RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE						
	INQUIRY					
IN TERMS OF SECTION 74(c) OF THE INCOME TAX ACT AND SECTION 57(c) OF THE VAT ACT						
	CASE NO 1018017					
	IN THE MATTER					
	SB BOSCH					
REPRESENTED BY:	VARIOUS					
	ВҮ					
	THE COMMISSIONER FOR THE					
SC	OUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE					
REPRESENTED BY:	MS C NAUDE					
ASSISTED BY:	MS D CHABEDI MS T PHEHANE					
INSTRUCTED BY:	MAHLANGU INCORPORATED Ref: Ms Nelia Wessels					
	CHAIRPERSON					
	ADV S HASIM SC					
	HELD ON					
DAY 8	26 NOV 2012 PAGES 463 TO 655					
	HELD AT					
MacRobe	MacRoberts Inc, cnr Duncan & Charles Streets, Brooklyn, Pretoria					
	© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS					

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: realtime@pixie.co.za ARCHIVE FOR Web Address: http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278



Marikana Commission of Inquiry

	D		De ve. 0120
1	Page 2130 [PROCEEDINGS ON 26 NOVEMBER 2012]	1	Page 2132 theory followed by a written test as well as a competency
2	[10:04] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	2	test about the work completed.
3	Brigadier – sorry, Warrant Officer – sorry, I promoted you	3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: How long does the
4	for a moment. Warrant Officer, I must remind you that	4	training last?
5	you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you said you had	5	W/O WESSELS: To be qualified as a
6	some questions to ask the Warrant Officer.	6	forensic ballistics specialist it normally takes in the
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC:	7	region of three years.
8	Indeed, Mr Chairman, thank you.	8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Can I then assume that
9	Brigadier, I just want to take you through	9	it would have taken that nm of years to get your
10	Exhibit II, your – I think it's your statement or a CV.	10	qualification or for you to be able to say that is an area
11	Have you got that? You've got that in front of you?	11	also in which you have some expertise?
12	W/O WESSELS: I do, yes.	12	W/O WESSELS: That would be correct.
13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. I just want to	13	CHAIRPERSON: I see from your CV you also
14	make sure, you mentioned that pyrotechnics and teargas are	14	have an advanced certificate in forensic criminalistics
15	not included in this CV, is that right?	15	from the University of South Africa. Was that extra
16	W/O WESSELS: That is correct.	16	training or is that the same training that you've been
17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: By the way, just to make	17	referring to?
18	sure that we are on the same page, what does - what do, or	18	W/O WESSELS: That is extra training, Mr
19	what does pyrotechnics involve? What is it all about?	19	Chairman.
20	W/O WESSELS: Pyrotechnics are, normally	20	CHAIRPERSON: How long did that take?
21	falls the Explosives Act. They unfortunately do not fall	21	W/O WESSELS: That was a two year course,
22	under our area of expertise.	22	Mr Chairman.
23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right.	23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would it then, apropos
24	CHAIRPERSON: I think what Mr Ntsebeza	24	to 2.18, it would then be safe to say that you are fully
25	wants to know from you is, what are pyrotechnics?	25	versed with the way that firearms are manufactured and with
	Page 2131		Page 2133
1	W/O WESSELS: Pyrotechnics would be the	1	the way in which their components are manufactured?
~			
2	teargas canisters, the stun grenades and those types of	2	W/O WESSELS: I have seen examples of how
2	teargas canisters, the stun grenades and those types of devices.		W/O WESSELS: I have seen examples of how certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that
		2	
3	devices.	2 3	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that
3 4	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that	2 3 4	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes
3 4 5	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics?	2 3 4 5	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly
3 4 5 6	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every
3 4 5 6 7	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of	2 3 4 5 6 7	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining
3 4 5 6 7 8	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the explosives side of things and you will need somebody from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components, qualifies you to know what kind of firearms
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the explosives side of things and you will need somebody from the explosives section to be able to answer any questions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components, qualifies you to know what kind of firearms have been manufactured, you would able to distinguish
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the explosives side of things and you will need somebody from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components, qualifies you to know what kind of firearms have been manufactured, you would able to distinguish between firearm A and firearm B and how that firearm has
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the explosives side of things and you will need somebody from the explosives section to be able to answer any questions about those. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Now, you say in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components, qualifies you to know what kind of firearms have been manufactured, you would able to distinguish between firearm A and firearm B and how that firearm has been manufactured and why it was manufactured, because of
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the explosives side of things and you will need somebody from the explosives section to be able to answer any questions about those. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Now, you say in Exhibit II that you've received in-service training and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components, qualifies you to know what kind of firearms have been manufactured, you would able to distinguish between firearm A and firearm B and how that firearm has been manufactured and why it was manufactured, because of your qualification in that respect? I see you pause.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the explosives side of things and you will need somebody from the explosives section to be able to answer any questions about those. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Now, you say in Exhibit II that you've received in-service training and then you list the areas. In 2.1.8 you talk about different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components, qualifies you to know what kind of firearms have been manufactured, you would able to distinguish between firearm A and firearm B and how that firearm has been manufactured and why it was manufactured, because of your qualification in that respect? I see you pause. W/O WESSELS: I am not quite following
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the explosives side of things and you will need somebody from the explosives section to be able to answer any questions about those. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Now, you say in Exhibit II that you've received in-service training and then you list the areas. In 2.1.8 you talk about different manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components, qualifies you to know what kind of firearms have been manufactured, you would able to distinguish between firearm A and firearm B and how that firearm has been manufactured and why it was manufactured, because of your qualification in that respect? I see you pause. W/O WESSELS: I am not quite following you on the question, sir, I've lost you.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the explosives side of things and you will need somebody from the explosives section to be able to answer any questions about those. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Now, you say in Exhibit II that you've received in-service training and then you list the areas. In 2.1.8 you talk about different manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components. W/O WESSELS: That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components, qualifies you to know what kind of firearms have been manufactured, you would able to distinguish between firearm A and firearm B and how that firearm has been manufactured and why it was manufactured, because of your qualification in that respect? I see you pause. W/O WESSELS: I am not quite following you on the question, sir, I've lost you. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, you're free to say
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the explosives side of things and you will need somebody from the explosives section to be able to answer any questions about those. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Now, you say in Exhibit II that you've received in-service training and then you list the areas. In 2.1.8 you talk about different manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components. W/O WESSELS: Now when you say you've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components, qualifies you to know what kind of firearms have been manufactured, you would able to distinguish between firearm A and firearm B and how that firearm has been manufactured and why it was manufactured, because of your qualification in that respect? I see you pause. W/O WESSELS: I am not quite following you on the question, sir, I've lost you. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, you're free to say so. Now are you able, because of this course 2.18, to say
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the explosives side of things and you will need somebody from the explosives section to be able to answer any questions about those. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Now, you say in Exhibit II that you've received in-service training and then you list the areas. In 2.1.8 you talk about different manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components. W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now when you say you've had in-service training, what does that kind of training	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components, qualifies you to know what kind of firearms have been manufactured, you would able to distinguish between firearm A and firearm B and how that firearm has been manufactured and why it was manufactured, because of your qualification in that respect? I see you pause. W/O WESSELS: I am not quite following you on the question, sir, I've lost you. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, you're free to say so. Now are you able, because of this course 2.18, to say what kind of firearm has been manufactured, where and how?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the explosives side of things and you will need somebody from the explosives section to be able to answer any questions about those. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Now, you say in Exhibit II that you've received in-service training and then you list the areas. In 2.1.8 you talk about different manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components. W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now when you say you've had in-service training, what does that kind of training entail?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components, qualifies you to know what kind of firearms have been manufactured, you would able to distinguish between firearm A and firearm B and how that firearm has been manufactured and why it was manufactured, because of your qualification in that respect? I see you pause. W/O WESSELS: I am not quite following you on the question, sir, I've lost you. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, you're free to say so. Now are you able, because of this course 2.18, to say what kind of firearm has been manufactured, where and how? W/O WESSELS: If I understand you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the explosives side of things and you will need somebody from the explosives section to be able to answer any questions about those. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Now, you say in Exhibit II that you've received in-service training and then you list the areas. In 2.1.8 you talk about different manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components. W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now when you say you've had in-service training, what does that kind of training entail? W/O WESSELS: There is a specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components, qualifies you to know what kind of firearms have been manufactured, you would able to distinguish between firearm A and firearm B and how that firearm has been manufactured and why it was manufactured, because of your qualification in that respect? I see you pause. W/O WESSELS: I am not quite following you on the question, sir, I've lost you. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, you're free to say so. Now are you able, because of this course 2.18, to say what kind of firearm has been manufactured, where and how? W/O WESSELS: If I understand you correctly, I can tell you where, who manufactured a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the explosives side of things and you will need somebody from the explosives section to be able to answer any questions about those. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Now, you say in Exhibit II that you've received in-service training and then you list the areas. In 2.1.8 you talk about different manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components. W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now when you say you've had in-service training, what does that kind of training entail? W/O WESSELS: There is a specific workplace skills programme consisting of specific modules	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components, qualifies you to know what kind of firearms have been manufactured, you would able to distinguish between firearm A and firearm B and how that firearm has been manufactured and why it was manufactured, because of your qualification in that respect? I see you pause. W/O WESSELS: I am not quite following you on the question, sir, I've lost you. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, you're free to say so. Now are you able, because of this course 2.18, to say what kind of firearm has been manufactured, where and how? W/O WESSELS: If I understand you correctly, I can tell you where, who manufactured a specific firearm and where it would've been manufactured.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	devices. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is your evidence that you are not trained in pyrotechnics? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you aware of any of your colleagues who are? W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately nobody at the ballistics section as such receives any training in pyrotechnics. As I said, it falls more under the explosives side of things and you will need somebody from the explosives section to be able to answer any questions about those. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Now, you say in Exhibit II that you've received in-service training and then you list the areas. In 2.1.8 you talk about different manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components. W/O WESSELS: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now when you say you've had in-service training, what does that kind of training entail? W/O WESSELS: There is a specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	certain parts and certain firearms are manufactured so that we have a good basic working knowledge of the processes followed. Unfortunately it's not possible to know exactly how every part is made or how, specificity from every specific firearm. We get training in the basic machining skills and how they are performed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it safe to say that your knowledge gained in three years of being involved in the manufacturing processes of firearms and firearm components, qualifies you to know what kind of firearms have been manufactured, you would able to distinguish between firearm A and firearm B and how that firearm has been manufactured and why it was manufactured, because of your qualification in that respect? I see you pause. W/O WESSELS: I am not quite following you on the question, sir, I've lost you. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, you're free to say so. Now are you able, because of this course 2.18, to say what kind of firearm has been manufactured, where and how? W/O WESSELS: If I understand you correctly, I can tell you where, who manufactured a

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

		1	
	Page 2134		Page 2136
1	won't be able to tell you all the specific machining	1	manufacturing plants, would it be correct to say that you
2	operations that were done. I can, however, say what the	2	would be told why different kinds of firearms and
3	basic type of machining processes would have probably been	3	ammunition are manufactured? Would it typically be
4	followed, if that answers your question.	4	something where you say no, this kind of firearm is A, B,
5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. You see, when	5	C, D, these are the purposes for which it is manufactured?
6	you say there are different manufacturing processes of	6	W/O WESSELS: I'd say yes, both yes and
7	firearms, am I to understand by that that your course	7	no.
8	entails a practical side of it where you are taken to a	8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Let's start with the
9	manufacturing plant to see how firearms are manufactured or	9	yes.
10	am I overstating it?	10	W/O WESSELS: Certain models, where they
11	W/O WESSELS: We are taken to one or two	11	manufacture certain models they would give you the
12	different factories where they actually manufacture and we	12	practical applications or what the firearm is intended to
13	are able to observe some of the processes. Of course, due	13	be used for, but these could include a variety of reasons.
14	to time restraints you can't always see all the exact	14	It also depends on the specific firearm that was made.
15	manufacturing processes followed but we are given a basic	15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, we'll come back to
16	knowledge and are shown how the machines work, what the	16	the weapons at a later stage. Let me just now go back to
17	machines actually do and we observe some of the parts being	17	page 1 of Exhibit II. Now, in 2.1.11 you indicate your
18	made during the process.	18	level of expertise in the reloading of ammunition and the
19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. I see for	19	manufacturing process of ammunition. Now we've dealt with
20	instance in that same exhibit under 2.6 you do indicate	20	the manufacturing process but the reloading of ammunition,
21	that you visited the following firearm and ammunition	21	what does that entail and why is it an area of expertise
22	manufacturers to ascertain the processes that are used in	22	that you must have?
23	the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. Is that what	23	W/O WESSELS: Sir, the reloading of
24	you mean?	24	ammunition is exactly what it states there. It is by re-
25	W/O WESSELS: That is correct.	25	making use of a fired cartridge case by resizing it and
		_	
<u> </u>	Page 2135		Page 2137
1	Page 2135 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been	1	Page 2137 pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired
1	5	1	5
	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been		pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired
2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in	2	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In
2 3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition.	2 3	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant
2 3 4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct.	2 3 4	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a
2 3 4 5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different	2 3 4 5	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific
2 3 4 5 6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition?	2 3 4 5 6	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is.	2 3 4 5 6 7	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words at Lyttelton Engineering Works in Pretoria, typically what would you have then gone there to observe as part of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12, short range determination, now what does that entail?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words at Lyttelton Engineering Works in Pretoria, typically what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12, short range determination, now what does that entail? W/O WESSELS: That would entail determining a possible distance bracket from where a shot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words at Lyttelton Engineering Works in Pretoria, typically what would you have then gone there to observe as part of the process of being trained in manufacturing of firearms and ammunition?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12, short range determination, now what does that entail? W/O WESSELS: That would entail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words at Lyttelton Engineering Works in Pretoria, typically what would you have then gone there to observe as part of the process of being trained in manufacturing of firearms and ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Lyttelton Engineering Works	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12, short range determination, now what does that entail? W/O WESSELS: That would entail determining a possible distance bracket from where a shot could have been fired, whether a distance from a target or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words at Lyttelton Engineering Works in Pretoria, typically what would you have then gone there to observe as part of the process of being trained in manufacturing of firearms and ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Lyttelton Engineering Works is where they manufacture firearms and firearm components.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12, short range determination, now what does that entail? W/O WESSELS: That would entail determining a possible distance bracket from where a shot could have been fired, whether a distance from a target or in certain cases also a direction from where the shot was fired.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words at Lyttelton Engineering Works in Pretoria, typically what would you have then gone there to observe as part of the process of being trained in manufacturing of firearms and ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Lyttelton Engineering Works is where they manufacture firearms and firearm components. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in 2.6.2, what is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12, short range determination, now what does that entail? W/O WESSELS: That would entail determining a possible distance bracket from where a shot could have been fired, whether a distance from a target or in certain cases also a direction from where the shot was fired. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Why is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words at Lyttelton Engineering Works in Pretoria, typically what would you have then gone there to observe as part of the process of being trained in manufacturing of firearms and ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Lyttelton Engineering Works is where they manufacture firearms and firearm components. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in 2.6.2, what is manufactured there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12, short range determination, now what does that entail? W/O WESSELS: That would entail determining a possible distance bracket from where a shot could have been fired, whether a distance from a target or in certain cases also a direction from where the shot was fired. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Why is that important? I can imagine why it is important but let me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words at Lyttelton Engineering Works in Pretoria, typically what would you have then gone there to observe as part of the process of being trained in manufacturing of firearms and ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Lyttelton Engineering Works is where they manufacture firearms and firearm components. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in 2.6.2, what is manufactured there? W/O WESSELS: Somchem is where they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12, short range determination, now what does that entail? W/O WESSELS: That would entail determining a possible distance bracket from where a shot could have been fired, whether a distance from a target or in certain cases also a direction from where the shot was fired. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Why is that important? I can imagine why it is important but let me get a sense from an expert.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words at Lyttelton Engineering Works in Pretoria, typically what would you have then gone there to observe as part of the process of being trained in manufacturing of firearms and ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Lyttelton Engineering Works is where they manufacture firearms and firearm components. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in 2.6.2, what is manufactured there? W/O WESSELS: Somchem is where they manufacture most of the propellant powder used inside the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12, short range determination, now what does that entail? W/O WESSELS: That would entail determining a possible distance bracket from where a shot could have been fired, whether a distance from a target or in certain cases also a direction from where the shot was fired. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Why is that important? I can imagine why it is important but let me get a sense from an expert. W/O WESSELS: It's normally to determine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words at Lyttelton Engineering Works in Pretoria, typically what would you have then gone there to observe as part of the process of being trained in manufacturing of firearms and ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Lyttelton Engineering Works is where they manufacture firearms and firearm components. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in 2.6.2, what is manufactured there? W/O WESSELS: Somchem is where they manufacture most of the propellant powder used inside the cartridge cases.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12, short range determination, now what does that entail? W/O WESSELS: That would entail determining a possible distance bracket from where a shot could have been fired, whether a distance from a target or in certain cases also a direction from where the shot was fired. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Why is that important? I can imagine why it is important but let me get a sense from an expert. W/O WESSELS: It's normally to determine whether or not the example or the occurrence of events did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words at Lyttelton Engineering Works in Pretoria, typically what would you have then gone there to observe as part of the process of being trained in manufacturing of firearms and ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Lyttelton Engineering Works is where they manufacture firearms and firearm components. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in 2.6.2, what is manufactured there? W/O WESSELS: Somchem is where they manufacture most of the propellant powder used inside the cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And at Swartklip, 2.6.3?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12, short range determination, now what does that entail? W/O WESSELS: That would entail determining a possible distance bracket from where a shot could have been fired, whether a distance from a target or in certain cases also a direction from where the shot was fired. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Why is that important? I can imagine why it is important but let me get a sense from an expert. W/O WESSELS: It's normally to determine whether or not the example or the occurrence of events did actually occur as stated by a witness or as it happened.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words at Lyttelton Engineering Works in Pretoria, typically what would you have then gone there to observe as part of the process of being trained in manufacturing of firearms and ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Lyttelton Engineering Works is where they manufacture firearms and firearm components. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in 2.6.2, what is manufactured there? W/O WESSELS: Somchem is where they manufacture most of the propellant powder used inside the cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And at Swartklip, 2.6.3? W/O WESSELS: Swartklip is where they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12, short range determination, now what does that entail? W/O WESSELS: That would entail determining a possible distance bracket from where a shot could have been fired, whether a distance from a target or in certain cases also a direction from where the shot was fired. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Why is that important? I can imagine why it is important but let me get a sense from an expert. W/O WESSELS: It's normally to determine whether or not the example or the occurrence of events did actually occur as stated by a witness or as it happened. So we would give evidence whether or not the shot was fired
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words at Lyttelton Engineering Works in Pretoria, typically what would you have then gone there to observe as part of the process of being trained in manufacturing of firearms and ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Lyttelton Engineering Works is where they manufacture firearms and firearm components. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in 2.6.2, what is manufactured there? W/O WESSELS: Somchem is where they manufacture most of the propellant powder used inside the cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And at Swartklip, 2.6.3? W/O WESSELS: Swartklip is where they mostly manufacture 22 long rifle cartridges as well as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12, short range determination, now what does that entail? W/O WESSELS: That would entail determining a possible distance bracket from where a shot could have been fired, whether a distance from a target or in certain cases also a direction from where the shot was fired. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Why is that important? I can imagine why it is important but let me get a sense from an expert. W/O WESSELS: It's normally to determine whether or not the example or the occurrence of events did actually occur as stated by a witness or as it happened. So we would give evidence whether or not the shot was fired from close range or whether it was fired from a distance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that would have been part of your training, the practical side of training in the manufacturing of firearms and ammunition. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now is there a different place for the manufacture of firearms to a place where you manufacture ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Yes, it normally is. MR NTSEBEZA SC: At 2.6.1, in other words at Lyttelton Engineering Works in Pretoria, typically what would you have then gone there to observe as part of the process of being trained in manufacturing of firearms and ammunition? W/O WESSELS: Lyttelton Engineering Works is where they manufacture firearms and firearm components. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in 2.6.2, what is manufactured there? W/O WESSELS: Somchem is where they manufacture most of the propellant powder used inside the cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And at Swartklip, 2.6.3? W/O WESSELS: Swartklip is where they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	pressing it to its original dimensions, replacing the fired primer with unfired primer, refilling it with a propellant charge and reseating a bullet of your specific choice. In that way you can custom manufacture ammunition to suit a specific firearm to give it optimal performance or to adapt the performance of that ammunition to a specific requirement. It's also mostly use as a cost saving measure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. And 2.1.12, short range determination, now what does that entail? W/O WESSELS: That would entail determining a possible distance bracket from where a shot could have been fired, whether a distance from a target or in certain cases also a direction from where the shot was fired. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Why is that important? I can imagine why it is important but let me get a sense from an expert. W/O WESSELS: It's normally to determine whether or not the example or the occurrence of events did actually occur as stated by a witness or as it happened. So we would give evidence whether or not the shot was fired

	Page 2138		Page 2140
1	the distance is either at short range or at long range?	1	CHAIRPERSON: Alternatively, if the
2	W/O WESSELS: Sorry sir, could you just	2	deceased had signs of tattooing and other marks of the kind
3	repeat the first part? I just didn't hear -	3	that you described in your evidence last week, that would
4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: From the point of view	4	serve to corroborate the version of the person who fired
5	or whether or not a fatality could occur, does it matter	5	the fatal shot.
6	whether the shot has been fired at the target at close	6	W/O WESSELS: That would be correct.
7	range or at long range?	7	CHAIRPERSON: Conversely, if it was
8	[10:24] W/O WESSELS: Not necessarily in the case	8	alleged that someone had been shot in cold blood, as it
9	of whether or not a fatality could occur, but in order to	9	were, by someone firing a firearm at him at point blank
10	determine if the version of happening of the event is	10	range, that story also could be verified or contradicted
11	correct, whether the shot was fired from extremely close	11	either by the presence of tattooing and other marks of the
12	range or whether the shot was fired from a further distance	12	kind you describe, which would support the story of a close
13	as stated by the witnesses.	13	range cold-blooded killing, or could be contradicted by the
14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, I'm seeking to	14	absence of marks of the kind you've described which
15	solicit your expertise for assumptions that I might make in	15	indicate that the fatal shot was fired from a considerable
16	my argument, so let me then put it this way. What are the	16	distance. Am I understanding that to be your evidence?
17	probabilities of a death occurring if, in respect of your	17	W/O WESSELS: That would be correct.
18	training which you received in determining the range of the	18	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
19	shot, if a person has been shot at close range or if a	19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now you – in Exhibit II
20	person has been shot at a longer range – just	20	on the following page under 2.5 firstly you talk about the
21	probabilities.	21	wounding effect that the bullet has on tissue. Do you see
22	W/O WESSELS: Sir, it actually does not	22	that?
23	depend on the range of the shot.	23	W/O WESSELS: That is correct.
24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see.	24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now can you just tell us
25	W/O WESSELS: It depends on where the	25	a little bit more about more about what is involved in your
	Page 2139		Page 2141
1	Page 2139 placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or	1	Page 2141 being trained as an expert in that regard?
1 2	•	1 2	-
	placement - a short distance shot to a lower extremity or		being trained as an expert in that regard?
2	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death	2 3	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in
2 3	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ.	2 3	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what
2 3 4	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me	2 3 4	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific
2 3 4 5	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where –	2 3 4 5	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a
2 3 4 5 6	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from	2 3 4 5 6	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether
2 3 4 5 6 7	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination?	2 3 4 5 6 7	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I then ask a question on that topic myself, seeing we're discussing it? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have encountered a number of these phrases in either the post- mortem reports or in the ballistic report that we have just recently received, about high velocity/low velocity. Can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I then ask a question on that topic myself, seeing we're discussing it? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. CHAIRPERSON: Would I be correct in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have encountered a number of these phrases in either the post- mortem reports or in the ballistic report that we have just recently received, about high velocity/low velocity. Can you just explain to a lay person what a higher velocity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I then ask a question on that topic myself, seeing we're discussing it? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have encountered a number of these phrases in either the post- mortem reports or in the ballistic report that we have just recently received, about high velocity/low velocity. Can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I then ask a question on that topic myself, seeing we're discussing it? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. CHAIRPERSON: Would I be correct in thinking that your expertise in shot range determination can help us in a couple of contexts? If it is alleged by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have encountered a number of these phrases in either the post- mortem reports or in the ballistic report that we have just recently received, about high velocity/low velocity. Can you just explain to a lay person what a higher velocity shot is? What does that mean and what does that entail and what does that tell us?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I then ask a question on that topic myself, seeing we're discussing it? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. CHAIRPERSON: Would I be correct in thinking that your expertise in shot range determination	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have encountered a number of these phrases in either the post- mortem reports or in the ballistic report that we have just recently received, about high velocity/low velocity. Can you just explain to a lay person what a higher velocity shot is? What does that mean and what does that entail and what does that tell us? W/O WESSELS: High velocity is normally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I then ask a question on that topic myself, seeing we're discussing it? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. CHAIRPERSON: Would I be correct in thinking that your expertise in shot range determination can help us in a couple of contexts? If it is alleged by someone who fired a shot that he was defending himself at close range because someone was approaching him with a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have encountered a number of these phrases in either the post- mortem reports or in the ballistic report that we have just recently received, about high velocity/low velocity. Can you just explain to a lay person what a higher velocity shot is? What does that mean and what does that entail and what does that tell us? W/O WESSELS: High velocity is normally associated with bullets fired from rifles. They have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I then ask a question on that topic myself, seeing we're discussing it? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. CHAIRPERSON: Would I be correct in thinking that your expertise in shot range determination can help us in a couple of contexts? If it is alleged by someone who fired a shot that he was defending himself at close range because someone was approaching him with a sharp weapon of some kind but you are able to ascertain,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have encountered a number of these phrases in either the post- mortem reports or in the ballistic report that we have just recently received, about high velocity/low velocity. Can you just explain to a lay person what a higher velocity shot is? What does that mean and what does that entail and what does that tell us? W/O WESSELS: High velocity is normally associated with bullets fired from rifles. They have a much higher velocity when leaving the firearm, discharge at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I then ask a question on that topic myself, seeing we're discussing it? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. CHAIRPERSON: Would I be correct in thinking that your expertise in shot range determination can help us in a couple of contexts? If it is alleged by someone who fired a shot that he was defending himself at close range because someone was approaching him with a sharp weapon of some kind but you are able to ascertain, using your expertise, that the shot fired which actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have encountered a number of these phrases in either the post- mortem reports or in the ballistic report that we have just recently received, about high velocity/low velocity. Can you just explain to a lay person what a higher velocity shot is? What does that mean and what does that entail and what does that tell us? W/O WESSELS: High velocity is normally associated with bullets fired from rifles. They have a much higher velocity and normally create much more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I then ask a question on that topic myself, seeing we're discussing it? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. CHAIRPERSON: Would I be correct in thinking that your expertise in shot range determination can help us in a couple of contexts? If it is alleged by someone who fired a shot that he was defending himself at close range because someone was approaching him with a sharp weapon of some kind but you are able to ascertain, using your expertise, that the shot fired which actually killed the alleged assailant was not fired at short range,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have encountered a number of these phrases in either the post- mortem reports or in the ballistic report that we have just recently received, about high velocity/low velocity. Can you just explain to a lay person what a higher velocity shot is? What does that mean and what does that entail and what does that tell us? W/O WESSELS: High velocity is normally associated with bullets fired from rifles. They have a much higher velocity and normally create much more extensive wound tracts than that fired from a handgun or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I then ask a question on that topic myself, seeing we're discussing it? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. CHAIRPERSON: Would I be correct in thinking that your expertise in shot range determination can help us in a couple of contexts? If it is alleged by someone who fired a shot that he was defending himself at close range because someone was approaching him with a sharp weapon of some kind but you are able to ascertain, using your expertise, that the shot fired which actually killed the alleged assailant was not fired at short range, at close range but from a distance of substantially more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have encountered a number of these phrases in either the post- mortem reports or in the ballistic report that we have just recently received, about high velocity/low velocity. Can you just explain to a lay person what a higher velocity shot is? What does that mean and what does that entail and what does that tell us? W/O WESSELS: High velocity is normally associated with bullets fired from rifles. They have a much higher velocity and normally create much more extensive wound tracts than that fired from a handgun or from a shotgun, which are normally classified as low
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I then ask a question on that topic myself, seeing we're discussing it? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. CHAIRPERSON: Would I be correct in thinking that your expertise in shot range determination can help us in a couple of contexts? If it is alleged by someone who fired a shot that he was defending himself at close range because someone was approaching him with a sharp weapon of some kind but you are able to ascertain, using your expertise, that the shot fired which actually killed the alleged assailant was not fired at short range, at close range but from a distance of substantially more than just a few metres, that would enable us to make a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have encountered a number of these phrases in either the post- mortem reports or in the ballistic report that we have just recently received, about high velocity/low velocity. Can you just explain to a lay person what a higher velocity shot is? What does that mean and what does that entail and what does that tell us? W/O WESSELS: High velocity is normally associated with bullets fired from rifles. They have a much higher velocity and normally create much more extensive wound tracts than that fired from a handgun or from a shotgun, which are normally classified as low velocity wounding or low velocity bullets as such.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I then ask a question on that topic myself, seeing we're discussing it? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. CHAIRPERSON: Would I be correct in thinking that your expertise in shot range determination can help us in a couple of contexts? If it is alleged by someone who fired a shot that he was defending himself at close range because someone was approaching him with a sharp weapon of some kind but you are able to ascertain, using your expertise, that the shot fired which actually killed the alleged assailant was not fired at short range, at close range but from a distance of substantially more than just a few metres, that would enable us to make a finding that the alleged killing in self-defence story	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have encountered a number of these phrases in either the post- mortem reports or in the ballistic report that we have just recently received, about high velocity/low velocity. Can you just explain to a lay person what a higher velocity shot is? What does that mean and what does that entail and what does that tell us? W/O WESSELS: High velocity is normally associated with bullets fired from rifles. They have a much higher velocity and normally create much more extensive wound tracts than that fired from a handgun or from a shotgun, which are normally classified as low velocity wounding or low velocity bullets as such. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in your training
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I then ask a question on that topic myself, seeing we're discussing it? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. CHAIRPERSON: Would I be correct in thinking that your expertise in shot range determination can help us in a couple of contexts? If it is alleged by someone who fired a shot that he was defending himself at close range because someone was approaching him with a sharp weapon of some kind but you are able to ascertain, using your expertise, that the shot fired which actually killed the alleged assailant was not fired at short range, at close range but from a distance of substantially more than just a few metres, that would enable us to make a finding that the alleged killing in self-defence story didn't stand up. Is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have encountered a number of these phrases in either the post- mortem reports or in the ballistic report that we have just recently received, about high velocity/low velocity. Can you just explain to a lay person what a higher velocity shot is? What does that mean and what does that entail and what does that tell us? W/O WESSELS: High velocity is normally associated with bullets fired from rifles. They have a much higher velocity and normally create much more extensive wound tracts than that fired from a handgun or from a shotgun, which are normally classified as low velocity wounding or low velocity bullets as such. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in your training about the wounding effect of a bullet, would that – and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	placement – a short distance shot to a lower extremity or to an extremity of the body is less likely to cause death than a shot fired from a distance to a vital organ. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Which then would take me to the second page of your exhibit, Exhibit II, where – CHAIRPERSON: Have you moved away from shot range determination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Will you forgive me if I then ask a question on that topic myself, seeing we're discussing it? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. CHAIRPERSON: Would I be correct in thinking that your expertise in shot range determination can help us in a couple of contexts? If it is alleged by someone who fired a shot that he was defending himself at close range because someone was approaching him with a sharp weapon of some kind but you are able to ascertain, using your expertise, that the shot fired which actually killed the alleged assailant was not fired at short range, at close range but from a distance of substantially more than just a few metres, that would enable us to make a finding that the alleged killing in self-defence story	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	being trained as an expert in that regard? W/O WESSELS: We are basically trained in the effect that the bullet as such has on the tissue, what causes the wounding and also what is the effect of specific factors upon the creation of wounds, also to determine to a certain extent, to look at the wound itself and see whether it was fired from high velocity or lower velocity. I hope that clarifies it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I mean we have encountered a number of these phrases in either the post- mortem reports or in the ballistic report that we have just recently received, about high velocity/low velocity. Can you just explain to a lay person what a higher velocity shot is? What does that mean and what does that entail and what does that tell us? W/O WESSELS: High velocity is normally associated with bullets fired from rifles. They have a much higher velocity and normally create much more extensive wound tracts than that fired from a handgun or from a shotgun, which are normally classified as low velocity wounding or low velocity bullets as such. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in your training

	Page 2142		Page 2144
1	I and the Chair have been asking you about the range –	1	you appreciate that?
2	would it make it possible for you to determine whether a	2	W/O WESSELS: I can understand that.
3	particular target, because of the wound you see, was shot	3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And that was why it was
4	at short range or at long range or doesn't it matter,	4	important for me to be able to find out from you whether it
5	doesn't the range determine the wounding effect of the	5	matters at all that targets may have been at short range or
6	ammunition?	6	may have been at a longer range. It seems to me from what
7	W/O WESSELS: Being closer towards the	7	you tell me, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, that it
8	firearm, which would entail that the velocity is as such	8	really doesn't matter whether you are 200 or 300 metres
9	higher, will tend to create a more severe wound. However,	9	away, depending on the range of the firearm, if the target
10	the velocity of rifle bullets do not – diminish so	10	hits you – I mean if you are the target and you are hit by
11	drastically that a longer distance shot up to 100 or 200	11	a particular kind of firearm discharging kind of
12	metres even, we can still ascertain that it was a high	12	ammunition, you are likely to die in much the same way as
13	velocity bullet that did the damage. There are actually –	13	you would have done if you were shot at 20 metres. Is that
14	the creation or the shape of the wound tract would not	14	your evidence?
15	necessarily give us a determination of distance. There are	15	W/O WESSELS: That would be correct, sir.
16	other factors that play a bigger part, such as the deposit	16	Again, as I stated before, more the placement of the bullet
17	of soot, the deposit of - or the absence or the presence of	17	or, sir, that the vital organs hit will be more indicative
18	tattooing, which would rather indicate distance and would	18	of whether or not your survive the shot than the distance
19	be a safer way to use or a safer method to use.	19	that the shot was fired.
20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now let's take for	20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just to eliminate all
21	instance an R5 rifle. Is your evidence that if a target	21	the other factors, is your evidence that if for instance
22	was in the kind of range that I am relevant to you, about	22	the target area of the body was the head, it would be - it
23	20 metres, that the wounding effect of a high velocity	23	would matter little if you were being shot at close range,
24	bullet at you would be the same if you were 200 to 300	24	20 metres, than if you were – or if you were shot at 300
25	metres away?	25	metres range? If you were being shot in the head with an
1	Page 2143 W/O WESSELS: At this distance, sir,	1	Page 2145 R5, with those bullets that go into an R5, it would matter
2	between 20 metres and 200 metres there wouldn't be a	2	little.
3	significant difference. It would also not really be able		
4		3	W/O WESSELS: That would be correct.
7	to determine that the shot was in a certain bracket. The	4	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a
5	really close range, and where we can determine range,	_	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor?
5 6	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's	4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be
5 6 7	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not	4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It
5 6 7 8	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the	4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head
5 6 7 8 9	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles	4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would
5 6 7 8 9 10	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of tattooing to determine a specific distance. I must also	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest, more gainfully asked of a doctor and I take it that one or
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of tattooing to determine a specific distance. I must also note that we cannot determine exactly up to specific metres	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest, more gainfully asked of a doctor and I take it that one or other of the doctors who did the post-mortems will be
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of tattooing to determine a specific distance. I must also note that we cannot determine exactly up to specific metres that the distance was, the shot was fired from, but we	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest, more gainfully asked of a doctor and I take it that one or other of the doctors who did the post-mortems will be available to give evidence if it's necessary.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of tattooing to determine a specific distance. I must also note that we cannot determine exactly up to specific metres that the distance was, the shot was fired from, but we would give a bracket saying it would be in between this and	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest, more gainfully asked of a doctor and I take it that one or other of the doctors who did the post-mortems will be available to give evidence if it's necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I was in a bit of a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of tattooing to determine a specific distance. I must also note that we cannot determine exactly up to specific metres that the distance was, the shot was fired from, but we would give a bracket saying it would be in between this and this distance, is what we would expect to find.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest, more gainfully asked of a doctor and I take it that one or other of the doctors who did the post-mortems will be available to give evidence if it's necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I was in a bit of a cleft stick here, Mr Chairman, because we have a witness
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of tattooing to determine a specific distance. I must also note that we cannot determine exactly up to specific metres that the distance was, the shot was fired from, but we would give a bracket saying it would be in between this and this distance, is what we would expect to find. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Perhaps you'll	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest, more gainfully asked of a doctor and I take it that one or other of the doctors who did the post-mortems will be available to give evidence if it's necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I was in a bit of a cleft stick here, Mr Chairman, because we have a witness here who talks about how he has studied the effect that the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of tattooing to determine a specific distance. I must also note that we cannot determine exactly up to specific metres that the distance was, the shot was fired from, but we would give a bracket saying it would be in between this and this distance, is what we would expect to find. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Perhaps you'll appreciate why I ask these questions. I represent the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest, more gainfully asked of a doctor and I take it that one or other of the doctors who did the post-mortems will be available to give evidence if it's necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I was in a bit of a cleft stick here, Mr Chairman, because we have a witness here who talks about how he has studied the effect that the bullet has on the target and all of that, so I just wanted
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of tattooing to determine a specific distance. I must also note that we cannot determine exactly up to specific metres that the distance was, the shot was fired from, but we would give a bracket saying it would be in between this and this distance, is what we would expect to find. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Perhaps you'll appreciate why I ask these questions. I represent the families of those who died on the 16th of August as a result	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest, more gainfully asked of a doctor and I take it that one or other of the doctors who did the post-mortems will be available to give evidence if it's necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I was in a bit of a cleft stick here, Mr Chairman, because we have a witness here who talks about how he has studied the effect that the bullet has on the target and all of that, so I just wanted to explore that aspect for a minute. Now, you were – and I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of tattooing to determine a specific distance. I must also note that we cannot determine exactly up to specific metres that the distance was, the shot was fired from, but we would give a bracket saying it would be in between this and this distance, is what we would expect to find. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Perhaps you'll appreciate why I ask these questions. I represent the families of those who died on the 16th of August as a result of gunshot wounds, in the main. Do you understand that?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest, more gainfully asked of a doctor and I take it that one or other of the doctors who did the post-mortems will be available to give evidence if it's necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I was in a bit of a cleft stick here, Mr Chairman, because we have a witness here who talks about how he has studied the effect that the bullet has on the target and all of that, so I just wanted to explore that aspect for a minute. Now, you were – and I don't intend to go there, in fact I won't go there – you
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of tattooing to determine a specific distance. I must also note that we cannot determine exactly up to specific metres that the distance was, the shot was fired from, but we would give a bracket saying it would be in between this and this distance, is what we would expect to find. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Perhaps you'll appreciate why I ask these questions. I represent the families of those who died on the 16th of August as a result of gunshot wounds, in the main. Do you understand that? W/O WESSELS: I understand.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest, more gainfully asked of a doctor and I take it that one or other of the doctors who did the post-mortems will be available to give evidence if it's necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I was in a bit of a cleft stick here, Mr Chairman, because we have a witness here who talks about how he has studied the effect that the bullet has on the target and all of that, so I just wanted to explore that aspect for a minute. Now, you were – and I don't intend to go there, in fact I won't go there – you were shown rather graphic pictures last week of what I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of tattooing to determine a specific distance. I must also note that we cannot determine exactly up to specific metres that the distance was, the shot was fired from, but we would give a bracket saying it would be in between this and this distance, is what we would expect to find. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Perhaps you'll appreciate why I ask these questions. I represent the families of those who died on the 16th of August as a result of gunshot wounds, in the main. Do you understand that? W/O WESSELS: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And some of the families	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest, more gainfully asked of a doctor and I take it that one or other of the doctors who did the post-mortems will be available to give evidence if it's necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I was in a bit of a cleft stick here, Mr Chairman, because we have a witness here who talks about how he has studied the effect that the bullet has on the target and all of that, so I just wanted to explore that aspect for a minute. Now, you were – and I don't intend to go there, in fact I won't go there – you were shown rather graphic pictures last week of what I suspected was a shattered head of one of the victims. Do
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of tattooing to determine a specific distance. I must also note that we cannot determine exactly up to specific metres that the distance was, the shot was fired from, but we would give a bracket saying it would be in between this and this distance, is what we would expect to find. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Perhaps you'll appreciate why I ask these questions. I represent the families of those who died on the 16th of August as a result of gunshot wounds, in the main. Do you understand that? W/O WESSELS: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And some of the families who are here are keen to know, which is why I want to get	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest, more gainfully asked of a doctor and I take it that one or other of the doctors who did the post-mortems will be available to give evidence if it's necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I was in a bit of a cleft stick here, Mr Chairman, because we have a witness here who talks about how he has studied the effect that the bullet has on the target and all of that, so I just wanted to explore that aspect for a minute. Now, you were – and I don't intend to go there, in fact I won't go there – you were shown rather graphic pictures last week of what I suspected was a shattered head of one of the victims. Do you recall that?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of tattooing to determine a specific distance. I must also note that we cannot determine exactly up to specific metres that the distance was, the shot was fired from, but we would give a bracket saying it would be in between this and this distance, is what we would expect to find. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Perhaps you'll appreciate why I ask these questions. I represent the families of those who died on the 16th of August as a result of gunshot wounds, in the main. Do you understand that? W/O WESSELS: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And some of the families who are here are keen to know, which is why I want to get the advantage of your expertise, as to whether for instance	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest, more gainfully asked of a doctor and I take it that one or other of the doctors who did the post-mortems will be available to give evidence if it's necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I was in a bit of a cleft stick here, Mr Chairman, because we have a witness here who talks about how he has studied the effect that the bullet has on the target and all of that, so I just wanted to explore that aspect for a minute. Now, you were – and I don't intend to go there, in fact I won't go there – you were shown rather graphic pictures last week of what I suspected was a shattered head of one of the victims. Do you recall that? W/O WESSELS: Yes, I do.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	really close range, and where we can determine range, happens much closer, where we expect to find – and that's why we use different methods for determining distance, not velocity or indication of wound track but rather the deposit of unburnt or partially burnt propellant particles as well as the presence of tattooing or the absence of tattooing to determine a specific distance. I must also note that we cannot determine exactly up to specific metres that the distance was, the shot was fired from, but we would give a bracket saying it would be in between this and this distance, is what we would expect to find. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Perhaps you'll appreciate why I ask these questions. I represent the families of those who died on the 16th of August as a result of gunshot wounds, in the main. Do you understand that? W/O WESSELS: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And some of the families who are here are keen to know, which is why I want to get	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, isn't this a question that can more profitably be asked of a doctor? I can imagine a bullet 20 metres through the heart would be as deadly as a bullet at 100 metres through the heart. It may be that where exactly enters the head – some head injuries caused by bullets would not be fatal, others would be but that's a question more sensibly, if I may suggest, more gainfully asked of a doctor and I take it that one or other of the doctors who did the post-mortems will be available to give evidence if it's necessary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I was in a bit of a cleft stick here, Mr Chairman, because we have a witness here who talks about how he has studied the effect that the bullet has on the target and all of that, so I just wanted to explore that aspect for a minute. Now, you were – and I don't intend to go there, in fact I won't go there – you were shown rather graphic pictures last week of what I suspected was a shattered head of one of the victims. Do you recall that?

	Page 2146		Page 2148
1	whether that kind of injury to tissues where it appeared	1	If you could look at Exhibit L, slide 211, do you have
2	that almost the entire head or half the head or a	2	that?
3	significant portion of the head was blown off, whether	3	W/O WESSELS: Yes, I believe I do.
4	that's the kind you would find only if the target was at	4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, the – let's start
5	short range or if the target was at longer range, or it	5	with the first column where it talks about 9mm. Now
6	doesn't matter, the distance doesn't matter. That's what I	6	typically what would those ammunition forms be coming out
7	want to clear.	7	of, the 9mm bullets?
8	[10:44] W/O WESSELS: On high velocity bullets	8	W/O WESSELS: They would usually be fired
9	such as fired from rifles, sir, that would be – the range	9	from pistols.
10	would not really be indicative of that. It would just be	10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Pistols? And 5.56mm?
11	indicative of a high velocity bullet.	11	W/O WESSELS: That would usually be fired
12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, I just want to be	12	from the R5 rifles.
13	sure that I understood your evidence last week well. You	13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: R5. And the 7.62?
14	came across as saying there is no such a thing as non-	14	W/O WESSELS: That would normally be
15	lethal ammunition. Did I get you right?	15	fired from the R1 rifle.
16	W/O WESSELS: That is correct, sir. Any	16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now never mind about the
17	ammunition has the capacity or the capability to be lethal.	17	warnings and the to what's but it does appear from this
18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: But did I understand you	18	presentation that both – not both, the pistols, the R5s and
19	to be making a qualification by saying some ammunition is	19	the R1s were used in what is characterised as scene 1,
20	less lethal than others or what do I understand by that	20	which is the first area where there were shootings on the
21	part of your evidence which I got?	21	16th? Will you agree with me?
22	W/O WESSELS: What I meant to convey	22	W/O WESSELS: Sir, unfortunately not know
23	there, that some ammunition will have less of a chance of	23	what is scene 1 or scene 2, I cannot really say.
24	creating a lethal wound than others.	24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's not really the
25	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Now I would like	25	thrust of the question. The question is, when you look at
1	Page 2147 us to look at Exhibit L, I think, which is the SAPS		Page 2149
	presentation. There are two slides there that I would like	1 2	that categorisation of what was used it would appear that that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the
3	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that	2 3	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart.
	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is	2 3 4	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct.
3	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant	2 3	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would
3 4	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed	2 3 4	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the
3 4 5	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left –	2 3 4 5	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and
3 4 5 6 7 8	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit	2 3 4 5 6	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that?
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see it's B59, I'm told.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: These are the weapons
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see it's B59, I'm told. CHAIRPERSON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: These are the weapons that you bring into any situation for purposes of killing a
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see it's B59, I'm told. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: If it could just be put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: These are the weapons that you bring into any situation for purposes of killing a target, in the main, would you agree -
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see it's B59, I'm told. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: If it could just be put there, Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: These are the weapons that you bring into any situation for purposes of killing a target, in the main, would you agree - W/O WESSELS: Sorry sir, if you can maybe
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see it's B59, I'm told. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: If it could just be put there, Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Can that be arranged	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: These are the weapons that you bring into any situation for purposes of killing a target, in the main, would you agree - W/O WESSELS: Sorry sir, if you can maybe just repeat? I'm not quite with you on what is being
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see it's B59, I'm told. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: If it could just be put there, Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Can that be arranged please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: These are the weapons that you bring into any situation for purposes of killing a target, in the main, would you agree - W/O WESSELS: Sorry sir, if you can maybe just repeat? I'm not quite with you on what is being asked.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see it's B59, I'm told. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: If it could just be put there, Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Can that be arranged please? MS PILLAY: I think we just need a few	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: These are the weapons that you bring into any situation for purposes of killing a target, in the main, would you agree - W/O WESSELS: Sorry sir, if you can maybe just repeat? I'm not quite with you on what is being asked. MR NTSEBEZA SC: R1 rifles and R5 rifles
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see it's B59, I'm told. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: If it could just be put there, Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Can that be arranged please? MS PILLAY: I think we just need a few minutes, Chairperson, but it can be arranged.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: These are the weapons that you bring into any situation for purposes of killing a target, in the main, would you agree - W/O WESSELS: Sorry sir, if you can maybe just repeat? I'm not quite with you on what is being asked. MR NTSEBEZA SC: R1 rifles and R5 rifles are weapons you would bring into a situation where you aim
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see it's B59, I'm told. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: If it could just be put there, Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Can that be arranged please? MS PILLAY: I think we just need a few minutes, Chairperson, but it can be arranged. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: These are the weapons that you bring into any situation for purposes of killing a target, in the main, would you agree - W/O WESSELS: Sorry sir, if you can maybe just repeat? I'm not quite with you on what is being asked. MR NTSEBEZA SC: R1 rifles and R5 rifles are weapons you would bring into a situation where you aim to kill the target at which they would be fired mainly?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see it's B59, I'm told. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: If it could just be put there, Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Can that be arranged please? MS PILLAY: I think we just need a few minutes, Chairperson, but it can be arranged. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well – MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, we can proceed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: These are the weapons that you bring into any situation for purposes of killing a target, in the main, would you agree - W/O WESSELS: Sorry sir, if you can maybe just repeat? I'm not quite with you on what is being asked. MR NTSEBEZA SC: R1 rifles and R5 rifles are weapons you would bring into a situation where you aim to kill the target at which they would be fired mainly? Isn't that right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see it's B59, I'm told. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: If it could just be put there, Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Can that be arranged please? MS PILLAY: I think we just need a few minutes, Chairperson, but it can be arranged. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well – MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, we can proceed. CHAIRPERSON: I was going to say proceed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: These are the weapons that you bring into any situation for purposes of killing a target, in the main, would you agree - W/O WESSELS: Sorry sir, if you can maybe just repeat? I'm not quite with you on what is being asked. MR NTSEBEZA SC: R1 rifles and R5 rifles are weapons you would bring into a situation where you aim to kill the target at which they would be fired mainly? Isn't that right? W/O WESSELS: Sir, it would be difficult
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see it's B59, I'm told. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: If it could just be put there, Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Can that be arranged please? MS PILLAY: I think we just need a few minutes, Chairperson, but it can be arranged. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well – MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, we can proceed. CHAIRPERSON: I was going to say proceed in the meanwhile and when you get that slide on the screen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: These are the weapons that you bring into any situation for purposes of killing a target, in the main, would you agree - W/O WESSELS: Sorry sir, if you can maybe just repeat? I'm not quite with you on what is being asked. MR NTSEBEZA SC: R1 rifles and R5 rifles are weapons you would bring into a situation where you aim to kill the target at which they would be fired mainly? Isn't that right? W/O WESSELS: Sir, it would be difficult for me to try and say that they were – they surely, and I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see it's B59, I'm told. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: If it could just be put there, Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Can that be arranged please? MS PILLAY: I think we just need a few minutes, Chairperson, but it can be arranged. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well – MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, we can proceed. CHAIRPERSON: I was going to say proceed in the meanwhile and when you get that slide on the screen you can then ask questions about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: These are the weapons that you bring into any situation for purposes of killing a target, in the main, would you agree - W/O WESSELS: Sorry sir, if you can maybe just repeat? I'm not quite with you on what is being asked. MR NTSEBEZA SC: R1 rifles and R5 rifles are weapons you would bring into a situation where you aim to kill the target at which they would be fired mainly? Isn't that right? W/O WESSELS: Sir, it would be difficult for me to try and say that they were – they surely, and I can agree with you, they surely have the capability of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you to look at. Let's start with the first one and that would be slide 211. Mr Chairman, whilst the witness is looking for the relevant place could we have the relevant Exhibit B on the screens? I'm unfortunately embarrassed because I left – CHAIRPERSON: The relevant slide Exhibit L, is that what you want on the screen? MR NTSEBEZA SC: There was the exhibit that showed the kinds of firearms and munitions. I see it's B59, I'm told. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: If it could just be put there, Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Can that be arranged please? MS PILLAY: I think we just need a few minutes, Chairperson, but it can be arranged. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well – MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, we can proceed. CHAIRPERSON: I was going to say proceed in the meanwhile and when you get that slide on the screen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that entire range of firearms were used, if we accept the correctness of this chart. W/O WESSELS: That would be correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think and I would to suggest to you that you and Adv Bizos settled the question last week of the kinds of firearms, R1 rifles and R5 rifles, those are military rifles. Those are rifles that are intended to be used in those kinds of situations. Do you agree with that? W/O WESSELS: I would agree, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: These are the weapons that you bring into any situation for purposes of killing a target, in the main, would you agree - W/O WESSELS: Sorry sir, if you can maybe just repeat? I'm not quite with you on what is being asked. MR NTSEBEZA SC: R1 rifles and R5 rifles are weapons you would bring into a situation where you aim to kill the target at which they would be fired mainly? Isn't that right? W/O WESSELS: Sir, it would be difficult for me to try and say that they were – they surely, and I

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

<u> </u>			
1	Page 2150	1	Page 2152
1		1	rifles there and all of that, you appreciate that?
2	CHAIRPERSON: I don't think that Mr	2	W/O WESSELS: Yes, I understand.
3	Ntsebeza is necessarily asking you about the intention of	3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: My intention is just to
4	the people on the 16th of August –	4	get an unequivocal statement from you, if it is possible,
5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mm.	5	that agrees with me that when once you bring R1 rifles and
6	CHAIRPERSON: That's a question on which	6	R5 rifles to a scene, you are likely to incur fatalities
7	you can't help us because you weren't there, but he's	7	because those are lethal weapons intended to kill.
8	talking, asking you a more general question and perhaps to	8	W/O WESSELS: I will agree with you
9	focus it more clearly to enable us to proceed on this	9	there.
10	point, it might be helpful to consider the operation that	10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Are you familiar with
11	allegedly took place over the weekend where –	11	the magazine Jane, Jane's Weekly, I think?
12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, you took the words	12	W/O WESSELS: Yes sir, I have encountered
13	away from me.	13	it.
14	CHAIRPERSON: I beg your pardon, if I'm	14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I would expect you to,
15	taking the words out of your mouth, Mr Ntsebeza, let me put	15	Warrant Officer, as an expert. Now I did a little bit of
16	them back in your mouth and you can carry on.	16	research with them and they talk about 5.56mm R4 assault
17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Chair, I'm not also	17	rifles. Are you familiar with those?
18	wanting to take them away from your mouth when they are	18	W/O WESSELS: Yes sir, I am.
19	already there, but this is exactly what I was going to ask	19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: and they say in regard
20	you. If you were aware of the operation at the weekend	20	there to that those – they call them the Vektor,
20	where seven people were killed by the security forces in –	21	V-E-K-T-O-R – they replaced both the Fabrique Nationale
22	CHAIRPERSON: In fact it was by the	22	7.62mm fusil automatique leger. Are you familiar with the
22	special task force, according to the reports that –		
		23	7.62mm fusil, F-U-S-I-L?
24 25	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. You are aware of that?	24 25	W/O WESSELS: That is similar to the R1, sir.
25	or that:	25	511 .
	Page 2151		Page 2153
1	W/O WESSELS: I heard something about it	1	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Jane's are good, and
2	on the radio.	2	they say that it also replaced the Heckler & Koch G3 as the
3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: But the point here is	3	South African Defence Force – now the South African
4	that the security forces must have proceeded on the basis	4	National Defence Force – standard infantry weapons.
5	that they are going to deal with people who are armed with	5	W/O WESSELS: I am, yes.
6	just as lethal weapons as they have. They probably had R5s	6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And again, I'm reverting
7	because I was later told that a number of firearms were	7	just to what I said, this is the kind of weaponry that is
8	confiscated after the killing of the seven people. But	8	used by the infantry in the National Defence Force, weapons
9	what I'm saying is, do you accept that when any formation	9	of war. I won't go to say they are weapons of mass
10	of the security forces arm themselves with R1s and R5s, it	10	destruction but something very near to that, these are
11	is in circumstances where they know that whoever are the	11	weapons of war. Do you agree with that?
12	targets, whatever the circumstances, are likely to be	12	W/O WESSELS: That is correct.
13	killed by what is going to come out of their firearms. Is	12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Jane's also goes on to
			C C
14 15	that a fair proposition to make?	14 15	say this, that this 5.56mm assault rifle is a modified
15	W/O WESSELS: I can understand what	15	version of the Israeli Galil, G-A-L-I-L.
16	you're saying and I would agree with you. I would also	16	W/O WESSELS: That is correct, sir.
17	just like to add that the R5 is issued to all the members	17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now because my
18	of the South African Police Service and used in their daily	18	researcher could not resist saying the following, you need
19	tasks where it should be needed, if it should be in such	19	not make any comment there about, "The Israelis use the R5
20	circumstances where a certain amount of force is directed,	20	to kill the Palestinians. The apartheid order used the R5
21	to be able to counter that, it's another option.	21	to kill the ANC. The ANC used the R5 to kill" -
21		22	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Ntsebeza. I'm
22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, that's why I'm not	22	
	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, that's why I'm not pursuing you in respect of what happened on the 16th because	23	not sure that that's a question, the answer to which would
22	5		
22 23	pursuing you in respect of what happened on the 16th because	23	not sure that that's a question, the answer to which would

2011			
	Page 2154		Page 2156
1	make on an outside platform, I don't propose to allow you	1	had an assegai and a panga and you had an R1 rifle, an R4
2	to make it here.	2	rifle and an R5 rifle and we're confronting each other,
3	[11:04] MR NTSEBEZA SC: M'Lord, it will accept	3	there is no question that that would not be a proportionate
4	the ruling. The only point I want to make is that because	4	contest. You are more, your fire power is superior than
5	as at this date these killings are topical, here and in	5	mine.
6	Israel in the Gaza Strip and all of that, it would be fair	6	W/O WESSELS: That would be correct, sir,
7	to make the point that the R5 seems to be a weapon that is	7	but it would still not change the fact that the assegai or
8	common to all these scenes. It's a weapon that has been	8	the panga would be just as dangerous to my life.
9	used to effect deaths.	9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: As I said, I was talking
10	CHAIRPERSON: You'd have difficulty in	10	- I assume all of those things, that's why I went with the
11	disagreeing with that proposition, Warrant Officer, is that	11	panga and the assegai but I'm saying as an expert that you
12	correct?	12	are, knowing the lethal effect of all these weapons
13	W/O WESSELS: Ja, I can only agree. I	13	including assegais and pangas, just the range – I mean you
14	can only add that it's also used to protect the lives of	14	would, unless you were going to throw it Shaka style, there
15	the citizens that those military forces protect.	15	is no way in which I would be matched proportionally with
16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: That takes me to the	16	you if you had an R5 rifle and I only had an assegai and a
17	second point. It would take a proportionate level of	17	panga. Is that a fair proposition to make?
18	resistance from those who are the targets to justify the	18	W/O WESSELS: Sir, although there is a
19	use of R5 and R1 rifles, do you agree? You can't go into a	19	disparity of the amount of force that can be used, there
20	domestic situation – you wouldn't expect the police, when	20	are several studies that have shown that within a range of
21	they are called to quell a demonstration, to be going there	21	seven metres, a person wielding a sharp object will easily
22	with R1 rifles and R5 rifles. I see you are wrestling with	22	disable the person having a firearm already in his hand.
23	your conscience.	23	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't quite
24	W/O WESSELS: Yes. It is difficult for	24	understand the question. Are you suggesting that if
25	me to say whether they should be allowed or not. These	25	someone comes to – if I have an R5 in my hand, that's all
	Page 2155		Page 2157
1	firearms, in my opinion, are not just used to kill but also	1	I've got and someone comes to attack me with a panga,
2	to defend and in that statement, even a breadknife wielded	2	because my weapon is proportionately much more powerful
3	in the right hands is just as dangerous as R5 rifles.	3	than the panga, I'm disabled – I'm not allowed to use it
4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now let's be serious,	4	and I must submit to being killed by the panga?
5	Warrant Officer. Let's imagine a situation where I am	5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no –
6	armed with an assegai and a panga and you are armed with an	6	CHAIRPERSON: Is that the question?
7	R1 or an R5 rifle. That's a no contest situation, would	7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no –
8	you agree? It's a no brainer -	8	CHAIRPERSON: That would be a no brainer.
9	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't –	9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed it would. No,
10	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya?	10	indeed it is - that is not what, and in fact I think you
11	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't understand	11	understood me not to be saying so. All I'm saying is, I've
12	the question. I don't know what the no brainer is.	12	got a far more superior weapon than, in the circumstances,
13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: The question is simple –	13	and there is a likelihood, the probabilities are that I
14	CHAIRPERSON: No brainer is a comment,	14	will inflict injury on you with your panga and your assegai
15	actually. Just put the question, if the witness	15	quicklier than you would, given of course what you said
16	understands the question he can answer it, if he doesn't	16	about seven metres range but if the distance for instance
17	he'll ask you to explain it.	17	is between here and you and we are called upon to defend
18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.	18	ourselves, I would in those circumstances inflict more
19	You did understand the question, Warrant Officer.	19	damage to you than you would to me.
20	W/O WESSELS: So if –	20	W/O WESSELS: That I would agree with,
21	CHAIRPERSON: The objection is made to	21	yes.
22	the comment about a no brainer. That's a comment, we'll	22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I mean in terms of your
23	ignore that. I think for clarity purposes, repeat the	23	evidence last week, we were talking here about firearms
24	question and let's get the answer.	24	which discharge bullets at the rate of 600 to 700 a minute.
25	MR NTSEBEZA SC: The question was, if I	25	Was that your evidence?
~		1	

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

		Page 2160
W/O WESSELS: That is correct, that is	1	at a slower rate.
what it's theoretically capable of.	2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Yes, thank you but
MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Which means at one	3	all things being equal, that is the - you would remember
second, 10 bullets are capable of being discharged by that	4	that there was an exercise that you had to do last week as
firearm, 10, just on the 600 – don't even go to the 700.	5	to how many could have been discharged in a period of eight
On the 600 a minute rate rapid fire, in one second and that	6	seconds, you remember that?
second is gone just by me saying one second, 10 bullets.	7	W/O WESSELS: Yes, I remember.
W/O WESSELS: What we have found by	8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: On balance and
testing, sir, is that it would normally be between four and	9	proceeding on the basis of 600 per minute, 10 per second,
seven rounds that would be discharged per second.	10	in eight seconds we would account for 80 bullets having
MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now what mathematics	11	been discharged by those kinds of firearms, would that be
[indistinct] –	12	correct?
CHAIRPERSON: Can we continue this debate	13	W/O WESSELS: Sir, yes, theoretically
after tea? I understand your point, 600 divided by 60 is	14	that is possible. Practically, since the magazine can only
10 but the witness may be able to explain to us why,	15	take 35 rounds, it would not be possible to do, to fire as
despite that simple arithmetical result, he gets a figure	16	many rounds within that second. I also based the figure
of four to seven a second. After we've taken the tea	17	that I gave on practical tests that I did with R5s myself
adjournment we can fruitfully continue that discussion.	18	to determine the rate of fire.
MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you.	19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: We are – if you look at
CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will	20	Exhibit B59, the one on the chart – I can't see from this
adjourn.	21	distance, what rifle are we talking about? 1, 2, 3, 4. Is
MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Chairman.	22	it on the second block on your left?
[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]	23	W/O WESSELS: That would be correct –
[11:44] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: The mouse is – ja, then
Warrant Officer, I have to remind you that you are still	25	the mouse is not pointing to where I want it to point.
Page 2159		Page 2161
under oath. You want to continue the conversation about	1	There you are, there – no – no. Is that the R1, R5, R4
the number of bullets per second that are fired by one of	2	kind of rifle?
these automatic assault rifles?	3	W/O WESSELS: That is the R4 rifle, sir.
	4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: R4, yes. The rifle next
-	5	to it, I am quite curious about it, what kind of rifle is
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	6	that?
	7	W/O WESSELS: That is a bolt action
	8	rifle, sir.
	9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is it automatic? In
	10	other words, is it one of those that discharges bullets if
-	11	you keep on, your finger on the trigger?
5	12	W/O WESSELS: No sir, it's not capable of
-	13	that. It's a manually operated rifle. It requires the
	14	person doing the shooting to manually open, bolt, extract
	15	the cartridge from the chamber, push the bolt forward and
•	16	then feed a new cartridge into the chamber of the rifle and
	17	to close the bolt again.
	18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Just to separate
	19	fact from fiction now that we have you as an expert, would
as the charge of the cartridge, the working of the parts –	20	that be your typical sniper rifle or am I talking something
that sometimes there are even rate reducers introduced into	21	layman-ish?
	22	W/O WESSELS: It could possibly be used
the firearm to slower or lower the rate so that more		
accurate fire can be given. As you can understand, it's	23	for that. To me it appears more to a hunting, hunting type
	firearm, 10, just on the 600 – don't even go to the 700. On the 600 a minute rate rapid fire, in one second and that second is gone just by me saying one second, 10 bullets. W/O WESSELS: What we have found by testing, sir, is that it would normally be between four and seven rounds that would be discharged per second. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now what mathematics [indistinct] – CHAIRPERSON: Can we continue this debate after tea? I understand your point, 600 divided by 60 is 10 but the witness may be able to explain to us why, despite that simple arithmetical result, he gets a figure of four to seven a second. After we've taken the tea adjournment we can fruitfully continue that discussion. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will adjourn. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Chairman. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS] COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:44] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Warrant Officer, I have to remind you that you are still Page 2159 under oath. You want to continue the conversation about the number of bullets per second that are fired by one of these automatic assault rifles? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC (CONTD): Thank you, Mr Chairman. And I was told, Mr Chairman, that the Exhibit B – what? B50-something is, 59, if it could be put up? Thank you. Now you were in the process of explaining the mathematics of 600 bullets per second. Do you recall that? W/O WESSELS: Yes sir, that is normally the published figure in publications such as Jane's – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. W/O WESSELS: Yes sir, that is normally the published figure in publications such as Jane's – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. W/O WESSELS: - and others that produce that cyclic rate. In a perfect world the firearm is capable of discharging that amount of rounds. There are, unfortunately, other factors that play a role in it, such	firearm, 10, just on the 600 – don't even go to the 700.5On the 600 a minute rate rapid fire, in one second and that6second is gone just by me saying one second, 10 bullets.7W/O WESSELS:What we have found by8testing, sir, is that it would normally be between four and9seven rounds that would be discharged per second.10MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now what mathematics11[indistinct] -12CHAIRPERSON:Can we continue this debate13after tea?1 understand your point, 600 divided by 60 is1410 but the witness may be able to explain to us why,15despite that simple arithmetical result, he gets a figure16of four to seven a second. After we've taken the tea17adjournment we can fruitfully continue that discussion.18MR NTSEBEZA SC:Thank you.19_CHAIRPERSON:The Commission will20adjourn.21MR NTSEBEZA SC:Thank you, Chairman.22[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES]23[11:44]CHAIRPERSON:The Commission resumes.24Warrant Officer, I have to remind you that you are still2under oath. You want to continue the conversation about1the number of bullets per second that are fired by one of2these automatic assault rifles?3_CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC (CONTD):4Thank you, Mr Chairman. And I was told, Mr Chairman, that5the Exhibit B – what? B50-something is, 59,

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

	Page 2162		Page 2164
1	that rifle that indicates, just about the level of the -	1	more to be a 308 calibre or 762x51, such as chambered in
2	what is that thing?	2	the R1 rifle.
3	W/O WESSELS: I think you're referring to	3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now, those are
4	the telescope, sir.	4	decidedly lethal ammunition, in terms of your
5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Telescope, yes. Is it	5	categorisations those would be clearly lethal ammunition to
6	part of SAPS training for people to be trained in the use	6	use in any situation.
7	of rifles with telescopes?	7	W/O WESSELS: Yes, they had the
8	W/O WESSELS: I believe there are certain	8	abilities.
9	individuals that are trained as, with the use of bolt	9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: So if you had a look at
10	action scope rifles, yes sir.	10	Exhibit L slide 257, there they talk about a total of 268
11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: For what – for what	11	sharp point ammunition. Now what does sharp point mean?
12	would they have to have that kind of training?	12	W/O WESSELS: Sir, that would normally
13	W/O WESSELS: That would normally be	13	indicate ammunition such as the 556, the 9mm Parabellum and
14	advanced training and it would probably be for sniping	14	the 762x51 as issued to the South African Police Service.
15	duties or counter-sniping duties.	15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now if you look at
16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct me if I am	16	- thank you - if you look at that slide we see that in the
17	wrong, am I assuming correctly, if snipers are sometimes	17	column less than lethal, rubber, teargas, stun. Now
18	used in hostage situations where, after the failure of	18	assuming the correctness of those figures, at a glance it
19	negotiation, a well-trained sniper might have to – as the	19	would appear that there were less, less than lethal
20	language says – take the target out, and that is where the	20	ammunition used than there was the usage of lethal
20	accuracy would demand the use of a telescope?	20	ammunition. The total for less than lethal seems to be 34
22	W/O WESSELS: That would probably be	22	and the total for lethal is 268. Would that be a fair
		22	assessment of that chart?
23	included in their duties, yes.	23 24	W/O WESSELS: That would be correct.
24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. There is, in that	24 25	
25	Exhibit B59 there is the square with bullets in it, do you	25	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, there's a fair
	Page 2163		Page 2165
1	Page 2163 see that?	1	Page 2165 amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just
1 2		1 2	
	see that?	_	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just
2	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself?	2	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an
2 3	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other	2 3	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of
2 3 4 5	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings?	2 3 4	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the
2 3 4	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other	2 3 4 5	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing.
2 3 4 5 6	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just	2 3 4 5 6	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there.	2 3 4 5 6 7	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the
2 3 4 5 6 7	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the mouse point to 8? Oh, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My understanding was it was rubber balls and the suggestion is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly: MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the mouse point to 8? Oh, yes. W/O WESSELS: Those are the cartridges,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My understanding was it was rubber balls and the suggestion is that the reason for that was for the very reason you've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the mouse point to 8? Oh, yes. W/O WESSELS: Those are the cartridges, sir, that is the complete round of ammunition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My understanding was it was rubber balls and the suggestion is that the reason for that was for the very reason you've mentioned actually, rubber bullets can kill, whereas it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the mouse point to 8? Oh, yes. W/O WESSELS: Those are the cartridges, sir, that is the complete round of ammunition. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, which would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My understanding was it was rubber balls and the suggestion is that the reason for that was for the very reason you've mentioned actually, rubber bullets can kill, whereas it is thought that rubber balls can't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the mouse point to 8? Oh, yes. W/O WESSELS: Those are the cartridges, sir, that is the complete round of ammunition. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, which would typically be used in an R4, R1, R5 or do they use all those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My understanding was it was rubber balls and the suggestion is that the reason for that was for the very reason you've mentioned actually, rubber bullets can kill, whereas it is thought that rubber balls can't. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the mouse point to 8? Oh, yes. W/O WESSELS: Those are the cartridges, sir, that is the complete round of ammunition. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, which would typically be used in an R4, R1, R5 or do they use all those kinds of ammunition?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My understanding was it was rubber balls and the suggestion is that the reason for that was for the very reason you've mentioned actually, rubber bullets can kill, whereas it is thought that rubber balls can't. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Chairman. Now assuming the Chair is indicating, would you say the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the mouse point to 8? Oh, yes. W/O WESSELS: Those are the cartridges, sir, that is the complete round of ammunition. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, which would typically be used in an R4, R1, R5 or do they use all those kinds of ammunition? W/O WESSELS: No, the R4 and the R5 are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My understanding was it was rubber balls and the suggestion is that the reason for that was for the very reason you've mentioned actually, rubber bullets can kill, whereas it is thought that rubber balls can't. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Chairman. Now assuming the Chair is indicating, would you say the rubber balls are completely incapable of killing or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the mouse point to 8? Oh, yes. W/O WESSELS: Those are the cartridges, sir, that is the complete round of ammunition. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, which would typically be used in an R4, R1, R5 or do they use all those kinds of ammunition? W/O WESSELS: No, the R4 and the R5 are chambered for the 556x45mm cartridge. That would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My understanding was it was rubber balls and the suggestion is that the reason for that was for the very reason you've mentioned actually, rubber bullets can kill, whereas it is thought that rubber balls can't. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Chairman. Now assuming the Chair is indicating, would you say the rubber balls are completely incapable of killing or the chances are less of rubber balls killing than sharp point
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the mouse point to 8? Oh, yes. W/O WESSELS: Those are the cartridges, sir, that is the complete round of ammunition. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, which would typically be used in an R4, R1, R5 or do they use all those kinds of ammunition? W/O WESSELS: No, the R4 and the R5 are chambered for the 556x45mm cartridge. That would be similar to the one third from the left, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My understanding was it was rubber balls and the suggestion is that the reason for that was for the very reason you've mentioned actually, rubber bullets can kill, whereas it is thought that rubber balls can't. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Chairman. Now assuming the Chair is indicating, would you say the rubber balls are completely incapable of killing or the chances are less of rubber balls killing than sharp point ammunition would do?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the mouse point to 8? Oh, yes. W/O WESSELS: Those are the cartridges, sir, that is the complete round of ammunition. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, which would typically be used in an R4, R1, R5 or do they use all those kinds of ammunition? W/O WESSELS: No, the R4 and the R5 are chambered for the 556x45mm cartridge. That would be similar to the one third from the left, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Third from the left.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My understanding was it was rubber balls and the suggestion is that the reason for that was for the very reason you've mentioned actually, rubber bullets can kill, whereas it is thought that rubber balls can't. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Chairman. Now assuming the Chair is indicating, would you say the rubber balls are completely incapable of killing or the chances are less of rubber balls killing than sharp point ammunition would do? W/O WESSELS: The chances of the rubber
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the mouse point to 8? Oh, yes. W/O WESSELS: Those are the cartridges, sir, that is the complete round of ammunition. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, which would typically be used in an R4, R1, R5 or do they use all those kinds of ammunition? W/O WESSELS: No, the R4 and the R5 are chambered for the 556x45mm cartridge. That would be similar to the one third from the left, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Third from the left. And the one second from the left?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My understanding was it was rubber balls and the suggestion is that the reason for that was for the very reason you've mentioned actually, rubber bullets can kill, whereas it is thought that rubber balls can't. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Chairman. Now assuming the Chair is indicating, would you say the rubber balls are completely incapable of killing or the chances are less of rubber balls killing than sharp point ammunition would do? W/O WESSELS: The chances of the rubber balls killing is less than.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the mouse point to 8? Oh, yes. W/O WESSELS: Those are the cartridges, sir, that is the complete round of ammunition. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, which would typically be used in an R4, R1, R5 or do they use all those kinds of ammunition? W/O WESSELS: No, the R4 and the R5 are chambered for the 556x45mm cartridge. That would be similar to the one third from the left, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Third from the left. And the one second from the left? W/O WESSELS: It's difficult to determine	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My understanding was it was rubber balls and the suggestion is that the reason for that was for the very reason you've mentioned actually, rubber bullets can kill, whereas it is thought that rubber balls can't. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Chairman. Now assuming the Chair is indicating, would you say the rubber balls are completely incapable of killing or the chances are less of rubber balls killing than sharp point ammunition would do? W/O WESSELS: The chances of the rubber balls killing is less than. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You are familiar with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the mouse point to 8? Oh, yes. W/O WESSELS: Those are the cartridges, sir, that is the complete round of ammunition. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, which would typically be used in an R4, R1, R5 or do they use all those kinds of ammunition? W/O WESSELS: No, the R4 and the R5 are chambered for the 556x45mm cartridge. That would be similar to the one third from the left, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Third from the left. And the one second from the left? W/O WESSELS: It's difficult to determine the correct calibre just from looking at the photograph	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My understanding was it was rubber balls and the suggestion is that the reason for that was for the very reason you've mentioned actually, rubber bullets can kill, whereas it is thought that rubber balls can't. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Chairman. Now assuming the Chair is indicating, would you say the rubber balls are completely incapable of killing or the chances are less of rubber balls killing than sharp point ammunition would do? W/O WESSELS: The chances of the rubber balls killing is less than. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You are familiar with the Andries Tatane case from Ficksburg? I'm sure you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	see that? W/O WESSELS: The cartridges itself? MR NTSEBEZA SC: The cartridges, yes. Those are not – oh yes, okay. Are they empty? In other words, are they not bullets, they're just casings? W/O WESSELS: If we can maybe just advance the screen up slightly? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just about there. CHAIRPERSON: The empty cases appear to be square 9 and the cartridges themselves are square 8. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what is 8? Can the mouse point to 8? Oh, yes. W/O WESSELS: Those are the cartridges, sir, that is the complete round of ammunition. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, which would typically be used in an R4, R1, R5 or do they use all those kinds of ammunition? W/O WESSELS: No, the R4 and the R5 are chambered for the 556x45mm cartridge. That would be similar to the one third from the left, sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Third from the left. And the one second from the left? W/O WESSELS: It's difficult to determine	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	amount of debate about rubber and all of that but just taking rubber bullets as a category, you would, as an expert, not say that rubber bullets are not capable of killing. W/O WESSELS: No, they do have – or the capability of killing. MR NTSEBEZA SC: They have the capability. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I don't understand the evidence – unless I've misunderstood it – to be that rubber bullets were used at Marikana. My understanding was it was rubber balls and the suggestion is that the reason for that was for the very reason you've mentioned actually, rubber bullets can kill, whereas it is thought that rubber balls can't. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Chairman. Now assuming the Chair is indicating, would you say the rubber balls are completely incapable of killing or the chances are less of rubber balls killing than sharp point ammunition would do? W/O WESSELS: The chances of the rubber balls killing is less than. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You are familiar with

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

1 W/O WESSELS: Yes, Lam. 1 MS SEMENVA SC: Chair, again a request. 2 MR NTSEBEZA SC: One doesn't know, it 3 appears there that either rubber builts or rubber balls 4 were used but at that range they were fatal. 1 MK SEBEZA SC: Nt subject of ammunition, subt to take advantage of 5 W/O WESSELS: That's what seemed to have 5 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, can you tell 6 us what the purpose of the question is, so we can see whether its relevant to the matters that we have to investigate? 9 your being here, there's always been a story that there are 9 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, thank you 10 wers with the purpose would be to get from the witness a 1 indication of whether the kind of training of what appears 12 of ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an 10 wery much. The purpose would be to get from the witness a 14 W/O WESSELS: Sir, I think there is a bit 11 13 thickation of whether the kind of training of what appears 15 of both. What thery reversely, there's also the effect of the target tup atso 16 CHAIRPERSON: I'm going to ask him 16 </th <th></th> <th>Page 2166</th> <th></th> <th>Page 2168</th>		Page 2166		Page 2168
3 appears there that either rubber bullets or rubber balls 3 catch us off guard. I have no idea what he intends to 4 were used but at that range they were fatal. 5 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, can you tell 5 W/O WESSELS: That's what seemed to have 6 show. 6 happened, yes. 6 us what the purpose of the question is, so we can see 7 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. And whilst we are 6 us what the purpose of the question is, so we can see 9 your being here, there's always been a story that there are 7 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, thank you 10 kinds of ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an 10 ore ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an 11 of dwives' tale or is there science to it? 11 14 waver of the usage of these firearms is 12 of ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an 15 15 16 13 old wives' tale or is there science to it? 11 14 waver of the usage of these firearms is 14 W/O WESSELS: Sir, I think there is a bit 15 FS. 16 CHAIRPERSON: I'' mojong to ask him 15 of both. What normally happens is, as we discussed </td <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td>	1		1	-
4 were used but at that range they were fatal. 4 show. 5 W/O WESSELS: That's what seemed to have 5 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, can you tell 6 happened, yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, can you tell 7 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. And whilst we are 5 Whether it's relevant to the matters that we have to 8 on the subject of ammunition, just to take advantage of 9 WR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, thank you 10 kinds of ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an 10 very much. The purpose would be to get from the witness at 12 of ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an 10 very much. The purpose would be to get from the witness at 13 old wives' tale or is there science to it? 11 ware of theusage of these firearms by the South African 16 earlier, that there's two effects in wound ballistics - 11 15 0 17 firstly, the effect of the builet upon the target upon the 18 NR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. 19 bullet. In some cases when the builet strikes the target, 10 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. 11 12 pressure upon the builet. At this stage the jacket or the 22 Wolv WESSELS: I	2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: One doesn't know, it	2	I think Mr Ntsebeza would have wanted us to see it, than
5 W/O WESSELS: That's what seemed to have 5 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, can you tell 6 happened, yes. 3 Whether it's relevant to the matters that we have to 7 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. And whilst we are 7 whether it's relevant to the matters that we have to 9 your being here, there's always been a story that there are 7 WR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, thank you 10 kinds of ammunitions incurred in a number of ways. Is that an 11 indication of whether the kind of training of what appears 10 old wives' tale or is there science to it? 11 indication of whether the kind of training of what appears 14 W/O WESSELS: Sir, I think there is a bit 12 to be SAPS officials in the usage of those firearms by the South African 15 of both. What normally happens is, as we discussed 14 aware of the usage of these firearms by the South African 16 cearlier, that thers's two offects in wound ballistics – 16 CHAIRPERSON: I'm appens 17 firstly, the effect of the bullet upon the target puon the 18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. 19 bullet. In some cases when the bullet strikes the target, 19 CHAIRPERSON: </td <td>3</td> <td>appears there that either rubber bullets or rubber balls</td> <td>3</td> <td>catch us off guard. I have no idea what he intends to</td>	3	appears there that either rubber bullets or rubber balls	3	catch us off guard. I have no idea what he intends to
6 happened, yes. 6 us what the purpose of the question is, so we can see 7 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. And whilst we are 7 8 on the subject of ammunition, just to take advantage of 9 9 your being here, there's always been a story that there are 10 10 kinds of ammunitions which, on penetration, explode, which 11 11 is why sometimes the target that has been hit by that kind 11 12 of ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an 11 13 old wives' tale or is there science to it? 12 14 W/O WESSELS: Sir, 1 think there is a bit 14 15 of both. What normally happens is, as we discussed 15 16 earlier, that there's two effects in wound ballistics – 16 17 firstly, the effect of the builet upon the target but also 17 18 reversely, there's also the effect of the builet strikes the target. 18 20 due to it moving from a very high velocity and being 20 21 prossure upon the builet. At this stage the jacket or the 23 22 prossure upon the builet might separate and also break up into 24	4	were used but at that range they were fatal.	4	show.
7 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. And whilst we are 7 whether it's relevant to the matters that we have to 8 on the subject of ammunition, just to take advantage of your being here, there's always been a story that there are 9 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, thank you 10 kinds of ammunitions which, on penetration, explode, which 0 very much. The purpose would be to get from the witness a 11 is why sometimes the target that has been hit by that kind 1 indication of whether the kind of training of what appears 12 of ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an 11 indication of whether the kind of training of what appears 13 old wives' tale or is there science to it? 13 typically what he has been referring to when he says he's 14 W/O WESSELS: Sir, I think there is a bit 15 to be SAPS officials in the usage of these firearms by the South African 15 of both. What normally happens is, as we discussed 16 CHAIRPERSON: I'm agoing to ask him 16 earlier, that there's alwo down, as you can expect, it puts great 17 whether the's able to comment at all. 18 reversely, there's also the effect of the target up into 18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. <t< td=""><td>5</td><td>W/O WESSELS: That's what seemed to have</td><td>5</td><td>CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, can you tell</td></t<>	5	W/O WESSELS: That's what seemed to have	5	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, can you tell
8 on the subject of ammunition, just to take advantage of 9 investigate? 9 your being here, there's always been a story that there are 9 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, thank you 10 kinds of ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an 10 very much. The purpose would be to get from the witness a 11 is why sometimes the target that has been hit by that kind 11 indication of whether the kind of training of what appears 13 old wives' tale or is there science to it? 14 aware of the usage of those firearms is 14 W/O WESSELS: Sir, 1 think there is a bit 14 aware of the usage of those firearms by the South African 15 of both. What normally happens is, as we discussed 15 16 CHAIRPERSON: I'm going to ask him 18 reversely, there's also the effect of the target upon the 18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. 19 bullet. In some cases when the bullet strikes the target, 20 material used by the South African Police Service regarding 21 suddenly slowed down, as you can expect, it puts great 21 -relating to the use of these weapons? 22 22 presesure upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or the 23	6	happened, yes.	6	us what the purpose of the question is, so we can see
9 your being here, there's always been a story that there are 9 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, thank you 10 kinds of ammunitions which, on penetration, explode, which 10 very much. The purpose would be to get from the witness a 11 is why sometimes the target that has been hit by that kind 11 indication of whether the kind of training of what appears 12 of ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an 11 indication of whether the kind of training of what appears 13 old wives' tale or is there science to it? 11 indication of whether the kind of training of what appears 14 W/O WESSELS: Sir, I think there is a bit 11 indication of whether the kind of training of what appears 16 earlier, that there's two effects in wound ballistics – 16 CHAIRPERSON: I'm going to ask him 17 firstly, the effect of the target upon the 18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. 19 bullet. In some cases when the bullet strikes the target, 19 CHAIRPERSON: Have you seen any training 20 due to it moving from a very high velocity and being 20 - relating to the use of these weapons? 17 21 pressure upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or the	7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. And whilst we are	7	whether it's relevant to the matters that we have to
10 kinds of ammunitions which, on penetration, explode, which 10 very much. The purpose would be to get from the witness a 11 is why sometimes the target that has been hit by that kind 11 indication of whether the kind of training of what appears 12 of ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an 11 indication of whether the kind of training of what appears 13 old wives' tale or is there science to it? 13 to be SAPS officials in the usage of those firearms is 14 W/O WESSELS: Sir, I think there is a bit 15 15 of both. What normally happens is, as we discussed 16 CHAIRPERSON: I'm going to ask him 16 earlier, that there's two effects in wound ballistics – 16 CHAIRPERSON: Have you seen any training 17 firstly, the effect of the bullet upon the target upon the 18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. 19 bullet. In some cases when the bullet strikes the target, 10 material used by the South African Police Service regarding 21 restrike pieces just by the shearing forces of the tissue 22 W/O WESSELS: I must admit I've not seen 23 core or the bullet might separate and also break up into 23 anything like that, I've als	8	on the subject of ammunition, just to take advantage of	8	investigate?
11 is why sometimes the target that has been hit by that kind 11 indication of whether the kind of training of what appears 12 of ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an 11 indication of whether the kind of training of what appears 13 old wives' tale or is there science to it? 13 to be SAPS officials in the usage of those firearms is 14 W/O WESSELS: Sir, I think there is a bit 13 typically what he has been referring to when he says he's 14 W/O WESSELS: Sir, I think there is a bit 14 aware of the usage of these firearms by the South African 15 of both. What normally happens is, as we discussed 15 PS. CHAIRPERSON: I'm going to ask him 17 thirts, the effect of the bullet upon the target but also 17 whether he's able to comment at all. 18 18 reversely, there's also the effect of the target upon the 18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. 19 bullet. In some cases when the bullet strikes the target, 19 CHAIRPERSON: Have you seen any training 20 relating to the use of these weapons? 22 W/O WESSELS: I must admit I've not seen 21 pressure upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or	9	your being here, there's always been a story that there are	9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, thank you
12 of ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an 12 to be SAPS officials in the usage of those firearms is 13 old wives' tale or is there science to it? 13 typically what he has been referring to when he says he's 14 W/O WESSELS: Sir, I think there is a bit 14 aware of the usage of these firearms by the South African 15 of both. What normally happens is, as we discussed 15 PS. 16 earlier, that there's two effects of the bullet upon the target but also 16 CHAIRPERSON: I'm going to ask him 17 firstly, the effect of the bullet strikes the target upon the 18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. 19 bullet. In some cases when the bullet strikes the target upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or the 20 material used by the South African Police Service regarding 21 pressure upon the bullet. Many times this is described 22 W/O WESSELS: I must admit I've not seen 23 core or the bullet might separate and also break up into 23 anything like that, I've also not been involved with the 24 training as such. 25 CHAIRPERSON: So it sounds to me, Mr 24 training as such. 25 CHAIRPERSON: So it	10	kinds of ammunitions which, on penetration, explode, which	10	very much. The purpose would be to get from the witness an
12 of ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an 12 to be SAPS officials in the usage of those firearms is 13 old wives' tale or is there science to it? 13 typically what he has been referring to when he says he's 14 W/O WESSELS: Sir, I think there is a bit 14 aware of the usage of these firearms by the South African 15 of both. What normally happens is, as we discussed 15 PS. 16 earlier, that there's two effects of the bullet upon the target but also 16 CHAIRPERSON: I'm going to ask him 17 firstly, the effect of the bullet strikes the target upon the 18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. 19 bullet. In some cases when the bullet strikes the target upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or the 20 material used by the South African Police Service regarding 21 pressure upon the bullet. Many times this is described 22 W/O WESSELS: I must admit I've not seen 23 core or the bullet might separate and also break up into 23 anything like that, I've also not been involved with the 24 training as such. 25 CHAIRPERSON: So it sounds to me, Mr 24 training as such. 25 CHAIRPERSON: So it	11	is why sometimes the target that has been hit by that kind	11	indication of whether the kind of training of what appears
14W/O WESSELS:Sir, I think there is a bit14aware of the usage of these firearms by the South African15of both. What normally happens is, as we discussed15PS.16earlier, that there's two effects in wound ballistics –16CHAIRPERSON:I'm going to ask him17firstly, the effect of the bullet upon the target bu also17whether he's able to comment at all.18reversely, there's also the effect of the bullet strikes the target,18MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes.19bullet. In some cases when the bullet strikes the target,19CHAIRPERSON:Have you seen any training20due to it moving from a very high velocity and being20material used by the South African Police Service regarding21sressure upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or the22W/O WESSELS:I must admit I've not seen23core or the bullet might separate and also break up into23anything like that, I've also not been involved with the24smaller pieces just by the shearing forces of the tissue24training as such.25working against the bullet. Many times this is described25CHAIRPERSON:So it sounds to me, Mr26yeage 21671Ntsebeza, that this won't take us any further. It may well2is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the3appropriately put this, but it sounds like a waste of time3forces being applied to it.4MR NTSEBEZA SC:R ChAIRPERSON:M chairman, I will not4dif the training o	12	of ammunition is fractured in a number of ways. Is that an	12	to be SAPS officials in the usage of those firearms is
15of both. What normally happens is, as we discussed15PS.16earlier, that there's two effects in wound ballistics –16CHAIRPERSON:I'm going to ask him17firstly, the effect of the bullet upon the target but also17whether he's able to comment at all.18reversely, there's also the effect of the target upon the18MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes.19bullet. In some cases when the bullet strikes the target,19CHAIRPERSON:Have you seen any training20due to it moving from a very high velocity and being20material used by the South African Police Service regarding21pressure upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or the22W/O WESSELS:I must admit I've not seen23core or the bullet might separate and also break up into23anything like that, I've also not been involved with the24smaller pieces just by the shearing forces of the tissue24training as such.25cHAIRPERSON:So it sounds to me, Mr7as the bullet exploding while it is actually, what happens25CHAIRPERSON:So it sounds to me, Mr2is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the3forces being applied to it.3appropriately put this, but it sounds like a waste of time4MR NTSEBEZA SC:Right, now my lade5MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I will not4of the training of South African Police Services in the use5MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I will not6of the various types of firearms?	13	old wives' tale or is there science to it?	13	typically what he has been referring to when he says he's
16earlier, that there's two effects in wound ballistics –16CHAIRPERSON:I'm going to ask him17firstly, the effect of the bullet upon the target but also17whether he's able to comment at all.18reversely, there's also the effect of the target upon the18MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes.19bullet. In some cases when the bullet strikes the target,19CHAIRPERSON:Have you seen any training20due to it moving from a very high velocity and being20material used by the South African Police Service regarding21suddenly slowed down, as you can expect, it puts great21- relating to the use of these weapons?22pressure upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or the22W/O WESSELS:I must admit I've not seen23core or the bullet might separate and also break up into23anything like that, I've also not been involved with the24smaller pieces just by the shearing forces of the tissue25CHAIRPERSON:So it sounds to me, Mr24as the bullet exploding while it is actually, what happens25CHAIRPERSON:So it sounds to me, Mr25is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the3appropriately put this, but it sounds like a waste of time3forces being applied to it.4MR NTSEBEZA SC:Right, now my lade5MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I will not4MR NTSEBEZA SC:Right, now my udeon thave it5MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I will not4dift eraining of South African Police Servic	14	W/O WESSELS: Sir, I think there is a bit	14	aware of the usage of these firearms by the South African
17firstly, the effect of the bullet upon the target but also17whether he's able to comment at all.18reversely, there's also the effect of the target upon the18MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.19bullet. In some cases when the bullet strikes the target,19CHAIRPERSON: Have you seen any training20due to it moving from a very high velocity and being20material used by the South African Police Service regarding21suddenly slowed down, as you can expect, it puts great20material used by the South African Police Service regarding22pressure upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or the23anything like that, I've also not been involved with the23core or the bullet might separate and also break up into24material used by the south African Police Service regarding24smaller pieces just by the shearing forces of the tissue25CHAIRPERSON: So it sounds to me, Mr25working against the bullet. Many times this is described25CHAIRPERSON: So it sounds to me, Mr26is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the3appropriately put this, but it sounds like a waste of time3forces being applied to it.4MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, now my last5MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I will not3of the training of South African Police Services in the use5MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I will not4now as you sit there, but is there any such material6take that any further, and I will not take the witness any7of the various types of firearms? I know you don't	15	of both. What normally happens is, as we discussed	15	PS.
18 reversely, there's also the effect of the target upon the 18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. 19 bullet. In some cases when the bullet strikes the target, 19 CHAIRPERSON: Have you seen any training 20 due to it moving from a very high velocity and being 20 material used by the South African Police Service regarding 21 suddenly slowed down, as you can expect, it puts great 21 - relating to the use of these weapons? 22 pressure upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or the 22 W/O WESSELS: I must admit I've not seen 23 core or the bullet might separate and also break up into 23 anything like that, I've also not been involved with the 24 smaller pieces just by the shearing forces of the tissue 25 CHAIRPERSON: So it sounds to me, Mr 25 working against the bullet. Many times this is described 25 CHAIRPERSON: So it sounds to me, Mr 26 is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the 3 appropriately put this, but it sounds like a waste of time 3 forces being applied to it. 3 appropriately put this, but it sounds like a waste of time 4 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, now my last 5 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I will not 5 of the var	16	earlier, that there's two effects in wound ballistics -	16	CHAIRPERSON: I'm going to ask him
19bullet. In some cases when the bullet strikes the target, 2019CHAIRPERSON:Have you seen any training material used by the South African Police Service regarding 2121suddenly slowed down, as you can expect, it puts great 2220material used by the South African Police Service regarding 2122pressure upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or the 2321- relating to the use of these weapons?23core or the bullet might separate and also break up into 2423anything like that, I've also not been involved with the training as such.25working against the bullet. Many times this is described25CHAIRPERSON:So it sounds to me, Mr26as the bullet exploding while it is actually, what happens 225CHAIRPERSON:So it sounds to me, Mr27as the bullet basically disintegrates due to the 33appropriately put this, but it sounds like a waste of time 43forces being applied to it.3appropriately put this, but it sounds like a waste of time 44MR NTSEBEZA SC:Right, now my last 55MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I will not 45of the training of South African Police Services in the use 75MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I will not 48now as you sit there, but is there any such material 9available?8CHAIRPERSON:Thank you, Mr Ntsebeza. Is 99available?10[12:04]W/O WESSELS:Unfortunately I cannot give10wishes to cross-examina?	17	firstly, the effect of the bullet upon the target but also	17	whether he's able to comment at all.
20due to it moving from a very high velocity and being 2120material used by the South African Police Service regarding - relating to the use of these weapons?22pressure upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or the 2320material used by the South African Police Service regarding - relating to the use of these weapons?23core or the bullet might separate and also break up into 2423anything like that, I've also not been involved with the training as such.25working against the bullet. Many times this is described25CHAIRPERSON:So it sounds to me, Mr7as the bullet basically disintegrates due to the 3 forces being applied to it.Page 2167 11Ntsebeza, that this won't take us any further. It may well 22be that there will be subsequent witnesses to whom you can 3 appropriately put this, but it sounds like a waste of time 414MR NTSEBEZA SC: 9 question will be following. Do you have any video material 65MR NTSEBEZA SC: 4Mr Chairman, I will not 48of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it 88CHAIRPERSON: 4Thank you, Mr Ntsebeza. Is 99available?10(12:04)W/O WESSELS:Unfortunately I cannot give1010[12:04]W/O WESSELS:Unfortunately I cannot give10wishes to cross-examina?	18	reversely, there's also the effect of the target upon the	18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
 21 suddenly slowed down, as you can expect, it puts great 22 pressure upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or the 23 core or the bullet might separate and also break up into 24 smaller pieces just by the shearing forces of the tissue 25 working against the bullet. Many times this is described 26 CHAIRPERSON: So it sounds to me, Mr 27 Page 2167 1 as the bullet exploding while it is actually, what happens 2 is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the 3 forces being applied to it. 4 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, now my last 5 question will be following. Do you have any video material 6 of the training of South African Police Services in the use 7 of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it 8 now as you sit there, but is there any such material 9 available? 10 [12:04] W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately I cannot give 	19	bullet. In some cases when the bullet strikes the target,	19	CHAIRPERSON: Have you seen any training
 22 pressure upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or the 23 core or the bullet might separate and also break up into 24 smaller pieces just by the shearing forces of the tissue 25 working against the bullet. Many times this is described 26 morking against the bullet. Many times this is described 27 Page 2167 1 as the bullet exploding while it is actually, what happens 2 is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the 3 forces being applied to it. 4 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, now my last 5 question will be following. Do you have any video material 6 of the training of South African Police Services in the use 7 of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it 8 now as you sit there, but is there any such material 9 available? 10 [12:04] W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately I cannot give 	20	due to it moving from a very high velocity and being	20	material used by the South African Police Service regarding
 23 core or the bullet might separate and also break up into 24 smaller pieces just by the shearing forces of the tissue 25 working against the bullet. Many times this is described 26 CHAIRPERSON: So it sounds to me, Mr 27 Page 2167 1 as the bullet exploding while it is actually, what happens 2 is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the 3 forces being applied to it. 4 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, now my last 5 question will be following. Do you have any video material 6 of the training of South African Police Services in the use 7 of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it 8 now as you sit there, but is there any such material 9 available? 10 [12:04] W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately I cannot give 	21	suddenly slowed down, as you can expect, it puts great	21	– relating to the use of these weapons?
24 smaller pieces just by the shearing forces of the tissue 24 training as such. 25 working against the bullet. Many times this is described 24 training as such. 25 CHAIRPERSON: So it sounds to me, Mr Page 2167 1 as the bullet exploding while it is actually, what happens 2 is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the 3 forces being applied to it. 4 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, now my last 5 question will be following. Do you have any video material 6 of the training of South African Police Services in the use 7 of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it 8 now as you sit there, but is there any such material MR NTSEBELS: 9 available? Unfortunately I cannot give 10 [12:04] W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately I cannot give	22	pressure upon the bullet. At this stage the jacket or the	22	W/O WESSELS: I must admit I've not seen
25 working against the bullet. Many times this is described 25 CHAIRPERSON: So it sounds to me, Mr Page 2167 1 as the bullet exploding while it is actually, what happens 2 1 Ntsebeza, that this won't take us any further. It may well 2 is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the 3 6 forces being applied to it. 4 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, now my last 5 ustion will be following. Do you have any video material 4 at the moment, frankly, with this witness. 5 question will be following. Do you have any video material 5 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I will not 6 of the training of South African Police Services in the use 7 further, but is there any such material 7 9 available? 1 Nfortunately I cannot give 8 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Ntsebeza. Is 9 there anyone else representing any of the parties who 10 wishes to cross-examine?	23	core or the bullet might separate and also break up into	23	anything like that, I've also not been involved with the
Page 2167Page 2167Page 21671as the bullet exploding while it is actually, what happens1Ntsebeza, that this won't take us any further. It may well2is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the1Ntsebeza, that this won't take us any further. It may well3forces being applied to it.2be that there will be subsequent witnesses to whom you can4MR NTSEBEZA SC:Right, now my last3appropriately put this, but it sounds like a waste of time5question will be following. Do you have any video material6of the training of South African Police Services in the use7of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it8CHAIRPERSON:Thank you, Mr Ntsebeza. Is9available?9there anyone else representing any of the parties who10wishes to cross-examine?	24	smaller pieces just by the shearing forces of the tissue	24	training as such.
 as the bullet exploding while it is actually, what happens is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the forces being applied to it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, now my last question will be following. Do you have any video material of the training of South African Police Services in the use of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it now as you sit there, but is there any such material available? MK NTSEBELS: Unfortunately I cannot give Ntsebeza, that this won't take us any further. It may well be that there will be subsequent witnesses to whom you can appropriately put this, but it sounds like a waste of time at the moment, frankly, with this witness. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I will not take that any further, and I will not take the witness any further. That's the end of my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Ntsebeza. Is there anyone else representing any of the parties who Itside to cross-examine? 	25	working against the bullet. Many times this is described	25	CHAIRPERSON: So it sounds to me, Mr
 as the bullet exploding while it is actually, what happens is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the forces being applied to it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, now my last question will be following. Do you have any video material of the training of South African Police Services in the use of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it now as you sit there, but is there any such material available? MK NTSEBELS: Unfortunately I cannot give Ntsebeza, that this won't take us any further. It may well be that there will be subsequent witnesses to whom you can appropriately put this, but it sounds like a waste of time at the moment, frankly, with this witness. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I will not take that any further, and I will not take the witness any further. That's the end of my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Ntsebeza. Is there anyone else representing any of the parties who Itside to cross-examine? 		Page 2167		Page 2169
 2 is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the 3 forces being applied to it. 4 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, now my last 5 question will be following. Do you have any video material 6 of the training of South African Police Services in the use 7 of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it 8 now as you sit there, but is there any such material 9 available? 2 be that there will be subsequent witnesses to whom you can 3 appropriately put this, but it sounds like a waste of time 4 at the moment, frankly, with this witness. 5 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I will not 6 take that any further, and I will not take the witness any 7 further. That's the end of my cross-examination. 8 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Ntsebeza. Is 9 there anyone else representing any of the parties who 10 [12:04] W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately I cannot give 	1		1	
4MR NTSEBEZA SC:Right, now my last4at the moment, frankly, with this witness.5question will be following. Do you have any video material5MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I will not6of the training of South African Police Services in the use5MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I will not7of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it6take that any further, and I will not take the witness any8now as you sit there, but is there any such material8CHAIRPERSON:Thank you, Mr Ntsebeza. Is9available?9there anyone else representing any of the parties who10wishes to cross-examine?	2	is that the bullet basically disintegrates due to the	2	be that there will be subsequent witnesses to whom you can
5question will be following. Do you have any video material5MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I will not6of the training of South African Police Services in the use5MR NTSEBEZA SC:Mr Chairman, I will not7of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it6take that any further, and I will not take the witness any7of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it7further. That's the end of my cross-examination.8CHAIRPERSON:Thank you, Mr Ntsebeza. Is9available?9there anyone else representing any of the parties who10[12:04]W/O WESSELS:Unfortunately I cannot give10	3	forces being applied to it.	3	appropriately put this, but it sounds like a waste of time
 6 of the training of South African Police Services in the use 7 of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it 8 now as you sit there, but is there any such material 9 available? 10 [12:04] W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately I cannot give 6 take that any further, and I will not take the witness any 7 further. That's the end of my cross-examination. 8 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Ntsebeza. Is 9 there anyone else representing any of the parties who 10 wishes to cross-examine? 	4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, now my last	4	at the moment, frankly, with this witness.
7of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it7further. That's the end of my cross-examination.8now as you sit there, but is there any such material8CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Ntsebeza. Is9available?9there anyone else representing any of the parties who10[12:04]W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately I cannot give10	5	question will be following. Do you have any video material	5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I will not
8now as you sit there, but is there any such material8CHAIRPERSON:Thank you, Mr Ntsebeza. Is9available?9there anyone else representing any of the parties who10[12:04]W/O WESSELS:Unfortunately I cannot give10wishes to cross-examine?	6	of the training of South African Police Services in the use	6	take that any further, and I will not take the witness any
9 available?9 there anyone else representing any of the parties who10 [12:04] W/O WESSELS:Unfortunately I cannot give10 wishes to cross-examine?	7	of the various types of firearms? I know you don't have it	7	further. That's the end of my cross-examination.
10 [12:04] W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately I cannot give 10 wishes to cross-examine?	8	now as you sit there, but is there any such material	8	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Ntsebeza. Is
	9	available?	9	there anyone else representing any of the parties who
11 you a direct answer I would expect there to be some 11 MS MASERE. Ves Chairperson on behalf	10	[12:04] W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately I cannot give	10	wishes to cross-examine?
The you duried answer. I would expect there to be some the work who who be.	11	you a direct answer. I would expect there to be some	11	MS MASEBE: Yes, Chairperson, on behalf
12 material shown of how people are trained, maybe just this 12 of the Monene family. My name Takalane Masebe, I would	12	material shown of how people are trained, maybe just this	12	of the Monene family. My name Takalane Masebe, I would
13promotional item or as a training guide, unfortunately I13like to put a few questions to this witness.	13			
14cannot, because I'm not part of that environment, give you14CHAIRPERSON:Please proceed.Have you				
15a 100% sure answer, but I would expect there to be.15got your microphone turned on?	15			
16 MR NTSEBEZA SC: There is a 20 second 16 MS MASEBE: Chairperson, I'm right at the				
17 clip that I will ask the permission of the chair to show, 17 back. I believe –				
18 which purports, and I can't take it higher than that, it 18 CHAIRPERSON: Is your microphone turned				
19 purports to be SAPS in training in the use of R5s. Just a 19 on? 20 20 20 MC MASERE Yes must be a base of R5s.				
1 ZU ZU SECOTU. I MAKE NO CIAIMS THAT IT IS THE THING. MY TEN 1 ZU MIS MASEBE: YES, MY MICROPHONE IS ON.	20	20 second. I make no claims that it is the thing. My ten	20	MS MASEBE: Yes, my microphone is on.
21 year old daughter sent me to a thing a called You Tube, and 21 CHAIRPERSON: I wonder if it wouldn't be		-		
21year old daughter sent me to a thing a called You Tube, and 2221CHAIRPERSON:I wonder if it wouldn't be 2222then I got that and I believe that it has been indicated -22better, I'm sure Mr Semenya would be honoured to have you				
21year old daughter sent me to a thing a called You Tube, and21CHAIRPERSON:I wonder if it wouldn't be22then I got that and I believe that it has been indicated -22better, I'm sure Mr Semenya would be honoured to have you23CHAIRPERSON:Mr Ntsebeza, I see Mr23sitting on his right-hand.There's an empty seat next to				
21year old daughter sent me to a thing a called You Tube, and 2221CHAIRPERSON:I wonder if it wouldn't be 2222then I got that and I believe that it has been indicated -22better, I'm sure Mr Semenya would be honoured to have you	20	Frankly le your other	25	our see us, and things might proceed more smoothly. Tou

	Page 2170		Page 2172
1	don't object, do you, Mr Semenya? Please proceed with your	1	them to him.
2	questions. Maybe easier to turn Mr Semenya's microphone	2	MS MASEBE: Chairperson, unfortunately my
3	ON.	3	line of questioning is on this exact picture and that exact
4	MS MASEBE: Thank you, Chair.	4	bullet wound, so I believe that we'll have to – the witness
5	CHAIRPERSON: He won't object, you have	5	will have to stand down.
6	to share a microphone.	6	CHAIRPERSON: Well, let's go and ask the
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE: I don't	7	evidence leaders how long they'll need. How long will you
8	believe he will. Warrant Officer, I would like you to turn	8 9	need, Ms Pillay, you're attending to this are you?
9	to slide 54 of Exhibit L. I believe it's right in front of	-	MS PILLAY: Yes.
10 11	you. Have you got slide 54? 54, Exhibit L? W/O WESSELS: Yes, I do.	10	CHAIRPERSON: How long will you need to find the photograph to which reference has been made?
12	W/O WESSELS: Yes, I do. MS MASEBE: Yes.	11 12	MS PILLAY: Chair, because it relates the
12	CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if it's	12	deaths before the 16th, we probably need about 15 minutes
14	necessary to put this slide up. If you want it put up,	14	just to locate the pm report.
14	give us warning because it's one of these slides we were	14	CHAIRPERSON: Okay, may I ask is there
16	given warnings about in the past, but if you don't need to	16	anyone else who wants to ask questions – cross-examination
17	have it shown and you just want to ask the witness about	17	of the witness? No, well is there any re-examination from
18	it, without being shown, just carry on, but otherwise we	18	the side of the evidence leaders which we can take those
19	have to warn those who may be affected to give them the	19	now, so we don't have to adjourn for 15 minutes.
20	chance to leave if they wish.	20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: The re-examination, Mr
21	MS MASEBE: That is correct, Chairperson,	21	Chairman, will have to be –
22	I don't believe it should be shown. I believe that it will	22	CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I made that
23	suffice from here. Warrant officer if you can look at that	23	mistake that before. Mr Semenya is going to re-examine the
24	picture, right on top of the dark patch that covers tea	24	witness, but I understand that Commissioner Hemraj also
25	face, there is what appears to be a bullet wound. Would	25	wants to ask some questions, so while Ms Pillay is trying
	Page 2171		Page 2173
1	you agree with me that is a bullet wound?	1	to find the photograph, Commissioner Hemraj will ask
2	W/O WESSELS: Unfortunately, from the	2	questions and then if he has any re-examination, Mr Semenya
3	angle that it's taken, it's very difficult to determine.	3	will do so. Commissioner Hemraj, would you like to start
4	It would appear to be similar to a bullet wound.	4	asking questions?
5	Unfortunately I cannot in all honesty say 100% from this	5	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. Warrant
6	angle whether or not it was.	6	officer, in the flight of the bullet, at some stage it
7	CHAIRPERSON: According to the caption,	7	loses velocity, is that correct?
8	this is a picture of the second deceased member of the	8	W/O WESSELS: That's would be correct.
9	police who was killed on the 13th of August. It's stated	9	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: And it becomes
10	that he was hacked and shot twice. So you would expect to	10	unstable and tumbles?
11 12	find – I'm not sure if they're visible in the photograph,	11 12	W/O WESSELS: It becomes more – it just
12	but you would expect to find two bullet wounds. MS MASEBE: Thank you, Chair.	12 13	slows down, not necessary tumbles. A tumble or an unstableness would be when it actually strikes something in
13	CHAIRPERSON: Ja, maybe we should ask the	13	it's way.
14	evidence leaders the pm photographs, taken at the post-	14	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: And the appearance
16	mortem examination, because they may illustrate more	16	of a wound created by such a bullet is very different to
17	clearly the point you're trying to make.	17	the appearance of wound created by a bullet that's still
18	MS MASEBE: That will be perfect,	18	stable.
19	Chairperson.	19	W/O WESSELS: That would be correct.
20	CHAIRPERSON: It may take some time to	20	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: And the appearance
21	find those photograph, are there other questions you wish	21	of that wound is one of the factors that assist in
22	to ask in the meanwhile, you can return to it, and if	22	determining the distance between the shotist and the
23	you've finished your other questions and they still haven't	23	target.
24	found the photographs yet, then we can ask the witness to	24	W/O WESSELS: Both the appearance of the
	stand down and when they have been found, you can then put	25	wound and then other factors such as - the presence or the
25	- 10 - IA		

Rustenburg

	I	
	Page 2174	Page 2176
1 absence of tattooing, or the deposits of l		1 specific firearm and where the switch for the select – or
2 would be used to determine distance.		2 the selector switch in that term, is situated. Also how it
		activates whether it's in a position where it might be
4 of tattooing, an irregular entrance wound		4 accidentally bumped from a single shot to full automatic.
5 circular defect is sometimes an indication		5 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Well, tell us about
6 that's been fired from a longer distance?	e	6 the R1 and the R5. Are those deliberate actions in
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7 switching them to automatic fire?
8 would also indicate the bullet struck som	ething on its way	8 W/O WESSELS: The R1 is quite a
9 or maybe was – or it struck an intermedi	ate target, which	9 deliberate action. On the R5 I have seen it, I have
10 made it unstable.	10	0 personally seen it, that it is accidentally switched,
11 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:	So it's a ricochet 1	1 either by the thumb, or by an inconsistent or wrong grip,
12 bullet then?	12	2 if I can say that, upon the firearm.
13 W/O WESSELS: Possible, ye	es. 13	3 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: And then what is
14 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:	Now, with a 9mm, 14	4 the effect of rubber balls hitting a human body? What is
15 what is the range before that projectile b	becomes somewhat 15	5 the force with which they hit the human body? Can they
16 unstable and would create an irregular e	ntrance wound? 10	6 stop a person in their tracks, can they push them back,
17 W/O WESSELS: It would be	e difficult, 17	7 what exactly is the effect?
18 since, as I say, they don't really become	unstable as such, 18	8 W/O WESSELS: Ma'am, it would depend on
19 they slowly start losing velocity and rotat	tional spin and 19	9 the impact velocity of, and that would also really, in the
20 then, only then, but that would be at a v	ery long distance. 20	0 case of the rubber balls, be determined by the distance.
21 I would expect, if I remember what I rea	nd up about it, in 27	1 It will not be able to hit – I would not expect it to be
22 the region of about a kilometre.	22	2 able to hit somebody down. It would cause severe pain and
23 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:	And what a 23	3 a severe bruise upon the area where it struck.
24 projectile that emanates – fired from a ri	fle? 24	4 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Can you perhaps say
25 W/O WESSELS: That I wou	Id just about 25	5 from what approximate distance it would need to be fired to
1 double the distance as around the range	Page 2175	Page 2177
 double the distance, so around the range kilometres. 		 actually stop someone in their tracks? W/O WESSELS: Ma'am, I think that would
	3	actually depend on the pain threshold of the person. For
• • • • •		4 one person it might be a lot further distance where he
		5 would be discouraged from going further, for somebody
		6 that's maybe in an advanced stage of agitation, or maybe on
7 CHAIRPERSON: Recently?		7 something that's given him a numbing effect, it might be
	ecked to see 8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9 that it has been fired. Unfortunately, the		9 repeated times.
10 determine when it was last fired. The pre-	ů,	5
11 residue or partially burnt powder particles		5 5 5
12 would indicate that it was fired. Unfortur	-	
13 possible to determine when was the last t		
14 was fired.	14	
	to your tests 1	
16 cannot determine whether the firearm wa		
17 last 24 hours or last week or last month,		
18 year?	18	
19 W/O WESSELS: It only indic		5
20 was not cleaned since the last time it was		
21 that might be.	2	
	On another topic, 22	
23 the switching of the firearm to automatic		
24 deliberate action, or can that happen acci	-	G
25 W/O WESSELS: That would	depend on a 25	5 know where she got the pictures from, we can trace it.

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

2011			in or inquiry Rustenbul
	Page 2178		Page 2180
1	CHAIRPERSON: We understand that	1	you. Should the [inaudible] that some of the protestors
2	photograph of the PMs are – I don't know if all the PMs,	2	had blankets on them, would you expect that to have an
3	but some of the PMs are in the possession of some of the	3	affect on rubber bullets fired at them?
4	evidence leaders. Are you saying you haven't got	4	W/O WESSELS: Rubber bulls or bullets?
5	photographs in respect of this particular post-mortem	5	MR SEMENYA SC: Rubber balls.
6	examination?	6	W/O WESSELS: Yes, I would expect that
7	MS PILLAY: Chair, we have the post-	7	that would cushion the impact of the balls.
8	mortem report of this particular deceased, but it doesn't	8	MR SEMENYA SC: Can I take you back to
9	have any pictures attached to it. So we've just, this	9	the evidence relating to buck shots, do you recall that
10	morning, received additional photographs from IPID, and Mr	10	evidence?
11 12	Wesley's going through that as we speak, to see if we can find any relating to Mr Manana, but I'm almost cortain that	11	W/O WESSELS: Yes, I do.
12 13	find any relating to Mr Monene, but I'm almost certain that	12 13	MR SEMENYA SC: Do you know what type of
13 14	that's not the picture that Ms Takalane was referring to, because that has just virtually come in. So if there is	13	buck shots we have, as in LG, SG etcetera? W/O WESSELS: The most commonly found
14 15	another photo that she is specifically referring to, if she	14	would be the LG, SG, SSG, AAA and that would, from thereon
16	can let us know where it is, we can then arrange for it to	16	would be the EG, 355, 356, AAA and that would, non thereon would be described further on down as birdshot.
10	be shown.	17	MR SEMENYA SC: And do you know which
17	[12:24] MS MASEBE: Well, Chairperson, I was	18	ones are issued to the SAPS?
10	referring to this only picture and unfortunately if the	19	W/O WESSELS: As far as I am familiar,
20	witness says he cannot see whether it was a bullet wound or	20	Sir, it is SSG.
21	not, then it doesn't help us at all.	21	MR SEMENYA SC: And in relation to
22	CHAIRPERSON: If I understood you to	22	birdshots, what type of birdshots are there?
23	refer to photograph N which is part of Exhibit L, -	23	W/O WESSELS: Birdshot would be in
24	MS MASEBE: Yes –	24	descending numbers, gaining numbers from 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 7
25	CHAIRPERSON: - and then I raised the	25	and a half, number 9 and also number 12 shot.
	Page 2179		Page 2181
1	question as to whether there wasn't perhaps a photograph	1	MR SEMENYA SC: And do you know which
2	taken as part of the post mortem examination. The answer	2	ones are issued to the South African Police Service?
3	to that appears to be, there may be but the evidence	3	W/O WESSELS: As far as I know it is
4 r	leaders can't tell us yet and Mr Wesley is trying to find	4	number 5 shot.
5	out. So if that photograph, if there is a photograph and	5	MR SEMENYA SC: And perhaps can we
6 7	that will assist you in your cross-examination, then	6 7	reiterate, Warrant Officer, the range, the lethal range of an R5 shot would be what?
7 0	perhaps we can ask Mr Semenya in the meanwhile to re-		
8 9	examine and perhaps, I don't know how long his re- examination will be, but either if Mr Wesley comes back	8 9	W/O WESSELS: The lethal range for a 556 bullet fired from an R4 could be up to 2 kilometres.
9 10	during the re-examination you can take over, or we may have	9 10	MR SEMENYA SC: And for a 9 millimetre?
10	to have a short adjournment when Mr Semenya is finished, to	11	W/O WESSELS: It would be up to a 1,2
12	see what Mr Wesley has been able to find, unless if there	12	kilometres, 1200 metres.
13	are other questions you can ask at the moment in the	13	MR SEMENYA SC: Now as you testified a
14	absence of a photograph?	14	magazine to a pistol may have a particular capacity.
15	MS MASEBE: Chairperson, unfortunately	15	W/O WESSELS: That is correct.
16	not, without this photograph, unless if it can be enlarged	16	MR SEMENYA SC: And is it possible to
17	and then the witness can be able to see that particular	17	have more bullets than the capacity of the magazine such as
	bullet wound, there isn't other questions.	18	those that may be in the chamber?
18	-	19	W/O WESSELS: That would be correct.
	CHAIRPERSON: I would think it is	-	
19	probably a very high probability that there will be a	20	MR SEMENYA SC: So if you find a pistol
19 20		20 21	with a full magazine it does not necessarily conclude that
19 20 21	probably a very high probability that there will be a		
19 20 21 22	probably a very high probability that there will be a photograph because it was done in all of the post mortem	21	with a full magazine it does not necessarily conclude that
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	probably a very high probability that there will be a photograph because it was done in all of the post mortem examinations, but in the meanwhile let's not waste further	21 22	with a full magazine it does not necessarily conclude that such a firearm has not been fired?
19 20 21 22 23	probably a very high probability that there will be a photograph because it was done in all of the post mortem examinations, but in the meanwhile let's not waste further time. Mr Semenya, would you like to re-examine and then	21 22 23	with a full magazine it does not necessarily conclude that such a firearm has not been fired? W/O WESSELS: No, no, it would not.

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

1	Page 2182	1	Page 2184
1	those firearms are issued to the South African Police?	1	could come back. Does that mean you found the photographs?
2	W/O WESSELS: The R5 is definitely	2	MR WESLEY: Mr Chair, yes, we found the
3	issued, yes.	3	photographs.
4	MR SEMENYA SC: And would an R5 use	4	CHAIRPERSON: May I enquire whether they
5	necessarily suggest a state of war?	5	are of such a nature to necessitate a warning to members of
6	W/O WESSELS: No, I would not suggest	6	the Masebe family?
7	that.	7	MS MASEBE: Well, Chairperson, I would
8	MR SEMENYA SC: In cases such as heists	8	suggest that a warning be issued although it's not a very
9	what armour men do you expect the police to have?	9	big picture so – but then I would suggest that a warning be
10	W/O WESSELS: I would expect them to be	10	issued because I know that the family members are present.
11	armed with something capable of or just as capable as what	11	CHAIRPERSON: It's the Manabe family,
12	the people doing the crime, to put them on an equal footing	12	isn't it?
13	with at least that. So in this case it would be R5s.	13	MS MASEBE: Monene.
14	MR SEMENYA SC: And should the evidence	14	CHAIRPERSON: Monene?
15	be that the police knew that some of the protestors had	15	MS MASEBE: Monene.
16	confiscated an R5 from them, from one of them, what armour	16	CHAIRPERSON: If there are any members of
17	do you expect to be police response to have amongst many?	17	the Monene family in the auditorium, it's my duty to tell
18	W/O WESSELS: At least R5 or R1 rifles.	18	you that we are shortly to see a picture taken at the post-
19	MR SEMENYA SC: And if Intelligence at	19	mortem examination on the body of Mr Monene which may well
20	the hands of police suggests that there could be one of the	20	cause them a lot of unhappiness and grief and distress. So
21	protestors with an R5, what type of a unit in the police	21	I'm going to give them a minute to leave the auditorium if
22	would be appropriate to deal with such a threat?	22	they wish to do so. For the record, I understand that the
23	W/O WESSELS: I would suggest that would	23 24	interpretation has been both in isiXhosa and in Sesotho, is that correct?
24 25	require the special skills of the more advanced units such	24 25	MR HANABE: Yes.
25	as the Special Task Force, the NIU and if they were not	25	WIRTHANADE. TES.
	Page 2183		Page 2185
1	available, TRT.	1	CHAIRPERSON: The family concerned are
2			5
	MR SEMENYA SC: And what weapons would	2	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any
3	MR SEMENYA SC: And what weapons would you expect them to have?	2 3	-
3 4	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and		actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any
	you expect them to have?	3	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can
4	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and	3 4	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed.
4 5	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several	3 4 5	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD):
4 5 6	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be	3 4 5 6	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now,
4 5 6 7	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be required.	3 4 5 6 7	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was
4 5 6 7 8	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be required. MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Warrant Officer, those are the questions, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Wesley has	3 4 5 6 7 8	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does
4 5 6 7 8 9	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be required. MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Warrant Officer, those are the questions, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Wesley has returned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a
4 5 7 8 9 10	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be required. MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Warrant Officer, those are the questions, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Wesley has returned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are you able to tell us whether there is a photograph such as the	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a bullet wound.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be required. MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Warrant Officer, those are the questions, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Wesley has returned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are you able to tell us whether there is a photograph such as the one which is sought for use in cross-examination?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a bullet wound. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to tell us
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be required. MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Warrant Officer, those are the questions, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Wesley has returned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are you able to tell us whether there is a photograph such as the one which is sought for use in cross-examination? MR WESLEY: Mr Chair, I am afraid I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a bullet wound. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to tell us whether that's the entrance wound or the exit wound?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be required. MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Warrant Officer, those are the questions, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Wesley has returned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are you able to tell us whether there is a photograph such as the one which is sought for use in cross-examination? MR WESLEY: Mr Chair, I am afraid I didn't see the photograph which was sought, I was out of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a bullet wound. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to tell us whether that's the entrance wound or the exit wound? W/O WESSELS: It appears to be an
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be required. MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Warrant Officer, those are the questions, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Wesley has returned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are you able to tell us whether there is a photograph such as the one which is sought for use in cross-examination? MR WESLEY: Mr Chair, I am afraid I didn't see the photograph which was sought, I was out of chambers at the time, but what we are doing is, we are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a bullet wound. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to tell us whether that's the entrance wound or the exit wound? W/O WESSELS: It appears to be an entrance wound.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you expect them to have?W/O WESSELS:That would be R5s, R1s andin specific cases I think, in such a case I think severalmembers armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might berequired.MR SEMENYA SC:Thank you, WarrantOfficer, those are the questions, Mr Chair.CHAIRPERSON:I see Mr Wesley hasreturned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are youable to tell us whether there is a photograph such as theone which is sought for use in cross-examination?MR WESLEY:Mr Chair, I am afraid Ididn't see the photograph which was sought, I was out ofchambers at the time, but what we are doing is, we arearranging that some of the autopsy photographs, what we	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a bullet wound. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to tell us whether that's the entrance wound or the exit wound? W/O WESSELS: It appears to be an entrance wound. MS MASEBE: Now, Warrant Officer, the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be required. MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Warrant Officer, those are the questions, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Wesley has returned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are you able to tell us whether there is a photograph such as the one which is sought for use in cross-examination? MR WESLEY: Mr Chair, I am afraid I didn't see the photograph which was sought, I was out of chambers at the time, but what we are doing is, we are arranging that some of the autopsy photographs will be able	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a bullet wound. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to tell us whether that's the entrance wound or the exit wound? W/O WESSELS: It appears to be an entrance wound. MS MASEBE: Now, Warrant Officer, the appearance of a greyish colour and a black colour, is that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you expect them to have?W/O WESSELS:That would be R5s, R1s andin specific cases I think, in such a case I think severalmembers armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might berequired.MR SEMENYA SC:Thank you, WarrantOfficer, those are the questions, Mr Chair.CHAIRPERSON:I see Mr Wesley hasreturned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are youable to tell us whether there is a photograph such as theone which is sought for use in cross-examination?MR WESLEY:Mr Chair, I am afraid Ididn't see the photograph which was sought, I was out ofchambers at the time, but what we are doing is, we arearranging that some of the autopsy photographs, what webelieve to be the correct autopsy photographs will be ableto be viewed on the screens.If we could perhaps just have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a bullet wound. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to tell us whether that's the entrance wound or the exit wound? W/O WESSELS: It appears to be an entrance wound. MS MASEBE: Now, Warrant Officer, the appearance of a greyish colour and a black colour, is that an indication of what you earlier referred to as tattooing
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you expect them to have?W/O WESSELS:That would be R5s, R1s andin specific cases I think, in such a case I think severalmembers armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might berequired.MR SEMENYA SC:Thank you, WarrantOfficer, those are the questions, Mr Chair.CHAIRPERSON:I see Mr Wesley hasreturned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are youable to tell us whether there is a photograph such as theone which is sought for use in cross-examination?MR WESLEY:Mr Chair, I am afraid Ididn't see the photograph which was sought, I was out ofchambers at the time, but what we are doing is, we arearranging that some of the autopsy photographs, what webelieve to be the correct autopsy photographs will be ableto be viewed on the screens.If we could perhaps just havefive minutes to arrange that, I do apologise?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a bullet wound. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to tell us whether that's the entrance wound or the exit wound? W/O WESSELS: It appears to be an entrance wound. MS MASEBE: Now, Warrant Officer, the appearance of a greyish colour and a black colour, is that an indication of what you earlier referred to as tattooing in your evidence?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be required. MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Warrant Officer, those are the questions, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Wesley has returned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are you able to tell us whether there is a photograph such as the one which is sought for use in cross-examination? MR WESLEY: Mr Chair, I am afraid I didn't see the photograph which was sought, I was out of chambers at the time, but what we are doing is, we are arranging that some of the autopsy photographs, what we believe to be the correct autopsy photographs will be able to be viewed on the screens. If we could perhaps just have five minutes to arrange that, I do apologise? CHAIRPERSON: The commission will adjourn	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a bullet wound. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to tell us whether that's the entrance wound or the exit wound? W/O WESSELS: It appears to be an entrance wound. MS MASEBE: Now, Warrant Officer, the appearance of a greyish colour and a black colour, is that an indication of what you earlier referred to as tattooing in your evidence? W/O WESSELS: This is actually the skin
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be required. MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Warrant Officer, those are the questions, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Wesley has returned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are you able to tell us whether there is a photograph such as the one which is sought for use in cross-examination? MR WESLEY: Mr Chair, I am afraid I didn't see the photograph which was sought, I was out of chambers at the time, but what we are doing is, we are arranging that some of the autopsy photographs, what we believe to be the correct autopsy photographs will be able to be viewed on the screens. If we could perhaps just have five minutes to arrange that, I do apologise? CHAIRPERSON: The commission will adjourn until Mr Wesley is ready to proceed.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a bullet wound. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to tell us whether that's the entrance wound or the exit wound? W/O WESSELS: It appears to be an entrance wound. MS MASEBE: Now, Warrant Officer, the appearance of a greyish colour and a black colour, is that an indication of what you earlier referred to as tattooing in your evidence? W/O WESSELS: This is actually the skin of, the ring of abrasion. It's where the bullet actually
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be required. MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Warrant Officer, those are the questions, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Wesley has returned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are you able to tell us whether there is a photograph such as the one which is sought for use in cross-examination? MR WESLEY: Mr Chair, I am afraid I didn't see the photograph which was sought, I was out of chambers at the time, but what we are doing is, we are arranging that some of the autopsy photographs, what we believe to be the correct autopsy photographs will be able to be viewed on the screens. If we could perhaps just have five minutes to arrange that, I do apologise? CHAIRPERSON: The commission will adjourn until Mr Wesley is ready to proceed. [COMMISSION ADJUURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a bullet wound. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to tell us whether that's the entrance wound or the exit wound? W/O WESSELS: It appears to be an entrance wound. MS MASEBE: Now, Warrant Officer, the appearance of a greyish colour and a black colour, is that an indication of what you earlier referred to as tattooing in your evidence? W/O WESSELS: This is actually the skin of, the ring of abrasion. It's where the bullet actually abrades against the skin as it moves through and overcomes
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be required. MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Warrant Officer, those are the questions, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Wesley has returned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are you able to tell us whether there is a photograph such as the one which is sought for use in cross-examination? MR WESLEY: Mr Chair, I am afraid I didn't see the photograph which was sought, I was out of chambers at the time, but what we are doing is, we are arranging that some of the autopsy photographs, what we believe to be the correct autopsy photographs will be able to be viewed on the screens. If we could perhaps just have five minutes to arrange that, I do apologise? CHAIRPERSON: The commission will adjourn until Mr Wesley is ready to proceed. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [12:42] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a bullet wound. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to tell us whether that's the entrance wound or the exit wound? W/O WESSELS: It appears to be an entrance wound. MS MASEBE: Now, Warrant Officer, the appearance of a greyish colour and a black colour, is that an indication of what you earlier referred to as tattooing in your evidence? W/O WESSELS: This is actually the skin of, the ring of abrasion. It's where the bullet actually abrades against the skin as it moves through and overcomes the elasticity of the skin and it also looks like what
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you expect them to have? W/O WESSELS: That would be R5s, R1s and in specific cases I think, in such a case I think several members armed with Sniper or very accurate rifles might be required. MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Warrant Officer, those are the questions, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Wesley has returned and he is being speaking to Adv Pillay, are you able to tell us whether there is a photograph such as the one which is sought for use in cross-examination? MR WESLEY: Mr Chair, I am afraid I didn't see the photograph which was sought, I was out of chambers at the time, but what we are doing is, we are arranging that some of the autopsy photographs, what we believe to be the correct autopsy photographs will be able to be viewed on the screens. If we could perhaps just have five minutes to arrange that, I do apologise? CHAIRPERSON: The commission will adjourn until Mr Wesley is ready to proceed. [COMMISSION ADJUURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	actually Sesotho speakers. Well, no-one is showing any signs of leaving the auditorium so I take it we can proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS MASEBE (CONTD): Thank you, Chair. May we have the picture shown? Now, Warrant Officer, that is the bullet wound that I was referring to. Can you see it? W/O WESSELS: If it's just possible that it can just go and stand closer, do you mind? Yes, it does appear as a bullet wound, it has the characteristics of a bullet wound. CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to tell us whether that's the entrance wound or the exit wound? W/O WESSELS: It appears to be an entrance wound. MS MASEBE: Now, Warrant Officer, the appearance of a greyish colour and a black colour, is that an indication of what you earlier referred to as tattooing in your evidence? W/O WESSELS: This is actually the skin of, the ring of abrasion. It's where the bullet actually abrades against the skin as it moves through and overcomes

		T	
	Page 2186		Page 2188
1	actually say it was closer than the two in distance and it	1	but I will ask the Commission to indicate what it is that
2	would appear to be a loose contact wound.	2	I'm proposing to do.
3	MS MASEBE: Now Warrant Officer, you've	3	CHAIRPERSON: I think you must tell me
4	just stated that it was closer. Can you estimate the	4	what you propose to do, I can't read your mind. Mr Mpofu
5	distance from which this shot was fired?	5	also wants to say something but first say what you want to
6	W/O WESSELS: It appears that the barrel	6	say –
7	of the specific firearm was actually just about touching	7	MR MADLANGA SC: That is the –
8	the skin or touching the person.	8	CHAIRPERSON: Call the names out and then
9	MS MASEBE: Now Warrant Officer, can you	9	we –
10	tell this Commission the type of firearm that would be	10	MR MADLANGA SC: I did, I already did
11	capable of firing such a wound?	11	indicate, Chair, which is that I propose reading the names
12	W/O WESSELS: It is difficult to say from	12	out, yes.
13	this angle. I would suggest that it's a handgun.	13	CHAIRPERSON: Read out the names.
14	MS MASEBE: Thank you, Chairperson, that	14	MR MADLANGA SC: Thank you, Chair. Mr
15	will be all.	15	Vusi Mohale, please just enquire if the people are in the
16	CHAIRPERSON: Is there anyone who wishes	16	room and – because some of the names are Sesotho names, if
17	to ask any questions arising from the questions that have	17	you could say it both in isiXhosa and Sesotho. Sethembele
18	just been asked?	18	Ntakana, Mlambisa Thokotokwana, Tembinkosi Mtjo, Umlandeni
19	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Warrant Officer,	19	Nyalwana and Lungani Mabotyana.
20	the carrying of one round in the chamber in the pistol, is	20	CHAIRPERSON: None of the person's names
21	it the practice of the South African Police Service to do	21	has responded or they do not appear to be present. Mr
22	that?	22	Mpofu has put his microphone one, presumably he wants to
23	W/O WESSELS: I know that some of the	23	help us.
24	units do, do that.	24	MR MPOFU: Chairperson, yes, thank you
25	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Is that to	25	very much. This is a matter that I was going to address
-		-	
	Page 2187		
1	Page 2187 facilitate the reaction time?	1	Page 2189 hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the
1 2		1 2	-
	facilitate the reaction time?		hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the
2	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct.	2	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys
2 3	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you.	2 3	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back
2 3 4	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further	2 3 4	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five,
2 3 4 5	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer	2 3 4 5	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside.
2 3 4 5 6	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance,	2 3 4 5 6	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside?
2 3 4 5 6 7	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if or some reason we have to	2 3 4 5 6 7	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that Ms Pillay was now going to assist us in the housekeeping	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following about this matter. Firstly, that we are very much taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that Ms Pillay was now going to assist us in the housekeeping matters that we discussed on Friday, documents that had to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following about this matter. Firstly, that we are very much taken aback that subpoenas have been issued against our clients
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that Ms Pillay was now going to assist us in the housekeeping matters that we discussed on Friday, documents that had to be – should have been provided by the cross-examiners and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following about this matter. Firstly, that we are very much taken aback that subpoenas have been issued against our clients because the arrangements that were being discussed – and as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that Ms Pillay was now going to assist us in the housekeeping matters that we discussed on Friday, documents that had to be – should have been provided by the cross-examiners and weren't but which she was now going to give us, exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following about this matter. Firstly, that we are very much taken aback that subpoenas have been issued against our clients because the arrangements that were being discussed – and as I indicated many times before, we, for the people we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that Ms Pillay was now going to assist us in the housekeeping matters that we discussed on Friday, documents that had to be – should have been provided by the cross-examiners and weren't but which she was now going to give us, exhibit letters and so forth, but Mr Madlanga if it's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following about this matter. Firstly, that we are very much taken aback that subpoenas have been issued against our clients because the arrangements that were being discussed – and as I indicated many times before, we, for the people we represent who are charged with murder, among other things –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that Ms Pillay was now going to assist us in the housekeeping matters that we discussed on Friday, documents that had to be – should have been provided by the cross-examiners and weren't but which she was now going to give us, exhibit letters and so forth, but Mr Madlanga if it's not appropriate or possible to do that at the moment, we will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following about this matter. Firstly, that we are very much taken aback that subpoenas have been issued against our clients because the arrangements that were being discussed – and as I indicated many times before, we, for the people we represent who are charged with murder, among other things – it is our preference and their preference that if they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that Ms Pillay was now going to assist us in the housekeeping matters that we discussed on Friday, documents that had to be – should have been provided by the cross-examiners and weren't but which she was now going to give us, exhibit letters and so forth, but Mr Madlanga if it's not appropriate or possible to do that at the moment, we will adjourn. I was proposing to adjourn because we started	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following about this matter. Firstly, that we are very much taken aback that subpoenas have been issued against our clients because the arrangements that were being discussed – and as I indicated many times before, we, for the people we represent who are charged with murder, among other things – it is our preference and their preference that if they're going to testify they should be led by the legal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that Ms Pillay was now going to assist us in the housekeeping matters that we discussed on Friday, documents that had to be – should have been provided by the cross-examiners and weren't but which she was now going to give us, exhibit letters and so forth, but Mr Madlanga if it's not appropriate or possible to do that at the moment, we will adjourn. I was proposing to adjourn because we started late this morning, being a Monday, till half past one. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following about this matter. Firstly, that we are very much taken aback that subpoenas have been issued against our clients because the arrangements that were being discussed – and as I indicated many times before, we, for the people we represent who are charged with murder, among other things – it is our preference and their preference that if they're going to testify they should be led by the legal representatives of their choice.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that Ms Pillay was now going to assist us in the housekeeping matters that we discussed on Friday, documents that had to be – should have been provided by the cross-examiners and weren't but which she was now going to give us, exhibit letters and so forth, but Mr Madlanga if it's not appropriate or possible to do that at the moment, we will adjourn. I was proposing to adjourn because we started late this morning, being a Monday, till half past one. So would it be appropriate for us to take that adjournment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following about this matter. Firstly, that we are very much taken aback that subpoenas have been issued against our clients because the arrangements that were being discussed – and as I indicated many times before, we, for the people we represent who are charged with murder, among other things – it is our preference and their preference that if they're going to testify they should be led by the legal representatives of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: Other choice.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that Ms Pillay was now going to assist us in the housekeeping matters that we discussed on Friday, documents that had to be – should have been provided by the cross-examiners and weren't but which she was now going to give us, exhibit letters and so forth, but Mr Madlanga if it's not appropriate or possible to do that at the moment, we will adjourn. I was proposing to adjourn because we started late this morning, being a Monday, till half past one. So would it be appropriate for us to take that adjournment now?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following about this matter. Firstly, that we are very much taken aback that subpoenas have been issued against our clients because the arrangements that were being discussed – and as I indicated many times before, we, for the people we represent who are charged with murder, among other things – it is our preference and their preference that if they're going to testify they should be led by the legal representatives of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: - afforded the opportunity to lead the witnesses and my understanding, from what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that Ms Pillay was now going to assist us in the housekeeping matters that we discussed on Friday, documents that had to be – should have been provided by the cross-examiners and weren't but which she was now going to give us, exhibit letters and so forth, but Mr Madlanga if it's not appropriate or possible to do that at the moment, we will adjourn. I was proposing to adjourn because we started late this morning, being a Monday, till half past one. So would it be appropriate for us to take that adjournment now? MR MADLANGA SC: Chair, may I ask – there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following about this matter. Firstly, that we are very much taken aback that subpoenas have been issued against our clients because the arrangements that were being discussed – and as I indicated many times before, we, for the people we represent who are charged with murder, among other things – it is our preference and their preference that if they're going to testify they should be led by the legal representatives of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: - afforded the opportunity to lead the witnesses and my understanding, from what happened previously, was that you indicated you weren't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that Ms Pillay was now going to assist us in the housekeeping matters that we discussed on Friday, documents that had to be – should have been provided by the cross-examiners and weren't but which she was now going to give us, exhibit letters and so forth, but Mr Madlanga if it's not appropriate or possible to do that at the moment, we will adjourn. I was proposing to adjourn because we started late this morning, being a Monday, till half past one. So would it be appropriate for us to take that adjournment now? MR MADLANGA SC: Chair, may I ask – there are witnesses, six witnesses that were subpoenaed. We have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following about this matter. Firstly, that we are very much taken aback that subpoenas have been issued against our clients because the arrangements that were being discussed – and as I indicated many times before, we, for the people we represent who are charged with murder, among other things – it is our preference and their preference that if they're going to testify they should be led by the legal representatives of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: - afforded the opportunity to lead the witnesses and my understanding, from what happened previously, was that you indicated you weren't going to do that, so – but obviously it's desirable that if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that Ms Pillay was now going to assist us in the housekeeping matters that we discussed on Friday, documents that had to be – should have been provided by the cross-examiners and weren't but which she was now going to give us, exhibit letters and so forth, but Mr Madlanga if it's not appropriate or possible to do that at the moment, we will adjourn. I was proposing to adjourn because we started late this morning, being a Monday, till half past one. So would it be appropriate for us to take that adjournment now? MR MADLANGA SC: Chair, may I ask – there are witnesses, six witnesses that were subpoenaed. We have not been able to make contact with them. May I perhaps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following about this matter. Firstly, that we are very much taken aback that subpoenas have been issued against our clients because the arrangements that were being discussed – and as I indicated many times before, we, for the people we represent who are charged with murder, among other things – it is our preference and their preference that if they're going to testify they should be led by the legal representatives of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: - afforded the opportunity to lead the witnesses and my understanding, from what happened previously, was that you indicated you weren't going to do that, so – but obviously it's desirable that if clients of yours are going to give evidence that you should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	facilitate the reaction time? W/O WESSELS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There being no further questions, it remains for me to thank the Warrant Officer for his evidence and to excuse you from further attendance, obviously on the basis that if for some reason we have to recall you, you're prepared to come back but otherwise you're excused from further attendance. Thank you. [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS - WITNESS EXCUSED] CHAIRPERSON: Now, I did understand that Ms Pillay was now going to assist us in the housekeeping matters that we discussed on Friday, documents that had to be – should have been provided by the cross-examiners and weren't but which she was now going to give us, exhibit letters and so forth, but Mr Madlanga if it's not appropriate or possible to do that at the moment, we will adjourn. I was proposing to adjourn because we started late this morning, being a Monday, till half past one. So would it be appropriate for us to take that adjournment now? MR MADLANGA SC: Chair, may I ask – there are witnesses, six witnesses that were subpoenaed. We have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hopefully before lunch. The five, I was not aware of the sixth subpoena but maybe my attorneys are – my attorneys are working on the matter outside, so they might come back with the sixth attorney. I'm in possession of the five, five of the subpoenas and the people are here outside. CHAIRPERSON: They are outside? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR MPOFU: As I say, I'm not sure about the sixth but I expect the sixth person to be here as well. Chairperson, I've been instructed to say the following about this matter. Firstly, that we are very much taken aback that subpoenas have been issued against our clients because the arrangements that were being discussed – and as I indicated many times before, we, for the people we represent who are charged with murder, among other things – it is our preference and their preference that if they're going to testify they should be led by the legal representatives of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: - afforded the opportunity to lead the witnesses and my understanding, from what happened previously, was that you indicated you weren't going to do that, so – but obviously it's desirable that if

	Page 2190		Page 2192
1	the appropriate way to do it but anyway, please carry on.	1	basis subpoenas can be set aside, as suggested by you. I
2	MR MPOFU: Yes. Well, firstly	2	can understand that a witness who has been subpoenaed may
3	Chairperson, I never indicated that I was not going to call	3	wish to be led in chief by his own representative – we can
4	anybody but anyway the record will speak for itself on that	4	find out from Mr Madlanga whether he proposes, if the
5	but even if that was the case, the point I'm making is	5	witnesses' legal representatives are prepared to lead them,
6	simply that the - the reason why we have applied is because	6	nevertheless to lead them himself and not allow the
7	there was an understanding that we would supply the names	7	representatives to lead them. If that's the case, we can
8	of two, if I understand it well, two witnesses who might or	8	take the matter further but the mere fact that they've been
9	rather would be called definitely, but who might come after	9	subpoenaed by the evidence leaders in a situation where it
10	Mr Mathunjwa or the other evidence of the families. And in	10	didn't appear, it wasn't clear they were going to be led by
11	fact the entire weekend we've been working on exactly that	11	their own representatives, I don't know if that forms a
12	but it turns out that as early, as long ago as the 16th of	12	basis to set the subpoenas aside. The fact they're
13	November 2012, which is more than 10 days ago, these	13	charged, I'm not sure how that is - because the regulations
14	subpoenas had been issued. Well – and that's the	14	provide that any evidence they give here couldn't be used
15	surprising thing because we have said time and again here	15	against them in any criminal trial to which they would be
16	what the preference of the witnesses is.	16	subjected if they were to be charged later - but it's now
17	There was also some communication that those	17	one o'clock, I propose adjourning now till half past one.
18	subpoenas would be held in abeyance. Apparently there was	18	May I suggest that you and Mr Madlanga discuss the matter
19	a threat made when I was not here. Anyway, to cut a long	19	during the lunch adjournment to see whether a modus vivendi
20	story short, Chairperson, I would like to make the	20	can't be worked out so that - because as you'll understand,
21	following proposal. We would like to give the evidence	21	our function here is to get to the truth of what happened.
22	leaders an opportunity, a number of days if they want that,	22	People who were actors in the events are all liable to be
23	for them to withdraw the subpoenas and either to continue	23	subpoenaed, obviously entitled to be led by their own
24	to engage us about the possibility of our witnesses coming	24	representatives if they wish. If they don't wish that or
25	here, failing which - or for the Chairperson to make a	25	their own representatives choose not to lead them, that
	Page 2191		Page 2193
1	ruling in that respect – failing which our instructions are	1	can't stop the Commission from permitting the evidence
2	to approach the High Court to set aside those subpoenas and	2	leaders to lead them. On that basis we'll take the
3	if –	3	adjournment till half past one.
4	CHAIRPERSON: On what basis would the	4	MR MPOFU: Chairperson, if I may –
5	subpoena be set aside or on what basis would it be	5	CHAIRPERSON: Take the adjournment till
6	contended that they should be set aside?	6	half past one, Mr Mpofu.
7	MR MPOFU: I will tell you now, Chair.	7	MR MPOFU: I'm not going to be here in
8	One of the bases is the one that I've already mentioned,	8	the afternoon, Chairperson.
9	that these are people who are facing murder charges and	9	[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
10	whatever evidence they're going to give, they would prefer	10	[13:34] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Mr
11	if they give that evidence they would prefer to do so under	11	Mpofu, you were addressing me when I adjourned, I suggested
12	the auspices of their chosen legal representatives, not by	12	that you speak to Mr Madlanga to work out a modus operandi
1	····		
13	some form of duress, number one.	13	during the lunch adjournment, have you anything to report?
13 14		13 14	during the lunch adjournment, have you anything to report? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, we could not
	some form of duress, number one.		
14	some form of duress, number one. Number two, that one of the reasons why it has	14	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, we could not
14 15	some form of duress, number one. Number two, that one of the reasons why it has taken us longer than usual to even arrange the situation	14 15	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, we could not reach any tangible agreement but I suggested that I was
14 15 16	some form of duress, number one. Number two, that one of the reasons why it has taken us longer than usual to even arrange the situation where we are in now of being able – in fact ironically this	14 15 16	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, we could not reach any tangible agreement but I suggested that I was going to go and take instructions from the attorneys and
14 15 16 17	some form of duress, number one. Number two, that one of the reasons why it has taken us longer than usual to even arrange the situation where we are in now of being able – in fact ironically this morning we were in the position to give over some names and	14 15 16 17	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, we could not reach any tangible agreement but I suggested that I was going to go and take instructions from the attorneys and the clients, and ja, our position is simply that the
14 15 16 17 18	some form of duress, number one. Number two, that one of the reasons why it has taken us longer than usual to even arrange the situation where we are in now of being able – in fact ironically this morning we were in the position to give over some names and time frames, but be that as it may, one of the reasons that	14 15 16 17 18	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, we could not reach any tangible agreement but I suggested that I was going to go and take instructions from the attorneys and the clients, and ja, our position is simply that the subpoenas should be withdraw, alternatively –
14 15 16 17 18 19	some form of duress, number one. Number two, that one of the reasons why it has taken us longer than usual to even arrange the situation where we are in now of being able – in fact ironically this morning we were in the position to give over some names and time frames, but be that as it may, one of the reasons that there was that delay is because of the lack of capacity on	14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, we could not reach any tangible agreement but I suggested that I was going to go and take instructions from the attorneys and the clients, and ja, our position is simply that the subpoenas should be withdraw, alternatively – CHAIRPERSON: But why should they be
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	some form of duress, number one. Number two, that one of the reasons why it has taken us longer than usual to even arrange the situation where we are in now of being able – in fact ironically this morning we were in the position to give over some names and time frames, but be that as it may, one of the reasons that there was that delay is because of the lack of capacity on the side of our team caused by the absence of funding. And	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, we could not reach any tangible agreement but I suggested that I was going to go and take instructions from the attorneys and the clients, and ja, our position is simply that the subpoenas should be withdraw, alternatively – CHAIRPERSON: But why should they be withdrawn? What good basis would there be –
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some form of duress, number one. Number two, that one of the reasons why it has taken us longer than usual to even arrange the situation where we are in now of being able – in fact ironically this morning we were in the position to give over some names and time frames, but be that as it may, one of the reasons that there was that delay is because of the lack of capacity on the side of our team caused by the absence of funding. And that those people should not then be disadvantaged simply	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, we could not reach any tangible agreement but I suggested that I was going to go and take instructions from the attorneys and the clients, and ja, our position is simply that the subpoenas should be withdraw, alternatively – CHAIRPERSON: But why should they be withdrawn? What good basis would there be – MR MPOFU: Okay, again, - well, if they
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	some form of duress, number one. Number two, that one of the reasons why it has taken us longer than usual to even arrange the situation where we are in now of being able – in fact ironically this morning we were in the position to give over some names and time frames, but be that as it may, one of the reasons that there was that delay is because of the lack of capacity on the side of our team caused by the absence of funding. And that those people should not then be disadvantaged simply because, or rather the –	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, we could not reach any tangible agreement but I suggested that I was going to go and take instructions from the attorneys and the clients, and ja, our position is simply that the subpoenas should be withdraw, alternatively – CHAIRPERSON: But why should they be withdrawn? What good basis would there be – MR MPOFU: Okay, again, - well, if they are not withdrawn here, then we will try to have them
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	some form of duress, number one. Number two, that one of the reasons why it has taken us longer than usual to even arrange the situation where we are in now of being able – in fact ironically this morning we were in the position to give over some names and time frames, but be that as it may, one of the reasons that there was that delay is because of the lack of capacity on the side of our team caused by the absence of funding. And that those people should not then be disadvantaged simply because, or rather the – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry to interrupt you.	 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, we could not reach any tangible agreement but I suggested that I was going to go and take instructions from the attorneys and the clients, and ja, our position is simply that the subpoenas should be withdraw, alternatively – CHAIRPERSON: But why should they be withdrawn? What good basis would there be – MR MPOFU: Okay, again, - well, if they are not withdrawn here, then we will try to have them withdrawn elsewhere. All I am saying –

r		1	
	Page 2194	-	Page 2196
1	MR MPOFU: Well, insofar as I haven't	1	Musi that we would be going ahead with the subpoenas, I
2	prepared, Chair, I am sure it will be argued before that	2	said that the subpoenas would be issued on Monday, Monday,
3	judge but insofar as I am prepared to, it is on the basis	3	the 19th.
4	that, one, the record will show that we have never refused	4	It so happened that the subpoenas had in fact
5	to bring witnesses. I've said it repeatedly here, that at	5	already been issued on the 16th, but I had said in so many
6	no stage have we refused. Two, the fact that we were not	6	years to my juniors who were attending to it, Mr Mojapelo,
7	even given the courtesy of being informed that our people	7	I do not know who else, that they should hold back on those
8	are going to be subpoenaed and who and the identities of	8	subpoenas because I am in discussion with Mr Mmusi, but I
9	those people, many other grounds but those are one or two,	9	used the wrong verb and said, instead of they will be
10	I think would be enough. It doesn't matter, I am sure the	10	served on Monday I said, they will be issue don Monday.
11	court that it will go to will decide -	11	CHAIRPERSON: I am sorry to interrupt
12	CHAIRPERSON: No, you said the subpoenas	12	you.
13	should be withdrawn alternatively, and I didn't give you a	13	MR MADLANGA SC: Yes.
14	chance to say what the alternative was, because I asked you	14	CHAIRPERSON: The meeting to which you're
15	on what basis they should be withdrawn?	15	referring –
16	MR MPOFU: Yes.	16	MR MADLANGA SC: Yes –
17	CHAIRPERSON: Are you able to tell me	17	CHAIRPERSON: - where you spoke about
18	what the alternative is?	18	professional courtesy and so on?
19	MR MPOFU: No, the alternative is what	19	MR MADLANGA SC: Yes.
20	I've just said which is, that we'll go to court.	20	CHAIRPERSON: When did that take place?
21	CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I see. Mr Madlanga, do	21	MR MADLANGA SC: On the 16th of November,
22	you wish to comment on what Mr Mpofu said?	22	Mr Chairman.
23	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman,	23	CHAIRPERSON: Had the subpoenas already
24	Commissioners, as such we would start with the point about	24	being issued at that time?
25	a lack of courtesy on our part, that is totally untrue. On	25	MR MADLANGA SC: I am not, just hold, Mr
1	Page 2195 the 16th of November when the representatives of the various	1	Page 2197 Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was
1	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various	1	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was
			5
2	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on	2	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th
2 3	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting	2 3	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and
2 3 4	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing	2 3 4	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to
2 3 4 5	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the	2 3 4 5	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the
2 3 4 5 6	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of	2 3 4 5 6	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of
2 3 4 5 6 7	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and	2 3 4 5 6 7	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of your clients. I forget the exact words towards the end,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance to interpret.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of your clients. I forget the exact words towards the end, but the first part about it being a matter of professional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance to interpret. MR MADLANGA SC: What we as evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of your clients. I forget the exact words towards the end, but the first part about it being a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance to interpret. MR MADLANGA SC: What we as evidence leaders would be interested to get an idea of is whether or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of your clients. I forget the exact words towards the end, but the first part about it being a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used. Thereafter, after the meeting had been finalised	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance to interpret. MR MADLANGA SC: What we as evidence leaders would be interested to get an idea of is whether or not there is an intention on the part of Mr Mpofu and his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of your clients. I forget the exact words towards the end, but the first part about it being a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used. Thereafter, after the meeting had been finalised Mr Musi came to me and made a request, in fact he first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance to interpret. MR MADLANGA SC: What we as evidence leaders would be interested to get an idea of is whether or not there is an intention on the part of Mr Mpofu and his team to lead witnesses at all. When we adjourned and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of your clients. I forget the exact words towards the end, but the first part about it being a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used. Thereafter, after the meeting had been finalised Mr Musi came to me and made a request, in fact he first made it openly at the meeting, that we should not go ahead	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance to interpret. MR MADLANGA SC: What we as evidence leaders would be interested to get an idea of is whether or not there is an intention on the part of Mr Mpofu and his team to lead witnesses at all. When we adjourned and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of your clients. I forget the exact words towards the end, but the first part about it being a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used. Thereafter, after the meeting had been finalised Mr Musi came to me and made a request, in fact he first made it openly at the meeting, that we should not go ahead with issuing the subpoenas and I said our decision stands.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance to interpret. MR MADLANGA SC: What we as evidence leaders would be interested to get an idea of is whether or not there is an intention on the part of Mr Mpofu and his team to lead witnesses at all. When we adjourned and approached them at the suggestion of the chairman, based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of your clients. I forget the exact words towards the end, but the first part about it being a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used. Thereafter, after the meeting had been finalised Mr Musi came to me and made a request, in fact he first made it openly at the meeting, that we should not go ahead with issuing the subpoenas and I said our decision stands. He came to me afterwards and I said to him I would talk to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance to interpret. MR MADLANGA SC: What we as evidence leaders would be interested to get an idea of is whether or not there is an intention on the part of Mr Mpofu and his team to lead witnesses at all. When we adjourned and approached them at the suggestion of the chairman, based on - CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of your clients. I forget the exact words towards the end, but the first part about it being a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used. Thereafter, after the meeting had been finalised Mr Musi came to me and made a request, in fact he first made it openly at the meeting, that we should not go ahead with issuing the subpoenas and I said our decision stands. He came to me afterwards and I said to him I would talk to the other evidence leaders which I did over the weekend and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance to interpret. MR MADLANGA SC: What we as evidence leaders would be interested to get an idea of is whether or not there is an intention on the part of Mr Mpofu and his team to lead witnesses at all. When we adjourned and approached them at the suggestion of the chairman, based on - CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of your clients. I forget the exact words towards the end, but the first part about it being a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used. Thereafter, after the meeting had been finalised Mr Musi came to me and made a request, in fact he first made it openly at the meeting, that we should not go ahead with issuing the subpoenas and I said our decision stands. He came to me afterwards and I said to him I would talk to the other evidence leaders which I did over the weekend and I kept him informed. So over the weekend I said to him, I've since raised it. I first said, I have raised it and thereafter I said sent another e-mail, this was by e-mail.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance to interpret. MR MADLANGA SC: What we as evidence leaders would be interested to get an idea of is whether or not there is an intention on the part of Mr Mpofu and his team to lead witnesses at all. When we adjourned and approached them at the suggestion of the chairman, based on - CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about today? MR MADLANGA SC: Today, today, yes, based
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of your clients. I forget the exact words towards the end, but the first part about it being a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used. Thereafter, after the meeting had been finalised Mr Musi came to me and made a request, in fact he first made it openly at the meeting, that we should not go ahead with issuing the subpoenas and I said our decision stands. He came to me afterwards and I said to him I would talk to the other evidence leaders which I did over the weekend and I kept him informed. So over the weekend I said to him, I've since raised it. I first said, I have raised it and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance to interpret. MR MADLANGA SC: What we as evidence leaders would be interested to get an idea of is whether or not there is an intention on the part of Mr Mpofu and his team to lead witnesses at all. When we adjourned and approached them at the suggestion of the chairman, based on - CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about today? MR MADLANGA SC: Today, today, yes, based on what Mr Mpofu had said which is that there was an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of your clients. I forget the exact words towards the end, but the first part about it being a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used. Thereafter, after the meeting had been finalised Mr Musi came to me and made a request, in fact he first made it openly at the meeting, that we should not go ahead with issuing the subpoenas and I said our decision stands. He came to me afterwards and I said to him I would talk to the other evidence leaders which I did over the weekend and I kept him informed. So over the weekend I said to him, I've since raised it. I first said, I have raised it and thereafter I said sent another e-mail, this was by e-mail. I sent a second e-mail in which I said the decision stands because after discussion the evidence leaders say we should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance to interpret. MR MADLANGA SC: What we as evidence leaders would be interested to get an idea of is whether or not there is an intention on the part of Mr Mpofu and his team to lead witnesses at all. When we adjourned and approached them at the suggestion of the chairman, based on - CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about today? MR MADLANGA SC: Today, today, yes, based on what Mr Mpofu had said which is that there was an understanding that two witnesses would be called, during
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of your clients. I forget the exact words towards the end, but the first part about it being a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used. Thereafter, after the meeting had been finalised Mr Musi came to me and made a request, in fact he first made it openly at the meeting, that we should not go ahead with issuing the subpoenas and I said our decision stands. He came to me afterwards and I said to him I would talk to the other evidence leaders which I did over the weekend and I kept him informed. So over the weekend I said to him, I've since raised it. I first said, I have raised it and thereafter I said sent another e-mail, this was by e-mail. I sent a second e-mail in which I said the decision stands because after discussion the evidence leaders say we should stand by our decision, and a mistake that I made was the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance to interpret. MR MADLANGA SC: What we as evidence leaders would be interested to get an idea of is whether or not there is an intention on the part of Mr Mpofu and his team to lead witnesses at all. When we adjourned and approached them at the suggestion of the chairman, based on - CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about today? MR MADLANGA SC: Today, today, yes, based on what Mr Mpofu had said which is that there was an understanding that two witnesses would be called, during the adjournment we asked for the names of those two witnesses, he would not give us those names and we also asked whether or not there was any intention to call any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the 16th of November when the representatives of the various parties and the evidence leaders held a meeting on outstanding matters on phase 1, I announced in that meeting openly in the presence of everybody and I was addressing myself to Mr Mpofu's junior, Mr Mpofu was not at the meeting, and I said to Mr Musi that as a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used and all my colleagues present here who were in that meeting will bear me out on that, we are going to subpoena some of your clients. I forget the exact words towards the end, but the first part about it being a matter of professional courtesy, those were the words that I used. Thereafter, after the meeting had been finalised Mr Musi came to me and made a request, in fact he first made it openly at the meeting, that we should not go ahead with issuing the subpoenas and I said our decision stands. He came to me afterwards and I said to him I would talk to the other evidence leaders which I did over the weekend and I kept him informed. So over the weekend I said to him, I've since raised it. I first said, I have raised it and thereafter I said sent another e-mail, this was by e-mail. I sent a second e-mail in which I said the decision stands because after discussion the evidence leaders say we should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chair. They had already been issued, but the sheriff was only called and came to this building on Monday, the 19th and he was only given the subpoenas on Monday, the 19th and that is after the evidence leaders were not prepared to exceed to Mr Mmusi's request. They were then given to the sheriff and from then on the sheriff started the process of having them served. Now if I may just, yes? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I suggest you pause every now and again, to give the interpreter a chance to interpret. MR MADLANGA SC: What we as evidence leaders would be interested to get an idea of is whether or not there is an intention on the part of Mr Mpofu and his team to lead witnesses at all. When we adjourned and approached them at the suggestion of the chairman, based on - CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about today? MR MADLANGA SC: Today, today, yes, based on what Mr Mpofu had said which is that there was an understanding that two witnesses would be called, during the adjournment we asked for the names of those two witnesses, he would not give us those names and we also

	Page 2198		Page 2200
1	We would not like the issue of, or the question of the	1	least on our side think that it is very important, because
2	subpoenas to cloud the real issue. It is important to know	2	after all it is the people, it is the identities, it is not
3	whether testifying under a subpoena or not, whether called	3	the concept of subpoena that is important to us, but be
4	by Mr Mpofu or not, whether his clients or witnesses will	4	that as it may, that was not done.
5	be testifying at all, it is important to know that issue	5	The other issue is that, as you said and I am
6	for purposes of forward planning.	6	sure I am saying this for the fifth or the sixth time now,
7	Of course we cannot and we will not stand in Mr	7	that the issue of calling these people for us, the only
8	Mpofu's way if they want to approach the High Court to seek	8	importance is that we have to do a very difficult selection
9	the setting aside of those subpoenas, but for purposes of	9	process which anybody who has 300 clients, I am sure can
10	what goes on before this commission I would urge the	10	appreciate, and that is the only issue I've raised here.
11	commissioners to establish from Mr Mpofu whether or not	11	Faced with the issues of those numbers, the issue of our
12	they are going to be calling any witnesses and I must	12	capacity and the issue that these people are facing 34
13	upfront indicate that we are prepared to let him or whoever	13	murder charges, I've never said that we're not going to
14	else in his team to lead their witnesses. It is not as if	14	call these people. It didn't assist –
15	we are married to the idea of calling the witnesses	15	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, are you going to
16	ourselves, not at all and we got, if they will call the	16	call witnesses?
17	witnesses, that we'll deal with the matter to finality	17	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair, I said that before
18	insofar as we are concerned. Those are our submissions,	18	lunch. I said, I came here specifically to communicate
19	thank you Chair.	19	that.
20	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, Mr Madlanga has	20	CHAIRPERSON: Which witnesses are you
21	raised certain questions which he says you should be asked	21	going to call?
22	to answer, so will you please give us the answers to the	22	MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson –
23	questions proposed?	23	CHAIRPERSON: Are you not prepared to
24	MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. Chairperson,	24	tell us at this stage?
25	before I do so, I have actually already answered the	25	MR MPOFU: Not at this stage but at least
	Page 2199		Page 2201
1	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't	1	I can commit to you –
1 2		1 2	-
	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't		I can commit to you –
2	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the	2	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know?
2 3	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted	2 3	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, -
2 3 4	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the	2 3 4	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the
2 3 4 5	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena.	2 3 4 5	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday?
2 3 4 5 6	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now,	2 3 4 5 6	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and
2 3 4 5 6 7	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use	2 3 4 5 6 7	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed? MR MPOFU: This morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we might call more but not less than two.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed? MR MPOFU: This morning. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so you know who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we might call more but not less than two. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I've written
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed? MR MPOFU: This morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we might call more but not less than two. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I've written down, I am going to call at least two witnesses, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed? MR MPOFU: This morning. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so you know who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we might call more but not less than two. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I've written down, I am going to call at least two witnesses, the commission will know by Wednesday –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed? MR MPOFU: This morning. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so you know who the people are, so there is no point in them telling you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we might call more but not less than two. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I've written down, I am going to call at least two witnesses, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed? MR MPOFU: This morning. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so you know who the people are, so there is no point in them telling you now because you already know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we might call more but not less than two. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I've written down, I am going to call at least two witnesses, the commission will know by Wednesday –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed? MR MPOFU: This morning. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so you know who the people are, so there is no point in them telling you now because you already know. MR MPOFU: Well, no Chair, I am sorry, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we might call more but not less than two. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I've written down, I am going to call at least two witnesses, the commission will know by Wednesday – MR MPOFU: By Wednesday –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed? MR MPOFU: This morning. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so you know who the people are, so there is no point in them telling you now because you already know. MR MPOFU: Well, no Chair, I am sorry, I know now but I am raising a completely different point of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we might call more but not less than two. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I've written down, I am going to call at least two witnesses, the commission will know by Wednesday – MR MPOFU: By Wednesday – CHAIRPERSON: - who they are.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed? MR MPOFU: This morning. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so you know who the people are, so there is no point in them telling you now because you already know. MR MPOFU: Well, no Chair, I am sorry, I know now but I am raising a completely different point of courtesy, of whether or not it is desirable to say to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we might call more but not less than two. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I've written down, I am going to call at least two witnesses, the commission will know by Wednesday – MR MPOFU: By Wednesday – CHAIRPERSON: - who they are. MR MPOFU: Yes, and the statements might
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed? MR MPOFU: This morning. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so you know who the people are, so there is no point in them telling you now because you already know. MR MPOFU: Well, no Chair, I am sorry, I know now but I am raising a completely different point of courtesy, of whether or not it is desirable to say to somebody who represents other people, if it is the police I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we might call more but not less than two. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I've written down, I am going to call at least two witnesses, the commission will know by Wednesday – MR MPOFU: By Wednesday – CHAIRPERSON: - who they are. MR MPOFU: Yes, and the statements might not come on Wednesday, but within a few days, that –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed? MR MPOFU: This morning. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so you know who the people are, so there is no point in them telling you now because you already know. MR MPOFU: Well, no Chair, I am sorry, I know now but I am raising a completely different point of courtesy, of whether or not it is desirable to say to somebody who represents other people, if it is the police I am going to subpoena sergeant so and so or not, not whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we might call more but not less than two. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I've written down, I am going to call at least two witnesses, the commission will know by Wednesday – MR MPOFU: By Wednesday – MR MPOFU: et al. MR MPOFU: Yes, and the statements might not come on Wednesday, but within a few days, that – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, but, no, no,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed? MR MPOFU: This morning. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so you know who the people are, so there is no point in them telling you now because you already know. MR MPOFU: Well, no Chair, I am sorry, I know now but I am raising a completely different point of courtesy, of whether or not it is desirable to say to somebody who represents other people, if it is the police I am going to subpoena sergeant so and so or not, not whether subsequently ten years later you might find out. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we might call more but not less than two. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I've written down, I am going to call at least two witnesses, the commission will know by Wednesday – MR MPOFU: By Wednesday – CHAIRPERSON: - who they are. MR MPOFU: Yes, and the statements might not come on Wednesday, but within a few days, that – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, but, no, no, there are already statements as I understand –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed? MR MPOFU: This morning. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so you know who the people are, so there is no point in them telling you now because you already know. MR MPOFU: Well, no Chair, I am sorry, I know now but I am raising a completely different point of courtesy, of whether or not it is desirable to say to somebody who represents other people, if it is the police I am going to subpoena sergeant so and so or not, not whether subsequently ten years later you might find out. The question is whether, if I was going to subpoena a policeman	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we might call more but not less than two. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I've written down, I am going to call at least two witnesses, the commission will know by Wednesday – MR MPOFU: By Wednesday – MR MPOFU: ves, and the statements might not come on Wednesday, but within a few days, that – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, but, no, no, there are already statements as I understand – MR MPOFU: Yes, there are.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	questions but I'll do it again. The issue that, I don't want to get involved in the unseemly business of he said, she said and so on. The only issue that cannot be refuted is that nobody until now has told us at least the identities of the people that they were going to subpoena. If anyone wants to refute that then they should say so now, one. Two, and that's the important thing, there is no use to say we will subpoena somebody out of 300 people, I mean that doesn't assist anybody. The – CHAIRPERSON: When did you know that these particular people had been subpoenaed? MR MPOFU: This morning. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so you know who the people are, so there is no point in them telling you now because you already know. MR MPOFU: Well, no Chair, I am sorry, I know now but I am raising a completely different point of courtesy, of whether or not it is desirable to say to somebody who represents other people, if it is the police I am going to subpoena sergeant so and so or not, not whether subsequently ten years later you might find out. The question is whether, if I was going to subpoena a policeman I should say to Mr Semenya, I want, I am going to subpoena	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I can commit to you – CHAIRPERSON: When will we know? MR MPOFU: You should know by, - Chairperson, the identities we can, if it pleases the chairperson, could we give you the identities on Wednesday? We have once again, we have a shortlist of about five and we were going to select the two from those. The statements were taken – CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to call two witnesses? MR MPOFU: Yes, the minimum, Chair, we might call more but not less than two. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I've written down, I am going to call at least two witnesses, the commission will know by Wednesday – MR MPOFU: By Wednesday – MR MPOFU: Ses, and the statements might not come on Wednesday, but within a few days, that – CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, but, no, no, there are already statements as I understand – MR MPOFU: Yes, there are. CHAIRPERSON: So I understand you may

	Dama 2202		Dama 2204
1	Page 2202 CHAIRPERSON: But there are already	1	Page 2204 the police, people who were injured, all sorts of people.
2	statements which were taken by IPID.	2	Now the five that you are talking about have they made
3	MR MPOFU: Of some sort, ja.	3	statements to IPID?
4	CHAIRPERSON: Which are in the possession	4	MR MPOFU: Some of them, Chairperson. I
-	of the evidence leaders?	4 5	
5			can't tell you offhand but my own recollection is that the
6	MR MPOFU: No, no, they're still in our	6	vast majority of the people that I represent have made such
7	possession.	7	statements, so I'll be surprised, but I can't give you a
8	CHAIRPERSON: No, haven't they got the	8	definite answer –
9	IPID statements?	9	CHAIRPERSON: Well, surely if you'd
10	MR MPOFU: Not of these people that I am	10	identified these people, the people you're proposing to
11	going to identify on Wednesday.	11	call, I would have thought one of the most logical and
12	CHAIRPERSON: Now, Mr Mpofu, may I ask	12	prudent things to do is to get their IPID statements to see
13	you this, are you going to call people who have not	13	those, to see whether those statements in fact are in
14	received subpoenas, in other words the people you have in	14	accordance with their present instructions and if they're
15	mind are they not eh people who have been subpoenaed?	15	not why and alternatively if they are, what extra points
16	MR MPOFU: Yes, a good question,	16	have to be added. I have a difficulty in seeing, you can
17	Chairperson, if I may say so, that's the whole issue of the	17	tell me that you don't know whether the five people you
18	identity. Yes, there are two that we have identified	18	were thinking of calling, they have made IPID statements or
19	according to the request that was made by the evidence	19	not.
20	leaders have not been subpoenaed, ja.	20	MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I don't know how
21	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, now the people	21	I can take the matter, - I am telling you -
22	who've been subpoenaed, on what basis, do they object to	22	[13:54] CHAIRPERSON: I've asked you a question –
23	giving evidence?	23	MR MPOFU: Well, I can't tell you
24	MR MPOFU: Not as such, yes, we didn't –	24	offhand. I'm saying I will verify, Chairperson, but I
25	CHAIRPERSON: On what basis would they	25	can't give you an answer, a definitive answer which I'm not
	Page 2203		Page 2205
1	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call	1	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me.
1 2	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to	1 2	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've
	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses		sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've
2	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they	2	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they
2	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence.	2 3	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I
2 3 4	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they	2 3 4	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an
2 3 4 5	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence.	2 3 4 5	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I
2 3 4 5 6	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because	2 3 4 5 6	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an
2 3 4 5 6 7	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they	2 3 4 5 6 7	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask the questions. The people who have been subpoenaed who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say they're facing charges of murder, so they are among the people who were arrested. MR MPOFU: That I'm sure of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask the questions. The people who have been subpoenaed who are your clients, are you telling me that they refuse to give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say they're facing charges of murder, so they are among the people who were arrested.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask the questions. The people who have been subpoenaed who are your clients, are you telling me that they refuse to give evidence or decline to give evidence?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say they're facing charges of murder, so they are among the people who were arrested. MR MPOFU: That I'm sure of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask the questions. The people who have been subpoenaed who are your clients, are you telling me that they refuse to give evidence or decline to give evidence? MR MPOFU: No, I am not saying they are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say they're facing charges of murder, so they are among the people who were arrested. MR MPOFU: That I'm sure of. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I know they all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask the questions. The people who have been subpoenaed who are your clients, are you telling me that they refuse to give evidence or decline to give evidence? MR MPOFU: No, I am not saying they are refusing, but our instructions to them, - their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say they're facing charges of murder, so they are among the people who were arrested. MR MPOFU: That I'm sure of. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I know they all made statements of some kind or another.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask the questions. The people who have been subpoenaed who are your clients, are you telling me that they refuse to give evidence or decline to give evidence? MR MPOFU: No, I am not saying they are refusing, but our instructions to them, - their instructions to us rather, is to certify the subpoenas on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say they're facing charges of murder, so they are among the people who were arrested. MR MPOFU: That I'm sure of. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I know they all made statements of some kind or another. MR MPOFU: That's exactly what I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask the questions. The people who have been subpoenaed who are your clients, are you telling me that they refuse to give evidence or decline to give evidence? MR MPOFU: No, I am not saying they are refusing, but our instructions to them, - their instructions to us rather, is to certify the subpoenas on the basis that I've already mentioned.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say they're facing charges of murder, so they are among the people who were arrested. MR MPOFU: That I'm sure of. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I know they all made statements of some kind or another. MR MPOFU: That's exactly what I was saying, Chairperson, but what I'm not – I was saying the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask the questions. The people who have been subpoenaed who are your clients, are you telling me that they refuse to give evidence or decline to give evidence? MR MPOFU: No, I am not saying they are refusing, but our instructions to them, - their instructions to us rather, is to certify the subpoenas on the basis that I've already mentioned. CHAIRPERSON: The people you propose	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say they're facing charges of murder, so they are among the people who were arrested. MR MPOFU: That I'm sure of. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I know they all made statements of some kind or another. MR MPOFU: That's exactly what I was saying, Chairperson, but what I'm not – I was saying the vast majority of them made statements but I can't say to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask the questions. The people who have been subpoenaed who are your clients, are you telling me that they refuse to give evidence or decline to give evidence? MR MPOFU: No, I am not saying they are refusing, but our instructions to them, - their instructions to us rather, is to certify the subpoenas on the basis that I've already mentioned. CHAIRPERSON: The people you propose calling or thinking you're calling on your list, five –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say they're facing charges of murder, so they are among the people who were arrested. MR MPOFU: That I'm sure of. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I know they all made statements of some kind or another. MR MPOFU: That's exactly what I was saying, Chairperson, but what I'm not – I was saying the vast majority of them made statements but I can't say to you now sitting here that all five of them made – if one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask the questions. The people who have been subpoenaed who are your clients, are you telling me that they refuse to give evidence or decline to give evidence? MR MPOFU: No, I am not saying they are refusing, but our instructions to them, - their instructions to us rather, is to certify the subpoenas on the basis that I've already mentioned. CHAIRPERSON: The people you propose calling or thinking you're calling on your list, five – MR MPOFU: That I will call, ja.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say they're facing charges of murder, so they are among the people who were arrested. MR MPOFU: That I'm sure of. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I know they all made statements of some kind or another. MR MPOFU: That's exactly what I was saying, Chairperson, but what I'm not – I was saying the vast majority of them made statements but I can't say to you now sitting here that all five of them made – if one of them turns out that they didn't make a statement then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask the questions. The people who have been subpoenaed who are your clients, are you telling me that they refuse to give evidence or decline to give evidence? MR MPOFU: No, I am not saying they are refusing, but our instructions to them, - their instructions to us rather, is to certify the subpoenas on the basis that I've already mentioned. CHAIRPERSON: The people you propose calling or thinking you're calling on your list, five – MR MPOFU: That I will call, ja. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, have they not been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say they're facing charges of murder, so they are among the people who were arrested. MR MPOFU: That I'm sure of. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I know they all made statements of some kind or another. MR MPOFU: That's exactly what I was saying, Chairperson, but what I'm not – I was saying the vast majority of them made statements but I can't say to you now sitting here that all five of them made – if one of them turns out that they didn't make a statement then you'll say, ja, well, I gave you an answer that I wasn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask the questions. The people who have been subpoenaed who are your clients, are you telling me that they refuse to give evidence or decline to give evidence? MR MPOFU: No, I am not saying they are refusing, but our instructions to them, - their instructions to us rather, is to certify the subpoenas on the basis that I've already mentioned. CHAIRPERSON: The people you propose calling or thinking you're calling on your list, five – MR MPOFU: That I will call, ja. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, have they not been interviewed by IPID?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say they're facing charges of murder, so they are among the people who were arrested. MR MPOFU: That I'm sure of. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I know they all made statements of some kind or another. MR MPOFU: That's exactly what I was saying, Chairperson, but what I'm not – I was saying the vast majority of them made statements but I can't say to you now sitting here that all five of them made – if one of them turns out that they didn't make a statement then you'll say, ja, well, I gave you an answer that I wasn't sure of –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask the questions. The people who have been subpoenaed who are your clients, are you telling me that they refuse to give evidence or decline to give evidence? MR MPOFU: No, I am not saying they are refusing, but our instructions to them, - their instructions to us rather, is to certify the subpoenas on the basis that I've already mentioned. CHAIRPERSON: The people you propose calling or thinking you're calling on your list, five – MR MPOFU: That I will call, ja. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, have they not been interviewed by IPID? MR MPOFU: By IPID –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say they're facing charges of murder, so they are among the people who were arrested. MR MPOFU: That I'm sure of. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I know they all made statements of some kind or another. MR MPOFU: That's exactly what I was saying, Chairperson, but what I'm not – I was saying the vast majority of them made statements but I can't say to you now sitting here that all five of them made – if one of them turns out that they didn't make a statement then you'll say, ja, well, I gave you an answer that I wasn't sure of – CHAIRPERSON: You don't have to qualify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	refuse to, I mean the commission has the power to call anybody. The evidence leaders have the right to ask us to subpoena anybody, provided they are competent witnesses there would be no basis, that I am aware of on which they could refuse to give evidence. MR MPOFU: Well – CHAIRPERSON: They can't even plead, they may be asked questions that may incriminate them because that's provided for in the regulations, that's why I ask the questions. The people who have been subpoenaed who are your clients, are you telling me that they refuse to give evidence or decline to give evidence? MR MPOFU: No, I am not saying they are refusing, but our instructions to them, - their instructions to us rather, is to certify the subpoenas on the basis that I've already mentioned. CHAIRPERSON: The people you propose calling or thinking you're calling on your list, five – MR MPOFU: That I will call, ja. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, have they not been interviewed by IPID? MR MPOFU: By IPID – CHAIRPERSON: Are there statements, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sure of. I don't think that that would be fair on me. These statements are not just being taken by me and I've just said that they are still in a position where they've been taken by other people, they are being collated, they are being brought to me. So I don't know on what basis - I may not be sure that if one or two of them have made an IPID statement, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON: Do I assume that the people you're proposing to call were arrested? I think you say they're facing charges of murder, so they are among the people who were arrested. MR MPOFU: That I'm sure of. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I know they all made statements of some kind or another. MR MPOFU: That's exactly what I was saying, Chairperson, but what I'm not – I was saying the vast majority of them made statements but I can't say to you now sitting here that all five of them made – if one of them turns out that they didn't make a statement then you'll say, ja, well, I gave you an answer that I wasn't sure of – CHAIRPERSON: You don't have to qualify it by saying you're sure, that's fine.

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

		1	
	Page 2206		Page 2208
1	CHAIRPERSON: I have difficulty	1	object to give evidence before us if they are given,
2	understanding on what basis the seven – it's seven, is it –	2	afforded the opportunity of being led by you?
3	that Mr Madlanga identified and who have been subpoenaed –	3	MR MPOFU: Chair, I would have take
4	MR MPOFU: Six.	4	instructions. I wouldn't expect them – I think if one
5	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, six - on what basis	5	explained that any jeopardy that they might have perceived
6	they shouldn't be called to give evidence. I can	6	would be removed by such a step, then I would expect them
7	understand your desire to precognise them and lead them. I	7	to comply but I can't say immediately. The issue here,
8	can understand their desire to be precognised and led by	8	Chairperson, is simply this, that the compulsion that comes
9	their legal representative, so let's leave that apart.	9	with the subpoena, in their view, is uncalled for because
10	MR MPOFU: Yes.	10	you know where is it going to end? We have to be given the
11	CHAIRPERSON: But on the assumption that	11	liberty, like all the other parties, to choose from the
12	that aspect is satisfactorily dealt with, is there any	12	group of people that we represent, as any other party does,
13	basis upon which they would refuse to give evidence?	13	we were going to call –
14	MR MPOFU: Chairperson, once again that's	14	CHAIRPERSON: Why is that so? I am not
15	a difficult question. One of the –	15	sure any party – if one of the generals isn't called and I
16	CHAIRPERSON: It's not a difficult	16	consider it necessary that he be called, I'll have him
17	question, it's quite a simple question –	17	subpoenaed. If it's necessary for the Minister to give
18	MR MPOFU: Well, it is for me – if I can	18	evidence and the Minister doesn't want to give evidence,
19	just finish what I'm saying. I'm saying that, Chairperson,	19	I'll have him subpoenaed.
20	the reason why it's difficult is because – and it's more a	20	MR MPOFU: Yes.
21	point in your favour, Chairperson – one of the prayers that	21	CHAIRPERSON: If the Premier of the North
22	they want us to seek if we go to the High Court is exactly	22	West Province has to give evidence about – if this is
23	that, that they should be - if they're forced to come, they	23	correct, I don't know if it is correct but if it's correct
24	should be led by legal representatives of their choice -	24	that substantial amounts of money were paid to the
25	CHAIRPERSON: I wouldn't allow them to be	25	provincial government by Lonmin for the purpose of having
	Page 2207		Page 2200
1	Page 2207 led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their	1	Page 2209 housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the
	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their	1 2	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the
1 2 3			housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the
2	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice.	2	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the
2 3	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice.	2 3	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as
2 3 4	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal	2 3 4	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well.
2 3 4 5	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them.	2 3 4 5	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I –
2 3 4 5 6	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja.	2 3 4 5 6	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in
2 3 4 5 6 7	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist	2 3 4 5 6 7	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I would obviously insist on them being led by the evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No. CHAIRPERSON: And if Mr Burger doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I would obviously insist on them being led by the evidence leaders.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No. CHAIRPERSON: And if Mr Burger doesn't want to call some of the officials from Lonmin who can give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I would obviously insist on them being led by the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No. CHAIRPERSON: And if Mr Burger doesn't want to call some of the officials from Lonmin who can give important evidence, they'll be called.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I would obviously insist on them being led by the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So that matter we can put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No. CHAIRPERSON: And if Mr Burger doesn't want to call some of the officials from Lonmin who can give important evidence, they'll be called. MR MPOFU: Yes, but –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I would obviously insist on them being led by the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So that matter we can put aside.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No. CHAIRPERSON: And if Mr Burger doesn't want to call some of the officials from Lonmin who can give important evidence, they'll be called. MR MPOFU: Yes, but – CHAIRPERSON: He's got no right to veto
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I would obviously insist on them being led by the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So that matter we can put aside. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you Chairperson, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No. CHAIRPERSON: And if Mr Burger doesn't want to call some of the officials from Lonmin who can give important evidence, they'll be called. MR MPOFU: Yes, but – CHAIRPERSON: He's got no right to veto the calling of a witness and neither have you. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I would obviously insist on them being led by the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So that matter we can put aside. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you Chairperson, in fact that's exactly the issue that I was saying it's for –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No. CHAIRPERSON: And if Mr Burger doesn't want to call some of the officials from Lonmin who can give important evidence, they'll be called. MR MPOFU: Yes, but – CHAIRPERSON: He's got no right to veto the calling of a witness and neither have you. I don't understand that point at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I would obviously insist on them being led by the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So that matter we can put aside. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you Chairperson, in fact that's exactly the issue that I was saying it's for – I'm sorry to interrupt but I was interrupting because I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No. CHAIRPERSON: And if Mr Burger doesn't want to call some of the officials from Lonmin who can give important evidence, they'll be called. MR MPOFU: Yes, but – CHAIRPERSON: He's got no right to veto the calling of a witness and neither have you. I don't understand that point at all. MR MPOFU: Well, Chair, maybe you'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I would obviously insist on them being led by the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So that matter we can put aside. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you Chairperson, in fact that's exactly the issue that I was saying it's for – I'm sorry to interrupt but I was interrupting because I was agreeing with you, that or that score it looks like we,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No. CHAIRPERSON: And if Mr Burger doesn't want to call some of the officials from Lonmin who can give important evidence, they'll be called. MR MPOFU: Yes, but – CHAIRPERSON: He's got no right to veto the calling of a witness and neither have you. I don't understand that point at all. MR MPOFU: Well, Chair, maybe you'll understand it if I put it like this. In each of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I would obviously insist on them being led by the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So that matter we can put aside. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you Chairperson, in fact that's exactly the issue that I was saying it's for – I'm sorry to interrupt but I was interrupting because I was agreeing with you, that on that score it looks like we, from what the Chairperson has said already, it looks like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No. CHAIRPERSON: And if Mr Burger doesn't want to call some of the officials from Lonmin who can give important evidence, they'll be called. MR MPOFU: Yes, but – CHAIRPERSON: He's got no right to veto the calling of a witness and neither have you. I don't understand that point at all. MR MPOFU: Well, Chair, maybe you'll understand it if I put it like this. In each of the examples you've given me, I'm sure you'd tell Mr Semenya,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I would obviously insist on them being led by the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So that matter we can put aside. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you Chairperson, in fact that's exactly the issue that I was saying it's for – I'm sorry to interrupt but I was interrupting because I was agreeing with you, that on that score it looks like we, from what the Chairperson has said already, it looks like that issue would fall by the wayside. Of course their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No. CHAIRPERSON: And if Mr Burger doesn't want to call some of the officials from Lonmin who can give important evidence, they'll be called. MR MPOFU: Yes, but – CHAIRPERSON: He's got no right to veto the calling of a witness and neither have you. I don't understand that point at all. MR MPOFU: Well, Chair, maybe you'll understand it if I put it like this. In each of the examples you've given me, I'm sure you'd tell Mr Semenya, Mr Burger, Mr X, the identity of that witness and you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I would obviously insist on them being led by the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So that matter we can put aside. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you Chairperson, in fact that's exactly the issue that I was saying it's for – I'm sorry to interrupt but I was interrupting because I was agreeing with you, that on that score it looks like we, from what the Chairperson has said already, it looks like that issue would fall by the wayside. Of course their primary relief that they seek is that the subpoenas should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No. CHAIRPERSON: And if Mr Burger doesn't want to call some of the officials from Lonmin who can give important evidence, they'll be called. MR MPOFU: Yes, but – CHAIRPERSON: He's got no right to veto the calling of a witness and neither have you. I don't understand that point at all. MR MPOFU: Well, Chair, maybe you'll understand it if I put it like this. In each of the examples you've given me, I'm sure you'd tell Mr Semenya, Mr Burger, Mr X, the identity of that witness and you would call that witness. I don't foresee a situation that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I would obviously insist on them being led by the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So that matter we can put aside. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you Chairperson, in fact that's exactly the issue that I was saying it's for – I'm sorry to interrupt but I was interrupting because I was agreeing with you, that on that score it looks like we, from what the Chairperson has said already, it looks like that issue would fall by the wayside. Of course their primary relief that they seek is that the subpoenas should be set aside but if not, then they want to be led by us. So that's our instructions as we sit here how. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but I still asked you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No. CHAIRPERSON: And if Mr Burger doesn't want to call some of the officials from Lonmin who can give important evidence, they'll be called. MR MPOFU: Yes, but – CHAIRPERSON: He's got no right to veto the calling of a witness and neither have you. I don't understand that point at all. MR MPOFU: Well, Chair, maybe you'll understand it if I put it like this. In each of the examples you've given me, I'm sure you'd tell Mr Semenya, Mr Burger, Mr X, the identity of that witness and you would call that witness. I don't foresee a situation that anybody, neither you Chairman, knowing you, or the evidence leaders would simply ambush Mr Burger by saying tomorrow we've subpoenaed Mr De Villiers from Lonmin without having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	led by anybody else if they insisted on being led by their legal representatives – MR MPOFU: Of their choice. CHAIRPERSON: And if their legal representatives were willing to lead them. MR MPOFU: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: But if they didn't insist on it or alternatively if their legal representatives for some reason or other weren't prepared to lead them, then I would obviously insist on them being led by the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So that matter we can put aside. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you Chairperson, in fact that's exactly the issue that I was saying it's for – I'm sorry to interrupt but I was interrupting because I was agreeing with you, that on that score it looks like we, from what the Chairperson has said already, it looks like that issue would fall by the wayside. Of course their primary relief that they seek is that the subpoenas should be set aside but if not, then they want to be led by us. So that's our instructions as we sit here how.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	housing built and that money hasn't been spent and the housing hasn't been built and if it's necessary for the provincial Premier to be called, I will have her called as well. MR MPOFU: Yes, I – CHAIRPERSON: So I'm not interested in being told that the parties have the right to decide who will be called, because that's not so. MR MPOFU: No. CHAIRPERSON: And if Mr Burger doesn't want to call some of the officials from Lonmin who can give important evidence, they'll be called. MR MPOFU: Yes, but – CHAIRPERSON: He's got no right to veto the calling of a witness and neither have you. I don't understand that point at all. MR MPOFU: Well, Chair, maybe you'll understand it if I put it like this. In each of the examples you've given me, I'm sure you'd tell Mr Semenya, Mr Burger, Mr X, the identity of that witness and you would call that witness. I don't foresee a situation that anybody, neither you Chairman, knowing you, or the evidence leaders would simply ambush Mr Burger by saying tomorrow

1	Page 2210	1	Page 2212
1	happen to these people because they are poor and they're	1	will call -
2	defenceless and they're being taken advantage of. No other	2	CHAIRPERSON: Why must the subpoenas be
3	party can be treated this way, Chairperson.	3	withdrawn?
4	CHAIRPERSON: To some extent that's water	4	MR MPOFU: Well, okay, well if they're
5	under the bridge. Whether there was a lack of courtesy in	5	not then –
6	not stating who was to be subpoenaed is a matter upon which	6	CHAIRPERSON: What purpose is there in
7	it's not necessary to give a finding because it's behind	7	withdrawing the subpoena? The subpoena is there, you can
8	us. The people who were subpoenaed know they were	8	even have an arrangement with Mr Madlanga as to the day on
9	subpoenaed, you know who they were and I'm not sure that	9	which they must come back. I'm sure Mr Madlanga will be
10	the fact that there was this discourtesy, if there was, in	10	amenable in that regard but if for some reason there's a
11	the past, affords them a reason to refuse to give evidence	11	lack of co-operation in the future and the subpoenas have
12	now or to have their subpoenas set aside. So I can't	12	to be issued again, there'll be further expense and time
13	understand the attitude that's being adopted. Perhaps you	13	and trouble wasted. So on what possible, rational,
14	must be patient with me and explain it simply because I'm	14	sensible basis can it be said that the subpoenas must be
15	afraid it's beyond my comprehension at the moment.	15	withdrawn? Once you've got the concession that if the
16	MR MPOFU: Well, Chairperson, the	16	witnesses are called you will be given the opportunity
17	comprehension that is important is that of my client and	17	which you may choose to avail yourself of or not, as they
18	their position is simply that there is no basis upon which	18	case may be, but you'll have the opportunity to lead them.
19	they are being compelled by the force of law to do	19 20	MR MPOFU: Chairperson - CHAIRPERSON: I've asked you a question.
20 21	something – a) I know that we've passed that stage – without their chosen legal representatives being here.	20	CHAIRPERSON: I've asked you a question. Please explain to me in simple terms so I can understand.
22	Well, I'm giving you the context of how we got here in the	21	MR MPOFU: I will, I will, Chairperson.
22	first place, and so that's the one thing. But I'm now	22	The only issue is the following, Chair, and I can't take it
23	addressing the only remaining issue which is the compulsion	23	more -1 can't say it more than this. If, after the two
25	and I'm saying, Chairperson, if that compulsion was indeed	25	witnesses that we call or three witnesses, whatever we give
_0		20	
	Page 2211		Page 2213
1	as Mr Madlanga seems to suggest because they were not sure	1	you on Wednesday, Mr Madlanga is of the view that he still
2	whether they were going to call people or not, that's also	2	needs to compel anybody without asking us, any of our
3	behind us because I've just said that we are going to call	3	clients, to compel them – in other words to pass us and
4	witnesses. So the alleged reason for the compulsion has	4	compel our clients to come here, then at that stage it's a
5	been removed and therefore the compulsion itself should	5	matter that either, between myself and Mr Madlanga, can be
6	equally be removed.	6	resolved should he still need any further people. The only
7	CHAIRPERSON: I understand that. We've	7	issue really that's standing, that is, I think between any
8	got subpoenas that have been served on these people,	8	of the parties now, is whether there should remain a
9	they're here. In a moment I take it Mr Madlanga is going	9	compulsion for, right now, for these people to be coming
10	to ask me to have them called in and asked to come back on	10	here by force on a daily basis - as it is specified in the
11	another day. If the subpoenas are withdrawn and for some	11	subpoenas – on the off-chance that Mr Madlanga and I, after
12	reason you decide you're not going to call them, then we	12	the other two witnesses are called, will not be satisfied
13	have to subpoen them all over again, which would be a	13	or will not be able to identify other people, one.
14	waste of time and money and effort. So I still don't	14	Two, the second issue is whether – I'm not even
15	understand that point.	15	sure about the advisability of the situation where I have
16	MR MPOFU: Ja, well, the biggest waste of	16	to lead witnesses that I have not decided to call.
17	time and money and effort will be if we are forced to go to	17	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, did you at any
18	court, I think, but be that as it may –	18	stage give – before the 16th or after – give Mr Mpofu any
19	CHAIRPERSON: If you're forced to go to	19 20	names of people who are his clients who you thought should
20	court on a cause of action that's non-existent, the waste	20	be called?
21	will be at your door and not anybody else's door but that	21	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, I must
22	would be a matter for the Judge to decide –	22	confess that we did not give my learned friend or indeed
23 24	MR MPOFU: Yes. Thank you, Chair. Our solution is quite simple, as I understand it, it looks like	23 24	his junior who we were interacting with at the time, the names of the people but we were open about the fact and as
24 25	we've found each other. If the subpoenas are withdrawn we	24 25	I indicated, there was the e-mail engagement and if, if
23	A set of the subject as a contract with a wi	20	r maioatou, more was the c-mail engagement and it, it
AR	CHIVE FOR JUSTICE	_	

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

<u> </u>		I I	
	Page 2214		Page 2216
1	there was any seriousness about the need to know the names,	1	which I presume means on Wednesday. He says that the
2	there was nothing, nothing at all stopping my learned	2	people he proposes calling have not been subpoenaed, in
3	friends on the other side asking for the names. We would	3	other words they're not among the group subpoenaed. He
4	definitely have given them the names of the people.	4	says that those who have been subpoenaed he doesn't think
5	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	5	refuse to give evidence, they had this concern about being
6	MR MADLANGA SC: May I –	6	led by persons other than their own lawyers but that has
7	CHAIRPERSON: You can carry on.	7	now fallen away, but he says that the subpoenas issued
8	MR MADLANGA SC: Yes. Mr Chair, may I	8	should be withdrawn. When I put it to him that if, for
9	just correct myself? My juniors are correcting me. We	9	some reason, it was necessary to call or considered
10	had, a long time ago, given five names to our learned	10	desirable to call those witnesses at some future date and
11	friends –	11	they weren't willing to come, they'd have to be subpoenaed
12	CHAIRPERSON: That was my recollection.	12	again, I therefore asked him what purpose would be served
13	MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, yes –	13	in withdrawing the subpoenas at this stage - he gave an
14	CHAIRPERSON: That's why, but I wasn't	14	answer which you heard. I'm not sure that if fully
15	sure I was right, that's why I asked you the question.	15	understand it but that's probably my fault, but do you
16	MR MADLANGA SC: We had given five names	16	respond, do you wish to respond at all to what he said?
17	to them, yes.	17	MR MADLANGA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, what
18	CHAIRPERSON: The five names you gave,	18	I would suggest is that the witnesses who are said to be
19	are those –	19	here should be called in and be warned to be in attendance
20	MR MPOFU: No –	20	on Wednesday. Depending on what we get from my learned
21	CHAIRPERSON: Do they include –	21	friend Mr Mpofu, we will then decide on the day as to the
22	MR MADLANGA SC: What happened is –	22	best course to take. That would be our suggestion. Now on
23	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you – those	23	the question of whether, because they propose leading or
24	five names you gave, are those five people the ones who	24	calling only two witnesses, whether we will still insist on
25	were among the six who were subpoenaed?	25	calling more, that will depend on the evidence that the two
	Page 2215		Page 2217
1	Page 2215 MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes,	1	Page 2217 witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from
1 2		1 2	
	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes,		witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from
2	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA	2	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements.
2 3	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to	2	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my
2 3 4	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in	2 3 4	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that
2 3 4 5	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names	2 3 4 5	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's
2 3 4 5 6	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one	2 3 4 5 6	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the	2 3 4 5 6 7	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand you to say you gave names to your learned friends sometime	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross- examination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand you to say you gave names to your learned friends sometime ago, before the – long before the 16th – that these were people whom he represented who you thought should be called to give evidence. You say you subsequently, as a result of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross- examination. [14:14] So the decision, any decision as to witnesses after those two, would have to be taken after those two people have testified and not before, certainly not on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand you to say you gave names to your learned friends sometime ago, before the – long before the 16th – that these were people whom he represented who you thought should be called to give evidence. You say you subsequently, as a result of discussions with someone on the staff of the provincial DPP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross-examination. [14:14] So the decision, any decision as to witnesses after those two, would have to be taken after those two people have testified and not before, certainly not on the basis of statements that were put up - but subject to that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand you to say you gave names to your learned friends sometime ago, before the – long before the 16th – that these were people whom he represented who you thought should be called to give evidence. You say you subsequently, as a result of discussions with someone on the staff of the provincial DPP in North West, you subsequently withdrew one or possibly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross- examination. [14:14] So the decision, any decision as to witnesses after those two, would have to be taken after those two people have testified and not before, certainly not on the basis of statements that were put up - but subject to that, I propose putting your proposal to Mr Mpofu. What do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand you to say you gave names to your learned friends sometime ago, before the – long before the 16th – that these were people whom he represented who you thought should be called to give evidence. You say you subsequently, as a result of discussions with someone on the staff of the provincial DPP in North West, you subsequently withdrew one or possibly two names but of the remaining names, are those people who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross- examination. [14:14] So the decision, any decision as to witnesses after those two, would have to be taken after those two people have testified and not before, certainly not on the basis of statements that were put up - but subject to that, I propose putting your proposal to Mr Mpofu. What do you say about Mr Madlanga's –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand you to say you gave names to your learned friends sometime ago, before the – long before the 16th – that these were people whom he represented who you thought should be called to give evidence. You say you subsequently, as a result of discussions with someone on the staff of the provincial DPP in North West, you subsequently withdrew one or possibly two names but of the remaining names, are those people who are being subpoenaed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross- examination. [14:14] So the decision, any decision as to witnesses after those two, would have to be taken after those two people have testified and not before, certainly not on the basis of statements that were put up - but subject to that, I propose putting your proposal to Mr Mpofu. What do you say about Mr Madlanga's – MR MPOFU: Chair, that is my proposal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand you to say you gave names to your learned friends sometime ago, before the – long before the 16th – that these were people whom he represented who you thought should be called to give evidence. You say you subsequently, as a result of discussions with someone on the staff of the provincial DPP in North West, you subsequently withdrew one or possibly two names but of the remaining names, are those people who are being subpoenaed? MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, yes Mr Chairman,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross- examination. [14:14] So the decision, any decision as to witnesses after those two, would have to be taken after those two people have testified and not before, certainly not on the basis of statements that were put up - but subject to that, I propose putting your proposal to Mr Mpofu. What do you say about Mr Madlanga's – MR MPOFU: Chair, that is my proposal which you said you didn't understand. I had said exactly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand you to say you gave names to your learned friends sometime ago, before the – long before the 16th – that these were people whom he represented who you thought should be called to give evidence. You say you subsequently, as a result of discussions with someone on the staff of the provincial DPP in North West, you subsequently withdrew one or possibly two names but of the remaining names, are those people who are being subpoenaed? MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, yes Mr Chairman, but the list of the subpoenaed witnesses is more, more than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross- examination. [14:14] So the decision, any decision as to witnesses after those two, would have to be taken after those two people have testified and not before, certainly not on the basis of statements that were put up - but subject to that, I propose putting your proposal to Mr Mpofu. What do you say about Mr Madlanga's – MR MPOFU: Chair, that is my proposal which you said you didn't understand. I had said exactly that the – after the witnesses have been called, I'm sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand you to say you gave names to your learned friends sometime ago, before the – long before the 16th – that these were people whom he represented who you thought should be called to give evidence. You say you subsequently, as a result of discussions with someone on the staff of the provincial DPP in North West, you subsequently withdrew one or possibly two names but of the remaining names, are those people who are being subpoenaed? MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, yes Mr Chairman, but the list of the subpoenaed witnesses is more, more than the names that we had indicated, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross- examination. [14:14] So the decision, any decision as to witnesses after those two, would have to be taken after those two people have testified and not before, certainly not on the basis of statements that were put up - but subject to that, I propose putting your proposal to Mr Mpofu. What do you say about Mr Madlanga's – MR MPOFU: Chair, that is my proposal which you said you didn't understand. I had said exactly that the – after the witnesses have been called, I'm sure that between Mr Madlanga and I, depending on the nature of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand you to say you gave names to your learned friends sometime ago, before the – long before the 16th – that these were people whom he represented who you thought should be called to give evidence. You say you subsequently, as a result of discussions with someone on the staff of the provincial DPP in North West, you subsequently withdrew one or possibly two names but of the remaining names, are those people who are being subpoenaed? MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, yes Mr Chairman, but the list of the subpoenaed witnesses is more, more than the names that we had indicated, yes. CHAIRPERSON: What do you say in response	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross- examination. [14:14] So the decision, any decision as to witnesses after those two, would have to be taken after those two people have testified and not before, certainly not on the basis of statements that were put up - but subject to that, I propose putting your proposal to Mr Mpofu. What do you say about Mr Madlanga's – MR MPOFU: Chair, that is my proposal which you said you didn't understand. I had said exactly that the – after the witnesses have been called, I'm sure that between Mr Madlanga and I, depending on the nature of the evidence – which is what you've just said now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand you to say you gave names to your learned friends sometime ago, before the – long before the 16th – that these were people whom he represented who you thought should be called to give evidence. You say you subsequently, as a result of discussions with someone on the staff of the provincial DPP in North West, you subsequently withdrew one or possibly two names but of the remaining names, are those people who are being subpoenaed? MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, yes Mr Chairman, but the list of the subpoenaed witnesses is more, more than the names that we had indicated, yes. CHAIRPERSON: What do you say in response to Mr Mpofu's suggestion that, firstly, he will give us, he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross- examination. [14:14] So the decision, any decision as to witnesses after those two, would have to be taken after those two people have testified and not before, certainly not on the basis of statements that were put up - but subject to that, I propose putting your proposal to Mr Mpofu. What do you say about Mr Madlanga's – MR MPOFU: Chair, that is my proposal which you said you didn't understand. I had said exactly that the – after the witnesses have been called, I'm sure that between Mr Madlanga and I, depending on the nature of the evidence – which is what you've just said now, Chairperson – we would at that stage, I think those were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand you to say you gave names to your learned friends sometime ago, before the – long before the 16th – that these were people whom he represented who you thought should be called to give evidence. You say you subsequently, as a result of discussions with someone on the staff of the provincial DPP in North West, you subsequently withdrew one or possibly two names but of the remaining names, are those people who are being subpoenaed? MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, yes Mr Chairman, but the list of the subpoenaed witnesses is more, more than the names that we had indicated, yes. CHAIRPERSON: What do you say in response to Mr Mpofu's suggestion that, firstly, he will give us, he will tell us by – he tells us he will call at least two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross- examination. [14:14] So the decision, any decision as to witnesses after those two, would have to be taken after those two people have testified and not before, certainly not on the basis of statements that were put up - but subject to that, I propose putting your proposal to Mr Mpofu. What do you say about Mr Madlanga's – MR MPOFU: Chair, that is my proposal which you said you didn't understand. I had said exactly that the – after the witnesses have been called, I'm sure that between Mr Madlanga and I, depending on the nature of the evidence – which is what you've just said now, Chairperson – we would at that stage, I think those were the words I used, then either voluntarily or by compulsion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand you to say you gave names to your learned friends sometime ago, before the – long before the 16th – that these were people whom he represented who you thought should be called to give evidence. You say you subsequently, as a result of discussions with someone on the staff of the provincial DPP in North West, you subsequently withdrew one or possibly two names but of the remaining names, are those people who are being subpoenaed? MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, yes Mr Chairman, but the list of the subpoenaed witnesses is more, more than the names that we had indicated, yes. CHAIRPERSON: What do you say in response to Mr Mpofu's suggestion that, firstly, he will give us, he will tell us by – he tells us he will call at least two witnesses. He says he will give the Commission, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross- examination. [14:14] So the decision, any decision as to witnesses after those two, would have to be taken after those two people have testified and not before, certainly not on the basis of statements that were put up - but subject to that, I propose putting your proposal to Mr Mpofu. What do you say about Mr Madlanga's – MR MPOFU: Chair, that is my proposal which you said you didn't understand. I had said exactly that the – after the witnesses have been called, I'm sure that between Mr Madlanga and I, depending on the nature of the evidence – which is what you've just said now, Chairperson – we would at that stage, I think those were the words I used, then either voluntarily or by compulsion or whatever method, secure the outstanding evidence. That,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, yes, because there was an engagement between us and the NPA advocate who is handling the murder trials, he indicated to us that he would not like some of the evidence contained in his dockets to be proved. So we took out some of the names but at least off the top of my head I remember one, one name that was taken out, one or two – one, yes – but the other names remain. So we had – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, so do I understand you to say you gave names to your learned friends sometime ago, before the – long before the 16th – that these were people whom he represented who you thought should be called to give evidence. You say you subsequently, as a result of discussions with someone on the staff of the provincial DPP in North West, you subsequently withdrew one or possibly two names but of the remaining names, are those people who are being subpoenaed? MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, yes Mr Chairman, but the list of the subpoenaed witnesses is more, more than the names that we had indicated, yes. CHAIRPERSON: What do you say in response to Mr Mpofu's suggestion that, firstly, he will give us, he will tell us by – he tells us he will call at least two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	witnesses will cover and that we will be able to see from their statements. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that I and my colleagues would be content for witnesses to be told that they have to come on the basis of what other people's statements say they will say, because when they go onto the witness stand – or witness table actually – they may not say what their statements say they will say or, even if they say it in chief, it might not stand up in cross- examination. [14:14] So the decision, any decision as to witnesses after those two, would have to be taken after those two people have testified and not before, certainly not on the basis of statements that were put up - but subject to that, I propose putting your proposal to Mr Mpofu. What do you say about Mr Madlanga's – MR MPOFU: Chair, that is my proposal which you said you didn't understand. I had said exactly that the – after the witnesses have been called, I'm sure that between Mr Madlanga and I, depending on the nature of the evidence – which is what you've just said now, Chairperson – we would at that stage, I think those were the words I used, then either voluntarily or by compulsion

		1	
	Page 2218	1	Page 2220
1	So I obviously agree with it. Maybe it's just the way I	1	helpful. The authorities seem to think that even if you're
2	articulated it.	2	subpoenaed, you still need a pass to be here. They've all
3	CHAIRPERSON: It's not quite as simple as	3	got passes, that was very nice. Alright, would you call their names out and let each raise his hand when his name
4	that because you're still not addressing the point that I	4 5	is called out?
5	don't understand but we won't worry about that. You now,	6	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Vusi Mohale, Mr
6	as I understand it, don't quarrel with Mr Madlanga's	7	Sethembele Ntakana, Mr Mlambisa Thokotokwana, Mr Teminkosi
8	suggestion. So would the six people who have been subpoenaed, if they're here or as many of them as are here,	8	Mtjo, Mr Umlandeni Nyalwana, Mr Alfred – I'm sorry, Mr
9	please be called into the auditorium?	9	Lungani Mabotyana. Six.
10	MR MPOFU: No, just to clarify something	10	CHAIRPERSON: This gentleman here.
11	to assist Mr Madlanga, where he said maybe one of the –	11	Gentlemen, thank you for coming in obedience to the
12	it's actually two. The lists are different, except there	12	subpoenas you received. Sorry, five names were called, we
13	are only two names that are common. I just wanted to put	13	have a sixth gentleman who hasn't raised his hand yet.
14	that on the record. In other words, the list of the	14	MR MADLANGA SC: I called six, perhaps if
15	subpoenaed people and the old list of October that he had	15	I could ask the Commission to find out who the sixth
16	sent us are different.	16	gentleman whose name was not called out, is.
17	CHAIRPERSON: You were given an old list,	17	CHAIRPERSON: The gentleman who didn't
18	were you?	18	raise his hand, whose name wasn't called out, would he
19	MR MPOFU: A what?	19	please step forward for a moment?
20	CHAIRPERSON: You were given an old list?	20	MR HANABE: There he is, Commissioner,
21	MR MPOFU: No.	21	raising his hand.
22	CHAIRPERSON: You were told that people	22	CHAIRPERSON: What's your name, sir?
23	were going to – he wanted people to come?	23	MR MPOFU: Chairperson, there seems to be
24	MR MPOFU: Chair, I said that to you on	24	confusion. The gentleman is not in possession of a
25	the 16th on the record. I said, I said that there was an	25	subpoena, neither does his name appear or rather found from
	·		
	Page 2219		Page 2221
1	Page 2219 old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr	1	Page 2221 Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to
1 2	5	1 2	5
	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when \check{Mr}		Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to
2	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start	2	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person
2 3	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm	2 3	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here.
2 3 4	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other	2 3 4	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong
2 3 4 5	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now.	2 3 4 5	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname?
2 3 4 5 6	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the
2 3 4 5 6 7	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone, there appears to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone, there appears to have been a misunderstanding. You haven't been subpoenaed,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names were called out, as the Commission is aware, earlier and my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone, there appears to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names were called out, as the Commission is aware, earlier and my learned friend indicated that they are aware of their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone, there appears to have been a misunderstanding. You haven't been subpoenaed, you didn't have to come forward and you can leave the stage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names were called out, as the Commission is aware, earlier and my learned friend indicated that they are aware of their whereabouts. Perhaps my learned friends –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone, there appears to have been a misunderstanding. You haven't been subpoenaed, you didn't have to come forward and you can leave the stage. MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, may I say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names were called out, as the Commission is aware, earlier and my learned friend indicated that they are aware of their whereabouts. Perhaps my learned friends – CHAIRPERSON: They were here in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone, there appears to have been a misunderstanding. You haven't been subpoenaed, you didn't have to come forward and you can leave the stage. MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, may I say something?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names were called out, as the Commission is aware, earlier and my learned friend indicated that they are aware of their whereabouts. Perhaps my learned friends – CHAIRPERSON: They were here in the building, as I understood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, there appears to have been a misunderstanding. You haven't been subpoenaed, you didn't have to come forward and you can leave the stage. MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, may I say something? CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names were called out, as the Commission is aware, earlier and my learned friend indicated that they are aware of their whereabouts. Perhaps my learned friends – CHAIRPERSON: They were here in the building, as I understood. MR MPOFU: Yes, my attorney has gone to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, there appears to have been a misunderstanding. You haven't been subpoenaed, you didn't have to come forward and you can leave the stage. MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, may I say something? CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course. MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, my junior Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names were called out, as the Commission is aware, earlier and my learned friend indicated that they are aware of their whereabouts. Perhaps my learned friends – CHAIRPERSON: They were here in the building, as I understood. MR MPOFU: Yes, my attorney has gone to call them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, there appears to have been a misunderstanding. You haven't been subpoenaed, you didn't have to come forward and you can leave the stage. MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, may I say something? CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course. MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, my junior Mr Mojapelo says that according to information that he has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names were called out, as the Commission is aware, earlier and my learned friend indicated that they are aware of their whereabouts. Perhaps my learned friends – CHAIRPERSON: They were here in the building, as I understood. MR MPOFU: Yes, my attorney has gone to call them. CHAIRPERSON: The attorney has gone to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, there appears to have been a misunderstanding. You haven't been subpoenaed, you didn't have to come forward and you can leave the stage. MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, may I say something? CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course. MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, my junior Mr Mojapelo says that according to information that he has received, Mr Mokone was in fact served although the return
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names were called out, as the Commission is aware, earlier and my learned friend indicated that they are aware of their whereabouts. Perhaps my learned friends – CHAIRPERSON: They were here in the building, as I understood. MR MPOFU: Yes, my attorney has gone to call them. CHAIRPERSON: The attorney has gone to fetch them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone, there appears to have been a misunderstanding. You haven't been subpoenaed, you didn't have to come forward and you can leave the stage. MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, may I say something? CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course. MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, my junior Mr Mojapelo says that according to information that he has received, Mr Mokone was in fact served although the return of service is not yet to hand. Perhaps if the Commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names were called out, as the Commission is aware, earlier and my learned friend indicated that they are aware of their whereabouts. Perhaps my learned friends – CHAIRPERSON: They were here in the building, as I understood. MR MPOFU: Yes, my attorney has gone to call them. CHAIRPERSON: The attorney has gone to fetch them. MR MPOFU: Except for Nyalwana, Umlandeni	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: Mr Mokone, there appears to have been a misunderstanding. You haven't been subpoenaed, you didn't have to come forward and you can leave the stage. MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, may I say something? CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course. MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, my junior Mr Mojapelo says that according to information that he has received, Mr Mokone was in fact served although the return of service is not yet to hand. Perhaps if the Commission could find out from him whether he has been served or not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names were called out, as the Commission is aware, earlier and my learned friend indicated that they are aware of their whereabouts. Perhaps my learned friends – CHAIRPERSON: They were here in the building, as I understood. MR MPOFU: Yes, my attorney has gone to call them. CHAIRPERSON: The attorney has gone to fetch them. MR MPOFU: Except for Nyalwana, Umlandeni Nyalwana is not on my list.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone, there appears to have been a misunderstanding. You haven't been subpoenaed, you didn't have to come forward and you can leave the stage. MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, may I say something? CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course. MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, my junior Mr Mojapelo says that according to information that he has received, Mr Mokone was in fact served although the return of service is not yet to hand. Perhaps if the Commission could find out from him whether he has been served or not. We do have him on the list.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names were called out, as the Commission is aware, earlier and my learned friend indicated that they are aware of their whereabouts. Perhaps my learned friends – CHAIRPERSON: They were here in the building, as I understood. MR MPOFU: Yes, my attorney has gone to call them. CHAIRPERSON: The attorney has gone to fetch them. MR MPOFU: Except for Nyalwana, Umlandeni Nyalwana is not on my list. CHAIRPERSON: I suggest you call the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone, there appears to have been a misunderstanding. You haven't been subpoenaed, you didn't have to come forward and you can leave the stage. MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, may I say something? CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course. MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, my junior Mr Mojapelo says that according to information that he has received, Mr Mokone was in fact served although the return of service is not yet to hand. Perhaps if the Commission could find out from him whether he has been served or not. We do have him on the list. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone – you're Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names were called out, as the Commission is aware, earlier and my learned friend indicated that they are aware of their whereabouts. Perhaps my learned friends – CHAIRPERSON: They were here in the building, as I understood. MR MPOFU: Yes, my attorney has gone to call them. CHAIRPERSON: The attorney has gone to fetch them. MR MPOFU: Except for Nyalwana, Umlandeni Nyalwana is not on my list.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone, there appears to have been a misunderstanding. You haven't been subpoenaed, you didn't have to come forward and you can leave the stage. MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, may I say something? CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course. MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, my junior Mr Mojapelo says that according to information that he has received, Mr Mokone was in fact served although the return of service is not yet to hand. Perhaps if the Commission could find out from him whether he has been served or not. We do have him on the list. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone – you're Mr Lebogang Mokone, are you? Yes, and you did get a subpoena?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	old list which had nothing to do with the issue of when Mr Madlanga was effectively saying that we should start pleading our cases, so that's on the record. All I'm clarifying now is that only two of the names – in other words, this is a different list that has been done now. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well, it's helpful that that point is clarified. Could we have the people who were subpoenaed who are present, please, called into the auditorium? MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, the names were called out, as the Commission is aware, earlier and my learned friend indicated that they are aware of their whereabouts. Perhaps my learned friends – CHAIRPERSON: They were here in the building, as I understood. MR MPOFU: Yes, my attorney has gone to call them. CHAIRPERSON: The attorney has gone to fetch them. MR MPOFU: Except for Nyalwana, Umlandeni Nyalwana is not on my list. CHAIRPERSON: I suggest you call the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Madlanga's list. So it would seem that we happen to have six because of that mistake but there's a sixth person missing here. CHAIRPERSON: So we've got the wrong sixth man. What is his surname? MR MPOFU: Mokone Lebogang. L is the initial. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR MPOFU: And Mokone, M-O-K-O-N-E. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone, there appears to have been a misunderstanding. You haven't been subpoenaed, you didn't have to come forward and you can leave the stage. MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman, may I say something? CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course. MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, my junior Mr Mojapelo says that according to information that he has received, Mr Mokone was in fact served although the return of service is not yet to hand. Perhaps if the Commission could find out from him whether he has been served or not. We do have him on the list. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone – you're Mr

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

2011		113510	in or inquiry Rustenburg
1	Page 2222	1	Page 2224 excused, Chairperson?
	CHAIRPERSON: Well, what are you doing		
2	here then?	2	CHAIRPERSON: It sounds as if you've done
3	MR MPOFU: He says, Chairperson he says	3	your, you've done what you had to do today.
4	the employer told him to come. It's clear that the	4	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Thank
5	confusion – if he was in the list, Chair, maybe this list	5	you.
6	was also sent in order to make sure that they don't get	6	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, are we still in
7	adverse consequences at work.	7	your hands or must I ask Mr Madlanga what do we do next?
8	CHAIRPERSON: Okay, I understand,	8	Have you got any more witnesses?
9	alright. So what must we do about Mr Mokone? He has very	9	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman,
10	properly and appropriately come at the urging of his	10	commissioners, the next witness would have been Mr
11	employer but if he hasn't received a subpoena then I	11	Mathunjwa but when I spoke to Mr Bruinders during the lunch
12	haven't got the power to warn him to come on a later date,	12	adjournment he was not yet quite ready but perhaps the
13	have I?	13	Commission may enquire from him at what stage he is now.
14	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chair, I would say	14	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bruinders, I see you're
15	not, yes.	15	in court and you have the microphone in front of you. Are
16	CHAIRPERSON: So what I did in suggesting	16	you able to answer the question that Mr Madlanga posed?
17	that he leave the stage was correct?	17	MR BRUINDERS SC: Thank you,
18	MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, yes.	18	commissioners, I am. You will recall that there was an
19	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mokone, you don't have	19	arrangement that Mr Mathunjwa would give evidence today
20	to stay on the stage. You can step down if you wish. The	20	subject to us receiving statements from the parties who
21	remaining five gentlemen, I must ask you please, warn you	21	might have witnesses who will say something that might
22	to come on Wednesday at half past nine here at this, the	22	prejudice AMCU's case or Mr Mathunjwa. We have received –
23	auditorium at the Civic Centre and a decision will then be	23	we got Lonmin's statements and documents last week and we
24	taken, you will then be told what is going to happen after	24	got some more this morning that we worked through but what
25	that but your subpoena is now extended, as it were, until	25	we don't yet have are the NUM's statements. Now I'm told
			, ,
	Page 2223		Page 2225
1	Wednesday half past nine here at the Civic Centre. If you	1	by the NUM representatives that they have today e-mailed to
2	need a letter to give to your employer, if you're in	2	the evidence leaders copies of the statements and
3	employment and you needed to give a letter to your employer	3	apparently there's a bundle of documents attached to them.
4	explaining why you can't be at work on Wednesday because	4	Hard copies are apparently on their way and they will make
5	you have to be here, Mr Madlanga will arrange that a letter	5	that available to us as soon as they get here. We would
6	be drafted and given to you so you can show it to your	6	like the opportunity to go through those with Mr Mathunjwa
7	employers. That can be managed by means of negotiation	7	before we lead him.
8	between Mr Mpofu and Mr Madlanga. Thank you very much for	8	CHAIRPERSON: Does that mean that you're
9	coming. Because you came as witnesses in obedience to a	9	obviously not able to proceed today, but there's a prospect
10	subpoena, you're entitled to witness fees for your presence	10	at least that you may be able to proceed sometime tomorrow?
11	here today and make sure they pay your witness fees before	11	MR BRUINDERS SC: No, there's – we, Mr
12	you leave. If a subpoena was given to Mr Mokone's employer	12	Chair, we're very keen to lead Mr Mathunjwa, in fact he's
13	and that's why he was here, he is also entitled to witness	13	quite keen to give evidence because he's a busy man and
14	fees for being here today.	14	obviously can't hang around here. So if we get those
15	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson.	15	statements soon this afternoon, we'll lead him tomorrow
16	CHAIRPERSON: We look forward to seeing	16	morning.
17	you on Wednesday.	17	CHAIRPERSON: What you're suggesting to
18	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson.	18	me is that I should adjourn the proceedings until 9.30
19	Chairperson, I can't resist asking whether the payment	19	tomorrow morning.
20	regime can be extended to their lawyers.	20	MR BRUINDERS SC: That would obviously
20	CHAIRPERSON: Well, if the lawyers are	20	suit Mr Mathunjwa but of course if there's anything else
22	prepared to appear at the tariff fixed for witnesses who	22	that can take place now then that must be so.
23	come in obedience to subpoenas, I'm quite sure that can be	22	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let's see if
23	arranged.		there's anything else that can take place now before I
24	MR MPOFU: Sorry, Chairperson, may I be	24 25	adjourn. Mr Madlanga, is there anything else that can take
25	Line for or o. Jointy, chairperson, may ribe	20	aujourn. Ivii iviaulanya, is there arrything else that tan take

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

		1	in or inquiry induction of
	Page 2226		Page 2228
1	place now?	1	are very much like the – like one of the water cannon
2	MR MADLANGA SC: None at all,	2	videos where the water cannon, one of the water cannon
3	commissioners.	3	video – sorry, one of the water cannon video cameras the
4	CHAIRPERSON: Subject to what –	4	lens was covered in mud, so the video is just clear as mud.
5	MR MADLANGA SC: Just a minute, just a	5	Well, these black and white pictures are like that. So
6	minute.	6	would you please, before the end of business today',
7	CHAIRPERSON: There's housekeeping. So	7	arrange for colour, technicolour copies to be available to
8	the clerk of the papers is Adv Pillay. Have you anything	8	my two colleagues?
9	to report?	9	MS PILLAY: I will do so, Mr Chairperson,
10	MS PILLAY: Chairperson, I do. If I can	10	my apologies to Commissioners Hemraj and Tokota. We're
11	start with - the last exhibit number that we have is II,	11	just having slight constraints with resources but we will
12	Chairperson, and that's the statement of Warrant Officer	12	definitely get them colour copies by the end of the day.
13	Wessels.	13	CHAIRPERSON: I don't understand why,
14	CHAIRPERSON: His CV really.	14	having made one colour copy, it was considered necessary to
15	MS PILLAY: Or his CV, yes. I then would	15	then switch over to black and white, particularly regard
16	like to hand up Exhibit J, what I've marked JJ which is the	16	being had to the fact that how clear the black and white
17	bundle of photographs that were relied upon by the team for	17	was, it was an economy which failed.
18	the victim and I will take you through each photograph now.	18	[14:34] MS PILLAY: If I may then carry on with
19	CHAIRPERSON: You've numbered the	19	JJ1, Mr Chairperson, it's SAPS external hard drive
20	photographs, have you?	20	\lcrc\scene2\201008303 and the photograph is 2735. JJ2 is
21	MS PILLAY: I have. I'll take you	21	SAPS external hard drive \lcrc\scene2\201208303 and the
22	through that now.	22	photograph is 2736. JJ3 is SAPS external hard drive
23	CHAIRPERSON: Do you have copies for your	23	\lcrc\scene2\201208303 and the photograph is 2739. JJ4 is
24	learned friends?	24	photograph 156, it's external hard drive
25	MS PILLAY: Chairperson, we haven't made	25	\photos\Thursday16\pic156. JJ5 is to be found in the new
	D 0007		-
1	Page 2227 copies for each of the parties but all of the photographs	1	Page 2229 material folder that I've then copied for the parties.
2	are on the SAPS EHD and I'll just take a minute to take you	2	It's new material from SAPS \photosvideographs \BBC'S
3	through each, where each one can be found.	3	Lonmin Marikana Mine Clashes and it's snapshot 0900. JJ7
4	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, thank you but I	4	is in the same file, that's in the new material folder from
5	don't think that JJ7 should be in the bundle. It was	5	SAPS folder and it's snapshot 11.13.
6	referred to and then I – or Mr Semenya objected. Perhaps	6	CHAIRPERSON: Right, now Mr Semenya was
7	if I hand my bundle to Mr Semenya so he knows what I'm	7	going to tell us what his attitude is to it being received
8	talking about.	8	as an exhibit at the Commission.
9	MS PILLAY: I did check, Mr Chairperson,	9	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, our objection
10	that JJ7 is on the SAPS hard drive. That's why the new	10	still stands.
11	SAPS document –	11	CHAIRPERSON: It was conceded, by Mr
12	CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand it's on	12	Mmusi I think it was, who was asking questions based upon
13	the SAPS hard drive but the question is whether it's	13	these things, that it had no relevance to the inquiry at
14	relevant to anything we're busy with and whether it should	14	all. It shows a picture of a cannon being mounted on the
15	be before us and Mr Semenya – anyway, let's hear hat M	15	back of a bakkie and being used allegedly by the police to
16	Semenya says. If he's happy that it should go in, I won't	16	fire at miners, South African Police, to fire at miners.
17	stand in his way.	17	It's not suggested anything of that kind happened at
18	MS PILLAY: While Mr Semenya is looking	18	Marikana and you weren't here, Ms Pillay, but I asked the
19	at JJ7, Mr Chairperson, if I could run through JJ1 to 6	19	press to live up to the high standards we've come to - the
20	just so that the rest of the parties know where to find	20	media, to live up to the high standards we've come to
21	those photographs. JJ1 can be found on the SAPS external	21	expect of them, not to report on it. Mr Semenya asked me
22	hard drive at \lcrc -	22	to give a directive, which I had no power to give, but I
23	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, sorry, before you	23	asked them to live up to the high standards we've come to
24	carry on. You've given me a technicolour copy but my	24	expect of them and asked them not to disappoint us and I'm
25	learned colleagues have got black and white copies which	25	happy to say they didn't disappoint us and it hasn't been
AR			

		1	
	Page 2230		Page 2232
1	mentioned. So I think we can just take it from the bundle	1	that picture unfortunately is the picture that, if you look
2	and which means that what you've marked JJ8 is then	2	at it, it was obtained from the media and that that Mr
3	promoted in the batting order and becomes JJ7.	3	Chairman is commenting about, was not written by us, it was
4	MS PILLAY: Thank you, Mr Chairperson,	4	written by the people from whom the picture was obtained
5	that would be – just to mention that I did check with Mr	5	from. Now we add nothing and we put no emphasis on what is
6	Mmusi before I marked the exhibits, just to check whether	6	written on the picture itself.
7	he wants it still marked as an exhibit and he indicated	7	CHAIRPERSON: The question is, what
8	that he did. I'm not sure if he wants to address the	8	relevance does that picture have to the inquiry on which we
9	Commission –	9	are currently engaged in this Commission?
10	CHAIRPERSON: Oh, well maybe he wants to	10	MR MMUSI: Mr Chairman, I want to believe
11	persuade me to change my mind.	11	that at the end of the Commission one of the issues that
12	MR MMUSI: Mr Chair, my understanding of	12	you'll be confronted with is to evaluate the behaviour of
13	Mr Semenya's objection is that he didn't want the photo to	13	the protesters, the behaviour of the South African Police
14	be used as if the machine gun was used to fire at the	14	Force, the activities and the necessary actions required to
15	protesters and I conceded, correctly so, that we are not	15	meet the activities as at the time. Now you might look at
16	alleging that the machine gun was used to fire at the	16	this particular thing – I don't know what evidence Mr
17	protesters and it was not discovered for that particular	17	Semenya is going to lead with regard to this particular
18	purpose. It's merely in the context of the circumstances	18	photograph. In his re-examination of the witness today he
19	of this particular case, the police were at Marikana to	19	put to the witness that if the intelligence was suggesting
20	disperse the protesters. Now they came with an avalanche	20	to the South African Police that they were going to meet
21	of ammunition, including that particular thing. Now it's	21	people who were armed with R5s then they would be, I'm
22	merely a question of the balance of forces. Now, they –	22	assuming, justified in bringing that particular machine
23	that is the only purpose why that particular machine gun	23	gun, so it's not irrelevant. So in that particular context
24	was discovered and if Mr Chairman can recall that the	24	I would then say to you –
25	second reason why the firearm was discovered was solely to	25	CHAIRPERSON: This isn't an R5, what's
	Dama 2021		Dama 0000
1	Page 2231 identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been	1	Page 2233 depicted on this photograph with this legend.
1	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been	1	depicted on this photograph with this legend.
2	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I	2	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that.
2 3	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include	2 3	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of
2 3 4	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the	2	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the
2 3	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not	2 3 4	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that
2 3 4 5	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the	2 3 4 5	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide
2 3 4 5 6 7	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners	2 3 4 5 6	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular
2 3 4 5 6	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this	2 3 4 5 6 7	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection – CHAIRPERSON: It contains the legend	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say that for the period that is being investigated by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection – CHAIRPERSON: It contains the legend "Police open fire at South African miners." Now you say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say that for the period that is being investigated by the Commission, if the Commission looks into the activities,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection – CHAIRPERSON: It contains the legend "Police open fire at South African miners." Now you say that the police didn't use that weapon to fire at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say that for the period that is being investigated by the Commission, if the Commission looks into the activities, this is one of the ammunitions that was found in Marikana.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection – CHAIRPERSON: It contains the legend "Police open fire at South African miners." Now you say that the police didn't use that weapon to fire at the miners, is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say that for the period that is being investigated by the Commission, if the Commission looks into the activities, this is one of the ammunitions that was found in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya – sorry, have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection – CHAIRPERSON: It contains the legend "Police open fire at South African miners." Now you say that the police didn't use that weapon to fire at the miners, is that correct? MR MMUSI: Mr Chairman, I said I did not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say that for the period that is being investigated by the Commission, if the Commission looks into the activities, this is one of the ammunitions that was found in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya – sorry, have you finished?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection – CHAIRPERSON: It contains the legend "Police open fire at South African miners." Now you say that the police didn't use that weapon to fire at the miners, is that correct? MR MMUSI: Mr Chairman, I said I did not discover – I did not discover that particular photograph to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say that for the period that is being investigated by the Commission, if the Commission looks into the activities, this is one of the ammunitions that was found in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya – sorry, have you finished? MR MMUSI: That is all, Mr Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection – CHAIRPERSON: It contains the legend "Police open fire at South African miners." Now you say that the police didn't use that weapon to fire at the miners, is that correct? MR MMUSI: Mr Chairman, I said I did not discover – I did not discover that particular photograph to allege that the police used the machine gun to fire.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say that for the period that is being investigated by the Commission, if the Commission looks into the activities, this is one of the ammunitions that was found in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya – sorry, have you finished? MR MMUSI: That is all, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, what do you say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection – CHAIRPERSON: It contains the legend "Police open fire at South African miners." Now you say that the police didn't use that weapon to fire at the miners, is that correct? MR MMUSI: Mr Chairman, I said I did not discover – I did not discover that particular photograph to allege that the police used the machine gun to fire. CHAIRPERSON: The words underneath it say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say that for the period that is being investigated by the Commission, if the Commission looks into the activities, this is one of the ammunitions that was found in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya – sorry, have you finished? MR MMUSI: That is all, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, what do you say in answer to that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection – CHAIRPERSON: It contains the legend "Police open fire at South African miners." Now you say that the police didn't use that weapon to fire at the miners, is that correct? MR MMUSI: Mr Chairman, I said I did not discover – I did not discover that particular photograph to allege that the police used the machine gun to fire. CHAIRPERSON: The words underneath it say "Police open fire at South African miners," so what does	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say that for the period that is being investigated by the Commission, if the Commission looks into the activities, this is one of the ammunitions that was found in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya – sorry, have you finished? MR MMUSI: That is all, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, what do you say in answer to that? MR SEMENYA SC: Just to accommodate Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection – CHAIRPERSON: It contains the legend "Police open fire at South African miners." Now you say that the police didn't use that weapon to fire at the miners, is that correct? MR MMUSI: Mr Chairman, I said I did not discover – I did not discover that particular photograph to allege that the police used the machine gun to fire. CHAIRPERSON: The words underneath it say "Police open fire at South African miners," so what does that mean in English? Doesn't that mean they used that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say that for the period that is being investigated by the Commission, if the Commission looks into the activities, this is one of the ammunitions that was found in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya – sorry, have you finished? MR MMUSI: That is all, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, what do you say in answer to that? MR SEMENYA SC: Just to accommodate Mr Mmusi, I will give him an example of a Browning machine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection – CHAIRPERSON: It contains the legend "Police open fire at South African miners." Now you say that the police didn't use that weapon to fire at the miners, is that correct? MR MMUSI: Mr Chairman, I said I did not discover – I did not discover that particular photograph to allege that the police used the machine gun to fire. CHAIRPERSON: The words underneath it say "Police open fire at South African miners," so what does that mean in English? Doesn't that mean they used that weapon and fired it at South African miners? If the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say that for the period that is being investigated by the Commission, if the Commission looks into the activities, this is one of the ammunitions that was found in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya – sorry, have you finished? MR MMUSI: That is all, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, what do you say in answer to that? MR SEMENYA SC: Just to accommodate Mr Mmusi, I will give him an example of a Browning machine gun, a 20mm barrelled machine gun. That exhibit is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection – CHAIRPERSON: It contains the legend "Police open fire at South African miners." Now you say that the police didn't use that weapon to fire at the miners, is that correct? MR MMUSI: Mr Chairman, I said I did not discover – I did not discover that particular photograph to allege that the police used the machine gun to fire. CHAIRPERSON: The words underneath it say "Police open fire at South African miners," so what does that mean in English? Doesn't that mean they used that weapon and fired it at South African miners? If the English words have any meaning –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say that for the period that is being investigated by the Commission, if the Commission looks into the activities, this is one of the ammunitions that was found in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya – sorry, have you finished? MR MMUSI: That is all, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, what do you say in answer to that? MR SEMENYA SC: Just to accommodate Mr Mmusi, I will give him an example of a Browning machine gun, a 20mm barrelled machine gun. That exhibit is misleading and has no relevance to the issues that happened
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection – CHAIRPERSON: It contains the legend "Police open fire at South African miners." Now you say that the police didn't use that weapon to fire at the miners, is that correct? MR MMUSI: Mr Chairman, I said I did not discover – I did not discover that particular photograph to allege that the police used the machine gun to fire. CHAIRPERSON: The words underneath it say "Police open fire at South African miners," so what does that mean in English? Doesn't that mean they used that weapon and fired it at South African miners? If the English words have any meaning – MR MMUSI: I agree –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say that for the period that is being investigated by the Commission, if the Commission looks into the activities, this is one of the ammunitions that was found in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya – sorry, have you finished? MR MMUSI: That is all, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, what do you say in answer to that? MR SEMENYA SC: Just to accommodate Mr Mmusi, I will give him an example of a Browning machine gun, a 20mm barrelled machine gun. That exhibit is misleading and has no relevance to the issues that happened between the 9th and the 16th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	identify it as not being one of the firearms that had been depicted in Exhibit B59. So that was the purpose and I didn't understand the objection of Mr Semenya to include that the machine gun should not be listed as one of the exhibits. If that was the case, then I would not understand because this is the document that I got from the SAPS hard drive and it is falling within the four corners of the activities which are being investigated by this Commission. So if his objection – CHAIRPERSON: It contains the legend "Police open fire at South African miners." Now you say that the police didn't use that weapon to fire at the miners, is that correct? MR MMUSI: Mr Chairman, I said I did not discover – I did not discover that particular photograph to allege that the police used the machine gun to fire. CHAIRPERSON: The words underneath it say "Police open fire at South African miners," so what does that mean in English? Doesn't that mean they used that weapon and fired it at South African miners? If the English words have any meaning – MR MMUSI: I agree – CHAIRPERSON: "Police open fire at South	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	depicted on this photograph with this legend. MR MMUSI: Mr Semenya put more than that. He said if the police were informed in terms of intelligence, one of the reactions would be to bring the snipers to take out the relevant particular person and that particular function would then, in the context of his slide presentation, be conducted by the STF and that particular machine gun is carried by the STF. So I do not want to anticipate what his evidence is going to be, save to say that for the period that is being investigated by the Commission, if the Commission looks into the activities, this is one of the ammunitions that was found in Marikana. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya – sorry, have you finished? MR MMUSI: That is all, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, what do you say in answer to that? MR SEMENYA SC: Just to accommodate Mr Mmusi, I will give him an example of a Browning machine gun, a 20mm barrelled machine gun. That exhibit is misleading and has no relevance to the issues that happened between the 9th and the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: It sounds to me as if the

		r	
	Page 2234		Page 2236
1	course – at some stage in the course of your case will	1	version and AMCU's version and to let us know if there is
2	present evidence along the lines you've now mentioned,	2	an agreed version of the transcript.
3	which Mr Mmusi or his leader can then deal with. Is that	3	CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Mathunjwa was one
4	fair? In other words, it's not relevant yet. It may	4	of those who participated in the Forum at Eight, so clearly
5	become relevant at some stage, it can then be dealt with.	5	it's important that that be before us before he comes and
6	MR SEMENYA SC: To accommodate him, we	6	gives his evidence tomorrow.
7	can give him an example, Chair.	7	MS PILLAY: Yes, what we have at the
8	CHAIRPERSON: Well, give it to him some	8	moment is just the two separate versions. I'm not sure if,
9	other time, don't give it now. No, my ruling is that JJ7,	9	between the Lonmin team and AMCU team, they'll be able to
10	the present JJ7 must be removed from the exhibit. The	10	compare the two versions and let us know if there are any
11	present JJ8 is promoted to JJ7 but if at some future stage	11	material differences between the two transcripts. And then
12	in the evidence the point that Mr Semenya has raised about	12	finally, Mr Chairperson, MM is the last picture, the
13	the Browning machine gun is raised, then obviously this	13	photograph of the bullet wound of Mr Monene's body, that
14	aspect can be revisited and exhibits relating thereto will	14	was the last picture that was relied upon by Ms Takalane.
15	then obviously be relevant and can be put in. That seems	15	Unfortunately we don't have colour.
16	to be – Ms Pillay, is there anything else you want to put	16	CHAIRPERSON: If any of the other
17	before us?	17	photographs are anything to go by, it's fortunate that we
18	MS PILLAY: Mr Chairperson, JJ7 would	18	haven't got colour, I would say.
19	then be image 0018. Unfortunately I haven't been able to	19	MS PILLAY: Yes.
20	find it on the SAPS hard drive as yet but Mr Mmusi has	20	CHAIRPERSON: Unless the parties insist,
21	undertaken to give me the password for that and I will e-	21	I certainly won't insist on colour.
22	mail it to the parties as soon as he has. Then KK, Mr	22	MS PILLAY: I'm indebted to you, Mr
23	Chairperson, would be the witness statement of Mr Fanyana,	23	Chairperson. If I could just give the pathway to that
23	Peter Fanyana Kwadi, that was relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza	23	photograph to the parties because I haven't handed out
24 25	during cross-examination on Friday. I beg leave to hand up	24	copies of that. It's to be found on the SAPS external hard
25	during cross-examination on mulay. They leave to hand up	25	copies of that. It's to be found on the SAFS external hard
	Page 2235		Page 2237
1	Page 2235 copies of the statement.	1	Page 2237 drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907.
1		1	-
	copies of the statement.		drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907.
2	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour.	2	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay.
2 3	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the	2 3	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you.
2 3 4	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at	2 3 4	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S
2 3 4 5 6	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr	2 3 4 5 6	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all
2 3 4 5 6 7	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies.	2 3 4 5 6 7	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Was it actually on the 16th?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Was it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Vas it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On the 15th of August. The version that I have included as LL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got copies of it. We don't insist on Technicolor but we would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Vas it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On the 15th of August. The version that I have included as LL – Mr Chairperson, just for clarity, there are two versions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got copies of it. We don't insist on Technicolor but we would like them, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Was it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On the 15th of August. The version that I have included as LL – Mr Chairperson, just for clarity, there are two versions of that transcript which have now been submitted by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got copies of it. We don't insist on Technicolor but we would like them, please. MS PILLAY: Mr Chairperson, we are in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Vas it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On the 15th of August. The version that I have included as LL – Mr Chairperson, just for clarity, there are two versions of that transcript which have now been submitted by the parties. There's a version by Lormin and a version by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got copies of it. We don't insist on Technicolor but we would like them, please. MS PILLAY: Mr Chairperson, we are in possession of the letter and I'll make sure you have it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Was it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On the 15th of August. The version that I have included as LL – Mr Chairperson, just for clarity, there are two versions of that transcript which have now been submitted by the parties. There's a version by Lonmin and a version by AMCU. The one that I've printed out is the version by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got copies of it. We don't insist on Technicolor but we would like them, please. MS PILLAY: Mr Chairperson, we are in possession of the letter and I'll make sure you have it before the end of the day.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Vas it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On the 15th of August. The version that I have included as LL – Mr Chairperson, just for clarity, there are two versions of that transcript which have now been submitted by the parties. There's a version by Lonmin and a version by AMCU. The one that I've printed out is the version by AMCU. I'm assuming that that's the one Mr Ntsebeza would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got copies of it. We don't insist on Technicolor but we would like them, please. MS PILLAY: Mr Chairperson, we are in possession of the letter and I'll make sure you have it before the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Was it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On the 15th of August. The version that I have included as LL – Mr Chairperson, just for clarity, there are two versions of that transcript which have now been submitted by the parties. There's a version by Lonmin and a version by AMCU. The one that I've printed out is the version by AMCU. I'm assuming that that's the one Mr Ntsebeza would have relied on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got copies of it. We don't insist on Technicolor but we would like them, please. MS PILLAY: Mr Chairperson, we are in possession of the letter and I'll make sure you have it before the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. Mr Madlanga, is there any further business for us to transact
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Was it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On the 15th of August. The version that I have included as LL – Mr Chairperson, just for clarity, there are two versions of that transcript which have now been submitted by the parties. There's a version by Lonmin and a version by AMCU. The one that I've printed out is the version by AMCU. I'm assuming that that's the one Mr Ntsebeza would have relied on. CHAIRPERSON: Well, are we going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got copies of it. We don't insist on Technicolor but we would like them, please. MS PILLAY: Mr Chairperson, we are in possession of the letter and I'll make sure you have it before the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. Mr Madlanga, is there any further business for us to transact before we adjourn till tomorrow morning?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Was it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On the 15th of August. The version that I have included as LL – Mr Chairperson, just for clarity, there are two versions of that transcript which have now been submitted by the parties. There's a version by Lonmin and a version by AMCU. The one that I've printed out is the version by AMCU. I'm assuming that that's the one Mr Ntsebeza would have relied on. CHAIRPERSON: Well, are we going to be taken through the differences? Are we going to – if there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got copies of it. We don't insist on Technicolor but we would like them, please. MS PILLAY: Mr Chairperson, we are in possession of the letter and I'll make sure you have it before the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. Mr Madlanga, is there any further business for us to transact before we adjourn till tomorrow morning? MR MADLANGA SC: None at all, Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Was it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On the 15th of August. The version that I have included as LL – Mr Chairperson, just for clarity, there are two versions of that transcript which have now been submitted by the parties. There's a version by Lonmin and a version by AMCU. The one that I've printed out is the version by AMCU. I'm assuming that that's the one Mr Ntsebeza would have relied on. CHAIRPERSON: Well, are we going to be taken through the differences? Are we going to – if there are material differences, shouldn't those be the subject of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got copies of it. We don't insist on Technicolor but we would like them, please. MS PILLAY: Mr Chairperson, we are in possession of the letter and I'll make sure you have it before the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. Mr Madlanga, is there any further business for us to transact before we adjourn till tomorrow morning? MR MADLANGA SC: None at all, Mr Chairman, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Was it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On the 15th of August. The version that I have included as LL – Mr Chairperson, just for clarity, there are two versions of that transcript which have now been submitted by the parties. There's a version by Lonmin and a version by AMCU. The one that I've printed out is the version by AMCU. I'm assuming that that's the one Mr Ntsebeza would have relied on. CHAIRPERSON: Well, are we going to be taken through the differences? Are we going to – if there are material differences, shouldn't those be the subject of agreement between the parties so we don't have to waste	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got copies of it. We don't insist on Technicolor but we would like them, please. MS PILLAY: Mr Chairperson, we are in possession of the letter and I'll make sure you have it before the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. Mr Madlanga, is there any further business for us to transact before we adjourn till tomorrow morning? MR MADLANGA SC: None at all, Mr Chairman, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Was it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On the 15th of August. The version that I have included as LL – Mr Chairperson, just for clarity, there are two versions of that transcript which have now been submitted by the parties. There's a version by Lonmin and a version by AMCU. The one that I've printed out is the version by AMCU. I'm assuming that that's the one Mr Ntsebeza would have relied on. CHAIRPERSON: Well, are we going to be taken through the differences? Are we going to – if there are material differences, shouldn't those be the subject of agreement between the parties so we don't have to waste time here?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got copies of it. We don't insist on Technicolor but we would like them, please. MS PILLAY: Mr Chairperson, we are in possession of the letter and I'll make sure you have it before the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. Mr Madlanga, is there any further business for us to transact before we adjourn till tomorrow morning? MR MADLANGA SC: None at all, Mr Chairman, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I believe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Was it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On the 15th of August. The version that I have included as LL – Mr Chairperson, just for clarity, there are two versions of that transcript which have now been submitted by the parties. There's a version by Lonmin and a version by AMCU. The one that I've printed out is the version by AMCU. I'm assuming that that's the one Mr Ntsebeza would have relied on. CHAIRPERSON: Well, are we going to be taken through the differences? Are we going to – if there are material differences, shouldn't those be the subject of agreement between the parties so we don't have to waste time here? MS PILLAY: I'm sure that AMCU and Lonmin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got copies of it. We don't insist on Technicolor but we would like them, please. MS PILLAY: Mr Chairperson, we are in possession of the letter and I'll make sure you have it before the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. Mr Madlanga, is there any further business for us to transact before we adjourn till tomorrow morning? MR MADLANGA SC: None at all, Mr Chairman, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I believe there was some unfinished business and I've been discussing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	copies of the statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: In colour. MS PILLAY: Mr Budlender says in colour, Mr Chairperson. This statement has been e-mailed to the parties. And then LL, Mr Chairperson, is the SAfm Forum at Eight transcript, which was also relied upon by Mr Ntsebeza. I beg leave to hand up copies. CHAIRPERSON: I - MS PILLAY: It was on the 16th, I think. CHAIRPERSON: Was it actually on the 16th? MS PILLAY: 15th, sorry, I apologise. On the 15th of August. The version that I have included as LL – Mr Chairperson, just for clarity, there are two versions of that transcript which have now been submitted by the parties. There's a version by Lonmin and a version by AMCU. The one that I've printed out is the version by AMCU. I'm assuming that that's the one Mr Ntsebeza would have relied on. CHAIRPERSON: Well, are we going to be taken through the differences? Are we going to – if there are material differences, shouldn't those be the subject of agreement between the parties so we don't have to waste time here?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	drive \lcrcforensics\pmcas115\dsc4907. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you Ms Pillay. MS PILLAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: There's one other exhibit that we still are waiting for and that is, in Exhibit S which is a letter sent by the National Commissioner to all Provincial Commissioners and other people on the 20th July 2012, there's a reference to an evenly numbered letter dated 20 December 2011, which is withdrawn and replaced by the instruction contained in this letter. You gave it an exhibit number, I think it's Exhibit R but we haven't got copies of it. We don't insist on Technicolor but we would like them, please. MS PILLAY: Mr Chairperson, we are in possession of the letter and I'll make sure you have it before the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. Mr Madlanga, is there any further business for us to transact before we adjourn till tomorrow morning? MR MADLANGA SC: None at all, Mr Chairman, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I believe

	Page 2238	
1	application by the families for them to present evidence in	
2	a particular fashion. We have completed that application.	
3	It is ready to be served on all the parties, including your	
4	good selves.	
5	CHAIRPERSON: I don't know why you use	
6	the word "serve" – is the deputy sheriff come and serve it	
7	on me? Alright, we look forward to reading it and once	
8	we've seen it we can then schedule a time when you can	
9	present the application.	
10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you.	
11	CHAIRPERSON: Unless all concerned run up	
12	the white flag and it's not necessary.	
13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Very well, Mr Chair.	
14	CHAIRPERSON: We've finally exhausted the	
15	business that we have to transact today and so until 9.30,	
16	or as soon thereafter as Mr Bruinders allows us, we will	
17	resume tomorrow morning.	
18	[COMMISSION ADJOURNED]	
19		
20		
21 22		
22		
23 24		
24 25		
25	•	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
- 20		
Di	hime from o have	
A 8	The FOR JUSTICE	

				Page
A	ADJOURNS 2158:23	2194:19	2166:3 2168:11	assault 2152:16
AAA 2180:15	2183:23 2193:9	alternatively 2140:1	2170:25 2179:3	2153:14 2159:3
aback 2189:13	admit 2168:22	2193:18 2194:13	2185:15,25 2186:6	assegai 2155:6 2156:1
abeyance 2190:18	adopted 2210:13	2204:15 2207:8	2221:10	2156:7,11,16 2157:14
abilities 2164:8	Adv 2149:6 2183:11	ambush 2209:23	application 2238:1,2,9	assegais 2156:13
	2226:8	AMCU 2235:16,17,24	applications 2136:12	assessment 2164:23
able 2131:13 2132:10	advance 2163:7	2236:9	applied 2167:3 2190:6	assist 2153:24 2173:21
2133:13,20 2134:1,13	advanced 2132:14	AMCU's 2224:22	appreciate 2143:17	2179:6 2187:12
2139:18 2143:3	2162:14 2177:6	2236:1	2144:1 2152:1	2199:9 2200:14
2144:4 2151:21	2182:24	amenable 2212:10	2200:10	2218:11
2158:15 2168:17	advantage 2143:23	ammunition 2134:21	approach 2191:2	associated 2141:17
2176:21,22 2177:18	2166:8 2210:2	2134:23 2135:3,7,13	2198:8	assume 2132:8 2156:10
2179:12,17 2183:12				
2183:18 2185:13	adverse 2222:7	2136:3,18,19,20,24	approached 2197:15	2205:8
2187:24 2191:16	advisability 2213:15	2137:4,6 2142:6	approaching 2139:17	assuming 2162:17
2194:17 2213:13	advised 2195:25	2144:12 2146:15,17	appropriate 2182:22	2164:18 2165:17
2217:1 2224:16	advocate 2215:3	2146:19,23 2148:6	2187:17,20 2190:1	2232:22 2235:17
2225:9,10 2234:19	affect 2180:3	2163:14,17 2164:4,5	appropriately 2169:3	assumption 2206:11
2236:9	afforded 2189:20	2164:11,13,20,21	2222:10	assumptions 2138:15
abrades 2185:23	2208:2	2165:20 2166:8,12	approximate 2176:25	attached 2178:9 2225:3
abrasion 2185:22	affords 2210:11	2230:21	apropos 2132:23	attack 2157:1
absence 2140:14	afraid 2183:14 2210:15	ammunitions 2166:10	area 2130:22 2132:10	attendance 2187:6,9
2142:17 2143:10	Africa 2132:15	2233:12	2136:21 2144:22	2216:19
2174:1,3 2179:14	African 2151:18	amount 2151:20	2148:20 2176:23	attending 2172:8
2174:1,5 2179:14 2191:20	2153:3,3 2164:14	2156:19 2159:11,18	areas 2131:17	2196:6
	2167:6 2168:14,20	2165:1	aren't 2193:25	attitude 2210:13
accept 2149:2 2151:9	2181:2 2182:1	amounts 2208:24	argued 2194:2	2229:7
2154:3	2186:21 2229:16	amplify 2201:24	argument 2138:16	attorney 2189:4
accidentally 2175:24		ANC 2153:21,21	2159:9	2219:17,19
2176:4,10	2231:11,18,20,24	-		
accommodate 2233:18	2232:13,20	Andries 2165:24	arising 2186:17	attorneys 2189:2,2
2234:6	afternoon 2193:8	angle 2171:3,6 2186:13	arithmetical 2158:16	2193:16
account 2160:10	2225:15	announced 2195:3	arm 2151:10	auditorium 2184:17,21
accuracy 2162:21	agitation 2177:6	answer 2131:13	armed 2151:5,25	2185:3 2218:9
accurate 2159:23,25	ago 2190:12,13 2214:10	2153:23 2155:16,24	2155:6,6 2182:11	2219:10 2222:23
2183:6	2215:11	2167:11,15 2179:2	2183:6 2232:21	August 2143:18 2150:4
accused 2203:25	agree 2148:21 2149:10	2198:22 2204:8,25,25	armour 2182:9,16	2171:9 2235:12
Act 2130:21	2149:11,14,24	2205:20 2207:25	arrange 2178:16	auspices 2191:12
action 2161:7 2162:10	2151:16 2152:8	2216:14 2219:24	2183:20 2191:15	authorities 2220:1
	2153:11 2154:13,19	2224:16 2233:17	2223:5 2228:7	automatic 2159:3,10
2175:24 2176:9	2155:8 2157:20	answered 2198:25	arranged 2147:16,19	2161:9 2175:23
2211:20	2171:1 2218:1	answering 2153:24	2223:24	2176:4,7
actions 2176:6 2232:14	2231:22,25	answers 2134:4	arrangement 2212:8	automatique 2152:22
activates 2176:3	agreed 2236:2	2198:22	2224:19	autopsy 2183:17,18
activities 2231:8				
2232:14,15 2233:11	agreeing 2207:18	anticipate 2233:9	arrangements 2189:14	avail 2212:17
actors 2192:22	agreement 2193:15	anybody 2190:4 2199:9	arranging 2183:17	available 2145:13
adapt 2137:5	2235:22	2200:9 2203:2,3	arrested 2205:9,11	2167:9 2183:1
add 2151:17 2154:14	agrees 2152:5	2207:1 2209:22	articulated 2218:2	2203:24 2225:5
2232:5	ahead 2195:15 2196:1	2211:21 2213:2	ascertain 2134:22	2228:7
added 2204:16	aim 2149:19	anyway 2190:1,4,19	2139:18 2142:12	avalanche 2230:20
additional 2178:10	Alfred 2220:8	2227:15	aside 2191:2,5,6 2192:1	aware 2131:7 2150:20
address 2188:25	allege 2231:16	apart 2206:9	2192:12 2198:9	2150:24 2168:14
2230:8	alleged 2139:15,20,23	apartheid 2153:20	2207:14,22 2210:12	2189:1 2203:4
addressing 2193:11	2140:8 2211:4	apologies 2228:10	asked 2145:5,11	2219:12,13
2195:4 2210:24	allegedly 2150:11	apologise 2183:20	2149:17 2177:19	
2193.4 2210.24 2218:4	2229:15	2235:11	2186:18 2194:14	В
	alleging 2230:16	apparently 2190:18	2197:22,24 2198:21	B 2133:14 2136:4
adjourn 2158:21	allow 2154:1 2192:6	2225:3,4	2203:8 2204:22	2147:6 2159:6
2172:19 2183:21	2206:25	appear 2148:17 2149:1	2207:24 2211:10	back 2136:15,16
2187:18,18 2225:18	allowed 2154:25	2163:9,25 2164:19	2212:20 2214:15	2150:16 2169:17
2225:25 2237:19	2157:3			
adjourned 2193:11		2171:4 2185:11	2216:12 2229:18,21	2176:16 2179:9
2197:14 2238:18	allows 2238:16	2186:2 2188:21	2229:23,24	2180:8 2184:1 2187:8
adjourning 2192:17	alright 2147:20	2192:10 2220:25	asking 2142:1 2150:3,8	2189:3 2196:7
adjournment 2158:18	2199:13 2201:13	2223:22	2173:4 2213:2 2214:3	2211:10 2212:9
2179:11 2187:20	2202:21 2219:7	appearance 2173:15,17	2223:19 2229:12	2229:15
2192:19 2193:3,5,13	2220:3 2222:9	2173:20,24 2185:18	aspect 2145:18 2206:12	bakkie 2229:15
2192.19 2193.3,3,13	2225:23 2238:7	appeared 2146:1	2234:14	balance 2160:8
1111.24 444.14				
- F - 4 40 -	alternative 2194:14,18	appears 2161:23	assailant 2139:20	2230:22

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
ballistic 2141:11	Brigadier 2130:3,9	called 2154:21 2157:17	causes 2141:4	2206:24 2207:3
ballistics 2131:10	bring 2149:13,19	2167:21 2190:9	Centre 2222:23 2223:1	choose 2192:25
2132:6 2166:16	2152:5 2194:5 2233:4	2197:2,21 2198:3	certain 2133:3,3	2208:11 2212:17
balls 2165:12,15,18,19	bringing 2232:22	2206:6 2208:15,16	2136:10,11 2137:14	chosen 2191:12
2165:22 2166:3	brought 2205:5	2209:3,3,8,12	2141:6 2143:4	2210:21
2176:14,20 2177:11	Browning 2233:19	2211:10 2212:16	2151:20 2162:8	circular 2174:5
2180:5,7	2234:13	2213:12,20 2215:12	2178:12 2198:21	circumstances 2151:11
barrel 2186:6	Bruinders 2224:11,14	2216:19 2217:19		
	,		certainly 2217:13 2236:21	2151:12,20 2157:12
barrelled 2233:20	2224:17 2225:11,20	2218:9 2219:9,12,25		2157:18 2230:18
based 2160:16 2197:15	2238:16	2220:5,12,14,16,18	certificate 2132:14	citizens 2154:15
2197:19 2229:12	bruise 2176:23	calling 2198:12,15	certify 2203:15	Civic 2222:23 2223:1
bases 2191:8	buck 2180:9,13	2200:7 2203:18,18	chair 2142:1 2150:17	claims 2167:20
basic 2133:4,7 2134:3	Budlender 2235:2,3	2204:18 2209:15	2155:9,11 2165:17	clarified 2219:8
2134:15	building 2197:2	2216:2,24,25	2167:17 2168:1	clarifies 2141:8
basically 2141:2 2167:2	2219:16	cameras 2228:3	2170:4 2171:13	clarify 2218:10
basis 2151:4 2160:9	built 2209:1,2	canisters 2131:2	2172:12 2177:21	clarifying 2219:4
2187:7 2191:4,5	bullet 2137:3 2140:21	cannon 2228:1,2,2,3	2178:7 2183:9,14	clarity 2155:23
2192:1,12 2193:2,20	2141:3,24 2142:13,24	2229:14	2184:2 2185:6	2235:13
2193:24 2194:3,15	2144:16 2145:6,7,17	can't 2134:14 2150:7	2187:22 2188:11,14	Clashes 2229:3
2202:22,25 2203:4,16	2146:11 2166:17,19	2154:19 2160:20	2189:7 2191:7 2194:2	classified 2141:21
2205:5 2206:2,5,13	2166:19,22,23,25	2165:15 2167:18	2197:1 2198:19	cleaned 2175:20
2210:18 2212:14	2167:1,2 2170:25	2179:4 2188:4	2199:16 2200:17	clear 2146:7 2192:10
2213:10 2217:5,14	2171:1,4,12 2172:4	2192:20 2193:1	2201:11 2208:3	2222:4 2228:4,16
2233:25	2173:6,16,17 2174:8	2203:7 2204:5,7,23	2209:17 2211:23	clearly 2150:9 2164:5
batting 2230:3	2174:12 2178:20	2204:25 2205:17	2212:23 2214:8	2171:17 2236:4
BBC'S 2229:2	2179:18 2181:9	2208:7 2210:12	2217:17 2218:24	cleft 2145:15
bear 2195:9 2231:24	2185:7,11,12,22	2212:23,24 2223:4,19	2222:5,14 2225:12	clerk 2226:8
beg 2150:14 2234:25	2236:13	2225:14 2233:24	2229:9 2230:12	client 2210:17
2235:7	bullets 2141:17,22	capability 2146:17	2234:7 2238:13	clients 2189:13,24
behalf 2169:11	2142:10 2145:1,9	2149:24 2165:6,8	chairman 2130:8	2193:17 2195:10
behaviour 2232:12,13	2146:8 2148:7	capable 2158:2,4	2132:19,22 2145:15	2198:4 2200:9
believe 2148:3 2162:8	2157:24 2158:4,7	2159:18 2161:12	2147:4,15,25 2155:18	2203:11 2213:3,4,19
2167:22 2169:17	2159:2,8,11,11	2165:3 2182:11,11	2158:22 2159:5,5	clip 2167:17
2170:8,9,22,22	2160:10 2161:10	2186:11	2165:16 2168:9	close 2137:23 2138:6
2170:8,9,22,22 2172:4 2183:18	2162:25 2163:5	capacity 2146:17	2169:5 2172:21	2138:11,19 2139:17
		1 0		
2232:10 2237:23	2165:2,3,11,14	2181:14,17 2191:19	2194:23 2196:22	2139:21 2140:12
best 2216:22	2166:3 2180:3,4	2200:12	2197:15 2209:22	2143:5,25 2144:23
better 2169:22	2181:17	caption 2171:7	2213:21 2215:1,18	2161:17
beyond 2210:15	bulls 2180:4	carried 2175:5 2233:8	2216:17 2219:11	closer 2142:7 2143:6
big 2184:9	bumped 2176:4	carry 2150:16 2170:18	2221:14 2224:9	2185:10 2186:1,4
bigger 2142:16	bundle 2225:3 2226:17	2177:8 2190:1 2214:7	2230:24 2231:14,25	cloud 2198:2
biggest 2211:16	2227:5,7 2230:1	2227:24 2228:18	2232:3,10 2233:15	cold 2140:8
birdshot 2180:16,23	Burger 2209:10,20,23	carrying 2186:20	2237:21,23	cold-blooded 2140:13
birdshots 2180:22,22	burn 2174:1	cartridge 2135:20	chamber 2161:15,16	collated 2205:4
bit 2140:25 2145:14	burnt 2143:9 2175:11	2136:25 2159:20	2181:18 2186:20	colleagues 2131:8
2152:15 2166:14	business 2199:2 2228:6	2161:15,16 2163:19	chambered 2163:19	2195:8 2217:4
Bizos 2149:6	2237:18,24 2238:15	cartridges 2135:23	2164:1	2227:25 2228:8
black 2185:18 2227:25	busy 2225:13 2227:14	2163:2,3,10,13	chambers 2183:16	colour 2185:18,18
2228:5,15,16	B50-something 2159:6	case 2136:25 2138:8	chance 2143:24	2228:7,12,14 2235:2
blank 2140:9	B59 2147:12 2160:20	2165:24 2176:20	2146:23 2170:20	2235:3 2236:15,18,21
blankets 2180:2	2162:25 2231:2	2182:13 2183:5	2194:14 2197:9	column 2148:5 2164:17
block 2160:22		2190:5 2192:7	chances 2165:19,21	come 2136:15 2151:13
blood 2140:8	C	2212:18 2224:22	change 2156:7 2230:11	2178:14 2184:1
blown 2146:3	C 2136:5	2230:19 2231:5	characterised 2148:19	2187:8 2189:3 2190:9
body 2139:2 2144:22	calibre 2163:24 2164:1	2234:1	characteristics 2185:11	2201:19 2206:23
2176:14,15 2184:19	call 2152:20 2188:8	cases 2135:20 2137:14	charge 2137:3 2159:20	2211:10 2212:9
2236:13	2190:3 2197:24	2163:9 2166:19	charged 2189:16	2213:4 2216:11
bolt 2161:7,14,15,17	2190.3 2197.24 2198:16 2200:14,16	2182:8 2183:5 2219:3	2192:13,16	2217:5 2218:23
2162:9	2200:21 2201:9,12,14	casings 2163:5	charges 2191:9	2221:12 2222:4,10,12
		0	2200:13 2205:10	2221:12 2222:4,10,12 2222:22 2223:23
bracket 2137:12	2202:13 2203:1,19	catch 2168:3		
2143:4,14	2204:11 2205:9	categorisation 2149:1	chart 2149:3 2160:20	2229:19,20,23 2238:6
brainer 2155:8,12,14	2208:13 2209:11,21	categorisations 2164:5	2164:23	comes 2156:25 2157:1
2155:22 2157:8	2211:2,3,12 2212:1	category 2165:2	check 2227:9 2230:5,6	2179:9 2208:8 2236:5
breadknife 2155:2	2212:25 2213:16	cause 2139:2 2176:22	checked 2175:8	coming 2148:6 2190:24
break 2166:23	2215:23 2216:9,10	2184:20 2211:20	chief 2192:3 2217:9	2213:9 2220:11
A long the lot have been been been been been been been be	0010 10 00 000 -	101460 0401 05	1 1 0105 0 0100 10	0000.0
bridge 2210:5	2219:18,23 2220:3	caused 2145:9 2191:20	choice 2137:3 2189:19	2223:9

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page 3
comment 2153:19	2182:16	couldn't 2192:14	De 2209:24	determining 2137:12
2155:14,22,22	confronted 2232:12	counter 2151:21	deadly 2145:7	2138:18 2143:7
2168:17 2194:22	confronting 2156:2	counter-sniping	deal 2151:5 2182:22	2173:22
commenting 2232:3	confusion 2220:24	2162:15	2198:17 2234:3	devices 2131:3
commission 2130:2	2222:5	couple 2139:15	dealt 2136:19 2206:12	didn't 2138:3 2139:24
2158:20,23,23,24	conscience 2154:23	course 2132:21	2234:5	2183:15 2192:10
2183:21,23,23,24	consequences 2222:7	2133:20 2134:7,13	death 2138:17 2139:2	2194:13 2200:14
2185:21,25,25,24	consider 2150:10	2155:20 2154:7,15	deaths 2154:9 2172:13	2202:24 2205:19
2193:1,9,9,10	2208:16	2207:20 2216:22	debate 2158:13 2165:1	2207:7 2217:18
2198:10 2199:25	considerable 2140:15	2221:16 2225:21	deceased 2140:2	2220:17 2221:13
2201:15 2203:1	considered 2216:9	22234:1,1	2171:8 2178:8	2220:17 2221:12 2229:25 2230:13
2215:24 2219:12	2228:14	court 2191:2 2194:11	December 2237:9	2231:3,12
2220:15 2221:20	consisting 2131:24	2194:20 2198:8	decide 2194:11 2209:7	die 2144:12
2224:13 2229:8	constraints 2228:11	2206:22 2211:18,20	2211:12,22 2216:21	died 2144.12
2230:9 2231:9 2232:9	contact 2186:2 2187:24	2200.22 2211.10,20	decided 2213:16	difference 2143:3
2230.9 2231.9 2232.9	contained 2215:4	courtesy 2194:7,25	decidedly 2164:4	differences 2235:20,21
2238:18	2237:10	2195:7,12 2196:18	decision 2153:25	2236:11
Commissioner 2172:24	contains 2231:10	2199:18 2210:5	2195:16,22,24	different 2131:17
	CONTD 2159:4 2185:5	cover 2217:1		2134:6,12 2135:5
2173:1,3,5,9,15,20	contended 2191:6	cover 2217:1 covered 2228:4	2217:11,11 2222:23 decline 2203:12	2134:0,12 2135:5 2136:2 2143:7
2174:3,11,14,23 2175:3,15,22 2176:5	content 2191:6 content 2217:4	covered 2228:4 covers 2170:24		
, ,		covers 2170:24 co-operation 2212:11	defect 2174:5	2173:16 2199:17 2218:12,16 2219:5
2176:13,24 2177:10	contest 2155:7 2156:4 context 2210:22	-	Defence 2153:3,4,8 defence less 2210:2	difficult 2149:22
2177:15 2186:19,25 2187:3 2220:20	2230:18 2232:23	create 2141:19 2142:9 2174:16	defenceless 2210:2 defend 2155:2 2157:17	2150:1 2154:24
2237:6	2233:6	created 2173:16,17	defending 2139:16	2159:24 2163:23
commissioners 2194:24	contexts 2139:15	creating 2146:24	definite 2204:8	2171:3 2174:17
2198:11 2224:10,18	continue 2158:13,18	creation 2141:5	definitely 2182:2	2186:12 2200:8
2226:3 2228:10	2159:1 2190:23	2142:14	2190:9 2214:4	2206:15,16,20
2237:7	contradicted 2140:10	crime 2175:5 2182:12	2228:12	difficulty 2154:10
commit 2201:1	2140:13	criminal 2192:15	definitive 2204:25	2204:16 2206:1
common 2154:8	control 2159:24	criminalistics 2132:14	delay 2191:19	dimensions 2137:1
2218:13	conversation 2159:1	cross 2217:9	deliberate 2159:25	diminish 2142:10
commonly 2180:14	Conversely 2140:7	cross-examination	2175:24 2176:6,9	direct 2167:11
communicate 2200:18	convey 2146:22	2130:7 2159:4 2169:7	demand 2162:21	directed 2151:20
communication	copied 2229:1	2170:7 2172:16	demonstration 2154:21	direction 2137:14
2190:17	copies 2225:2,4	2179:6 2183:13	depend 2138:23	directive 2229:22
compare 2236:10	2226:23 2227:1,25	2185:5 2234:25	2175:25 2176:18	disable 2156:22
comparison 2235:25	2228:7,12 2235:1,7	cross-examine 2169:10	2177:3,13 2216:25	disabled 2157:3
compel 2213:2,3,4	2236:25 2237:12	cross-examiners	depending 2144:9	disadvantaged 2191:21
compelled 2210:19	copy 2227:24 2228:14	2187:14	2216:20 2217:20	disagreeing 2154:11
competency 2132:1	core 2166:23	curious 2161:5	depends 2136:14	disappoint 2229:24,25
competent 2203:3	corners 2231:7	currently 2232:9	2138:25	discharge 2141:18
complete 2163:14	correct 2130:16 2131:6	cushion 2180:7	depicted 2231:2 2233:1	2157:24
completed 2132:2	2131:19 2132:12	custom 2137:4	deposit 2142:16,17	discharged 2158:4,10
2238:2	2134:25 2135:4	cut 2190:19	2143:9 2185:25	2160:5,11
completely 2165:18	2136:1 2138:11	CV 2130:10,15 2132:13	deposits 2174:1	discharges 2161:10
2199:17	2139:13,24,25 2140:6	2226:14,15	deputy 2238:6	discharging 2144:11
comply 2208:7	2140:17,23 2144:7,15	cyclic 2159:17	descending 2180:24	2159:18
components 2131:18	2145:3 2146:16	D	describe 2140:12	discouraged 2177:5
2133:1,12 2135:15	2149:4 2153:12,16		described 2140:3,14	discourtesy 2210:10
comprehension	2154:12 2156:6	D 2136:5	2166:25 2180:16	discover 2231:15,15
2210:15,17	2158:1 2160:12,23	daily 2151:18 2213:10	desirable 2189:23	discovered 2230:17,24
compulsion 2208:8	2162:16 2163:24	damage 2142:13	2199:18 2216:10	2230:25
2210:24,25 2211:4,5	2164:24 2170:21	2157:19	desire 2206:7,8	discuss 2192:18
0010 0 0017 00	2173:7,8,19 2181:15	dangerous 2155:3	despite 2158:16	discussed 2166:15
2213:9 2217:23	0101.10 0100.10	2156:8	destruction 2153:10	2187:13 2189:14
conceded 2229:11	2181:19 2183:18		Jakanna - 4 0107 10	
conceded 2229:11 2230:15	2184:24 2187:2	dark 2170:24	determination 2137:10	discussing 2139:11
conceded 2229:11 2230:15 concept 2200:3	2184:24 2187:2 2208:23,23,23 2214:9	dark 2170:24 date 2154:5 2216:10	2139:7,14 2142:15	2237:24
conceded 2229:11 2230:15 concept 2200:3 concern 2216:5	2184:24 2187:2 2208:23,23,23 2214:9 2222:17 2231:13	dark 2170:24 date 2154:5 2216:10 2222:12	2139:7,14 2142:15 determine 2137:19	2237:24 discussion 2158:18
conceded 2229:11 2230:15 concept 2200:3 concern 2216:5 concerned 2185:1	2184:24 2187:2 2208:23,23,23 2214:9 2222:17 2231:13 correcting 2214:9	dark 2170:24 date 2154:5 2216:10 2222:12 dated 2237:9	2139:7,14 2142:15 determine 2137:19 2138:10 2141:5	2237:24 discussion 2158:18 2195:23 2196:8
conceded 2229:11 2230:15 concept 2200:3 concern 2216:5 concerned 2185:1 2198:18 2238:11	2184:24 2187:2 2208:23,23,23 2214:9 2222:17 2231:13 correcting 2214:9 correctly 2133:23	dark 2170:24 date 2154:5 2216:10 2222:12 dated 2237:9 daughter 2167:21	2139:7,14 2142:15 determine 2137:19 2138:10 2141:5 2142:2,5 2143:4,5,11	2237:24 discussion 2158:18 2195:23 2196:8 discussions 2215:14
conceded 2229:11 2230:15 concept 2200:3 concern 2216:5 concerned 2185:1 2198:18 2238:11 concession 2212:15	2184:24 2187:2 2208:23,23,23 2214:9 2222:17 2231:13 correcting 2214:9 correctly 2133:23 2162:17 2230:15	dark 2170:24 date 2154:5 2216:10 2222:12 dated 2237:9 daughter 2167:21 day 2211:11 2212:8	2139:7,14 2142:15 determine 2137:19 2138:10 2141:5 2142:2,5 2143:4,5,11 2143:12 2160:18	2237:24 discussion 2158:18 2195:23 2196:8 discussions 2215:14 disintegrates 2167:2
conceded 2229:11 2230:15 concept 2200:3 concern 2216:5 concerned 2185:1 2198:18 2238:11 concession 2212:15 conclude 2181:21	2184:24 2187:2 2208:23,23,23 2214:9 2222:17 2231:13 correcting 2214:9 correctly 2133:23 2162:17 2230:15 correctness 2149:3	dark 2170:24 date 2154:5 2216:10 2222:12 dated 2237:9 daughter 2167:21 day 2211:11 2212:8 2216:21 2228:12	2139:7,14 2142:15 determine 2137:19 2138:10 2141:5 2142:2,5 2143:4,5,11 2143:12 2160:18 2163:23 2171:3	2237:24 discussion 2158:18 2195:23 2196:8 discussions 2215:14 disintegrates 2167:2 disparity 2156:19
conceded 2229:11 2230:15 concept 2200:3 concern 2216:5 concerned 2185:1 2198:18 2238:11 concession 2212:15 conclude 2181:21 conducted 2233:7	2184:24 2187:2 2208:23,23,23 2214:9 2222:17 2231:13 correcting 2214:9 correctly 2133:23 2162:17 2230:15 correctness 2149:3 2164:18	dark 2170:24 date 2154:5 2216:10 2222:12 dated 2237:9 daughter 2167:21 day 2211:11 2212:8 2216:21 2228:12 2237:16	2139:7,14 2142:15 determine 2137:19 2138:10 2141:5 2142:2,5 2143:4,5,11 2143:12 2160:18 2163:23 2171:3 2174:2 2175:6,10,13	2237:24 discussion 2158:18 2195:23 2196:8 discussions 2215:14 disintegrates 2167:2 disparity 2156:19 disperse 2230:20
conceded 2229:11 2230:15 concept 2200:3 concern 2216:5 concerned 2185:1 2198:18 2238:11 concession 2212:15 conclude 2181:21 conducted 2233:7 confess 2213:22	2184:24 2187:2 2208:23,23,23 2214:9 2222:17 2231:13 correcting 2214:9 correctly 2133:23 2162:17 2230:15 correctness 2149:3 2164:18 corroborate 2140:4	dark 2170:24 date 2154:5 2216:10 2222:12 dated 2237:9 daughter 2167:21 day 2211:11 2212:8 2216:21 2228:12 2237:16 days 2190:13,22	2139:7,14 2142:15 determine 2137:19 2138:10 2141:5 2142:2,5 2143:4,5,11 2143:12 2160:18 2163:23 2171:3 2174:2 2175:6,10,13 2175:16	2237:24 discussion 2158:18 2195:23 2196:8 discussions 2215:14 disintegrates 2167:2 disparity 2156:19 disperse 2230:20 distance 2137:12,13,23
conceded 2229:11 2230:15 concept 2200:3 concern 2216:5 concerned 2185:1 2198:18 2238:11 concession 2212:15 conclude 2181:21 conducted 2233:7	2184:24 2187:2 2208:23,23,23 2214:9 2222:17 2231:13 correcting 2214:9 correctly 2133:23 2162:17 2230:15 correctness 2149:3 2164:18	dark 2170:24 date 2154:5 2216:10 2222:12 dated 2237:9 daughter 2167:21 day 2211:11 2212:8 2216:21 2228:12 2237:16	2139:7,14 2142:15 determine 2137:19 2138:10 2141:5 2142:2,5 2143:4,5,11 2143:12 2160:18 2163:23 2171:3 2174:2 2175:6,10,13	2237:24 discussion 2158:18 2195:23 2196:8 discussions 2215:14 disintegrates 2167:2 disparity 2156:19 disperse 2230:20

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

				Page 4
2139:21 2140:16	easier 2170:2	evenly 2237:8	expect 2143:6,15	fair 2151:14 2154:6
2142:11,15,18 2143:1	easily 2156:21	event 2138:10	2152:14 2154:20	2156:17 2164:22,25
2143:7,11,13,15	economy 2228:17	events 2137:20 2192:22	2166:21 2167:11,15	2205:1 2234:4
2144:18 2146:6	effect 2140:21 2141:3,4	everybody 2195:4	2171:10,12 2174:21	fall 2130:21 2207:20
2157:16 2160:21	2141:24 2142:5,23	evidence 2131:4	2176:21 2180:2,6	fallen 2216:7
2173:22 2174:2,6,20	2145:16 2154:9	2137:22 2140:3,16	2182:9,10,17 2183:3	falling 2231:7
2175:1 2176:20,25	2156:12 2166:17,18	2142:21 2144:14,21	2189:10 2208:4,6	falls 2130:21 2131:11
2177:4,11 2186:1,5	2176:14,17 2177:7 2183:25	2145:13 2146:13,21	2229:21,24 expense 2212:12	familiar 2152:10,17,22
distinguish 2133:13 distress 2184:20	effective 2177:12	2157:23,25 2165:10 2171:15 2172:7,18	expert 2137:18 2141:1	2165:23 2180:19 families 2143:18,21,24
divided 2158:14	effectively 2219:2	2178:4 2179:3 2180:9	2152:15 2156:11	2145:24 2190:10
dockets 2215:5	effects 2166:16	2180:10 2182:14	2161:19 2165:3	2238:1
doctor 2145:5,11	effort 2211:14,17	2185:20 2187:6	expertise 2130:22	family 2169:12 2184:6
doctors 2145:12	eh 2202:15	2189:24,25 2190:10	2132:11 2136:18,21	2184:10,11,17 2185:1
document 2227:11	EHD 2227:2	2190:21 2191:10,11	2138:15 2139:14,19	Fanyana 2234:23,24
2231:6	eight 2160:5,10 2235:6	2192:9,14 2193:1	2143:23	far 2157:12 2180:19
documents 2187:13	2236:4	2195:2,18,23 2197:4	explain 2141:13	2181:3 2205:13,24
2224:23 2225:3 doesn't 2142:4,5	either 2138:1 2140:11 2141:10 2166:3	2197:11 2202:5,19,23 2203:2,5,12,12	2155:17 2158:15 2210:14 2212:21	fashion 2238:2
2144:8 2146:6,6	2176:11 2179:9	2206:6,13 2207:10	explained 2208:5	fatal 2140:5,15 2145:9 2166:4
2144.8 2140.0,0	2190:23 2197:25	2208:1,18,18,22	explaining 2159:8	fatalities 2152:6
2177:23 2178:8,21	2213:5 2217:23	2209:12,22 2210:11	2223:4	fatality 2137:25 2138:5
2194:10 2199:9	eject 2159:10	2215:4,13 2216:5,25	explode 2166:10	2138:9
2208:18 2209:10	elasticity 2185:24	2217:21,24 2224:19	exploding 2167:1	fault 2216:15
2216:4 2231:19	eliminate 2144:20	2225:2,13 2232:16	explore 2145:18	favour 2206:21
doing 2161:14 2182:12	else's 2211:21	2233:9 2234:2,12	explosives 2130:21	feed 2161:16
2183:16 2222:1	emanates 2174:24	2236:6 2237:25	2131:12,13	fees 2223:10,11,14
domestic 2154:20	embarrassed 2147:6	2238:1	extended 2222:25	fetch 2219:20
don 2196:10 don't 2145:19 2150:2	emphasis 2232:5 employer 2222:4,11	exact 2134:14 2172:3,3 2195:10	2223:20 extensive 2141:20	Ficksburg 2165:24 fiction 2161:19
2154:1 2155:9,11,12	2223:2,3,12	exactly 2133:5 2136:24	extensive 2141:20 extent 2141:6 2210:4	fifth 2200:6
2156:23 2158:5	employers 2223:7	2143:12 2145:8	external 2227:21	figure 2158:16 2159:14
2165:9 2167:7 2170:1	employment 2223:3	2150:19 2176:17	2228:19,21,22,24	2160:16
2170:7,13,16,22	empty 2163:4,9	2190:11 2205:15	2236:25	figures 2164:18
2172:19 2174:18	2169:23	2206:22 2207:16	extra 2132:15,18	file 2229:4
2178:2 2179:8	enable 2139:22 2150:9	2217:18,25	2204:15	finalised 2195:13
2191:25 2192:11,24	encountered 2141:10	examination 2171:16	extract 2161:14	finality 2198:17
2199:1 2204:17,20	2152:12 engage 2190:24	2178:6 2179:2,9 2184:19 2217:10	extremely 2138:11	finally 2236:12 2238:14 find 2143:6,15 2144:4
2205:1,5,22 2208:23 2209:15,21 2211:14	engaged 2232:9	examinations 2179:22	extremity 2139:1,2 e-mail 2195:21,21,22	2146:4 2171:11,12,21
2218:5,6 2222:6,19	engagement 2213:25	examine 2179:8	2195:25 2213:25	2172:11 2173:1
2224:25 2227:5	2215:2	example 2137:20	e-mailed 2225:1 2235:4	2175:4 2177:24
2228:13 2232:16	Engineering 2135:10	2233:19 2234:7		2178:12 2179:4,12
2234:9 2235:22	2135:14	examples 2133:2	F	2181:20 2192:4
2236:15 2237:12	English 2231:19,21	2209:19	Fabrique 2152:21	2199:21 2220:15
2238:5	enlarged 2179:16	exceed 2197:5	face 2170:25	2221:21 2227:20
door 2211:21,21	enquire 2184:4	excuse 2187:6	Faced 2200:11	2234:20
double 2175:1	2188:15 2224:13	excused 2187:9,10	facilitate 2187:1	finding 2139:23 2210:7 fine 2205:23
DPP 2215:14 drafted 2223:6	entail 2131:22 2136:21 2137:10,11 2141:14	2224:1 exercise 2160:4	facing 2191:9 2200:12 2205:10	fine 2205:23 finger 2161:11
drastically 2142:11	2137.10,11 2141.14 2142:8	exhausted 2238:14	fact 2145:19 2150:22	finish 2179:24 2206:19
drive 2177:20 2227:10	entails 2134:8	exhibit 2130:10	2156:7 2157:10	finished 2171:23
2227:13,22 2228:19	enters 2145:8	2131:16 2134:20	2161:19 2190:11	2179:11 2233:14
2228:21,22,24 2231:7	entire 2146:2 2149:2	2136:17 2139:5,5	2191:16 2192:8,12	fire 2156:4 2158:6
2234:20 2237:1	2190:11	2140:19 2147:1,6,8	2194:6 2195:14	2159:23,25,25
due 2134:13 2166:20	entitled 2192:23	2147:10 2148:1	2196:4 2204:13	2160:15,18 2175:23
2167:2	2223:10,13	2159:6 2160:20	2207:16 2210:10	2176:7 2229:16,16
duress 2191:13 duties 2162:15 15 23	entrance 2174:4,16	2162:25 2164:10	2213:24 2221:19	2230:14,16 2231:11
duties 2162:15,15,23 duty 2184:17	2185:14,16 environment 2167:14	2170:9,10 2178:23 2187:15 2226:11,16	2225:12 2228:16 factories 2134:12	2231:12,16,18,23 firearm 2131:18
ully 2104.17	equal 2160:3 2182:12	2229:8 2230:7 2231:2	factors 2141:5 2142:16	2133:7,11,14,14,14
E	equally 2211:6	2233:20 2234:10	2144:21 2159:19	2133:21,24 2134:21
e 2234:21	establish 2198:11	2237:4,5,11,11	2173:21,25	2135:15 2136:4,12,14
earlier 2166:16	estimate 2186:4	exhibits 2230:6 2231:5	failed 2228:17	2137:5 2140:9
2185:19 2219:12	etcetera 2180:13	2234:14	failing 2190:25 2191:1	2141:18 2142:8
early 2190:12	evaluate 2232:12	exit 2185:14	failure 2162:18	2144:9,11 2156:22
ARCHIVE FOR JUSTIC	t	l	l	

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page 5
2158:5 2159:17,22,24	forget 2195:10	2187:15 2189:24	grounds 2194:9	held 2190:18 2195:2
2175:4,6,16,23	forgive 2139:9	2190:21 2191:10,11	group 2208:12 2216:3	help 2139:15 2150:7
2176:1,12 2181:22	form 2191:13	2191:17 2192:14	guard 2168:3	2175:3 2178:21
2186:7,10 2230:25	formal 2237:25	2194:13 2197:9,23	guide 2167:13	2188:23
firearms 2131:18	formation 2151:9	2194:15 2197:5,25	guna 2230:14,16,23	helpful 2150:10 2219:8
2132:25 2133:3,11,12	forms 2148:6 2192:11	2203:5,11,12 2204:7	2231:4,16 2232:23	2220:1
2132.25 2135.3,11,12 2134:7,9,23 2135:3,6		2203.3,11,12 2204.7 2204:25 2206:6,13		Hemraj 2172:24
	forth 2187:16		2233:8,20,20 2234:13	ů.
2135:12,15 2136:2	fortunate 2236:17	2208:1,17,18,22	gunshot 2143:19	2173:1,3,5,9,15,20
2147:11 2149:2,7	Forum 2235:5 2236:4	2209:11 2210:7,11	G-A-L-I-L 2153:15	2174:3,11,14,23
2151:7,13 2155:1	forward 2161:15	2212:25 2213:18,18	G3 2153:2	2175:3,15,22 2176:5
2157:23 2160:11	2177:8 2198:6	2213:22 2215:13,22	н	2176:13,24 2177:10
2167:7 2168:12,14	2220:19 2221:12	2215:24 2216:5		2177:15 2186:19,25
2182:1 2231:1	2223:16 2238:7	2223:2,3 2224:19	hacked 2171:10	2187:3 2228:10
fired 2136:25 2137:1	found 2158:8 2171:24	2225:13 2229:22,22	half 2146:2 2180:25	he'll 2155:17
2137:13,15,22,23	2171:25 2177:19	2233:19 2234:7,8,9	2187:19 2192:17	he's 2150:7 2168:13,17
2138:6,11,12 2139:3	2180:14 2184:1,2	2234:21 2236:23	2193:3,6 2222:22	2209:14 2225:12,13
2139:16,19,20 2140:4	2211:25 2220:25	given 2134:15 2157:15	2223:1	2227:16
2140:15 2141:7,17,20	2227:3,21 2228:25	2159:23,25 2170:16	HANABE 2184:25	high 2141:7,12,16
2143:13 2144:19	2233:12 2236:25	2177:7 2189:25	2220:20	2142:12,23 2146:8,11
2146:9 2148:8,11,15	four 2158:9,17 2231:7	2194:7 2197:3,5	hand 2156:22,25	2166:20 2179:20
2149:20 2159:2	fractured 2166:12	2208:1,10 2209:19	2220:4,13,18,21	2191:2 2198:8
2174:6,24 2175:6,9	frames 2191:18	2212:16 2214:4,10,16	2221:20 2226:16	2206:22 2229:19,20
2175:10,12,14,16,20	frankly 2169:4	2218:17,20 2223:6,12	2227:7 2234:25	2229:23
2176:25 2180:3	free 2133:19	2227:24	2235:7	higher 2141:13,18,19
2181:9,22 2186:5	Friday 2187:13	gives 2236:6	handed 2236:24	2142:9 2167:18
2231:20	2234:25	giving 2202:23 2210:22	handgun 2141:20	hit 2144:10,17 2166:11
firing 2140:9 2175:10	friend 2213:22 2216:21	glance 2164:18	2186:13	2176:15,21,22
2186:11	2219:13	go 2136:16 2145:1,19	handling 2215:3	hits 2144:10
first 2138:3 2147:3	friends 2214:3,11	2145:19 2153:9	hands 2155:3 2182:20	hitting 2176:14
2148:5,20 2188:5	2215:10 2219:14	2154:19 2158:5	2219:25 2224:7	hold 2196:7,25
2195:11,14,20	2226:24	2172:6 2185:10	hang 2225:14	honesty 2171:5
2210:23	front 2130:11 2169:24	2193:16 2194:11,20	0	honoured 2169:22
	2170:9 2224:15	2195:15 2206:22	happen 2175:24 2209:25 2210:1	hope 2141:7
firstly 2140:20 2166:17				-
2189:12 2190:2	fruitfully 2158:18	2211:17,19 2217:6	2221:1 2222:24	hopefully 2189:1
2215:22	full 2176:4 2181:21	2225:6 2227:16	happened 2137:21	hostage 2162:18
five 2183:20 2189:1,4,5	fully 2132:24 2216:14	2236:17	2151:23 2166:6	hours 2175:17
2201:6 2203:18	function 2192:21	goes 2153:13 2198:10	2189:22 2192:21	housekeeping 2187:12
2204:2,17 2205:18	2233:6	going 2147:22 2150:19	2196:4 2214:22	2226:7
2214:10,16,18,24,24	funding 2191:20	2151:5,13 2154:21	2229:17 2233:21	housing 2209:1,2
2220:12 2222:21	further 2138:12 2169:1	2156:14 2168:16	happening 2138:10	human 2176:14,15
fixed 2223:22	2169:6,7 2177:4,5	2172:23 2177:5	happens 2143:6	hunting 2161:23,23
flag 2238:12	2179:22 2180:16	2178:11 2184:21	2166:15 2167:1	
flight 2173:6	2187:4,6,9,10 2192:8	2187:12,15 2188:25	2179:24	I
focus 2150:9	2212:12 2213:6	2189:18,23,24 2190:3	happy 2227:16 2229:25	idea 2168:3 2197:12
folder 2229:1,4,5	2237:18	2191:10 2192:10	hard 2177:19 2225:4	2198:15
followed 2132:1 2133:5	fusil 2152:22,23	2193:7,16 2194:8	2227:10,13,22	identified 2202:18
2134:4,15	future 2212:11 2216:10	2195:9 2196:1	2228:19,21,22,24	2204:10 2206:3
following 2133:17	2234:11	2198:12 2199:5,20,22	2231:7 2234:20	identify 2202:11
2134:21 2140:20	F-U-S-I-L 2152:23	2199:23 2200:13,15	2236:25	2213:13 2231:1
2153:18 2167:5		2200:21 2201:7,9,14	hasn't 2209:1,2	identities 2194:8
2189:11 2190:21	G	2202:11,13 2208:10	2220:13 2222:11	2199:5 2200:2 2201:4
2212:23	gained 2133:10	2208:13 2211:2,3,9	2229:25	2201:5
footing 2182:12	gainfully 2145:11	2211:12 2218:23	hat 2227:15	identity 2202:18
force 2150:23 2151:20	gaining 2180:24	2222:24 2229:7	haven't 2171:23 2178:4	2209:20
2153:3,4,8 2156:19	Galil 2153:15	2232:17,20 2233:9	2194:1 2221:11	ignore 2155:23
2176:15 2182:25	Gan 2155.15 Gaza 2154:6	2235:19,20	2222:12 2226:25	II 2130:10 2131:16
2176:15 2182:25 2210:19 2213:10				
	general 2150:8	good 2133:4 2153:1	2234:19 2236:18,24	2136:17 2139:5
2232:14	generals 2208:15	2193:20 2202:16	2237:11	2140:19 2226:11
forced 2206:23 2211:17	gentleman 2220:10,13	2238:4	head 2144:22,25	illustrate 2171:16
2211:19	2220:16,17,24	government 2208:25	2145:8,8,21 2146:2,2	image 2234:19
forces 2150:21 2151:4	gentlemen 2220:11	graphic 2145:20	2146:3 2215:6	imagine 2137:17
2151:10 2154:15	2222:21	great 2166:21	hear 2138:3 2227:15	2145:6 2155:5
2166:24 2167:3	give 2136:11 2137:5,22	grenades 2131:2	heard 2151:1 2216:14	immediately 2208:7
2230:22	2142:15 2143:14	greyish 2185:18	heart 2145:6,7	impact 2176:19 2180:7
forensic 2132:6,14	2145:13 2167:10,14	grief 2184:20	Heckler 2153:2	importance 2200:8
foresee 2209:21	2170:15,19 2184:21	grip 2176:11	heists 2182:8	important 2137:17,17

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page 6
2137:25 2144:4	intends 2168:3	2187:16 2189:23	killing 2139:23 2140:13	lead 2189:21,25 2192:5
2198:2,5 2199:7,25	intention 2150:3	2192:16 2206:2,16,17	2149:13,25 2151:8	2192:6,7,25 2193:2
2200:1,3 2209:12	2152:3 2197:13,24	2206:20,20 2207:16	2165:4,6,18,19,22	2197:14 2198:14
2210:17 2236:5	interacting 2213:23	2208:17,23 2209:2	killings 2154:5	2206:7 2207:5,9
inaudible 2180:1	interested 2197:12	2210:7,7,15 2213:4	kilometre 2174:22	2212:18 2213:16
incapable 2165:18	2209:6	2218:1,3,12 2219:7	kilometres 2175:2	2225:7,12,15 2232:17
	intermediate 2174:9	2218.1,5,12 2219.7		
include 2136:13			2181:9,12	leader 2234:3
2214:21 2231:3	interpret 2197:10	2228:19,24 2229:2,3	kind 2131:21 2133:12	leaders 2171:15 2172:7
included 2130:15	interpretation 2184:23	2229:5,17 2230:18,21	2133:21 2136:4	2172:18 2178:4
2162:23 2235:12	interpreter 2197:9	2232:23 2234:4	2139:18 2140:2,12,14	2179:4 2190:22
includes 2215:25	interrupt 2191:23	2236:5,17,25 2237:11	2142:22 2144:11,11	2192:9 2193:2 2195:2
including 2156:13	2196:11 2207:17	2238:12	2146:1,4 2153:7	2195:18,23 2197:4,12
2230:21 2238:3	interrupting 2207:17	I'd 2136:6	2161:2,5 2162:12	2202:5,20 2203:2
inconsistent 2176:11	interviewed 2203:21	I'll 2199:1 2204:7	2166:11 2168:11	2207:11 2209:23
incriminate 2203:8	introduced 2159:21	I'm 2151:22 2167:14	2205:14 2229:17	2225:2 2237:25
incur 2152:6	investigate 2168:8	2168:16 2169:16,22	kinds 2136:2 2147:11	leading 2216:23
indebted 2236:22	investigated 2231:8	2171:11 2172:22	2149:7,9 2160:11	learned 2213:22 2214:2
indicate 2134:20	2233:10	2177:23 2178:12	2163:17 2166:10	2214:10 2215:10
2136:17 2140:15	involve 2130:19	2192:13	KK 2234:22	2216:20 2219:13,14
2142:18 2164:13	involved 2133:10	I've 2168:22,23 2194:5	knew 2182:15	2226:24 2227:25
2174:8 2175:12,19	2140:25 2151:24	2194:20 2195:20	know 2130:25 2133:5	leave 2170:20 2184:21
2188:1,11 2198:13	2168:23 2199:2	2200:10,13 2201:13	2133:12 2143:22	2206:9 2221:12
indicated 2167:22	in-service 2131:16,21	2203:16	2145:25 2148:22	2222:17 2223:12
2189:15,22 2190:3	IPID 2178:10 2202:2,9		2151:11,25 2155:12	2234:25 2235:7
2213:25 2215:3,20	2203:21,22,25 2204:3	J	2166:2 2167:7	leaving 2141:18 2185:3
2219:13 2230:7	2204:12,18 2205:7	J 2226:16	2170:13 2177:25	Lebogang 2221:6,24
indicates 2162:1	ironically 2191:16	ja 2154:13 2160:24	2178:2,16 2179:8	led 2189:18 2192:3,10
indicating 2165:17	irregular 2174:4,16	2171:14 2193:17	2180:12,17 2181:1,3	2192:23 2206:8,24
indication 2143:8	irrelevant 2232:23	2202:3,20 2203:19	2181:25 2184:10	2207:1,1,10,22
2168:11 2174:5	isiXhosa 2184:23	2205:20 2207:6	2186:23 2192:11	2208:2 2216:6
2185:19	2188:17	2211:16	2196:7 2198:2,5	left 2147:7 2160:22
indicative 2144:17	isn't 2145:4 2149:21	jacket 2166:22	2199:10,13,15,17	2163:20,21,22
2146:10,11	2179:18 2184:12	Jane 2152:11	2201:2,3,15 2204:17	legal 2189:18 2191:12
indistinct 2158:12	2208:15 2232:25	Jane's 2152:11 2153:1	2204:20 2205:5,13,24	2192:5 2193:24
individuals 2162:9	Israel 2154:6	2153:13 2159:14	2208:10,23 2210:8,9	2206:9,24 2207:2,4,8
infantry 2153:4,8	Israeli 2153:15	jeopardy 2208:5	2210:20 2214:1	2210:21
inflict 2157:14,18	Israelis 2153:19	JJ 2226:16	2227:20 2232:16	legend 2231:10 2233:1
information 2221:18	issue 2196:10 2198:1,2	JJ1 2227:19,21 2228:19	2236:1,10 2238:5	leger 2152:22
informed 2194:7	2198:5 2199:1,3	JJ2 2228:20	knowing 2156:12	lens 2228:4
2195:19 2233:3	2200:5,7,10,11,12	JJ3 2228:22	2209:22	lethal 2146:15,17,20,24
initial 2221:7	2202:17 2207:16,20	JJ4 2228:23	knowledge 2133:4,10	2151:6 2152:7
injured 2204:1	2208:7 2210:24	JJ5 2228:25	2134:16	2156:12 2164:4,5,17
injuries 2145:9	2212:23 2213:7,14	JJ7 2227:5,10,19	knows 2227:7	2164:19,20,21,22
injury 2146:1 2157:14	2219:1	2229:3 2230:3	Koch 2153:2	2181:6,8
inquiry 2229:13 2232:8	issued 2151:17 2164:14	2233:24 2234:9,10,11	Kwadi 2234:24	letter 2223:2,3,5
inside 2135:19	2180:18 2181:2	2234:18		2237:6,8,10,15
insist 2207:7,10	2182:1,3 2184:8,10	JJ8 2230:2 2234:11	L	letters 2187:16
2216:24 2236:20,21	2189:13 2190:14	judge 2194:3 2211:22	L 2147:1,9 2148:1	let's 2136:8 2142:20
2237:12	2196:2,5,24 2197:1	July 2237:7	2164:10 2170:9,10	2147:3 2148:4 2155:4
insisted 2207:1	2212:12 2216:7	junior 2195:5 2213:23	2178:23 2221:6	2155:5,24 2172:6
insofar 2194:1,3	issues 2200:11 2232:11	2221:17	lack 2191:19 2194:25	2177:24 2179:22
2198:18	2233:21	juniors 2196:6 2214:9	2210:5 2212:11	2206:9 2225:23
instance 2134:20	issuing 2195:16	justified 2232:22	language 2162:20	2227:15
2142:21 2143:23	item 2167:13	justify 2154:18	late 2187:19	level 2136:18 2154:17
2144:21 2157:16	it's 2130:10 2133:5		law 2210:19	2162:1
instructed 2189:11	2137:7,19 2145:13	K	lawyers 2216:6	levels 2131:25
instruction 2237:10	2147:12 2151:21	keen 2143:22 2225:12	2223:20,21	LG 2180:13,15
instructions 2191:1	2154:8,14 2155:8	2225:13	lay 2141:13	liable 2192:22
2193:16 2203:14,15	2158:2 2159:23	keep 2161:11	layman-ish 2161:21	liberty 2208:11
2204:14 2207:23	2161:12,13 2163:23	kept 2195:19	lere 2227:22	life 2156:8
2208:4	2168:7 2169:24	kill 2149:20,25 2152:7	lcrcforensics\pmcas1	likelihood 2157:13
intelligence 2182:19	2170:9,13,15 2171:3	2153:20,21,21 2155:1	2237:1	line 2172:3
2232:19 2233:4	2170:0,13,13 2171:3	2165:14	lcrc\scene2\201008303	lines 2234:2
intend 2145:19	2174:11 2175:12	killed 2139:20 2150:21	2228:20	list 2131:17 2203:18
intended 2136:12	2174.11 2175.12	2151:13 2157:4	lcrc\scene2\201208303	2215:19 2218:14,15
2149:9,25 2152:7	2185:9,22 2186:13	2171:9	2228:21,23	2218:17,20 2219:1,5
	2103.7,22 2100.13	<i>21,1.7</i>		2210.17,20 2217.1,3
ARCHIVE FOR JUSTIC	E			

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

				Page 7
2219:22 2221:1,22	2212:8,9 2213:1,5,11	2189:3,12 2192:8,18	minutes 2147:19	2205:10 2215:3
2222:5,5	2213:17,21 2214:6,8	2194:10 2195:6,11	2172:13,19 2183:20	Musi 2195:6,14 2196:1
listed 2231:4	2214:13,16,22 2215:1	2198:17 2204:21	misleading 2233:21	M'Lord 2154:3
lists 2218:12	2215:18 2216:17	2207:13 2210:6	missing 2221:3	M-O-K-O-N-E 2221:9
little 2140:25 2144:23	2217:20,25 2218:11	2211:22 2213:5	mistake 2172:23	
2145:2 2152:15	2219:2,11 2220:6,14	matters 2144:5 2168:7	2195:24 2221:2	N
live 2229:19,20,23	2221:14,17 2222:14	2187:13 2195:3	misunderstanding	N 2178:23
lives 2154:14	2222:18 2223:5,8	Ma'am 2174:7 2176:18	2221:11	name 2169:12 2215:7
locate 2172:14	2224:7,9,16 2225:25	2177:2,13	misunderstood	2220:4,16,18,22,25
logical 2204:11	2226:2,5 2237:18,20	mean 2134:24 2141:9	2165:10	names 2187:25 2188:8
long 2132:3,20 2135:23	Madlanga's 2217:16	2141:14 2144:10	Mlambisa 2188:18	2188:11,13,16,16,20
2138:1,7 2142:4	2218:6 2221:1	2156:13 2157:22	2220:7	2190:7 2191:17
2143:25 2172:7,7,10	magazine 2152:11	2164:11 2184:1	Mm 2150:5 2236:12	2197:22,23 2213:19
2174:20 2179:8	2160:14 2181:14,17	2199:8 2203:1 2225:8	Mmusi 2196:8 2229:12	2213:24 2214:1,3,4
2190:12,19 2214:10	2181:21	2231:19,19	2230:6,12 2231:14,22	2214:10,16,18,24
2215:11	mail 2234:22	meaning 2231:21,24	2231:25 2232:10	2215:5,8,10,16,16,20
longer 2138:20 2142:11	main 2143:19 2149:14	means 2158:3 2216:1	2233:2,15,19 2234:3	2215:25 2218:13
2144:6 2146:5 2174:6	majority 2204:6	2223:7 2230:2	2234:20	2219:4,11,24,24,25
2191:15	2205:17	meant 2146:22	Mmusi's 2197:5	2220:4,12
Lonmin 2208:25	making 2136:25	measure 2137:8	models 2136:10,11	National 2153:4,8
2209:11,24 2229:3	2146:19 2190:5	media 2229:20 2232:2	modified 2153:14	2237:6
2235:15,24 2236:9	man 2221:5 2225:13	meet 2232:15,20	modules 2131:24	Nationale 2152:21
Lonmin's 2224:23	Manabe 2184:11	meeting 2195:2,3,6,8	modus 2192:19	nature 2184:5 2217:20
look 2141:6 2147:1,3	managed 2223:7	2195:13,15 2196:14	2193:12 Mahala 2189:15 2220:6	near 2153:10
2148:1,25 2160:19	manually 2161:13,14	member 2171:8	Mohale 2188:15 2220:6	necessarily 2138:8
2164:9,15,16 2170:23	manufacture 2134:12	members 2151:17	Mojapelo 2196:6	2142:15 2150:3
2223:16 2232:1,15	2135:6,7,15,19,23	2183:6 2184:5,10,16	2221:18 Makana 2221:6 0 10 10	2174:7 2181:21
2238:7	2136:11 2137:4	men 2182:9	Mokone 2221:6,9,10,19	2182:5
looking 2147:5 2163:24 2227:18	manufactured 2132:25	mention 2187:25 2230:5	2221:23,24 2222:9,19 Mokone's 2223:12	necessary 2145:13 2170:14 2173:12
looks 2185:24 2207:18	2133:1,3,13,15,15,21 2133:23,24 2134:9	mentioned 2130:14	moment 2130:4 2169:4	2208:16,17 2209:2
2207:19 2211:24	2135:17 2136:3,5	2165:14 2191:8	2179:13 2187:17	2208:10,17 2209:2 2210:7 2216:9
2233:11	manufacturers	2203:16 2230:1	2210:15 2211:9	2228:14 2232:14
loose 2186:2	2134:22	2203.10 2230.1	2220:19 2236:8	2238:14 2232.14
loses 2173:7	manufacturing	mere 2192:8	Monday 2187:19	necessitate 2184:5
losing 2174:19	2131:18 2133:11	merely 2230:18,22	2196:2,2,10,10	need 2131:12 2147:18
lost 2133:18	2134:6,9,15,23	message 2183:25	2197:2,3	2153:18 2170:16
lot 2177:4 2184:20	2135:3,12 2136:1,19	method 2142:19	Monene 2169:12	2172:7.8,10,13
low 2141:21,22	2136:20	2217:24	2177:22 2178:12	2176:25 2213:6
lower 2139:1 2141:7	Marikana 2165:11	methods 2143:7	2184:13,14,15,17,19	2214:1 2220:2 2223:2
2159:22	2229:3,18 2230:19	metres 2139:22	Monene's 2236:13	needed 2151:19 2223:3
lunch 2189:1 2192:19	2233:12	2142:12,23,25 2143:2	money 2208:24 2209:1	needs 2213:2
2193:13 2200:18	marked 2226:16	2143:2,12 2144:8,13	2211:14,17	negotiation 2162:19
2224:11	2230:2,6,7	2144:24,25 2145:6,7	month 2175:17	2223:7
Lungani 2188:19	marks 2140:2,11,14	2156:21 2157:16	morning 2178:10	neither 2209:15,22
2220:9	married 2198:15	2181:12	2187:19 2191:17	2220:25
Lyttelton 2135:10,14	Masebe 2169:11,12,16	microphone 2167:24	2199:12 2224:24	never 2148:16 2190:3
	2169:20 2170:4,7,12	2169:15,18,20 2170:2	2225:16,19 2237:19	2194:4 2200:13
M	2170:21 2171:13,18	2170:6 2188:22	2238:17	nevertheless 2192:6
M 2227:15	2172:2 2178:18,24	2224:15	mortem 2141:11	new 2161:16 2227:10
Mabotyana 2188:19	2179:15 2184:6,7,13	military 2149:8	2171:16 2178:8	2228:25 2229:2,4
2220:9	2184:15 2185:5,17	2154:15 2181:25	2179:2,21 2184:19	nice 2220:3
machine 2230:14,16,23	2186:3,9,14	millimetre 2181:10	mounted 2229:14	nine 2222:22 2223:1
2231:4,16 2232:22	mass 2153:9	mind 2148:16 2185:10	mouse 2160:24,25	NIU 2182:25
2233:8,19,20 2234:13	matched 2156:15	2188:4 2202:15	2163:12	nm 2132:9
machines 2134:16,17	material 2167:5,8,12	2230:11	mouth 2150:15,16,18	non 2146:14
machining 2133:7	2168:20 2229:1,2,4	mine 2156:5 2229:3	moved 2139:6	non-existent 2211:20
2134:1,3	2235:21 2236:11	miners 2229:16,16	moves 2185:23	normally 2130:20
machinist 2133:25	mathematics 2158:11	2231:11,13,18,20,24	moving 2166:20 2177:8	2131:25 2132:6
Madlanga 2187:16,22	2159:8	minimum 2201:11	Mpofu's 2195:5 2198:8	2135:8 2137:19
2188:7,10,14 2192:4	Mathunjwa 2190:10	Minister 2208:17,18	2215:22	2141:16,19,21
2192:18 2193:12	2224:11,19,22 2225:6	minute 2145:18	Mtjo 2188:18 2220:8	2148:14 2158:9
2194:21,23 2196:13	2225:12,21 2236:3	2157:24 2158:6	mud 2228:4,4	2159:13 2162:13
2196:16,19,21,25	matter 2138:5 2142:4	2159:8 2160:9	munitions 2147:11	2164:12 2166:15
2197:8,11,19 2198:20	2144:8,23 2145:1	2184:21 2226:5,6	murder 2189:16	North 2208:21 2215:15
2206:3 2211:1,9	2146:6,6 2188:25	2227:2	2191:9 2200:13	note 2143:12

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

				Page
N		2000 11 2000 7		•
November 2130:1	once 2152:5 2201:6	2208:11 2209:7	2228:20,22,23,24	2199:14,17 2206:21
2190:13 2195:1	2206:14 2212:15	2213:8 2224:20	2231:15 2232:18	2209:16 2211:15
2196:21	2238:7	2227:1,20 2229:1	2233:1 2236:13,24	2218:4 2219:8
no-one 2185:2	ones 2180:18 2181:2	2234:22 2235:5,15,22	photographs 2171:15	2234:12
NPA 2215:2	2214:24	2236:20,24 2238:3	2171:24 2177:18	pointing 2160:25
Ntakana 2188:18	open 2161:14 2213:24	parts 2133:3 2134:17	2178:5,10 2183:17,18	points 2153:24,25
2220:7	2231:11,18,23	2159:20	2184:1,3 2226:17,20	2204:15
NUM 2225:1	openly 2195:4,15	party 2208:12,15	2227:1,21 2236:17	police 2151:18 2154:20
number 2141:10	operandi 2193:12	2210:3	photosvideographs	2164:14 2167:6
2151:7 2159:2	operated 2161:13	pass 2213:3 2220:2	2229:2	2168:20 2171:9
2166:12 2180:25,25	operation 2150:10,20	passed 2210:20	photos\Thursday16\	2181:2 2182:1,9,15
	<i>2</i>	-		
2181:4 2190:22	operations 2134:2	passes 2220:3	2228:25	2182:17,20,21
2191:13,14 2226:11	opinion 2155:1	password 2234:21	phrases 2141:10	2186:21 2199:19
2237:11	opportunity 2189:20	patch 2170:24	picture 2170:24 2171:8	2204:1 2229:15,16
numbered 2226:19	2189:25 2190:22	pathway 2236:23	2172:3 2178:13,19	2230:19 2231:11,12
2237:8	2208:2 2212:16,18	patient 2210:14	2184:9,18 2185:6	2231:16,18,23
numbers 2180:24,24	2225:6	pause 2133:16 2197:9	2229:14 2232:1,1,4,6	2232:13,20 2233:3
2200:11	opposed 2174:4	pay 2223:11	2232:8 2236:12,14	policeman 2199:22
numbing 2177:7	optimal 2137:5	payment 2223:19	pictures 2145:20	poor 2210:1
NUM's 2224:25	option 2151:21	penetration 2166:10	2177:23,25 2178:9	portion 2146:3
Nyalwana 2188:19	order 2138:9 2153:20	people 2150:4,21	2228:5	posed 2224:16
2219:21,22 2220:8	2222:6 2230:3	2151:5,8,25 2162:6	pieces 2166:24	position 2176:3
2217.21,22 2220.0	organ 2139:3	2167:12 2182:12	Pillay 2147:18 2172:8,9	2191:17 2193:17
0				
	organs 2144:17	2188:15 2189:5,15	2172:12,25 2177:17	2205:3 2210:18
oath 2130:5 2159:1	original 2137:1	2191:9,21 2192:22	2177:21 2178:7	possession 2178:3
obedience 2220:11	outside 2154:1 2189:3	2194:7,9 2199:5,8,11	2183:11 2187:12	2189:4 2202:4,7
2223:9,23	2189:5,6	2199:14,19 2200:2,7	2226:8,10,15,21,25	2220:24 2237:15
object 2156:21 2170:1	outstanding 2195:3	2200:12,14 2202:10	2227:9,18 2228:9,18	possibility 2190:24
2170:5 2202:22	2217:24	2202:13,14,15,21	2229:18 2230:4	possible 2133:5
2208:1	overcomes 2185:23	2203:10,17,25 2204:1	2234:16,18 2235:3,9	2137:12 2142:2
objected 2227:6	overstating 2134:10	2204:1,6,10,10,17	2235:11,24 2236:7,19	2152:4 2160:14,15
objection 2155:21	o'clock 2192:17	2205:4,8,11 2208:12	2236:22 2237:2,3,14	2174:13 2175:13
2229:9 2230:13		2210:1,8 2211:2,8	pistol 2181:14,20	2181:16 2185:9
2231:3,9	P	2213:6,9,13,19,24	2186:20	2187:17 2212:13
observe 2134:13,17	page 2130:18 2136:17	2213:0,9,13,19,24 2214:4,24 2215:12,16	pistols 2148:9,10,18	possibly 2161:22
2135:11	2139:5 2140:20	2214.4,24 2213.12,10	place 2135:6,6 2147:5	2215:15
·			•	
obtained 2232:2,4	paid 2208:24	2218:7,15,22,23	2150:11 2196:20	post 2141:10 2171:15
obviously 2187:7	pain 2176:22 2177:3	2219:9 2232:4,21	2210:23 2225:22,24	2178:7 2179:2,21
2189:23 2192:23	Palestinians 2153:20	2237:7	2226:1	2184:18
2207:10 2218:1	panga 2155:6 2156:1,8	people's 2217:5	placement 2139:1	post-mortem 2177:22
2225:9,14,20 2234:13	2156:11,17 2157:1,3	perceived 2208:5	2144:16	2178:5
2234:15	2157:4,14	perfect 2159:17	planning 2151:24	post-mortems 2145:12
occur 2137:21 2138:5,9	pangas 2156:13	2171:18	2198:6	powder 2135:19
occurrence 2137:20	papers 2226:8	performance 2137:5,6	plant 2134:9	2175:11
occurring 2138:17	Parabellum 2164:13	performed 2133:8	plants 2136:1	power 2156:4 2203:1
October 2218:15	pardon 2150:14	period 2160:5 2233:10	platform 2154:1	2222:12 2229:22
offhand 2204:5,24	part 2133:6 2135:2,11	permission 2167:17	play 2142:16 2159:19	powerful 2157:2
officer 2130:3,4,6	2138:3 2142:16	permitting 2193:1	plead 2203:7	practical 2134:8
		person 2138:19,20	pleading 2205.7	2135:2 2136:12
2152:15 2154:11	2146:21 2162:6	_		
2155:5,19 2158:25	2167:14 2178:23	2140:4 2141:13	please 2147:17 2169:14	2160:17
2170:8,23 2173:6	2179:2 2194:25	2156:21,22 2161:14	2170:1 2188:15	Practically 2160:14
2175:4 2177:16	2195:11 2197:13	2176:16 2177:3,4,14	2190:1 2198:22	practice 2186:21
2181:6 2183:9 2185:7	partially 2143:9	2186:8 2189:10	2212:21 2218:9	prayers 2206:21
2185:17 2186:3,9,19	2175:11	2221:2 2233:5	2219:9 2220:19	precognise 2206:7
2187:5 2199:24	participated 2236:4	personally 2176:10	2222:21 2228:6	precognised 2206:8
2226:12	particles 2143:9	persons 2216:6	2237:13	prefer 2191:10,11
officials 2168:12	2175:11	person's 2188:20	pleases 2201:4	preference 2189:17,17
2209:11	particular 2142:3	persuade 2230:11	pm 2171:15 2172:14	2190:16
off-chance 2213:11	2144:11 2178:5,8	Peter 2234:24	PMs 2178:2,2,3	prejudice 2224:22
oh 2163:4,12 2194:21	2179:17 2181:14	phase 2195:3	point 2137:25 2138:4	Premier 2208:21
2230:10		phase 2195.5 photo 2178:15 2230:13	2140:9 2150:10	2209:3
	2199:11 2230:17,19	-		
	2230:21,23 2231:15	photograph 2163:24	2151:3 2154:4,7,17	prepared 2187:8
okay 2163:4 2172:15	④ ニアフィン・エム エア・アリアス	2171:11,21 2172:11	2158:14 2160:25	2192:5 2193:25
2189:8 2193:21	2232:16,17,22,23	-	01 (0 10 01 01 01 01	
2189:8 2193:21 2212:4 2222:8	2233:5,6,7 2238:2	2173:1 2178:2,23	2163:12 2164:11,11	2194:2,3 2197:4
2189:8 2193:21 2212:4 2222:8 old 2166:13 2167:21	2233:5,6,7 2238:2 particularly 2228:15	2173:1 2178:2,23 2179:1,5,5,14,16,21	2165:19 2171:17	2198:13 2200:23
2189:8 2193:21 2212:4 2222:8	2233:5,6,7 2238:2	2173:1 2178:2,23		-

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

				Page
0140 11	2102.4	2170 1 2101 24	0176 10 0177 10	<u> </u>
presence 2140:11	proposes 2192:4	2179:1 2181:24	2176:19 2177:10	relied 2226:17 2234:24
2142:17 2143:10	2216:2	2198:1 2199:22	2213:7 2226:14	2235:6,18 2236:14
2173:25 2175:10	proposing 2187:18	2202:16 2204:22	reason 2165:13,13	relief 2207:21
2195:4 2223:10	2188:2 2204:10	2206:15,17,17	2187:7 2190:6	reloading 2136:18,20
present 2184:10	2205:9	2207:25 2212:20	2206:20 2207:9	2136:23
2188:21 2195:8	proposition 2151:14	2214:15 2216:23	2210:11 2211:4,12	remain 2213:8 2215:8
2204:14 2219:9	2154:11 2156:17	2224:16 2227:13	2212:10 2216:9	remaining 2210:24
2234:2,10,11 2238:1	prospect 2225:9	2230:22 2232:7	2230:25	2215:16 2222:21
2238:9	protect 2154:14,15	questioning 2172:3	reasons 2136:13	remains 2187:5
presentation 2147:2	protesters 2230:15,17	questions 2130:6	2191:14,18	remember 2160:3,6,7
2148:18 2233:7	2230:20 2232:13	2131:13 2143:17	recall 2145:22 2159:12	2174:21 2215:6
press 2229:19	protestors 2180:1	2147:24 2169:13	2180:9 2187:8	remind 2130:4 2158:25
pressing 2137:1	2182:15,21	2170:2 2171:21,23	2224:18 2230:24	removed 2208:6 2211:5
pressure 2166:22	proved 2215:5	2172:16,25 2173:2,4	receive 2131:25	2211:6 2234:10
presumably 2188:22	provide 2192:14	2179:13,18 2183:9	received 2131:16	repeat 2138:3 2149:16
presume 2216:1	provided 2187:14	2186:17,17 2187:5,10	2138:18 2141:12	2155:23
Pretoria 2135:10	2203:3,9	2198:21,23 2199:1	2178:10 2183:25	repeated 2177:9
previously 2189:22	Province 2208:22	2203:8,10 2229:12	2202:14 2220:12	repeatedly 2194:5
primary 2207:21	provincial 2208:25	quicklier 2157:15	2221:19 2222:11	replaced 2152:21
primer 2137:2,2	2209:3 2215:14	quite 2133:17 2149:16	2224:22 2229:7	2153:2 2237:9
printed 2235:16	2209.3 2213.14	2156:23 2161:5	receives 2131:10	replacing 2137:1
probabilities 2138:17	prudent 2204:12	2176:8 2206:17	receiving 2224:20	report 2141:11 2172:14
2138:21 2157:13	PS 2168:15	2211:24 2218:3	recollection 2204:5	2178:8 2193:13
probability 2179:20	PS 2108:15 publications 2159:14	2211:24 2218:5 2223:23 2224:12	2214:12	2178:8 2193:13 2226:9 2229:21
probably 2134:3	published 2159:14	2225:13	record 2184:22 2190:4	reports 2141:11
2151:6 2162:14,22	purports 2167:18,19	R	2194:4 2218:14,25	2150:23 2177:22
2172:13 2179:20	purpose 2168:6,10		2219:3	represent 2143:17
2216:15	2208:25 2212:6	R 2237:11	reducers 2159:21	2145:25 2189:16
proceed 2147:21,22	2216:12 2230:18,23	radio 2151:2	refer 2178:23	2204:6 2208:12
2150:9 2169:14,25	2231:2	raise 2220:4,18	reference 2172:11	representative 2192:3
2170:1 2183:22	purposes 2136:5	raised 2178:25 2195:20	2237:8	2206:9
2185:4 2225:9,10	2149:13 2155:23	2195:20 2198:21	referred 2153:25	representatives
proceeded 2151:4	2198:6,9	2200:10 2220:13	2185:19 2227:6	2189:19 2191:12
proceeding 2160:9	pursuing 2151:23	2234:12,13	referring 2132:17	2192:5,7,11,24,25
proceedings 2130:1	push 2161:15 2176:16	raising 2199:17	2162:3 2168:13	2195:1 2206:24
2225:18	put 2138:16 2147:14	2219:25 2220:21	2177:24 2178:13,15	2207:2,5,8 2210:21
process 2134:18	2150:15 2155:15	range 2137:10,23	2178:19 2185:8	2225:1
2135:12 2136:19,20	2159:7 2169:3,13	2138:1,1,7,7,12,18,19	2196:15	represented 2215:12
2159:7 2197:6 2200:9	2170:14,14 2171:25	2138:20,23 2139:7,14	refilling 2137:2	representing 2169:9
processes 2131:18	2182:12 2188:22	2139:17,20,21	refuse 2203:1,5,11	represents 2199:19
2133:4,11 2134:3,6	2207:13 2209:18	2140:10,13 2142:1,4	2206:13 2207:25	request 2168:1 2195:14
2134:13,15,22	2216:8 2217:14	2142:4,5,22 2143:5,5	2210:11 2216:5	2197:5 2202:19
produce 2159:16	2218:13 2232:5,19	2143:25,25 2144:5,6	refused 2194:4,6	require 2182:24
professional 2195:7,11	2233:2 2234:15,16	2144:9,23,25 2146:5	refusing 2203:14	required 2183:7
2196:18	puts 2166:21	2144.9,23,25 2140.5	refute 2199:6	2232:14
profitably 2145:5	putting 2217:15	2156:13,20 2157:16	refuted 2199:3	requirement 2137:7
programme 2131:24	pyrotechnics 2130:14	2159:10 2166:4	regard 2141:1 2152:19	requires 2161:13
projectile 2174:5,15,24	2130:19,20,25 2131:1	2174:15 2175:1	2212:10 2228:15	research 2152:16
projectile 2174.3,13,24 promoted 2130:3	2130.19,20,25 2151.1		2232:17	researcher 2153:18
2230:3 2234:11	2131.3,11	2181:6,6,8 rapid 2158:6 2150:24		
	0	rapid 2158:6 2159:24	regarding 2168:20	reseating 2137:3
promotional 2167:13		rate 2157:24 2158:6	regime 2223:20	residue 2175:11
propellant 2135:19	qualification 2132:10	2159:10,17,21,22,25	region 2132:7 2174:22	resist 2153:18 2223:19
2137:2 2143:9	2133:16 2146:19	2160:1,18	regulations 2192:13	resistance 2154:18
properly 2222:10	qualified 2132:5	rational 2212:13	2203:9	resizing 2136:25
proportionally 2156:15	qualifies 2133:12	reach 2193:15	reiterate 2181:6	resolved 2213:6
proportionate 2154:17	qualify 2205:22	reaction 2187:1	relates 2172:12	resources 2228:11
2156:3	quarrel 2218:6	reactions 2233:4	relating 2168:21	respect 2133:16
proportionately 2157:2	quell 2154:21	read 2174:21 2188:4,13	2178:12 2180:9	2138:17 2151:23
proposal 2190:21	question 2133:18	reading 2188:11	2181:24 2234:14	2178:5 2191:1
2217:15,17	2134:4 2139:10	2238:7	relation 2180:21	respond 2187:25
propose 2154:1 2188:4	2141:25 2145:5,10	ready 2183:22 2224:12	relevance 2229:13	2216:16,16
2188:11 2192:17	2148:25,25 2149:7	2238:3	2232:8 2233:21	responded 2188:21
	2150:6,8 2153:23	real 2198:2	relevant 2142:22	response 2182:17
2203:17 2216:23				
	2155:12,13,15,16,19	really 2143:3,5 2144:8	2147:5,5,8 2168:7	2197:25 2215:21
2203:17 2216:23 2217:15	C1.	really 2143:3,5 2144:8 2146:10 2148:23,24	2147:5,5,8 2168:7 2227:14 2233:5	2197:25 2215:21 rest 2227:20
2203:17 2216:23	2155:12,13,15,16,19			

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

				Page 10
result 2143:18 2158:16	R1s 2148:19 2151:10	2158:4,6,7,7,10,17	2222:6 2237:6	2177:18,19 2194:4
2215:13	2151:25 2183:4	2159:2,11 2160:9,16	separate 2161:18	2223:6
resume 2238:17	R4 2152:16 2156:1	2160:22 2163:22	2166:23 2236:8	showed 2147:11
resumes 2130:2	2161:1,3,4 2163:16	2167:16,20 2171:8	sergeant 2199:20,24	showing 2185:2
2158:23,24 2183:23	2163:18 2181:9	2195:22 2213:14	serious 2155:4	shown 2134:16 2145:20
2183:24 2193:9,10	R5 2142:21 2145:1,1	2230:25	seriousness 2214:1	2156:20 2167:12
return 2171:22	2148:12,13 2149:8,18	seconds 2160:6,10	serve 2140:4 2238:6,6	2170:17,18,22
2221:19	2151:17,25 2152:6	section 2131:10,13	served 2196:10 2197:7	2178:17 2185:6
returned 2177:18	2153:19,20,21 2154:7	secure 2217:24	2211:8 2216:12	shows 2229:14
2183:11	2154:19,22 2155:3,7	security 2150:21	22211.0 2210.12	side 2131:12 2134:8
reversely 2166:18	2156:2,16,25 2161:1	2151:4,10	service 2151:18	2135:2 2172:18
reverting 2153:6	2163:16,18 2176:6,9	see 2131:15 2132:13	2164:14 2168:20	2191:20 2200:1
revisited 2234:14	2181:7,25 2182:2,4	2133:16 2134:5,5,9	2181:2 2186:21	2214:3
re-examination	2182:16,18,21	2134:14,19,19	2221:20	significant 2143:3
2172:17,20 2173:2	2232:25	2137:16 2138:24	Services 2167:6	2146:3
2179:10,24,25	R5s 2148:18 2151:6,10	2140:21 2141:6	Sesotho 2184:23	signs 2140:2 2185:3
2232:18	2160:17 2167:19	2140:21 2141:0	2185:2 2188:16,17	similar 2152:24
re-examine 2172:23	2182:13 2183:4	2147:11 2154:22	set 2191:2,5,6 2192:1	2163:20 2171:4
2179:23	2232:21	2160:20 2163:1	2192:12 2207:22	simple 2155:13
ricochet 2174:11	2232.21	2164:16 2167:23	2210:12	2158:16 2206:17
rifle 2135:23 2142:10	S	2168:2,6 2169:24,25	Sethembele 2188:17	22138.10 2200.17
2142:21 2148:15	S 2237:5	2175:8 2177:17	2220:7	2218:3
2153:14 2155:7	safe 2132:24 2133:9	2178:11,20 2179:12	setting 2198:9	simply 2190:6 2191:21
2156:1,2,2,16	2137:24	2179:17,24 2183:10	settled 2149:6	2193:17 2208:8
2160:21 2161:2,3,4,5	safer 2142:19,19	2183:15 2184:18	seven 2150:21 2151:8	2209:23 2210:14,18
2161:8,13,16,20,24	SAfm 2235:5	2185:8 2187:25	2156:21 2157:16	single 2176:4
2162:1 2164:2	SAPS 2147:1 2162:6	2192:19 2194:21	2158:10,17 2206:2,2	sir 2133:18 2136:23
2174:24	2167:19 2168:12	2203:24 2204:12,13	severe 2142:9 2176:22	2138:2,22 2143:1
rifles 2141:17 2146:9	2180:18 2227:2,10,11	2217:1 2224:14	2176:23	2144:15,17 2146:9,16
2148:12 2149:7,8,8,8	2227:13,21 2228:19	2225:23 2235:25	SG 2180:13,15	2144:13,17 2140.9,10
2149:18,18 2152:1,5	2228:21,22 2229:2,5	2236:3	Shaka 2156:14	2149:22 2152:12,18
2152:6,17 2154:19,22	2231:7 2234:20	seeing 2139:10 2204:16	shape 2142:14	2152:25 2153:16
2154:22 2155:3	2236:25	2223:16	share 2170:6	2156:6,18 2158:9
2159:3,10 2162:7,10	satisfactorily 2206:12	seek 2198:8 2206:22	sharp 2139:18 2156:21	2159:13 2160:13
2182:18 2183:6	satisfied 2213:12	2207:21	2164:11,11 2165:19	2161:3,8,12,24
right 2130:15,23	save 2233:9	seeking 2138:14 2159:9	shattered 2145:21	2162:4,10 2163:14,20
2146:15 2149:21	saving 2137:7	seen 2133:2 2168:19,22	shearing 2166:24	2164:12 2166:14
2155:3 2167:4	saying 2143:14 2146:14	2176:9,10 2238:8	shells 2135:24	2180:20 2220:22
2169:16 2170:9,24	2146:19 2151:9,16	select 2176:1 2201:7	sheriff 2197:1,6,6	sit 2167:8 2207:23
2203:2 2209:7,14	2153:18 2156:11	selection 2200:8	2238:6	sitting 2169:23 2205:18
2213:9 2214:15	2155:10 2150:11	selector 2176:2	shooting 2161:14	situated 2176:2
2229:6	2177:11,12 2178:4	self-defence 2139:23	shootings 2148:20	situation 2149:13,19
right-hand 2169:23	2193:23 2200:6	selves 2238:4	short 2137:10 2138:1	2154:20 2155:5,7
ring 2185:22	2203:13 2204:24	Semenya 2155:9,10,11	2139:1,20 2142:4	2164:6 2191:15
role 2159:19	2205:16,16,23	2167:24 2168:1	2139:1,20 2142.4	2192:9 2209:21
room 2188:16	2206:19,19 2207:16	2169:22 2170:1	2179:11 2190:20	2213:15
rotational 2174:19	2209:23 2210:25	2172:23 2173:2	shortlist 2201:6	situations 2149:9
round 2163:14 2186:20	2219:2	2179:7,11,23,25	shortly 2184:18	2162:18
rounds 2158:10	says 2162:20 2168:13	2180:5,8,12,17,21	shot 2137:12,14,22	six 2187:23 2206:4,5
2159:18 2160:15,16	2178:20 2198:21	2181:1,5,10,13,16,20	2138:6,11,12,19,19	2214:25 2218:7
row 2169:24	2215:24 2216:1,4,7	2181:24 2182:4,8,14	2138:20,23 2139:1,3	2220:9,14 2221:2
rubber 2164:17 2165:1	ZZIJ.Z+ZZIU.I.+./			
2165:2,3,11,12,14,15		2182:19 2183:2,8	2139:7,14,16,19	Sixth 2189:2,4,10,10
	2221:18,25 2222:3,3 2227:16 2235:3	2182:19 2183:2,8 2199:23 2209:19	2139:7,14,16,19 2140:5,8,15 2141:14	sixth 2189:2,4,10,10 2200:6 2220:13,15
2165:18,19,21 2166:3	2221:18,25 2222:3,3			
2165:18,19,21 2166:3 2166:3 2176:14,20	2221:18,25 2222:3,3 2227:16 2235:3	2199:23 2209:19	2140:5,8,15 2141:14	2200:6 2220:13,15
	2221:18,25 2222:3,3 2227:16 2235:3 scale 2163:25	2199:23 2209:19 2224:6 2227:6,7,15	2140:5,8,15 2141:14 2142:3,11 2143:4,13	2200:6 2220:13,15 2221:2,5
2166:3 2176:14,20	2221:18,25 2222:3,3 2227:16 2235:3 scale 2163:25 scene 2148:19,23,23	2199:23 2209:19 2224:6 2227:6,7,15 2227:16,18 2229:6,9	2140:5,8,15 2141:14 2142:3,11 2143:4,13 2143:24,25 2144:13	2200:6 2220:13,15 2221:2,5 skills 2131:24 2133:8
2166:3 2176:14,20 2177:11 2180:3,4,5	2221:18,25 2222:3,3 2227:16 2235:3 scale 2163:25 scene 2148:19,23,23 2152:6 2175:5	2199:23 2209:19 2224:6 2227:6,7,15 2227:16,18 2229:6,9 2229:21 2231:3	2140:5,8,15 2141:14 2142:3,11 2143:4,13 2143:24,25 2144:13 2144:18,19,23,24,25	2200:6 2220:13,15 2221:2,5 skills 2131:24 2133:8 2182:24
2166:3 2176:14,20 2177:11 2180:3,4,5 rule 2233:24	2221:18,25 2222:3,3 2227:16 2235:3 scale 2163:25 scene 2148:19,23,23 2152:6 2175:5 scenes 2154:8	2199:23 2209:19 2224:6 2227:6,7,15 2227:16,18 2229:6,9 2229:21 2231:3 2232:17 2233:2,13,16	2140:5,8,15 2141:14 2142:3,11 2143:4,13 2143:24,25 2144:13 2144:18,19,23,24,25 2171:10 2175:13	2200:6 2220:13,15 2221:2,5 skills 2131:24 2133:8 2182:24 skin 2185:21,23,24
2166:3 2176:14,20 2177:11 2180:3,4,5 rule 2233:24 ruling 2154:4 2191:1	2221:18,25 2222:3,3 2227:16 2235:3 scale 2163:25 scene 2148:19,23,23 2152:6 2175:5 scenes 2154:8 schedule 2238:8	2199:23 2209:19 2224:6 2227:6,7,15 2227:16,18 2229:6,9 2229:21 2231:3 2232:17 2233:2,13,16 2233:18 2234:6,12	2140:5,8,15 2141:14 2142:3,11 2143:4,13 2143:24,25 2144:13 2144:18,19,23,24,25 2171:10 2175:13 2176:4 2180:25	2200:6 2220:13,15 2221:2,5 skills 2131:24 2133:8 2182:24 skin 2185:21,23,24 2186:8
2166:3 2176:14,20 2177:11 2180:3,4,5 rule 2233:24 ruling 2154:4 2191:1 2234:9	2221:18,25 2222:3,3 2227:16 2235:3 scale 2163:25 scene 2148:19,23,23 2152:6 2175:5 scenes 2154:8 schedule 2238:8 science 2166:13	2199:23 2209:19 2224:6 2227:6,7,15 2227:16,18 2229:6,9 2229:21 2231:3 2232:17 2233:2,13,16 2233:18 2234:6,12 Semenya's 2170:2	2140:5,8,15 2141:14 2142:3,11 2143:4,13 2143:24,25 2144:13 2144:18,19,23,24,25 2171:10 2175:13 2176:4 2180:25 2181:4,7 2186:5	2200:6 2220:13,15 2221:2,5 skills 2131:24 2133:8 2182:24 skin 2185:21,23,24 2186:8 slide 2147:4,8,23
2166:3 2176:14,20 2177:11 2180:3,4,5 rule 2233:24 ruling 2154:4 2191:1 2234:9 run 2227:19 2238:11	2221:18,25 2222:3,3 2227:16 2235:3 scale 2163:25 scene 2148:19,23,23 2152:6 2175:5 scenes 2154:8 schedule 2238:8 science 2166:13 scope 2162:10	2199:23 2209:19 2224:6 2227:6,7,15 2227:16,18 2229:6,9 2229:21 2231:3 2232:17 2233:2,13,16 2233:18 2234:6,12 Semenya's 2170:2 2230:13	2140:5,8,15 2141:14 2142:3,11 2143:4,13 2143:24,25 2144:13 2144:18,19,23,24,25 2171:10 2175:13 2176:4 2180:25 2181:4,7 2186:5 shotgun 2135:24	2200:6 2220:13,15 2221:2,5 skills 2131:24 2133:8 2182:24 skin 2185:21,23,24 2186:8 slide 2147:4,8,23 2148:1 2164:10,16
2166:3 2176:14,20 2177:11 2180:3,4,5 rule 2233:24 ruling 2154:4 2191:1 2234:9 run 2227:19 2238:11 R1 2148:15 2149:7,18	2221:18,25 2222:3,3 2227:16 2235:3 scale 2163:25 scene 2148:19,23,23 2152:6 2175:5 scenes 2154:8 schedule 2238:8 science 2166:13 scope 2162:10 score 2207:18	2199:23 2209:19 2224:6 2227:6,7,15 2227:16,18 2229:6,9 2229:21 2231:3 2232:17 2233:2,13,16 2233:18 2234:6,12 Semenya's 2170:2 2230:13 sense 2137:18	2140:5,8,15 2141:14 2142:3,11 2143:4,13 2143:24,25 2144:13 2144:18,19,23,24,25 2171:10 2175:13 2176:4 2180:25 2181:4,7 2186:5 shotgun 2135:24 2141:21 shotist 2173:22 shots 2180:9,13	2200:6 2220:13,15 2221:2,5 skills 2131:24 2133:8 2182:24 skin 2185:21,23,24 2186:8 slide 2147:4,8,23 2148:1 2164:10,16 2170:9,10,14 2233:6
2166:3 2176:14,20 2177:11 2180:3,4,5 rule 2233:24 ruling 2154:4 2191:1 2234:9 run 2227:19 2238:11 R1 2148:15 2149:7,18 2152:5,24 2154:19,22 2155:7 2156:1 2161:1 2163:16 2164:2	2221:18,25 2222:3,3 2227:16 2235:3 scale 2163:25 scene 2148:19,23,23 2152:6 2175:5 scenes 2154:8 schedule 2238:8 science 2166:13 scope 2162:10 score 2207:18 screen 2147:9,23	2199:23 2209:19 2224:6 2227:6,7,15 2227:16,18 2229:6,9 2229:21 2231:3 2232:17 2233:2,13,16 2233:18 2234:6,12 Semenya's 2170:2 2230:13 sense 2137:18 sensible 2212:14	2140:5,8,15 2141:14 2142:3,11 2143:4,13 2143:24,25 2144:13 2144:18,19,23,24,25 2171:10 2175:13 2176:4 2180:25 2181:4,7 2186:5 shotgun 2135:24 2141:21 shotist 2173:22	2200:6 2220:13,15 2221:2,5 skills 2131:24 2133:8 2182:24 skin 2185:21,23,24 2186:8 slide 2147:4,8,23 2148:1 2164:10,16 2170:9,10,14 2233:6 slides 2147:2 2170:15 slight 2228:11 slightly 2163:7
2166:3 2176:14,20 2177:11 2180:3,4,5 rule 2233:24 ruling 2154:4 2191:1 2234:9 run 2227:19 2238:11 R1 2148:15 2149:7,18 2152:5,24 2154:19,22 2155:7 2156:1 2161:1	2221:18,25 2222:3,3 2227:16 2235:3 scale 2163:25 scene 2148:19,23,23 2152:6 2175:5 scenes 2154:8 schedule 2238:8 science 2166:13 scope 2162:10 score 2207:18 screen 2147:9,23 2163:7 screens 2147:6 2183:19 seat 2169:23	2199:23 2209:19 2224:6 2227:6,7,15 2227:16,18 2229:6,9 2229:21 2231:3 2232:17 2233:2,13,16 2233:18 2234:6,12 Semenya's 2170:2 2230:13 sense 2137:18 sensible 2212:14 2233:24	2140:5,8,15 2141:14 2142:3,11 2143:4,13 2143:24,25 2144:13 2144:18,19,23,24,25 2171:10 2175:13 2176:4 2180:25 2181:4,7 2186:5 shotgun 2135:24 2141:21 shotist 2173:22 shots 2180:9,13 shouldn't 2206:6 2235:21	2200:6 2220:13,15 2221:2,5 skills 2131:24 2133:8 2182:24 skin 2185:21,23,24 2186:8 slide 2147:4,8,23 2148:1 2164:10,16 2170:9,10,14 2233:6 slides 2147:2 2170:15 slight 2228:11 slightly 2163:7 slowed 2166:21
2166:3 2176:14,20 2177:11 2180:3,4,5 rule 2233:24 ruling 2154:4 2191:1 2234:9 run 2227:19 2238:11 R1 2148:15 2149:7,18 2152:5,24 2154:19,22 2155:7 2156:1 2161:1 2163:16 2164:2	2221:18,25 2222:3,3 2227:16 2235:3 scale 2163:25 scene 2148:19,23,23 2152:6 2175:5 scenes 2154:8 schedule 2238:8 science 2166:13 scope 2162:10 score 2207:18 screen 2147:9,23 2163:7 screens 2147:6 2183:19	2199:23 2209:19 2224:6 2227:6,7,15 2227:16,18 2229:6,9 2229:21 2231:3 2232:17 2233:2,13,16 2233:18 2234:6,12 Semenya's 2170:2 2230:13 sense 2137:18 sensible 2212:14 2233:24 sensibly 2145:10	2140:5,8,15 2141:14 2142:3,11 2143:4,13 2143:24,25 2144:13 2144:18,19,23,24,25 2171:10 2175:13 2176:4 2180:25 2181:4,7 2186:5 shotgun 2135:24 2141:21 shotist 2173:22 shots 2180:9,13 shouldn't 2206:6	2200:6 2220:13,15 2221:2,5 skills 2131:24 2133:8 2182:24 skin 2185:21,23,24 2186:8 slide 2147:4,8,23 2148:1 2164:10,16 2170:9,10,14 2233:6 slides 2147:2 2170:15 slight 2228:11 slightly 2163:7

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page 1
slowly 2174:19	2173:6 2177:6 2194:6	2191:5 2195:9 2198:3	2213:15 2214:15	2166:18,19 2173:23
slows 2173:12	2200:24,25 2210:20	2191.5 2195.9 2198.5	2216:14 2217:3,19	2174:9
siows 2175.12 smaller 2166:24	2213:4,18 2216:13	2200:3 2203:3 2208:9	2222:6 2223:11,23	targets 2144:5 2151:12
smoothly 2169:25	2217:22 2221:13	2211:13 2212:7,7	2230:8 2235:24	2154:18
snapshot 2229:3,5	2222:17,20 2224:13	2220:25 2221:24	2236:8 2237:15	tariff 2223:22
sniper 2161:20 2162:19	2233:25 2234:1,5,11	2222:11,25 2223:10	surely 2149:23,24	task 2150:23 2182:25
2183:6	stand 2139:24 2171:25	2223:12	2204:9 2231:24	tasks 2151:19
snipers 2162:17 2233:5	2172:5 2185:10	subpoenaed 2187:23	surname 2221:5	Tatane 2165:24
sniping 2162:14	2195:24 2198:7	2192:2,9,23 2194:8	surprised 2204:7	tattooing 2140:2,11
solely 2230:25	2217:7,9 2227:17	2199:11 2202:15,20	surprising 2190:15	2142:18 2143:10,11
solicit 2138:15	standard 2153:4	2202:22 2203:10	survival 2143:24	2174:1,4 2185:19
solution 2211:24	standards 2229:19,20	2206:3 2208:17,19	survive 2144:18	tea 2158:14,17 2170:24
Somchem 2135:18	2229:23	2209:24 2210:6,8,9	suspected 2145:21	team 2191:20 2197:14
somebody 2131:12	standing 2213:7	2214:25 2215:17,19	Swartklip 2135:21,22	2198:14 2226:17
2176:22 2177:5	stands 2195:16,22	2216:2,3,4,11 2218:8	switch 2176:1,2	2236:9,9
2199:8,19	2229:10	2218:15 2219:9	2228:15	teargas 2130:14 2131:2
somewhat 2174:15	start 2136:8 2147:3	2220:2 2221:11	switched 2176:10	2164:17
soon 2225:5,15 2234:22	2148:4 2173:3	subpoenas 2189:5,13	switching 2175:23	Technicolor 2237:12
2238:16	2174:19 2194:24	2190:14,18,23 2191:2	2176:7	technicolour 2227:24
soot 2142:17 2185:25	2219:2 2226:11	2192:1,12 2193:18		2228:7
sorry 2130:3,3 2138:2	started 2187:18 2197:6	2194:12 2195:16	<u> </u>	telescope 2162:4,5,21
2149:15 2172:22	state 2182:5	2196:1,2,4,8,23	table 2217:7	telescopes 2162:7
2191:23 2196:11	stated 2137:21 2138:13	2197:3 2198:2,9	Takalane 2169:12	tell 2133:23 2134:1
2199:16 2200:15	2144:16 2171:9	2202:14 2203:15	2177:24 2178:13	2140:24 2141:15
2206:5 2207:17	2186:4	2207:21 2210:12	2236:14	2144:7 2168:5 2176:5
2214:23 2215:9	statement 2130:10	2211:8,11,25 2212:2	take 2130:9 2132:20	2179:4 2183:12
2220:8,12 2223:25	2152:4 2155:2 2205:7	2212:11,14 2213:11	2139:4 2142:20	2184:17 2185:13
2227:23,23 2228:3	2205:19 2226:12	2216:7,13 2220:12	2145:11 2150:18	2186:10 2188:3
2233:13 2235:11	2234:23 2235:1,4	2223:23	2154:17 2160:15	2191:7 2193:25
sort 2202:3	statements 2201:7,18	subsequent 2169:2	2162:20 2166:8	2194:17 2200:24
sorts 2204:1	2201:21 2202:2,9	subsequently 2199:21	2167:18 2169:1,6,6	2204:5,17,23 2209:19
sought 2183:13,15	2203:23,24 2204:3,7	2215:13,15	2171:20 2172:18	2215:23 2229:7
sounds 2168:25 2169:3	2204:12,13,18 2205:2	substantial 2208:24	2179:10 2180:8	telling 2199:14 2203:11
2224:2 2233:23 South 2132:15 2151:18	2205:14,17,25 2217:2	substantially 2139:21	2185:3 2187:20	2204:21 tolls 2215:22
	2217:6,8,14 2224:20 2224:23,25 2225:2,15	suddenly 2166:21 suffice 2170:23	2192:8 2193:2,5,16	tells 2215:23 Tembinkosi 2188:18
2153:3,3 2164:14	states 2136:24	suggest 2145:10 2149:6	2196:20 2204:21 2208:3 2211:9	Teminkosi 2188.18
2167:6 2168:14,20 2181:2 2182:1	stating 2210:6	2182:5,6,23 2184:8,9	2212:23 2216:22	ten 2167:20 2199:21
2186:21 2229:16	stay 2222:20	2182.3,0,23 2184.8,9	2225:22,24,25	tend 2142:9
2231:11,18,20,23	step 2208:6 2220:19	2197:8 2211:1	2226:18,21 2227:2,2	term 2176:2
2232:13,20	2222:20	2216:18 2219:23	2230:13,21 2227.2,2	terms 2157:22 2164:4
speak 2178:11 2190:4	STF 2233:7,8	suggested 2192:1	taken 2132:9 2134:8,11	2212:21 2233:3
2193:12	stick 2145:15	2193:11,15 2229:17	2158:17 2171:3,15	test 2132:1,2 2175:9
speakers 2185:2	stop 2176:16 2177:1	suggesting 2156:24	2179:2 2184:18	testified 2181:13
speaking 2183:11	2193:1	2222:16 2225:17	2189:12 2191:15	2217:13
special 2150:23	stopping 2214:2	2232:19	2201:8 2202:2	testify 2189:18
2182:24,25	story 2139:23 2140:10	suggestion 2165:12	2203:25 2205:2,4	testifying 2198:3,5
specialist 2132:6	2140:12 2166:9	2197:15 2215:22	2210:2 2215:7	testing 2158:9
specific 2131:23,24	2190:20	2216:22 2218:7	2217:12 2222:24	tests 2160:17 2175:5,15
2133:7,24 2134:1	strikes 2166:19	suggests 2182:20	2235:20	thank 2130:8 2137:9
2136:14 2137:3,5,6	2173:13	suit 2137:4 2225:21	takes 2132:6 2154:16	2140:18 2147:25
2141:4 2143:11,12	Strip 2154:6	superior 2156:4	tale 2166:13	2155:18 2158:19,22
2176:1 2183:5 2186:7	struck 2174:8,9	2157:12	talk 2131:17 2140:20	2159:5,7 2160:2
specifically 2178:15	2176:23 2177:8,14	supply 2190:7	2152:16 2164:10	2164:16 2165:16
2200:18	studied 2145:16	support 2140:12	2195:17	2168:9 2169:8 2170:4
specificity 2133:6	studies 2156:20	sure 2130:14,18	talking 2150:8 2156:9	2171:13 2173:5
specified 2213:10	stun 2131:2 2164:17	2145:25 2146:13	2157:23 2160:21	2177:15 2179:25
spent 2209:1	style 2156:14	2153:23 2165:24	2161:20 2197:17	2183:8 2185:6
spin 2174:19	subject 2166:8 2217:14	2167:15 2169:22	2204:2 2227:8	2186:14 2187:3,5,9
spoke 2196:17 2224:11	2224:20 2226:4	2171:11 2177:23	talks 2145:16 2148:5	2188:14,24 2198:19
square 2162:25	2235:21	2189:9 2192:13	tangible 2193:15	2198:24 2207:15
2163:10,10	subjected 2192:16	2194:2,10 2200:6,9	target 2137:13 2138:6	2211:23 2214:5
SSG 2180:15,20	submissions 2198:18	2205:1,6,12,21,23	2142:3,21 2144:9,10	2219:6 2220:11
stable 2173:18	submit 2157:4	2207:25 2208:15	2144:22 2145:17	2223:8,15,18 2224:4
staff 2215:14	submitted 2235:14	2209:19 2210:9	2146:4,5 2149:14,20	2224:4,17 2227:4,4
stage 2136:16 2166:22	subpoena 2189:2	2211:1 2212:9	2162:20 2166:11,17	2230:4 2237:2,3,17
ARCHIVE FOR JUSTIC	5	1	1	I

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

				Page 12
2237:21 2238:10	2213:7 2216:4	training 2131:10,16,21	2165:10 2172:24	2142:8,10,13,23
that's 2143:6 2145:10	2217:22 2220:1	2131:21,25 2132:4,16	2178:1 2183:25	2143:8 2146:8,11
2146:4,6 2148:24	2227:5 2229:12	2132:16,18 2133:7	2184:22 2187:11	2166:20 2173:7
2150:6 2151:22	2230:1 2235:9	2135:2,2 2138:18	2190:8 2191:25	2174:19 2176:19
2153:23 2155:7,22	2230:1 2233.9	2141:23 2162:6,12,14	2192:2,20 2201:21,23	velocity/low 2141:12
2155:25 2155:7,22 2156:5	thinking 2139:14	2167:6,13,19 2168:11	2206:7,8 2209:16,18	verb 2195:25 2196:9
		2168:19,24	2210:13 2211:7,15,24	verified 2140:10
2169:7 2173:8,17	2203:18 2204:18	·		
2174:6 2177:6,7	thinks 2199:25	transact 2237:18	2212:21 2215:9	verify 2204:24
2178:13 2185:14	third 2163:20,21	2238:15	2216:15 2217:18	versed 2132:25
2189:25 2190:14	Thokotokwana	transcript 2235:6,14	2218:5,6 2222:8	version 2138:10 2140:4
2192:7 2199:7,25	2188:18 2220:7	2236:2	2227:12 2228:13	2153:15 2235:12,15
2202:17 2203:9,9	thought 2165:15	transcripts 2236:11	2231:3,6	2235:15,16 2236:1,1
2205:15,23 2206:14	2204:11 2213:19	treated 2210:3	understanding 2140:16	2236:2
2207:16,23 2209:8	2215:12	trial 2192:15	2165:12 2189:21	versions 2235:13
2210:4,13,23 2211:2	threat 2182:22 2190:19	trials 2215:3	2190:7 2197:21	2236:8,10
2211:20 2213:7	three 2132:7 2133:10	trigger 2161:11	2206:2 2230:12	veto 2209:14
2214:14,15 2216:15	2212:25	trouble 2212:13	understands 2155:16	victim 2226:18
2219:3,25 2223:13	threshold 2177:3	TRT 2183:1	understood 2146:13	victims 2145:21
2226:12 2227:10	throw 2156:14	truth 2192:21	2157:11 2178:22	video 2167:5 2228:3,3
2229:4 2235:17	thrust 2148:25	try 2149:23 2159:24	2219:16	2228:4
theoretically 2158:2	thumb 2176:11	2193:22	undertaken 2234:21	videos 2228:2
2160:13	tie 2141:25,25	trying 2171:17 2172:25	unequivocal 2152:4	view 2137:25 2138:4
theory 2132:1	till 2187:19 2192:17	2179:4	unfinished 2237:24	2208:9 2213:1
thereabout 2237:25	2193:3,5 2237:19	Tube 2167:21	unfired 2137:24	viewed 2183:19
thereon 2180:15	time 2134:14 2169:3	tumble 2173:12	unfortunately 2130:21	Villiers 2209:24
thereto 2234:14	2171:20 2175:13,20	tumbles 2173:10,12	2131:9 2133:5,25	virtually 2178:14
				-
there'll 2212:12	2179:23 2183:16	turn 2170:2,8	2147:6 2148:22	visible 2171:11
there's 2161:25	2187:1 2190:15	turned 2167:24	2159:19 2167:10,13	visited 2134:21
2163:25 2164:25	2191:18 2196:24	2169:15,18	2171:2,5 2172:2	vital 2139:3 2144:17
2166:9,16,18 2169:23	2200:6 2211:14,17	turns 2190:12 2205:19	2175:9,12 2177:22	vivendi 2192:19
2175:9 2177:10	2212:12 2213:23	twice 2171:10	2178:19 2179:15	voluntarily 2217:23
2212:10 2221:2	2214:10 2232:15	two 2132:21 2134:11	2232:1 2234:19	Vusi 2188:15 2220:6
2225:3,9,11,21,24	2234:9 2235:23	2147:2 2166:16	2236:15	V-E-K-T-O-R 2152:21
2226:7 2235:15	2238:8	2171:12 2186:1	unhappiness 2184:20	
2237:4,8	times 2166:25 2177:9	2190:8,8 2191:14	unit 2182:21	W
they'd 2216:11	2189:15	2194:6,9 2197:21,22	units 2182:24 2186:24	waiting 2237:5
they'll 2172:7 2209:12	tissue 2140:21 2141:3	2199:7 2201:7,9,12	University 2132:15	want 2130:9,13
2236:9	2166:24	2201:14 2202:18	unseemly 2199:2	2141:25,25 2143:22
they're 2163:5 2171:11	tissues 2146:1	2205:6 2212:24	unstable 2173:10	2146:7,12 2147:9
2189:17 2191:10	today 2197:18,19,19	2213:12,14 2215:7,16	2174:10,16,18	2154:4 2159:1
2192:12 2202:6	2223:11,14 2224:3,19	2215:23,25 2216:24	unstableness 2173:13	2160:25 2170:14,17
2204:14 2205:10	2225:1,9 2228:6	2216:25 2217:12,12	untrue 2194:25	2188:5 2190:22
2206:23 2210:1,2	2232:18 2238:15	2218:12,13 2219:4	upfront 2198:13	2198:8 2199:2,23
2211:9 2212:4 2216:3	Tokota 2228:10	2228:8 2235:13	urge 2198:10	2206:22 2207:22
2218:8	told 2136:2 2147:12	2236:8,10,11	urging 2222:10	2208:18 2209:11
they've 2192:8 2205:3	2151:7 2159:5 2199:4	type 2134:3 2161:23	usage 2164:20 2168:12	2230:13 2232:10
2220:2	2209:7 2217:4	2180:12,22 2182:21	2168:14	2233:8 2234:16
thing 2146:14 2162:2	2218:22 2222:4,24	2186:10	use 2136:25 2137:7	wanted 2145:17 2168:2
2167:20,21 2190:15	2218.22 2222.4,24	types 2131:2 2167:7	2142:19,19 2143:7	2218:13,23
2107.20,21 2190.13	tomorrow 2209:23	typical 2161:20	2142.19,19 2143.7 2153:19 2154:19	wanting 2150:18
2230:21 2232:16	2225:10,15,19 2236:6	typical 2101.20 typically 2135:10	2157:3 2162:6,9,21	wants 2130:25 2167:24
2230.21 2232.10 2233:24	2223.10,13,19 2230.0	2136:3 2148:6	2163:16 2164:6	
				2172:16,25 2188:5,22
things 2131:12 2156:10	top 2170:24 2215:6	2163:16 2168:13	2167:6,19 2168:21	2199:6 2230:7,8,10
2160:3 2169:25	topic 2139:10 2175:22	U	2182:4 2183:13	war 2153:9,11 2182:5
2189:16 2204:12	topical 2154:5		2195:25 2199:7	warn 2170:19 2222:12
2229:13	total 2164:10,21,22	Umlandeni 2188:18	2231:12 2238:5	2222:21
think 2130:10,24	totally 2194:25	2219:21 2220:8	usual 2191:15	warned 2216:19
2147:1,18 2149:5	touching 2186:7,8	unburnt 2143:9 2174:1	usually 2148:8,11	warning 2170:15
2150:2 2152:11	trace 2177:25	uncalled 2208:9	.	2184:5,8,9
2155:23 2157:10	track 2143:8	underneath 2231:17	V	warnings 2148:17
2162:3 2166:14	tracks 2176:16 2177:1	understand 2133:22	variety 2136:13	2170:16
2168:2 2177:2	tract 2142:14	2134:7 2143:19,20	various 2167:7 2195:1	warrant 2130:3,4,6
2179:19 2183:5,5	tracts 2141:20	2144:2 2146:18,20	vast 2204:6 2205:17	2152:15 2154:11
2188:3 2194:10	trained 2131:5 2133:25	2151:15 2152:2	Vektor 2152:20	2155:5,19 2158:25
2200:1 2205:1,9	2135:12 2141:1,2	2155:11,19 2156:24	velocity 2141:7,7,12,13	2170:8,23 2173:5
2208:4 2211:18	2162:6,9 2167:12	2158:14 2159:23	2141:16,18,19,22,22	2175:4 2177:16
A CHITE FOR JUSTIC				

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

				Daga 1
				Page 1
2181:6 2183:8 2185:7	2229:19,20,23 2238:8	2231:17,21 2234:4	2171:23 2177:17,18	200 2142:11,24 2143:2
2185:17 2186:3,9,19	2238:14	work 2132:2 2134:16	2186:3 2209:19	2144:8
2187:5 2199:24	what's 2148:17	2193:12 2222:7	2212:15 2217:21	2011 2237:9
2226:12	2220:22 2232:25	2223:4	2224:2,3 2226:19	2012 2130:1 2190:13
wasn't 2179:1 2192:10	whereabouts 2219:14	worked 2192:20	2227:24 2230:2	2237:8
2205:20 2214:14	whilst 2147:4 2166:7	2224:24	2234:2	211 2147:4 2148:1
2220:18	white 2227:25 2228:5	working 2133:4	0	22 2135:23
waste 2169:3 2179:22	2228:15,16 2238:12	2159:20 2166:25		24 2175:17 257 21 (4:10
2211:14,16,20 2235:22	who've 2202:22	2189:3 2190:11	0018 2234:19	257 2164:10 26 2130:1
wasted 2212:13	wielded 2155:2 wielding 2156:21	workplace 2131:24 Works 2135:10,14	0900 2229:3	268 2164:10,22
waster 2212.13 water 2210:4 2228:1,2	willing 2207:5 2216:11	world 2159:17	1	203 2104.10,22 2735 2228:20
2228:2,3	wish 2153:25 2170:20	worry 2218:5	1 2136:17 2148:19,23	2736 2228:22
way 2130:17 2132:25	2171:21 2184:22	wouldn't 2143:2	2160:21 2180:24	2739 2228:23
2133:1 2137:4	2192:3,24,24 2194:22	2154:20 2169:21	2195:3	
2138:16 2142:19	2201:24 2216:16	2206:25 2208:4	1,2 2181:11	3
2144:12 2153:24	2222:20	would've 2133:24	10 2158:4,5,7,15	3 2160:21 2180:24
2156:15 2173:14	wishes 2169:10	wound 2141:6,20	2159:11 2160:9	300 2142:24 2144:8,24
2174:8 2177:11	2186:16	2142:3,9,14 2143:8	2190:13	2199:8 2200:9
2190:1 2198:8 2210:3	withdraw 2190:23	2146:24 2166:16	10:04 2130:2	308 2164:1
2218:1 2225:4	2193:18	2170:25 2171:1,4	10:24 2138:8	34 2164:21 2200:12
2227:17	withdrawing 2212:7	2172:4 2173:16,17,21	10:44 2146:8	35 2160:15
ways 2166:12	2216:13	2173:25 2174:4,16	100 2142:11 2145:7	
wayside 2207:20	withdrawn 2193:20,22	2178:20 2179:18	100% 2167:15 2171:5	4
weapon 2139:18	2193:23 2194:13,15	2185:7,11,12,14,14	11.13 2229:5	4 2160:21 2180:24
2154:7,8 2157:2,12	2211:11,25 2212:3,15	2185:16,25 2186:2,11	11:04 2154:3	
2181:25 2231:12,20	2216:8 2237:9	2236:13	11:44 2158:24	5
weaponry 2153:7	withdrew 2215:15	wounding 2140:21	12 2180:25	5 2180:24 2181:4
weapons 2136:16	witness 2137:21	2141:4,22,24 2142:5	12:04 2167:10	5.56mm 2148:10
2149:12,19 2151:6	2145:15 2147:4	2142:23	12:24 2178:18	2152:16 2153:14
2152:7 2153:4,8,9,11	2155:15 2158:15	wounds 2141:5	12:42 2183:24	54 2170:9,10,10
2156:12 2168:21	2168:10 2169:4,6,13	2143:19 2171:12	1200 2181:12	556 2164:13 2181:8
2183:2	2170:17 2171:24	wrestling 2154:22	13th 2171:9	556x45mm 2163:19
Wednesday 2201:5,15	2172:4,17,24 2178:20	written 2132:1 2201:13	13:34 2193:10	59 2159:6
2201:16,19 2202:11	2179:17 2187:10	2232:3,4,6	13:54 2204:22	
2213:1 2215:25	2192:2 2209:15,20,21	wrong 2144:7 2162:17	14:14 2217:11	6
2216:1,20 2222:22	2217:7,7 2223:10,11	2176:11 2195:25	14:34 2228:18	6 2227:19
2223:1,4,17	2223:13 2224:10	2196:9 2221:4	15 2172:13,19	60 2158:14
week 2140:3 2145:20 2146:13 2149:7	2232:18,19 2234:23 witnesses 2138:13	X	15th 2235:11,12	600 2157:24 2158:5,6 2158:14 2150:8
2140.13 2149.7 2157:23 2160:4	2169:2 2187:23,23	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ 2209:20	156 2228:24 16th 2143:18 2148:21	2158:14 2159:8 2160:9
2175:17 2224:23	2189:21 2190:8,16,24	A 2209.20	2150:4 2151:23	2100.9
weekend 2150:11,20	2192:5 2194:5	Y	2172:13 2190:12	7
2190:11 2195:18,19	2197:14,21,23,25	year 2132:21 2167:21	2195:1 2196:5,21	7 2180:24,24
Weekly 2152:11	2197:14,21,23,25	2175:18	2213:18 2215:11	7.62 2148:13
well-trained 2162:19	2200:16,20 2201:10	years 2132:7,9 2133:10	2213:10 2213:11	7.62mm 2152:22,23
went 2156:10	2200:10,20 2201:10	2196:6 2199:21	2235:9,10	700 2157:24 2158:5
weren't 2150:70	2211:4 2212:16,25,25	you'd 2154:10 2204:9	19th 2196:3 2197:2,3	762x51 2164:1,14
2187:15 2189:22	2213:12,16 2215:19	2209:19		
2207:9 2216:11	2215:24 2216:10,18	you'll 2143:16 2144:7	2	8
2229:18	2216:24 2217:1,4,11	2192:20 2205:20	2 2148:23 2160:21	8 2163:10,11,12
Wesley 2179:4,9,12	2217:19 2223:9,22	2209:17 2212:18	2175:1 2181:9	80 2160:10
2183:10,14,22 2184:2	2224:8,21	2232:12	2.1.11 2136:17	
Wesley's 2178:11	wives 2166:13	you're 2130:5 2133:19	2.1.12 2137:9	9
West 2208:22 2215:15	wonder 2169:21	2151:16 2162:3	2.1.8 2131:17	9 2163:10 2180:25
we'll 2136:15 2155:22	won't 2134:1 2145:19	2171:17 2172:8	2.18 2132:24 2133:20	2181:10
2172:4 2179:24	2153:9 2169:1 2170:5	2177:12 2187:8,9	2.5 2140:20	9mm 2148:5,7 2164:13
2193:2 2194:20	2218:5 2227:16	2196:14 2203:18	2.6 2134:20	2174:14
2198:17 2225:15	2236:21	2204:10 2205:9,23	2.6.1 2135:9	9th 2233:22
we're 2139:10 2156:2	word 2238:6	2211:12,19 2218:4	2.6.2 2135:16	9.30 2225:18 2238:15
2200:13 2225:12	words 2135:9 2150:12	2220:1 2221:23	2.6.3 2135:21	
2227:14 2228:10	2150:15 2161:10	2223:2,10 2224:14	20 2142:23 2143:2	
we've 2136:19 2158:17	2163:5 2195:7,10,12	2225:8,17	2144:13,24 2145:6	
2178:9 2190:11	2202:14 2213:3	you've 2130:11	2167:16,20 2237:9	
2209:24 2210:20	2216:3 2217:23	2131:16,20 2132:16	20mm 2233:20	
2211:7,25 2221:4	2218:14 2219:5	2140:14 2165:13	20th 2237:7	
			l de la constante de	

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions