

File Number and Legal Address	Parties (Indicate Role)	Legal Adviser's Status	Attorney's Rating	Root Cause / Short Summary	Amount	Legal Fees	Status	Latest Update	Client (Group)	External Legal Practitioner
	Earthlife Africa (Applicant) v. Eskom (Respondent)			<p>This is a court application against Eskom's decision to restate access to the minutes of the Board and documents thereto relating to the funding and support of the FSI&amp;S project.</p> <p>Application was lodged on 01/11/2004. The High Court ruled in favour of Eskom and the Applicant appealed against the decision.</p> <p>SCA granted right to appeal and on hearing the appeal referred the matter to be dealt with by experts in terms of the s118A of the Supreme Court Act.</p>	Nil	R 1,981,850.48	Two Referees, a scientific expert and a commercial expert were appointed. The Parties have made written submissions and agreed on the procedure for the matter. There was a dispute regarding the order to the documents submitted by Eskom arising from Eskom's requirement that the order be set out the nature of the information in more elaborate terms. Eskom's refusal for more elaborate details stems from the concern that it would lead to disclosure of the content thereof and the current order sets out the nature of the information sufficiently. This dispute was heard by both referees on 18 October 2009 and was postponed sine die.	Two Referees, a scientific expert and a commercial expert were appointed. The Parties have made written submissions.	Deputy Chief Information Officer	<p>Thabile Fuhrman of Thabile Fuhrman &amp; Associates</p> <p>Adv. M Kuper SC</p> <p>Adv. M Sello</p>

