



**C.T.L.D.C**  
Commission on Traditional  
Leadership Disputes and Claims

## QUARTERLY REPORTS OF THE COMMISSION ON TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP DISPUTES AND CLAIMS

\* **1<sup>st</sup> Quarter**

01 April 2011 to 30 June 2011

\* **2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter**

01 July 2011 to 30 September 2011

\* **3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter**

01 October 2011 to 31 December 2011

\* **4<sup>th</sup> Quarter**

01 January 2012 to 31 March 2012

---

Members of the Commission: Mr BJ Tolo (Chairperson); Dr NO Mndende (Deputy Chairperson); Ms RT Kgatla;  
Mr MJ Mafereka; Mr SD Sikhakhane; Mr Abram Sithole (CEO)



## DEPARTMENT OF TRADITIONAL AFFAIRS

Office of the Director-General

---



## QUARTER 1 REPORT

## COMMISSION ON TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP DISPUTES AND CLAIMS

---

---



## traditional affairs

Department:  
Traditional Affairs  
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

### MEMORANDUM

*Reference No.: 19/3R  
Enquiries: Prof MC Nwala  
Extension: 5824  
Office/Room No.: 334*

#### ACTING MINISTER

#### 1<sup>st</sup> QUARTER PERFORMANCE REPORT OF THE COMMISSION ON TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP DISPUTES AND CLAIMS

##### 1. PURPOSE

To present quarterly performance report of the Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes and Claims (CTLDC) for the period 01 April 2011 to 30 June 2011.

##### 2. BACKGROUND

The Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes and Claims was established in terms of section 22 of the Traditional Leadership and Governance Framework Act, 2003 (Act 41 of 2003) for a period of five years. Upon assumption of duty in January 2011, the commissioners signed a performance contract with the Minister of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs.

##### 3. DISCUSSION

3.1. The commission is required to report to the Minister on a quarterly basis and as and when required by the Executive Authority, hence the submission of this report to the Acting

Minister in compliance with the requirement. This report is the first quarterly report of CTLDC for the 2011-2012 financial year and it covers the period 01 April to 30 June 2011.

- 3.2. The report includes achievements during the quarter under review, progress report on the establishment of provincial CTDLDC committees and report on litigations.

#### 4. RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Acting Minister takes note of the CTLDC 1<sup>st</sup> quarter performance report; and provides guidance and direction where the Acting Minister considers necessary.

  
MR B.J. TOFOLE  
CHAIRPERSON: COMMISSION ON TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP  
DISPUTES AND CLAIMS

DATE: 13/07/2011

  
PROF M.C. NWAILA  
DIRECTOR-GENERAL: DEPARTMENT OF TRADITIONAL AFFAIRS

DATE: 14/07/11

  
MR EN MTHETHWA, MP  
ACTING MINISTER: COOPERATIVE GOVERNANCE AND  
TRADITIONAL AFFAIRS

DATE: 21/07/2011



**QUARTERLY (APRIL TO JUNE 2011) REPORT OF THE COMMISSION ON TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP DISPUTES AND CLAIMS**

**1. Background**

The report is in terms of section 24B (2) of the Traditional Leadership and Governance Framework Act, 2003 (Act 41 of 2003) (The Act). The report covers the period from 01 April to 30 June 2011 as the first quarter of the 2011-12 financial year.

The Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes and Claims was established in terms of section 22 of the Traditional Leadership and Governance Framework Act, 2003 (Act 41 of 2003) for a period of five years. The Commission operates nationally in plenary and provincially in Committees for a period of five years starting from 01 January 2010.

**2. Mandate**

The mandate of the Commission is derived from section 25 of the Act which stipulates amongst others that the Commission has authority to investigate:

- as to whether a kingship, principal traditional leadership, senior traditional leadership or headmanship was established in terms of custom and customary law.
- and consider all claims and disputes starting from 01 September 1927 to the date in which the relevant provincial legislation on traditional governance came into effect.
- All gender related disputes relating to traditional leadership positions arising after 27 April 1994.
- Dispute on boundaries of traditional councils and should consult with the Municipal Demarcation Board before finalization of such.
- Delegate claims and disputes to provincial committees except those of kingship/queenship;
- The Commission will only attend to disputes and Claims that were lodged with the previous Commission up to the end of August 2010.

**3. Performance of the Commission**

The following has been achieved during the quarter under review:

**3.1 Public Hearings**

The Commission is required by section 4 of Act 8 of 1947 to hear evidence in public. In compliance with this section, the Commission arranged the following public hearings:

➤ **Western Cape in Guga S'thebe in KwaLanga Township on 11-14 April 2011**

24 out of 26 claimants presented their claim to the Commission during the public hearing of Western Cape. Amongst the claimants three were applying to be recognised as Kings and the rest as Senior Traditional Leaders. The Commission is busy with the reports of all the claimants from Western Cape.

➤ **Gauteng: 87 Hamilton street S Floor Boardroom in Pretoria on 23 May 2011**

Gauteng had two claimants and two disputes. The claimants presented their claims before the Commission; however, the two Acting Senior Traditional Leaders opposed the Commission from continuing to listen to those who are disputing them. Their opposition was through a letter from their Attorney who threatened a court order should the Commission continue. The Commission postponed the hearing of the two (Mahiangu and Kekana) until they have verified the allegation and engage the Gauteng government. The Commission indicated that the matter is postponed and will be addressed in a fair and just manner.

➤ **Eastern Cape: Sisonke Community Centre in Zwide Township; Port Elizabeth : on 30-31 May 2011**

08 out of 09 claimants presented their claims before the Commission. They were all claiming for a new Senior Traditional Leadership position except for one who lodged his claim for a senior traditional leadership but was discovered during engagement that he was basically disputing the current Senior Traditional Leader in Peddie.

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

➤ **Free State: Old Legislature Building in Qwaqwa on 20-23 June 2011**

The Qwaqwa hearings were divided into three. The first day was dedicated to Bakhoiokoe and Bafokeng who were claiming Kingship. There were other five claimants for the same position. The Commission took a drive in the Bakhokolokoe area to understand the basis of the claim..

The second day was reserved for Bakwena (Mopeli). Some were claiming a new Kingship that is separate from the current deemed King. Some were claiming senior Traditional Leadership.

The last day was dedicated to Batlokoa. Eight claimants appeared before the Commission. Amongst the Batlokoa, there were those who were claiming a new Kingship separate from the existing deemed King of Batlokoa. Amongst those who presented was the request by one Morena Sekonyela who requested to be traditionally/customarily recognised by the deemed King. The Commission advised the representatives of King Mota to convey a message to the King to resolve the concerns raised by Sekonyela.

➤ **Mpumalanga: KwaMhlanga on 29 June 2011**

The Commission invited the three claimants from Sikhosana clan who were all initially claiming for the Kingship of Musi. During the hearing, it was evident that they were all claiming for the Kingship of all AmaNdebele, which this Kingship was finalized and announced by the President.

For all the above public hearings, the Commission is preparing reports to be forwarded to the relevant authority (President, Minister and Premier) during the next quarter. The reports will be in terms of section 26 of the Act which requires the Commission to submit a report to the President, Minister and or the Premier as the case may be. The relevant authority to whom the report has been referred to must meet the provisions of the above section of the Act.



3.2 Provincial Committee

STATUS OF PROVINCIAL COMMITTEES

Province	Number of Committee members	Progress on appointment of Committee members	Progress on appointment of Support staff members	Office Allocation	Number of Claims /disputes	ICT Equipments to be donated by DTA
Eastern Cape	3	Interviews conducted, consultation with the Minister and the Commission is yet to be made	Staff members appointed, some started on 01/06 and the rest will start on 01/07 2011	Offices identified in King Williams Town. They are being renovated so as to be ready for occupation before the end of July 2011.	183	6 Laptops 5 Desktops 3 Printers Above are to be delivered on 04 July 2011.
KwaZulu-Natal	2	Two names submitted to the Premier only one approved, the Premier is still considering the second name.	No written progress has been recorded in this regard, verbal information is that only approval to recruit has been obtained	Office space has been allocated in the Durban city centre	157	5 Laptops 5 Desktops 3 Printers
Mpumalanga	3	Two advertisements were made but no suitable candidates found. A decision to head hunt was taken. The process has not been finalized as yet.	Recruitment of staff members is in progress but to appointment has been finalized. Some staff members will be seconded to the committee	Office space was identified in the city centre. The process of acquiring such is under way	168	6 Laptops 5 Desktops 3 Printers



North West	2	Advertisement for the Committee members was made in May 2011. Selection process will take place	No progress has been recorded in this regard	No progress has been recorded in this regard	85	4 Laptops 5 Desktops 3 Printers
Limpopo	3	No progress has been recorded	No progress	No progress	551	7 Laptops 6 Desktops 3 Printers

**NB: The above allocation of equipments is not aimed at covering all the needs of the Committees but should be viewed as a token of support from DTA in order to get the committees off the ground. The Provincial departments are expected to provide whatever else support that is not provided for in this list.**

- The Commission has not received a letter of consultation or any communication from any Premier as required by section 26A (2)(a) of the Traditional Leadership and Governance Framework Act, 2003 (Act NO 41 of 2003)
- The remaining four Provinces (WC, GP, NC & FS) have total claims/disputes of 83 and no provincial committees are required, their claims will be dealt with by the Commission.
- The Laptops are for the CEO, Researchers and Committee members. Desktops are for administrative support staff incl the PA to the Chairperson who will also get a printer.



- The Commission is not satisfied with the snail pace approach adopted or portraited by provinces in establishing the provincial committees. The Act came into operation on 25 January 2010 and the Commission was appointed on 01 January 2011. Since the coming into operation of the Act, the Department engaged provinces on the establishment of the provincial committees. The challenge in this regard is that six months after the Commission was established, not single provinces out of the five that are expected to have committees have established a provincial committee.
- The impact of non-compliance with section 26A of establishment of provincial committee has a direct negative bearing on the operations of the Commission. The successful implementation of the strategic and operational plans of the commission is hindered by the lack of establishment of the provincial committees.
- In all the above challenges regarding provincial committees, the lack of enough support to the Committees and the Commission regarding human resources especially researchers and secretaries/personal assistants to the members of the commission in their capacities as chairpersons of provinces is as well a sore point in the Commission realizing its objectives.
- The last challenge worth mentioning is the employment of foreign nationals who are not familiar with the provincial language yet expected to render research on ubukhosi. The said appointment was done irrespective of the province being warned that any appointment of someone who will have a difficulty in expressing him/herself in the language of the province will render the character of both the committee and the Commission questionable.

3.3 Litigation

REPORT ON LITIGATION CASES RELATING TO THE COMMISSION ON TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP DISPUTES AND CLAIMS

KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY/COUNSEL(S)
1.Vhavenda Kingship	<p>Nephawe Netshidziwele XXXIII</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mr Nephawe brought an application in the Venda High Court.</li> <li>In his application Mr Nephawe, amongst others, is seeking the following orders:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>interdict the President of the Republic of South Africa from issuing a certificate of recognition as a King to Mr Toni Mphephu Ramabula pending the finalization of the review application against the decision of the commission.</li> <li>the review of the Commission's decision.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The interdict application was heard in the Venda High Court on 21 October 2010.</li> <li>The President, the Minister of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs and the Commission did not oppose the interdict application.</li> <li>The interim relief was granted in favour of Mr Nephawe. The review application was set down for 22 March 2011. However, on the 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2011 there was an application for joinder of a Second Applicant. As a result of the joinder, the matter was postponed to 13 - 15 June 2011 for hearing.</li> <li>On the 13<sup>th</sup> June</li> </ul>	<p>Bhadrish Daya Attorneys Adv N. Arendse SC</p>

KINSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY / COUNSEL(S)
		<p>2011 Bhadrish Daya Attorney placed themselves on record on behalf of the Respondents. The following order was made:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Respondents are to re-file records on or before the 18<sup>th</sup> July 2011.</li> <li>• Applicants are to file their amended notice of motion and supplementary affidavit on or before 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2011.</li> <li>• 2<sup>nd</sup> Resp to file its reasons for its decisions, if any, on or before 31<sup>st</sup> August.</li> <li>• Respondents are to file their Answering Affidavits, if any, on or before 22<sup>nd</sup> Sept</li> </ul>	

KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY/COUNSEL(S)
		<p>2011.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicants are to file their Replied Affidavits, if any, on or before 17 Oct 2011.</li> <li>Applicants are to file their Heads of Argument on or before 7 Nov 2011.</li> <li>Respondents are to file their Heads of Argument, if any, on or before 21 Nov 2011.</li> <li>the review application is provisionally set down for hearing on 28 November 2011 to 2 December 2011.</li> </ul>	
2. Bapedi Marota Mnamone	<p>Mampuru Maphuru</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mr Maphuru brought an application in the High Court in</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Commission is defending this matter.</li> <li>The matter was set down</li> </ul>	Bhadrish Daya Attorneys

KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY / COUNSEL(S)
	<p>2008.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mr Maphuru, amongst others, is seeking the following orders:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>for the Court to review and set aside the decision of Commission (the Commission finds that the lineage of Kingship of Bapedi rest under Sekhukhune house).</li> <li>for the Court to declare that the Kingdom of Bapedi resorts under the lineage of Bapedi Marota Mamone Royal House.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>for hearing 29 November 2010 and was postponed <i>sine die</i> (without a date).</li> <li>However, the Applicants Attorneys submitted a letter to the Deputy Judge President to request an early date for set down.</li> <li>The matter was set down for 30 May 2011 for hearing but it did not proceed. It was hereafter set down for the 25<sup>th</sup> to 26<sup>th</sup> August 2011 on an opposed roll.</li> </ul>	
<p>3. AmaMpondo of Qawukeni</p>	<p>Mr Justice Mpondombini Sigcau</p> <p>Mr Justice Mpondombini Sigcau brought an application in the High Court.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mr Sigcau, amongst others, is seeking the following orders:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>for the Court to review and set aside the decision of the Commission.</li> <li>for the Court to interdict the Minister of COGTA from issuing a certificate of</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The matter was in Court on 8 November 2010.</li> <li>The applicant got an interim relief.</li> <li>In terms of the Court order the President should not remove the applicant as a King; Minister of COGTA will not hand over a certificate of recognition</li> </ul>	<p>Bhadrish Daya Attorneys Adv N. Arendse SC Adv T. Lupuwana JC</p>

KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY / COUNSEL(S)
	<p>recognition as a King to Mr Zanzuko Tyelovuyo Sigcau.</p> <p>(ii) for the Court to direct the President not to recognize Zanzuko Tyelovuyo Sigcau as a King of aMampondo.</p> <p>(iii) for the Court to direct the Minister of COGTA to continue paying the salary of the applicant pending the review application.</p>	<p>to Zanzuko Tyelovuyo Sigcau and will continue to pay the salary of applicant until the finalization of the review application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The record of proceedings has been filed.</li> <li>The legal team for the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Respondents consulted with witnesses which includes the Commissioners and the 4<sup>th</sup> Respondent on 24-25 May 2011 in order to prepare our answering affidavit which has since been filed on the 14<sup>th</sup> June 2011.</li> </ul>	
<p>4. Ndzundza Mabhoko (AmaNdebele)</p>	<p>Mr Johannes Mahlangu</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mr Johannes Mahlangu and Ndzundza Mabhoko Royal Family brought and urgent application in the High Court.</li> <li>The applicants amongst others were seeking for the following orders:</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicants in their papers alleged that the President should not proceed with the coronation of King Mbusi Mahlangu until charges of misconduct levelled against him have been investigated and finalised.</li> </ul>	<p>Bhardish Daya Attorneys Adv N Arendse SC Adv S Masimene JC</p>

KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY / COUNSEL(S)
	<p>(i) interdicting the President/the Minister of COGTA from issuing a certificate of recognition as a King to Mr Mbusi Mabhoko III</p> <p>(ii) Interdict the President / the Minister of COGTA from coronation of Mr Mbusi Mabhoko as a King of Ndzudza Mabhoko Traditional Community pending the finalization of the charges of misconduct leveled against Mr Mbusi Mabhoko III by the Royal Family and the controversy surrounding his legitimacy.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On 17 December 2010 the judgment was delivered where a <i>rule nisi</i> was discharged.</li> <li>Applicants filed an application for leave to appeal against an order of Honourable Ms Phakathi AJ delivered by Honourable Mr Justice Hiemstra on 17<sup>th</sup> December 2010. The outcome thereof is still awaited.</li> </ul>	
<p>5. Mapisane Eric Nxumalo (Shangaan)</p>	<p>The applicant in this matter amongst others is seeking for the following:</p> <p>(i) the court to review and set aside the decision by the President not to recognized Shangaan Kingship; and</p> <p>(ii) the Court to review and set aside the decision by the Commission not to recognized Shangaan Kingship.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Minister is opposing the application. The record of proceedings has been filed.</li> <li>The Applicant's answering affidavit was filed on 17 June 2011. However they are also preparing an expert affidavit for filing. We will file our answering affidavit upon receipt of the expert affidavit from Applicant.</li> </ul>	<p>Bhardish Daya Attorneys Adv N Arendse SC</p>
<p>6. King Maxhobayakhaw'i</p>	<p>The applicant in this matter brought an application in the North Gauteng</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Minister is opposing the application.</li> </ul>	<p>Bhardish Daya Attorneys Adv N Arendse SC</p>



KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY / COUNSEL(S)
<p>eza Bangilizwe Sandile (amaRharhabe)</p>	<p>High Court (Pretoria). Amongst others he seeks an order on the following:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• that the decision of the Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes and Claims that the amaRharhabe paramountcy is not a kingship be reviewed and set aside; and</li> <li>• that the decision of the President not to recognize the paramountcy of the amaRharhabe as a paramountcy in terms of the Framework Act be reviewed and set aside.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Record of proceedings is being prepared for filing.</li> </ul>	
<p>7. His Majesty Sebatshelwa Mahlangu (King Litho V) (ama-Ndebele)</p>	<p>The applicant in this matter brought an application in the North Gauteng High Court (Pretoria). Amongst others he seeks an order on the following:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• that the decision of the President to recognise Mbusi Mahlangu as the King of the Ama-Ndebele people be reviewed and set aside;</li> <li>• that the findings of the Commission to the President in July 2010 recommending the</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Minister is opposing the application.</li> <li>• Record of proceedings is being prepared for filing.</li> </ul>	<p>Bhadrish Daya Attorneys Adv N Arendse SC</p>

KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY / COUNSEL(S)
	<p>recognition of Mbusi Mahlangu as the King of the Ama-Ndebele people be reviewed and set aside; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• that the matter be referred back to the Commission to reconsider its findings and recommendations after having given the Applicant a proper hearing.</li> </ul>		



### 3.4 Report against target

1. **Name of Entity:** Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes and Claims

#### 2. Highlights of entity for the quarter under review

- 2.1 The Commission managed to hold five public hearing sessions. The reports of all the claims listened to by the Commission will be tabled to the relevant authority as required by law.
- 2.2 The Commission accompanied the Deputy Minister in his visit to the Eastern Cape Province on best practices.

#### 3. Programme Performance for the quarter under review

Entity	Performance Indicators	Annual Targets 2011 - 2012	Quarterly Targets (i.e. Quarter 1)	Actual performance against the quarterly target/ Achievements	Reasons for Variance
CTLDC	Number of Claims and Disputes finalized	100 claims and disputes finalized	25 Claims finalized	Partly Achieved (five sessions of public hearings held in five provinces)	Lack of establishment of provincial committee hampers the progress of the Commission.
	Effective functioning of the Commission	5 Provincial Committees established	3 Provincial Committees established	Not achieved The matter was discussed at MINMEC level and letters written to the Premiers to speed up the process.	The lack of establishment of the committee by the provinces hampers the realization of this objective by the Commission.
		12 meetings	09 meetings	Partially achieved 5 meetings held	Provincial Committees not established as yet
	Provide support as may be required to	Defend all litigations against	Defend all litigations against	Achieved Five litigation cases are being defended. Three consultation	The CTLDC is not in control

	counsel	Commission	Commission	with Senior Counsel took place	
--	---------	------------	------------	-----------------------------------	--

#### 4. Challenges

##### 4.1 Legislation

The Commission is experiencing challenges from the implementation of legislation by provinces. The first challenge relates to inabilities of provinces to follow what is provided for in their legislation like the review of acting capacity of Traditional Leaders. The review is provided for in section 14 (2) (b) that it must be reviewed regularly. Most of the disputes are as a result of lack of review of the acting capacity by provincial governments. The challenge is the failure of provinces to implement the referral of provinces as set out in section 21 of the framework act, this result in the serving of matters before the Commission becoming procedurally irregular.

##### 4.2 Capacity in provinces

Some provinces lack of understanding or capacity in resolving disputes and claims. Some claims referred to the Commission ought to have been dealt with by the Provincial government. The implementation of the Traditional Leadership and Governance Framework Act including the provincial specific legislation must be seriously considered by the relevant departments in order for them to resolve disputes and claims to new traditional leadership.

##### 4.3 Human Resources for the Commission

The Commission handles information that may be validated to the extent that it can successfully withstand legal scrutiny. To this end, the following core functionaries are urgently needed, (failure/ delay in procuring this skills opens government to a catastrophic risk). Appointment of researchers be prioritized for the Commission

- Accommodation for the Chairperson of Committee in their respective provinces
- Appointment of secretaries/personal assistants to the chairpersons of committees; and
- Those mentioned in section 3.2 of this report.

#### 5. Conclusion

The Commission deals with Claims and Disputes lodged with the previous Commission. The Commission intends to finalize at least one hundred claims and disputes during the current financial year subject to the provision of resources and establishment of provincial committees mentioned above. The Department of Traditional Affairs is expected to assist provinces to implement their legislation and further to ensure that what is provided for in their pieces of legislation is realized. The full implementation of the provincial specific legislation will ease the pressure and enhance the performance Commission.

**Mr. BJ Toio**

Chairperson: Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes and Claims

Date:

**C.T.L.D.C**  
**Commission on Traditional  
Leadership Disputes and Claims**



traditional affairs  
Department:  
Traditional Affairs  
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

The Minister  
Department of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs  
Private Bag X 11289  
PRETORIA  
0001

Dear Minister

QUARTERLY REPORT OF THE COMMISSION: 01 APRIL TO 30 JUNE 2011

The Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes and Claims is required to report to the Minister on quarterly basis and as and when the Minister so requires a report. In compliance with the above, the Commission hereby tables its first quarterly report of this financial year (2011-2012) that covers the period from 01 April to 30 June 2011.

The Commission is intending to finalize all individual reports of all public hearings held during the quarter under review. All the reports will be forwarded to both the Minister and the relevant Premiers of the provinces

With kind regards

Mr. BJ Tolo  
Chairperson: Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes and Claims

Date



**QUARTERLY (APRIL TO JUNE 2011) REPORT OF THE COMMISSION ON TRADITIONAL  
LEADERSHIP DISPUTES AND CLAIMS**

**1. Background**

The report is in terms of section 24B (2) of the Traditional Leadership and Governance Framework Act, 2003 (Act 41 of 2003) (The Act). The report covers the period from 01 April to 30 June 2011 as the first quarter of the 2011-12 financial year.

The Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes and Claims was established in terms of section 22 of the Traditional Leadership and Governance Framework Act, 2003 (Act 41 of 2003) for a period of five years. The Commission operates nationally in plenary and provincially in Committees for a period of five years starting from 01 January 2010.

**2. Mandate**

The mandate of the Commission is derived from section 25 of the Act which stipulates amongst others that the Commission has authority to investigate:

- as to whether a kingship, principal traditional leadership, senior traditional leadership or headmanship was established in terms of custom and customary law.
- and consider all claims and disputes starting from 01 September 1927 to the date in which the relevant provincial legislation on traditional governance came into effect.
- All gender related disputes relating to traditional leadership positions arising after 27 April 1994.
- Dispute on boundaries of traditional councils and should consult with the Municipal Demarcation Board before finalization of such.
- Delegate claims and disputes to provincial committees except those of kingship/queenship;
- The Commission will only attend to disputes and Claims that were lodged with the previous Commission up to the end of August 2010.

**3. Performance of the Commission**

The following has been achieved during the quarter under review:

**3.1 Public Hearings**

The Commission is required by section 4 of Act 8 of 1947 to hear evidence in public. In compliance with this section, the Commission arranged the following public hearings:

➤ **Western Cape in Guga S'thebe in KwaLanga Township on 11-14 April 2011**

24 out of 26 claimants presented their claim to the Commission during the public hearing of Western Cape. Amongst the claimants three were applying to be recognised as Kings and the rest as Senior Traditional Leaders. The Commission is busy with the reports of all the claimants from Western Cape.

➤ **Gauteng: 87 Hamilton street S Floor Boardroom in Pretoria on 23 May 2011**

Gauteng had two claimants and two disputes. The claimants presented their claims before the Commission; however, the two Acting Senior Traditional Leaders opposed the Commission from continuing to listen to those who are disputing them. Their opposition was through a letter from their Attorney who threatened a court order should the Commission continue. The Commission postponed the hearing of the two (Mahlangu and Kekana) until they have verified the allegation and engage the Gauteng government. The Commission indicated that the matter is postponed and will be addressed in a fair and just manner.

➤ **Eastern Cape: Sisonke Community Centre in Zwide Township; Port Elizabeth : on 30-31 May 2011**

08 out of 09 claimants presented their claims before the Commission. They were all claiming for a new Senior Traditional Leadership position except for one who lodged his claim for a senior traditional leadership but was discovered during engagement that he was basically disputing the current Senior Traditional Leader in Peddie.

➤ **Free State: Old Legislature Building in Qwaqwa on 20-23 June 2011**

The Qwaqwa hearings were divided into three. The first day was dedicated to Bakhokoe and Bafokeng who were claiming Kingship. There were other five claimants for the same position. The Commission took a drive in the Bakhokoe area to understand the basis of the claim..

The second day was reserved for Bakwena (Mopeli). Some were claiming a new Kingship that is separate from the current deemed King. Some were claiming senior Traditional Leadership.

The last day was dedicated to Batlokoa. Eight claimants appeared before the Commission. Amongst the Batlokoa, there were those who were claiming a new Kingship separate from the existing deemed King of Batlokoa. Amongst those who presented was the request by one Morena Sekonyela who requested to be traditionally/customarily recognised by the deemed King. The Commission advised the representatives of King Mota to convey a message to the King to resolve the concerns raised by Sekonyela.

➤ **Mpumalanga: KwaMhianga on 29 June 2011**

The Commission invited the three claimants from Sikhosana clan who were all initially claiming for the Kingship of Musi. During the hearing, it was evident that they were all claiming for the Kingship of all AmaNdebele, which this Kingship was finalized and announced by the President.

For all the above public hearings, the Commission is preparing reports to be forwarded to the relevant authority (President, Minister and Premier) during the next quarter. The reports will be in terms of section 26 of the Act which requires the Commission to submit a report to the President, Minister and or the Premier as the case may be. The relevant authority to whom the report has been referred to must meet the provisions of the above section of the Act.





3.2 Provincial Committee

STATUS OF PROVINCIAL COMMITTEES

Province	Number of Committee members	Progress on appointment of Committee members	Progress on appointment of Support staff members	Office Allocation	Number of Claims /disputes	ICT Equipments to be donated by DTA
Eastern Cape	3	Interviews conducted, consultation with the Minister and the Commission is yet to be made	Staff members appointed, some started on 01/06 and the rest will start on 01/07 2011	Offices identified in King Williams Town. They are being renovated so as to be ready for occupation before the end of July 2011.	183	6 Laptops 5 Desktops 3 Printers Above are to be delivered on 04 July 2011.
KwaZulu-Natal	2	Two names submitted to the Premier only one approved, the Premier is still considering the second name.	No written progress has been recorded in this regard, verbal information is that only approval to recruit has been obtained	Office space has been allocated in the Durban city centre	157	5 Laptops 5 Desktops 3 Printers
Mpumalanga	3	Two advertisements were made but no suitable candidates found. A decision to head hunt was taken. The process has not been finalized as yet.	Recruitment of staff members is in progress but to appointment has been finalized. Some staff members will be seconded to the committee	Office space was identified in the city centre. The process of acquiring such is under way	168	6 Laptops 5 Desktops 3 Printers

North West	2	Advertisement for the Committee members was made in May 2011. Selection process will take place	No progress has been recorded in this regard	No progress has been recorded in this regard	85	4 Laptops 5 Desktops 3 Printers
Limpopo	3	No progress has been recorded	No progress	No progress	551	7 Laptops 6 Desktops 3 Printers

**NB: The above allocation of equipments is not aimed at covering all the needs of the Committees but should be viewed as a token of support from DTA in order to get the committees off the ground. The Provincial departments are expected to provide whatever else support that is not provided for in this list.**

- The Commission has not received a letter of consultation or any communication from any Premier as required by section 26A (2)(a) of the Traditional Leadership and Governance Framework Act, 2003 (Act NO 41 of 2003)
- The remaining four Provinces (WC, GP, NC & FS) have total claims/disputes of 83 and no provincial committees are required, their claims will be dealt with by the Commission.
- The Laptops are for the CEO, Researchers and Committee members. Desktops are for administrative support staff incl the PA to the Chairperson who will also get a printer.



- The Commission is not satisfied with the snail pace approach adopted or portraited by provinces in establishing the provincial committees. The Act came into operation on 25 January 2010 and the Commission was appointed on 01 January 2011. Since the coming into operation of the Act, the Department engaged provinces on the establishment of the provincial committees. The challenge in this regard is that six months after the Commission was established, not single provinces out of the five that are expected to have committees have established a provincial committee.
- The impact of non-compliance with section 26A of establishment of provincial committee has a direct negative bearing on the operations of the Commission. The successful implementation of the strategic and operational plans of the commission is hindered by the lack of establishment of the provincial committees.
- In all the above challenges regarding provincial committees, the lack of enough support to the Committees and the Commission regarding human resources especially researchers and secretaries/personal assistants to the members of the commission in their capacities as chairpersons of provinces is as well a sore point in the Commission realizing its objectives.
- The last challenge worth mentioning is the employment of foreign nationals who are not familiar with the provincial language yet expected to render research on ubukhosi. the said appointment was done irrespective of the province being warned that any appointment of someone who will have a difficulty in expressing him/herself in the language of the province will render the character of both the committee and the Commission questionable.

3.3 Litigation

REPORT ON LITIGATION CASES RELATING TO THE COMMISSION ON TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP DISPUTES AND CLAIMS

KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY/COUNSEL(S)
1.Vhavenda Kingship	<p><b>Nephawe Netshidziwele XXXIII</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mr Nephawe brought an application in the Venda High Court.</li> <li>In his application Mr Nephawe, amongst others, is seeking the following orders: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) interdict the President of the Republic of South Africa from issuing a certificate of recognition as a King to Mr Toni Mphephu Ramabula pending the finalization of the review application against the decision of the commission.</li> <li>(ii) the review of the Commission's decision.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The interdict application was heard in the Venda High Court on 21 October 2010.</li> <li>The President, the Minister of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs and the Commission did not oppose the interdict application.</li> <li>The interim relief was granted in favour of Mr Nephawe. The review application was set down for 22 March 2011. However, on the 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2011 there was an application for joinder of a Second Applicant. As a result of the joinder, the matter was postponed to <b>13 - 15 June 2011</b> for hearing.</li> <li>On the 13<sup>th</sup> June</li> </ul>	<p>Bhadrish Daya Attorneys Adv N. Arendse SC</p>

KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY / COUNSEL(S)
		<p>2011 Bhadrish Daya Attorney placed themselves on record on behalf of the Respondents. The following order was made:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Respondents are to re-file records on or before the 18<sup>th</sup> July 2011.</li> <li>• Applicants are to file their amended notice of motion and supplementary affidavit on or before 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2011.</li> <li>• 2<sup>nd</sup> Resp to file its reasons for its decisions, if any, on or before 31<sup>st</sup> August.</li> <li>• Respondents are to file their Answering Affidavits, if any, on or before 22<sup>nd</sup> Sept</li> </ul>	

KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY / COUNSEL(S)
		<p>2011.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Applicants are to file their Replied Affidavits, if any, on or before 17 Oct 2011.</li> <li>• Applicants are to file their Heads of Argument on or before 7 Nov 2011.</li> <li>• Respondents are to file their Heads of Argument, if any, on or before 21 Nov 2011.</li> <li>• the review application is provisionally set down for hearing on 28 November 2011 to 2 December 2011.</li> </ul>	
2. Bapedi Marota Mnamone	<p>Mampuru Maphuru</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr Maphuru brought an application in the High Court in</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Commission is defending this matter.</li> <li>• The matter was set down</li> </ul>	Bhadri Daya Attorneys

KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY/COUNSEL(S)
	<p>2008.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mr Maphuru, amongst others, is seeking the following orders:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>for the Court to review and set aside the decision of Commission (the Commission finds that the lineage of Kingship of Bapedi rest under Sekhukhune house).</li> <li>for the Court to declare that the Kingdom of Bapedi resorts under the lineage of Bapedi Marota Mamone Royal House.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	<p>for hearing 29 November 2010 and was postponed <i>sine die</i> (without a date).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>However, the Applicants Attorneys submitted a letter to the Deputy Judge President to request an early date for set down.</li> <li>The matter was set down for <b>30 May 2011</b> for hearing but it did not proceed. It was thereafter set down for the 25<sup>th</sup> to 26<sup>th</sup> August 2011 on an opposed roll.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>3. AmaMpondo of Qawukeni</b></p>	<p><b>Mr Justice Mpondombini Sigcau</b></p> <p>Mr Justice Mpondombini Sigcau brought an application in the High Court.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mr Sigcau, amongst others, is seeking the following orders:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>for the Court to review and set aside the decision of the Commission.</li> <li>for the Court to interdict the Minister of COGTA from issuing a certificate of</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The matter was in Court on <b>8 November 2010</b>.</li> <li>The applicant got an interim relief.</li> <li>In terms of the Court order the President should not remove the applicant as a King; Minister of COGTA will not hand over a certificate of recognition</li> </ul>	<p>Bhadrish Daya Attorneys Adv N. Arendse SC Adv T. Lupuwana JC</p>

KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY / COUNSEL(S)
	<p>recognition as a King to Mr Zanzuko Tyelovuyo Sigcau.</p> <p>(ii) for the Court to direct the President not to recognize Zanzuko Tyelovuyo Sigcau as a King of aMampondo.</p> <p>(iii) for the Court to direct the Minister of COGTA to continue paying the salary of the applicant pending the review application.</p>	<p>to Zanzuko Tyelovuyo Sigcau and will continue to pay the salary of applicant until the finalization of the review application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The record of proceedings has been filed.</li> <li>The legal team for the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Respondents consulted with witnesses which includes the Commissioners and the 4<sup>th</sup> Respondent on 24-25 May 2011 in order to prepare our answering affidavit which has since been filed on the 14<sup>th</sup> June 2011.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>4. Ndzundza Mabhoko (AmaNdebele)</b></p>	<p><b>Mr Johannes Mahlangu</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mr Johannes Mahlangu and Ndzundza Mabhoko Royal Family brought and urgent application in the High Court.</li> <li>The applicants amongst others were seeking for the following orders:</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicants in their papers alleged that the President should not proceed with the coronation of King Mbusi Mahlangu until charges of misconduct levelled against him have been investigated and finalised.</li> </ul>	<p>Bhardish Daya Attorneys Adv N Arendse SC Adv S Masimene JC</p>



KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY / COUNSEL(S)
	<p>(i) interdicting the President/the Minister of COGTA from issuing a certificate of recognition as a King to Mr Mbusi Mabhoko III</p> <p>(ii) Interdict the President / the Minister of COGTA from coronation of Mr Mbusi Mabhoko as a King of Ndzudza Mabhoko Traditional Community pending the finalization of the charges of misconduct leveled against Mr Mbusi Mabhoko III by the Royal Family and the controversy surrounding his legitimacy.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On 17 December 2010 the judgment was delivered where a <i>rule nisi</i> was discharged.</li> <li>Applicants filed an application for leave to appeal against an order of Honourable Ms Phakathi AJ delivered by Honourable Mr Justice Hiemstra on 17<sup>th</sup> December 2010. The outcome thereof is still awaited.</li> </ul>	
<p>5. Mapisane Eric Nxumalo (Shangaan)</p>	<p>The applicant in this matter amongst others is seeking for the following:</p> <p>(i) the court to review and set aside the decision by the President not to recognize Shangaan Kingship; and</p> <p>(ii) the Court to review and set aside the decision by the Commission not to recognize Shangaan Kingship.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Minister is opposing the application. The record of proceedings has been filed.</li> <li>The Applicant's answering affidavit was filed on 17 June 2011. However they are also preparing an expert affidavit for filing. We will file our answering affidavit upon receipt of the expert affidavit from Applicant.</li> </ul>	<p>Bhardish Daya Attorneys Adv N Arendse SC</p>
<p>6. King Maxhobayakhaw'li</p>	<p>The applicant in this matter brought an application in the North Gauteng</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Minister is opposing the application.</li> </ul>	<p>Bhardish Daya Attorneys Adv N Arendse SC</p>

KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY / COUNSEL(S)
<p>eza  <b>Bangllizwe Sandile (amaRharhabe)</b></p>	<p>High Court (Pretoria). Amongst others he seeks an order on the following:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• that the decision of the Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes and Claims that the amaRharhabe paramountcy is not a kingship be reviewed and set aside; and</li> <li>• that the decision of the President not to recognize the paramountcy of the amaRharhabe as a paramountcy in terms of the Framework Act be reviewed and set aside.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Record of proceedings is being prepared for filing.</li> </ul>	
<p>7. <b>His Majesty Sebatshelewa Mahlangu (King Litho V) (ama-Ndebele)</b></p>	<p>The applicant in this matter brought an application in the North Gauteng High Court (Pretoria). Amongst others he seeks an order on the following:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• that the decision of the President to recognise Mbusi Mahlangu as the King of the Ama-Ndebele people be reviewed and set aside;</li> <li>• that the findings of the Commission to the President in July 2010 recommending the</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The Minister is opposing</b> the application.</li> <li>• Record of proceedings is being prepared for filing.</li> </ul>	<p>Bhadrish Daya Attorneys  Adv N Arendse SC</p>

KINGSHIP	COMPLAINANT/APPLICANT	STATUS	ATTORNEY / COUNSEL(S)
	<p>recognition of Mbusi Mahlangu as the King of the Ama-Ndebele people be reviewed and set aside; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>that the matter be referred back to the Commission to reconsider its findings and recommendations after having given the Applicant a proper hearing.</li> </ul>		



**3.4 Report against target**

1. **Name of Entity:** Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes and Claims

**2. Highlights of entity for the quarter under review**

2.1 The Commission managed to hold five public hearing sessions. The reports of all the claims listened to by the Commission will be tabled to the relevant authority as required by law.

2.2 The Commission accompanied the Deputy Minister in his visit to the Eastern Cape Province on best practices.

**3. Programme Performance for the quarter under review**

Entity	Performance Indicators	Annual Targets 2011 – 2012	Quarterly Targets (i.e. Quarter 1)	Actual performance against the quarterly target/ Achievements	Reasons for Variance
CTLDC	Number of Claims and Disputes finalized	100 claims and disputes finalized	25 Claims finalized	Partly Achieved (five sessions of public hearings held in five provinces)	Lack of establishment of provincial committee hampers the progress of the Commission.
	Effective functioning of the Commission	5 Provincial Committees established	3 Provincial Committees established	Not achieved The matter was discussed at MINMEC level and letters written to the Premiers to speed up the process.	The lack of establishment of the committee by the provinces hampers the realization of this objective by the Commission.
		12 meetings	09 meetings	Partially achieved 5 meetings held	Provincial Committees not established as yet
	Provide support as may be required to	Defend all litigations against the	Defend all litigations against the	Achieved Five litigation cases are being defended. Three consultation	The CTLDC is not in control

	counsel	Commission	Commission	with Senior Counsel took place	
--	---------	------------	------------	-----------------------------------	--

#### 4. Challenges

##### 4.1 Legislation

The Commission is experiencing challenges from the implementation of legislation by provinces. The first challenge relates to inabilities of provinces to follow what is provided for in their legislation like the review of acting capacity of Traditional Leaders. The review is provided for in section 14 (2) (b) that it must be reviewed regularly. Most of the disputes are as a result of lack of review of the acting capacity by provincial governments. The challenge is the failure of provinces to implement the referral of provinces as set out in section 21 of the framework act, this result in the serving of matters before the Commission becoming procedurally irregular.

##### 4.2 Capacity in provinces

Some provinces lack of understanding or capacity in resolving disputes and claims. Some claims referred to the Commission ought to have been dealt with by the Provincial government. The implementation of the Traditional Leadership and Governance Framework Act including the provincial specific legislation must be seriously considered by the relevant departments in order for them to resolve disputes and claims to new traditional leadership.

##### 4.3 Human Resources for the Commission

The Commission handles information that may be validated to the extent that it can successfully withstand legal scrutiny. To this end, the following core functionaries are urgently needed, (failure/ delay in procuring this skills opens government to a catastrophic risk). Appointment of researchers be prioritized for the Commission

- Accommodation for the Chairperson of Committee in their respective provinces
- Appointment of secretaries/personal assistants to the chairpersons of committees; and
- Those mentioned in section 3.2 of this report.

#### 5. Conclusion

The Commission deals with Claims and Disputes lodged with the previous Commission. The Commission intends to finalize at least one hundred claims and disputes during the current financial year subject to the provision of resources and establishment of provincial committees mentioned above. The Department of Traditional Affairs is expected to assist provinces to implement their legislation and further to ensure that what is provided for in their pieces of legislation is realized. The full implementation of the provincial specific legislation will ease the pressure and enhance the performance Commission.

**Mr. BJ Tolo**

Chairperson: Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes and Claims

Date:

**C.T.L.D.C**  
**Commission on Traditional  
Leadership Disputes and Claims**



**traditional affairs**

Department  
Traditional Affairs  
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

The Minister  
Department of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs  
Private Bag X 11289  
PRETORIA  
0001

Dear Minister Mthethwa

**QUARTERLY REPORT OF THE COMMISSION: 01 APRIL TO 30 JUNE 2011**

The Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes and Claims is required to report to the Minister on quarterly basis and as and when the Minister so requires. In compliance with the above, the Commission hereby tables its first quarterly report of this financial year (2011-2012) that covers the period from 01 April to 30 June 2011.

The Commission is intending to finalize all individual reports of all public hearings held during the quarter under review. All the reports will be forwarded to both the Minister and the relevant Premiers of the provinces

With kind regards

**Mr. BJ Tolo**

Chairperson: Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes and Claims

Date

**PROGRAM OF THE COMMISSION ON TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP DISPUTES AND CLAIMS FOR 2011-12 FINANCIAL YEAR**

<b>WEEK</b>	<b>ACTIVITY</b>	<b>PARTICIPANT</b>
28 March 2011 to 01 April 2011	28 March: Office work	All members of the Commission
	29 March: Strategic Planning Session	All members of the Commission
	30 March: Rules and Orders Workshop	All members of the Commission
	31 March: Office Work	All members of the Commission
	01 April: Office work	All members of the Commission
04 to 08 April 2011	04 April: Meeting with all claimants in Cape Town: venue to be announced soon	All members of the Commission
	05 April: Office Work	All members of the Commission
	06 Plenary : 1. Quarterly Report of the Commission 2. Analysis of Claims Report 3. Program for April until May 4. Adoption of Strategic plan document	All members of the Commission
	07 April: Office Work by the Commission Phahlamohlaka chieftainship dispute matter at manoge village in Limpopo (Sithole)	All members of the Commission
	08 April: Office Work	All members of the Commission
11 to 15 April 2011	11 April: Public hearings on all claimants in Cape Town five claimants: Six claimants per day: • AmeXesibe • AmaBhaca • AmaHlubi	All members of the Commission
	12 April: Public hearings on all claimants in Cape Town five claimants:	All members of the Commission

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AbaThembu</li> <li>• AmaXhosa</li> </ul>	
	13 April: Public hearings on all claimants in Cape Town five claimants per day	All members of the Commission
	14 April: Public hearings on all claimants in Cape Town five claimants: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AmaMpondomise</li> <li>• AmaMpondo</li> <li>• amaChwama</li> <li>• AmaBhele and</li> <li>• baSotho</li> </ul>	All members of the Commission
	15 April: Public hearings on all claimants in Cape Town five claimants per day	All members of the Commission
18-22 April	18 April: Office Work Provincial Week for Commission	All members of the Commission
	19 April: Office Work Provincial Week for Commission	All members of the Commission
	20 April: Office Work Provincial Week for Commission	All members of the Commission
	21 April: Office Work Provincial Week for Commission	All members of the Commission
	22 Good Friday (holiday)	All members of the Commission
25-29 April 2011	25 April: Family day (holiday)	All members of the Commission
	26 April: Provincial week	All members of the Commission
	27 April: Freedom Day (Holiday)	All members of the Commission
	28 April: Provincial week	All members of the Commission



	29 April: Provincial week	All members of the Commission
<b>MAY 2011</b>		
Week 1-6 May 2011	Workers day (holiday)	All members of the Commission
02 to 4 May 2011	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commission attending to best practices in Eastern Cape</li> <li>• Two members of the Commission on leave</li> <li>• Analysis of files</li> </ul>	Members of the Commission
Week 9-13 May 2011 09 May 2011	<b>09 May 2011 Meeting of the Commission In Pretoria</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KwaZulu Natal intervention by the Commission regarding Mngomezulu and Nyawo</li> <li>• Consideration of the Quarterly program</li> <li>• Consideration of the Monthly report</li> </ul>	All members of the Commission
10 May 2011	Analysis of files (Limpopo and North West)	All members of the Commission
11 May 2011	Analysis of files (Limpopo and North West)	All members of the Commission
12 May 2011	Analysis of files (Limpopo and North West) KZN officials briefing the Commission on Mngomezulu and Nyawo disputes Consultation on Sigcau High Court Action (Legal team)	Mr. Sikhakhane and Ms Ncumani Chairperson/Deputy Chairperson and Sithole
13 May 2011	Finalization of analysis of files (Limpopo and North West)	All members of the Commission
Week 16 to 20 May 2011	Provincial Week (members seeing their offices in their respective provinces Office equipments like computers etc being delivered at provinces)	All members of the Commission
Week 23-27 May 2011	23 and 24 Gauteng Public hearings (All Claims in Gauteng) 26 to 27 Mpumalanga meeting with the Claimants (KwaNdebele)	All members of the Commission

JUNE 2011		
Week 30 May to 3 June	30 and 31 May 2011 Public hearing at Port Elizabeth  01 & 02 June Public hearing at Kings William Town (Kingship and urban application)  03 June 2011 Commission to attend the opening of the NHTL in Cape Town	All members of the Commission
Week 6 - 10 June 2011	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Public hearings at Free State for all claimants including Bakgolokwe</li> </ul>	All members of the Commission
Week 13 to 17 June 2011	Provincial Week 13 to 15 Public hearings for Mngomezulu and Nyawo (KZN) Please note June 16 is on Thursday	All members of the Commission
Week 27 June to 01 July 2011	Provincial Week	All members of the Commission



**PROGRAM OF THE COMMISSION ON TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP DISPUTES AND CLAIMS FOR 2011-12 FINANCIAL YEAR**

<b>JULY 2011</b>		
Week:4-8 July 2011	Provincial Week	All members of the Commission
Week: 13-14 July 2011	13 July 2011 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consolidation of all provincial Committee reports into one Quarterly Report to the President and the Minister (Premiers included for their information and noting only)</li> <li>Commission sitting with Committees (consideration of the consolidated quarterly report)</li> </ul> Evaluation of the progress vis a vis the plan	All members of the Commission
	Finalization of plenary (joint sitting if any outstanding matters)	All members of the Commission
Week: 18-22 July 2011	Provincial Week Finalization of reports on public hearings: Eastern Cape: KwaLanga, Cape Town Zwide, Port Elizabeth	All members of the Commission Deputy Chairperson
Week: 25-29 July 2011	Provincial Week Finalization of reports on public hearings on: Gauteng Qwaqwa Mpumalanga	All members of the Commission Chairperson & Commissioner Mafereka
<b>AUGUST 2011</b>		
Week: 01 -05 August 2011-	Provincial Week	All members of the Commission

Week: 08 -12 August 2011	<b>National and Provincial week:</b> 11 August 2011 meeting of the Commission for a monthly report Please note Women's day is on 09 August 2011	All members of the Commission
Week 15-19 August 2011	<b>Public hearing in Limpopo (Mrs Kgatla to give guidance)</b>	All members of the Commission
Week: 22 -26 August 2011	<b>Provincial Week</b>	All members of the Commission
<b>September 2011</b>		
Week 29 August to 02 September 2011	<b>August and September 2011</b> Public hearing on kingship in Mpumalanga	Members of the Commission
Week 5 to 9 September 2011	<b>National and Provincial week:</b> 05 September 2011 meeting of the Commission for a monthly report	Members of the Commission
Week 12 to 16 September 2011	<b>Public hearings on kings in Eastern Cape</b>	Members of the Commission
Week 19 to 23 September 2011	<b>Provincial week</b>	Members of the Commission
Week 26 to 30 September 2011	<b>Provincial week</b>	Members of the Commission
<b>October 2011</b>		
Week 3 to 7 October 2011	<b>Provincial Week</b>	Members of the Commission
Week 10 to 14 October 2011	<b>Plenary 10 to 11 October 2011:</b>	Members of the Commission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quarterly report (July to September 2011) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consideration of provincial reports</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Consideration of the Quarterly Report of the Commission</li> </ul> <b>12 to 14 October 2011 Provincial Week</b>	Members of the Commission
Week 17 to 21 October 2011	<b>Provincial Week</b>	Members of the Commission
Week 24 to 28 October 2011	<b>Provincial Week</b>	Members of the Commission

<b>November 2011</b>		
Week 31 October to 4 November 2011	<b>Provincial Week</b>	Members of the Commission
Week 7 to 11 November 2011	<b>National and Provincial Week</b> 07 November 2011 meeting of the Commission for a monthly report	Members of the Commission
Week 14 to 18 November 2011	<b>Provincial Week</b>	Members of the Commission
Week 21 to 25 November 2011	<b>Provincial Week</b>	Members of the Commission
Week 7 to 11 November 2011	Public hearings in Northern Cape on 07 and 08 November 2011 North West Kingship hearings from 09 and 10 November 2011	Members of the Commission
Week 28 November to 02 December 2011	<b>Provincial week</b>	Members of the Commission
<b>December 2011</b>		
Week 5 to 9 December 2011	<b>Provincial Week</b>	Members of the Commission
Week 12 to 16 December 2011	<b>Provincial Week</b> Please note 16 December is on Friday (Day of reconciliation)	Members of the Commission
Week 19 to 23 December 2011	<b>Commission on Leave</b>	Members of the Commission
Week 26 to 30 December 2011	<b>Commission on leave</b>	Members of the Commission
<b>January 2012</b>		
Week 2 to 6 January 2012	<b>Provincial Week</b>	Members of the Commission
Week 9 to 13 January 2012	<b>National and Provincial week</b>	Members of the Commission

	<b>09 January 2012</b> <b>Plenary</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Consideration of the Quarterly report</li><li>• Consideration of the reports of the finalized claims and disputes</li></ul>	Members of the Commission
	<b>10 January 2012</b> Joint sitting of the Commission and Committees to consider overall Commission's report	Members of the Commission
	<b>11 to 13 January 2012</b> <b>Provincial Week</b>	
Week 16 to 20 January 2012	<b>Provincial week</b> Provincial Committees planning	Members of the Commission
Week 23 to 27 January 2012	<b>Provincial week</b> Provincial committee planning	Members of the Commission
<b>February 2012</b>		
Week 30 January to 3 February 2012	<b>Provincial week</b>	Members of the Commission
Week 6 to 10 February 2012	<b>Consideration of the Monthly report</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Planning of the Commission</li><li>• Consideration of the Monthly report</li></ul>	Members of the Commission
Week 13 to 17 February 2012	<b>Provincial Week</b>	Members of the Commission
Week 20 to 24 February 2012	<b>Provincial Week</b>	Members of the Commission
<b>March 2012</b>		
Week 27 February to 2 March 2012	<b>Public hearings</b>	Members of the Commission
Week 5 to 9 March 2012	<b>Provincial Week</b>	Members of the Commission

Week 19 to 23 March 2012	Provincial Week	Members of the Commission
Week 26 to 30 March 2012	Provincial Week	Members of the Commission

RESTORATION OF THE DIGNITY OF TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP AND THEIR COMMUNITIES.

