.
16
            COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:
                                             Mr Mpofu, that
17
     proposition when you put it to the witness, asking her if
18
     it might be confession, you must also factor that that
19
     statement might be accompanied by an explanation that is
20
     exculpatory.
21
            MR MPOFU:
                               No, of course. Ja, it's
22
     exactly the same as I'm saying; if somebody says the law
23
     says you may not cross a red robot, and then the person
24
     says I crossed a red robot, prima facie that is a
     confession of breaking the law, but the person can say
```

Page 10634

```
appreciate that point very well, but I just thought it's
```

something that I need to put in fairness to this witness 2

3 since she is the one who used those words. Of course, this

should debate at the end of the hearing rather than trying

Yes. No, no, Chairperson, I

it out on the witness who, whatever other qualifications

she has, hasn't had the advantage - if that's the right

4 is subject to the, whatever we're going to do at teatime,

5 whatever context we might have put to it, but it would be

unfair for me to argue anything approximating what I'm 6 7

putting now without having given her a chance, but apropos

8 what you're saying, Chair, with respect, I read the words

9 literally as they are in FFF5, that they were forced to use

minimum force. So -10

11 CHAIRPERSON: You mean you rephrased -

12 MR MPOFU: So yes -

word - of a legal education?

MR MPOFU:

13 CHAIRPERSON: - the word "forced." I'm

14 not suggesting that it was deliberate or something like

15 that.

20

21

22

23

21

22

23

24

25

1

16 MR MPOFU: Yes.

17 CHAIRPERSON: But anyway, there are two 18 points on the table at the moment. The one is repetition,

19 because Mr Bizos covered that.

> MR MPOFU: Well, that we can address -CHAIRPERSON: That's the first point.

MR MPOFU:

CHAIRPERSON: The second point is that

can one say that someone used more force than was

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

necessary, in other words which is the opposite of minimum

Ja.

Page 10636

look, there were a thousand lions chasing me, or whatever.

I'm not there yet. I'm just talking about the face value

nature of the statement. Anyway, we'll have this

interesting debate one day.

5 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, the reference on the transcript is page 7282, day 68, and the question was 7 asked by Mr Bizos in exactly the identical terms now being used. You'll see it runs from line 10, 11, downwards,

9 Chair. 7282.

10 CHAIRPERSON: You say line 11. Yes,

11 let's read that aloud, because that may put this point away

12 for the moment at least. Mr Bizos says, "If he did use

13 maximum force," as you will hear that's [inaudible] in the

14 sense of the expression, "If he did use maximum force, as

15 you did, what did you mean by maximum force?" and the

witness says, "I will not be able to talk for the Minister 16

17 but I, in my statement, was saying the police had to use

18 the necessary force to defend themselves." Mr Bizos, "We

19 were forced to utilised maximum force. What did you mean

20 by maximum force? You used the words, what did you mean?"

21 Answer, "I have just answered to say the context I used was

22 to say they must use the necessary force to defend

23 themselves. That's what I meant." Now that's the answer

that she gave then, and it doesn't seem to me, with

respect, that you're taking it any further. Her answer is

RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

```
Page 10637
                                                                                                                          Page 10639
     on record -
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                    Mr Bizos says, again at
 1
 2
            MR MPOFU:
                                                                        line 11, "I asked you whether you knew anything about
                               No, no, Chair -
 3
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                  You can argue later what
                                                                        maximum force. You said you knew nothing about it, and my
 4
     one can infer from that, but I think the necessary material
                                                                        learned friend, in order to - never mind the in order to -
 5
     - I mean you're basically cross-examining to get material
                                                                        my learned friend objected that the police never used the
     on which to found arguments later, aren't you?
                                                                    6
                                                                        words 'maximum force' as part of their defence."
 6
 7
            MR MPOFU:
                                                                    7
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                               Yes, Chairperson.
                                                                                                 I remember -
 8
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                    8
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                  I would think, if I may say
                                                                                                     "And what I'm telling you
                                                                    9
 9
     so, I think you've got the material on record to argue.
                                                                        now is that in your own statement you used the words
     Whether it will be a good argument or not we will find out
                                                                   10
                                                                        'maximum force' and the objection is therefore not
10
11
     in due course.
                                                                   11
                                                                        supported by the facts. The question to you is, why did
12
            MR MPOFU:
                                                                   12
                                                                        you use the term 'maximum force' if it was not part and
                               Yes. No, Chairperson, I
                                                                   13
                                                                        parcel of the police's defence?"
13
     appreciate that, and the line may be just too subtle. Let
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
14
     me explain. What Mr Bizos was busy dealing with here was
                                                                   14
                                                                                                 Yes.
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
     the statement made at FFF14, page 25 - which I'm going to
                                                                   15
                                                                                                    The witness said.
15
     deal with just now - by the Minister of Police, who says,
                                                                        "Advocate, I will still go back to what I said and I think
16
                                                                   17
                                                                        it constitutes my response to you. I have said the police
17
     "There must be a good appreciation of the distinction
18
     between the need to use maximum force against violent
                                                                   18
                                                                        acted in self-defence."
                                                                   19
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
19
     criminals, and minimum force in dealing with fellow
                                                                                                 Ja, I still -
20
                                                                                                    Now the point that I put to
     citizens."
                                                                   20
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
21
                                                                        you is this; I can understand when you say the police came
     [10:53] That, with the greatest respect, Chair, exactly
                                                                   21
                                                                   22
                                                                        along and said to her, commanders, we acted in - we used
22
     is the issue I'm dealing with that both this witness, if it
23
                                                                   23
                                                                        maximum force. You can ask them about that -
     was her original idea, or whoever informed her if it was
                                                                   24
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                 Of course.
24
     not, and this Minister who says something like this, labour
                                                                   25
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
25
     under the apprehension that maximum force is ever, ever,
                                                                                                     - and what they meant, but
                                                       Page 10638
                                                                                                                          Page 10640
     ever justified.
                                                                        when she repeats that in her statement, she's using the
 1
 2
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                  When the Minister comes you
                                                                        expression as she understands it. She may well have
                                                                    2
 3
     can debate it with him -
                                                                    3
                                                                        understood it to mean one thing. They may have intended it
 4
           MR MPOFU:
                              Yes.
                                                                    4
                                                                        to mean something else, but you're not going to get from
                                                                    5
 5
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  - but this witness has said
                                                                        her what they meant. All you can get from her is what she
     what she meant when she used the expression.
                                                                    6
                                                                        understood them to mean and the meaning she attributed to
 6
 7
           MR MPOFU:
                              Well, Chairperson -
                                                                    7
                                                                        that phrase when she used it. I mean that must be so.
 8
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  Isn't that where we must
                                                                    8
                                                                        Anyway, I've put to you, I think you've got enough on
 9
                                                                    9
     leave it for the moment, at least?
                                                                        record to argue the point -
10
                                                                   10
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
           MR MPOFU:
                              Well, Chairperson, but that
                                                                                                 We do.
11
     subject, that's why I asked permission to ask that
                                                                   11
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     - and whether it's a good
12
     question. That question that I asked is very, very
                                                                   12
                                                                        point or a bad point, as I say we'll find out in due
13
     decisive. I asked her whether the statements she made on
                                                                   13
                                                                        course.
14
     the merits were as reported by the commanders, or were her
                                                                   14
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                 Ja.
15
     own ideas. If it was as reported by the commanders, then
                                                                   15
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     So may I suggest to you
16
     what her own idea might be, or ex post facto interpretation
                                                                        that you move on to something else? I'm sure you've got a
17
     might be, is with the greatest respect, irrelevant. The
                                                                   17
                                                                        number of other matters you want to -
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
18
     issue is if she was told by the people who actually killed
                                                                   18
                                                                                                 Yes, I do. I do, Chairperson.
     people, so to speak, that they used maximum force, then it
                                                                   19
                                                                        It's three minutes until it's teatime, but if necessary
     can't be - that she might think that maximum force means
                                                                        I'll give you an extra minute, but have you got a - like an
21
     the same thing as minimum force, that's irrelevant.
                                                                   21
                                                                        egg, have you got a three-minute point that you can deal
22
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                 Mr Mpofu, aren't there two
                                                                   22
                                                                        with?
     points? The first is, there's a passage at page 7277 I
                                                                   23
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                 Egg point, Chairperson, yes,
24 want to read to you.
                                                                   24
                                                                        I'll bring up so I can get one. Okay, let me put, it's
                             77, I'm just there.
           MR MPOFU:
                                                                        just a bridge to the next point. I'm going to argue,
```

subject of course to what we've discussed now, that the

- 2 police by saying that they used maximum force, they meant
- 3 maximum force, and that that negates the common law defence
- 4 of self-defence, apart from anything else to do with the
- 5 prescripts and so on, but now I'm going to deal with you
- after tea with a separate reason why the self-defence 6
- 7 defence is, why we allege that it is baseless, and that is
- that there was no attack on the police. Once again I'm 8
- 9 sure Mr Semenya and with the help of the Chair we'll concur
- 10 that one of the basic requirements for the invocation of
- 11 self-defence or private defence is that there must be an
- attack. That's base 1. If there's no attack, you can't be 12
- 13 - even a non-lawyer would understand that. If there's no
- 14 attack, there can't be a self-defence, but that's the
- 15 topic -
- MR SEMENYA SC: 16 As I remember it, Chair,
- 17 1 –
- 18 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I think you'll find,
- 19 if you look in the cases, what is required is imminent
- 20 danger.
- 21 MR SEMENYA SC: Yes.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON: There doesn't actually have
- 23 to be a threat. I suppose there could be an implied threat
- 24 in circumstances of imminent danger, but what is required
- 25 is imminent danger, as I understand the law.
- Page 10642
- MR MPOFU: 1 No, it says -
- 2 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, sorry, I was
- 3 interrupting you. Forgive my discourtesy. What do you
- 4 want to say?
- 5 MR SEMENYA SC: No, I was just saying my
- understanding of that concept is tangentially different 6
- 7 from that of Mr Mpofu, but we don't need to debate it.
- MR MPOFU: Okay, Mr Chairperson, we can -8 9
- yes, I'll close-circuit this by saying the following. Once
- 10 again we'll park that interesting debate to the end, but
- 11 according to Snyman at least the, one of the requirements
- 12 is an attack or an imminent attack which the Chair - but
- 13 once again, that's not for you. The only point I'm going
- 14 to debate with you is that there was no attack on the
- 15 police.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON: That there was no attack.
- 17 I'm not sure how much light she'll be able to throw on it
- 18 because she wasn't there, but anyway, we will get there
- 19 when we get there. Let's take the tea adjournment now.
- 20 MR MPOFU: We will. I'll bear that in
- 21 mind.
- [COMMISSION ADJOURNS 22 **COMMISSION RESUMES**]
- 23 [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
- 24 During the tea adjournment we had discussions in chambers
- and there were other discussions thereafter and I want to

- Page 10643
- announce we will not be sitting tomorrow. What will happen
- 2 instead there will be a meeting of the representative's
- 3 parties and the evidence leaders to discuss a very
- important document that's being prepared by the evidence
- 5 leaders to reconcile the times on the various video cameras
- 6 and the times at which various photographs were taken. If
- 7 agreement can be reached on the correctness of the table or
- 8 at least substantial parts of the table, this we believe
- 9 will substantially shorten the time that will be taken in
- 10 cross-examination. It was appropriate to do it at this
- 11 stage because from now on we will have witnesses who were
- 12 in the field at the time when various events happened.
- 13 Previously, in the case with General Annandale, he was in
- 14 the JOC all the time. So that's - we won't be sitting
- 15 tomorrow but the members, the parties' representatives and
- the evidence leaders will be hard at work discussing the
- 17 reconciliation environment as I have mentioned. Those who
- 18 do not consider it necessary that they be here for that are
- 19 now given timeous notice so that they can arrange their
- 20 schedules accordingly. You look as if you wish to say
- 21 something.
- 22 MR BURGER SC: Chair, thank you very
- 23 much, that means we will have a representative here
- 24 tomorrow but I for example don't intend to be here. I take
 - it in due course we'll be informed where we go on, at what

time, where on Monday if we do. 1

2 CHAIRPERSON: I'm hoping they'll say

3 something after the lunch adjournment and one of the

4 reasons for the time spent over the tea adjournment was

5 attempts to establish contact with the Minister who is busy

6 at a public function in Durban at the moment, opening a new

7 courthouse or courtroom. So I wasn't able to speak to him

8 but I will speak to him and before we resume after lunch

9 and I will then be able to give the information that you

10 request. Whether we'll be carrying on and if so, where.

11 MR MPOFU: Chairperson, for what it's 12 worth, in the same vein I've suggested to evidence leaders

13 that the meeting of the parties should take place at the

14 new venue on Monday. We are still trying to ascertain

15 whether the other important people who need to be there

will be available.

16

21

17 CHAIRPERSON: The idea as I understood 18 it, it's not expected they will get an admission, the hope

19 is to get an admission that their tabled reconciliation is

correct. As I understand they don't expect necessarily to get the admission tomorrow but they want an opportunity to

22 explain it to the parties.

23 MR MPOFU: No, no, no Chair, I'm talking 24 about the meeting about -

25 CHAIRPERSON: I didn't mention that

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

Page 10644

```
28th May 2013
                                                                                                                           Rustenburg
                                                        Page 10645
                                                                                                                           Page 10647
      because I understand that -
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                            I don't think it's
                                                                     1
  2
            MR MPOFU:
                                                                     2
                                                                         necessary to dispute or accept but I'm saying to you
                               We're targeting to have it at
  3
      the venue on Monday.
                                                                         Colonel Scott and many other people will explain those
  4
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                  The hope was that it could
                                                                         nitty gritty details. You're taking me to nitty gritty
  5
     be done tomorrow but a number of the important actors won't
                                                                         details which I don't think helps the situation. I can't -
                                                                     6
                                                                                MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                   Okay well I also don't want to
     be there. Won't be here tomorrow. The idea is to have it
  6
  7
                                                                     7
     here but the Commission itself won't be sitting but the
                                                                         debate nitty gritties with you. I'm going to put to you
                                                                     8
                                                                         that it's common cause in this matter that the last phase
 8
      equipment and so on is here but that meeting will take
                                                                     9
  9
      place and I'm pleased to hear it will take place on Monday.
                                                                         of the operation by Colonel Scott which must have been
 10
      I hope they won't eat into the Commission's sitting time.
                                                                         discussed with you involved disarming people at their
                                                                    10
 11
            MR MPOFU:
                              We'll try, Chair.
                                                                    11
                                                                         places of residences. So there's no dispute over that.
 12
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                  National Commissioner,
                                                                    12
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                           Maybe it's also the
     you're still under oath. Mr Mpofu, I believe you still
                                                                    13
                                                                         words you're using, I've never disputed it. I've just said
 14
     have some arrows in your quiver.
                                                                    14
                                                                         those details can be clearly confirmed by those who were in
 15
            MR MPOFU:
                               You believe correctly,
                                                                    15
                                                                         operations. I'm aware that certain things took place.
     Chairperson. Commissioner before we go into this issue
                                                                    16
 16
                                                                                MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                    In any event the issue is the
      about the attack and so on which I promise you I'm not
 17
                                                                    17
                                                                         objective of the dispersal of the people from the mountain
 18
      going to spend a long time on because as it was correctly
                                                                    18
                                                                         by the time - let me say by the time the first shot was
 19
      pointed out is you were not there. But I'm going to ask
                                                                    19
                                                                         fired at scene 1 the people had already dispersed from the
 20
      you a few questions just to situate what your understanding
                                                                    20
                                                                         mountain. Can you dispute that?
 21
     was. Is it correct that your understanding, or what you
                                                                    21
                                                                                MR SEMENYA SC:
                                                                                                         Chair, is this reference
 22
      were told was that the three principle aims of the
                                                                    22
                                                                         to shots, ammunition or to rubber?
 23
      operation were to disperse, disarm and arrest the
                                                                    23
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                       Mr Mpofu, did you hear what
 24
      protestors from that broad frame that we get?
                                                                    24
                                                                         Semenya said?
 25
                                                                    25
            GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                      Yes, in part but also I
                                                                                MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                   Yes, no it's a fair question,
                                                        Page 10646
                                                                                                                           Page 10648
      think the whole operation was about to bring stability in
  1
                                                                     1
                                                                         Chairperson. I'm referring to live ammunition.
  2
      Marikana.
                                                                     2
                                                                                 GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                            My answer to you is
  3
            MR MPOFU:
                               And was it also your
                                                                     3
                                                                         that I was not on the scene and I'm not able to answer
  4
      understanding that the disarm part of the operation was
                                                                     4
                                                                         that. I don't know.
                                                                     5
  5
      intended to be done if possible there but in terms of phase
                                                                                MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                    And therefore you can't
      6 it could also have been done in the hostels at a later
                                                                         dispute the fact that by the time the first live ammunition
  6
  7
                                                                     7
                                                                         shot was fired people had already dispersed from the
      stage? Sorry when I say phase 6 I mean phase 6 of the
                                                                     8
  8
      plan.
                                                                         koppie.
                                                                     9
  9
            GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                 GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                       As I've said I know
                                                                                                            Neither can I agree.
 10
      that there was disarming, there was dispersing, there was
                                                                         You know I can't dispute, I can't agree. I don't know.
                                                                    10
      arresting. So those details I'm sure the operational
                                                                    11
 11
                                                                                MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                    Thank you. Now would then -
 12
      people can assist you more with those.
                                                                    12
                                                                         if the people had dispersed and they could be disarmed
13
            MR MPOFU:
                               Look I can accept that you did
                                                                    13
                                                                         later, of the three things that were mentioned it would
 14
      not know the intricate details but surely you knew the
                                                                    14
                                                                         leave the outstanding objective, the arrest of the people
 15
      barebones of the plan, you know the various stages. You
                                                                    15
                                                                         riaht?
 16
      had been briefed about that surely.
                                                                    16
                                                                                 GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                            I think with the roots
 17
             GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                       I'm comfortable in
                                                                    17
                                                                         of the question not being able to be answered I am unable
      saying I know that they were going to disarm and they used
 18
                                                                    18
                                                                         to answer you on that one also.
      various methods. Going to houses, going to the mountain.
                                                                    19
                                                                                 MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                    Alright I'll try only once.
```

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119 RealTime Transcriptions Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

21

22

23

24

25

I'm saying to you if you and I agree that there were three

So there were three.

principle objectives. Disperse, disarm, arrest and you

Yes.

cannot dispute that disarmament -

CHAIRPERSON:

Disperse, disarm, arrest.

MR MPOFU:

20

21

So I'm sure those details can be given as I said.

You can't dispute that phase 6 of the plan that was

outlined by Lieutenant-Colonel Scott was that if necessary

24 the disarmament of the people would happen at a later stage

Okay let me put it this way.

MR MPOFU:

in the hostels and residential areas.

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

1 2 Page 10649

CHAIRPERSON: You've dealt with the 1

disperse part and -2

MR MPFOU: And the disarm. 3

4 CHAIRPERSON: And the disarm part so all

5 that is left is the arrest part.

MR MPOFU: That's all I'm saying. In 6 7

other words, General, I'm saying if you can't dispute that

the disarmament could happen later and the dispersal could 8

have been achieved by the time the first live round was

shot then the only outstanding issue would have been the

11 arrest. It's that simple.

> MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, can I invite my learned colleague to accept that the witness was not on the scene? She was not going to be part of the operational application of that plan. These questions can best be answered and the witness has told us repeatedly, by those

17 who were on the scene. 18 MR MPOFU:

Chairperson, with respect my answer is simply this, there is evidence, or at least a

suggestion in the minute, that this witness was told that

21 stage 3 of the plan would be implemented. So I'm entitled

to assume that she had a functional - in other words she 22

23 would have said stage 3 of what. So that's why I said to

24 her I accept that she didn't know what she calls the nitty

25 gritties, that I'm prepared to accept but all I'm saying is

Page 10650

CHAIRPERSON: Instead of making - sorry

3 to interrupt. Instead of making assumptions about what she

knew or didn't know why don't you ask her what she knew or 4

5 didn't know and then based on what she says she knew you

can ask her further questions? 6

that the -

7 MR MPOFU: Ja, okay.

8 CHAIRPERSON: And if she runs up the flag

9 of nescience well then you may have to retreat.

10 MR MPOFU: Fair enough. Thank you,

Chairperson. Am I correct in assuming that when you were 11

12 told that stage 3 of the plan, I think you even referred

13 like that in your statement but don't hold me to that, that

14 stage 3 of the plan was not being implemented, surely you

15 had a functional understanding of stage 3 of what? Of how

many stages? As I say I accept you wouldn't have known

17 what you call the nitty gritty and the commas and so on of

18 the plan but surely you knew the broad framework.

19 Otherwise you'd have - if someone came to say to you at

stage 3 you would have said what is that.

21 GENERAL PHIYEGA: You're right Advocate,

that I know the broad framework but you then start talking

about when the first shot was fired and people had left the

24 mountain. I won't know that, I know the broad framework.

MR MPOFU: Thank you, thank you, that's Page 10651

good. At least we can start somewhere and in terms of the

broad framework I was saying to you - that's why I'm

3 leaving it at the high level, I'm saying to you the broad

objectives are disperse, disarm and arrest. So you don't

5 have to know the nitty gritties of the plan and you and I

6 have agreed that those were the broad objectives, all I'm

7 saying now is that those broad objectives, two of them, one

8 of them had been achieved in the fact that the people were

no longer at the koppie and the other could have been

10 achieved later and that leaves the third one. What's so

11 difficult about that?

> **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** We've agreed on the broad framework and that's what I understood. Now you are telling me about people being on the koppie, not being on koppie. I was not there, I'm not able to answer you on that one.

MR MPOFU: Okay I'll move on. You know that I said we must assume that the people are not there and assume that stage 6 said that they arrest - you know that. So it's got nothing to do with whether you were there or not. Did you also know that part of the objective was that or rather that the operation was principally aimed

23 at protestors that refused to leave would be searched on

24 the koppie. Did you know that?

> GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not know that.

Page 10652 MR MPOFU: Okay. Did you also know and

this is from EE, did you know that if the protestors

refused to voluntarily lay down their weapons and leave the

koppie stage 3 of the operation would be implemented as a

last resort? In other words there were two requirements,

6 they must refuse to voluntarily leave to lay down their

7 koppie and to leave the koppie. Did you know this? This

8 is on page 1, Chair, of double EE.

9 GENERAL PHIYEGA: I know that the

10 protestors needed to be disarmed so therefore they needed

to leave whatever they had because the law requires that 11

12 they can protest, they can march in any form and manner but

13 not being armed. So that would be right to say they should

14 leave their arms and go wherever they are wanting to go and

15 disperse.

16 [12:00] MR MPOFU: Yes, okay. The evidence that

17 we have at this stage admittedly does not deal with the

18 issue of the voluntary laying down of weapons, because

19 nobody asked them to do that. But the evidence that we

have, at least from Mr Magidiwana, is that when the people

21 were shot, that's exactly what they were doing, was to

22 leave the koppie and go to Nkaneng.

23 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, the witness does 24 not have information which is being sought from her.

25 MR MPOFU: That's exactly why I'm giving

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

14

15

17

18

Page 10653

that information to the witness, Chair, because I don't

2 expect that she was here when Mr Magidiwana was testifying.

3 GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm sure the other

4 generals and commanders that are coming here will respond

5 to that evidence, because they were there.

MR MPOFU: 6 No, Ma'am, there are no 7

generals who can respond to that evidence because it was

not disputed. I'm saying to you that Mr Magidiwana

9 testified, undisputed, that Mr Noki said to them let us go

10 to the residence, let us walk and not run because we have

done nothing wrong, and that that's exactly what they did 11

and the rest of the people also left the koppie. That's 12

undisputed, so there's no general -13

CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Mpofu, that's not entirely correct. Of course it was not suggested that any police person was within earshot and heard what was

17 said -

8

14

15

16

20

1

7

15

16

20

18 MR MPOFU: Yes.

19 CHAIRPERSON: But what was the subject of

quite a lengthy cross-examination by Mr Ngalwana, who

21 cross-examined on behalf of the police, was that it was not

22 correct that they were just walking peacefully back to

23 their residences, and there's a whole argument about the

paths they followed and the path they would have followed 24

25 if they were going to do that, and he suggested, as I

Page 10654

remember it, that effectively, essentially what was

2 happening was that there was, an attack on the police was

3 contemplated. Whether that's correct or not of course is a

4 matter we have to decide, but certainly that, as I recall

5 it, was the thrust of the cross-examination. So it's not

6 correct to say that it was not disputed. It's true if it's

not disputed in the sense that it was not suggested that

8 there will be direct evidence contradicting it, but from a

9 circumstantial point of view there was quite a lengthy

cross-examination on the point. So I don't think it's 10

11 correct to say there wasn't a dispute. But I don't think,

12 I don't know if you need the fact that it wasn't disputed,

13 I mean for the purposes of the point that you're making

14 with the witness.

> MR MPOFU: Yes. No, no, Chairperson, I appreciate and I agree with the Chairperson at that broader

17 level. All I was putting was simply that a witness came

18 here and said Mr Noki said this to us and we followed him.

19 That was certainly not disputed.

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

CHAIRPERSON: - possible for the cross-

21 examiner to dispute it, but the point is that's the

evidence he gave certainly, and if that evidence is 22

accepted, well then things will follow, won't they? 23

24 MR MPOFU: Ja, thanks Chairperson. Yes,

25 and General, to be fair to you, the only issue that I'm

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 10655

canvassing with you - the Chairperson is right, and so if

Mr Semenya – whether the thing was disputed and so on is a

matter that will be dealt with later, but look at it from

this point of view. If that evidence is accepted that Noki

said let's walk, and they walked as a result of that,

6 whether because it was not disputed or because I argue

7 later that it should be accepted and I somehow succeed,

8 don't worry about the reason why it might be accepted, the

9 only issue really I want to say to you is that if that

10 evidence is so, then at least one of the two conjoined

11 prerequisites that they – the one of voluntarily down their

arms we'll put aside, and leave the koppie, that would have

13 been achieved by the time they were shot at.

> COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, can you -

CHAIRPERSON:

16 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: I just you to

repeat that question because I'm not sure I understand it.

MR MPOFU: Okay, I'll break it down.

19 There were two, according to the fourth bullet on EE there

20 are two things that would precipitate stage 3 - if, (a),

21 the protesters refused to leave, voluntarily lay down their

22 arms, and (b), they refuse to leave the koppie. Now all

23 I'm saying is the issue of the voluntary laying down of the

24 arms is - well according to Magidiwana at least nobody

asked them to do that, but that doesn't concern this

Page 10656 witness. I can't canvass that with her because it's a

2 matter that we will deal with Calitz and the people who

3 were there about whether they were told to lay down their

4 arms. I'm not dealing with that, but I'm saying as far as

5 (b) is concerned, as far as if they refused to leave the

6 koppie, that that was not fulfilled because Magidiwana says

7 they left at the instruction of Noki and - no, and as I

8 said earlier by the time they were killed there was no-one

9 at the koppie. So leaving the koppie, there's no doubt

10 that that condition was not fulfilled. In a way it's the

11 same point as whether they were dispersed, they had

12 dispersed. You can either use the word they dispersed, or

you can use the words, left the koppie.

14 CHAIRPERSON: I just want to say

15 something before he does. I am not sure the question is

16 correct. I think it's based on the wrong - prima facie on

17 the wrong premise. What appears to be the case, as I see as prima facie, is that stage 3 would not happen if two

18

19 things occurred; weapons laid down and people leave. The

20 mere fact that they leave isn't enough to stop the coming,

21 the implementation of stage 3. They've got to lay down

their weapons as well, and it's quite clear they didn't lay

down their weapons. It's quite clear that they were 23

24 actually on the koppie but that doesn't matter. Those who

were in front of the koppie, area in front of the koppie,

13

10

Page 10660

11

Page 10657

moved forward or sideways, they moved, carrying their

- 2 weapons. So the two requisites for the non-implementation
- 3 of stage 3 were not present because they had their weapons
- 4 and they were marching forth with their weapons. So the
- 5 premise on which you put the question prima facie seems to
- me to be incorrect. 6
- 7 MR MPOFU: la –
- 8 CHAIRPERSON: But perhaps before you deal

Maybe if I deal with yours,

- 9 with it, if Mr Burger has got another point he wants to
- 10 raise, perhaps you can deal with his point as well.
- MR MPOFU: 12 Chair, it might not be necessary -
- 13 CHAIRPERSON: No, don't pre-empt -
- 14 MR MPOFU: Okay -
- 15 CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if you can
- read his mind. Let's hear what he wants to say. 16
- 17 MR MPOFU: He wants to talk.
- 18 MR BURGER SC: Chair, this is not a
- 19 dispute involving my client, so I simply raise it in the
- 20 context of the preciousness of time. I wanted to object on
- 21 two bases. First is the question is logically incoherent,
- 22 but that's the point you've put to my learned friend and I
- 23 think he understands it now. But secondly, it's quite
- 24 irrelevant what this witness thinks of whether one or two
- 25 conditions had been met. She wasn't there. She asked us

Page 10659

- I would appreciate if the Chair then intervenes when
- 2 comments like that are made about how illogical it is.
- 3 Okay, now the next point, Chair, is - and I don't know how
- 4 many times I must say this to Mr Burger - this witness -
- 5 CHAIRPERSON: You don't have to make it
- 6 to Mr Burger; just talk to me.
 - MR MPOFU: Yes. This witness is a
- 8 National Commissioner of Police. We all know she was not
- 9 there, but I do not for the life of me understand how I can
- be disentitled from saying to her there was an operation -
- 11 whether I'm right or wrong in the flow, as the Chair has
- 12 pointed out I'll address later - that there was an
- 13 operation; A, B, C was supposed to happen to trigger the
- 14 operation. A, B happened, and C did not happen. Surely,
- surely, Chairperson, with the greatest respect, if somebody
- who's the National Commissioner cannot say to the Chair or
- 17 to the world, well, in that case, whatever he or she has to
- 18 say, so relevance is not, is beyond doubt. The question
- 19 really is what -
- 20 CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure I understand 21 the relevance. She says, I wasn't there; there were
- 22 commanders on the field who were, you can deal with them,
- 23 raise this point with them; I can't throw any light on the
- 24 point one way or the other. I can only tell you maybe what
- people told me, but even there it seems that she can't even

Page 10658

8

12

- to ask the generals who'll come to have this debate. We've
- 2 now since teatime explored a point going nowhere because
- 3 the witness was not on the scene, and I look to you for
- 4 guidance now, Chair, to give me a ruling on the relevance
- 5 of this. It's no good to reformulate this guestion. It's
- going nowhere. I object to it and I request a ruling, 6
- 7 please.

1

- 8 MR MPOFU: Okay, two points, Chair. The
- 9 mere fact that you, Chair, were able to in a way see what I
- mean by the conjoined points, which I will address, means 10
- that the question is not illogically incoherent. It might 11
- 12 be incoherent to Mr Burger because of his own capacities,
- but the issue -13
- 14 CHAIRPERSON: That kind of comment
- 15 doesn't lower the temperate, it raises it.
- MR MPOFU: Well, that comment doesn't, ja 16
- 17
- 18 CHAIRPERSON: Just concentrate on the
- 19 merits.
- 20 MR MPOFU:
- Keep your eye on the ball -21 CHAIRPERSON:
- 22 MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair.
- CHAIRPERSON: And you may get it into the 23
- net, otherwise it certainly won't.

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

25 MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Well,

- do that. So aren't we really dealing with questions that
- 2 are - you know, we raised this point before. Part of the 3 problem with the Commission is that strict rules of hearsay
- 4
- don't apply, but there comes a time when the underlying
- 6 says on this point, how is it going to help us, even though

sense behind the rules of hearsay operates. Whatever she

- 7
 - she's the National Commissioner?
 - MR MPOFU: No, I'm afraid, Chair -
- 9 CHAIRPERSON: Anyway, I don't understand
- 10 the relevance, but please take me through it gently.
- 11 MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson.
- 13

All I'm saying is this; any commander surely, I mean, will

- think I'm out of my mind if I'm going to say to him when
- 14 that commander was in charge of a particular portion of the 15 operation, then I say to him look, the disarmament had
- 16 happened. He says, so what? I was told by my superior to
- 17 shoot or whatever. I mean, okay, let's not - to spray
- 18 teargas, let's keep it at the low level. So I'm asking a
- 19 leadership question. This is what is not being understood.
- 20 I'm saying from a leader of the police who knew that there
- were three principal objectives, if one of them was not fulfilled, would he or she, that leader, endorse the
- 23 resultant killing of people which may have happened when
- 24 the requirements for such killing, if there ever is, is
- ever justified, did not exist. If it's not relevant I'll

| | Page 10661 | | Page 10663 |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | move on to something else, but – | 1 | these matters – |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON: I'm afraid I can't | 2 | MR MPOFU: That's right. |
| 3 | understand the relevance – | 3 | CHAIRPERSON: - and led from this witness |
| 4 | MR MPOFU: Fine. | 4 | - |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON: But I want to say this to | 5 | MR MPOFU: Ja. |
| 6 | you, Mr Mpofu, that obviously you can raise – I'm not going | 6 | CHAIRPERSON: - because you can take the |
| 7 | to stop you raising these points with the appropriate | 7 | stance that I suggest you do – |
| 8 | witnesses. I'm not prejudicing your clients in that way. | 8 | MR MPOFU: Yes. |
| 9 | I'm not prejudicing them at all because I'm simply ruling | 9 | CHAIRPERSON: - that the witness doesn't |
| 10 | that this is not relevant. So you don't have to address | 10 | know anything about it; there's no point in asking the |
| 11 | the other point because it falls away. May I suggest you | 11 | witness questions on it and therefore no adverse inference |
| 12 | move on to the next point? | 12 | can be drawn against your client and you're not prejudiced. |
| 13 | MR MPOFU: Right, now let's go to – | 13 | MR MPOFU: Ja. |
| 14 | alright, let me tell you that the other evidence which is, | 14 | CHAIRPERSON: If you adopt that attitude, |
| 15 | okay, the other evidence is that one of the people who were | 15 | you'll be safe. |
| 16 | shot at with live ammunition, at least seven or eight | 16 | MR MPOFU: I will. I will, thank you, |
| 17 | times, was approaching the path that I had referred to you | 17 | Chairperson. Ja, if a matter is raised in chief, the |
| 18 | before, and was only carrying a stick, in the middle of | 18 | cross-examination almost naturally follows, but I accept |
| 19 | that stick – | 19 | the ruling, Chairperson. Are you - |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, it sounds to me | 20 | MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I was going to say |
| 21 | as if you're going on another irrelevant, on to another | 21 | I've never really dealt with Magidiwana with the witness |
| 22 | irrelevant point. The same point applies. This witness | 22 | and whether Magidiwana was carrying a stick or not, and |
| 23 | wasn't there. She doesn't know whether your client only | 23 | these are still operational matters better handled by those |
| 24 | had a stick, and whatever comment she may make on the | 24 | who were on the field. |
| 25 | assumption that he did have a stick, isn't going to help us | 25 | MR MPOFU: I've accepted that. |
| | | | |
| | Page 10662 | | Page 10664 |
| 1 | Page 10662 to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of | 1 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which |
| 1 2 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. | 1 2 | • |
| | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – | | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. |
| 2 3 4 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think | 2 3 4 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, |
| 2 3 4 5 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – | 2 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – | 2 3 4 5 6 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once again when these issues were canvassed with this witness | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. MR MPOFU: Okay, I can't simplify it any |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once again when these issues were canvassed with this witness and Allandale, both of whom were not – Annandale, sorry, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. MR MPOFU: Okay, I can't simplify it any further, but I'll just repeat it. When the plan was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once again when these issues were canvassed with this witness and Allandale, both of whom were not – Annandale, sorry, General Annandale – both of whom, it is common cause, were | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. MR MPOFU: Okay, I can't simplify it any further, but I'll just repeat it. When the plan was unveiled to you, or described to you, or even discussed |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once again when these issues were canvassed with this witness and Allandale, both of whom were not – Annandale, sorry, General Annandale – both of whom, it is common cause, were not present over four days and other days, this was – these | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. MR MPOFU: Okay, I can't simplify it any further, but I'll just repeat it. When the plan was unveiled to you, or described to you, or even discussed with you after the incident, were you informed that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once again when these issues were canvassed with this witness and Allandale, both of whom were not – Annandale, sorry, General Annandale – both of whom, it is common cause, were not present over four days and other days, this was – these objections were not raised, and that's all I'm saying, is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. MR MPOFU: Okay, I can't simplify it any further, but I'll just repeat it. When the plan was unveiled to you, or described to you, or even discussed with you after the incident, were you informed that everyone had been told – everyone, including all the 700 or |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once again when these issues were canvassed with this witness and Allandale, both of whom were not – Annandale, sorry, General Annandale – both of whom, it is common cause, were not present over four days and other days, this was – these objections were not raised, and that's all I'm saying, is that – | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. MR MPOFU: Okay, I can't simplify it any further, but I'll just repeat it. When the plan was unveiled to you, or described to you, or even discussed with you after the incident, were you informed that everyone had been told – everyone, including all the 700 or 800 policemen – that the use of force would either be in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once again when these issues were canvassed with this witness and Allandale, both of whom were not – Annandale, sorry, General Annandale – both of whom, it is common cause, were not present over four days and other days, this was – these objections were not raised, and that's all I'm saying, is that – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we'll be more | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. MR MPOFU: Okay, I can't simplify it any further, but I'll just repeat it. When the plan was unveiled to you, or described to you, or even discussed with you after the incident, were you informed that everyone had been told – everyone, including all the 700 or 800 policemen – that the use of force would either be in self-defence or on command? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once again when these issues were canvassed with this witness and Allandale, both of whom were not – Annandale, sorry, General Annandale – both of whom, it is common cause, were not present over four days and other days, this was – these objections were not raised, and that's all I'm saying, is that – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we'll be more alert to raise those objections next time Mr Semenya tries | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. MR MPOFU: Okay, I can't simplify it any further, but I'll just repeat it. When the plan was unveiled to you, or described to you, or even discussed with you after the incident, were you informed that everyone had been told – everyone, including all the 700 or 800 policemen – that the use of force would either be in self-defence or on command? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Let me ask a question. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once again when these issues were canvassed with this witness and Allandale, both of whom were not – Annandale, sorry, General Annandale – both of whom, it is common cause, were not present over four days and other days, this was – these objections were not raised, and that's all I'm saying, is that – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we'll be more alert to raise those objections next time Mr Semenya tries to lead evidence of that kind. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. MR MPOFU: Okay, I can't simplify it any further, but I'll just repeat it. When the plan was unveiled to you, or described to you, or even discussed with you after the incident, were you informed that everyone had been told – everyone, including all the 700 or 800 policemen – that the use of force would either be in self-defence or on command? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Let me ask a question. You're saying the plan when? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once again when these issues were canvassed with this witness and Allandale, both of whom were not – Annandale, sorry, General Annandale – both of whom, it is common cause, were not present over four days and other days, this was – these objections were not raised, and that's all I'm saying, is that – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we'll be more alert to raise those objections next time Mr Semenya tries to lead evidence of that kind. MR MPOFU: Ja. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. MR MPOFU: Okay, I can't simplify it any further, but I'll just repeat it. When the plan was unveiled to you, or described to you, or even discussed with you after the incident, were you informed that everyone had been told – everyone, including all the 700 or 800 policemen – that the use of force would either be in self-defence or on command? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Let me ask a question. You're saying the plan when? CHAIRPERSON: The question is when you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once again when these issues were canvassed with this witness and Allandale, both of whom were not – Annandale, sorry, General Annandale – both of whom, it is common cause, were not present over four days and other days, this was – these objections were not raised, and that's all I'm saying, is that – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we'll be more alert to raise those objections next time Mr Semenya tries to lead evidence of that kind. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. MR MPOFU: Okay, I can't simplify it any further, but I'll just repeat it. When the plan was unveiled to you, or described to you, or even discussed with you after the incident, were you informed that everyone had been told – everyone, including all the 700 or 800 policemen – that the use of force would either be in self-defence or on command? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Let me ask a question. You're saying the plan when? CHAIRPERSON: The question is when you were told about the plan, were you told that the police, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once again when these issues were canvassed with this witness and Allandale, both of whom were not – Annandale, sorry, General Annandale – both of whom, it is common cause, were not present over four days and other days, this was – these objections were not raised, and that's all I'm saying, is that – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we'll be more alert to raise those objections next time Mr Semenya tries to lead evidence of that kind. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But certainly whether – or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. MR MPOFU: Okay, I can't simplify it any further, but I'll just repeat it. When the plan was unveiled to you, or described to you, or even discussed with you after the incident, were you informed that everyone had been told – everyone, including all the 700 or 800 policemen – that the use of force would either be in self-defence or on command? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Let me ask a question. You're saying the plan when? CHAIRPERSON: The question is when you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay — CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say — MR MPOFU: Ja, well — CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once again when these issues were canvassed with this witness and Allandale, both of whom were not — Annandale, sorry, General Annandale — both of whom, it is common cause, were not present over four days and other days, this was — these objections were not raised, and that's all I'm saying, is that — CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we'll be more alert to raise those objections next time Mr Semenya tries to lead evidence of that kind. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But certainly whether — or leads the other witnesses — | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. MR MPOFU: Okay, I can't simplify it any further, but I'll just repeat it. When the plan was unveiled to you, or described to you, or even discussed with you after the incident, were you informed that everyone had been told – everyone, including all the 700 or 800 policemen – that the use of force would either be in self-defence or on command? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Let me ask a question. You're saying the plan when? CHAIRPERSON: The question is when you were told about the plan, were you told that the police, the members of the service who were there at the time, were |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | to answer any of the questions raised in the Terms of Reference. MR MPOFU: Okay – CHAIRPERSON: But prima facie I think that's irrelevant as well, unless you can say – MR MPOFU: Ja, well – CHAIRPERSON: It's covered essentially by the basis of the previous ruling I gave. MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, okay, the only issue I want to register before moving on is that once again when these issues were canvassed with this witness and Allandale, both of whom were not – Annandale, sorry, General Annandale – both of whom, it is common cause, were not present over four days and other days, this was – these objections were not raised, and that's all I'm saying, is that – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we'll be more alert to raise those objections next time Mr Semenya tries to lead evidence of that kind. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But certainly whether – or leads the other witnesses – MR MPOFU: Ja. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | CHAIRPERSON: I've given a ruling, which will not prejudice your client on this point, so I suggest we move on. MR MPOFU: And I've accepted the ruling, Chairperson. Are you aware that one of the key aspects of the plan was that the use of force should be given either on, for the purposes of self-defence, or on command, when the plan was unveiled to you? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand the question. I don't understand that question. MR MPOFU: Okay, I can't simplify it any further, but I'll just repeat it. When the plan was unveiled to you, or described to you, or even discussed with you after the incident, were you informed that everyone had been told – everyone, including all the 700 or 800 policemen – that the use of force would either be in self-defence or on command? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Let me ask a question. You're saying the plan when? CHAIRPERSON: The question is when you were told about the plan, were you told that the police, the members of the service who were there at the time, were told as part of their briefing before the operation |

8

Page 10665

command? Were you told that? I think that's the question.

2 [12:19] GENERAL PHIYEGA: No. I don't remember

3 having that discussion.

4 MR MPOFU: Thank you. I was using the

5 words of the presentation, Chair. It only said self-

defence, but I accept that it must have meant, it must have 6

7 also meant private defence.

> CHAIRPERSON: Slide 182 actually says

9 private defence, but let's not spend, waste any drops in

10 the bucket on that one. Let's move on.

MR MPOFU: 11 Ja, that's ex post facto. I'm

12 talking about the slides that were given to the police

before the 16th. That's fine; it doesn't matter. From the 13

14 footage that we showed you, which came from, I think it's

EEE16, you remember the footage about dragging of people

and all that, I want to ask you something different on the 16

same footage. Or maybe I should preface it by saying are 17

18 you aware that the use of lethal force is something that

19 should be avoided and only done as a last resort? Or at

20 least that the police intended to do it that way?

21 GENERAL PHIYEGA: I thought I've already

answered you on that one earlier on when you asked the same 22

23 question.

1

2

3

4

8

10

15

24 MR MPOFU: No well, it means I've

25 forgotten. Can you answer it again?

Page 10667 demands that the use of force in those circumstances should

be avoided and that it should be almost the only means that

could be used, and that the prescripts at least do not say

anything about the circumstances, but that's, in the

5 process of doing that, I'm not putting the question again.

6 I'm giving up and moving on. Do you remember that you said

7 that you endorsed the plan in Midrand?

GENERAL PHIYEGA: I did not say I

9 endorsed the plan. I said I, we endorsed what the

10 Provincial Commissioner told us they were going to do - to

11 disarm, to encircle, and disperse, and when you talk about

12 the plan, if you're referring to those three issues, which

13 is what she articulated to us, yes, because the plan is

something else, as I understand it, unless we are not 14

15 talking about the same thing.

16 MR MPOFU: Did you say - I'm reading from

page 7447 against the lines 9 to 16, "Yes, I was aware, 17

because I said on our morning of the 15th I did say that we

19 endorsed her plan to go out to encircle, disperse, and

20 ensure that those protesters are disarmed. That was

21 known," and so on. "That was known. The tactical and

22 operational plans were left to the province, but we knew

23 that we needed to collect the arms," blah-blah. Did

24 you use the words that you "endorsed her plan?" I mean I'm

not interested as to who "her," who she is now.

Page 10666

1

5

7

GENERAL PHIYEGA: I am aware, but I've

also said to you that it depends on the circumstances.

MR MPOFU: In other words there are

circumstances where the use of lethal force should not be

5 avoided and not used as a last resort?

GENERAL PHIYEGA: 6 You have read the 7 policy to me this morning and that policy gives you the

continuum and I think I'm talking, I'm giving you the

9 answer in terms of that.

> MR MPOFU: Again only, I'll try once

more. It is either the use of force must be avoided at all 11

12 costs, underlined, and be used as a last resort, full stop;

13 or it must be used, avoided at all costs and as a last

resort, depending on the circumstances. If it depends on 14

the circumstances, then it means that there are

circumstances, conceivable, where it should not be avoided 16

17 or used as a last resort. Is that your answer?

18 GENERAL PHIYEGA: My response to you

19 again would go back to say I am comfortable with the

articulation of the use of force as outlined in that

21 policy. That's the only, you know, prescripts that are

22 there for us, as well as the prescripts that we are having,

and circumstances play an important role.

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

MR MPOFU: Okay, well I put it to you

25 that the prescripts as they stand, and even the common law

Page 10668

CHAIRPERSON: I wonder if those who are

2 assisting you with documents can show you that passage in

3 your evidence. It was read to you, but it's easier to

4 follow on the printed page.

> MR MPOFU: Yes.

6 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Pretorius, we've got our

copy open, so if Mr Pretorius can hand it to you, you can

8 see it.

9 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** I think yesterday I

10 was, I responded to almost a similar "I/we/us/her/his" and

11 I'd like to clarify it again. General Mbombo is the "her"

12 that is being referred to there, and it can never be her

13 plan. She's the leader. She is working with an entire

14 team. Whatever plan that we are talking about here, and

15 that is clearly qualified there, we're not talking about

16 this big plan. We were talking about what she was sharing

17 with us there, but their plan is to go out and encircle,

18 disarm, and disperse the crowd. It is clearly qualified in

19 this paragraph, and that is what is meant there.

20 MR MPOFU: So once again that means you 21 did not know the plan - the plan, or what is colloquially and maybe wrongly referred as the Scott's plan, even in its

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

23 rudimentary form in the sense that it had six stages and,

24 or stages, and stage 1 was this, stage 2 was this, and

stage 3. You didn't know that that plan -

3

4

5

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

broad framework.

CHAIRPERSON:

Page 10671

Page 10672

```
Page 10669
            GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                      I was not talking about
 1
     those things.
 2
            MR MPOFU:
 3
                              Yes. No, no, I accept that,
 4
    but I'm saying did you or did you not know what I've just
 5
     described as the Scott's plan?
            GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                      On the 15th I did not
 6
 7
    know.
 8
           MR MPOFU:
                              When did you know it for the
 9
    first time?
10
            GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                      I have said in this
     gathering the operation is left with those who do
11
12
     operations.
13
            MR MPOFU:
                              No -
14
            GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                      It is their plan and I
15
     got to know about when we were debriefing and looking at
     all those things and reviewing and assessing, and then we
16
17
     were doing, you know, it's post event.
18
            MR MPOFU:
                              Yes. Good. So you only knew
19
    about that plan post the event. So if anyone before the
20
     event said to you we're going to implement stage 3, it
21
    would have been gobbledygook?
            GENERAL PHIYEGA:
22
                                      Yes, it couldn't be
23
    because I've qualified it in that statement, and even in my
24
     statement of the 15th, the minute of my record, you'll see
25
    that.
```

6 happened afterwards - at the time would the expression 7 "stage 3 of the plan" have meant anything to you? 8 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes 9 CHAIRPERSON: What would it have meant? 10 GENERAL PHIYEGA: It would have been to 11 go there, to encircle, to disarm, and to arrest those that 12 were not complying, and to disperse those that needed to be 13 dispersed. 14 MR MPOFU: General, do you expect the 15 Commission to believe that you knew before the shootings what stage 3 entailed, which you've just described now, but that you did not know until post the event, as it says, 17 that this was stage 3 preceded by stage 1 and 2, and 19 succeeded by other stages? In other words you just knew 20 about stage 3 in the air, in vacuo, as lawyers would say. 21 GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm not sure I 22 understand your question. Are you saying -23 CHAIRPERSON: Might I help you? There are two legs to it. The first is if one talks about stage 3, that implies that there must be a stage 1 and a stage 2.

wouldn't know those things, and I've answered you on the

counsel is concerned with is the expression "stage 3."

Does that mean, at the time - I'm not interested in what

I think the point that

Page 10670 1 MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, I'll have to try once more. I'm saying to you if your answer is correct 2 3 that you knew the broad framework of the Scott's plan post 4 the event, as you put it -5 GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I didn't say that. MR MPOFU: You did, but then the 6 7 question, or rather if anyone then said to you pre the 8 event, which is on the 15th or before half past 3 on the 9 16th, that we are going to implement stage 3 of "the plan," that would be meaningless gobbledygook? 10 11 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Adv Mpofu, I think I've 12 answered on that one, and I am saying to you if you go and 13 look at the minute that we submitted to this Commission 14 around the disarm and dispersing and all those things, that 15 I knew. You're asking me about phase 6 and all this, and I'm saying to you I don't know about phase 6 things; they 16 17 were sitting in the main plan. In terms of this one and 18 the passage that has just been read, I must stipulate in 19 saying I knew that General Mbombo and her team, one of their broad framework that they were going to address is to 21 do what you've just read. So to say it was "coocoos" and 22 not known, look, that's your own interpretation, not mine. And maybe just to add to say, you know, whether they were going to deploy seven water cannons, use so many hand

25 grenades as type of, hand grenades as part of the plan, I

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

So he wants to know did you know what stage 1 and stage 2 involved? That's the first half of the question, and when you've answered that we can get on to the second half. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I know that stage 3 is what I've just, or the stages that I have just explained involved what. There were negotiations. There were plans to encircle, disarm, and disperse the crowd. That's the broad plan that I understood. CHAIRPERSON: So did you understand the two preceding stages, 1 and 2, to essentially involve negotiations? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I also knew that there were negotiations because I knew that the team had been negotiation, negotiating [inaudible]. CHAIRPERSON: Now the second half of Mr Mpofu's question is did you know that after stage 3 there were some more stages, 4, 5, and 6? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I have said no, Judge. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, I think that sorts out that question. MR MPOFU: Sorry, before - I don't know what, I got distracted, but I was referring you to the video that we watched I think on Thursday, and I was going to put something completely different, not those issues

about dragging of people and all that. Do you remember in

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

23

24

Page 10675

Page 10676

```
Page 10673
    that footage the discussion that we had about - or rather,
    do you remember seeing the TRT members advancing towards a
    particular position; some were running, some were walking,
3
    so I'm not there. We dealt with that last week, but do you
5
    remember them advancing towards the same point, as it were,
    before the calls of basic line and what have you?
6
7
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                     We've seen a lot of
    footage. I will wait for your question.
8
9
           MR MPOFU:
                             Well, then you don't think
    that's a question?
10
11
    [12:39] GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                        I'm not saying -
12
                             I'm saying do you remember,
           MR MPOFU:
    seeing what I've just described to you, or don't you
13
    remember? That's a question.
14
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
15
                                     Advocate, we spent
    almost a day watching a lot of footage. I'm saying maybe
16
    when you asked that question there were many things. I've
17
18
    seen them, but I don't know [inaudible] -
19
           MR MPOFU:
                             No General, really, really,
20
    really. Really, I'm asking you a simple question. Do you
21
    remember seeing something? Yes, no, I don't remember -
22
    those are the only three options.
23
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                     Maybe for me to be that
24
    specific, which one?
25
           MR MPOFU:
                             There's only one -
```

(1), to keep behind the POP and to advance only on command. If you don't know, you don't know. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know. 4 MR MPOFU: Do you know at a general level that the TRT's role was to be at 100 metres behind the POP, 6 or forget about the 100 metres, that they were to cover, so 7 to speak, that they were the next line, as it were, of, the 8 next line of police intervention. Since you deployed the 9 various units, did you know their roles at a general level? 10 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I have knowledge 11 of our prescripts in that regard. 12 MR MPOFU: Thank you. Okay, now maybe I 13 should ask it this way. To your knowledge was there what and this is my wording, so I'm creating it myself. Was 14 there a central deployment strategy? In other words did somebody, whether it's you or someone else, know that we have 800 people, 200 are STF, this one and that one, or was 17 it just an ad hoc thing where this one will ask for 20 POP 19 and then tomorrow another one asks for 80 STF, another one 20 - you know what I mean? As a leader did someone have what 21 one might call a bird's-eye view on the deployment? And 22 that's what I call a central deployment strategy, but it's 23 just a phrase I've made up. 24 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I register an objection. This witness has told us now I don't know how

Page 10674 CHAIRPERSON: - her description of a TRT 1 member. You didn't say when exactly in the sequence of 2 3 events that happened. I think if you gave her that 4 information she might be able to give you a more focussed 5 answer. MR MPOFU: 6 I showed you footage of TRT 7 members running and I said they were running forward - you said they were running sideways, but that's another story -8 9 were running towards, I think last week I called a common destination, before somebody ordered them to do basic line 10 and so on. We had a discussion about this. It's either 11 12 you remember that discussion, or that particular footage, 13 or you don't remember, or you know. There's no two ways or 14 three ways about it. If you don't remember it, I can 15 arrange for it to be played. If you remember it, I'll just ask you on the basis of your memory. 16 17 GENERAL PHIYEGA: That helps, Advocate, 18 if what we saw is the same. I remember the argument about are they moving sideways, are they moving forward, and that explanation helps. MR MPOFU: 21 Okay. I'm raising this point 22 to make a different point as to what, where the people were

going or not, and it is simply whether you accept or you

TRT was under instruction to only – well, for two things;

24 don't accept, but - or rather, whether you know that the

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

many times, and if this is not nitty-gritty then I just want to know what that word means. MR MPOFU: No Chair, I'm not asking - if I was asking nitty-gritty I would say to the witness -CHAIRPERSON: Let's just get her answer. Yes, thank you, Chair. MR MPOFU: CHAIRPERSON: If she says no, well then that's the end of it, and if she says yes, well then we can, then it isn't nitty-gritty because she knew. The question that counsel's put to you about the, what you call the central -MR MPOFU: Is there a central deployment

CHAIRPERSON: Central deployment strategy, as it were, did you know, or was it just a collection almost at random of STF people and TRT people and NIU people and so on? That's the question. Can you help us on that or is that something that you don't know about?

GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I just want to deal with some of the words that are loosely used here, ad hoc, random. I mentioned the NAT Joint, and in NAT Joint responds to the needs as expressed by the province. So the master plan you can see, I'm going to send 75 Riahs there, but if 75 Riahs are not needed, it doesn't help. The

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119 RealTime Transcriptions

```
Page 10677
                                                                                                                           Page 10679
    environment must inform the NAT Joint. The NAT Joint will
                                                                        use for argument later -
2
                                                                    2
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
    respond appropriately to the needs as they are required.
                                                                                                  That's fine.
                                                                     3
3
    There would always be a coordinating environment. You've
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     - I think you've got it.
4
    asked me about Annandale yesterday. I said by mere fact
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                  Thank you, Chair. Thank you.
5
    that it was a cross-provincial deployment, you were
                                                                    5
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     Please move on.
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
    supposed to have the NAT Joints working. So there would be
                                                                    6
                                                                                                  In other words then NAT Joint
6
7
                                                                    7
    an intervention of NAT Joints, so the loose, ad hoc,
                                                                        knew, or at least deliberately created a situation where
                                                                    8
8
    random, is foreign to my ears and to my understanding.
                                                                        the majority of the personnel on the ground were those
9
                                                                    9
           MR MPOFU:
                              I'm sorry, you didn't answer
                                                                        people carrying what has been described by, I think Haysom,
    my question. I'm asking you simply this, not what would
                                                                    10
                                                                        weapons of war, as opposed to the POP people.
10
                                                                               GENERAL PHIYEGA:
11
    happen or what was supposed to happen, please. I'm saying
                                                                    11
                                                                                                         You know the loaded
    to you in this particular instance was there a person or
                                                                    12
                                                                        question I think is on part of things, all I can say -
12
                                                                    13
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                 Well, I just call them R1s and
13
    persons, whatever their description is, who had what I call
                                                                    14
                                                                        R5s -
14
    a bird's-eye view on the operation, specifically dealing
                                                                    15
    with deployments? In other words, whether somebody said,
                                                                               GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                         No, I wouldn't even go
    if you said to that person or that body what have you got
                                                                        there. I wouldn't even go there. All I can say is that
16
    in Marikana, they'll say we have 750 of which 200 are POP,
                                                                        the deployment was organised and the deployment was, could
17
18
    30 are NIU, and so on, and so on, or was the deployment
                                                                        be accounted for.
                                                                    19
19
    done on an ad hoc - it's not an insult; I mean when I say
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                 Yes. No, I'm with you,
20
    on an ad hoc basis, I mean somebody, as it was suggested by
                                                                    20
                                                                        General. You see, what I'm getting at is that if there was
                                                                        an ad hoc strategy, then nobody would necessarily know how
21
    General Annandale, you phone your counterpart in another
                                                                   21
    province and they bring POP and then this one does this and
                                                                    22
22
                                                                        many R1s and R5s are there. But if there was a central
23
    then – you know what I mean. That's what I mean by ad hoc.
                                                                   23
                                                                        strategy, as you are saying, then clearly somebody, or some
                                                                    24
24
    I'm not saying it in a negative sense, whether there was
                                                                        body knew that the majority of the deployees were those
25
    that button where you could say this is the situation, or
                                                                        carrying - I won't use "weapons of war" - were those who
                                                       Page 10678
                                                                                                                           Page 10680
1
     whether it was the alternative.
                                                                        were carrying sharp lethal armed force.
                                                                    2
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
2
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                   - you say you assumed that
                                                                                                     Mr Mpofu, you know, I don't
3
    that was the case, but I think what Mr Mpofu wants, to ask
                                                                        understand where this is taking us. If one looks at
4
    the question more specifically, did you know that was the
                                                                        exhibit L and the breakdown from slide 136 onwards, it's
5
    case?
                                                                        more slide 137 onwards, the information is there as to who.
            GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                        The role of our
                                                                    6
                                                                        how the breakdown, or how many POP people there were, how
6
7
    National Joint is to do that.
                                                                    7
                                                                        many other people there were. If one looks under personal
                                                                        equipment, one sees from slide 138 onwards that there were
8
            MR MPOFU:
                               Sorry, Chairperson, I accept
9
                                                                    9
                                                                        assault rifles and so on. So all that information is here.
    you might be more successful than me. I don't think the
                                                                    10
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
    question has been answered.
                                                                                                 la
10
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                    11
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     I don't see how you asking
11
                                   I understood her to say
12
     that the -
                                                                    12
                                                                        questions of the witness in that regard is taking us any
                                                                        further.
13
            MR MPOFU:
                               That's what was supposed to
                                                                    13
14
                                                                    14
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                 No, Chairperson -
    happen.
                                                                    15
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
15
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     I'll give you the
                                   - the people at national
                                                                        opportunity to explain, but I must express my puzzlement to
    level were supposed to do it.
                                                                    16
16
17
            MR MPOFU:
                               Yes.
                                                                    17
                                                                        you.
18
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                   I think that meant in the
                                                                    18
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                 Yes. No, that puzzlement
19
    circumstances she assumed that they did it, and she feels
                                                                        would be immediately removed, Chair, if you take into
    she was entitled so to assume. I understood that to be the
                                                                        account that exhibit L was compiled post the event and that
20
21
     thrust of her answer. She was asked in terms whether she
                                                                        my questions clearly refer to a deployment strategy which
    knew directly and she
                                                                    22
                                                                        existed or did not exist at NAT Joint before - NAT Joint I
22
                               Ja, that's fine.
          MR MPOFU:
                                                                    23
23
                                                                        assume did not meet after the 16th at Potchefstroom.
         CHAIRPERSON:
                              - she didn't say yes to
                                                                    24
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     It may have been compiled
```

that, so I think you've, if that's an answer you want to

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

after the event and you could ask the people who compiled

| | Paga 10691 | | Page 10683 |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | it about that – | 1 | a National Commissioner have to say to the NAT Joint there |
| 2 | MR MPOFU: No. | 2 | is a need for us to operate at a national level and deploy |
| 3 | CHAIRPERSON: - and the commanding | 3 | our resources wherever they are lying in other provinces. |
| 4 | officers and so forth, but I'd be very surprised frankly if | 4 | MR MPOFU: Okay. |
| 5 | this witness knows anything about the details of that. | 5 | GENERAL PHIYEGA: That's the A part. The |
| 6 | MR MPOFU: No. | 6 | B part of it is that you don't deploy without responding to |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON: I think that the time | 7 | needs of a particular environment, so those circumstances |
| 8 | available to us could probably be more profitably used, but | 8 | and the needs of that particular environment would inform |
| 9 | I put the problem to you – | 9 | who you want, whether you need a water cannon from another |
| 10 | MR MPOFU: Yes. | 10 | province, whether you need this from another province, that |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON: - so you can deal with it, | 11 | will follow a response to what the need is in that |
| 12 | but you understand where I'm coming from and where I'm | 12 | particular area. Thirdly, there's nothing ad hoc in what |
| 13 | going to. | 13 | we are doing, and whether you are looking at that plan and |
| 14 | MR MPOFU: I do, Chair. But I can deal | 14 | saying it's post Potchefstroom and whatever, that's the |
| 15 | with it very quickly, Chair, before we break. I think it | 15 | factual data that informed how we deployed. |
| 16 | is a subject of a misunderstanding between you and I. I'm | 16 | COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: General, when you |
| 17 | not asking this witness about the information that is in | 17 | speak about NAT Joints, exactly who are you referring to in |
| 18 | exhibit L, which was subsequently compiled post the event. | 18 | the case of Marikana? |
| 19 | I'm asking her simply whether before the event somebody had | 19 | GENERAL PHIYEGA: The NAT Joints is |
| 20 | an eye as to how the deployment is to be done, and if so – | 20 | chaired by General Mawela and it takes, it's under our |
| 21 | well, she has said yes. Now what I'm saying is that | 21 | Operational Response Divisions. It's in that environment, |
| 22 | therefore what is contained here, which shows X, Y, Z and | 22 | ja, and that's where General Annandale comes from, and NAT |
| 23 | what, was known prior to Potchefstroom, to put it crudely, | 23 | Joints includes also other departments, so that if you need |
| 24 | rather than it only emerged in Potchefstroom. | 24 | any other thing outside SAPS, you should be able to deal |
| 25 | CHAIRPERSON: If the point you're | 25 | with those should you need those type of resources in any |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| _ | Page 10682 | | Page 10684 |
| 1 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central | 1 | situation. |
| 2 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central | 2 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in |
| 2 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew | 2 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? |
| 2 3 4 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with | 2 3 4 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the |
| 2 3 4 5 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with | 2 3 4 5 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? | 2 3 4 5 6 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Can you answer the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must be a physical place where it meets. If it doesn't, it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Can you answer the question? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must be a physical place where it meets. If it doesn't, it doesn't. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Can you answer the question? MR MPOFU: No, no, no, I'm sorry, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must be a physical place where it meets. If it doesn't, it doesn't. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Can you answer the question? MR MPOFU: No, no, no, I'm sorry, Chairperson, no, I'm sorry, it's my fault for agreeing too | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must be a physical place where it meets. If it doesn't, it doesn't. GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's always like that. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Can you answer the question? MR MPOFU: No, no, no, I'm sorry, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must be a physical place where it meets. If it doesn't, it doesn't. GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's always like that. MR MPOFU: If it's electronic or |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Can you answer the question? MR MPOFU: No, no, no, I'm sorry, Chairperson, no, I'm sorry, it's my fault for agreeing too readily to you. That's not what I'm asking her, whether | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must be a physical place where it meets. If it doesn't, it doesn't. GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's always like that. MR MPOFU: If it's electronic or whatever. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Can you answer the question? MR MPOFU: No, no, no, I'm sorry, Chairperson, no, I'm sorry, it's my fault for agreeing too readily to you. That's not what I'm asking her, whether she knows whether the person knew. What I'm saying is that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must be a physical place where it meets. If it doesn't, it doesn't. GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's always like that. MR MPOFU: If it's electronic or whatever. GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, it's only present. I mean you can meet in Cape Town, you can meet in Messina, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Can you answer the question? MR MPOFU: No, no, no, I'm sorry, Chairperson, no, I'm sorry, it's my fault for agreeing too readily to you. That's not what I'm asking her, whether she knows whether the person knew. What I'm saying is that from the fact that there was a central think tank, one can therefore deduct that that think tank knew that there's a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must be a physical place where it meets. If it doesn't, it doesn't. GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's always like that. MR MPOFU: If it's electronic or whatever. GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, it's only present. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Can you answer the question? MR MPOFU: No, no, no, I'm sorry, Chairperson, no, I'm sorry, it's my fault for agreeing too readily to you. That's not what I'm asking her, whether she knows whether the person knew. What I'm saying is that from the fact that there was a central think tank, one can | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must be a physical place where it meets. If it doesn't, it doesn't. GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's always like that. MR MPOFU: If it's electronic or whatever. GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, it's only present. I mean you can meet in Cape Town, you can meet in Messina, you can meet wherever. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Can you answer the question? MR MPOFU: No, no, no, I'm sorry, Chairperson, no, I'm sorry, it's my fault for agreeing too readily to you. That's not what I'm asking her, whether she knows whether the person knew. What I'm saying is that from the fact that there was a central think tank, one can therefore deduct that that think tank knew that there's a large number of what I called weapons of war, which the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must be a physical place where it meets. If it doesn't, it doesn't. GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's always like that. MR MPOFU: If it's electronic or whatever. GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, it's only present. I mean you can meet in Cape Town, you can meet in Messina, you can meet wherever. MR MPOFU: And in this case did it meet |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Can you answer the question? MR MPOFU: No, no, no, I'm sorry, Chairperson, no, I'm sorry, it's my fault for agreeing too readily to you. That's not what I'm asking her, whether she knows whether the person knew. What I'm saying is that from the fact that there was a central think tank, one can therefore deduct that that think tank knew that there's a large number of what I called weapons of war, which the witness did not like, or neutrally lethal weapons. That's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must be a physical place where it meets. If it doesn't, it doesn't. GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's always like that. MR MPOFU: If it's electronic or whatever. GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, it's only present. I mean you can meet in Cape Town, you can meet in Messina, you can meet wherever. MR MPOFU: And in this case did it meet in Pretoria? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Can you answer the question? MR MPOFU: No, no, no, I'm sorry, Chairperson, no, I'm sorry, it's my fault for agreeing too readily to you. That's not what I'm asking her, whether she knows whether the person knew. What I'm saying is that from the fact that there was a central think tank, one can therefore deduct that that think tank knew that there's a large number of what I called weapons of war, which the witness did not like, or neutrally lethal weapons. That's the only issue. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must be a physical place where it meets. If it doesn't, it doesn't. GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's always like that. MR MPOFU: If it's electronic or whatever. GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, it's only present. I mean you can meet in Cape Town, you can meet in Messina, you can meet wherever. MR MPOFU: And in this case did it meet in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They could have met in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Can you answer the question? MR MPOFU: No, no, no, I'm sorry, Chairperson, no, I'm sorry, it's my fault for agreeing too readily to you. That's not what I'm asking her, whether she knows whether the person knew. What I'm saying is that from the fact that there was a central think tank, one can therefore deduct that that think tank knew that there's a large number of what I called weapons of war, which the witness did not like, or neutrally lethal weapons. That's the only issue. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'll take probably | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must be a physical place where it meets. If it doesn't, it doesn't. GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's always like that. MR MPOFU: If it's electronic or whatever. GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, it's only present. I mean you can meet in Cape Town, you can meet in Messina, you can meet wherever. MR MPOFU: And in this case did it meet in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They could have met in Pretoria. They could have met here. I am sure they can |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | interested in, whether that person, a sort of central planner or commander – planner is the wrong word, central commander, overall commander, whether that person knew what, how many non-lethal, how many people there were with non-lethal weapons as opposed to how many people with assault rifles. Is that basically the question? MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, let's see whether she knew that. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Can you answer the question? MR MPOFU: No, no, no, I'm sorry, Chairperson, no, I'm sorry, it's my fault for agreeing too readily to you. That's not what I'm asking her, whether she knows whether the person knew. What I'm saying is that from the fact that there was a central think tank, one can therefore deduct that that think tank knew that there's a large number of what I called weapons of war, which the witness did not like, or neutrally lethal weapons. That's the only issue. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'll take probably four, five points to deal with your issue, and again it's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | situation. [12:59] MR MPOFU: And NAT Joint sits in Pretoria. Am I correct? GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's National, the chair is in Pretoria. MR MPOFU: Yes. No, what I mean is when it meets, it meets in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Anywhere – MR MPOFU: Or rather, it's based in Pretoria. You know what I mean. If it meets, there must be a physical place where it meets. If it doesn't, it doesn't. GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's always like that. MR MPOFU: If it's electronic or whatever. GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, it's only present. I mean you can meet in Cape Town, you can meet in Messina, you can meet wherever. MR MPOFU: And in this case did it meet in Pretoria? GENERAL PHIYEGA: They could have met in Pretoria. They could have met here. I am sure they can check. |

| 1 | Page 10685 | 1 | Page 10687 |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | CHAIRDEDSON. Works finished that now | 1 | now this structure that we were discussing before lunch, |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON: We've finished that now. | 2 | which one is it? Is it not JOINT? |
| 3 | Can we take the lunch adjournment and carry on after lunch? | 3 | GENERAL PHIYEGA: It's a JOC. JOINT is |
| 4 | MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair, just that one | 4 | where you bring, you know the chiefs of the various |
| 5 | point, because I don't want to go back to this. Is your | 5 | departments. The JOC is where Mawela is sitting. |
| 6 | answer that the NAT – I know you accept that it can meet | 6 | MR MPOFU: So when I asked you whether |
| 7 | anywhere in the country, but do you as you are sitting | 7 | there's a person or body, or structure I suppose is a |
| 8 | there not know, (a), whether it met; if you do, where it | 8 | better term, that had, what I call the bird's-eye view over |
| 9 | met; and when? Do you know answers to that question? | 9 | the operation, and I was asking you at that stage |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON: You've got a – | 10 | specifically in relation to deployment, and you said it was |
| 11 | GENERAL PHIYEGA: No, I don't - | 11 | - was it NATJOC or NATJOINT - |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you've left | 12 | GENERAL PHIYEGA: NATJOC. |
| 13 | something out of the question. | 13 | MR MPOFU: NATJOC. |
| 14 | MR MPOFU: Sorry, Chair. | 14 | GENERAL PHIYEGA: Ja, there's a centre |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON: Did it meet in relation to | 15 | and a structural meeting of the heads. |
| 16 | the Marikana problem – | 16 | MR MPOFU: Ja, okay, and NATJOC, where |
| 17 | MR MPOFU: 1. | 17 | did it meet in relation to Marikana? |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON: And if so, where and when? | 18 | GENERAL PHIYEGA: The main centre is at |
| 19 | I think that's what you want to know. | 19 | Snake Park. |
| 20 | GENERAL PHIYEGA: I'm sure those details | 20 | MR MPOFU: Snake Park, which is where? |
| 21 | can be obtained. I don't have them. | 21 | GENERAL PHIYEGA: In Pretoria, and I've |
| 22 | MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. | 22 | said to you that this can also - in the provinces you'll |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON: We'll now take the lunch | 23 | find PROVJOCS and then the JOINTS also. |
| 24 25 | adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] | 24 | MR MPOFU: The JOINTS also - |
| 25 | [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] | 25 | GENERAL PHIYEGA: Omnipresent. |
| | | 1 | |
| | Page 10686 | | Page 10688 |
| 1 | Page 10686 [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. | 1 | Page 10688 MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the |
| 1 2 | <u> </u> | 1 2 | • |
| | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. | | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the |
| 2 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. | 2 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? |
| 2 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. | 2 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the |
| 2 3 4 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. | 2 3 4 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. |
| 2 3 4 5 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): | 2 3 4 5 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this | 2 3 4 5 6 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, NATJOC, there's a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get straight. So something that we're discovering now on day |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, NATJOC, there's a NATJOINT, there's a PROVJOC and PROVJOINT and VOC, all | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get straight. So something that we're discovering now on day 100 is that somewhere we refer to a structure called NATJOC |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, NATJOC, there's a NATJOINT, there's a PROVJOC and PROVJOINT and VOC, all those things. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get straight. So something that we're discovering now on day 100 is that somewhere we refer to a structure called NATJOC which had an overall view, or bird's-eye view over the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, NATJOC, there's a NATJOINT, there's a PROVJOC and PROVJOINT and VOC, all those things. MR MPOFU: Yes, just spelling please, for | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get straight. So something that we're discovering now on day 100 is that somewhere we refer to a structure called NATJOC which had an overall view, or bird's-eye view over the operation in Marikana. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, NATJOC, there's a NATJOINT, there's a PROVJOC and PROVJOINT and VOC, all those things. MR MPOFU: Yes, just spelling please, for now. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get straight. So something that we're discovering now on day 100 is that somewhere we refer to a structure called NATJOC which had an overall view, or bird's-eye view over the operation in Marikana. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I understand it in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, NATJOC, there's a NATJOINT, there's a PROVJOC and PROVJOINT and VOC, all those things. MR MPOFU: Yes, just spelling please, for now. GENERAL PHIYEGA: N-A-T J-O-C rather, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get straight. So something that we're discovering now on day 100 is that somewhere we refer to a structure called NATJOC which had an overall view, or bird's-eye view over the operation in Marikana. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I understand it in context, Dali - sorry, Advocate, I've said to you that once |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, NATJOC, there's a NATJOINT, there's a PROVJOC and PROVJOINT and VOC, all those things. MR MPOFU: Yes, just spelling please, for now. GENERAL PHIYEGA: N-A-T J-O-C rather, NATJOC. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get straight. So something that we're discovering now on day 100 is that somewhere we refer to a structure called NATJOC which had an overall view, or bird's-eye view over the operation in Marikana. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I understand it in context, Dali - sorry, Advocate, I've said to you that once the request crosses the border, I have to actually give |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, NATJOC, there's a NATJOINT, there's a PROVJOC and PROVJOINT and VOC, all those things. MR MPOFU: Yes, just spelling please, for now. GENERAL PHIYEGA: N-A-T J-O-C rather, NATJOC. MR MPOFU: That's a different thing, is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get straight. So something that we're discovering now on day 100 is that somewhere we refer to a structure called NATJOC which had an overall view, or bird's-eye view over the operation in Marikana. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I understand it in context, Dali - sorry, Advocate, I've said to you that once the request crosses the border, I have to actually give authority and the authority I give to the person who is in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, NATJOC, there's a NATJOINT, there's a PROVJOC and PROVJOINT and VOC, all those things. MR MPOFU: Yes, just spelling please, for now. GENERAL PHIYEGA: N-A-T J-O-C rather, NATJOC. MR MPOFU: That's a different thing, is there also something called NATJOINT? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get straight. So something that we're discovering now on day 100 is that somewhere we refer to a structure called NATJOC which had an overall view, or bird's-eye view over the operation in Marikana. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I understand it in context, Dali - sorry, Advocate, I've said to you that once the request crosses the border, I have to actually give authority and the authority I give to the person who is in charge of the JOCs and whatever and the ORS and all those |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, NATJOC, there's a NATJOINT, there's a PROVJOC and PROVJOINT and VOC, all those things. MR MPOFU: Yes, just spelling please, for now. GENERAL PHIYEGA: N-A-T J-O-C rather, NATJOC. MR MPOFU: That's a different thing, is there also something called NATJOINT? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There's a NATJOINT. MR MPOFU: NATJOINT. N-A-T J-O-I-N-T. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get straight. So something that we're discovering now on day 100 is that somewhere we refer to a structure called NATJOC which had an overall view, or bird's-eye view over the operation in Marikana. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I understand it in context, Dali - sorry, Advocate, I've said to you that once the request crosses the border, I have to actually give authority and the authority I give to the person who is in charge of the JOCs and whatever and the ORS and all those things, and General Mawela would be responsible for doing that so that he can link up with other provinces. General Mbombo cannot ordinarily just start giving instructions to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, NATJOC, there's a NATJOINT, there's a PROVJOC and PROVJOINT and VOC, all those things. MR MPOFU: Yes, just spelling please, for now. GENERAL PHIYEGA: N-A-T J-O-C rather, NATJOC. MR MPOFU: That's a different thing, is there also something called NATJOINT? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There's a NATJOINT. MR MPOFU: NATJOINT. N-A-T J-O-I-N-T. Yes. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The same as in the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get straight. So something that we're discovering now on day 100 is that somewhere we refer to a structure called NATJOC which had an overall view, or bird's-eye view over the operation in Marikana. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I understand it in context, Dali - sorry, Advocate, I've said to you that once the request crosses the border, I have to actually give authority and the authority I give to the person who is in charge of the JOCs and whatever and the ORS and all those things, and General Mawela would be responsible for doing that so that he can link up with other provinces. General Mbombo cannot ordinarily just start giving instructions to get people from all over without my permission. It is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, NATJOC, there's a NATJOINT, there's a PROVJOC and PROVJOINT and VOC, all those things. MR MPOFU: Yes, just spelling please, for now. GENERAL PHIYEGA: N-A-T J-O-C rather, NATJOC. MR MPOFU: That's a different thing, is there also something called NATJOINT? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There's a NATJOINT. MR MPOFU: NATJOINT. N-A-T J-O-I-N-T. Yes. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The same as in the province. Even in the province you'll find duplications of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get straight. So something that we're discovering now on day 100 is that somewhere we refer to a structure called NATJOC which had an overall view, or bird's-eye view over the operation in Marikana. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I understand it in context, Dali - sorry, Advocate, I've said to you that once the request crosses the border, I have to actually give authority and the authority I give to the person who is in charge of the JOCs and whatever and the ORS and all those things, and General Mawela would be responsible for doing that so that he can link up with other provinces. General Mbombo cannot ordinarily just start giving instructions to get people from all over without my permission. It is myself and the President who can do so. But in this regard |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, NATJOC, there's a NATJOINT, there's a PROVJOC and PROVJOINT and VOC, all those things. MR MPOFU: Yes, just spelling please, for now. GENERAL PHIYEGA: N-A-T J-O-C rather, NATJOC. MR MPOFU: That's a different thing, is there also something called NATJOINT? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There's a NATJOINT. MR MPOFU: NATJOINT. N-A-T J-O-I-N-T. Yes. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The same as in the province. Even in the province you'll find duplications of those. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get straight. So something that we're discovering now on day 100 is that somewhere we refer to a structure called NATJOC which had an overall view, or bird's-eye view over the operation in Marikana. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I understand it in context, Dali - sorry, Advocate, I've said to you that once the request crosses the border, I have to actually give authority and the authority I give to the person who is in charge of the JOCs and whatever and the ORS and all those things, and General Mawela would be responsible for doing that so that he can link up with other provinces. General Mbombo cannot ordinarily just start giving instructions to get people from all over without my permission. It is myself and the President who can do so. But in this regard I did it, so I would ask General Mawela, who's in charge of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | [14:14] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, let me just get this straight. Firstly, how do you spell this structure called NATJOINT? I think one of the interpreters said NATJOC, so I'm not sure. GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, NATJOC, there's a NATJOINT, there's a PROVJOC and PROVJOINT and VOC, all those things. MR MPOFU: Yes, just spelling please, for now. GENERAL PHIYEGA: N-A-T J-O-C rather, NATJOC. MR MPOFU: That's a different thing, is there also something called NATJOINT? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There's a NATJOINT. MR MPOFU: NATJOINT. N-A-T J-O-I-N-T. Yes. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The same as in the province. Even in the province you'll find duplications of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR MPOFU: Decentralised. Who are the members of NATJOC? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've said to you the chairperson thereof, the head is Mawela. MR MPOFU: And? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There would be many other people there, including the Annandales and I'm sure they can - it's a multi-faceted structure, by the way. MR MPOFU: That's what I wanted to get straight. So something that we're discovering now on day 100 is that somewhere we refer to a structure called NATJOC which had an overall view, or bird's-eye view over the operation in Marikana. GENERAL PHIYEGA: I understand it in context, Dali - sorry, Advocate, I've said to you that once the request crosses the border, I have to actually give authority and the authority I give to the person who is in charge of the JOCs and whatever and the ORS and all those things, and General Mawela would be responsible for doing that so that he can link up with other provinces. General Mbombo cannot ordinarily just start giving instructions to get people from all over without my permission. It is myself and the President who can do so. But in this regard |

everything. 2 MR MPOFU: Okay. We're going to come to 3 the understanding of what this structure is, but let me 4 just ask you, just ask for an example. General Annandale 5 testified here that he deployed X-number of STF members. **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** 6 Yes. 7 MR MPOFU: Let's say 20, for argument 8 sake. Now please just take us through how he would do 9 that, given NATJOC, given the provincial command, given the JOC that we know, if you know what I mean. 10 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** Okay, I'll repeat what

11 12 I've already testified here. A, if this matter was just 13 confined to Marikana and General Mbombo had POP members

14 allocated to this province, she can move those people from 15 here to Pampierstad, to Mafikeng, people that are in her

province, and she would work with the commanders in 16 operations in her area - the Mpembes, the Calitz, the 17

Naidoos, as an example. But then she is having a situation 18

19 that requires that she has additional resources and

20 capacity. To cross her borders she needs to talk to me. I

21 am the person who can say we can mobilise people from other

22 provinces to another, and the permission I would have given

23 I would then ask the head of our operations, which is

24 General Mawela, who's responsible for the JOCs and

25 whatever, to say let's operationalise, there's an issue at

Page 10690

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

hand, let's reach out to other people. Let's make sure

2 that that which needs to be done gets done. The NATJOC

3 that has many other people that are in operations with him,

4 like General Annandale and the others, will then work with the PROVJOC. Yes, so we'll use those structures with the

6 respective commanders that are there, working with the

7 provincial ones. If there's a cluster JOC, they would work

8 with the cluster JOC, but in this regard we were talking

about a PROVJOC and a NATJOC. And let's say there is a 9

matter that is much bigger now, requires the chiefs of all 10

these law enforcement agencies to come together, the JOINTS 11

12 will then play another role. So the role that was played

by the Calitz, the Naidoos, the Mpembes, the Annandales, 13

14 and all the other people who was within that framework.

15 MR MPOFU: Okay. I'm trying to work with you here. So for the purposes of shorthand can we say that 16

17 the JOC, the one that was situated at Lonmin, in the

framework that you've explained was the PROVJOC? 18

GENERAL PHIYEGA: 19 It's true, yes, working

within that JOC.

21

1

5

And this is what is worrying MR MPOFU:

me, or surprising me then. From also what you've described

then there would have been coordination, I think is the

24 word you used, between the PROVJOC and the NATJOC.

GENERAL PHIYEGA: Absolutely, and what Page 10691

will be that, Advocate, is that remember, you are no longer

just using - they would have a PROVJOC if they were just by

themselves as a, province but now that we've crossed the

provinces, that's where the role of NATJOC becomes

important and the role of Annandale and others.

6 MR MPOFU: I see. So that's the context 7 in which you mentioned this NATJOC in relation to my 8 questions to you yesterday about Annandale's deployment.

9 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct. It is true.

10 MR MPOFU: Yes, in other words your 11 understanding is that he was deployed by the NATJOC to the PROVJOC? 12

13 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** He had to be here 14 because we are dealing with resources coming from all over. 15 MR MPOFU: Yes. No, that's not my

question. My question is whether your understanding was -

17 and I'm basing it on the fact that you said there were many

other members, so there must be a reason why him - your 19 understanding is that he was here, being deployed by the

20 NATJOC, he being Annandale. If not, then why was it him?

If you even know what I mean. If you know, if you don't,

22 you don't.

23 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** He's a very senior 24 person, he's a major-general who reports to General Mawela,

who reports to me, and they're responsible for the

Page 10692

operations of SAPS.

2 MR MPOFU: Yes, but I assume he's not the 3 only person who fits that description. There are - okay others, let me not even hazard a guess. There are other 5 people who fit that description. Senior person reports to Mawela, responsible for operations, and so on and so on. 6

So that doesn't answer my question, why him.

GENERAL PHIYEGA: For us he fitted the glove because he's in operations. He's also responsible for all of our special units, POP and others.

MR MPOFU: Okay, General. I'll try once more, and if you don't know, which I suspect, you can tell us. Did NATJOC deploy Annandale for the reasons that you've mentioned to physically go to the PROVJOC, to your knowledge?

GENERAL PHIYEGA: Remember, and I'll say what I said yesterday, by virtue of mobilising people all over, it was important for me for the NATJOC to come in place and because they direct operations of SAPS, and him being a very important person in terms of operations I couldn't go and say bring Major-General Zuma. Major-General Zuma is responsible for the borders and he is also in ORS, but Annandale is very important because this is particular in terms of his environment.

25 MR MPOFU: Okay. You see, I don't want

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

23

MR MPOFU:

when the event is here.

RCHIVE FOR

GENERAL PHIYEGA:

24 understand why we would have to have two sets of minutes

And I wouldn't

Page 10693 Page 10695 to reduce this to Annandale. We can deal with him at some MR MPOFU: No, let's take one step back. 2 other point. I'm more interested in this new structure -Your evidence is that, or at least it's common cause that 3 new to me, sorry. So, or rather where are the minutes of PROVJOC was meeting in Marikana at Lonmin. Correct? 4 the meetings of NATJOC, particularly those that deal with 4 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct, and the 5 Marikana? 5 PROVJOC also had people from NATJOC. I thought you had some 6 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** 6 MR MPOFU: Ja, that may well be so. Your 7 7 of the minutes here because as I'm saying to you, the JOC, evidence is also that NATJOC met somewhere in South Africa NATJOC and PROVJOC were collaborating. I saw some of the 8 8 where you cannot locate. Sorry, I'm -9 9 minutes that you shared yesterday. **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** No, let me correct 10 MR MPOFU: 10 that. Yes. No, I mean as I say MR MPOFU: 11 NATJOC is completely new to me. So whatever minutes I took 11 Yes, help me. 12 you through, including EE, were of what we've now agreed **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** Let me correct that, 12 was the PROVJOC. 13 13 because you asked me where they meet and I said it's only 14 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** I thought, as I'm 14 present, they can meet anywhere. That's what I said to 15 explaining the collaboration between the NATJOC and you, but I didn't say to you they met somewhere in South PROVJOC, I said to you that if the province was working Africa where I did not know. That's not my evidence. 16 alone we would not have even participated. We would have 17 MR MPOFU: 17 Okay, I'm subject to 18 received reports only. But because we were mobilising from 18 correction, but I thought my last question to you before 19 all over the NATJOC had to work with the PROVJOC, and I 19 lunch was does that mean you don't know where they met and 20 thought that the recording and the reports that you've been 20 you said yes, but that's at this stage neither here nor 21 receiving resembles that collaboration. 21 there. 22 22 [14:34] And this is why in those minutes you were asking GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've just corrected 23 about, you're talking about Major-General Annandale, Major-23 that for you. 24 24 Yes. So the NATJOC, obviously General, whatever. Some are national, some are provincial. MR MPOFU: 25 MR MPOFU: No, Ma'am. Let's for now put it's a body of many individuals; it's not a one-person Page 10694 Page 10696 aside the joint workings, if any, of these two structures. 1 1 show, correct? I'm asking you - firstly, before we go there, for the 2 It's a big centre with 2 GENERAL PHIYEGA: 3 minutes of the meetings of NATJOC itself, before it 3 many people and -4 coordinates with anybody, where are those insofar as it had 4 MR MPOFU: And - I'm sorry, sorry, I 5 this, what I call the bird's-eye view, because as I 5 interrupted you. 6 GENERAL PHIYEGA: I was just to show 6 understood it, your answer before lunch was if I wanted to 7 exactly, remember when we were sitting here there were many 7 say before the operation how many NIUs, how many this, 8 that, the place I would have to go to is NATJOC. Where do 8 other events happening in the country. The NATJOC will 9 9 I find that? I mean where do they meet - well, you said continue being concerned with many, many other things. 10 MR MPOFU: you don't know where they met, but where are their minutes Yes 10 11 at least? 11 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Whether it's Northern, 12 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** I think you referred me 12 whether it was Northern Cape burning, whether it was 13 for instance to this presentation that tells you about how 13 Barberton burning, they will be there doing certain things. many people they deployed from where, and everything. It's 14 MR MPOFU: Yes. Yes, no that I 14 15 sitting in your presentation, and remember that the event 15 appreciate. I mean it's like a company that has a head office and what have you. That I understand. All I'm 16 was in this province. The national was supporting the 16 17 province in an event that is taking place in here. So 17 saying is assuming exactly what you are saying, to 18 there is support coming from the NATJOC to support an issue 18 illustrate how much I understand what you are saying, for 19 that is taking place here. They are not two different example I would be then saying to you NATJOC met, they issues. The NATJOC is supporting the PROVJOC because we 20 discussion some flare-up in the Northern Cape, another 21 are mobilising resources from other provinces. 21 flare-up in Mpumalanga, Marikana, X, Y, Z. So I would say

on those minutes of that meeting, I'd say scratch out all

Commission, but I want the portion of their discussion that

concerned Marikana. Do you understand? I think that's

those other things because they don't concern this

22

23

24

what you are saying, that they were the -1 2 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Mpofu, I don't 3

want to interrupt you but you know the practice we followed

4 up to now is that if one party wants documents or anything

5 of that kind from another, they don't debate it on the

floor of the auditorium; they go to the representatives of 6

7 the party concerned and say could we please have these

8 documents. If there's a refusal or an impasse of some kind

9 then I suppose they can raise it with the Commission, but

10 normally these things, the time of the Commission isn't

wasted in asking for documents. This is something that's 11

12 done privately outside the auditorium. That's certainly

13 the practice that's been followed up to now. Is there any 14

reason why it can't be applied as far as the documents 15

you're now seeking?

MR MPOFU: 16 No. No, Chairperson, there 17 isn't, but this obviously goes far beyond documents. 18

Forget the minutes. Forget the minutes. Okay, I don't

19 want to -

20 GENERAL PHIYEGA: And maybe -

21 CHAIRPERSON: Do you want the minutes

22 now?

23 MR MPOFU: No, I don't.

24 CHAIRPERSON: You've been asking about

25 the minutes for some time -

Page 10699 minutes. I'll follow your advice and speak to Mr Semenya

2 about minutes at a suitable time. What I want to

3 understand, General Phiyega, is - this can be reduced to an

4 issue of minutes - it is the fact that there was another

body called NATJOC or whatever it was called, which

6 concerned itself with the events in Marikana to the extent

7 that it, that body, was the place where one would have to

8 go to if you wanted to understand how many people with

lethal force versus those with non-lethal force, and where

10 you'd go to, to understand why a person like Annandale, who

11 played such a significant role in this operation, why they

12 were here. That is a matter of substance, and of grave

13 concern, if I may add. Nothing to do - if they had

14 minutes, that's their business. I don't even want to see

15 them, but I would like to understand what role that

16 structure played in fashioning the events that led to the

17 death and injury of people, including the people I

represent. Do you understand? I don't want us to reduce

19 this to a menial matter of minutes.

20 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay, I think those issues have long been placed before this Commission and I 21

22 will try again. General Mbombo as the Provincial

23 Commissioner had the right and the delegated authority to

24 manage and control this province in terms of policing,

together with her executives, including responding to

Page 10698

MR MPOFU: 1 Yes, I'll ask Mr -

2 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya has turned his

3 light on. Let's see if he can throw some light on that.

4 MR MPOFU: No, this has nothing - this -

5 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, maybe this may clarify, if we look at GGG40, that's the JOC control and 6

7 the JOC operational. If you look at that you will see

8 there are individuals from various divisions coming from

9 the province itself, and some coming from national. That

would have been a NATJOC in this province, and what we have

11 as minutes would be minutes emanating from this structure,

12 but in tandem with it there may very well be another NATJOC

in Nelspruit -13

10

14

22

GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes.

15 MR SEMENYA SC: - dealing with matters

there and minuting that document there. 16

17 MR MPOFU: Well, firstly I would prefer

18 the answers to come from the witness. Secondly -

19 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, I can understand

if matters of credibility and so on are involved. Where

you're looking for information, the information you get is 21

MR MPOFU: No, that's why I've discarded 23

24 the issue of information. I don't want it. I'm discarding

the, because I don't want to reduce this to a question of

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

Page 10700

anything that is taking place, like Marikana, and setting

up, according to our protocols, any necessary structure

that is, that would enable her together with her team to

respond to that. Whether they have a venue VOC or a

5 cluster VOC or a PROVJOC or whatever, she has the powers to

6 do so within her province.

7 We've then said to this gathering that at any

8 time when that role has to go outside this province where I

9 have to mobilise, whether from KZN or Eastern Cape or

10 whatever, that permission is going to come from me because

our prescripts, the protocol and the legislation requires 11

12 that that happens. In the leading, when I was, my evidence

13 was led by our lawyer, it was very clear that I also have a 14 full set of executives who take care of various areas to

15 execute operationally. When that request comes to me and

16 it's got to do with operations, I do not go to the

17 executive that is in charge, the commander that is in

18 charge of things. I go to the commander that is

19 responsible for operations, and because of the national

nature of the deployment that has to take place, the NATJOC

21 then becomes very critical. They must work with this

22 province. If there's anything in Nelspruit they must work

23 with those. If there's anything in De Doorns they must do

24 those, and the reports that come from there will then

inform the activities of the various environments, and you

```
Page 10701
                                                                                                                         Page 10703
     do have the Marikana report.
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
                                                                    1
                                                                                                  I accept that.
 2
           MR MPOFU:
                                                                    2
                             Well, you know, that's exactly
                                                                               GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                           Okay, I have -
    your last, the sentence you said just before the last one
 3
                                                                    3
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                  I accept that. I'm sorry -
 4
     is exactly what worries me.
                                                                    4
                                                                               GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                           Can I just go on so
 5
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                    5
                                                                        that we kill this thing? Do you also accept that I work
                                     The minutes
           MR MPOFU:
                                                                        through a team of executives who do particular things for
                             I'll quote to you, you say,
                                                                    6
 6
 7
                                                                    7
     "The reports that come from those environments" -
                                                                        me?
 8
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                     The minutes, that's
                                                                    8
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                  Listen, Commissioner, I know -
                                                                    9
 9
     what I mean.
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      No, no, Mr Mpofu, you
10
           MR MPOFU:
                             - "would inform the activities
                                                                   10
                                                                        mustn't interrupt her. She's busy trying to explain
11
    of the various operations in those areas," something to
                                                                   11
                                                                        something to you.
     that - now that's exactly my concern. To what extent did
                                                                   12
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
12
                                                                                                  Oh, no, she's put her question
     the NATJOC and reports getting to them, quote, "inform the
                                                                        mark at the end without it being seen. I was just
13
                                                                   13
     activities" that led to the Marikana situation?
14
                                                                   14
                                                                        responding. Okay.
15
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                     I'm not sure where
                                                                   15
                                                                               GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                           Because I'm just
    you're coming from with that question, but I just want to
16
                                                                   16
                                                                        repeating what I've said. I've said -
    say yesterday for instance you gave me homework and part of
17
                                                                   17
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                  Yes, I was just responding to
18
     the homework was where minutes - that's what I'm talking
                                                                   18
                                                                        your question -
19
     about.
                                                                   19
                                                                               GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                           We have crossed the
20
           MR MPOFU:
                             Thank you, General, I'm going
                                                                   20
                                                                        province -
    to move from this, but please do work with me. I'm at
                                                                   21
                                                                                                  The Chair has been -
21
                                                                               MR MPOFU:
                                                                               GENERAL PHIYEGA:
22
     pains, that's why I've abandoned the discussion on
                                                                   22
                                                                                                           - and the deployment
23
    Annandale; I've abandoned the issue about minutes, because
                                                                   23
                                                                        for provincial, cross provincial, it's my remedy, and
24
                                                                   24
     I don't want this to be about those things, tempting as it
                                                                        that's what the law expects of me, and I'm saying there is
25
    is. My concern with you is simply the fact that somewhere
                                                                   25
                                                                        no way when there is that nature of work that I go to the
                                                       Page 10702
                                                                                                                         Page 10704
     in this country there was a body called NATJOC which
                                                                       CFO of the company; that's not what he does. I would go to
 1
     concerned itself - let's confine it for now to the issue of
                                                                       operations and there are clear protocols on how we do that.
 2
 3
     deployment which you and I had discussed before lunch -
                                                                       There will be a NATJOC, there would be a PROVJOC, there
 4
     with the overall centralisation, or whatever the phrase
                                                                       would be what a, so Mawela is in charge of that, so I would
 5
     that we had devised earlier, of the operation in Marikana,
                                                                       be saying General Mawela, we need to assist province Y to
                                                                       deploy people, engage them, establish their needs, ensure
 6
     and that that body or structure is, at least to me,
 7
                                                                   7
                                                                       that this happens, and through the NATJOC that will happen.
     something that I'm hearing about for the first time,
                                                                   8
                                                                       So there is nothing new about a NATJOC.
 8
     something that's not mentioned in the opening statement of
 9
                                                                   9
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                Okay.
     the police, something that's not mentioned in exhibit L.
                                                                              GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                   10
10
     [14:54] Exhibit L was presented – I'm sorry – by
                                                                                                       And that support would
11
     Lieutenant-Colonel Scott, I think. Exhibit L was presented
                                                                   11
                                                                       be given to De Doorns, to Marikana, to wherever, to
12
     here over four days - you can take that for granted - and
                                                                   12
                                                                       wherever, and I am saying the records that you are looking
13
                                                                   13
     that until today at least, and I'm speaking for myself; I
                                                                       for, we've given what we have.
14
     missed one or two days, so I - but before today this
                                                                   14
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                Okay.
                                                                   15
15
     structure which played such an important role, at least at
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                   Mr Mpofu, it's now 3
                                                                       o'clock and we'll take the adjournment.
16
     the top of the operation somehow, among other things might
                                                                   16
17
     have informed the activities that occurred here. Do you
                                                                   17
                                                                              [COMMISSION ADJOURNS
                                                                                                           COMMISSION RESUMES]
18
     understand my concern? You don't have to agree with it,
                                                                   18
                                                                       [15:20] COMMISSIONER:
                                                                                                       The Commission resumes.
                                                                   19
19
     but I just don't want you to reduce it to these little
                                                                       Before we continue, there are some announcements I wish to
                                                                   20
20
     things. Do you understand where I'm coming from?
                                                                       make. I have been informed that the President is about to
21
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                     I think, Advocate, I'm
                                                                       extend the period of duration of this Commission beyond the
    very concerned because I keep on explaining to say if you
                                                                       present cut-off date for the hearing of evidence, namely
    could just agree that national deployment is my remedy -
                                                                       the 31st May 2013, which means that the Commission will in
                                                                   23
24
         MR MPOFU:
                              No, that -
                                                                   24
                                                                       fact resume hearings next week.
           GENERAL PHIYEGA: And we agreed on that.
                                                                   25
                                                                              RULING
```

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 10705

I now want to give my ruling on the application 1 for the removal of the seat of the Commission from 2 3 Rustenburg to Centurion.

I am satisfied that the applicants for the removal have succeeded in establishing compelling and weighty reasons for the order sought. It's unnecessary for me to set them out because they are fully set forth in the affidavits filed. It's clear that the state and most of the parties are obliged to expend large sums of money each month in respect, inter alia, of accommodation and transport, which expenditure will not have to be incurred if the Commission moves to Centurion. In addition, there is the distinct danger that at least two of the parties will not be able to continue participating in the proceedings of the Commission as a result of lack of funds if the Commission does not move.

The application was opposed at the 11th hour by the Administrator of the Bapo Ba Mogale Traditional Community purporting to act in his official capacity and four local authorities in the area supported this opposition. In what follows I shall refer to the Administrator and the local authorities as the respondents.

I am prepared to assume, without deciding, that some or all of these respondents have locus standi to oppose the application. I am satisfied, however, that the Page 10707

Constitutional Development, who agrees therewith. The 2 following order is made:

The seat of the Commission is moved to Centurion, Gauteng, and the sittings of the Commission will accordingly resume, after the end of today's sitting, in the Municipal Offices, Centurion, Gauteng at 10AM on the 4th of June. The premises are not available on the 3rd but I understand that a meeting will take place on that date at Centurion or at some other venue which is convenient to the representatives of the parties to discuss methods of shortening the proceedings, particularly in relation to cross-examination. I understand there will be space at Centurion for the meeting to be held there if the parties so wish, but clearly they may desire to hold the meeting in chambers or some other convenient place.

I want to thank the counsel who were involved in moving the application and giving me and the Minister the benefit of their views thereon and which were of much assistance in preparing the ruling that I prepared, that I have just given.

I am also reminded that the premises are not available on the 5th either, so we'll be sitting on the 4th and then again on the 6th and the 7th, of course.

I want to say something else shortly before we leave this matter and that is that the Rustenburg Local

Page 10706

- material that the respondents have placed before me does
- 2 not detract, to any significant extent, from the powerful
- 3 case made out by the applicants in support of the
- 4 application. The main concern of the traditional community
- 5 is that the local communities will be prejudiced in their
- 6 endeavours to place material before the Commission in
 - relation to some of the issues arising under phase 2 of the
- 8 Commission's work. There's no real substance in the fears
- 9 expressed in this regard. They are legally represented and
- their representatives will be able to put their points 10
- 11 before the Commission, even if it is sitting in Centurion.

In addition, Lonmin, who have up to now very generously provided free transport for persons from Marikana to attend the sittings of the Commission in Rustenburg, have indicated that they are willing to continue to provide such transport to Centurion if the Commission moves there.

A number of preliminary points were also argued, which I have considered but which it's unnecessary to address herein in view of the conclusion to which I have come on the merits of the application. In all the 22 circumstances, I am satisfied that the applicants have established reasonable grounds justifying the move sought.

The order which I shall make in a moment is made

25 in consultation with the Minister of Justice and

Page 10708

- Municipality have made their facilities at this Civic
- Centre where we are sitting, available to the Commission
- 3 free of charge and its officials and staff have gone out of
- 4 their way to assist the Commission in its work and to make
- our stay here as comfortable as possible and it is only
- 6 appropriate that we should, on this 100th sitting of the
- 7 Commission here in Rustenburg, express our sincere
- 8 gratitude to the Municipality, the Council and its staff,
- 9 for the generous gesture that they've made, which has
- 10 substantially contributed to the work of the Commission and
- 11 which I hope will one day be accompanied by a result
- 12 beneficial to the country as a whole. As I said, this is
- 13 the 100th sitting here. It's perhaps appropriate that we
- 14 should resume the 101st sitting and enter into our new

15 century in Centurion.

> Major-General, I'm sorry to have eaten into your time - I mean, sorry, National Commissioner, I'm sorry to have eaten into your time but you're still under oath and Mr Mpofu I think is still cross-examining you.

MR MPOFU: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson, but before I do so if I may just say on behalf of the applicants at least, and I'm sure other people as well who either did not, rather, oppose the application, to thank you for the manner in which this matter was handled. I'm sure that the people that we represent will appreciate all

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Page 10709 the difficulties but more importantly just to echo

hopefully, without even consulting my colleagues, on their 2

3 behalf, the gratitude that you've expressed to Rustenburg

4 Municipality. Thank you, Chair. And of course

5 specifically for the people that I represent to thank

Lonmin for extending the transport arrangements which was 6

7 really the only possible impediment to this. Thank you.

8 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. I trust Mr

9 Burger will convey our gratitude to his clients in that

10 regard.

11 MR BURGER SC: Sir, whilst we talk 12 administration, what is the physical address of the 13 municipal offices in Centurion because we must go there

14 next week? I don't have the faintest idea where to look 15

for it.

19

16 CHAIRPERSON: The evidence leaders - I've 17 been there but I didn't make a note of the address but Mr

18 Budlender, have you been there? Can you give the - Mr

Wesley, or Mr Tokota actually pays his taxes there. Mr

Tokota, would you please tell Mr Burger where to go?

20 21 MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I make a

22 suggestion? We are to have a meeting of the parties on

23 Monday to talk about expediting the process. We'll send

24 out an e-mail either today or tomorrow specifying (a) the

25 time of the meeting on Monday and (b) the venue -

Page 10711 respect of the way forward with the parties. We're not

sitting on the 5th and we're not sitting on the 12th. For

the rest of the month we'll be sitting at the venue that I

mentioned. I take it you still remember you're under oath,

5 National Commissioner, I don't have to remind you again.

6 Mr Mpofu?

7 MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chairperson.

8 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, can I

just understand something? Are you suggesting that apart

from the JOC that was in Marikana on Lonmin property, that

11 there was some other JOC that met in Pretoria or some other 12

place as regards the events in Marikana? Is that what

13 you're suggesting?

14 MR MPOFU: No, that's what the witness

15 suggested, Chair. All I'm saying if you remember, Madam

Commissioner, in my last two questions or so before lunch I

wanted to know where this NatJOC met and the witness had

said it can meet anywhere but she didn't know where it met. 19 one. Two, after lunch I then asked questions that set to

20 create a distinction between what we would understand as

21 ProvJOC at the Lonmin premises and NatJOC, which is

22 something else and I think to that also she acceded, so -

23 and I'm going to move away from this because my

24 instructions are now, which were communicated to my learned

colleagues, to demand the minutes that we had asked for -

Page 10710

CHAIRPERSON: And if the venue is not the 1

2 Municipal Offices at Centurion you will also provide a map

3 as to how to get there on Tuesday morning.

MR BUDLENDER SC: 4 I can't guarantee a map

5 but we will – sufficient information to enable reasonably

competent people to find it. 6

> CHAIRPERSON: What about the others, Mr -

MR BUDLENDER SC: 8 Bad luck for the rest

9 of them.

7

10 MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson -

Chair, if I could -11 MR MAHLANGU:

12 MR MPOFU: I have no doubt that the

13 secretariat -

14 MR MAHLANGU: Just before Mr Mpofu -

15 MR MPOFU: So sorry.

16 MR MAHLANGU: Just before Mr Mpofu

17 continues, what has now been said, this decision that has

18 been made unfortunately has not been translated. If I

19 could just very briefly tell the people at the back? Thank

20 you.

21 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I am also

22 reminded that we will not be sitting on the 12th of June. I

mention that for the benefit of those who want to make

24 arrangements. So just to sum up, we're not sitting as a

Commission on the 3rd, although there'll be a meeting in

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 10712 CHAIRPERSON: I think "request" might be 1

2 a more appropriate word.

3 MR MPOFU: Well, okay, request. Thank

4 you, Chair, but the short answer to your question,

Commissioner, is yes, because of the reasons I have alluded

6 to I am going to ask one or two questions which make it

clearer and then move on, if I may.

MANGWASHI VICTORIA PHIYEGA: S.U.O.

9 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.):

Just a clarity question, NatJOC stands for National Joint

Operations Centre. 11

12 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, you're right.

And PROVJOC is Provincial MR MPOFU:

14 Joint Operations Centre, okay. And the PROVJOC was headed

15 by General Mpembe, correct?

> **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** Yes.

17 MR MPOFU: And the NatJOC is headed by

18 General Mawela?

GENERAL PHIYEGA: 19 Yes, the head of the

20 ORS.

7

8

10

13

16

21 MR MPOFU: Of this, ja.

22 GENERAL PHIYEGA: General Mpembe. Mawela

23 is the head of ORS. He would, you know, he would work with

24 people who were in the JOC.

25 MR MPOFU: He would probably chair it. I

```
Page 10713
                                                                                                                        Page 10715
    mean I'm not holding you to it, that's why I'm using a
                                                                       You can either take my word or you can look it up. It's, I
    neutral word, he headed it.
                                                                   2
                                                                       think 11.4.
2
3
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                     Let's just use he
                                                                   3
                                                                              GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                        I think I'm just
4
    headed it.
                                                                   4
                                                                       avoiding - avoiding us getting lost, maybe somebody helping
5
           MR MPOFU:
                             Ja, okay. I think - oh yes,
                                                                   5
                                                                       me, I'd prefer we go there.
                                                                   6
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
    there's just a last one just so that we are on the same
                                                                                                11 - ja, 11.2 of standing
6
                                                                   7
7
    page. The NatJOC is a body, it's not a person as a human
                                                                       order 262 which, Chairperson, I think it's exhibit SS2,
    person, it's a structure if you know what I mean.
                                                                   8
8
                                                                       yes.
9
                                                                   9
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                     It's a centre.
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                    We've spent many -
10
           MR MPOFU:
                              Yes, but it's made up of
                                                                  10
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                Many -
                                                                  11
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
11
    individuals. If it meets, it meets in the boardroom or
                                                                                                    - happy hours looking at
12
    something, you know what I mean? It's a structure. It's a
                                                                  12
                                                                       it.
13
    centre but it's made up of individuals.
                                                                  13
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                Yes. 2.1 says, "Put defensive
14
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                     It's a centre. It
                                                                  14
                                                                       measures in place as a priority." Oh sorry, I'm reading it
15
    carries a lot of things.
                                                                       out of context. "If negotiations fail and life or property
           MR MPOFU:
                                                                       is in danger, the following procedure must be followed, put
16
                              Yes. No, no, I understand
17
    that. I mean just like the ProvJOC is a centre, that we
                                                                  17
                                                                       defensive measures in place as a priority." You are aware
18
    have established and we know where it met and so on and so
                                                                  18
                                                                       of that?
19
    on, we even have its minutes and I'm not even canvassing
                                                                  19
                                                                       [15:40] GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                          Yes, I'm reading with
20
    the issue of minutes. All I'm saying is that it's a
                                                                  20
                                                                       you.
21
    corporate - not a corporate body, it's a persona which is
                                                                  21
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                Yes. And it's also common
22
                                                                  22
                                                                       cause that one of the defensive measures that were used or
    made up of people.
                                                                  23
23
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                       intended to be used in the Marikana operation was the
                                     Ja, it's a centre.
                                                                  24
                                                                       employment or the deployment, rather, of barbed wire. You
24
    When you get there you will see what is happening in
25
    Barberton, what is happening in wherever, what is
                                                                       are aware of that?
                                                      Page 10714
                                                                                                                        Page 10716
     happening. It's a centre.
                                                                   1
                                                                              GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                         Yes, I am.
1
2
           MR MPOFU:
                              Okay.
                                                                   2
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                 And even if one was not a
3
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                      Just like, you know,
                                                                   3
                                                                       policeman or even an advocate, you would agree with the
4
    just massify the ProvJOC.
                                                                       proposition that reading what I've read to you, if there
5
           MR MPOFU:
                              Okay, 100%, that's all I need.
                                                                   5
                                                                       was a situation where you needed to stop citizens from
                                                                       physically entering a particular area, it would be
    Thank you, Chairperson. So it's the national version of
                                                                   6
6
7
                                                                   7
                                                                       preferable to bar them by the use of something like barbed
    the ProvJOC. Okay, just one - thank you, that's all on
8
    that issue for now, until we get the minutes, if we get
                                                                   8
                                                                       wire defensive measures than by a line of semi-automatic
9
                                                                   9
    them. A completely unrelated issue - I don't know why we
                                                                       rifle-wielding people.
                                                                  10
10
    keep on, I keep on starting this issue and then somehow we
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     Isn't it a point for
11
     get sidetracked to something else. You remember our
                                                                  11
                                                                       argument, rather than a matter on -
12
    discussion about the need to avoid the use of lethal force,
                                                                  12
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                 No, it's not, Chair. Yes,
13
    which you and I had agreed is a requirement?
                                                                  13
                                                                       because -
14
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                      Chair, the one where I
                                                                  14
                                                                                                     Isn't it a matter for
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
15
                                                                  15
    said depending on circumstances.
                                                                       argument? It's either -
16
           MR MPOFU:
                              Yes.
                                                                  16
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                 No, okay Chair, let's assume
17
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                      Yes, I remember.
                                                                  17
                                                                       it is but it's a prefix to -
18
           MR MPOFU:
                              No, you're quite right, that's
                                                                  18
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     Oh, I see, alright.
19
    how we got lost into the issue of the circumstances. Now
                                                                  19
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                 - to the next question.
    putting that issue aside, do you know, since you, in chief
                                                                  20
                                                                                                     Alright, I won't -
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
21
    you were led as somebody who understands the prescripts
                                                                  21
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                 Thank you.
                                                                                                     Mr Burger is looking at me
22 including standing order 262 and for now you can just take
                                                                  22
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
    my word on this one, otherwise I'll take you to the
                                                                  23
                                                                       but he hasn't turned his mike on, so you can carry on. Ask
24 specific section, that somewhere under 11 of 262 it says
                                                                  24
                                                                       the question.
25 that the use of defensive measures must be prioritised.
                                                                  25
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                 Thank you, ja, Chair -
  ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE
```

```
Page 10717
                                                                                                                         Page 10719
                                                                       I will ask that guestion but to make it easier can I refer
 1
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                   Mr Semenya has got his one
                                                                       you to the SAPS opening statement, which you approved, at
2
    on. Mr Semenya?
                                     Chair, the line of police
                                                                       paragraph - I'm sorry - Ms Pillay will help us just now
3
            MR SEMENYA SC:
4
    was not to achieve this purpose. The evidence has been
                                                                       with the exhibit number.
     given by several -
5
                                                                    5
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                    Mr Tokota says, and he's
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                       correct, it's FFF9.
6
                                   You say the line of police
                                                                    6
7
                                                                    7
    was not?
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                FFF9, thank you. I am
8
            MR SEMENYA SC:
                                                                   8
                                                                       indebted to Commissioner Tokota. And I'm on page 17, 44.8.
                                     The line of police that
                                                                    9
9
     my learned colleague is referring to was not there for the
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                    Might I say if you marked
     purpose that he's explaining. General Annandale told us
                                                                   10
                                                                       your exhibits you wouldn't have to ask people what the
10
     exactly why they were there.
                                                                       exhibit numbers are because when you have the exhibit in
11
                                                                   11
            MR MPOFU:
12
                               He didn't tell me. Alright -
                                                                   12
                                                                       your hand you'd know but anyway, carry on.
                                                                   13
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                Well -
13
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                   Well, I think he gave
                                                                   14
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
14
     evidence on the point. If you didn't hear it, that's your
                                                                                                    Page 17 you say, paragraph?
     misfortune, isn't it?
                                                                   15
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                I have marked them,
15
                                                                       Chairperson, but there are too many to handle but the - and
16
            MR MPOFU:
                               Well. I'm sure it's the
    misfortune of everyone sitting her. He didn't say why they
                                                                       this particular one, page 1 was dislodged. The version of
17
                                                                   17
    were lining up there in basic line. That I am 100% sure
18
                                                                       the police, is the implementation - I'm sorry.
                                                                   19
                                                                              GENERAL PHIYEGA:
19
    of.
                                                                                                        What paragraph? 44.8?
20
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                   Mr Burger, you look as if
                                                                   20
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                    44.8 read with 44.10.
    you want to participate in the debate as well.
                                                                   21
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                44.8 ja. It's 44.8 but just -
21
22
            MR BURGER SC:
                                                                   22
                                                                       because it is this measure, I just wanted to put it in
                                    Chair, again it's not my
23
                                                                   23
                                                                       context. It says, "It was reported later" - sorry, I'm
    issue but this is not an expert on what we're busy with.
                                                                       reading from 44.7 - "The JOC then took a decision at 13:30
24
    We've had this expert for too many days, he's been asked
                                                                   24
    too many questions on that. Why we now go to expertise
                                                                       to disperse the protesters, disarm them and, where
25
                                                      Page 10718
                                                                                                                         Page 10720
    from the National Commissioner, I really, on a point of
                                                                       necessary, first arrest at 3:30." So that's the context.
1
2
    relevance I object to it.
                                                                       44.8 says, "The implementation of this measure was preceded
3
           MR MPOFU:
                               No -
                                                                       by the deployment of barbed wire to send a message that
4
           MR BURGER SC:
                                   And admissibility as
                                                                       armed protesters may not cross the police line." So all
    opinion evidence.
5
                                                                    5
                                                                       I'm saying is that even if you just read that, it would
6
           MR MPOFU:
                               Okay Chair, that's an easy
                                                                    6
                                                                       seem that the police accept that the best way to send a
7
                                                                    7
    one. The witness was led as somebody who is familiar with
                                                                       message that people may not cross a police line is by the
                                                                       deployment of barbed wire. Are we agreed on that? Yes, at
8
    the prescripts, including the one that I just read out to
9
                                                                   9
    her. All I'm doing, so to that extent -
                                                                       least we agree on that part. I'm going to read on -
                                                                   10
                                                                              GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                        No, you didn't ask me
10
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                  Sorry, can I cut it short?
11
     Can you not reformulate the question -
                                                                   11
                                                                       to respond.
12
           MR MPOFU:
                               Yes -
                                                                   12
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                Yes, I'm asking you whether -
                                                                   13
13
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                  And say inasmuch as it's
                                                                              GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                        Okay -
    obviously better to block people, prevent people from
                                                                   14
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
14
                                                                                                No, no, sorry, I'm just
     coming through an area by a fence or barbed wire rather
                                                                   15
15
                                                                       repeating the question. I'm not asking any -
    than a group of, a line of people, and then ask the
                                                                   16
                                                                              GENERAL PHIYEGA:
16
                                                                                                        I've read that, that
17
    question.
                                                                   17
                                                                       there's the barbed wire that would separate the people and
18
            MR MPOFU:
                                                                   18
                                                                       the police.
                               Okay.
19
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                  Then you're not asking for
                                                                   19
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                Yes. Then the next sentence
    an opinion on a matter on which she's not an expert.
                                                                       which is still the version of the police, is that "The
20
21
           MR MPOFU:
                               Yes.
                                                                   21
                                                                       protesters defied this, in other words the deployment of
22
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                  It's built into the
                                                                       the barbed wire, and attempted on three occasions to breach
    question and if someone wants to object to the question,
23
                                                                   23
                                                                       the police barbed wire." Yes. And all I want to know is,
24 they can.
                                                                   24
                                                                       is this in accordance with the version given to you that on
           MR MPOFU:
                             Yes. Thank you, Chairperson,
                                                                       three occasions the protesters tried to breach the barbed
```

Page 10721 wire? 1 2 MR BURGER SC: No, but I object to this. 3 This is the opening by the police. The witness has said 4 she has read it and she agreed with it. I don't know where 5 this questioning goes to now. MR MPOFU: 6 You'll find out as soon as I 7 ask the question -8 MR BURGER SC: No, I object to it on the 9 basis of relevancy, Chair. 10 CHAIRPERSON: The trouble, Mr Mpofu, if I may say so, is she's already said she agrees with it, you 11 12 see. So then you put it to her and you say do you agree 13 with it? Well, she's already said she agreed with it. You 14 don't have to do that. You can take as a given that she's 15 agreed with it. Fair enough. 16 MR MPOFU: 17 CHAIRPERSON: You don't have to go 18 through that again. 19 MR MPOFU: Nο 20 CHAIRPERSON: That's why you can come to 21 the question immediately, you see. 22 MR MPOFU: Ja. 23 CHAIRPERSON: Without all this 24 introduction which she can scarcely deny in view of what 25 she said. Page 10722 1

Page 10723 Annandale gave about why there was - I think you can ask 2 better questions than that. 3 MR MPOFU: Yes, okay that's fine. Then 4 I'm going to ask this, if it turns out that a measure which was prescribed in the prescripts and which would have 6 avoided loss of life was not taken, would you as National 7 Commissioner agree that, what I will put in argument, that 8 not everything was done to avoid - remember we are talking 9 about avoiding death. 10 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Mpofu, but 11 you know that's for us to decide surely? You ask, it's a hypothetical question, if it turns out that's so, what 13 would you say? Well, the answer is whether it turns out 14 that way or not is for us to decide. It's one of the 15 things we'll have to decide -16 MR MPOFU: okay. 17 CHAIRPERSON: And if we decide it, we will then have to make comments and the comments would be 19 pretty obvious, I would imagine, if they're based upon such 20 a finding but I don't think, with respect, that the 21 National Commissioner will help us one way or the other in 22 giving us the benefit of her comments on that in answer to 23 that hypothetical question. 24 MR MPOFU: Okay, then a final attempt. If it turns out that the people in charge of the operation

MR MPOFU: Ja, okay Chairperson, really just to avoid wasting more time on this because the 2 3 objection itself defeats the purpose -4 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, we're not getting 5 anywhere with complaining about objections. Let's just carry on. We've had a harmonious relationship, all of us 6 7 here, for 100 days. Let's not spoil the -8 MR MPOFU: The next century. 9 CHAIRPERSON: Carry on. 10 MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. Okay, Chairperson, not being one to spoil the atmosphere. 11 12 General, the version of the protesters, at least those that 13 I represent, as explained by Mr Magidiwana, is that on what 14 the police call the third attempt there was no barbed wire 15 that was employed and that is also one of the criticisms 16 that I placed to General Annandale as to why the gap where 17 they were killed was not protected by barbed wire. Have you got any comment? 18 19 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, I'm sorry, you asked - she wasn't there, Annandale was. You asked General 21 Annandale about it and he gave a reason. I'm not even sure

22 that she knows what the reason was but even if she does,

24 National Commissioner thinks or comments about the

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

does it really take our case any further to hear what the

explanation, she wasn't there, the explanation that General

failed to take a measure which would avoid death, would you as National Commissioner take the necessary steps to, (a) 3 discipline those people and (b) make sure that it does not 4 happen again, which is the purpose of the Commission. 5 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you there. Surely in regard to the first leg of the question, 6 7 that's a matter for IPID to decide, it's not for her. 8 She's told us over and over again she doesn't brief the 9 police, the police are policed, if I can use that 10 expression, by IPID. The second part obviously is a legitimate question to ask her but I'll allow you, allow 11 12 her to answer the second half of the question but not the first. 13 14 MR MPOFU: I'm happy with that. 15 CHAIRPERSON: It's obvious, it's a matter for IPID, not for her. 17 MR MPOFU: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. 18 GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've already answered that to this Commission and I've said the outcome, as a result of the outcome we'll look at relevant interventions 21 and relevant remedies. 22 MR MPOFU: Okay, that's fair. Thank you 23 for that. Then you and I - okay, I'll try and use the

Chairperson's formulation which is probably more efficient

- given the fact that you and I agreed at the beginning of

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

24

6

7

11

12

12

13

14

15

Page 10725

this cross-examination and the previous one about the

- 2 importance or the crucial role that would be played by the
- 3 accuracy of information in relation to an operation of this
- 4 nature with its potential consequences, would you agree
- 5 that a difference of opinion among the decision makers on,
- for example, the numbers of people that are to be targeted 6
- 7 - I'm using that in the nicest possible way - would play a
- 8 disastrous role in the outcome. And I'm sorry, I know it's
- 9 a long question. Maybe the short question is this, if the
- 10 assumptions that are made are false, isn't it almost
- 11 predictable that the outcome will be disastrous?

CHAIRPERSON: It depends on which way the mistake works. I understand what you're talking about. If they had over-provided because they thought they were dealing with 10X people but in fact they were only - the

- others, some of them only thought they were dealing with X 16
- 17 people, the fact that there's an over-provision of people 18
- to deal with 10X would obviously not have caused a problem
- 19 because they'll easily deal with X but if it's the other
- 20 way around, which is I think where you're going, then the
- 21 answer might be self-evident but I'll still let you ask it.
- 22 MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, yes.
- 23 Bear in mind my original question. Just the qualification
- 24 that the Chairperson is putting is that if the police were,
- 25 if they were to provide for 100 people and it turns out

Page 10726

- that there's only 10 who are there, they might be over-1
- providing. If, on the other hand, they provide for 100 and 2
- 3 it turns out - or rather, they might also be accused of
- 4 overkill and all sorts of things if it's 10 and they
- 5 provide for 100 but if it's the other way around then it
- 6 might be just surplus, which does not attract any
- 7 criticism. You know what I mean?

8 CHAIRPERSON: I think what the question 9 means is this, if in fact they provided enough people to 10 deal with 100 strikers and it turned out that there were 11 1 000 strikers, they didn't have enough people, in other 12 words they were under-manned, then there would've been 13 problems because they didn't have enough people to deal

- 14 with the problem. It wouldn't matter so much the other way
- 15 around. If they had enough people to deal with 100 and
- there were only 10, then they just had too many people but 16
- 17 that wouldn't have affected the correctness, adequacy of
- 18 the operation. What he's putting to you is if they didn't
- 19 actually have enough people and it was partly due to the
- fact that some of the officers thought they were targeting
- 21 100 and others thought they were targeting 1 000, that they
- 22 didn't actually have enough people to deal properly with
- 1 000 how does the question end?
- MR MPOFU: Would you agree that if it was
- 25 that situation, that in other words if you had over-

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 10727

provided, that one of the valid criticisms for example in

terms of how many guns you've brought there, might be that

you provided for what I call overkill in a certain - when I

say, you know what I mean.

CHAIRPERSON: An unfortunate expression in this case.

MR MPOFU: Unfortunately yes, but that's why then I said do you know what I mean. I don't mean it 9 literally, that it would create a dangerous situation, a

10 more dangerous situation.

GENERAL PHIYEGA: Advocate, I think it's a very difficult question that you're asking, very

hypothetical, lends itself to scenario planning and

inconclusive. There's a lot of debates that need to be 15 held around that, this one's assumptions, that one's

assumptions, I cannot be able to answer you on that one. 16

17 [16:00] MR MPOFU: Chair, if I can just wrap this

18 up. Forget the assumptions then. I'm putting it to you

19 that there is evidence in this Commission that some of the

20 police members like Calitz state that there were over 3 000 21 armed people that were the focus or the target of the

22 operation. Others like General Annandale state that the

23 target of the operation was 300 to 400, I think that's what

24 he said, people. So that's the status quo, forget

assumptions -

Page 10728

MR SEMENYA SC: That is the evidence,

Chair.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR MPOFU: Sorry, Chair, before the objection let me just finish the question - and other, some of the objective evidence suggests that there were 300 armed people who arrived and then there were 600 who arrived later, that makes it 900. And another version is that about half of the 3 000 were armed. There are various versions, that's the situation -

CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, you know, this is really getting a little bit out of hand and I'd be rather sad for us to end it here at Rustenburg on a note like that. So what I suggest we do is, it's quite a tricky – as she says correctly, it's a tricky point and what I suggest is for you to go back to the drawing board, write out a neat typed question dealing with the problems that you wanted to raise with her and ask her for it on Tuesday morning.

MR MPOFU: Okay. Chair, I won't - I promise you, I just want to move way so that -

21 CHAIRPERSON: No -

Can I ask one general question 22 MR MPOFU:

23

24 CHAIRPERSON: No, look, there are people who have made travel arrangements on the assumption that

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

| | Page 10729 | |
|-----|---|--|
| 1 | we're going to stop at 4, so I think do it that way on | |
| 2 | Tuesday morning and we'll take the adjournment now and | |
| 3 | we'll bid farewell or possibly au revoir to Rustenburg on a | |
| 4 | happier – | |
| 5 | MR MPOFU: Well, then I'll start – | |
| 6 | MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, I'm sorry, may I | |
| 7 | make one brief announcement, that the meeting of the | |
| | | |
| 8 | parties will take place not on Monday but on Wednesday, on | |
| 9 | the closed day of the Commission and an e-mail will go out | |
| 10 | shortly advising everyone of the arrangements. | |
| 11 | MR MPOFU: Thank you. | |
| 12 | [COMMISSION ADJOURNED] | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | • | |
| | • | |
| 21 | • | |
| 22 | • | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | • | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (IX PKG) EX 1 | |
| | 2013 | |
| - R | E CEVAL OF | |
| | As me (it's little | |
| | ming home, Jane or to have | |
| 3 | - frankly (l your off) | |
| | | |

| A |
|--|
| abandoned 10701:22 10701:23 |
| able 10611:3 10613:24 |
| 10614:8 10631:25 |
| 10636:16 10642:17 |
| 10644:7,9 10648:3,17 10651:15 10658:9 |
| 10674:4 10683:24 |
| 10705:14 10706:10 |
| 10727:16 absolute 10628:18 |
| 10629:4,10 10630:4 |
| Absolutely 10690:25 |
| acceded 10711:22 |
| accept 10624:23 10625:6,7 10646:13 |
| 10623:6,7 10646:13 |
| 10649:25 10650:16 |
| 10663:18 10665:6 |
| 10669:3 10674:23,24 |
| 10678:8 10685:6 10703:1,3,5 10720:6 |
| accepted 10632:14 |
| 10654:23 10655:4,7,8 |
| 10663:25 10664:4 |
| accommodate 10611:18 |
| accommodation |
| 10705:10 |
| accompanied 10635:19 10708:11 |
| accomplish 10624:9,12 |
| account 10631:15 |
| 10680:20 |
| accounted 10679:18 accuracy 10725:3 |
| accused 10726:3 |
| achieve 10618:12 |
| 10624:16 10717:4 |
| achieved 10649:9 10651:8,10 10655:13 |
| act 10622:3,13 |
| 10624:21,22 |
| 10625:13 10629:9 10705:19 |
| acted 10609:17 |
| 10639:18,22 |
| acting 10621:3 |
| activities 10700:25 |
| 10701:10,14 10702:17 |
| actors 10645:5 |
| actual 10626:15,22 |
| 10628:7,8 10632:20 |
| ad 10675:18 10676:21 10677:7,19,20,23 |
| 10679:21 10683:12 |
| add 10612:14 10615:15 |
| 10670:23 10699:13 addition 10705:12 |
| 10706:12 |
| additional 10689:19 |
| address 10616:6 |
| 10634:20 10658:10 |

| Mai |
|--|
| 10659:12 10661:10 |
| 10670:20 10706:20 10709:12,17 |
| addressed 10617:22 |
| addresses 10611:15 adequacy 10726:17 |
| ADJOURNED |
| 10729:12 adjournment 10642:19 |
| 10642:24 10644:3,4 10685:3,24 10704:16 |
| 10729:2 |
| ADJOURNS 10642:22 10685:25 10704:17 |
| 10685:25 10704:17 adjudicate 10609:15 administration |
| 10709:12 |
| Administrator 10705:18,22 |
| admissibility 10718:4 |
| admission 10644:18,19 10644:21 |
| admittedly 10652:17 adopt 10663:14 |
| Adv 10670:11 |
| advance 10675:1 advancing 10673:2,5 |
| advantage 10633:23 |
| adverse 10663:11 advice 10699:1 |
| advising 10729:10 advocate 10616:11 |
| 10624:25 10629:12 |
| 10639:16 10650:21 10673:15 10674:17 |
| 10688:15 10691:1 10702:21 10716:3 |
| 10702:21 10716:3 10727:11 |
| affairs 10610:17 affidavits 10705:8 |
| afraid 10660:8 10661:2 |
| Africa 10629:7 10632:17 10695:7,16 |
| afternoon 10613:23 |
| 10614:12,21 agencies 10690:11 |
| agree 10615:20 10618:6,7,9 10620:25 |
| 10621:12,15,19 |
| 10623:21 10624:13 10625:18 10628:23 |
| 10631:11 10632:3 10648:9,10,20 |
| 10654:16 10702:18 |
| 10702:23 10716:3 10720:9 10721:12 |
| 10723:7 10725:4 |
| 10726:24 agreed 10621:13 |
| 10622:19 10623:3,6 10651:6,12 10693:12 |
| 10702:25 10714:13 |
| - 10720:8 10721:4,13 10721:15 10724:25 |
| agreeing 10615:6 |
| 10682:14 |

| agreement 10643:7 |
|---|
| agrees 10707:1 |
| 10721:11 aimed 10651:22 |
| aims 10645:22 |
| air 10610:11 10626:24 |
| 10671:20 |
| alert 10662:18 |
| alia 10705:10 Allandale 10662:12 |
| allege 10641:7 |
| allocated 10689:14 |
| allow 10724:11,11 |
| allowed 10621:20 alluded 10712:5 |
| aloud 10636:11 |
| alright 10624:4 |
| 10628:5 10648:19 |
| 10661:14 10662:17 |
| 10672:19 10682:8 10716:18,20 |
| 10717:12 |
| alternative 10630:19 |
| 10678:1 |
| ambiguity 10630:14 ammunition 10630:17 |
| 10647:22 10648:1,6 |
| 10661:16 |
| amount 10617:23,25 |
| 10631:5,10 Annandale 10615:3 |
| |
| |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10693:1 10693:23 10699:10 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10693:1 10693:23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10693:1 10693:23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10693:1 10693:23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 10723:1 10727:22 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10693:1 10693:23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 10723:1 10727:22 Annandales 10688:7 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10693:1 10693:23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 10723:1 10727:22 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 10723:1 10727:22 Annandales 10688:7 10690:13 Annandale's 10691:8 announce 10643:1 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10693:1 10693:23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 10723:1 10727:22 Annandales 10688:7 10690:13 Annandale's 10691:8 announce 10643:1 announcement 10729:7 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10693:1 10693:23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 10723:1 10727:22 Annandales 10688:7 10690:13 Annandale's 10691:8 announce 1 0643:1 announcement 10729:7 announcements |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10693:1 10693:23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 10723:1 10727:22 Annandales 10688:7 10690:13 Annandale's 10691:8 announce 10643:1 announcement 10729:7 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 10723:1 10727:22 Annandales 10688:7 10690:13 Annandale's 10691:8 announce 10643:1 announcement 10729:7 announcements 10704:19 answer 10612:7,8 10616:21 10621:10 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 10723:1 10727:22 Annandales 10688:7 10690:13 Annandale's 10691:8 announce 10643:1 announcement 10729:7 announcements 10704:19 answer 10612:7,8 10616:21 10621:10 10621:17 10624:4 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 10723:1 10727:22 Annandales 10688:7 10690:13 Annandale's 10691:8 announce 10643:1 announcement 10729:7 announcements 10704:19 answer 10612:7,8 10616:21 10621:10 10621:17 10624:4 10626:6 10636:21,23 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 10723:1 10727:22 Annandales 10688:7 10690:13 Annandale's 10691:8 announce 10643:1 announcement 10729:7 announcements 10704:19 answer 10612:7,8 10616:21 10621:10 10621:17 10624:4 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 10723:1 10727:22 Annandales 10688:7 10690:13 Annandale's 10691:8 announce 10643:1 announcement 10729:7 announcements 10704:19 answer 10612:7,8 10616:21 10621:10 10621:17 10624:4 10626:6 10636:21,23 10636:25 10648:2,3 10648:18 10649:19 10651:15 10662:1 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 10723:1 10727:22 Annandales 10688:7 10690:13 Annandale's 10691:8 announcement 10729:7 announcements 10704:19 answer 10612:7,8 10616:21 10621:10 10621:17 10624:4 10626:6 10636:21,23 10648:18 10649:19 10651:15 10662:1 10665:25 10666:9,17 |
| 10616:1 10617:17,25 10643:13 10662:12 10662:13 10677:4,21 10683:22 10689:4 10690:4 10691:5,20 10692:13,23 10699:10 10701:23 10717:10 10722:16,20,21 10723:1 10727:22 Annandales 10688:7 10690:13 Annandale's 10691:8 announce 10643:1 announcement 10729:7 announcements 10704:19 answer 10612:7,8 10616:21 10621:10 10621:17 10624:4 10626:6 10636:21,23 10636:25 10648:2,3 10648:18 10649:19 10651:15 10662:1 |

10665:22 10670:12 10671:1 10672:3 10678:10 10724:18 answering 10613:3 answers 10618:16 10633:2 10685:9 10698:18 anticipates 10612:16 10612:17 anybody 10612:22 10613:24 10614:10 10694:4 **anymore** 10624:15 anyway 10618:13 10630:21 10634:17 10636:3 10640:8 10642:18 10660:9 10719:12 **apart** 10641:4 10711:9 appearing 10618:11 appears 10626:3,19 10656:17 applicants 10705:4 10706:3,22 10708:22 application 10611:13 10649:15 10705:1,17 10705:25 10706:4,21 10707:17 10708:23 **applied** 10611:7 10697:14 **applies** 10621:22 10661:22 apply 10660:4 **appreciate** 10616:14 10634:1 10637:13 10654:16 10659:1 10696:15 10708:25 appreciation 10637:17 apprehension 10637:25 approaching 10661:17 appropriate 10614:1 10643:10 10661:7 10708:6,13 10712:2 appropriately 10677:2 approved 10628:22 10719:2 approximating 10634:6 **April** 10622:18 10623:3,7,20 10626:2 apropos 10616:25 10634:7 area 10656:25 10683:12 10689:17 10705:20 10716:6 10718:15 areas 10646:25 10700:14 10701:11 aren't 10637:6 10638:22 10660:1 argue 10621:20 10625:17 10632:8,8,9 10632:18 10634:6 10635:15 10637:3,9 10640:9,25 10655:6 argued 10706:18

argument 10629:8 10632:13 10637:10 10653:23 10674:18 10679:1 10689:7 10716:11,15 10723:7 arguments 10637:6 arising 10706:7 armed 10652:13 10680:1 10720:4 10727:21 10728:6,8 arms 10652:14 10655:12,22,24 10656:4 10667:23 arose 10612:6 10614:11 arrange 10643:19 10674:15 arrangements 10709:6 10710:24 10728:25 10729:10 arrest 10645:23 10648:14,21,24 10649:5,11 10651:4 10651:19 10671:11 10720:1 **arresting** 10646:11 arrived 10728:6,7 arrows 10645:14 articulated 10623:10 10667:13 articulation 10666:20 ascertain 10644:14 aside 10624:22 10655:12 10694:1 10714:20 asked 10612:22.22 10636:7 10638:11.12 10638:13 10639:2 10652:19 10655:25 10657:25 10665:22 10673:17 10677:4 10678:21 10687:6 10695:13 10711:19 10711:25 10717:24 10722:20,20 asking 10621:1,11 10623:2.19 10635:17 10660:18 10663:10 10670:15 10673:20 10676:3,4 10677:10 10680:11 10681:17 10681:19 10682:15 10687:9 10693:22 10694:2 10697:11.24 10718:19 10720:12 10720:15 10727:12 asks 10675:19 aspects 10664:5 assault 10680:9 10682:6 assess 10620:22 **assessing** 10669:16 assessment 10620:24 10621:7 assist 10646:12 10704:5 10708:4

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

10682:11 10685:6

10712:4 10723:13,22

10724:12 10725:21

10648:17 10649:16

answered 10636:21

10727:16

10692:7 10694:6

| assistance 10707:19 assisting 10668:2 assume 10621:10 |
|---|
| assisting 10668:2 assume 10621:10 |
| assume 10621:10 |
| assume 10021.10 |
| 10632:14 10649:22 |
| 10651:18,19 |
| 10631.18,19 |
| 10692:2 10705:23 |
| 10092.2 10703.23 |
| |
| assumed 10678:2,19 |
| assuming 10615:5 10650:11 10696:17 |
| |
| assumption 10661:25 10728:25 |
| |
| assumptions 10650:3 |
| 10725:10 10727:15 |
| 10727:16,18,25 |
| assurances 10616:16 |
| assure 10609:21 |
| 10610:7 |
| atmosphere 10722:11 |
| attack 10641:8,12,12 |
| 10641:14 10642:12 |
| 10642:12,14,16 |
| 10645:17 10654:2 |
| attempt 10722:14 |
| 10723:24 |
| attempted 10720:22 |
| attempts 10644:5 |
| attend 10706:14 |
| attitude 10663:14 |
| attract 10726:6 |
| attributed 10640:6 |
| au 10729:3 |
| auditorium 10618:12 |
| 10697:6,12 |
| August 10620:2 |
| authorities 10705:20 |
| 10705:22 |
| authority 10688:17,17 |
| 10699:23 |
| available 10610:21 |
| 10612:5 10613:22 |
| 10614:22 10644:16 |
| 10681:8 10707:7,22 |
| 10708:2 |
| avoid 10714:12 |
| 10722:2 10723:8 |
| 10724:1 |
| avoided 10665:19 |
| 10666:5,11,13,16 |
| 10667:2 10723:6 |
| avoiding 10715:4,4 |
| 10723:9 |
| aware 10621:23 |
| 10647:15 10664:5 |
| 10647:13 10604:3 |
| 10667:17 10715:17 |
| 10715:25 |
| awkward 10613:11 |
| awkwaru 10015:11 |
| В |
| 770 1 100 100 |
| b 10655:22 10656:5 |
| |
| 10659:13,14 10683:6 10709:25 10724:3 |

| ····a |
|--|
| 10639:16 10653:22 |
| 10666:19 10685:5 |
| 10695:1 10710:19 10728:15 |
| backwards 10617:11 |
| bad 10640:12 10710:8 |
| balance 10618:22 ball 10613:2 10658:21 |
| Bapo 10705:18 |
| bar 10716:7 barbed 10715:24 |
| 10716:7 10718:15 |
| 10720:3,8,17,22,23 |
| 10720:25 10722:14 10722:17 |
| Barberton 10696:13 |
| 10713:25 barebones 10646:15 |
| base 10641:12 |
| based 10633:7 10650:5 10656:16 10684:9 |
| 10723:19 |
| baseless 10641:7 |
| baseline 10623:13 bases 10657:21 |
| basic 10641:10 10673:6 |
| 10674:10 10717:18 basically 10637:5 |
| 10682:6 |
| basing 10691:17 |
| basis 10615:12 10633:3 10633:5 10662:8 |
| 10674:16 10677:20 |
| 10721:9 bat 10609:15 |
| bear 10612:11,13 |
| 10642:20 10725:23 |
| begging 10632:13 beginning 10724:25 |
| behalf 10613:7 |
| 10653:21 10708:21 10709:3 |
| belabouring 10618:18 |
| believe 10643:8 10645:13,15 |
| 10645:13,15 10671:15 |
| bench 10609:17 |
| beneficial 10708:12 benefit 10707:18 |
| 10710:23 10723:22 |
| best 10613:2 10615:1 10616:17 10649:15 |
| 10720:6 |
| better 10618:6,8 |
| 10663:23 10687:8 10718:14 10723:2 |
| beyond 10622:13 |
| 10659:18 10682:25 10697:17 10704:21 |
| bid 10729:3 |
| big 10668:16 10696:2 |
| bigger 10690:10 bird's-eye 10675:21 |
| 10677:14 10694:5 |
| bird's-eye 10687:8 |

```
bit 10626:24 10628:11
  10728:11
Bizos 10632:25
  10634:19 10635:5,6,7
  10636:7,12,18
  10637:14 10639:1
blah-blah-blah
  10667:23
block 10718:14
board 10728:15
boardroom 10713:11
bodily 10621:25
body 10632:10
  10677:16 10679:24
  10687:7 10695:25
  10699:5,7 10702:1,6
  10713:7,21
border 10688:16
borders 10689:20
  10692:22
bore 10629:3
bottom 10620:5
box 10615:10
Bradley 10617:8
breach 10720:22,25
breached 10625:21
  10631:5
break 10617:9 10618:5
  10623:8 10655:18
  10681:15
breakdown 10680:4,6
breaking 10635:25
bridge 10640:25
brief 10724:8 10729:7
briefed 10646:16
briefing 10664:23
briefly 10710:19
bring 10640:24
  10646:1 10677:22
  10687:4 10692:21
broad 10645:24
  10650:18,22,24
  10651:2,3,6,7,13
  10670:3,20 10671:2
  10672:8
broader 10654:16
broadly 10619:11
broke 10632:23
brought 10727:2
bucket 10665:10
Budlender 10613:7
  10614:13.15
  10709:18,21 10710:4
  10710:8 10729:6
built 10718:22
bulldozed 10618:24
bullet 10628:15
  10630:15 10655:19
burden 10617:4
Burger 10610:14
  10611:17 10612:10
  10612:13 10613:4,10
  10615:16,17 10617:6
  10643:22 10657:9,18
  10658:12 10659:4,6
  10709:9,11,20
```

10716:22 10717:20 10717:22 10718:4 10721:2,8 **burning** 10696:12,13 business 10699:14 busy 10610:14 10619:8 10637:14 10644:5 10703:10 10717:23 **button** 10677:25 \mathbf{C} C 10659:13,14 Calitz 10656:2 10689:17 10690:13 10727:20 call 10625:2 10650:17 10675:21,22 10676:10 10677:13 10679:13 10687:8 10694:5 10722:14 10727:3 called 10674:9 10682:19 10686:7,18 10688:11 10699:5,5 10702:1 calls 10649:24 10673:6 **cameras** 10643:5 cannon 10683:9 cannons 10670:24 canvass 10656:1 **canvassed** 10662:11 canvassing 10655:1 10713:19 can't 10622:19 10623:3 10630:5 10638:20 10641:12,14 10656:1 10659:23,25 10661:2 10664:11 10697:14 10710:4 **capacities** 10658:12 **capacity** 10689:20 10705:19 Cape 10684:17 10696:12,20 10700:9 care 10700:14 carries 10713:15 carry 10685:3 10716:23 10719:12 10722:6,9 **carrying** 10644:10 10657:1 10661:18 10663:22 10679:9,25 10680:1 case 10616:2.11 10643:13 10656:17 10659:17 10678:3.5 10683:18 10684:19 10706:3 10722:23 10727:6 cases 10641:19 cause 10647:8 10662:13 10695:2 10715:22 caused 10620:16,17

10621:3 10725:18

central 10675:15,22

10676:11.12.14 10679:22 10682:1.2 10682:17 centralisation 10702:4 centralised 10682:25 centre 10687:14,18 10696:2 10708:2 10712:11,14 10713:9 10713:13,14,17,23 10714:1 **Centurion** 10611:14 10705:3,12 10706:11 10706:16 10707:3,6,9 10707:13 10708:15 10709:13 10710:2 century 10708:15 10722:8 certain 10617:5 10647:15 10696:13 10727:3 **certainly** 10614:9 10654:4,19,22 10658:24 10662:21 10697:12 CFO 10704:1 chaired 10683:20 **Chairman** 10632:20,23 Chairperson's 10724:24 **chambers** 10642:24 10707:15 **chance** 10626:8 10634:7 charge 10660:14 10688:18,24 10700:17,18 10704:4 10708:3 10723:25 chasing 10636:1 Chaskalson 10617:8 check 10684:23 10685:1 chief 10662:25 10663:17 10714:20 chiefs 10687:4 10690:10 **choice** 10617:21 circumstances 10620:19 10621:15 10622:3 10632:17,19 10641:24 10666:2,4 10666:14,15,16,23 10667:1,4 10678:19 10683:7 10706:22 10714:15.19 circumstantial 10654:9 citizens 10637:20 10716:5 Civic 10708:1 **clarify** 10630:13 10632:5 10668:11 10698:6 clarity 10712:10 clean 10619:9 clear 10610:11 10614:23 10656:22 10656:23 10700:13

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

10688:12

Ba 10705:18

back 10618:18

| 10704:2 10705:8 |
|---|
| clearer 10712:7 |
| clearest 10625:21 |
| clearly 10615:4 |
| 10629:19 10631:19 |
| 10647:14 10668:15 |
| 10668:18 10679:23 |
| 10680:21 10707:14 |
| client 10657:19 |
| 10661:23 10663:12 |
| 10664:2 |
| clients 10609:20,23 |
| 10610:5,9,16 |
| 10616:14 10661:8 |
| 10709:9 |
| closed 10729:9 |
| close-circuit 10642:9 |
| cluster 10690:7,8 |
| 10700:5 |
| collaborating 10693:8 |
| collaboration 10693:15 |
| 10693:21 |
| colleague 10649:13 |
| 10717:9 |
| |
| colleagues 10618:2 10709:2 10711:25 |
| collect 10667:23 |
| collection 10676:16 |
| |
| colloquially 10668:21 |
| Colonel 10647:3,9 come 10632:19 10633:4 |
| 10635:12 10658:1 |
| 10635:12 10638:1 |
| 10689:2 10690:11 |
| 10700:10,24 10701:7 |
| 10706:10,24 10701.7 |
| comes 10618:25 |
| |
| 10633:9 10638:2 10660:4 10683:22 |
| 10700:15 |
| comfortable 10623:14 |
| 10624:1 10646:17 |
| 10666:19 10708:5 |
| coming 10613:17 |
| 10653:4 10656:20 |
| 10633.4 10630.20 |
| 10681.12 10691.14 |
| 10701:16 10702:20 |
| 10701.10 10702.20 |
| command 10622:13 |
| 10664:7,17 10665:1 |
| 10675:1 10689:9 |
| commander 10660:12 |
| 10660:14 10682:2,3,3 |
| 10700:17,18 |
| commanders 10621:2 |
| 10627:7 10631:3 |
| 10632:19 10633:8,9 |
| 10638:14,15 |
| 10639:22 10653:4 |
| 10659:22 10689:16 |
| 10659:22 10689:16 |
| commanding 10681:3 |
| commas 10650:17 |
| commenced 10664:24 |
| comment 10658:14,16 |
| 2011112111 10030.17,10 |
| |

10661:24 10722:18 **comments** 10659:2 10722:24 10723:18 10723:18,22 Commission 10609:2 10609:12,22 10610:1 10611:14 10613:12 10615:8 10630:23 10631:21 10632:7,13 10642:22,22,23 10645:7 10660:3 10670:13 10671:15 10685:25,25 10686:1 10696:24 10697:9,10 10699:21 10704:17 10704:17,18,21,23 10705:2,12,15,16 10706:6,11,14,17 10707:3,4 10708:2,4 10708:7,10 10710:25 10724:4,19 10727:19 10729:9,12 Commissioner 10609:3 10612:19,23 10614:18,21 10615:3 10629:15,18,21,23 10630:1,7 10635:16 10645:12,16 10655:14,16 10659:8 10659:16 10660:7 10667:10 10683:1,16 10686:2 10699:23 10703:8 10704:18 10708:17 10711:5,8 10711:16 10712:5 10718:1 10719:8 10722:24 10723:7.21 10724:2 commissioners 10609:14 10610:2 Commission's 10706:8 Commission's 10611:5 10645:10 **committee** 10613:13 common 10624:2 10625:13,16 10641:3 10647:8 10662:13 10666:25 10674:9 10695:2 10715:21 communicate 10611:10 communicated 10613:23 10711:24 communities 10706:5 **community** 10705:19 10706:4 company 10696:15 10704:1 compelling 10705:5 competent 10710:6 compiled 10680:20,24 10680:25 10681:18 complaining 10722:5 complaints 10609:24 complete 10614:17,19 10614:20 10615:5 completely 10672:24

10693:11 10714:9 **complying** 10671:12 conceivable 10666:16 concentrate 10658:18 **concept** 10642:6 concern 10655:25 10696:23 10699:13 10701:12,25 10702:18 10706:4 **concerned** 10613:14 10618:6 10635:5 10656:5 10671:4 10696:9,25 10697:7 10699:6 10702:2,22 **conclusion** 10706:20 concur 10641:9 **condition** 10656:10 **conditions** 10624:20 10657:25 **conducted** 10609:21 **conference** 10635:12 **confess** 10630:10 confession 10631:5 10635:18,25 confidently 10613:1 confine 10702:2 confined 10689:13 **confirmed** 10647:14 conflict 10628:15 confronted 10621:8 **confusing** 10630:10 conjoined 10655:10 10658:10 consequences 10725:4 **consider** 10643:18 considerable 10633:1 **considered** 10613:25 10706:19 constant 10616:16 **constitutes** 10639:17 **Constitution** 10621:24 10622:5 10625:13 10631:17 constitutional 10617:20 10622:2 10707:1 **constraints** 10622:2.6 consult 10613:24 consultation 10706:25 consulting 10709:2 contact 10611:9 10644:5 **contained** 10681:22 **CONTD** 10619:4 10686:5 10712:9 contemplated 10654:3 context 10626:18 10627:18,20 10629:19,24 10630:3 10630:9 10631:14 10634:5 10636:21 10657:20 10688:15 10691:6 10715:15 10719:23 10720:1 **continue** 10696:9

10706:16 **continues** 10710:17 **continuum** 10666:8 contradicting 10654:8 **contribute** 10613:7,8 10616:22 contributed 10708:10 control 10612:5 10698:6 10699:24 **convenient** 10707:9,15 convey 10709:9 coocoos 10670:21 **coordinate** 10688:25 coordinates 10694:4 coordinating 10677:3 coordination 10690:23 copy 10668:7 corners 10609:16 corporate 10713:21,21 correct 10619:13 10620:12,19 10621:16 10622:3,22 10624:16,25 10631:10 10644:20 10645:21 10650:11 10653:15,22 10654:3 10654:6,11 10656:16 10670:2 10684:3 10691:9 10695:3,4,9 10695:12 10696:1 10712:15 10719:6 **corrected** 10695:22 **correction** 10695:18 **correctly** 10617:19 10622:14 10627:8 10645:15.18 10728:14 correctness 10643:7 10726:17 **corridors** 10610:17 costs 10666:12,13 couldn't 10669:22 **Council** 10708:8 counsel 10610:4 10671:4 10707:16 counsel's 10676:10 counterpart 10677:21 counter-posed 10635:11 country 10621:24 10685:7 10696:8 10702:1 10708:12 course 10612:19 10614:9 10615:22 10617:6,23 10618:20 10620:1 10622:8 10626:9 10630:7,24 10633:10 10634:3 10635:21 10637:11 10639:24 10640:13 10641:1 10643:25 10653:15 10654:3 10707:23 10709:4 courthouse 10644:7 **courtroom** 10644:7 cover 10675:6

covered 10634:19 10635:6.7 10662:7 create 10711:20 10727:9 created 10679:7 creating 10675:14 credibility 10698:20 **criminal** 10622:12 10624:21 criminals 10637:19 critical 10700:21 criticism 10726:7 **criticisms** 10722:15 10727:1 cross 10610:10 10614:23 10615:19 10631:8 10635:23 10654:20 10689:20 10703:23 10720:4,7 crossed 10631:8 10635:24 10691:3 10703:19 crosses 10609:25 10688:16 cross-examination 10614:18 10615:7,24 10619:4 10626:25 10643:10 10653:20 10654:5,10 10663:18 10686:5 10707:12 10712:9 10725:1 cross-examine 10612:20 cross-examined 10653:21 cross-examiners 10615:11 cross-examining 10633:1 10637:5 10708:19 cross-provincial 10677:5 crowd 10668:18 10672:7 crucial 10632:7 10725:2 crudely 10681:23 crvstal 10613:2 curtailing 10615:7 cut 10617:23,24 10718:10 cut-off 10704:22 D **Dali** 10688:15

danger 10619:19 10641:20,24,25 10705:13 10715:16 dangerous 10727:9,10 dangers 10620:22 data 10683:15 date 10704:22 10707:8 day 10614:9 10617:3 10618:20 10636:4,6 10673:16 10688:10 10708:11 10729:9

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

10704:19 10705:14

| days 10662:14,14 |
|---|
| 10702:12,14 |
| 10717:24 10722:7 |
| De 10700:23 10704:11 |
| deal 10629:8 10631:24 |
| 10637:16 10640:21 |
| 10641:5 10652:17 |
| 10656:2 10657:8,10 |
| 10657:11 10659:22 10676:21 10681:11 |
| 10681:14 10682:23 |
| 10683:24 10693:1,4 |
| 10725:18,19 |
| 10726:10,13,15,22 |
| dealing 10631:15 |
| 10635:13 10637:14 |
| 10637:19,22 10656:4 10660:1 10662:25 |
| 10600:1 10602:25 |
| 10698:15 10725:15 |
| 10725:16 10728:16 |
| deals 10619:11 |
| dealt 10619:9 10626:23 |
| 10631:21,23 10649:1 |
| 10655:3 10663:21 |
| 10673:4 death 10699:17 |
| 10723:9 10724:1 |
| debate 10615:18 |
| 10621:21 10627:23 |
| 10630:5,6 10633:21 |
| 10635:4 10636:4 |
| 10638:3 10642:7,10 |
| 10642:14 10647:7 10658:1 10697:5 |
| 10038.1 10097.3 |
| debates 10727:14 |
| debriefing 10669:15 |
| Decentralised 10688:1 |
| decide 10654:4 |
| 10723:11,14,15,17 |
| 10724:7 decided 10614:1,9 |
| deciding 10705:23 |
| decision 10614:5 |
| 10710:17 10719:24 |
| 10725:5 |
| decisive 10638:13 |
| decorum 10610:6 |
| deduct 10682:18 defeats 10722:3 |
| defence 10619:12,18 |
| 10620:18 10621:4 |
| 10625:3 10639:6,13 |
| 10641:3,7,11 |
| 10664:25 10665:6,7,9 |
| defend 10619:15 |
| 10620:8 10635:2 |
| 10636:18,22 defended 10609:22 |
| defending 10619:18 |
| defensive 10714:25 |
| 10715:13,17,22 |
| 10716:8 |
| defied 10720:21 definite 10614:8 |
| A R C H I V F F C |
| |

| definitely 10624:25 |
|--|
| defying 10627:11 delegated 10699:23 |
| deliberate 10634:14 |
| deliberately 10679:7 delicate 10618:22 |
| demand 10711:25 |
| demands 10667:1 deny 10617:19 |
| 10721:24 departments 10683:23 |
| 10687:5 |
| depending 10621:14 10666:14 10714:15 |
| depends 10666:2,14 |
| 10725:12 deploy 10670:24 |
| 10683:2,6 10692:13 |
| 10704:6 deployed 10675:8 |
| 10683:15 10689:5 10691:11,19 |
| 10694:14 |
| deployees 10679:24 deployment 10675:15 |
| 10675:21,22 |
| 10676:12,14 10677:5 10677:18 10679:17 |
| 10677:18 10679:17 10679:17 10680:21 |
| 10681:20 10682:25 10687:10 10691:8 |
| 10700:20 10702:3,23 |
| 10703:22 10715:24 10720:3,8,21 |
| deployments 10677:15 described 10629:19,24 |
| 10630:2 10664:13 |
| 10669:5 10671:16 10673:13 10679:9 |
| 10690:22 |
| description 10674:1 10677:13 10692:3,5 |
| desire 10707:14 |
| despite 10625:19 destination 10674:10 |
| detail 10628:11 details 10629:3 |
| 10646:11,14,20 |
| 10647:4,5,14 10681:5 10685:20 |
| detract 10706:2 |
| Development 10707:1 devised 10702:5 |
| diaries 10611:18 |
| diary 10613:18 didn't 10623:24 |
| 10629:21 10656:22 10668:25 10670:5 |
| 10668:25 10670:5 10674:2 10677:9 |
| 10678:24 10695:15 10709:17 10711:18 |
| 10717:12,14,17 |
| 10720:10 10726:11 10726:13,18,22 |
| difference 10725:5 |
| different 10630:3 |

```
10642:6 10665:16
  10672:24 10674:22
  10686:17 10694:19
difficult 10609:12,14
  10609:18 10612:11
  10612:17 10616:11
  10616:11,19
  10651:11 10727:12
difficulties 10709:1
difficulty 10614:16,25
  10615:13 10618:19
dignity 10622:1
direct 10615:24
  10654:8 10692:19
directly 10678:22
disagree 10621:15,20
disarm 10645:23
  10646:4,18 10648:21
  10648:24 10649:3,4
  10651:4 10667:11
  10668:18 10670:14
  10671:11 10672:7
  10719:25
disarmament 10646:24
  10648:22 10649:8
  10660:15
disarmed 10648:12
  10652:10 10667:20
disarming 10646:10
  10647:10
disastrous 10725:8,11
discarded 10698:23
discarding 10698:24
Disciplinary 10622:12
discipline 10615:19
  10724:3
discourtesy 10614:10
  10642:3
discovering 10688:10
discuss 10616:13
  10618:12 10643:3
  10707:10
discussed 10617:7
  10625:12,14,23
  10631:6 10632:11
  10641:1 10647:10
  10664:13 10702:3
discussing 10610:22
  10611:1 10624:14
  10625:4 10643:16
  10687:1
discussion 10609:8
  10616:25 10665:3
  10673:1 10674:11,12
  10696:20.24
  10701:22 10714:12
discussions 10642:24
  10642:25
disentitled 10659:10
dislodged 10719:17
dispersal 10647:17
  10649:8
disperse 10645:23
  10648:21,24 10649:2
  10651:4 10652:15
```

```
10672:7 10719:25
dispersed 10647:19
  10648:7,12 10656:11
  10656:12,12
  10671:13
dispersing 10646:10
  10670:14
dispute 10646:22
  10647:2,11,20
  10648:6,10,22
  10649:7 10654:11,21
  10657:19
disputed 10647:13
  10653:8 10654:6,7,12
  10654:19 10655:2,6
distinct 10705:13
distinction 10637:17
  10711:20
distracted 10672:22
divisions 10683:21
  10698:8
doctrine 10635:8,9
document 10628:22
  10643:4 10698:16
documents 10668:2
  10697:4,8,11,14,17
doesn't 10636:24
  10641:22 10655:25
  10656:24 10658:15
  10658:16 10661:23
  10663:9 10665:13
  10676:25 10684:11
  10684:12 10724:8
doing 10616:16
  10618:1 10652:21
  10667:5 10669:17
  10683:13 10688:19
  10696:13 10718:9
don't 10621:10
  10623:7 10624:14
  10627:11 10628:22
  10631:11 10642:7
  10653:1 10654:10,11
  10654:12 10655:8
  10657:13,15 10659:3
  10659:5 10660:4.9
  10661:10 10664:9,10
  10665:2 10670:16
  10672:21 10673:9,13
  10673:18,21
  10674:13,14,24
  10675:2,2,3,25
  10676:18 10678:9
  10680:2.11 10683:6
  10684:24 10685:5,11
  10685:21 10694:10
  10695:19 10696:23
  10697:2,5,18,23
  10698:24,25
  10699:14,18
  10701:24 10702:18
  10702:19 10709:14
  10711:5 10714:9
  10721:4,14,17
  10723:20 10727:8
```

10668:18 10671:12

Doorns 10700:23 10704:11 double 10652:8 doubt 10656:9 10659:18 10710:12 **downwards** 10636:8 **dragging** 10665:15 10672:25 **drawing** 10728:15 drawn 10610:5 10663:12 drops 10665:9 **due** 10611:8 10615:21 10630:24 10637:11 10640:12 10643:25 10726:19 duplications 10686:23 duration 10704:21 **Durban** 10644:6 E earlier 10612:6 10618:4,19 10627:21 10627:22 10656:8 10665:22 10702:5 ears 10677:8 earshot 10653:16 easier 10609:15 10668:3 10719:1 easily 10725:19 Eastern 10700:9 easy 10718:6 eat 10645:10 eaten 10708:16,18 echo 10709:1 **education** 10633:24 **EE** 10652:2,8 10655:19 10693:12 **EEE16** 10665:15 effectively 10654:1 **efficient** 10724:24 egg 10640:21,23 eight 10661:16 either 10623:24 10635:11 10656:12 10664:6,16,24 10666:11 10674:11 10707:22 10708:23 10709:24 10715:1 10716:15 electronic 10684:14 **elements** 10625:2 **emanating** 10698:11 **emerged** 10681:24 **emotional** 10609:19 10616:18 **employed** 10722:15 employment 10715:24 enable 10700:3 10710:5 encircle 10667:11,19 10668:17 10671:11 10672:7

10667:11,19

endeavours 10706:6

endorsed 10667:7,9,9

endorse 10660:22

10667:19.24 ends 10611:6 enforcement 10690:11 engage 10704:6 ensure 10667:20 10704:6 **entailed** 10671:16 enter 10615:17 10708:14 entered 10628:15 **entering** 10716:6 entire 10631:14 10668:13 **entirely** 10653:15 **entitled** 10649:21 10678:20 environment 10643:17 10677:1,3 10683:7,8 10683:21 10692:24 environments 10700:25 10701:7 equipment 10645:8 10680:8 especially 10609:13 10617:10 essence 10623:19 essentially 10654:1 10662:7 10672:10 establish 10644:5 10704:6 established 10706:23 10713:18 establishing 10705:5 **European** 10629:5 event 10621:11 10628:5.8 10647:16 10669:17.19.20 10670:4.8 10671:17 10680:20,25 10681:18,19 10694:15,17,25 events 10643:12 10674:3 10696:8 10699:6,16 10711:12 everybody 10613:13 evidence 10610:22 10611:1.6.22.23.25 10612:25 10613:7 10614:2 10626:17 10627:5 10643:3,4,16 10644:12 10649:19 10652:16.19 10653:5 10653:7 10654:8,22 10654:22 10655:4,10 10661:14.15 10662:19,25 10668:3 10695:2,7,16 10700:12 10704:22 10709:16 10717:4,14 10718:5 10727:19 10728:1,5 ex 10638:16 10665:11 exact 10626:13 10627:22 exactly 10617:10 10635:22 10636:7

10637:21 10652:21 10652:25 10653:11 10674:2 10683:17 10696:7,17 10701:2,4 10701:12 10717:11 examination 10614:24 10615:20 examiner 10654:21 example 10625:21 10632:22 10643:24 10689:4,18 10696:19 10725:6 10727:1 exculpatory 10635:20 execute 10700:15 **executive** 10700:17 executives 10699:25 10700:14 10703:6 exhibit 10622:8 10628:14 10680:4,20 10681:18 10702:9,10 10702:11 10715:7 10719:4,11,11 **exhibition** 10613:16 **exhibits** 10719:10 exist 10660:25 10680:22 existed 10680:22 expect 10615:18 10628:22 10644:20 10653:2 10671:14 **expected** 10644:18 expects 10703:24 **expedite** 10615:8 expediting 10709:23 expend 10705:9 expenditure 10705:11 experience 10609:17 10615:2 experienced 10610:3 expert 10717:23,24 10718:20 expertise 10717:25 **explain** 10626:18 10637:14 10644:22 10647:3 10680:16 10703:10 **explained** 10614:3 10626:2 10672:5 10690:18 10722:13 **explaining** 10693:15 10702:22 10717:10 explanation 10635:19 10674:20 10722:25 10722:25 **explored** 10658:2 express 10680:16 10708:7 **expressed** 10676:23 10706:9 10709:3 expression 10629:4 10636:14 10638:6 10640:2 10671:4,6 10724:10 10727:5

extension 10611:8.12 10615:6 extent 10618:23 10699:6 10701:12 10706:2 10718:9 extra 10617:4 10640:20 eve 10658:21 10681:20 e-mail 10709:24 10729:9 F face 10625:6,23 10631:1,2 10636:2 facie 10635:24 10656:16,18 10657:5 10662:4 facilities 10708:1 facing 10620:22 fact 10615:4 10630:25 10632:20 10635:6 10648:6 10651:8 10654:12 10656:20 10658:9 10677:4 10682:17 10691:17 10699:4 10701:25 10704:24 10724:25 10725:15,17 10726:9 10726:20 facto 10638:16 10665:11 factor 10635:18 facts 10639:11 factual 10683:15 fail 10715:15 failed 10724:1 faintest 10709:14 fair 10619:16 10620:14 10628:13 10647:25 10650:10 10654:25 10721:16 10724:22 fairness 10632:4 10634:2 10635:15 falls 10661:11 false 10725:10 **familiar** 10718:7 far 10611:15 10618:6,8 10621:12 10625:12 10625:23 10635:5 10656:4.5 10697:14 10697:17 farewell 10729:3 fashion 10621:11 **fashioning** 10699:16 fault 10682:14 favour 10618:25 fearlessly 10610:4 fears 10706:8 feasible 10617:16 feel 10613:17 10618:23 feels 10678:19 fellow 10609:13 10637:19 fence 10718:15

FFF4 10627:6 FFF5 10619:22 10620:1 10627:6.18 10627:20 10628:5 10634:9 FFF54 10627:15 **FFF9** 10719:6,7 field 10643:12 10659:22 10663:24 fight 10610:4,9 filed 10705:8 final 10614:5 10723:24 **finalised** 10611:4 finally 10624:18 find 10626:16 10637:10 10640:12 10641:18 10686:23 10687:23 10694:9 10710:6 10721:6 **finding** 10630:23 10723:20 **fine** 10661:4 10665:13 10678:23 10679:2 10723:3 finish 10619:7 10728:4 finished 10612:3,4,18 10635:14 10685:2 fired 10647:19 10648:7 10650:23 first 10615:20 10628:15 10634:21 10638:23 10647:18 10648:6 10649:9 10650:23 10657:21 10669:9 10671:24 10672:2 10702:7 10720:1 10724:6,13 firstly 10686:7 10694:2 10698:17 fit 10692:5 fits 10692:3 fitted 10692:8 five 10682:23 flag 10650:8 flare-up 10696:20,21 flies 10625:23 floor 10697:6 flow 10659:11 focus 10727:21 focussed 10674:4 follow 10654:23 10668:4 10683:11 10699:1 followed 10653:24.24 10654:18 10697:3,13 10715:16 **following** 10624:8 10642:9 10707:2 10715:16 **follows** 10663:18 10705:21 foot 10627:17 footage 10665:14,15,17 10673:1,8,16 10674:6 10674:12

forced 10619:14,15

10620:7.18 10621:4 10633:10.11.13.15 10634:9.13 10635:2 10636:19 foreign 10677:8 forget 10617:13 10630:25 10675:6 10697:18,18 10727:18,24 Forgive 10642:3 forgotten 10665:25 form 10620:11 10652:12 10668:23 forms 10622:9 **formulation** 10622:19 10623:22 10724:24 forth 10657:4 10681:4 10705:7 **forum** 10610:6 forward 10657:1 10674:7,19 10711:1 **found** 10637:6 four 10662:14 10682:23 10702:12 10705:20 fourth 10655:19 frame 10645:24 framework 10650:18 10650:22,24 10651:2 10651:13 10670:3,20 10671:2 10690:14,18 frankly 10681:4 free 10706:13 10708:3 Friday 10610:24 friend 10639:4,5 10657:22 front 10656:25,25 **frustration** 10615:1.14 frustrations 10617:1 **fulfilled** 10656:6,10 10660:22 full 10666:12 10700:14 fully 10705:7 **function** 10644:6 **functional** 10649:22 10650:15 **funds** 10705:15 further 10636:25 10650:6 10664:12 10680:13 10722:23 future 10613:12 \mathbf{G}

G
G 10704:25
gap 10722:16
gather 10631:18,18
gathering 10631:16
10669:11 10700:7
gatherings 10624:21
10631:22
Gauteng 10707:4,6
generally 10622:9
generals 10653:4,7
10658:1
generous 10708:9

ARCHIVE

extend 10704:21

extended 10615:22

extending 10709:6

FFF1 10624:5,5

FFF14 10637:15

10625:14

generously 10706:13

| gently 10660:10 |
|---|
| gesture 10708:9 |
| getting 10679:20 |
| 10701:13 10715:4 |
| |
| 10722:4 10728:11 |
| GGG40 10698:6 |
| give 10611:12,22,23,25 |
| 10612:25 10615:11 |
| 10626:8,17 10628:10 |
| 10640:20 10644:9 |
| 10658:4 10674:4 |
| 10680:15 10688:16 |
| 10688:17 10705:1 |
| 10709:18 |
| given 10633:2 10634:7 |
| 10643:19 10646:20 |
| 10664:1,6 10665:12 |
| 10689:9,9,9,22 |
| 10704:11,13 |
| 10707:20 10717:5 |
| 10720:24 10721:14 |
| 10724:25 |
| gives 10666:7 |
| giving 10623:20 |
| 10652:25 10666:8 |
| 10667:6 10688:21 |
| 10707:17 10723:22 |
| glove 10692:9 |
| go 10612:1 10615:24 |
| 10619:23 10624:5,5 |
| 10619.23 10624.3,3 |
| 10628.13 10630.14 |
| |
| 10645:16 10652:14 |
| 10652:14,22 10653:9 |
| 10661:13 10666:19 |
| 10667:19 10668:17 |
| 10670:12 10671:11 |
| 10679:15,16 10685:5 |
| 10692:14,21 10694:2 |
| 10694:8 10697:6 |
| 10699:8,10 10700:8 |
| 10700:16,18 10703:4 |
| 10703:25 10704:1 10709:13,20 10715:5 |
| 10709:13,20 10715:5 |
| 10717:25 10721:17 |
| 10728:15 10729:9 |
| goal 10624:9,13 |
| goals 10624:16 |
| gobbledygook |
| 10669:21 10670:10 |
| goes 10617:4 10618:18 |
| 10697:17 10721:5 |
| going 10610:19 |
| 10611:21 10612:7 |
| 10613:15 10614:24 |
| |
| 10615:4,10,21,23,25 |
| 10615:25 10616:6 |
| 10619:7 10621:9 |
| 10623:17,18 |
| 10624:24 10632:4,8,9 |
| 10633:4 10634:4 |
| 10637:15 10640:4,25 |
| 10641:5 10642:13 |
| 10645:18,19 |
| 10646:18,19,19 |
| 10647:7 10649:14 |
| ARCHIVE FO |

10653:25 10658:2.6 10660:6.13 10661:6 10661:21.25 10663:20 10667:10 10669:20 10670:9,20 10670:24 10672:23 10674:23 10676:24 10681:13 10689:2 10700:10 10701:20 10711:23 10712:6 10720:9 10723:4 10725:20 10729:1 good 10609:6 10619:5 10619:6 10637:10,17 10640:11 10651:1 10658:5 10669:18 granted 10615:6 10702:12 gratitude 10708:8 10709:3,9 grave 10699:12 greatest 10637:21 10638:17 10659:15 grenades 10670:25,25 gritties 10647:7 10649:25 10651:5 gritty 10647:4,4 10650:17 ground 10627:8 10679:8 grounds 10706:23 **group** 10718:16 guarantee 10710:4 guess 10692:4 **guidance** 10658:4 **Gumbi** 10612:20 guns 10727:2 H hadn't 10621:13

half 10670:8 10672:2,3 10672:15 10724:12 10728:8 halved 10617:22 hand 10668:7 10670:24 10670:25 10690:1 10719:12 10726:2 10728:11 handle 10719:16 handled 10663:23 10708:24 **happen** 10643:1 10646:24 10649:8 10656:18 10659:13 10659:14 10677:11 10677:11 10678:14 10704:7 10724:4 **happened** 10643:12 10659:14 10660:16 10660:23 10671:6 10674:3 happening 10610:15 10613:15 10654:2 10696:8 10713:24,25

10714:1 happens 10700:12

10704:7 happier 10729:4 happy 10622:25 10623:1 10715:11 10724:14 hard 10643:16 harm 10624:12 harmonious 10722:6 harmoniously 10616:20 hasn't 10633:23 10716:23 haven't 10626:12 Haysom 10679:9 hazard 10692:4 head 10688:4 10689:23 10696:15 10712:19 10712:23 headed 10712:14,17 10713:2,4 heads 10687:15 hear 10610:17 10613:14 10629:22 10636:13 10645:9 10647:23 10657:16 10717:14 10722:23 heard 10623:18 10625:9 10653:16 **hearing** 10611:5 10633:21 10702:7 10704:22 hearings 10704:24 hearsay 10660:3,5 heart 10628:23 **held** 10707:13 10727:15

help 10641:9 10660:6 10661:25 10671:23 10676:18,25 10695:11 10719:3 10723:21

helping 10715:4 helps 10647:5 10674:17 10674:20 HEMRAJ 10629:15,18

10629:23 10630:1 10635:16 10655:14 10655:16 10683:16 10711:8

he's 10625:7 10626:11 10626:13,14 10717:10,24 10719:5 10726:18

high 10651:3

hoc 10675:18 10676:22 10677:7,19,20,23 10679:21 10683:12 hold 10626:21

10650:13 10707:14 **holding** 10713:1

homework 10701:17 10701:18

hope 10615:2 10618:5 10644:18 10645:4,10 10708:11 hoped 10612:3

hopefully 10709:2 hoping 10644:2 hostels 10646:6.25 hour 10705:17 hours 10715:11 housekeeping 10610:15 houses 10646:19 human 10622:1 10713:7 hypothesis 10633:4 hypothetical 10635:12 10723:12,23 10727:13

idea 10637:23 10638:16 10644:17 10645:6 10709:14 ideas 10638:15 **identical** 10636:7 illegal 10631:16 **illogical** 10659:2 **illogically** 10658:11 **illustrate** 10696:18 **imagine** 10633:8 10723:19 immediately 10680:19 10721:21 **imminent** 10641:19,24 10641:25 10642:12 impartially 10616:17 impasse 10697:8 impediment 10709:7 **implement** 10669:20 10670:9 implementation 10656:21 10719:18 10720:2 **implemented** 10630:16

10649:21 10650:14 10652:4 **implied** 10641:23 **implies** 10671:25

importance 10725:2 **important** 10643:4 10644:15 10645:5 10666:23 10691:5 10692:18.20.23 10702:15

importantly 10709:1 **impose** 10618:7 **imposed** 10619:1 **imposes** 10622:6 inasmuch 10718:13 **inaudible** 10636:13 10672:14 10673:18

incident 10664:14 include 10617:2 includes 10683:23 **including** 10618:1 10664:15 10688:7 10693:12 10699:17

10699:25 10714:22 10718:8 **incoherent** 10657:21

10658:11,12

inconclusive 10727:14 incorrect 10624:25 10657:6 incurred 10705:11 **indebted** 10719:8 index 10614:6 **indicated** 10621:6 10706:15 **indication** 10611:22 **individuals** 10695:25 10698:8 10713:11,13 indulge 10632:14 infer 10637:4 **inference** 10663:11 **inform** 10611:3 10677:1 10683:8 10700:25 10701:10 10701:13 **information** 10613:21 10644:9 10652:24 10653:1 10674:4

10698:21,21,24 10710:5 10725:3 **informed** 10612:4 10621:6,6 10637:23 10643:25 10664:14 10683:15 10702:17

10680:5,9 10681:17

10704:20 injury 10699:17 **inserted** 10622:14 insofar 10617:16 10694:4

inspection 10613:16,25 **instance** 10677:12 10694:13 10701:17

instances 10620:15 10621:2

instruction 10656:7 10674:25 **instructions** 10688:21

10711:24 insult 10677:19 **integrity** 10609:22,25 10621:25

intend 10643:24 **intended** 10614:10 10640:3 10646:5 10665:20 10715:23

intention 10628:6,11 10628:16,24 10629:9 10629:9 10631:2,20

inter 10705:10 **interested** 10667:25 10671:5 10682:1 10693:2

interesting 10636:4 10642:10

interests 10610:9 international 10620:3 interpretation

10638:16 10670:22 interpretations 10622:24

interpreters 10686:8 **interrupt** 10626:5

Page 7

| 10650:3 10697:3 |
|--|
| 10703:10 10724:5 |
| interrupted 10696:5 |
| interrupting 10642:3 |
| intervenes 10659:1 |
| intervention 10675:8 |
| 10677:7 |
| interventions 10724:20 |
| intricate 10646:14 |
| introduction 10721:24 |
| invite 10613:6 |
| 10649:12 |
| invocation 10641:10 involve 10672:10 |
| involved 10647:10 |
| 10672:2,6 10698:20 |
| 10707:16 |
| involving 10657:19 |
| IPID 10724:7,10,16 |
| irrelevant 10638:17,21 |
| 10657:24 10661:21 |
| 10661:22 10662:5 |
| irrespective 10628:5 |
| isn't 10629:20 |
| 10633:18,20 10638:8 |
| 10656:20 10661:25 |
| 10676:9 10697:10,17 |
| 10716:14 10717:15 |
| 10725:10 issue 10617:6,21 |
| 10619:11 10621:21 |
| 10614:11 10621:21 |
| 10635:3 10637:22 |
| 10638:18 10645:16 |
| 10647:16 10649:10 |
| 10652:18 10654:25 |
| 10652:18 10654:25 10655:9,23 10658:13 |
| 10662:10 10682:21 |
| 10682:23 10689:25 |
| 10694:18 10698:24 |
| 10699:4 10701:23 |
| 10702:2 10713:20 |
| 10714:8,9,10,19,20 10717:23 |
| issues 10617:5,15 |
| 10619:9 10662:11 |
| 10617.9 10002.11 |
| 10694:20 10699:21 |
| 10706:7 |
| I'd 10622:23 10668:11 |
| 10681:4 10696:22 |
| 10715:5 10728:11 |
| I'll 10621:10 10623:3,8 |
| 10624:4 10630:6 |
| 10640:20,24 10642:9 |
| 10642:20 10655:18 |
| 10659:12 10660:25 10664:12 10666:10 |
| 10664:12 10666:10 |
| 10670:1 10674:15 |
| 10680:13 10682:22 |
| 10701:6 |
| I've 10621:5 10625:9 |
| 10627:9 10631:2,3,20 |
| 10635:10 10640:8 |
| 10663:21,25 10664:1 |
| ARCHIVE FO |

10664:4 10665:21.24 10666:1 10669:4.23 10670:11 10671:1 10672:5 10673:13,17 10675:23 10682:24 10695:22 10698:23 10701:22,23 10703:16,16 I/we/us/her/his 10668:10 J **ja** 10611:17 10623:1 10629:14 10630:2 10634:22 10635:21 10639:19 10640:14 10650:7 10654:24 10657:7 10658:16,20 10662:6,20,23 10663:5,13,17 10665:11 10678:23 10680:10 10683:22 10687:14,16 10695:6 10712:21 10713:5,23 10715:6 10716:25 10719:21 10721:22 10722:1 job 10609:18 jobs 10609:14 **JOC** 10643:14 10687:3 10687:5 10688:25 10689:10 10690:7,8 10698:6,7 10711:10 10711:11 10712:24 10719:24 10689:24 10677:1.1 10678:7 10683:1 10684:2 10687:2,3 10694:1 10712:10,14

10690:17,20 10693:7 **JOCs** 10688:18 joint 10676:22,22 10679:6 10680:22,22 Joints 10677:6,7 10683:17,19,23 10687:23.24 10690:11 iudge 10616:12 10625:9 10672:18 10676:20 June 10707:7 10710:22 **Justice** 10706:25 justified 10624:20 10638:1 10660:25 **justifying** 10706:23 **J-O-C** 10686:15 J-O-I-N-T 10686:20 K

keep 10658:21 10660:18 10675:1 10702:22 10714:10 10714:10 key 10619:13 10620:11 10664:5

kill 10703:5 killed 10638:18 10656:8 10722:17 killing 10660:23,24 kind 10609:19 10658:14 10662:19 10697:5,8 knew 10639:2,3 10646:14 10650:4,4,5 10650:18 10660:20 10667:22 10669:18 10670:3,15,19 10671:15,19 10672:12,13 10676:9 10678:22 10679:7,24 10682:3,9,16,18 **knowledge** 10675:10 10675:13 10692:15 known 10650:16 10667:21,21 10670:22 10681:23 knows 10681:5 10682:16 10722:22 koppie 10648:8 10651:9,14,15,24 10652:4,7,7,22 10653:12 10655:12 10655:22 10656:6,9,9 10656:13,24,25,25 **KZN** 10700:9

L

L 10680:4,20 10681:18 10702:9,10,11 10704:25 labour 10614:16,25 10637:24 lack 10617:3 10705:15 laid 10624:20 10656:19 language 10617:20 large 10682:19 10705:9 law 10621:21 10624:21 10625:13,22 10632:23 10635:22 10635:25 10641:3,25 10652:11 10666:25 10690:11 10703:24 lawver 10624:24 10625:10 10700:13 lawvers 10625:2 10671:20 lav 10652:3,6 10655:21 10656:3,21,22 laying 10652:18 10655:23 lead 10615:4,6 10662:19 leader 10660:20,22 10668:13 10675:20 leaders 10610:22 10611:2 10613:7 10614:2 10643:3,5,16 learned 10639:4.5 10649:13 10657:22 10711:24 10717:9 lease 10615:22 leave 10633:10 10638:9 10648:14 10651:23 10652:3,6,7,11,14,22 10655:12,21,22 10656:5,19,20 10707:25 leaves 10651:10 leaving 10651:3 10656:9 led 10662:25 10663:3 10699:16 10700:13 10701:14 10714:21 10718:7 left 10613:17 10626:6 10649:5 10650:23 10653:12 10656:7,13 10667:22 10669:11 10685:12 leg 10724:6 legal 10620:16 10633:24 legally 10706:9 legislation 10700:11 legitimate 10724:11 legs 10671:24 lends 10727:13 length 10635:4 lengthy 10653:20 10654:9 lethal 10624:19 10665:18 10666:4 10680:1 10682:20 10699:9 10714:12 letter 10625:14 let's 10621:15 10636:11 10642:19 10655:5 10657:16 10660:17,18 10661:13 10665:9,10 10676:5 10682:8 10693:25 10695:1 10698:3 10702:2 10713:3 10716:16 10722:5,7 let's 10689:7,25 10690:1,1,9 level 10631:9,25 10651:3 10654:17 10660:18 10675:4,9 10678:16 10683:2 liberty 10619:22 **Lieutenant-Colonel** 10646:23 10702:11 life 10615:23 10620:17 10621:2,25 10629:6,7 10659:9 10715:15 10723:6 light 10625:12 10642:17 10659:23

limits 10615:24 line 10609:25 10610:5 10610:7.10 10636:8 10636:10 10637:13 10639:2 10673:6 10674:10 10675:7,8 10716:8 10717:3,6,8 10717:18 10718:16 10720:4,7 lines 10667:17 lining 10717:18 link 10688:20 lions 10636:1 listen 10623:17 10625:10 10703:8 **listening** 10613:20 **literally** 10634:9 10727:9 little 10628:11 10702:19 10728:11 **live** 10630:16 10648:1,6 10649:9 10661:16 loaded 10679:11 local 10620:3 10705:20 10705:22 10706:5 10707:25 locate 10695:8 locus 10705:24 logically 10657:21 **logistical** 10614:11 **long** 10611:20 10615:9 10617:17 10645:18 10699:21 10725:9 longer 10651:9 10691:1 **Lonmin** 10628:16 10690:17 10695:3 10706:12 10709:6 10711:10.21 look 10623:3,8 10624:4 10631:4 10636:1 10641:19 10643:20 10646:13 10655:3 10658:3 10660:15 10670:13,22 10698:6 10698:7 10709:14 10715:1 10717:20 10724:20 10728:24 looking 10613:1 10669:15 10683:13 10698:21 10704:12 10715:11 10716:22 looks 10680:3,7 loose 10677:7 loosely 10620:16 10676:21 lose 10618:21 loss 10620:17 10621:2 10723:6 lost 10714:19 10715:4 **lot** 10631:25 10673:7 10673:16 10713:15 10727:14 low 10660:18 lower 10658:15 lucid 10623:15 luck 10710:8

10644:12 10709:16

10698:3,3

10615:5

limited 10609:16

leadership 10660:19

leading 10700:12 leads 10662:22

| lunch 10644:3,8 |
|---|
| 10685:3,3,23 10687:1 |
| 10694:6 10695:19 |
| 10702:3 10711:16,19 |
| lunchtime 10610:25 |
| lying 10683:3 |
| L281 10629:24 |
| L281 10029.24 L282 10628:14,14 |
| L202 10020.14,14 |
| M |
| Madam 10711:15 |
| Mafikeng 10689:15 |
| Magidiwana 10652:20 |
| 10653:2,8 10655:24 |
| 10656:6 10663:21,22 |
| 10722:13 |
| MAHLANGU |
| 10710:11,14,16 |
| main 10670:17 |
| 10687:18 10706:4 |
| Major 10612:8,8,24 |
| 10692:21 10693:23 |
| majority 10631:22 |
| 10679:8,24 |
| major-general |
| 10691:24 10692:21 |
| 10693:23 10708:16 |
| makers 10725:5 |
| making 10615:12 |
| 10650:2,3 10654:13 |
| manage 10699:24 |
| MANGWASHI |
| 10609:4 10686:3 |
| 10712:8 |
| manner 10652:12 |
| 10708:24 |
| map 10710:2,4 |
| march 10652:12 |
| marching 10657:4 |
| Marikana 10628:16 |
| |
| 10646:2 10677:17 |

10683:18 10685:16 10687:17 10688:13 10689:13 10693:5 10695:3 10696:21,25 10699:6 10700:1 10701:1.14 10702:5 10704:11 10706:14 10711:10.12 10715:23 mark 10703:13 marked 10719:9,15 massacre 10628:7 massify 10714:4 master 10676:24 material 10626:25

10637:4,5,9 10706:1

10706:6

matter 10609:20

10612:4 10614:4

10616:12,18,19,19

10619:14 10626:23

10633:20 10647:8

10654:4 10655:3

ARCHIVE

10629:7 10630:23,25

10656:2,24 10663:17

10665:13 10689:12 10690:10 10699:12 10699:19 10707:25 10708:24 10716:11 10716:14 10718:20 10724:7,15 10726:14 matters 10609:20 10618:11 10640:17 10663:1,23 10698:15 10698:20 Mawela 10683:20 10687:5 10688:4,19 10688:24 10689:24 10691:24 10692:6 10704:4,5 10712:18 10712:22 maximum 10619:15 10620:7,19,22 10621:4 10625:19 10626:2,18 10630:24 10631:1,4 10632:11 10632:16,20 10633:5 10633:11,12 10635:2 10636:13,14,15,19,20 10637:18,25 10638:19,20 10639:3 10639:6,10,12,23 10641:2,3 Ma'am 10653:6 10693:25 **Mbombo** 10668:11 10670:19 10688:21 10689:13 10699:22 mean 10634:11 10636:15,19,20 10637:5 10640:3,4,6 10640:7 10646:7 10654:13 10658:10 10660:12,17 10667:24 10671:5 10675:20 10677:19 10677:20,23,23 10684:6,10,17 10689:10 10691:21 10693:10 10694:9 10695:19 10696:15 10701:9 10708:17 10713:1,8,12,17 10726:7 10727:4,8,8 meaning 10624:2 10629:5,12 10640:6 meaningless 10670:10 means 10638:20 10643:23 10658:10 10664:25 10665:24 10666:15 10667:2 10668:20 10676:2 10704:23 10726:9 meant 10636:23 10638:6 10639:25 10640:5 10641:2 10665:6,7 10668:19 10671:7,9 10678:18 measure 10719:22 10720:2 10723:4

measures 10617:14 10714:25 10715:14 10715:17.22 10716:8 media 10620:2,3 meet 10624:8 10680:23 10684:17,17,18,19 10685:6,15 10687:17 10694:9 10695:13,14 10711:18 meeting 10617:6 10618:4,13 10643:2 10644:13,24 10645:8 10687:15 10695:3 10696:22 10707:8,13 10707:14 10709:22 10709:25 10710:25 10729:7 meetings 10693:4 10694:3 meets 10684:7,7,10,11 10713:11,11 member 10674:2 members 10622:13 10643:15 10664:22 10673:2 10674:7 10688:2 10689:5,13 10691:18 10727:20 memory 10674:16 menial 10699:19 mention 10644:25 10710:23 **mentioned** 10612:6 10643:17 10648:13 10676:22 10691:7 10692:14 10702:8,9 10711:4 mere 10635:6 10656:20 10658:9 10677:4 merits 10627:6 10638:14 10658:19 10706:21 message 10720:3,7 Messina 10684:17 met 10657:25 10684:21 10684:22 10685:8,9 10694:10 10695:7,15 10695:19 10696:19 10711:11,17,18 10713:18 method 10615:7 methods 10646:19 10707:10 metres 10675:5,6 middle 10661:18 **Midrand** 10667:7 mike 10716:23 mind 10639:4 10642:21 10657:16 10660:13 10725:23 mine 10628:16 10670:22 minimal 10624:9,15 **minimum** 10619:8 10620:23 10621:16 10622:7,21,21

10625:16.20 10628:7 10628:18 10629:4.10 10629:19.24 10630:4 10630:4,8,17 10633:12 10634:10 10634:25 10637:19 10638:21 **Minister** 10611:8 10636:16 10637:16 10637:24 10638:2 10644:5 10706:25 10707:17 minute 10640:20 10649:20 10669:24 10670:13 minutes 10640:19 10693:3,7,9,11,22 10694:3,10,24 10696:22 10697:18 10697:18,21,25 10698:11,11 10699:1 10699:2,4,14,19 10701:5,8,18,23 10711:25 10713:19 10713:20 10714:8 minuting 10698:16 misconstrue 10632:6 **misfortune** 10717:15 10717:17 missed 10702:14 mistake 10725:13 misunderstanding 10681:16 misunderstandings 10616:15 mobilise 10689:21 10700:9 **mobilising** 10692:17 10693:18 10694:21 Mogale 10705:18 moment 10612:1 10626:24 10627:1 10628:3 10634:18 10636:12 10638:9 10644:6 10706:24 Monday 10611:19 10612:19 10644:1.14 10645:3,9 10709:23 10709:25 10729:8 money 10705:9 month 10611:6 10617:7 10705:10 10711:3 mooted 10617:7 10618:4 morning 10609:7 10610:23 10611:3,9 10611:19 10612:25 10616:22 10619:5,6 10631:7 10666:7 10667:18 10710:3 10728:18 10729:2 mountain 10646:19 10647:17,20

10621:9 10623:8.18 10640:16 10651:17 10661:1.12 10664:3 10665:10 10679:5 10689:14 10701:21 10705:16 10706:23 10711:23 10712:7 10728:20 moved 10657:1,1 10707:3 moves 10705:12 10706:17 moving 10621:23 10662:10 10667:6 10674:19,19 10707:17 Mpembe 10611:21 10612:8,9,25 10712:15,22 **Mpembes** 10689:17 10690:13 **MPFOU** 10649:3 Mpofu's 10672:16 Mpumalanga 10696:21 multi-faceted 10688:8 municipal 10707:6 10709:13 10710:2 Municipality 10708:1,8 10709:4 mustn't 10703:10 mustn't 10618:21 N

N 10704:25 Naidoos 10689:18 10690:13 NAT 10676:22,22 10677:1,1,6,7 10679:6 10680:22,22 10683:1.17.19.22 10684:2 10685:6 national 10609:3 10612:18,23 10614:18,21 10615:2

10645:12 10659:8,16 10660:7 10678:7,15 10683:1.2 10684:4 10686:2 10693:24 10694:16 10698:9 10700:19 10702:23 10708:17 10711:5 10712:10 10714:6 10718:1 10722:24 10723:6,21 10724:2 **NatJOC** 10686:8,10,16 10687:11,12,13,16 10688:2.11 10689:9 10690:2,9,24 10691:4 10691:7,11,20 10692:13,18 10693:4 10693:8,11,15,19 10694:3,8,18,20 10695:5,7,24 10696:8 10696:19 10698:10 10698:12 10699:5 10700:20 10701:13

10724:1

10623:4,6,12,13,23

10650:24

move 10619:11

| 10702:1 10704:3,7,8 |
|----------------------------------|
| |
| 10711:17,21 |
| 10712:10,17 10713:7 |
| NATJOINT 10686:8 |
| 10686:11,18,19,20 |
| 10687:11 |
| naturally 10663:18 |
| nature 10636:3 |
| |
| 10700:20 10703:25 |
| 10725:4 |
| neat 10728:16 |
| necessarily 10644:20 |
| 10679:21 |
| necessary 10622:15,20 |
| |
| 10622:22 10623:5,22 |
| 10624:12,15 10625:5 |
| 10625:17 10628:18 10633:13,14 |
| 10633:13,14 |
| 10634:25 10635:1 |
| 10636:18,22 10637:4 |
| |
| 10640:19 10643:18 |
| 10646:23 10647:2 |
| 10657:12 10700:2 |
| 10720:1 10724:2 |
| need 10634:2 10637:18 |
| 10642:7 10644:15 |
| |
| 10654:12 10683:2,9 |
| 10683:10,11,23,25 |
| 10704:5 10714:5,12 |
| 10727:14 |
| needed 10652:10,10 |
| 10667:23 10671:12 |
| 10676:25 10716:5 |
| |
| needs 10676:23 |
| 10677:2 10683:7,8 |
| 10689:20 10690:2 |
| 10704:6 |
| negates 10641:3 |
| negation 10631:10 |
| negative 10677:24 |
| |
| negotiating 10672:14 |
| negotiation 10628:18 |
| 10630:18 10672:14 |
| negotiations 10672:6 |
| 10672:11,13 |
| 1072:11,13 |
| |
| neither 10614:22 |
| 10648:9 10695:20 |
| Nelspruit 10698:13 |
| 10700:22 |
| nescience 10650:9 |
| net 10658:24 |
| neutral 10713:2 |
| |
| neutrally 10682:20 |
| never 10609:25 |
| 10630:17 10639:4,5 |
| 10647:13 10663:21 |
| 10668:12 |
| new 10644:6,14 |
| 10693:2,3,11 10704:8 |
| |
| 10708:14 |
| Ngalwana 10653:20 |
| nicest 10725:7 |
| nitty 10647:4,4,7 |
| 10649:24 10650:17 |
| 10651:5 |
| 10001.0 |
| ARCHIVE EA |

| Ма |
|---|
| nitty-gritty 10676:1,4,9 NIU 10676:17 |
| 10677:18 NIUs 10694:7 |
| Nkaneng 10652:22 |
| Noki 10653:9 10654:18 |
| 10655:4 10656:7 non-implementation |
| 10657:2 |
| non-lawyer 10629:3 10641:13 |
| non-lethal 10682:4,5 |
| 10699:9 normally 10697:10 |
| Northern 10696:11,12 |
| 10696:20 note 10709:17 |
| 10728:12 |
| notice 10643:19 |
| no-one 10656:8 number 10619:22 |
| 10631:13 10640:17 |
| 10645:5 10682:19 10706:18 10719:4 |
| numbers 10719:11 |
| 10725:6 |
| N-A-T 10686:15,20 |
| 0 and 10600:2 10645:12 |
| oath 10609:3 10645:13 10686:2 10708:18 |
| 10711:4 |
| object 10657:20 10658:6 10718:2,23 |
| 10721:2,8 |
| objected 10639:5 objection 10626:7,8 |
| 10639:10 10675:25 |
| 10722:3 10728:4 |
| objections 10662:15,18 10722:5 |
| objective 10647:17 |
| 10648:14 10651:21 10728:5 |
| objectively 10616:17 |
| objectives 10648:21 10651:4,6,7 10660:21 |
| objects 10627:3 |
| obliged 10705:9 |
| obtained 10685:21 obvious 10617:2 |
| 10723:19 10724:15 |
| obviously 10609:19 10612:17 10618:8 |
| 10612:17 10618:8 10661:6 10695:24 |
| 10697:17 10718:14 |
| 10724:10 10725:18 occasions 10720:22,25 |
| occurred 10656:19 |
| 10702:17 |

```
officially 10611:12
officials 10708:3
oh 10703:12 10713:5
  10715:14 10716:18
okav 10619:22 10621:9
  10621:19 10624:3,18
  10632:4 10640:24
  10642:8 10646:21
  10647:6 10650:7
  10651:17 10652:1,16
  10655:18 10657:14
  10658:8 10659:3
  10660:17 10661:15
  10662:3,9 10664:11
  10666:24 10674:21
  10675:12 10683:4
  10686:10,25
  10687:16 10689:2,11
  10690:15 10692:3,11
  10692:25 10695:17
  10697:18 10699:20
  10703:2,14 10704:9
  10704:14 10712:3,14
  10713:5 10714:2,5,7
  10716:16 10718:6,18
  10720:13 10722:1,10
  10723:3,16,24
  10724:22,23
  10728:19
Omnipresent 10687:25
once 10610:5 10611:10
  10617:1 10622:10
  10629:2 10641:8
  10642:9,13 10648:19
  10662:10 10666:10
  10668:20 10670:2
  10688:15 10692:11
ones 10690:7
one's 10727:15,15
one-person 10695:25
onwards 10680:4,5,8
open 10613:11 10668:7
opening 10644:6
  10702:8 10719:2
  10721:3
operate 10683:2
operates 10660:5
operation 10645:23
  10646:1,4 10647:9
  10651:22 10652:4
  10659:10,13,14
  10660:15 10664:23
  10669:11 10677:14
  10687:9 10688:13
  10694:7 10699:11
  10702:5,16 10715:23
  10723:25 10725:3
  10726:18 10727:22
  10727:23
operational 10630:16
  10646:11 10649:14
  10663:23 10667:22
  10683:21 10698:7
operationalise
  10689:25
operationally 10700:15
```

operations 10647:15 10669:12 10688:25 10689:17,23 10690:3 10692:1,6,9,19,20 10700:16,19 10701:11 10704:2 10712:11,14 opinion 10718:5,20 10725:5 **opportunity** 10644:21 10680:16 **oppose** 10705:25 10708:23 **opposed** 10679:10 10682:5 10705:17 **opposite** 10625:20 10634:25 **opposition** 10705:21 option 10630:17 options 10673:22 **order** 10611:20 10639:4,4 10705:6 10706:24 10707:2 10714:22 10715:7 **ordered** 10674:10 **ordinarily** 10688:21 organised 10679:17 original 10637:23 10725:23 originally 10616:6 **ORS** 10688:18 10692:23 10712:20 10712:23 outcome 10724:19,20 10725:8,11 outlaw 10632:11 outlawed 10632:16 outlined 10646:23 10666:20 outside 10618:12 10683:24 10697:12 10700:8 outstanding 10648:14 10649:10 overall 10682:3 10688:12 10702:4 overkill 10726:4 10727:3 over-provided 10725:14 over-provision 10725:17 o'clock 10704:16 o'clock 10613:23 10614:11 P page 10619:23,23 10622:11 10624:6,19

10627:17 10636:6

10652:8 10667:17

10668:4 10713:7

10719:8,14,17

pages 10619:23

pains 10701:22

10637:15 10638:23

Pampierstad 10689:15 panel 10630:6 **paragraph** 10620:5 10622:11 10668:19 10719:3,14,19 parcel 10639:13 park 10642:10 10687:19,20 part 10619:13 10620:11,18 10622:10 10629:22 10630:19 10639:6,12 10645:25 10646:4 10649:2,4,5,14 10651:21 10660:2 10664:23 10670:25 10679:12 10683:5,6 10701:17 10720:9 10724:10 participate 10717:21 participated 10693:17 participating 10705:14 particular 10630:8 10660:14 10673:3 10674:12 10677:12 10683:7,8,12 10692:24 10703:6 10716:6 10719:17 particularly 10693:4 10707:11 parties 10615:6 10618:6,7,9,11,23 10643:3,15 10644:13 10644:22 10705:9,13 10707:10,13 10709:22 10711:1 10729:8 partly 10726:19 parts 10643:8 party 10610:17 10697:4,7 passage 10623:4 10638:23 10668:2 10670:18 path 10653:24 10661:17 paths 10653:24 pays 10709:19 peacefully 10628:17 10631:19 10653:22 **perceived** 10619:19 **period** 10611:5 10633:1 10704:21 **permission** 10638:11 10688:22 10689:22 10700:10 **person** 10610:3 10635:1,23,25 10653:16 10677:12 10677:16 10682:1,3 10682:16 10687:7 10688:17 10689:21 10691:24 10692:3,5 10692:20 10699:10 10713:7,8

office 10696:16

officers 10681:4

10726:20

offices 10707:6

official 10705:19

10709:13 10710:2

persona 10713:21

| personal 10680:7 |
|---|
| personally 10616:10 |
| personnel 10679:8 |
| persons 10677:13 10706:13 |
| phase 10630:9 10646:5 |
| 10646:7,7,22 10647:8 |
| 10670:15,16 10706:7 |
| phases 10630:12 |
| phone 10677:21 photo 10613:16 |
| photographs 10643:6 |
| phrase 10640:7 |
| 10675:23 10702:4 |
| physical 10684:11 10709:12 |
| physically 10692:14 |
| 10716:6 |
| Pillay 10719:3 |
| place 10610:23 10618:5 10632:16,17 |
| 10632.10,17 |
| 10647:15 10684:11 |
| 10692:19 10694:8,17 |
| 10694:19 10699:7 10700:1,20 10706:6 |
| 10700:1,20 10700:6 |
| 10715:14,17 10729:8 |
| placed 10699:21 |
| 10706:1 10722:16 |
| places 10622:25 10647:11 |
| plan 10610:16 |
| 10613:12,18 |
| 10630:16 10646:8,15 |
| 10646:22 10649:15 10649:21 10650:12 |
| 10649.21 10030.12 |
| 10664:6,8,12,19,21 |
| 10667:7,9,12,13,19 |
| 10667:24 10668:13 10668:14,16,17,21,21 |
| 10668:14,16,17,21,21 |
| 10669:14,19 10670:3 |
| 10670:9,17,25 |
| 10671:7 10672:8 |
| 10676:24 10683:13 planner 10682:2,2 |
| planning 10727:13 |
| plans 10667:22 |
| 10672:6 |
| play 10666:23 |
| 10690:12 10725:7 played 10674:15 |
| 10690:12 10699:11 |
| 10699:16 10702:15 |
| 10725:2 |
| please 10617:14 10623:16 10658:7 |
| 10660:10 10677:11 |
| 10679:5 10686:13 10689:8 10697:7 |
| |
| 10701:21 10709:20 pleased 10616:14 |
| 1064 5 :9 |
| point 10618:18 |
| |

| 10623:13 10625:8 |
|---|
| 10627:16 10630:13 10632:7 10633:17 |
| 10634:1,21,23 |
| 10635:5,8 10636:11 10639:20 10640:9,12 |
| 10640:12,21,23,25 |
| 10642:13 10654:9,10 10654:13,21 10655:4 |
| 10656:11 10657:9,10 10657:22 10658:2 |
| 10657:22 10658:2 10659:3,23,24 |
| 10660:2,6 10661:11 |
| 10661:12,22,22 10663:10 10664:2 |
| 10603:10 10604:2 |
| 10674:21,22 |
| 10681:25 10685:5 10693:2 10716:10 |
| 10717:14 10718:1 |
| 10728:14 pointed 10617:19 |
| 10645:19 10659:12 |
| points 10611:15 10613:8 10634:18 |
| 10638:23 10658:8,10 |
| 10661:7 10682:23 10706:10,18 |
| police 10616:1 10620:6 |
| 10620:15,21 10621:3 10622:3 10625:13,19 |
| 10625:21 10628:6,24 |
| 10629:9,12 10631:20 10636:17 10637:16 |
| 10639:5,17,21 |
| 10641:2,8 10642:15 10653:16,21 10654:2 |
| 10659:8 10660:20 |
| 10664:21 10665:12 10665:20 10675:8 |
| 10702:9 10717:3,6,8 |
| 10719:18 10720:4,6,7 10720:18,20,23 |
| 10721:3 10722:14 |
| 10724:9,9 10725:24 10727:20 |
| policed 10724:9 |
| policeman 10716:3 policemen 10664:16 |
| police's 10620:12 |
| 10639:13 police's 10619:13 |
| policing 10699:24 |
| policy 10666:7,7,21 |
| POP 10675:1,5,18 10677:17,22 |
| 10679:10 10680:6 |
| 10689:13 10692:10 portion 10660:14 |
| 10696:24 |
| position 10611:4 10621:22 10627:3 |
| 10673:3 |
| positive 10611:10 10631:20 |
| The Assessment of Cale |

| possible 10614:4 |
|-------------------------------|
| |
| 10618:5 10646:5 |
| 10654:20 10708:5 |
| 10709:7 10725:7 |
| possibly 10618:12 |
| 10729:3 |
| post 10638:16 |
| 10665:11 10669:17 |
| 10669:19 10670:3 |
| 10671:17 10680:20 |
| 10681:18 10683:14 |
| Potchefstroom |
| 10680:23 10681:23 |
| 10681:24 10683:14 |
| potential 10725:4 |
| powerful 10706:2 |
| powers 10700:5 |
| practice 10697:3,13 |
| |
| pre 10670:7 |
| preceded 10671:18 |
| 10720:2 |
| preceding 10620:6 |
| 10629:20 10672:10 |
| preciousness 10657:20 |
| precipitate 10655:20 |
| predict 10612:17 |
| predictable 10725:11 |
| prediction 10615:12 |
| preface 10665:17 |
| prefer 10698:17 |
| 10715:5 |
| |
| preferable 10716:7 |
| prefix 10716:17 |
| prejudice 10664:2 |
| prejudiced 10662:25 |
| 10663:12 10706:5 |
| prejudicing 10618:10 |
| 10661:8,9 |
| preliminary 10706:18 |
| premise 10656:17 |
| 10657:5 |
| premises 10707:7,21 |
| 10711:21 |
| prepared 10643:4 |
| |
| 10649:25 10705:23 |
| 10707:19 |
| preparing 10707:19 |
| prerequisites 10655:11 |
| prescribed 10723:5 |
| prescribes 10621:13,16 |
| 10622:7 |
| prescript 10622:16 |
| prescripts 10622:10 |
| 10625:15,21 10631:1 |
| 10631:6,10 10632:1 |
| 10632:10,10,15 |
| 10635:10 10641:5 |
| 10666:21,22,25 |
| 10667:3 10675:11 |
| 10700:11 10714:21 |
| 10718:8 10723:5 |
| present 10611:21 |
| 10657:3 10662:14 |
| |
| 10684:16 10695:14 |
| 10704:22 |

```
10694:13.15
presented 10614:6
  10702:10.11
Presidency 10611:7
President 10688:23
  10704:20
press 10635:11
Pretoria 10684:3,5,7
  10684:10,20,22
  10687:21 10711:11
Pretorius 10668:6,7
pretty 10723:19
prevent 10718:14
previous 10662:8
  10725:1
Previously 10643:13
pre-empt 10657:13
prima 10635:24
  10656:16,18 10657:5
  10662:4
principal 10660:21
principally 10651:22
principle 10645:22
  10648:21
principles 10623:21
  10624:20
printed 10668:4
prior 10681:23
prioritised 10714:25
priority 10715:14,17
private 10619:18
  10625:3,4 10641:11
  10664:25 10665:7,9
privately 10697:12
probably 10610:3
  10681:8 10682:22
  10712:25 10724:24
problem 10611:17
  10612:6 10614:11
  10660:3 10681:9
  10685:16 10725:18
  10726:14
problems 10618:16
  10726:13 10728:16
procedure 10715:16
proceed 10618:13
  10619:3 10628:3
proceedings 10609:1
  10705:15 10707:11
process 10618:10
  10667:5 10709:23
produce 10618:16
profitably 10681:8
prohibit 10635:7
prohibited 10632:12
projections 10615:9
promise 10645:17
  10728:20
properly 10627:23
  10726:22
property 10711:10
  10715:15
proposing 10610:25
proposition 10630:21
  10635:14,17 10716:4
propositions 10621:20
```

```
protected 10722:17
protects 10621:24
protest 10652:12
protesters 10655:21
  10667:20 10719:25
  10720:4,21,25
  10722:12
protestors 10645:24
  10651:23 10652:2,10
protests 10631:22
protocol 10700:11
protocols 10700:2
  10704:2
provide 10706:16
  10710:2 10725:25
  10726:2,5
provided 10706:13
  10726:9 10727:1,3
providing 10726:2
province 10667:22
  10676:23 10677:22
  10682:25 10683:10
  10683:10 10686:23
  10686:23 10689:14
  10689:16 10691:3
  10693:16 10694:16
  10694:17 10698:9,10
  10699:24 10700:6,8
  10700:22 10703:20
  10704:5
provinces 10683:3
  10687:22 10688:20
  10689:22 10691:4
  10694:21
provincial 10667:10
  10689:9 10690:7
  10693:24 10699:22
  10703:23.23
  10712:13
provisions 10624:14
ProvJOC 10686:11
  10690:5,9,18,24
  10691:2,12 10692:14
  10693:8,13,16,19
  10694:20 10695:3,5
  10700:5 10704:3
  10711:21 10712:13
  10712:14 10713:17
  10714:4,7
PROVJOCS 10687:23
PROVJOINT
  10686:11.25
public 10644:6
pure 10628:16,23
  10629:9 10631:2
purporting 10705:19
purpose 10717:4,10
  10722:3 10724:4
purposes 10654:13
  10664:7 10690:16
pursuing 10627:12
put 10612:15 10619:12
  10622:8 10627:4,18
  10629:15,18,23
  10630:22 10634:2,5
  10635:17 10636:11
```

possibility 10613:25

presentation 10665:5

| qualification 10622:7 |
|-------------------------|
| Q |
| |
| 10680:18 |
| puzzlement 10680:16 |
| 10726:18 10727:18 |
| 10714:20 10725:24 |
| 10654:17 10667:5 |
| 10634:7 10635:14 |
| putting 10624:22 |
| 10723:7 |
| 10719:22 10721:12 |
| 10715:13,16 |
| 10703:12 10706:10 |
| 10681:9,23 10693:25 |
| 10672:24 10676:10 |
| 10666:24 10670:4 |
| 10655:12 10657:5,22 |
| 10646:21 10647:7 |
| 10639:20 10640:8,24 |
| 10620-20 10640-9 24 |

qualification 10622:7 10632:15 10725:23 qualifications 10633:22 qualified 10668:15,18 10669:23 questioned 10609:24 questioning 10721:5 questions 10612:21,23 10619:10 10627:13 10633:2 10645:20 10649:15 10650:6 10660:1 10662:1 10663:11 10680:12 10680:21 10691:8 10711:16,19 10712:6 10717:25 10723:2 quibblings 10609:24 quickly 10681:15 quite 10617:16 10653:20 10654:9 10656:22.23 10657:23 10714:18 10728:13 quiver 10645:14 quo 10727:24 quotation 10626:13,22 quote 10620:6 10701:6 10701:13

\mathbf{R} R 10704:25 raise 10613:6.11.11.18 10657:10,19 10659:23 10661:6 10662:18 10697:9 10728:17 raised 10611:16 10613:9 10614:2 10630:14 10660:2 10662:1,15 10663:17 raises 10658:15 raising 10661:7 10674:21 random 10676:16,22 10677:8 reach 10690:1

reached 10643:7

ARCHIVE

```
read 10622:23 10624:6
  10624:10 10631:2
  10634:8 10635:10
  10636:11 10638:24
  10657:16 10666:6
  10668:3 10670:18,21
  10716:4 10718:8
  10719:20 10720:5,9
  10720:16 10721:4
readily 10682:15
reading 10622:10
  10667:16 10715:14
  10715:19 10716:4
  10719:24
ready 10619:2
real 10706:8
really 10616:16
  10626:5 10633:17
  10635:14 10655:9
  10659:19 10660:1
  10663:21 10673:19
  10673:19,20,20
  10709:7 10718:1
  10722:1,23 10728:11
reason 10613:18
  10617:16 10641:6
  10655:8 10691:18
  10697:14 10722:21
  10722:22
reasonable 10615:9,12
  10706:23
reasonably 10710:5
reasons 10616:19
  10617:2 10631:14
  10644:4 10692:13
  10705:6 10712:5
recall 10619:17
  10654:4
received 10616:16
  10693:18
receiving 10693:21
recommitting 10610:6
reconcile 10643:5
reconciliation 10614:6
  10643:17 10644:19
record 10617:21
  10632:2 10637:1.9
  10640:9 10669:24
recording 10693:20
records 10704:12
red 10631:8,9 10635:23
  10635:24
reduce 10693:1
  10698:25 10699:18
  10702:19
reduced 10699:3
refer 10680:21
  10688:11 10705:21
  10719:1
reference 10626:14,15
  10630:4 10631:16
  10636:5 10647:21
  10662:2
referred 10631:3
```

10650:12 10661:17

10668:12,22

```
10694:12
referring 10626:11,13
  10628:10 10648:1
  10667:12 10672:22
  10683:17 10717:9
refers 10630:8,9
reformulate 10658:5
  10718:11
refusal 10697:8
refuse 10652:6
  10655:22
refused 10651:23
  10652:3 10655:21
  10656:5
regard 10675:11
  10680:12 10688:23
  10690:8 10706:9
  10709:10 10724:6
regards 10613:8
  10711:12
register 10617:1
  10662:10 10675:24
Regulation 10624:21
relation 10685:15
  10687:10,17 10691:7
  10706:7 10707:11
  10725:3
relationship 10722:6
relevance 10658:4
  10659:18,21
  10660:10 10661:3
  10718:2
relevancy 10721:9
relevant 10660:25
  10661:10 10724:20
  10724:21
remains 10621:17
remarks 10609:8
remedies 10724:21
remedy 10702:23
  10703:23
remember 10619:12
  10620:3,8 10622:16
  10623:3,7,24,25
  10624:4 10639:7
  10641:16 10654:1
  10665:2.15 10667:6
  10672:25 10673:2,5
  10673:12,14,21,21
  10674:12,13,14,15,18
  10691:1 10692:16
  10694:15 10696:7
  10711:4.15 10714:11
  10714:17 10723:8
remind 10617:13
  10711:5
reminded 10707:21
  10710:22
reminding 10617:5
removal 10611:13
  10705:2,5
removed 10680:19
repeat 10655:17
  10664:12 10689:11
```

10720:15 repeats 10640:1 **repetition** 10634:18 **repetitive** 10682:24 **rephrased** 10634:11 reply 10626:17 report 10701:1 **reported** 10627:7 10638:14,15 10719:23 reports 10691:24,25 10692:5 10693:18,20 10700:24 10701:7,13 **represent** 10610:4 10699:18 10708:25 10709:5 10722:13 representative 10643:23 representatives 10643:15 10697:6 10706:10 10707:10 representative's 10643:2 represented 10706:9 request 10615:23 10644:10 10658:6 10688:16 10700:15 10712:1,3 require 10625:16 required 10611:19 10614:23 10641:19 10641:24 10677:2 requirement 10623:6 10623:23 10624:8 10714:13 requirements 10625:2 10625:22 10641:10 10642:11 10652:5 10660:24 requires 10652:11 10689:19 10690:10 10700:11 requisites 10657:2 resembles 10693:21 residence 10653:10 residences 10647:11 10653:23 residential 10646:25 resolve 10628:17 resort 10652:5 10665:19 10666:5,12 10666:14.17 **resources** 10617:3 10683:3,25 10689:19 10691:14 10694:21 respect 10610:1 10627:23 10634:8 10635:6 10636:25 10637:21 10638:17 10649:18 10659:15 10705:10 10711:1 10723:20 respectfully 10615:23 respecting 10610:6 respective 10690:6 respond 10616:6

10620:23 10653:4.7 10677:2 10700:4 10720:11 **responded** 10668:10 respondents 10705:22 10705:24 10706:1 responding 10683:6 10699:25 10703:14 10703:17 **responds** 10676:23 **response** 10621:6 10639:17 10666:18 10683:11,21 responsible 10688:19 10689:24 10691:25 10692:6,9,22 10700:19 rest 10616:2 10653:12 10710:8 10711:3 restrictions 10618:7 result 10655:5 10705:15 10708:11 10724:20 resultant 10660:23 resume 10609:7 10616:25 10644:8 10704:24 10707:5 10708:14 resumes 10609:2 10642:22,23 10685:25 10686:1 10704:17,18 retreat 10650:9 retreated 10620:6 **reviewing** 10669:16 revoir 10729:3 re-examination 10612:21 Riahs 10676:24,25 rifles 10680:9 10682:6 rifle-wielding 10716:9 right 10616:21 10617:20 10621:25 10621:25 10622:1 10625:8 10629:6,7 10630:7,12 10631:18 10633:23 10648:15 10650:21 10652:13 10655:1 10659:11 10661:13 10663:2 10699:23 10712:12 10714:18 **rightfully** 10631:19 **rights** 10618:10 10631:17 robot 10631:8,9 10635:23,24 role 10666:23 10675:5 10678:6 10690:12,12 10691:4,5 10699:11 10699:15 10700:8 10702:15 10725:2,8 roles 10675:9 room 10610:3 roots 10648:16 round 10649:9

repeatedly 10649:16

repeating 10703:16

| rubber 10647:22 | 10635:2,22,23,24 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| rudimentary 10668:23 | 10636:12,16 |
| rules 10629:5 10660:3 | 10637:16,24 10639:1 |
| 10660:5 | 10637:10,24 10037:1 |
| ruling 10611:13 | 10656:6 10659:21 |
| 10627:12 10658:4,6 | 10660:6,16 10665:8 |
| 10627:12 10038:4,0 | 10671:17 10676:7,8 |
| 10663:19 10664:1,4 | 10714:24 10715:13 |
| 10705:1 10707:19 | 10719:5,23 10720:2 |
| rumours 10613:17 | 10719.3,23 10720.2 |
| run 10653:10 | SC 10610:14 10611:17 |
| running 10673:3 | 10612:10,13 10613:4 |
| 10674:7,7,8,9 | 10612:10,13 10013.4 |
| runs 10636:8 10650:8 | 10615:17 10625:24 |
| Rustenburg 10611:14 | 10615.17 10025.24 |
| 10705:3 10706:15 | 10636:5 10641:16,21 |
| 10707:25 10708:7 | 10642:5 10643:22 |
| 10707.23 10708.7 | 10647:21 10649:12 |
| 10709.3 10728.12 | 10647.21 10649.12 |
| R1s 10679:13,22 | 10652:25 10657:18 |
| · · | 10605:20 10604:9 |
| R5s 10679:14,22 | |
| S | 10709:11,21 10710:4 |
| | 10710:8 10717:3,8,22 |
| S 10622:8 | 10718:4 10721:2,8 |
| sad 10728:12 | 10728:1 10729:6 |
| safe 10663:15 | scarcely 10721:24 |
| sake 10689:8 | scenario 10727:13 |
| SAPS 10628:15 | scene 10647:19 |
| 10683:24 10688:25 | 10648:3 10649:14,17 |
| 10692:1,19 10719:2 | 10658:3 |
| satisfactorily 10626:23 | scenes 10620:18 scheduled 10611:25 |
| satisfied 10705:4,25 10706:22 | schedules 10643:20 |
| save 10629:3,8 | Scott 10646:23 10647:3 |
| saw 10674:18 10693:8 | 10647:9 10702:11 |
| saying 10617:21 | Scott's 10668:22 |
| 10620:10 10621:14 | 10669:5 10670:3 |
| 10622:20 10623:6,9 | scratch 10696:22 |
| 10623:11,11 | searched 10651:23 |
| 10624:10 10627:12 | seat 10611:13 10705:2 |
| 10631:15 10632:6,7 | 10707:3 |
| 10632:18,22 10634:8 | second 10630:15 |
| 10635:9,22 10636:17 | 10634:23 10672:3,15 |
| 10641:2 10642:5,9 | 10724:10,12 |
| 10646:18 10647:2 | secondly 10623:11 |
| 10648:20 10649:6,7 | 10633:3 10657:23 |
| 10649:25 10651:2,3,7 | 10698:18 |
| 10653:8 10655:23 | secretariat 10710:13 |
| 10656:4 10659:10 | section 10621:12 |
| 10660:12,20 | 10622:6 10714:24 |
| 10662:15 10664:19 | see 10622:25 10628:14 |
| 10665:17 10669:4 | 10628:19 10630:19 |
| 10670:2,12,16,19 | 10636:8 10656:17 |
| 10671:22 10673:11 | 10658:9 10668:8 |
| 10673:12,16 | 10669:24 10676:24 |
| 10677:11,24 | 10679:20 10680:11 |
| 10679:23 10681:21 | 10682:8 10691:6 |
| 10682:16 10683:14 | 10692:25 10698:3,7 |
| 10693:7 10696:17,17 | 10699:14 10713:24 |
| 10696:18,19 10697:1 | 10716:18 10721:12 |
| 10703:24 10704:5,12 | 10721:21 |
| 10711:15 10713:20 | seeing 10673:2,13,21 |
| 10720:5 | seeking 10697:15 |
| says 10624:7,11,19 | seen 10673:7,18 |
| 10628:15 10630:15 | 10703:13 |
| 10631:8,18 10633:11 | sees 10680:8 |
| ARCHIVE EA | W IIISTICE |

```
self 10619:12 10621:3
  10664:24 10665:5
self-defence 10625:5
  10639:18 10641:4,6
  10641:11,14 10664:7
  10664:17,25
self-evident 10725:21
Semenva 10612:21
  10625:24,25 10626:1
  10626:5,16 10632:24
  10632:25 10635:4
  10636:5 10641:9,16
  10641:21 10642:2,5
  10647:21,24
  10649:12 10652:23
  10655:2 10662:18
  10663:20 10664:9
  10675:24 10698:2,5
  10698:15 10699:1
  10717:1,2,3,8
  10728:1
Semenya's 10627:2
semi-automatic
  10716:8
send 10676:24
  10709:23 10720:3,6
senior 10691:23
  10692:5
sense 10620:17 10633:9
  10636:14 10654:7
  10660:5 10668:23
  10677:24
sensible 10626:21
sentence 10627:17
  10701:3 10720:19
separate 10641:6
  10720:17
separately 10616:13
sequence 10674:2
service 10664:22
set 10700:14 10705:7,7
  10711:19
sets 10694:24
setting 10700:1
seven 10661:16
  10670:24
share 10617:1
shared 10631:20
  10693:9
sharing 10668:16
sharp 10680:1
she'll 10642:17
she's 10640:1 10660:7
  10668:13 10703:10
  10703:12 10718:20
  10721:11,13,14
  10724:8
she'd 10614:22
shoot 10660:17
  10664:24
shootings 10671:15
short 10617:9 10631:5
  10712:4 10718:10
  10725:9
shorten 10643:9
shortening 10707:11
```

```
shorthand 10690:16
shortly 10707:24
  10729:10
shot 10647:18 10648:7
  10649:10 10650:23
  10652:21 10655:13
  10661:16
shots 10647:22
shoulder 10613:14
show 10631:19 10668:2
  10696:1.6
showed 10631:24
  10665:14 10674:6
shows 10681:22
side 10610:16
sidetracked 10714:11
sideways 10657:1
  10674:8,19
sight 10618:21
significant 10699:11
  10706:2
similar 10625:3
  10668:10
simple 10623:19
  10649:11 10673:20
simplify 10664:11
simplistic 10631:9
simply 10614:15
  10631:7 10635:9
  10649:19 10654:17
  10657:19 10661:9
  10674:23 10677:10
  10681:19 10701:25
simultaneous 10617:15
sincere 10708:7
Sir 10619:25 10709:11
sits 10684:2
sitting 10610:24
  10643:1,14 10645:7
  10645:10 10670:17
  10685:7 10687:5
  10694:15 10696:7
  10706:11 10707:5,22
  10708:2,6,13,14
  10710:22,24 10711:2
  10711:2,3 10717:17
sittings 10706:14
  10707:4
situate 10645:20
situated 10690:17
situation 10621:7
  10628:16 10631:15
  10632:2 10647:5
  10677:25 10679:7
  10684:1 10689:18
  10701:14 10716:5
  10726:25 10727:9,10
  10728:9
situations 10621:14,23
six 10668:23
slide 10628:14
  10629:20 10630:9,14
  10665:8 10680:4,5,8
slides 10665:12
Snake 10687:19,20
Snyman 10642:11
```

solution 10618:25 somebody 10635:22 10659:15 10674:10 10675:16 10677:15 10677:20 10679:23 10681:19 10714:21 10715:4 10718:7 soon 10612:2 10721:6 sorry 10612:14 10616:4 10619:23 10626:4 10627:11 10628:14 10629:17 10629:21 10633:12 10641:18 10642:2 10646:7 10650:2 10653:14 10655:15 10662:12 10670:1 10672:21 10677:9 10678:8 10682:13,14 10685:12,14 10688:15 10693:3 10695:8 10696:4,4 10697:2 10702:10 10703:3 10708:16,17 10708:17 10710:15 10715:14 10718:10 10719:3,18,23 10720:14 10722:19 10723:10 10724:5 10725:8 10728:3 10729:6 sort 10615:12 10682:1 sorts 10672:19 10726:4 sought 10652:24 10705:6 10706:23 sounds 10612:24 10661:20 South 10629:7 10632:16 10695:7,15 so-called 10635:8 space 10707:12 speak 10611:8 10638:19 10644:7,8 10675:7 10683:17 10699:1 **speaking** 10622:9 10702:13 **special** 10692:10 **specific** 10617:12 10673:24 10714:24 specifically 10677:14 10678:4 10687:10 10709:5 specifying 10709:24 speed 10618:22 **speeding** 10618:9 spell 10686:7 **spelling** 10686:13 spend 10645:18 10665:9 **spent** 10617:25 10632:25 10644:4 10673:15 10715:9 **spirit** 10624:13 spoil 10722:7,11 spoke 10619:18

| spray 10660:17 |
|--|
| SS2 10715:7 |
| stability 10646:1 |
| |
| staff 10708:3,8 |
| stage 10611:15 10614:1 |
| 10630:11,15,22 |
| 10643:11 10646:7,24 10649:21,23 |
| 10649:21,23 |
| 10650:12,14,15,20 |
| 10651:19 10652:4,17 |
| 10655:20 10656:18 |
| 10656:21 10657:3 |
| 10668:24,24,25 |
| 10669:20 10670:9 |
| 10671:4,7,16,18,18 |
| 10671:20,24,25,25 |
| 10672:1,1,4,16 |
| 10687:9 10695:20 |
| stages 10630:11 |
| 10646:15 10650:16 |
| 10668:23,24 |
| 10671:19 10672:5,10 |
| 10672:17 |
| stance 10663:7 |
| stand 10619:21 |
| 10666:25 |
| standalone 10624:11 |
| standalone 10024.11 standi 10705:24 |
| |
| standing 10627:16 10628:2 10714:22 |
| |
| 10715:6 |
| stands 10712:10 |
| start 10650:22 10651:1 |
| 10688:21 10729:5 |
| started 10616:2 |
| starting 10623:12 |
| 10625:12 10714:10 |
| state 10705:8 10727:20 |
| 10727:22 |
| statement 10614:8 |
| 10620:2,11 10625:18 |
| 10626:3,19 10633:7 |
| 10633:11 10635:19 |
| 10636:3,17 10637:15 |
| 10639:9 10640:1 |
| 10650:13 10669:23 |
| 10669:24 10702:8 |
| 10719:2 |
| statements 10619:21 |
| 10627:5 10638:13 |
| stating 10616:3 |
| status 10727:24 |
| status 10727:24 stay 10708:5 |
| |
| step 10695:1 |
| steps 10622:12 10724:2 |
| STF 10675:17,19 |
| 10676:16 10689:5 |
| stick 10661:18,19,24,25 |
| 10663:22 |
| stipulate 10670:18 |
| stop 10610:25 |
| |
| 10656:20 10661:7 |
| 10656:20 10661:7 10666:12 10716:5 |
| 10656:20 10661:7 10666:12 10716:5 10729:1 |
| 10656:20 10661:7 10666:12 10716:5 10729:1 stopped 10609:9 |
| 10656:20 10661:7 10666:12 10716:5 10729:1 |

| IVIC |
|---|
| |
| straight 10686:7 |
| 10688:10 |
| strategy 10675:15,22 |
| 10676:15 10679:21 |
| 10679:23 10680:21 |
| strict 10660:3 |
| strictly 10617:24 |
| strikers 10726:10,11 structural 10687:15 |
| structure 10686:7 |
| 10687:1,7 10688:8,11 |
| 10689:3 10693:2 |
| 10698:11 10699:16 |
| 10700:2 10702:6,15 |
| 10713:8,12 |
| structures 10690:5 |
| 10694:1 |
| subject 10627:2,12 |
| 10634:4 10638:11 10641:1 10653:19 |
| 10681:16 10695:17 |
| submitted 10670:13 |
| subsection 10624:11 |
| subsequently 10681:18 |
| substance 10699:12 |
| 10706:8 |
| substantial 10643:8 |
| substantially 10643:9 |
| 10708:10 |
| subtle 10637:13 |
| succeed 10632:12 10655:7 |
| succeeded 10671:19 |
| 10705:5 |
| successful 10630:18 |
| 10678:9 |
| successfully 10631:23 |
| 10632:1 |
| sufficient 10710:5 |
| suggest 10617:14 10640:15 10661:11 |
| 10663:7 10664:2 |
| 10728:13,14 |
| suggested 10618:2.4 |
| suggested 10618:2,4 10644:12 10653:15 |
| 10653:25 10654:7 |
| 10677:20 10711:15 |
| suggesting 10634:14 |
| 10711:9,13 |
| suggestion 10649:20 10709:22 |
| suggests 10626:10 |
| 10728:5 |
| suitable 10699:2 |
| sum 10710:24 |
| summary 10619:16 |
| sums 10705:9 |
| sun 10609:18 |
| superior 10660:16 |
| support 10694:18,18 10704:10 10706:3 |
| supported 10639:11 |
| 10705:20 |
| supporting 10694:16 |
| 10694:20 |

```
10687:7 10697:9
supposed 10659:13
  10677:6,11 10678:13
  10678:16
sure 10610:2 10617:16
  10624:25 10626:14
  10628:9 10635:4
  10640:16 10641:9
  10642:17 10646:11
  10646:20 10653:3
  10655:17 10656:15
  10659:20 10671:21
  10684:22,25
  10685:20 10686:9
  10688:7 10690:1
  10701:15 10708:22
  10708:25 10717:16
  10717:18 10722:21
  10724:3
surely 10646:14,16
  10650:14,18
  10659:14,15
  10660:12 10723:11
  10724:6
surplus 10726:6
surprised 10681:4
surprising 10690:22
suspect 10692:12
synonymous 10622:20
  10623:5,23
systematically 10620:7
s.u.o 10609:4 10686:3
  10712:8
          T
```

table 10612:2 10615:19 10634:18 10643:7,8 tabled 10644:19 tactical 10667:21 take 10610:23 10611:14 10612:2 10614:24 10618:5 10622:24 10626:24 10642:19 10643:24 10644:13 10645:8,9 10660:10 10663:6 10680:19 10682:22 10685:3,23 10689:8 10695:1 10700:14.20 10702:12 10704:16 10707:8 10711:4 10714:22,23 10715:1 10721:14 10722:23 10724:1,2 10729:2,8 taken 10614:5 10622:12 10631:14 10643:6,9 10723:6 takers 10615:21 takes 10683:20 talk 10616:3 10623:12 10636:16 10657:17 10659:6 10667:11 10689:20 10709:11 10709:23 **talking** 10616:3

| 10635:9 10636:2 |
|--|
| |
| 10644:23 10650:22 |
| 10665:12 10666:8 10667:15 10668:14 10668:15,16 10669:1 |
| 10667:15 10668:14 |
| 10668:15,16 10669:1 |
| 10690:8 10693:23 |
| 10701:18 10723:8 |
| 10725:13 |
| talks 10631:17 |
| 10671:24 |
| tandem 10698:12 |
| tangentially 10642:6 |
| tank 10682:17,18 |
| tantamount 10632:22 |
| |
| target 10727:21,23 |
| targeted 10725:6 |
| targeting 10645:2 |
| 10726:20,21 |
| task 10618:19 |
| taxes 10709:19 |
| tea 10623:7 10626:22 |
| 10641:6 10642:19,24 |
| 10644:4 |
| team 10668:14 |
| |
| 10670:19 10672:13 |
| 10700:3 10703:6 |
| teargas 10660:18 |
| teatime 10634:4 |
| 10640:19 10658:2 |
| technical 10629:5 |
| technically 10611:5 |
| tell 10610:20 10628:11 |
| 10659:24 10661:14 |
| 10692:12 10709:20 |
| 10710:19 10717:12 |
| telling 10639:8 |
| 10651:14 |
| tells 10694:13 |
| temperate 10658:15 |
| |
| tempting 10701:24 term 10639:12 10687:8 |
| |
| terms 10624:2 10629:5 |
| 10630:9 10632:15 |
| 10636:7 10646:5 |
| 10651:1 10662:1 |
| 10666:9 10670:17 |
| 10678:21 10692:20 |
| 10692:24 10699:24 |
| 10727:2 |
| |
| test 10610:8 |
| test 10610:8 |
| testified 10653:9 |
| testified 10653:9 10689:5,12 |
| testified 10653:9 10689:5,12 testify 10617:20 |
| testified 10653:9 10689:5,12 testify 10617:20 testifying 10653:2 |
| testified 10653:9 10689:5,12 testify 10617:20 testifying 10653:2 testimony 10632:2 |
| testified 10653:9 10689:5,12 testify 10617:20 testifying 10653:2 testimony 10632:2 thank 10609:6 |
| testified 10653:9 10689:5,12 testify 10617:20 testifying 10653:2 testimony 10632:2 thank 10609:6 10610:12 10613:4,19 |
| testified 10653:9 10689:5,12 testify 10617:20 testifying 10653:2 testimony 10632:2 thank 10609:6 10610:12 10613:4,19 10616:9,13,23,23 |
| testified 10653:9 10689:5,12 testify 10617:20 testifying 10653:2 testimony 10632:2 thank 10609:6 10610:12 10613:4,19 10616:9,13,23,23 10618:14,17 10619:1 |
| testified 10653:9 10689:5,12 testify 10617:20 testifying 10653:2 testimony 10632:2 thank 10609:6 10610:12 10613:4,19 10616:9,13,23,23 10618:14,17 10619:1 |
| testified 10653:9 10689:5,12 testify 10617:20 testifying 10653:2 testimony 10632:2 thank 10609:6 10610:12 10613:4,19 10616:9,13,23,23 10618:14,17 10619:1 10619:5 10624:3 10628:1,4 10633:19 |
| testified 10653:9 10689:5,12 testify 10617:20 testifying 10653:2 testimony 10632:2 thank 10609:6 10610:12 10613:4,19 10616:9,13,23,23 10618:14,17 10619:1 10619:5 10624:3 10628:1,4 10633:19 10643:22 10648:11 |
| testified 10653:9 10689:5,12 testify 10617:20 testifying 10653:2 testimony 10632:2 thank 10609:6 10610:12 10613:4,19 10616:9,13,23,23 10618:14,17 10619:1 10619:5 10624:3 10628:1,4 10633:19 10643:22 10648:11 10650:10,25,25 |
| testified 10653:9 10689:5,12 testify 10617:20 testifying 10653:2 testimony 10632:2 thank 10609:6 10610:12 10613:4,19 10616:9,13,23,23 10618:14,17 10619:1 10619:5 10624:3 10628:1,4 10633:19 10643:22 10648:11 10650:10,25,25 10658:22,25 |
| testified 10653:9 10689:5,12 testify 10617:20 testifying 10653:2 testimony 10632:2 thank 10609:6 10610:12 10613:4,19 10616:9,13,23,23 10618:14,17 10619:1 10619:5 10624:3 10628:1,4 10633:19 10643:22 10648:11 10650:10,25,25 |

| 10676:6 10679:4,4 |
|--|
| 10682:10 10685:22 |
| 10686:6 10701:20 |
| 10707:16 10708:20 |
| 10708:23 10709:4,5,7 |
| 10709:8 10710:10,19 |
| 10710:21 10711:7 |
| 10712:3 10714:6,7 |
| 10716:21,25 |
| 10718:25 10719:7 |
| 10722:10 10724:17 |
| 10724:22 10725:22 |
| 10729:11 |
| thanks 10654:24 |
| theory 10617:22 |
| thereof 10624:6 |
| 10688:4 |
| thereon 10707:18 |
| therewith 10707:1 |
| there'll 10710:25 |
| there's 10623:12,13 |
| 10630:14 10633:5 |
| 10638:23 10641:12 |
| 10638:23 10641:12 |
| |
| 10653:23 10656:9 |
| 10663:10 10673:25 |
| 10674:13 10682:18 |
| 10683:12 10687:7 |
| 10697:8 10700:22,23 10706:8 10713:6 |
| |
| 10720:17 10725:17 |
| 10726:1 10727:14 |
| they'd 10621:7 |
| they'll 10677:17 |
| 10725:19 |
| they're 10723:19 |
| they've 10656:21 |
| 10708:9 |
| thing 10625:15,18 |
| 10626:21 10630:11 |
| 10632:6 10638:21 |
| 10640:3 10655:2 |
| 10667:15 10675:18 10683:24 10686:17 |
| |
| 10703:5 |
| things 10613:13 |
| 10617:17 10621:24 |
| 10625:19 10647:15 |
| 10648:13 10654:23 |
| 10655:20 10656:19 |
| 10669:2,16 10670:14 |
| 10670:16 10671:1 |
| 10673:17 10674:25 |
| 10679:12 10686:12 |
| 10688:19 10696:9,13 |
| 10696:23 10697:10 |
| 10700:18 10701:24 10702:16,20 10703:6 |
| |
| 10713:15 10723:15 |
| 10726:4 |
| thinks 10657:24 |
| 10722:24 |
| third 10617:23,24 |
| 10651:10 10722:14 |
| Thirdly 10683:12 |
| thought 10634:1 |

suppose 10641:23

10628:12 10632:1

| 10665:21 10693:6,14 | 10643:24 10644: |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| 10693:20 10695:18 | 10645:5,6 10675 |
| 10725:14,16 | 10709:24 |
| 10726:20,21 | top 10702:16 |
| thousand 10636:1 | topic 10619:8,11 |
| threat 10641:23,23 | 10627:14 10628: |
| three 10616:17 | 10633:1 10641:1 |
| 10619:23 10640:19 | Town 10684:17 |
| 10645:22 10648:13 | traced 10629:6,6 |
| 10648:20,23 | traditional 10705: |
| 10660:21 10667:12 | 10706:4 |
| 10673:22 10674:14 | transcript 10636:6 |
| 10720:22,25 | translated 10710:1 |
| three-minute 10640:21 | translation 10617: |
| throw 10642:17 | 10617:18 |
| 10659:23 10698:3 | transport 10705:1 |
| thrust 10654:5 | 10706:13,16 107 |
| 10678:21 | travel 10728:25 |
| Thursday 10610:24 | tricky 10728:13,14 |
| 10672:23 | tried 10720:25 |
| till 10626:21 | tries 10662:18 |
| time 10611:3,11 | trigger 10659:13 |
| 10612:19 10614:24 | triple 10617:18 |
| 10615:5 10617:10,23 | trouble 10721:10 |
| 10617:25 10618:22 | TRT 10673:2 1067 |
| 10623:17,19 10630:7 | 10674:6,25 1067 |
| 10633:1 10643:9,12 | TRT's 10675:5 |
| 10643:14 10644:1,4 | true 10617:24 |
| 10645:10,18 | 10620:13 10654: |
| 10647:18,18 10648:6 | 10690:19 10691: |
| 10649:9 10655:13 | trust 10709:8 |
| 10656:8 10657:20 | truth 10616:18 |
| 10660:4 10662:18 10664:22 10669:9 | try 10615:9 10616: 10617:9,14 1062 |
| 10604:22 10669:9 | 10617:9,14 1062 10628:17 10645: |
| 10697:10,25 10699:2 | 10648:19 10666: |
| 10700:8 10702:7 | 10670:2 10692:1 |
| 10708:17,18 | 10670.2 10092.1 |
| 10709:25 10722:2 | trying 10633:21 |
| timeline 10614:7 | 10644:14 10690: |
| timeous 10643:19 | 10703:10 |
| times 10643:5,6 | Tuesday 10612:25 |
| 10659:4 10661:17 | 10710:3 10728:1 |
| 10676:1 | 10729:2 |
| timetable 10617:12 | turned 10698:2 |
| today 10614:20 | 10716:23 10726: |
| 10617:8 10702:13,14 | turns 10723:4,12,1 |
| 10709:24 | 10725:25 10726: |
| today's 10707:5 | two 10609:8 10616 |
| Tokota 10709:19,20 | 10619:10 10620: |
| 10719:5,8 | 10624:13 10634: |
| told 10610:25 10611:7 | 10638:22 10651: |
| 10620:20 10621:1 | 10652:5 10655:1 |
| 10633:8 10638:18 | 10655:20 10656: |
| 10645:22 10649:16 | 10657:2,21,24 |
| 10649:20 10650:12 | 10658:8 10671:2 |
| 10656:3 10659:25 | 10672:10 10674: |
| 10660:16 10664:15 | 10674:25 10694: |
| 10664:21,21,23 | 10694:24 10702: |
| 10665:1 10667:10 | 10705:13 10711: |
| 10675:25 10717:10 | 10711:19 10712: |
| 10724:8 | type 10670:25 |
| tomorrow 10610:21,23 | 10683:25 |
| 10611:1,3 10612:4,5 | typed 10728:16 |
| 10613:22 10614:22 | Cl Joseph of |
| 10614:23 10643:1,15 | U SELECTION OF |
| | R IIISTICE |

| | Maı |
|---|---------|
| 10643:24 10644:21 10645:5,6 10675:1 10709:24 | |
| top 10702:16 topic 10619:8,11 | |
| 10627:14 10628:3 10633:1 10641:15 Town 10684:17 | |
| traced 10629:6,6 traditional 10705:18 | |
| 10706:4 transcript 10636:6 translated 10710:18 | |
| translation 10617:15 10617:18 transport 10705:11 | í |
| 10706:13,16 10709 travel 10728:25 |):6 |
| tricky 10728:13,14 tried 10720:25 tries 10662:18 | |
| trigger 10659:13 triple 10617:18 | |
| trouble 10721:10 TRT 10673:2 10674: 10674:6,25 10676: | 1 16 |
| TRT's 10675:5 true 10617:24 10620:13 10654:6 | |
| 10690:19 10691:9 trust 10709:8 | |
| truth 10616:18 try 10615:9 10616:20 10617:9,14 10623: |) 17 |
| 10628:17 10645:11 10648:19 10666:10 |) |
| 10670:2 10692:11 10699:22 10724:23 trying 10633:21 | |
| 10644:14 10690:15 10703:10 Tuesday 10612:25 | 5 |
| 10710:3 10728:17 10729:2 | |
| turned 10698:2 10716:23 10726:10 turns 10723:4,12,13, | |
| 10725:25 10726:3 two 10609:8 10616:1 | |
| 10619:10 10620:18 10624:13 10634:17 10638:22 10651:7 | |
| 10652:5 10655:10, 10655:20 10656:18 10657:2,21,24 | |
| 10658:8 10671:24 10672:10 10674:13 | } |
| 10674:25 10694:1, 10694:24 10702:14 10705:13 10711:16 | |
| 10711:19 10712:6 type 10670:25 10683:25 | |
| 10083:25 typed 10728:16 | |

U 10704:25 **unable** 10648:17 unapologetically 10610:9 **unarmed** 10631:19 unclear 10621:11 **underlined** 10666:12 underlying 10660:4 **understand** 10612:16 10619:20 10623:10 10627:8,23 10639:21 10641:13,25 10644:20 10645:1 10655:17 10659:9,20 10660:9 10661:3 10664:9,10 10667:14 10671:22 10672:9 10680:3 10681:12 10688:14 10694:24 10696:16,18,25 10698:19 10699:3,8 10699:10,15,18 10702:18,20 10707:8 10707:12 10711:9,20 10713:16 10725:13 understanding 10612:1 10627:5 10642:6 10645:20,21 10646:4 10650:15 10677:8 10689:3 10691:11,16 10691:19 understands 10640:2 10657:23 10714:21 **understood** 10640:3,6 10644:17 10651:13 10660:19 10672:8 10678:11,20 10694:6 under-manned 10726:12 undisputed 10653:9,13 **unfair** 10634:6 unfortunate 10727:5 unfortunately 10611:9 10620:15 10710:18 10727:7 Union 10629:5 units 10675:9 10692:10 unnecessary 10705:6 10706:19 **unnumbered** 10622:11 unprecedented 10632:3 unrealistic 10615:18 **unrelated** 10714:9 unrest 10631:24 **unveiled** 10664:8,13 usage 10623:4 use 10611:2 10617:9 10619:15 10620:19 10621:4,16 10622:13 10622:14,15,19,20,21 10624:8,15,15,19 10626:18,25 10628:6 10630:16,17 10631:25 10632:11 10632:15 10633:11

10633:13 10634:9 10635:2 10636:12.14 10636:17.22 10637:18 10639:12 10656:12,13 10664:6 10664:16 10665:18 10666:4,11,20 10667:1,24 10670:24 10679:1,25 10690:5 10713:3 10714:12,25 10716:7 10724:9,23 utilise 10620:7 utilised 10636:19 utmost 10610:1 10628:17 \mathbf{V} vacuo 10671:20 valid 10727:1 value 10625:6 10636:2 varied 10623:13 various 10609:16,20 10616:19 10618:1,10 10643:5,6,12 10646:15,19 10675:9 10687:4 10698:8 10700:14,25 10701:11 10728:8 vein 10644:12 venue 10644:14 10645:3 10700:4 10707:9 10709:25 10710:1 10711:3 version 10619:13 10620:12 10714:6 10719:17 10720:20 10720:24 10722:12 10728:7 versions 10728:9 versus 10699:9 **VICTORIA** 10609:4 10686:3 10712:8 video 10643:5 10672:23 videos 10614:7 view 10635:8 10654:9 10655:4 10675:21 10677:14 10687:8 10688:12.12 10694:5 10706:20 10721:24 views 10609:20 10610:4 10707:18 violence 10631:25 violent 10637:18 virtue 10692:17 VOC 10686:11 10700:4 10700:5 **voluntarily** 10652:3,6 10655:11,21 voluntary 10652:18 10655:23 W

wait 10673:8

walked 10655:5

walk 10653:10 10655:5

| 1006/221 0010-13 1006/221 0010-13 1006/221 0010-13 1006/221 0010-13 1006/221 0010-13 1006/221 0010-13 1006/221 0010-13 1006/221 006/22 | | | | | Page 1 |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 10682.20 | 10679:25 10682:5.19 | 10649:13.16.20 | 10726:14.17 | 000 10631:22 10726:11 | 10671:18.25 10672:1 |
| Westley 1079:19 | | | | | |
| 1061315 107928, 10657:24 10658:3, 10661:12 10662:11 10663:3.9 10664:18 10673:4 10674:14 10675:25 10663:1, 21 10675:25 10663:1, 21 10675:25 10663:1, 21 10675:25 10676:4 10663:1, 21 10675:25 10676:4 10663:1, 21 10675:25 10676:4 10663:1, 21 10663:1, 2 | | | | | |
| 1063:15:10729-8 10699-47:10661:12 10663:11 1066 | | | | | |
| weeks 100704-19 100704-24 10075-25 10076-4-1 100704-19 100704-24 10070-19 1008-2-19 10 | | | | | |
| 1006418 1007344 10709144 10709145 1063512 1068115,17 1063512 1068115,17 1063512 106612 1063613 106612 1063613 106612 1063613 106612 1063613 106612 1063613 106612 1063613 106612 1063613 106613 106613 1 106613 106613 1 106613 10707;22 1070923 1070923 10713 1072315 10707;22 107292,5 1065512 1066812 107242 107292,5 107242 107292,1 1063613 106613 1 106613 106613 1 106613 106613 1 106613 106613 1 106613 106613 1 106613 106613 1 106613 106613 1 106613 106613 1 106613 106613 1 106613 106613 1 106613 | | The state of the s | | 1 | |
| 1063:49 10704:24 106573:25 106681:2 10681:5.17 10682:20 10682:24 10663:11 10663:1 | | - | | 1 10641:12 10647:19 | |
| 10709-14 10680-12 10680-12 1072-13 10680-13 | | | | | |
| weight 19705-6 10682.20 10698.18 10653.11 10656.16 10653.11 1065.16 10673.11 10685.17 1058.11 1071.15 1071.15 1071.15 1071.15 1071.15 1071.15 1071.15 1071.15 1068.17 1071.19 281 1068.10 1068.17 1071.19 281 1068.10< | | | | | |
| Wesley 10709:19 | | - | | | |
| 10621-12 1062-13 1063-23 106 | | | | | |
| 1063c1-10 1063c3 10640-12 10643-11 10661-8 10653-11 10661-8 10652-21 1063-12 10652-21 10662-21 1066 | | | | | |
| 10663:3 10640:12 10662:12 10662:22 vonder 10613:12 10662:23 10656 | | | | | |
| 1064:19 10642:10 10662:12 10656:21 0666:1 10752:15 10762:20 10668:1 10762:20 10668:1 10729:12 10711:3 10723:15 10724:20 10729:2, 3 10724:20 10729:2, 3 10763:20 10658:24 107628:19 107024:10 10711:1, 2 10712:20 10728:19 107024:10 10712:1 107021:1 | | | | | |
| 10655:12 1070-21 1070-22 1070-23 1071-23 1070-23 1071-23 1070-23 1071-23 1070-23 1071-23 1070-23 1070-23 1071-23 1070-23 1070-23 1070-23 1 | | | X | | |
| 1068523 10704-16 | | wonder 10613:12 | X 10681:22 10696:21 | | 3 |
| 10077:22 10709:23 | | | | • | 3 10619:23 10630:9.11 |
| 10711:3 10723:15 10658:24 10658:24 10724:25 10679:25 10710:24 10711:12 10679:25 10710:20 10788:19 word 10619:14 10679:25 10710:24 10711:12 10710:24 10711:12 10650:2 10650:2.15 10650:2.25 1065 | | | , | | |
| 10724-20 10729-1, werk 10668-15 10669-20 word 10619-14 10620-16 10622-15 10738-19 word 10619-14 10620-16 10622-15 10732-1 10722-1 10632-24 10634-13 10656-12 10665-12 | | | | | |
| 10728-19 | | | Y | 100 10675:5,6 10688:11 | |
| 10768:15 10669:20 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Y 10681:22 10696:21 | * | |
| 10710:24 10712:1, 2 10626:2,18 10633:10 yeasreday 10609:9 10067:10 10708:6,13 10668:25 10668:25 10668:25 10668:24 10633:24 10633:24 10633:24 10633:24 10633:24 10633:24 10633:24 10633:24 10682:10669:24 10682:10668:6 10712:2 10713:2 10682:1 10688:2 10668:6 10714:23 10715:1 10712:1 10715:6 10772-1 10708:1 107007:1 10704:13 107007:1 10704:13 107007:1 10704:13 10632:1 10669:24 10632:1 10669:24 10632:1 10669:24 107015:6 10632:1 106708:1 10632:1 10634:3,8 10632:25 10636:20 10632:21 10634:3,8 10644:10 10632:1 10634:3,8 10644:10 10639:2 10712:2 10629:21 10627:22 10629:21 10629:21 10629:21 10629:2 10669:24 10721:6 10669:24 10721:6 10669:24 10721:6 10669:24 10721:6 10669:24 10721:6 10669:24 10721:2 10689:10 10689:2 10677:19 10675:15 10669:24 10721:6 10667:12 10699:10 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 107007:1 10702:1 107007:1 107008:10 10699:10 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10679:19 10700:15 10669:10 1069:10 10699:10 | | | | | |
| 1077:23 10722:4 10626:2.18 10633:10 10634:13 10634:13 10634:13 10634:13 10634:13 10634:13 10636:12 1067:2 10637:2 10631:23 10631:23 10631:2 10 | | | | | |
| 10729:1 10635:12 10634:13 10613:23 10614:12 10717:18 10677:14 (10635:12 106668:6 10714:23 10715:1 10637:1 10685:1 10685:2 10714:23 10715:1 10677:4 10691:8 10677:4 10691:8 10677:4 10691:8 10677:4 10691:8 10677:4 10691:8 10677:4 10677:4 10677:4 10700:7 | | | | | |
| we've 10625:14 10631:23 10682:2 10690:24 1061:17,19:21 101st 10708:14 10672:4,16 10704:15 10772:20 10728:8 10631:24,25 10641:10 10632:4,25 1064:10 10712:2 10713:2 10612:18 10668:9 10639:2 10714:24 10712:2 10713:2 10672:4 10691:8 10715:6 37d 10707:107102:23 10707:7 10707:13 10715:9 10717:24 words 10620:17 10622:1 10627:22 10701:17 11.2 10715:6 30 10677:18 330 10707:10702:13 10643:25 10644:10 10632:21 10632:3 10632:21 10632:2 10632:21 10632:2 10701:17 11.2 10715:6 30 10677:18 330 10707:10702:2 330 10707:10702:2 330 10707:10702:2 330 10707:10702:2 330 10707:10702:2 330 10707:10702:2 330 10707:10702:2 330 10707:12 330 10707:12 10677:4 1069:12 11.4 10715:2 30 10677:18 30 10677:18 30 10677:18 30 10677:18 30 10677:18 30 10677:18 30 10677:18 30 10677:18 4 10613:12 10707:15 10628:14 10636:8 10628:14 10636:8 10628:14 10636:8 10628:14 10636:8 10628:14 10636:8 10628:14 10636:8 10672:1 10673:1 10638:25 10636:2 10677:1 10673:1 10638:12 10636:2 <td>10729:1</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | 10729:1 | • | | | |
| 10627:21 10631:23 10682:2 10690:24 10619:10 10621:12 10639:21 10712:2 10713:2 106218 10668:9 10717:24 10700:7 10685:2 10717:24 10700:7 10685:2 10677:4 10691:8 10677:4 10691:8 10677:4 10691:8 10677:4 10691:8 10677:4 10691:8 10715:6 30 10777:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10777:2 30 1078:8 30 10797:2 30 1078:8 30 10797:2 30 1078:8 30 10797:2 30 1078:8 30 10797:2 30 1078:8 30 10797:2 30 1078:8 30 10797:2 30 1078:8 30 10797:2 30 1078:8 30 10797:2 30 1078:8 30 10797:2 30 1078:8 30 10797:2 30 1078:8 30 10797:2 30 1078:8 30 10797 | we've 10625:14 | | | | |
| 10658:1 10668:6 10714:23 10715:1 10677:1 10685:2 10700:7 10704:13 10675:14 wording 10623:4 10692:17 10693:9 11.1 th 10705:17 330 10677:18 300 10677:19 300 10677:19 300 10677:19 300 10677:19 300 10677:19 300 10677:19 300 10677:19 300 10677:19 300 10677:19 | 10627:21 10631:23 | 10682:2 10690:24 | | 11 10616:1 10636:8,10 | I · |
| 10673;7 10685;2 10700;4:13 10675;14 words 10620;17 10701;7 10701;7 10701;7 10701;7 10701;7 10701;7 1063;2 10643;25 10644;10 10643;25 10636;20 10645;11 10690;5 10645;21 10649;2,2 10652;5 10656;13 10665;5 10616;10 10645;2 10666;3 10667;24 10651;2,3 10669;10 10658;1 10659;10 10702;2 10710;12 10702;2 10720;2 1072 | 10631:24,25 10641:1 | 10712:2 10713:2 | 10621:18 10668:9 | 10639:2 10714:24 | 3rd 10707:7 10710:25 |
| 10700;7 10704:13 10757:14 words 10620:17 10622:21 10627:22 10632:19 10632:21 10632:3.3 10643:18 10643:25 10643:10 10643:25 10643:10 10643:25 10636:22 10645:2.15 10645:2.2 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665:2.15 10665:2.3 10665: | 10658:1 10668:6 | 10714:23 10715:1 | 10677:4 10691:8 | 10715:6 | 3.4 10622:11 |
| 10715:9 10717:24 | 10673:7 10685:2 | wording 10623:4 | 10692:17 10693:9 | 11th 10705:17 | 3:30 10720:1 |
| 10712:6 | 10700:7 10704:13 | | | 11.2 10715:6 | |
| we'll 10647:11 10632:11 10634:3,8 10634:25 10636:20 10634:25 10636:20 10634:25 10636:20 10639:6,9 10647:13 10649:7,22 10656:5 10666:3 10667:24 10661:1 10645:2 10666:3 10667:25 10666:3 10667:25 10666:3 10667:24 10631:2 10669:2 10669:2 10669:2 10671:19 10675:15 10634:8 10636:25 10632:2 10638:10 10689:2 10671:19 10675:15 10634:8 10636:25 10632:2 1 10632:2 1 10632:2 10632:2 1 10632:2 10669:12 1 10720:2 1 10720:12 10720:12 10720:12 10720:12 10720:12 10720:12 10720:12 10671:19 10675:15 10667:12 10667:12 10667:12 10667:12 10667:12 10667:12 10667:15 10667:12 10667:15 10667:12 10667:15 10667:12 10667:15 10667:15 10667:12 10667:15 10667:12 10667:15 10667:12 10667:15 10667:12 10667:15 10667:12 10667:15 10667:12 10670:15 10667:12 10670:15 10667:12 10670:15 10667:12 10670:15 10667:12 10670:15 10667:12 10670:15 10667:12 10670:15 10667:12 10670:15 10667:12 10670:15 10667:12 10670:15 10667:12 10670:15 10667:12 10670:15 10667:12 10670:15 10667:12 10670:15 10667:17 10667:17 10667:17 10667:17 10667:17 10667:17 10667:17 10667:17 10667:13 10669:24 10670:2 10670:15 10667:17 10667: | | | you'd 10699:10 | 11.4 10715:2 | 300 10727:23 10728:5 |
| 10643:25 10644:10 10634:25 10636:20 10628:14 10636:8 102:00 10652:16 4 4 4 10613:23 10614:11 10639:63 10647:13 10649:7.22 10652:5 10656:13 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10665:2 10666:3 10666:2 10666:3 10666:3 10666:3 10666:2 10666:3 10666:2 10666:3 10666:2 10666:3 10666:2 10666:3 10666:2 10666:3 10666:2 10666:3 10666:2 10666:3 10666:2 10666:3 10666:2 10666:3 10666:2 10666:2 10666:3 10666:2 10666:3 10666:2 10666:3 10666:3 10666:3 10666:3 10666:3 10666:3 10666:3 10666:3 10666:3 10666:3 10666:3 106 | | | | | 31st 10704:23 |
| 10645:11 10690:5 10639:6,9 10647:13 10641:18 10663:15 10610:14,21,24 10649:7,22 10652:5 10656:13 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10666:24 10628:12 10632:9 10628:12 10632:9 10632:21 10671:19 10675:15 10637:5 10640:4 10652:17 10672:13 10665:13 10665:5 10659:12 10670:19 10679:6 10691:10 10654:13 10661:21 10622:6 10663:12 10664:19 10720:21 10726:12 10720:21 10726:12 10720:21 10726:12 10663:12 10664:19 10632:21 10616:20 10643:16 10690:4,7 10700:15 10690:15 10693:19 10711:4,13 10712:12 10720:17,22,23 10701:21 10722:14,17 wish 10610:10 10643:20 10704:19 10717:223 10677:6 10690:6,19 10677:6 10690:6,19 10677:6 10690:19 10677:6 10690:6,19 10678:25 10679:3 10669:24 10670:3 10669:24 10670:3 10669:13 10669:13 10612:23 10612:2,23 10612:23,8 10613:22 10678:25 10679:3 10666:3 10669:13 10670:6 10690:6,19 10672:15 10668:13 10669:14 10677:6 10690:15 10678:25 10679:3 10666:13 10670:9 106678:25 10670:3 10669:24 10670:3 10669:17 10678:25 10670:3 10660:13 10670:9 106678:25 10670:3 10660:13 10670:9 10669:17 10678:25 10670:3 10660:13 10670:9 10669:17 10678:25 10670:3 10660:13 10670:9 10669:17 10678:25 10670:3 10660:13 10670:9 10669:17 10679:15 10699:11 10707:23 10660:13 10670:9 10669:17 10669:19 1066 | | , | , , | | |
| we're 10610:14,21,24 10649:7,22 10652:5 10666:13 10665:5 10669:24 10721:6 you're 10624:23 you're 10624:23 12:39 10673:11 12:59 10684:2 4th 10707:6,6,22 4th 10707:6,22 10688:10 10689:2 10666:13 10667:24 10628:12 10632:9 10632:9 10676:21 10677:15 10634:8 10636:25 10632:9 10632:1 10632:21 10720:21 10726:12 10676:21 10679:6 10691:10 10679:6 10691:10 10679:6 10691:10 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:2 10663:12 10664:19 13:30 10719:24 4th 10719:20 4th 10719:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:21 10720:2 10663:12 10664:19 13:30 10719:24 10719:24 4th 10719:20 10719:20 4th | | | | | |
| 10611:1 10615:25,25 10656:3 10665:5 10666:3 10665:5 10666:3 10667:24 10666:3 10667:24 10666:3 10667:24 10666:3 10667:25 10676:21 10677:15 10637:5 10640:4 10632:21 10669:24 10670:8 10669:24 10670:8 10669:24 10670:8 10669:24 10670:8 10669:24 10670:8 10669:24 10670:8 10669:24 10670:8 10669:24 10670:8 10669:24 10670:8 10669:24 10670:9 10669:24 10670:9 10669:24 10670:9 10669:24 10670:9 10669:24 10670:9 10669:24 10670:9 10669:24 10670:9 10669:24 10670:9 10669:24 | | | | | |
| 10616:1 10645:2 10666:3 10667:24 10628:12 10632:9 10634:8 10630:25 10637:11067:15 10638:10 10639:1 10679:6 10691:10 10651:12 10691:3 10679:6 10691:10 10720:21 10726:12 10720:21 10726:12 10663:12 10664:19 10622:6 13:30 10719:24 44.7 10719:24 44.7 10719:24 44.8 10719:24 | | | | | |
| 10688:10 10689:2 10671:19 10675:15 10634:8 10636:25 10632:21 13(3)(b) 10621:13 10622:6 10622:6 10622:6 10623:12 10720:21 10726:12 10632:12 10663:12 10664:14 13(3)(b) 10621:13 10622:6 10622:6 10632:12 10663:13 10669:4 | | | | | |
| we've 10615:2,3 10676:21 10679:15 10637:5 10640:4 13(3)(b) 10621:13 44.7 10719:24 10651:12 10691:3 10679:6 10691:10 10637:5 10640:4 10634:13 10661:21 10622:6 44.8 10719:8,19,20,21 whilst 10709:11 work 10615:5.8 10667:12 10667:12 10667:15 136 10680:4 10719:21 10720:2 who'll 10658:1 work 10615:5,8 10661:20 10643:16 10681:25 10693:23 137 10680:5 5 willing 10706:15 10690:15 10693:19 10700:21,22 10711:413 10712:12 138 10680:8 5 10672:17 10720:17,22,23 10701:21 10703:5,25 10700:21,22 10714:18 10718:19 14:34 10693:22 10711:2 10643:20 10704:19 10712:23 10712:23 working 10614:7 10626:22,25 10637:9 15:40 10715:19 5.4.2 10624:5,10 10612:23,8 10613:22 10613:10 10617:11 10668:13 10678:25 10679:3 10667:21 10671:16 10678:25 10637:2 16:00 667:17 16:00 667:17 16:00 10727:17 66 10612:23,8 10613:22 10613:20 10671:19 10678:25 10679:3 10669:21 10679:24 10709:3 16:00 10727:17 106605:23 10665:8 700 10664:15 | | | | | |
| 10651:12 10691:3 10679:6 10691:10 10654:13 10661:21 10622:6 10726:21 10726:12 107663:12 107663:12 10726:12 10663:12 106663:12 106664:19 13:30 10719:24 10719:21 10720:2 10719:21 10720:2 10719:21 10720:2 10670:15 10680:5 10616:20 10643:16 10689:14 10716:8 10689:14 10699:4,7 10616:20 10643:16 10690:4,7 10718:15 10720:3,8 10700:21,22 10701:21 10703:5,25 10725:13,20 10721:1 10722:14,17 10616:20 10706:8 10708:4,10 10712:23 10643:20 10704:19 10617:11 10668:13 10640:2,25 10632:2 10611:25 10612:2,2,3 10611:25 10612:2,2,3 10611:25 10612:2,2,3 10611:25 10612:2,2,3 10611:25 10613:22 10615:10 10617:19 106675:18 10680:21 10680:21 10680:21 10680:21 10680:21 10680:21 10680:21 10680:21 10680:21 10680:22 10680:21 10680:23 10680:3 10680:3 10680:3 10680:4 10680:4 10680:4 10680:4 10680:4 10680:23 10680:3 10680:3 10680:3 10680:3 10680:3 10680:3 10680:21 10680:23 10680:21 10680:23 10680:21 10680:22 10680:23 10680:21 10680:23 10680:21 10680:23 10680:21 10680:23 10680:21 10680:23 10680:21 10680:23 10680:21 10680:22 10680:23 10680:21 10680:23 10680:24 10680:2 | | | | | |
| 10693:12 | , | | | | |
| whilst 10709:11 10726:25 work 10615:5,8 10667:12 10670:15 136 10680:4 5 who's 10659:16 work 10616:20 10643:16 10681:25 10693:23 137 10680:5 5 willing 10706:15 willing 10706:15 10689:16 10690:4,7 10701:16 10708:18 10701:12 10703:18 10697:15 10698:21 10701:14 10708:18 10701:14 10708:18 10701:12 10703:22 10701:14:18 10718:19 14:34 10686:1 5 10672:17 5th 10623:20 10707:22 10711:2 10720:17,22,23 10701:21 10703:5,25 10701:21 10703:5,25 10705:8 10708:4,10 10727:12 10712:18 10667:18 10669:6 10669:24 10670:8 5.4.1 4 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.5 10669:6 6 10646:6,7,7,22 10670:11 10671:16 10672:3 10677:3 10670:12 10671:16 10672:3 10677:3 10672:3 10677:3 10685:10,12 10685:10,12 10680:12 | | | | | |
| who'll 10658:1 work 10615:5,8 10616:20 10643:16 10616:20 10643:16 10697:15 10698:21 138 10680:8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 10672:17 5 5 10672:17 5 10697:15 10698:21 10701:16 10708:18 10701:16 10708:18 10701:16 10708:18 10701:14 10708:18 10701:12 10703:22 10711:4,13 10712:12 10711:4,13 10712:12 10711:4,13 10712:12 10720:17,22,23 10701:21 10703:5,25 10701:21 10703:5,25 10725:13,20 10725:13,20 10727:12 10706:8 10708:4,10 10712:23 10725:13,20 15th 10667:18 10669:6 5.4.1 410624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.5 10669:21 5.4.5 10669:21 5 | | | | | 10/19.21 10/20.2 |
| who's 10659:16 willing 10706:15 10616:20 10643:16 10690:4,7 10690:15 10693:19 1069:15 10698:21 10711:4,13 10712:12 10711:4,13 10712:12 10712:14,17 10702:14,17 wish 10610:10 10707:14 withdraw 10627:3 witness 10610:18,21 10611:25 10612:2,2,3 10612:3,8 10613:22 10615:10 10617:19 10633:6 10633:2 10633:10 1063 | | | | | 5 |
| willing 10706:15 10689:16 10690:4,7 10701:16 10708:18 14:14 10686:1 5th 10623:20 10707:22 wire 10715:24 10716:8 10708:15 10690:15 10693:19 10701:16 10708:18 10711:4,13 10712:12 14:34 10690:22 5th 10623:20 10707:22 10720:17,22,23 10702:11 10702:14,17 10706:8 10708:4,10 10705:13,20 10725:13,20 10725:13,20 15th 10667:18 10669:6 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.5 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10610:8 6 6 | | | | | |
| wire 10715:24 10716:8 10690:15 10693:19 10711:4,13 10712:12 14:34 10693:22 10711:2 10711:2 5.4.1.4 10624:7,9 5.4.1.4 10624:7,9 5.4.1.4 10624:7,9 5.4.1.4 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:5,10 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.2 10624:7,9 5.4.5 10624:18 5. | | | | | |
| 10718:15 10720:3,8 10720:17,22,23 10701:21 10703:5,25 10721:1 10722:14,17 wish 10610:10 10712:23 working 10614:7 10643:20 10704:19 10677:6 10690:6,19 10610:18,21 10611:25 10612:2,2,3 10612:3,8 10613:22 10616:10,10 10616:10 workings 10690:1 10616:10 10616:10 10707:14 withdraw 10627:3 witness 10610:18,21 10610:23,8 10613:22 10610:3,8 10613:22 10610:3,8 10613:22 10610:3,8 10613:22 10610:3,8 10613:22 10610:3,8 10613:22 10610:3,8 10613:22 10610:3,8 10613:22 10610:3,8 10613:22 10638:5 10639:15 10638:5 10639:15 10700:21,22 10714:18 10718:19 10725:13,20 10707:12 you've 10622:8 10622:8 10622:8 10660:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10667:17 10670:16 10667:17 10670:16 10667:17 10670:16 1067:21 10678:25 10679:3 10685:10,12 10685:13 10670:9 10680:23 10697:24 10709:3 10697:24 10709:3 10697:24 10709:3 10697:24 10709:3 10697:24 10709:3 10600:10 10727:17 10630:6 10632:9 worrying 10690:21 worth 10644:12 wouldn't 10632:3 10671:1 10679:15,16 10694:23 10719:10 0 14:54 10702:10 15th 10667:18 10669:6 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:8 15:40 10715:19 10669:24 10670:18 10669:24 10670:18 10669:24 10670:18 10669:24 10670:18 10669:24 10667:17 106651:19 10667:17 10678:3 10671:3 10665:13 10670:9 10680:23 10680:23 10600 10728:6 68 10636:6 690 10728:6 68 10636:6 690 10728:6 68 10636:6 690 10728:6 68 10636:6 690 10728:6 68 10636:6 690 10728:6 690 10728:6 690 10728:6 690 10728:6 690 10728:6 690 10728:6 690 10728:6 690 10665:13 1060:19 10605:13 1060:19 10605:13 1060:19 10605:13 10679:29 10605:13 10679:3 | | | | | |
| 10720:17,22,23 | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 10721:1 10722:14,17 | | | | | • |
| wish 10610:10 10712:23 you've 10622:8 15:20 10704:18 6 10643:20 10704:19 10617:11 10668:13 10640:8,16 10657:22 15:40 10715:19 6 10707:14 10677:6 10690:6,19 10670:21 10671:16 16 10667:17 10651:19 10670:15 withdraw 10627:3 workings 10694:1 10678:25 10679:3 10665:13 10670:9 10665:13 10670:9 10612:3,8 10613:22 world 10659:17 10697:24 10709:3 10697:24 10709:3 10680:23 600 10728:6 10630:6 10632:9 worrying 10690:21 worrying 10690:21 Z 17 10719:8,14 7 10635:10,15,17 worth 10644:12 worth 10644:12 Zuma 10692:21,22 182 10665:8 700 10664:15 10638:5 10639:15 10694:23 10719:10 0 2 10619:23 10668:24 7447 10667:17 | | | , | | • |
| 10614:13 10630:22 working 10614:7 10626:22,25 10637:9 15:40 10715:19 6 10643:20 10704:19 10617:11 10668:13 10640:8,16 10657:22 151 10631:22 6 10646:6,7,7,22 10707:14 10677:6 10690:6,19 10670:21 10671:16 16 10667:17 10651:19 10670:15 withdraw 10627:3 workings 10694:1 workings 10694:1 10678:25 10679:3 10665:13 10670:9 6th 10707:23 10611:25 10612:2,2,3 world 10659:17 10685:10,12 10680:23 600 10728:6 10626:1,5,12,17 worry 10655:8 10727:2 17 10719:8,14 7 10633:2,22 10634:2 worth 10644:12 2 2 182 10665:8 700 10664:15 10635:10,15,17 10636:16 10637:22 10671:1 10679:15,16 10694:23 10719:10 0 2 10619:23 10668:24 7447 10667:17 | | | | | |
| 10643:20 10704:19 10617:11 10668:13 10640:8,16 10657:22 151 10631:22 6 10646:6,7,7,22 10707:14 10677:6 10690:6,19 10670:21 10671:16 10667:23 10677:3 16th 10632:21 10651:19 10670:15 withdraw 10627:3 workings 10694:1 workings 10694:1 10678:25 10679:3 10665:13 10670:9 10665:13 10670:9 6th 10707:23 10612:3,8 10613:22 world 10659:17 10697:24 10709:3 16:00 10727:17 68 10636:6 10630:6 10632:9 worrying 10690:21 2 10631:22 7 10635:10,15,17 worth 10644:12 2 2 10631:22 7 10636:16 10637:22 10639:15 10699:21,22 2 7 10638:5 10639:15 10694:23 10719:10 0 2 10619:23 10668:24 7447 10667:17 | | | | | 6 |
| 10707:14 10677:6 10690:6,19 10670:21 10671:16 16 10667:17 10651:19 10670:15 withdraw 10627:3 workings 10693:16 10672:3 10677:3 16th 10632:21 10670:16 10670:15 witness 10610:18,21 workings 10694:1 10678:25 10679:3 10665:13 10670:9 6th 10707:23 10612:2,2,3 world 10659:17 10680:23 10680:23 600 10728:6 10615:10 10617:19 worries 10701:4 10727:2 17 10719:8,14 17 10719:8,14 10630:6 10632:9 worth 10644:12 2 2 18 10631:21 700 10664:15 10635:10,15,17 10636:16 10637:22 10671:1 10679:15,16 10699:21,122 2 7277 10638:23 10638:5 10639:15 10694:23 10719:10 0 2 10619:23 10668:24 7447 10667:17 | | | | | 6 10646:6.7.7.22 |
| withdraw 10627:3 10693:16 10672:3 10677:3 16th 10632:21 10670:16 10672:17 witness 10611:25 10612:2,2,3 workings 10694:1 10678:25 10679:3 10665:13 10670:9 6th 10707:23 10612:3,8 10613:22 world 10659:17 10685:10,12 10680:23 600 10728:6 10615:10 10617:19 worries 10701:4 worry 10655:8 10727:2 17 10719:8,14 17 10719:8,14 10630:6 10632:9 worth 10644:12 2 Z 18 10631:21 7th 10707:23 10635:10,15,17 wouldn't 10632:3 Zuma 10692:21,22 2 182 10665:8 700 10664:15 10638:5 10639:15 10639:23 10719:10 0 2 < | | | | | |
| witness 10610:18,21 workings 10694:1 10678:25 10679:3 10665:13 10670:9 6th 10707:23 10611:25 10612:2,2,3 works 10725:13 10685:10,12 10680:23 600 10728:6 10615:10 10617:19 worles 10701:4 10727:2 17 10719:8,14 17 10719:8,14 10630:6 10632:9 worry 10655:8 2 2 18 10631:21 7th 10707:23 10635:10,15,17 wouldn't 10632:3 2 2 182 10665:8 700 10664:15 10638:5 10639:15 10694:23 10719:10 0 2 10619:23 10668:24 7447 10667:17 | | 10693:16 | | | |
| 10611:25 10612:2,2,3 works 10725:13 10685:10,12 10680:23 600 10728:6 10612:3,8 10613:22 world 10659:17 10697:24 10709:3 16:00 10727:17 68 10636:6 10626:1,5,12,17 worry 10655:8 10727:2 17 10719:8,14 17 10719:8,14 10630:6 10632:9 worrying 10690:21 Z 18 10631:21 7th 10707:23 10635:10,15,17 wouldn't 10632:3 Zuma 10692:21,22 182 10665:8 700 10664:15 10638:5 10639:15 10671:1 10679:15,16 10694:23 10719:10 0 2 10619:23 10668:24 7447 10667:17 | | workings 10694:1 | | | |
| 10615:10 10617:19 10626:1,5,12,17 10630:6 10632:9 10633:2,22 10634:2 10635:10,15,17 10636:16 10637:22 10638:5 10639:15 worries 10701:4 worry 10655:8 worrying 10690:21 2 10681:22 10696:21 2 10681:22 10696:21 2 2 10696:21 2 2 10619:23 10668:24 17 10719:8,14 17th 10620:2 18 10631:21 182 10665:8 10631:21 182 10665:8 10638:23 10636:6,9 1747 10667:17 | | works 10725:13 | 10685:10,12 | | |
| 10626:1,5,12,17 worry 10655:8 17th 10620:2 7 10630:6 10632:9 worrying 10690:21 2 18 10631:21 7th 10707:23 10633:2,22 10634:2 worth 10644:12 2 10681:22 10696:21 182 10665:8 700 10664:15 10636:16 10637:22 10671:1 10679:15,16 2 2 2 7282 10636:6,9 10638:5 10639:15 10694:23 10719:10 0 2 10619:23 10668:24 7447 10667:17 | | | | | 68 10636:6 |
| 10630:6 10632:9 worrying 10690:21 Z 18 10631:21 7th 10707:23 10633:2,22 10634:2 worth 10644:12 Z 10681:22 10696:21 182 10665:8 700 10664:15 10635:10,15,17 wouldn't 10632:3 Zuma 10692:21,22 2 7277 10638:23 10638:5 10639:15 10694:23 10719:10 0 2 10619:23 10668:24 7447 10667:17 | | | 10727:2 | | |
| 10633:2,22 10634:2 worth 10644:12 z 10681:22 10696:21 z 10635:10,15,17 z 10636:16 10637:22 10639:15 10694:23 10719:10 0 182 10665:8 700 10664:15 7277 10638:23 7282 10636:6,9 7447 10667:17 | | | | | |
| 10635:10,15,17 wouldn't 10632:3 Zuma 10692:21,22 7277 10638:23 10636:16 10637:22 10671:1 10679:15,16 2 7282 10636:6,9 10638:5 10639:15 0 2 10619:23 10668:24 7447 10667:17 | | | | | |
| 10636:16 10637:22 | | | | 182 10665:8 | |
| 10638:5 10639:15 10694:23 10719:10 0 2 10619:23 10668:24 7447 10667:17 | | | Zuma 10692:21,22 | 7 | |
| | | | 0 | | |
| | 10038:3 10039:13 | | | 2 10017.23 10008:24 | / 44 / 1000/:1/ |

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

| 28th May 2013 | iviai ik | tana commission of the | quiry | Rustenburg |
|---|----------|------------------------|-------|------------|
| | | | | Page 1 |
| 75 10676:24,25 750 10677:17 77 10638:25 8 80 10631:23 10675:19 800 10664:16 10675:17 | | | | Tage N |
| 9 10667:17 90% 10631:23 900 10728:7 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 ithe | | | |