

RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON
MR TOKOTA SC
MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 86 6 MAY 2013 PAGES 9036 TO 9146

HELD AT

CIVIC CENTRE, RUSTENBURG, NORTH WEST PROVINCE



© **REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS**



64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg
P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037
Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335
E-mail: realtime@pixie.co.za
Web Address: <http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278>



<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9036</p> <p>1 [PROCEEDINGS ON 6 MAY 2013]</p> <p>2 [10:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.</p> <p>3 Generaal-Majoer, u is nog steeds onder eed, maar voor u met</p> <p>4 die getuenis voortgaan sal daar argument wees in verband</p> <p>5 met die vrae wat Vrydag aan u gestel is. Mr Semenya, are</p> <p>6 you also ready to deal with the – we've been given some</p> <p>7 heads in relation to the questions of personal</p> <p>8 responsibility and questions about that. Have you also got</p> <p>9 some heads for us?</p> <p>10 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I have just been</p> <p>11 given a copy of these heads now. I have had an opportunity</p> <p>12 to look at them and I have indicated to Mr Bizos that I do</p> <p>13 not have any difficulties in relation to the conclusion</p> <p>14 that he seeks to draw on paragraph 8 of this document,</p> <p>15 paragraph 8 and 9.</p> <p>16 CHAIRPERSON: I take it that the</p> <p>17 questions that he wanted to ask can be asked.</p> <p>18 MR SEMENYA SC: Can be asked, Chair. We</p> <p>19 will see what the connotation is of what is called personal</p> <p>20 liability. I don't know what it means but we'll have to</p> <p>21 confront it when we get to it.</p> <p>22 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I was thinking about</p> <p>23 the matter after we adjourned. It occurred to me that</p> <p>24 inasmuch as we are called upon to make recommendations as</p> <p>25 to whether persons, as to whether the prosecuting authority</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9038</p> <p>1 Supreme Court of Canada in 1997. I'd be grateful if copies</p> <p>2 of those could be made available to us because it looks as</p> <p>3 if it may well be of assistance, not only in relation to</p> <p>4 the particular matter we were dealing with on Friday but</p> <p>5 also perhaps broader questions and I take it you'll be</p> <p>6 referring to it and possibly your learned friends will as</p> <p>7 well, in the submissions at the end.</p> <p>8 MR BIZOS SC: Yes. We will be able to</p> <p>9 make those documents available during the short adjournment</p> <p>10 in mid-morning.</p> <p>11 CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure we need them</p> <p>12 today.</p> <p>13 MR BIZOS SC: We have them and I'm also</p> <p>14 indebted to my learned friend Mr Semenya, to his attitude.</p> <p>15 I expected it. He was there on the same side before Mr</p> <p>16 Justice Richard Goldstone and if I may just place on</p> <p>17 record, I was also involved in the Hefer Commission so it</p> <p>18 was with some confidence that I put the questions that I</p> <p>19 tried to put on Friday.</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: You seem to make a habit of</p> <p>21 appearing before these commissions, Mr Bizos.</p> <p>22 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: But anyway, thank you very</p> <p>24 much for this. I'm sure it will be of assistance to us and</p> <p>25 you say that then you and Mr Semenya were on the same side</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9037</p> <p>1 should consider prosecuting certain persons, I take it that</p> <p>2 that would include making recommendations as to possible</p> <p>3 prosecution in relation to those who approved of and put</p> <p>4 into operation the plan if, in fact, the allegations about</p> <p>5 the plan are correct, but I'm not saying that is</p> <p>6 necessarily so but that's something that would be within</p> <p>7 our terms of reference to consider. So effectively you</p> <p>8 withdraw your opposition to the questions Mr Bizos wanted</p> <p>9 to ask but you say, subject to keeping an eye on individual</p> <p>10 questions which may go over the line, that you are prepared</p> <p>11 to concede. Is that a fair summary?</p> <p>12 MR SEMENYA SC: Indeed, indeed, Chair.</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps that's got to be</p> <p>14 interpreted to those in the auditorium who didn't</p> <p>15 understand our exchange.</p> <p>16 MR BIZOS SC: I went back, when I had to</p> <p>17 stand up, in order to –</p> <p>18 CHAIRPERSON: You can sit down without</p> <p>19 losing your standing before this Commission. May I say</p> <p>20 something? Thank you very much for these heads which I've</p> <p>21 had a very quick glance through. You refer to some</p> <p>22 documents which may well assist us, which we haven't got</p> <p>23 copies of. The Hefer Commission of Inquiry report –</p> <p>24 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: – and a judgment of the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9039</p> <p>1 – of course we're all together on the same side in this</p> <p>2 Commission, searching for the truth from different angles</p> <p>3 and we will be better able to find the truth if the various</p> <p>4 points of view are presented forcefully but fairly by all</p> <p>5 those participating, not so?</p> <p>6 MR BIZOS SC: Well, it's merely perhaps –</p> <p>7 I just wanted to make it known that I was there and he was</p> <p>8 there.</p> <p>9 CHAIRPERSON: Yes. If you'd like now to</p> <p>10 proceed with your cross-examination.</p> <p>11 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR BIZOS SC (CONTD.):</p> <p>12 Thank you, Mr Chairman. General, I want to refer you to a</p> <p>13 statement of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, exhibit GGG17.</p> <p>14 Have you got it in front of you?</p> <p>15 MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: Good morning.</p> <p>16 Yes, Chairperson, I do.</p> <p>17 MR BIZOS SC: Yes. Would you turn over</p> <p>18 to the second page, paragraph 5, and go down just after the</p> <p>19 middle of that long paragraph with the words, "Ek het lede</p> <p>20 gepos." Do you see that? I think could you read that</p> <p>21 portion of the record in Afrikaans onto the – into the</p> <p>22 record please, General?</p> <p>23 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>24 ek gaan dan voort. "Ek het lede gepos om die toneel te</p> <p>25 bewaar en terugkeer na die aanvanklike toneel."</p>

	Page 9040		Page 9042
1 CHAIRPERSON:	I'm sorry, Mr Bizos. In my	1 Ek het 'n Nyala-voertuig met twee lede gereël om die	
2 search for the papers I missed the paragraph number. I've		2 generaal weg te vat na die ops toe. Kaptein Oosthuizen en	
3 now found the document but where must I look?		3 sersant Venter het intussen tyd, of het intussen die een	
4 MR BIZOS SC:	Paragraph 5 –	4 besoerde lid met die helikopter na die hospitaal toe	
5 CHAIRPERSON:	Yes?	5 vervoer. Ons het die ondersoekbeamtes verder hulp verleen	
6 MR BIZOS SC:	That is the last one third	6 op die toneel met lugfoto's."	
7 of the paragraph on page – "het lede."			
8 CHAIRPERSON:	Yes, "gepos" – that	7 CHAIRPERSON: [Inaudible]	
9 passage. Yes, I see, thank you.		8 MR BIZOS SC: No, because –	
10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	"Van die lede	9 CHAIRPERSON: My colleague Adv Hemraj is	
11 het saam met my teruggeloop en was baie ontsteld gewees en		10 just making a comment to me based upon the piece of the	
12 aan my gemeld dat hulle Generaal-Majoor Mpembe kwalik neem		11 statement that's just been read but she's finished now.	
13 vir die lede wat dood is en dat hy verkeerde opdragte gegee		12 I'm sorry we interrupted you.	
14 het. Hulle het aan my gesê dat Majoor-Generaal Mpembe" –		13 MR BIZOS SC: No –	
15 CHAIRPERSON:	I'm sorry to interrupt you.	14 CHAIRPERSON: Please proceed.	
16 I didn't hear, perhaps I wasn't listening properly, I'm not		15 MR BIZOS SC: General, have you any	
17 sure that the words "en dat hy verkeerde opdragte gegee		16 reason to doubt the veracity of the affidavit of	
18 het" were read or translated.		17 Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak?	
19 MR MAHLANGU:	That was –	18 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het geen	
20 CHAIRPERSON:	They blamed Major-General	19 rede nie, Voorsitter.	
21 Mpembe –		20 MR BIZOS SC: When did that portion of	
22 MR MAHLANGU:	For giving –	21 his affidavit come to your notice for the first time?	
23 CHAIRPERSON:	- for the members who were	22 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	
24 dead and that he gave wrong, wrong orders.		23 Luitenant-Kolonel Vermaak het dit aan my meegeleef die	
25 MR MAHLANGU:	Yes, that's correct, Mr	24 Maandag toe ek op die toneel was.	
		25 MR BIZOS SC: Did you accept his veracity	
	Page 9041		Page 9043
1 Chairman. Thank you.		1 at that time?	
2 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	"Hulle het	2 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het sy	
3 aan my gesê dat Majoor-Generaal Mpembe vandag nog saam met		3 oordrag so aanvaar.	
4 daardie lede op die grond gaan lê, want hulle gaan hom		4 MR BIZOS SC: Well, before I –	
5 skiet."		5 CHAIRPERSON: If I may ask, why you said	
6 MR MAHLANGU:	They mentioned that General	6 at that time? Are you suggesting that he later didn't	
7 Mpembe should go and lie on the ground next to those		7 accept it or what is the relevance of –	
8 members and that they were going to shoot him.		8 MR BIZOS SC: No, no.	
9 CHAIRPERSON:	I'm sorry, not that he	9 CHAIRPERSON: - those, of that phrase "at	
10 should, not that he should, that he would. They said to me		10 that time."	
11 that today he was going to lie together with those members		11 MR BIZOS SC: Well, just for the sake of	
12 on the ground because they were going to shoot him.		12 completeness because I want to deal with what steps, if	
13 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	"Ek het	13 any, but before I ask that question, would you agree that	
14 dadelik besef dat dit 'n baie ploffbare situasie is en het		14 what was disclosed to you by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak was	
15 ek die Provinciale Kommissaris gebel en haar meegeleef van		15 a very serious misconduct of one or more members of the	
16 die bedreiging en haar meegeleef dat ek hom van die toneel		16 South African Police?	
17 af gaan verwyder."		17 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	
18 MR MAHLANGU:	I immediately realised that	18 ek het dit in die konteks nie gesien as 'n ernstige vergryp	
19 this was an explosive situation and I then told the		19 nie. Ek het dit gesien dat lede emosioneel is, ek het	
20 Provincial Commissioner –		20 gesien dat hulle emosioneel is aangesien daar twee van	
21 CHAIRPERSON:	Phoned, telephoned,	21 hulle kollegas gesterf het.	
22 telephoned the Provincial Commissioner.		22 MR BIZOS SC: Whatever the reason –	
23 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	"Ek het direk	23 sorry. Whatever the reason, emotional reason there may	
24 na hom toe gegaan en hom meegeleef dat ek hom van die		24 have been, can there be a greater lack of discipline than	
25 toneel af gaan verwyder weens die dreigement op sy lewe.		25 having members of the police force threatening to kill	

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9044</p> <p>1 their commander?</p> <p>2 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is vir</p> <p>3 seker 'n ernstige aantyging wat hulle gemaak het,</p> <p>4 Voorsitter.</p> <p>5 MR BIZOS SC: What steps, if any, did you</p> <p>6 take against the persons that made that threat?</p> <p>7 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>8 die situasie was reeds gerapporteer aan die Provinciale</p> <p>9 Kommissaris. Generaal-Majoor Mpembe dien nie onder my</p> <p>10 bevel nie, hy dien wel onder die bevel van die Provinciale</p> <p>11 Kommissaris, Noordwes. Ekself het geen stappe geneem nie,</p> <p>12 Voorsitter.</p> <p>13 [10:27] MR BIZOS SC: Do you know whether the</p> <p>14 commissioner took any immediate steps to rectify this major</p> <p>15 discipline problem?</p> <p>16 CHAIRPERSON: I take it you're referring</p> <p>17 to the Provincial Commissioner? I take it you are</p> <p>18 referring to the Provincial Commissioner?</p> <p>19 MR BIZOS SC: The Provincial</p> <p>20 Commissioner.</p> <p>21 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>22 ek is nie bewus nie.</p> <p>23 MR BIZOS SC: Did it occur to you when</p> <p>24 this information came to your notice, General, that General</p> <p>25 Mpembe did not have the confidence, in your view, of being</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9046</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, he's entitled to</p> <p>2 qualify and explain his answer.</p> <p>3 MR BIZOS SC: But first answer the</p> <p>4 question and then he can qualify it, Mr Chairman. I am</p> <p>5 trying to get some progress without long speeches from this</p> <p>6 witness.</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: I don't think it's fair to</p> <p>8 say that he's been making long speeches. Some of his</p> <p>9 answers have been long but he obviously considers it</p> <p>10 necessary to say what he said in order to explain his</p> <p>11 answer, but I'm sure he'll bear in mind what you've said.</p> <p>12 MR BIZOS SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.</p> <p>13 Threatening to kill a commander you've told us was a very</p> <p>14 serious police transgression. Did you take any steps to</p> <p>15 exclude from the force those members who made the threat</p> <p>16 as, because there would be – because, what is the word that</p> <p>17 you used, that there were – what is it? They were upset,</p> <p>18 emotional –</p> <p>19 CHAIRPERSON: Traumatised would probably</p> <p>20 be a good word. I'm not sure that's the word he used but</p> <p>21 having gone through this experience, not only having</p> <p>22 expressed his views about their commanding officer but</p> <p>23 having seen the hacking to death of their colleagues, one</p> <p>24 would have expected them to have been emotionally</p> <p>25 traumatised. I think that's the word you're looking for,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9045</p> <p>1 the – what was he – the overall commander of the proposed</p> <p>2 plan?</p> <p>3 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter</p> <p>4 nee, en ek het ook nie Generaal Mpembe aangestel nie. Hy</p> <p>5 was aangestel, soos vereis, deur die Provinciale</p> <p>6 Kommissaris, sy is die oorhoofse bevelvoerder.</p> <p>7 MR BIZOS SC: As a fellow general, did</p> <p>8 you not feel that you owed it to him to speak to him, to</p> <p>9 ask him how he felt and ask him whether, in the</p> <p>10 circumstances, was it wise to have him continue as the</p> <p>11 overall commander?</p> <p>12 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>13 Generaal Mpembe is reeds in, ek dink 2001, is hy op sy</p> <p>14 huidige rangvlak aangestel. Hy is 'n ervare offisier en ek</p> <p>15 het reeds aangedui ek is nie sy bevelvoerder nie.</p> <p>16 MR BIZOS SC: Do you remember what the</p> <p>17 question was, General?</p> <p>18 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>19 nee, ek het dit nie met hom bespreek nie. Hy het wel aan</p> <p>20 my meegedeel die oorwegings wat hy geneem het toe hy die</p> <p>21 besluit geneem het dat die groep voortgaan toe hulle</p> <p>22 opgestaan het.</p> <p>23 MR BIZOS SC: May I appeal to you,</p> <p>24 General, so that we can get on, that you merely answer the</p> <p>25 question. No, I did not do what you are asking me.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9047</p> <p>1 Mr Bizos, is that right?</p> <p>2 MR BIZOS SC: Did it occur to you that</p> <p>3 these emotionally traumatised people were not fit and</p> <p>4 proper people to have guns, facing a hostile crowd?</p> <p>5 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>6 ek het reeds verduidelik dat die situasie gerapporteer was</p> <p>7 aan die Provinciale Kommissaris. Daar was nie 'n</p> <p>8 verwagting op my nie.</p> <p>9 CHAIRPERSON: Do you know whether the</p> <p>10 people who were involved on the 13th, the members of the</p> <p>11 service who were involved on the 13th, whether they were in</p> <p>12 fact at the scene and took part in what happened, the</p> <p>13 operations on the 16th or had they been removed to receive</p> <p>14 counselling and so on, to enable them to deal with the</p> <p>15 experience that they'd undergone? Or is it something that</p> <p>16 you don't know about?</p> <p>17 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>18 ek weet dat daar deur ons EHW, Employee Health and Wellness</p> <p>19 mense, dat hulle berading gedoen het aan die lede. Ek weet</p> <p>20 nie eers wie is die lede wat na bewering gedreig het dat</p> <p>21 hulle Generaal Mpembe sou skiet nie en ek is ook nie bewus</p> <p>22 wie van die lede op die 13de in getalle of by name, was op</p> <p>23 die 16de ontplooi nie.</p> <p>24 CHAIRPERSON: I don't know whether fit</p> <p>25 and proper is necessarily the correct word, but if I may</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9052</p> <p>1 to the Provincial Commissioner. He himself, the witness, 2 hadn't reported it to her but he accepted –</p> <p>3 MR BIZOS SC: I'll change the question 4 but I would still ask for an answer. Did the Commissioner 5 mention – I beg your pardon.</p> <p>6 CHAIRPERSON: Provincial Commissioner.</p> <p>7 MR BIZOS SC: Did the Provincial 8 Commissioner mention the problem at any of the meetings 9 that you have spoken to us about?</p> <p>10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek aanvaar 11 die probleem is die dreigement deur die lede teen die lewe 12 van Generaal Mpembe.</p> <p>13 MR BIZOS SC: Did she mention it that we 14 have a problem with the overall commander, what are we 15 going to do about it? Did she mention it at all?</p> <p>16 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 17 nie sover ek kan onthou op die vergaderings wat ek 18 teenwoordig was nie.</p> <p>19 MR BIZOS SC: I am going to insist, 20 General, on an affirmative or negative answer. Are you 21 asking the Commission to believe that it was – it may have 22 been a matter which may have been mentioned but that you 23 have forgotten about it? Is that what you are saying? Yes 24 or no?</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: I understood him to say it</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9054</p> <p>1 oorhoofse bevelvoerder te vervang.</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: Isn't it a matter – sorry, 3 interpret first into isiXhosa and then I'll ask my 4 question. Please, Mr Boo, carry on interpreting into 5 isiXhosa. Was it a matter for the judicial – sorry, was it 6 a matter for the JOCOM to consider? The Provincial 7 Commissioner has appointed somebody as the overall 8 commander who is, I take it, a member of the JOCOM. Is it 9 a matter that you would expect the JOCOM to raise, discuss 10 and give attention to as to whether the overall commander 11 should be replaced or consideration should be given to 12 replacing him or is that a matter simply straight, simply 13 between the Provincial Commissioner and the person whom she 14 has appointed as overall commander?</p> <p>15 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 16 dit kan tweeledig wees. Dit kan wees dat, gegewe dat die 17 Proviniale Kommissaris sekerlik die persoon is wie haar 18 bevelvoerders die beste ken, dat sy so 'n besluit sou neem 19 en as sy dit nie neem nie, dat 'n mens berus daarby, maar 20 as dit 'n pertinente bekommernis is dan sal 'n mens aanvaar 21 dat lede van die JOCOM, dat dit, hulle sal opper en dit was 22 nie geopper nie.</p> <p>23 MR BIZOS SC: Did you perhaps discuss it 24 with any other senior member of the police force along the 25 lines, haven't we got a problem here? If General Mpembe</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9053</p> <p>1 was not mentioned at the meetings he attended, unless I 2 misheard but that's –</p> <p>3 MR BIZOS SC: He added, as far as I can 4 remember, Mr Chair.</p> <p>5 CHAIRPERSON: U het nou die vraag gehoor, 6 wat is u antwoord daarop?</p> <p>7 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 8 ek het reeds in my getuenis gesê dat van hierdie 9 vergaderings, soos met Lonmin, was ek nie die heeltyd 10 teenwoordig nie. Ek het gesê terwyl ek teenwoordig was, 11 het sy glad nie so 'n vermelding gemaak nie.</p> <p>12 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: May I just enquire, 13 may I just enquire whether this matter, the threat to 14 General Mpembe, is the sort of matter that would be on the 15 agenda of any of these meetings that you've referred to?</p> <p>16 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Kommissaris, 17 nie op die agenda nie. Ek dink dit is iets wat pertinent, 18 stappe wat potensieel geneem kan word deur die Proviniale 19 Kommissaris, maar geskei.</p> <p>20 MR BIZOS SC: Don't you think that the 21 matter was of sufficient concern to all of you in leading 22 positions that it ought to have been discussed?</p> <p>23 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 24 die Proviniale Kommissaris stel 'n oorhoofse bevelvoerder 25 aan en die Proviniale Kommissaris het ook die reg om 'n</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9055</p> <p>1 has been threatened with death, how can he possibly 2 exercise any authority among the people that he was to 3 command on the 16th? Surely as a senior officer, never mind 4 the formality of meetings or appointments, you as a senior 5 officer, did you discuss it with anybody and did you 6 express any fear that he may not be an effective commander 7 for such an important event?</p> <p>8 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 9 ek het geen rede gehad dat hy nie geskik is om die taak te 10 doen nie en ek het ook nie so 'n voorstel gemaak dat hy 11 moet vervang word nie.</p> <p>12 MR BIZOS SC: Now, we have the evidence 13 of Mr Joseph Mathunjwa on page – in exhibit NN paragraph 78 14 – that Major-General Mpembe advised him on the 16th of 15 August sometime after 13:30 outside of the JOC meeting, 16 that he was no longer in charge of the operation and that 17 the national, the Provincial Commissioner was in charge, on 18 page 23 of his statement.</p> <p>19 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 20 ek het nie die betrokke verklaring voor my nie maar ek sal 21 aanvaar wat mnr Bizo gesê het.</p> <p>22 MR BIZOS SC: Well, exhibit NN and I have 23 read from the statement.</p> <p>24 CHAIRPERSON: What's the question based 25 upon that passage you've read to him?</p>

	Page 9056	Page 9058
1 MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE:	If I can just	1 ANC torch bearing ceremony. Ek weet dat tydens die
2 get the specific paragraph please, Chairperson.		2 spesiale JOCOM vergadering wat gehou was tussen 13:30 en
3 MR BIZOS SC:	Paragraph 78, first	3 14:00 het Luitenant-Generaal Mbombo haarsel verskoon, te
4 sentence.		4 wete dat sy vir Luitenant Baloyi in die hospitaal wou gaan
5 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Voorsitter,	5 besoek. Dis my kommentaar dan daarop.
6 ek kan kommentaar daarop lewer.		6 MR BIZOS SC: There were no – you've
7 MR BIZOS SC:	Before you comment, do you	7 already told us that there were no private discussions
8 accept the correctness of the statement of the person or		8 among your colleagues about the position of Mr Mpembe.
9 not?		9 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: As dit
10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Nee, dit is	10 privaat besprekings was, dan was ek nie teenwoordig en
11 nie korrek nie, Voorsitter –		11 bewus daarvan nie.
12 CHAIRPERSON:	It would be more	12 MR BIZOS SC: We know from the transcript
13 appropriate to ask him if he's able to do so. Was he		13 in the meeting you and General Mpembe had with union
14 present? This was a discussion I think, from the passage		14 leaders on the 15th appearing in exhibit GGG4, that Mpembe
15 you read, outside the JOC. If he wasn't present at the		15 had very firm and strong views on how the operation should
16 time he won't know whether that statement of Mr Mathunjwa		16 be conducted, do you recall that?
17 was correct or not. So mustn't you ask him that first?		17 CHAIRPERSON: What page are you referring
18 MR BIZOS SC:	I'll amend the question.	18 to, Mr Bizos?
19 Have you any reason to doubt the veracity of the deponent		19 MR BIZOS SC: Page 675, Chair.
20 of this statement? Have you any reason to doubt his		20 CHAIRPERSON: You'll understand this is
21 credibility?		21 part of the police hard drive. It's the debriefing by
22 CHAIRPERSON:	Do you mean credibility in	22 General Mpembe on the 15th of August, firstly with NUM and
23 general or do you mean the credibility of this particular		23 then with AMCU and so the page number of the document is
24 statement?		24 page 6 but the overall page number in the police hard drive
25 MR BIZOS SC:	This particular statement.	25 is 675.
	Page 9057	
1 CHAIRPERSON:	Ja. You mean the accuracy,	Page 9059
2 I think. You mean the accuracy. What counsel wants to		1 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
3 know from you is, have you reason to think that that		2 as ek net asseblief die bewysskynnommer kan kry?
4 statement is in any way inaccurate?		3 CHAIRPERSON: It's GGG4.
5 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Voorsitter,	4 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: En dan net,
6 ja, ek dink nie dis korrek nie. Twee redes – die eerste,		5 jammer, die bladsy?
7 13:30 is die tyd toe ons die spesiale JOCOM vergadering		6 CHAIRPERSON: Page 6 of the document and
8 gehad het en die enigste tyd wat ek van bewus is wat beide		7 675 of the overall police hard drive.
9 the Provinciale Kommissaris, mnr Mathunjwa en Generaal		8 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dankie, ek
10 Mpembe saam teenwoordig was, was waarskynlik so tussen		9 het dit.
11 kwart oor 11 die oggend en so net voor 12 op die oggend van		10 CHAIRPERSON: Which line are you
12 die 16de, maar ek sien mnr Mathunjwa sê hy's nie seker van		11 referring to, Mr Bizos?
13 die tyd nie. Ek wil net daarop wys dat dit onwaarskynlik		12 [11:07] MR BIZOS SC: Yes, line 9.
14 is dat dit 13:30 was. Dan sien ek in sy paragraaf 78		13 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, forgive me
15 verwys hy het, dis 'n interaksie tussen homself en Generaal		14 interrupting but I think to be fair to the witness and
16 Mpembe, so ek kan nie getuig oor wat daar plaasgevind het		15 possibly to General Mpembe ultimately, it might be fairer
17 nie maar wel vroeër toe ons almal teenwoordig was, was		16 if you start – I'm not suggesting you're being unfair but
18 Generaal Mbombo voorgestel aan mnr Mathunjwa deur Generaal-		17 just to give, put the whole picture, it might be fairer if
19 Majoor Mpembe en sy was voorgestel as synde die Provinciale		18 you start a bit earlier. If I can make a few suggestions –
20 Kommissaris van die Noordwes Provincie te wees en daar was		19 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.
21 gemeld dat sy in beheer is van die provinsie van Noordwes.		20 CHAIRPERSON: At the foot of page 3, page
22 So in my teenwoordigheid was daar nie verwysing gemaak dat		21 672, there's a statement there at line 29 which I think is
23 sy nou in beheer is van die operasie as die oorhoofse		22 Mr Zokwana speaking and he says, "Our feeling is that
24 bevelvoerder nie. En dan – ekskuus net, laaste ding		23 either those guys are disarmed or dispersed but as long as
25 Voorsitter, en dan sien ek word daar melding gemaak van 'n		24 they continue to stay that in that mountain, people are
		25 continuing to do their rallies, we've got comrades who are

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9064</p> <p>1 Commissioner, that he was speaking to trade unionists, you 2 recall, and the question was not answered.</p> <p>3 CHAIRPERSON: I'm giving you permission 4 now to ask the question again.</p> <p>5 MR BIZOS SC: Thank you, Mr Chair.</p> <p>6 General, the passages that were read out by the Chairman of 7 the Commission, read out by me yesterday and read out by Mr 8 Budlender make it, I put to you, very clear that he was 9 against the plan. Do you agree or disagree?</p> <p>10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 11 ek stem nie saam nie.</p> <p>12 MR BIZOS SC: Well, I'm not going to 13 argue with you. I am going to put to you that you're 14 denying the obvious and one would've expected, would have 15 expected his senior colleagues in rank to debate it and not 16 to apparently dismiss his concerns.</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: Give Mr Boo a chance to 18 interpret your question into isiXhosa before the witness 19 replies.</p> <p>20 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>21 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 22 as ek kan kommentaar lewer, die bespreking wat ons gehad 23 het die aand van die 15de moet gesien word in die konteks. 24 Dit was nie 'n bespreking van die polisie se strategie of 25 plan nie. Daar was baie fokus deur Generaal Mpembe op die</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9066</p> <p>1 MR BIZOS SC: Yes. Nothing can be 2 clearer, Mr Chairman, at the bottom of page 676. "I cannot 3 go to the mountain. It is not, has never been 4 strategically in my training. I have not taught because I 5 must disarm somebody, how do I disarm somebody with an axe 6 and I have a firearm? It will never work. There is no 7 training in the whole world to be like that." Read 8 together with the other statements, Mr Chairman, relating 9 to the – not to the plan as a whole but the objective of 10 surrounding, disarming and arresting. This is what he 11 says. It's all very well for the general in the witness 12 box to ignore those words –</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: No, Mr Bizos, you're now 14 making a speech. I thought you were asking questions. I 15 thought you would also refer to the passage at page 675, 16 line 22. "If you were their president you could count how 17 many people, between 3 to 4 000, but to charge those people 18 with the number of police you have, with what they have – 19 with what they have cut the people, they're also psyched 20 up. Definitely anyone would come up with that, whatever 21 thing, they will have to act. We're also not prepared to 22 use less bullets. I know you didn't want us to shoot- 23 shoot. Here it is, the police are shooting and killing 24 people, we do not want to go that route. At the same time 25 we're not prepared that our members should die but we have</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9065</p> <p>1 insameling van intelligensie en inligting. Hy het 2 deurlopend die erns van die situasie beklemtoon en hy het 3 gehamer op die belangrikheid van die rol wat die unies moet 4 speel, beide om die polisie van informasie te voorsien, 5 maar ook die rol wat die presidente veral moet speel in 6 terme van om die situasie te ontlont. Ek kan dit onomwonne 7 stel dat Generaal Mpembe –</p> <p>8 MNR MAHLANGU: Ek is jammer?</p> <p>9 CHAIRPERSON: I can state without 10 equivocation that General Mpembe –</p> <p>11 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: - het sy 12 goedkeuring gegee aan die plan, dat hy deelgeneem het aan 13 die besprekings rondom die samestelling van die plan en dat 14 hy as oorhoofse bevelvoerder nie beswaar gehad het teen 15 enige van die stappe van die plan nie.</p> <p>16 MR BIZOS SC: General, your comment is 17 inconsistent with the clear words that, by General Mpembe, 18 that to go into the crowd with guns –</p> <p>19 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry Mr Bizos, what words 20 are you actually relying on? It would help, I think, if 21 you actually quoted the words –</p> <p>22 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: Because I think you're 24 paraphrasing rather broadly. It might just help if he has 25 the ipsissima verba.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9067</p> <p>1 a duty to disarm." I think that's the passage you're 2 talking about.</p> <p>3 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>4 CHAIRPERSON: Now, I'm not quite sure 5 whether that necessarily supports your case but that, I 6 imagine, is the passage you –</p> <p>7 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>8 CHAIRPERSON: Let's hear what the witness 9 has to say about it.</p> <p>10 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>11 CHAIRPERSON: But you don't have to make 12 a speech, the passage has been read to him.</p> <p>13 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>14 CHAIRPERSON: He must now give us his 15 answer.</p> <p>16 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 17 ek sê weer eens dat ons nie die plan of die pertinente 18 polisie strategie tydens daardie vergadering bespreek het 19 nie. Dit is dan huis hoekom ons hele plan bestaan het uit 20 ses vlakke. Voorsitter, ek probeer regtig net 'n antwoord 21 gee en ek probeer nie toesprake maak nie.</p> <p>22 CHAIRPERSON: No, it's Mr Bizos making –</p> <p>23 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter 24 nee, mnr Bizos het nou so pas die opmerking gemaak that I'm 25 going to make another speech.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9068</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: This is an important part 2 of the case and it's very important that we should 3 understand your evidence on the point. I don't want you to 4 feel inhibited in any way. This is a point on which 5 clearly you're going to be criticised in argument. You 6 must get a chance to answer from your point of view why you 7 say the criticism will be unfair and incorrect and I'm not 8 going to stop you from explaining it as fully as you 9 consider appropriate.</p> <p>10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Baie dankie. 11 Voorsitter, dis dan juis hoekom ons pertinent vlak 2 gehad 12 het, die draad ontplooiing [onduidelik] *11:57 en dan die 13 oorgaan tot vlak 3 met die pertinente fases, die drie fases 14 van vlak 3. So dit was nooit die bedoeling, soos wat mn 15 Bizos dit gestel het, wat hy gesê het dat ons beplan het om 16 hulle te surround nie. Dit was wel om die groter groep 17 uiteen te dryf sodat dit in hanteerbare groepies is vir 18 ontwapening en dan gevolglike arrestasie. So dit is reg 19 wat Generaal Mpembe gesê het, dat dit was nie ons bedoeling 20 om in hierdie groter groep soos hulle daar op die koppie 21 sit, in te gaan om op hulle 'n arrestasie of ontwapening 22 uit te voer nie. Voorsitter, en ek het vroeër in my 23 getuienis daarna verwys dat ons nie die presiese detail van 24 die strategie gaan, daardie aand op die vergadering gaan 25 bespreek het nie.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9070</p> <p>1 point, unless you pick up another one out of the basket. 2 MR BIZOS SC: Yes, I will – I am going to 3 put to you that the words of General Mpembe are clear in 4 their meaning and that he was sidelined because of what he 5 said in exhibit GGG4 and we will leave the rest for 6 argument, irrespective of what your answer –</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: That was the point you put 8 on Friday and the witness answered you on Friday. If you 9 do not accept that his answer is correct you'll be free to 10 argue it and also obviously raise it with General Mpembe 11 and I suppose even with the National Commissioner, but I 12 think, if I may say so, I think you've spent enough time 13 with the witness on this point.</p> <p>14 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: And I'm sure you've got 16 other points to raise with him.</p> <p>17 MR BIZOS SC: Yes we do, Mr Chairman, and 18 I will go to them now. Now, I know that this question of 19 the negotiations was canvassed by our learned friend Mr 20 Budlender. There is just one short matter that I want to 21 put to you. In your evidence-in-chief you said that your 22 instructions to the negotiators were that they should 23 remain in a Nyala positioned close to the protesters and 24 use the public address system to communicate with persons 25 who had been identified, for dialogue.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9069</p> <p>1 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, can I raise the 2 references in the statement of General Mpembe which point 3 to the fact that the proposition Mr Bizos is putting is not 4 correct –</p> <p>5 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well –</p> <p>6 MR SEMENYA SC: At paragraph 8 read with 7 paragraphs 24, 5 and 6. Paragraph 26 even ends up saying, 8 "After consulting everyone at JOCOM I then approved both 9 the operational strategy and the mission statement."</p> <p>10 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I would prefer, 11 frankly, if that were dealt with – and I would say this to 12 Mr Bizos as well, as I said to him on Friday – most of this 13 debate can more properly take place with General Mpembe. 14 It's his words that are being interpreted in terms of in 15 the sense that the meaning is being puzzled out, it's more 16 appropriate to ask him the questions but Mr Bizos will say 17 this witness was present when General Mpembe said those 18 things but Mr Bizos, have we not reached the stage now 19 where this becomes a matter of argument? We know what 20 General Mpembe said in the transcripts, we will hear in due 21 course what General Mpembe says when he goes to the witness 22 box. The answers, the witness has given his answer which 23 you either accept or not. If you don't accept it, it's a 24 matter for argument I would've thought. So I'm not sure 25 there's much juice left in this particular lemon at this</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9071</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: I don't understand that to 2 be his instructions. I think those were the instructions 3 given –</p> <p>4 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>5 CHAIRPERSON: But I don't think this 6 witness gave those instructions, did he?</p> <p>7 MR BIZOS SC: I have a note, Mr Chairman, 8 unfortunately I haven't got a reference. Well, let the 9 witness – do you deny that, you never said that?</p> <p>10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 11 ek het my verklaring wat GGG1 bewysstuk is, dan in 12 paragraaf 8 op bladsy 3 en dan die vierde reël wat begin 13 met "The negotiators" en ek haal aan, "The negotiators 14 under the command of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh arrived 15 and they were briefed by Brigadiers Calitz and Fritz on 16 their expected role." So ek het hulle nie self toegelig 17 nie, nee.</p> <p>18 [12:06] MR BIZOS SC: Did you know that they were 19 sent to negotiate from the Nyala?</p> <p>20 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is 21 korrek.</p> <p>22 MR BIZOS SC: Did you know that the 23 protesters insisted that a representative of their employer 24 should come and speak to them?</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: You must interpret the</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9072</p> <p>1 question, please, before the witness answers.</p> <p>2 MR BOOI: Could I have it again?</p> <p>3 MR MAHLANGU: He has interpreted. He</p> <p>4 interpreted it, Mr Chairman.</p> <p>5 CHAIRPERSON: [Inaudible].</p> <p>6 MR MAHLANGU: What, the question –</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos's latest question</p> <p>8 hasn't been interpreted.</p> <p>9 MR BOOI: May I ask that the question be</p> <p>10 repeated please?</p> <p>11 MR BIZOS SC: Did you know that the</p> <p>12 persons that were going to do the negotiation, they were</p> <p>13 going to do it from a Nyala?</p> <p>14 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>15 ek was bewus daarvan.</p> <p>16 MR BIZOS SC: Do you know that the</p> <p>17 protesters insisted on, a representative of their employer</p> <p>18 should come to speak to them?</p> <p>19 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>20 die terugvoering aan die JOC het aangedui dat hulle versoek</p> <p>21 om te praat met iemand van Lonmin.</p> <p>22 MR BIZOS SC: Did you think that there</p> <p>23 was any meaningful negotiation possible between a person in</p> <p>24 a Nyala and a crowd that was calling for their employer to</p> <p>25 come and negotiate with them?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9074</p> <p>1 MR BIZOS SC: I'm going to put to you</p> <p>2 that this was an unfortunate thought that did not amount to</p> <p>3 proper attempts to negotiate, that this was just a front.</p> <p>4 CHAIRPERSON: Before you answer, Major-</p> <p>5 General, the counsel for the police, Mr Semenya, wants to</p> <p>6 say something.</p> <p>7 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, would Mr Bizos</p> <p>8 edify us, what is the basis for that proposition?</p> <p>9 MR BIZOS SC: Well, Mr Chairman, I think</p> <p>10 that it's obvious. If you want to negotiate in good faith</p> <p>11 with people that say bring our employer here to speak to</p> <p>12 us, to put somebody in a police vehicle with a megaphone to</p> <p>13 speak to them is not proper negotiation. My learned friend</p> <p>14 may disagree with it but there's nothing wrong with the</p> <p>15 question with –</p> <p>16 CHAIRPERSON: The witness has explained</p> <p>17 that the circumstances were such that the police were</p> <p>18 obliged to adopt that expedient. You know what the</p> <p>19 circumstances were, I don't want to elaborate. So his</p> <p>20 answer, I imagine, doesn't relate to what would be best</p> <p>21 practice in an ideal situation. His answer was what was</p> <p>22 the only thing they could do practically in the situation</p> <p>23 they found themselves in. So then you're now suggesting</p> <p>24 that despite those circumstances, getting the negotiator to</p> <p>25 speak from a Nyala with a public address system was going –</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9073</p> <p>1 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>2 ek het so gedink, ja.</p> <p>3 MR BIZOS SC: And in your evidence-in-</p> <p>4 chief you suggested to Brigadier Calitz and said that the</p> <p>5 people must remain within the Nyala and that they should</p> <p>6 make use of the public address system that is an accessory</p> <p>7 to the Nyala, to actually communicate with these people.</p> <p>8 CHAIRPERSON: I understood him to say</p> <p>9 that that was said to them by Brigadiers Calitz and Fritz,</p> <p>10 but does it matter whether it was by him or by them?</p> <p>11 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: That instruction was given,</p> <p>13 that's common cause.</p> <p>14 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: I don't know how it – I</p> <p>16 don't want to stop you, you may – if you've got a point</p> <p>17 that will help us, but –</p> <p>18 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>19 CHAIRPERSON: It doesn't matter whether</p> <p>20 he said it or whether Brigadiers Calitz and Fritz said it.</p> <p>21 MR BIZOS SC: Did you think that that was</p> <p>22 meaningful negotiation?</p> <p>23 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>24 dit is korrek, ja. Die omstandighede het die polisie</p> <p>25 genoeg om sodanig in dialoog te tree.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9075</p> <p>1 was a kind of a farce and a stunt and something that wasn't</p> <p>2 – that's what you put. I suppose it's, to some extent, a</p> <p>3 matter for argument. Mr Semenya, do you want to say</p> <p>4 anything further? Right, so what's your answer to that,</p> <p>5 Major-General?</p> <p>6 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>7 die omstandighede was ongelukkig sodanig dat 'n pertinente</p> <p>8 groep was swaar bewapen gewees, maar ook die gebruik van</p> <p>9 die publieke adresseringsisteem het ten voordeel gehad dat</p> <p>10 die groter groep ook die polisie se intensies gehoor het en</p> <p>11 dat dit nie beperk was tot die klein groepie van vyf</p> <p>12 persone nie. Voorsitter, hulle was ook dan, deurgaans was</p> <p>13 hulle aangemoedig om terug te keer na hulle werkstasies toe</p> <p>14 sodat daar deur die vaste strukture dan verdere</p> <p>15 onderhandeling kan plaasvind. Voorsitter, dan kan ek ook</p> <p>16 onthou van 'n pertinente foto wat, ek dink dis mnr Noki,</p> <p>17 wat hy dan pertinent voor op die Nyala se buffer gestaan</p> <p>18 het en met die onderhandelaars gepraat het.</p> <p>19 MR MAHLANGU: I also remember a pertinent</p> <p>20 photo, Mr Chairperson, I think it was Mr Noki that came to</p> <p>21 the police Nyala and had a discussion with the police in.</p> <p>22 CHAIRPERSON: He stood on the bumper,</p> <p>23 that's the point. He stood on the bumper of the Nyala.</p> <p>24 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>25 dit was vir seker nie 'n front van die polisie nie, dit was</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9080</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, I don't want to 2 stop you unduly but you know this witness wasn't much 3 involved with the negotiations. There was a special 4 negotiator who was brought in, Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh 5 who I presume is going to be one of the witnesses. He was 6 given instructions by the two other persons mentioned, 7 Brigadiers Fritz and Calitz. This witness's involvement 8 with the negotiations was very peripheral in the sense that 9 he was present when the instructions were given. Can't our 10 time be more profitably used with this point addressed to 11 the people directly involved rather than the Major-General? 12 I'm sure there are other points you can ask him about in 13 respect of matters where he was directly involved.</p> <p>14 MR BIZOS SC: Well –</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: I don't want to make it 16 difficult for you to present your case but –</p> <p>17 MR BIZOS SC: He has given evidence that 18 negotiations were taking place. The question is, were they 19 bona fide negotiations or not and the question that I want 20 to ask is, what did the two policemen that he says were 21 negotiators, what did they offer to the crowd?</p> <p>22 CHAIRPERSON: Was he there to hear what 23 they offered the crowd? Shouldn't they be asked that 24 question? What they offered the crowd is set out in slide 25 96 of exhibit L and 97, but it's not suggested that this</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9082</p> <p>1 we're going to argue and I don't want – I don't think, with 2 respect, that the witnesses *06-17 will sway the decision 3 makers one way or the other. Thank you, Mr Chair. Now, 4 there are a number of inconsistencies in the defence, in 5 defence of the police conduct that I want to put to you. 6 We are very near the end but please listen and try and 7 respond as briefly as you can. You said to our learned 8 friend Adv Budlender that the police operations on the 16th 9 were aimed at protecting the old, innocent people who could 10 be hurt by the protesters and the need to prevent 11 intimidation and damage to property. Do you remember 12 saying that?</p> <p>13 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 14 kan ek die transkripsie sien in terme van daardie? Is dit 15 'n verbatim aanhaling wat ek gesê het?</p> <p>16 MR BIZOS SC: Police operations on the 17 16th were aimed at protecting old, innocent people – your 18 words – who could be hurt by the protesters, hurt by the 19 protesters and the need to prevent intimidation and damage 20 to property.</p> <p>21 CHAIRPERSON: The witness wants to know 22 where that is in the transcript. Perhaps I can indicate to 23 him the context, as I remember it. What was put to you was 24 why didn't you carry out the earlier plan and attack people 25 at night who were on the mountain and deal with the others</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9081</p> <p>1 witness was present and I imagine any evidence he would 2 give on the point would be hearsay. So shouldn't you 3 rather ask that question of the police negotiators, 4 particularly of Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh? I'll give you 5 the fullest chance to ask him but I mean what's the point 6 of raising that aspect with this witness? He can only give 7 hearsay evidence and it's going to be covered, presumably, 8 when Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh comes anyway, isn't that 9 so?</p> <p>10 MR BIZOS SC: Well, it was he who told my 11 learned friend that instructions were given to the 12 negotiators, Mr Chairman, from which one can infer that he 13 had some role in it and I can't leave it in the air that 14 there were negotiations –</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: Alright –</p> <p>16 MR BIZOS SC: Genuine negotiations at 17 that time.</p> <p>18 CHAIRPERSON: You've put your point to 19 the witness. The point is no longer in the air, you've put 20 it. Can we not move on to something else?</p> <p>21 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>22 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm not seeking 23 to hamper you in presenting your case. You'll get full 24 opportunity with Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh.</p> <p>25 MR BIZOS SC: I've made the point that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9083</p> <p>1 as they came through in the day, and you said that that was 2 not thought to be appropriate. The plan that was adopted 3 was thought to be better because there was a fear that the 4 people on the mountain would then go into the neighbouring 5 township and attack innocent people there. I think that's 6 the context of the passage to which Mr Bizos is referring 7 you but I see that his, one of his assistants is looking at 8 her laptop so presumably we'll get the exact page of the 9 transcript in a moment.</p> <p>10 MR BIZOS SC: Well, just *08-42 words to 11 this effect. I have some of it in inverted commas from our 12 assistant.</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: Did I give the correct 14 context? Your assistant –</p> <p>15 MR BIZOS SC: Yes, yes.</p> <p>16 CHAIRPERSON: - is nodding her head, 17 alright, so that was the context.</p> <p>18 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>19 CHAIRPERSON: Okay.</p> <p>20 MR BIZOS SC: That was. We are looking 21 for the passage and I think that we will, we will be borne 22 out by the summary that I –</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: Not that anything 24 necessarily turns on the exact words. If that was the 25 strekking –</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9088</p> <p>1 ek weet nie. Ek was nie teenwoordig tydens daardie JOCOM 2 vergadering nie.</p> <p>3 MR BIZOS SC: Whether you were there or 4 not, we are going to submit that it was an obvious 5 alternative. If you are concerned about armed people 6 gathering in their thousands on the koppie, surely if they 7 came in small groups from early morning to late afternoon, 8 posting police officers before they reached the koppie 9 would have been an ideal solution. You could disarm them 10 and say, you can go to the koppie if you want to but 11 without arms, it's an offence to have pangas and axes and 12 assegais, we are going to take them away from you and we 13 may even arrest some of you – whilst they were in small 14 groups approaching the koppie. Why was not that done?</p> <p>15 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, to understand – is 16 the proposition by Mr Bizos then that was a viable option 17 despite the forces who were called up from distant 18 locations not having arrived yet and –</p> <p>19 MR BIZOS SC: No, with respect –</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, Mr Bizos, give Mr 21 Semenya a chance to finish what he has to say and then you 22 can answer him.</p> <p>23 MR SEMENYA SC: And despite the fact that 24 those who were there had not been orientated to the mission 25 area or had not been briefed sufficiently, is it the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9090</p> <p>1 adopted. Do you understand the difference between the two? 2 Now which of those two are you putting or are you putting 3 both?</p> <p>4 MR BIZOS SC: I'm putting both, I'm 5 putting both, Mr Chair –</p> <p>6 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, let's 7 concentrate on –</p> <p>8 MR BIZOS SC: The first one, the first 9 one we say that there were people there, there were core, 10 small groups, they didn't require the large number of 11 policemen, they could've done it on the 14th. Even more so 12 later than the 14th, even more so early on the 15th –</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: Alright –</p> <p>14 MR BIZOS SC: And the 16th.</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: I think the question can 16 now be understood by the witness and Mr Semenya's point has 17 been adequately dealt with and we can now get the witness's 18 reply.</p> <p>19 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 20 baie dankie. Ek het reeds in my *05-49 na hierdie 21 pertinente punt verwys toe my getuienis gelei was. Ook Adv 22 Budlender het pertinente stellings gemaak en vrae gevra 23 daaroor en ek het weer eens daarna verwys en ek sal met 24 graagte weer eens daarna verwys. Ek kan egter nie met 25 gesag kommentaar lewer op dit wat op slide 63 vermeld word</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9089</p> <p>1 proposition that is being put to the witness?</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: I think the question is a 3 bit ambiguous. Are you saying that once the forces called 4 up from distant locations had arrived and once those who 5 were there had been orientated and briefed sufficiently, 6 then that strategy would have been appropriate or are you 7 suggesting that that strategy would have been appropriate 8 even before the forces arrived and the orientation took 9 place?</p> <p>10 MR BIZOS SC: It's the first of a number 11 of questions, Mr Chairman. I do not accept, with respect, 12 we do not accept the excuse given in paragraph 63 because 13 it was open to them to do that, not only on the 14th – and 14 if they did not have enough people, they had more people on 15 the 15th and the 16th and these are the questions I'm going 16 to ask.</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, you're not 18 really answering the question I'm asking, which flows from 19 Mr Semenya's objection. Are you saying that even at 6 20 o'clock on the morning of the 14th they could have 21 implemented that strategy despite the fact that the people 22 hadn't come from distant locations and hadn't been briefed 23 and orientated, or are you saying that once people had 24 arrived and once the orientation had taken place and the 25 briefing had taken place, then that strategy could've been</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9091</p> <p>1 nie, synde dat ek nie teenwoordig was nie, maar ek kan my 2 opinie gee en hoekom ons dit op 'n latere stadium verwerp 3 het as 'n konsep alternatief. Daar was nie pertinent 4 intelligensie of informasie dat in die sogenaamde groepie 5 van 50, dat 'n leierskap element teenwoordig was nie. Daar 6 was nie pertinente bevestiging dat die betrokke groepie 7 gewapen was nie.</p> <p>8 Adv Budlender het toe gemeld ons sou dit kon 9 bepaal het as ons 'n deursoeking gaan doen het, dan sou ons 10 geweet het of hulle gewapen is al dan nie, maar ek het 11 verdere redes gegee hoekom ons dit nie as geskik geag het 12 om die betrokke aksie uit te voer nie. Ek het gesê dat 13 tensy ons hulle sou vind met wapens, dat daar nie 14 pertinente kriminele klagtes teen die groepie sou wees nie, 15 net eenvoudig omdat hulle op die koppie was nie, maar sou 16 daar pertinente klagtes wees waarop ons hulle kon arresteer 17 dan sou die arrestasie van hierdie groep waarskynlik gelei 18 het tot 'n nuwe protesaksie en in dié geval die vrylating 19 van die persone. Ek het ook verwys na die onderhandelings 20 van die polisie en sou ons dit gedoen het, sou dit die 21 integriteit van die polisie geskaad het.</p> <p>22 MR MAHLANGU: I also referred to the –</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: The negotiations.</p> <p>24 MR MAHLANGU: The negotiations and I said 25 if these were not successful –</p>

		Page 9092	Page 9094
1	CHAIRPERSON:	I think if this had been done, that was the alternative proposal, it would have damaged the –	1 having heard the full answer, I suggest we now take the lunch adjournment for half an hour.
4	MR MAHLANGU:	It would have damaged the integrity of the –	3 [13:36] MR MAHLANGU: Just a minute, excuse me.*00-02
6	CHAIRPERSON:	The integrity of the process.	5 [COMMISSION ADJOURS] COMMISSION RESUMES]
8	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Dat dit nie in die gees van onderhandelinge is nie en die presiese vertroue wat ons probeer bou, het ons dan nou net gaan verbreek. Dan het ek pertinent verwys na die praktiese uitvoerbaarheid van so 'n aksie.	6 [13:42] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
13	MR MAHLANGU:	I also referred to the pertinently – onuitvoerbaar?	7 Generaal-majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed.
15	CHAIRPERSON:	[Inaudible]	8 CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e.
16	MR MAHLANGU:	Yes, the inability of such a plan being put into effect, the practicality of it being put in effect has been hampered.	9 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos.
19	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Voorsitter, ek het pertinent verwys na die topografie van die omgewing en ek het vermeld dat jy waarskynlik die koppie kan benader uit 360-grade rigtings. Voorsitter, en ek het verwys daarna dat dit soveel makliker is in 'n stedelike opset om toegang tot roetes te beperk want daar sal waarskynlik miskien twee of drie toegangsroetes wees na 'n sentrale	10 MR BIZOS SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.
25			11 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Boo's gone to the bank and been delayed. So Mr Mahlangu is going to interpret both, Afrikaans to English and from English to IsiXhosa, which is – Afrikaans to English, English to IsiXhosa and it's not always so easy, so we must be patient. But I'm sure he'll do his best and I'm sure we'll manage. So, but we must all speak fairly clearly and slowly.
18	MR BIZOS SC:	General, you have given a long response as to why the alternative that I have suggested was not adopted. Would you agree that despite the alleged difficulties that may have been envisaged, which you have mentioned, if the alternative was adopted, the tragedy of Marikana may not have occurred?	18 MR BIZOS SC: General, you have given a long response as to why the alternative that I have suggested was not adopted. Would you agree that despite the alleged difficulties that may have been envisaged, which you have mentioned, if the alternative was adopted, the tragedy of Marikana may not have occurred?
24	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Voorsitter, nee, ek kan nie saamstem nie.	24 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
		Page 9093	Page 9095
1	punt. Voorsitter, ek het verduidelik dat in praktyk sou dit dan beteken het dat ons die polisie hulpbronne in tientalle klein groeperings sou moes opbreek in 'n poging om dan sodanige klein groeperings wat op pad is te stop en te deursoek. Voorsitter, en al wat sou gebeur het is dat die eerste groepie wat so gestop word, sal die informasie versprei aan die res van die mense op pad en dan in plaas van klein groepies sou dan die groep elders, weg van sodanige polisie beheerpunte sou hulle gegroepeer het en dan sou ons waarskynlik vind dat daar skielik miskien 'n duisend of selfs tweeduiseend persone dan op een van hierdie klein beheerpunte van die polisie beweeg.	1 MR BIZOS SC: Tell me, the alternative that you chose of trying to break up the group into small groups, how many small groups do you expect to have been formed for the police to control, how many groups did you envisage?	
13	MR MAHLANGU:	We would have then found, Mr Chairperson, that people would then be walking in bigger groups, 1 000 or 2 000 along the police line.	6 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek kan nie vir u 'n getal gee nie. Dit is maar 'n oordeel van die operasionele bevelvoerder.
16	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Chairperson, not the police line, they will then all head for one of the smaller groupings of police officers that is specifically manning a control point. Voorsitter, en in praktyk sou dit nie haalbaar gewees het nie en ek is jammer dat ek weer eens moes alles herhaal maar dit was gemeld, maar ek moes dit doen om volledig te wees. Ek kan nie net gaan antwoord nie –	9 CHAIRPERSON: Before we carry on, there is static on the line as it were. What's the cause of the static, have you sorted it out? Is it someone's cell phone or is there something wrong with the equipment.
24	CHAIRPERSON:	I didn't stop you, I thought it only fair to let you give the full answer but	13 MR MAHLANGU: The interpreter behind me was too near the mike. He's been told to move a bit back.
25			15 CHAIRPERSON: Right. So we won't have a problem in future. We'll monitor the situation. Can I ask a question following the one Mr Bizos has asked you. Can you tell us whether you had in mind or generally it's appropriate to say it, what the size of the groups would have been that were relatively easy to handle?
21	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Voorsitter, dit is regtig moeilik. Daar is te veel veranderlikes.	21 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
23	CHAIRPERSON:	What are the variables?	23 CHAIRPERSON: What are the variables?
24	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Voorsitter, dit sou af gehang het van die bewapening wat die betrokke	24 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9100</p> <p>1 Die openbare orde polisie se metodes en benadering het nog 2 altyd gewerk. In terme van die individuele benadering van 3 persone, is die lede sodanig opgelei. Hulle het sodanige 4 vaardighede. Dis dan huis hoekom ons TRT lede daar gehad 5 het.</p> <p>6 MR BIZOS SC: I ask the question again, 7 General. What were the police going to do if the 8 protestors did not respond favourably to the police?</p> <p>9 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 10 gunstig in terme van om uiteen te dryf, sal ek eerste 11 verduidelik. Dan sou daar na oordeel van die operasionele 12 bevelvoerder voortgegaan moet gewees het met die aanwending 13 van waterkannon, pirotegnies of hael geweer-rubber.</p> <p>14 CHAIRPERSON: - stun grenades. 15 Pyrotechnics is stun grenades.</p> <p>16 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: In terme van 17 individuele persone wat genader sou gewees het, en wat nie 18 hulle wapens sou wou neerlê nie, is daar staat gemaak op 19 die vaardighede en opleiding van individuele 20 polisiebeamptes verbonde aan die TRT om so 'n persoon of 21 persone dan te ontwapen.</p> <p>22 MR BIZOS SC: Did you expect anybody to 23 be – if gas was used or the water cannon, to drop their 24 gun, their weapons, or to even become more determined to 25 keep them for their own purposes?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9102</p> <p>1 any instructions or advice – was the advice given of the 2 nature of Mr Bizos asks about, in respect of the – any 3 members who received any instructions in your presence?</p> <p>4 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 5 nie in my teenwoordigheid nie, maar ek weet daar is 6 verwysing gemaak deur van die bevelvoerders en ook in 7 Bewyssuk L dat ek dink die woorde wat gebruik is, "the 8 rules of engagement", was weer bevestig of oorgedra.</p> <p>9 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: It actually appears 10 in slides 182 and 183.</p> <p>11 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dankie, 12 kommissaris.</p> <p>13 MR BIZOS SC: I know that and I wrote 14 next to it, what were they? What were the rules of 15 engagement that were conveyed?</p> <p>16 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 17 die individuele bevelvoerders sal daaroor kan getuig. Ek 18 kan nie, maar hoe ek dit verstaan in terme van my oordrag 19 aan lede sou dit wees, dan sal dit tweeledig wees. Die een 20 sou wees in die konteks van openbare skade-bestuur. Dan 21 sou dit wees die gebruik van geweld in kontinuum. En 22 andersins die gemeenregtelike beginsels van 23 selfverdediging, noodweer en beskerming van eiendom.</p> <p>24 CHAIRPERSON: It's the common rules of 25 self-protect and protection of property.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9101</p> <p>1 [14:01] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 2 weer eens in terme van die geskiedenis wat ons het in terme 3 van tipiese optrede, was dit verwag dat die persone 4 vrywillig hulle wapens sou neerlê. Maar daar was 5 voorsiening gemaak dat daar individue sou wees wat 6 arrestasies sou teengaan of verset teen arrestasies sou - 7 MR BIZOS SC: Was there any guidance 8 given to the police officers before the shooting of how 9 dangerous shooting may lead to very serious consequences 10 and it must be avoided at all cost? 11 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 12 ek het verduidelik dat ek nie teenwoordig was by die 13 toelingingsessies nie. Polisiebeamptes is behoorlik 14 opgelei in die gebruik van hulle vuurwapens en hulle 15 deeglik bewus van die omstandighede wanneer hulle sodanige 16 wapen kan gebruik en wat die uitwerking is van die gebruik 17 van so 'n wapen. 18 MR BIZOS SC: The question was whether on 19 this occasion the police were reminded of the dangers of 20 using weapons against the crowd? 21 CHAIRPERSON: I understand his answer to 22 be that he wasn't present when the final instructions were 23 given by the various commanders to the members under their 24 command. I think that's his answer. Perhaps you could – 25 we could take it this way. In your presence, was – were</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9103</p> <p>1 MR BIZOS SC: Were they told when to 2 engage without instruction? 3 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 4 ek het vermeld dat ek nie teenwoordig was nie. So ek kan 5 nie gaan namens elke bevelvoerder praat nie. Ek kan net 6 meld dat die beginsel van instruksie of handeling en bevel 7 in terme van die gebruik van 'n vuurwapen. In terme van 8 Staanorde 262 is dit toepaslik op die verskillende vlakte 9 van gebruik van geweld in kontinuum. Maar dis nie 10 toepaslik in gevalle van selfverdediging nie. 11 CHAIRPERSON: I think it is only 12 appropriate in cases of private self-defence or private 13 defence, is that right? 14 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Instruksies 15 is nie toepaslik in gevalle van selfverdediging en - 16 MR BIZOS SC: I am going to put to you 17 that to say to an inflamed police force unit or units – 18 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, that's a double 19 question. You first got to ask whether the police force 20 was inflamed or put it that they were inflamed and then you 21 can proceed to ask the next question. If the question 22 assumes something that's not already on the table, then 23 it's not a good question. So reformulate the question, 24 please. 25 MR BIZOS SC: I'll reformulate. To tell</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9104</p> <p>1 the people that were going to go into the third stage that 2 you can engage without instruction, you can engage without 3 instruction as the 182 records, is a strange thing to say 4 for people that were going to break up the crowd into 5 groups?</p> <p>6 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 7 ek het dit nie gesê nie.</p> <p>8 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we don't 9 understand what could be strange? What could be strange to 10 say in self-defence require no instruction?</p> <p>11 CHAIRPERSON: That's a point that you 12 have to answer, Mr Bizos?</p> <p>13 MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairman, I'm entitled 14 to ask him why was it necessary to remind people that were 15 going to go into this operation that they can shoot without 16 command? That's really what it amounts to –</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: But there are two problems, 18 aren't there? The first is, he doesn't know they were 19 reminded that, because he wasn't present when they received 20 their instructions. Secondly, Standing Order 262 actually 21 says that. So what's the problem in reminding them about 22 the pertinent provisions of standing order 262 if that 23 command was in fact given?</p> <p>24 MR BIZOS SC: We would submit that 25 careful commanders would be very careful in saying that you</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9106</p> <p>1 Mr Chairman. 2 CHAIRPERSON: And in any event, isn't – 3 aren't these questions that should be put to the commanders 4 of the – who gave the instructions to the members before 5 the operation? Ja, I think so. I think that would be more 6 appropriate if I may say so.</p> <p>7 COMMISSIONER MAHAJ: General, do I 8 understand that these rules of engagement were never 9 discussed at the Jocom meeting, were not part of any 10 briefing there?</p> <p>11 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Kommissaris, 12 soos ek kan onthou, was dit gemeld, die rules of 13 engagement, maar ons het die nie gaan opbrek soos wat ek 14 dit nou opgebrek het in terme van die twee fasette, die 15 een skade-bestuur, Staande Orde 262 en die ander een -</p> <p>16 COMMISSIONER MAHAJ: And during which 17 briefing would that have been?</p> <p>18 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 19 dit sou waarskynlik gewees het op die Woensdag, of die 20 Woensdagaand se nabetrating. Ek kan regtig nie pertinent 21 onthou nie.</p> <p>22 CHAIRPERSON: Wednesday was the 15th.</p> <p>23 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Ekskuus.</p> <p>24 CHAIRPERSON: Wednesday was the 15th.</p> <p>25 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Dit kon selfs</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9105</p> <p>1 don't have to wait for a command from me before shooting.</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: Well, I don't think that to 3 rephrase what the witness is saying. I can imagine the 4 commander would have said, this is what you must do, but 5 you understand that if you have to defend yourself or 6 defend one of your colleagues, then the ordinary rules of 7 self-defence and private defence apply and you don't have 8 to wait for a command, because otherwise it may be too 9 late. I mean I take it that's essentially what would – is 10 that – Mr Semenya's point is, what is strange about that?</p> <p>11 MR BIZOS SC: It is passing strange that 12 there should be a reminder that they don't have to wait for 13 a command to shoot. That's all I'm saying.</p> <p>14 CHAIRPERSON: The point is, it's self or 15 private defence, when they're under threat. I understand 16 if it was simply you don't have to wait for a command 17 before you shoot, that would be very strange, particularly 18 in the light of all we know.</p> <p>19 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: But if it's – with those 21 qualifications having been added, then it's not so strange. 22 Perhaps it's inappropriate for us to have a debate. It is 23 more a matter of argument really, but put your question to 24 the witness.</p> <p>25 MR BIZOS SC: I'll leave it for argument,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9107</p> <p>1 gewees het, Voorsitter, in die special Jocom vergadering op 2 die 16de. Ek kan regtig nie onthou nie.</p> <p>3 CHAIRPERSON: You see which is it, a 4 special, must I take it, it means the 1:30 one, is that 5 right?</p> <p>6 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek.</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: Yes.</p> <p>8 MR BIZOS SC: It has been said by you and 9 previous witnesses that have given evidence on behalf of 10 the police, that Marikana was a unique event and an 11 unprecedented event. Do you share that view?</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: It's been said by himself 13 several times during the course of his evidence. So unless 14 he's changed his mind since he testified last week, he 15 still shares that view.</p> <p>16 MR BIZOS SC: Well, it's a preparatory 17 question for what is to follow, Mr Chairman.</p> <p>18 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 19 ek het so gesê.</p> <p>20 MR BIZOS SC: Are you aware of the events 21 that occurred particularly in Kwazulu-Natal in 22 confrontations between the police and people – I see that 23 someone – that comes someone who comes from the province is 24 smiling, but let me continue with my question. Are you 25 aware of the history of clashes between armed groups and</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9108</p> <p>1 the police in Natal during the late 80's and early 90's, 2 some of them even continued into the new era?</p> <p>3 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 4 adv Bizos het dit reeds aan my gestel en hy het ook vir my 5 gesê toe ek sê ek kan nie die pertinente besonderhede 6 onthou nie, het hy vir my gesê dat history is against me.</p> <p>7 MR BIZOS SC: I may have asked you in 8 general terms, but this is specific that I'm asking you 9 about what happened in Kwazulu-Natal.</p> <p>10 [14:21] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 11 ek weet nie of die advokaat my gaan help om meer pertinent 12 te wees, spesifieke gebeure te stel nie.</p> <p>13 MR BIZOS SC: I want to give you a 14 passage and a judgment of Judge Didcott. I'll give the 15 reference, Mr Chairman. Senole v State President of RSA, 16 1992(3) South Africa 37D (ad 43 to 44). Spokesmen for the 17 police made various points in reply. The main ones were 18 these. "The police force had insufficient manpower to cope 19 with all the work that was expected to perform. Works 20 which the unrest had increased vastly, straining its 21 resources. That attachment of policemen encountering armed 22 mob were likely to find themselves badly outnumbered. To 23 disarm such a mob was a tricky business, even for the large 24 enough squad. Excitement ran high on occasions of that 25 kind. Defiance of the police could not be discounted and</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9110</p> <p>1 says the police counsel put to him as being the problems 2 that the police were having, particularly in relation to 3 disarming large groups of people with arms. Am I 4 summarising correctly, Mr Bizos?</p> <p>5 MR BIZOS SC: Correct.</p> <p>6 CHAIRPERSON: And it was – the evidence –</p> <p>7 I think that the case was on motion, so it was presumably a 8 summary by Judge Didcott of what was said in the affidavit 9 by one or other of the police officers and what counsel 10 wants to know from you, is whether you agree with what the 11 police officer is alleged to have said?</p> <p>12 MR BIZOS SC: And also the Judge's 13 comment about how the police should deal, despite their 14 difficulties?</p> <p>15 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 16 ek het geen manier wat ek kan verskil daarmee nie. Dis 'n 17 uitgewysde saak, so dis 'n bevinding wat so gemaak is en 18 onderteken is.</p> <p>19 MR BIZOS SC: On another topic, in your 20 evidence in chief and in response to our colleague, Mr 21 Budlender, that what happened at scene 1 was a case of self 22 defence or private defence. Do you agree with that?</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure the witness 24 said that, because the witness wasn't there. The witness 25 can only comment upon what he was told. He was in the JOC</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9109</p> <p>1 the attempt to enforce commands that were given, but 2 disobeyed by its meet with resistance, inflaming the 3 situation and leading perhaps to blood shed.</p> <p>4 Simply keeping a watch of things in case they got 5 out of hand, was often the wiser course. Success in 6 disarming the men entailed in turn its own risks."</p> <p>7 You've heard what I've read? Would you agree or 8 disagree with the Learned Judge?</p> <p>9 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 10 ek is nie bewus van die saak nie. Ek hoor dit ook nou, so 11 ek weet nie wat die omstandighede was nie.</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: I don't have it – I 13 remember that case very vaguely, but I don't remember the 14 facts, but my understanding from the passage that was read 15 to you, what happened was Judge Didcott was actually 16 summarising what had been said to him in argument by 17 counsel for the police, explaining the difficulties the 18 police have. It was a case, as far I remember, about the 19 emergency regulations, justification for the emergency 20 regulations because of the problems the police would have 21 had otherwise. I think that's the context of the passage. 22 But the point – what is relevant, I think, for Mr Bizos's 23 question, is not the particular factor of the case, but I 24 think turned on legal questions about the emergency 25 regulations. It's whether you agree with what the Judge</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9111</p> <p>1 and you'll remember the evidence about when exactly they 2 learnt in the JOC that there had been shooting and - So I'm 3 not sure, I don't remember him putting it as boldly as you 4 put it now –</p> <p>5 MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairman, I have a 6 careful note, I have a careful note.</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: Share your careful –</p> <p>8 MR BIZOS SC: He expressed a view about 9 scene 1 and refused to comment on scene 2, because he was 10 not there. This is what his evidence is. He characterised 11 scene 1 as self defence. When he was asked about scene 2, 12 he said because he was not there, he couldn't say. And I 13 have a simple question. We have a simple question. How 14 can you express a view about scene 1 without being there 15 and refuse to say anything about scene 2 because he was 16 also not there?</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: I don't remember him saying 18 that, but any way, let him answer for himself –</p> <p>19 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Did he not – sorry. 20 Did he not in fact say that he was not aware that there was 21 a scene 2? Wasn't that what the witness said?</p> <p>22 MR BIZOS SC: I don't think he went as 23 far as that, but I have a note that in scene 2 you – if he 24 was not at the scene and you did not have information, I 25 put it that, "I have no information at my disposal". You</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9112</p> <p>1 were asked, have you not done a reconstruction and did you 2 not take statements of the members involved or interviewed. 3 Indeed, you said, it would be arrogant for me to make an 4 analysis without having been there. And what I am – the 5 question that I'm putting is, to you, is that you had no 6 difficulty in absolving your colleagues from any liability 7 in relation to scene 1, but you had a different view of 8 scene 2.</p> <p>9 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya?</p> <p>10 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, would Mr Bizos 11 favour us with the reference in the transcript. We have a 12 running transcript.</p> <p>13 MR BIZOS SC: I haven't got a ready made 14 reference, but –</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: The difficulty –</p> <p>16 MR BIZOS SC: But the person that drew 17 this note has put it in italics, which means to me that he 18 looked at the screen and –</p> <p>19 CHAIRPERSON: Well, all three of us here 20 have, up here at the Commission table, as we can't remember 21 the evidence given in those terms. And it looks if Mr 22 Semenya shares our difficulty. Let's cut it short and ask 23 the witness. Can you remember saying that, Major General?</p> <p>24 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 25 dit is vir my moeilik. Dit is asof 'n gedeelte van my</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9114</p> <p>1 MR BIZOS SC: Yes. 2 CHAIRPERSON: If that's the passage, then 3 maybe –</p> <p>4 MR BIZOS SC: It's an email from an 5 absent member, Mr Chairman.</p> <p>6 CHAIRPERSON: Right. It doesn't help 7 that particular – all right, okay. So we won't tally at 8 this point for the moment. We'll move on.</p> <p>9 MR BIZOS SC: The Provincial Commission 10 is recorded as having said at the 6 o'clock meeting, "We 11 will end this matter today."</p> <p>12 Do you recall that?</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, what 6 o'clock 14 meeting are you talking about?</p> <p>15 MR BIZOS SC: The –</p> <p>16 CHAIRPERSON: The media briefing was at 17 9:30. I don't think he was at the Joc-com at 6 o'clock –</p> <p>18 MR BIZOS SC: It may have been the 19 briefings.</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: Well, if it was the 21 briefing, then it was 9:30.</p> <p>22 MR BIZOS SC: Oh, I'm sorry, I got it 23 wrong. It was at 9:30. It was a 9:30 media briefing with 24 Lieutenant General Mbombo which said clearly and 25 unambiguously that the police will ask the protestors to</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9113</p> <p>1 getuienis aangehaal word uit konteks wat vooraf gesê is of 2 wat daarna gesê is. Ek sal graag die transkripsie onder oë 3 wil hê dat ons pertinent dit in totale – totaliteit kan 4 lees. Voorsitter, ek het ook in my getuienis het ek gesê 5 dat ten tye van die voorvalle was ons nie bewus dat daar 'n 6 pertinente toneel 1 en 'n toneel 2 was nie.</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: - Two scenes, he wasn't 8 aware of the fact that there were two scenes, around about 9 page 8336.</p> <p>10 COMMISSIONER MAHAJ: Hm.</p> <p>11 CHAIRPERSON: But I can't find the 12 specific passage which Mr Bizos has put. If it's there 13 somewhere, it's certainly not on that page. Anyway, it 14 seems sensible to wait to get the exact passage that you 15 have in mind, Mr Bizos, or those instructing you, in 16 fairness to the witness, because as I say, I don't remember 17 him saying that and I think if he had to, that I would have 18 remembered it, but maybe I'm wrong in that respect.</p> <p>19 MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairman, we can leave 20 it open as a question and he will be cross-examined by 21 others, I am told, and we can furnish the page numbers 22 that we have and it will speak for itself.</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: Those who are advising you 24 actually is handing a laptop to one of the others, you are 25 advising that to Ms -</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9115</p> <p>1 leave, but if they don't, she does not want to say what 2 will happen, but that the matter will be ended today. Do 3 you agree that obviously this meant that stage 3 will be 4 activated if the protestors did not disperse and disarm 5 before the end of the day?</p> <p>6 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 7 ek stem nie saam nie.</p> <p>8 MR BIZOS SC: You don't agree. Did you 9 say that this is the first time that you hear these words 10 being said to have been uttered by the Provincial 11 Commissioner?</p> <p>12 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit sê ek ook 13 nie, Voorsitter, ek het die woorde gehoor.</p> <p>14 CHAIRPERSON: He says he didn't 15 understand it the way you put them to him. I think that's 16 my understanding of his evidence. In other words, he heard 17 you say that, words to that effect, but he didn't 18 understand them to have the meaning that you say they have. 19 I think that is it.</p> <p>20 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek 21 so.</p> <p>22 CHAIRPERSON: How is this going to help? 23 Is she going to come and give evidence? Someone is going 24 to ask her, did she say that and if she admits it, then she 25 will be asked what she meant. And if she doesn't admit it,</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9116</p> <p>1 then other things may happen, but is there any point in 2 asking this witness how he understands the words, the words 3 of the concerned –</p> <p>4 MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairman, if there was a 5 decision taken at 9:30 that it will end today, it is a 6 matter of some importance of the intention that is 7 expressed.</p> <p>8 CHAIRPERSON: Proceed. Proceed with the 9 question.</p> <p>10 MR BIZOS SC: I'm sorry I didn't hear 11 that?</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: Proceed with the question.</p> <p>13 MR BIZOS SC: Yes. Was there any 14 particular hurry why the matter should be dealt with on the 15 16th?</p> <p>16 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 17 ek het nou twee vrae gekry wat nie noodwendig dieselfde is 18 nie.</p> <p>19 CHAIRPERSON: Please concentrate on the 20 second one, why Thursday?</p> <p>21 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 22 die konteks soos ek dit verstaan het, wat die provinsiale 23 kommissaris gesê het, sy was bewus van die gesprekvoering 24 wat plaasgevind het die aand van die 15de en dit was ook so 25 aan haar oorgedra. En sy het die optimisme gedeel dat die</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9118</p> <p>1 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 2 ek het telkens daarna verwys en ek sal met graagste meer in 3 die detail ingaan.</p> <p>4 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, if Mr Burger was 5 here, he was going to support my objection. We have been 6 through this evidence. He's going to tell us the 7 negotiations collapsed, there was no and the he's going to 8 repeat it until we come tomorrow.</p> <p>9 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos?</p> <p>10 MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairman, he's a senior 11 officer that these –</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: - told us already.</p> <p>13 MR BIZOS SC: The -</p> <p>14 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya said he's told 15 us already.</p> <p>16 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: And there's answers on 18 record, he says.</p> <p>19 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: Now what do you say about 21 that?</p> <p>22 MR BIZOS SC: And we now, we know that 23 you don't give up as a matter of principle on negotiations 24 why did the negotiations not continue.</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: But that's a different</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9117</p> <p>1 president van AMCU die persone sou oortuig of die stakers 2 sou oortuig om hulle wapens neer te lê. Voorsitter, en 3 daar was geen haas gewees om 09:30 om na 'n vlak 3 toe oor 4 te gaan nie.</p> <p>5 MR BIZOS SC: I'm sorry, I was reading 6 the document. Do you mind repeating, Mr Interpreter.</p> <p>7 MR MAHLANGU: He said there was no hurry 8 at 9:30 that they should resort to stage 3.</p> <p>9 MR BIZOS SC: Yes, and there was no 10 reason for the Provincial Commissioner to say so, that it 11 would be finished on that day?</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: You and the witness are 13 talking passed each other. He says, there is no haste or 14 hurry at 9:30. You're not talking about 9:30. You're 15 talking about the Thursday, that day?</p> <p>16 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: So if you ask one question 18 and he understands you to ask another, then we're not going 19 to get anywhere. So I suggest you repeat the question and 20 make it clear you're not confining your question up to 21 9:30?</p> <p>22 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: But it's the whole day.</p> <p>24 [14:41] MR BIZOS SC: What was the hurry, why did 25 the matter have to be finished that day?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9119</p> <p>1 question.</p> <p>2 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>3 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya's problem is you 4 wanted to get him to repeat what he said before. Now you 5 really are doing that as a prelude to attacking the reasons 6 being given.</p> <p>7 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>8 CHAIRPERSON: Acting on that basis.</p> <p>9 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>10 CHAIRPERSON: One of the factor reasons 11 being given for acting on that day without going through 12 stuff we've been through already, that's really Mr 13 Semenya's point.</p> <p>14 MR BIZOS SC: Yes. But the question is, 15 I thought simple enough there was no urgency on the 16th to 16 put an end to it on that day.</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, 18 Major General?</p> <p>19 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Mnr 20 Voorsitter, nee ek kan nie daarmee saamstem nie. Daar was 21 pertinente redes en verhoogde risiko's.</p> <p>22 MR BIZOS SC: If, on the evidence as a 23 whole that we have heard thus far there was an emergency in 24 the mind of the employers and in particularly Lonmin in the 25 unprotected strike should come to an end. Had you been</p>

	Page 9120		Page 9122
1 told that?		1 CHAIRPERSON:	He wants to know whether
2 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,		2 the police had anything to pay because of the loan of the	3 helicopter.
3 dat daar 'n noodgeval was en dat hierdie onbeskermde		4 MR MAHLANGU:	I'll come to that, Chair.
4 staking nou onmiddellik tot ? einde moet kom, ek weet nie		5 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Voorsitter,
5 van so iets nie.		6 nie sover ek bewus is nie, ek dink nie daar is enige	7 betaling gemaak deur die polisie aan Protea Coin.
6 MR BIZOS SC: You're not aware. There		8 MR BIZOS SC:	Was there a perception
7 was, where was your JOC office?		9 created that was there a friendly relationship between the	10 police and Lonmin?
8 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,		11 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Voorsitter,
9 ek het reeds verduidelik dit was op Lonmin se gronde		12 my gevalle wat ek met Lonmin se bestuur gedeel het was dit	13 totaal professioneel. So ek weet nie die verwysing na 'n
10 gewees. By 'n sentrum, 'n middel kraal, ek dink middel		14 friendly relationship nie, dit was ? professionele	15 verhouding wat ons gehad het. Soos die polisie ook het met
11 kraal rescue centre of so iets.		16 verskeie ander rolspelers.	
12 MR BIZOS SC: Who provided the services		17 MR BIZOS SC:	Was there any senior
13 that were necessary by the police other than Lonmin?		18 members, you or any senior member of the police conveyed to	19 Lonmin that one of their leaders suggest to Lonmin that
14 CHAIRPERSON: What services do you mean?		20 they should meet the demand of the protesters in going to	21 address them.
15 What kind of services do you mean by the question?		22 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Voorsitter,
16 MR BIZOS SC: Telephones, catering		23 kan die vraag herhaal word, ek verstaan nie die vraag	24 lekker nie.
17 arrangements and the other necessities for the presence of		25 MR BIZOS SC:	- my attention has been
18 the police.			
19 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,			
20 telefone het ons eie sellulêre telefone gebruik. Met die			
21 uitsondering van een landlyn wat beskikbaar was in die JOC.			
22 Sover ek kan onthou was dit slegs beperk tot oproepe wat			
23 gemaak was na die JOC toe.			
24 MR BIZOS SC: Is -			
25 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek is besig.			
	Page 9121		Page 9123
1 MR BIZOS SC: Sorry.		1 drawn that I interrupted the interpreter. If I did so do	
2 CHAIRPERSON: - Interpreting it into		2 you want to continue? Then I will repeat the question.	
3 Xhosa, give the Interpreter a chance otherwise people in		3 MR BOOI:	I could also not follow the
4 the auditorium, many of them won't understand what's being		4 question.	
5 said.		5 MR BIZOS SC:	Did you -
6 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,		6 CHAIRPERSON:	Perhaps you should repeat
7 die geboue pertinent, die JOC was, het behoort aan Lonmin.		7 it, Mr Bizos.	
8 Dan, Voorsitter, dan het ek ook verwys in my getuenis na		8 MR BIZOS SC:	Yes. Did you or any other
9 die mediese personeel wat deur 911 in 'n kontrak met Lonmin		9 police officer approach Lonmin to convey to them that the	10 protesters insisted on a high ranking official of Lonmin to
10 verskaf was, die brandweer.		11 go to address them?	
11 MR BIZOS SC: Lonmin must also provided		12 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Voorsitter,
12 the helicopter?		13 Generaal-Majoor Mpembe was die aangewese offisier wat	14 deurgaans met Lonmin en die unies in onderhandelingen
13 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek weet nie		15 betrokke was. Voorsitter, dan was dit ook so deur die JOC	16 via die Lonmin JOC verteenwoordiger oorgedra en dan,
14 of ek moet wag vir die tolk, elke keer. Voorsitter, sover		17 Voorsitter, op die 15e, die middag terwyl ons besig was met	18 die reelings om die onderskeie presidente van AMCU en NUM
15 dit aan my bekend is, is dit nie ? Lonmin helikopter nie,		19 met hulle delegasies te vervoer na die forward holding area	20 het ek dit ook aan Mn Kgotle en Kwadi genoem. Of enige
16 dit is wel 'n Protea Coin helikopter.		21 ander offisier dit gedoen het kan ek nie oor getuig nie.	
17 MR BIZOS SC: Did the police pay the firm		22 MR BIZOS SC:	You have expressed support
18 that you say it belonged to or was it supplied by Lonmin		23 and spoke of your compliance with the police orders, the	24 legislation, the constitution.
19 free of charge to the police?		25 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Voorsitter,
20 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,			
21 ek het reeds gemeld en ek het ook getuig dat die helikopter			
22 aangebied was deur 'n Mr De Waal van Protea Coin. Dit is			
23 korrek so, weereens sover ek weet is dit nie 'n Lonmin nie			
24 en ek weet nie of Lonmin enige uitgawes gedra het rondom			
25 die helikopter nie.			

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9128</p> <p>1 rondon Marikana.</p> <p>2 MR BIZOS SC: When did the terms of reference of the Commission come to your notice?</p> <p>4 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek kan nie presies 'n datum onthou nie, ek weet die President het aangedui op die 17de dat daar 'n Kommissie aangekondig gaan word. Ek weet net dat dit iewers in die middel van die volgende week was.</p> <p>9 CHAIRPERSON: I thought he said something about he thought in the middle of the following week -</p> <p>11 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: The following week ja.</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: The terms of reference came out.</p> <p>15 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Dit sal dan die week van Maandag die 20ste wees, die week wat daarop volg.</p> <p>18 MR BIZOS SC: Was or were the terms of reference of the Commission referred to in your discussions at Potchefstroom?</p> <p>21 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek, Voorsitter.</p> <p>23 MR BIZOS SC: Or particularly enquire into, make findings, report on and make recommendations concerning, among other things, the contact of the South African Police Services, SAPS, in particular whether by act or omission or directly or indirectly caused loss of life or harm to person or property." Were you aware of that term of reference to the Commission whilst you were meeting at Potchefstroom?</p> <p>6 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Ek is bewus daarvan, Voorsitter.</p> <p>8 MR BIZOS SC: Is there anything in exhibit L that you prepared which deals with whether by act or omission it indirectly or indirectly caused loss of life or harm to persons?</p> <p>12 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I'm reading off the terms of reference. Those injunctions are that of the Commission. It is the Commission that is to make those findings and make those recommendations.</p> <p>16 MR BIZOS SC: I don't understand the objection, Mr Chairman. What is it that my friend is objecting to? I am asking whether anyone at the meeting in Potchefstroom raised the question whether any acts or omissions so that we can report to the Commission. It is our duty to report it as his predecessors in the witness box said that this was the purpose of exhibit L, to inform the Commission. What is my learned friend's objection?</p> <p>24 MR SEMENYA SC: After that way there is no objection, after the previous way there was an</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9130</p> <p>1 objection, Chair.</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: His objecting by reformulating your questions, let's carry on. Mr Bizos.</p> <p>4 MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairman, I wasn't going to - I must object, Mr Chairman, to these questions which are really, really -</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: You can't object to an objection. You asked a question, Mr Semenya objected, you then reformulated your question and he said his objection falls away. Let's get on with the case and not argue objection's objections because otherwise you'll objections to objections to objections and that will waste time.</p> <p>13 Let's just carry -</p> <p>14 MR BIZOS SC: I would with the greatest respect submit that this interruption was not a genuine objection, Mr Chairman, my learned friend must be a little patient with the questions. They are not to be judged by him with respect. That's all I want to say, I find it disruptive but I will try and keep onto the straight and narrow path.</p> <p>21 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure you will, Mr Bizos.</p> <p>23 MR BIZOS SC: The question is did anybody say look at the terms of reference, the Commission wants to know whether or not we committed any act or make any</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9129</p> <p>1 African Police Services, SAPS, in particular whether by act or omission or directly or indirectly caused loss of life or harm to person or property." Were you aware of that term of reference to the Commission whilst you were meeting at Potchefstroom?</p> <p>6 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Ek is bewus daarvan, Voorsitter.</p> <p>8 MR BIZOS SC: Is there anything in exhibit L that you prepared which deals with whether by act or omission it indirectly or indirectly caused loss of life or harm to persons?</p> <p>12 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I'm reading off the terms of reference. Those injunctions are that of the Commission. It is the Commission that is to make those findings and make those recommendations.</p> <p>16 MR BIZOS SC: I don't understand the objection, Mr Chairman. What is it that my friend is objecting to? I am asking whether anyone at the meeting in Potchefstroom raised the question whether any acts or omissions so that we can report to the Commission. It is our duty to report it as his predecessors in the witness box said that this was the purpose of exhibit L, to inform the Commission. What is my learned friend's objection?</p> <p>24 MR SEMENYA SC: After that way there is no objection, after the previous way there was an</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9131</p> <p>1 omissions which led to loss of life? Did anybody ask that question?</p> <p>3 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die terms of reference was voorgehou, die pertinente vrag was nie so uit een gesit soos mnr Bizos dit nou stel nie.</p> <p>6 MR BIZOS SC: What question was asked about how can we - what do we have to disclose to the Commission in relation to our actions or our omissions which may have led to loss of life? What question was put in relation to that matter, General?</p> <p>11 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ons het die feite soos bekend aan die SAPD.</p> <p>13 MR BIZOS SC: I'm sorry to interrupt, General, the question was did anybody ask that question in those words or substantially similar phrases?</p> <p>16 CHAIRPERSON: I thought he said no to that. I thought he answered that question in the negative. Am I wrong? Well let's see. Did anybody ask that question in terms at the Potch conference?</p> <p>20 GENERAAL-MAJOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek het geantwoord dat ons - die terms of reference was voorgehou, die betrokke vraag was nie so daarna gestel nie. Ek het dit so geantwoord.</p> <p>24 MR BIZOS SC: Please tell us the words that were used which were different to my words in relation</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9132</p> <p>1 to whether or not a question was asked have we committed 2 any act, have we omitted to perform any act which will be 3 of assistance to the Commission? That is the question. I 4 don't mind the phraseology. The substance of the question, 5 did anybody ask it?</p> <p>6 [15:36] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 7 die enigste manier hoe ek kan antwoord is dat ons 'n 8 samestelling van die feite soos bekend aan ons, wat 9 ingesluit het dokumente – het ons saamgestel.</p> <p>10 MR BIZOS SC: I ask for a ruling to the 11 witness to answer the question.</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I think he does answer 13 the question, he's still busy answering it. We won't know 14 till he's finished if he's actually answered it completely. 15 So let him carry on.</p> <p>16 MR BIZOS SC: - are known to them, Mr 17 Chairman.</p> <p>18 CHAIRPERSON: I think Mr Bizos's problem 19 is you simply gave us facts. You didn't set out points, of 20 criticism, things that could have been done, that weren't 21 done, omissions were made and so on, and I understood from 22 Mr Semenza that in due course a document covering those 23 matters will be put before the Commission. I think what Mr 24 Bizos is about, is why, when this document was prepared, 25 didn't it also deal with aspects of that kind. Why did it</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9134</p> <p>1 Chairperson, the you is it used in its plural or singular 2 form?</p> <p>3 CHAIRPERSON: Did thou approve of. 4 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 5 bewysstuk L is nie deur 'n individu pertinent afgeteken 6 nie. Dit was die eindproduk van Potchefstroom waar daar 7 kollektief deur 'n verskeidenheid van polisie senior 8 offisiere besluit was dat dit die beste is wat die Suid- 9 Afrikaanse polisie diens kan, en die mees omvattende hoe 10 ons dit kan oordra, die feite aan ons bekend.</p> <p>11 CHAIRPERSON: In the most comprehensive 12 way possible.</p> <p>13 MR BIZOS SC: Did you, yourself, draw 14 attention to the fact that there was nothing in Exhibit L 15 that would help the Commission come to the conclusion as to 16 whether or not there were any acts or omissions?</p> <p>17 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 18 nee, dis darem nie waar nie.</p> <p>19 MR BIZOS SC: Directly or indirectly 20 caused loss of life or harm to persons or property. Did 21 you read it? Did you approve of it, despite the absence of 22 dealing with what the president wanted to know?</p> <p>23 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 24 nee, die bewysstuk L is deurspek na verwysings in terme van 25 handelinge deur die polisie.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9133</p> <p>1 confine itself to the facts as you describe it? Is that 2 basically your point, Mr Bizos?</p> <p>3 MR BIZOS SC: I would have expected, Mr 4 Chairman, the General to say here the president is asking 5 this question. What is our answer? This is my question, 6 Mr Chairman.</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Now, who was the 8 chairperson – you did tell us before, but I've forgotten, 9 who was the chairperson at this conference?</p> <p>10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit was 'n 11 Brigadier Van Graan voorsitter.</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: He is the one who should 13 have asked that question, if it was going to be asked at 14 all, is that right?</p> <p>15 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 16 ek het dit so verduidelik, ja, dat ek en Generaal Naidoo en 17 Generaal Mpembe en Generaal Mbombo was nie eers deurentyd 18 teenwoordig nie. So hy, die verwagting was op hom om die 19 verrigtinge te lei. En die benadering was gewees as ons 20 die feite op die tafel sit, dan sal die Kommissie besluit 21 of daar enige iets is wat ons gedoen het of moes gedoen 22 het, of nie gedoen het.</p> <p>23 MR BIZOS SC: Did you approve of exhibit 24 L?</p> <p>25 MR MAHLANGU: The question is,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9135</p> <p>1 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 2 elke verwysing wat daar is in terme van die plan, in terme 3 van die ontplooiing van hulpbronne is sekerlik verwysings na 4 handelinge of dan acts.</p> <p>5 MR BIZOS SC: General, we are going to 6 argue that despite our efforts to get you to give us 7 straight answer, we are going to submit that you and the 8 senior officers ignored a fundamental request by the 9 president as to what he wanted, particularly from SAPS.</p> <p>10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 11 ek hoor dat die advokaat dit gaan argumenteer, ek kan net 12 weereens verwys na die getuienis wat gelewer is en dat 13 pertinente feite daargestel is en so geopenbaar is, en dat 14 sodanige feite, soos dit neergesit is, kan dui op of dit 15 dan handelinge is of die afwesigheid van sodanige 16 handelinge, hetsy dit direk of indirek geleei het tot 17 verlies van lewens, en ek het ook verwys dat die Kommissie 18 is 'n objektiewe gesagsliggaam.</p> <p>19 MNR MAHLANGU: As u kan net herhaal?</p> <p>20 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: En ek het ook 21 verwys dat die kommissie word geag as 'n liggaam wat 22 objektief kan kyk na die pertinente feite soos wat dit daar 23 gestel is.</p> <p>24 MR BIZOS SC: Let's leave Potchefstroom 25 and come to these proceedings. If I understood the</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9136</p> <p>1 chairman correctly, you were asked in different words 2 whether looking into the future – I'm hoping that I am 3 correctly summarising the chairman's question to you – were 4 there things that have to be done in the future in order to 5 avoid the catastrophic event – he didn't use the word, it's 6 fine – of what happened in Marikana? Do you recall that 7 question to that effect?</p> <p>8 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 9 ek kan onthou dat die voorsitter my gevra het of die 10 polisie nou gaan wag totdat die uitslag van die Kommissie 11 eers bekend is voordat ons enige stappe gaan neem in terme 12 van aspekte wat duidelik moet aangespreek word.</p> <p>13 MR BIZOS SC: Your response was 14 particularly limited, we'll submit, your response was 15 particularly limited. You dealt at some length about 16 better batteries.</p> <p>17 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 18 dis nie waar nie. Dit is verseker nie waar nie. 19 Voorsitter, tydens my getuienis wat geleei was sal u onthou 20 deur Adv Semenza, vir my 'n vraag gevra het en dit het 21 verwys na die video opnames en toe het ek, met spesifieke 22 besonderhede, het ek verwys na die proses en die geld wat 23 ek reeds beskikbaar gestel het.</p> <p>24 Voorsitter, ek het ook op 'n direkte vraag van u 25 aan my rondom radio kommunikasie, Voorsitter, ek het verder</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9138</p> <p>1 executing authority wat daargestel is om te kyk na die 2 implementering van 'n wye verskeidenheid aspekte, sommige 3 wat ek vermeld en ander wat nog nie vermeld is nie.</p> <p>4 MR MAHLANGU: Chairperson, I ask for 5 assistance here, the last part.</p> <p>6 CHAIRPERSON: He refers to an executing 7 committee which is apparently going to be involved in 8 dealing with suggestions for the future. Some of which 9 have been mentioned already and some of which have not yet 10 been mentioned. I think that's what he said.</p> <p>11 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 12 ek het verder ook verwys na die Nasionale Mobiele Reserwe 13 eenheid wat ons reeds een gevestig het in Pretoria.</p> <p>14 [15:56] Voorsitter, ek het verwys na die werkstudie 15 verslag wat ondersoek doen na die bereiding van die 16 bestaande 28 eenhede na soveel as 37 eenhede van openbare 17 order polisiëring landbou.</p> <p>18 CHAIRPERSON: The work study I think, we 19 are dealing with the expansion of the, it must be 18 POP.</p> <p>20 MR MAHLANGU: It's 21.</p> <p>21 CHAIRPERSON: Have I got the numbers 22 right.</p> <p>23 MR MAHLANGU: From 21.</p> <p>24 CHAIRPERSON: 28 or 37 units.</p> <p>25 MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairman, with the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9137</p> <p>1 het ek toe verwys in terme van dat die polisie reeds besig 2 is, ons het besef die beperkings van die analog. Ek het 3 verwys na die geweldige kostes en ek het konserwatief 4 verwys na 600 miljoen. Dit is per provinsie, ek het 5 lateraan verneem dat dit waarskynlik R9 biljoen rand kan 6 wees om die hele land van 'n digitale netwerk te voorsien. 7 Voorsitter, ek het ook verwys na die behoefte wat ons het 8 om thermiese beeldvorming kameras op elke helikopter van 9 die Suid-Afrikaanse polisie diens te installeer.</p> <p>10 Voorsitter, ek het verder verwys na die behoefte 11 van addisionele pertinente praktiese opleiding, simulasie 12 opleiding, en die gebruik van gevalle studies in sodanige 13 opleiding. Voorsitter, ek het verder ook verwys na die 14 leemtes wat ons het in terme van die akademies, die 15 opleidingsterrein wat ons 'n praktiese omgewing daar te 16 stel wat ons sodanige praktiese opleiding kan doen.</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: I think he said a practical 18 environment in which practical training could be given. If 19 that – I see his nodding his head, he agrees with my 20 translation.</p> <p>21 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek. 22 CHAIRPERSON: I think practical and 23 academic training.</p> <p>24 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 25 ek het ook verwys na die uitvoerende komitee of die</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9139</p> <p>1 greatest respect. I didn't ask for a catalogue of what the 2 police are doing about the future. The question was did 3 they have regard to what is expected from them for, in the 4 terms of reference whether by act or omission it directly 5 or directly cause loss of life or harm to persons or 6 property.</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, you've dealt with 8 that already. You then said to him that when you asked 9 about the future, you only basically spoke about batteries 10 and then he then said no, no, no I didn't, then you're not 11 right and then he gave a long list -</p> <p>12 MR BIZOS SC: I am sorry -</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry can I, I've been 14 looking for an opportunity to stop him to say look we don't 15 really need to repeat your evidence, it's enough for you to 16 deal with the suggestion that you dealt with -</p> <p>17 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.</p> <p>18 CHAIRPERSON: But I think we've now 19 reached stage where we now know that he didn't only deal 20 with batteries. But I see it's now -</p> <p>21 MR BIZOS SC: Now, Mr Chairman, I'm two 22 or three questions -</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: Alright.</p> <p>24 MR BIZOS SC: To finish with this 25 witness.</p>

	Page 9140		Page 9142
1	CHAIRPERSON: Alright.		1 first one. I'm not sure -
2	MR BIZOS SC: And what I want to say in		2 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.
3	response that I was going to stop him when he told us about		3 CHAIRPERSON: The witness answered it,
4	the batteries and I was going to ask him to concentrate		4 did he?
5	whether they acknowledge any act or omission directly or		5 MR BIZOS SC: Was there, have you or are
6	indirectly caused the tragedy, Mr Chairman.		6 you prepared to make known any act or omission that had
7	CHAIRPERSON: You were going to ask him		7 occurred before the tragedy? To admit to any act or
8	that, now you've now mentioned that, what he says about it.		8 omission that led to the loss of life on the 16th?
9	MR BIZOS SC: Yes, yes because I didn't		9 CHAIRPERSON: Let me stop, well I take it
10	want to interrupt him of repeating himself with this long		10 you mean it's not dealt with exhibit L, exhibit L tells us
11	catalogue of what they have done in the immediate past but		11 what happened or the police say happened it explains how a
12	I think the question -		12 number of people were shot and died and so on. So -
13	CHAIRPERSON: I understand the reason for		13 MR BIZOS SC: I'm not talking about the
14	your forbearance.		14 historical events in exhibit L, Mr Chairman.
15	MR BIZOS SC: Yes.		15 CHAIRPERSON: No I wasn't saying, so your
16	CHAIRPERSON: But you've now phrased the		16 question relates to acts or omissions which were not
17	question that -		17 covered by exhibit L?
18	MR BIZOS SC: Yes.		18 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON: That you're proposing to		19 CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
20	ask him.		20 MR BIZOS SC: Any omission directly or
21	MR BIZOS SC: Yes.		21 indirectly or any act or omission directly or indirectly
22	CHAIRPERSON: Let's, if he's heard you		22 that caused the loss of life on the 16th. Is there any
23	let's see if he can answer it.		23 admission that you are prepared to make that the South
24	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,		24 African Police made the following mistake in doing this or
25	ek wil net eers voordat ek by die vraag uitkom, ek wil dit		25 not doing that.

	Page 9141		Page 9143
1	op rekord plaas dat ek nog nie met die hele lys gedeel het		1 CHAIRPERSON: The question's been changed
2	en Advokate Bizos het pertinent gesê dat met die		2 but you may as well the answer the changed question, while
3	uitsondering soos u gemeld het van die batterye wil ek net		3 you're about it.
4	weereens sê ek het nie ? geleentheid gehad nog om die		4 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
5	volledige lys op die tafel te sit.		5 ek as individu nie bewus van enige handeling of nie
6	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Bizos, I		6 handeling deur die Suid Afrikaanse Polisie Diens wat gelei
7	understand that but I think most of the things you've been		7 het tot die sterftes of beserings nie.
8	telling us now you did tell us before and we also, you'll		8 MR BIZOS SC: Not to prepared to admit -
9	remember that Mr Semenza said that in due course the police		9 CHAIRPERSON: In context it's, he used,
10	would provide us with a complete list. So I don't know if,		10 you must remember he answered the question by talking about
11	I know it's not, I don't think unnecessary, sorry I don't		11 a mistake which the police service may had, so he wasn't
12	think it's necessary for you to give us a complete list		12 just in broad sense talking acts or omissions but he then
13	now, you gave us most, most if not all of the stuff before,		13 qualified the question to say are you aware of any mistake
14	we're going to get a complete list to Mr Semenza. You've		14 whether by act or omission made by the members of the South
15	been able to refute quite convincingly the suggestion that		15 African Police Service which led to the death, is that a
16	you concentrated on batteries and what you said earlier.		16 fair summary.
17	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dankie,		17 MR BIZOS SC: That is the question, Mr
18	Voorsitter. Dan – ek aanvaar dit so. As ek net die vraag		18 Chairman.
19	weer kan kry.		19 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
20	MR BIZOS SC: Did you -		20 ek het my getuenis verwys met die voordeel van
21	CHAIRPERSON: The interpreter has got to		21 nabetrugting dat ek sou graag wou 'n gebied daar gestel het
22	interpret Mr Bizos. Mr Bizos, you said you had three		22 so wat die polisie dan heeltemal so omring deur
23	questions		23 lemmetjiesdraad en weereens met die voordeel van
24	MR BIZOS SC: Yes.		24 nabetrugting wat ons nou weet, dat ons meer gepanserde
25	CHAIRPERSON: I think you've asked the		25 voertuie sou beskikbaar gehad het. As dit na sou verwys

	Page 9144		Page 9146
1 word as 'n fout wat ons gemaak het dan is dit so, maar op		1 nabetrating or hindsight one must remember the relevance	
2 daai stadium het ons geglo dat ons voldoende maatreëls in		2 of it. Firstly to tell us actually what happened in the	
3 werkung gestel het.		3 light of what we know later and also to focus questions in	
4 CHAIRPERSON: I fear we're going to have		4 relation to what was not foreseen but actually happened to	
5 to continue with this discussion tomorrow. But –		5 see whether it was foreseeable. So that's something that	
6 MR BIZOS SC: I don't want to forsake my		6 we can possibly deal with later. I don't know if you want	
7 last question.		7 to say something about that before we adjourn.	
8 CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Let's hear what,		8 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek neem	
9 let's hear your last question.		9 kennis dan, Voorsitter.	
10 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.		10 MR BIZOS SC: I have no further	
11 CHAIRPERSON: Is this the last, last		11 questions, Mr Chair.	
12 question?		12 CHAIRPERSON: We will now take the	
13 MR BIZOS SC: Last question. It's		13 adjournment until 9:30 tomorrow morning.	
14 actually a comment and give him an opportunity. General,		14 [COMMISSION ADJOURNED]	
15 your reluctance to accept any responsibility for what		15 .	
16 happened on the 16th is an example of not allowing history		16 .	
17 to teach you that those who do not admit mistakes generally		17 .	
18 speaking are condemned to repeat them.		18 .	
19 CHAIRPERSON: Do you have any reply to		19 .	
20 that comment Mr Bizo made?		20 .	
21 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,		21 .	
22 ek het reeds gesê dat kollektief aanvaar die polisie		22 .	
23 aanspreeklikheid en pertinent in terme van enige handeling		23 .	
24 wat ek gedoen, Voorsitter, en dan verder is ek nie bewus		24 .	
25 nie 'n pertinente fout wat die polisie gedoen het of enige		25 .	
	Page 9145		
1 handeling of nie handeling wat geleid het tot die sterftes			
2 soos vermeld op die 16de nie.			
3 CHAIRPERSON: I am afraid that we're			
4 going to have to get you back tomorrow, General, I'm sorry			
5 but there are other people who are going to be cross-			
6 examining you I think. I'd just like to make one comment			
7 before we end today and that is, in the case of, while			
8 we're talking about it, the case of the role of hindsight,			
9 hindsight as I see it can operate at two levels. Hindsight			
10 teaches us the things we didn't know before because as we			
11 know hindsight is 20/20. But hindsight can be and one must			
12 be careful in judging whether the people made mistakes or			
13 not by being influenced to much by hindsight because			
14 obviously if it wasn't foreseeable by the people concerned			
15 then you can't blame them for something that only became			
16 apparent afterwards through hindsight. But hindsight can			
17 also help us to ask focused questions in relation to the,			
18 what we call the foreseeability aspect. Because if one			
19 knows what was not foreseen, if one knows through hindsight			
20 what went wrong but was not foreseen one is then helped to			
21 ask a focused question, namely should it have been			
22 foreseen, was it reasonable to expect it to be foreseen.			
23 But that's one of the questions that we have to think			
24 about. I'm not necessarily suggesting that you should give			
25 evidence about it but you know when one uses the expression			

14-40 9086:8 14:00 9058:3 14:01 9101:1 14:21 9108:10 14:41 9117:24 15 9098:23 15de 9049:14,21,23 9064:23 9116:24 15e 9123:17 15th 9058:14,22 9089:15 9090:12 9106:22,24 15:17 9125:1 15:36 9132:6 15:56 9138:14 16de 9047:23 9049:15 9051:9 9057:12 9107:2 9145:2 16th 9047:13 9048:4,22 9055:3,14 9082:8,17 9085:5 9086:18 9089:15 9090:14 9116:15 9119:15 9142:8,22 9144:16 17de 9128:6 170 9097:6 18 9138:19 182 9102:10 9104:3 183 9102:10 1992(3) 9108:16 1997 9038:1	<hr/> 4 9066:17 400 9096:5 9097:15 43 9108:16 44 9108:16 <hr/> 5 5 9039:18 9040:4 9060:10 9069:7 50 9087:16 9091:5 <hr/> 6 6 9036:1 9058:24 9059:6 9069:7 9089:19 9114:10,13 9114:17 6AM 9086:23 9087:11 600 9137:4 63 9086:19,20,21 9087:2,8 9089:12 9090:25 672 9059:21 674 9060:10 675 9058:19,25 9059:7 9066:15 676 9066:2 <hr/> 7 78 9055:13 9056:3 9057:14 <hr/> 8 8 9036:14,15 9069:6 9071:12 80's 9108:1 8336 9113:9 <hr/> 9 9 9036:15 9059:12 9:30 9114:17,21,23,23 9116:5 9117:8,14,14 9117:21 9146:13 90's 9108:1 911 9121:9 96 9080:25 97 9080:25 <hr/> 3 3 9059:20 9066:17 9068:13,14 9071:12 9077:25 9084:20 9085:22 9097:11 9115:3 9117:3,8 30 9096:22 9097:2,5 300 9096:5,25 9097:7 9097:15 360-grade 9092:22 37 9138:16,24 37D 9108:16		
<hr/> 4 			