

 N_0 S.13/155 (A2)

Private Bag 302. Telefoon 2-9280-9.

Telegramadres
Telegraphic Address ... "KOMPOL 302"

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

HOOFKANTOOR, HEAD OFFICE,

SOUTH AFRICAN POLICE.

PRETORIA.

3.1.1967.

Die Sekretaris van Justisie, PRETORIA.

DEFENCE AND AID : LEDE.

- 1. Met verwysing na my enersgenommerde skrywe gedateer 27/9/66 wens ek die volgende wysigings aan te bring.
- PORT ELIZABETH:-2.
 - (a) Mr. John Morgan Tucker - Oorlede
 - (b) Mev. Jocelyn Sara Tucker se huidige adres is as volg:-

Ottowalaan 16, Robindale Uitbreiding 1,

Randburg, JOHANNESBURG.

LUITENANT-KOLONEL

n/KOMMI SSARIS : SUID-AFRIKAANSE POLISIE.

H. BLIGHAUT

No. S.13/155 (A2).

SUID-AFRIKAANSE POLISIE. SOUTH AFRICAN POLICE.



Private Bag 302. 3-8800 \$151E 22-12-1966

HOOFKANTOOR, HEAD OFFICE, PRETORIA.

21.12.1966.

Die Sekretaris van Justisie, PRETORIA.

DEFENCE AND AID : LEDE.

1. Met verwysing na my eners-genommerde skrywe van 27/9/66 wens ek nou te rapporteer dat Dr. I.P. HUGHES tans verbonde is aan n Universi-teit in New Jersey, Amerika.

BUITENANT-KOLONEL

soid-afrikaanse polisie.

/jev. EN WYK



No. S.13/155. (A.2)

SUID-AFRIKAANSE POLISIE.

SOUTH AFRICAN POLICE.

Privaatsak Private Bag 302.

Telefoon
Telephone
2-9280-9.

Telegramadres Telegraphic Address } "KOMPOL 302".

GEH PRATEMENT VAN JUSTISIE 22 -11-**HOOF**KANTOOR, HEAD OFFICE, PRETORIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE PRETORIA.

21.11.1966.

Die Sekretaris van Justisie, PRETORIA.

BEDRYWIGHEDE: "DEFENCE AND AID FUND".

1. Bygaande afdruk van muitknipsel uit die Evening Post gedateer 10.11.1966, word ter inligting van die Beredderaar aangestuur asseblief.

LUITENANT-KOLONEL

SUID-AFRIKAANSE POLISIE. n/KOMMISSARIS: /EV.

BLIGNAUT



APPEAL COURT DISMISSES AID FUND CASE

BLOEMFONTEIN. — The Appeal Court today dismissed with costs the apreal by the South African Defence and Aid Fund and its Chairman, Mr Raymond Hoffenberg, against the refusal of the Cape Supreme Court to set aside Proclamation 77 of 1966.

This Proclamation declared the Proclamation ful organisation

The Appeal Court also disnossed an appeal by the Fund and Mr Hoffenberg that the Minister of Justice be ordered to produce all documents relating to the all documents relating to the appointment by him of a committee in terms of Section 17 of the Suppression of Communism Act to prepare a factual report in connection with the activities of the Defence and Aid Fund.

Committee

It had been contended for the applicants in the Cape Court that before the State President could fasue a proclamation declaring an organisation unlawful, that organisation was entitled to be heard and as the appellant fund had received no notice that action was contemplated against it and had accordingly not been given the opportunity of being heard, the proclamation referred to was invalid.

Further it was said that in terms of one section of the Act, the State President could only act after the Minister of Justice had considered a factual report in relation to the organisation in question made by a committee amounted by him. ointed by him.

Such a committee was obliged in law to afford the fund an op-portunity of being heard before any proclamation could be issued under Section 2, Sub-Section 2 of the Act.

Privilege

It was therefore contended that the court should grant an order against the Minister compelling him to disclose all documents relevant to the appointment of such a committee or otherwise relevant to the proof of whether such committee had or had not functioned.

The Minister had refused to produce such documents on the ground that they were privileged by law from disclosure because it would not be in the public interest.

interest.

A final contention advanced for the appellants was that as the correct name of the Fund was the South African Defence and Aid Fund, Proclamation 77 of 1986 had no application to it because it referred to an organ-

mer Please turn to Page 2, col. 5

Aid fund appeal fails

Continued from page 1

isation known as the Defence and Aid Fund.

The tape court had rejected the contentions advanced for the appellants.

The appeal was dismissed by a majority of three of five judges.

The validity of the Proclamation in question had been attacked on the ground that the appellants had not, prior to the issue of the Proclamation, been afforded any opportunity of being heard in its defence.

After examining at greatilength the relevant provisions of the Act and considering the decisions of the courts on analogous sections in other Acts on analogous sections in other Acts of Parliament, Mr Justice Botha, who wrote the main judgment of the court, said it seemed to him, that is was only by the exercise by the State President of his powers under section 2, sub-section 2 of the Act that an organisation 2 of the Act that an organisation's rights were in law prejudically affected.

Were it not for the words "without notice to the organisation concerned" the appellants would have been calified to an opportunity of controverting the prejudical allegations against it before the issue of the proclamation.

The Chief Justice, Mr Justice Steyn, wrote a conceiving judgment, Mr Justice Van Blerk concerned.

The Chief Justice, Mr Justice Steyn, wrote a conceiving judg-ment. Mr Justice Van Blerk con-curred. Mr Justice Williamson and Mr Justice Trollip, Acting Judge of Appeal wrote dissent-ing judgments.—(Sapa)

MUUL DUICES



No. S.13/155.

SUID-AFRIKAANSE POLISIE.



SOUTH AFRICAN POLICE.

Privaatsak Private Bag } 302.

Telefoon 2-9280-9.

Telegramadres
Telegraphic Address } "KOMPOL 302".

HOOFKANTOOR, HEAD OFFICE, PRETORIA.

27.9.1966.

Die Sekretaris van Justisie, DEPARTEMENT VAN JUSTISIE

29 -9-1966

DEFENCE AND AID : LEDE

PRETORIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

- 1. Aangeheg is m lys van lede van Defence and Aid en die adresse waar hulle hulle tans bevind soos deur die Beredderaar verlang.
- 2. Sodra die adres van I.P. Hughes vasgestel is, sal u daarvan verwittig word.

/EV.

P. J. B. VAN WYK

